Comparative analysis of proteins with a mucus-binding domain found exclusively in lactic acid bacteria
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INTRODUCTION

The human gastrointestinal tract is home to at least 400 different bacterial species (Eckburg et al., 2005; Servin, 2004). A protective layer of mucus, consisting of a complex mixture of large, highly glycosylated proteins (mucins) (Dekker et al., 2002) and glycolipids, covers the epithelial cells of the intestine and offers an attachment site for the bacteria colonizing the intestine. These bacteria play an important role in maintaining normal gut functionality and in the resistance of the host against pathogenic microorganisms (Hooper & Gordon, 2001), and some may use mucins as their major carbon and energy source (Aryanta et al., 1991; Bayliss & Houston, 1984; Sonnenburg et al., 2005). Certain strains of LAB may promote health in man and animals (Reid et al., 2003), and many have been shown to adhere to intestinal mucus (Servin, 2004). In most cases, this adhesion has been shown to be mediated by proteins (Coconnier et al., 1992; Conway & Kjelleberg, 1989; Roos & Jonsson, 2002).

An extracellular mucus-binding protein of Lactobacillus reuteri 1063 was identified by Roos & Jonsson (2002). This protein contains two different types of repeats of approximately 200 aa, present in eight and six copies, shown to be responsible for the adherence to intestinal mucus. More recently, Pretzer et al. (2005) have identified a protein of Lactobacillus plantarum WCFS1 that contains a domain similar to the mucus-binding (MUB) domains identified by Roos & Jonsson (2002) and is involved in the adherence to mannose, which is a constituent of mucin glycosylation moieties. This domain is partly similar to the MucBP domain from the Pfam database (Bateman et al., 2004), but is significantly different in size, sequence and phylogenetic distribution.

The mucus-binding proteins of both Lb. plantarum and Lb. reuteri have characteristics typical of cell-surface proteins of Gram-positive bacteria: an N-terminal signal peptide...
targeting the protein for secretion and a C-terminal sortase recognition site targeting the protein for covalent attachment to the peptidoglycan layer at the outside of the bacterial cell (Ton-That et al., 2004).

The modification and recombination of existing functional modules plays an important role in evolution of protein function (Doolittle & Bork, 1993). Extracellular proteins are often large proteins consisting of many of these modules or domains (Bork, 1991). The identification and characterization of these domains can play an important role in elucidating the function of extracellular proteins. We have searched bacterial genome sequences and the UniProt protein database for potential mucus-binding proteins based on the sequence of the MUB domains of Lb. reuteri and Lb. plantarum. We discuss the properties and variability of the MUB domain and the putative role of the domain as a functional unit.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Defining the boundaries of the MUB domain

The putative MUB domain of the protein lp_1229 of Lb. plantarum WCFS1 consists of approximately 100 aa, while the MUB domain of protein Mub of Lb. reuteri is almost 200 residues in length (Kleerebezem et al., 2003; Pretzer et al., 2005; Roos & Jonsson, 2002). This difference in size implies a discrepancy in the definition of the domain boundaries in the Lb. plantarum or Lb. reuteri mucus-binding proteins. To create domains of a uniform size would require either merging of every second repeat of the Lb. plantarum mucus-binding protein with its neighbour or splitting the Lb. reuteri domain in two. However, our sequence analysis suggests that the mucus-binding building blocks of the mucus-binding proteins do in fact vary in size. A multiple sequence alignment of the MUB domains of Lb. reuteri shows that they are 90% identical in sequence, while the first 100 residues of each domain share less than 15% sequence identity with the second half of the domain (data not shown). This suggests that the copies of the Lb. reuteri domain have evolved from one large MUB domain, in turn suggesting that the large domain functions as a biological unit. A possible explanation for this difference in size will be discussed below.

This variability in size makes it difficult to determine the boundaries of MUB domains, a problem often encountered in defining protein domains (Ekman et al., 2005). HMM searches with models based on the MUB domains of different proteins suggest contradicting boundaries (see below). This problem is further complicated by the presence of what seem to be partial MUB domains flanking complete MUB domains in the same protein. Ultimately, we were able to define the boundaries of the MUB domain by comparing different sets of sequences of highly similar proteins that differed in their number of MUB domains: (i) protein L39650 from Lc. lactis IL1403 and its orthologue RLCRO1214 from Lc. lactis SK11, (ii) two highly similar proteins RLBR01191 and RLBR01264 from Lb. brevis, and (iii) orthologues of lp_1229 from different Lb. plantarum strains (G. Pretzer and others, unpublished data).

Next, we searched the protein databases for MUB domains using domain boundaries derived from multiple sequence alignments of orthologous and paralogous proteins as described above. We identified a total of 48 proteins containing at least one MUB domain in nine different species. Most were lactobacilli that are known inhabitants of the
gastrointestinal tract, while others were species commonly used in food fermentations (Altermann et al., 2005; Aryanta et al., 1991; Bolotin et al., 2001; Kleerebezem et al., 2003; Pridmore et al., 2004; Zoetendal et al., 2002). A schematic overview of the 30 proteins with three or more MUB domains is given in Fig. 1. Table 1 lists the species in which proteins containing one or more MUB domains were identified, while a complete list of putative mucus-binding proteins and their predicted features is given in supplementary Table B (available with the online version of this paper). A multiple sequence alignment of selected MUB domains and a predicted secondary structure of the domain can be found in the supplementary Figs A and B (available with the online version of this paper). An HMM based on
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**Fig. 1.** Domain architecture of proteins with three or more MUB domains. An asterisk indicates a species for which the complete genome sequence is available. The different domains and other sequence features are explained in detail in the text.
Table 1. Species containing at least one protein with one or more MUB domain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>No. of MUB-containing proteins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus gasseri ATCC 33323</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus acidophilus NCFM</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus johnsonii NCC533</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus plantarum WCFS1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus reuteri 1063*</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus brevis ATCC 367</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus fermentum BR11*</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediococcus pentosaceus ATCC 25745</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactococcus lactis IL1403</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactococcus lactis SK11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sequences from UniProt (no genome sequence available).

The difference in size between MUB and MucBP can in part be explained by the distinct N-terminal region of the MUB domain that we have identified; this part is present in 43 of the 48 proteins containing the MUB domain. Fig. 2 shows a multiple alignment of the N terminus of a subset of domains. This part of the domain does not merely act as a spacer or a flexible region since it has numerous conserved residues and is predicted to contain distinct secondary structure elements. As shown in the alignment, in some cases the N-terminal part of the MUB domain is separated from the rest of the domain by a PxxP region (discussed in more detail below). The N-terminal part of the MUB domain is never found without the C-terminal part, showing that it is in fact part of the MUB domain and not functioning as a separate domain.

Putative mucus-binding proteins and genome context

The physical proximity of genes with linked functions can offer an organism a selective advantage, making such gene clusters less prone to break-up during evolution than others. Therefore, conservation of gene context can be an indicator of linked function and interaction of encoded proteins (Dandekar et al., 1998; Marcotte et al., 1999). Gene clusters encoding MUB proteins were found to be only conserved over relatively short evolutionary distances: conserved clusters were only detected in Lactobacillus johnsonii, Lactobacillus gasseri and Lactobacillus acidophilus, three species which are closely related (Altermann et al., 2005; Pridmore et al., 2004). This lack of conservation over larger phylogenetic distances is in good agreement with the observation that even bacteria of the same genus, such as Lb. plantarum and Lb. johnsonii, have their own set of extracellular proteins (Boekhorst et al., 2004). Although the exact context of genes encoding MUB-domain proteins is not conserved, these proteins are often encoded in gene clusters together with other putative extracellular proteins (based on the presence of a signal peptide), suggesting that these extracellular proteins have a functional relation. In several cases multiple proteins containing the MUB domain are encoded in a single gene cluster; Fig. 3 shows an example of a cluster of MUB-containing proteins in Lb. acidophilus and a different conserved cluster found in both Lb. gasseri and Lb. johnsonii.
MUB sequence conservation and binding specificity

In most cases, the MUB domains of a single protein are more similar to each other than to the MUB domains in other proteins of the same species. This suggests that the introduction of multiple copies of the domain in a single protein occurred after speciation. In a few proteins, two different versions of the MUB domain can be distinguished; experiments by Roos & Jonsson (2002) show that the different MUB domain types can have different adhesion targets, suggesting a broadening of the range of mucus components such a protein can adhere to. In situations where all the copies of the MUB domains in a protein are highly similar, the role of a larger number of domains could be an increased affinity to mucins.

The high variability in the number of MUB domains in related proteins, even in orthologous proteins from closely related species, exemplifies the relative ease with which the domain is duplicated or deleted in evolution. As an example, Fig. 4 displays two orthologous proteins from *Lb. plantarum* and *Lb. brevis*, which have 6 and 3 homologous MUB domains, respectively. A possible evolutionary scenario that would explain the tree is an ancestral protein containing three copies of the MUB domain followed by a single duplication of the first MUB domain and two successive duplications of the second MUB domain in the *Lb. plantarum* version of the ancestral protein. The relatively frequent deletion and duplication of the MUB domain might be explained by repetitive DNA sequence in the boundaries of the domain. However, analysis of the boundaries of the domain did not yield any repetitive structures such as inverted repeats or tandem repeats.

Cell wall anchors and signal peptides

Of the 30 proteins containing three or more copies of the MUB domain, 19 are predicted to contain a signal peptide (Fig. 1). A signal peptide is an N-terminal signal sequence that targets a protein to the bacterial cell wall (von Heijne, 1989). For 5 of the residual 11 proteins, originally predicted not to contain a signal peptide, we were able to identify a signal peptide by selecting an alternative translation start site or by the introduction of a single frameshift (see supplementary Table B, available with the online version of this paper). Frameshifts could either be a sequencing artefact or a true frameshift; the latter suggests the gene containing the frameshift does not encode a functional protein. In addition to a signal peptide, most of the proteins with multiple MUB domains contain a C-terminal anchoring motif, called LPxTG, that is recognized by a family of enzymes called sortases for covalent attachment to the peptidoglycan of the bacterial cell wall (Navarre & Schneewind, 1999). The presence or absence of signal peptides and LPxTG-like motifs is summarized in supplementary Table A (available with the online version of this paper).

The MUB-domain-containing proteins without an LPxTG anchor or a signal peptide are often encoded next to proteins
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Fig. 2. Multiple alignment of the N-terminal part of selected MUB domains. Blue arrows indicate predicted beta strands; the red cylinder indicates a predicted \( \alpha \)-helix. The dashed vertical line indicates the end of the N-terminal part of the domain. The alignment was visualized with CLUSTALX using the default colouring scheme (Thompson et al., 1997).
containing the MUB domain, a signal peptide and an LPxTG-like motif. They could either be non-functional remnants of extracellular proteins or function at the bacterial cell wall through some other mechanism, e.g. interaction with extracellular proteins that do contain a membrane anchor.

### PxxP regions

Many MUB proteins contain proline-rich amino acid stretches, designated PxxP regions. In these regions, proline residues are separated by two (in rare cases 1 or 3) non-proline residues. Sequences of at least 13 residues in length...
containing at least five P residues were considered PxxP regions. About half of the putative mucus-binding proteins we identified contained at least one PxxP region, always inserted in or flanking an MUB domain. In some of the cases where such a region is found inside an MUB domain, only a subset of the MUB domains of a specific protein contain a PxxP region. Combined with the observation that the MUB domains of such a protein are often more similar to each other than to any other MUB domain, this suggests that the insertion or deletion of PxxP regions are quite common events.

It has been suggested that proline residues allow a polypeptide chain to make sharp bends or twists (Fischetti, 2000). In this scenario, the function of the PxxP regions could be to generate flexibility of the protein chain. The presence of a PxxP region between the C-terminal membrane anchor and the last MUB domain of many of the MUB proteins supports this putative function of the PxxP regions (Fig. 1).

In eukaryotes, proline-rich motifs are known to be involved in binding to so-called SH3 domains (described by Pfam entries SH3_1 and SH3_2). In these interactions, the proline-rich sequence forms a polyproline type II helical conformation that fits into the hydrophobic groove of the SH3 domain (Agrawal & Kishan, 2002). The proline-rich motifs bound by the SH3 domain are approximately 13 residues long; the difference in size compared to the PxxP regions found in the MUB proteins, which in some cases reach lengths of over 50 residues, suggests that the bacterial regions could form similar secondary structure elements, but might have a different function.

**Identification of other domains of MUB proteins**

To gain further insight into the presence and putative function of other domains of mucus-binding proteins, generally preceding the MUB domains, all proteins containing one or more MUB domain were scanned with HMMs from the Pfam, Superfam and SMART databases. In many cases we identified sequences in the N-terminal region of these proteins which are similar to either binding domains or enzymic domains found in other extracellular proteins, such as glucanase and pectin lyase-like domains (supplementary Table B, available with the online version of this paper). However, in most cases these regions scored just below the threshold suggested for the various HMMs, indicating that these potential domains have similar, but not identical, enzymic functions. The similarity to glucanase and pectin lyase-like domains suggests that these putative domains may be involved in degradation of complex polysaccharides or mucus-associated glycosylation moieties.

In addition to domains with similarity to domains with a known function or structure, we identified a previously undescribed domain of approximately 70 aa in size. This domain, which we call MUB-associated domain (Mubad), is present in six of the proteins containing the MUB domain and the number of Mubad domains per protein varies between 1 and 18 (Fig. 1). A multiple sequence alignment of Mubad domains (supplementary Fig. C, available with the online version of this paper) shows that this domain is not highly conserved. However, the presence of Mubad domains only in proteins that contain MUB domains suggests that the association is significant. Comparison of an HMM based on a multiple alignment of the Mubad domain to models from the Pfam and Superfam databases did not detect any known domains homologous to the Mubad domain. Although the function of this Mubad domain remains unclear, it again illustrates the complex domain architecture of the putative mucus-binding proteins.

**Concluding remarks**

The MUB domain is very variable in size and sequence, making it difficult to determine precise domain boundaries. The use of orthologous proteins with different numbers of MUB domains allowed us to identify putative functional units. The high variability in the number of MUB domains in putative mucus-binding proteins suggests that the MUB domain is often duplicated or deleted in evolution. In contrast to the MucBP domain from the Pfam database, the MUB domain appears to be only present in LAB, with the highest abundance in lactobacilli of the gastrointestinal tract, strongly suggesting that the MUB domain is a functional unit specific to LAB that could fulfil an important function in host–microbe interactions.

The genomes sequenced from intestinal bacteria are presently biased towards LAB, due to the relevance of LAB to food and health. In the future, the ever-increasing number of available genome sequences might lead to the identification of MUB-domain-containing proteins in other species and other types of mucus-binding domains.
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