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A continuous 4000-year lake-level record of Owens Lake, south-central Sierra Nevada, California, USA

Steven N. Bacon*, Nicholas Lancaster, Scott Stine, Edward J. Rhodes, Grace A. McCarley Holder

Abstract

Reconstruction of lake-level fluctuations from landform and outcrop evidence typically involves characterizing periods with relative high stands. We developed a new approach to provide water-level estimates in the absence of shoreline evidence for Owens Lake in eastern California by integrating landform, outcrop, and existing lake-core data with wind-wave and sediment entrainment modeling of lake-core sedimentology. We also refined the late Holocene lake-level history of Owens Lake by dating four previously undated shoreline features above the water level (1096.4 m) in AD 1872. The new ages coincide with wetter and cooler climate during the Neoglacial (~3.6 ka), Medieval Pluvial (~0.8 ka), and Little Ice Age (~0.35 ka). Dates from stumps below 1096 m also indicate two periods of low stands at ~ 0.89 and 0.67 ka during the Medieval Climatic Anomaly. The timing of modeled water levels associated with 22 mud and sand units in lake cores agree well with shoreline records of Owens Lake and nearby Mono Lake, as well as with proxy evidence for relatively wet and dry periods from tree-ring and glacial records within the watershed. Our integrated analysis provides a continuous 4000-yr lake-level record showing the timing, duration, and magnitude of hydroclimate variability along the south-central Sierra Nevada.
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INTRODUCTION

The delineation and dating of shoreline features typically provides information on the areal extent and age of lakes at their relative high stands during oscillating lake-level cycles. Most studies focused on reconstructing lake levels are either limited to periods with relative high stands because of a lack of preserved landforms and outcrop evidence for lower water levels (e.g., Adams, 2007; Bartov et al., 2007) or rely on inferences from stratigraphy exposed in lower parts of lake basins without shoreline constraints (e.g., Negri et al., 2006). Lacustrine sediment cores are useful in identifying changes in relative lake levels from proxy evidence (e.g., sediment size, geochemistry, and biology; Benson, 2004), but there is large uncertainty in use of proxy evidence to infer corresponding water depths and associated water levels (e.g., Smith, 1997; Reheis et al., 2014). When shoreline data and lake core records are combined, a coupled data synthesis yields stronger and more complete records (Bacon et al., 2006; Reheis et al., 2014). Further, if these data sets are integrated using a method to provide constraining water levels in the absence of shoreline evidence of both high and low water levels, a continuous lake-level record can be developed.

Application of monthly, watershed-lake hydrologic models have been used for the Sierra Nevada and Great Basin to infer paleoclimate variability for the region. The hydrologic models are commonly controlled by lake-stage records derived from either dated landforms or inferences from outcrop evidence. These hydrologic models typically include simulations encompassing short time periods during which lakes were at their relative high stands (e.g., Matsubara and Howard, 2009; Yu et al., 2015; Barth et al., 2016) or in rare cases during relative low stands (e.g., Hatchett et al., 2015, 2016). As a result, most of the model simulations are restricted to discrete periods that lacked a complete record of a given lake-level cycle, thereby offering discontinuous estimates of paleoclimate variability in the context of climate change at multi-decadal to multi-centennial scales. The primary goal of our investigation is to refine the late Holocene portion of the lake-level record of Owens Lake, previously developed by Bacon et al. (2006) and included in Reheis et al. (2014), to test a new approach in developing a continuous lake-level record. Our objectives are three-fold: (1) to present geomorphic evidence and numerical age constraints for four previously undated shoreline features below the late Holocene high-stand shoreline at an elevation of ~ 1108 m and for two low stands below the historical maximum lake level at ~ 1096 m; (2) to develop a new modeling approach to estimate lake levels in the absence of shoreline evidence by integrating shoreline and lake-core data sets with threshold lake-water depth estimates from wind-wave and sediment entrainment modeling of lake core OL97 sedimentology; and (3) to construct a continuous lake-level curve for the late Holocene to assess hydroclimate variability in the region.

GEOLOGIC AND HYDROLOGIC SETTING

The Owens River watershed includes Owens Valley, an approximately 15–40 km wide and 200-km-long graben in the southwestern Great Basin in east-central California. The watershed is on the eastern side of the south-central Sierra Nevada that forms one of the principal rain shadows in the western United States. The watershed has a drainage area of ~ 8515 km² and is bounded by the crests of the Sierra Nevada Range to the west, White-Inyo Mountains to the east, and the Coso Range to the south and east (Fig. 1). The northern extent of the watershed is defined by a drainage divide
with Mono Lake basin. Crests of the Sierra Nevada and White- Inyo Mountains rise more than 3000m above the floor of Owens Valley, with Mount Whitney at an elevation of 4421m west of the town of Lone Pine (Fig. 1). The northern extent of the Owens River is within Long Valley and extends to the south, where it drains into Owens Lake basin. Owens Lake occupies a topographically closed basin contained by a sill at an elevation of ~ 1145m at the south end of the basin. Owens Lake basin is relatively shallow because of high sedimentation rates from the Owens River and surrounding alluvial fans (Fig. 1). Snowmelt from the Sierra Nevada accounts for most of the annual streamflow in the watershed (Hollet et al., 1991). Therefore, small changes in streamflow inputs associated with changes in the magnitude of snowpack and rate of snowmelt are amplified in the lake basin because of its shallow-hypsometric configuration compared to other lakes on the eastern side of the Sierra Nevada that are much deeper (e.g., Lake Tahoe, Pyramid Lake, Walker Lake, and Mono Lake) or west-side lakes that are sourced from larger and forested watersheds at lower elevations (e.g., Tulare Lake; Fig. 1).

During the period AD 1872–1878, Owens Lake was a perennial, closed-basin lake that covered more than 280 km² with a historical maximum lake level at 1096.4m and a water depth of 14.9m (Gale, 1914; Lee, 1915). Major water diversions in Owens Valley began after AD 1913 with construction of the Los Angeles aqueduct system that transported surface water from the Owens River watershed and Mono Lake basin (since AD 1941) more than 320 km to the south for distribution (Hollett et al., 1991). Owens Lake first began depositing salts onto the lake floor in AD 1921 because of these diversions, and by AD ~ 1931, Owens Lake had desiccated and become a playa (Smith et al., 1997).

Figure 1. Map of the southern Owens River watershed showing the elevation of well-developed Holocene shorelines (1084–1120 m) and late Pleistocene highstand shoreline (~1162 m) of Owens Lake from this study and Bacon et al. (2006). The modern extent of Owens Lake playa below an elevation of ~ 1096 m, the Owens Valley fault (OVF), and Sierra Nevada Frontal fault (SNFF) are shown. Rectangles show area extent of maps and imagery on following figures. White circles are the locations of study sites described in text: AC, Ash Creek; BP, Brine Pool. Location of lake core OL84B, OL92, and OL97 sites are shown. Inset map shows the boundary of the Owens River watershed along the eastern side of the south-central Sierra Nevada in eastern California. Major physiographic and hydrologic features and towns shown on the maps include: I, Independence; K, Keeler; LP, Lone Pine; ML, Mono Lake; MW, Mount Whitney Peak (elevation =4421 m); O, Olancha; TL, Tulare Lake; WL, Walker Lake; WM, White Mountains.

Late Pleistocene and Holocene lake-level history

Owens Lake has been the focus of numerous paleohydrologic studies because of a robust record of climate change preserved in lacustrine sediments and shoreline geomorphic features. Most of these studies focused on resolving the late Pleistocene history of pluvial Owens Lake through analyses of sediment cores with records going back ~ 800,000 yr (e.g., Smith and Pratt, 1957; Newton, 1991; Lund et al., 1993; Benson et al., 1996, 1997, 2000, 2004; Smith et al., 1997 and references therein; Mensing, 2001) and shoreline features as old as ~ 140,000 yr (Carver, 1970; Beanland and Clark, 1994; Bacon et al., 2006; Bacon and Pezzopane, 2007; Jayko and Bacon, 2008; Orme and Orme, 2008). The age, distribution, and paleoclimatic context of the youngest and lowest-elevation shorelines that encompass Owens Lake basin, however, have not been the primary focus of previous studies. Previous descriptions and geologic mapping of areas within Owens Lake basin do not provide sufficient resolution to characterize the middle to late Holocene lacustrine stratigraphy in the basin, because they either lack numerical ages (e.g., Gale, 1914; Lee, 1915; Stinson, 1977; Stone et al., 2000, 2004; Jayko, 2009) or were performed during sitespecific studies focused on older and higher shorelines (e.g., Bacon and Pezzopane, 2007; Jayko and Bacon, 2008; Orme and Orme, 2008). Only inferred surface ages for the lowest shorelines (Bacon et al., 2006) and sediment-core proxy evidence spanning the last 1000 yr (Li et al., 2000; Smoot et al., 2000) and for most of the Holocene (Newton, 1991; Smith et al., 1997; Benson et al., 2002; Benson, 2004) addressed the middle to late Holocene history of Owens Lake and hydroclimate variability in the region.

Tectonic setting

Understanding the rates and style of tectonic deformation within seismically active lake basins provides information to correlate shoreline features, as well as to accurately reconstruct past lake levels. Owens Valley is a region of active tectonics, as demonstrated by the M7.5–7.75 AD 1872 Owens Valley earthquake (Beanland and Clark, 1994). The southern section of the Owens Valley fault (OVF) extends along the entire western margin of Owens Lake basin (Fig. 1). During the AD 1872 earthquake, normal-oblique displacements produced subsidence in the depocenter area of
Owens Lake that created a seismic seiche (i.e., tsunami), raised the western shoreline, and shifted the position of the eastern shoreline of the lake several hundred meters to the west (Smoot et al., 2000). Predominantly eastside-down vertical fault slip of 0.5–1.0 m (Slemmons et al., 2008; Haddon et al., 2016) coupled with natural variability in the height of constructional shoreline features of about 1.0m have produced a range in elevation of up to ~ 1.5m for shoreline features of similar age that are younger than the penultimate event on the southern OV F of 9500± 500 cal yr BP (Bacon et al., 2006; Bacon and Pezzopane, 2007).

METHODS
Geomorphic mapping
We mapped fluvial, deltaic, and shoreline features between the lower Owens River meander belt and northern sector of Owens Lake basin to establish geomorphic information on the late Holocene lake-level history. Mapping delineated landforms from georeferenced satellite imagery and aerial photography. Map unit contacts were digitally created directly on base layers in a GIS platform. Digitizing unit boundaries was done at a fixed map scale of 1:4000 using a series of color satellite imagery with 0.5- and 1.0-m resolution from the years AD 2006 and 2008, respectively, in addition to color aerial photography with 0.3-m resolution acquired in AD 2011.

Mapping of geomorphic features was based on tonal, textural, and topographic qualities (e.g., differences in surface color, degree of dissection, and channel network development) plus presence and density of vegetation (e.g., Bull, 1991). Landforms were classified into geomorphic units following a modified version of the categorization scheme of Peterson (1981). Landform surface characteristics including magnitude of bar-and-swale microtopography and the elevation position of landform surfaces in relation to active channels (i.e., degree of dissection and relief), along with the degree of desert pavement (i.e., surface gravel density) and desert varnish (i.e., manganese oxide coatings) development helped differentiate younger landforms from older ones (e.g., McFadden et al., 1989). Documenting these types of surface features and weathering characteristics combined with the age and elevation of specific shorelines from published studies and/or from this study enabled separation of primary landform feature types into distinct geomorphic units with an associated age class (Fig. 2).

Seven primary landform feature types were identified, including alluvial fans, deltaic, eolian, fluvial, lacustrine, playa, and other. These primary feature types were further separated into 53 distinct geomorphic map units with a corresponding surface age when applicable (Fig. 2). Abbreviated descriptors for Quaternary feature types (e.g., Qfl, fluvial; Qf, alluvial fan; Qd, deltaic) were numbered 1 through increasing value (e.g., 1 to 5), representing oldest to youngest geomorphic surfaces. Playa features of the dry bed of Owens Lake (Qpl) were separated into silt crust, salt crust, and sandcovered surface landform units (Qpl[sil], Qpl[salt], and Qpl[s]), respectively. Lacustrine features (Ql) also were separated into shoreline landform units consisting of beach ridges and lake plains (Ql[br] and Ql[p]), respectively. Deltaic features were further separated into delta bar and delta plain landform units (Qd[b] and Qd[p], respectively). Eolian features (Qe) were differentiated into five distinct landform units including active dunes, active sand sheets, sand sheets with coppice dunes, intermediate between active sand sheets and sand sheets with cuppice dunes, and vegetated dunes (Qe[d], Qe[ss], Qe[ssc], Qe[ss/ssc], and Qe[vd], respectively). The remaining map units include recent colluvium (Qc) associated with bluffs (i.e., terrace risers and wave-formed scarps) of the lower Owens River meander belt and fluvial-deltaic system (Fig. 2), along with late Holocene flood deposits (Qfd) and undifferentiated bedrock (Bx).

Radiocarbon analysis
We used radiocarbon analysis to directly date detrital charcoal within beach rock from a natural stream channel cut across a beach ridge at 1103m to constrain the age of a shoreline at this elevation. Analyses were also performed to constrain the age of lake levels below 1096m by dating carbonized wood of a tufa-coated stump preserved on the playla floor, and to date carbonized wood of an additional stump from a buried soil exposed in alluvium (Table 1). The radiocarbon ages are reported in radiocarbon years before present (14C yr BP) and have been calibrated to calendar years before present (cal yr BP) using the CALIB7.1 program (Stuiver and Reimer, 1993; Stuiver et al., 2017) with the IntCal13 data set (Reimer et al., 2013). All samples were prepared and processed for AMS dating at either the Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (CAMS) of the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory or at Lamont-Doherty Geological Observatory.

Luminescence analysis
We used infrared-stimulated luminescence (IRSL) analysis to directly date sediments of a beach ridge at 1108m and to constrain the ages of erosional shoreline features at 1101 and 1099m by dating sediment within an eolian dune ridge complex. Two samples from a natural stream channel cut across the 1108-m beach ridge, and six samples from two boreholes (borehole-1 and -2) at the top of two dune ridges were recovered (Table 2). Samples were collected in steel tubes tapped into sand-rich horizons in natural exposures or hand-augured at the crest of dune features, where in situ gamma spectrometer measurements were made at each sample position to determine dose rate. All samples were prepared and processed at the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) luminescence laboratory. Dating was based on the post-IR50-IRSL225 single-grain luminescence dating method (Rhodes, 2015). This method has been used recently to date alluvial fan deposits lacking quartz south of Owens Valley in the northern Mojave Desert (e.g., Dolan et al., 2016) and enables accurate dating of feldspar grains with a precision equal in many cases to radiocarbon analysis of detrital charcoal, thereby allowing the dating of previously undatable strata and landforms (see Rhodes [2015] and Supplementary data for description of the post-IR50-IRSL225 technique).
Figure 2. Detailed geomorphic map of the north-northeastern sector of Owens Lake basin showing the age and distribution of alluvial fan, deltaic, eolian, fluviol, lacustrine, playa, and other landform feature-types. Age control is from directly dated shoreline features from Bacon et al. (2006) and results of this study, as well as from crosscutting relations with undated landforms. Inset box shows areal extent of the Lizard Tail Dune study site. Shoreline features formed by historical lake levels during AD 1872–1878 are shown in red. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

The IRSL determinations indicated that a high degree of signal resetting had taken place, since the majority of grains provided a common IR50 equivalent dose value when an over dispersion of 15% was incorporated. This additional uncertainty value accounts for between-grain variability caused by effects including slight variations in burial-dose rate. For most samples, IRSL dating results are consistent for duplicates or stratigraphically close locations (compare the following sample pairs: KD12-01 and KD12-02; KD12-12 and KD12-14; KD12-16 and KD12-17), as well as between IR50 and PIR-IR225 determinations (Table 2). Of the eight samples measured, two samples did not follow these trends (i.e., KD12-08 and KD12-10 from the borehole-1 site). It seems likely that the lowermost sample (KD12-10) from this site was collected from alluvial fan sediments underlying the dune and lake plain during the augering process. It remains unclear why the upper sample (KD12-08) at this location provided an apparent discrepancy between IR50 and PIR-IR225 results (300 ± 20 and 1000 ± 150 yr, respectively), but this probably relates to the small number of grains providing PI-RIR signals at 225°C. Furthermore, although fading uncorrected PIR-IR225 has been shown to agree with independent age control in several studies, in some cases, residuals associated with thermal transfer arising from high pre-heat temperatures can result in age overestimation (Buyal et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014). Therefore, the corrected IR50 ages in borehole-1 appear to be the more reliable estimate for this sector of Owens Lake basin, therefore all the corrected IR50 ages are considered the representative ages in the study.

Table 1. Radiocarbon dating results and calibrations.
Lake bottom sediment entrainment modeling

Wind-wave model
Application of wind-wave and sediment entrainment models are commonly used to estimate erosion potential within intertidal to open water coastal environments for coastal protection and habitat rehabilitation (e.g., USACE, 1984, 2002; Teeter et al., 2001; Rohweder et al., 2008; Fagherazzi and Wiberg, 2009). These types of models have also been used in lacustrine environments to estimate the distribution of sediment texture at the lake bottom (e.g., Håkanson, 1977), as well as to quantify potential impacts to water quality and clarity from resuspension of sediment, plus nutrients, heavy metals, and other toxic substances for water resource management (e.g., Reardon et al., 2016; Ji, 2017). In this study, we apply a similar approach to model the wind-wave characteristics and threshold lake-water depths required for the sedimentology described in core OL97. Our approach is calibrated by modeling the historical section in core OL97 with limnological conditions for the period AD 1872–1878 when Owens Lake was at its historical maximum water level. Wind-driven sediment entrainment occurs when water depth is shallow enough to effectively transfer the momentum of wind-waves from the water surface to the sediment-water interface (Håkanson and Jansson, 2002; Reardon et al., 2016). Wind waves and the fluid shear stresses they produce within the water column are the main mechanism responsible for sediment erosion and resuspension when the critical shear stress of bottom sediment is exceeded (e.g., Fagherazzi and Wiberg, 2009). The wind-wave model we used is based on linear wave theory and consists of a series of analytical solutions for estimating deep-water wave characteristics, including: significant wave height, wave length, spectral peak wave period, maximum orbital wave velocity, and critical shear stress (USACE, 1984, 2002; Rohweder et al., 2008).

An initial step in the modeling procedure is to determine the wave characteristics of wave height, period, and length in deep water. The shape of wind waves is predominately controlled by the intensity and duration of wind shear across open water surfaces, therefore wind velocity and fetch are the principal variables used to determine wave characteristics that control the magnitude of the boundary velocity below the wave crest at a specified depth. Modeling of intermediate to shallow wind-waves (e.g., USACE, 2002; Le Roux, 2010) was not performed in this study because sediment cores were extracted from the depocenter area of Owens Lake basin (Fig. 1).

Wind
Wind direction in Owens Lake basin is strongly controlled by the orientation and topography of Owens Valley, which has a wind regime with two primary directional sectors of N-NNW and S-SSE (Lancaster et al., 2015). We relied on the classification of wind potential to produce dust-raising events in Owens Lake basin to characterize wind in the wind-wave model. Data from three continuous meteorological stations around Owens Lake playa operating from AD 1988–1991 show that high and extreme-high wind events in Owens Lake basin have hourly average wind speeds of ≥7 and ≥18 m/s, respectively, with extreme-high wind events occurring only a few times per year having wind gusts in excess of 22 m/s mostly from the N-NNW (Zhong et al., 2008). Furthermore, measured wind speeds of 15–17 m/s for fetch-limited water bodies have previously been used in wind-wave models because these magnitudes generate waves causing sediment erosion and resuspension (e.g., Rohweder et al., 2008; Fagherazzi and Wiberg, 2009).

Fetch
Fetch is the unobstructed distance traveled by wind or waves across open water (e.g., Fagherazzi and Wiberg, 2009). Limited fetch conditions existed at Owens Lake during AD 1872–1878, because of the lakes limited size having fetch lengths of ~ 17 and ~ 5 km at the core OL97 site from N-NNW and S-SSE directed winds, respectively. The 17m fetch length was also used in the wind-wave model to simulate wave characteristics for the higher pre-historical water levels, because the difference in fetch between the 1096- and 1108-m lake levels is negligible (Fig. 1). Similarly, the same fetch length was used for lower lake levels associated with the deposition of very coarse sand to fine pebble (2–4mm) described in core OL97 to provide maximum potential water depths estimates for the larger particles sizes.
Critical shear stress
Critical shear stress represents the threshold for the initiation of potential particle motion when the drag force of flowing water against a particle exceeds the gravitational force holding it in place. The routine used to calculate the critical shear stress is based on the methods described in USACE (2002), which accounts for laminar flow along a flat lake bottom surface, as well as particle size and density in water with a specific density and viscosity. A flat lake-bottom slope was confirmed around the core OL97 site by a calculation of 0.025° in a GIS platform from a digital elevation model (DEM) that was created from contours digitized by Mihvec et al. (1997) from the bathymetric map of Owens Lake surveyed in February, AD 1913 (Gale, 1914; Lee; 1915). The salinity of Owens Lake water of 60.4 g/l in AD 1872–1878 (Winkle and Eaton, 1910) was used to calculate a water density of 1045.8 kg/m³ and kinematic viscosity of 1.235m²/s at a temperature of 15°C using MATLAB code of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology seawater thermophysical properties library (Sharqawy et al., 2010; Nayar et al., 2016). Sediment characteristics were assumed to have a density of quartz (2650 kg/m³), well sorted, and rounded with particle diameters ranging from mud-sized (0.0625–0.002 mm) to fine pebble (4 mm) that coincide with the boundaries between the size classes of the Wentworth (1922) scale.

RESULTS

Geomorphology of late Holocene shoreline features

Shoreline features
Four late Holocene (pre-historical) shorelines surrounding Owens Lake playa at elevations of ~ 1108, 1103, 1101, and 1099 m were first recognized and investigated as part of a study to characterize dune fields in Owens Lake basin (Lancaster and McCarley-Holder, 2013; Lancaster et al., 2015). These shoreline features are the focus of the study presented here and include well-preserved, gravelly and sandy beach ridges, and lake plains in the northern and southern margins of the lake basin where there was sustained perennial stream discharge from the Owens River and/or low-gradient alluvial fan sedimentation during their formation. In contrast, the shoreline features on the western and eastern margins of the basin associated with these lake levels are mostly waveformed strandlines (i.e., wave-formed scarps or shoreline scarp) developed at the toe of steep and active alluvial fans or near fan apexes, with fewer constructional beach-ridge remnants. Two primary lacustrine landform units were identified in the Owens Lake basin that include beach ridges (Qi [br]) and lake plains (Qi[p]; Fig. 2).

Lacustrine beach ridges are defined as relict, semi-parallel, as well as multiple wave- and wind-built landforms that formed in response to seasonal water-level changes (Otvos, 2000). The height and dimensions of beach ridges relative to still-water levels in lake basins are principally controlled by slope angle, wave conditions, lake-level ranges, and wind induced rise in water level (Otvos, 2000). Surface cover on these features in Owens Lake basin ranges from a lag of well-rounded gravel underlain by well-stratified, well-sorted, massive to stratified coarse sand and gravel on poorly developed and younger-aged features to surfaces with a desert pavement composed of gravel that are underlain by well-rounded, well-stratified, and well-sorted sand and gravel on better developed and older-aged features. The lake plain surfaces represent former lake bottoms within the lower-foreshore and near-shore bathymetric environmental zones of the lake shore. Different-aged lake plains can be identified as the intervening area between well-developed beach ridges that often contain small-remedial shoreline scarps formed by recessional stands of the lake (Peterson, 1981). Surface cover on the lake plain features in Owens Lake basin ranges from a desert pavement composed of gravel underlain by well-rounded, well-stratified, and well-sorted sands to gravels on well-developed and older aged features to surfaces either having a soluble salt-rich crust, platy tufa, or a lag of sandy gravel that are all underlain by massive silts and sands on the lower elevation and younger-aged features.

The best preserved and most complete geomorphic record of late Holocene-aged shoreline features below an elevation of ~ 1110 m is located in the northwest corner of the playa basin near the surface rupture of the active OVF (Fig. 1). At this locality and in many other areas along the northern and southern margins of the basin, there are up to four well-developed shorelines in the form of beach ridges and wave-formed strandlines at elevations of ~ 1108, 1103, 1101, and 1099 m, plus historical shoreline features at and below 1096 m (Fig. 3 and 4A).

Deltaic features
Two landform units identified near the mouth of the Owens River where it enters Owens Lake basin consist of delta bar and delta plain feature-types (Fig. 2). Delta plains are commonly associated with delta bars and collectively can indicate the mean lake level associated with the deltaic system (e.g., Einsele, 2000). The deltaic units are inset into a broad and dissected fluviodeltaic plain last occupied by an early Holocene (~8000 cal yr BP) high stand at 1120 m (Bacon et al., 2006; Bacon and Pezzopane, 2007). Subsequent middle to late Holocene regressive water levels have formed a prograding delta complex that extends south from the entrenched channel of the Owens River meander belt to the floor of the playa. The morphoradiographic position and elevation of each delta bar and plain map unit coincide with shorelines at 1108, 1103, 1101, and 1099 m, plus historical shoreline features at and below the 1096-m shoreline last occupied during the period AD 1872–1878 (Gale, 1914; Fig. 2). The bulk of the entrenched portion of the delta complex formed at the 1101-, 1099-, and 1096-m (historical) water levels. The unconfined and telescoping morphometry of the southern extent of the delta complex across the playa floor formed in response to falling water levels at and below ~ 1093 m beginning in AD 1884–1894 (e.g., Lee, 1915).
Late Holocene shorelines have a Neopluvial high stand at 1108 m (3800–3600 cal yr BP)
Shoreline features identified at and near the elevation of 1108 m occur as relatively narrow areas preserved on gentle slopes fringing the playa basin. The best developed example of a beach ridge at 1108 m is in the northeastern sector of the lake basin within the Swansea embayment (Fig. 1 and 5). Here, the beach ridge along with discontinuous wave-formed strandlines are preserved at the base of a latest Pleistocene to early Holocene beach-ridge complex (Bacon et al., 2006; Orme and Orme, 2008). The 1108 m beach ridge has broad and well-developed heights of up to ~ 2 m dissected by alluvial channels. The surface of the beach ridge and associated lake plain in this area is covered by few small shrubs and a layer of sub-rounded to well-rounded gravel that is underlain by mostly stratified coarse sand and gravel composed of Paleozoic metasedimentary lithologies (Fig. 5A). The 1108-m lake-plain surfaces in other parts of the map area are also commonly poorly preserved from either erosion by younger alluvial fans or burial by aeolian dunes between the elevations of 1103 and 1108 m (e.g., Fig. 2). The lakeplain surfaces are also moderately vegetated and have a surface cover consisting of a lag of sub-rounded to well-rounded gravel underlain by massive sands. The 1108 m shoreline previously was inferred to be ~ 3500 cal yr BP by Bacon et al. (2006), based on relative degree of preservation, geomorphic, and topographic crosscutting relations, as well as regional paleoclimate proxy evidence. Luminescence analysis of two duplicate samples from a sandy layer within interbedded sand and gravel deposits of the 1108-m beach ridge at the Swansea site (Fig. 5B) gave IR\textsubscript{50} ages of 3620 ± 260 and 3490 ± 290 yr, supporting this interpretation (Table 2). The soil-geomorphic characteristics of the 1108-m beach ridge at the Swansea site also indicate that the beach ridge is relatively younger than adjacent and higher latest Pleistocene to early Holocene shoreline features at the Swansea site. The surface of the 1108-m beach ridge has a moderately developed desert pavement with weakly to moderately developed desert varnish and subsurface rubification (i.e., reddening) coatings on gravel clasts, a thin vesicular A (Av) horizon (e.g., McFadden et al., 1998), and a ~ 30-cm-thick, weakly developed soil with carbonate (k) and Avk/Bwk/Ck profile lacking soil structure (e.g., Birkeland, 1999). These soil characteristics are similar to descriptions made on gravelly late Holocene alluvial fans in the southwestern United States (McDonald et al., 2003; Bacon et al., 2010).

A mean age of ~ 3560± 370 yr and supporting soil-geomorphic characteristics provide direct evidence that water levels reached an elevation as high as 1108 m in Owens Lake basin during the late Holocene. Around this time, lakes and
glaciers expanded in the south-central Sierra Nevada during a period of wetter conditions commonly referred to as the Neopluvial (or Neoglacial; e.g., Stine, 1990, 1994; Konrad and Clark, 1998; Bowerman and Clark, 2011; Street et al., 2012, 2013).

**Medieval Pluvial high stand at 1103m (810–790 cal yr BP)**

The 1103-m shoreline features occur as relatively narrow areas on gentle slopes fringing the basin that commonly are eroded by younger alluvial fans or buried by sand dunes (e.g., Fig. 2). The 1103-m beach ridges (Q16[br]) have heights of less than 1 m and a surface that is moderately to poorly vegetated and covered by a lag of well-rounded, coarse sand to gravel, except along the eastern margin of the lake basin where surfaces are typically covered by a lag of angular to subrounded gravel. Beach-ridge and lake-plain deposits consist mostly of massive to poorly-stratified coarse sand to gravel or fine-grained sediment with silt- and salt-rich crusts. The 1103-m shoreline features are poorly-developed and commonly in the form of wave-formed strandlines developed on bluffs within the lower Owens River meander belt and across older lacustrine and alluvial features that encompass the basin, whereas beach ridges are commonly preserved on inactive and dissected areas of alluvial fans (e.g., Fig. 2). Age control of the 1103-m shoreline is from detrital charcoal sampled within a natural exposure at Carrol Creek, where it crosses a beach ridge at 1103 m on the northwestern margin of the lake basin (Fig. 1 and 6). Similar to other well-preserved beach ridge complexes in the basin (e.g., Fig. 3), the Carrol Creek site has up to four well-developed shorelines in the form of beach ridges and wave-formed strandlines preserved at elevations of ~1108, 1103, 1101, and 1099 m, plus historical shoreline features at and below 1096 m (Fig. 1 and 4B). The charcoal sample was preserved in beach rock near the surface below the crest of a well-developed sandy beach ridge. Radiocarbon analysis of the charcoal yielded a date of 870 ± 40 14C yr BP (910–700 cal yr BP) with a median probability age of 780 cal yr BP (Table 1). The 14C age of ~ 780 cal yr BP provides direct evidence that water levels reached an elevation as high as 1103 m in Owens Lake basin during a major wet period during the Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA) in the western Great Basin, known as the Intervening Pluvial (Cook et al., 2010) or Medieval Pluvial (Adams et al., 2015; Hatchett et al., 2015). The bristlecone pine tree-ring chronologies in the nearby White Mountains support a short period of extreme hydroclimate variability at this time with a 10–49 yr wet and anomalously cold interval centered at ~ 840 cal yr BP (Leavitt, 1994; Hughes and Graumlich, 1996; Cook et al., 2010; Baie et al., 2011; Salzer et al., 2014).

**Little Ice Age high stands at 1101 and 1099m (440–280 cal yr BP)**

The 1101- and 1099-m shoreline features are the lowest prehistorical shorelines preserved in the lake basin. These features occur as a relatively narrow band of closely spaced beach ridges and intervening lake plains on gentle slopes or as wave-formed strandlines on relatively steeper slopes, where both feature-types are commonly eroded by younger alluvial fans or buried by eolian dunes (e.g., Fig. 2). The 1101-m beach ridge typically has heights of less than ~1.5 m, whereas the 1099-m beach ridge is less developed and more discontinuous with heights of less than ~1 m. An example of the paired beach ridges is preserved on a well-developed barrier spit 150–200 m wide and extending ~3.5 km across the floor of the playa in the southern sector of the lake basin near Olanca (Fig. 1). The beach ridges in the basin typically have a surface covered by a lag of sandy gravel underlain by massive to poorly stratified coarse sand to gravel with silt. The associated lake plain surfaces are typically covered by coarse sand to granules with rare gravel and a coating of platy tufa in areas of the delta complex and along the western and southern margins of the lake basin, whereas surfaces along the eastern playa margin consist mostly of fine-grained sediment with silt- and salt-rich crusts.

Age constraints for the 1101- and 1099-m shorelines are derived from crosscutting relations of wave-formed scarps with dated eolian sediment from a vegetated dune complex known as the Lizard Tail Dunes. The Lizard Tail Dunes consist of a set of 4–6 m high, vegetated linear dune ridges that accumulated parallel to a ~1103-m wave-formed scarp and lake plain in the northeastern sector of the lake basin, locally burying the scarp (Fig. 1, 2, and 7). The outer (landward) vegetated dune ridge appears cut by two oversteepened slopes or notches that range in height from 0.5 to 1.5 m along the southwestern face of the dune ridge (Fig. 7 and 8). Such features are absent on other vegetated dunes or active dunes in Owens Lake basin above 1103 m (Lancaster and McCarley-Holder, 2013; Lancaster et al., 2015). The over-steepened slopes are within 0.5 to 0.7 m of the 1101- and 1099-m shorelines (Fig. 8) and we interpret them as wave-formed scarps formed at the 1101- and 1099-m lake stages. In addition, a similar zone of over-steepened slopes occurs at the base of the inner (lakeward) dune ridge at the Lizard Tail Dunes site (Fig. 7 and 8). Here, the inner dune ridge has a lag of well- to moderately rounded, disk-shaped gravel over massive sand at the toe of over-steepened slopes that are collectively situated at an elevation of ~1097 m, within 1 m of the historical water level of Owens Lake during the period AD 1872–1878. This area also was affected by the AD 1872 tsunami wave observed to have run-up ~60 m east of the shoreline along the northeastern margin of the lake (Smoot et al., 2000).

Luminescence sampling from hand-auger boreholes (1 and 2) at the crests of the vegetated dune ridges bounding the erosional 1101-m and 1099-m strandlines constrains the ages of the wave-formed scarps (Fig. 7 and 8). Six samples were collected from several depths down to the base of the dunes using a sand auger, with a special sampler to allow collection of undisturbed samples that were not exposed to daylight. Luminescence analysis yielded six IRδ and PIR-IR25 ages, of which the IR50 IRSL ages are considered the representative age of sediment at the site (Table 2). The inner dune ridge was sampled to a depth of 2.5 m at the site of borehole-1 and produced two ages of 300 ± 20 yr and 5000 ± 210 yr at depths of 0.75 m and 2.0 m, respectively (Table 2). The lower sample at a depth of 2.0 m likely reflects the age of distal alluvial fan deposits underlying the dunes and 1103-m lake plain, rather than the basal age of the dune (Fig. 8). The outer dune ridge was sampled to a depth of 4.0 m at the site of borehole-2 and produced four ages. The upper two samples at depths of 0.87 and 2.0 m yielded ages of 400 ± 30 and 420 ± 30 yr, respectively, whereas the lower
two samples at depths of 3.0 and 3.74m yielded ages of 710 ±40 and 620±30 yr, respectively. Although the ages of the lower two samples are not in stratigraphic order, the ages are within 20 yr of their uncertainty (Table 2, Fig. 8).

Figure 5. Geomorphic map of the Swansea Embayment northwest of Keeler (Fig. 1), showing well-developed beach ridge and lakeplain features at elevations of 1120 and 1108 m, along with a prominent graben formed from lateral spreading during the AD 1872 earthquake (Carver, 1970). Star on photographs: (A) shows the location of infrared-stimulated luminescence (IRSL) sampling within a channel cut across the crest of the 1108-m beach ridge, view to the southeast; and (B) shows interbedded sands and gravels, as well as location of duplicate IRSL samples within a sandy layer on northwest-facing channel wall that returned ages of 3490 ±260 and 3620±260 yr. Entrenching tool is shown for scale. Annotations on the photograph of general soil-geomorphic horizons are also shown.

Morphostratigraphic position, uniform sandy texture, and IR$_{50}$ ages indicate three periods of sand accumulation at the borehole sites. The first period of sand accumulation occurred at 670± 80 yr (Unit A) and buried the 1103-m lake plain and was followed by a second period of sand accumulation at 410± 40 yr (Unit B; Fig. 8). The mean age of ~ 780 cal yr BP from the 1103-m beach ridge supports the ages at the base of eolian deposits (Unit A) that buried the 1103-m lake plain. The unit A ages indicate sand accumulation after a recession of at least ~ 5m from the 1103-m water level down to an elevation below ~ 1098 m. Sand dune accumulation ceased after ~ 410 yr when fluctuating lake-levels reached the elevations of 1101 and 1099m to form erosional shoreline features on the outer dune ridge of the Lizard Tail Dunes. A third and last period of sand accumulation occurred at 300 ± 20 yr (Unit C) and formed the outer dune ridge above ~ 1098m (Fig. 8). This accumulation was followed by shoreline erosion at elevations from 1096 to 1097m during the period AD 1872–1878. In addition, the oldest age on alluvium at the base of the inner dune ridge indicates that Owens Lake water levels were below ~ 1097m at 5000 ±210 yr. The ages of the 1101- and 1099-m shorelines are constrained to 360 ±80 yr, based on crosscutting relations of wave-formed scarps with dated eolian sediment. The 1101- and 1099-m shorelines developed during a time of variable and extreme hydroclimatic conditions with mostly cooler temperatures in the Owens River watershed (e.g., Scuderi, 1987b; Salzer et al., 2014). This period generally corresponds to a period of glacier advance in the Sierra Nevada and across North America during the Little Ice Age (LIA; Fig. 11; e.g., Bowerman and Clark, 2011; Solomina et al., 2016).

Medieval Climatic Anomaly low stands (1050–550 cal yr BP)

Radiocarbon analysis of in situ terrestrial vegetation at two different sites at and below 1096m gives age information for periods of extremely low water levels in Owens Lake basin. The lower elevation site is at ~ 1085m on the playa floor at a spring mound near the northeastern margin of the perennial brine pool in the basin (Fig. 1). Here, we sampled carbonized wood from a tufa-coated rooted stump in playa sediments that yielded a date of 990 ± 60 $^{14}$C yr BP (1050–
760 cal yr BP) with a median probability age of 890 cal yr BP (Table 1). The higher elevation site is a natural exposure of a preserved alluvial terrace within the entrenched channel of the Ash Creek alluvial fan at ~ 1096m in the western margin of the lake basin (Fig. 1). The Ash Creek site is situated locally below wave-formed strandlines and lake plains at 1103 and 1101 m, whereas historical shoreline features are situated ~ 150m east of the site at ~ 1096m. Here, we sampled carbonized wood from a stump rooted in a buried soil developed on sandy alluvium on the south wall of the stream channel that yielded a date of 720 ± 70 \(^{14}\)C yr BP (780–550 cal yr BP) with a median probability age of 670 cal yr BP (Table 1).

The age and elevations of dated rooted stumps provide new information on the timing and limiting elevations for prehistorical water levels in Owens Lake basin. After accounting for ~ 1m of subsidence from the AD 1872 earthquake, the location and age of the tufa-coated stump at the Brine Pool site indicates that water levels were below ~ 1086m prior to ~ 890 cal yr BP. We interpret the age of the stump to record the time of death of the shrub during a rise in lake level that killed the shrub at ~ 890 cal yr BP, an event followed by precipitation of a coating of tufa on the submerged remains of the vegetation. The location and age of the stump at Ash Creek indicates a period of surface stability and soil formation at ~ 1096 m, thus requiring lower lake levels at ~ 670 cal yr BP.

The low lake levels of Owens Lake constrained to ~ 890 cal yr BP occurred during the first episode of extreme and persistent drought during the MCA-1, first identified at Mono Lake with ~ 200 yr of low lake levels prior to ~ 840 cal yr BP (Stine, 1994). Similarly, the period of low lake levels constrained to ~ 670 cal yr BP that followed the Medieval Pluvial high stand of Owens Lake occurred during the second episode of extreme and persistent drought during the MCA-2, also identified at Mono Lake (Stine, 1994). The low stands of Owens and Mono lakes from 1050 to 550 cal yr BP coincided with extended drought conditions documented in other parts of the western Great Basin (e.g., Mensing et al., 2008; Cook et al., 2010).

**Historical maximum shoreline at 1096m (AD 1872–1878)**
The earliest land survey in the region was the Van Schmidt Public Land Survey in AD 1856. The elevation of the water surface of Owens Lake was not recorded during this survey, but the lake area was measured at ~ 288 km\(^2\) (Lee, 1915). Three well-preserved and conspicuous historical shoreline features encompassing Owens Lake playa were previously...
identified at elevations of ~1096, 1093, and 1091 m (e.g., Fig. 4). The oldest of these shorelines formed during a period of stable water levels at a historical maximum elevation of 1096.4 m with a corresponding lake area of 287.7 km² in AD 1872 (Gale, 1914; Lee, 1915; Mihevc et al., 1997), a similar lake area compared to that measured earlier in AD 1856.

The historical maximum lake levels created laterally continuous and small-scale shoreline features around most of the margin of Owens Lake playa in the form of waveformed strandlines, beach ridges, lake plains, and discontinuous low-relief shoreline dunes (e.g., Fig. 2 and 4). The ~1096-m beach ridge has crest heights of less than 1.5 m and a surface covered by mostly coarse sand to granules with gravel and sparse vegetation (e.g., Fig. 4). Surfaces of the ~1096-m lake plain consist of moderately continuous and sandy areas with tightly spaced recessional strandlines with local areas on the floor of the playa that expose underlying fine-grained lacustrine sediments as a result of wind deflation (e.g., Fig. 2). The lake-plain surfaces are typically covered by coarse sand to granules with fine gravel in areas near the Owens River delta, and western and southern margins of the playa, whereas surfaces along the eastern and southeastern margins of the playa commonly consist of silt- and salt-rich crusts underlain by fine-grained lacustrine sediment.

Post-water diversion shorelines below 1096 m (AD 1884–1931)
In addition to the ~1096-m shoreline features, other shoreline features formed during the period AD 1884–1894 at an elevation of ~1093 m and at a slightly lower lake level of ~1091 m in AD 1912 (Gale, 1914; Lee, 1915; Mihevc et al., 1997). The ~3 m drop from its historical maximum lake level to ~1093 m was primarily the result of 25% less input to the lake from stream diversions in Owens Valley between AD 1884 and 1894. During this period, Owens Lake had relatively stable water levels and a slight rise in salinity of 72.5 g/L because of the balance between stream diversions and a period of relatively wet climatic conditions in the late AD 1880s and early 1890s (Winkle and Eaton, 1910; Lee, 1915). This wet period terminated at the onset of 10 yr of severe drought between AD 1895 and 1905 that, combined with continued stream diversions, caused an additional drop in water level of ~6 m to an elevation of 1086.6 m with a corresponding lake area of ~170 km² (Lee, 1915). Owens Lake became hypersaline during the drought with a salinity of 213.6 g/L by AD 1906 (Winkle and Eaton, 1910). The biological productivity of Owens Lake was severely impacted at this time because the once prevalent brine shrimp and alkali fly populations of the lake became extirpated (Herbst and Prather, 2014) when salinity of the lake exceeded ~133 g/L (e.g., Dana and Lenz, 1986). The drought was terminated by an extreme wet period (AD 1905–1917), known as the twentieth-century North American pluvial (Cook et al., 2011) that raised the surface of Owens Lake by ~4.5 m to an elevation of ~1091 m by AD May 1, 1912 (Lee, 1915). Owens Lake water levels began to decline after AD 1913, even during the wet period, because of the beginning of major tributary stream and Owens River diversions to the Los Angeles aqueduct system, and by AD 1931 the lake had become a salt playa (Smith et al., 1997).

The post-diversion shorelines formed conspicuous recessional shoreline features around and on the floor of Owens Lake basin that are mostly preserved along the northwestern, western, and southwestern margins of the playa as sandy lake plains and beach ridges and wave-formed strandlines. The beach ridges at ~1093 and 1091 m generally have crest heights of less than 1 m and a surface covered by coarse sand to granules with gravel and sparse vegetation (Fig. 4). Surfaces of the ~1093- and 1091-m lake plains consist mostly of discontinuous and thin sandy areas with tightly spaced recessional strandlines between wind-deflated areas that expose fine-grained sediments (e.g., Fig. 2).

Reevaluation of sediment core OL97
Reevaluation of stratigraphic and lithologic descriptions and ¹⁴C dates from three shallow sediment cores (OL97PC-2, OL97A, and OL97C) was performed in light of the new age constraints on late Holocene shorelines from this study to provide an additional record of late Holocene lake-level variations. The OL97 cores ranged from 2.5 to 5.64 m long and were extracted from Owens Lake playa in AD 1997 (Li et al., 2000; Smoot et al., 2000). The OL97 core sites were placed at an elevation of ~1083 m, close to the depocenter of the lake basin and near the OL92 core site of Smith and Bischoff (1997), and ~1 m higher and south-southwest of core OL84B of Benson et al. (1997; Fig. 1).
Figure 8. Geologic cross section along transect C–C′–C′′ (Fig. 7) of the Lizard Tail Dune site showing eolian depositional units (A–C) and the location of infrared-stimulated luminescence (IRSL) ages from hand-auger boreholes (BH)-1 and -2 at the crest of the inner and outer linear dune ridges, respectively. Erosional shoreline features at the elevations of 1103.2, 1101.7, 1099.5, and 1097.3 m are also shown. The ~ 1101- and 1099-m wave-formed scarps are constrained to 360 ± 80 yr, based on crosscutting relations between the shoreline features and IRSL ages from dated sediments of the inner and outer dune ridges at the site.

The late Holocene stratigraphic sections of the OL97 cores differ from earlier cores (OL84B, OL87D, OL90, and OL92) taken from Owens Lake in that they are composed of interbedded sand and mud layers in contrast to either having a thick section of sand or mud (Lund et al., 1993; Smith and Bischoff, 1997), thereby offering an opportunity to develop an association between the sedimentology (i.e., sediment texture and bedding) described in the cores and the corresponding water level. To do this, standard sediment core methodology (e.g., Smith et al., 1997) and 14C calibration were first applied to develop a composite age-depth model from reported sedimentology and 14C dates from the cores. Secondly, sediment texture information from the cores in combination with a simple method for calculating deterministic wind-wave characteristics for deep water sediment entrainment (USACE, 1984, 2002) was used to estimate threshold lake-water depth to entrain different size particles at the bottom of Owens Lake, which, in turn, was used to reconstruct lake levels.

Sediment core age-depth model

The OL97 cores were initially analyzed by Li et al. (2000) to infer hydroclimatic variability during the past 1000 yr based on measured variations in acid-leachable concentrations of Mg and Li, as well as δ18O values. The cores also were examined by Smoot et al. (2000) to document seismic-induced wave (i.e., tsunami) deposits in a lacustrine setting. Li et al. (2000) did not rely on 14C dates from the cores because dated sediments determined to be historical in age yielded a reservoir age of ~ 1000 yr. Instead, Li et al. (2000) developed an age-depth model based on using paleomagnetic secular variations. Smoot et al. (2000) also acknowledged the uncertainty in the reservoir estimation and applied a ~ 1000 yr correction to 14C dates from several mud units to establish age control in the cores.

The sediment in OL97 cores consist of sand units with thin beds and lenses of ostracode-rich sand and mud drapes, with mud layers having thin, pinch-and-swell sand laminae (Smoot et al., 2000). The sand units were interpreted by Smoot et al. (2000) to be primarily deposited by storm waves as oscillatory ripples, whereas the mud drapes accumulated during quiet-water periods. In addition, they interpreted less common 10–30 cm thick mud units with laminations of graded sand or silt to represent periods of deeper-water conditions.

A total of 11 mud units (Historical and A–J) and interbedded sand units were qualitatively described in the cores, of which seven mud units (Historical and A, C, D, F, H, and J) were 14C dated (Smoot et al., 2000). We developed a new composite age-depth model from the three cores to estimate mean calendar ages for mud units with multiple 14C dates (e.g., A, D, and J), as well as to assign interpolated ages to undated mud and sand units described in the cores (Table 3, Fig. 9A). Although slight variations in sedimentation rate are evident from the age and corresponding depths of mud units in the cores, we chose to model a linear and constant rate of sedimentation to best match independent age constraints from shoreline evidence (Table 3). A 1000-yr reservoir correction was applied to all 14C conventional dates to account for older carbon, based on a date of 1050 ± 40 14C yr BP on organicals from a historical mud unit in core OL97PC-2 that was underlain by a pebbly sand deposited during a tsunami in AD 1872 (Smoot et al., 2000). This reservoir age estimate was supported by high Pb concentrations within the mud layers that bound the sandy tsunami deposit, produced from the operation of silver/lead smelting plants near Owens Lake during AD 1869–1878 (Li et al., 2000; Smoot et al., 2000).

The new composite age-depth model of core OL97 is also supported by the total inorganic content (TIC) record from core OL84B since ~ 4000 cal yr BP (Benson et al., 2002; Benson, 2004). The TIC content in the lake core is used as a proxy for relative water level, where low values indicate lake expansion and high values represent contraction. Given the uncertainty of the different age-depth models of cores OL84B and OL97, the TIC record in core OL84B sediments shows the same number and approximate age of prominent peaks with low values (1–10) to the number and age of
mud units (A–J) in composite core OL97, demonstrating correspondence between the two core sites of the frequency of late Holocene Owens Lake expansion (Fig. 9B).

Sedimentation rates

Sediment in lacustrine settings consolidates with burial, requiring normalization of sediment thickness based on dry density or water content to allow direct comparison of recent and ancient sedimentation rates (e.g., Martin and Rice, 1981; Davidson et al., 2004). To evaluate the late Holocene sedimentation rate from core OL97 we used the water contents of ~62.7–51.3 wt% at depths of 1–24.61 m for silty clay layers reported in nearby core OL92 (Friedman et al., 1997) to account for consolidation. The normalized water content versus depth data was fitted by a logarithmic trend line (R²=0.96), similar to the presentation of compression test data of soil, where the void ratio of a soil decreases linearly with the logarithm of pressure (Handy and Spangler, 2007). The sedimentation rate of this study is 120 cm/ka based on the age-depth model with 14C dates corrected for reservoir effects, but increases to 130 cm/ka when accounting for consolidation of up to 0.34 m at the observed field depth of 5.64 m.

Threshold lake-water depth analysis

The erosion potential of lake-bottom sediments was assessed by modeling the threshold lake-water depths required to best match sedimentology described in core OL97 for the calibration period AD 1872–1878. The wind wave-generated bottom shear stress at the water-sediment boundary and critical shear stress for bottom erosion were calculated after the methods of USACE (1984, 2002). The hourly average wind speeds of 7 and 18 m/s for strong breeze and whole gale conditions, respectively, along with a maximum fetch length of 17 km were used in the model to simulate two wind event scenarios that would potentially define the effective wave base at the core OL97 site. The wave characteristic calculated by the wind-wave model include significant wave height (Hs), spectral peak wave period (Tp), and wave length (L).

Table 3. Interpolated ages for sand and mud units in sediment core OL97, reconstructed lake-level elevations based on threshold lake-water depth modeling of core OL97 sedimentology, and elevation and ages of shorelines in Owens Lake basin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sediment unit</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Interpolated mud unit agea</th>
<th>Consolidation (m)</th>
<th>Maximum subsidence (m)</th>
<th>Minimum medium lake-water depth (m)</th>
<th>Maximum mean lake-water depth (m)</th>
<th>Geomorphic shoreline elevation (m)</th>
<th>Model lake elevation (m)</th>
<th>Age of shoreline / lake-level constraint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mud (hist.)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1996.2</td>
<td>1996.4</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand 1</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1990.1</td>
<td>1990.1</td>
<td>200±8</td>
<td>360±80²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mod A</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1985.0</td>
<td>1990.9</td>
<td>200±8</td>
<td>410±40²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mod B</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1995.9</td>
<td>1995.6</td>
<td>200±8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand 3</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1987.9</td>
<td>1987.9</td>
<td>200±8</td>
<td>670±120²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mud C</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1985.6</td>
<td>1989.4</td>
<td>200±8</td>
<td>780±130²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand 4</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>1139</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1994.9</td>
<td>1990.1</td>
<td>200±8</td>
<td>850±160²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mod D</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1212</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1995.3</td>
<td>1997.7±4.4</td>
<td>200±8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand 5</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>1367</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1989.2</td>
<td>200±8</td>
<td>410±80²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mod E</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1416</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1995.2</td>
<td>1997.7±4.4</td>
<td>200±8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand 6</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>1555</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1989.1</td>
<td>1997.7±4.4</td>
<td>200±8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mod F</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1621</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1995.0</td>
<td>1997.6±4.4</td>
<td>200±8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand 7</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>1951</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1988.8</td>
<td>1997.6±4.4</td>
<td>200±8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mod G</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>2075</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1994.7</td>
<td>1997.4±4.7</td>
<td>200±8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand 8</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2133</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1988.6</td>
<td>200±8</td>
<td>200±8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mod H</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>2366</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1994.5</td>
<td>1997.5±4.8</td>
<td>200±8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand 9</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>2901</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1988.1</td>
<td>1997.5±4.8</td>
<td>200±8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mod I</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2952</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1994.0</td>
<td>1997.5±4.8</td>
<td>200±8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand 10</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>3568</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1987.5</td>
<td>1997.5±4.8</td>
<td>200±8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mod J</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>3669</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1993.5</td>
<td>1997.5±4.8</td>
<td>200±8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand 11</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>4042</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1987.3</td>
<td>1997.5±4.8</td>
<td>200±8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The wave characteristics driven by wind speeds of 7 and 18 m/s have values ranging from (Hs = 0.53 m; Tp = 2.85 s; L = 12.70 m) to (Hs= 1.41 m; Tp = 3.94 s; L = 24.24 m), respectively. The wave characteristics at the wind speed of 7 m/s produced bottom shear stresses of 4.29–0.008 Pa at depths ranging from 2 to 8 m, whereas the wind speed of 18 m/s produced values of 4.1–0.13 Pa at greater depths ranging from 6 to 17 m (Fig. 10A). The critical bottom shear stresses were also calculated for seven particle sizes ranging from clay (0.002 mm) to fine pebble (4.0 mm; Fig. 10A). The threshold lake-water depth to initiate sediment entrainment was determined by iterating water depth until the ratio between the bottom shear stress below the wave crest and the critical bottom shear stress to entrain a given particle size exceeded 1.0.

Deposits characterized as mud typically contain particles less than 0.0625 mm (coarse silt/very fine sand) in size with mud units having particles mostly less than 0.005 mm in size (very fine silt; Fig. 10B; Ehlers and Blatt, 1982).
units described in the OL97 and nearby OL92 cores were previously inferred to represent periods of relatively deeper water conditions at depositional depths of 12–14 m, whereas the interbedded and coarser-grained sediment composed of sands and granules represent relatively shallower water depths of ~7 m (Smith, 1997; Smoot et al., 2000). In contrast, Li et al. (2000) interpreted the mud units in the OL97 cores as being deposited in a shallow-water setting based on proxy evidence of high salt content peaks measured within the mud units. Our sediment entrainment modeling, however, shows that the threshold lake-water depth of mud-sized particles (0.0625–0.002 mm) under waves driven by wind events of 7 and 18 m/s at Owens Lake during AD 1872–1878 potentially reached depths between ~5–7 m and ~12–16 m, respectively (Fig. 10B). The modeling also shows that, under the same wind events, the sandy sediment composed of very coarse sand to very fine granule/pebble (2–4 mm) was potentially entrained at depths between ~2–3 and ~6–8 m, respectively (Fig. 10B). The range of particle sizes assigned to the mud and sand units in the model agrees with the particle-size distributions directly measured in several nearby cores with similar sedimentary units (e.g., Lund et al., 1993).

The lake-water depth analysis presented here, however, would have a higher resolution if core OL97 data included measured particle size distributions at closely spaced sample intervals.

Lake-level reconstruction

We evaluated the threshold lake-water depth analysis for accuracy during calibration by comparing the modeled water depths and corresponding lake-level elevations with the measured lake-level elevation and equivalent water depth in AD 1872–1878. To do this, the elevation of the lake bottom of Owens Lake during this time relative to the depth of the historical mud unit and the core OL97 site elevation of 1083 m was reconstructed by accounting for settlement from consolidation and subsidence from active faulting. Settlement was estimated by correcting for consolidation, as previously discussed. Subsidence at the core site was estimated from combining the vertical slip rates for the Sierra Nevada frontal fault of 0.25 ± 0.05 m/ka (Le et al., 2007) and for the OVF near Lone Pine of 0.12 ± 0.04 m/ka (Bacon and Pezzopane, 2007) to provide a total subsidence rate of 0.37 ± 0.09 m/ka across Owens Lake basin, given that the core OL97 site is located east and on the hanging wall of both fault zones (Fig. 1).

Figure 9. Plots showing: (A) composite age-depth model with 1000-yr reservoir correction developed from reevaluation of data from Owens Lake sediment cores (OL97PC-2, OL97A, and OL97C) of Li et al. (2000) and Smoot et al. (2000). Age of reported dated mud units in cores is shown and depth has been corrected for consolidation. Shaded area shows the 95% confidence interval of 1-sigma. (B) Comparison of the total inorganic content (TIC) record of core OL84B (Benson et al., 2002; Benson, 2004) and sedimentologic record of core OL97. Given the different age-depth models of the cores, there is strong correspondence of the frequency of late Holocene Owens Lake expansion inferred from the age of peaks with low TIC values (1–10) in core OL84B and age of mud units (A–J) in core OL97.

Accounting for depth, settlement, and application of a maximum subsidence rate of 0.46 m/ka to the historical mud unit, along with the difference between the elevation of the core OL97 site and the historical maximum lake level of 1096.4 m (Gale, 1914; Lee, 1915), provided a water depth of 14.2 m. The equivalent particle size at this depth is 0.013 mm (fine silt) based on the threshold lake-water depth analysis during a high-extreme wind event of 18 m/s (Fig. 10B). Applying the same corrections to the depth of the historical mud unit and adding a threshold lake-water depth ~14 ± 2 m for mud units yields a modeled lake level of 1096.2 ± 2 m (Table 3). The results of this analysis yielded a mean modeled lake level similar to the observed water level of Owens Lake in AD 1872. The reconstructed lake levels associated with prehistoric mud and sand units were calculated the same as the modeled historical lake level, requiring up to 1.92 m of correction for consolidation and subsidence at a depth of 5.64 m. The assignment of modeled water depths of ≥14 ± 2 m for mud units and ≤8 ± 2 m for sandy/pebbly (1–4 mm) units yielded reconstructed lake levels of 1092.5–1089 m and 1085–1092 m, respectively (Table 3). The range of threshold lake-water depths for the mud and sand units of this study is similar to the depositional depths of 12–14 m for the mud units in core OL97 inferred by Smoot et al. (2000), as well as the range in depositional depths of 7–14 m for the interbedded sandy and silty clay sediment in nearby core OL92 inferred by Smith (1997).

DISCUSSION

Refined late Holocene lake-level record of Owens Lake

Here we update a summary of late Holocene Owens Lake fluctuations previously reported in Reheis et al. (2014) and based on Bacon et al. (2013) with new limiting age constraints for water levels below 1096 and 1086 m, as well as estimates of the timing and elevation of lake levels without shoreline constraints from a new age-depth model and threshold lake-water depth modeling of sedimentology in core OL97. Integration of these new data offers a
comprehensive and continuous characterization of the lake-level history of Owens Lake that, in turn, allows refinement of the late-Holocene portion of the lake-level curve (Fig. 11). The following discussion includes an accuracy assessment of the refined lake-level record by comparing the results of this study to the shoreline record of Mono Lake, as well as descriptions of modeled water-level variations of Owens Lake in the context of hydroclimate variability inferred from temperature- and precipitation-sensitive tree-ring chronologies and glacial records in the watershed.

Comparisons between core OL97 and Owens and Mono Lake shoreline records
High-resolution sediment-core records have been obtained from major lake basins along the eastern Sierra Nevada (e.g., Owens, Mono, Walker, Pyramid, and Tahoe) to infer the frequency of decadal-centennial hydroclimate variability during the Holocene (e.g., Benson, 2004, and references therein). Lake-level records from the region also have been used to evaluate temporal correspondence between high stands and regional-to-global climate change (e.g., Munroe and Laabs, 2013). Our new modeling approach presents an example of how to directly link lake-core and shoreline lake-level data sets to produce continuous constraints on the timing, duration, and magnitude of oscillating water levels in terminal lake basins.

Figure 10. Plots showing: (A) bottom shear stress at specific depths and critical shear stress ($\tau_{cr}$) for bottom erosion of particles ranging from clay (0.002 mm) to very fine granule/fine pebble (4 mm), and (B) threshold lake-water depth to entrain the range of particle sizes. Average wind speeds associated with strong breeze (7 m/s) and whole gale (18 m/s) conditions, along with a maximum fetch length of ~ 17 km in AD 1872–1878 AD were used in the model to generate wind waves having significant wave heights ($H_s$) of 0.54 and 1.41 m, based on deterministic wind-wave and sediment entrainment modeling of deep-water conditions (USACE, 1984, 2002). The observed lake-water depth of 14.2 m in AD 1872–1878 AD is shown with a star.

Geomorphic- and outcrop-based lake-level data provide independent control on the accuracy of core-based proxy interpretations (Reheis et al., 2014). As a result, we assessed the accuracy of our modeling approach by evaluating temporal correspondence of 22 sand and mud units in core OL97 to the revised shoreline record of Owens Lake. We also compared the core OL97 and shoreline chronologies of Owens Lake to the well-constrained shoreline record of Mono Lake to further demonstrate temporal correspondence of lake-level fluctuations between adjacent terminal lake systems (Table 4).

Core OL97 and Mono Lake shoreline records
The ages of Owens Lake high-stand shorelines of ~ 3560 yr, 780 cal yr BP, and 360 yr, as well as 78 cal yr BP for the historical lake level are within the range of ages for mud units J, C, A, and historical (hist.) in core OL97, respectively (Table 4, Fig. 11). Conversely, the timing of low stands at ~ 890 cal yr BP, 670 cal yr BP/670 yr, and 410 yr are within the range of ages for sand units 4, 3, and 2, respectively (Table 4, Fig. 11). The ages of the seven sand and mud units of core OL97 and timing of Mono Lake water levels from geomorphic evidence have significant temporal correspondence ($R^2 = 0.9985$, $P < 0.001$), thereby demonstrating that the use of an ~ 1000-yr reservoir correction to $^{14}$C dates and development of a new age-depth model for core OL97 sediment was warranted.

Core OL97 and Mono Lake shoreline records
The watersheds of Owens and Mono lake basins are situated along the eastern side of the Sierra Nevada crest and share a common west-east oriented drainage divide (Fig. 1). The late Holocene lake-level record of Mono Lake is constrained by stratigraphic analysis of deltaic and shoreline deposits, as well as dating of buried stumps and woody material within interbedded fluvial layers exposed along stream banks (Stine, 1990, 1994). Similar to Owens Lake, the timing of Mono Lake low stands and high stands coincide with the age of sand and mud units in core OL97, respectively (Table 4, Fig. 11). Conversely, the timing of low stands at ~ 890 cal yr BP, 670 cal yr BP/670 yr, and 410 yr are within the range of ages for sand units 4, 3, and 2, respectively (Table 4, Fig. 11). The ages of the seven sand and mud units of core OL97 and timing of Mono Lake water-level variations from stratigraphic evidence have significant temporal correspondence ($R^2 = 0.9988$, $P < 0.001$). In addition, seven of the 12 Mono Lake low stands and high stands are represented in the shoreline record of Owens Lake (Table 4). A comparison between the shoreline records of Owens and Mono Lakes shows significant temporal correspondence ($R^2 = 0.9976$, $P < 0.001$), indicating that, even with inherited geologic and dating uncertainties in the different studies, both records show that each lake basin responded in a similar manner to hydroclimatic forcing during the late Holocene.
Figure 11. Integrated lake-level record of Owens Lake from a new age-depth model of core OL97 sediment and data from nearby core OL92, threshold lake-water depth analysis, and new numerical ages for the 1108-, 1103-, 1101-, and 1099-m shorelines, plus low stands constrained to below 1096 and 1086 m. The age of mud (historical, A–J) and sand (1–11) units of core OL97 previously described by Smoot et al. (2000) are shown in relation to a range of potential lake levels based on threshold lake-water depth analysis of muddy and sandy/pebbly units driven by a wind speed of 18 m/s. The elevation and age of numerical ages from 14C and infrared stimulated luminescence (IRSL) dating, as well as elevation constraints for water levels based on crosscutting relations with dated geomorphic features are shown. The periods of the (1) early and (2) late Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA) and Little Ice Age (LIA), as well as the Neoglacial (or Neoglacial) are shown in relation to the lake-level record.

Table 4. Comparison of the age of sand and mud units in sediment core OL97 to dated shorelines in Owens and Mono Lakes and paleoclimatic proxy data from tree-ring and glacial records in the Owens River watershed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owens Lake</th>
<th>Mono Lake</th>
<th>Lake-level records</th>
<th>Tree-ring records from south-eastern Sierra Nevada</th>
<th>Tree-ring records from White Mountains</th>
<th>Central Sierra Nevada</th>
<th>Paleoclimatic proxy records</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sediment core</td>
<td>Mean age of core unit (yr BP)</td>
<td>Lake level (m)</td>
<td>Age of lake level (yr BP)</td>
<td>Cold/warm intervals (yr BP)</td>
<td>Temperature anomaly (°C)</td>
<td>Precipitation (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL97</td>
<td>90 ± 20</td>
<td>1096</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>109 ± 30</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL92</td>
<td>140 ± 20</td>
<td>1098.5</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>109 ± 30</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL93</td>
<td>190 ± 20</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>109 ± 30</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL94</td>
<td>240 ± 20</td>
<td>1102</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>109 ± 30</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL95</td>
<td>290 ± 20</td>
<td>1103</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>109 ± 30</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL96</td>
<td>340 ± 20</td>
<td>1104</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>109 ± 30</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL97</td>
<td>390 ± 20</td>
<td>1105</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>109 ± 30</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL98</td>
<td>440 ± 20</td>
<td>1106</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>109 ± 30</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL99</td>
<td>490 ± 20</td>
<td>1107</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>109 ± 30</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL100</td>
<td>540 ± 20</td>
<td>1108</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>109 ± 30</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL101</td>
<td>600 ± 20</td>
<td>1109</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>109 ± 30</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL102</td>
<td>660 ± 20</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>109 ± 30</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL103</td>
<td>720 ± 20</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>109 ± 30</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL104</td>
<td>780 ± 20</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>109 ± 30</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL105</td>
<td>840 ± 20</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>109 ± 30</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL106</td>
<td>900 ± 20</td>
<td>1114</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>109 ± 30</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL107</td>
<td>960 ± 20</td>
<td>1115</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>109 ± 30</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL108</td>
<td>1020 ± 20</td>
<td>1116</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>109 ± 30</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL109</td>
<td>1080 ± 20</td>
<td>1117</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>109 ± 30</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL110</td>
<td>1140 ± 20</td>
<td>1118</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>109 ± 30</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL111</td>
<td>1200 ± 20</td>
<td>1119</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>109 ± 30</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Late Holocene hydroclimate variability

Hydroclimate (e.g., precipitation and temperature) extremes in the western United States are strongly affected by largescale atmospheric circulation patterns that are modulated by interannual-decadal connections in the tropical Pacific, known as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO; e.g., Dettinger et al., 1995; Cayan et al., 1999). The hydroclimate extremes are commonly reflected as interannual-interdecadal streamflow variability and persistence within snowmelt-dominated, dryland regions (e.g., Redmond and Koch, 1991; Vogel et al., 1998; Pagano and Garen, 2005). The relatively close correspondence between large-scale precipitation patterns and tree-ring variation patterns in some studies has demonstrated the utility of extending the history of precipitation patterns (and perhaps their climatic forcings) into the pre-instrumental past (Dettinger et al., 1998).
Hydroclimate variability from tree-ring chronologies
Numerous studies in the western United States and Sierra Nevada have developed tree-ring reconstructions to infer precipitation variability and drought, streamflow, lake-level fluctuations, and snow water equivalent (e.g., Cook et al., 1999, 2004; Meko and Woodhouse, 2011; Graham and Hughes, 2007; Adams et al., 2015; Belmecheri et al., 2015).

In the Owens River watershed, tree-ring studies have provided records of hydroclimate variability of up to ~ 8000-yr long from precipitation reconstructions (e.g., Hughes and Graumlich, 1996; Hughes et al., 2002; Berkelhammer and Stott, 2008; Bale et al., 2011), temperature reconstructions (e.g., Scuderi, 1987a, 1987b, 1993; Salzer et al., 2009, 2014), and reconstructions of both precipitation and temperature (e.g., LaMarche, 1974; Tolwinski-Ward et al., 2015).

To assess the role of hydroclimate variability in controlling lake-level variations of Owens Lake, we used several treering records of up to ~ 4000-yr long from the Owens River watershed to provide paleoclimatic proxy information (Fig. 12). These tree-ring time series were aggregated into discrete time intervals that match the ages of sand and mud units of core OL97. Temperature-sensitive tree-ring chronologies from a site west of Owens Lake in the southeastern Sierra Nevada were used for temperature information. This tree-ring record was developed from relic logs of foxtail pine (P. balfouriana Grev. and Balf.) with 14C dates and reflects warm and cold intervals inferred from changes in timberline (Scuderi, 1987a, 1987b), that also have corresponding temperature anomalies (Scuderi, 1993; Table 4). An additional temperature-sensitive tree-ring chronology from bristlecone pine (P. longaeva Bailey) from the White Mountains was used and is based on the correlation of ring widths to summer (July–September) temperature meteorological data to estimate temperature anomalies relative to modern temperature (Table 4, Fig. 12B; Salzer et al., 2014). The same time-series aggregation also was performed for the precipitation-sensitive tree-ring chronologies developed from the Methuselah Walk bristlecone pine series from the White Mountains. These chronologies are based on either the correlation of ring widths to precipitation meteorological data (Fig. 12C; Hughes and Graumlich, 1996) or from analysis of carbon isotope ratios (δ13C) in cellulose that have been correlated to summer (June–August) precipitation.
Hydroclimate variability from glacial records

In the Sierra Nevada, the advance and retreat of glaciers are especially sensitive to changes in winter precipitation and summer temperature (Gillespie and Clark, 2011). We used the Holocene glacial record from alpine lakes in the central Sierra Nevada to assess the role of alpine hydroclimate variability in controlling late-Holocene hydrologic response of the Owens River-Lake system to two general patterns of hydroclimatic forcing during the late Holocene.

Comparisons of the frequency of sand and mud deposition in core OL97 to the tree-ring and glacial records in the Owens River watershed (Table 4). The ~4000-yr-long tree-ring record in the southeastern Sierra Nevada (Scuderi, 1987a, 1987b) also shows temporal correspondence between lowering of timberline during cold intervals and sand deposition in core OL97. These relations are supported by the timing of when glaciers in the central Sierra Nevada reached their Holocene maximum extent at 210 ± 40 cal yr BP during the latter half of the Little Ice Age (LIA; Bowerman and Clark, 2010), which was also a time that corresponded to low lake levels in Owens Lake basin (Fig. 11, Table 4).

Tree-ring records from the White Mountains provided a more continuous record of hydroclimate variability during periods of glacier maxima. The glacial record has corresponding temperature anomaly values of 0.41 ± 0.72°C and percent-of-normal precipitation values of 100.0 ± 15.2% from the record of Hughes and Graumlich (1996) and 102.5 ± 50.0% from the ~1000-yr-long δ13C-based tree-ring record of Bales et al. (2011) also has similar trends of percent-of-normal precipitation with values for sand and mud units of 98.3 ± 50.0% and 93.8 ± 32.5%, respectively. The ~1000-yr-long tree-ring record shows that mean precipitation associated with the age of sand units was ~5% greater than mud units but displayed a ~35% greater range in precipitation associated with sand units compared to mud units (Table 4).

Hydrologic response of Owens Lake to hydroclimate variability

Comparisons of the frequency of sand and mud deposition in core OL97 to the tree-ring and glacial records in the Owens River watershed display a complex pattern of hydroclimate variability: wet/cold, wet/warm, dry/cold, or dry/warm (Table 4, Fig. 12). Data analysis revealed relatively good temporal correspondence between the magnitude of temperature and precipitation variability and the timing of sand and mud deposition in Owens Lake basin. Our analysis demonstrated that sand deposition (i.e., low stands) occurred mostly during periods with higher hydroclimate variability, whereas mud deposition (i.e., high stands) occurred primarily during times with lower hydroclimate variability. These relations link the hydrologic response of the Owens River-Lake system to two general patterns of hydroclimatic forcing during the late Holocene.

Contemporary stream discharge and water-level changes in lake basins of the Sierra Nevada are largely modulated by the magnitude of snowpack and rate of snowmelt runoff (e.g., Howat and Tulaczyk, 2005). Recent observations of snow drought conditions in the Sierra Nevada (Harpold et al., 2017; Hatchett and McEvoy, 2018) may provide one of many possible hydrologic forcing mechanisms to explain the temporal pattern of late Holocene hydroclimate variability reflected in the lake-level record of Owens Lake, as well as in the glacier maxima record of the central Sierra Nevada (Bowerman and Clark, 2010). Snow drought in the context of hydrologic systems is either classified as “dry snow drought” because of a lack of winter precipitation or “warm snow drought,” where warmer-than-normal temperatures prevent precipitation from accumulating on the landscape as snowpack. Warm snow-drought conditions in the Sierra Nevada often influence significant winter flooding, early snowmelt runoff, reduce summer streamflow, and cause a decrease in perennial snow accumulation (Dettinger and Cayan et al., 1999; Harpold et al., 2017; Hatchett and McEvoy, 2018).
Warm snow droughts can force the hydrologic balance of river-lake systems to respond with a net lowering of lake levels over decadal to multidecadal timescales with persistent warm climate conditions, even during periods with near-normal winter precipitation. Recent hydrologic modeling of the Walker Lake basin (Fig. 1), directly north of Mono Lake, demonstrates that a variety of frequencies and magnitudes of wetter years linked to total moisture transport and atmospheric river events can interrupt MCA-like megadroughts, while still producing regressive lake levels consistent with documented MCA low stands and drought durations (Hatchett et al., 2015, 2016). The Walker Lake modeling results under a megadrought climate offer a large-scale pattern of potential hydroclimate regimes that may explain the apparent correspondence of Owens Lake low stands to the timing of glacier maxima, including the Holocene maximum extent of the LIA, during periods with higher hydroclimate variability. We encourage further research of the hydroclimate required to support Holocene glaciers and snow persistence in the Sierra Nevada to better understand the hydrology of regional river-lake systems.

Lake-level oscillations of Owens Lake during the late Holocene

Many studies in the western United States have performed paleo-hydrological modeling along the north-central Sierra Nevada of megadrought climatic conditions to reconstruct precipitation (e.g., Graham and Hughes, 2007; Kleppe et al., 2011) or to identify potential storm-track activity and moisture transport during the late Holocene (e.g., Hatchett et al., 2015, 2016, 2018). Our results, based on integrated lake-core and shoreline records, showed that 75% of the ~4000-yr-long record of core OL97 is represented by 11 periods of primarily sandy deposition corresponding to modeled lake levels that were ~4–11 m below the historical maximum (Fig. 11). The corresponding low stands and treering records indicated that periods with low stream discharge to the lake were mostly controlled by persistent and higher hydroclimate variability that lasted on average ~290 yr with a maximum duration of ~620 yr centered at ~3260 cal yr BP, soon after the Neoglacial high stand and a minimum of ~60 yr centered at ~2105 cal yr BP (Table 3). The frequency and duration of Owens Lake low stands were also in general agreement with periods of severe multidecadal to multigenennial droughts (i.e., megadroughts) documented in the western Great Basin and south-central Sierra Nevada during the late Holocene (e.g., Stine, 1994; Benson et al., 2002; Mensing et al., 2008, 2013; Cook et al., 2018). The remaining 25% of the Owens Lake record was characterized by eleven periods of mostly mud deposition corresponding to lake levels that were ~4 m below and up to ~12 m above the historical maximum (Fig. 11). The corresponding high stands were primarily controlled by relatively high stream discharge to the lake during periods with persistent and lower hydroclimate variability that lasted on average for ~80 yr with a maximum duration of ~230 yr centered at ~2250 cal yr BP and a minimum of ~20 yr centered at ~800 cal yr BP during the Medieval Pluvial (Table 3). The integrated core OL97 and shoreline records showed that Owens Lake high stands coincided with periods of global-scale climate change with transgressions of up to ~25 m during the Neoglacial (or Neoglacial), ~18 m during the Medieval Pluvial of the MCA, and ~11–13 m during the LIA-1 (Fig. 11).

CONCLUSIONS

We refined the late Holocene Owens Lake lake-level history by mapping, as well as 14C and luminescence dating of four previously undated shorelines above the historical maximum lake level of ~1096 m last occupied during AD 1872–1878. Dates of 3560 ±370 yr from a beach ridge at 1108 m, 910–700 cal yr BP from a beach ridge at 1103 m, and a constraining age of 360 ±80 yr for erosional shorelines at 1101 and 1099 m coincide with periods of persistent and mostly lower hydroclimate variability during the Neoglacial, Medieval Pluvial, and LIA, respectively. New dates from rooted stumps below the historical lake level also indicated two periods of low water levels associated with persistent and higher hydroclimate variability ending at ~890 cal yr BP and that occurred ~670 cal yr BP, nearly synchronous with the timing of eolian sand deposition in Owens Lake basin and multi-centennial low stands documented nearby at Mono Lake during the MCA.

Reevaluation of core OL97 data and application of a ~1000-yr reservoir correction enabled us to produce a new age-depth model for Owens Lake sediments during the past ~4000 yr. Our new age-depth model of OL97 data and interpretation of mud units as being deposited in deep lakes coupled with threshold lake-water depth estimates from wind-wave sediment entrainment modeling have produced a lake-level record of Owens Lake that agrees well with proxy evidence of climate change from lake-level constraints of dated shorelines of Owens and Mono Lakes, as well as from tree-ring and glacial records from sites within the Owens River watershed. The strong correspondence of the timing of modeled lake-level variations of Owens Lake from this analysis with watershed-paleoclimatic proxy records of hydroclimate variability provide confidence in the approach developed in this study to estimate the timing, duration, and magnitude of regional climate change. Our integrated approach to generate a continuous lake-level record with well-constrained oscillating water levels will afford a unique opportunity to perform hydrologic water-balance modeling of the Owens watershed-lake system and characterize the pattern of hydroclimate variability along the south-central Sierra Nevada during the past ~4000 yr.
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