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During the last decade of the 20th century, there has been an increased recognition by the World Health Organization of the importance of primary care in improving the health of populations, with the medical literature providing supporting evidence. Under the influence of this growing awareness, many developed and developing countries have bolstered the role of primary care in the organization and structure of their health care systems.1-3 Numerous universities in these countries have increased the primary care focus and content of their educational programs to better address the primary care needs of the populations their graduates will serve. This increasing interest in the provision of primary health care clinical service and education, however, has not been accompanied by investment in research efforts.

Primary care research is the missing link in the development of high-quality, evidence-based health care for populations. Awareness of the missed potential to benefit the health of the world’s population led to the World Organization of Family Doctors (Wonca), supported by a number of other agencies (see sponsors list), to underwrite an invitational conference on the future of primary care research. Under the title Improving Health Globally: The Necessity of Family Medicine Research, 74 primary care experts from 34 countries met between March 8 and 11, 2003, at Queens University in Kingston, Ontario, Canada. The participants were asked to develop recommendations on how to better realize the potential of family medicine-family/general practice (from here on family medicine) research. Details of the organization and process of the conference are outlined elsewhere.4 The conclusions and recommendations of the conference are presented in this supplement. The focus of this conference was on research in family medicine.

The conference spent time defining the domain of family medicine as a discipline and thus the domain of family medicine research, as family physicians/general practitioners (from here on FPs) work in close contact with all medical specialties. A vigorous discussion led to the conclusion that family medicine is a well-defined discipline in which research in the context of primary care is essential to guide FPs in appropriate clinical decision making. Barbara Starfield’s work linking the strength of the primary care sector in many countries with improved health status of the population strengthened the resolve of the group of the need for family medicine research.5

Emerging from this discussion was the need for the discipline to be more proactive in clearly defining the discipline of family medicine and assisting our colleagues, policy makers, educators, and funding agencies to understand why research in the primary care context is essential for improving any nation’s health. These statements are supported by research results that have emerged from studies on common problems and their optimum management. Knowledge gained from this research can be applied in any country, resulting in improved quality of health care and often reducing use of expensive therapy.6-10 Often the more common the problem, the less it is researched, creat-

Evidence emerged that the greatest potential for improving population health can be found in the application of simple research principles in the most underdeveloped and underresourced health care systems. Being more proactive about these facts should make the discipline more attractive as a career choice for medical students who are unaware of the potential power and intellectual demands of research at the primary care level.

During the conference views from those outside the family medicine research community were sought. Richard Horton challenged the conference participants to be less introspective and to begin to challenge the status quo and gain acceptance of our convictions about the value of our research.

A brainstorming session on how to build research capacity identified the need for our world organization, Wonca, to assume the role of providing an information clearinghouse so ideas could be shared internationally. Some topics in which sharing information was considered of particular value included capacity building, models of mentoring, research methods for clinical research, knowledge transfer, and problem solving for undifferentiated clinical problems. International information sharing would allow a free exchange of ideas and provide support for the adaptation of new research findings between countries with different health care systems.

The idea of extending the concept of mentoring from individuals in or between institutions to international mentoring between institutions and even national organizations captured the imagination of the participants. Many other ideas about strategies for research capacity building are included in the supplement of proceedings. Practice-based research networks, which are growing rapidly around the world, can function as a research capacity-building strategy for community-based physicians, as well as provide and a way of effectively disseminating research results.

During the conference there was a sense that the developing countries could be marginalized by most of the ideas emerging from the discussions. On further reflection during the last day of the meeting, however, many of the ideas, especially about capacity building, were found relevant and useful for underdeveloped jurisdictions. The concept of a clearinghouse hosted by Wonca and examples of mentoring between developed and underdeveloped partners were found to be relevant for developing countries. Examples of how the application of simple epidemiological or research principles was feasible in "chaotic and overwhelmed" underdeveloped health care systems were inspiring. The concept of community-oriented primary care has provided dramatic improvements in population health status in underserved populations.

The conference participants departed, inspired by the richness of ideas that emerged from a unique international blending of minds, further convinced of the pressing need to strengthen research in family medicine in all the countries of the world. Improving the health status of the world's population will increase equity by assisting every human being to realize his or her full potential. Implementation of the 9 recommendations supported by the conference participants will require showing our physician colleagues and the world's governments the benefits of investing in family medicine research. Success in this strategy can improve the health of the world. Failure to increase investment will be to lose a great opportunity to make the world a better place for all inhabitants.

The meeting was sponsored by Wonca, Health Canada, the Canadian International Development Agency, Queens University and the Department of Family Medicine Center for Studies in Primary Care, the Department of Family Medicine at University Medical Center Nijmegen, the Dutch College of General Practitioners, the American Academy of Family Physicians, the College of Family Physicians Canada, the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners, and the North American Primary Care Research Group.

To read or post commentaries in response to this article, see it online at http://www.annfammed.org/cgi/content/full/2/suppl_2/S2.
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