



Presence and Predictive Value of Obsessive-Compulsive Symptoms in Anxiety and **Depressive Disorders**

Hofmeijer-Sevink, Mieke Klein; Batelaan, Neeltje M.; van Megen, Harold J. G. M.; van den Hout, Marcel A.; Penninx, Brenda W.; van Balkom, Anton J. L. M.; Cath, Danielle C.

Published in: The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry

DOI: 10.1177/0706743717711170

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2018

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Hofmeijer-Sevink, M. K., Batelaan, N. M., van Megen, H. J. G. M., van den Hout, M. A., Penninx, B. W., van Balkom, A. J. L. M., & Cath, D. C. (2018). Presence and Predictive Value of Obsessive-Compulsive Symptoms in Anxiety and Depressive Disorders. The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry, 63(2), 85-93. https://doi.org/10.1177/0706743717711170

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Original Research



Presence and Predictive Value of Obsessive-Compulsive Symptoms in Anxiety and Depressive Disorders

The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry / La Revue Canadienne de Psychiatrie 2018, Vol. 63(2) 85-93 © The Author(s) 2017 Reprints and permission: sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/0706743717711170 TheCJP.ca | LaRCP.ca



Présence et valeur prédictive de symptômes obsessionnels-compulsifs dans les troubles anxieux et dépressifs

Mieke Klein Hofmeijer-Sevink, MD, PhD¹, Neeltje M. Batelaan, MD, PhD², Harold J. G. M. van Megen, MD, PhD¹, Marcel A. van den Hout, PhD^{3,4}, Brenda W. Penninx, PhD², Anton J. L. M. van Balkom, MD, PhD², and Danielle C. Cath, MD, PhD^{3,4,5}

Abstract

Objective: Obsessive-compulsive symptoms (OCS) co-occur frequently with anxiety and depressive disorders, but the nature of their relationship and their impact on severity of anxiety and depressive disorders is poorly understood. In a large sample of patients with anxiety and depressive disorders, we assessed the frequency of OCS, defined as a Young Adult Self-Report Scale–obsessive-compulsive symptoms score >7. The associations between OCS and severity of anxiety and/or depressive disorders were examined, and it was investigated whether OCS predict onset, relapse, and persistence of anxiety and depressive disorders.

Methods: Data were obtained from the third (at 2-year follow-up) and fourth wave (at 4-year follow-up) of data collection in the Netherlands Study of Anxiety and Depression cohort, including 469 healthy controls, 909 participants with a remitted disorder, and 747 participants with a current anxiety and/or depressive disorder.

Results: OCS were present in 23.6% of the total sample, most notably in those with current combined anxiety and depressive disorders. In patients with a current disorder, OCS were associated with severity of this disorder. Moreover, OCS predicted (1) first onset of anxiety and/or depressive disorders in healthy controls (odds ratio [OR], 5.79; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.15 to 29.14), (2) relapse in those with remitted anxiety and/or depressive disorders (OR, 2.31; 95% CI, 1.55 to 3.46), and (3) persistence in patients with the combination of current anxiety and depressive disorders (OR, 4.42; 95% CI, 2.54 to 7.70) within the 2-year follow-up period

Conclusions: OCS are closely related to both the presence and severity of anxiety and depressive disorders and affect their course trajectories. Hence, OCS might be regarded as a course specifier signaling unfavorable outcomes. This specifier may be useful in clinical care to adapt and intensify treatment in individual patients.

Abrégé

Objectif : Les symptômes obsessionnels-compulsifs (SOC) sont fréquemment co-occurrents avec les troubles anxieux et dépressifs, mais la nature de leur relation et de leur effet sur la gravité des troubles anxieux et dépressifs est mal comprise. Dans

Corresponding Author:

¹ Mental Health Care Institute GGZ Centraal, Amersfoort, the Netherlands

² GGZ inGeest/Department of Psychiatry and EMGO Institute for Health and Care Research, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

³ Altrecht Academic Anxiety Center, Utrecht, the Netherlands

⁴ Department of Clinical and Health Psychology, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands

⁵ GGz Drenthe, Department of Specialized Training & University Medical Center Groningen, Department of Psychiatry & Rob Giel Onderzoekscentrum, Groningen, the Netherlands

Mieke Klein Hofmeijer-Sevink, MD, PhD, GGZ Centraal, lokatie Veldwijk, Afdeling Johannesbos, Postbus 1000, 3853 BA, Ermelo, the Netherlands. Emails: m.kleinhofmeijer@uu.nl; miekekleinhofmeijer@gmail.com

un vaste échantillon de patients souffrant de troubles anxieux et dépressifs, nous avons évalué la fréquence des SOC, définis comme étant un score > 7 à l'échelle d'auto-évaluation de jeunes adultes des symptômes obsessionnels-compulsifs (YASR-SOC > 7). Les associations entre les SOC et la gravité des troubles anxieux et/ou dépressifs ont été examinées, et la question de savoir si les SOC prédisent l'apparition, la rechute ou la persistance des troubles anxieux et dépressifs a été étudiée.

Méthodes : Les données ont été obtenues du 3e cycle (au suivi de 2 ans) et du 4e cycle (au suivi de 4 ans) de la collecte de données de la cohorte de l'étude néerlandaise de l'anxiété et de la dépression (NESDA), incluant 469 témoins en santé, 909 participants en rémission, et 747 participants souffrant actuellement d'un trouble anxieux et/ou dépressif.

Résultats : Les SOC étaient présents chez 23,6 % de l'échantillon en entier, particulièrement chez ceux souffrant actuellement de troubles anxieux et dépressifs combinés. Chez les patients souffrant d'un trouble actuel, les SOC étaient associés à la gravité de ce trouble. En outre, les SOC prédisaient 1) la première apparition des troubles anxieux et/ou dépressifs chez les sujets témoins en santé (RC 5,79; IC à 95 % 1,15 à 29,14); 2) la rechute chez ceux qui étaient en rémission des troubles anxieux et/ou dépressifs (RC 2,31; IC à 95 % 1,55 à 3,46); et 3) la persistance chez les patients ayant une combinaison des troubles anxieux et dépressifs actuels (RC 4,42; IC à 95 % 2,54 à 7,70) dans la période du suivi de 2 ans.

Conclusions : Les SOC sont étroitement liés à la présence et à la gravité des troubles anxieux et dépressifs, et influent sur les trajectoires de leur cours. Les SOC peuvent donc être estimés être un déterminant du cours des troubles qui signale des résultats défavorables. Ce déterminant peut être utile dans les soins cliniques pour adapter et intensifier le traitement de patients individuels.

Keywords

anxiety disorders, comorbidity, course, depressive disorders, obsessive-compulsive symptoms, prevalence, prognosis, severity

Both anxiety and depressive disorders are clinically heterogeneous, and substantial differences in clinical presentation and course occur between individual patients. Clinical practice would benefit from specifiers that distinguish between mild disorders with favorable course trajectories and severe disorders with unfavorable course trajectories.

The presence and nature of comorbid disorders may signal unfavorable outcomes. For example, comorbidity between anxiety and depressive disorders is associated with higher illness severity, impaired functioning, unfavorable course, and poorer treatment outcome.¹⁻⁶ Likewise, the combination of 2 anxiety disorders in an individual is associated with higher severity and chronicity compared with single anxiety disorders.^{7,8} Further, in obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), comorbidity with anxiety and/or depressive disorders is associated with higher symptom severity, chronicity, and negative consequences in daily life.⁹⁻¹² Even comorbid symptoms that by themselves do not reach a threshold to be considered a disorder may have an unfavorable impact on the course of the other disorders that they accompany. The most explicit example is panic attacks. Based on their negative impact on a variety of disorders,¹³⁻¹⁵ panic attacks are included as a specifier in the fifth edition of the Diagnostic Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5)¹⁶ to alert clinicians to unfavorable outcomes.

Obsessive compulsive symptoms (OCS) occur in 30% to 40% of patients with an anxiety or depressive disorder.^{4,17} Systematic studies of the impact of OCS on clinical outcome in anxiety disorders is—to the best of our knowledge—lacking, and studies on OCS and their impact on depressive disorders are scarce. In depressive disorders, one study has suggested that OCS are associated with poorer outcome.¹⁸

Considering the suggestion from this study that OCS are associated with anxiety and depression symptom severity and have a negative impact on their course trajectories, this would mean that OCS might be a useful specifier signaling unfavorable outcomes.

Using longitudinal data from the Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety (NESDA), the present study was undertaken to examine the impact of OCS on anxiety and depressive disorders. We explored the impact of OCS on these disorders, above and over potential other confounders. We assessed the presence of OCS, examined the associations of OCS with severity of anxiety and/or depressive disorders, and investigated whether OCS predict onset, relapse, and persistence of anxiety and depressive disorders. We hypothesized that OCS are meaningful negative predictors of course in anxiety and depressive disorders.

Materials and Methods

Procedure

Data were obtained from NESDA. NESDA encompasses a longitudinal cohort study that has included 2981 adults (18-65 years of age) at baseline with anxiety disorders (panic disorder with/without agoraphobia, social phobia, and generalized anxiety disorder), with depressive disorders (major depressive disorder, dysthymic disorder), and healthy controls. A detailed description of the NESDA study design can be found elsewhere.¹⁹ In short, the NESDA study aims to describe the long-term course and consequences of depressive and anxiety disorders in a sample recruited from the community, primary care settings, and specialized mental

health care facilities. Persons with a clinically overt primary diagnosis of psychosis, posttraumatic stress disorder, or OCD were not included in the study, as were persons not fluent in the Dutch language. To study OCS, The Young Adult Self-Report Scale for obsessive compulsive symptoms²⁰ (YASR-OCS) was administered at the 2-year follow-up wave. Therefore, we used this wave as the baseline measurement point for the present study.

Approval of the study was granted by the Ethical Review Boards of all participating centers, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Sample

As OCS were first assessed at the 2-year follow-up, we used this wave as the baseline measurement point for the present study and the 4-year wave of NESDA as the 2-year follow-up measurement of this study. For the present study, all participants who enrolled in both the 2-year and the 4-year followup (n = 2402) were included. The attrition rate between baseline and the 2-year wave of NESDA was 13% (n = 385). This attrition was not significantly related to gender and age but to lower level of education (odds ratio [OR], 0.92; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.98 to 0.95) and to the presence of an anxiety (OR, 1.37; 95% CI, 1.23 to 1.54) and/or depressive disorder (OR, 1.64; 95% CI, 1.41 to 1.90) at baseline.

The attrition rate between the 2-year and 4-year wave of NESDA was 8% (n = 194).

The 24% attrition rate between baseline and the 4-year follow-up (n = 579) was not significantly related to gender and age but to lower level of education (OR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.89 to 0.97) and to the presence of an anxiety (OR, 1.27; 95% CI, 1.15 to 1.41) and/or depressive disorder (OR, 1.32; 95% CI, 1.1 to 1.6) at baseline.

Participants who had not returned the YASR-OCS questionnaire (n = 270) and those with too many missing data (n = 7) were excluded. Thus, the final sample who completed both time points and returned the YASR-OCS consisted of 2125 participants. Compared with those who were excluded (n = 277), participants who were included (N = 2125) were older (P < 0.001), more frequently female (P = 0.04), less educated (P = 0.002), and had more anxiety and/ or depressive disorders (P < 0.001) at our baseline (the 2-year follow-up of NESDA).

Measures

OCS. To assess OCS, the 8-item YASR-OCS was used, which is a subscale of the YASR²⁰ (see Figure 1 for items). Participants respond on a 3-point scale (0 = not true, 1 = somewhat*true or sometimes true,* 2 = very true or often true), yielding scores between 0 and 16 on the YASR-OCS. Incidents of missing data were very rare (0.5%) and therefore considered random. These missing data were not imputated. The YASR-OCS has good psychometric properties as a screener of OCS, with well-established cut points for the presence or absence of

Item I 'I Cannot get my mind off certain thought'
Item 2 'I repeat certain acts over and over'
Item 3 'I am afraid I might think or do something bad'
Item 4 'I have thoughts that other people think are strange'
Item 5 'I do things other people think are strange'
Item 6 'I feel I have to be perfect'
Item 7 'I worry a lot'
Item 8 'I feel too guilty'

Figure 1. Items of the Young Adult Self-Report Scale, obsessivecompulsive symptoms (YASR-OCS²⁰).

clinically significant OCS.²¹ The internal reliability of the YASR-OCS in our sample was good (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.83$). In line with the literature, OCS were regarded as significantly present with a score of >7 on the YASR-OCS. This cutoff has been previously identified as the best cutoff to predict OCD.²¹

Diagnostic Status. To diagnose anxiety and depressive disorders, the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI), version 2.1, was used, using *DSM-IV* criteria. The CIDI entails a structured interview with acceptable reliability and validity^{22,23} and was administered by trained research staff.

Healthy controls were defined as participants without a lifetime history of a DSM-IV axis I diagnosis of an anxiety or depressive disorder (established using the CIDI). The patient group in this study who were defined as remitted was composed of patients with a lifetime history of an anxiety and/or depressive disorder but with no anxiety or depressive disorder within the past 6 months. The sample was not stratified by an anxiety or depressive disorder in the past, since recent literature shows that diagnostic instability between and within depressive and anxiety disorders is high and recurrence does not solely occur in the specific index disorders.²⁴ The patient group who were defined as suffering from a "current depressive disorder" contained participants with a diagnosis of a major depressive disorder and/or dysthymia within the past 6 months, and the group with a "current anxiety disorder" contained participants with a diagnosis of panic disorder and/or agoraphobia, social phobia, and/or generalized anxiety disorder, respectively, within the past 6 months. The group defined as "current comorbid anxiety and depressive disorder" contained participants with both a depressive and an anxiety disorder within the past 6 months.

Covariates. All covariates were assessed at 'baseline' of the present study (i.e. the two-year follow-up measurement of the NESDA study).

Socio-demographic factors included gender (male/ female), age and years of education.

Severity of anxiety and depressive symptoms. Severity of anxiety symptoms was assessed with the Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI), a widely used 21-item self-report

	Total Sample ($N = 2125$)	No OCS (n = 1624, 76.4%)	Presence of OCS $(n = 501, 23.6\%)$	χ²/F (df)	Р
Sociodemographic factors					
Age (mean, SD)	44.6 (13.2)	44.9 (13.2)	43.4 (12.9)	5.4 (I)	0.02
Gender (% female, n)	66.7 (1418)	67.9 (1102)	63.1 (216)	3.9 (I)	0.05
Education (mean number of years, SD)	12.7 (3.3)	12.7 (3.3)	12.4 (3.3)	4.5 (I)	0.04
Severity	()		· · · ·	()	
IDS (mean, SD)	15.3 (11.8)	11.7 (9.3)	26.6 (11.8)	862.7 (1)	<0.0001
BAI (mean, SD)	8.3 (8.4)	6.2 (6.7)	15.2 (9.6)	556.5 (I)	<0.0001

Table I. Baseline Characteristics of Participants without (n = 1624) and with (n = 501) Obsessive Compulsive Symptoms (OCS).^a

^aThe presence of OCS was defined as scores on the YASR-OCS > 7. IDS = Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology²⁶; BAI = Beck Anxiety Inventory²⁵; YASR-OCS = Young Adult Self-Report Scale, obsessive-compulsive symptoms.²⁰

questionnaire.²⁵ To determine the severity of depressive symptoms the Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (IDS) was used, a 30-item self-report questionnaire.²⁶ Both scales have proven to be psychometrically valid and reliable.^{27,28}

Statistical Analyses

Participants with OCS were compared with those without OCS on sociodemographics and on symptom severity using 2-tailed chi-square statistics for categorical variables and 1-way analysis of variance statistics (ANOVA) for continuous variables. To examine the presence of OCS across diagnostic groups (healthy controls, remitted anxiety and/ or depressive disorders, current anxiety disorder, current depressive disorder, current comorbid disorder), 2-tailed chi-square statistics were used. To examine whether the presence of OCS in persons with a current disorder was associated with the severity of these disorders as assessed on the BAI and IDS, 1-way ANOVA statistics were used.

To evaluate the impact of OCS on course trajectories, we defined subsamples. To study the impact of OCS on first onset of anxiety and/or depressive disorders, we used the subsample of healthy controls (n = 469). First onset in the healthy participants was defined as the presence of a diagnosis of anxiety and/or depressive disorder during the 2-year follow-up period. To examine "relapse," we selected 909 participants who were remitted at first assessment. Relapse was defined as the presence of an anxiety and/or depressive disorder in the past 6 months. To examine "persistence," participants with a current anxiety and/or depressive disorder at first assessment were selected. Persistence was defined as the 6-month presence of an anxiety and/or depressive disorder at follow-up.

First, chi-square statistics were conducted to examine whether course was more unfavorable in those with OCS versus in those without OCS. Next, bivariate regression analyses were conducted with onset, relapse, and persistence as dependent variables. Independent variables entailed the presence of OCS, gender, age, years of education, and anxiety and depression symptom severity parameters. No other possible confounders were explored. Finally, to assess whether OCS are independently associated with onset, relapse, and persistence of anxiety and/or depressive disorders, multivariate analyses were conducted, including all variables as covariates. *P* values <0.05 were considered significant. All statistical analyses were conducted with the SPSS statistical package version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

Results

Sample Description

The sample consisted of 2125 participants with an average age of 44.6 years (SD, 13.2), who were predominantly female (66.7%) and had an average of 12.7 years of education (SD, 3.3). The mean IDS score was 15.3 (SD, 11.8), and the mean BAI score was 8.3 (SD, 8.4; see Table 1). Of the total sample, 22.1% (n = 469) were healthy controls, 42.8% (n = 909) had a remitted anxiety and/or depressive disorder, 9.6% (n = 205) had a current depressive disorder, 12.7% (n = 270) had a current anxiety disorder, and 12.8% (n = 272) had a current comorbid anxiety and depressive disorder.

Presence of OCS

Using the predefined threshold on the YASR-OCS, 76.4% of the sample (n = 1624) did not have OCS (YASR-OCS \leq 7), whereas in the remaining 23.6% (n = 501), OCS were present (YASR-OCS > 7). Participants with OCS were more likely to be male and younger and to report fewer years of education. In addition, the presence of OCS was associated with higher levels of anxiety and depressive symptoms (see Table 1).

The correlations between the YASR-OCS and IDS and between the YASR-OCS and BAI were 0.66 and 0.58, respectively.

Association between Current Presence of OCS and Presence and Severity of Anxiety and/or Depressive Disorders

The presence of OCS was associated with the presence of anxiety and depression; OCS were hardly present in healthy




Figure 2. Presence of obsessive-compulsive symptoms (OCS) across healthy controls, participants with a remitted anxiety and/ or depressive disorder, and participants with a current anxiety and/ or depressive disorder (N = 2125). Presence of OCS was defined as scores on the YASR-OCS > 7. YASR-OCS = Young Adult Self-Report Scale, obsessive-compulsive symptoms.²⁰ Overall statistics: χ^2 : 473.74(df = 4), P < 0.001.

controls, were infrequently present in remitted patients, and were predominantly present in those with current anxiety and/or depressive disorders, χ^2 : 473.74 (df 4), P < 0.001. Results are presented in Figure 2.

More specifically, among participants with a current disorder, the presence of OCS was associated with severity of the current disorder. Thus, in participants with a current depressive disorder, those with OCS had higher mean IDS scores than those without OCS (IDS 26.4 [SD, 11.0] vs 20.3 [SD, 10.8]; *F* 15.07 [df 1], P < 0.001). Likewise, in participants with an anxiety disorder, those with OCS had higher mean BAI scores as compared with those without OCS (BAI 14.5 [SD, 8.5] vs 11.8 [SD, 8.7]; *F* 5.95 [df 1], P = 0.02). Also, in participants with a comorbid anxiety and depressive disorder, both IDS and BAI scores were significantly higher in those with OCS as compared with those without OCS (IDS: 33.7 [SD, 11.5] vs 24.7 [SD, 10.7]; *F* 39.86 [df 1], P < 0.001, and BAI: 20.3 [SD, 10.0] vs 13.4 [SD, 8.4]; *F* 31.22 [df 1], P < 0.001).

Predictive Value of OCS on First Onset and 2-Year Course Trajectories of Anxiety and Depressive Disorders

First onset of anxiety and depressive disorders at 2-year follow-up occurred in 4.5% (n = 21) of the healthy controls and was associated with presence of OCS. Relapse within 2 years occurred in 19.5% (n = 177) of the participants with a remitted disorder at baseline. Relapse was significantly associated with OCS as well. The baseline disorder persisted in the 2-year follow-up period in 41.0% (n = 84) of participants with a depressive disorder, in 51.1% (n = 138) of those with anxiety disorders, and in 70.6% (n = 192) of the participants with a comorbid anxiety and depressive disorder. Persistence was significantly associated with the presence of OCS above the cut point in participants with a comorbid

anxiety and depressive disorder but not in those with a single anxiety or depressive disorder. Results are presented in Table 2.

Table 3 presents the association between OCS, sociodemographic factors, symptom severity, and the presence of an anxiety and/or depressive disorder at follow-up. In healthy controls who developed an anxiety and/or depressive disorder (n = 21), only 2 showed OCS above cut point (OR, 5.79; 95% CI, 1.15 to 29.14; see Table 3). Post hoc analysis showed that the 21 participants who developed a disorder showed significantly higher mean YASR-OCS scores at baseline than the healthy controls who did not develop a disorder (mean YASR-OCS score 3.1 vs 1.4; *F*: 18.02 [df 1], P < 0.0001), suggesting that OCS in healthy controls are associated with development of anxiety or depressive disorders in the 2-year follow-up period.

In participants with a remitted disorder, OCS were significantly associated with relapse (OR, 3.10; 95% CI, 2.13 to 4.50), but the association lost significance after adjusting for severity of anxiety and depressive symptoms.

As mentioned above, in single depressive and anxiety disorders, OCS were not significantly associated with persistence (OR, 1.38; 95% CI, 0.77 to 2.45 and OR, 1.03; 95% CI, 0.63 to 1.69, respectively), but in comorbid anxiety and depressive disorders, they were significantly associated with persistence (OR, 4.42; 95% CI, 2.54 to 7.70). This finding remained significant when adjusting for severity of anxiety and depressive symptoms (OR, 3.49; 95% CI, 1.88 to 6.07).

Discussion

No prior research has systematically examined the impact of comorbid OCS on clinical outcomes in anxiety disorders, and OCS impact has been studied in only one study in depressive disorders. Generally, our findings are in line with previous research indicating that comorbidity in anxiety and depressive disorders in general is associated with severity and chronicity^{3-5,9,29} and, more specifically, in depressive disorders, indicating that comorbid OCS is associated with poorer outcome.¹⁸ Our findings implicate that OCS comorbidity may be a valuable specifier of outcome in both anxiety and depressive disorders, in line with findings of comorbid anxiety symptoms in depression and panic attacks across the full range of psychopathology that predict worse outcome.^{14,16} For example, we found that relapse occurred in one-fifth of our sample. Given this high rate, which is consistent with relapse rates found in previous research on anxiety and depression comorbidities,^{8,30} identifying patients at high risk for relapse is of utmost importance. Especially in anxiety disorders, predictors of relapse have been scarcely identified.³¹ Our finding that OCS predicted relapse in anxiety and depression disordered patients means that standard screening for these patients with obsessive-compulsive symptomatology might be helpful to identify those at a higher risk for relapse. In this exploratory study on the relationship between OCS on one hand and anxiety and depressive disorders on the other, we found that significant OCS

Course Trajectory	No OCS (%, n)	Presence of OCS (%, n)	χ^2 (df)	Р
First onset (in healthy controls, $n = 469$)				
First onset $(n = 2 \ I)$	90.5 (19)	9.5 (2)	5.76 (1)	0.02
No first onset $(n = 448)$	98.2 (440)	1.8 (8)		
Relapse (in participants with a remitted anxiety and/or depressive disorder, $n = 909$)				
Relapse $(n = 177)$	74.6 (132)	25.4 (45)	17.42 (1)	<0.0001
No relapse $(n = 732)$	87.2 (683)	12.8 (94)		
Persistence (in participants with a depressive disorder, $n = 205$)				
Persistence $(n = 84)$	59.5 (50)	40.5 (34)	1.18 (1)	0.28
No persistence $(n = 121)$	66.9 (81)	33.1 (40)		
Persistence (in participants with an anxiety disorder, $n = 270$)		. ,		
Persistence $(n = 138)$	63.8 (88)	36.2 (50)	0.01 (1)	0.92
No persistence $(n = 132)$	64.4 (85)	35.6 (47)		
Persistence (in participants with an anxiety and depressive disorder, $n = 272$)				
Persistence $(n = 192)$	23.4 (45)	76.6 (147)	15.06 (1)	<0.0001
No persistence $(n = 80)$	57.5 (46)	42.5 (34)		

Table 2. Impact of Obsessive Compulsive Symptoms (OCS) on the 2-Year Course Trajectory of Healthy Controls and Participants with Remitted or Current Anxiety and/or Depressive Disorders.^a

^aPresence of OCS was defined as scores on the YASR-OCS > 7. YASR-OCS = Young Adult Self-Report Scale, obsessive-compulsive symptoms.²⁰

were present in a substantial proportion of participants with current anxiety and/or depressive disorders and was moreover associated with higher symptom severity of these disorders. In addition, OCS predicted first onset of anxiety and/ or depressive disorder, relapse of anxiety and/or depressive disorders, and persistence in those with a comorbid anxiety and depressive disorder. Our results suggest that OCS does not predict relapse independently of anxiety and depression severity. This can be partially explained by symptom overlap between the measurement instrument for OCS on one hand (YASR-OCS) and anxiety and depression severity on the other; that is, correlations between the YASR-OCS and questionnaires used to assess severity of depression and anxiety were, respectively, 0.66 (between YASR-OCS and IDS) and 0.58 (between YASR-OCS and BAI). One might argue that OCS, anxiety, and depression share symptom overlap and that, as such, comorbid OCS are merely markers of symptom severity, which is by and of itself a predictor of worse outcome, rather than these findings suggesting a specific OCS-based prediction effect of worse outcome. In other words, rather than considering OCD, anxiety disorders, and depression as distinct disorders, which the original definition of comorbidity requires,³² this type of comorbidity might be regarded as a correlate for severity of the index disorder. However, the finding that OCS predicted persistence in comorbid anxiety and depressive disorder independent of (and maybe even more than) severity of anxiety and depression argues against this line of reasoning and suggests that OCS also have specific unfavorable effects that do not overlap with general aspects of anxiety and depression. One drawback of the YASR-OCS, used to measure OCS, is that this instrument, although accurate in its sensitivity to detect OCS both in children and in adults,^{20,21} has little specificity with respect to OC item content. As such, a more specific OC item containing self-report instruments, such as the Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory-Revised,³³ might suffer less from item overlap and be more useful for investigating the specificity of the unfavorable effect of OCS on outcome in anxiety and depressive disorders. In all, these findings of clear associations between OCS, and new onset, relapse, and (in comorbid cases) persistence in anxiety and depressive disorders suggest a role for OCS both as a marker of severity and as a specific OCS effect. With respect to a specific OCSrelated effect, whether this is the result of a lack of flexibility to change and—as a consequence—decreased ability to profit from therapy in OCD associated with specific executive and neurobiological dysfunctions has not been addressed in this project.³² However, future studies on this topic, coupled with intervention strategies that specifically target OC symptoms in anxiety and depressive disordered patients at an early stage of disease development, can direct new treatment avenues.

Several strengths and limitations should be taken into account. Strengths include the large sample size, the wellimplemented design of the NESDA study, and the longitudinal design. Three limitations should be acknowledged as well.

First, as OCS were first assessed at the second wave of NESDA, we had no other option than to use this as our baseline measurement. This may have influenced results, as attrition and exclusion rates were somewhat selective.

Second, we assessed OCS with the YASR-OCS and used a cutoff score >7 to define the presence of clinically significant OCS. Because the diagnosis of OCD has not been assessed in NESDA, we cannot be certain whether participants scoring above the threshold on the YASR-OCS had in fact subthreshold symptoms or fulfilled criteria of obsessivecompulsive disorder. However, the cutoff score of the YASR-OCS used in the present study provides a reasonable sensitivity of 82.4% to predict presence of OCD¹⁹ in the current sample. On the other hand, given the specificity of

lers	
isord	
Ö	
ssive	
spre	
٦	
and/oi	
ty ar	
nxie	
٥f A	
ice o	
ister	
Pers	
פ	
se, ar	
elap	
ير بړ	
Onset	
U H	
ŝ	
r Firs	
S) for Firs	
OCS) for Firs	
ms (O	
ymptoms (O	
ymptoms (O	
lsive Symptoms (O	
sive Compulsive Symptoms (O	
sive Compulsive Symptoms (O	
ompulsive Symptoms (O	
sive Compulsive Symptoms (O	
sive Compulsive Symptoms (O	
lue of Obsessive Compulsive Symptoms (O	
lue of Obsessive Compulsive Symptoms (O	
lue of Obsessive Compulsive Symptoms (O	
lue of Obsessive Compulsive Symptoms (O	
lue of Obsessive Compulsive Symptoms (O	

s.

	First Onset (in Healthy Controls, <i>n</i> = 469)	Relapse (in Remitted Anxiety and/or Depressive Disorders, $n = 909$)	Remitted or Depressive n = 909)	Persistence (in Depressive Disorder, $n = 205$)	Persistence (in Anxiety Disorder, $n = 270$)	Persistence (in Comorbid Anxiety and Depressive Disorder, $n = 272$)	n Comorbid Depressive n = 272)
	Model 1, ^b OR (95% CI)	Model I, OR (95% CI)	Model 2, OR (95% CI)	Model I, OR (95% CI)	Model I, OR (95% CI)	Model I, OR (95% CI)	Model 2, OR (95% CI)
ocs							
Presence of OCS	5.79 (1.15-29.14)	2.31 (1.55-3.46)	I.42 (0.90-2.23)	l .38 (0.77-2.45)	I.03 (0.63-I.69)	4.42 (2.54-7.70)	3.49 (1.88-6.07)
symptom severity IDS ^c	6.03 (2.92-12.43)	2.22 (1.77-2.28)	2.02 (1.46-2.79)	1.98 (1.43-2.74)	I.33 (0.99-I.89)	1.73 (1.30-2.29)	1.05 (0.94-1.95)
BAI ^c	5.19 (2.64-10.21)	1.75 (1.42-2.16)	1.06 (0.78-1.43)	1.51 (1.23-2.04)	1.54 (1.19-2.00)	1.71 (1.32-2.22)	1.08 (0.72-1.63)
Sociodemographics							
Age	0.84 (0.57-1.24)	1.07 (0.91-1.26)	1.05 (0.88-1.25)	1.05 (0.87-1.45)	0.97 (0.75-1.23)	1.09 (0.82-1.43)	0.90 (0.68-1.20)
Female gender	2.88 (0.95-8.7)	1.21 (0.85-1.73)	1.32 (0.90-1.92)	0.89 (0.48-1.64)	1.06 (0.63-1.81)	0.74 (0.42-1.30)	1.08 (0.79-1.49)
Education ^c	0.80 (0.51-1.24)	0.95 (0.80-1.12)	1.05 (0.88-1.26)	0.95 (0.72-1.29)	0.93 (0.73-1.18)	0.84 (0.85-1.08)	0.97 (0.52-1.80)
^a Presence of OCS was defined as Young Adult Self-Report Scale, c ^b No multivariate analyses were c ^c OR presented per SD increase.	lefined as scores on the YASR- t Scale, obsessive-compulsive s es were conducted because of increase.	OCS > 7. OR = odds r: ymptoms. ²⁰ Model 1: t those who developed	atio; CI = confidence bivariate logistic regre an anxiety and/or dep	Presence of OCS was defined as scores on the YASR-OCS > 7. OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; IDS = Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology ²⁶ ; BAI = Beck Anxiety Inventory ²⁵ ; YASR-OCS = Young Adult Self-Report Scale, obsessive-compulsive symptoms. ²⁰ Model 1: bivariate logistic regression analyses; model 2: multivariate logistic regressions analyses, adjusted for all covariates in model 1. ^N On multivariate analyses were conducted because of those who developed an anxiety and/or depressive disorder (<i>n</i> = 21); only 2 participants had OCS.	sssive Symptomatology ²⁶ ; BAI = ate logistic regressions analyses participants had OCS.	 Beck Anxiety Inventc , adjusted for all covar 	iry ²⁵ ; YASR-OCS = iates in model 1.

69.7%, the YASR-OCS has a relatively high chance of falsepositive findings.¹⁹ Because persons with a primary diagnosis of OCD were not included in NESDA, we assume that the majority of participants scoring above the threshold had either subthreshold symptoms or had OCD but regarded this as a secondary problem. In all, it seems justified to conclude that a substantial level of OCS has a substantial predictive value. When generalizing these results to populations with comorbid OCD, it is likely that the negative effect of comorbid OCD is even larger than the results presented here.

Third, we used the term *persistence* to describe an unfavorable course trajectory, defined as the presence of a disorder at 2-year follow-up. This definition has not taken into account the in-between trajectory and—might be—remission proportions in between and therefore should be regarded as a rather rough measure of persistence.

Finally, because of limited numbers of participants with a first onset of an anxiety or depressive disorder, conclusions regarding first onset should be regarded preliminary.

Clinical Implications and Conclusion

OCS frequently co-occur in populations with anxiety and depressive disorders as a primary diagnosis. Although present, OCS might be unnoticed or unreported by patients because the focus of attention is on the primary diagnoses. However, as OCS seem to unfavorably influence course, it seems important to treat these symptoms from the early treatment stages on. What are adequate treatments of comorbid OCS? No pharmacological trials, to our knowledge, have specifically addressed this question. On the contrary, most studies exclude patients with OCS comorbidity. Thus, no evidence-based statements for the pharmacological treatment of comorbidity can be made at this time. There is growing, but contradicting, research on psychological treatment of comorbidity. Some research suggests that by treating the primary diagnosis, comorbid symptoms also decline.³⁴⁻³⁶ Although this might be the case, the presence of residual symptoms (not a full recovery of the comorbid disorder) is an important risk factor for relapse of the comorbid disorder.³⁷ Other research shows that simultaneous application of more than one disorder-specific cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) does not seem to enhance treatment outcome.³⁸ Current research focusses on a new form of CBT: "transdiagnostic treatment." This form of CBT targets more than one diagnosis by, for example, focusing on regulating emotions.39-42

Apart from the discussion of adequate treatment of comorbid OCS, OCS may thus serve as a specifier in clinical practice distinguishing between patients with mild disorders and favorable course trajectories and patients with severe disorders and unfavorable course trajectories. Since patients do not report these symptoms by themselves, the presence of OCS might be easily missed in clinical practice.⁴³ Clinicians should therefore proactively ask whether OCS are present.

Administering a standard self-report questionnaire such as the YASR-OCS might help clinicians in their assessment.

To conclude, knowledge from the present study may add to models for profiling and staging of anxiety and depressive disorders that are currently being developed order to improve clinical prediction and to direct treatment.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁷

Acknowledgment

The infrastructure for the NESDA study is supported by participating universities and mental health care organizations (VU University Medical Center, GGZinGeest, Arkin, Leiden University Medical Center, GGZ Rivierduinen, University Medical Center Groningen, Lentis, GGZ Friesland, GGZ Drenthe, IQ Healthcare, Netherlands Institute for Health Services Research [NIVEL], and Netherlands Institute of Mental Health and Addiction [Trimbos]).

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

- Rhebergen D, Batelaan NM, de Graaf R, et al. The 7-year course of depression and anxiety in the general population. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2011;123(4):297-306.
- Angst J, Vollrath M. The natural history of anxiety disorders. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 1991;84(5):446-452.
- Merikangas KE, Zhang H, Avenevoli S, et al. Longitudinal trajectories of depression and anxiety in a prospective community study. The Zurich Cohort Study. Arch Gen Psych. 2003; 60(10):993-1000.
- Rush AJ, Zimmerman M, Wisniewski SR, et al. Comorbid psychiatric disorders in depressed outpatients: demographic and clinical features. J Affect Disord. 2005;87(1):43-55.
- Fichter MM, Quadflieg N, Fischer UC, et al. Twenty-five-year course and outcome in anxiety and depression in the Upper Bavarian Longitudinal Community Study. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2010;122(1):75-85.
- Kessler RC, Frank RG. The impact of psychiatric disorders on work loss days. Psychol Med. 1997;27(4):861-873.
- Hofmeijer-Sevink MK, Batelaan N, van Megen HJ, et al. Clinical relevance of comorbidity in anxiety disorders: a report from the Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety (NESDA). J Affect Disord. 2012;137(1-3):106-112.
- Bruce SE, Yonkers KA, Otto MW, et al. Influence of psychiatric comorbidity on recovery and recurrence in generalized anxiety disorder, social phobia, and panic disorder: a 12-year prospective study. Am J Psychiatry. 2005;162(6):1179-1187.
- Hofmeijer-Sevink MK, van Oppen P, van Megen HJ, et al. Clinical relevance of comorbidity in obsessive compulsive disorder: the Netherlands OCD Association study. J Affect Disord. 2013;150(3):847-854.

- Jakubovski E, Diniz JB, Valerio C, et al. Clinical predictors of long-term outcome in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Depress Anxiety. 2012;30(8):1-10.
- Tükel R, Polat A, Özdemir Ö, et al. Comorbid conditions in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Compr Psychiatry. 2002; 43(3):204-209.
- Masellis M, Rector NA, Richter MA. Quality of life in OCD: differential impact of obsessions, compulsions, and depression comorbidity. Can J Psychiatry 2003;48(2):72-77.
- 13. Batelaan NM, Smit F, Graaf R de, et al. Identifying target groups for the prevention of anxiety disorders: a population-based cohort study. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2010;122(1):56-65.
- Batelaan NM, Rhebergen D, de Graaf R, et al. Panic attacks as a dimension of psychopathology: evidence for associations with onset and course of mental disorders and level of functioning. J Clin Psychiatry. 2012;73(9):1195-1202.
- Craske MG, Kircanski K, Epstein A, et al. Panic disorder: a review of DSM-IV panic disorder and proposals for DSM-V. Depress Anxiety. 2010;27(2):93-112.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 5th ed. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing; 2013.
- Fullana MA, Mataix-Cols D, Caspi A, et al. Obsessions and compulsions in the community: prevalence, interference, helpseeking, developmental stability and co-occurring psychiatric conditions. J Am Psych. 2009;166(3):329-336.
- Baer L, Trivedi MH, Huz I, et al. Prevalence and impact of obsessive-compulsive symptoms in depression: a STAR*D report. J Clin Psychiatry. 2015;76(12):1668-1674.
- Penninx BW, Beekman AT, Smit JH, et al. The Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety (NESDA): rationale, objectives and methods. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. 2008;17(3): 121-140.
- Achenbach TM.Manual for the Young Adult Self Report and Young Adult Behavior Checklist. Burlington (VT): Department of Psychiatry, University of Vermont; 1997.
- Grootheest van DS, Cath DC, Beekman AT, et al. Genetic and environmental influences on obsessive-compulsive symptoms in adults: a population-based twin-family study. Psychol Med. 2007;37(11):1635-1644.
- Andrews G, Peters L. The psychometric properties of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 1998;33(2):80-88.
- Wittchen HU. Reliability and validity studies of the WHO— Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI): a critical review. J Psychiatr Res. 1994;28(1):57-84.
- Scholten WD, Batelaan NM, Penninx BW, et al. Diagnostic instability of recurrence and the impact on recurrence rates in depressive and anxiety disorders. J Affect Disord. 2016;195: 185-190.
- Beck AT, Epstein N, Brown G, et al. An inventory for measuring clinical anxiety: psychometric properties. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1988;56(6):893-897.
- Rush AJ, Gullion CM, Basco MR, et al. The Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (IDS): psychometric properties. Psycholog Med. 1996;26(3):477-486.

- 27. Fydrich T, Dowdall D, Chambless DL. Reliability and validity of the Beck Anxiety Inventory. J Anxiety Disord. 1992;6: 55-61.
- Trivedi MH, Rush AJ, Ibrahim HM, et al. The Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology, Clinician Rating (IDS-C) and Self-Report (IDS-SR), and the Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology, Clinician Rating (QIDS-C) and Self-Report (QIDS-SR) in public sector patients with mood disorders: a psychometric evaluation. Psychol Med. 2004; 34(1):73-82.
- Denys D, Tenney N, van Megen HJ, et al. Axis I and II comorbidity in a large sample of patients with obsessive compulsive disorder. J Affect Disord. 2004;80(2-3):155-162.
- Hardeveld F, Spijker J, De Graaf R, et al. Prevalence and predictors of recurrence of major depressive disorder in the adult population. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2010;122(3):184-191.
- Scholten WD, Batelaan NM, van Balkom AJ, et al. Recurrence of anxiety disorders and its predictors. J Affect Disord. 2013; 147(1-3):180-185.
- Feinstein AR. The pre-therapeutic classification of co-morbidity in chronic diseases. J Chronic Dis. 1970;23(8):455-468.
- Foa EB, Huppert JD, Leiberg S, et al. The Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory: development and validation of a short version. Psychol Assess. 2002;14(4):485-496.
- Rector NA, Cassin SE, Richter MA. Psychological treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder in patients with major depression: a pilot randomized controlled trial. Can J Psychiatry. 2009;54(12):846-851.
- Allen LB, White KS, David H, et al. Cognitive-behavior therapy (CBT) for panic disorder: relationship of anxiety and depression comorbidity with treatment outcome. J Psychopathol Behav Assess. 2010;32(2):185-192.
- Noyes R. Comorbidity in generalized anxiety disorder. Psychiatr Clin North Am. 2001;24(1):41-55.

93

- Brown TA, Antony MM, Barlow DH. Diagnostic comorbidity in panic disorder: effect on treatment outcome and course of comorbid diagnoses following treatment. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1995;63(3):408-418.
- Craske MG, Farchione TJ, Allen LB, et al. Cognitive behavioral therapy for panic disorder and comorbidity: more of the same or less of more? Behav Res Ther. 2007;45(6):1095-1109.
- 39. Barlow DH, Allen LB, Choate ML. Toward a unified treatment for emotional disorders. Behav Ther. 2004;35:205-230.
- Erickson DH. Group cognitive behavioural therapy for heterogeneous anxiety disorders. Cogn Behav Ther. 2003; 32(4):179-186.
- Erickson DH, Janeck AS, Tallman K. A cognitive-behavioral group for patients with various anxiety disorders. Psychiatr Serv. 2007;58(9):1205-1211.
- Norton PJ. An open trial of a transdiagnostic cognitivebehavioral group therapy for anxiety disorder. Behav Ther. 2008;39(3):242-250.
- Abramovitch A, Dar R, Schweiger A, et al. Neuropsychological impairments and their association with obsessive-compulsive symptom severity in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2011;26(4):364-376.
- García-Soriano G, Rufer M, Delsignore A, et al. Factors associated with non-treatment or delayed treatment seeking in OCD sufferers: a review of the literature. Psychiatry Res. 2014; 220(1-2):1-10.
- Fava GA, Rafanelli C, Tossani E, et al. Agoraphobia is a disease: a tribute to Sir Martin Roth. Psychother Psychosom. 2008;77(3):133-138.
- Balkom van AJ, Oosterbaan DB, Batelaan N, et al. The characterization of anxiety disorders: staging and profiling based on common sense. Tijdschr Psychiatr. 2012;11:935-940.
- Fava GA, Tossani E. Prodromal stage of major depression. Early Interv Psychiatry. 2007;1(1):9-18.