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# YAWA PHONOLOGY 

Linda K. Jones

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper presents a brief description ${ }^{1}$ of the phonology of the non-Austronesian language spoken on Yapen Island, Irian Jaya, Indonesia. The analysis represents the dialect I call here Yawa, spoken on the south coast of Yapen Island, and, in particular, the Yawa dialect as spoken in the village of Sarawandori, located just west of Serui. ${ }^{2}$ There are about 300 speakers of Yawa in this village.

The paper gives a basic phonemic analysis of the various levels of the phonological hierarchy as described in the theoretical model of Kenneth L. Pike (1947, 1971), and further elaborated by Eunice Pike (1974). The phonological levels to be described for Yawa are, from lowest to highest: phoneme, syllable, phonological word, phonological phrase, and pause group. Slight attention to morphophonemics is given. Included as well is a treatment of loan words.
Hitherto there has been only one very brief published description ${ }^{3}$ of this non-Austronesian language. This description consisted of four pages of notes, mostly an evaluation of the dialect situation, in Anceaux's 1961 survey report of the languages ${ }^{4}$ spoken on Yapen and adjacent areas.

## 2. SYLLABLES

### 2.1 Syllable types

In natural speech all words in Yawa end in a vowel. ${ }^{5}$ Since morphemes may end in a consonant (thus far noted are most of the consonants excepting the semivowels), citation forms may occasionally consist of a single morpheme and be pronounced with a final consonant. However, such morphemes would not appear in natural speech without a final vowel. Often, one or more morphemes are suffixed that will result in the word ending in a vowel. Otherwise, generally the phone /e/ is added in the case of nouns and /a/ in the case of verbs. Leaving aside, then, morpheme structure conditions, and focusing only on the phonology, we conclude that all emic syllables in Yawa are open syllables, i.e., they must end in a vowel.

An alternate analysis might allow both open and closed syllables, but say that there is limited distribution of closed syllables in that they may not occur finally in the phonological word. This analysis is favoured by the fact that
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there are consonant-final citation forms and by the fact that phonetically closed syllables do frequently occur, because words containing non-initial consonant clusters are pronounced with the first consonant of the cluster as the coda of one syllable, while the second consonant of the cluster is pronounced as the onset of the next. For example, mondo fast is phonetically [mon.do].

However, we believe that the emic analysis still favours exclusively open syllables, since all naturally occurring words end in vowels and they may be evenly divided into open syllables using only a few syllable division rules to be described below. To allow closed syllables would require much more complex syllable division rules and would also result in numerous ambiguous situations. Our analysis, then, posits only phonemic open syllables, which may be at variance with the phonetic syllables that result in natural speech. Thus, mondo fast has a closed syllable phonetically, but is analysed as having two open syllables phonemically: /mo.ndo/.

There are two basic types of open syllables: those with an initial consonant slot (CV) and those without an initial consonant (V). The initial consonant slot may be occupied by a single consonant or by a cluster of two consonants. Likewise, the $V$ slot of either basic syllable type may be occupied by a single vowel or by a complex cluster consisting of a nuclear vowel plus an off-glide vowel. ${ }^{6}$ The resultant syllable types, with examples, are as follows. (Period indicates syllable break. Ligature joining two vowels indicates a close-knit cluster.)


| /bo/ | paddle |
| :--- | :--- |
| /mbi/ | collapse |
| /pae/ | bone |
| /ntai/ | full |
| /a.jo/ | foot and leg |
| /ai.rai/ | squash |

### 2.2 Distribution

There are certain distributional restrictions on the occurrence of various phonemes in the different slots of the syllable. $C_{1}$ may be any of the 14 consonant phonemes that occur in Yawa. $V_{1}$ may be any of the five vowel phonemes. $C_{3}$ may be any stop or fricative; it may not be a nasal, flap /r/, or semivowel. $C_{2}$ is always a homorganic nasal defined in the following way: if $C_{3}$ is a bilabial (/p/ or /b/), then $C_{2}$ is also bilabial (/m/) ; if $C_{3}$ is any other of the permitted consonants ( $/ \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s} /$ ), then $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ is the alveolar (/n/). Note that a nasal plus a palatal or velar does not assimilate phonetically, e.g. /nk/ is phonetically[nk], not [nk]. In passing, we note that a cluster of flap/r/ plus a voiceless stop may occur in fast speech, but in slow speech such clusters resolve into flap + vowel + voiceless stop.

There are no restrictions on $V_{1}$, but there are on $V_{2}$ and $V_{3}$. The only close-knit $V_{2} V_{3}$ clusters recorded thus far are: /ai, aje, ao, au, oi, ưi/. Close-knit clusters always involve /a/ as the first vowel, or one of the two back vowels plus /i/. Since the list seems well-patterned, we do not expect to
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find additional such clusters. As will be seen later, many other vowel combinations occur in Yawa, but they involve two or more syllables in sequence. The list here are just those vowel clusters that may occupy the same emic syllable. The primary difference is a matter of timing, which can be determined by comparing words containing vowel combinations with words consisting only of simple CV syllables. For example, [au] is one mora of timing in the word /tau.me/ sago flour, but it is two moras of timing in /ra.u.na/ cooks. The difference in timing is heard in comparing these two words with /ta.mi/ nome and /ra.ne/ bums.

There is another difference between vowel combinations that comprise one syllable versus those that constitute two. With one exception, the former have prominence on the first of the two vowels; that is, the first vowel is the syllabic peak of the syllable: VV. When the second vowel has more prominence than the first, $V y$, or when the vowels are of equal prominence, $V Y$, then the two vowels are in different syllables. The one exception (see end note 6) is that the sequence $\left[u_{i}\right.$ ] is regarded as belonging to the same syllable since it is pronounced with unit timing that results in a phonetic semivowel, e.g. [wi].


### 2.3 Syllable division rules

We are now in a position to suggest syllable division rules. The first rule is to divide into as many CV syllables as possible. This is based on the fact that $C V$ is the only universal syllable type and also the first type acquired by young children (e.g. Greenberg 1963). Examples are: /ka.ko.pa/ Zand, soil and /na.ma.ne/ night. Second, if there are consonant clusters, divide into CCV syllables: /nta.bo/ one and /pa.nsa.na.na/ he ruons.

Third, if there are single vowels left over after dividing into CV and CCV syllables, treat as V syllables: /a.ja.mbe.re/ pineapple and /a.na.o.be/ funny. There is a corollary to this latter rule that deals with vowel combinations: when there is a sequence of two vowels, if the second vowel has more prominence than the first $O R$ if it is equally prominent, then divide between the two vowels, UNLESS the sequence is $u i$, in which case do not divide. Examples are: [rabaụ]/ra.ba.u/ split and [saẹe] /sa.e.ke/ lightweight, BUT [wanampwi] /wa.na.mpui/ west. However, when there is a sequence of two vowels, if the first vowel has more prominence than the second, then syllable division is not predictable. The vowels may belong to the same or different syllables. Examples are: [taume]/tau.me/sago flour and [rauna]/ra.u.na/ cooks, cited in the previous section. Further examples include [yaitee]/yai.te/ comb and [kaibẹ]/ka.i.we/ valley.
In the case of sequences of three vowels (there are never more than three vowels in sequence in Yawa), apply the rules dealing with vowel combinations pair-wise twice. For example, [aneae] /a.ne.ae/ wave. I believe that all Yawa data can be handled by the syllable division rules just posited.

## 3. PHONEMES

There are 19 phonemes in Yawa: 14 consonants $/ b, d, j, k, m, n, n ̃, p, r, s ̌$, $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{and}$ five vowels /a, e, i, o, u/. They are listed in chart form below. The parameters of the chart designate all the relevant feature specifications for each phoneme.

|  | CHART OF CONSONANTS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bilabial | Alveolar | Palatal | Back |
| Stop vl. | p | t |  | k |
| vd. | b | d | j |  |
| Fricative |  | s | s |  |
| Nasal | m | n | $\tilde{n}$ |  |
| Flap | w | r |  |  |
| Semivowel |  |  | y |  |


|  | CHART OF VOWELS |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Front | Central | Back |
| High | i |  |
| Mid | e |  |
| Low | a | u |

The normal phonetic quality of the phonemes are as follows. /p, $t, k /$ are voiceless oral stops at the bilabial, alveolar, and velar points of articulation. /b, d, j/ are voiced oral stops at the bilabial, alveolar, and alveopalatal points of articulation. The stops are all unaspirated. The term back consonant ${ }^{7}$ is used to group together $/ \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{j} /$. Although they are not pronounced at the same point of articulation, they occupy the same slot in the overall consonant system. They share the feature [-anterior] in contrast with the other stops. Actually, /j/ has a velar stop [g] as an allophone, but since the alveopalatal [j] is the unmarked allophone (occurring in the 'elsewhere' environments), we have chosen to label the phoneme as $/ \mathrm{j} /$. Furthermore, a rough frequency count shows that the allophone [j] occurs about 1.5 times as often as the allophone [g].

The fricatives are both voiceless spirants. /s/is an alveolar groove spirant, while /š/ is a lamino-alveolar split spirant best symbolised phonetically as [ $s^{Y}$ ] (and hence labelled 'palatal' in the chart). /m, $n, \tilde{n} /$ are voiced nasal stops at the bilabial, alveolar, and alveopalatal points of articulation. /r/ is an alveolar flap. $/ \mathrm{w} /$ is a voiced glide from the position of the vowel /u/, with lip rounding (hence it is labiovelar), with a common voiced bilabial fricative allophone [b]. /y/ is a voiced glide from the position of the vowel /i/ (hence it is palatal).

The vowels are: /i/ high front unrounded; /e/ mid front unrounded; /a/ low central unrounded; /o/ mid back rounded; and /u/ high back rounded.

### 3.1 Allophones

Yawa has the common five-vowel system /a, e, i, o, u/, and like most such systems, the degree of deviation from the typical vowel positions is small (Crothers 1978). Except occasionally in fast speech, the vowels hold the same quality in all occurrences. Thus, each vowel has only the one allophone, itself.

The most important allophonic rule affecting consonants is a Rounding Rule. Of the 14 consonants, all but four /t, š, $\tilde{n}, y /$ have been observed to be sensitive to the Rounding Rule. Since /š, $\tilde{n}, y /$ are the only members of the class of palatal consonants, we can say that the rule does not affect this class. But it is unclear why /t/ should not be sensitive to the rule. It does not seem probable that it is merely a gap in the pattern that would be corrected with more data, in that there are words (five so far) with the correct environment for the rule to operate if /t/ were indeed sensitive to it, e.g. /tutara/ shiver. But none of these words have ever been observed with rounded /t/. For the present, then, there is asymmetry in the statement of the rule.
(l) Rounding Rule:

A non-palatal consonant may be rounded when preceded by /u/ and followed by another vowel.
However, /t/ is not rounded.
opt.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{C} & \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{W}} / \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{C}) \quad \mathrm{V} \\
{[- \text { pal }]} & \\
{[-/ \mathrm{t} /]} &
\end{array}
$$

Note that the rule permits rounding even across an intervening consonant. In the case of a consonant cluster after /u/, it is always the second consonant that is affected by the rounding. The rule is marked 'optional' in that it is not much used by the younger generation of speakers, and even among older speakers, rounding does not always occur in the appropriate environments.
The following examples illustrate rounding as it affects each of the ten consonants to which the rule may apply:

| /p/ | /aitatupe/ | [ $\mathrm{a}^{\text {t }}$ tatup ${ }^{\text {e }}$ ] | fish poison, of taro and crab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /k/ | /unkame/ | [ unkwame] | milk, breast |
| /b/ | /raubai/ | [raubwai] | kill |
| /d/ | /ramaude/ | [ $\mathrm{rama}^{\text {udwe }}$ ] | throw toward speaker |
| /j/ | /anuja/ | [ ${ }^{\text {anug }}$ a ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | insides |
| /s/ | /unsumaje/ | [ uns $^{\text {wimaje] }}$ | grass |
| /m/ | /kaume/ | [ $\mathrm{ka}^{\left.\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\text {e }} \text { ] }\right]}$ | cucumber |
| /n/ | /kaunane/ | [ $k a^{\prime}{ }_{n}{ }^{\text {wane }}$ ] | rodent's nest |
| /r/ | /raura/ | [ $\mathrm{rau}^{\text {rwa }}$ ] | speak |
| /w/ | /puyuwa/ | [puyubwa] | whistle |

The rule operates across morpheme, but not word, boundaries, as can be seen by /ramaude/ throw toward speaker, which is composed of two morphemes /ramau/ throw plus /de/ come. The rule is progressive - only a preceding/u/, but not a following /u/ can trigger the rule. The word /raopupae/ wipe, for instance, has only been observed with the second / / / rounded, but not the first: [raopupWae]. This word also illustrates that only /u/ and not back vowels in general can cause consonantal rounding. This fact often proves useful for non-native ears to distinguish the sequence /au/ from /ao/, as well as, of course, /u/ from /o/. Compare /unkame/ [unkwame] milk, breast with /onkame/ [,
Besides the Rounding Rule which is responsible for numerous allophones, there are several other allophonic rules to mention. One minor one is that in citation forms, in the occasional case where a morpheme without suffixes is given, if the morpheme ends in a voiceless stop, it will be uttered unreleased.
(2) Unreleasing Rule: A voiceless stop is unreleased word finally (citation forms).

```
\(\mathrm{C} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{C}^{7} / \underline{\# \#}\)
[+stop]
[-voice]
```

Examples are /rankusyap/ [rankusyap`] to mix and /iwak/ [ibak’] Zightning. The bilabial semivowel /w/ fluctuates between two variants, a plain bilabial semivowel [w] and a bilabial voiced fricative [b]. There is no phonological conditioning.
(3) Semivowel $w$ Rule: The phoneme $/ w /$ fluctuates between [ $w$ ] and [b].
$\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{w} \sim \mathrm{b}$
[+semi]
[+bilab]
Individual speakers prefer one variant or the other for many specific words, but there are plenty of cases where the same speaker pronounces a word sometimes with [ $w$ ] and sometimes with [b]. For example, one man whose speech has been often observed always pronounces /rakawi/ bite with [w] and /rawe/ use, do with [b], but alternates the pronunciation of /rawawi/draw water between [rawawi], [rababi], and [rawabi].

Another allophonic rule involves the back phoneme /j/. The rule is that immediately following the high back vowel, /j/ is manifested as [g] (or usually [ $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{W}}$ ] because of subsequent application of the Rounding Rule). In all other environments, it is realised as voiced alveopalatal affricate [j]. In the rule, the feature 'back' is as defined earlier to refer to the class /k, j/.
(4) Voiced Back Consonant Rule: The phoneme /j/ is realised as [g] following /u/.
$\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{u}$ $\qquad$ [+back]
[+voice]

The following words illustrate the phonetic shape of /j/ in all its possible environments - word initial, following each of the five vowels, and following /n/.

| Initial | /janiwa/ | [janiba] | afraid |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| After /n/ | /njaja/ | [njaja] | father |
| After /i/ | /nija/ | [nija] | red |
| /e/ | /anodeja/ | [anodeja] | he Zikes |
| /a/ | /ajama/ | [ajama] | mother-in-Zau; sister-in-Zoa |
| /o/ | /andoja/ | [andoja] | oZder sibZing of the some sex |
| /u/ | /kuja/ | [kugwa] | head |

Besides the clear phonological evidence, there is morphophonemic support for uniting [j] and [g] into one phoneme. First, consider the clitic /-ema/ which is frequently added to nouns in simple naming expressions. It might be glossed as it is. Nouns ending in /a/ and /e/ drop the /e/ of the clitic /-ema/. The following are examples (the plus sign has no phonetic value; it merely separates the clitic from the stem) : [kugwa+ma] it's (his) head, [pawa+ma] it's rice, [anane+ma] it's sago, [make+ma] it's a dog. Nouns ending in /o/ simply add the clitic as is: [siro+ema] it's cloth and [bo+ema] it's a paddle. However, nouns ending in /i/ add a transitional consonant [j] before the clitic: [andani+j+ema] it's a mango and [miki+j+ema] it's a cat. (Compare these $i$-final nouns with phonetically similar e-final nouns above.) Nouns ending in /u/ add a transitional consonant [g] or [gw] before the clitic: [timburu+gw+ema] it's cassava and [mabu+g+ema] it's blood. (Compare these u-final nouns with the phonetically similar o-final nouns above.) If [j] and [g] are regarded as allophones of the same phoneme /j/ according to the rule in 4 above, then the morphophonemic facts regarding $u$-final and $i-f i n a l$ nouns can be united into one simple statement: nouns ending in a high vowel add a transitional /j/ before clitics (or suffixes) beginning with /e/. It appears that the rule is motivated by the need to avoid unpermitted vowel sequences */ie/ and */ue/.

There are very similar morphophonemic facts regarding adjectives and verbs when the imperfective suffix /-a/ is added. Adjectives and verbs ending in /e/ or /o/ simply add the imperfective suffix /-a/: [kokobe+a] crows (rooster) and [bo+a] rows (a canoe). Adjectives and verbs ending in /i/ or /u/insert a transitional consonant /j/ (manifested as [j] after /i/ and as [g] or [gw] after /u/) before adding the suffix /-a/: [kakai+j+a]/kakai+j+a/ it is/was bad and [bau+gw+a] /bau+j+a/ it is/was broken. Again the motivation appears to be to avoid unpermitted vowel sequences $* / i a /$ and $* / u a /$. These morphophonemic facts lend further support to analysing [j] and [g] as one phoneme.

The final allophonic rule deals with some peculiarities involving word-initial rounded consonants. In the dialect under study, there is no [u] pronounced preceding these initial rounded consonants. However, some of the same words in other dialects are pronounced with a preceding [u]. This suggests the following rule ${ }^{8}$ is responsible for the forms occurring in this dialect.
Initial Unstressed u Dele
$\mathrm{V} \quad \rightarrow \quad \varnothing \quad / \# \#$ __CV
[+high]
[+back]
[-stress]

There is considerable evidence for this rule. First, there are a number of words with initial rounded consonants, such as [ rw$],\left[\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{W}}\right],[\mathrm{sw}]$, and others, where the rounding is otherwise unexplained. The form [gw] is particularly problematical in this regard because aside from some initial occurrences, all other instances of $\left[\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}\right.$ ] are found after the high back vowel/u/, indicating that it is an allophone of /j/. The Initial Unstressed $u$ Deletion Rule accounts for the problem words with initial rounded consonant by positing a preceding /u/ which is deleted. For example, [rwame] rib is phonemically /urame/, [ $\left.g^{W} e\right] p i g$ is /uje/, and [ $g^{W}$ anena] sick is /ujanena/.

Second, there is some important morphophonemic evidence. The person and number markers for consonant-initial intransitive verbs are generally prefixes, e.g. i- 'l sg', m- or $n-13 \mathrm{sg}$ fem', and wa- ' 2 pl '. However, in the case of third person plural forms there is no prefix but instead the initial consonant is rounded. This suggests that there is an underlying initial/u/ which induces the rounding on the following consonant, according to the Rounding Rule, but then is subsequently deleted. This explanation would account for the following data, which is a small sample of the verbs that behave this way.
sing dance urinate

| $l$ sg | $[$ ikenYa] | /ikeña/ | [isare] | /isare/ | [ipaobea] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | /ipaobea/

### 3.2 Contrasts between full phonemes

Having discussed all the significant allophonic variation of Yawa phonemes, we backtrack in a sense to present some of the evidence ${ }^{9}$ for the phonemes themselves by showing contrast among the suspicious sets of phones. We begin by showing contrast between voiceless and voiced stops at the same point of articulation, proceed through other contrasts between consonants, and, lastly, illustrate the vowels in contrast. (WI = Word initial, WM = Word medial, and WF = Word final.)

### 3.2.1 Voiceless versus voiced stops

The stop series in Yawa includes both voiceless and voiced stops at bilabial, alveolar, and back points of articulation.

| /p/ vs /b/ WI | /po/ | [po] | 3 sg masc subject |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | /bo/ | [bo] | paddle |

### 3.2.2 Miscellaneous contrasts involving stops

The following are illustrations of contrasts between certain stops and other phonemes.

| /d/ vs /j/ | WI | /dawuta/ | [dabuta] | snapped, broken off |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | /janiwa/ | [janiba] | afraid |
|  |  | /doije/ | [doije] | money |
|  |  | /joena/ | [ joena] | no, not |
|  | WM | /radani/ | [ radani] | five |
|  |  | /ajama/ | [ajama] | mother- or sister-in-Zow |
|  |  | /tutudi/ | [tutudi] | all |


|  |  | /maruje/ | [marugWe] | rain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /j/ vs /y/ | WI | /jani/ | [jani] | afraid |
|  |  | /yane/ | [ yane] | fence |
|  |  | /jora/ | [jora] | hurts |
|  |  | /yo/ | [yo] | 2 dual subject |
|  |  | /ajare/ | [ajare] | ironwood |
|  |  | /ayaere/ | [ ayaere] | kind of poisonous grass |
|  |  | /puje/ | [puje] | he gets down |
|  |  | /puyu/ | [puyu] | he whistles |
| /j/ vs /š/ | wI | /jiru/ | [jiru] | two |
|  |  | /ši šo/ | [syis\%o] | enter |
|  |  | /jora/ | [jora] | hurts |
|  |  | /šo/ | [syo] | 1 sg subject |
|  | wM | /raija/ | [raija] | hold, catch |
|  |  | /raiša/ | [ $\mathrm{ra}^{\text {i }}$ ¢ ya ] | eat |
|  |  | /majo/ | [majo] | their legs and feet |
|  |  | /mašo/ | [mas $\mathrm{Y}_{\text {O }}$ ] | she descends |
| /b/ vs /w/ | WI | /bikikibe/ | [bikikibe] | Iust |
|  |  | /wirati/ | [wirati] | so, as a result |
|  |  | /bo/ | [bo] | paddle |
|  |  | /wo/ | [wo] | 3 pl subject |
|  | WM | /ababa/ | [ababa] | bride price bowl |
|  |  | /awa/ | [awa] | mouth |
|  |  | /kubakube/ | [kubakubwe] | round |
|  |  | /uwe/ | [ $u_{\text {b }} \mathrm{E}_{\text {e }}$ ] | blossom |

The contrast between /b/ and /w/ has strong phonological support. However, we wish to mention that there is some morphophonemic alternation between these two phonemes. The alternation cannot be explained by phonological conditioning. The stative suffix, for example, has two forms /be/ and /we/. Compare [awa+be+a] yawns and [kara+be+a] defecates; also [koko+be+a] crows (rooster) and [babinsanai+be+a] pregnant.

### 3.2.3 Sibilant fricatives

There are two fricative phonemes in Yawa, sibilants at the alveolar and palatal points of articulation: /s/ and /š/. ${ }^{10}$

| /s/vs/š/ WI /sasora/ | [sasora] | burned (skin) |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| vs /y/ | /šaše/ | [sYasYe] | different |
|  | /yaši/ | [yasyi] | middle, centre |


| /sopa/ | [sopa] | jump |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| /šo/ | $[$ syo] | l sg subject |
| /yo/ | $[$ yo] | 3 dual subject |
| WM $/$ raiseo/ | [raiseo] | climb |
| /raiše/ | [raisYe] | whittle |
| /rayana/ | [rayana] | enclose, put a fence around |
| /rasakina/ | [rasakina] | reverse, flip |
| /kašambe/ | [kasYambe] | corn |
| /kamayo/ | [kamayo] | fog, mist |

### 3.2.4 Nasals

There are three nasals in Yawa: /m/, /n/, and /ñ/. The phonetically complex combinations [ NC ] (nasal plus consonant) are interpreted as a sequence of two phonemes, except in the case of [ny]. This interpretation will be discussed more fully in Section 5. Here we simply illustrate the contrasts involved.

| /m/ vs /n/ | WI | /miki/ | [miki] | cat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | /niki/ | [niki] | sleep |
|  |  | /mana/ | [mana] | water |
|  |  | /nanawa/ | [nanawa] | human classifier |
|  | WM | /timure/ | [timure] | afterbirth |
|  |  | /sinu/ | [sinu] | steer a canoe |
|  |  | /uma/ | [uma] | sun |
|  |  | /unae/ | [unae] | fish scales |
| /n/ vs /ñ/ | WI | /naume/ | [ $\mathrm{na}^{\mathrm{U}_{\text {m }} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{e}} \text { ] }}$ | sky ${ }^{11}$ |
|  |  | /naume/ | [ $n \mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Va}_{n} \mathrm{~W}_{e}$ ] | honey |
|  |  | /no/ | [no] | 2 sg subject |
|  |  | /ño/ | [ nYo ] | tree; wood |
|  | WM | /mana/ | [mana] | water |
|  |  | /waña/ | [wanYa] | woman |
|  |  | /maninimbe/ | [maninimbe] | quietly |
|  |  | /mañiñim/ | [man $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{in}} \mathrm{Y} \mathrm{im}$ ] | fishy smell |
| /N/ vs /NC/ vs /C/ | WI | /no/ | [no] | 2 sg subject |
|  |  | /ndo/ | [ $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{do}}$ ] | be at a location |
|  |  | /doije/ | [doije] | money |
|  |  | /nanawa/ | [nanawa] | human classifier |
|  |  | /njano/ | [ $\mathrm{n}_{\text {jano }}$ ] | firewood |
|  |  | /jani/ | [ jani ] | afraid |


| WM | /anane/ | [ anane] | sago |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | /andani/ | [andan i] | mang |
|  | /radani/ | [ radan i ] | five |
|  | /wamo/ | [wamo ] | 1 pl |
|  | /kambore/ | [kambore] | taro |
|  | /ntabo/ | [ $\mathrm{n}_{\text {tabo }}$ ] | one |

### 3.2.5 Flap

There is some morphophonemic alternation between the voiced stop [d] and the voiced flap [r] in Yawa. For instance, the intensifier morpheme 'very' varies between [dabe] and [rabe]. At least some of the alternation must be morphologically conditioned, in that it occurs in identical environments. Compare the following pairs: [mamai+dabe] exactly the some and [ntai+rabe] very full; also [sere+dabe] very naughty and [manke+rabe] very heavy. A test of six Yawa speakers revealed that only these pronunciations occurred - certain words always used [d] for the intensifier; others always used [r]. Within the same word, there was no free fluctuation between them.

Further facts cast suspicion on these two phones. First, [d], but not [r], may follow a nasal. Furthermore, while [r] is extremely common word initial, [d] is rare in this position. These facts may lead one to suppose that these are actually allophones of a single phoneme. However, this supposition cannot be sustained, because there are too many clear contrasts between the two phones, and further, no rule can be found that would predict their occurrences. Consider the following sample of contrasts, showing that /d/ and /r/ must be regarded as distinct phonemes.

| /d/vs /r/ WI | /de/ | [de] | come |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | /rene/ | [rene] | sugarcane |
|  | /dawuta/ | [dabuta] | snapped, broken off |
|  | /rawaki/ | [rabaki] | carry on one's back |

### 3.2.6 Vowels

Yawa has a standard five-vowel system: /i, e, a, o, u/. They contrast in all positions in the word - initially, medially, and finally.

| WI | /iwak/ | [ibak] | Zightning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | /ewe/ | [ ebe] | rainbow |
|  | /awa/ | [ awa] | mouth |
|  | /owa/ | [oba] | wave |
|  | /uwe/ | [ ubwe ] | bZossom |
| WM | /tumbina/ | [tumbina] | name of a constellation |
|  | /bambene/ | [bambene] | ceiling space and boards |
|  | /bambana/ | [bambana] | swozlen |
|  | /bamboni/ | [ bamboni] | strong |
|  | /timburu/ | [timburu] | cassava |
| WF | /rakari/ | [rakari] | pick leaves |
|  | /rakokare/ | [rakokare] | return something to speaker |
|  | /rakera/ | [rakera] | paint, decorate |
|  | /rakaro/ | [rakaro] | pound sago |
|  | /raru/ | [raru] | pick fruit |

Minimal or near-minimal pairs exist for all suspicious vowel pairs.

| /i/ vs /e/ | WI | /iwak/ | [ibak] | Zightning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | /ewe/ | [ebe] | rainbow |
|  | WM | /kiri/ | [kiri] | bait |
|  |  | /keri/ | [keri] | behind |
|  | WF | /mani/ | [mani] | quiet |
|  |  | /mane/ | [mane] | fruit |
| /e/ vs /a/ | WI | /ename/ | [ ename] | fishing net |
|  |  | /aneme/ | [ aneme] | arm and hand |
|  | WM | /rene/ | [ rene] | sugarcane |
|  |  | /rane/ | [ rane] | burn something |
|  | WF | /kare/ | [kare] | banana |
|  |  | /kara/ | [kara] | faeces |
| /a/ vs /o/ | WI | /awa/ | [ awa] | mouth |
|  |  | /owa/ | [oba] | wind |
|  | WM | /ratatae/ | [ratatae] | sow, scatter seeds |
|  |  | /ratota/ | [ratota] | cut |
|  | WF | /kata/ | [kata] | again |
|  |  | /kato/ | [kato] | arrow |


| /o/ vs /u/ wI | /omo/ | [omo] | but |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | /uma/ | [uma] | sun |

Furthermore, the morphophonemic evidence cited in the discussion after the Voiced Back Consonant Rule in 4 above provides further strong support for the contrasts between /i/ and /e/, and between /u/ and /o/. Recall that in the case of nouns, verbs, and adjectives ending in a high vowel, a transitional consonant /j/ must be inserted before suffixes or clitics beginning with /-e/ or /-a/ may be added. The transitional /j/, however, does not appear when the morphemes end in other vowels.

### 3.3 Distribution

There are few significant distributional restrictions pertaining to Yawa phonemes. All phonemes, both consonants and vowels, may occur word initially and word medially. As noted earlier, however, only vowels may occur word finally except in the unusual situation of citation. Perhaps the most significant distributional restriction pertains to the palatals /š/ and /n/. Only the high vowels /i/ and /u/ and the low vowel /a/ are found preceding these two palatals; the mid vowels /e/ and /o/ do not occur. It would appear that the contrast between the two front vowels /i/ and /e/ and between the two back vowels /o/ and /u/ is neutralised preceding the palatals /š/ and /n/ (essentially in the environment $C[+$ pal $][$-semi $]$ ). Since the resulting phonetic shape is always a high vowel, a Raising Rule might be called for that would have the effect of raising underlying mid vowels to high vowels in this environment. We do not posit such a rule here, though, since it would be impossible in the case of most words to decide whether the true phonemic form ought to involve a mid or a high vowel. To a limited extent, the same distribution patterns are observed preceding /y/ and /j/. However, with these two consonants, mid vowels on occasion do occur preceding them, e.g. /poyowa/ he cries and /andoja/ older sibling of the same sex.

There are of course differences in the phonemes regarding their frequency of occurrence. Voiceless stops /t/ and /k/, flap/r/, nasals, and the semivowel $/ w /$ all have especially high frequency counts among the consonants. The vowel /a/ occurs three times more often than any other vowel, and seven times more often than the vowel /u/.

## 4. CLUSTERS

A careful study of the permissible consonant clusters and vowel clusters facilitates the interpretation of ambiguous segments [w] and [u], [y] and [i], as well as $[\mathrm{ny}]$ and [ sy ]. Interpretation of these segments will be discussed in Section 5.

### 4.1 Consonant clusters

In describing the syllable in Section 2, we stated the constraints on consonant clusters in Yawa. We briefly repeat them here. Within a phonological word, only clusters of two consonants may occur, even across morpheme boundaries. The first consonant must be a nasal and the second must be either a stop or a fricative. Furthermore, there is a constraint that the nasal must be homorganic with the following consonant, where 'homorganic' means that the nasal must be bilabial if the following consonant is bilabial and otherwise must be the alveolar nasal. All the possible combinations occur both word initially and word medially, except that /mp/ has not yet been recorded initially and /nj/ has been recorded medially only in a loan word. Examples follow, first showing word-initial contrasts, then word-medial contrasts.

WORD-INITIAL CONTRASTS OF CONSONANT CLUSTERS


### 4.2 Vowel clusters

Clusters of two and even, rarely, three vowels in sequence may occur in Yawa. Within the same morpheme, two identical vowels in sequence are very rare; combinations almost always involve heterogeneous vowels. The total inventory of two-vowel combinations thus far recorded as occurring within a single morpheme is as follows:

| VOWEL CLUSTERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second member |  | i | e | a | O | u |
| First | i | i i | - | ia | - | iu |
| member | e | - | - | ea | eo | - |
|  | a | ai | ae | aa | ao | au |
|  | 0 | oi | oe | oa | - | - |
|  | $u$ | ui | - | - | - | - |

Most gaps in the inventory would be filled if semivowels were included, based on their phonetic quality being that of a high vowel. Thus, one may say that phonetically virtually all combinations of two heterogeneous vocoids may occur. ${ }^{12}$ However, phonemically only the combinations listed above occur. Where a vocoid has been interpreted as phonemically a semivowel (see Section 5.2), combinations involving it have not been counted as a vowel cluster. (Otherwise, clusters of up to six vowels would occur in Yawa, a conclusion not supported by other facts.)

Thus, the inventory of vowel clusters above includes only combinations of two phonemic vowels. Certain observations may be stated about the permitted vowel clusters. First, all combinations of two heterogeneous non-high vowels /ea, eo, ae, ao, oe, and oa/ occur and most are in fact common. However, only a few of the theoretically possible heterogenous combinations involving at least one high vowel occur. Those that do occur phonemically are /iu, au, ai, oi, and ui/. These patterns may be represented as follows:

INVOLVING NON-HIGH VOWELS ONLY


INVOLVING HIGH VOWELS


The following chart gives one example of each of the two-vowel combinations that occur. ${ }^{13}$ The examples are written phonemically.

EXAMPLES OF VOWEL CLUSTERS WHICH OCCUR IN YAWA

| /iv/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { /vi/ } \\ & \text { piipi } \\ & \text { taut } \end{aligned}$ | /Ve/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { /Va/ } \\ & \text { jiane } \\ & \text { fish } \end{aligned}$ | /Vo/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { /Vu/ } \\ & \text { siu } \\ & \text { pointed } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /ev/ | -- | -- | kea <br> skin | seo get up | -- |
| /av/ | ankai <br> sago chopsticks | kae jungle rat | kugaawe yellow, orange | baona plays | rauna cooks |
| /ov/ | nandoi road | ratoe <br> write | boana light colour | -- | -- |
| /uv/ | suija swims | -- | -- | -- | -- |

Thus far, there are only two clear instances in the data of three vowels in sequence: /raeana/ beat a drwn and /aneae/ wave.

A sequence of two vowels may belong to one or two syllables, as discussed in Section 2 on the syllable. If the two vowels belong to the same syllable, then they constitute a complex nucleus of two close-knit vowels. Such a complex of close-knit vowels always consists of one syllabic vowel and a second vowel which either on-glides to or off-glides from the syllabic vowel. There is pronounced asymmetry in the on-glide and off-glide patterns. There is only one close-knit vowel nucleus with an on-glide: /ui/. An example is [sabwi] /sa.bui/ ten. All other close-knit vowel nuclei consist of a syllabic vowel plus an off-glide. The combinations which occur are /oi/, /ui/, and all combinations of /a/ plus a dissimilar vowel - /ai, ae, ao, au/. One of the close-knit vowel nuclei is unique because it involves both an on-glide and an off-glide pattern. This is the sequence /ui/, which may be either [ui] (e.g. the word for ten just cited) or [ $u^{\text {l }}$ ] (example is [muindabe]/muindawe/ generous). (There is another theoretical possibility for the sequence /ui/, which is that both vowels are equally syllabic, and this also occurs in the data, but of course two syllables are then involved, e.g. [ruịnta] /ruinta/ which one.)

The same vowels that occur in combination in a close-knit nucleus of a single syllable may also occur in a sequence of two syllables. In addition, all the other combinations in the inventory listed above may occur in a sequence of two syllables.

Contrasts between suspicious pairs of close-knit vowel clusters are illustrated below. Contrasts involving vowel clusters belonging to two syllables are not illustrated, as the contrasts do not seem suspect.

| /oi/vs /ui/ | /doije/ | [doije] | money |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | /nuiše/ | [nuisYe] | sand |
| /ai/ vs /ae/ | /rai/ | [rai] | have |
|  | /rae/ | [rae] | see, weave |
| /ao/ vs /au/ | /rao/ | [rao] | build a fire |
|  | /rau/ | [rau] | cook |

## 5. INTERPRETATION OF AMBIGUOUS SEGMENTS AND SEQUENCES

Phonemic representations of the data in previous sections have made implicit assumptions regarding the interpretation of ambiguous segments and sequences. This section will spell out some of the arguments for these interpretations. The segments that are suspicious are $[y]$ and $[i],[w]$ and [ $u$ ]. The suspicious sequences are $\left[n y^{Y}\right]$ and $\left[s^{Y}\right]$.

### 5.1 Ambiguous sequences

The sequence [sy] has been interpreted as a unit phoneme/š/ since there are no consonant clusters in Yawa that would be parallel to a /sy/ cluster. ${ }^{14}$ All non-suspicious consonant clusters have a nasal as the first member. There is another reason to avoid a consonant-cluster interpretation. All the
non-suspicious consonants which begin the ergative pronoun series are single consonants, e.g., no '2 sg', po '3 sg masc', mo '3 sg fem'. The first singular ergative pronoun is phonetically [s $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{O}}$ ], which would nicely fit the simple CV pattern of the other singular pronouns if it were phonemically /šo/.
Interpreting the [sy] sequence instead as /si/ is disfavoured because it would allow certain vowel sequences such as ie which would then only occur after /s/, but never after any other consonant. It would also result in a number of $i \mathbf{i}$ sequences, when otherwise this sequence is very rare. For example, [rausyi] slice would be /rausii/.

One might argue very similarly for the sequence [ $n y$ ]. In particular, the same arguments would hold against an /ni/ interpretation. However, the interpretation as a sequence of two consonants /ny/ cannot be dismissed as easily, in view of the parallel with the favoured consonant cluster type in Yawa - nasal plus consonant. This parallel argues for an interpretation of [ nY ] as a consonant cluster. However, there is no evidence for a consonant cluster involving the other semivowel, i.e., $* / \mathrm{mw} /$ does not occur. It would be systematically asymmetrical to allow the sequence /ny/ but not the sequence */mw/; in other words, to allow nasal clusters with only one of the two semivowels. However, it is not asymmetrical to exclude semivowels as a class from occurring in nasal clusters. Furthermore, since it seems necessary to posit a sibilant phoneme at the palatal point of articulation, it would be natural to posit a nasal phoneme there as well. Consequently, we assume the best interpretation is to regard [ny] as emically a unit phoneme /ñ/.
There is one final argument for interpreting both these palatals as unit phonemes instead of as sequences of an alveolar plus / $\mathrm{y} /$. The phonetic sequences [yi], [ye], and [yu] only occur following [s] and [n], which would seem a peculiar distributional restriction. However, assuming /š/ and /ñ/ as unit phonemes, the analysis would be /ši, še, šu, $\tilde{n} i, n ̃ e, ~ a n d ~ n ̃ u / . ~$

### 5.2 Ambiguous segments

The grey area in many a phonological analysis concerns the interpretation of the two high vocoids. Are they always vowel phonemes or are they sometimes consonant phonemes? As will be seen, we argue for a split analysis where these vocoids are in certain positions interpreted as vowels /i, u/ and in others as consonants /y, w/.

There are two arguments for considering these segments as everywhere vowels. One is that such an interpretation would fill out the system of two-vowel combinations such that almost all the theoretical possibilities would occur. Since it is uncommon for languages to be totally symmetrical in their permitted vowel combinations, this is not in itself a powerful argument. The second argument is that such an analysis would make the morphophonemic description easier. For instance, some morphemes could be written identically even though their phonetic shape differed slightly in different grammatical slots. As an example, the word for big is phonetically [manakoya] when it is a predicate adjective, but is [manakwe] as a modifier to a noun. A morphophonemic transcription /manakoe/ could yield just one spelling. However, literacy workers (e.g. Shaw and Shaw 1977) have found that morphophonemic spellings are often more difficult to teach than phonemic ones. Hence, this is not a powerful argument either.

On the other hand, the arguments against analysing the high vocoids as everywhere vowel phonemes are considerable. First, there is the fact that the longest sequence of non-suspicious vowels is three in a row (of which there are only two examples in the data). But an exclusively-vowels analysis of [i] and [u] would result in sequences of up to six vowels, and there would be many words with four and five-vowel sequences: [aiao] speak, [kaiue] valley, [poioua] cry, [puiuua] whistle, and [ujaiauain] far. Second, only a very few two-vowel combinations (ai and ae) and no three-vowel combinations, occur word initially. But an exclusively-vowels analysis of [i] and [u] would permit many more word-initial vowel combinations, and some would be five vowels long, e.g. [auaia] to pay him, [iauare] house, and [uauone] beach.

The first candidates to suggest themselves for interpretation as consonants are the word-initial non-syllabic vocoids [i] and [u]. For instance, house and beach, just cited, would begin with $/ \mathrm{y} /$ and $/ \mathrm{w} /$, respectively. This is the most sensible interpretation since these segments are always highly non-syllabic in such environments, even in slow speech. Furthermore, this interpretation fits the CV pattern of a number of monosyllabic words, e.g., /wa/ canoe parallels /bo/ paddle. This parallel is even more explicit if we re-examine the ergative pronoun series. /yo/ '3 dual' and /wo/ '3 plural' would parallel the many other $C V$ pronouns: /šo/ 'l sg', /no/ '2 sg', /po/ '3 sg mas', /mo/ '3 sg fem'. None of the ergative pronouns begins with a non-suspect VV.
Granting, then, /y/ and /w/ word initially, we must expect them word medially. We suggest that all non-syllabic vocoids [i] and [u] be interpreted as consonants when they occur intervocalically, e.g., [ $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{V}$ ] is /Vyv/ and [ $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{V}$ ] is $/ V w V /$. This results in many more CV syllables, which fits the prevalence of this syllable type in Yawa and indeed its universal prevalence in languages. This also eliminates most /ii/ and /uu/ sequences, which fits the observation that other same-vowel sequences are very rare. Finally, this analysis is more consistent with the consonant-like quality of the non-syllabic [u] as evidenced by its common alternant [b]. The fricative nature of [b] seems more consistent with a consonant, rather than vowel, interpretation.

Since the only non-suspicious consonants word finally occur in citation forms, we posit no syllable-final /y/ or /w/. Instead, in these positions non-syllabic high vocoids are analysed as vowel phonemes /i/ and /u/. This fits nicely with the syllable and timing parallels between such sequences as [ae] and [ai], along with [ao] and [au]. For example, [kapae] bow and [kakai] bad have an identical timing pattern which suggests an identical syllable pattern. The same is true for [kao] child and [rau] cook. Analysing the segments syllable finally as /i/ and /u/ also accords with the observation that all other two-vowel combinations which are permitted in Yawa may occur word finally.

In summary, then, we analyse the non-syllabic high vocoids differently in different syllable slots. In the onset of the syllable, they are emically consonants /y/ and /w/. In the coda, they are emically vowels /i/ and $/ \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{l}^{15,16}$ Such an analysis does not necessitate positing new syllable types as would be the case with either interpreting both onset and coda as consonants (CVC, CCVC, and VC) or interpreting both as vowels (VVV and CVVV). It also permits unambiguous division into syllables by the rules suggested in Section 2.

The careful reader may have noticed a two-way interpretation of the sequence [ $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{W}}$ ]: (l) it is simply /C/ when it follows a/u/ according to the conditions of the Rounding Rule; (2) it is /Cu/ elsewhere. (This latter case amounts to saying it is /Cu/ preceding a syllabic /i/ since that is the only possibility left. $)^{17}$

In each case, the environment determines the phonemic interpretation unambiguously, if one assumes that the interpretation of most universal application applies first, while the interpretation of the most narrow application applies only if the other has not. In the interpretation of [ CW ], the environment becomes part of the contrastive features by which phonemes are distinguished. Technically, this phenomenon is known as partial overlap, a situation in which 'a phoneme is manifested by an allophone in one environment which is homophonous with an allophone of a different phoneme occurring in a different environment' (E. Pike 1974:25). Here the non-syllabic [u] is an allophone of two different phonemes, but the potential ambiguity is resolved by the distinctive environments in which it occurs.

## 6. PHONOLOGICAL WORDS

### 6.1 Stress

The phonological word in Yawa is defined as a unit of one and only one primary stress. Long words may have secondary stress(es), but not necessarily. The longest word recorded thus far, with eight syllables, is /a.te.ka.ra.ra.re.nto.a/ he snores with only a primary stress on the antepenultimate syllable. The predominant features of stress are intensity and high pitch. Length is not associated at all with stress, but has a function on a higher phonological level. Stress is contrastive in Yawa in that the syllable on which it occurs is not predictable. Compare the following three nouns, where the stress (indicated by an accent) is on the first, second, and third syllables respectively: ánauja ma it's a garden, anáne ma it's sago, and anománe ma it's a pan. Despite the fact that stress is contrastive, like in most other Papuan languages, it does not carry a heavy functional load. There are no words that contrast solely by stress placement.

Furthermore, as is also the case with many Papuan languages, stress is not assigned to a particular syllable of the word, but may perturb according to the different grammatical functions of the word. Notice the stress on the nouns (each three syllables long) in the following sentences. Stress perturbs in different grammatical slots on the noun garden but not on fire.
(a) táname ma
fire it is
it's a fire
táname mbáruru
fire flames up
the fire burns
šo táname rápamo
I fire put out
I put out the fire.
(b) ánauja ma garden it is
it's a garden
anaujáo manakóya
garden big
the garden is big
šo anaujá ráwe
I garden make
I made a garden.
Not only nouns are affected by stress perturbation. Compare the adjective big in the second (b) sentence above with big in the following.
Anománo manakoé ráujande rínai.
pan big give
Give me to me
Gig pan.

Verb roots may also be affected by stress perturbation. Compare put out in the third (a) sentence above with the following.
táname mpámoa
fire put out
the fire is dead
At the present stage of analysis, no rules have been formulated for stress perturbation. Since words with identical stress placements in certain grammatical functions (e.g. fire and garden in the first sentences of (a) and (b)) do not show identical stress placements in other grammatical functions (e.g. the second and third sentences of (a) and (b)), it is clear that there is not a straightforward phonological rule. Many words undergo stress perturbation, while many other phonologically similar ones do not. It appears that morphological classes need to be compiled for words that undergo identical stress perturbations. This is a task yet to be done. Probably such morphological classes of stress do not cut across grammatical classes; that is, preliminary study indicates that stress perturbation patterns are different for noun roots, adjective roots, and verb roots.

### 6.2 Distribution

There are certain distributional constraints that operate on the phonological word level. While all single consonants and vowels, and all consonant clusters may occur word initially, only two of the many possible vowel clusters appear word initially (ai, ae). Any syllable type may occur word initially, but there is a constraint that a word may have no more than one $V$ or $V V$ syllable word initially. Only vowels or vowel clusters may appear finally, as discussed previously. In addition to these constraints on the distribution of syllables within the word, there is a further constraint that combinations of syllables that will result in more than two vowels in sequence are not permitted.

## 7. PHONOLOGICAL PHRASES

The phonological phrase is the next level higher than the phonological word in Yawa. It is the basic unit of intonation contours. An utterance may be composed of one to several phonological phrases (intonation contours).

There is some evidence that in natural Yawa speech, phonological phrases have phrase timing, that is, all receive approximately the same amount of time. Thus, when there are a number of words in a phrase, these are pronounced more rapidly, while if there is only one word, it is spoken slowly and drawn out. To illustrate, compare the following two sentences, each with two phonological phrases, but with different numbers of words in them. All four phrases take approximately the same time to pronounce, with the result that the words in the last phrase, with many more syllables, are pronounced more rapidly. (Juncture between the phrases is indicated by a slash. All examples are written phonemically.)
Ájambere mí / káre rátabo.
pineapple TOPIC banana also
It's a pineapple / and a banana.
Káre mí / mánkoije kámi je rátabo.
banana ToPIc chicken egg also
It's a banana / and a chicken's egg.

An exhaustive study of intonation contours, both phrase and pause group levels, has not been undertaken. The discussion here is therefore sketchy and possibly incomplete. The following six basic phrase group contours have been identified thus far. They have been named according to their most usual grammatical function. Numbers are from 1 (highest pitch) to 4 (lowest).

The level dependence phrase group holds to a relatively constant pitch 2 or more usually pitch 3 throughout. It is used for dependent grammatical units (words, phrases, or clauses). It frequently ends with extra length on the last syllable. In the example below, the first phonological phrase nkoa mare is level dependent. (Length is indicated by double dots on the contour line; phrase juncture by a slash; pause group juncture by double slash; word stress by an accent; pause group stress by a small circle above the syllable. The more slight pitch changes caused by word stress are not shown.)


The rising dependence contour has a smooth rise in pitch at the end of the phrase. The final syllable is also frequently lengthened. It is used for dependent grammatical units, for vocatives, for non-final sequences (first of the following two examples), and for the protasis of a conditional sentence (second example below).

earth dry clothes wash
If it's hot, I'Zl wash the clothes.
A yes-no question contour has elevated pitch throughout that rises to pitch 1 at the end of the contour. At the very end there is a slight dip to pitch 2 , then it rises to 1 again. It is used for all yes-no questions. For example:
No kašámbere ntá ráko áe?//
you corn some get QUES
Did you get any corm?

The wh-question contour has a smooth rise to a final pitch $l$ on the last stressed syllable, followed usually by a pitch fall (to about 2). Most information questions use this contour, although at times the falling declarative contour described later is used instead. An example of a rising wh-question is given.
Páuraje miro?//
dishes where
Where are the dishes?

The hortatory sharp-fall contour has a sharp rise to pitch 1 on the last stressed syllable, followed immediately by a sharp fall (to 2, 3, or 4). It is used for exhortations and commands. For example:
Idé (DUAL) come wanké.// we (INCL) sing
you (DUL)
Hey, you two, come sing.

The last contrastive phonological phrase type is the falling declarative contour. The contrastive feature of this contour is that the pitch falls smoothly from the pause group stressed syllable to the end. If the pause group stressed syllable occurs early, then the fall is continuous throughout. More commonly one of the last words is stressed (usually the verb; Yawa is SOV), and the pitch rises steadily to this most stressed syllable, then drops to 4 over the last syllables of the contour. Both types are illustrated below.


The falling declarative contour is used for declarative sentences, and sometimes for wh-questions.

## 8. PAUSE GROUPS

The pause group is the highest phonological level that we will describe for Yawa in this paper. Eunice Pike (1974) describes this level as follows: 'The chief characteristic of the pause-group level is that it is a rhythm wave (composed of lesser rhythm waves) which occurs between pauses.' In other words, normally a sentence will be pronounced as a single pause group. If it is a simple sentence, it will be comprised of just one phonological phrase, but if it is complex, it may have one or more phonological phrases. Pause group stress occurs on the stressed syllable of one of the words of the pause group. It is the intonation peak of the entire pause group and is realised by extra intensity and generally the highest pitch level. Rarely, there are two peaks (cf. the second example below). (In the examples, pause group stress is indicated by a small circle above the stressed syllable.)

There are only two contrastive pause groups in most languages, including Yawa - final pause groups and non-final pause groups. The latter occur when a speaker pauses in the middle of a sentence, perhaps to collect his thoughts or for effect. Final pause groups usually coincide with the end of a sentence,
and are characterised by voice relaxation. The last phonological phrase in a final pause group may not be either of the two dependence contours, but may be either of the question contours, the sharp-fall hortatory contour, or the falling declarative contour. The non-final pause group, on the other hand, always consists of one of the two dependence contours. In other words, it never has falling pitch, but only level or most commonly, rising pitch. The following example illustrates both the types of pause groups. It is grammatically just one sentence, but was uttered in a text as two pause groups, first a non-final one, followed by a final pause group. (Note that the first pause group, the non-final one, is composed of two phonological phrases both rising dependence contours. Phrase juncture is indicated by a single slash; pause group juncture by a double slash.)


A simple pause group is coterminous with a single phonological phrase. Hence, most of the last five examples in Section 7 (from the yes-no questions contour on) are examples also of simple pause groups. A complex pause group consists of two or more phonological phrases. Some combinations of phonological phrases are associated with particular complex grammatical constructions. For example, conditional constructions consist of a rising dependence contour on the protasis (if-clause), and a falling declarative contour on the apodosis (then-clause). There is an example in Section 7 under the description of the rising dependence contour. Coordination constructions (coordinated either with or or with and) consist of two or more rising dependence contours generally followed by a falling declarative contour. For example:


Another type of complex pause group is associated with comparatives. Comparative constructions are composed grammatically of two independent clauses that are compared by simple juxtaposition. Each clause is pronounced as a final pause group comprised of two phonological phrases, first a rising dependence contour, followed by a falling declarative contour. Here is an illustration:


## 9. LOAN WORDS

Yawa has borrowed vocabulary freely from other languages. Here we will focus on borrowings from the national language, Indonesian, particularly the form that is spoken by the indigenous people of Irian Jaya, namely, Malay. Most loan words have been incorporated fully into the phonological system of Yawa. For example, there is a strong tendency in Yawa to add an extra syllable /je/ at the end of all nouns ending in a high vowel. This same tendency operates on nouns borrowed from Malay. For example, Malay sapu broom becomes /sapuje/ in Yawa, while Malay roti bread becomes /rotije/. Furthermore, because there are no final consonants in Yawa, loan words ending in a consonant generally add an /i/ to make them fit the permissible syllable patterns, but then, because of the strong tendency to add the syllable /je/ after a high vowel, this syllable is tacked on as well. Thus, consonant-final loan nouns assimilate to Yawa by adding /ije/, e.g., Malay ember bucket becomes /emberije/ in Yawa.

There are certain regular sound changes as well. Since Yawa has no /l/, the phoneme /r/ is always substituted, e.g., Malay botol bottle becomes /botorije/ ${ }^{18}$ in Yawa. Likewise there is no voiceless alveopalatal affricate /č/ as in Malay, so this is changed regularly to /š/ in Yawa, e.g., Malay činčin ring becomes /šinšinije/ in Yawa.
The assimilation of the Malay phoneme $/ \mathrm{g} /$ is an interesting matter. Yawa does not have this phoneme per se, although the phoneme /j/ functions somewhat similarly in that it is the voiced opposition to $/ \mathrm{k} /$ in the sound system, and furthermore it has [g] as an allophone. Thus, it might be expected that Malay [g] would be changed to [j] in Yawa, except in the environment where the allophone [g] would occur. However, Malay gereja church becomes [gerajawe] in Yawa, Malay gambar picture becomes [gambarije], Malay gelas glass becomes [gerasije], and Malay negara country is the same. These are all environments that should produce [j] if indeed Yawa /j/ is the counterpart to Malay /g/. Since the predicted sound change does not occur, an explanation is needed. Perhaps the explanation is as follows. Malay has a two-way distinction among voiced back stops $-/ j /$ versus /g/. Yawa has only one back stop $-/ j /$. However, the Yawa /j/ does have [g] as an allophone; in other words, Yawa speakers regularly pronounce the sound [g] (whereas, for instance, they do not pronounce [1] or [č] because they are not even allophones in Yawa). Hence, we suggest that Yawa speakers are able to absorb the Malay two-way distinction among back stops, not because they make it themselves but because both sounds exist allophonically in Yawa. In absorbing Malay words with /g/, Yawa speakers simply ignore the conflict with the phonetic environment and do not change it to [j].

If the matter of absorbing Malay $/ \mathrm{g} /$ was unique, this might be regarded as a serious problem. However, there are many Malay words that are assimilated only partially. As has been mentioned, Malay /l/ invariably changes to Yawa $/ r /$, and almost always, words ending in a consonant add a vowel or a couple of syllables ending in a vowel, e.g. the favoured sequence /ije/ mentioned above. But assimilation is not always complete. Besides the retention of Malay /g/, non-Yawa consonant clusters are often tolerated and sometimes non-Yawa sounds are retained. As examples, note that Malay April becomes /apririje/ in Yawa; Malay Oktober is borrowed without change; and Malay Nofember simply has the $/ f /$ changed to a voiceless bilabial fricative. Other examples are: Malay berkat to bless becomes Yawa /berkatiwe/, Malay kerja to work becomes /kerjawe/, Malay kursi chair becomes /kursije/, and Malay Roh Kudus Holy Spirit becomes /rok dusija/. To a certain extent, then, there are 'coexistent
phonemic systems' in Yawa (term from Pike and Fries 1949). That is, there is one phonemic system to describe about $95 \%$ of Yawa words, and another system with certain modifications to allow for loans and other residues.

## 10. A PROPOSED ORTHOGRAPHY

In conclusion, we propose a practical orthography for writing Yawa. Until now, the language has had no standard alphabet. Because most speakers under 40, and some over this age, have had some education in the national language, Indonesian, our proposed alphabet for representing Yawa phonemes corresponds as closely as possible to the equivalent phonemes in Indonesian.

| YAWA PHONEME | PROPOSED SPELLING | INDONESIAN SPELLING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /p/ | p | p |
| /b/ | b | b |
| /t/ | t | t |
| /d/ | d | d |
| /k/ | k | k |
| /j/ | j, g | j, g |
| /s/ | $s$ | $s$ |
| /š/ | sy | sy |
| /m/ | m | m |
| /n/ | n | n |
| $/ \mathrm{n} /$ | ny | ny |
| /r/ | r | $r$ |
| /w/ | w | w |
| /y/ | $y$ | $y$ |
| /i/ | i | i |
| /e/ | e | e |
| /a/ | a | a |
| /o/ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| /u/ | u | u |

The proposed spellings for the vowels and semivowels assumes the phonemic interpretation discussed in this paper, namely, that non-syllabic high vocoids in the onset of a syllable are semivowels, but in the coda of a syllable are vowels. The one exception noted was the unique vowel sequence which had an on-glide to a syllabic vowel, namely/ui/. This has been interpreted as a sequence of two vowels, and will be spelled accordingly as ui.

The only other proposed spelling needing explanation is that of the phoneme $/ j / . \quad$ It is proposed that it be represented by the letters $j$ and $g$, spelling with $g$ when the phonetic quality is $[g]$ and spelling with $j$ otherwise. Essentially, this is representing the phoneme by its two allophones.

Preliminary literacy testing indicated that this will be necessary, probably because Indonesian has a distinction between $j$ and $g$, both orthographically and phonemically. Since most Yawas are at least somewhat literate in Indonesian, it seems best to adapt their orthography in this way to Indonesian.

Preliminary literacy testing also indicated that it may be necessary to use semivowels inserted between certain vowels that occur as clusters in Yawa but do not occur in Indonesian. This will need further testing. Our preference would be to not insert semivowels in such cases since they are not phonemic.

It is further proposed that the loan words that have not been phonologically assimilated into Yawa be spelled exactly as they are spelled in Indonesian. Words that have been assimilated or partly assimilated, however, should be spelled according to the proposed orthography.

There is no need to mark word stress since stress plays no role in distinguishing words.

## NOTES

${ }^{1}$ The description is based on data collected by myself and my linguist husband, Larry B. Jones, during six months' residence in Sarawandori, between July 1983 and April 1984. Research for this paper was conducted with the Cooperative Program of Cenderawasih University and the Summer Institute of Linguistics. I thank the following people for their comments and suggestions on an earlier draft of this paper: Eunice Pike, Peter Silzer, Helen Miehle, and Wietze Baron. I am grateful for the phonetic accuracy of my husband and for his insights into Yawa phonological structure. We both acknowledge with gratitude the kind assistance of the following Yawa speakers in learning to speak their language: Yulianos Warmetan, Karolina Aninam, Elli Karubaba, Stepan and Adomina Karubaba, Dafid, Hans, Manuel, and Manasi Yapanani, and most especially, Abiathar Karubaba. These people provided the data analysed here. The corpus consists of over 1500 words, over 1000 sentences, and a number of full texts.
${ }^{2}$ Sarawandori is in Kecamatan Yapen-Selatan, Kabupaten Yapen-Waropen.
${ }^{3}$ To our knowledge no other published, or even informal, unpublished accounts of this language exist. However, it is possible that some account exists in Dutch materials that we are not aware of. Considering the fact that there has been considerable contact with Western civilisation for most of this century, it is indeed surprising that hitherto no one has undertaken a systematic study of this language.
${ }^{4}$ Anceaux notes that the single non-Austronesian language on Yapen Island is referred to variously as Yava, Yapanani, and Mora. The latter two names, in fact, refer to the dialects spoken by two large clans located on the south coast (Yapanani) and in the interior (Mora). There is no one name that all the speakers will agree upon for the name of their language, most speakers preferring a name that is suitable only for their particular dialect, since it is the name of their ancestor. Others use an expression meaning 'the land language', referring to the non-Austronesians as a group ('the land people') distinct from the Austronesians ('the sea people'). While there are a number of dialects, it is nonetheless clear that they comprise a single language. Larry Jones uses the term Yawa in his paper 'The dialects of Yawa' (in this volume) to refer to the entire language group. However, in this paper I use the
term Yawa more restrictively, to refer to the dialect spoken in the villages of Sarawandori, Tatui, Mantembu, and Aromarea.
All the neighbouring languages to this language are Austronesian, and there have been numerous words borrowed back and forth.
${ }^{5}$ In other dialects than the one being described here, words may end in consonants. Such dialects, of course, would require a different description of syllables than the one given here, as closed syllables would be necessary. Perhaps it might be better to describe Yawa as also having closed syllables, to bring this dialect in line with the others. But which dialect represents the historical, more conservative, situation is not at all clear, and from a strictly synchronic perspective, the Sarawandori (Yawa) dialect, at least, is most elegantly analysed with no final consonants.
${ }^{6}$ In the case of one sequence, it is sometimes an on-glide plus nuclear vowel. This is the sequence /ui/ which has two phonetic realisations: [ $u_{i}$ ] and [ $u^{i}$ ]. The former situation occurs infrequently and is the only one involving an on-glide vowel complex.
${ }^{7}$ Note that the term 'back' is used here quite differently from Chomsky and Halle's (1968) technical use in distinctive feature theory, where 'back' includes velar, uvular, and pharyngeal consonants as well as back vowels. Their term is intended to be a phonetic one of universal application. Ours is a phonemic one to describe a class of sounds occurring in Yawa.
${ }^{8}$ In a generative analysis, this rule would need to be ordered after the rule in 4. We prefer, however, to view the two rules as operating simultaneously.
${ }^{9}$ The most common pronunciation is represented in the examples. The careful reader will note that in these lists, not all words have rounded consonants where they might be expected. Recall, however, that this is an optional rule - certain words invariably undergo rounding, others don't, and still others fluctuate.
${ }^{10}$ There is some evidence that /š/ was, perhaps in the not too distant past, an allophone of $/ \mathrm{s} /$ rather than being a distinct phoneme. Most occurrences of [sy] could conceivably be accounted for by a rule that /s/ palatalises following /i/. Evidence from other dialects would lead to this conclusion, because a number of words that are pronounced with the alveopalatal [sy] in the dialect being described in this paper have the non-palatalised sequence [is] in other dialects. Further evidence stems from the limited distribution of vowels that may occur before [sy] in this dialect. Only the sequences $\left[i s^{Y}\right],\left[a s^{Y}\right]$, and [ $u s^{Y}$ ] occur; there are no mid vowels preceding [sy]. Conceivably, it could be argued that the best analysis of [asy] and [usy] is as /ais/ and /uis/, respectively, where the high front vowel conditions the occurrence of [sy], and then the /i/ is dropped when it occurs as the unstressed second member of such vowel clusters.

However, there are a number of reasons we have rejected this analysis. First, there are already some two-member vowel combinations that occur preceding [sy], and in these, neither vowel is deleted. Such combinations are [ai] and [au], e.g. [raisya] eat and [rausya] open. Since three-vowel combinations are very rare in Yawa, it is not likely that such words derive from /ai i/ or /aui/ sequences. Furthermore, there are a few words in which the pronunciation [is] occurs. If [sy] were an allophone of /s/in the environment following /i/, then the phonetic shape should instead be [isy] in these words. This suggests that there is a phonemic contrast between
[s] and [ $s^{y}$ ]. For example, the following pairs contrast in the environment of a preceding high front vowel: [namiso] here it is versus [isYo] stick; [raiseo] climb versus [raisYe] whittle; and [naiso] here versus [raisya] eat. (Stress is identical in the last two pairs.) Unfortunately, there are only three words with an alveolar instead of alveopalatal sibilant following the high front vowel. But since these three words are all common and well-attested, and further, since there is little solid evidence for analysing [asY] and [us ${ }^{Y}$ ] sequences as /ais/ and /uis/, respectively, we conclude that it is best to assume a phonemic contrast of $/ \mathrm{s} /$ and $/ \mathrm{s} /$ in present-day Yawa.
${ }^{11}$ This word is often pronounced [namwe], suggesting that the Initial Unstressed $u$ Deletion Rule in 5 may not be restricted just to initial positions. In non-initial positions, the presence of a phonemic /u/ is attested by the rounding it induces on the following consonant. Another example is [rabwai] to kill which is interpreted as phonemically /raubai/.
${ }^{12}$ Thus far, one combination has not yet been attested: [ie]. This appears to be an impossible sequence in Yawa, since when there are morpheme combinations that should produce [ie] (first morpheme ending in $i$ and the second one beginning with e), an epenthetic consonant [j] is inserted, resulting in [ $\mathrm{i} j \mathrm{j}$ ].
${ }^{13}$ The same-vowel sequences /ii/ and /aa/ occur only once each. Also, the sequence /ia/ has been observed in one place name /maniaje/ and in one very common loan word /jiane/ fish. The sequence /iu/ thus far only occurs in one morpheme /siu/ pointed. It does, however, also occur across morpheme boundaries as in /nai+umu/ over there.
${ }^{14}$ For the evidence that /š/ is a phoneme instead of an allophone of /s/, see note 10.
${ }^{15}$ Our interpretation of the non-syllabic high vocoids resembles McElhanon's (1970) for Selepet of Papua New Guinea, also a non-Austronesian language.
${ }^{16}$ Actually, this analysis is supported by some work in the theory of natural generative phonology that hypothesises that phonemes have different strengths in different syllable positions (Hooper 1976). According to this theory, the end of a syllable is its weakest position, while the onset is the strongest position. The more vowel-like a phoneme is, the more weak it is, according to this thinking. Thus, it is reasonable to expect that a semivowel, which is more consonant-like and thus stronger, would occur in the syllable onset, while a vowel, which is weaker, would occur in the coda.
${ }^{17}$ This seems the best interpretation for this special situation, since otherwise if the [ w ] here were regarded as consonantal, it would allow a cluster of three consonants in certain words, e.g., [wanampwi] west would then be /wanampwi/ rather than /wanampui/. But such clusters are never attested in non-suspicious cases.
${ }^{18}$ Some of the words in this section may appear as if they've been borrowed directly from English or some other European language. This is highly unlikely based on the history of the Yawa people. In general, such words probably first came from Portuguese into Malay centuries ago, or from Dutch into Malay in the last century or two. Subsequently, these words were borrowed from Malay into Yawa.
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# THE DIALECTS OF YAWA 

Larry B. Jones

## 0. INTRODUCTION

Relatively little has been written and published about the non-Austronesian language spoken on Yapen Island, which is located in Cenderawasih (formerly Geelvink) Bay, Irian Jaya, Indonesia. ${ }^{1}$ Anceaux (1961:8-11), calling the language Yava, supplied a few brief notes on the structure of noun phrases, and gave a tentative description of the dialect situation. Voorhoeve (1975: 873-876), basing his analysis on Anceaux's unpublished word lists, classified Yava as part of the Geelvink Bay Phylum, with distant relationships to the non-Austronesian languages spoken in the interior of northern Irian Jaya. A few grammatical notes on this language, under the name Mantembu, appeared in Cowan 1953. A word list, under the name Turu, was published in Galis 1955. ${ }^{2}$ In this paper, the name Yawa will be used to refer to the entire non-Austronesian language group on Yapen. This varies slightly from Linda Jones (in this volume), who uses the same name to refer to a particular dialect of the language group.

Yawa is spoken by approximately 6000 people living in the central part of Yapen Island. There are Yawa villages on both the north and south coasts of Yapen, and a few villages in the mountainous interior of the island as well. The major Yawa villages on or near the south coast of Yapen are, from west to east, Ariepi, Tatui, Aromarea, Sarawandori, Mariadei, Mantembu, Anotaurei, Turu, Kabuena, Yapanani, Konti-unai, Kainui, and Wadapi Darat. The Yawa villages on or near the north coast are, from west to east, Ariobu, Rosbori, Artanen, Dore, Tindaret, Kiriow, and Sambarawai. The villages in the mountainous interior which speak Yawa are Ambaidiru and Mambon. The above list does not include several small hamlets of one or two houses, nor does it include villages listed in Anceaux 1961 which have since been absorbed by the growing township of Serui and thus lost their distinctive Yawa character and their use of the Yawa language. Further, the language spoken on the island of Saweru, off the south coast of Yapen, has been omitted from this study. Anceaux (1961) and Voorhoeve (1975) included this language in their discussions of Yawa on the basis of its obvious historical connection to the main Yawa dialects. However, it has diverged so much from the other Yawa dialects and has borrowed so heavily from neighbouring Austronesian languages that it is now unintelligible to Yawa speakers from Yapen proper, and is perceived by them as a totally separate language. As such Saweru was judged to require separate, more complete treatment in a future study.

Papers in New Guinea linguistics, No.25, 31-68. Pacific Linguistics, A-74, 1986.

The purpose of the present study is to describe the synchronic dialect situation in the Yawa language area. No attempt has been made to apply lexico-statistical methodology to reconstruct the history of the Yawa language. This assessment of the synchronic dialect situation in the Yawa area has three parts: a discussion of the native Yawa speaker's intuitions about the dialect situation, a study of the lexical items shared between the various dialects, and a report of the results of intelligibility testing done in the Yawa area. Some conclusions about the dialect situation follow these sections.

## 1. NATIVE SPEAKER INTUITIONS

While it is obvious that native speaker intuitions cannot be regarded as the final answer in assessing the dialect situation of a given area, they nonetheless provide valuable information for the linguistic investigator and a non-arbitrary starting point for research and survey work. ${ }^{3}$ In this study 15 groups of Yawa speakers from all parts of the Yawa language area were presented with a list of all major Yawa villages and asked to classify the villages according to which villages spoke exactly the same (Indonesian sama persis) as which other villages. This type of direct questioning did not yield entirely consistent results. Generally, informants had difficulty in recognising dialect distinctions between villages in proportion to the distance those villages were from the informants' home village. Informants were unsure and hesitant when asked to identify dialect variations between villages a long distance from their home village, but were confident in making such distinctions between nearby villages.

The significant data stemming from this survey of native speaker intuitions regarding dialect boundaries came in answer to the question, 'Which villages speak exactly the same as your home village?'. There was striking, 100 per cent consistency in informant answers to this question. All informants from the same village gave identical answers to this question. More significantly, all the villages of a given dialect grouping were in unanimous agreement as to the membership of that grouping. Thus, for example, if informants from village $A$ said that villages $B, C$, and $D$ all spoke exactly the same as their home village, informants from $B, C$, and $D$, in answer to the same question, would group the same villages together, with no deletions or additions.

These informant intuitions defined five major dialect groupings in the Yawa language area: a central dialect, composed of the villages of Ambaidiru and Mambon in the mountains, Ariobu, Rosbori, and Artanen on the north coast, and Ariepi on the south coast; a northern dialect, composed of the villages of Dore, Tindaret, and Kiriow; an eastern dialect, composed of the villages of Konti-unai, Yapanani, Wadapi Darat and Kainui, on or near the south coast, and Sambarawai on the north coast; a southern dialect composed of the villages of Turu, Mariadei, Anotaurei, Kabuena, and a few clusters of Yawa speakers now living in the township of Serui; and a western dialect, composed of the villages of Sarawandori, Aromarea, and Tatui on the south coast, and Mantembu, inland from Serui. With one exception (the position of Ariepi) to be discussed below, these dialect groupings were confirmed by the lexical and intelligibility test analyses reported in this study.

## 2. ANALYSIS OF SHARED VOCABULARY

### 2.1 The comparative word list

This analysis of the vocabulary shared between the various dialects of Yawa is based on a 206 item word list elicited in the villages of Sarawandori, Mariadei, Konti-unai, Tindaret, Ambaidiru, and Ariepi. The primary sources for the design of the word list were the standard word list used by members of the Summer Institute of Linguistics in Papua New Guinea, the standard word list in use by members of the Universitas Cenderawasih and Summer Institute of Linguistics Cooperative Project, and the word list for Papua New Guinea languages proposed in Ezard 1977. Most of the items on the Swadesh l00-word list have been included. Among the common items which have not been included are redundant words in the test list, that is, two or more words which elicit the same response from Yawa speakers. For example, since the Yawa word for both river and water is mana, only water was included in the list. Likewise, since the Yawa word for bark, skin, and shell is kea, only skin was included on the list. Certain items which could elicit redundant two-morpheme words were also omitted. For example the Yawa word for elbow is anen-bukami, literally arm-knee. Both arm and knee are on the list, therefore elbow was omitted. All words for internal organs were omitted because of the difficulty in accurately eliciting them. Generic words in Indonesian (the language of elicitation) which would elicit any of a number of specific terms in Yawa were also omitted. For example, to cut (Indonesian potong) could conceivably elicit any of 10 to 15 different specific terms for cutting in Yawa. Therefore this item was omitted from the list. Since Yawa has several forms for each personal pronoun, these were likewise omitted from the list.

As the purpose of this study is to analyse the synchronic dialect situation in the Yawa language area, no attempt has been made to eliminate items from the word list which might have been borrowed into the language. To do so in anything beyond an arbitrary way would require a separate paper, and was thus beyond the scope of the present study.

Of necessity, the word list must be a broad phonetic transcription, rather than a phonemic one. Although Linda Jones' study describes the phonology of the Sarawandori dialect of Yawa, and recent research indicates that several of the dialects are quite similar to the Sarawandori dialect phonologically, it was considered presumptuous to transcribe the words of the as-yet-unanalysed dialects phonemically on the basis of the Sarawandori analysis. The phonetic symbols have their standard value except that palatalisation on consonants is marked by a following $y$. Vowel length is marked by a colon after the vowel.

Further, for the sake of accurate comparison, words are listed here without the accompanying affixes which occasionally appeared during the actual elicitation of the word list. The affixes most often eliminated were nayour, ina-my, and -ema it is a ... .

The word list is organised as follows: each entry has a heading consisting of an English meaning, and the Indonesian term used to elicit the words in the list. Under the heading, the Yawa terms from each dialect are listed. Each dialect is identified by the name of the village whose word list is used to represent that dialect. For example, Ambaidiru is used as the name of the central dialect, which includes the village of Ambaidiru, and Mariadei is used as the name of the southern dialect, which includes the village of Mariadei. Ariepi represents the dialect spoken only in the village having that name, which was discovered to be distinct from the other Yawa dialects. Whenever
possible, words from each dialect have been included in each entry, but in a few cases, words from certain dialects have been omitted as unreliable.

1. eye, mata

Ambaidiru: ami
Mariadei: ami
Konti-unai: ami
Tindaret: ami
Ariepi: ami
Sarawandori: ami
2. nose, hidung

Ambaidiru: atum
Mariadei: amtum
Konti-unai: amantu
Tindaret: atum
Ariepi: atum
Sarawandori: atum
3. ear, telinga

Ambaidiru: amarikoam Mariadei: abarome Konti-unai: amarokoa Tindaret: amarikoam Ariepi: amarikoam Sarawandori: amarakoa
4. hair (on head), rambut Ambaidiru: akaribuin
Mariadei: waribine
Konti-unai: wayariguin
Tindaret: akaribuin
Ariepi: akariguin
Sarawandori: wayaribin
5. shoulder, bahu

Ambaidiru: aibam
Mariadei: abam
Konti-unai: kumane
Tindaret: aibiam
Ariepi: aibam
Sarawandori: kumane
6. hand, tangan

Ambaidiru: anem
Mariadei: anem
Konti-unai: anem
Tindaret: anem
Ariepi: anem
Sarawandori: anem
7. foot, kaki

Ambaidiru: ajo
Mariadei: aido
Konti-unai: ajo
Tindaret: ado
Ariepi: ajo
Sarawandori: ajo
8. knee, lutut

Ambaidiru: bukam
Mariadei: bugam
Konti-unai: bukam
Tindaret: bukam
Ariepi: bukam
Sarawandori: bukami
9. chest, dada

Ambaidiru: popan
Mariadei: popanu
Konti-unai: opan
Tindaret: popan
Ariepi: popan
Sarawandori: popan
10. buttock, pantat

Ambaidiru: ate
Mariadei: ate
Konti-unai: ate
Tindaret: ate
Ariepi: ate
Sarawandori: ate
ll. blood, darah
Ambaidiru: mabu
Mariadei: madi
Konti-unai: namai
Tindaret: namabu
Ariepi: mabu
Sarawandori: mabu
12. mouth, mulut

Ambaidiru: awa
Mariadei: aba
Konti-unai: aba
Tindaret: awa
Ariepi: awa
Sarawandori: awa
13. skin, kulit

Ambaidiru: kea
Mariadei: kea
Konti-unai: kea
Tindaret: kea
Ariepi: kea
Sarawandori: kea
14. neck (front of), leher

Ambaidiru: isis
Mariadei: isis
Konti-unai: isis
Tindaret: akuin
Ariepi: akumbasin
Sarawandori: isis
15. bone, tulangAmbaidiru: paeMariadei: paiKonti-unai: pai
Tindaret: pai
Ariepi: pai
Sarawandori: pae
16. head, kepalaAmbaidiru: akariMariadei: uga
Konti-unai: akari
Tindaret: akari
Ariepi: akari
Sarawandori: kugwa
17. finger, jari
Ambaidiru: kawi
Mariadei: kawi
Konti-unai: kawi
Tindaret: kawi
Ariepi: kakun
Sarawandori: kakun
18. cuscus, kusuAmbaidiru: mayerMariadei: andaure
Konti-unai: mair
Tindaret: mayer
Ariepi: mayer
Sarawandori: mair
19. dog, anjingAmbaidiru: make
Mariadei: mayeKonti-unai: make
Tindaret: make
Ariepi: make
Sarawandori: make
20. cat, kucingAmbaidiru: mikiMariadei: mekiKonti-unai: nyaweTindaret: nyaweAriepi: meki
Sarawandori: miki
21. fish, ikan
Ambaidiru: jian
Mariadei: dija
Konti-unai: jija
Tindaret: aj
Ariepi: jian
22. pig, babi

Ambaidiru: ugwe
Mariadei: buge
Konti-unai: buge
Tindaret: ugwe
Ariepi: ugwe
Sarawandori: gwe
23. gecko, cecak

Ambaidiru: kiripum
Mariadei: ananate
Konti-unai: ambururumi
Tindaret: kapadum
Ariepi: kapanim
Sarawandori: ambororomi
24. bird, burung

Ambaidiru: insani
Mariadei: ani
Konti-unai: insani
Tindaret: intani
Ariepi: unsani
Sarawandori: unsani
25. rat, tikus

Ambaidiru: kaimir
Mariadei: kaimar
Konti-unai: kaimir
Tindaret: kamo
Ariepi: kaimir
Sarawandori: kaimir
26. mosquito, nyamuk

Ambaidiru: anatatau
Mariadei: pikarari
Konti-unai: andakauku
Tindaret: anatokau
Ariepi: anyira
Sarawandori: anatatau
27. Zouse, kutu

Ambaidiru: em
Mariadei: eme
Konti-unai: eme
Tindaret: em
Ariepi: eme
Sarawandori: eme
28. chicken, ayam

Ambaidiru: mankuer
Mariadei: mankue
Konti-unai: mankui
Tindaret: mankokor
Ariepi: mankuer
Sarawandori: mankoi
29. wing, sayap

Ambaidiru: pepak Mariadei: papate Konti-unai: papak Tindaret: papak Ariepi: pepat Sarawandori: pepak
30. crab, kepiting

Ambaidiru: --
Mariadei: muni
Konti-unai: karimati
Tindaret: karimani
Ariepi: karimi
Sarawandori: karimani
31. shrimp, udang

Ambaidiru: kau
Mariadei: kawe
Konti-unai: kau
Tindaret: kau
Ariepi: kawe
Sarawandori: kau
32. snake, ular

Ambaidiru: tawae
Mariadei: unane
Konti-unai: tawae
Tindaret: tawae
Ariepi: tawae
Sarawandori: tawae
33. crocodile, buaya

Ambaidiru: wankuri
Mariadei: wankore
Konti-unai: wankor
Tindaret: onkor
Ariepi: wankori
Sarawandori: wankori
34. spider, laba-laba

Ambaidiru: gwarakaki
Mariadei: kakija
Konti-unai: apaki
Tindaret: ugarakaki
Ariepi: kaki
Sarawandori: papaki
35. sago, pepeda

Ambaidiru: anan
Mariadei: anate
Konti-unai: ana
Tindaret: anan
Ariepi: anan
Sarawandori: anan
36. cassava, kasbi

Ambaidiru: insumore
Mariadei: timburi
Konti-unai: sumure
Tindaret: insumure
Ariepi: timburu
Sarawandori: timburu
37. taro, keladi

Ambaidiru: kambor
Mariadei: kambor
Konti-unai: kambor
Tindaret: kambor
Ariepi: kambor
Sarawandori: kambor
38. squash, labu

Ambaidiru: apisi
Mariadei: airai
Konti-unai: airai
Tindaret: apisi
Ariepi: apisi
Sarawandori: airai
39. betel vine, sirih

Ambaidiru: remambon
Mariadei: anampure
Konti-unai: anampu
Tindaret: anapur
Ariepi: reman
Sarawandori: anapur
40. areca nut, pinang

Ambaidiru: weran
Mariadei: bera
Konti-unai: beran
Tindaret: beran
Ariepi: --
Sarawandori: beran
41. breadfruit, buah sukun

Ambaidiru: wanam
Mariadei: anita
Konti-unai: wanam
Tindaret: wanam
Ariepi: wanam
Sarawandori: wanam
42. mango, mangga

Ambaidiru: --
Mariadei: andani
Konti-unai: andani
Tindaret: gwimen
Ariepi: andani
Sarawandori: andani
43. coconut, kelapa

Ambaidiru: ankai
Mariadei: ankadi
Konti-unai: ankai
Tindaret: ankadi
Ariepi: ankai
Sarawandori: ankai
44. edible tree-leaf, genemo

Ambaidiru: manapa
Mariadei: manapa
Konti-unai: manapa
Tindaret: manapa
Ariepi: manapa
Sarawandori: manapa
45. papaya, papaya

Ambaidiru: asyawai
Mariadei: ansawai
Konti-unai: mansawai
Tindaret: sauburi
Ariepi: ansoai
Sarawandori: ansawai
46. banana, pisang

Ambaidiru: kare
Mariadei: kare
Konti-unai: kare
Tindaret: kare
Ariepi: kare
Sarawandori: kare
47. milk, susu

Ambaidiru: ukam
Mariadei: ugam
Konti-unai: unkam
Tindaret: tukam
Ariepi: ukam
Sarawandori: unkam
48. pineapple, nenas

Ambaidiru: apokem
Mariadei: ayamber
Konti-unai: ajamber
Tindaret: apokem
Ariepi: apokem
Sarawandori: ajamber
49. valley, lembah

Ambaidiru: kaib
Mariadei: karoye
Konti-unai: kaibe
Tindaret: --
Ariepi: kaibe
Sarawandori: kaibe
50. peninsula, tanjung Ambaidiru: sesarikap
Mariadei: abutume
Konti-unai: bope
Tindaret: sosar
Ariepi: atum
Sarawandori: abotum
51. road, jalan

Ambaidiru: unani
Mariadei: unandoi
Konti-unai: unandi
Tindaret: unani
Ariepi: nandoi
Sarawandori: nandoi
52. water, air

Ambaidiru: mana
Mariadei: mana
Konti-unai: mana
Tindaret: mana
Ariepi: mana
Sarawandori: mana
53. wave, ombak

Ambaidiru: aneae
Mariadei: anea
Konti-unai: aneae
Tindaret: babata
Ariepi: aneae
Sarawandori: aneae
54. beach, pantai

Ambaidiru: --
Mariadei: babone
Konti-unai: babon
Tindaret: matanebon
Ariepi: --
Sarawandori: wabone
55. sand, pasir

Ambaidiru: nayab
Mariadei: nibugane
Konti-unai: nayabe
Tindaret: nayab
Ariepi: ugai
Sarawandori: nusye
56. soil, tanah

Ambaidiru: kopa
Mariadei: kopa
Konti-unai: kakopa
Tindaret: kopa
Ariepi: kopa
Sarawandori: kakopa
57. garden, kebun

Ambaidiru: nawaisy
Mariadei: andagwa
Konti-unai: nawais
Tindaret: nawais
Ariepi: nawais
Sarawandori: anagwa
58. root, akar

Ambaidiru: pi:p
Mariadei: kaune
Konti-unai: kawen
Tindaret: pip
Ariepi: kawen
Sarawandori: kawen
59. grass, rumput

Ambaidiru: insumai
Mariadei: unsume
Konti-unai: --
Tindaret: tantumai
Ariepi: insumai
Sarawandori: unsumai
60. seed, biji

Ambaidiru: kami
Mariadei: kami
Konti-unai: kami
Tindaret: kami
Ariepi: kami
Sarawandori: kami
61. stone, batu

Ambaidiru: oram
Mariadei: oroma
Konti-unai: oram
Tindaret: oram
Ariepi: oram
Sarawandori: oroman
62. sago swamp, dusun

Ambaidiru: ananuga
Mariadei: ba
Konti-unai: ananuga
Tindaret: serai
Ariepi: anok
Sarawandori: anok
63. wood, kayu

Ambaidiru: nyo
Mariadei: nyo
Konti-unai: nyo
Tindaret: nyo
Ariepi: nyo
Sarawandori: nyo
64. knife, pisau

Ambaidiru: tiya
Mariadei: tija
Konti-unai: tiya
Tindaret: tiya
Ariepi: tija
Sarawandori: tija
65. machete, parang

Ambaidiru: omak
Mariadei: umbene
Konti-unai: omak
Tindaret: omak
Ariepi: omak
Sarawandori: omak
66. axe, kapak

Ambaidiru: tama
Mariadei: tama
Konti-unai: tama
Tindaret: tama
Ariepi: tama
Sarawandori: tama
67. bow, ibu panah

Ambaidiru: apae
Mariadei: kapa
Konti-unai: kapai
Tindaret: apai
Ariepi: apai
Sarawandori: kapae
68. arrow, anak panah

Ambaidiru: ato
Mariadei: katu
Konti-unai: kato
Tindaret: taupo
Ariepi: ato
Sarawandori: kato
69. fish-net, jaring Ambaidiru: pam
Mariadei: enam
Konti-unai: enam
Tindaret: pam
Ariepi: enam
Sarawandori: enam
70. canoe, perahu

Ambaidiru: nyoman
Mariadei: wai
Konti-unai: nyoman
Tindaret: nyoman
Ariepi: wa
Sarawandori: wa
71. paddle, dayung
Ambaidiru: borae
Mariadei: bo Konti-unai: bo Tindaret: borat Ariepi: bo Sarawandori: bo
72. firewood, kayu bakar Ambaidiru: nuja Mariadei: danom Konti-unai: jano Tindaret: noda Ariepi: noja Sarawandori: janom
73. rope, tali
Ambaidiru: wai Mariadei: wai
Konti-unai: wai
Tindaret: wati
Ariepi: wai
Sarawandori: wai
74. clothing, pakaian
Ambaidiru: ansun
Mariadei: sansun
Konti-unai: ansun Tindaret: sansun Ariepi: ansun Sarawandori: sansun
75. string bag, noken Ambaidiru: inkuja Mariadei: oda Konti-unai: wija Tindaret: inkoda Ariepi: oja Sarawandori: uija
76. dish, piring
Ambaidiru: porai
Mariadei: parai
Konti-unai: purai
Tindaret: porati
Ariepi: paurai
Sarawandori: paurai
77. cloth, kain
Ambaidiru: siro
Mariadei: siroi
Konti-unai: siro
Tindaret: sire
Ariepi: siro Sarawandori: siro
78. comb, sisir

Ambaidiru: yait
Mariadei: yaiti
Konti-unai: yait
Tindaret: yait
Ariepi: yait
Sarawandori: yait
79. mat, tikar

Ambaidiru: tani
Mariadei: tani
Konti-unai: tani
Tindaret: tani
Ariepi: tani
Sarawandori: tani
80. house, rumah

Ambaidiru: yawar
Mariadei: yabar
Konti-unai: yabar
Tindaret: yabar
Ariepi: yawar
Sarawandori: yabar
81. door, pintu

Ambaidiru: insanda
Mariadei: yapanda
Konti-unai: yabaunda
Tindaret: intanda
Ariepi: insanda
Sarawandori: unsanda
82. thatch, atap

Ambaidiru: manyum
Mariadei: rate
Konti-unai: manyum
Tindaret: anangwau
Ariepi: rate
Sarawandori: manyum
83. Zadder, tangga

Ambaidiru: tenten
Mariadei: tenten
Konti-unai: tenten
Tindaret: tenten
Ariepi: tenten
Sarawandori: tenten
84. sky, langit

Ambaidiru: nam
Mariadei: naum
Konti-unai: naum
Tindaret: naum
Ariepi: naum
Sarawandori: naum
85. sun, matahari
Ambaidiru: uma
Mariadei: uma
Konti-unai: uma
Tindaret: uma
Ariepi: uma
Sarawandori: uma
86. moon, bulan
Ambaidiru: embae
Mariadei: emba
Konti-unai: semba
Tindaret: jaon
Ariepi: embae
Sarawandori: embae
87. star, bintang
Ambaidiru: tum
Mariadei: tum
Konti-unai: tum
Tindaret: tum
Ariepi: tum
Sarawandori: tum
88. rain, hujanAmbaidiru: maru
Mariadei: maru
Konti-unai: maru
Tindaret: maru
Ariepi: maru
Sarawandori: maru
89. fire, ..... apiAmbaidiru: tanamMariadei: nona
Konti-unai: tanam
Tindaret: tanam
Ariepi: tanam
Sarawandori: tanam
90. smoke, asap
Ambaidiru: kiyau
Mariadei: kijo
Konti-unai: kijau
Tindaret: kiyau
Ariepi: kijau
Sarawandori: kijau
91. ashes, abu
Ambaidiru: kapum
Mariadei: kapum
Konti-unai: kapum
Tindaret: kapum
Ariepi: kapum
92. wind, angin

Ambaidiru: obar
Mariadei: obar
Konti-unai: obar
Tindaret: obar
Ariepi: obar
Sarawandori: obar
93. dark, gelap

Ambaidiru: kaumu
Mariadei: sandoram
Konti-unai: tandoram
Tindaret: kaumur
Ariepi: sandoram
Sarawandori: sandoram
94. Zightning, kilat

Ambaidiru: ibak
Mariadei: sibak
Konti-unai: sibak
Tindaret: sibak
Ariepi: ikab
Sarawandori: ibak
95. rainbow, pelangi

Ambaidiru: eb
Mariadei: sebi
Konti-unai: seb
Tindaret: sep
Ariepi: eb
Sarawandori: eb
96. thunder, guntur

Ambaidiru: kaitir
Mariadei: kunduma
Konti-unai: katitir Tindaret: kaitir
Ariepi: katitir
Sarawandori: kaitir
97. remember, ingat

Ambaidiru: aemen
Mariadei: aemen
Konti-unai: aemini
Tindaret: aemen
Ariepi: aemen
Sarawandori: aemen
98. forget, lupa

Ambaidiru: paranden
Mariadei: anokakoba
Konti-unai: anokakoba
Tindaret: animari
Ariepi: paranden
Sarawandori: anekakoba

| 99. go, pergi ${ }^{4}$ <br> Ambaidiru: poroto |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Mariadei: poto |
|  | Konti-unai: -- |
|  | Tindaret: to |
|  | Ariepi: poroto |
|  | Sarawandori: poroto |
| 100. cry, menangis |  |
|  | Ambaidiru: poyo |
| Mariadei: poyo |  |
| Konti-unai: poyo |  |
| Tindaret: poyo |  |
| Ariepi: poyo |  |
|  | Sarawandori: poyo |
| 101. | Zaugh, tertawa |
|  | Ambaidiru: guwe |
|  | Mariadei: ogebe |
|  | Konti-unai: ugebe |
|  | Tindaret: uguen |
|  | Ariepi: uguwe |
|  | Sarawandori: uguwe |
| 102. | awaken, bangun |
|  | Ambaidiru: seo |
|  | Mariadei: sejo |
|  | Konti-unai: seo |
|  | Tindaret: teto |
|  | Ariepi: seo |
|  | Sarawandori: seo |
| 103. | sleep, tidur |
|  | Ambaidiru: niki |
|  | Mariadei: nei |
|  | Konti-unai: niki |
|  | Tindaret: niki |
|  | Ariepi: niki |
|  | Sarawandori: niki |
| 104. | defecate, buang air besar Ambaidiru: karabe |
|  | Mariadei: karabe |
|  | Konti-unai: karabe |
|  | Tindaret: karabe |
|  | Ariepi: karabe |
|  | Sarawandori: karabe |
| 105. | urinate, buang air kecil Ambaidiru: paobe |
|  | Mariadei: karibe |
|  | Konti-unai: kakiribe |
|  | Tindaret: patobe |
|  | Ariepi: paobe |
|  | Sarawandori: paobe |
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Mariadei: nei
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Ambaidiru: karabe
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Konti-unai: karabe
Tindaret: karabe
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Sarawandori: karabe
Ambaidiru: paobe
Mariadei: karibe
Konti-unai: kakiribe
Ariepi: paobe
Sarawandori: paobe
100. sneeze, bersin Ambaidiru: sikap
Mariadei: sija
Konti-unai: sikap
Tindaret: sikap
Ariepi: sikap
Sarawandori: sikap
101. play, bermain Ambaidiru: baon
Mariadei: baon
Konti-unai: baon
Tindaret: papaki
Ariepi: baon
Sarawandori: baon
102. run, berlari

Ambaidiru: nansanan
Mariadei: nansanan
Konti-unai: nansanan
Tindaret: nantanan
Ariepi: nyansanan
Sarawandori: nansanan
109. bathe, mandi

Ambaidiru: mana raija
Mariadei: kubuni
Konti-unai: mana eja
Tindaret: mana radan
Ariepi: kubuni
Sarawandori: koboni
llo. jump, melompat
Ambaidiru: sopat
Mariadei: sopat
Konti-unai: sopat
Tindaret: koper
Ariepi: sopat
Sarawandori: sopat
lll. drift, hanyut
Ambaidiru: ki
Mariadei: kokoba
Konti-unai: koba
Tindaret: ki
Ariepi: kobar
Sarawandori: mankobar
112. cough, batuk

Ambaidiru: tatom
Mariadei: tantum
Konti-unai: tanto
Tindaret: tatom
Ariepi: tautom
Sarawandori: tanto
113. vomit, muntah

Ambaidiru: ninyo
Mariadei: neno Konti-unai: nine Tindaret: nyunu Ariepi: ninyo Sarawandori: ninyo
114. marry, kawin

Ambaidiru: baunam
Mariadei: banam
Konti-unai: bona
Tindaret: baunam
Ariepi: baunam
Sarawandori: baunam
115. sit, duduk

Ambaidiru: tuna
Mariadei: tuna
Konti-unai: tuna
Tindaret: tuna
Ariepi: tuna
Sarawandori: tuna
116. come, datang

Ambaidiru: nde
Mariadei: nore
Konti-unai: nore
Tindaret: nde
Ariepi: nde
Sarawandori: nde
117. die, mati

Ambaidiru: kakai
Mariadei: nowe
Konti-unai: nowe
Tindaret: kakai
Ariepi: kakai
Sarawandori: kakai
118. shiver, gematar

Ambaidiru: tutar
Mariadei: rorari
Konti-unai: --
Tindaret: tutar
Ariepi: tutar
Sarawandori: tutar
119. want, mau

Ambaidiru: mayar
Mariadei: --
Konti-unai: mayar
Tindaret: mayar
Ariepi: mayar
Sarawandori: mayar
120. does not want, tidak mau

Ambaidiru: syonai
Mariadei: amabea
Konti-unai: sonai
Tindaret: sonai
Ariepi: sonai
Sarawandori: syonai
121. white, putih

Ambaidiru: poper
Mariadei: buga
Konti-unai: bua
Tindaret: poper
Ariepi: poper
Sarawandori: bugwa
122. red, merah

Ambaidiru: nijam
Mariadei: nijam
Konti-unai: nijam
Tindaret: niyam
Ariepi: nijam
Sarawandori: nija
123. black, hitam

Ambaidiru: karisya
Mariadei: karisa
Konti-unai: karisa
Tindaret: kangan
Ariepi: karisawan
Sarawandori: karisya
124. yellow, kuning

Ambaidiru: kua
Mariadei: kuga
Konti-unai: uga
Tindaret: gua
Ariepi: kuga
Sarawandori: kugwa
125. blue, biru

Ambaidiru: keke
Mariadei: keketamu
Konti-unai: keke
Tindaret: nkeket
Ariepi: keke
Sarawandori: keke
126. one, satu

Ambaidiru: ntabo
Mariadei: tabo
Konti-unai: ntabo
Tindaret: intabo
Ariepi: ntabo
Sarawandori: ntabo
127. two, duaAmbaidiru: jiru
Mariadei: diru
Konti-unai: jiru
Tindaret: jiru
Ariepi: rurum
Sarawandori: jiru
128. three, tigaAmbaidiru: madet
Mariadei: mainde
Konti-unai: mande
Tindaret: manded
Ariepi: mandeSarawandori: mande
129. four, empat
Ambaidiru: mambis
Mariadei: mambi
Konti-unai: mambi
Tindaret: mambis
Ariepi: mambis
Sarawandori: mambi
130. five, limaAmbaidiru: nadani
Mariadei: na
Konti-unai: nai
Tindaret: nadani
Ariepi: radani
Sarawandori: radani
131. six, enamAmbaidiru: kawintabo
Mariadei: kaujintabo
Konti-unai: kaujientabo
Tindaret: kawintabo
Ariepi: kaujintabo
Sarawandori: kaujintabo
132. ten, sepuluhAmbaidiru: abusyinMariadei: sabui
Konti-unai: abusin
Tindaret: sabusin
Ariepi: abusin
Sarawandori: sabui
133. eleven, sebelas ${ }^{5}$Ambaidiru: abusyineyanintabo
Mariadei: sabuieyantabo
Konti-unai: abusinyanentaba
Tindaret: --Ariepi: abusinayanintaboSarawandori: sabuiyanentabo
134. father, bapak
Ambaidiru: njai
Mariadei: aidea
Konti-unai: njaija
Tindaret: njai
Ariepi: njai
Sarawandori: njaija
135. mother, ibu
Ambaidiru: nko
Mariadei: awea
Konti-unai: nkua
Tindaret: nko
Ariepi: nko
Sarawandori: nkoa
136. older sibling, kakak
Ambaidiru: anuija
Mariadei: paniandoi
Konti-unai: anduja
Tindaret: anuija
Ariepi: andoija
Sarawandori: andoja
137. younger sibling, adik
Ambaidiru: riyat
Mariadei: jijake
Konti-unai: rijata
Tindaret: riatap
Ariepi: rijata
Sarawandori: rijata
138. wife, isteri
Ambaidiru: anamu
Mariadei: anamu
Konti-unai: enamu
Tindaret: anam
Ariepi: --
Sarawandori: anamu
139. grandmother, nenek
Ambaidiru: anen
Mariadei: anena
Konti-unai: anena
Tindaret: anenam
Ariepi: anena
Sarawandori: anena
140. grandchild cucu
Ambaidiru: ajabi
Mariadei: worija
Konti-unai: ajabi
Tindaret: ajabib
Ariepi: ajabi
141. uncle, om

Ambaidiru: a:te
Mariadei: awate
Konti-unai: awate
Tindaret: ababi
Ariepi: ajabi
Sarawandori: ajabi
142. aunt, tante

Ambaidiru: anda
Mariadei: ara
Konti-unai: andeja
Tindaret: andam
Ariepi: anda
Sarawandori: anda
143. person, orang

Ambaidiru: batan
Mariadei: batan
Konti-unai: batan
Tindaret: batan
Ariepi: batan
Sarawandori: batan
144. male, laki-laki

Ambaidiru: anya
Mariadei: aina
Konti-unai: anya
Tindaret: tanya
Ariepi: anya
Sarawandori: anya
145. woman, perempuan

Ambaidiru: wanya
Mariadei: waina
Konti-unai: wanya
Tindaret: wanya
Ariepi: wanya
Sarawandori: wanya
146. noone, nama

Ambaidiru: tame
Mariadei: tame
Konti-unai: tame
Tindaret: tame
Ariepi: tame
Sarawandori: tame
147. east, timur

Ambaidiru: wanamuran
Mariadei: --
Konti-unai: wanamura
Tindaret: muran
Ariepi: wanamuran
Sarawandori: wanamuran
148. west, barat

Ambaidiru: waret
Mariadei: --
Konti-unai: wanampui
Tindaret: waret
Ariepi: wanampui
Sarawandori: wanampui
149. cold, dingin

Ambaidiru: nanayao
Mariadei: sansemu
Konti-unai: --
Tindaret: nanata
Ariepi: --
Sarawandori: sansemu
150. strong, kuat

Ambaidiru: bambuni
Mariadei: bamboni
Konti-unai: bambuni
Tindaret: bambuni
Ariepi: bamboni
Sarawandori: bamboni
151. hot, panas

Ambaidiru: mamuan
Mariadei: mamoa
Konti-unai: mamua
Tindaret: mamoan
Ariepi: mamoan
Sarawandori: mamoa
152. fast, cepat

Ambaidiru: ababe
Mariadei: mararu
Konti-unai: ababi
Tindaret: abiti
Ariepi: ambabe
Sarawandori: mondo
153. new, baru

Ambaidiru: wanyin
Mariadei: wanine
Konti-unai: wanine
Tindaret: riat
Ariepi: wain
Sarawandori: wanin
154. old, lama

Ambaidiru: wisin
Mariedei: wusine
Konti-unai: wusine
Tindaret: wusin
Ariepi: wusin
Sarawandori: wusyine
155. heavy, berat

Ambaidiru: manke
Mariadei: manke
Konti-unai: manke Tindaret: manke Ariepi: manke Sarawandori: manke
156. Zight, ringan Ambaidiru: daradam Mariadei: sapija Konti-unai: saeke Tindaret: daradam Ariepi: daradam Sarawandori: saeker
157. sore, sakit

Ambaidiru: joram
Mariadei: --
Konti-unai: joram
Tindaret: nyoram
Ariepi: joram
Sarawandori: joram
158. uZcer, bisul

Ambaidiru: papuam
Mariadei: papoam
Konti-unai: papugam
Tindaret: paputam
Ariepi: papugam Sarawandori: papugam
159. hungry, lapar

Ambaidiru: maror
Mariadei: kayara
Konti-unai: kayara
Tindaret: maror
Ariepi: maro
Sarawandori: kayara
160. slippery, licin

Ambaidiru: saremo
Mariadei: seremu
Konti-unai: --
Tindaret: saremo Ariepi: saremo Sarawandori: seremu
161. thick, tebal Ambaidiru: tapekan Mariadei: tapeya Konti-unai: tapekan Tindaret: tapekan Ariepi: tapekan Sarawandori: tapapekan
162. thin, tipis

Ambaidiru: manini
Mariadei: sambera
Konti-unai: sambera
Tindaret: maninian Ariepi: maninijam Sarawandori: samberam
163. big, besar Ambaidiru: manakoe Mariadei: andowi Konti-unai: mandayakoi Tindaret: akoi Ariepi: manakoi
Sarawandori: manakoi
164. smaZZ, kecil

Ambaidiru: mamau
Mariadei: tubanet
Konti-unai: mamau
Tindaret: maumau
Ariepi: tuban
Sarawandori: tuban
165. Zong, panjang

Ambaidiru: gwarabain
Mariadei: wayaba
Konti-unai: bayabait
Tindaret: gwarabai
Ariepi: sojain
Sarawandori: gwayabain
166. short, pendek

Ambaidiru: tumain
Mariadei: arapa
Konti-unai: tumai
Tindaret: tumai
Ariepi: tumai
Sarawandori: tumai
167. true, benar

Ambaidiru: tugae
Mariadei: tugawa
Konti-unai: tugai
Tindaret: tugai
Ariepi: tugai
Sarawandori: tugae
168. ripe, masak

Ambaidiru: mawit
Mariadei: mawita
Konti-unai: mawit
Tindaret: mawit
Ariepi: mawit
Sarawandori: mawit
169. unripe, muda Ambaidiru: kamuram Mariadei: kamuram Konti-unai: kamuram Tindaret: kamuram Ariepi: kamuram Sarawandori: kamuram
170. good, baik

Ambaidiru: ko
Mariadei: ko
Konti-unai: ko
Tindaret: ngo
Ariepi: ko
Sarawandori: ko
171. delicious, enak

Ambaidiru: manaban
Mariadei: manaba
Konti-unai: manaban
Tindaret: manaban Ariepi: manaban Sarawandori: manaban
172. full, penuh

Ambaidiru: tari
Mariadei: tai
Konti-unai: tai
Tindaret: tari
Ariepi: tari
Sarawandori: tari
173. same, sama

Ambaidiru: mamaisy
Mariadei: maisa
Konti-unai: mamais
Tindaret: mamais
Ariepi: mamais
Sarawandori: mamai
174. boizing, mendidih

Ambaidiru: kararadi
Mariadei: kararari
Konti-unai: kararari
Tindaret: nyuri
Ariepi: nuri
Sarawandori: kararadi
175. naked, telanjang

Ambaidiru: sarokani
Mariadei: sarokani
Konti-unai: sarokani
Tindaret: sorokani
Ariepi: atekokani
Sarawandori: sarokani
176. happy, senang

Ambaidiru: marinsen
Mariadei: anusisi
Konti-unai: nanuri
Tindaret: marinsen
Ariepi: --
Sarawandori: anode
177. shy, malu

Ambaidiru: samane
Mariadei: samane
Konti-unai: samane
Tindaret: tamane
Ariepi: mamaya
Sarawandori: samane
178. insane, gila

Ambaidiru: anaebi
Mariadei: andauwibe
Konti-unai: andaibibe
Tindaret: anaibi
Ariepi: anaibobi
Sarawandori: anaebi
179. angry, marah

Ambaidiru: pari
Mariadei: ugabe
Konti-unai: pari
Tindaret: pari
Ariepi: pari
Sarawandori: pari
180. drow (water), timbah Ambaidiru: raibin
Mariadei: rawabi
Konti-unai: rawabi
Tindaret: rawabin
Ariepi: rawabin
Sarawandori: rawabi
181. KiZZ, membunuh

Ambaidiru: raubaisy
Mariadei: raubai
Konti-unai: tabais
Tindaret: tabais
Ariepi: raubai
Sarawandori: raubai
182. wash, cuci

Ambaidiru: rarondom
Mariadei: randondo
Konti-unai: rarondom
Tindaret: rarondom
Ariepi: rarondom
Sarawandori: rarondo

190. pound (sago), tokok Ambaidiru: --
Mariadei: rakaro
Konti-unai: rakaro
indaret: raman
Ariepi : rakaro
Sarawandori: rakaro
191. ask, bertanya Ambaidiru: ranajo Mariadei: ranaide Konti-unai: ranaje Tindaret: ranade Ariepi: ranajo Sarawandori: ranajo

Ambaidiru: --
Mariadei: ranama Konti-unai: ranamai Tindaret: ranamat Ariepi: ranamai Sarawandori: ranamai
193. Zook for, mencari Ambaidiru: rakani Mariadei: rakani Konti-unai: rakani Tindaret: rakani Ariepi: rakani Sarawandori: rakani
194. shave, mencukur Ambaidiru: rakakar Mariadei: rakaka Konti-unai: rakaka daret: rakakar Ariepi: rakaka Sarawandori: rakaka

Ambaidiru: raija
Mariadei: raja
Konti-unai. raija Ariepi: raija Sarawandori: raija
196. close (door), tutup

Ambaidiru: raguji
Mariadei: rananta Tindaret: rantuki Ariepi: raoki Sarawandori: raoki
197. open (door), membuka
Ambaidiru: rausya
Mariadei: rausa
Konti-unai: rapoe
Tindaret: rapoe
Ariepi: rapa
Sarawandori: raosya
198. plant, tanam
Ambaidiru: ranyum
Mariadei: ranu
Konti-unai: raenum
Tindaret: ratayum
Ariepi: ranyun
Sarawandori: ranyun
199. cook, masak
Ambaidiru: raun
Mariadei: rau
Konti-unai: raun
Tindaret: raun
Ariepi: raun
Sarawandori: raun
200. pour, siram
Ambaidiru: rauwar
Mariadei: rauba
Konti-unai: rauba
Tindaret: raubar
Ariepi: rabuga
Sarawandori: raubar
201. show, tunjukkan
Ambaidiru: raroron
Mariadei: raroro
Konti-unai: raroro
Tindaret: raroron
Ariepi: raroron
Sarawandori: raroron
Sal
202. fold, lipat

Ambaidiru: rakapan
Mariadei: rakapa
Konti-unai: rakapan
Tindaret: rakapan
Ariepi: rakapa
Sarawandori: rakapa
203. twist, putar

Ambaidiru: rasarirae
Mariadei: rasarira
Konti-unai: rasarirai
Tindaret: rasarirai
Ariepi: rasarirai Sarawandori: rasarirae
204. dry, menjemur

Ambaidiru: ranyinyae
Mariadei: ranina
Konti-unai: ranina
Tindaret: rainyat
Ariepi: ranyinyai
Sarawandori: raninae
205. build, bikin

Ambaidiru: rabe
Mariadei: ranari
Konti-unai: ranari
Tindaret: rabe
Ariepi: ranari
Sarawandori: ranari
206. spear, tikam

Ambaidiru: ratukam
Mariadei: ratuga
Konti-unai: ratukam
Tindaret: ratukam
Ariepi: ratukam
Sarawandori: ratukam

### 2.2 Quantitative analysis

The following analysis of the percentages of vocabulary shared between the various dialects of Yawa is intended to be a reflection of the present dialect situation of the Yawa language area. As such, the principles used in determining the percentages have differed somewhat from those used in doing rigorous lexico-statistical analyses. For example, as I mentioned above, words which have possibly been borrowed from neighbouring Austronesian languages have nonetheless been included in the word list and in the calculations of shared vocabulary, since many of these items are frequent in the everyday usage of the Yawa language. Further, and more importantly, the method of determining cognates has differed significantly from standard lexico-statistical practice. Whereas in strict diachronic analyses, regular sound correspondences must be established in order to determine cognates, in this study, as in most synchronic comparative analyses, the inspection method was used to establish
apparent cognates. That is, if two words were the same or nearly the same, they were judged to be apparently cognate apart from any sound correspondence rules. In determining whether two words were 'nearly the same' the following criteria were used: if a clear majority of the phones of two words were the same, and in the same order, those words were judged to be apparently cognate. Phones which differed only in one minor feature, such as voicing in consonants or one level of height in vowels, were judged to be nearly the same. If there were simple, regular sound correspondences between phones in different dialects, e.g. $t$ in the Tindaret dialect often corresponds to $s$ in all other dialects, such correspondences were also counted as being nearly the same.

The percentages of shared vocabulary were calculated on the basis of counts of apparent cognates. In each case the percentages were rounded to the nearest whole per cent. To document my calculations, I have listed, in the case of each comparison of a pair of dialects, all instances of correspondence and disagreement. To avoid listing all of the actual words in full, I have referred to them by the numbers under which they occur in the word list, e.g. in the first comparison between the Ambaidiru and Mariadei dialects, the number l refers to the first word in the above word list, glossed eye. At the end of each comparison $I$ note the percentage of vocabulary shares between those two dialects.

At the end of the section a table appears which summarises the calculation of vocabulary shared between the various dialects. Several isoglossic maps are also included: see pages 55-60. Maps $2-6$ mark various phonological isoglosses. Map 7 marks lexical isoglosses which group the Ambaidiru and Ariepi dialect together, as these will receive special attention in the conclusion of the paper. Map 8 marks other selected lexical isoglosses found in the word list. On each map, the isoglosses are numbered consecutively, and a key in the lower right corner of the map gives the numbers of items in the word list which document the corresponding isogloss. In all of the keys, the equals sign (=) should be read as 'refers to'.

## l. Ambaidiru-Mariadei.

Instances of correspondence: $1,2,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,12,13,14,15,17$, $19,20,21,22,24,25,27,28,29,31,33,34,35,37,40,43,44,45,46,47$, $51,52,53,56,59,60,61,63,64,66,67,68,71,73,74,76,77,78,79,80$, $83,84,85,86,87,88,90,91,92,94,95,97,99,100,101,102,104,106$, $107,108,110,112,113,114,115,116,122,123,124,125,126,127,128,129$, $130,131,132,133,136,138,139,141,143,143,144,145,146,150,151,153$, $154,155,158,160,161,165,167,168,169,170,171,172,173,174,175,177$, 178, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 187, 191, 193, 194, 195, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 206
Total: 141
Instances of disagreement: 3, $16,18,19,23,26,32,38,39,41,48,49,50$, $55,57,58,62,65,69,70,72,75,81,82,89,93,96,98,103,105,109,111$, $117,118,120,121,134,135,137,140,149,152,156,159,162,163,164,166$, 176, 179, 186, 188, 189, 196, 205
Total: 56
Total instances: 197. Percentage of shared vocabulary: 72\%
2. Ambaidiru-Konti-unai.

Instances of correspondence: $1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9,10,12,13,14,15,16,17$, $18,19,21,22,24,25,26,27,28,29,31,32,33,35,36,37,39,40,41,43$, $44,45,46,47,49,51,52,53,55,56,57,60,61,62,63,64,65,66,67,68$, $70,71,73,74,75,76,77,78,79,80,82,83,84,85,86,87,88,89,90,91$, $92,94,95,96,97,100,101,102,103,104,106,107,108,109,110,112,113$, $114,115,116,119,120,122,123,124,125,126,127,128,129,130,131,132$, $133,134,135,136,137,138,139,140,141,142,143,144,145,146,147,150$, $151,152,153,154,155,157,158,161,163,164,165,166,167,168,169,170$, $171,172,172,174,175,177,178,179,180,181,182,183,184,185,187,189$, 191, 193, 194, 195, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 206
Total: 168
Instances of disagreement: 5, 11, $20,23,34,38,48,50,58,69,72,81,93$, 98, 105, lll, $117,121,148,156,159,162,176,186,188,196,197,205$ Total: 28
Total instances: 196. Percentage of shared vocabulary: 86\%
3. Ambaidiru- Tindaret.

Instances of correspondence: $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,15,16$, $17,18,19,21,22,24,25,26,27,28,29,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,40$, $41,43,44,46,47,48,50,51,52,55,56,57,58,59,60,61,63,64,65,66$, $67,69,70,71,72,73,74,75,76,77,78,79,80,81,83,84,85,87,88,89$, $90,91,92,93,94,95,96,97,99,100,101,102,103,104,105,106,108,109$, $111,112,113,114,115,116,117,118,119,120,121,122,124,125,126,127$, $128,129,130,131,132,133,134,135,136,137,138,139,140,141,142,143$, $144,145,146,147,148,149,150,151,154,155,156,157,158,159,160,161$, $162,163,164,165,166,167,168,169,170,171,172,173,175,176,177,178$, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 191, 193, 194, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206
Total: 180
Instances of disagreement: $14,20,23,39,45,53,62,68,82,86,98,107$, 110, 123, 152, 153, 174, 195, 196, 197
Total: 20
Total instances: 200. Percentage of shared vocabulary: 90\%

## 4. Ambaidiru-Ariepi.

Instances of correspondence: $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,15,16$, $18,19,20,21,22,24,25,27,28,29,31,32,33,34,35,37,38,39,41,43$, $44,45,46,47,48,49,51,52,53,56,57,59,60,61,63,64,65,66,67,68$, $71,72,73,74,75,76,77,78,79,80,81,83,84,85,86,87,88,89,90,91$, $92,94,95,96,97,98,99,100,101,102,103,104,105,106,107,108,110$, $112,113,114,115,116,117,118,119,120,121,122,123,124,125,126,127$, $128,129,130,131,132,133,134,135,136,137,139,140,141,142,143,144$, $145,146,147,150,151,152,153,154,155,156,157,158,159,160,161,162$, $163,166,167,168,169,170,171,172,173,175,178,179,180,181,182,183$, $184,185,186,187,189,191,193,194,195,198,199,200,201,202,203,204$, 206
Total: 173
Instances of disagreement: $14,17,23,26,36,50,55,58,62,69,70,82,93$, 109, 111, 148, 164, 165, 174, 177, 188, 196, 197, 205
Total: 24
Total instances: 197. Percentage of shared vocabulary: 88\%

## 5. Ambaidiru-Sarawandori.

Instances of correspondence: $1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,18$, $19,20,21,22,24,25,26,27,28,29,31,32,33,35,36,37,40,41,43,44$, $45,46,47,49,51,52,53,56,59,60,61,63,64,65,66,67,68,71,73,74$, $75,76,77,78,79,80,81,82,83,84,85,86,87,88,89,90,91,92,94,95$, $96,97,99,100,101,102,103,104,105,106,107,108,110,112,113,114$, $115,116,117,118,119,120,122,123,124,125,126,127,128,129,130,131$, $132,133,134,135,136,137,138,139,140,141,142,143,144,145,146,147$, $150,151,153,154,155,157,158,160,161,163,165,166,167,168,169,170$, $171,172,173,174,175,177,178,179,180,181,182,183,184,185,186,187$, 189, 191, 193, 194, 195, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 206 Total: 169
Instances of disagreement: 5, $16,17,23,34,38,39,48,50,55,57,58,62$, $69,70,72,93,98,109,111,121,148,149,152,156,159,162,164,176,188$, 196, 205
Total: 32
Total instances: 201. Percentage of shared vocabulary: 84\%
6. Mariadei-Konti-unai.

Instances of correspondence: $1,2,4,6,7,8,9,10,12,13,14,15,17,19$, $21,22,24,25,27,28,29,31,33,34,35,37,38,39,40,42,43,44,45,46$, $47,48,51,52,53,54,56,58,60,61,63,64,66,67,68,69,71,72,73,74$, $75,76,77,78,79,80,81,83,84,85,86,87,88,90,91,92,93,94,95,97$, 98, $100,101,102,104,105,106,107,108,110,111,112,113,114,115,116$, $117,121,122,123,124,125,126,127,128,129,130,131,132,133,136,138$, $139,141,142,143,144,145,146,150,151,153,154,155,158,159,161,162$, $165,167,168,169,170,171,172,173,174,175,177,178,180,181,182,183$, 184, 185, 186, 187, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206
Total: 157
Instances of disagreement: 3, 5, $11,16,18,20,23,26,30,32,36,41,49$, $50,55,57,62,65,70,82,89,96,103,109,120,134,135,137,140,152,163$, 164, 166, 176, 179, 188, 189, 196, 197
Total: 40
Total instances: 197. Percentage of shared vocabulary: 80\%

## 7. Mariadei-Tindaret.

Instances of correspondence: $1,2,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,12,13,15,17,19$, $21,22,24,25,27,28,29,31,33,34,35,37,39,40,43,44,46,47,51,52$, $56,59,60,61,62,63,64,66,67,71,73,74,75,76,77,78,79,80,83,84$, 85, 87, 88, 90, 91, 92, 94, 95, 97, 99, 100, 101, 102, 104, 106, 108, 112, 113, $114,155,116,122,124,125,126,127,128,129,130,131,132,133,136,138$, $139,141,142,143,144,145,146,150,151,154,155,158,160,161,165,167$, $168,169,170,171,172,173,175,177,178,180,181,182,183,184,185,187$, 191, 192, 193, 194, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 206
Total: 131
Instances of disagreement: $3,11,14,16,18,20,23,26,30,32,36,38,41$, $42,45,48,50,53,54,55,57,58,65,68,69,70,72,81,82,86,89,93,96$, 98, 103, 105, 107, 109, $110,111,117,118,120,121,123,134,135,137,140$, $149,152,153,156,159,162,163,164,166,174,176,179,186,188,189,190$, 195, 196, 197, 205
Total: 69
Total instances: 200. Percentage of shared vocabulary: 66\%

## 8. Mariadei-Ariepi.

Instances of correspondence: $1,2,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,12,13,15,19,20$, $21,22,24,25,27,28,29,31,33,34,35,36,37,42,43,44,45,46,47,50$, $51,52,53,56,58,59,60,61,62,63,64,66,67,68,69,70,71,73,74,75$, $76,77,78,79,80,82,83,84,85,86,87,88,90,91,92,93,94,95,97,99$, $100,101,102,104,106,107,108,109,110,111,112,113,114,115,116,122$, $123,124,125,126,127,128,129,130,131,132,133,136,139,141,142,143$, $144,145,146,150,151,153,154,155,158,159,160,161,164,167,168,169$, $170,171,172,173,175,178,180,181,182,183,184,185,187,190,191,192$, 193, 194, 195, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206
Total: 150
Instances of disagreement: 3, $11,14,16,17,18,23,26,30,32,38,39,41$, $48,49,55,57,65,72,81,89,96,98,103,105,117,118,120,121,134,135$, $137,140,152,156,162,163,165,166,174,177,179,186,188,189,196,197$ Total: 47
Total instances: 197. Percentage of shared vocabulary: 76\%

## 9. Mariadei-Sarawandori.

Instances of correspondence: $1,2,4,6,7,8,9,10,12,13,14,15,16,19$, $20,21,22,24,25,27,28,29,31,33,35,36,37,38,39,40,42,43,44,45$, $46,47,48,50,51,52,53,54,56,57,58,59,60,61,62,63,64,66,67,68$, $69,70,71,72,73,74,75,76,77,78,79,80,83,84,85,86,87,88,90,91$, $92,93,94,95,97,98,99,100,101,102,104,106,107,108,109,110,111$, $112,113,114,115,116,121,122,123,124,125,126,127,128,129,130,131$, $132,133,136,138,139,141,142,143,144,145,146,149,150,151,153,154$, $155,158,159,160,161,162,164,165,167,168,169,170,171,172,173,174$, $175,177,178,180,181,182,183,184,185,187,190,191,192,193,194,195$, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206
Total: 165
Instances of disagreement: 3, 5, 11, 17, 18, 23, 26, 30, 32, 34, 41, 49, 55, 65, 81, $82,89,96,103,105,117,118,120,134,135,137,140,152,156,163,166$, 176, 179, 186, 188, 189, 196
Total: 37
Total instances: 202. Percentage of shared vocabulary: 82\%
10. Konti-unai-Tindaret.

Instances of correspondence $1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,15,16,17$, $18,19,20,21,22,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,35,36,37,39,40$, $41,43,44,46,47,51,52,55,56,57,60,61,63,64,65,66,67,70,71,73$, $4,75,76,77,78,79,80,83,84,85,87,88,89,90,91,92,94,95,96,97$, $100,101,102,103,104,106,108,109,112,113,114,115,116,119,120,122$, $124,125,126,127,128,129,130,131,132,133,134,135,136,137,138,139$, $140,141,142,143,144,145,146,147,150,151,152,154,155,157,158,159$, $161,163,164,165,166,167,168,169,170,171,172,173,175,177,178,179$, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 187, 189, 191, 192, 193, 194, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 206
Total: l6l
Instances of disagreement: $5,14,23,34,38,42,48,50,53,54,58,62,68$, $69,72,81,82,86,93,98,105,107,110,111,117,121,123,148,153,156$, 162, 174, 176, 186, 188, 190, 195, 205 Total: 39
Total instances: 200. Percentage of shared vocabulary: 80\%
11. Konti-unai-Ariepi.

Instances of correspondence: $1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9,10,12,13,15,16,18,19$, $21,22,24,25,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,35,37,41,42,43,44,45,46,47$, $49,51,52,53,56,57,58,60,61,63,64,65,66,67,68,69,71,73,74,75$, $76,77,78,79,80,83,84,85,86,87,88,89,90,91,92,93,94,95,96,97$, $100,101,102,103,104,106,107,108,110,111,112,113,114,115,116,119$, $120,122,123,124,125,126,127,128,129,130,131,132,133,134,135,136$, $137,139,140,141,142,143,144,145,146,147,148,150,151,152,153,154$, $155,157,158,161,163,166,167,168,169,170,171,172,173,175,178,179$, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206
Total: 165
Instances of disagreement: 5, 11, $14,17,20,23,26,34,36,38,39,48,50$, $55,62,70,72,81,82,98,105,109,117,121,156,159,162,164,165,174$, 177, 186
Total: 32
Total instances: 197. Percentage of shared vocabulary: 84\%
12. Konti-unai-Sarawandori.

Instances of correspondence: $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,12,13,14,15,18$, $19,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,37,38,39,40$, $41,42,43,44,45,46,47,48,49,51,52,53,54,56,58,60,61,63,64,65$, $66,67,68,69,71,72,73,74,75,76,77,78,79,80,82,83,84,85,86,87$, $88,89,90,91,92,93,94,95,96,97,98,100,101,102,103,104,106,107$, $108,109,110,111,112,113,114,115,116,119,120,121,122,123,124,125$, $126,127,128,129,130,131,132,133,134,135,136,137,138,139,140,141$, $142,143,144,145,146,147,148,150,151,153,154,155,156,157,158,159$, $161,162,163,165,166,167,168,169,170,171,172,173,174,175,177,178$, $179,180,181,182,183,184,185,187,188,189,190,191,192,193,194,195$, 196, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206
Total: 183
Instances of disagreement: $11,16,17,20,36,50,55,57,62,70,81,105$, 117, 152, 164, 176, 186, 197
Total: 18
Total instances: 201. Percentage of shared vocabulary: 91\%
13. Tindaret-Ariepi.

Instances of correspondence: $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15$, $16,18,19,21,22,23,24,25,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,37,38,41$, $43,44,46,47,48,51,52,56,57,59,60,61,63,64,65,66,67,68,71,72$, $73,74,75,76,77,78,79,80,81,83,84,85,87,88,89,90,91,92,94,95$, $96,97,99,100,101,102,103,104,105,106,108,112,113,114,115,116$,
$117,118,119,120,121,122,124,125,126,127,128,129,130,131,132,133$, $134,135,136,137,139,140,141,142,143,144,145,146,147,150,151,154$, $155,156,157,158,159,160,161,162,163,166,167,168,169,170,171,172$, $173,174,175,178,179,180,181,182,183,184,185,186,187,189,191,192$, 193, 194, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 206
Total: 167
Instances of disagreement: $17,20,26,36,39,42,45,50,53,55,58,62,69$,
$70,82,86,93,98,107,109,110,111,123,148,152,153,164,165,177,188$, 190, 195, 205
Total: 33
Total instances: 200. Percentage of shared vocabulary: 84\%

## 14. Tindaret-Sarawandori.

Instances of correspondence: $1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,15,18$, $19,21,22,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,35,37,39,40,41,43,44$, $46,47,51,52,56,59,60,61,63,64,65,66,67,68,71,73,74,75,76,77$, $78,79,80,81,83,84,85,87,88,89,90,91,92,94,95,96,97,99,100$, $101,102,103,104,105,106,108,112,113,114,115,116,117,118,119,120$, $122,124,125,126,127,128,129,130,131,132,133,134,135,136,137,138$, $139,140,141,142,143,144,145,146,147,148,150,151,154,155,157,158$, $160,161,163,165,166,167,168,169,170,171,172,173,175,177,178,179$, $180,181,182,183,184,185,186,187,189,190,191,192,193,194,196,198$, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 206
Total: 160
Instances of disagreement: 5, 14, 16, 17, 20, 23, $34,36,38,42,48,50,53$, $54,55,57,58,62,69,70,72,82,86,93,98,107,109,110,111,121,123$, $149,152,153,156,159,162,164,174,176,188,195,197,205$

## Total: 45

Total instances: 205. Percentage of shared vocabulary: 78\%
15. Ariepi-Sarawandori.

Instances of correspondence: $1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,15,17$, $18,19,20,21,22,24,25,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,35,36,37,41,42,43$, $44,45,46,47,49,50,51,52,53,56,58,59,60,61,62,63,64,65,66,67$, $68,69,70,71,73,74,75,76,77,78,79,80,81,83,84,85,86,87,88,89$, $90,91,92,93,94,95,96,97,99,100,101,102,103,104,105,106,107$, $108,109,110,111,112,113,114,115,116,117,118,119,120,122,123,124$, $125,126,127,128,129,130,131,132,133,134,135,136,137,139,140,141$, $142,143,144,145,146,147,148,150,151,153,154,155,157,158,160,161$, $163,164,165,166,167,168,169,170,171,172,173,175,178,179,180,181$, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206
Total: 179
Instances of disagreement: 5, $14,16,23,16,34,38,39,48,55,57,72,82$, 98, 121, 152, 156, 159, 162, 174, 177, 197
Total: 22
Total instances: 201. Percentage of shared vocabulary: 89\%

|  | SUMMARY CHART OF PERCENTAGES OF VOCABULARY SHARED between the various yawa dialects |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TINDARET |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 | AMBAIDIRU |  |  |  |  |
| 84 | 88 | ARIEP |  |  |  |
| 78 | 84 | 89 | SARAWANDORI |  |  |
| 80 | 86 | 84 | 91 | KONTI- |  |
| 66 | 72 | 76 | 82 | 80 | MARIADEI |



Map 1: Location of the Yawa language area


Map 2: Selected isoglosses involving the sound correspondence s:t


Map 3: Selected isoglosses involving the sound correspondence intervccalic t:ø


Map 4: Selected isoglosses involving the sound correspondence $n$ :nd


Map 5: Selected isoglosses involving the sound correspondence d:j


Map 6: Selected isoglosses involving the sound correspondence initial s:ø


Map 7: Selected lexical isoglosses which group Ambaidiru and Ariepi together


Map 8: Selected lexical isoglosses which separate Ariepi from Ambaidiru


Map 9: Dialect boundaries in the Yawa language area according to Anceaux 1961:9


Map 10: Dialect boundaries in the Yawa language area according to this study

## 3. INTELLIGIBILITY TESTING

### 3.1 Methodology

Intelligibility testing was conducted in each of the major dialects of the Yawa language group. Tests were constructed from tape-recordings elicited in the villages of Ambaidiru, Tindaret, Sarawandori, Mariadei, Konti-unai, and Ariepi. Testing was conducted in all of the above villages as well.

A sentence test approach was used in designing the intelligibility test (cf. Casad 1974:89). In each village, a group of informants ${ }^{6}$ was asked to translate orally a set of 20 sentences from the language of elicitation, Indonesian, into their local dialect. These sentences in the local dialect were then tape-recorded by a representative of the group for use in intelligibility testing.
The sets of sentences elicited at each site differed semantically, but were comparable in the range of grammatical constructions found in each set. Each set of test sentences included at least one of each of the following: a benefactive, a transitive clause, an intransitive clause, a locative, an expression involving temporal succession or duration, a quotation, a cause-effect sentence, an instrumental, a conditional sentence, a command, a prohibition, an equative clause, a possessive, a negative, an information
question, and a comparison. The grammatical constructions chosen for inclusion in the tests were those found to have distinctive morpho-syntactic marking in the Sarawandori dialect. The lexical items in each test were from a broad range of semantic domains, so that each test included a representative cross-section of speech in a given dialect. Further, all lexical items in the tests were judged to be easily and unambiguously translatable from Indonesian into Yawa and vice versa on the basis of data gathered in the Sarawandori dialect. A sample set of Indonesian sentences used to elicit the test recordings is included in the appendix at the end of this paper.

The actual test procedure was as follows: a group of informants from the dialect to be tested listened to sets of sentences from each of the dialects, beginning with their own 'home-town' dialect. After each sentence, the group was asked to arrive at a consensus as to its meaning and to translate that meaning into Indonesian. These translations were then compared with the corresponding Indonesian sentences used to elicit the test sentences on the tape in order to determine the degree to which each set of sentences was correctly understood.

The method of scoring the tests was as follows: first, the sets of Indonesian sentences used to elicit the test sentences were examined and the total number of basic meaning components in each set of sentences was calculated. Each major lexical item in a sentence was assigned the value of one basic meaning component. The grammatical relationships between the various lexical items in a single sentence were collectively assigned the value of one basic meaning component. Thus, for example, in the sentence I threw the plate into the sea, the lexical items $I$, threw, plate, and sea are each valued as constituting one basic meaning component. An additional basic meaning component is added as the value of the various grammatical relationships in the sentence, yielding a total of five basic meaning components for the sentence. The total number of basic meaning components in each set of Indonesian sentences was roughly 100.7
The original sets of Indonesian sentences were then compared with the Indonesian translations given during testing by informants from the various dialects in response to the corresponding intelligibility test tape-recording. Each translation of a test sentence was given one point for every basic meaning component which matched a basic meaning component in the corresponding original sentence. Another point was added if the grammatical relationships between lexical items in the test translation matched those in the original sentence. The total number of points in each set of translations of test sentences was then calculated. The scores reported here constitute the percentage of each test recording correctly understood by speakers of each dialect. ${ }^{8}$

### 3.2 Results

The results of the intelligibility testing done in the Yawa language area are found in the table below. The column on the left of the table lists the sites where tape-recordings for the tests were elicited (called reference sites in the table). The row at the top of this table lists the sites where intelligibility tests were conducted (called test sites in the table). At the intersection between any reference site row and test site column the percentage of the reference site tape recording correctly understood by informants from that test site is recorded. The numbers found on the diagonal of the table from upper left to lower right are the hometown scores of each dialect, the
scores achieved on tests where the reference site was the same as the test site. The fact that these scores are all virtually 100 per cent ${ }^{9}$ is a significant indication of the reliability of the testing methodology used here.

| TABLE OF SCORES ACHIEVED IN INTELLIGIBILITY TESTING CONDUCTED IN THE YAWA LANGUAGE AREA. ALL SCORES HAVE BEEN ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST WHOLE PER CENT. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TEST SITE | Ambaidiru | Mariadei | Konti-unai | Ariepi | Tindaret | Sarawandori |
| REFERENCE SITE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ambaidiru | 100 | 96 | 96 | 99 | 100 | 98 |
| Mariadei | 58 | 97 | 80 | 86 | 81 | 97 |
| Konti-unai | 94 | 91 | 100 | 90 | 98 | 90 |
| Ariepi | 94 | 95 | 88 | 99 | 92 | 98 |
| Tindaret | 99 | 73 | 88 | 94 | 100 | 87 |
| Sarawandori | 93 | 99 | 92 | 99 | . 95 | 100 |

## 4. INTERPRETATIONS AND CONCLUSION

### 4.1 The position of Ariepi

One of the obvious discrepancies in the data presented above is the fact that Ariepi appears to be a separate dialect within the Yawa language group, even though most informants intuitively listed Ariepi as a member of the Ambaidiru dialect. An examination of the percentage of vocabulary shared between Ariepi and the other Yawa dialects (cf. 2.2) indicates that Ariepi cannot be closely identified with any of the other dialects, and on this basis alone should probably be classified as a separate dialect. Such a classification, however reasonable, demands that we account for the native speaker intuitions which contradict it.

Some of the data presented above does link Ariepi with the Ambaidiru dialect. In the intelligibility testing, apart from the home-town test scores, there were only five instances of perfect or near perfect scores, indicating virtually perfect understanding. Of the Sarawandori test recording 99 per cent was understood by informants from Ariepi and Mariadei; 99 per cent of the Tindaret test recording was understood by Ambaidiru informants; 100 per cent of the Ambaidiru test recording was understood by Tindaret informants; and 99 per cent of the Ambaidiru test recording was understood by Ariepi informants. Some of these high scores can be explained by patterns of traffic to and from the township of Serui. There is a high degree of small-boat traffic along the south coast of Yapen as villagers travel to Serui to market garden produce and buy manufactured goods. As a result, speakers of the Ariepi dialect frequently land at villages speaking the Sarawandori dialect on their way to Serui. Likewise, speakers of the Sarawandori dialect invariably land at Mariadei and enter the town via road from there. The fact that both Mariadei and Ariepi are located within three kilometres of villages speaking the Sarawandori
dialect (Ariepi neighbours Tatui to the east and Mariadei is near Sarawandori to the west) also facilitates a high degree of interaction between speakers of these dialects. In a similar way, speakers of the Ambaidiru dialect are often exposed to the Tindaret dialect and vice versa. The long and difficult trip overland from Tindaret to Serui requires at least two days, and thus virtually all Tindaret speakers travelling to or from Serui spend at least one night in Ambaidiru.

While most of the unusually high non-home-town scores can be explained by the proximity of the villages involved and regular traffic patterns to the main commercial centre of the area, the high scores achieved by Ariepi speakers listening to the Ambaidiru test recording cannot. Travel between Ambaidiru and Ariepi is limited primarily to religious gatherings, which occur roughly once per year. The only means of communication between these two villages is a little-used rainforest trail - a trip from Ariepi to Ambaidiru on this trail often involves spending one night camping in the rainforest. This being the case, the high score achieved by Ariepi informants listening to the Ambaidiru recording could possibly be explained by positing some type of historical connection between Ambaidiru and Ariepi. This would support the intuitions of both Ariepi and Ambaidiru speakers that they speak the same dialect.
The isoglossic maps also indicate a link between the Ariepi and Ambaidiru dialects. In maps 2-6 there are only three phonological isoglosses which separate Ambaidiru from Ariepi: isoglosses 2 in map 3, isogloss 2 and isogloss 3 in map 4. These isoglosses involve three different correspondence sets in the word list. All other phonological isoglosses noted in the paper (ten isoglosses involving a total of 18 different correspondence sets) group Ariepi and Ambaidiru together. Further, most of the lexical isoglosses noted in the word list also grouped Ariepi with Ambaidiru (see map 7). Of the lexical isoglosses which separate Ariepi from Ambaidiru (map 8), all but one of these (isogloss 4, involving one correspondence set) groups Ariepi with the Sarawandori dialect. This suggests that the lexical differences between the Ambaidiru and Ariepi dialects may largely be due to borrowing of vocabulary from their nearby and easily accessible neighbours in the Sarawandori dialect.

Taken as a whole, the above data indicates that, while, at the present time, the dialect spoken at Ariepi must be considered distinct from that spoken in Ambaidiru, the dialects are closely related and probably diverged from one another more recently than either did from the other Yawa dialects. If true, this would account for the native speaker opinions which intuitively link Ariepi and Ambaidiru together as a single dialect.

### 4.2 Conclusion

A final conclusion to be drawn from this study lies in an explanation of the discrepancies between this study's results and those published in Anceaux 1961. Anceaux (1961:9) grouped the following villages together as homogeneous dialects areas: Ambaidiru and Mambon were set apart as speaking a unique dialect, which had many similarities with the dialect spoken in Rosbori, Artanen, Dore, Tindaret, and Kiriow. Ariobu was seen as speaking a distinct dialect of its own, as were the villages of Sambarawai and Yobi. Yapanani (called by Anceaux Yapanani-borai), Sarawandori, and Kabuena were grouped together as members of a single dialect, as were Mariadei, Miantembu, Turu, and the various villages situated close to Serui which now exist as place names,
but not as functioning Yawa communities. Konti-unai and Kainui were grouped together, apart from the similar, but (according to Anceaux) nonetheless distinct dialect spoken in Wadapi Darat. Further, although Anceaux himself published no figure for the total number of Yawa dialects, Voorhoeve (1975:873), relying on Anceaux's unpublished word lists, suggests that the total number of dialects in the Yawa language area is 15 . Maps 9 and 10 show the dialect boundaries proposed in Anceaux 1961 and in the present study, respectively. ${ }^{10}$

Since Anceaux published no word lists to substantiate the dialect distinctions he posited in the Yawa language area, ${ }^{11}$ it is difficult to analyse his dialect decisions and compare them with those of this paper. As a result, Anceaux's methodology and reasoning in this analysis are open primarily to conjecture rather than critical examination. He does mention (1961:1, 3) that he acquired Yawa word lists from sources in the Dutch administration of Yapen (although he does not evaluate their reliability). He also notes (1961:2, 3) that nearly all of the data which he personally collected on the Yawa language was gathered in May 1958, during the time when he was also gathering material for the comparison of the Austronesian languages on Yapen.

Some of the discrepancies between this analysis and Anceaux's can be attributed to the changes and movements of people during the 26 -year gap between his analysis and the present study. For example, it is highly likely that Yawa speakers did at one time live in Yobi, although presently this village is comprised exclusively of Biak speakers. Likewise, it is possible that the village of Yapanani, which is now situated inland from the south coast, close to Konti-unai, was at one time located on the south coast itself, as Anceaux's map places it. Other discrepancies can be explained by supposing that Anceaux based his classification of dialects on fairly minute differences in speech. ${ }^{12}$ A more finely grained approach on Anceaux's part could explain his distinguishing Ariobu from its closest north coast neighbour, Rosbori. A fine-grained analysis might also explain Anceaux's classifications of Samabarawai and Wadapi Darat as speaking dialects distinct from Konti-unai.
On the other hand, several of the discrepancies between this study and Anceaux's cannot be so easily explained. The classification of Rosbori, Artanen, Tindaret, Dore, and Kiriow into a single dialect area, the grouping of Sarawandori, Kabuena, and Yapanani as a homogeneous speech community, and the identification of the dialect spoken at Mantembu with that spoken in Mariadei, Turu, and the villages close to Serui are all directly contradicted by the findings of this study. The explanation of these differences probably lies in the short amount of time Anceaux spent in the Yawa language area and the fact that his primary focus of attention during that time was Yawa's Austronesian neighbours. It is quite likely that Anceaux did not have time to check his word lists personally by visiting each of the Yawa dialect areas. Also, it is probable that Anceaux used a standard word list in gathering his data for the Yawa language, rather than specifically adapting a word list to the unique features of Yawa, as was done in this study. Use of an unadapted word list will often of itself give a distorted picture of the dialect geography in an area, in that items which are ambiguous, difficult-to-elicit, or redundant in a particular language area are often included on such lists. Use of these items in calculating cognate percentages invariably skews the analysis based on them.

This leads us to a fitting conclusion to the study. Reliable results in dialect geography research will only be obtained when sufficient time is invested in preparatory research in the language area under study and, further,
when the instruments used to establish dialect boundaries (word list, intelligibility tests, etc.) are adapted to the unique characteristics of the languages under study.

## APPENDIX

ELICITATION SENTENCES

TOTAL NUMBER OF BASIC MEANING COMPONENTS (INCLUDING ONE COMPONENT FOR GRAMMATICAL RELATIONS)

5 brother.
Saya menjahit atap untuk kakak laki-laki saya. ${ }^{13}$
2. My sister went (to) Jayapura (for) two months, (then) she came home.
Adik perempuan saya pergi ke Jayapura selama dua bulan, lalu ia pulang.
3. I con holding all (of) the spoons.

Saya pegang semua sendok.
4. The child wants to open the door.
Anak mau buka pintu.
5. I don't want to buy a crab. 14

Saya tidak mau membeli kepiting. ${ }^{14}$
6. Mother has three pans. Ibu punya tiga belanga.
7. The cat is sitting under the house. 5 Kucing duduk di bawah rumah.
8. We (2) came to talk together.

Kami dua datang untuk cakap-cakap bersama.
9. Father said (he) does not want to come.
Bapak bilang dia tidak mau ikut.
10. Give me (the) other cloth.

Kasih saya kain lain.
11. This fish (and) that fish (are) the same.4

Ikan ini dan ikan itu sama persis.
12. She is not my wife. 4 Dia bukan isteri saya.
13. You ( $s g$ ) be quiet.

Engkau tenanglah.
14. My younger brother (is) a teacher. Adik laki-laki saya adalah guru.
15. You (2), don't hit the child 1. ..... 5 Jangan engkau dua pukul anak.
16. Why (is) the child sleeping? Kenapa anak tidur?
17. I walked (to) Ambaidiru so my knees are sore. Saya jalan ke Ambaidiru jadi lutut saya sakit.
18. I use an axe to split logs. ..... 6 Saya pakai kapak untuk membelah kayu.
19. Whose child picked the papaya? ..... 5
Anak punya siapa petik papaya?
20. (If) I fall, I feel embarrassed. ..... 5 Kalau saya jatuh, saya malu.

## NOTES

${ }^{1}$ Data for this paper was gathered during ten months of residence in the Yawa language area, between July 1983 and December 1984. Most of this time was spent in the villages of Sarawandori, on the south coast, and in Rosbori, on the north coast. An extensive survey of the entire Yawa language area, including visits to most of the major villages, was conducted during the months of May and June 1984. All research was conducted with the Cooperative Program of Cenderawasih University and the Summer Institute of Linguistics. I here gratefully acknowledge the assistance of the police and civilian officials of Kabupatan Yapen-Waropen, Irian Jaya, and the hospitality and cooperation of numerous Yawa speakers in the villages of Ambaidiru, Konti-unai, Sarawandori, Ariepi, Mantembu, Sambarawai, Tindaret, Dore, Kiriow, Aromarea, Tatui, Yapanani, Mariadei, and Rosbori. I am also grateful for the help of Philip Fields in the elicitation of the word lists.
${ }^{2}$ The names for this language used by Galis and Cowan are actually the names of villages situated near Serui. Cowan and Galis probably elicited their word lists in these fairly accessible villages.
${ }^{3}$ Callister (1977) argues convincingly for the need to take native speaker intuitions into account in synchronic dialect studies.
${ }^{4}$ All these forms were judged to be apparently cognate on the basis of their common root to. The variation in the Mariadei dialect indicates a slight difference in the marking of the third person masculine subject for this verb. The word elicited in Tindaret was a root without any affixation whatsoever.
${ }^{5}$ The purpose of including this apparently redundant item in the word list was to compare the way the various dialects expressed the concept 'plus', as in 'ten-plus-one'. All of these forms were judged to be apparently cognate in that they all use the morpheme yane, or a slight variant of this morpheme to express this concept.
${ }^{6}$ Groups of Yawa villagers were used as informants because, generally, the Yawa people were more comfortable interacting with a Westerner in a group situation rather than individually. In each case, care was taken that the group be composed of middle-aged men who were all born in the village where the data was being elicited, whose parents were native speakers of that dialect, and whose wives were not from another Yawa dialect area.
${ }^{7}$ I list here the actual number of meaning components calculated for each set of Indonesian elicitation sentences: Ambaidiru, 97; Mariadei, 97; Konti-unai, 101; Tindaret, 97; Ariepi, 93; and Sarawandori, 92.
${ }^{8}$ It could be objected that this scoring procedure depends too heavily on the informant's ability to translate between his mother tongue, Yawa, and the language of elicitation, Indonesian. This weakness is virtually inescapable in most types of intelligibility testing. In this study, the fact that virtually the entire Yawa language community is conversant in the form of Indonesian used in Irian Jaya greatly reduces the gravity of the objection.
${ }^{9}$ The only home-town score which might be interpreted as significantly less than 100 per cent is the Mariadei home-town score: 97 per cent. This seemingly low score on a home-town test can be explained by the fact that technical difficulties occurred during the administration of the home-town test in Mariadei. It is precisely at the point on the test tape where technical difficulties occurred that the Mariadei informants failed to understand 100 per cent of the test recording.
${ }^{10}$ Discrepancies were found when Anceaux's prose description of the dialect boundaries among the Yawa (1961:9) was compared with the dialect map included in the same book. The map in the present study is based on Anceaux's prose description, on the assumption that it more accurately reflects his intended analysis.
${ }^{11}$ In fairness, it needs to be recognised that Anceaux's primary focus was the comparison of the Austronesian languages on Yapen. Thus he cannot be unconditionally criticised for failing to provide word lists from the Yawa language area. However, since the Yawa language was not his focus of research, and, as a result, Yawa word lists were omitted from the published study, it might have been better to have refrained from commenting on the dialect boundaries among the Yawa, rather than doing so without documentation.
${ }^{12}$ The fact that minute differences in speech weighed heavily in Anceaux's classification of language can be seen in his comparison of Ambai and Wadapi Laut, two Austronesian villages on the south coast of Yapen. Although in his own comparison of word lists, these two languages were 99 per cent cognate (Anceaux 1961:140), he nonetheless preferred to classify these villages as speaking separate languages (1961:ll).
${ }^{13}$ In colloquial Irianese Indonesian first person possession is often unmarked when referring to kin relations. Therefore, for the purposes of scoring consistency, expressions such as my older brother were judged to constitute only one basic meaning component.
${ }^{14}$ The meaning does not want is expressed by a single word in Yawa. Therefore, this expression as a whole was counted as constituting one basic meaning component.
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# A MARRIAGE DISPUTE IN THE NEBILYER VALLEY <br> (WESTERN HIGHLANDS PROVINCE, PAPUA NEW GUINEA) <br> Francesca Merlan and Alan Rumsey 

## General introduction

One of the most striking first impressions upon European visitors to the New Guinea highlands is of the sheer volume of talk in the air. People spend hours a day at it, often in focused gatherings where it seems to be the main activity. Many of these gatherings are disputes, often ostensibly about marriage problems. In this region, the organisation of marriage by bridewealth payments creates rights and social relationships among groups and individuals of a kind which insure that such disputes always involve other people besides just the marriage partners. Considering the amount of talk that goes on at these disputes, it often seems that surprisingly little is resolved.

This chapter will comprise a transcript and tentative analysis of one such occasion, a marriage dispute which goes on for two and a half hours without making any apparent progress toward resolving the issues which are explicitly contested. (The same topic had been disputed on at least three previous occasions.) We will try to show that those two and a half hours were not wasted, but that, in order to understand the efficacy of talk on this and other such occasions, we need to look beyond what the dispute is ostensibly 'about' and consider issues which it implicates even in the absence of explicit debate about them.

Before attempting to demonstrate this with respect to the case in question, we will briefly consider some recent work by anthropologists on similar issues arising from other ethnographic material.

In several studies of oratory and dispute settlement in small-scale societies (e.g. Kuper and Richards 1971, M. Bloch 1975) anthropologists have remarked on the apparent inconclusiveness of public 'political' meetings. M. Bloch's (ibid.) widely-discussed notion of 'formalisation' in the political discourse of such societies was prompted partly by his initial inability to fathom the 'political' content of Merina village council meetings in the absence of overt dissension (loc. cit. p. 6 ff .). It is an attempt to transcend the limits of the conventional view of politics as 'the conscious exercise of power' (loc. cit. p.3), and address the problem of how particular ways of speaking create or enforce power relationships by rendering dissension impossible in practice or even inconceivable in principle. Subsequent investigators (Burling 1977, Werbner 1977, Irvine 1979, Paine 1981, Lederman 1980, Brenneis and Meyers 1984, Parkin 1984) have found serious problems with his concept
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of formalisation, but even while rejecting it as a solution to his problem, have often benefited from his way of formulating the question, and from retaining certain general features of his attempted answer to it. Thus, for example, while none of the authors of papers in Brenneis and Meyers 1984 accepts 'formalisation' as a useful concept, their various discussions do - as pointed out in the introduction - show how 'Political talk ... compels specific visions of the social world through its own organization. Individual issues may be doubted, but the understandings implicit in the conduct of political discourse are rarely addressed and even less frequently challenged' (loc. cit. p.28).
In the dispute which we will be examining here, many 'individual issues' are explicitly debated. As has been pointed out by Goldman (1983:14) about disputes in New Guinea generally, claims tend to be responded to with counterclaims, so that the dispute tends to proliferate into a 'multiple-claim' affair involving a hierarchy of issues ranging from central to peripheral. And there is much explicit debate about 'meta-issues', such as what kind of dispute this is (official village court vs. bo ung traditional talk), and what the relation is among the various claims that have been made (e.g., which are central vs. peripheral).
But despite the field worker's initial impression that, in this typically Melanesian affair (unlike among the Merina), nearly everything is up for grabs, the dispute of course takes place upon a ground of unquestioned 'understandings' about it and the world at large, as does all social action everywhere. Some of these are understandings which probably could not readily have been questioned by any of the participants, as they are buried too far beneath the surface of that ground. Others are tacit understandings which were achieved at this particular dispute without explicit discussion, but which, we know, could have been questioned, as we have heard debate about similar matters at other, similar occasions (cf. Bourdieu's (1977) distinction between doxa and orthodoxy).

We agree with Bloch and with Brenneis and Meyers (and with Bourdieu and Foucault) that, for studying the politics of discourse, it is at least as important to observe what is not debated as what is, since unquestioned understandings are as much a part of a dispute's 'outcome' as are decisions about acknowledged 'issues'. In our analysis of the dispute which is transcribed in the body of this chapter, we will consider both what is debated and what is not, and conclude by comparing the outcome(s) with respect to each. Our analysis is in no way exhaustive, and we invite our readers to try to improve upon it. The main aim of this chapter is not to provide a definitive analysis, but to open up the ethnographic record for comparative studies of the kind for which only full verbatim transcripts can provide some of the necessary data (cf. Goldman 1983, Chap. 1 and refs. therein).

## Ethnographic introduction

The peoples of the Nebilyer (Napilya) Valley, Western Highlands Province, Papua New Guinea, belong to a sociocultural and linguistic continuum which merges north and east with the Melpa, and south with peoples of the Southern Highlands border area. Melpa society and culture have been extensively documented by A.J. and M. Strathern, while much less has been written of people at the southern end of the continuum. The marriage dispute described in this paper involved Nebilyer people, and was heard at various times and places in the Nebilyer Valley.

The Nebilyer peoples often use the label Melpa for Hageners and tribes ${ }^{1}$ near the Kubor Range, extending to the Sepik-Wahgi divide in the north. However, they have no single term by which they identify all peoples of the Nebilyer as a single regional bloc. Within the Valley, several smaller blocs are identified by names which carry implications of dialect differences, location within the valley, and general socio-political orientation. In the marriage dispute of this paper, the bride's father's family are ku waru (steep stone or cliff) people. Groups belonging to this bloc live on the fairly steep eastern slopes of the Tambul Range. The ku waru tribes tend to be much smaller, and to have much shallower segmentary group structure within each tribe, than the Meam peoples of the Nebilyer valley floor. The ku waru peoples maintain strong ties of marriage and alliance with certain tribes on the other side of the Tambul Range. Linguistically, the ku waru dialect shares many features with some Tambul-side dialects, and both of these differ in those respects from Meam. Some of the ku waru tribes have constituted or reconstituted themselves in very recent times, drawing their membership to a very significant extent from Tambulside groups, and from groups towards the Southern Highlands. The oral history and mythology of the larger Meam groups, by comparison, suggest longer residence on the Nebilyer Valley floor, with splinter segments of some groups moving away, some into the Melpa area.
The wife in the present case, Sumuyl ${ }^{2}$, is a member of a ku waru tribe, Kopia, in whose territory we lived for 16 months during l981-1983. This tribe numbers approximately 450 people. It is paired with a tribe called Kubuka, of approximately 300 members. The husband belongs to a Meam tribe called Upuka, traditionally paired with Ulka, one of the largest Meam groups (so large that there is no single or invariant account of its component sub-groups). From oral history it appears that ku waru and Meam tribes were periodic enemies; enmities and bouts of warfare were (and are) more intense with some Meam groups than others. For several decades, earlier relations of greater hostility have apparently ameliorated, to mildly-hostile to neutral political relationships. In 1983, we recorded seven current Upuka-Kopia marriages, of which six were between Kopia women and Upuka men. The hearing represented here took place in November 1983.

## The present case

The husband and wife in this dispute, Koya and Sumuyl, at that time had three children, the eldest a girl, then a boy, then a girl. Sumuyl was pregnant with a fourth, and one of the chief objects of the hearing, as structured by remarks of the magistrates and others, was to discover whether Koya, or some other man, was the father of the unborn child. The court makes clear its opinion that Koya is not the father, partly based on circumstances of the couple in the immediately preceding months.

Sumuyl, after having the first child and becoming pregnant with the second, had left Koya's home in Upuka territory periodically (lines 792-795). After she had spent some time away, the Upuka paid compensation to bring about her return $(797-800,807,875,1311,1314,1338,1592)$. What may have been her personal reasons for leaving, and why the Upuka decided to give compensation, is never discussed in detail at this hearing. The salient fact, from the Upuka point of view, is that they paid compensation, which resulted only in the brief return of Koya's wife. After this, her husband saw her only rarely. Hence the question of the unborn child's paternity.

During the hearing both Koya and Sumuyl allege that they made a work-trip into the Melpa area, to pick and process coffee for someone else (826-838). It is during this trip that Sumuyl alleges three acts of intercourse to have occurred, Koya recalling only two. This small number of occurrences to produce a child is inconsistent with wide-spread traditional Hagen beliefs about conception, a point upon which the magistrates call testimony.
Nebilyer people often refer jokingly to efforts to conceive children as kongon work, daily work (used to refer to garden or other physical work, and which can also mean day; see e.g., lines 251-260, 747-749, 1091-1093). It is difficult to produce a child, and requires many acts of intercourse. Unless enough of these occur, the partly-formed foetus may 'dissolve' (see remark at 248-250). Its growth fostered for some time by increments of semen, eventually the foetus is viable on its own and will continue to grow, the wife withdrawing from sexual contact with the husband. Given these ideas, Sumuyl's claims to have become pregnant (with a 'large', or quite developed baby) on the basis of three acts of intercourse are considered incredible (see, e.g., lines 619, 625-630, 718-719). Nearly everyone at the hearing supposes that the child was fathered by someone else.
(Although the theory of the cumulative effect of semen in making the foetus grow might be thought compatible with a notion of 'mixed paternity' - a partial contribution by different men - this idea is not suggested by anyone during the hearing. See however M. Strathern (1972b:43), who says that Melpa people sometimes suggest this about the children of allegedly promiscuous women.)

The magistrates question Sumul extensively about the number of times of intercourse, trying to make her reveal what they think is the truth (564-612, 649-689, 614-624). They also ask her to reveal the identity of the father. But she defends herself (562, 667-671) by saying that, in the case of the first child, she and Koya were inexperienced and conception required many acts of intercourse (confirmed by Koya, 705); by the time they conceived the second, they were more experienced and it only took a few times, and in any case the husband did not take her to court over it. Does he now doubt the paternity of their son? In other words, she introduces an idea that nobody else appears to deal with explicitly: that 'experience' makes a difference in that fewer acts of intercourse are needed in order to conceive and nurture a child.
Part of what we discuss below is that the actionable court case (what is admissible into the court here) never includes Sumuyl's 'personal' views or inclinations in the matter of her marriage. Her family accepted compensation recently, by which the Upuka effected her return. Then she left again. This is the substance of the Upuka case. The outcome they hope for (stated emphatically at 1705-1709) is to get her back; they are willing to accept compensation for her misconduct which they assume has resulted in the last child. The blame is not only Sumuyl's - her family and 'line' failed to control her behaviour. So the approach of the Upuka to winning their case is to allege that the child cannot be Koya's. To prove this, they had taken Sumuyl to a doctor in Mt Hagen shortly before this hearing, to get him to pronounce on the 'size' of the child. If the child is already very big - so their argument seems to run - and especially if the husband and wife are known to have spent little time together - it cannot be his. Evidence in the form of a doctor's report is produced and read by the Court Clerk, Lalka Wak (354-367); but the evidence, it is eventually conceded, is inconclusive (e.g., l373-1374, 1613-1614). It further fuels everyone's suspicions, but only a clear-cut
admission by Sumuyl would enable the court to declare with certainty that she was adulterous. The court calls evidence from any woman who wishes to speak about how children are conceived, and a woman bystander emphatically confirms the dominant view of the matter (613-622); one man then suggests that the wife's unusually short time of making the baby may be 'in the blood' (726-740) perhaps her parents were the same. Efforts to get her father to speak on this embarrassing and personal subject are short-circuited by his counterclaim that he himself has looked after and fed the children throughout these troubles; and in any case, the disputed second boy-child looks like the Upuka father (755783).

The Upuka husband's case is largely presented by an Upuka big-man, Temal. The husband Koya's lack of resources is referred to at 1362-1365 (cf. 1179-1180); and it is made clear that, were they to lose this wife, Koya could not manage to get another.
Sumuyl answers questions for herself; nobody represents her. It becomes clear, when men from her group are questioned, that they think she is in the wrong and her conduct is troublesome to them all (e.g., her father at 1428 and 1434 says she has always deceived him). Their major concern is to deflect Upuka claims for compensation to which they would have to contribute within their own line.
This case had been heard at several previous venues; see 165-179, 1426-1427.
Especially from 1050, the jockeying for conditions of possible settlement becomes intense. The various possibilities - jail for the wife, compensation to the Upuka, or both - are suggested, but a satisfactory resolution carnot be found. The Kopia are unwilling to pay compensation for a troublesome wife who, they say, is an 'old' one: she has long been married to the Upuka, she is already theirs (1129).

This is, in fact, the apparent basis of a countersuit involving Kubuka Magistrate Unya, who during the walk to see a European doctor in town concerning Sumuyl's pregnancy, told the Upuka 'she is yours' (473-474). In saying so he was felt to imply they were not entitled to compensation because the Kopia were not responsible for her. In order to ensure that they receive compensation, the Upuka repeatedly argue that the Kopia are responsible. Sumuyl had returned home; her family should have been mindful that she was married and watched her (964-971, 990-996, 1167-1169, 1187).
In other places, the Upuka clearly assert their rights to Sumuyl. This is apparently to forestall any suggestion that the marriage might be dissolved. In fact, such a possibility is only mentioned tangentially by Kopia Noma at 1131 and briefly again at 1143-1144; it is mentioned only to be excluded as an option by Midipu Kujilyi at 1593, and briefly by Kubuka Komi at l730-1733. The Upuka say: the woman is ours, no matter what happens. But this, in their view, in no way clears the Kopia of responsibility. The Upuka want the wife, and compensation. Jailing her will not solve the issue from their point of view. An unspoken but central issue throughout is the general, privately held knowledge that the wife's real desire is to obtain a divorce at any cost. But she is powerless to direct the course of the hearing, especially in view of the previously-accepted compensation, and the difficult position her immediate family will be placed in if her public behaviour is unseemly and rebellious. Thus the court's questioning is directed towards trying to get a direct admission of guilt from the wife, rather than trying to get at personal issues underlying the wife's discontent.

Sumuyl is questioned intensively and quite aggressively (e.g., the peremptory command to get her to be seated in the middle at 352); efforts to get her to name the adulterer so he too may be brought to court and made to pay compensation at 507-512, 546-547, 557-558, 608-609, 634-637, 1558-1559; and the otherwise intimidatory style of interrogation which partly seeks to shame her, e.g., the suggestion at 912-914 that there is a 'nail' or a 'bee' at Kailge that got her pregnant; the jocular comments on the couple's trip to a place near Mt Hagen to grind coffee, where Upuka Temal suggests ( $840-855$ ) they simply could not have copulated as they say - how could anyone, in a strange household?
The assumption that Sumuyl is lying made by all the magistrates (e.g., 25l-260, 543-545, 1202, 1576, 1639); the tone of accusation, the claims that she is 'yours' and 'ours' (e.g., 1625), synechdochic references to women as 'vaginas' even by the magistrates (1470, 1584-1585), all construct her presence as not only that of someone in the wrong, but as irresponsible and as an object of (male) disposition.
Nevertheless, Sumuyl does not admit to adultery (566, 610, 724). She refuses to be intimidated, and, having had her say, makes effective use of rhetorical questions to deflect the men's attempts to push her further $(610,659,690$, 724). By refusing to confess, she forces speakers to admit that 'no one really knows' what happened (1201-1207, 1511, 1612-1619, 1658-1659). This admission is accompanied in several places by a standard trope to the effect that 'only God knows' (ll62) - God who is able to see all things, even into the inner pulp of trees (1370-1374), everywhere. Several efforts are made to match this trope rhetorically: the omniscience of God above is matched by that of the doctor below (953-954). And the doctor, the Upuka claim, is on their side (cf. 430451, 530-539, 956-960). All of this still fails to prompt a confession.
No possible solutions are suggested until well into the hearing. Evidence (from the doctor, etc.) is discussed until it seems necessary to test out possible settlements without having reached an absolute determination of the significance of the evidence. Because the resolutions desired by each side are mutually incompatible in some ways, the nature of the proceeding remains unclear too. Is this a village court with the power to make a decision and impose penalties under the Village Court Act? Or is it a mbo ul - a customary matter - implying that the magistrates will not have to pronounce a decision, but some sort of compromise will be reached by mutual agreement? It is important to notice that the apparent distinction being made by participants is not between 'official' and 'unofficial' court, but between 'village court' (originally envisioned as a customary court, distinguished from Local and other more formal court venues) and mbo ul (customary moot).

## Types of courts

The comments of several magistrates and others (60-62, 1000, 1008-1010, 1022, 1036-1043, 1388-1391, 1392-1393, 1480-1483, 1489-1506, 1513, 1608-1610), show that they are reluctant to view the proceeding as a (village) kot. They hope instead that a settlement will be made which relieves them of the responsibility of making a decision. Several contrasts are mentioned which relate to people's understanding of the hearing as a kot or something else - whether it is private (inside the small thatched courthouse within the sing-sing ground) or 'outside' (in the pena, or cleared ground near the courthouse, cf. e.g. 1513); who may talk (people are admonished to be silent and observe court procedure, 100-103, 818-821, but some parties are invited to speak), and so on.

This hearing was conducted in the area recognised as the venue of the local village court. This consists of a large cleared pena (sing-sing or gathering ground) off the nearby road (though four-wheel drive vehicles may pass, most of the traffic is on foot). The court area is within the territory of two Meam groups, Epola and Alya, close to the territories of other Meam groups. It is about 30 minutes' walk from the concentration of Kopia at the base of the Tambul Range at Kailge. The court has a Peace Officer, Clerk, and elected magistrates.

Though the area is set aside for village courts, often informal moots occur within the same area. As Scaglion (1979:128) found in the Maprik area, and Westermark (1978:87) in Kainantu, usually the magistrates hear informal moots as well as village courts (cf. Warren 1976:22). In this area, the difference is captured in the expression krus-kot (literally cross-court, signifying preliminary hearing, where krus is used in the sense of grievance), which may then go on to enter the village court system proper. As in the areas described by Warren (1976) and Westermark (1978), the Nebilyer people think in terms of the proportion: official Village Court is to unofficial dispute as 'inside' (i.e. inside the court house) is to 'outside'. Note Upuka Timiji's definition of the present proceeding as a krus kot at 1190.

The Village Courts Act of 1973 (No. 12 of 1974) established these as venues which were intended to be an improvement on the older hierarchy of courts (Local-District-Supreme) in a number of ways. First, Village Courts were to be staffed by magistrates who would have a good understanding of local custom and practice, and so deal more equitably with matters largely outside the experience of conventionally-trained (and initially, largely expatriate) officials.

Second, in keeping with the perceived Melanesian ethos, its deliberations, theoretically informal and accepting or inviting a wide basis of participation, would emphasise mediation and compromise settlement, as opposed to sentences passed on the basis of fairly strict legal procedure:

The primary function of a Village Court is to ensure peace and harmony in the area for which it is established by mediating in and endeavouring to obtain just and amicable settlements of disputes. (Village Court Act, paragraph 16)
However, the court does have 'compulsive' jurisdiction, and is empowered to impose fines, award compensation or damages, and (loc. cit. Division 6) enforce its decision within the limits of its jurisdiction.

Scaglion (1979:127) found that:
... rather than providing a forum for settling traditional matters according to customary law as originally intended, the Village Court in its formal settings functions as a court of primary jurisdiction for matters involving introduced law and/or introduced problems.

This is not the case here; as M. Strathern (1972a,b) notes, marriage disputes have always been a large area of traditional dispute, though under present conditions they may be taken to a variety of agencies/offices for resolution. They were under the Local Court System often relegated to last place on the docket, since they were not criminal disputes.

Scaglion further notes: 'Such use of the Village Court is encouraged by Village Court magistrates, who attempt to Westernize both procedure and substance in the court' (ibid.). However, the courts operate on an 'informal level' as well:
'nearly $70 \%$ of all conflict cases arising in villages do not enter the formal introduced system in any way' (loc. cit. p.128).
Westermark (1978:87) has found that:
Village Court officials perceive another forum beneath them. This forum is typically less legalistic than the Village Court; it takes place directly outside the court house or in the village; many more people are included in the discussion, both as participants and audience, than the few who meet inside the Village Court; the ideas and events introduced are much more loosely associated with the dispute under consideration.

However, these different types of courts typically involve the same personnel:
... defeated magistrates ceased handling both Village Courts and outside courts, and they were replaced in both forums by the victors ...

From the perspective of those who manage them, the two forums do not stand in opposition as official and unofficial courts. Rather, the two forums have been integrated by Village Court officials, and they serve to support each other. (loc. cit. p.88)
There is an absence of such a clear-cut distinction in the present case as well. But different outcomes (e.g. 'making a decision' versus reaching an agreement) are associated with different types of procedures (such as bo ul versus kot).

Sumuyl's father seeks to deflect claims upon himself for compensation by saying: she deceives me, you take her home and try her (1428, 1434-1435, 14371439). There is a general agreement that jailing Sumuyl would require a 'decision' in the court house. Gradually a consensus emerges that a jail sentence will be imposed (e.g., 1687), and compensation ought to be given by the Kopia to the Upuka (985-995, 1145-1204, 1293-1295, 1454-1456, 1586-1587, 1704). But throughout, the Upuka in particular and the magistrates at different points, argue that compensation, a settlement (molupa kujuyl kupulanum), should be arranged first, outside (1450, 1457, 1459, 1465-1467, 1513-1514, 1515, 1569-1570, 1571, 1589-1590, 1608-1610, 1655, 1678, 1680). If this does not happen, they fear compensation will not be given. In this regard, it is made clear that only an agreement to give compensation can be viewed as truly restoring the marriage (e.g., 1022, 1036-1044). The Upuka feel anger and resentment over Sumuyl's behaviour, and for this reason, it seems to be suggested, compensation should be one of the steps taken towards resolving the issue (1656-1674). Here, the type of procedure is not firmly established in advance. Instead, the outcome, as it gradually develops, may retroactively help to determine what kind of procedure has been conducted. This particular hearing, for example, does not become unambiguously a Village Court until all the evidence has been heard, and the court officials go into the courthouse to decide on a verdict, even while urging the disputants to stay on and settle the matter 'outside'.

## The role of agnatic groups

As mentioned above, the relations between the Kopia (Sumuyl's natal group) and the Upuka have been more or less hostile in the past, the Kopia variously allied with other ku waru and Tambul-side tribes and the Upuka with the Ulka
and other Meam tribes of the central Nebilyer Valley. Although those hostilities had been dormant for six years at the time of this dispute, relations between the two groups remained guarded. Given the way in which disputes develop into matters for inter-tribal warfare and/or compensation in this area, it is likely that some or all of the parties to this dispute were mindful of the possibility of that happening here. This theme is taken up albeit somewhat obliquely - in an opening speech by Upuka Su ${ }^{3}$. He likens 'woman trouble' to 'land trouble' and speaks of the strong feelings which are aroused by matters relating to human reproduction (45-48). At 40 Upuka Su points out that several other Kopia women are married to Upuka men and suggests that this has implications for the present case. This theme is taken up by Kubuka Unya at 483-486. Another magistrate, Numje (whose tribe had recently fought against an alliance which included Kopia) closes his first speech of the day by invoking an ideal of impartiality, referring explicitly (for the first time) to Kulyur (synonymous with ku waru) and Upuka, and saying that people from either side will be given an equal hearing, or penalised if they do not conduct themselves in an orderly way (146-153).
Given that such an admonition was thought to be warranted at the outset of these proceedings, it is interesting to note the way in which the issue of the role of agnatic groups in this case is subsequently 'managed'. At various points in the dispute, parties to it are referred to as Kopia (or Kulyur) and Upuka ${ }^{4}$. One of the matters which is under dispute is the extent to which other Kopia-Kubuka are culpable for Sumuyl's actions. The Upuka generally agree that the Kopia are culpable, for not keeping closer tabs on Sumuyl when she was living with them (e.g., ll67-1169, ll84-ll88). No one suggests that the Kopia should have refused to let Sumuyl come back to them ${ }^{5}$, but several speakers do fault the Kopia for not watching over Sumuyl more carefully in order to guard her husband's right of exclusive sexual access to her, which is entailed in their receipt of bridewealth for her. At least one speaker (Upuka Temal at 978-984) even suggests that they incited her to prostitute herself for their own material benefit. Kopia-Kubuka speakers disavow their responsibility for her, pointing out that she has been married for a long time, and has produced three children who have Upuka 'grease' (1619), the implication being that it's up to the Upuka to look after her rather than the Kopia-Kubuka. Sumuyl's father is able to make what one observer (privately) called a kodu ung (speech to gain sympathy), saying he looked after the children when she was married to an Upuka (775-783). It is never claimed or even suggested at the hearing that Sumuyl might be acting as the agent of the Kopia in some kind of plot against the Upuka in general. This is noteworthy in view of the fact that women in this area of the highlands are regularly accused of such things. This is the darker side of their otherwise positively valued 'in-between' status as points of contact and links for exchange among agnatic groups (M. Strathern, 1972b). Strathern (ibid., 1972a, ms) and Goldman (1983) have shown that one of the main points of many disputes seems to be to determine the extent and nature of the significance of domestic conflicts for relations among agnatic groups, and, if possible, to neutralise their potentially disruptive effects upon those relations.

To the extent that this dispute works that way, it does so partly by default. That is, by concentrating their attention on the question of whether or to what extent the Kopia-Kubuka are guilty of mere negligence, parties on all sides of the dispute have implicitly colluded in pushing aside the question of corporate ill-will as a non-issue.

Interestingly, one of the magistrates - who is from a group which is neutral with respect to this issue - does allude to the 'typical' relevance of such issues. Puyl (63l-632), in an apparent attempt to soften the witness (Sumuyl) says to her:
'we're not after you (as if) you poisoned someone,
'father', or killed someone, we're not putting you outside in the sun, not that ...'
On the face of it, Puyl seems to be bringing up the subject of poisoning here merely as an example of an offence which is much more serious than that of which Sumuyl is accused, so as to convince her that, by comparison to a case involving an offence of that kind, this one affords ample room for leniency if she will cooperate by naming the adulterer.
But there is probably more to it than that. Among the class of offences more serious than adultery, why should Puyl mention poisoning in particular in this context? ${ }^{6}$
Among the Nebilyer people, as among the neighbouring Melpa (M. Strathern 1972b: $72,174 \mathrm{ff}$.$) , poisoning is the prototypical form of subversion of which 'women$ in between' are accused. In mentioning it as a crime of which Sumuyl is not being accused, Puyl can be taken as down-playing not only the seriousness of her offence, but the extent of its relevance for intergroup relations.

Instead of the case being defined as having negative implications for intergroup relations, speakers at various places describe all of the agnatic groups as joint victims of Sumuyl's deception, and united by bonds of affinity, friendship and shared activity (e.g., 1573-1580, 1639, 1680-1683, 1698). This point is also made in the hearing by Kopia and Kubuka use of affinal terms for the Upuka (e.g., 485, $1019,1136,1142,1680,1684$ ), a usage which the Upuka at the hearing pointedly decline to reciprocate. Compare also 1217-1218, where one of the Upuka renounces a reciprocal 'food name' relationship (for details see A.J. Strathern 1977) which he had had with Sumuyl.

## Conception beliefs

Of Hagen beliefs concerning conception, A.M. Strathern (1972b:42) says:
Several acts of intercourse are thought necessary to conceive and then mould a foetus, and even where a wife has been adulterous it is assumed that her husband alone has had frequent enough sexual relations with her to cause a successful pregnancy. It is from the mother's womb blood (mema) and the father's semen (kopong) that the child is formed. In reference to the procreative substance, the term kopong ('grease') may be used for both; if the emphasis is on the general physical ties between child and parent (either parent) the link is said to be one of 'blood', mema.
Some of the speakers' remarks show that they consider many more acts than 'several' necessary. At 448-449, 1592-1593 it is emphatically said that even six times would not be sufficient, much less the three times later claimed for the boy. The women asked for her opinion says that six times is not enough, but in two or three months a child will be formed (618-622). An observing
(unidentified) Upuka remarks that after just a few times weyai telym it dissolves (250) - that is, the blood will not clot sufficiently because not enough semen has been applied to it.

These physical details go along with the view that conceiving children is really hard work. At 750-753, Upuka Temal remarks sarcastically - partly with intent to shame through humour - that if people could conceive children in three tries - as pigs can - the place would be full up with people.

Obviously, nobody accepts Sumuyl's story that the child is her husband's. Nor do they accept her defence that conceiving her second and third children took progressively less time than the first (650-652). Sumuyl's account makes clear that her husband disputed that they had copulated sufficiently to form their boy child (653, 670-690, see also Upuka Poya's expression of doubt about the boy at 701-709).

Why is the question of the child's paternity and number of times of intercourse so important? And in what way do the participants think the doctor's evidence can contribute to the case?

It appears throughout the hearing that the real goal of the Upuka is understood by others to be the return of Sumuyl (e.g., 798-802). It is clear that they will negotiate on the issue of the child's paternity: compensation would satisfy them. The pursuit of the question of the child's paternity is part of the larger Upuka attempt to get Sumuyl back. They clearly have a consensus that Sumuyl is in the wrong. But it is not clear that this consensus alone is sufficient to move the magistrates to take an authoritative decision in their favour.

By repeatedly questioning Sumuyl on the particulars of her children's conception (565 et passim), the magistrates hope to shake her story, get her to admit the father is another man, and to name that man. As Magistrate Puyl remarks (507-513), if the father were discovered, he could be taken to court (see M. Strathern l972b:42 'Adultery is a wrong for which the lover must compensate the husband'). This might provide a satisfactory resolution to the desire of the Upuka for compensation. But Sumuyl remains firm in her denials - and so the Upuka cannot seek compensation except from Sumuyl's family and tribe, which, it later becomes clear, they are unwilling to give (1096-1106).
The Upuka thought they had found a means of getting at the truth of the child's paternity by consulting a doctor. Upuka El gives at least one reason why they had decided to do this (189-192). Previous courts had been held, and the Kopia side had said 'She's an old woman' (i.e. has been married a long time) 'so why are you going to court?' He goes on to say that their own words and understanding may be doubted in court; 'They may say "How did you see this matter?"'; and then the Upuka will be able to rely on the doctor's opinion. If they could prove Sumuyl is in the wrong, this would be a first step towards solidifying their claims for redress.

What did the Upuka want to know from the doctor? The court clerk reads the doctor's report (354-366), and subsequently the visit to the doctor is described in great detail, seemingly to add authority and authenticity to the story for the many court-observers who did not go along.
The gist of the doctor's report is that 'The woman is four or five (this varies) months pregnant' (Sumuyl em i gat bel long foapela mun); 'and so whoever was having intercourse within this time is the genitor' (husat i stap wantaim meri inap faipela mun $i$ go pinis, man ya givim bel long meri).

In this account an ambiguity appears. Is the clerk reading an actual doctor's report? or interpreting it? We know from the account of the doctor's visit that the party first made contact with a local New Guinean dokta, who wrote out an admission slip for them (380-381). But the examination was apparently made by a European doctor. The doctor is reported to have said: kalyeb faipela omba purum five months have elapsed, i.e. Sumuyl is five months pregnant.

To the European way of thinking, these two statements could reflect quite different ways of understanding conception. The first might be taken to be consistent with the indigenous view, that conception is a process which requires repeated intercourse over time; the second might be taken as a more faithful version of what a European doctor might say, 'She's five months pregnant', from time of conception.

However, it becomes clear as the account of the doctor visit progresses that the party does not recognise two different views. They understood, and repeat, the doctor's remarks as supporting their own claim: the child cannot be Upuka Koya's because Sumuyl and Koya were not together enough for conception to have occurred. Kusika Yangan's further account of the doctor's words amounts to an explanation of conception consistent with the indigenous view ('the child's blood congeals inside, there's something like a hen's egg inside ... Then ... it grows, the hands and feet develop, they really do it a lot' etc., 425-430).

The doctor is reported to have taken an interest in the future of the court case (44l-446) allegedly writing out papers so they may be used in court. And further on, the doctor is reported to have concurred in the view that Sumuyl is lying (448-451): 'Your husband over there did it (to you) six times, I feel you're lying, you practised some deceptions, if for five months a man and a woman really work hard at it, all right, then there's a big child there'.

An unidentified observer confirms that this is an exact account of what the doctor said (452).

We cannot know how the European doctor expressed himself. In any case, the Upuka party took everything he said as confirmation for their view of conception, equating what may have been 'five months pregnant' with their understanding that it can take several months to form a large child.
Later, Magistrate Puyl repeats this understanding of the doctor's words, and includes the doctor along with themselves as people who know Sumuyl is lying: 'No, in only six days, just in that time, you didn't conceive the child, no, do you hear?' (592-593). 'Men think about this, 'native' men understand about it, like the government, just like the doctor up there knew what he was talking about ...' (605-607).

But despite the fact that the doctor's authority is understood by everyone to come down on the Upuka side, the general conviction that Sumuyl is lying cannot stimulate decisive action. For what the Upuka ultimately want is Sumuyl, and compensation. To resolve the case they must find a sure-fire way of forcing her family and line to make her return. Upuka El attempts to establish the potential usefulness of the doctor's report (180-183), but from the first indicates his greater interest in a settlement (involving compensation): 'I am not here to have court ... I'm sitting here to hear what the relatives will say' (203-207). When the relatives are asked to speak, they do so not so much in positive defence of Sumuyl, as to show that they too have had to make sacrifices, looking after the couple's children (for which the husband should be responsible).

Deflecting Upuka Temal's witty and sarcastic remarks concerning whether Sumuyl's rapidity in producing children has been inherited in the blood from her parents (726-740), Poya the father emphasises the strength of attachment ('blood') which must be imputed to him for looking after the children (776). Anyhow, her father concludes, take her and 'court' her. But this will not achieve what the Upuka want. The doctor's report failed to shake Sumuyl's story, or to produce any new avenues of possible resolution.

The transcript shows that Sumuyl was on the defensive throughout the hearing. She was never asked, nor did she volunteer, her own opinions or feelings. This is because there was an agreed-upon sequence of earlier events - Sumuyl's homecoming from Upuka territory, her family's receipt of compensation, and her brief return to Upuka - which, everyone feels, put her in the wrong, leaving no room for her to express personal preferences.
Sumuyl had a very clear personal position: she wanted a divorce. She said this to FM several times in private conversation (but did not talk about the question of the unborn child's paternity). The time she set fire to her husband's house, took the children and left, she said there had been a whole series of annoyances, and failures on her husband's part to treat the children nicely and to give her money. She said privately she would do anything to achieve a divorce, and did not mind going to jail. Her parents knew her feelings, but were fearful that Sumuyl would be taken to court, and they would be liable for damages and compensation. Consequently they urged her to return to Upuka despite her own feelings - but they let her live with them since she was so utterly opposed to going back.

The questions at the hearing were so structured that Sumuyl had two choices, either to admit adultery, or not to be believed. When she refused to do the former, the Upuka hope of getting a decision by a clear-cut moral victory disappeared. Two possible outcomes were discussed: jail for Sumuyl, and/or compensation to be paid to the Upuka. Though Sumuyl would have urged on her family acceptance of a settlement which would include divorce (even if it meant paying compensation), this was never suggested by the Upuka. They took the offensive on this issue, stating that whatever else happened, they would retain Sumuyl (1331, 1385-1387, and especially Waria's speech at 1701-1709).

We may ask: what were the factors that determined the course of the hearing as outlined, the limitation of issues so that divorce was never posed as a real option?
In short, though many of the matters discussed were personal, even embarrassing, the court itself never posed questions regarding the 'personal' feelings of husband and wife. Husband's side held a clear position of moral superiority which meant that they could try to ignore underlying causes of Sumuyl's discontent. Nevertheless, the outcome shows that they could never overcome the problem of her (here, tacit) resistance to continuing the marriage. (See A.M. Strathern l972b:239 on the interpretation of divorce as female hostility to male interests).
We might wonder at the failure to come to grips with underlying personal feelings and motives, especially as A.M. Strathern (1972a:20) has identified the emphasis frequently found in Hagen disputes upon finding the pukl root, cause, base of trouble, and aki digging it out.
'In searching for the root of talk, people were concerned to trace the reasons that underlay action, and thus had a wide frame of reference ... Talk revealed a disputant's attitudes and feelings, both as they were at the time of the offence, and as they had become in prospect of a settlement ...'

Further, Strathern (loc. cit.:2l) remarks that emphasis on 'digging out the talk' develops from the expectation that disputants will 'dissemble, bring out only half the truth, obscure issues and twist facts, and in short 'trick' those trying to get to the root of the matter'. Given these expectations, the 'ideal confrontation led at some point to an admission or confession' (loc. cit.:22).

While the magistrates certainly hoped to get a confession from Sumuyl, they did not try to get at the cause of the trouble. Questions of this kind, they probably knew from hearing talk, would lead to unwanted publicity of Sumuyl's real state of mind. So even though some of her previous acts (such as burning the house down) are mentioned, there is no public inquiry into why this happened. Sumuyl's own defensive answers reveal that she, too, was deliberately avoiding being provocative; so that she conspired in the failure to 'dig out' the pukl of the case (e.g., 689-690). Why?
A number of reasons suggest themselves from the transcript: the implications for intergroup relations, the problems she would create for her parents, etc. Especially the latter was probably uppermost in her mind. But the basis of the Upuka moral superiority lies partly in the deficit created by their having given compensation to the Kopias, with expectations that Sumuyl has not lived up to. What is the relation between the Upuka giving compensation, and the resulting difficulty of Sumuyl's expressing a 'personal' opinion?

In a bridewealth society like that of Mt Hagen, the payment of goods for a wife in theory makes claims upon her moral commitment to her husband, his family and her new situation. Bridewealth itself generally must be amassed by a wider network of the husband's family, including, significantly, members of the husband's group (e.g., clan) (see A.M. Strathern 1972b:ll6, also Appendix XVI, which demonstrate the preponderance of contributions from the man's group in this northern Melpa area). Groups assume importance in the amassing of bridewealth and any subsequent negotiations so that, as A.M. Strathern (1972b) has made clear, women are seen as interstitial between groups, her husband's and her own natal one. On the other hand, women are recognised as having independent numan will, disposition. There is always a possibility that women will opt for the dissolution of a marriage or in other ways threaten marriage arrangements. In the Preface to Women in between, Strathern cites a case of the 'good wife', who repudiated her own kinsmen's efforts to get her to leave her husband on account of their dissatisfaction with the bridewealth. The compliance of women can by no means be assumed; and as we see in the present case, even though suppressed in a public forum the wife's privately-held preferences can make resolution of marital disputes difficult or impossible.
The hearing could have attempted to sound out Sumuyl's numan. Probably some of the magistrates knew her feelings already. But she was a long-married woman, who had already produced children, and whose loss could probably not be recouped sufficiently for the husband to acquire another wife. Each person who had formerly helped the husband with bridewealth would be unable to recoup his losses. Sumuyl's family was unwilling to pay any large amount of compensation or to return bridewealth. A.M. Strathern (1974) shows that the ideal of 'digging out the talk' is often undercut in practice. Similarly, this is a case where woman's troublesome numan has negative implications for conduct of politics in the male-dominated political arena; and so no opportunity is given for addressing of personal issues. In this situation, all participants in the court prefer to rely upon the most unqualified and simplest interpretation of the compensation payment accepted by her family: that it commits her and them to a restoration of the marriage.

The symbolism of exchange (using the term broadly to include any sort of compensation, damage etc.) has potentially a very simple two-way interpretation: if accepted the gift is understood to establish or restore a relationship of a particular kind, and if rejected not to do so. But in practice the choice is hardly binary. The state of a relationship becomes a function of the state of play in exchange of material items, subject to many more interpretations than simply either/or.

Much has been written on the special characteristics of material items as tokens in exchange systems:

> Items of exchange, as elements of discourse, have the advantage of tangibility. Unlike words, they cannot be easily qualified, contradicted or disavowed. Relationships established and maintained through conversation are open-ended. They can develop in any number of ways, many often threatening to the status quo. Exchanges, on the other hand, can only be accepted or refused. If accepted, the terms of the relationship remain substantially the same. If rejected, the relationship as presently defined is terminated. (Gewertz 1984:2ll)

Gewertz goes on to make one qualification of this:
For all their tangibility, items of exchange are characterized by a special ambiguity. There is always the possibility of their being wilfully shorn of meaning. (ibid.)

We think this passage exemplifies the possibility of our being lulled by the supposedly solid and unquestionable tangibility of valuables. In Melanesian exchange systems, wealth objects are understood as establishing relationships and commitments, the state of each qualified by and varying with the state of play in exchange. If material objects were fully equivalent to relationships, the interpretation of the latter would be simple and untroubled. But objects become problematic even as they are understood to stand for and to create relationships (or aspects of them). Their transfer creates expectations which highlight the distance between the material exchanges, and the systems of relationships they are supposed to sustain.
In on-going work on Nebilyer materials, we elaborate the view that many features associated with Highlands exchange systems - the reflexivity of talk, the very volume of talk about exchange, its significance and the transfers of particular items - are related to the problematic of the public encoding of social relationships in a semantically-limited system of material exchanges.

The difference between the objects exchanged and the marriage relationship supposedly sustained by it is denied in the present case, probably because all realise how difficult the situation would become if attention were focussed on particulars of the marriage relationship itself, rather than the exchanges which symbolise and publicly sustain its existence.

## Conclusion

To conclude, we will discuss the dispute's outcome with respect to its two main areas of explicit contention, and compare this with its 'outcome' in some areas where there was no explicit debate.

The main debated issues were:
A) By whom was Sumuyl pregnant and what should be done about it?
B) Should the magistrates try to settle the matter by treating the hearing as a 'full court' and passing sentence on Sumuyl, or could it be settled out of court by an agreement to pay compensation?
With respect to the second issue, the hearing was brought to a close by being treated as a 'full court' case against Sumuyl. During the approximately two hours over which the matter was discussed 'outside' on the pena, there was no discernible movement toward a consensus in favour of treating the matter in this way, nor was there any single moment in the proceedings at which the question of bo ul vs. ful kot was explicitly decided. Rather, at a certain stage in the proceedings (around 1390), certain of the magistrates start 'tuning out' and shifting toward the courthouse, as if about to go inside and discuss a verdict. At that point, Magistrate Numje makes a speech (1391-1419) admonishing the disputants to settle out of court by compensation. Magistrate Kujilyi shortly thereafter says that the magistrates should not try to decide the matter at the present stage of play because not enough has been heard from Sumuyl's family (1478). The magistrates who have already shifted towards the courthouse keep suggesting to each other that they should go in, and at the same time they say the relatives should reach agreement outside. By the end of the recorded proceedings, Kujilyi and at least one other magistrate (Unya), and the village court clerk and peace officers remain outside. There are more speeches, mainly by Upuka, who become more evidently cross at the prospect of the matter being adjudicated by the magistrates. There are comments such as 'I'm here saying no! who will go in the house? no!' (Upuka El, l57l). The Upuka make a last-ditch attempt to shame the Kopia-Kubuka into settling the matter out of court by a promise of compensation, saying things like 'Is she just going to drain a boil?' (Upuka El, l449), i.e., no, she's pregnant. But one by one, the magistrates and other officials leave the pena and go into the court room. Twenty-six minutes after the first move by a magistrate towards the courthouse, magistrate Unya goes in, accompanied by a peace officer who carries the court record books in. At this point the speeches in the pena cease. After discussion among the magistrates in the courthouse, a verdict is announced: Sumuyl must pay a fine of 300 kina (currently about US\$325, well above the annual cash income of most ku waru households) or go to jail.
There is a general recognition by Kopia-Kubuka and Upuka alike that, in ending this particular hearing by treating it as a full court and passing sentence on Sumuyl, the magistrates have not thereby settled the dispute, or removed it from the sphere of bo ul-ma customary matters. For their part, the Upuka make this abundantly clear in their final speeches against the magistrates going into the courthouse. Even as they are about to go into the courthouse, at least two of the magistrates themselves say or imply that they are powerless to settle the matter, and encourage the disputants to stay and negotiate compensation outside while the magistrates discuss the matter inside. When the sentence is finally announced, this is taken, not as a settlement of the dispute, but as attesting to a failure to settle it.

With respect to the other main issue under dispute (A above), the only matter which seems to have been firmly settled is that Sumuyl was pregnant by someone other than her husband. She herself is the only speaker who explicitly contests this, and her position is generally treated with ridicule or scorn. But no evidence is brought forward as to who else the genitor might be, and if
there was an adulterer, Sumuyl steadfastly refused to dob him in. This lacuna in the Upuka case really precluded any final disposition of the matter, at least until the child was born, at which time the identity of the father might become evident. ${ }^{7}$

The issue of what should be done about Sumuyl's adultery involves a subsidiary question: to what extent are other Kopia-Kubuka culpable for Sumuyl's actions? As we have seen above, this question is debated extensively, but not resolved, partly for lack of evidence about who the adulterer is.

Thus, neither issue A nor $B$ was satisfactorily resolved. With respect to those issues, its outcome can only be described as a deadlock.

Now let us consider what the dispute accomplished with respect to some matters which were not explicitly debated.

1) It established a public consensus among Upuka and Kopia-Kubuka alike (except for Sumuyl) that Sumuyl was an adulteress, pregnant by a man other than Koya. ${ }^{8}$
2) It upheld the indigenous theory of procreation in the face of possibly valid counter-evidence posed by Sumuyl's account of her pregnancy. In doing so, it managed to draw support for that theory from western medicine, which we might expect to have posed a threat to it. A western doctor was successfully assimilated to the same class of knowledgeable men exemplified by the senior Upuka and village magistrates in their ability to see through Sumuyl's 'story'.
3) It limited the field of conceivable outcomes to imprisonment, fine, or compensation and excluded the outcome which Sumuyl privately wanted: divorce.
4) It undercut any inferences that might be drawn from Sumuyl's behaviour about inter-group relations, and effectively isolated her as a suku molym ab woman in between.

If we now compare the dispute's 'outcome' with respect to l-4 vs. A and B, it seems clear that most of what was accomplished by this two and a half hours of talk lay in the realm of tacit 'understandings' about matters which were not explicitly contended. To conclude this is by no means to downplay the importance of these proceedings for Nebilyer social life. For although matters l-4 were not explicitly contended, all were potentially explosive. Indeed 4 was probably deemed by most people present to be of far greater importance than A or B. By managing these potential issues in such a way as render them nonissues, the disputants disposed of them more effectively than they could have done by overt deliberation, and achieved a result which was probably of greater significance than their failure to settle those issues which were explicitly contended.

## NOTES

${ }^{1}$ Throughout this discussion, we use the word 'tribe' to mean 'named segmentary unit not included within any other', a usage established for this region (for German Stamm) by the writings of Vicedom and Tischner and followed by the Stratherns. Because the size of this maximal named unit varies greatly even within small areas, one should assume nothing about the structural or functional comparability of various groups referred to by this term.
${ }^{2}$ This and some other personal names have been changed to avoid possible embarrassment to principal parties in the dispute. Most of the magistrates' and other male speakers' real names have been used, because they have been quite explicit about their wanting those names to 'go up' to a wider public.
${ }^{3}$ It is perhaps relevant to note that this man was involved as one of the principals in a series of altercations which brought the Upuka and Kopia close to open warfare in 1977.
${ }^{4}$ Across a wide range of speech situations, personal names in this area are frequently compounded with agnatic group names, Kopia Noma, Upuka Temal, etc. (cf. A.J. Strathern 1971:15).
${ }^{5}$ Compare A.M. Strathern ms. where the Hageners are contrasted with the Wiru in this respect.
${ }^{6}$ It is perhaps relevant to note here that this magistrate had himself been accused of collaborating in an attempt at indiscriminate poisoning of KopiaKubuka people. This happened shortly after a war between the Kopia-Kubuka and his wife's tribe-pair, the Tea-Dena. In the wake of this accusation, Puyl's house - near the border of Kopia-Kubuka territory - was burned down and his gardens destroyed. He was still living in exile at the time of this dispute.
${ }^{7}$ Sumuyl gave birth after we left the field in 1983. On a return trip to Kailge in 1986, Alan Rumsey found out from her that during a visit to a nearby hospital, she had asked the doctor to take a blood specimen from the baby boy in order to establish his paternity. She then challenged her husband to go and have his blood compared with the child's. He refused. She thereupon sued him for making a false allegation, and was awarded one hundred kina. Later her husband died, after which she returned to live with his tribe.
${ }^{8}$ It is perhaps not quite true to say that this issue was not explicitly debated, since Sumuyl alone did oppose the consensus. But rather than counter-argument, the response to her claims was more like harrassment, intended to humiliate her into revealing the identity of the presumed adulterer.

## DISPUTE TRANSCRIPT (verbatim, with interlinear English translation)

Date: November 3, 1983
Location: outside of the village courthouse at Palmung, Nebilyer Valley, Western Highlands Province, Papua New Guinea

Participants, in order of first appearance (each identified - as per local usage - by 'tribe' name, title in case of magistrates, sex 'AB' in case of women, and personal name):

```
UPUKA SU
MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI
LALKA WAK (the court clerk)
DENA MAGISTRATE NUMJE
ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL
UPUKA EL
KUBUKA MAGISTRATE UNYA
KUSIKA YANGAN
KOPIA AB SUMUYL (the defendant)
```

```
LALKUWU AB SURUB
UPUKA TEMAL
TEA KUPALI
KOPIA PAYO (the defendant's father)
UPUKA POYA (the defendant's husband)
EPOLA PUP
KOPIA NOMA
UPUKA TIMIJI
ULKUPUKA TAIM
UPUKA? WARIA
KOBUKA KOMI
```

Transcription conventions:
l) unidentified speakers are shown by a question mark at the left margin, i.e., ?:
2) gaps in the transcript are shown by parentheses, with explanations enclosed, e.g. (many voices)
3) explanatory comments or interpolations are enclosed by square brackets, e.g. 'up and down' [i.e. furiously] (from line 430).

UPUKA SU:

1. ilyi ya kalyeb kari nyikin ilyi, mol
'you say a few months, but no
nu kalyeb kubilepa
it's more than that
yi kopong payl tekin adakun telyno
you go around formicating with a young fellow'
i nyiba nyim
that's what he said
?:
2. kot nai tekim?
who's having court?
UPUKA SU:
eh, stori, $i$ tep ilyi-nga $i$ tepa nyim ilyi-nga ilyi pe olyo-nga kudanga nyiba modukum
that's the story he told, he said this and he's talking about us
i numan-te pilyipa um ilyi-nga
that's what he thought when he came
MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
yabu-te kum ted sipiyl kot-na manya modukun disisin tekn let one person hear it in the court, you talk softly and make a decision
ul ilyi yabu welsi yabu pilyipa mepa pum-kin, ol tru, ol wokim tru, that person hearing it and taking it around to the people outside [they will say] 'they really are doing it right'

UPUKA SU:
10. ung kalyayl meri obo ung-nga te kalyayl pilyip udu-kiyl I came to hear a traditional-style talk like that over there

MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
pe altepa lo mel-kin nu oba sekap tim-kin, yabu te pilyipa koni teba yabayl
the law will come and check up on you, somebody who understands will
straighten it out
UPUKA SU:
kang, na-nga ing-iyl kolum naa telymeli
boy, nobody cuts off my words
Ulka Oropa-men lu-n tobu kaniyl pilykin-i?
I kill people with an axe like Ulka Oropa, did you know that?
(laughter)
MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
yabu akiyl pilyipa koni tepa pepa puba nyiylno mola
those people hearing it and straightening it out and staying, or ...? [i.e. when Su wants to kill them]
15. kot-na yabu pipilyika mola-molangi-na angina i tek nyilkunayl [as] people in court, you should say this in public
sika sika mel i nyin
what you said is right
UPUKA SU:
ilyi ekepu-nga tobulup nyimulayl
we'll discuss this now
olyo kotayl meri yi-ke pena wangi-na temulu
let all the court men come out and we'll hold court
kotayl ekepu temulayl ya
we're going to hold court now
20. eni-n ekepu pilyai
you all listen
MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
barata, na aku nyikir nyik pilyini?
brother, you think I'm saying this?
ilyi kot awilyi ya pena teangi
let them have this big court outside
ya ful kot pena teangi matres-ma pena ongi tekimil
let them hold a full court outside, the magistrates are about to
come out
i nyibu tekir-a
that's what I want to say
25. yabu pilyimulu nabolka ung nedu sik nedu sik kum sing
we'Zl hear whatever the people say to each other, they'Zl listen
akin disisin manya pupa puba meri yabu pipilyika pilyik tengi
and the decision will be publicised and the people will hear
meri reti akin mel kapola peba
like Radio Hagen, it will be right [i.e., certain, not rumour]
aki sika-sika-ko nyik mek pungi
that way they will tell the truth around
ya nyikin-iyl sika nyimulu
what you say we'Zl talk properly
30.
35. mu kudanga palyimulu kanumel
we split it from the top, like that
numan senis tep pilyi kayi tep olyo ab lyirimul yi kam-kam yi-ka-ko we'll change our minds and understand it properly, we took [your] woman, the close relatives
nyib ekepu ya kubukiyl ing ola modulka, ung mura-mel tekimil-ko we're talking and the Kubuka [Unya] spoke up, they're arguing too
eni ab siring yi kam-kam yi-ka-ko molymelayl you who gave the woman and who are her close relatives, you're here
ab suku namel-na monsip i tep tobulup nyikimulayl we'll put the woman in the middle and discuss this
40. olyo-nga oib sikis-pela sika suku naa pulka-ja if our six penes didn't go inside [i.e. six Upuka men have Kopia wives]
pe olyo-nga numan kudanga pe nabolka ul temulu? then what will we think about that?
akiyl wi no Trul pengi kudanga kabi-lyik-na
'Up there at head of the river Trul where you cross it
meri pera-top peaba no-n lyipiyl nyirim adu-mel
I'm going to lie down across it and let the water take me', he said, like that
olyo aku temulu mola mola tek tengi mel-nar?
will we do like that or what will they do?
45. ya kolya-na mong pekim-ilyi abu-nga mong pekim trouble brewing in this place, there's woman trouble
pe mai-nga mong pekim-mel lkupandi moluyl
like when there's land trouble, it stinks
yabu kalupa-lyiyl ul-ilyi-nga i tepa kupi-kapi pelym ul ilyi-nga people reproducing, about this there's anger, about this
mai-yabu-nulyiyl
the ground which eats people
i tepa pelym ekepu nyib nyib ilyi-nga disisin temulu tekimul that's how it is, now talking and talking about this, we're about to make a decision
50. kapola mol nyiba ola-manya tep adakumola if he says no we'zl argue furiously
wilyi-nga pulkomela pulkomela
this could go on and on
kuduyl-kin ung te-ko nyikimil
Europeans talk in a different way
pe kam-kam nyikimil ilyi naa kolum-da
if they talk forever and it isn't resolved
pe aima kuduyl kare-ko molymeli-na pumulu-mel leba
we will go to where some Europeans are
55. abayl midi ya kang-abola mim-kin pora nyim-kin pilyamiyl nyib tekimul when the woman has the child we'll hear people talking about it
ilyi ya, i tep ilyi-nga mol pe ekepu adi-d eni-n mare pilyiku pilyiku molku nyai
now, not like that, you people think about this and talk about it
olyo-nga ing kalya-ko pora nyim-iyl
our talk is finished
olyo takan ob mongayl kanap molkumul ilyi-nga
we have come and we'll watch quietly
ekepu eni-n pilyik pilyik molkumul kansil ing nyikim kalya-mel
now you (pl.) are listening and the councillor [Midipu Kuyilyi] is talking thus
60. mola kot teamiyl nyin-da i kapola-ko
if you say let's have court that's o.k. too
mola temal-tiyl palupiyl
or let precedent sort it out
mola mola bo ing nyamiyl nyi-pilyin-da aku kapola-ko
or if you think 'Zet's talk in the traditional way' that's o.k.
ekepu olyo ing-te naa nyimulu
now we won't say anything
ya kalapura-ni akurum
the freeze has already come [i.e. the damage has been done]
65. abu-te na kiku-na pensikin tun-kep
a woman, even if you put me in the ashes [i.e. treat me badly]
kayi-na pensik tun-kep
or put me in a good place [treat me well]
abu kayiyl wilyi lelym-ilyi kalya mol
is that a good woman over there? no way

```
    na akum-iyl akuna kep peaba tadau au-jikin tui
    it made me move, so let me sleep in the mud, decorate me, kill me
    i nyiba nyirim kanumel
    that's what he said
70. olyo-nga ing nyimulu ung kalya-ka kor pora nyirim
    we've already finished saying what we want to say about that
    yabu moluyl-na ing nyib adumul kalyayl ekepu naa nyimulu
    we went around talking in public, so now we won't talk
    ya eni-nga keri-me-n kanumulu lyip ka samiyl nyingi-da papu
    we'Zl watch your mouths and if you say jail her, good
    lyip ka samiyl kuduyl-in ka sai nyiba-e?
    let's jail her, will the European [court] say let's jail her?
    abayl nunu-nga rong te mol nyikimayl
    the woman says she did nothing wrong
75. mol nyib nyib weyai tensamiyl nying-lum kapola
    if you say let's give it up, o.k.
    ilyi ya kapola eni ab yabu-kin nyaing kanamiyl
    let's try letting the woman's people talk
    MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
    ilyi pora meri kot-ayl nabolka ul tekimil?
    this is finished, what's that court about?
    kalyalya wisnes mek ung-kin nying-kiyl
    if they said they've brought a witness
    ya pena ok ing-iyl pilyangi ung
    this is the talk you've got to come out and listen to
80. ya walsung ilyi-nga, i tepa naa pelymayl
    they asked him about it, there's no such thing
    LALKA WAK:
    Kujilyi ung mim telym nyilymeli kaniyl ya tilupu-na molym
        you people say Kujilyi 'makes up' things, but now he's here [so you
        can see what happens]
        MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
        ya kot keli mel tensa
        have a small court
        ya olyo-nga kot kalyama lipiyl kelkomela
        let our court go, we leave it
        ya pe kot awilyi melayl pilyip eni ung nyib pora-nsip pena omulu
        tekimulayl-nga
        we think this is a big court, when we've finishing talking with you
        and are about to come out
    85. meri na nyikir kalya-na pena okum-kiyl
        he's coming out, just as I'm talking
        kanga ...
        boy ...
```

(tape off and on again)
MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI (ct'd):
Keap-ayl-kin meri ilyi-nga molku
sitting down there with the kiap [i.e., magistrate]
i tek molku tengi mel i nyikir-ayl ilyi-nga meri ok suku pai they're about to sit there I'm saying, come and go inside

LALKA WAK:
aki tep pe eni yi kari matres maku tok
when we've done that, and some of you men, magistrates, have gathered
90. ung witnis mola kot witnis mola nai nyiba aki nyimolu-akin
we will say who will talk or give evidence
kapola midi kot tingl akiyl yabu nabeli kubilek
o.k., which two wizl be first
pe medi nai ung sekan molupa nyiba
and who will wait and talk second after that
aku medipulu nyikimul ya we namu ung topa suk-suk mol
we're talking only like that, without gratuitous interruptions
ilyi ekepu kot ful kot tep temulu tekimul
this is a full court we're about to hold
MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
ful kot-ayl, yabu akuma ekepu kot baim tengi
a full court, how those people will have to pay a fine
naa ting-lum sas-im
if they don't [we'2Z] charge them
?:
eni-o
you (pl.)
MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
em i no bisnis bilong yu
it's not your business
LALKA WAK:
pe kot te-molku pe aku-na ilyi kot eria-na medipulu molymolu-kiyl
we're right in the court area
100. we namu naa molymulu-kiyl
we're not just sitting here for nothing
eni ung-te lupa nyik
if you people talk about something else
oi tengi mol[a?] ul tengayl
or laugh or do anything
ilyi tok save mel sikimul-kiyl
this is just a warning we're giving you
eni pe nyiku-kin
when you are talking later
105. ya ung ilyi-nga nyiltik puk poin tenga lupa nyik angansik
if someone wants to make another point
yi taltsi ung fait tengli mola aku tenglayl
or if sometime two men argue or do something like that
sas te lupa medipulu lelym-kiyl
there's another charge for that
ilyi nyib nyib kum lemdu ilyi kapola we've talked about this and decided, so that's enough
i tep nyimulayl mare kabilyik ting-lum yi akiyli-koyl kam kam nyani we'll talk like that and if anybody hides anything, you must tell everything
110. ilyi lawa tekim, na pilyikir-ayl he's making a mistake, I know
nu lawa tekin, ung tok suk-suk ting ilyi nu sas lyini, ilyi pilyikin you're doing wrong, they interrupt, you'Zl get charged, you understand
aki teamiyl
let's do like that
?:
eni yi mare
some of you men
MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
i kapola nyikim, ya
what he says is right, here
DENA MAGISTRATE NUMJE:
115. lapa nyani nyiba nyikir, na ung naa nyimbu ... father, you talk, I say he'll talk, I won't say anything ...
ya ul ilyi pilyikin-i mol ...
do you understand this matter?
(unclear for a few seconds)
luburup tep tekimul
we're repeating
ola kubilya-na elti-li topa lipiyl
on your face there won't be a smile
apulu su-kin kana-kelip ud
I was sick but I came
120. ilyi eni yi ola angalyik mel suku ilyi-nga mel nyikimil ilyi here, you men are standing up and talking in public ['middle']
meri lku-suku nyilkumela
we should talk in the house
ung iyl pe eni ya ilyi-nga pena nyikimil kanakur-ayl
but I see you're talking here outside
pe nyik-kin nying pe eni-nga nyikimil ilyi mel kot tingli
you talked, they two will listen and have court
yabu-sil ung akiyl-nga pilyik eni nyai nyikir-o
you'll hear what they say, and you talk, I say
125. ung mare pilyik kelku ung mare pilyi-lensik
if there's something left to say, if you think of anything else to say
ung ausipa naa nyai nyikir-o
don't talk too long, I say
ilyi ya ab kimul ul kit tek
our sisters formicating
ab anum tok
our mothers swiving
ul aku-mel tekimul kalyayl-nga tep
we do such things as that
130. ekepu midi mai abiyl abiyl oba-kn and now holding the ground
aima ul-te eni yi-ma ya pilyik kanak teangi nyiba you men listen and look
ul kaniyl olyo ul ilyi mel timul nyik
like that, saying we did something like that
ki lyip ul-te kep i tep
shaking hands or something like that
kubikeri kanap lyip ul i-te naa-tep
looking each other in the face and not doing like that
135. we ilyi-nga pe ya gabman-nga ul-ilyi-nga
just about government/Lawful matters
nyib ob-kin nyib ya ilyi-nga ob kotayl tep molkur-ilyi
saying I come, saying thus I come and am here hearing sourt
ekepu na-nga yi kalya-sil meri lkusuku kot
now, my two men over there in the courthouse
Dena Temda Opa-sil kot tekibil ilyi
Dena Temda and Opa are having court here
tekul, tekul nyikibil-kin
they're having court
140. alte meri elti-ti lku-suku meri elti-ti nyikibil
they two are vying with each other over there in the courthouse
ung ilyi-nga kalyayl ku foti kina sikibil kalyayl
and something like this, maybe they pay forty kina
ekepu lim-lum singli
if it's available they'Zl give it
naa lim-lum ka-lku-na pungli, naa singli
if it's not they'Il go to jail, they won't give it
kongun tude-kn ka simulu ilyi medipulu molymeli
on Tuesday we'll jail them, they're here for this
145. $\quad i$ tekimul ul ilyi-nga ya ilyi-nga altepa
we do like this, and moreover

```
    yi-te-n ing topa suku modum-kin
    if any man interrupts
    ku moni kapu lekim, yi-te we nawu ka lyik mong lyik tengi
    money's getting scarce, they'll jail people or make trouble
    ilyi-nga pilyik olyo-nga ku-lyur-ma kep
    whether it's our ku waru people
    ya ne Upuka kang-kil nyikimil kep
    or whether the Upuka boys talk
150. kamkam kodup nyikir-kiyl
    I'm talking about everybody
    ab aki nyim yi-yl-kin kot tengli
    that woman and this man will have court
    mola ya yi-yl-kn kot tengli mola
    or they two men will have court
    kupulanum akiyl-ko pilyamily, i nyikir
    let's hear that, I say
    mada nyikir, ekepu nu nya
    I've said enough, now you talk
    ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
155. ekepu pilyikimil-i?
    now, do you understand?
    eni mare-n mare kos ui abayl kos pilyiring-iyl
    some of you heard the woman's court case before
    eni kare naa pilyiring
    some of you didn't
    ilyi-nga ya kot kalya luburup teamiyl
    let's summarise all that [preceding] litigation
    ya olyo nyi-pilyip molymulu i nyik pilyingi
    don't think we understand everything
    MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
160. mare naa pilyiring
    some didn't hear
    ya yi Luburuyl-kin na-kin olto pena molurubul
    Luburuyl here and I, we stayed outside
    ung naa pilyiribul, ekepu nya
    we didn't hear, talk now
    pul yabu-ma payl pilyiring
    all the relatives heard
    i nyi-pilyingi nyib nyikir
    you may think so, I believe
    UPUKA EL:
165. ya abayl-nga naba wan
    the woman's first court
```

```
    ne Kubuka Komapiyl-in ne aju Kalyke brukim tirim
    Kubuka Komapiyl over there stopped it back at Kailge
    pe naba tu oba ya ilyi-nga tirim
    then the second time he heard it here
    talko naba tri pup ne Waipip
    two days ago, the third time we went down to Waipip
    ilyi ul ung pilyimulayl ilyi kalyayl
    that's what we'Zl hear
170. ya kot ya pilyip temulu ul-ilyi
    the court we'zl hear here
    ya yi-ma pilyik pora nsiring
    the men [magistrates] heard it already
    eni ekepu ya eni molung-kin nyamiyl nyikir ilyi
    now you're here, let's talk, I say
    ya ul ilyi-nga, abayl-nga ing ya kot temulu
    we'Zl talk about this matter, about the woman's affairs
    yi painimaut temulu ul ilyi-nga aima na tirimul, mol
    we'll figure it out, we didn't manage to do that, no
175. olyo yi kayi-ka topi-na ka mep mep
    we men kept on talking and talking
    ne yi-kil-in ab eni-ngayl kana-kelku nyikimil
    those men [Kopia] keep on saying 'she's yours'
    kang-yi-ka ab abiyl-oro, kana-kelka
    boys, she's an 'old woman' [long married], it's all in the past
    nabitimna kos tensikimil-oro, i nyirimul
    why in the world are you having court? we said
    ilyi top reses me-pu-pu-pup
    we kept on vying [like that]
    ekepu wilyi dokta-na pumulu nyimul-ko
    now we'll go to the doctor, we even said
    kani-na pup-okumul-kiyl
    and we're coming from there
    ilyi-nga kot teba-yl ya pepa-yl ilyi wilyi tim
    there'll be court, he [the doctor] wrote up a paper
    notip molyo
    and I've got it
    ya kot eni-kin naa tebu, mol
    I won't have court, with you, no
    ya ing ilyi-nga bo-ung mel na-nu nyib-kin
    me talking about it in our way according to custom
    yabu ekepu abayl ul-ilyi tirim-iyl
    the woman did thus-and-such
    po-urul taua-urul mel kayiyl
    adoption is a good thing
```

```
    i nyib ob na-nu molyayl
    I'm talking in this way
    nu ul-ilyi nabitekin kanun-i
    how did you see this matter?
190. ya nying-lum wilyi dokta nyilym kaniyl
    if they talk like that, the doctor said like that
    dokta na nyiba tim
    the doctor told me
    mong-sil-in kanap numanayl-n pilyip tid ilyi pora-iyl pilyikin-i?
    I saw it with my own eyes and registered it, that's all, you know?
    ekepu dokta-yl-n kolya nar-na kot tingi nyim
    where will the court be held, the doctor asked me
    ilyi na-nu komapiyl nyiba tim-ko
    he told Komapiyl [i.e., Kubuka Unya]
195. na kep nyiba tim-ko, pilyip molybulayl
        and he told me too, we know
    pilyip molybulu ul ilyi-nga yabu anipilyi
    we understand; [her] brothers
    lapalyina ya Komapiyl-na ing keli nabolka ing-te nyingi-da
    and fathers, here, Komapiyl, what will they say?
    ilyi na-nu ui kubile-pilyibu-kiyl eni pe molku disisin tengi
    I want to hear first, and then you'll make a decision
    penga puba aku-na as-te peba tim-kin
    it'll be decided, and if there's any unsolved issue
200. pilyik pe ya pepa wedu lyiba eni disisin tek tangi
        he'll get the paper out and let them decide
        na-nu kot penga tebu, ilyi pilyikimil-i?
        I'Ll have court later, do you understand?
        UPUKA EL:
        ya ekepu ya eni ya tapiya i tek molangi-na-kin
        now as you're doing like this
        eni ya ing nyikimil-iyl olyo kot mel naa tebu molyo
        what you're saying, I'm not here to have court
        mol nyikir, kot tebu pepa-yl ya na-nu notip moyl-iyl
        no, I say, the surmons paper I'm keeping here
205. ya yi ab anipilyi-lapalyi kimilipilyi
        her mothers, fathers and brothers
        i-me-n nabolka ing-te nyingi-da
        what will they say?
        ilyi ya na-nu ekepu molup pilyibu molyo
        that's what I'm sitting here to hear
        MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
        mel weyl suku lawa mel tekin-iyl
        you're making a slight error
```

```
    pe na-nu elpim teab
    and I'd like to help
    ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
210.
215. kang akiyl-ni nu-nga pepa akiyl rit tepa
    that young fellow will read your paper [from the doctor]
    o-o dokta-yl-n ekepu i tepa mel nyiba sim pilyikir-iyl
    the doctor said like this, as I understand it
    ilyi ekepu eni yabu-ma nabolka nyik pilyikimil
    what do you people think about it
    eni ab pul-yab pilyai
    you relatives of the woman, you listen
    i nyiba modaga-kin yabu-me-n pilyi kare-kin
    after he's reported this and people have heard
220. ung nyik yadu modung-lum
    you might have something to say in return
    pilyip-kin lyip nar kupulanum nar tepa lelym nar tepa lelym
    and when we hear it, what's the thing to do?
    mel aku-ma woksap-ma lupa-lupa lelym
    there are different 'workshops' [i.e. courts]
    aku-ma-nga mare-nga top modumulu
    and we'zl refer it to those
    ilyi nu nu-nga ung nyikin-ayl na-nu elpim tekir
    you speak, I'm assisting you
225. lyi nyib tikir-kiyl
    I tell you this
    naba tu ya olyo kotayl tirimul-iyl tep-kin yi kare
    the second thing is some men
    tuku naa pilyiring-ka ok molkimil
    who didn't hear it are here now
    nyiba ya pena kot ilyi-nga keap-ka-kin ful kot naa tiring-kiyl
        they didn't have 'full court' outside here with the magistrates
        Temal ya Luburuyl-kin na-kin midi pena molup pilyiribul
        [Upuka] Temal and [Kopia] Luburuyl and I just listened sitting
        outside
```

```
230. pilyipu pupul-kin pe abu yi-kin adalyimeli akin
    we heard and left, men and women are going around
    ya toku lyab-a nyib tekir-ayl
    I'd like to take a smoke
    tekim-lum sikis-pela i-ke-nga kang-abola molurum nyirim akin
    it was said that the child was conceived after six times
    nyik tiring akin pe ya yabu mare nyik-lyanga molym
    and some people said
    maku-na-ko molurum nyiring
    that's sufficient for it to have been
235. pe mare nyik-kin ya ing-te naa nyiring
    and some people said nothing
    tek moluring aku-na pe na-n ya ul ime-nga
    they did in that way, well I in regard to these things
    suku kot tep telyo telymulu
    I hear court inside all the time, we [all] do
    ul aku-na suku pilyip mel telyo aku-na pe ab yi-nga melayl
    I listen to such matters inside, in regard to man and woman
    matters
    na midi teyl mel, yabu-ma pora ...
    I myself do this, everybody ...
    ?:
    kangayl na toku nobu tekir si nyikim
    the fellow says 'I want to smoke, give me [a cigarette]'
    MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
    yabu-kuba poropora tek kanak kelymeli-kuba-ko
    everybody has had experience of these matters
    kotayl ing mel kayiyl-i?
    you think court is a good thing [i.e., it's not]
    ilyi-nga top sukud modup myilymulayl kelip-kin
    we'Zl contribute [our opinions] about these things and when we
    finish
    pe pe nyik okumil yabu mare-n lek tek kui telymelayl
        they continue to talk, [but] some people say nothing
    perek telymeli
        why do they do this?
    na-n nyib-kin i-kil-nga medipulu olyo kang-abola naa molym
        it's my view that in just a few times a child will not be
        conceived
    mol nyikir
    I say no
    Unidentified UPUKA man:
weyai telym kaniyl tekim
what happens is it dissolves
```

```
    ?:
    a?
    huh?
    UPUKA ?:
250. weyai telym, nyikir, kang-e,
    I said it dissolves, man,
    MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
    aima mol medipulu, aima punya kongun telymeli-kin
    really it can't happen, when they do real garden work
    bulu-mingi nolym, kelep pulymulu elui telymolu-kin
    our backs ache, we go home and we exchange
    ki-kil ponga top lku ponga tolymulu kep
    we cut posts, house posts by hand or
    pala witilymolu kep kalyamel-ko
    shape fence posts, that's the sort of things
    pe ab obi-nga aki tepa suku pelym na top pilyilyo
    when a woman sleeps with a man that's how it is, I'm an expert
    enebu tokum pilyikir-ayl melayl te teab mel nar
    it's tiring I know, what shall I do
    abolup kare suku modab molo we kang-abola molym, i nyikimil
    holding it shall I put it in, or will a child get there without it,
    they say
    i tepa telym ul ilyi-nga ekepu pe ul ilyi ya yabu eni
    that's the way it is, well about this people's
    kopong lupa-lupa-yl-nga na-nga na-nu teyl mel nyikir-kiyl
    'grease' is different, I do my own I say
260. ilyi-nga pilyik ilyi-nga meb suku naa modup
    you listen, I am not contributing?
    wed i nyib nyib wedu modup-kin
    talking, I'm throwing it out/casting it away
    pa ya meri Luburuyl-kin olto molup kot tiribul-iyl
    well Luburuyl over there and I held court
    tep-kin eni boni pim kanapa-lum
    and when we did, seeing as how you were dissatisfied
    eni-ni Waipip eria nyangi nyibu tekibul-kiyl
    we say you should have it in the Waipip area court
265. olto ilyi-nga we nawu pilyikubul-kiyl i nyiribul
    'we're just hearing this in vain', we said
    pe nyik pangi-na pangi-na nabolka ul tim-lum
    go ahead and have it and whatever may happen
```

meri haus-sik kolya memi kanap temulu nying-lum
if you say we'Zl have a look at the blood at the hospital
eni-nga pawa lelym-iyl
that's up to you to do that
abayl ne eni-nga eria aku-na nokupa molym your area court is in charge of her
270. $i$ nyib nyib tokud modurumul mel we turned her over to you
ekepu nyib mep tukud okumul
now we're bringing her here
ya eni-nga yi-kil pilyik-lyanga pe $i$ kupulanum nabolka ul tiring you men know what you did
nyiku pilyingayl-nga nyibu nyib na kang-abola-na mel-ma you'll think like small children, I'm saying
kudi tok moduk telymeli pilyip kung langi-te nong-kin you tell them to run an errand [when] you're going to eat pig
275. ya kelkin nongi-na mola kapola kopsingi-na nongi will you eat it and not give any, or cut it and eat it
olyo i tep pilyilymulu kaniyl lapalyi anginapilyi we are thinking of this, fathers and brothers
eni ya yi ing nyik pilyik tengiyl-nga
you'Zl hear the talk here
ya nyib klia tensikimul
we're clarifying matters
ekepu ya aku-na olyo ung-te suku nyi- naa nyimulu how we won't interject anything
280. ya ul ilyi-nga ya dokta pepa tim ul akiyl-nga the doctor made out a paper in relation to that
kang kilak-ayl kanapa i tepa i tepa nyaga ilyi-nga let the young man clerk read it out
ung-te nyi- naa nyimulu wewe tep pora nyaga i nyikir we won't say anything, let him get through it fast, I say

UPUKA EL:
te kalayl naa nyikir, te-nga pe abayl-nga-ko
I'm not talking about that, another matter about the woman
nyib umul ilyi-nga tude-kn ne kot telkumela-kin telkumela-kin we came to talk about that on Tuesday when they were having court
290. bonunga-nga abayl haus-sik-na me-pamiyl nyib-kin yesterday, saying, 'Zet's take the woman to the hospital'
ya kang-yi mare wai myimul ul ilyi-nga oba-kin we told some fellows to come along about this and then
ya Komapiyl kupulanum-na ung lawa tim Komapiyl [=Unya] here said something wrong on the road
keri-ma-n nu-nu nyiba kuni modum mola karaya i tim akiyl he made a straight or crooked gesture with his mouth like that
ekepu ya ilyi-nga ekepu ya molku-pilying they were there and observed it
305. ing ilyi ing-mong te-koyl
ing ilyi ing-mong te-koyl o
this is another matter
ya ekepu ung te ilyi
now this one topic
eni ilyi ya eni ung-iyl we namu pilyik poransing nyib
I say you just heard these words 'for nothing' [i.e. on a different matter ]
i nyibu nyikir-kiyl
that's what I want to say
pe eni ab pul yab boni mel we-te suku pim nyimulu nyik pilying-lum and if you family of Moni think any small problem remains
310.
315.
320.
325. eni-n aji puk eni-nga ya kotayl-nga
you went back and about the court
eni-nga kot komplen na-n lawa tid nyik
you made a complaint, saying I had made a mistake
ilyi naba wan mola naba tu pupiyl is this [ to be] first, or shall it be second?
abayl-nga naba wan pupiyl
let the one about the woman be first
na-n ne eni-ni toksave tep eni-ni-nga kot tensibu i nyidiyl I informed you, 'I'Zl take you to court', I said
330. $\quad$ nyid ilyi ekepu-nga ne abayl-nga kubilep ui-nga disisin tep I said so, now first having made a decision about the woman
penga ya na-ni eni-nga kot tensibu nyid later on I'Zl prosecute you, I said
ilyi meri naba tu nyimulu mola ne ne eni-ni kot bot ting over there we'Il have it second, those who will hear court
yi akin ne buk-na pelym, aku mel nyidangi-na
those men, it's in the book [Village Court book], let them tell us
olyo pilyip olyo isip tobulup nyimulu akin
we'tl hear it and discuss accordingly
335. yi-ka-kin na-kin el-ko tokumilayl tongi
the men and I will [otherwise] indeed fight
MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI (to one of the audience):
ing kalyayl naa pilyid, altekin nya
I didn't hear that, say it again
LALKA WAK:
mel pepa-yl naa kanukur-ayl, kamkam rit teab I don't see the paper, I want to read it all
pilyani
you Zisten
KUBUKA MAGISTRATE UNYA:
nu ne kot tini tekin-ayl ne ab pul yi-ka ung-te nyangi
you are about to have court, let the woman's family speak
340. kalyayl pe yi anumuyl molym, meri Kansipu molym the old man [Sumuyl's father] is here, Kansipu is over there
molym nyangl, ya ...
he's there, let the two of them speak, now ...
MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
ilyi eni-te nyingi nyikimil nyikin pilyini
here you think you will speak [but you won't]
ya pepa-yl rit teangi-na, pepa-yl-n nyiba
let them read the paper, when we hear what
mel nyim pilyip-kin, o kudu kep kudu kep nyamiyl
it says, we'll talk [from] one side and then other
345. $\quad i$ kalya nyimul-kilyo, ung aku-na pe meri abayl...
that's what we said, that talk, well the woman there
oba ya suku-na molupiyl
let her come and sit in the middle
ya yi keap-ayl-kin el-uj yiyl-kin nyangl
let the kiap [i.e., magistrate] and peace officer speak
ya nidola aku-na suku pukun mola ...
are you going up there in the middle or ...
(crowd shifts, Sumuyl moves to centre over next four turns, some unfocused or inaudible material deleted)
?:
350. id akuna kolum tek nyingi
now they'Zl talk briefly
?:
nabina-o?
why
?:
abayl ned ilyi-nga un
woman, you come over here [a strong command form, meaning literally 'you have come over here']
?:
yadupa ui, nedola tabolupunya akin ui
come here, come onto the grass
LALKA WAK:
ya ilyi-nga dokta-nga ripot mel ilyi
this here is the doctor's report
355. Sumuyl Poya, krismas bilong en twenti, de ol i bin go long haus sik Sumuyl Poya, twenty years old, the day they went to the hospital
em i asde, kolya adres Waipip, Sumuyl em $i$ gat bel
was yesterday, the address is Waipip, Sumuyl is pregnant
foapela mun, husat $i$ stap wantaim meri inap faipela mun $i$ go pinis four months, whoever was with her five months ago
ilyi-nga ya ung nying mel-ko nyim-iyl, abayl kalyeb foapela they said what he said, the woman four months [ago]
kalyeb foapela-nga yi nai-kin pepa adurum i tirim ilyi-nga whoever she was sleeping with four months ago, did this
360. ab kang-abola lku pelym i nyik tung-kiyl the woman is pregnant [by him], they wrote
olsem Sumuyl em i gat bel long foapela mun, husat i stap Sumuyl is four months pregnant, whoever was with her
wantaim meri inap faipela mun $i$ go pinis
five months ago
man ya givim bel long meri, eni pilyikimil-i?
he's the one who got her pregnant, do you understand?
pilyi, klia tekim mola naa tekim
listen, is this clear or not?
365. nu pilyikin-iyl yabu kel-ma pilyikimil-i?
do you understand, do the 'ordinary' [unimportant, non-official]
people understand?
ya ilyi-nga ung mel koltsi-ko wed okum
here a bit of information is coming out

KUBUKA MAGISTRATE UNYA:
ab-ma eni-ni pilyi molymelayl...
the women understand
abayl-n mol, kang-abola kang-yiyl-nga
the woman [says] no, the child belongs to the man [her husband]
kang-yiyl-n kang-abola na-nga mol
the young man says the child is not mine
380. adi pup manya dokta-te molum akiyl-kin Daya kang-te we went there, down there was a doctor, a Daya fellow
molum akiyl dokta-yl, Daya Berim molum akiyl was there, a doctor ['s aid], Daya Berim was there

Kodup nyib-kin ang ku-te mol-iyl-kin nyimul-kin when we told him 'brother there's no money'
ya na helpim teab nyiba-kin pepa-yl tepa sipa-kin saying 'I'Zl help you out', he wrote a paper and gave it [to us]
ul aku-na suku dokta-na sekim tek naa telymeli
doctors don't check that sort of thing
385. mola telymeli, ul ilyi nu-nu pilyipa klia naa tekim or do they? he wasn't clear about this himself
ilyi we top sab-a, nyiba-kin topa sim akin 'I'Zl just write this and give it [ to you]', saying this, he wrote it and gave it
papu adi kudulyiyl nodupa um, oba-kin pe wilyi
and, fortunately, the European soon came, and then up there
ya yabu lyik manya karuk kanak telymeli
[where] they excomine people
rum keli koltsi-nga manya mel mare-n pasim tek telymeli
a small room which they shut with something
390. kalya-na lkudu mudupa-kin, olyo ui yabu olu-na sekim tim standing her into the room, first he checked her belly
tepa-kin pe olyo meri puk meri rum keli tenga and afterwards he said, 'go in another small room'
aku-na suku pai nyim, ya matres-kin, na-kin, Poya-kin 'you go in there', he said, the magistrate, and $I$, and Poya
olyo sukud pumul akin yu abayl sekim teab-kin we went in and then [he said] 'I want to check the woman
sukudu pai nyim, olyo pup molkumela akin you go in', we went in and sat and then
400. aji kuduyl-iyl-n sekim tepa-kin puba-kin olyo ing-te mol
and the European checked her, we said nothing
nu-nu-n pepa-yl topa-kin yadu sipa-kin pe nyiba-mel
he wrote the paper and gave it to us and then he said like this
abayl-nga kalyeb faipela ilyi-kin kang-abola
'the woman has five months, the child
kang-abola awilyi-te-ka nyim, kalyeb faipela oba purum the child is a big one', he said, 'five months have elapsed'
ilyi-ka i nyim akin olyo aji modup tena
he said this and then we told him about what
yi-yl-kin ing resis telkubela suku pilis kot she and her husband are litigating in the village court
aku-na kot tingl ul-ilyi abayl pupa yunu-nga kolya molurum they had court, the thing is the woman went home
pe puba kompetetin hap mare tepa, lyiba nyi-purum akin
and then he gave 'half' [partial] compensation, she took it and left
abayl yadu naa urum akin aji molurum-ayl
she didn't come back, she remained behind [at home]
pe sukusingi-na yadu urum akin yabu mare-n suku kanak-lyanga
then she came back in the middle, and some people seeing her
mola abayl kang-abola nyik kanakumul nyiring-kin 'we see the woman is pregnant', they said
yi-yl yu-nu wate tapu naa turud, i nyiba-kin
the man said 'I was not living with her', and when he said it
kot-na suku brukim tepa aki tek moluringl
they broke off having court, that's what they did
ya dokta-na nu kongun mare telymeli akina teani
'here doctor, you do the sort of work doctors do' [i.e., examine her]
nyib pilyip umul ilyi-ka i nyimul
we thought that and came, that's what we said
415. nyimul aki-kin pe ekepu nunu-n kanukur-ayl we said like that and he said 'now I see
yabu-til stori tel nabolka nyingli
what sort of story will this couple tell'
ya i nyingl-kin abayl nu-kin kapola peylbeli i nyim akin when they spoke he asked 'have you and the woman been sleeping together'
na mol ilyi ka
not me
yi talsi melpa suku aku-na molkul
two Melpa men, sitting in the middle
420.
430.
pepa mel-te suku aku-na tol siringl
wrote it down on paper and gave it
akin na bilip mel tirid-kiyl
so I believed it
nyim akin ne dokta nyiba-kin
he said it and when the doctor said
ab kang-abola memi kobu leylm
the woman has a baby furming out of her blood
ul ilyi akin aki-na suku kera mulu mel-te molym-kiyl
so the thing is, there's something like an egg inside her
i nyim
that's what he said
molym akin orait pe ab yi-nga aku telymeli
it's there and as a man and a woman 'do it'
aku puba-kin yi kopungayl puba-kin aima au leylm
as the man's 'grease' goes in there, it really grows
oba kang-abola kub-ki angalyilym
and the baby's limbs start to form
i telym ul ilyi-nga ekepu yiyl-kin taki abayl kalyeb 5-mun tepa-kin it does this and now that the woman has been doing it with the man for five months
yiyl-kin ola-manya telybeli
she and the man do it 'up and down' [i.e. furiously]
ul ilyi kada puk distrik kot ilyi-nga pubu kot tendimulu nying-da if they [or you (pl.)?] say 'all right, I'Zl go to the district court and prosecute concerning this matter
ekepu enayl-nga pepa sikir-ilyi aku-na pai nyim
now for that time I'Zl give you a paper and you can go do that', he said
orait, kompetetin ilyi paga nyik pe
all right, 'Zet compensation go' [to Sumuyl's people] they said and
altepa ne abayl aji molurum ul ilyi-nga lawa mel tirim ilyi-ka
again the woman stayed back, in doing so she was wrong indeed
435. kompetetin matres nai-n molupa
'which magistrate sitting
aku-na lyipa koni tirim-da, i nyirim
taking it, straightened it out', he said
aku keri-min-na yadu mudupa aki tepa nyirim
he replied making a gesture with his mouth
nyiba-kin eni ui-nga ya kot i tepa-te ab-te nunu-nga kolya pupa he said 'you had court and the woman went back to her place
kang-abola meba kang-abola-te i tepa kang-abola lku pelym carrying a child, being pregnant
440. ab-te um kot tek resis tek okumil kanap-kin a woman came, I see that they're having court and litigating'
nunu i tep pepa kare tikir-iyl mek-kin
he himself said 'I'Zl write out and give you some papers, and you take them
puk wilyi distrik kot-na-ko pukumil-kiyl
and go there to the district court
ekepu eni naba tu taim okumil-ilyi-ka pepa-yl mek puk
now you are coming for the second time, take the papers
distrik kot tengi nyingi-da kapola-ko mola
and if they hear it in the district court, that's all right
445. eni-nga pilis kot-na puk temulu nyingi-da kapola-ko
or if you go and say we'Zl have it in the village court, o.k., too
orait, akiyl ul pawa eni-kin-ko lelym, pepa i tep tikir o.k., the decision rests with you, I'm making out and giving you the paper'
elti ab ul kit telymeli yi palyi nu-nga ung nyikin kalyamel-ko-kiyl you in-laws, what you say about their copulation is like that too
ya na-nga wi yiyl oba siskispela taim tensirim-iyl
your husband over there did it [to you] six times
nunu pilyikir-iyl gol tokun, nu-d ul trik mel kare tirin-iyl
I feel you're lying, you practised some deceptions
450. kalyeb faipela ike-nga orait yi tekin abayl-kin
for five months, all right, if a man and a woman
ola manya telkubela-kin orait ekepu kang-abola awilyiyl molym ilyi-ka
work hard at it, all right, then there's a big child there
?:
olyo wate molumul-iyl nyim mel medipulayl nyikim
we were there too and he is saying exactly what he [the doctor] said
MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
ekepu nyikim ilyi-nga ung kum lek
Zisten to what he's saying
kalya kopsilymeli aki-kin na suku pup molup abolup naa noyl
it's like when they cut pig I do and sit holding it and don't eat
455.
470. ekepu na wase pumul ilyi-kin kalyeb faip mun ya dokta nyim akin now I went along and the doctor said five months
kaniyl pe olyo lyikin mudukun mel aima nu tirin pe ekepu you really tricked us, and now
yi ya na-ni ya ekepu ne kep ya kep tenga sas simulu the man [Poya] and I, either here or there, will lay a charge
mak aku-na lekim, nyikir i-kin ab eni-ngayl nyikir i-kin that's fair, I say like this, the woman is yours
na-n kalyayl nyib kinsikir i nying, yi-ke-kin na-kin el mek ola ung $I$ was wrong to say that, they said, and the men and I come home arguing
475. pe el tekimul kalyayl, ekepu nu ya kalyeb faip mun akiyl pe ekepu we are fighting like that, how you're five months pregnant and
olyo-n ya ilyi-nga olya pilyikomela-ja ya yi-ke-kin if we'd heard this, with these men
olyo el-te pimul-i? ung nyilkumolayl nu yi-te ya mong-geng lekin were we at odds? we would've spoken, you flirt with someone
ena tenga gris telkuna-ja kapola
if you had flirted on another occasion, all right
ola yiyl-n kanupa molym, autim telkumola
God is watching, we would have revealed it
480. olyo ne kupulanum-te naa pilyip-lyanga mol nyilkumola we'd have said we don't know [if she's done it]
ne eni-kin el tekimul-iyl abayl meri tepa kabilyuyl akiyl
we're fighting with you, the woman is carrying on secretly there
kabilyipa eni kep olyo kep lyipa modukum-ayl
she's tricking both you and us
ekepu ya ab tilupuyl molymayl ne ab we kani-ke naa molymeli mola now there is one woman, are there are no others there? [i.e., Sumuyl is not the only Kopia woman married to an Upuka man]
yu-nu olyo-kin ne kanap ya kanap tekimul ilyi bia nob we do this and that together, drink beer
485. apa-pel-torupalyi kupada bulu-na ilyi naa nyib olyo faul tekim-iyl uncles and in-laws! with our spears behind us, she's wronging us
faul tekim ul akilyi-nga ekepu ya nunu-n pilyik ekepu nu she's wronging [us] in this, now you hear yourself, now the doctor
nu-nu witness molupa nu kalyeb foapela, a, tripela ilyi
himself is witness to it, you are four, ah, three months
kinya-nga hap-ayl i nyikim, pe kinya-nga dokta-yl-n
now, and a half, he says, and now the doctor
kalyeb faipela sokud lyipa i tim ilyi-nga ekepu adding up five months, and now
490. nu-nga yi kot tebulu mola yi ilyi na gris telymayl your husband [and I] we'll have court, or this man is flirting na-nga yi sika kani-ke tek popolu monsikin kibolu-n tok tirin my real husband, 'you get angry and you hit [him] with a stick'
kaniyl-nga ob yi kanap lyirid-iyl ekepu yil-kin kot tebulu like that, 'I came and caught the man, and now we'll try the man'
i nyindanalyi-nga akiyl midi pilyamul i nyilkumula if you had said this, 'Zet's hear it', we would've said [Unya is criticising Poya for not discovering the adulterer]
ilyi medipulu nyib suku modukur, nu-nga midi unga-ri ya ilyi I'm just mentioning this, it's your 'word'
495. altep olyo isip naa nyimulu, mol, ilyi nyik-kin mol, we won't talk like this again, no, you won't talk about this
lapa molkum-iyl, ya olyo molkumul yi i-kin kep ya nedi the father is here, we're here, and the men over there ung-ma keyameya mel lek ok no nokun nyiring kaniyl they just came and blurted out, they said you drank beer [i.e., Poya neglected his duties]
na-nga ung-te mol olto kot wase teabiyl, i nyik sokudu lyikin I've nothing to say, let's have court together, you take this in
aki-na we gau nyiba we lipiyl i nyikir
let it be out in the open and be left to one side, I say
ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
500. ilyi sika nyikim, altepa olyo ung senis tep naa nyimulu he's right, let's not change the subject
kot tep hat wok top naa waltsamilyi
let's not ask for another court [i.e., bring up matters unrelated to this case]
ne ung nyikim akiyl nu nyini ekepu wi dokta-na pum kani-na what he says there, you will say, he went up there to the doctor
kub singi tok yad ung-lumayl ya nyik okumil-iyl sipi molkum-iyl they 'tracked' you and came back and are bringing back the word, it's a cul-de-sac [i.e., you are trapped]
ekepu tek nyini mel nar, nu kot-na now what'Zl you do? you in the court
505. nu suku lyik monsuk tengi tekimil pilyikir-ayl they'Zl get you in and try you, I understand
ung akiyl nu ol somongu naa nurud nyik pilyin-i
you think of that talk 'I didn't swallow saliva'
nu kang-yi-kin ya yi-te kanak singijanga yi-yl na laikim tekim you must've met a man once and said 'he likes me'
nyik molkun singijanga yi-te-kin adurun and once upon a time you went around with this fellow
pe ya kang-yiyl-kin ul kis-nal ya nu-nu lyilym yi-yl kanurum-ko-ora you formicated with him, and your husband saw it perhaps
510. abolup molurumul ekepu ya wi akiyl i kodukun nyangi-na-kin 'we were embraced, now let them tell us'
yi-yl singijanga yi akiyl pur-pupa naa pupiyl
don't let the man off scot-free
nu-kin kapola kot teangl, i tenglayl pe ekepu you two should have court together, you'll do this and
ya altep kot-na waltsip i-te-tekumulayl pe
we'Zl ask again in court
ung nyim kalyayl-ko nyingayl we walytsimulu what was said before, they'Il talk like that again, we'll ask in vain

```
515. ung yi keap-kin nying kalyayl singabolayl-n ung ilyi-nga-ko
        the kiaps talked and you were embarrassed and
    pukun nyikin i nyid kanumel-ko pekim-ayl pe alte-puni ilyi mol
    you keep on saying this, I said like that, that's how it is, but
    you won't get oway again
    wi kuduyl-kin kanak pora-nsing ne kang-abola ai lelym ul ilyi-nga
    the Europeans/doctors have had a look, the child is growing
    ul ilyi-nga mani-sik pora-ko-nsing, ab yi-kin adak telymeli
    they've given their opinion/advice about how men and women do
    together
    ul akiyl to-konsipa timuyl ekepu nu-nu lapang we nyidana nyamul
    we've finished talking about that and now, 'father', you have to
    reply
520. ya yu ul-te singijanga koni ul adiyl-nga pe ya yi lyilym-ayl
    this matter before ... her husband
    ilyi yiyl-kin na-kin we kot te-pabul
    'this man and I, let's just go have court
    i kot aku-na isi-way timul
    we'll hold court easily'
    mola nu aku-na yabu-ri to-konsikin
    or did you kill somebody? [i.e., you didn't kill anybody]
    ul-ur tin-iyl-n nu-n oba ul kit teba yi-te-n oba lyikim
    the only thing is that a man come to have sex with you
525. i nyiba adiyl-nga tirim
    saying thus, he did it
    nyangi-na kot aku-na we nawu nyimulayl
    you must say that and we'll just have court simply/easily
    nyib akin ka simulu mola kompetetin teai nyimulu
    when we've done/said that we'll decide whether we're going to
    send her to jail or make them pay compensation
    ul akiyl we nyimul-iyl
    we just talk about this matter
        ?:
        yabu ul ilyi midi tek okumil-iyl
        people all over are causing the same sort of trouble
    ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
530. nu mong lyin-iyl
you got in trouble
ekepu lyikin pora-nsikin moltayl
now you've really got troubles
pilyikin-i
you know?
ekepu kuyl tokun naa pukunayl pilyikin-i
now you're not going to be able to wash it off, you know?
```

550. meri yabu geku lyikim meri aji pum now those people from down there went back and are staying away
ya kung mingi kalya-na kanu-mel tap-yi-ke ya ung moylmeli they are staying in a pig-grease pot [i.e. confined space]
Tea-Dena kep meri aji pum yes, the Tea-Dena have gone back
?:
yi taltsi ab kanglku pekimil-kin lu-ni tokomil kalya mel sometimes men and women sleep in an embrace, they might get killed with an axe

ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
mol, ya olyo-nga yi Kusika-Midipu molkumil
no, our Kusika-Midipu men are here
555. Epola-Alya molkumil, Kopia-Kubuka olyo yi suku kanu-ma-ni the Epola-Alya are here, the Kopia-Kubuka, our men in the middle
kat tep molup ya kang yi-kin ui ya timul molymulayl pe
are playing cards, the fellows who had court before, we're
all here
yi-te yi-te bi todangi-na yi kaniyl-nga nyikimil
let them name them one by one, and those named
kot-na puk angilyangi medipuliyl
let those go stand up in court
KOPIA AB SUMUYL:
na ung mare altep nyi naa nyibu
$I$ won't say anything more
ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
560. ya nyirin ilyi mel-ko-e?
just what you said before?
KOPIA AB SUMUYL:
ya nyirid ilyi mel-ko-yl, wi haus-sik-na kanak nyiring-iyl
just what I said before, they had a look at the hospital and said
na ab mori te-ja kapola, wi kang-abola molym
if I were a woman without many children, all right, [but] there are others
ilyi-nga pilyipa nyim-iyl
he knew this
ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
pe ui kang-abola singijangayl pe nu ul kit tepa
well, the earlier children, he copulated with you
565. sikispela telym akiyl-nga singijanga aki telym-kin midi melti-i? it always takes six times, and that's enough for you to bear a child?
KOPIA AB SUMUYL:
pe
yes, of course
ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
mare wate mol-i?
not more?
KOPIA AB SUMUYL:
adi kang kalyayl wase-ko, ui akisip mirimul-mel
and boy too, before we had him in that same way
ya kang Rowa nyiyl kalyayl
the boy named Rowa
?:
570. ul kalyayl tripela tep $i$ tep mel nyirimul doing it like that three times

ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
ul kit tripela medipulu tepa kang miribul i nyikin-i?
you say, 'we had the boy doing it three times?'
KOPIA AB SUMUYL:
pe, akiyl-nga tripela akiyl yu-nu mol nyiba-kin
well, about that three times, he [the husband] said no
Unya-kin kot tirimul kaniyl tep-kin tirimul akin we had court with Unya, and when we did
ya nyiba pupa altepa ung mare lupa mel nyirim-kiyl he said something different
575. ya yi-ma-n mol nyiring, nyirim-kilya na-n kapola nyib and the men said no, he said it, and I said 'all right then'
kangayl na-nga kangayl nyib kelip sukud urud-iyl and the boy, saying it's my boy I left and come home

ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
ekepu ne nu yi ne akiyl-nga ul aki tepa now you and the man doing like that over there [at Kailge]
kang-abola molym aku-na molurum the child is there, it got there
ya kuduyl dokta-yl-n kang-abola $i$ tepa nu-n tek ai naa jilyn the European doctor [said] you don't make it grow like that
580. kang-abola memayl kubulelym-kin, ola-madupa ul kit nyik telymeli the child's blood congeals, they have to copulate furiously
i-kin tek puk kang-abola tek kayi-jilymeli ilyi
that's how they properly form a child
tingijanga midi kung mel tapo lyik modultu akin you get a boar and put it to the sow once
kera-mulu mel nyikimil akiyl molupa nyilym a thing like a hen's egg is there, they say
kub-ki angantipa kang-abola ai naa lelym
forming the limbs, the child doesn't grow
585. kang-abola ul-iyl yabu kalurumayl tep tokumulayl we shape the child that was formed
ne kang-abola no-na adak ok kub-ki angantik
going to the river, they form the limbs
no-na kang-abola kum tolymeli ilyi
they shape the child
pe ab mol nyilymeli akin suku naa pulymeli
then the women say no [refuse intercourse] and they don't go to
them [their husbands]
kang-abolayl kep no-n tepa ai-jilym
the water causes the child to grow
590. yi-kin lupa-ko tek kayi-jilymeli ilyi-nga
and the men in a different way cause it to grow properly
nu ne kang-abola tingijanga kang-abola kalyeb sikispela nyikin that child, you say six months [times?]
akiyl naa melymelayl pilyikin-i? a, de i-ke-nga they don't bear them that way, do you hear? no, in six days
i-kin medipulu nu kang-abola naa mirin-iyl pilyikin-i?
just in that time you didn't conceive the child, do you hear?
i-kil-n kang-abola kum tok na kang-abola
with that many, shaping the child
600. kani kiye-wayi-lku kari lim nyilkuna-ja if you'd said, 'it has cut off the menstrual house' [i.e., kept you from menstruating]
we namu foapela $i-k i l$ oba suku pulka-ja
after he had gone to you four times
kapola ekepu kang-abola kiye-lku kari lirim that might very well have cut off the menstrual house
kang-abola kum tok tid kaniyl mid nyilkuna-ja kolti kapola-kiyl if you had said 'I have shaped the child and made it', that would be all right
ya sikispela medipulu kang-abola naa mekin molayl pilyikin-i? but after just six times you could not have formed a child, do you understand?
605. ilyi-n yi-ma-n pilyi-konguntuk bo yi-ma-n pilyik-ko nyikimil men think about this, native men understand about it
pe ya gabman melayl
like the government
melayl wi dokta-yl-n pilyipa-ko nyim
just like the doctor up there knew what he was talking about
nu yi-te-n singijanga midi ul-te tirim-iyl
some man did something [copulated with you]
pe kulkunayl, kapola naa tekim
you're hiding it, that's no good
KOPIA AB SUMUYL:
610. ilyi pe na yi lupa-ma-kin nabina kayi kanap pab? why should I want to go around with other men?
ya kangayl-nga tri-pela de-nga gol topa nyirim akiyl-nga
he lied about it's being the three times for the boy
mol na-nga olu-na-ja nyiba kot tensim, ilyi nyib pilyikir saying is it in my belly he took me to court, that's what I think

ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
ekepu eni ya abu-ma-n te nyai
now one of you women talk
eni lupalupa-ma kang-abola i tek melymeli mel-i?
is this the way various of you have children, like this?
615. ekepu kapola, eni yi-ma-n lyik ok tripela telymeli kang-abola meku o.k., you[r]men doing it three times, do you bear?
sikispela medipulu ilyi-nga kang-abola melymeli-da or just six times, do you bear children?
eni abu-ma-n porapora abu-te-n pilyik konguntuk ne tokudu modai
all of you women consider it and contribute [your opinion]
LALKUWU AB SURUB:
na nyab, ab-ma eni-ni lupalupa-ma pilyi molymeli
I'Zl speak, the women all understand
pe ya kapola de sikis-pela mel ilyi kapola kang-abola naa molurumiyl well, in six days a child couldn't have been conceived
620. ekepu wangana pepa tekim ui-nga
now she's sleeping by herself, before in six days
aku-nga kang-abola naa molym
in that time a child isn't conceived
tep-oba kalyeb tupela tripela akin kang-abola molym in two or three months a child is conceived

ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
ekepu abalyi ilyi abayl-nga witnis pora pilyikimil
now you all hear this woman's testimony
ya i-ke-l sikispela i-kil tiringl ilyi-nga fopela i-ke they did it six times, if four more times were added
alte-oba suku pulka, oba suku pulka-ja
if it were added and added again
nu-nu molym makur-nga telymeli
it's there, that's sufficient
i nyib olyo ko-lyip tabu tilkumolayl
this is what we believe
sikispela medipulu i-ke kang-abola naa molkum-ayl, naa molym maku-na six times only and a child is not there, it's not enough
ul kare-ka autikin ab ul kit tekin kalyeb kare autipa if you do it a lot, you copulate with her for many months
630. lyik modulkuna kang-abola molymayl, ya sikis-pela medipulu molayl and you continue for many months, a child is there, but not in only six times
mola ilyi-nga nu puluyl korup meb suku nu lapang kopena abolum we're not after you [as if] you poisoned someone, 'father'
yabu tun abayl lyip ena mul naa monsukumul, i mol
or killed someone, we're not putting you outside in the
sun, not that [i.e., your delict is not so grave]
ya ul ya ul pasin aku-na pilyip konguntup walytip meb pukumul-iyl we're trying to consider and ask about this matter
nu-nu nyikin kuni tekin, kot ung tilupa-te au nyikin nyilkuna-na you talk straight, if you said something different
635. nyilytip melytip pulkumola-da disisin tek tengayl we'd talk about it, and they'zl make a decision
pilyikin pilyi nyimolayl
you understand and we'll speak [made a decision]
nyindanga-na olyo nyib meb pumul ilyi kolti kapola
if you say something, we'll consider it, that would be better
KOPIA AB SUMUYL:
aku mol nyikir
as to that I say no [i.e., there's nothing to reveal],
ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
aku mol nyikin
you say no
KOPIA AB SUMUYL:
640. ya wi kangayl-nga yu-nu nyiba-yl, nyiba-yl medi pelymayl
he himself will talk about the boy later, he'll talk, that's later
ui na nyikir-ayl, kang ilyi-nga tripela de-nga yu-nu mol nyirimayl
first I'Ll talk, it wasn't three days for the boy, he said
nyiribul i mel ya kang ilyi-nga yu ui mirid akiyl
we talked like this, the boy I produced before
yi te-kin lupa adap mirid-i?
did I have that by another man?
UPUKA TEMAL:
ya wi kang wi ilyi tripela de-kil-nga
this boy over here in three days
645. ul ilyi mel tep miribul nyingl kanapa i nyingl kaniyl-nga we produced him, that's what they said and in regard to that
nyib nyikir-ayl, yabu mare pilyai, tripela de-nga
I'm speaking, you people listen, in three days
yabu ul kit mel tek...
people have sex...
?:
kang ilyi kang kumlayeyl molym, kumlaye abolayl molym
there's an older boy, and a previous girl
UPUKA TEMAL:
pe abolayl tripela de-nga ko-i?
so was the girl [conceived in] three days too?
KOPIA AB SUMUYL:
650. abolayl ui yabu wenepu kominsopu-nga mekimil kalyayl-nga miribul-iyl
the girl we had the way that a young girl and young man do
pe abolayl ami tonsipa-kin
then, weaning the girl
kang ilyi-nga adi tripela de adurubul akiyl we went around [had sex] for three days
yu-nu mol nyirim-uyl
he denied it
UPUKA TEMAL:
abola-nga kare auntipa-i?
lots of times for the girl?

TEA KUPALI:
655. abolayl-nga ekepu ki mong tenpela mola twentipela was it fifty or a hundred times for the girl?

KOPIA AB SUMUYL:
i olto ui koyela marit tiribul-kin ...
we were just newly married then ...
TEA KUPALI:
mola ilyi meri-kid-ko lelym
or was that afterwards?
ilyi melynayl lyik mensikin-ko molyno, ilyi-nga or do you remember how you conceived them?

KOPIA AB SUMUYL:
ilyi kapola naa lyip mensip molyayl, na nabisip pilyibu $I$ don't remember that, how would $I$ ?

UPUKA TEMAL:
660. yabu kanguwa-sil ul kis mel tiribulayl
'we were young and we were having sex
tena lyip mensibulu, i nyikibil
how would we remember?' that's what they two say
TEA KUPALI:
tiringl-kiyl pilyip nyikir-ayl faipela de-nga
you did it on five days, I think
mola sikispela, sebenpela de-nga
or six, seven days
kubilek sikis, seben pela kep pe altepa sekan-ayl faipela taim at first six or seven, and then second five times
665. pe oba medipa ted oba tri, fopela taim and then later, a third series of three or four times
i gat pikinini
and there is a child
KOPIA AB SUMUYL:
ilyi pe naba wan-ayl-n olyo kangabola meb kanilyimulayl-n
'we know how to have a child the first time'
ilyi nyib pilyirimul
that's what we thought
ilyi pilyip naa kuni tirimul
we didn't know properly
670. kang ilyi-nga na-nu pilyirid-iyl I knew about the boy
pilyip-kin tripela de kangayl-nga medipulu nyib pilyirid-iyl
when I knew it was just three days for the boy
kang-abola nyib pilyirid akin yu mol nyirim akiyl
but when I felt the child he [Poya] said no [i.e., don't continue to nurse the girl]
mol nyiba-kin ya abolayl ami kulu sibulu
he said no, we'll give the girl rotten milk

```
    no-kolku we-d lyi
    give him water and take him away
675. abola to-konsinsini nyirim
you'll kill the girl on me, he said
nyirim akin pe kangayl abolayl wi ami noba molupiyl nyiba
then, saying 'let the boy, ah, girl keep drinking milk'
lyibu nyiba urum
he come saying 'I'll take him'
akin na mol nyirid
and I said no
pilyi-kelipa nyirim
he talked a lot
680. wilyi aku-na Upuka Pangimi-kin peylmelayl
    they were Living with Pangimi
    nai-kin miring
    who nurtured it? [addressed to Poya]
    yu-nu mol
    not him
    kangayl no kolku wedu lyikimilayl
    getting water, they take the boy away
    yabu-ka-nga kos tensibu yu-nu aki nyirim akin
    he said 'I'll take people to court'
685. pe na-d nyirim akin
so he said to me
gol topa nyikim-ayl
he is lying
kang-abola yu-nu-nga nyib pilyidiyl
I knew the child was his
altepa kanapa kelipa nyikim
he talked on and on about it
nyib mol nyirid ab wayangunu kanumel
I said no, [he treated me] just like a woman who has no children
690. kangayl nunu molurum-i?
did the boy just get there by himself?
ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
nu yab-sil-n nyikibil aku mel papu nyirin-i
did you agree with what those two are saying?
KOPIA PAYO (Sumuyl's father):
kapola nyi nyikimil-iyl na naa nyirid ui aki tirid mel-ko
at first I didn't say that what they're saying is right, that's
how I did
ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
wenepu-kin nyikim ilyi nu-nu meri apra-nsip moyl nyikim-ayl
she is saying that she's forgotten what it was like when she was a
young girl
```

pe ab yi-kin adak adak kang-abola meylmeli
but grown women and men go around sleeping together a lot and have children
695. Wenepu-kin kapola marit-te nyingli mel nyiringl
you said what happened when she was young and you were first married

UPUKA POYA:
pe ob abolayl molurum akin medepa sekan ilyi-nga
the girl was born and then the second one
na ob komplen tirid-iyl
I came and complained
ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
abolayl molurum-ilyi nu nabi tekin
when the girl was born what did you do?
UPUKA POYA:
na ya aunsiyl nyikir-iyli, de aunsiyl mel abolayl miring [miribul?] $I$ say a lot of times, after many days [of copulating] we produced the girl

ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
700. kangayl molurum ilyi midi tripela taim tiribul nyikin-i you say the 'boy was born after we had done it only three times'

UPUKA POYA:
tripela nyilkuna na-n tupela nyikir you say three and I say two
pe alte(pa) meri lapayl-n yupuk kapola-ko, tal kapola-ko and then father [says] 'three days, that's o.k., or two
mola tripela, fopela ilyi mada nyirim, i-ja kalyayl kayi-ma or three or four is enough', he said, 'is that right? [I asked]
te pelym-ja kalya nyib pilyirid, i naba wan abolayl-nga I thought, for the first time, about the girl, 'can that really be?'
705. nyib pilyip medepa sekan ui kongun tek olymeli aku mel I thought, and then later, for the second time, at first they work at it
bihainim tep pe meri lapa-ni oba ung ilyi mel nyirim I agreed and then 'father' [Payo] came and said this
de tupela kapola-ko, tripela kapola-ko, fopela kapola two is enough, or three, or four
ul ilyi mada-ko, ing kayi-me medi kang-abola molym like that is enough, in truth after that a child is there
mel ung maku tenga nyikim na-n ung-iyl altep larim mel tirid he's speaking the truth, and I let it go at that
ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
710. pe nu-n pilyikin ne kot tentik tirin when you knew you wanted to take her to court
abolayl kapola mel tiribul-iyl, kangayl mebul-kin the girl we had all right, [but] when we had the boy
na faul tekim nyirim mola ul-iyl pilyikin kot tenti-tirin mola pe ... you're deceiving, he said, or when you understood you wanted to court her or ...

UPUKA POYA:
na pilyip faul mel tekim-da nyib pilyip nyirid
when I found out, I asked myself 'is she wronging me'
ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
ekepu lapang abola-lyi kapola miringl, kangayl mengl-kin
now, 'father', you two had the girl all right, but when you had the boy
715. nu faul mel tirin-da nyib pilyip nu-n tupela nyirin 'did you deceive me', I thought, you said [it was] two days
nu-n tripela nyirin nyibu-pu-pu-pup kang-abola olto miribul-ilyi you said three, I thought [about it], we had the child
olyo kung-iyl akisik tapo lyilymelayl tripela kang-abola it's the way they put a boar to the sow, in three days a child
kapola naa molym, tupela kang-abola naa melymeli, kangayl ilyi-nga is not there, in two days they don't have a child, as to the boy
i tek naa melymeli ilyi-nga ekepu i tek kang-abola naa melymeli they don't have them this way, now doing like this they don't have them
720. ilyi-nga ya nu ul ilyi kangayl tiringl i mel nu ya Poya faul mel you had the boy like this and like that you deceive Poya
tontikin kangayl faul-ko tiringl ekepu nu ya meri
and there was something wrong with [how you had] the boy too and now
ekepu kang-abola mekin-iyl miribul-iyl faul-ko tekin nyik pilyik pilyikimil
and now you're carrying a child, we had it, they think you're deceiving again
min nyik pilyik nyikimil
they think you're wrong
KOPIA AB SUMUYL:
faul-te naa tebayl, molayl, altepa we nyibu-i?
I won't wrong him, no, should I talk again, for nothing [in vain]?
ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
725. $\quad$ wi Kobolka Wiri Tari kolya ...
there in Kobolka, the Wiri [Wiru] in Tari...
UPUKA TEMAL:
ya ab anumuyl pilyangi, anum lapa-sil pilyangi,
you listen to the old woman [Sumuyl's mother], listen to the father and mother
ab anumuyl tena molym, nununga kopongayl akitipa lelym
where is the old woman, is her 'grease' like that
anum-lapa-til ul kit medi tel ne tekul ab ilyi miringl the parents copulated and had that woman [Sumuyl]
yabu-nga eni-nga memi-na-kilyi, kung gai punya nolym kalya people's traits are in the blood, as a pig eats sweet potato gardens
730. kung gai punya nolym, pe yabu pudu lyilymeli kalyayl the pig [its offspring] eats sweet potato gardens, as people get in trouble
pudu lyilymeli-kiyl
they [their offspring] get in trouble
ya yabu-sil-nga ul kit tiringl memayl
here these two copulated and their blood
ne abayl miringl ul akiyl-nga, ya anum-lapa-til pilyamiyl
they produced this woman, let's hear the mother and father
tripela ike-nga kang-abola miringl mola mare autikil tekul-kin miringl doing it three times did they have a child or did they have it by doing it many times?
735. ilyi pilyik kayi-teai, yabu memi-na kalyayl yabu mai nolym kalyayl listen carefully, people's traits are in the blood like that, if people have a headache
lkitipa pupa pulkan-ayl-m yabu nolym, we lelym akin mai-ko nolym, very soon their veins hurt, it stays like that and their forehead hurts
gu nolym kalyayl yabu memayl, i ul kalyayl memi-na midi pepa olymayl like when a tooth hurts, that's inherited, these things are in the blood and come out
pe abayl anum-lapa-til pilyimul, yabu ul kit mel tal tel miringl well, come on, let's hear the mother and father, did they have a child doing it twice?
mola tripela $i$ mel tekul ya ab ilyi miringl mola, i pilyimul, or did they do it three times and have this woman here, let's hear!
740. tilupuyl te-kiyl
or even once?!
MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
aku wi anum oba molym mola-mol
is the old lady here or not?
UPUKA TEMAL:
kuduyl pobera yabu-ma ya molkumil i-me-nga
European and native people are here
ya punya kongun tek puk keri-langi nolymeli
if you garden you can eat the food
ya ujiyl wi so-lyipa nyikim kalya-mel
he's giving an excomple, like this tree
745. we owa walayl kung ilyi midi tapo lyik tingijanga ola modulymeli
like a puppy and a pig, they just put the male to it once
ya midi tapo lyipa kung walu lelym just taking the boar, piglets are conceived
yabu ab ya pul-yi-nga tepa pentipa-kin kongun tekin pukun nangi nyirim God made people and put them [in the world], and said 'you work and you may eat'
ilyi ab ul kit tek puk puk kang-abola pupa kanapa lyilym melayl-oro you keep on copulating and a child will be begotten
ama tingijanga wewe mel tek kung walayl molkubela only piglets can be conceived after doing it just a few times
750. akiyl pe molymeli-kin punya tal taltika oba pulym they are there, then two or three years go by
kung walayl molym, tripela lyik gi-jil wi kang-abola te-ko mel a piglet is there, and if they clinched only three times and produced another
tripela lyik gi-jil kang-abola te-ko mel pulkubela-na
and clinched three more times, and another child is there, if they went on like this
olyo-nga kolya-na yabu aima puluyl molka
our place would be full of people
papa ung nya
uncle, you talk
KOPIA PAYO:
755. na nyab-e? ilyi ya ui kangayl nyikimil akiyl-nga nyirid shall I talk? what they're saying I said about the boy
iyl nabitim-na nyirid nyik pilyingi ...
why did I say it, you'Zl think
ya yi kolupa pelymolu ilyi-nga ab waye-na kang-abola meb molymolu akiyl pe
when a man dies we look after the widow's children
yi-te-n ul kis telym-na-ko molym-na melymeli
then another man copulates and they have a child
mola ob kaniyl kulum yi ilyi kulum iyl kang-abola mong langapa or the child of the man who died, after a long time a child is borm
760. kang-abola melymeli kanumel na-n pilyip molup kangayl nyilke they have a child, I felt like that when I had this child
ekepu kang kala kanak bi-sik nyai, kang kubi-kulyuwa ekepu now look at the boy and acknowledge his colour, the boy's face now
eni kanak pora-nsang, kapola nyikir, ilyi i nyib pilyip-lyanga you look and examine it carefully, all right, I say, thinking this
kangayl-nga lo tebu nyirim naa tirim ya Kuningiyl-kin pupa 'I'Zl pay compensation about this' he said, but didn't, going with [Upuka] Kuningiyl [magistrate from Waipip]
nela Tela pup kot tirimul el tirimul, ya tekumul mel tirimul we went to Tela and had court and fought, we did just as we're doing now
765. el tirimul yunu mol nyirim, olyo aima el tep tep nela Waipip aima we fought, he said no, we fought and fought at Waipip, really
el tep tep tirimul kolya-na-kiyl timul-iyl
we fought and fought like that
na-n i nyib nyi-pilyip-lya kangayl eltili kanap I thought, seeing you and the boy
ung naa tonginsulyayl, abayl ya yi kolymeli-kin $I$ don't discuss it, women when their husbands die
ab wayeyl puk-lyanga ya na-n adap adap kidipaim di angisik the widows go and they keep on forming the nails and hair
770. melymeli adu-mel na sikimil pilyikir-ayl, na-n lukautim teab and produce it, like that you're giving it to me, I think, I'ひl care for it
nyipe-napa, kangayl yadu lyip monsup anum nyib very well, I took the boy back and said to the mother
kangayl mek sayl nyirid, ya abolayl mel siringl pe medepa-nga-da 'bring the boy and give it to me' I said, they brought the girl, and then later
abola akiyl mel singl nosip molud-kin ekepu they brought the girl, I looked after her and then
abayl abu-nga tekimil kalyamel kung mel pala kaylsumuyl naa kanud the sort of thing women do, they break down the pig fence, I didn't see that
775. i-ke wi sukudu lyip na-nga nyib nosi-molurud-iyl, i nyikir I took (them) in and looked after them, I say
aku memi-na lobolkumelayl na-nu nyib sikir
you talk about its being in the blood, I tell you this myself
TEA KUPALI:
ya ilyi kang-abola-kil ya lukautim tekin tirin
that you looked after the children
ung i-ke nyikim ya yi ilyi manya nyikim-ilyi
but what he says, the man down there, what he says
ung kalyayl pudu naa tokun-i
you're not going to answer that?
KOPIA PAYO:
780. akiyl-nga pudu oniya-koltsi nyikir nyib pilyikir kalyayl
$I$ am responding to it, I think
ya olto-nga abu-ada lapa akiyl-nga nyikir-ayl ilyi ekepu I'm talking on behalf of me and my wife and about that
nabitep pilyip molubu, na-ni abu waye su-lyip
how should $I$ remember, $I$ acted like a widow
kang-abola lukautim tirid mel ung-iyl nyib modukur kalyayl I looked after the children, that's what I'm reporting

ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
ilyi ya yi-yl kulurum-na ab waye mel kang-abola nokurun ... as when a man has died, like a widow you looked after the children ...

UPUKA TEMAL:
785. ya ui abayl kalyeb tripela mun nyikim-ayl, ul kit tep
first the woman says three months, copulating
tripela tintirimul, kang-iyl miribul nyikibil-alyi
'we did it three times, we had this boy' they say
yabu-til wate-wate ya moluringl ilyi-nga, pe kaime tika nyikim you two stayed here together, well is she telling the truth
mola gol topa nyikim mola, kaime ul kit ilyi-nga tekul-kin or is she lying, is it true that they copulated
pe gep nol nyikibil mola naa tekul wi yiyl-n kaime komplen tirim or are they lying, they didn't do it, did that fellow really complain
790. mola ilyi olyo lyip kuni naa mel tirimul, pe elti yabu-til te kep we have not found this out for certain, well of the two one
wate tena-ba naa molurum elti wate tilupu-na molybeli-oro-ko was not there, they live in the same place
owa-lopa-tal tilupu-na moluringl ilyi-ka nyik-kin
they lived like dog and marsupial in the some place
ilyi-kin wa turing, ekepu abayl noi lku topa tepi modurum they have alleged this, and then the woman set fire to the house
lku topa tepi modupa pantipa-kin abayl keangan ne oba set her house on fire and then stealthily
795. nunu-nga kolya purum, purum koperi-kornga mel kaniyl went home, she went caway a long time ago
punya koperi tupela, tu en hap mel, ekepu puba noi molym a long time, two or two-and-a-half years, now she's living at home
ilyi-nga ya noi abayl molkum ilyi-ko nyib-kin mel mare meba pupa and we said she's living there, and he [Poya], taking some things
noi abayl sokudu lyibu nyirim i-kin mol nyirim
said 'I want to take the woman back', but she said no
mol nyilkumela-kin pe olyo eni ya yi kali-ni kot-ayl pilyik-kin when they refused, then you heard court with these men
800. eni-nga kolya-na tiring, tek moluring-kin pe noi-ba
in your place, they had it, and he gave compensation
telka pe kompetetin lyik mek eni sokud olkumela-da and if they had gotten it and come back
koperi kapola, pe ekeda kompetetin lyipa noi tipa that would've been o.k., but instead, taking the compensation he gave to them
abayl alte noi aji molurum, noi aji molupa pilyipe-kin pe the woman still stayed home, she felt like staying back
ya olkala-kin ya yabu ab kang-abola mepa-tilyi
if she had come, here the people [see] that she's a woman with chizd
805. ab auntipa yabu glasim tek tekimil kalyayl-nga a lot of people see her like that
tuku yabu auntipa mel, yabu auntipa mel molku-kin
there are a lot of people [in Upuka], there are a lot of people
abayl ya tukud urum ilyi-kin abayl kompetetin timul ilyi-kin when she did come 'in', we gave this woman compensation and then oba-kin naa um kaniyl-oro-ko, kaniyl lyipa yunu-nga yabu tipa-kin at first she didn't come, she took it [compensation] and gave it to her people
pe abayl moi molkur nyim kaniyl pe molupa-kin ekepu ya
and then the woman said, 'I'm staying there [at home]', and then she stayed, and now
810. tukutingi-na okumayl, abayl kang-abola nyib kanukumul ilyi-kin she comes in the middle, the woman is pregnant we say when we Zook
abayl kang-abola awuyl-te meba okum nyib kanukumul-kin ekepu ya the woman is far along, we say when we see here and now
nu yi-ilyi lku tilupu-na naa pelym, yi-li-n lyik gi-ntik the fellow here doesn't sleep in the same house, the magistrates
kot tiring, ekepu ya Kuntilyi-n pilyik ilyi-kin lku oi tonturun took her to court, and Kunsilyi, when you heard you divided the house
ing ilyi pupa lepa auntaga-kin pe altepa oba nu-kin pid adud when the word would get around, she'd say I slept with you
815. nyiba ilyi mol, elti yabu-til ekepu lupalupa pelybeli [but] no, you two now sleep separately

TEA KUPALI:
eni ya ful kot tep molymolu-kiyl eni ya baim tengi
we're having full court, you'zl pay
nyiku ilyi pilyikimil ilyi-ka?
they say, do you understand that?
UPUKA TEMAL:
aku-ma wi ol-wal tangi, adi ab pamuk mare mol you whisper up there, there are no prostitutes
kanak nyikimil, tongi pantik nyikimil
they see some and are talking, they want to copulate with them and are talking
820. pengi obilma pultipulti aboluyl mare kanakur I see some raggedy-haired people, [i.e., why are they talking?]
ya nyik mek ya tukud ongi pilyik nyikimil they're talking, they think that'Zl bring them
i nyik-kin ya nyik notiring ilyi-kin pe they decided the matter and ther.
kang-yi kaniyl lku oi tonturing, lku oi tontik tiring ilyi-kin they divided the young fellow's house, when they divided the house
olyo ya naa kanurumul ilyi-nga pe abayl nyiba-kin aki 'we didn't see it', the woman said
825. nyilkumela-yl-kin kang-yi kaniyl puba noi molurum and when they said this the young fellow went and stayed there [at Waipip?]
abayl ya kopi punya-kin kolya i-kel nokokun molui nyirim and he told the woman, 'you stay and look after the coffee garden'
i-kin ali Melpa kopi masin kari lirim-na masin temulu nyiring and then east in Melpa [country] where there was a coffee huller 'we'll machine coffee', they said
mola ya aku Melpa purungl akin ul kit mel tepa
and they went to Melpa and then copulating
oba tripela tentiribul kalyayl i nyingl pe aima na-ni ing akiyl 'we did it three times', they said, well I certainly
830. tukud naa lyirid, kolyayl pe pilyip pilyip aima didn't accept that, thinking about that place, really
kolya pena-obil-we pena pukul ul kit mel tengli kolya mel mol a plain, treeless place, how they'll copulate, there's no place
kolya Melpa kolyayl nyib pilyikir-ayl, ... pena pul ul kit tengliyl Melpa country, I believe ... going outside they won't copulate
mel mol, kolya ya i tepa mel, i-mel kopi punya pelym a place like this, like here where there's coffee garden
ilyi mel aima naa pelym, kolya namu tolytungayl there's nothing like this, the place has no vegetation
835. Ikutuku tiribul nyirimuyl pe yabu te-ma kare lku tena lupa 'we did it in the house', she said, well you know, it's strangers and someone else's house, you know
ilyi-nga eni lku tena puku-kin eni temani tok you, going to a strange house, you spin a tale
lku ya yabu pul yabu-la pena molangi-na
while the owners of the house are sitting outside
lkutuku nu abu ul kit temani tokun tekin molyn-i?
you stay in the house and 'spin a tale' of copulating?
EPOLA PUP:
yabu kare-ka-nga lku adu-na eni lkutuku tek molymel-i? you do it in other people's house?
UPUKA TEMAL:
840. ilyi lku lupa ilyi-nga yabu ul kit mel tabu naa nyiyl as if there's no taboo on copulating in others' houses
melayl pilyikin-i? ilyi-nga naa pilyirid-iyl aima you see? I didn't believe this
kopi lumaye topa meba wilti-alti adupun ilyi-kin ob meri washing the coffee, and we go back and forth, and there
lkudu pup-kin tep tripela tintiribul nyiringl
'going in the house we did it three times', they said
ilyi aima lku lupa we-na elti tabu naa nyiyl yabu tal tiringl-da in a strange house, not feeling any prohibition, did they really do it?
850. kopi lumaye yabu-ma-ko nyirimul-kin aku-ma poning-na washed coffee, we stayed with the people on a visit
yabu i yab kopi lumaye tok wilti-alti aduring-kin-ko tiribul the people were washing coffee and when they were going back and forth we did it
mek aduring-kin tiribul nyingl, na-n ilyi pilyip pilyip when they were carrying it we did it', they said, when $I$ heard this
tabu naa-ntip, lku lupa we nar-ko lku tema pukun I didn't believe it, you go to a strange house
ul iyl tabu naa nyirim, ama te tirin-lum, ilyi nyib na-n that wasn't right, would you have done it, I think of
855. pilyip pilyip-alyi-kin ilyi na-n lyip tabu naa ntirid, ya tiribul this, I didn't believe, 'we did it'
tripela de tiribul nyiringl kil tike tiringl mola we-da they said 'we did it three days', did they or not?
aku pe olyo nabitip kanumulu? nyilkumola i tepun mel
how shall we know? We thought about it
notipun-kin pe noi yi-kilin altepa ilyi kapola-kin nying ilyi-kin and those men again said 'o.k.'
na-n altepa wi abayl mol-ko nyim, nyib nyib altepa ing ilyi and the woman still said no, and we're talking again, the some subject
860. nyik nyik kilyewalye ka-na kanumel aima puliwali teaga like a string-gome, it goes around and around [repeats]
eni aima boni anum-te mengi pilyikir ilyi-kin you must feel very bad
abayl kang-abola meba modulka-da papu-kilyi-kin if the woman gave birth, that would be good
kang-abolayl molym abayl ul kit tripela nyikim, kalyeb the child is there, the woman says three acts of copulation, three months
tripela-ka-nga kalyeb tripela-kil-nga oba ya molurud nyikim ilyi she says she stayed here for three months
865. sika nyikim mola gol topa nyikim is she telling the truth or lying?
kang-abola melka-da papu-kiyl if she had the child o.k.
ab kangabola koyela noi moylm-kiyl
the child is still in her belly
dokta-yl-ni kanapa-kin mol kalyeb talti pansipa tuku lyiba mola will the doctor, after looking at her, add some months, or
mola kang-abola monsurum-ilyi mak-ilyi-nga
or did she conceive the child
870. oba-kin ekepu ya day ilyi mak ...
or on this day ...
(end of side one of the tape, followed by a break of about 20 minutes which was not recorded)
side two:
UPUKA TEMAL:
ui-nga kapola ya ul kit mel sikispela akiyl penga tiringl at first, o.k., but it was later when you copulated six times
ing tenga nyikin-ilyi-ka
but now you're saying something different
noi ul kit mel kubilepa punya tu yias hab ilyi noi molurun as for copulation, you stayed over in your own place for two and a half years
ilyi nai-kin molurun ilyi-nga ilyi nai-kin molurun who were you staying with then, who?
875. pe ya oba ya kompetetin tim-ilyi-kini
then when he paid compensation
kompetetin tepa olkala-kin
if she had come back after he paid compensation
altepa akin kelkin olkumelada kapola-ko
if you had come back that would have been o.k.
noi molkun molkun molkun pe ya tukutingi-na un-kin
after staying and staying over there you come back in the middle
[of your pregnancy]
pe ya yabu-n nu kanikimil kang-abola awilyiyl mekin okun and people see that you come with a big baby
880.
ilyi-kin ya yi tap yi-kil-n kanak lku oi tonsik tiring when this happened the magistrates saw and divided the house [by adding an internal wall]
ekepu ya kang-abola moronsilka kanap-kun i tepun nyikimul now as we see that the baby is gradually appearing we talk like this
olyo boni-ilyi aima pilyikumul pilyikin-i?
we really have heavy feelings about this, you know?
ya kompetetin tiring akin yadu wangla-kin oba ya molkala-da if she had come back and stayed when they paid compensation
kapola mel ya nu-nu mindi-kin tekin nyikin mol
then we would believe that just you had been copulating
885. pe noi aji molkola-kin ekepu ya um ilyi-kin
but since she stayed back over there until now
ya yabu keli-ni ya ab-edi kangabola awilyiyl meba okum ilyi-ka i nying
the little people have said she's coming with a big child
ilyi pilyikin-i?
you know/hear?
ekepu tobulup ola manya tep nyikimul
now we're discussing 'up and down' [i.e. furiously]
ya kalyeb sikis mun mola sikispela de ul kit mel tiribul nyikibil
you two say you copulated maybe six months, or on six days
890. kili-ya penga ya kangabola kanak lyiku lku oi tonsik tiring after those times they saw the child and divided the house
ui pilyikin-i
early on, you know?
ui tiring ilyi kangabola nai-ngayl mek urun-i ya i nyikimul-ilyi first they did this, saying 'whose child have you brought?'
abayl bo noi moylm-kin
when the woman stays at her place
olyo kep pi yi-yl kep noi naa pulym-or-ko
neither we nor her husband ever goes there
895. pe ekepu nu kangabola ilyi nai-ngayl mek olkuna
so whose child have you come with?
olyo kanap lku oi tonsup tap yi-li-n pilyik nyikimil-i now that we have seen and divided the house, the magistrates have heard and are discussing it
i nyikimil-kin sikispela de ilyi-nga ul kit mel tiribul nyikim and as they do so she says the two of them copulated about six times
ilyi pe penga penga ya ola mada turum-lum ilyi penga tirimuyl pilyikin-i
it must have been Zater when she did $i t$, she did it afterwards [i.e. after the child had already been formed], you know?
kang-abolalyi ui kanak nyiring-iyl pilyikin-i, kang-abola awilyiyl they first saw the child and said this, you know, a big child
900. ui kanak ya tap yi-li-n lku oi tontik tiringl
first they saw it and the magistrates divided the house
kang-abola ui-iyl pilyikin-i? ilyi-nga olyo pilyikimulayl the child [was there] first, you know? we know this
aima kapola naa tekim, ekepu noi ya lopa-ti-n owa lyipa kum-tiyl tantilym
it's really not right, just as the marsupial tricks the dog
kanumel ya nu abayl-ni olyo aima lyik-kin kum-tiyl tantukin-iyl just like that you, woman, are tricking us
olyo aima wiyl manya mangali punya pim-ilyi
just like there was a bamboo grove down there
905. topa tepa tipi montilka-yl-nga olyo aima molimali adap midi molymolu she boxed us in and we are going around groping about
ekepu ya kang-abola ui kalyalyi altepu yiyl-ni tal tiribul nyikim now that child, 'before we copulated twice', the man says
pe nu-n kalya tripela tep-kin miribul i nyikin
and you say 'we did it three times and conceived the child'
pe wilyi yiyl nyiba-kin olyo tiribul-kin pe
and that felzow says, we did it
nununu ne nedu purumiyl-kin ne Kalyke nunu-nga kolya pupa molka-kin and whe went over to Kailge, went home and stayed there
910. pe kang-abola mepa yad urum kalya-ka nyirim i nyikumul-alyi pe and she come back carrying a child, that's what we're saying and
olyo ya yi-kil-n pilyip-kin ya yi lupa lupa molymeli i-me-n pilyik-kin here we men hear this, lots of [different] men are here, listening
i aima ne Kalyke kolya-na pirimu-te ne ilyi-na molym-na
in Kailge there really must be a nail
?:
telym-ko-yl adu-ne tim nyib pilyip molymulu
we think she does the same all the time
UPUKA TEMAL:
pirimu-ni ul-te tirim-da i nyib pilyip, pilyimu-n mel te molupa did a nail really do something, we wonder, there's something like a bee there
915. aku-na daim topa montipa ul-te telym tena tirim-ko it does something like puts spit [on things], it really did
nyikumulayl pilyikin-i? ekepu ya kang-abolayl kep nyikimul we're saying that, you know? now we're talking about the child
ekepu ya kang-abola tobolkumolayl noi kang-abolayl montipa we're discussing the child, she conceived a child there
yad um-na ya painimaut tekimul lku oi tumul and came back, we're investigating it, we divided the house
ya sikispela de ul kit mel tingl-ilyi pe kang-abola montuyl here they copulated for six days and she was pregnant
920. ola-madupa ul kit mel tingliyl
they copulated hard
kang-abolayl ui kanap nyimul-iyl, pilyikin-i
we had seen the child first when we talked about it, you know?
molupa yad olka kanap nyimul-iyl
she'd stayed there, come back, and we saw it and talked about it
ekepu olyo boni pilyikumul ilyi, ilyi-nga pilyikumul
now we're distressed about this, we feel this way about it
ekepu ab pul yi-li-n eni pilyangi-na pilyangi-na-kin now let the woman's relatives hear, let them listen
925. Lomonga Tari Wiru kolya-ma-nga ya ab tepa meri kayi-me midi
molymeli nyikin pilyikin-i
Lomonga, Tari, Wiru home territory, you think there are only good women there?
abu te- ya yi-te-kin ul kit mel tingl-iyl-ope
a woman went off to copulate with a man
ilyi-nga pilyipa konguntipa tek-kin nabolka ing-te nyingi-da when they consider [this sort of problem], what in the world will they say?
lo weningi nying kaniyl nabolka ing ti nyingida
they made a new law, what will they say?
oi-kin kopumalyinga tek molymeli naa kanukimil
they laugh and really relish it, don't you see?
TEA KUPALI:
930. eni pawa-na-ko pantik molymelayl
you keep that in mind
UPUKA TEMAL:
ya kot tekimul ilyi kanuk pilyik tek molymeli
here we're having court, you're looking and hearing
ya nyikr kalya pilyikin-i, punya abu-ka eni ya yi ada i-li-n ul
kit mel tekimil kada naa tekim
I'm talking this way, you know, you old men copulate with older women and it doesn't satisfy them
yi anum-kil mol yi kanguwa-l-kin ul kit mel tekimil nyikir pilyikin-i not with the older men, so they copulate with the younger men, I say, do you understand?
ekepu ya punya nyikimil kalya-ka-l nabolka punya-kal kalya oba purum-ka-d nyikimil
now they're old, you say, how many years went by, you say
935. eni ya ul kit mel abola-kal telymeli kalida nyikimul
you copulate, girls do it, that's what we're saying
nyilymeli ilyi mel yabu i-kil kalya ul kit mel ya yi kanguwa-kin they say this, how people copulate with young men
ilyi manya sikispeni ku kuluyl-na trausis-na pantik ku gomu-yl-oro-ko they put sixpences down in their trousers pocket and taking some
[money] notes
altek mek wi lku stoa-na langi toku-te kolyibi tok te nyik mong-kul yadu lyik
to the store, buying some food and taking a single smoke out of the packet
trausis-na pantik keril-karel-ntik mel tek ul kare yabu kare tek faul tentik okumil-ke
they put it in their pocket, and rattle it and they are coming to do wrong things and do wrong by people
940. ya abayl kang-abola ilyi-nga pentip wari tekimulayl we are troubled about the woman and her child
eni pilyangi-nayl-nga, kanap kot tentamiyl nying-lum as you're listening, if you say, 'Zet's try her in public'
kot-ayl nyai olyo-n ilyi-nga yi lupalupa-kin ing nyib tuktuk temulu mel mol
you try her, we won't all of us chip in our two-cents' worth
abayl ka tamiyl mola abayl kompetetin lyamiyl i nyib olyo naa nyikimul kalyayl
'Zet's jail the woman, let's get compensation from her', we're not saying this
adi tap yi-l nyikimilayl-kil kung tapo lyik ya mel kung walayl-kin the magistrates are saying that, like when we lend a boar, a piglet
945. aipi mong ayl-kin kung-iyl pul yab-ayl yu-nu pul yab-ayln lyilym from the litter is taken by the owner [of the boar, as a stud fee] manuwa lyiba yi kalyayl kengena gomu-kin we korayl molupa lyilym kanumel
the doctor gets it, like a man gets kengena leaves
eni pilyai ya abayl kot tentamiyl nyik pilying-lum, kot tentamiyl you hear, if you think 'Zet's try this woman', then let's do it
ya abayl-nga ing nyirim kaniyl ekepu top eyl tep modup kelkimulayl what the woman said, we throw that out
ya sikispela de ul kit mel tiribul kalyeb tripela mun nyim kaniyl now, she said we copulated for six days and it's three months
950. aima kang-abola mujuyl mel tripela oba pukum nyim kaniyl
indeed she's pregnant, three [months] have elapsed, she said that
ekepu top eyl tep modup kelkimul-ayl wi dokta-yl melayl
now we just throw that out, like the doctor up there did
TEA KUPALI:
dokta-yl-n aima ekepu bilip tekimul-iyl
indeed, we believe the doctor
UPUKA TEMAL:
pe man tok yabayl wi nuimiyl-ni uj kekemi mel-ma tokudu kanalym nyikumul kalyamel
well, people saying prayers say that God looks inside the pulp of wood
pe dokta-yl-n kalya tukudu kanalym-ko nyimulayl
well, in the same way the doctor sees inside, we say
955.
960. ekepu ya nu kang-abola mini maku-na kalyeb kil-nga kalyeb fopela mun pelym-kiyl
now until the time you'll have the child four months are left
TEA KUPALI:
fopela kapola nyikim
he says four, that's right
UPUKA TEMAL:
fopela pelym-kiyl, kalyeb faip mun-kiyl ya kang-abola ekepu awilyiyl molupa pora-dirim
four months are left, it's five months, now the child is big
ekepu ya fopela mun-iyl pekim ilyi-nga ya kang-abola mini maku-na molyn ilyi pilyikin ...
now there are four months left, at that time you'll have this child, you know...
ya eni ya Kopia kani-kil-n ab kep noi montik noi yi kani-kil-n you Kopia were keeping her over there [in Kopia territory]
965. ab ilyi $i$ ab lu ilta molkum ilyi kani nyik naa pilyiring 'this woman is an axe handle [i.e., married]', you didn't think about that
ab yi puyl kolyana ne lku topa tepi modupa urum kalyayl
a married woman burned her house and come back as she did
ya oba ya molkum kalya nyik pilyik-lyanga eni kanu olala kanak moluring-lum
you thought, 'she's come and she's here', so you didn't look after her carefully
manya kaniyl yi-te-n ul kit tirim kanilyi tepa pepa secretly she was copulating, sleeping with another man
kodi tirimiyl naa kanak moluring, tepa molupa ekepu she started a new affair, you didn't notice, she did it
970. adi wa kolya mor nyilymayl ajipa nyiba pilyirim mola pilyipa kelipa pab topa molurum-lum ekepu now the matter is revealed, she thought it was far away (?), and perhaps she 'banged' lots of times, now
id mor nyilka kanap tobolkumulayl kanap lyimolayl midi naa kanap now it's been found out, and we're discussing it, we would like to do something
kupulanum kanap lyimulayl aima kanap naa lekim-na nyikimil ilyi-kin you say 'there's no way for us to do find out who it was'
olyo ya wari pentip okumul ilyi ya kang-yiyl aima ne montilka-kin ama kera kurumulu kubu ing mel
we have this on our minds as we come here, you've got him [Poya] in your power, like a caged eagle
tongidilkumela-kin aima kera laime moku top ku moni kung mel kanitil aima tip olkumela naa lyimulu nyik tek moluring
they made compensation, we tied the cassowary's leg, we came giving money and pig, you said we won't take it
975. ekepu olyo nedu yadu aima no Puyl Ukulu tal-nga no Napilya kepu-kin pirim
we went up and down the rivers Puyl and Ukulu, and then there was the steep Napilya grade
aima kakupulaku aima lkitipun lkitipun anebil-til aima kaku turum kaniyl
we were truly exhausted, running and running, our tongues were dry molku-kin kanu ya bo kone tik modungi nyib nyikimil nyi-pilyirimulayl you wanted us to bring and give things, that's what we thought you were saying
ya kani eni-ni ul kit mel tek ya ku moni lyik nuring or were you copulating and consuming the money
molo ilyi tek tiring mel mola no bia nuring or did you do this or drink beer?
980. ekepu olyo i nyikimulayl pilyikin now we are saying this you know
ab molkum kolya-na no bia talsi tok mek puk where the woman is you took a couple of beers
mola ku moni taltik mek puk yabu oma mingi
or brought money, like when people bring tins of fish and rice bags
talti mepa pupa molupa tekimil kalya mel ya ul ilyi tiring that's the sort of thing you did
eni oma kopi keri nok moluring mel ukuda lyik manya moduring you gobbled it up like a kopi fish, you ignored the old thing [marriage]
985.
nyikimul ilyi pilyikin-i? pe kil lyik mek lupa kub tanturing ilyi we say this, do you understand? you didn't think of those gifts
ya eni ilyi mel kodi-nga nomulu ilyi owa talkimil-oro we'Zl consume these new things, you behave like dogs
kang-abola molym ajipe nyiku pilyiring ilyi lyik ali kudu-te pentilymeli kaniyl pentiring you thought the child was a long way off, you put it on the other side [i.e., didn't think about her marriage]
aku-na pepa pab turum nyikumulayl, olyo ekepu boni i tep pekim-iyl she got pregnant, and now we're distressed about this matter
olyo-nga kung mel-ma kera tuipi walu-na kanumel top ui modurumul-kiyl
we just gave our pig, our valuables and tuipi bird
990.
995.
1000. ya yabu muluyl tapu-na ung ilyi...
this is talk among close relatives ...
MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
ya Kubukiyl kep pe ya Puyl nu molyno eni gol tokumil, ya wi abayl nabolka ul
Kubuka [Unya] and Puyl, you are here, you are lying, that woman there, what?
yabu-til molup skelim tentimul witnis $i$ tepa $i$ tepa we divided the house of those two, and we've gotten
lyipu tukudu mudup notip eni kalya pilyangi nyib tid the evidence, and I reported it so that you might hear
nyib notip wilyi yabu-ka pilyangi-na yabu-la nyikin ekepu having spoken, we left it so those people might hear, and now
1005. olyo-nga mel-te mol nyingi mol, nabolka ung-te nyingi they can't say it's not our affair, what will they say nyingi mel pilyik-lya, pe eni wilyi Timiji wayltangi-na you listen to what they say, and then ask Timiji
a Poya, wayltangi-na pe akiyl nabolka ing nyibe, pe
ah, Poya, you ask him and see what he says, and then
eni disisin ya pena tengi mola lkutuku teamul mola
you'Zl make a decision here outside, or shall we make it inside, or
pena teamul mola, pepa mek puni mola nabolka shall we make it outside, or will you take a paper [ to another court]
1010. ul ilyi-nga nyik nyik pora-dang, i nyib kalya nyikimul ul ilyi or whatever, finish talking about it, that's what we're saying
ya eni pe raun midi tekimil tekimil-iyl ing ring tekim here you're just going round in circles, the talk is circular
pilyipu raun midi tepa aduba adupa moylm we hear, it keeps going around and will keep on
ekepu ya wilyi yabu-kayl nyikimul ilyi ya ing mong nyib notikir now, what we people are saying, I'm making the main point
akiyl nabitim-na adi akiyl i tepa nyib montip, ilyi lawa tekim what for? I'm just leaving it there, this is a mistake
1015. ilyi-ka nyimulu mol, pilyamiyl i kalya nyikir-kiyl we won't say this, let's Zisten, I say

TEA KUPALI:
ekepu ya ang yi-n nuimayl-ni autim tepa, ya eni Ulkupuka now a big man is expressing his mind, you UZka-Upuka
ung boni pekim nyiba ekepu autim tekim ilyi, olyo pilyip kapola tentikimul
he says you have a worry on your minds and he's expressing this, we all hear and approve
akiyl pilyip yabu-kil ung yadu upiyl pilyamiyl, olyo mel-iyl pilyik-kin yi kare ing pab tokumil ilyi kelamul
let those people reply to it and let's listen, they hear talk like this and some men argue, let's not do that
wilyi Kopia-keli-nga ung yadu um-iyl toru-kanga nyikim ing ilyi puduyl yadu upiyl pilyamiyl, i nyikir there the Kopia answer came back, he says 'in-law', let the response to this come back and let's Zisten, I say
1020. ilyi noi puduyl yad um pilyip-kin disisin tep pora-dip bulubali nyamiyl
when we've heard the answer come back let's make a decision and go our separate ways

ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
disisin tomulu ul akiyl
we'Zl make a decision ...
(many voices)
ul 10 mel-kel ok yadu tangi-na, ui kang-abolalyi ne-ko molurum let them give something as compensation, first the child remained there [in Kopia territory]
ul akiyl ya wilyi yiyl kep naa kanapa molurum, ya olyo kep naa kanap molymulu and that man [Upuka Poya] was not watching/didn't see, and we too, we did not see
ab patindia autipe tokumil kalya mel topa abayl yu-nu
topa ekepu kang-abola molymeli kolya-na
women whore around a lot, and the woman did like that and now there are children
1025. ekepu olyo pipilyi tekim, tekim ul ilyi-nga pilyik-kin ya wilyi abayl kubilepa kompetetin kare
and now we're ashamed, we are, when they heard first they [gave] that woman some compensation
yadu oba tepa abu tukud lyimulu nyiku oring-kin
they came saying, 'we'zl take her back'
waku tolkumela-da ekepu kang-abola ilyi ya puba ne mim mola ya mingl-da nyilkumola kep
if they'd let her go [back], we might say she got pregnant there, 'or did they have it here', we would've said
yiyl-kin robirani ul-ilyi pelkayl abu-nga faul telkayl olyo naa kanukumola
she could've slept with one man after another and done wrong, we wouldn't have seen
ekepu ne olyo-nga kolya-na nemunumu-dipa molupa-lyanga kang-abola melka-lyanga alte-ob pera top ya notikumul now we're the ones responsible while she was staying in our territory and having the child, we put it crossways [we're the cause of the trouble]
1030. ekepu ya olyo nyikimul ung ilyi-nga, ya kot wi ditrik kot ilyi-nga nyai-ko nyim
now we're talking about this, he said 'you have it up there at district court'
pe ya olyo pilyikimul ilyi wi ditrik pilyim kep ya olyo pilyikimul mel-ko ung ilyi wilyi-la nyiba what we're hearing, even if they heard it in district court, talking up there... [they might say the same thing]
(cough, noises) ...
kot-ayl abayl pipilyi ya olyo-nga kangi-na notintum ilyi-nga the woman put her shame on us
mel kompetetin tiring ekepu ne abayl kang-abola meba oba-kin tukudu pum
they gave compensation, and now the woman went back bringing her child
wi yi-kil pilyikimil-lum kep ya yi-kil lo tepu and now the men may hear it and we're exacting a fine
1035. abayl eni-ni kang-abolayl mingl-lum, abayl patindia tok kolya tekimil kanumel timuyl
did those two have the child? the woman did like what prostitutes do
pe eni-ni tep tukud lyimulu ing ilyi nying-lum eni-ni nyai
but if you say, 'we'ZZ take her back', say so
naa nying-lum olyo-ni resis top olyo-ni nyibu eni timulu
but if not, we'Zl debate it and we'Zl tell you
mola olyo-lyo ol top kanap pena olyo timulu-n nying kanapa-kin but if you say we'Zl talk about it outside and tell you
pe eni-ni pena nyik abayl lyik tukud modungi ul ilyi tedangi-na ul ilyi pilyamul
and if you, talking outside, take the woman back, do this and we'Zl Zisten
1040. mola ul ilyi mel naa lekim nying-lum olyo kot temulu kolyalyi lyip notip meri lku pup resis top
or if you say this is impossible, we'Zl have court and go debate inside that building
kompetetin $\mathbf{i}$ tek teai $\mathbf{i}$ tek teai nyimulu
we'll direct you, give such-and-such compensation
ka timulu ul akilyi olyo ya pepa wetim temulalyi wilyala yi
aku-li-n takud aku-na ya glat pantipa
we'Il jail her but we'Il be wasting paper, the men up there in district court, putting on glasses
meri ditrik-na naa nyiba mol
will not say [they can't do better than we can]
kang-abola mim akiyl nu min akiyl-ko nyiba miriduyl-ko nyini she conceived it, if they ask, 'did you produce it', you'Zl say, 'I did'
1045. ul ilyi meri abayl-n patindia topa adupa olyo tepa mai ka timuyl olyo ya bo yabu ung-tilu akiyl nyibu
that woman was going around acting like a prostitute, she made us ashamed, we people of custom have 'one word' [have similar ideas, are alike]
meri kangalyi abayl eni-ni tukud lyingl-lum kep ung-uri ya we molkumul nyib molumul kaniyl
or if you take the woman and child back, we're saying the same thing over that we said before
kani-kin ekepu ya abayl pilyik aji modung-lum kep eni tukud mudup temulu nying kanapa tukudu modamiyl
or even if they send the woman away, if you say 'we'Zl take the woman back', we'Zl send her back
olyo ung ilyi nyib bulubali tep ya ne kompetetin tengi yi-kil molangi and having said this we'Zl disperse and let the men who'Zl give compensation stay
akiyl nyib abu patim tep meri altepa patindia tupiyl nyiku olyo-nga mel kare-ko saying this we'Zl hold the woman, you say let her again whore around, but some more of our things
1050. alteku-geruku lyingi nyikimil nyiku, Ulkupuka-kin boni awuntipa pentiku nyikimil ilyi pilyik-lyanga nyingi
you say you will get [in compensation], the Ulka-Upuka will feel extremely bad about this, they say, they will say when they hear it
eni ul ilyi olu-ntikimul nyik eni olu tai you say we'ZZ face them about this, so do so [whisper about it]
naa pilyibu, ilyi ung ilyi tipi molkum olyo meri lkutuku
tep eni nyib timul kanak mek pangi
I won't listen, this talk is a dead end, we'Zl debate it over there in the house and tell you and you can take [the decision] away
ilyi kapola nyikimul ilyi
that is right, what we're saying
TEA KUPALI:
ekepu mada, na-nu pudu tab
enough now, I'ZZ reciprocate [i.e., answer]
?:
1055. keap moluring mel, nyik nyik kelingayl
the magistrates who were there will finish talking
TEA KUPALI:
ya olyo yi te alteku nyai
one of our men, you talk again
KOPIA NOMA:
kang, na medipulu ung na pelym-i? ya ... (overlap with Luburuyl) man, am I the only one with anything to say?
olyo numanu pekim-ayl pe olyo pipilyi tekimayl pilyikin ilyi aima (unclear word)
what we feel, we're ashamed, you know, this really ...
ung nyikimil kupulanum aku-ma olyo pilyikimul, ama!
they talk and we listen to it, goodness!
1060. abayl mudu-kelke mel akai nyingi mol, lo teai nyingi mol getting rid of the woman, they won't say 'pay give back the brideprice' they won't say 'pay compensation'
tekin nyingi mel nar nyibu pilyip olyo numan ilyi pekim what will they say? this is what we're thinking about
pe olyo nabolka ung-te nyimulu? abayl olyo nyilkela-da and what shall we say? if the woman would talk
ung aju-yadu nyibu kayi modipu nyilkumola, abayl noi kabilyipa molupiyl
we would talk better back and forth, she sits there hiding things
nyibu wi mol-ko nyiba molaga nyib, pe olyo nyimulu nyib tekimulayl numanayl boni tekim ilyi
we talk and she keeps on saying no, and what we are about to say, our minds are burdened
1065. ekepu ung kit kayi aku-ma oba olyo-nga kangi-na boni midi lelyipa okum
now good and bad words keep on pressing us down
boni midi okum ilyi, ekepu midi pilyi-tudukumul, olyo ung nyimulu mu pul tekim
we just feel burdened, just now we don't know what to do, we don't know what to say
olyo mu pul tekim pilyi-tudukumul-iyl ekepu abayl ne molurum ilyi-kin we're stumped, we don't know what to do, the woman stayed there [at Kailge]
olyo-nga abayl ul ilyi mel tekimilayl-ka nyib-tiyl makayl-ni te pentik peki tok telymeli kanumel
we're talking about what she's done, just like you expect more from the moka [???]
ob eni-kin ung boni-tiyl kare nyilkumolka-kin kera laime ok tiring-ko when we said hard words, you brought and gave a cassowary
1070. kung kep ok tiring-ko, ku moni kep tiring ko and you brought and gave a pig, and money
pe mel akul lyip abolup-kin puba nyib pilyirimul, ilyi pe ne molurum-uyl pilyikin-i?
well when we had those things we thought 'she'Il go back', when she was living down there [at Kailge] you know?
ekepu molupa-kin altepa trabel tekim nyik eni yi kit kare nyikimil now you say she stayed and is making trouble again, and you are calling us bad men
ilyi eni nyingi maku-na nyikimil, na kor nyilyo kaniyl pilyikimil you'll say this and you're right, I've always said this you know
i nyiku nyikimil ilyi pilyikin-i? nyikimil kupulanum ilyi pumulu you say this, do you know? you talk, and the way to go
1075. kupulanum ilyi penge tokum adi matres tal molkibil the way is imponderable, over there are two magistrates
ilyi olyo nyib kanap-kin ab tada lemolu nying kanapa ilyi-nga-ko notik nyangi
and we'll talk, if you say 'we'll divorce her', let them talk
about it
mola lo teai nying kanapa ilyi-nga-ko notik nyangi-na or if you say 'pay compensation', let them talk about that
ung tal midi tobolkumul, olyo-nga numanayl pekim-ka
we're only talking about two options, what's on our mind
nu-ni lubera tokun pilyikir-ayl
you speak out, so I think

```
1080. ung eni-ngayl lyip ai nosikir
I can't believe your talk
nu-ni nyiku ung i-kil na-ni pilyip molkala wi nyikin ilyi
I understand what you're saying up there
nyikin i kupulanum ilyi lekim
what you're saying and what possibilities exist
akiyl kot disisin tang-kep
even if they make a decision
aki tek nosik nyangi-na-kin mol nu wi ab lyiylnayl
they can say about that 'no, you are married to the woman'
1085. abayl pe na ya ui kang ilyi-kep
the woman and I, even for the first child
na ab ul kit tep naa pilyidiyl
I didn't copulate much with the woman
pe ul kit kolumungu taltikel kil-na wi kang Rowa miridiyl
after copulating only a little I made the boy Rowa
pe ekepu ul kit tep na naa pilyilka
and now after not having copulated much
abayl meri kang-abola monsum
the woman has gotten pregnant'
1090. ilyi-ka nyikin ilyi
    this is what you are saying
akiyl nu wi abu tokun pukun kang-abola mini
about that, 'if you do it many times you'Zl have a child'
punya kongun tekin pukun keri langi noni
'if you work in the garden you'Zl eat food'
nyiylmeli kaniyl pilyikin-i
that's what they say, you know?
pe nu tekin naa pilyikin olyo-kin nyikin-ilyi
you [Poya] say you didn't do many
1095. pe tep nyamul mel-nar-e
so what can we say?
nyi-me-pulkumelayli-nga olyo korupa pum mol i nyikir
we can talk further about it but we're poor, no, I say
olyo korupa pukum-na molkumulayl-kin ya abayl ne molurum
we are poor, the woman has been staying with us
kani-kin nu pe toru kangayli ya tiripul tep ung kanu-ma nyirimul
during that time, brother in law [Poya], we criticised you [or is
Noma attributing these words to the Upuka?]
ekepu na nyirid adumel na nyid naa pilyirin
now, since I talked like that and you didn't hear me talk
1l00. kaniyl ekepu na nyab nyikin
and now you are saying you want to talk
```

```
    i-kin olyo ekepu parakau lelymul-kin
so we have remained silent
ekepu kung-uyl montip umul
'now, having set aside a pig, we come
ku moni notip umul
having set aside money, we come
lo teamul
let's pay compensation
1l05. abayl nu-nga nu-nu tep modamul
    the woman is yours, we'Ll give them to you'
    i naa nyimulu mol
    that we cannot say
    nu-nu lyibe yabayl mel akil nosipe naa molym
    we have no wealth to give to you affines
    a mada nyikir mola nyibu lawa tekir
    am I talking o.k., or am I making a mistake?
    a? i pekim
    there's this
lllo. i tekim pilyikir kupulanum ilyi
    that's the way I think about it
    idi ekepu eni matres-kil molymeli
    now you magistrates are here
    mel akiyl eni kot desisin tek kayi monsuk mak tenga nyik pensai
    you deliberate and make the final decision
    ekepu olyo ung altep pub widi kona-nga nyimulu-n tep
    now if we keep saying it over again
    makukare pentip tukud lyamula kara midi tolkala pukum
    we'll just keep hearing words and it won't get settled
lll5. kupulanumuyl penge tokum
    the solution is difficult
    kidilaime lyip pilyi-sudukumul
    we struggle and don't know what to do
    ilyi pilyikir
    that's what I think
    abayl olyo kera koipa milkula-ja eni-kep pe olyo kep ...
    if the woman gave us a proper account, or you, then we ...
    pe ung kayi-mel-te nyilkumola
    then we would find the right words
ll20. eni-kep lyipa kum tsiyl au tansirim
    she made you doubt it
    aba nu nyikin-iyl tike nyikin ne olyo-nga-ko nyib pilyirimul
    woman, we believed what you told us over there [in Kopia
    territory]
```

no kolurum gai kalurum pe ul kai-we-ma tirim
she got water and cooked sweet potatoes and did really well
yab olyo-nga tika nyib pilyirimulayl
our people thought what she said was true
pe olyo kaninsirimul-i
but did we see?
1125. mel akiyl olyo-n samapim tep olyo-n lok top naa pinsirimul we didn't stop her or lock her up
yi-te lyirim kanapa lyirim kanapa kang-abola molym
[if] she took a man and copulated and copulated, there's a child
aku-ma molupa pora-nsirim
and it's really far along
altepa-ko kot tep tiamiyl nyikimil ilyi nabitim-na
why are you saying let's have court again?
ab nu-ngu-iyl
the woman is yours
ll30. nu-nga ola kadisna molym
yours, and she's out in the open
nu-nu mudup kelebu nyin kanapa nu-ngu-yl, na pe pe molum ul ilyi if you want to divorce her that's up to you, later on this
teba tekim-ayl-ka nyikin pilyin-lum, mola ya pilyana-kin na nyilyo if you think she might do again, or you think what I say
naa pilyiring ekepu na tingi mel tiring mel teai nyin kanapa or if you didn't believe me, and think they'll do what they did before
ilyi ul nu-nga-ko lelym, olyo ekepu pumulu kupulanum-te li naa lelym
this is up to you too, there's no way for us to go [i.e., no ready solution]
1135. olyo ung nyimulu nyib tekimulayl mu pul tekim, ya ... yi mare nyik we don't know what to say, here ... some men
torulka nyani ... yi mare nyik ilyi-kin ne pontik wi pontik mer pontik
brother-in-law you talk ... some men who talk and hide here and there
ya kolya tena nyik telymeli, olyo ya na mong-te kep lyibu nyib na naa pilyilyo
'where is it?' they say, I don't expect to get in trouble
na na-nu takan nyibu koma-liyl mel-te molupu ul lupa kil-nga
medipulu pons montip
$I$ always want to be a quiet person, I would like to talk about the other problem
aku-na nyik meku pai nyib, ung todul tep nyib adap telyayl you take the talk and go I say, I speak strongly when I go around
1140. ekepu nyik mek tukud olkumela na kep ung nyibu kupulanum penge tokum and now you are bringing the talk inside [affecting us], and even I cannot think what to say
pilyi-tudup moyl-kiyl edi eni nyik kanai nyikir, i nyikir-ayl pe I'm stumped too, you figure it out, I say, that's what I say, well
wi torulkang nu medipulu molkun wsssssss, abayl na ul ilyi-ko teba pilyikir-ayl
now brother-in-law it's just you sitting there, wsssssss [noise of digust] she will do the same, I think
abayl waku tobu nyin kanapa aku nyikin klia tenti
if you are saying 'I'IL get rid of her', make it clear
mola pilyan-na-kin...
or if you think...
KUMBUKA MAGISTRATE UNYA:
1145. ya ul ... kompetetin tek ... this matter ... giving compensation ...
KOPIA NOMA:
kang, ung-iyl na nyab-a kilin, mola pilyan-na-kin ab na-ngayl molymayl
hey, I want to talk, be quiet, or if you think the woman is mine
i nyilyo naa pilyikimeli kaniyl ekepu nyib ob wabul pul-na angalyikir you don't understand what I say, I'm going to get her in trouble
ul eni-ngayl nyin kanapa edi mong komalep molkur, ultuku kalapus-na pubu mola
if you say it's your business, this I'm in trouble and I may go to jail tomorrow or
tali kalapus-na pubu nyib pilyi-tudup molyo day after I may go, I say this and am completely stumped
1150. aki nyikir mada nyikir...
that's what I say, that's all ...
UPUKA TIMIJI:
na nyab...
I'ひl talk
TEA KUPALI:
wilya apa nya ...
cousin, talk,
UPUKA TIMIJI:
olyo disisin teangi nyikim...
he says, let them decide ...
? (aside, to child):
nu-nga bi nai?
what's your name?
UPUKA TIMIJI:
1155. ekepu eni ya court tek disisin tek yab ka tik lo mel lyik telymeli now you have court here, make decisions, imprison people, levy fines

```
    ul kalyayl-mel-ko tengayl, ya lo-te brukim tepa tim-uyl-nga
    you'll do something like that, because here she has broken the law
    kep eni lku-tuku puk lyik koni tengi molo ung ui ya kornga eni
    nyiring-ko
    you'll go inside and straighten it out, you talked about it before
    pe ne Waipip nyiring-ko, pe wilyala dokta-yl-kin nyiring-ko
    and you talked at Waipip, and up there you talked to the doctor
    ekepu ul payl-payl tikapi nyiba kiliyl ekepu eni-nga yabu ka
    tilymeli
    now everything is clear, you always jail your own people
ll60. ul kalyayl-nga disisin teai, disisin teangi nyikumul ilyi-nga
    so make a decision just like that, we say may you decide about this
    olyo-n kidip nobun pe ya kot kulkulu topun ul nabolka ul telymeli
    [when] we steal, or don't own up in court, or whatever they may do
    kalya-ma pul yi-yl-n mudumong kaniyl-ma kanumel olyo kanilyka i tep
    ab-yi-til kanapa pora-ntipa nyib molymulu
    God sees into our hearts, man and woman, he sees completely,
    we say
    ya ekepu olyo kang yi-kal-ni pilyik kot i tek i tek telymeli
    now we men have court in such-and-such a way
    ul kalya-kil nyib pilyip nyikimul ilyi-nga olyo aima ab ilyi-ni
    olyo kep faul tekim-ko
    we know about these things and speak of them, really this woman is
    doing wrong by us
ll65. eni kep faul tekim-ko, ul ilyi eni meri pilyik nyikimelayl kapola-ko
    and she's doing wrong by you too, you understand this and say so,
    that's all right too
    faul tekimayl-nga-olyo numanu kum-tiyl-nga onunga-kolti kum tikim
    ilyi-nga
    just how she's doing it, we're not quite clear about
    eni olyo ya pala tep tep kulup molymolu, pe ya abu ya wal ob-na
    lalyip molymolu nyiring
    we're building a fence and stepping on it, you said we have the
    woman safely in our net-bag
    ul kaniyl midi eni-n ya olyo faul tiring nyilkumola olyo ekepu
    this is the way in which you did wrong by us, and we now
    eni-kin mai liaga ung-iyl nyikimul
    and now we're ashomed to see you and we're saying this
    KOPIA NOMA:
ll70. eni nyingi maku-na nyikimil
    you're right to say this
UPUKA TIMIJI:
ab Sumuyl kibulu-n tokumil ilyi-ka nyilymeli ilyi-kin
you say 'they beat Sumuyl with a stick' and so
olyo kot temulu okumul Sumuyl-kin okumul nyib pe lo telymulu-kin pe we're coming to have court with Sumuyl, and then when we give compensation
```

olyo 10 telymolu-kin mel ilyi mol, abayl ya olyo notip molymolu when we give compensation, [you say] 'not this', we're holding the woman
mel ilyi mek ok tai nyilymeli kaniyl, eni ab kaniyl ekepu eni-n olyo you bring these other things, you say, 'the woman now, you're
1175. olyo faul tekimil ab we-ma yadu tikimul-ko nyilymeli kaniyl deceiving us, we're giving you the other women' you say like this ab ilyi kanu eni-n olyo naa timulu nyik pilyiring ab-te kanukur-ayl you thought, 'we won't give them this woman, [but] a different one'
olyo tik pe ya kang-abola-ma eni-ni nyik pilyikimil-ko still you are thinking of the children?
olyo ul kit tep mudilymulu-kin olyo-n nokolymulu-oro-ko
'we formicate and send her back, we look after her'
nyikimil-ayl pe ya yi-wunga nunu-nga ab tal tripela noi molymeli olyo nokolymulu?
you say, now over there, are we looking after this man's two or three wives? [i.e., he's only got one]
1180. yi wangina $i$-me-nga ab kodi-nga adak gris tek tengi mel molayl these ugly men [like Poya] can't go around seducing women
ab eneni teylmeli-mel tek puk puk ekepu ab noi kangabola molum the women themselves go around seducing, so now this woman is pregnant
nyib olyo ya numanu kudu-nga pilyamul ul ilyi midi
in our minds we are thinking only of that
ya pilis kot-na ul ti abayl lyimulu nyib olymulu-kin
we have come here to the village court with the idea of getting her back
ya olyo nokup molymulu-ko wi mel ilyi mek
'we are looking after her
1185. wi mel ilyi mek wai
bring such and such a thing
molo mel ilyi mek wai nyilymeli
or bring this other thing', you say
ul kaniyl ekepu nokujuk naa lyiylmeli
but now you don't look after her
i nyib-kin ekepu olyo ekepu nyikimul ilyi
that's what we're saying now
ilyi olyo nyibun-kin ekepu numan kudu-nga boni pekim ul ilyi-oro now we say our minds are heavy about this matter
1190. pi ekepu ya nyibun krus kot ya tekimul so now we're having a 'cross court' about it
ul ilyi ya koma-piyl-n noi kaylke nyirim-ko Magistrate Unya has spoken about this matter over at Kailge already
pi kelipa pilis kot tek disisin tek tekimil
and now you are having village court and making a decision
ul ilyi-nga ya lapa nunu ya ilyi-nga molupa nyiba paw waw so father is sitting and talking, and hey!
ne nanga nok pa bonunga noi disisin ting-ko yesterday they made a decision over there
l195. ting-ko ul nyimulu tim midi ilyi-nga ola nyimulayl they did so and now we may say what we feel like saying
kelip altep disisin teamiyl nyikimilayl
and again you say let us decide
mel i-kal-nga disisin teku-kin
when you make a decision
olyo nabolka mel-te meri pena tingi nyibu yiwunga disisin tiamiyl-ko nyikimil you ( $p l$,) are saying 'what sort of things will they give us, let's make a decision'
ya yi-kel tepa tepa eni wate sipi molkum there is no way for these men or you to find out
1200. ya yi-kel wate disisin tiang-ko nyibun olyo orara ... we all say 'let these men or all of us together talk and make a decision'
KUMBUKA MAGISTRATE UNYA:
olyo orara kep faul-ko tekimul we are also tricked
pe eni ne naa pilyik mel nyingi nyikimil
and you over there are saying 'you won't understand'
disisin aku-na onda tok manya nsik when they make a decision - issue an order and hand it down
fain nyiku kopetetin nyiku nosiylmeli order the payment of a fine or compensation
1205. mola yi kalya-la disisin naa tekimil nyik or you say we are not making a decision
i-kal disisin naa tekimil-ko nyikimil
about this you say 'you're not making a decision
eni matres-ma lyik wangaylmeli
you magistrates are trapped!
UPUKA TIMIJI:
ya ilyi-nga tengl-lum teangi nyibu
I want to say 'if you want to do it, then do it'
ekepu olyo bo yi-kel-nga meri kansilayl nyim
now outsiders such as the councillor [Noma] have spoken
1210. ekepu na ya nyibu las tobu tekir now I'm going to give my last speech
ekepu eni meri disisin teangi nyibu, disisin teangi nyibu ... now I want to say 'you men down there make a decision', make a decision, I say...
na nyib pora-nsaba disisin teangi when I've finished talking you make a decision
ya wilyi kang-yiyl nyibu nyab I want to talk about that boy [Poya]
1215. olyo numana midi ilyi pilyip-kin since that's all we can think about
olyo numana pilyip nyikimulayl-kin it's on our minds and we talk about it
pe eni-nga nyik mek ola-manya teku-kin
and you talk about it over and over
nu ab pengi nyilybulu kaniyl
whereas before you and I called each other 'Head' [since they had shared meat from the head of a pig]
ekepu ya nu ab Sumuyl nyikirayl
now I'm calling you Sumuyl [because he's mad at her]
Sumuyl na-nga kot ilyi-nga tepa puba-kin
Swuyl, your court case will create trouble
1220. kera walu-te kep neka kumulu kepa uj kuwar akapa teba tekim and a baby bird or a red pandanus tree is about to be extracted [i.e., trouble will be caused]

Ulkupuka matres tal ol molybeli
two Ulkupuka magistrates have come and are here
ilyi ekepu eni-nga Unya-kin kot tenga pupu-ko okumil-kiyl now with your man Unya you will have a different court
i tal elti-lte ekepu ya nu-n kanu nu abayl ing kayime keri-mi-n-na ulti urum kare
what he said about the woman were the exact words which had come out of your mouths
kanu okun ne komapiyl-du okun nyirin you came to Unya and said them
1225. ya wi yi kare ok moluring-ne nyirin-lum some men were there and you said it
ilyi ekepu nu kot tek adaku abayl aima ing medipulayl nyirin you go around having court but what you said was only words [i.e. not true]
olyo kanu molymulayl
we are here
kang-yi Kopia Esina kang ing naa nyiyl kalyayl wedu naa okum Kopia Esina, who doesn't talk, is not coming out
olyo kanu molymulu
we will be here
1230. ya abu urumul-iyl nunga abu anginayl na lyikir the woman we came with - your sister - is my wife
ab kalyayl ab nunga anginayl medipulu molymayl
she's your own sister
ab kalyayl-kin moylbelayl you and she usually stay together
ne porupa tol el ing nyirin you spoke one hard word after the other
kot ilyi ekepu kanamiyl
let's have that court
1235. namba tu kot ilyi tengi telymeli
they're about to have this second court
ya namba yi-1 umul
these two officials [magistrates] have come
pilis ofisa yad ungl
two police officers have come
ya kalyayl komapiyl-kin ya kot kalyayl tengi tekimil
and they're about to have that court with Unya
nu nga kotayl ya olyo-kin temulu tekimul
your court will be against us
1240. ing kayime ul kayime peba kalya-kal nyib pamul olyo ekepu neked umul saying 'Zet us go over there and talk truly', we have now come
ilyi yu-nu puba-yiyl nyikim the man who's about to leave is talking
ilyi napilya nek-id yunu puba nyikim he says he's about to cross the Nebilyer
olyo yi i-kil aima kamukamu molumulu molymulu we men are staying here and stay right through
eni-nga abu kalya-kal ya wi taun pungi-kin ya olyo-n tapu-temulu when your wives [or women] go up to town we will look after them
1245. pe kang yi-kil ok pungi-kin olyo-n ya kubi-na pelymulu and when your men go, we will line up ahead of them
ilyi-ke nyibun olyo-n tapu-temulu
and we'Zl Zook after them
olyo aima yi medipul-kal
we are the right men
nu-ni faul tekin kanukun
you are tricking us
pe ung kalyayl kekerepa kakerepa medipa kalyayl pupa ing pelymayl there's no end to your stream of confusing words
1250. pe ekepu kang-yi kani-tal ya ing mura mel teku nyik popolu montum now these two men [Unya and Upuka?] have had an argument and feel angry
nyiba popolu eni-kanu kot i-tek tengi-kiyl
and because of that you will have [another] court
komapiyl-kin ing i-tepa nyim
with Unya he said this

```
    nyik ekepu ne welti puk nying
    and now they will have a court elsewhere
    ul ilyi-nga ekepu medepa kot leba tekim ilyi
    that's going to be a bit later
1255. eni-ni namba tu tiangi-kiyl
    you'Zl have a second court
    ya abayl-nga ung disisin teku
    making a decision about the woman
    olyo nu abayl-ni ul kayi te-wa tid nyikin pilyikin
    you think you did a good thing
    kanglkun wi modukun meri kulkun te molkun
    you hold it, throw it forward, and step on it
    ul akuwa naa ti
    don't do that
1260. ul kit mel tirin
    you did a bad thing
    aku-mel pilyikin nyanayl-nga
    knowing that, you should say
    o nu olyo-lyo sukud lyimulu mol
    shall we take you back? no
    yi akilyi lyiba tirim-mel lyaga nyini mol
    will you say that man was about to take you back? no
    pe ekepu ya ing akiyl nyib pora timulu-oro-ko
    so now let us finish talking about this
1265. ilyi meri manya kung poili punya-na ka telkumela
        you are tying the pig in the tall grass [i.e. we're talking over
        and over with no conclusion]
        matres nyikimul-lum kep ya yi kayi ing nyikimul-ba kep
        either the magistrates or we good men who can talk
        elti abu yi tal-nga midi nyib pukumul
        we are talking over and over about you two [Sumuyl and Poya]
        ing kor-nga kor-nga mel nyirimul kaniyl
        like that talk we had a long time ago
        ekepu nyibu wilyala altep dokta-na pumul-ko
        now I will say we went up to the doctor again
1270. ekepu alte ing kilinga pilyik wi disisin ting
        now you have made [make?] a decision about this
        ful kot pumul-ko nyibu, pilyikir
        I say let's go to a fulz court
        distrik kot pub temulu
        we will go the district court
        ul aku-na ekepu ya yi kil-in ung nyingayl lelym
        but now the men still have something to say about that
```

pi i olyo-nga ing ilyi-nga disisin tengi-lum then, if they make a decision
1275. kapola wilyi kang-yiyl nyik nosung mel tiangi o.k., after that the man over there and they will talk
yi tal-in ya namba yi-tal-kin pilis opisa-ayl ku ungiyl the two magistrates and the [police officer] come
eni-ni wilyi komiti nu-nga ung nyikin kalyayl-nga ung you officials over there are having a talk, that talk
pe neka nuyl yi nameli nameli molku pilying
'Pandanus Eater' [i.e., Upuka Kurwi] and whoever was there Zistening
akiyl nyai
let them/you say
1280. olyo ing mel we-1 sukudu modup ...
we, just putting in a brief word ...
?:
ne kolya-ma-nga abu adumel-te-ko bonunga haus sik kolya-ma-nga mek adung
you were the very person whom they took to the hospital yesterday
UPUKA EL:
eni pe ya tap yi-me lkusuku puku lkutuku puku disisin tiangi
you magistrates go into the house and make a decision
elti yi-tal wi molkubela na-nga ing-ilyi ya nyikir
you two men are staying over there while I talk here
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER, PROBABLY KOPIA MAGISTRATE LUMBURUYL:
ung nyikimayl naa pilyik nyikimil
they're talking without listening
1285. mola eni yi suburubu tolymeli mare-lum or are you just doing that for no purpose?
UPUKA EL:
na nyabe
I want to speak, o.k.?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER, PROBABLY KOPIA MAGISTRATE LUMBURUYL:
nyikimu
he's talking
mola mada nyaniya
or, o.k. you talk
ung nyikimil
they're talking
1290. maku ilyi tilupu-na puba
will it be the same
mol kelipa abayl lyip sokudu modumul
or shall we tell her to go back
mol pe nyi nyi nyi
or, o.k., you talk, talk! talk!

```
    UPUKA EL:
    a! ing tilupuyl nyib mudubu tekir
    hey! I want to say only one thing
    kopetetin tengi mel
    they'ZL give compensation
1295. abayl ya molupa punya winim tirim-mel
    the woman was there for a long time
    yi-ma apa kopa teba
    'the men are going to mumble [if they stay out here]
    lkutuku puk disisin tengi-yl
    let them go in and make a decision'
    ul ilyi naa nyai ilyi pilyikin-i
    don't say that, you hear?
    ?:
    ung akiyl nyini tekin-ayl ...
    you're about to say that
    UPUKA EL:
1300. aku ung akiyl nyini tekin-ayl
    what are you trying to say?
    ya abayl meri disisin kubilep timul-ko nyingayl pilyikin-i
    they'Zl say we went ahead and made a decision about the woman, you
    understand?
    ilyi ya pilis kotayl ne pilyipe nyikimayl
    that's what the village court thinks
    a! a! a!
    hey!
    aku-ma nyingi-ko na-nga nyab pilya
    you'll talk afterwards, I want to talk, listen!
1305. abalyi ya molupa punya winim tirim
        the woman was here for a long time
    ilyi rong-te mol
        there was no problem about that
        ilyi nunu-nga-yl lawayl nu-nu oba molupa punya winim tirim
        it was her fault that she stayed so long
        punya winim telka pe na-nga ab kang-abolayl kalya-kal nyibu-kin
        when she had stayed for a long time, I wanting to talk to my children
        ku moni ob tirid
        came and gave them money
1310. mol nyiring
        they said no
    kera laime meb urud-kin lapa yiyl-ni
    when I brought a cassowary the father ...
    (overlap with Kopia Payo)
    ilyi nabi tin-i
what did you do there?
```

kera kubi tekirayl-ka nyirim $I$ don't want the bird
pe ya altepa oba kung-te tirim-kiyl and again he gave a pig
1315. pe akiyl lyipa abolupa molupa ilyi na-nga kungiyl nyirim and he, tolding it, he said 'this is my pig
ekepu kung-te mekin okun kangabola-kil-nga baim ti
now you have to bring another pig for the children'
aki nyibe pilyipe altepa aji pupa kunguyl-kin kukumayl-kin-kiyl thinking this, he went back
mel i tep tikir-ayl
'I'm doing this
ab Sumuyl kalya kangabola-la mensip oba nyibu
I'ZZ tell Sumuyl I'm going to take the children home
1320. ya na-nu notip molubu nyib pilyirid-iyl
and I'ZZ Zook after them myself' is what I thought
mola na-nga mel-kil aku-na puba pelym
my things were there and ready to go
pelym kupulanum ilyi-nga ekepu mol
there's no way for that now
nu-nga abayl kangabola molkum nyirim
he said 'your wife is pregnant'
i nyab nyikir-iyl eni pilyik kot disisin tiangi
I'Zl say this and you listen and then make a decision
1325. mel tilyo kupulanum ilyi-nga puba lo te-n na pekim mol I give things but there's no law for that
ilyi aima meri kusi kupulanum pukum
it just goes down a hole
i na-nga mel kil puba kil puba nawu lekim my things are there for nothing
ekepu eni meri kangabola montum
now she's pregnant
ul ilyi-nga eni-nga eni ya torupalyi-mi-n eni pilyi-kongudupa abayl ya ekepu kangabola miyl ab awilyiyl now you in-laws, the thing is that she is nearly middle aged and already has children
1330. nabitimna
why?
kot tinsid kep ilyi mel na-ngayl midi pilyikin-i
even though I take her to court, she belongs to me alone, you hear?
ka tid-lum na-nu-ko tibu
if I should jail her, she's still mine
mola kopetetin tek na-nu sukud tai nyid-lum
or if I say give compensation to me alone

```
    ilyi na-nu sukud-ko tingi
    you'll still have to give her back to me
1335. i ting ul ilyi-nga punya winim tepa molurum
    you'll do this, for she's stayed a long time
    aku-mel ekepu kangabola mor-nsikin
    and the child is becoming apparent
    ul aku-ma-nga pora pora ekepu eni tikir nyikir
    all of these are the matters I'm talking about now
    na-nga ya lo tirid mel
    I gave compensation
    ilyi-nga abayl yadu olka-na montipu nyid
    if the woman were to come back and we stayed together as I said
1340. aba ung langap nyilkumola-da papu
    if we had an argument that would be good
    na-nga mel-kil kayi kanapa puba pekim
    [but] my things are there for nothing
    ekepu molupa-kin abayl altepa kang-abola mor nyikim
    now, having stayed there, the woman is pregnant again [is 'showing']
    ul akuma pora ekepu eni nabolka nyingi-da
    what will you say about all that?
    akiyl nyik kayi tiai
    so talk well about it
1345. ya lo tepa ui tepa por ntirim
    acting according to the Zaw, he already gave something
    aku ul akiyl-nga eni pora pilyangi nyib tirid nyikir
    so I have said and am saying that I want you to understand that
    na-nga mel-kil we nawu lelym
    my things are there for nothing
    mada nyikir
    I've said enough
    ULKUPUKA TAIM:
    akiyl wate nyikim
    with that he finishes
1350. ul akiyl-nga ya eni tikir nyikim
what he is telling you about that is true
ul akiyl meri lkutuku timul-kin kanangi
you can all see what we'll do about it in the court house
bonayl ya eni koma lentikimul-kiyl
we're putting the problem on you [Sumuyl's parents]
eni-n aaaa mol mol nying kanapa
if you say no
pe ya nyilymeli yi aki-kil nyangi nyikimul
then we'll let the magistrates talk about it
```

1355. ya kumayl payl topa topa wrapping the whole package up
kansilyayl-kin elti yi pilis akiyl-kin eni-nga kudunga top modukumul we'Zl throw it over to you councillors and village court men
top modam-na ul ilyi naa pilyilymulu tekimul pilyikirayl nying kanapa
if, when we've thrown it, you say 'we don't believe you'
pe elti yi aku-til-kin ya yi nuim molkumil-ma-kin meri tukudu tamiyl then let's give it to you two and the 'big.men' sitting here
ekepu mel owa pai kera pengi nyiyl kane-kil ya waku toba now we're not going to give up things like a pet dog or bird plumes [i.e., our wife]
1356. ung-te nyikim nyik pilyingi
you'Zl think he is saying one thing
ilyi molayl midi pilyikin-i
but don't believe it, you hear?
ya yi-kuba akapu ab kolti molymayl
he hasn't got another wife
meri akiyl aju modui naa modukum he won't get rid of her
ilyi ya mel olyo-nga walum-kel
she's our only one [wife]
1357. ekepu olyo ya kelip tukud lyimulu ilyi-nga and we'll take her back
?:
porul-taworul kep telymeli-ko
they're adopting her
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER, PROBABLY DUMU:
o ilyi ya kangayl ne elti yabu-til opimi turing-da kapola-ko yes, if you two copulate over there
lupu-te opimi turum-da kapola-ko
or if another man copulated with her
ekepu kupulanum-te mol
now there's no way
1358. ola yiyl-n aima numu-tum-da mada kanapa

God, Zooking at the ?
uj kikimi tukudu kanapa
seeing into the soft pulp of trees
yabu numanu kanapa
seeing into people's minds
olyo-nga todul-te mol
we have no power
ilyi-nga olyo-n ekepu naa kanakumul
that's why we don't see these things now

```
1375. olyo-n tep kabulyuyl nyiba mo-tuyl
    if we conceal and hide things
    ing kalya-ma olyo-lyo tep kabulyumul-lum
    if we hide words like that
    ilyi kada-ko
    that's all the same
    meri abayl-ni olyo lyipa aima kupulanum-kayi-te naa tikim
    that woman tricks us and doesn't give us the right direction
    ya kangayl-n tirim-ko ilyi wate-ko nyikim
    if this man [Upuka Poya] did it, then it's o.k.
1380. ul ilyi-nga ekepu ya bonayl pantip molup
    now we have this problem on our minds
    ya yi-tal-kin ya yi kansilayl-kin pilis tal-kin lyipu
    these two men, this councillor and the two village court men
    i kudanga modukumul ilyi-nga a a a ul ilyi ekepu ilyi pilyikir-iyl
we'zl throw it their way, uh now I think this,
kapola mol nyim kanapa pe ekepu ya pilis tal kep
if he says no, now the two magistrates
eni yi-kal kep lyip meri tukudu modamiyl
and you men, we'Zl throw it to you [to take in the courthouse]
1385. ya ekepu-lum ui aku-ma-nga kep pe aku-ma-nga kep
    now no matter what has happened and what will happen
    ekepu ne walu monsilymayl kep ilyi ul ekeda-da
    and even if she is pregnant
    waku tu naa tokur-o, eni ya bonayl koma lentikir-ayl
    I won't let her go, I put the burden on you
    wate eni-nga ing-te yadu um ilyi pilyip-kin lyip alte-wilyi tukud
    modab
    when your reply comes back and we hear it, I'Il send it in [to
    the courthouse]
    eni-nga ing-iyl midi lelym
    only your speech remains
    UPUKA EL:
1390. Ikutuku wai wai nyikin-ayl na-nga numan pilyid-lum
        you say 'come in, come in to the house'
kapola tebayl pilyikin-i
but do you think that's the right thing?
DENA MAGISTRATE NUMJE:
ya olyo lkutuku pai nyikimilayl pe
you all tell us to go inside
olyo lkutuku pub-kin nyimulu ya eni-nga ul molupa kujuyl ul when we go in we'll talk about your making a road of agreement
abayl-nga ya ui puba noi molupa telym
first the woman went and stayed in her place
```

1395. ya nu-nga kung kera laime nyikin mel-ma tirin kep you say it's your pig, cassowary, and even if you gave it
ul kupulanum aku-ma eni-ni ui tiring
you yourselves gave that before
ekepu ya abayl molupa nyim-na kangabolayl ad eni-ni kanak tek lying now the woman stayed and got pregnant, you saw this and took her back?
kupulanum ilyi-nga olyo nyib pub nabolka ung mare nyimulu-da? what can we say about that?
nyimulayl eni-nga bonayl lyip pup meri yi-kil-kin tap tonsikumulayl we'Il talk and pass on your complaint and discuss it with the men
1396. kansil nyikim kalya mel we nawu el ung-iyl-nga kalya nyikim-ko what the councillor says is just a speech
lapa-kimul tal-in pilyil kongunsil ung-te midi nyik
her father and brother Zistening [will] say only a brief word
ya kang yi-yl ung nyikim ilyi-nga pudu tol tukud mudangi-na and this fellow here talks, and they reply, let them contribute
pilyip kep olyo me-pup resis tolkumola-da kapolayl and we'zl hear it, take it [inside] and perhaps debate it, that's o.k.
ilyi wati wati meb lkutuku pup resis temulu pilyikir-ayl we can take these [speeches] inside at the same time and make a decision, I think
1397. tep temulu mel nar-da nyikir-o?
or what shall we do?, I say
ekepu-nga ya abayl ya kang-abola nyikimil ul ilyi now the woman is pregnant, they say, about that
olyo resis temulu, kupulanum ilyi lekim-ayl we'll make a decision, this is the way
ya toru-kang nu-nga nyikin kera laime nyikin kung nyikin-o her father-in-law, the cassowary, pig are yours, you say
abayl ui ne puba molym-o nyikin
you say the woman went back and is staying at her place
1398. ung kupulanum ilyi-nga ung bonayl pukun you are complaining about this
meri yabu-kal-kin notipa nyikimayl
those two [are talking?]
ya kansil nyikimayl nawu el ung nyikim-ko
what the councillor said was just rhetoric
meri lapa-kin kimul-tal nyingl puk disisin teai nyingl-lum 'over there when father and brother have spoken, go and make $a$ decision', if they say this
kapola mola ung ilyi pilyikibul ilyi olto nyibulu nyingl-lum o.k., or if they say, we're listening and we will speak
1399. matres-iyl nyikimulayl yi pur-te-ko nyikimul the magistrate cannot do anything by himself, we say
kansil nyikimayl nawu-ko nyikim lapa-mal-til midi pilyik-lyanga nyingl-kin ung-te pilyil-lya the councillor is not saying anything relevant, father and son listening, listening to one of the points
bo ing nyikimul te midi pud tokul nyingli molo naa nyingli-da we're talking according to custom, will they respond or not?
akiyl midi pilyai-a, pilyik kayi teai-a
just Zisten, Zisten weZZ
pilyidanga-na pilyip olyo ung brukim tep ing kari nyimul-ko and as you listen, we'Zl be listening and interject
?:
1400. disisin ya naa ting, mol, ya lkudu naa pai
you won't make a decision, don't go into the house
KOPIA PAYO (Sumuyl's father):
akiyl na nyab-i
shall I speak?
nu taua nu nyikin akiyl kung ab sul tolymeli
what you are saying, 'banana', that they kill pigs and women
ya ung nyilymeli ung akiyl nyib anginsip na mel peki tolyo they say these things, talking about that, I do beg for things
sika nyikim, na mel lyirid akiyl pe kalya-mel akisipe nyikin he's right, I got those things, you are saying that
1401. kalyayl akisipe lelym ya ekepu nyikin ilyi wi tarayl nyikin ilyi that's how it is, and what you're saying, and the agnatic kinsman there
abayl ya ekepu-nga abayl ekepu kos naba wan kos ilyi telkumola-ja kapola
if we were having court about the woman for the first time, [it would be ] right
kapola nyikir abayl ne-la Waipip kos aima tiring tiring right, I say, over there at Waipip they had court over and over
na midi lyipe pengi sipaik oronsilymayl eni mek sukud puk kos teai she always deceives me, so you take [the matter] home and have court
mada nyikir
I've said enough
UPUKA EL:
1402. pe na-n meri na nyid mel lku-d pumulayl
but I said we will have court there
KOPIA PAYO:
pe akiyl nyikir-ayl, lo mel ti-n kabilyipa lipiyl naa nyirim, mol that's what I say, the law is not the sort of thing that conceals, no
ya nyikir-ayl mada myikir, mel peki tolyo ung aku-ma nyilyo here I am saying all I have to say, I ask for things, I talk like that
ne-la Waipip pup el telymulu-ko yi moluyl mare-nga
we argue over there at Waipip where some public is present
ekepu yi moluyl-ma-nga ya na lyipe lku sipip kupula tansilymayl and here in public she deceives me
1403. abayl eni-ni mek sukud puk kot teang
you take the woman home and have court
UPUKA EL:
abayl pe mel tep teamul mel nar?
what can we do with the woman?
KOPIA PAYO:
e, abayl kot tejaing nyib nyikir
you take her to court, is what I'm saying
na abayl-nga kangi kudunga midiyl tekim na nyikir
I'm worn out with this business about her
abayl-nga kot tejaing nyib nyikir
you take her to court, is what I'm saying
UPUKA EL:
1404. nu midiyl tepa ui ul mare naa tirim mola you're feeling bad [that you didn't see her do anything?]
ab kang-abola monti-molymayl-nga ab kompetetin tiniyl-nga the woman is pregnant, if you're going to make compensation
ekepu pena teani pilyibayl pilyikin-i, do so outside, I think you know?
i tebu nyanya-kin ul lawa pebu nyiba tim-kin you do so, and if there is a mistake
disisin ui teangi nyibayl, kung ku moni kera laime nyirin first let them make a decision, pig, money, cassowary you said
1405. melayl ab-kiyl-ko tirid melayl pe abayl ya urum-i? ilyi eni-nga kangi-na lepa ekepu I gave these things, and did the woman come? you have these things [they are 'on your skin']
ab-nga kang-abola montikimayl ilyi pilyikimil mola-mol?
the woman is carrying a child, do you understand this or not?
mola abayl mangabu mangani nyikir-i, modu-kelkir-i? a?
or [if you say] 'I'Zl take her back', then do so, I say, shall I
let her go? huh?
meri pupa meri nabolka por-obil lui kep tingi tika-i?
she went down there, will you truly reach a decision?
ilyi nabolka mel mer nying? mola no maymolayl ekepu turudipa muduba-i
what did they say down there? is she just going to drain a boil? [i.e. she's going to have a baby]
1406. ilyi meba welti ok kompetetin tekimul i tekimul i tekimul ilyi we give compensation, here, and here
nangana pilyip-kin lkutuku puk disisin tengayl pilyikin-i?
we hear them first and they'IL go in and make a decision, you understand?
eni ya meri-ko pangi nyikimil-iyl meri nar-na? i nyab-e?
you say, 'Zet them go there [into the courthouse]', but where?
shazl I say?
i teai, i teai, eni kodup bo taba ilyi tek nyikimil mel nar?
'do this and this, I'Il tell you what to do' what are you talking about?

KUMBUKA MAGISTRATE UNYA:
akilya yi tal pilyik molybeli, ne yi tal
those two men understand, those two
1455. olyo-nga matres-ma olyo porapora pilyip molymolu
all of our magistrates understand
pilyip molymulayl ya kibulu top nyib molymulu, meri kopsipu in talking here we're just 'hitting it with a stick' [i.e., clubbing a pig], we'Zl 'carve it up' it over there [in the house]
UPUKA EL:
meri aku-ma-nga naa koptingi, mol
over there they won't cut it, no
KUMBUKA MAGISTRATE UNYA:
midi punya tep temulu ung ilyi-nga nyik nyikimil-mel
'we'II cut the underside of the pig', they're talking in this sort of way
UPUKA EL:
meri aku-na naa nyingi, pena nyang, meri aku-na naa nyingi you won't talk in this way in the courthouse, you talk outside, over there you'll not talk [in this way]
KUMBUKA MAGISTRATE UNYA:
1460. midi punya temulu akiyl-nga nyikimil akiyl we'II carve it up, you're talking [about that]
ilyi kelu luya koyamiyl ilyi awilyi luya koyamiyl
let's 'roast' it in a small ground-oven or a large one [i.e. fine her a little, or a lot]
ne kot-alya disisin aku-na we
is court decision just for nothing?
yabu-ka nyik midi disisin tengi kompetetin naa nyingi?
the people in talking will only make a decision and not talk about compensation?
ul akiyl-nga meri nyikimul eni-ni puk disisin teang i nyikimul we talk about that over there, you yourselves can go and make a decision, we say
UPUKA EL:
1465. ilyi naa tengi molaly, na-n ya mol nyib molyayl they won't do this, no, I'm here saying no
na nyikir-ayl lyik bilt muduk nu edi meri lku-d pukun they, disbelieving what I say, you go over there into the house
disisin tebu nyikin nyib pilyikir-ayl and you say, I'Zl make a decision [inside], so $I$ think

ULKUPUKA TAIM"
ya ul, a, olyo yi kum-kamu adak adak ya meri
here the thing is, we are close associates, over there
abi ing nyikin ing nyikin ilyi ya, ya ab ilyi ...
old lady, [Sumuyz's mother] you talk, what you say, here this woman ...
UPUKA EL:
1470. na ab mel kit nob-uyl walytip pilyip nyab nyikin molo... 'I will 'consume' the woman's vagina', you say or ...
ULKUPUKA TAIM:
olyo kalya pe ekepu nyib-kin abi amiya nu-nga kangi-na notijsukumul-ka well now, we put the burden [of resolving the problem] onto your 'skin', old woman [i.e., Swmul's mother]
notinsikumul ilyi-nga ekepu nu-ni pilyik-pilyikin numanu kom akilyo tal-nga pilyikin
we put it onto you, you think out something from beginning to end
KUMBUKA MAGISTRATE UNYA:
ya matres nameli nameli molku pul kot pilyiring?
which magistrates heard the full court?
?:
e?
what?
KUMBUKA MAGISTRATE UNYA:
1475. eni matres nameli nameli molku pilyiring?
which of you were present?
ful kot pilyiring? eni walysip nyikir-iyl
heard the full court? I'm asking you
MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
Puyl, Kupali-kin na-kin i molup i nyirimul kaniyl i nyirimul
Puyl, Kupali and I heard it
akin na nyib angintip-kin meri yabu-kil waltaba
I have more to say and want to ask those two [Sumuyl's parents]
yabu-kil eni-ni nying-mel pilyik-kin wi ya, Payo walytibu i nyikir-ayl
people heard what they said, I'Zl ask Payo
1480. nyikir akiyl lyip lupu mudup pilyip ya lkudu lyibu mola
shall I hear them separately, take them into the house or
nabolka ul tebu nyib aku nyib walytikr pe ya ya
what shall I do, that's what I'm asking
Luburuyl nyikim pilyip-kin orait ekepu eni ya yi-te-n nyikim I'Zl hear what Luburuyl says, all right, then another of you will talk
nyib na-nga na-nu ki lep tukud mudukur and then I'Zl have the other person talk
KUMBUKA MAGISTRATE UNYA:
ekepu eni kot ilyi ya eni kot tekimil now you're having court here
1485. yi-kil molup ya nyikimul ilyi kapola mel nyikimul
some men are present and we're talking, that is all right
ung pop-ma kapola kapola nyimulu eni bung tek pukumil-i?
are you joining the 'ends' of the speech together? [i.e. are you bringing it to a conclusion?]
MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
naba wan kalya-kil na bum tid ekepu naba tu I started the first speech, and now the second
kil nu brukim tepun ... akiyl meri waltikin you are starting it ... you ask over there
ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
meri pilyikin-i, meri yi anumuyl ung ilyi eni kot kanaing over there, you know, what the old man said, will you have court
1490. molo ab eni-nga eni-ni ka tingi molo or will you jail your woman?
ilyi ung pula pena gu tikimul pilyikin-i?
or by this talk are we draining the enclosure, do you think? [i.e. clearing the way for more talk?]
pula pena-mel gu tip nyikimul nyikir, ilyi ya kot ting we're draining the enclosure, I say, they had court there
meri nu nyikin ung-uyl olyo nyib pora-ntip pe eni-ni nyai nyikimul what you say over there, when we finish talking, we say you talk
ung ilyi eni nyai nyikimul ung ilyi ya lo mel-kil ne-d-ko tirimul we say, you talk, because we gave compensation to you
1495. pe abayl ne-ko molupa pasindia tim molo naa tim molo and then the woman, staying there, whored about, or didn't
kang-abola meba yad-ko um, ung ilyi kalya eni-ni kalya or come back with child, talk like that
ung ola pentik manya pentik kare nyingi-r naa kanap-kin ya nyikimul we don't know whether you will talk more or less seriously
ung ilyi meri lyik abulku nyingi naa kanuyl
we don't know whether you'll add [to what's gone before]
olyo resis tomul-kin abayl medipulayl pe tomulu tep nyimulu mel nar we will debate, there's no point in talking with the woman herself
1500. abayl medipulu ya resis tomulu tekimulayl
what we're doing is debating about the woman herself
ya ung nyikimilayl meri eni-te lyingi molo naa lyingi i nyikimul, whether you will get compensation, or not, that's the subject
meri ekepu resis tangi yi anum oba abayl eni-ni okum lyik mek pai nyikim now you debate, the old man says the woman is coming, 'you take her', he says
ung ilyi mep meri lkutuku pup kot temulayl tep-kin we'IL take these words into the house and have court, and having done so
olyo-kin pe resis tomulayl top ya abayl kamkam kupulanum ilyi midi notimulu
we'IL debate it among ourselves and put her on the road [back to Upuka] for good
1505. ne olyo nyimulu molo naa nyimulu i ung kare kodi-nga top will we speak or not? having spoken anew
mel-ir welyt naa. lyimulayl pilyikin-i?
we won't come to any new conclusions, you know
TEA KUPALI:
tika nyikin
you're right
ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
ya ulayl na-n ka tip tenga mudukur-ayl
this matter, I'm 'tying' it [invoking closure] and passing it on
eni ya nyikim-ayl eni kapola eni wan bel pupiyl
what he says is right, you should agree
1510. ab montuk muluring kanumel tik molku pasindia tum mol naa tum you were keeping the woman, and at the time, whether she whored around or not
olyo naa-ko kanap molumul eni-nga mel kanu-kal tika lyipayl-nga we, too, didn't see it, certainly she took your things
ne ilyi-nga pup notip tep mulurumul kaniyl nyik
we went there and gave them, talking about that
ul-ayl lo pinsintang-na eni kanu pena nyang nyib oda timul you settle that, talk about it outside, we directed you to do that UPUKA EL:
i kana na aku-ko nyib molyayl pilyikin-i
that's what I keep saying too, you know?
ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
1515. pena nyik ilyi-kin ul-ilyi kapola-mel tebayl it's good if you talk about this matter here outside
lkusuku nyimul
we'Zl talk in the house
aku-kin ya abayl-nga medipulu resis top kalyeb sip ka simulu we'Il debate about the woman and sentence her or jail her
ilyi-nga resis tomulu
we'II debate about this
mola pi abayl-nga ne tok aut naa tekimayl-nga
since the woman is not speaking out

```
1520. olyo lkusuku tobulu-i
    we'Zl discuss it inside
    olyo lku pumulu tekimul-ayl pilyikin-i
    we're about to go in, you understand?
    olyo tubuluiyl ul-iyl lku pumulu tekimul
    we're about to go in and discuss it
    ilyi mol
    not this
    meri ab lyiring yi-kal-kep
    the men who married that woman there [i.e., the Upuka]
1525. meri ab monsuk siring yi-kal kep
    or the men who gave her [the Kopia]
    eni populu moluba
    they will get angry
    ilyi mol
    not that
    pena eni-ni kalya ol tai
    you whisper outside
    ya nyik-nyik eneni pena wan bel muduk tengi mola mol
    talking here, will you come to an agreement outside or not?
1530. ulayl sika olyo-kin ne sika molupa mong lyipe tim
    'it's true, by staying with us over there she caused trouble'
    i nyingi molo mol
    will you say that or not?
    i nyib olyo kalya olyo numan ilyi-nga pilyip nyikimul
    saying this, we wonder about it
    ekepu lku-d pai nyikimil
    now you are saying go into the house
    pi olyo meri lku-d pumulayl
    so we'Zl go into that house
1535. pup adayl meri lku pai nyikin akiyl
        since you, old man, are telling us
        ekepu lku pumulu akin ol tomulayl top-kin...
        now we'Ll go in and, whisper among ourselves
        (unclear)
        meri olyo lku pumul akin nyimulayl
        we'Zl go in and speak about the matter
        ti-ko nyimulu pukumulayl pilyikin-i
        we're going to go in to talk about one thing, you understand?
        eni molupa kujuyl kupulanum meri kep ya kep lyik kuni tengayl
        you will straighten out a path of tranquility here or there
1540. ya pena nosik molymelayl pilyikin-i
        that depends on you out here, you understand?
```

```
olyo lkusuku nabolka nyimulu what can we say inside?
nu-nga ul boni-kal lyikin okun olyo-kin when you bring your difficulties to us
tab tabansikimelayl pilyikin-i
you stick them on to us, you understand?
ekepu ya kili simulayl
now we'll wipe them off
1545. olyo pena pup kili-nsimulayl having gone out, we'll wipe them off
lkusuku pi nabolka mel olyo-n mel-ma-ko bo lyimulu-i what kind of crops can we raise in the house?
i nyikimul-mel tepa olyo ung sul pukum what we're saying is going on and on
ya unguyl ekepu nosip kelimulu kubukayl nyikin kep but we'll leave it alone, even what you, Kubuka man [Unya], are saying
nosip kelip pukumul nyikin pilyikin
you think we'll leave it
1550. ya aki nyikimulayl
and that's what we are saying here
ya aku nosi-kelip pukumulayl
we here will leave it alone
eni-nga ung bamp ilyi-nga nyib-kin
we seem to be talking a lot of jarring talk
ung kuru naa kurukumul
but by no means are finding the right words
ilyi-nga nyingi pensik nyikimil
they [the magistrates] are going to say something
1555. nyikin-ilyi
that's what you say
pi na-n kalya meri 1 ku pumulayl pup resis tomulayl
so I say we'll go in and debate the matter
eni pena i-tik nyingi mola meri ne yi-kil-kin eni moduk ung mare nyingi mola naa nyingi
you'll talk outside like this and come to an agreement with those men over there, or will you not?
i nyilkumela-da olyo lku-d naa pukumulayl abayl ul tim yi-yl if you had said so we wouldn't go in, but the man who did it to her
painimaut tep yi-yl ekeda angintip abayl naa ko montikumul we'II discover who he is and stand him up on one side, we're not putting the woman on the other side
1560. abayl pasindia tokumayl topa kulupa molkum the woman whores about and hides it
```

ne yi-kil kep ya yi-kil kep olyo we kur tokum
those men there, as well as these here, are sweating for nothing
pe ne olyo nabitep glat pentip yi ilyi-kin nyib kana bi dip nyimulu-i
now, putting on our glasses, and naming the man, how shall we recognise him?
ekepu olyo-n ung kare nyimulu-i?
now shall we say something?
ne pul lapa-yl kulupa molupa lepa kodi tekim-ayl pilyikin-i? the troublemaker is hiding it and not confessing, you know?
1565. ilyi-nga meri lku-tuku pub-kin nyimulayl nyib-kin nyimulu pe going into the house over there, we'Zl talk, and having done so
kot-na-ko nyib kuni temul abayl midi ka timulu we'Zl talk and straighten it out in court and jail the woman
maku aku-na-ko resis top tukud modumulayl
that's what we'Zl debate and discuss
eni-nga adi pena pilyingi ung kupulanum nyingi kot akiyl we peba what you're to hear outside, that court will be suspended
pe peba naa kanuyl eni pena nyikimil olyo tike nyib
we don't know what will happen, we say surely you talk outside
1570. ung ilyi-nga mawa tekimulayl pilyikin-i?
we're asking for this, do you understand?
UPUKA EL:
na ya mol nyib molyayl, lkutuku nai puba, molayl
I'm here saying no, who will go in the house? no!
KUMBUKA MAGISTRATE UNYA:
ilyi ya ya olyo-n nyikimul ilyi abayl sike medipulu nyikim what we are saying, what he is saying about the woman is right
olyo kot temul kompetetin o nabolka ul ilyi kang-abola-kin molymeli we'Zl have court and levy a fine, or whatever, because there are children
ne olyo-nga molupa kujuyl nu yiyl olyo-n fren nyilymulu there's our friendship [ to think of], we call you 'friend'
1575. o nabolka ul wan-bel, ilyi kupulanum-te lelymayl yes, what? agreement, this is the way
abu olyo faul tekim ilyi-nga ne olyo-n baim temulu mola the woman is wronging us, we'll pay a fine for her
abayl kalapus temulu mola pe ya olyo-nga nyimulu mola nabolka ul or we'll jail her, or we may say something different
isil-nga meri wanbel temulayl ne eni-ni ya olyo-ni mol in this way we'Zl reach agreement, [it is] neither you nor us
ne abayl eni kep olyo kep faul tirim ilyi lipiyl that woman wronged you as well as us, so let's ignore that
1580. ne kompetetin ilyi midi lyip kuni teamiyl nyib pilyikir we'Zl straighten out the matter of compensation, so I think
a? eni lupulupu walytsip nyikir
eh? what do you think? I'm speaking, asking each of you
UPUKA EL:
ilyi ne abayl abolup uj-uj tokumul ilyi
thus grasping the woman we are pushing the case along
olyo ya kot-nga kupulanum-na pilyip konginsip nyikimul, we are speaking, considering the basis/cause of the court

KUBUKA MAGISTRATE UNYA:
i tepa ilyi-nga olyo-nga abu mel kis te kep mol-iyl she is not even one of our 'vaginas'
1585. eni-ni abu mel kis pul yi-kin medipulu monsikimil-ayl you men who are owners of the vagina are bringing this case
olyo-nga ung-te mol, olyo-n pe ka sip meri olyo-nga molupa kunsuyl it's not our matter, we're arranging our way of settlement
kompetetin kupulanum ilyi meri lupu-ko nyikimul and compensation, that's something different we're talking about
ekepu abayl olyo-n ya ...
now we here, about the woman ...
UPUKA EL:
olyo-n lyip ob i tekimul, ekepu abayl-nga meri disisin tekimul we accept [that we are] doing it thus, now over there [in the courthouse] we're making a decision about the woman
1590. nyingi-yl kubilek nyangi-na aili lek tengi-yl
you'll speak first, and following that they'Zl decide
MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
eni-n ya eni-ni abolku ting-ayl meri olyo pilyip tudumulu-i?
you will give compensation, over there [do you think] we'll be
confused?
UPUKA EL:
ya kung-nga abu puba molurum mel baim tirid I gave the things when the woman went and stayed home

MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
abayl ya meri mel-ilyi mel olyo-nga-te puba paga nyilkumela if you had said 'this woman of ours is going, let her go'
olyo-nga-te meri mulumul naa pekim
then this trouble wouldn't be so difficult
1595. meri abu kang-abolayl olyo-nga mel olyo-nga lo mel-te ya kanu brukim lo tim ilyi-nga
she got pregnant and this is violating our law
ilyi olyo-nga ing-iyl lelym, abayl-nga gerijipa ul-te lupu naa lelym, mol
there is a way of dealing with this, [but] there's no way of separating her [from her husband], no
ya nyikimul nyib-kin wilyi kang-yi Poya-kin pentipa meri yabu-kal-kin what we're talking about is putting Poya together with her relatives
eni-ni wanbel puba molo-mol, ilyi-nga nyib olyo waltamulayl-nga will you reach agreement? this is what we're asking about
akiyl-nga nying kanapa aku-na pipiyl mola if they come to some agreement, let it be, or
1600. kapola naa nyimulu nying-lum ilyi-nga lkutuku pup disisin tamul-kilya if they say, 'we won't', let's go inside and make a decision pepa kanap joinim top top modumulu kupulanum ilyi lelym nyikir-o we'll write out the paper, this way is open to us, I say
meri ya Tibeka eri mel ilyi pilyipa-kin i tepa nyiba notikim 'the Sibeka court finds as follows'
ekepu olyo i tep nyib mudukumul ekepu elti yi tal-in now we're telling you this, now you two men
distrik mola lokal mola tin kanap pasim teba mola will have it in district or local court, a fine will be imposed or
1605. o winim kot teba mola kupulanum aku teba mola kupulanum i tepa lelym the case will be won, it will be like this, that's the sort of thing that will happen
aki nyib mudukur, ilyi pilyikimil nyib pilyikir-ayl
you understand this, I believe
pilyik klia tedikimel-i?
are you clear about this?
ya eni pilyangi-nayl-nga eni nabitimna eni yabu wanbel puba teba as you are thinking about it, you might somehow come to an agreement
ul te naa kanap-kin eni-ni kayi tek tengi mola mol if we don't oversee this, will you do properly or not?
1610. $\quad i$ kalya nyib waltikir-kiyl
that's what I'm asking
KUMBUKA MAGISTRATE UNYA:
ilyi ya Temal ung-te nyikim kalyayl olyo pe
what Temal is saying, well we ...
lapa-kin pelybelayl akitik telybeli mola-mol?
she lives with her father, do they do that [i.e., what the Upuka say they dol or not?
ya abayl eni kep olyo porapora dokta-yl-n witnis molupa pora nsim about this woman the doctor has provided evidence for you and all of us
ekepu abayl-nga olyo-n ung nyi-pentimulu tapu-te naa lekim there's no way for us to put forward a final decision
1615. abayl sika telym mola meri ul boni mare olyo-kin nyikimul akiyl is the woman telling the truth, or are there some problems for us to discuss there?
olyo yi-ke-kin-ko nyikimul-iyl, ekepu kang-abola tripela we're talking among men, now there are three children
aku-na kinya ab anumuyl ne wapra tum-iyl kang-abola kinya wapra tum now an 'old' [long-married] woman whored about, she had a child doing so

Ulka-Upuka eni mong lyimulu nyik modu-kelingi?
UZka-Upuka, you say we'Zl have trouble, will you let her go?
eni-nga kang-abola kopong-ka-ko molymeli, pe kinya ul ilyi the children have your 'grease' [i.e. procreative and nutritive substance] too, well now, as for this
1620.

MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
1625. ilyi-n noi eni-ni abu pul yi-kal ... kang yi-yl ...
over there you 'owners' of the woman ... young fellow ...
eni abayl ya lkutuku pup walytintamiyl nyik pilyikimil mola do you think we should go inside and ask her [for you] or
ul-iyl eni-ni yabu-la boni kangi-na lelym-na molymeli
or is it that you people [Sumuyl's people] have some reservations [feel a 'heaviness' on you]
i nyikim ilyi-nga eni kep olyo wate faul-ko telym ilyi-nga he says that she is wronging you as well as us, and in view of this
abayl kot tentamul mola tep teamul mel nar, i nyikimul shall we court her, or what shall we do? this is what we're saying
1630. ilyi-nga ing ilyi-nga i tep nyimulu kupulanum ... (unclear)
is this the sort of thing we shall say?
kang-abola tripela molym, ekepu ilyi foa, ilyi eni pilyik kayi teai there are three children, this makes four, think carefully about this
eni yi-ma pora elti kang-yi aki-til-n kep ...
all you men and you two ...
(unclear for a few seconds)
ya-kidu wa wate ne kep olyo-nga kolya moluyl kolya-ma-nga ing ilyi nyibu
both this way and over there are places for us to stay, I shall say this [i.e., both Upuka territory and Kopia territory are presently passable, friendly areas]

UPUKA EL:
olyo-nga lku-na abu-te molym-i, ya ilyi ab koyaka lyilymulayl-i? is there a woman in our house, are we getting a woman for nothing?

MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
1635. ing nyikir ilyi-nga pilyip nyib meb aji-yadu ya i tep ... continuing on from what [we've] been saying back and forth...
matres-ma kep molymeli, akiyl-nga pilyip adi elti waltikir-ayl also the magistrates are here, so I'm asking you
pilyik aut tel nyayl
to think and speak up
UPUKA EL:
kung-ilyi pup tirimul
we went and gave a pig
MIDIPU MAGISTRATE KUJILYI:
a, yes, lapa elti olyo faul tepa nabolka ul tirim ne molupa telym um, yes, 'father', she wronged both you and us by doing whatever it was, and is staying there doing it
1640. ul bonayl eni yabu-ka tikimul pilyikir-ayl i tepa lipiyl we're placing the onus/difficulty on you, and so let it be
ekepu ya kang-abola meba tirim kupulanum ilyi eni ya now she has conceived a child, and in view of this you
keap-kal-ni kep eni-ni kep kot tentik tengi molo mol either the Kiaps or you, will you bring her to court or not?
i nyik tukud modungi kupulanum ilyi-nga you will discuss this
pa meri eni-ni molungi sasim temulu nying-lum-ko tengi
and if they say over there they will charge her, they will do this as well
1645. o mol nyik pilying akin wi nabolka ul, ul akiyl-nga or if they think not [ to bring her to court], in respect of that
lyik tilupu modungi rausim tengi popolu moluba kupulanum aku-na if they throw it out, there will be anger [the Upuka will be angry]
lyik koni tek pora-ntik telymeli kupulanum
how they take [matters] and straighten them out
mare lyip notikimul pilyikir-ayl
we are showing how it is done
pe eni pilyai i nyikir-o, ya molo olyo faul tepa adalym ilyi mel-lum
and you consider, I say, if she continues to confuse/mislead us
1650. ekepu abayl kalapus teamiyl, ne yabu-kal-in abulup molymulu nying-lum
Let's jail her then, and if they say, 'we're holding [onto] her'
ekepu temulu nyikimil-ilyi tiangi i nying-lum
if they say, 'we do this and you can do [what you like]'
akiyl nyik pilyai mola mel olyo-nga-kal midi pilyikumulayl you consider that, or if we consider that they are ours
kang-abola talytik molymeli ilyi ekepu olyo-ngayl-ka there are three children, and these now are ours
kil olyo-n naa turumul turumul pilyikir ilyi-ka I think we didn't disagree about that
1655. $\quad$ nyib pilyimul kanapa ya pena nyib edi tentip i tep tiamiyl if we think this, then we can conclude talking about it outside
ULKUPUKA TAIM:
akiyl kapola midi nyikim, nyikin kupulanum akiyl-nga what he says is right, what you say
tena peba tekim, peba tekim ilyi meri kalapus temulu-o about how it will be, it may be we'll send her to jail
meri ul kit tepa tirim o naa tirim o ilyi oltonga todul-te mol-iyl
it may be she whored around, or did not, we're not strong enough to know
olto-n naa kanap molybolu ola yi-yl midi nunu kanapa molym we do not see [these things], only God above is seeing
1660. tirim-dum wate-ko naa tirim-dum wate-ko
whether she has done it or not
pe altepa numan akiyl pilyipa-kin ne kalapus ting adi-n
and if they jail her and she considers
nyiba pilyipa-kin numan senis teba o naa teba o
thinking about it, will she change her attitude or not?
ul ilyi pilyik kapola mol, te ekepu midi kangayl-nga popolayl considering this, it is not all right, the main thing is he is angry about the boy
yunu-nga walum midi pilyikin-i, ilyi ekepu te molymayl it's his only son, do you understand? now the one is there
1665. te-kin pamuk nyikin pilyikin, mol wi tim nyib popolu kulup you think she can take him with her and whore about, no, talking about what she did we get angry
nyikimulayl, pe olyo ekepu lkudu pup olyo-nga ul-ma
as we talk, and now as we go home
olyo yimul mel lupu lupu pumulayl
every one of us will go his separate way
ya ilyi mel nunu-nga-kal midi pilyikir-ayl ne yabu-kal-in she is his wife only, those people [two] over there
eni-ni aima pilyik pilyik kayi tek, kang-abola talytike molymeli should think of this carefully, there are three children
1670. ekepu ul pul-kal puba awuntipa noi pekim nyib pilyikir-ayl now the cause of things lies with them, I think
pe ekepu i tepu nyamul mel nar, altepa ne eni-nga kalya-na munsuk molkumela
and what can we say of this, once again, they had her in their place
abu kang-abola montum pilyikir-ayl, lo telymeli
the woman got pregnant, they solve problems
ul kayi mel-te midi tiangi, oba pora nyipiyl na ya nar-nga,
let them do so appropriately, or if [it is said], let it finish what shall I ...
i mel nyik pilyikimil ...
you think something like this ...
UPUKA SU:
1675. a? na nyibu yi-yl-ko nyikir, lo-te mel midi mongayl-ni kanap-kin Huh? I'm the right man to talk, when we see them enforce the low
aki nyib notintangi-na na pilyibu, ul mare temulayl
I want to see what they do about the matter
akiyl olyo-nga ab lyilyiyl akiyl medu ui nyikumul ilyi ing ilyi nyib-kin
she is our wife [woman that we take in marriage], and we say what we said before
ya lo tengi mel kanamiyl
they will impose law [compensation], let us see how
UPUKA EL:
olyo-nga lku-na abu-te molym-i, ya ilyi ab koyaka lyilymulayl-i? is there a woman in our house, are we getting a woman for nothing?

KUMBUKA MAGISTRATE UNYA:
1680. Ikusuku naa pa, ya yi torukang nyilybulu, aima na-kin ung-te mol don't go in the house, we call each other brother-in-law, there's nothing wrong between us
pe ya ned manya ab ekepu ul ilyi-nga ya ung ilyi-nga pulupina lekim but now because of this business we are embarrassed
eni mol nying-lum pe ilyi-nga pora nyiba-kiyl
if you say no it will be over
toru ki olamodup nyikir, kopetetin-kin nanga kangi-na nosung-i?
in-low, with my hand raised [speaking the truth] I say, did they give me the compensation?
na ya pilyip molyo, em $i$ go long kalapus, ilyi ya yi eni yi molymeli I know, she's going to jail, you men are here
1685. yabu kimuliyl no bia noba ul mare tepa adalym nyingi nyik pe her brother goes around drinking beer and the like, you say, well
eni-ni mol nying-lum bilong wanim yupela kotim em nating?
if you say no [to jailing her?] why are you taking her to court
for nothing?
ALYA MAGISTRATE PUYL:
i pe puba-ko-yl
she'Zl go Zater, all right
KUMBUKA MAGISTRATE UNYA:
ilyi weyai tebayl, we kanapa abayl kalapus pubayl
it will dissolve, if not she'Zl go to jail
yupela wokim disisin, ya midiyl kolupa-kin lapa wedu lyiba o you make a decision, she'Zl feel bad and relieve her father [i.e., reveal the truth about the other man?]
1690. olyo-n pui nyilymulu-o mola olyo-kin molamiyl olyo-nga kongun tensi nyilymulu-o
we say you go, or stay with us and work for us
yunu-nga bikhet-ayl ne molym-o, em mas i go long kalapus na em i kambek
it's her fault, she must go to jail and come back
eni ya kopetetin nyikimil-iyl wanem? nokumul naa nokumul why are you talking about compensation? we conswme it or not noda kudu ka moku top adumul-i? ne kodu tiyl ung-ma nyik did we pull the kudu mushroom out again? you say words designed to gain compassion
eni abu-ma ami nosilymeli-ko-yl, melymeli-ko nyik kodu tiyl ung you have sisters, you say, they do have, and saying words of compassion
1695. nyik pulu-nsik modai, ekepu ne molym aku-na molupa olyo mong lyipa sikim chase her out, she sits there now and gets us all in trouble ekepu meri yiyl kep kanap lyip kos tensip telkumulayl papu now if we found the man and tried him, that would be good
yiyl kep olyo wase faul telka olyo mulu wangukum-ayl but she'd also tell us lies about that and we're short-winded
eni yi pemulu walum-ka olyo kubilya-na gerekim-iyl
you're our true friends, and now we fight and are separated
ilyi abayl ui meri disisin tep meri taim sik mel kopetetin i sik teangi
first we'Zl make a decision about the woman, and you set a jail sentence and let them give compensation
1700. maku tensangi-na olyo-n abayl wedu lyimulu kep nu ya olyo-n telymulu kaniyl i nyib meri wedu lyip ung-iyl
set how much for us to bail her out what we'll have to give to get her out
WARIA:
ilyi ya abayl maku-te pelka-na ab olyo-nga lyirimul ilyi tokumul if she had marks on her, our woman that we got, and we hit her
ilyi mol, ab wenepu-kin aima ui kung koptintikin tirin-iyl ilyi mel-ko tekin-kin
but it's not so, when she was a young woman, truly you ate pig, and this sort of thing
pe akiyl ekepu nar-kin aima ... ilyi ekepu ya kot teamiyl nyikimil ilyi mol
and what [has happened] ... now here you say let's have court, but no
olyo abayl lo teai i nyikimulalyi eni pilyikimil-i? you give compensation for her, do you understand?
1705. ilyi kum kayime lyik pilyikimil-i mol, ilyi pilyikimil-i mola mol? really clean your ears, do you understand this or not?
[i.e. pay, and give her back]
pe ya kot ting-kin ab ka-ko tingi, pe ulti um-kin ab nanga-ko nyibu when you've had court, they'll jail her, and when she comes out, I'll still say 'she's mine'
pe pe ul te pim-kin na-nga ab-ko nyib, pe nu pubu-da nyik pilyini and if something else happens, I'Zl still say so, well you may think I'ZZ go
mangubu-da nyik pilyini, na-nga ab-ko nyib, kaime ki ola modup nyikir 'will I get her back?' you may think, but I'ZZ still say she's mine truly with my hand raised [in oath] I'm talking
ilyi na-nga abayl-ko nyibu
that's my woman, I'ZZ say
?:
1710. pe nu-nga abayl ka lku-na pupa onulupa mudupa kelipa oba-kin well when your woman goes to jail, and gets out and comes back
nabolka ul tini?
what'IL you do?
WARIA:
abayl midi nyib molab molab, abayl midi nyib, abayl midi nyib molup I'Zl keep on saying she's mine, keep on and on
na-nga abu nyib ing-ma nyib kep ab-ko nyib molubu
when I talk J'Zl say she's my woman
modup kelubu-da nyik pilyik eni tuimai nyingi
will I quit? you think, 'you'Zl feel depressed'
(many voices)
KUBUKA KOMI:
1715. kang-yi, eni matres-iyl kot komplen ya Kopia yabu-kin ti naa ting boy, you magistrates, the Kopia people did not file a complaint
ne Upuka yabu-kin tingiyl
the Upuka did
ya abayl kalapus tengayl mola nabola ul tengi
will you jail this woman or what will you do?
eneni midi leylm
it's up to you alone
ya yabu-ka walysik ui matres-iyl nyikim-ilyi kapola
people ask whether what the magistrate said earlier is o.k.
1720. mola akiyl eni-ni nyingi
or will you talk?
nyik kayi kanak tobi-taike-nsikimil
you talk and just go all over the place
nabina-nsikimil?
why do you do that?
eni kot komplen puluyl kanakur-ayl
I see you had a big complaint
ya Kopia yabu bi pe nyiyl-ka moylmeli-oro there aren't many Kopia here
1725. pe kinya-nga ne yabu-kin nyik tobitaike-nsik so now people are just talking at random
ne matres-iyl nyikim kapola
what that magistrate says is o.k.
i yabu ilyi tekim kapola aku ung pul mar-ayl
what these people are doing is o.k., but for what reason?
wilyi yi-kin eni-ni nyik
you and those men say
na abu lyiyl pul yiyl-n na abayl kot tensibu
'I and the ones who have the woman will take her to court'
1730. pe nu nunu kanap kui tebu nyin-lum and if you say 'seeing, I will let her go'
ne matres-ma koduku nyikin
you tell the magistrates
ul kaniyl nununu nyin-na pungayl
when you say that, they will go away
pe pilyimul-na ne abayl lo mel teangi nyin-lum but when we Zisten, you might say 'Zet them pay compensation'
i nying-lum i nyik altekin nyik tobitaike nyikimil ilyi
you might say this, say it again and wander all over the place
1735. meri magistret-iyl nyikim-ayl kapola disisin temulu lkudu pamul what the magistrate says is right, let's make a decision, let's go inside
molo yi nyikim ilyi kapola ab eni orara ab yi lku-na pensik mek adalymeli
or is what the man says right, do you look after her, put man and wife in the house? [i.e., she's not married to everybody]
keri walsik ne abayl pamuk tirim eni Kopia yabu-ka lyik sapo ola modukun
you ask, 'did the woman whore around? did you Kopia put the boar [ to the sow]?'
kung sapo lyibu okur nyikin lomong kalkun i nyik yi-te kani pulymeli-i?
I'm coming to get a boar, you say, and get drenched by rain, you say, do you go there or not?
mola eni-nga eni-ni tekimil kalyamel tirim-lum kinya-na tirim-iyl or did she do what you do?
1740. olyo yabu lyipa lu-nsirim molymeli ilyi pilyikimil-i? she tricked all of us, do you understand?
ilyi-nga kayi kanak nyikimil ilyi ne ab pul yiyl pe you are just talking [ to hear your voices], the 'root man' down there
nunu komplen tim akiyl abayl kalapus tebu i nyikim he made a complaint, he says 'I'Zl jail the woman'
nyib kanamiyl, mola lo teai nying-lum i nyik kanamulu-oro let's see, or if they say 'pay compensation', if they say this we'Zl see, bringing and holding it ...
mek abulku i tek ...
holding it...
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# THE NOTION OF TOPIC IN MOMUNA NARRATIVE DISCOURSE 

Martha Reimer

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of topic is an integral part of human perception and information processing. An observer of the real world must process what he perceives. This is necessary because he cannot perceive all things, events, and states simultaneously. Nor can he perceive all sensory information as being equally important. For example, when he looks at a picture he will perceive certain details as the figure and the rest as ground.
Similarly, when a speaker wishes to communicate about what he has seen, he must organise this information in such a way that he can direct the addressee's attention to what he wants to talk about and then proceed to say something about it. In other words, the speaker must establish a conceptual framework first in order to fit the relevant information he is wishing to communicate into it.
In this paper $I$ will discuss topic as a discourse notion in Momuna ${ }^{1}$ narratives and will attempt to show that it is an entity or situation that establishes a framework for a stretch of discourse that follows from it. I will define the kinds of frameworks that a speaker can establish and discuss how the events or sequences of events that follow them fit into the established frameworks.

### 1.1 A definition of topic

Topic or topicality has been variously defined in linguistic literature. The Prague School linguists, who prefer to use the term theme rather than topic, share the assumption that topic is the 'point of departure' for an utterance. This 'point of departure' may be defined conceptually, as the idea one begins with and to which other ideas are then added, or structurally as the first part of a sentence. Others define topic as 'what the sentence is about' (Halliday 1967:212). Finding that these definitions did not accurately characterise topic constructions across languages, Chafe (1976:51) has redefined topic. He reasoned that since 'what the topics appear to do is to limit the applicability of the main predication to a certain restricted domain ...' topic can more accurately be defined as setting 'a spatial, temporal, or individual framework within which the main predication holds' (Chafe 1976:50).

[^1]For a long while, studies of topic or theme were limited to the level of sentences. It was relatively easy, for definitional purposes, to divide clauses or sentences into two distinct notions or constituents - one of them the topic or theme (or old information) and the other the comment or rheme (or new information).

When the attention began to shift from a purely sentence-oriented perspective for topic to a more discourse-oriented perspective, the view of 'topic' as an 'atomic, discrete entity' or 'a single constituent of the clause' became harder to justify. When Haiman (1978) examined the categories of 'conditional' and 'topic' in Hua, he found that in essence they were the same. 'Some conditionals in Hua ... are clearly syntactically and semantically topics of their sentences: syntactically, they are left-dislocated tokens, corresponding to reduced matrix tokens of finite clauses; semantically they are given in the sentence, subject to either interrogation or denial' (1978:575). He concludes that 'this cross-linguistic similarity of superficial form, baffling if the categories are semantically unrelated, is to be expected if conditionals are in fact topics of the sentences in which they occur. Left-dislocation apes the discourse situation in which topics are generally established: initial mention in a full form is followed by subsequent mention in a reduced form' (Haiman 1978:577).

Further evidence for a close connection between the syntactic categories 'conditional' and 'topic' comes from languages that characteristically mark conditionals and topics in the same way. Haiman cites Turkish and Tagalog. Momuna is another. ${ }^{2}$

The conclusion one can draw from this is that conditionals and topics are both frameworks for the following discourse. A conditional clause is a situational framework; a topic is an individual framework (Lowe 1985).

Chafe's original sentence-oriented definition thus needs to be expanded to include the discourse-oriented situational framework.

I propose the following definition of topic, which is an amalgam of those offered by Chafe, Lowe and Haiman (1978:585).

The topic represents an entity whose existence is agreed upon by the speaker and his audience. As such, it
constitutes the individual, temporal, spatial and/or situational framework which has been selected by the speaker for the ensuing discourse.

I will use this definition as a starting point for defining the kinds of frameworks that a speaker can establish in Momuna narrative discourse. Real world entities and situations marked as topics can constitute any one of the four frameworks identified in the definition above. In sections 2, 3, 4, and 5 I will go on to discuss how the speaker can establish an individual, spatial, temporal or situational framework for a particular event or sequence of events.

### 1.2 Pragmatic topics and textual topics

In Momuna it is necessary to make a distinction between two different categories of topic, which are, in fact, marked by different morphemes. I shall call them pragmatic topics (marked by bo) and textual topics (marked by ne).

Both of these topics constitute an individual, temporal, locational or situational framework, for some ensuing stretch of discourse which I will call the comment. They are also both given. The two topics can, however, be distinguished from each other in the following two respects: a) the sense in which they are given and b) the kind of framework established and the relation of the content of the comment to this framework.

### 1.2.1 Pragmatic topics

A pragmatic topic (marked by bo) is an entity or situation which the addressee needs to take as given in order for the discourse to proceed. Its referent may or may not be recoverable from the preceding linguistic context but if not, it is always identifiable from real world knowledge.

Pragmatic topics set up individual, temporal, spatial and situational frameworks that can be characterised as follows. A pragmatic topic that constitutes an individual framework will either introduce a new participant into the story or reintroduce a previously mentioned major participant in a new role. Thus a pragmatic topic will initiate either a new episode or a new span in which the dominance relationships between major participants have changed from what they were in a previous span. The change can be either in the direction of the dominance axis (i.e. $A \rightarrow B$ changes to $A \leftarrow B$ ) or the dominance axis itself may involve other participants (e.g. A $\rightarrow B$ changes to $A \rightarrow C$ ), where $A, B$, and $C$ are participants and the arrow is drawn from dominant to dominated.

Similarly a pragmatic topic that constitutes a temporal or spatial framework will introduce a new time or location into the story. I use the term 'new' here in the sense of 'not recoverable from the preceding context'.

A pragmatic topic that constitutes a situational framework is realised by marking a reduced verb with bo. This merely sets up a point of departure for the events that follow in the comment, and because there is no expectation for the kind of events that will follow. Thus, we might say that there is a very loose relationship between the point of departure and what follows.

### 1.2.2 Textual topics

A textual topic (marked by ne) is an entity or situation which is given in the more narrow sense of being recoverable from the preceding linguistic context. I use the term 'preceding linguistic context' in its wider sense to include both the intratextual context, that is, the context of the text being currently produced; and the intertextual context, that is, the context of one or more previously encountered texts of the same kind (see de Beaugrande and Dressler 1981).

The term 'recoverable' needs to be examined in more detail. When the netopicalised element is a nominal, 'recoverable' simply means that the referent of that nominal can be determined by examining the preceding linguistic context because it has already been mentioned there. This is the sense in which a ne-topicalised nominal element is given. When the topicalised element is a situation, however, the ne marking occurs on a verb and it is necessary to examine more closely what 'recoverable' means in this case. We find that for clauses with such topicalised verbs, the nominals in them are always
co-referential with a participant, prop, time or location mentioned in the previous context. The verbs, on the other hand, do not usually refer to actions reported in the preceding context. Yet each clause containing a ne-marked verb is meaningful in the linguistic context in which it is found the nominals all have unique referents, and the verbs report actions that make sense either because they are relevant to the situation that has been developed to that point in the text, or because they can be inevitably inferred from the preceding linguistic context. This justifies our use of the adjective 'textual' for describing ne-marked topics.

A textual topic constitutes either an individual, a temporal, spatial or situational framework. When a textual topic sets up an individual framework, the topicalised participant is involved in an action that is dynamic, i.e. an action that advances the plot of the story. (In contrast, a participant introduced by a pragmatic framework is merely put on stage - a process that rarely advances the plot.)

The situational frameworks set up by a textual topic can be either local or global. If the framework is local, the comment does not move the event line forward, whereas if it is global, the events constituting the comment do move the event line forward. Both frameworks share the common characteristic that what follows in the comment is almost predictable in terms of the topicalised situation. In other words, the possible directions in which the comment can go are quite restricted, given the topicalised situation and the preceding context. Thus, we might say that there is a very tight relationship between a textually topicalised situation and its comment.

I will restrict my discussion for the rest of this paper to textual topics only. Thus, when I use the term topic, I am referring to the textual topic marked by ne.

## 2. INDIVIDUAL FRAMEWORKS

The individual framework is limited to people and things in the real world. Three parameters are necessary to define the distinctions in the way they are encoded in narrative discourse:
a) The scope of their persistence in discourse. Here, only two distinctions need to be made - between major participants on the one hand and minor participants and props on the other hand. A major participant is defined as one who has global persistence throughout an episode or discourse. Such a participant can be l) introduced at the beginning of an episode or discourse by bo, or 2) assumed as given at the beginning of an episode and marked with ne, or 3) introduced with the focus particle $-k,^{3}$ and his role can be encoded as dominant by the appropriate verb type.

Minor participants and props are identified as those who persist only locally within an episode or discourse. They can be introduced either with a simple noun phrase or with the focus particle -ka. In either case they do not assume a dominant role in the episode.
b) Their role as dominant vs. dominated. The dominance of a participant in an episode or discourse is encoded by the verb. A participant is dominant in an episode if he is the agent whose actions initiate an interaction with another participant and if those actions are controlling, volitional, or aggressive.

A participant is non-dominant if his actions are an involuntary response to those initiated by the dominant participant or if in his struggle for dominance, he is still the underdog.

The actions indicating the dominance of a participant are encoded by either the serial, reduced or final verb types (see Appendix A); whereas the actions that indicate the non-dominance of a participant are encoded by the grounded, reduced or final verb types. When a participant gains dominance, i.e. when his role is reversed from dominated to dominating, the particular action that gains him his dominance is encoded by the serial verb.
c) Topical vs. non-topical. The marking of topical vs. non-topical participant is what I primarily wish to deal with in this section. I have defined the parameters of major participants vs. minor participant and dominant vs. non-dominant participant in order to contrast them with that of topic.

The primary function of marking a participant as topical is to direct the addressee's attention to him. Van Dijk (1977:118) states that 'cognitively, this 'topicalization' of certain phrases is probably a process whereby knowledge of certain individuals is 'foregrounded' i.e. taken from long-term memory stores to some working memory, in which the established information may be combined with the incoming new information'. Both major participants, and minor participants and props can be marked as topical, as well as participants who are either dominant or non-dominant. It is clear, then, that a participant is marked as topical, not because of his persistence or role in an episode, but because the speaker wishes to direct the addressee's attention onto him, in order to establish him as the individual framework for a particular stretch of discourse.

This is born out by evidence based on the distribution of participants marked as topical within an episode, as well as the marking of topic within the scope of a single sentence. I will discuss first, marked topicalisation that is sentence oriented, and then topicalisation that is best accounted for from a more global discourse perspective.

### 2.1 Individual topic - a sentence perspective

The unmarked word order for a typical transitive clause is SOV. The subject, as the initial element in the clause, need not be marked as topic. Frequently, however, either the subject or the object, or both are marked as topical. When the subject or both the subject and object are topicalised, two clause variations occur: 1) $S$ ne $O V$ and 2) $S$ ne $O$ ne $V$. In both cases the topic marker ne is simply inserted following the element that is topicalised.

When the object is topicalised, it is either fronted and the subject or subject-substitute marked as agent as in example (l) below, or the subject is deleted from the clause as in example (2) below (see page 201 for list of abbreviations). In either case the topicalised object is in sentence-initial position.

| (1) MO NE ba-ree | bo bi-ra-ba | ne-b-ere | ne, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| him TOP machete-AGENT POD cut-TEL-COMPL PRES-COMPL-pl TOP |  |  |  |
| As for him, when they cut (him 1 ) with the machete... |  |  |  |

MO KÉ NE sira-ta boo-o,
his younger brother TOP compel-SIM go-3sg GRND
Concerning his younger brother 1 (topic), he 2 went,
all the while compelling (himl) ...

In both of the above examples, as well as in a $S$ ne $O V$ clause or a $S$ ne $O$ ne $V$ clause, we can say that the textual topic marker ne primarily functions to direct attention to the element it marks. This is because an SOV clause with no markings on the nominals already has an unmarked topic - the subject. To topicalise that subject is to draw further attention to it. Why is such attention then drawn to either a subject or an object? The answer cannot come from a sentence-oriented perspective of topicalisation. I will attempt to address this question more fully from a discourse perspective in section 2.2.

There are, however, instances where it can be clearly demonstrated from a sentence perspective that a topicalised element can establish the individual framework for the predication that follows it. Example (3) below is such an instance.

IN BOÓ NE takibo rankoo-b-ere.
our house TOP door close-IMMED-pl
Regarding our house, we closed the door.
The marking of 'our house' in (3) above as an individual topic restricts the domain of the comment that can follow from it. Closing the door is a relevant predication within that framework.

Example (4) below is another instance where the textual topic constitutes the individual framework that has been selected for the two-fold comment that follows from it.
${ }^{1}$ ANEE BEEA NE ${ }^{2}$ Bena ne mo manta.
that Beea TOP Bena TOP his wife
${ }^{3}$ Koorokousoonoonee ne mo imoo.
Koorokousoonoonee TOP his in-law
${ }^{1}$ Regarding that Beea, ${ }^{2}$ Bena was his wife.
(And) ${ }^{3}$ Koorokousoonoonee was his in-law.

Note that in example (4) above there is an embedding of individual topics. The two topics in the comment (clauses 2 and 3) are embedded within the topic, 'Regarding that Beea', that constitutes the individual framework for clauses 2 and 3.

### 2.2 Individual topic - a discourse perspective

In discussing the individual framework from a discourse perspective, I will deal with participants only.

It is necessary to recall first two observations made in the previous discussion about individual frameworks. The first is that not all nominals in a clause have a topic marking. The second is that when a speaker marks a participant as topical, his purpose is to direct the addressee's attention to that participant.

The question one can ask at this point is this: at what point(s) in the marrative would a speaker wish to direct the addressee's attention to a particular participant? Or, at what point in the story is it critical to have an established individual framework into which to fit an event or episode?

Three criteria for marking topical participants can be established. I will discuss these criteria below and illustrate them with examples from narrative texts.
a) Establishing the individual framework for a story. The narrator will establish the individual framework, i.e. the participants involved for a story, within the first several clauses of a text. Typically a narrative will begin as follows:
(5) Beea NE ...

Beea TOP
Beea (topic) ...
(6) Máenee e bo Rúenee e bo TUN NE Maenee PRES POD Ruenee PRES POD they TOP Maenee and Ruenee, they (topic) ...
In example (5) above, Beea is given on the basis of intertextual information. The addressee knows from his exposure to Momuna folklore literature that Beea is the younger brother and that he will be the hero of the text being currently produced. Therefore, Beea is established as the individual topic for the narrative at the very beginning.
In example (6), on the other hand, the participants máenee and Rúenee are not recoverable from the linguistic context, and are, therefore, established as given by the pragmatic topic marker bo. After they have been introduced as pragmatic topics, they are established as textual topics for the narrative by means of the ne after tun they at the end of the example.

Another way of establishing an individual framework for an episode is by means of a verb of perception, such as 'to see', whose object contains information on the participants, props, and situation which is to be introduced. (This is treated in detail in example (22) in section 5.2 on Global Situational Frameworks.)
Bo-meea be-sa baree-y- oo ne
go-DUR go-COMPL see-PERF-3sg TOP
NOOAIN NE ki to-o-n-t-era-noo
women TOP canoe traveZ-CONT-SIM- pl-GRND
Having gone a little while, he saw (topic) (that) the
women (topic) were travelZing by canoe.

Example (7) is the opening statement of an episode. It specifies the participants who will be involved, namely he (Ooroo) and the women, a prop, namely the canoe, and the situation that the participants are involved in at that point in the discourse. The addressee's attention is directed first to the situation that is being introduced by means of the topicalised verb of perception, baree-y-oo ne see-PERF-3sg TOP, and then to the women who are selected as the individual topic, by means of the textual topic marker ne following nooain women, for the events that are to follow.
b) Ensuring that the addressee's attention is on the correct participant. A speaker can direct the addressee's attention toward a particular participant to insure that he will view an event from the correct individual framework. This will be necessary at various points in the narrative.
i) Turning points in the story. These are points of conflict in the story where there is a flurry of complex activity with long reaching consequences for the subsequent plot development. At these points, participants' identities can easily be confused and so explicit marking is in order. A participant who has been involved in previous action in the story and who continues to be involved in the present conflict will be marked with the textual topic marker ne. (A new participant or a participant engaged in a new role, on the other hand, will be marked with the pragmatic topic marker bo.) The turning point that I will use to illustrate the ne-marking concerns itself with the final dispatching of the villain.

The context for example (8) below is this: two men, Beea, the teenage hero, and Roru, the villain, have been engaged in combat. A teenage girl, Bena, sees them fighting. She also sees that Beea is very handsome and would make a good husband for her. So she asks her father, Koorokousoonoonee, to intervene and kill the villain. The events proceed as follows:
(8) ${ }^{\text {l RORU NE te- ta bo }{ }^{2} \text { boó kain kati - ra- ba }}$
Roru TOP continue-SIM POD house under come-TEL-COMPL
tee to- ya sera-b- oo.
at pierce-PERF give-COMPL-3sg
${ }^{1}$ Roru (topic) continued on (toward the house), and ${ }^{2}$ when he had
come underneath the house (topic) ${ }^{3}$ Koorokousoonoonee put (an
arrow) through his brain and 4 (it) pierced the ground.

The pragmatic topic marker bo occurs twice to alert the addressee to the fact that we are dealing with new roles and new situations. It occurs with the reduced verb teta continued on to establish Roru's approach to the house as the point of departure for a new sequence of events, and with the participant, Koorokousoonoonee, who assumes a new role (indicated by bo) as an agent (indicated by the agentive suffix -ree) of death.

Within this context, then, it is crucial for the addressee to idenfity correctly which participant is entering the scene of slaughter. Therefore, at the very beginning of the example, the narrator directs the addressee's attention to Roru, the recipient of the fateful arrow, by marking him with ne topic.
ii) Important transactions. To ensure that the addressee will not confuse participants involved in transactions important to the plot of the story, the narrator can mark the key participants as topical at the time of the transaction.

After the death of Roru in example (8) above, the narrative proceeds as follows:


In the above example, two participants are marked as topical. One is Beea, marked by ne in clause 2. He is the hero who has survived the attack by Roru, the villain (see example 8), and when he enters the house, a new sequence of events will follow. The second is the girl, Bena, marked by ne in clause 5. After Beea has entered the house, the girl's father gives him two things some tobacco (clauses 3 and 4) and Bena, his daughter for a wife (clause 5).
In order to ensure that the addressee does not confuse the girl involved in this transaction with any other girl, the narrator marks her first with the demonstrative pronoun anee that in clause 5, and then with the textual topic marker ne. Recall that the referent of a ne-marked nominal is recoverable from the preceding linguistic context. The girl, Bena, in clause 5 is the only girl mentioned in the preceding linguistic context. The addressee, therefore, could not possibly confuse her with anyone else.
iii) Initial quote margins. ${ }^{7}$ All initial quote margins i.e. quote margins which precede their quote content, require that the speaker be named. Such margins can be set up in either of two ways, as illustrated in examples (10) and (11).

| Mo-ree ya-b-oo | ne |
| :--- | :--- |
| he-AGENT say-IMMED-3sg | TOP |
| He said (topic) . . |  |

(11) Kenee e-b-oo ne younger brother PRES-IMNED-3sg TOP
The younger brother (said) (topic) ...
In example (10) the speaker is referred to by the pronoun mo he marked with the agent suffix -ree and then follows the verb ya say followed by the tense-person/ number suffixes -b-oo immediate past- 3 sg . The whole thing is marked by ne topic at the end of the expression.

In example (11) an equivalent to the speech margin is created by the nominal kenee younger brother followed by the presentative morpheme e. Then this morpheme (like the verb root ya- say of example l0) is followed by the tense-person/number markers and ultimately by ne topic.

The kind of quote margin in example (ll) is found much more frequently in narrative texts than the kind in example (10). By its use, the narrator draws the addressee's attention to the speaker of the quote and thus establishes an individual framework for the comment, which in this case is the quote content.

Not all quote content is introduced by an initial quote margin. However, when it is, the narrator wishes to ensure that the addressee knows who is saying what. This is particularly true when there are a number of participants involved in a conversation. The quote content of each participant is then introduced by an initial quote margin to specify the individual framework for it.
A narrator will also use an initial quote margin to introduce a participant's comment on a local situation which is relevant only from that participant's viewpoint.

The context for the illustration in (12) below is this: a man has left his companions and gone off hunting alone. He comes upon a pig and shoots it. However, to his horror, he realises that he has not shot a wild pig, but a domesticated pig. He calls for his companions and when they come, he says the following to them:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
{ }^{1} \text { NA NE-B-00 } & \text { NE } & \text { 12 Wò ibà mee tee sei ye. }  \tag{12}\\
I \text { PRES-IMMED-3sg TOP pig wild kind at NEG PRES } \\
{ }^{3} \text { In botee wò-ka bo-b-a } & \text { ne.' } \\
\text { our in-law pig-FOC hit-IMMED-lsg TOP } \\
{ }^{1} I \text { (said) (topic), }{ }^{2} I t ' s \text { not a wild pig. }{ }^{3} I \text { shot our } \\
\text { in-law's pig (topic).' }
\end{array}
$$

The speech quote in (12) above can be attributed to only one man - the one who shot the pig. In this first person account he identifies himself within the initial quote margin which is given in clause 2 and is the same kind as example (ll). The quote is more relevant to him than to anyone else as he is seeking help from his addressees.
c) Maintaining the continuity of the topic. The narrator may need to remind the addressee at times of the individual framework that has been established for the narrative. This is frequently the case when, after establishing a major participant as the topic for an episode, the reader's attention is first shifted away from that participant to a minor one, and then back again to the major participant.
The context for the illustration in (13) below is this: two young boys, Bankooenee and Rúenee, decided one day, that they wanted to go bird hunting down river. The narrative continues as follows:

| $l_{K i}$ <br> canoe | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wera-ba } \\ & \text { take-COMPL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{align*} & \text { ²o-sa }  \tag{13}\\ & \text { go south } \end{align*}$ | COMPL | 3má bird | yobu <br> place | toobookoo-ba enter-COMPL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 40-y a \\ & \text { go sou } \end{aligned}$ | $t h-P E R F$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ee-re } \\ & \text {-IMM-pl } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { ne } & M A ́ \\ \text { TOP } & b i \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NE} \\ & \mathrm{irg} \\ & \mathrm{TOP} \end{aligned}$ | mera <br> none | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sa-b-oo-o. } \\ & \text { become-compl } \end{aligned}$ |


| ${ }^{6}$ Kootoo TUN NE tetera-ba | ${ }^{7}$ be-sa | ${ }^{8} \mathrm{ki}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then | they TOP turn around-COMPL | go-COMPL | canoe |

bokoo toobookoo-ba inside enter-COMPL
${ }^{1}$ (They) took the canoe, ${ }^{2}$ went south, ${ }^{3}$ arrived at the place of the birds, ${ }^{4}$ and having gone south ${ }^{5}$ they saw (topic) (that) there were no birds (topic) left. ${ }^{6}$ Then they (topic) turned around, ${ }^{7}$ went and ${ }^{8}$ climbed into the canoe and ...

The reader's attention is drawn first to the birds in clause 5, where they are topicalised by MÁ NE bird TOP. When it is discovered that there are none left (clause 5) and the two participants must respond to that state of affairs, the reader's attention is then drawn back to these participants by the topic marker ne on tun they of clause 6 .

## 3. SPATIAL FRAMEWORKS

In discussing spatial frameworks, it will be necessary to keep in mind the distinction made earlier between pragmatic topics (marked by bo) and textual topics (marked by ne). A pragmatic topic is an entity that the addressee needs to take as given in order for the discourse to proceed. A textual topic is an entity which is given, i.e. recoverable from the preceding linguistic context.

The spatial framework is realised by a noun phrase, most frequently utilising the post-position tee at. In Momuna narrative it is not common to find a ne-marked noun phrase that defines the spatial framework for a predication. The reason for this is not hard to find. In a fast moving narrative, locations may change as well to keep pace with the action. When they do, they are marked by bo. Rarely will the same location be mentioned twice. But when it is, it will already be given information in which case the textual topic marker ne will be used.
(14) Kootoo, lín muro neea kouwa tee NE ${ }^{2}$ nyá
then river west place across at TOP person
roomo kirin sa-b-ere.
whoop return become-IMMED-pl
Then, lacross the river to the west (topic), ${ }^{2}$ a person
whooped in return.

The location 'across the river to the west' (clause l) is recoverable from the linguistic context in which example (14) is found. For that reason the textual topic marker ne is used here.

## 4. TEMPORAL FRAMEWORKS

A temporal framework can be established in two ways. One is by means of nominals such as koroo yesterday or oomee before.
(15) In ne OOMEE NE weeree titi sa-n-t-era-n tee, we TOP before TOP hungry very become-CONT-SIM-pl-CONT IRREAL We (topic) before (topic) had become very hungry, but ...

Note that in example (15) the temporal framework for the clause, realised by oomee ne before (topic), is embedded within the individual framework realised by in ne we (topic). This is frequently the case in the body of a narrative when the speaker is more concerned with who was involved in an event than when that event took place in time. The context in which example (15) is found is this: two groups of young men had gone down river to visit some friends. Group A then went further down river to visit some other friends, leaving group B behind. Group B was not given much food to eat by their friends and they become very hungry. When group A returned, they brought a lot of sago back with them and shared it with group B. It is at this point in the story
that the narrator makes the statement found in example (15). He is more concerned that the addressee understand who had become hungry 'we (topic)' than when they had become hungry 'before (topic)' and therefore he establishes the individual framework for the clause before the temporal framework; hence the embedding.

The second way of establishing a temporal framework is by means of a verb to encode temporal processes like the coming of dawn or dusk. When such a process constitutes the temporal framework for an event and it is new information (i.e. it is not recoverable from the previous linguistic context), it is encoded by the grounded verb with a cohesive suffix that makes the verb dependent on the main clause. Note that the third person singular form of the cohesive suffix is -bo. It should not be confused with the pragmatic topic marker bo.

Example (16), found near the beginning of an episode, illustrates this use of the grounded verb to establish a temporal framework that is not recoverable from the previous linguistic context.


When temporal information is recoverable from the previous linguistic context, the verb that encodes that information will be marked with the textual topic marker ne. Example (17) below comes from the same text as (16); in fact, the episode that it introduces follows immediately after the episode in which (16) is found.
(17)
l Te-a-ba bo ${ }^{2}$ KEERA-Y-00 NE ${ }^{3}$ Kiriroko tere bo
take-SEQ-COMPL POD doawn-PERF-3sg TOP Kiriroko to POD
After that, (it) having dawned (topic), (we said) to Kiriroko ...

The pragmatic topic marker bo occurs twice here to alert the addressee to the fact that we are dealing with a new episode. It occurs with the reduced verb teaba after that had happened to establish the previous event as a point of departure for a new sequence of events, and with a new participant, Kiriroko, who is being addressed for the first time. The temporal information in clause 2 , however, is marked with ne which means that the episode introduced by (17) occurred the same morning as the event in (16). The dawn of clause 2 in example (l7) is recoverable from the linguistic context, having been mentioned previously in clause 1 of example (16).

The criteria, then, in marking a temporal framework with the topic marker ne is that the temporal information must be recoverable from the previous linguistic context. We have found previously that this is the same criterion that also defines the establishment of individual and spatial frameworks marked with ne.

## 5. SITUATIONAL FRAMEWORKS

Two kinds of situational frameworks are realised by textual topics. They are local situational frameworks and global situational frameworks.

### 5.1 Local situational frameworks

A local situational framework can be established by marking a finite verb with the textual topic marker ne. Final, reduced and grounded verbs can all be topicalised in this way, setting up a local framework or topic. The comment then adds detail about the action described by the topicalised verb. The effect of this is only local because the comment is restricted to the topicalised event only. The information given in the comment never moves the event line forward.
(18)

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
{ }^{1} \text { Mo ne ké } & 0-B-00 & \text { NE 2bà-ree o-b-oo. } \\
\text { he TOP snake kiZZ-IMMED-3sg } & \text { TOP machete-INSTR kiZL-IMMED-3sg } \\
{ }^{1} \text { He killed the snake (topic), }{ }^{2} \text { with a machete he kiZled (it). }
\end{array}
$$

In example (18), the verb in clause 1 is topicalised while the second clause adds detail about the action of the first clause by giving the instrument with which that action was performed.

| ${ }^{1}$ Ayankee | ne kwi-sa | BE-SA | NE |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ayankee | TOP jump-COMPL | go-COMPL | TOP |


| 2 ín bera-ra | tou-sa | ín | koo-ba |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| river dive-COMPL | submerge-COMPL river swim-COMPL |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { boo-o-bo, } \\
& \text { go-(3sg)-GRND-COH } \\
& { }^{1} \text { Ayankee jumped and went (topic), }{ }^{2} \text { when he had dived into the } \\
& \text { river and submerged and swam and was going that way ... }
\end{aligned}
$$

In example (19), the compound event in clause 1 is topicalised, while the second clause adds detail about the action in the first clause by giving an amplified restatement of it.

At other times a local situational framework is created by the speaker in order to suspend the progression of the narrative so that he can elaborate on an event with more detail. The example (20) below is taken from a pig-hunting narrative. The speaker chronicles the actions of the hunting party in rapid succession by means of a long series of (22) reduced verbs and then he
interposes this elaboration:
(20) l Kwireekwiree-b-u-o-bo, 2 in kooroò keiyo dusk-COMPL-3sg-GRND-COH our companion two

| TOOBOOKOO-B-ERE | NE | ${ }^{3}$ toobookoo-b-ere-noo-o, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| enter-IMMED-pl | TOP | enter-COMPL-pl-GRND-COH |


| ${ }^{4}$ tebanee | e | tebanee | keiyo-ke | bo |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tree kangaroo | PRES | tree kangaroo | two-REFLEX | POD | oú wokoo e bo ki kebe e te-ba Zizard Wokoo PRES POD shrimp PRES take-COMPL

$\begin{array}{ll}{ }^{5} \text { mee waru tee ki-ba } & { }^{6} \text { ree-ree-ma } \\ \text { kind can into put in-COMPL } & \text { eat-PROC-INFIN }\end{array}$
7 mee-a $\quad 8$ keera-y-oo-o-bo sleep-COMPL doum-PERF-3sg-GRND-COH
${ }^{1}$ When dusk had come, ${ }^{2}$ our two companions entered (topic) ${ }^{3}$ and when they had entered, "we took a tree kangaroo and two more tree kangaroos and a wakoo lizard and shrimp ${ }^{5}$ and put them into a can ${ }^{6}$ and ate a long while ${ }^{7}$ and slept and ${ }^{8}$ when down had come...

In the above example the topicalised verb TOOBOOKOO-B-ERE NE to enter TOP in clause 2 is a final verb. A final verb always indicates the end of a sentence. It also moves the event line forward, especially when it comes at the end of a long chain of dependent clauses as it does here. When such a final verb is topicalised, as it is in clause 2, any forward movement of the event line is temporarily suspended in order to allow for the elaboration in the comment. The comment in (20) above consists of clauses 3, 4, 5, and 6 and just gives details about a feast. It is not difficult to see how the feast celebrated by the arrival of the two hunting companions halted any progressive steps they may have taken toward hunting down a pig, which was the original objective of the story.

### 5.2 Global situational frameworks

A global situational framework establishes a situation and its preceding context as topic, and the comment that follows from it moves the event line forward. Its effect is therefore global. I will define and discuss three different types of global situational frameworks below.
a) Topicalised verbs of perception with a situation defining object. The device here consists of a topicalised verb of perception like 'see' whose object is an embedded clause with situation defining information, that is, information that introduces participants and props and the action they are engaged in. The participants and props can be recoverable from the linguistic context or they can be new. ${ }^{8}$ Thus, this device functions like a presentative. The defined situation is linked with the subject of the verb of perception because that verb states that the situation is within the perceptual sphere of the subject. The total framework defined at this point in the story, however, consists not only of the immediate situation but also of relevant information from the preceding linguistic context, including especially any reported desires, intentions or plans of any of the participants. The comment which follows the framework will report events that arise from the situation and these events will advance the main event line. Such events may well be related to the desires, intentions, or plans already expressed in the preceding context.

The contextual information for example (2l) below is the following: Ooroo, the older brother, upon seeing a canoe load of women coming north, wishes to take one as a wife. His younger brother discourages him because the women are visitors to the area, but he comes up with an excuse and sets out to get himself a wife. The narrative continues as follows:

${ }^{9}$ Siki-ma-oo-o loin riti tee bo kò boo tee
chase-HAB-DUR-3sg-GRND river middle at POD tree log at
be-sa bu-oo.
go-COMPL sit-PERF-3sg
${ }^{1}$ Having gone, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{HE}$ SAW (TOPIC) ${ }^{3}$ THE WOMEN (TOPIC) TRAVELLING BY
CANOE. 4 (Let) me (in) too, '5he (said). 6 'Today one of you
will become my wife.' 7he (said). 8The women, they went south
by canoe first. ${ }^{9} \mathrm{He}$ chased them, 10 and went and sat down on
a log across the middle of the river.

The device that establishes the situational framework is clauses 2 and 3, 'HE SAW (TOPIC) THE WOMEN (TOPIC) TRAVELLING BY CANOE'. Thus, the women travelling by canoe are introduced on stage, related to Coroo (subject of baree see); and the addressee would expect that the canoe too will figure in the interaction that will take place between Ooroo and the women. The preceding context has already mentioned both the women and the canoe so that these are given information. It has also established the identity of 'he' as Ooroo and also his intention to take steps to secure a wife when he meets up with these women.

Thus, although the framework cannot unerringly predict the outcome of the interaction, it can both indicate the general direction that the events will take and adequately account for them. This is the nature of the tight relationship I claimed between this kind of situational framework and its comment.


Example (22) follows immediately after example (21) in the same text. Here again, the topicalised perceptual verb 'look up and see' of clause 1 with a situation defining object 'that they were going south' of clause 2 sets up the situational framework, which is almost identical with that of example (2l).

In fact, the participants are the same, the circumstances of meeting are the same, Ooroo's desires and plans are the same; only the time and place are different.
b) Topicalised speech proposals. The device here consists of a speech proposal, either in the first or second person, like 'let's eat' followed by the textual topic marked ne. Such a topicalised speech proposal defines a situation which the participants concerned need to act on, and it may even give details on how to act on it. What follows in the text is the comment which describes how the situation was acted on. Thus, the comment advances the main event line and is therefore global in effect. (The speech proposals in (23) are marked in capitals.)

```
(23a) \({ }^{l}\) Neria eekee ne mure-ree bei-ba
    complete sun TOP west-AGENT go down-COMPL
    takee-y-oo-o-bo, \({ }^{2}\) sere-ra-a-b-ainre-no
    stand-PERF-3sg-GRND-COH cook-TEL-SEQ-COMPL-pl-CLO
    \(3^{\prime}\) MEÉ AROO KAEÉ KYESINI-RA NE,' \({ }^{4}\) a-ba
        people food take off-FUT-(pl) TOP thus-COMPL
        ya-ee-re-noo-o \({ }^{5}\) nyá ini toobookoo-ba
        say-PERF-pl-GRND-COH person all enter-COMPL
    \({ }^{6}\) kaeé kyesini-ba \({ }^{7}\) guru kwene ne
        food take off-COMPL teacher cooked food TOP
        mo o soonoo, 8bwó taeé e tee-a
        he EXCL only turtle meat PRES take-COMPL
    9nyá nyá kwene ini bwoó taeé e soonoo
        people cooked food all turtle meat PRES only
        tee-re.
        take-IMMED (pl)
    \({ }^{1}\) When the sun had already gone down in the west, \({ }^{2}\) and after
        they had finished cooking, 3 'PEOPLE, LET'S TAKE THE FOOD OFF
        (TOPIC),' \({ }^{4}\) when they had said that, \({ }^{5}\) all the people came
    \({ }^{6}\) and took off the food, \({ }^{7}\) the teacher (took) his own, \({ }^{8}\) and took
        it with turtle meat, \({ }^{9}\) the people took only the food with
        turtle meat.
(23b) \({ }^{101 R U-R A ~ N E ' ~}{ }^{11}\) b-ere. \({ }^{12}\) Nyá ini toobookoo-ba
        eat-FUT-(pl) TOP-IMMED-pl people all enter-COMPL
\({ }^{13}\) bu-to-ba \(\quad 14\) re-ra-a-b-ainra-na, 15 bó
    sit together-COMPL eat-TEL-SEQ-COMPL-pl-CLO house
    toobookoo-ri-ba \({ }^{16}\) kwene meta mee
    enter-MULT ACTION-COMPL cooked food thing kind
    re-ra-ba \(\quad 17\) beea mee.
    eat-TEL-COMPL finished.
10 'LET'S EAT (TOPIC), ' \({ }^{11}\) they (said). \({ }^{12} A Z Z\) the people came
\({ }^{13}\) and sat down together, \({ }^{14}\) and after they had eaten, \({ }^{15 \text { (they) }}\)
each went to their houses \({ }^{16}\) and ate up what had been cooked,
17 and that was all.
```

Examples (23a) and (23b) above are successive sequences of events in the same narrative. The situational context in which the events take place is defined by the speech proposals of clause 3 and clause 10 . In clause 3, the proposal 'let's take the food off' is concerned with the removal of food from the cooking pit, and in clause 10 the proposal 'let's eat' is concerned with the eating of that food. Note that there is a closure to the sequence of events when the proposal has been fully executed. In (23a) that closure is indicated by the final verb teere they took (clause 9) and in (23b) the closure comes by means of the phrase beea mee finished (clause 17).
Note that in (23a) and (23b), the speech proposals come at the beginning of an episode in clauses 3 and 10 respectively, immediately after the deictic anchorage (see Rommetveit 1968) has been established for the ensuing stretch of discourse. The events that constitute the comment for the speech proposals in (23a) and (23b), then, are in the same episode as the speech proposals that introduce them.

However, a proposal for action or an instruction can also come at the end of an episode. This happens when the proposal is an instruction of the kind where the participant to whom it is addressed must separate himself from the rest and go to another location in order to carry it out. Thus, a new deictic anchorage needs to be set up before the instruction is carried out and hence a new episode begins there. The instruction, however, still establishes a framework for the new episode.

Example (24) illustrates this. It is divided up into two parts, first (24a) which is the speech proposal or instruction, coming at the end of its episode, and second (24b) which describes the events which take place when the addressee of (24a) seeks to carry out those instructions.

| (24a) |  |
| :---: | :---: |

Taken from a Momuna legend, the above instructions were given to a man, who was told that a cassowary would follow him home and he was to kill it for food for his child. Note the presence of the presentative morpheme $e$ in clause 3, which functions to coordinate clauses 1,2 and 3 with clauses 5 and 6 , thus
marking the whole quote content as a speech proposal. This whole proposal is then topicalised by the ne at the very end of clause 6. The events which follow these instructions are the following:

c) Topicalised events that follow inevitably from the preceding context. Sometimes a state of affairs described in the preceding context leads inevitably or almost inevitably to other situations. Such inevitable situations can be treated as textual topics because they are givens in the sense that they are recoverable, i.e. inevitably inferrable from the text. If, then, the narrator wants to talk about events arising from such situations, he will mark the situation as a textual topic with a ne and then proceed with his comments.

The contextual information for example (25) below is this: a father has kidnapped his infant child from its mother, who is told that she will never see him (the child) again. It is the father who must now take care of the child and meet its needs. To make those needs known the child will inevitably cry. In (25) below the narrator sets up the child's crying as a given (marked by ne) since that will inevitably occur, and makes his comment about it.
(25)


A further examination of the episode in which (25) is found reveals that several other situations that inevitably arise from the same previous context are also established as given by the narrator and marked by ne. These situations all have to do with the growth and care of the child. The latter, namely the care of the child, has already been established as a situation that the father will inevitably need to address in the events that follow. Another inevitability arising from the human situation is that, unless death strikes prematurely, the child will grow.

Situations having to do with the care and development of the kidnapped child are then inevitably inferrable from the previous context and can be established as given by the narrator. In (26) below I present the other situations that the narrator has marked as textual topics (with a ne) along with the comments that follow from them.

| (26a) | Kyon-t-oo KYON-T-00 | NE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | continue-SIM-3sg | continue-SIM-3sg |$\quad$ TOP

meé ne yoó sa-b-oo. child TOP tooth become-IMMED-3sg As time went on (topic) the child got teeth.
(26b) Weianeen-t-oo WEIANEEN-T-00 NE
care for-CIM-3sg care for-SIM-3sg TOP
mo o-ree boo-soon.
he EXCL go-IMMED-3sg
As he contined to care (for him) (topic) the child
walked by himself.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { (26c) Renee too } & S A-Y-00-0 & \text { NE wò } \\ & \text { Renoo like become-PERF-3sg-GRND } & \text { TOP pig }\end{array}$
witi ki kebe ne mo meé ne sera-ma ne cassowary shrimp TOP his child TOP give-INFIN TOP oyoo e sei.
noise PRES NEG
When he (the child) became (as big) as Renoo (topic) he gave his child a lot of pig, cassowary and shrimp.
(26d) Kyon-t-oo KYON-T-00 NE meé ne continue-SIM-3sg continue-SIM-3sg TOP child TOP
na too sa-b-oo.
I like become-IMMED-3sg
As time went on (topic), the child became (as big) as me.
(26e) TE-Y-00-0 NE meé ne bo-oree thus-PERF-3sg-GRND TOP child TOP wild game
o-ma ne oyoo e sei.
kizl-INFIN TOP noise PRES NEG
When that happened (when it became as big as me) (topic), the child killed a lot of wild game.

The above sequence of situational frameworks raises the possibility that we are dealing with a framework that is global in scope. Not only can a number of more local situational frameworks, all inferrable from the same context, be established throughout the course of an episode, but the comments for each of the situational frameworks in (26) also move the event line forward.

We could therefore posit a global framework for the episode, namely the care and development of the child. This global framework would be implicit yet nevertheless given because it is inevitably inferrable from the original situation, namely the infant child left with its father. We can define the nature of this global framework by examining the previous context and the series of more local situational frameworks that are inferred from it.

We can conclude from the above discussion that topicalised finite verbs together with the context in which they are found can define the situational framework for a sequence of events. When they do, they pragmatically function to segment a narrative into manageable chunks for the addressee to process. For each of these sequences of events they define the situational framework from which to view the events. They allow the addressee to see the topic, or the framework first, so that he can fit the new information or the comment into it.

This paper represents a departure, then, from the way in which people have traditionally viewed topics. Topic in Momuna narrative discourse is not a discrete entity. A proposition, i.e. a topicalised verb together with contextual information, as well as a nominal entity like a participant can constitute a framework for a stretch of discourse.

Moreover, it is not sufficient to regard topic simply as given information that constitutes a starting point for the adding of new information. Momuna requires that a distinction be made in the nature of the givenness. A topic that is recoverable from the preceding linguistic context is marked differently from a topic that the narrator establishes as given in order to proceed with a stretch of discourse.

## APPENDIX A

There are four verb types in Momuna that contrast with each other l) in their affixation for person-number and 2) in their affixation which indicates their inter-clausal function and position in the sentence.

The final verb formally indicates the end of a sentence which can consist of either a single clause or a long sequence of clauses realised by any one of the other three verb types.

The grounded verb differs from the final verb in that it must obligatorily be marked with a grounding suffix (GRND) which causes that clause to become a setting or apposition to the clause that follows it. When the cohesion suffix $(\mathrm{COH})$ follows the grounding suffix, a clause realised by a grounded verb becomes dependent upon the main clause.

The serial verb encodes events that are sequentially ordered in time. When the closure suffix (CLO) follows the person-number suffixes, the verb cannot stand in the final position of the sentence.

The reduced verb forms a macro-event with either a final, grounded or serial verb. Structurally it is the most simple verb in that the person-number suffixes are conflated into a single form -a. It is also the only verb type that can occur with the pragmatic topic marker bo.

In Figure 1 below I present l) the person-number suffixes for the four verb types, 2) the grounding and cohesion suffixes for the grounded verb and 3) the closure suffixes for the serial verb. They appear in paradigm form together with the immediate past tense/completive aspect suffix -b.

| VERB TYPE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PERSON-NUMBER | FINAL | GROUNDED-GRND-COH | SERIAL-CLO | REDUCED |
| 1 sg | -b-a | -b-a-noo-o | -b-enonoo-o | -b-a |
| 2 sg | -b-o | -b-o-noo-o | -b-ono-bo | -b-a |
| 3 sg | -b-oo | -b-oo-o-bo | -b-onoo-o | -b-a |
| pl | -b-era | -b-era-noo-o | -b-ainra-na | -b-a |

Figure 1: Person-number suffixes for the four verb types

ABBREVIATIONS

| AGENT | Agent | MULT ACTION | Multiple Action |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ASSERT | Assertive | NEG | Negative |
| BEN | Benefactive | pl | Plural |
| CLO | Closure | POD | Point of departure |
| COH | Cohesion |  | pragmatic topic) |
| COMPL | Completive aspect | PRES | Presentative |
| CONT | Continuative aspect | PROC | Process |
| DUR | Durative aspect | REFLEX | Reflexive |
| EXCL | Exclusive | Sg | Singular |
| FOC | Focus | SIM | Simultaneous aspect |
| FUT | Future tense | SEQ | Sequential |
| GRND | Ground | TEL | Telic |
| HAB | Habitual | TOP | Textual topic |
| IMMED | Immediate past tense | 1 | First person |
| IMPER | Imperative | 2 | Second person |
| INSTR | Instrument | 3 | Third person |
| IRREAL | Irrealis |  |  |

NOTES

[^2]tone on monosyllables: high (marked by the acute accent), mid (unmarked) and low (marked by a grave accent). On non-monosyllabic words only two levels are contrastive, and these on the stressed syllable only.

The present study was undertaken in a workshop held in 1984 in Danau Bira, Irian Jaya with the Cooperative Program of Cenderawasih University and the Summer Institute of Linguistics. Analysis was done on more than 20 texts representing Momuna folktales, legends and personal accounts of real life events and collected between June 1981 and October 1984.

I acknowledge my indebtedness and thanks to Tokooboo, Ko'enee, Te-enee and Keikwa for their faithful language help and to Dr Ivan Lowe for his theoretical input and extensive editorial help with the manuscript. Also to the other workshop participants, especially Dr Helen Miehle and Janet Bateman, who made valuable suggestions and in more practical ways helped this paper see the light of day.
${ }^{2}$ Momuna marks both conditional and contrafactual clauses with the topic marker ne. Also marked as topical is all quote content which deals primarily with the mental and emotional world of the participant involved in the narrative. Since I am restricting this paper to the marking of topic within the real world of the story only, I will not discuss conditionals or quote content any further.
${ }^{3}$ I use the term 'focus' to refer to new information, particularly information that the addressee is not expecting.
${ }^{4}$ The presentative or coordinating morpheme (glossed as PRES) has two variant forms, namely ne and e. The form ne occurs with pronouns, and e occurs with all proper and common nouns. Since the ne form is homophonous with the topic marker ne, I am currently investigating whether there is an overlap in function between the presentative morpheme and the topic marker. The hierarchy of 'potentiality of topicality' (Givon 1983) predicts that pronouns have a greater potential for topicality than do proper names. Since the presentative morpheme ne occurs only with pronouns, which also have a greater potential for topicality, an overlap in function between the presentative morpheme ne and the topic marker ne cannot be summarily ruled out.
${ }^{5}$ Raised numbers placed before a word are used to number the clauses in the example and in the gloss. Raised numbers placed after a word will be used to number footnotes.
${ }^{6}$ The suffix -bo is a third person singular cohesion marker on the grounded verb. It is not to be confused with the pragmatic topic marker bo.
${ }^{7}$ The initial quote margin contrasts with other entities that constitute an individual framework in that the topicalised element is a verb rather than a NP. However, it functions more like an individual framework than a local situational framework whose topicalised element is also a verb. The comment for a local situational framework adds only local detail to the topicalised verb, whereas the quote content (comment) introduced by the initial quote margin can have global implications (see section 5.2 on topicalised speech proposals), which would make the quote content more weighted or important than the quote margin. For this reason, it is more appropriate to consider the topicalised initial quote margins as constituting an individual framework rather than a local situational framework.
${ }^{8}$ When a participant introduced in the embedded object of a perceptual verb is 'given' information, he is marked with ne. If, however, a completely new participant, i.e. one not identifiable in terms of the preceding linguistic context, is introduced in such an embedded object, he must be marked with the focus particle -ka.
${ }^{9}$ The potential topic marker no is found almost exclusively in reported speech and hortatory texts. It contrasts with the textual topic marker ne in that it marks entities or propositions which have not been realised in the real world at the time of the speech event, but which the narrator fully expects will be realised at some point in the future. ne, on the other hand, marks real world entities and propositions.
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DOMINANCE AND NON-DOMINANCE IN SIKARITAI DISCOURSE<br>David L. Martin

## INTRODUCTION

In tying together the various parts of a discourse, deictic morphemes usually play an important role. And Sikaritai ${ }^{1}$ discourse is no exception. In fact, there seems to be an abundance of particles playing some deictic role.
The family consists of the particles bi, ba, bu, be, bo. ${ }^{2}$ The first two of these help sort out participants and props with regard to their involvement in advancing the story plot; while the last three have to do with creating a spatial, temporal, individual, or situational framework. By observing occurrences of these particles throughout a text, then, the addressee can accurately determine a given character's ${ }^{3}$ role in the overall story, and also in any portion of the story. At the same time, relationships between characters are clearly delineated.

In this paper, I will examine the functions of two of these particles, bi and ba, in tracking participants and props, and occasionally propositions, in Sikaritai discourse. Since bi and ba function most widely in narrative discourse, this genre is considered most carefully. However, other discourse genre are referred to as well.

## 1. DOMINANCE - A DEFINITION

To begin with, let us consider the role within the overall discourse played by various kinds of participants and props. By far the common distinction is that between major and minor. Major characters are those who interact with each other for a greater part of the discourse, while minor characters are those who interact only with major characters, and then only for short stretches of the discourse. (That is to say, minor characters never interact with each other.) The distinction between major and minor is therefore a global distinction, in that a major character remains major and a minor character minor, throughout a discourse.

Another distinction is between dominant and dominated. A participant $A$ is said to have a dominant role over another $B$ when $A$ outranks $B$ in power or initiative for a particular action or situation of a story. Thus, for example, if $A$ is the agent of an action while $B$ is the patient, $A$ would be dominant over $B$. We can look on $A$ as being dominant over $B$ both with respect to single actions and with respect to larger stretches of discourse. In any stretch of discourse

[^3]where $A$ is dominant over $B, A$ will be more directly involved in advancing the plot of the story than $B$, because the dominant participant is the one who has the initiative for the actions.

The major-minor distinction, then, is not quite the same as the dominantdominated distinction. A major participant can at times be dominated and a minor participant can at times be dominant. Nevertheless, we would expect that, more frequently, major participants would be dominant and minor participants non-dominant. This is in accord with Grimes' (1975) observation that major participants play a more dominant role within a discourse (on the average). In no way could a minor or non-dominant participant be considered unnecessary or optional, as they also play their part in advancing the plot of the story. But in comparison with the major (usually dominant) characters, whose actions and even presence advance the plot line, minor or non-dominant characters are lower on the hierarchy of dominance.

This paper, then, will focus on the means by which Sikaritai speakers distinguish between characters which are dominant and those which are nondominant. Occasionally the dominance applies to information rather than characters (cf. sections 3.l.4 and 3.2.3). Accordingly, participants and props which contribute directly at a given point to the advancement of the plot are considered dominant; whereas those which are dominated or overshadowed by the major characters, and therefore contribute only indirectly, are considered non-dominant.

In keeping with this division, then, the particle bí is analysed as marking those characters which are globally dominant in Sikaritai discourses, while bá marks those that are globally non-dominant. Furthermore, the combination babí signals a reversal in dominance relationships between characters. On the other hand, bíba between two clauses links these together in a head-modifier relationship. ${ }^{4}$

## 2. DEICTIC PARTICLES AND NOMINALS

Sikaritai discourse uses a variety of particles, all of which perform some sort of deictic function. The collection includes: bi, ba, bu, be, bo and each of these at least in some way helps to rank participants, props, and situations in relation to one another.

These five particles mark all the relevant distinctions of the participant reference system in Sikaritai. These distinctions and the particles which mark them are:

1. bí and bá classify participants and props as to their dominance in terms of the overall plot. (In contexts where dominance is not at issue, bi and ba will function as third person pronouns.)
2. bu
3. bé bó
classifies a noun referent as under specific attention at a given point within the discourse.
4. bé bó identify participants and propositions as to the context of the situation in which they occur.

To these morphemes must be added the feature of tone which indicates the system, if any, within which the referent operates. Thus, high tone (') on a particle establishes that referent as operating within a restricted system, while the same particle with low tone (unmarked) has no such restriction. The precise nature of the restriction for bi and ba will be dealt with in section 3.2 .

With these particles, then, a speaker specifies who or what is dominant vs. non-dominant in terms of the plot of the story; who or what is under attention at a given point; and in what situations these roles are played.

With that overview, let us discuss the role of the particles bi and ba specifically in establishing the dominance or non-dominance of participants and propositions in Sikaritai discourse. The other three particles will not be discussed further in this paper.

## 3. BI AND BA IN RELATION TO DOMINANCE

The particles bí and bá, on examining a given discourse, are most directly connected with establishing and maintaining the relative dominance of characters and propositions throughout the discourse. Thus, it could be said that the root meaning of bí is dominance or advancing the plot of the story. As such, bí in a summary section at the end of a story can refer to the whole plot, the whole event content of a story. But more commonly it occurs with nouns, thus establishing the noun referent as dominant, and so directly involved in advancing the plot.
On the other hand, bá indicates that a noun referent is dominated by or overshadowed by those referents marked with bí. Nouns marked with bá are usually not directly involved in advancing the plot. Thus, bá never occurs in summaries. This is in keeping with the common use of ba as third person pronoun in normal conversation. A speaker only uses bí when he wants to single out one particular participant from the rest; usually this singling out is with regard to dominance, but occasionally other parameters can be involved.
Concerning the effect of tone, the fact that the pronoun bí is always marked with a high tone is in accord with the fact that it only operates within the restricted system of dominance. Similarly, bá operates in a restricted system, while ba operates in a non-restricted system.
Finally, given the roles of bí and bá in determining the relative dominance of characters, is there a means of shifting the status of a character either temporarily or permanently? This is in fact accomplished by a combination of bí and bá, specifically babí. On the other hand, the combination bíba adds secondary information to aid in understanding a dominant character or proposition.

### 3.1 Dominance - Bí

Bí marks a participant or prop as globally dominant in a text. In other words, such a participant or prop is directly involved in events which advance the plot of the story.

### 3.1.1 Globally dominant character - NP + bí

The most easily understood use of bí with nominals is as a suffix on an NP at or near the beginning of a narrative. This establishes the referent(s) as dominant participants, i.e. as initiators of the events that advance the story plot. Thereafter in the text, $N P+b i ́ m a y$ be repeated, referring again to that participant. However, more often, bí is used as a pronoun (anaphorically) to refer to that participant and thus assert his dominance. Any subsequent nominal in the text that refers to a dominant participant is also marked with bí. This applies whether there is only one dominant participant in the story or several.
(1) In a text describing a wife's adultery with an unmarried man, and events resulting from the act (hereafter called the 'Burmeso Adultery' text), there are three participants, all marked with bi, i.e. the wife, her husband, and the unmarried man. Accordingly, following the text setting, we first find (see page 228 for list of abbreviations) :
Túg-BÍ. Siwáya túg-sí-Bf. Waugsa bgóda-BÍ. Bgódetaí wife-DOM Siwai wife-CST-DOM Burmeso bachelor-DOM bachelor kía-BÍ.
other-DOM
There was A WIFE, i.e. A SIWAI WIFE. There was A BURMESO BACHELOR, I mean ANOTHER BACHELOR.
Then, after detailing how these two participants committed adultery, the narrator continues:
Babí túgja-ka- bgóda- BÍ ded-é keé. Ápuéd bédko keé. RR wife-not-bacheZor-DOM fish-for go Apui toward go And THE WIFE AND HER HUSBAND went fishing. They went, went towards Apui.

Thus, each of the three major participants are introduced by $N P+b i ́ . ~ I t ~ f o l l o w s, ~ t h e n, ~ t h a t ~ t h e i r ~ p r e s e n c e ~ a n d ~ a c t i o n s ~$ are crucial to the communication of the story plot and the story moral, which is that adultery and murder to not pay. Then, as the story unfolds, each of the three continues to be referred to by bí, including the husband even after he is killed by his wife, for his death sparks off the search for and murder of the adulterous woman.
(2)

Similarly, in a text describing the killing of a man with a giant scrotum, and the resultant ruin of the surrounding land (the 'Big Scrotum' text), the major participants, i.e. the man, his wife, and the children who killed him, are all introduced by NP + bi. First, the man:
Tró pája- BÍ Degkó kró. BÍ tró Ápuédtaí be-púd-pi. man devil-DOM Degko old 3DOM men Apui clan there-live-p THE DEVIL, whose old name is Degko. HE lived with the Apui people.
Then, after describing his living quarters in a tree, the wife is brought on the scene:

Túg ó-bu ... pi pa- buúdéta- peí. BÍ pí- sí ta-tíepesl. wife his-POD sago down-harvest-REM 3DOM sago-CST from-whistle HIS WIFE was harvesting sago. SHE in the sago whistled.
The narrator then discusses typical activities of the man and his wife, before introducing the children, and in turn their killing of the man:
Bgódetaí egkí, BÍ o dá asuai do-pí-bi. bachelor small 3DOM here 2 pl bow hold-p -ques They were SMALL BOYS. THEY held their bows like you (reference to boys present in the audience at the moment of speaking)?
Then, as the story continues, each of these three is in turn referred to by bí.
The entire cast of major participants may be introduced together but as evidenced by these two examples, more commonly they are introduced as they become relevant to the story. But in any case, the presence of bí with the NP identifies the referent as dominant.

The use of NP + bí in introducing and marking dominant characters varies somewhat in expository discourse, as demonstrated in a sermon describing Jesus feeding 5000 people. Jesus is a major character throughout the setting and leading up to the climax of breaking and multiplying the food, but he is referred to by name only, not by NP + bí, up to that point. This is probably because he is not dominant over the situation, but rather is simply cooperating with the crowd in obtaining food. Accordingly, the bread and fish, for example, are introduced by $N P+b i$
Tró kgígkala bépi-bu ded betíá-BÍ róti-bu líma beg-pí. man certain there-POD fish two-DOM bread-POD five give-p A certain man there gave TWO FISH and FIVE LOAVES.
It is only when Jesus multiplies the food, and thus is established as dominant over the situation, that he is marked by NP + bí
Yésu-BÍ asai kédbé óko posúáje-sá wakó Yésu-Bí wakó Jesus-DOM body common here gather-and when Jesus-DOM when
Alá-kó kuré-kúgjúa-bí wakó pgadó-wa. God-to above-talk-then when divide-pres When JESUS was in front of all those gathered, HE talked to God and then divided (the food).

Thus, dominance is not at issue when the speaker described Jesus' actions, until the climax is reached, and so he is marked by neither bí nor bá until then.

### 3.1.2 Foregrounding a prop - NP + bi

Bí can also be used to foreground a prop which is especially crucial to the advancement of the plot at a particular point in the story. Since a prop cannot be said to dominate another prop or a participant, the most clear explanation for this use of $\mathrm{bi}^{\prime}$ is that the prop so marked provides the impetus
so that a participant is able to achieve dominance over a potential obstacle, and thus advance the plot.
(4) This is from an origin story describing how the ancestors of one clan were rescued from the middle of the Mamberamo River by tying down and then climbing onto a palm tree (the 'Mamberamo Escape' text). At the crucial moment, the last man jumps onto the tree and cuts the vine so that the tree bounces back into place. In the text, the word kwéd vine is suffixed with bí, thus: Kód kígjokwé turí-sa kwéd-BÍ puia kurúwa. Kwé ugpúd túed. last-one jump-and vine-Dом open take then bounce-back The last one jumped and cut open THE VINE. Then (the tree) bounced back.

Since the cutting of the vine is the key to the deliverance of the people to higher ground and a new life, the vine is clearly crucial to their dominance over the river, and thus it is marked by bí.
(5) Similarly, in the 'Big Scrotum' text, the narrator describes the preparations by the children to kill the man. As they approach with bows and arrows to shoot him, the text says: Ké ug-bejá-sué. Awíd-BÍ we-tig-peí. We-tig kuéjokwé. just up-look-ints scrotum-DOM down-hang-REM down-hang very big They just looked up. THE SCROTUM was hanging down. Hanging down very big.

The speaker foregrounds the scrotum for a few clauses, so that during this span the addressee's whole attention is on it and its vulnerability. The scrotum does not dominate the other participants, except in the sense that their actions are oriented around it. It does dominate the addressee's attention. Following this span, there is a report of the man being shot and the resulting ruin of the surrounding area.

On a somewhat different level, in expository discourse and conversation, Sikaritai speakers often include bi following a pronoun. This contrasts the pronoun referent with some other participant, and so can be said to foreground it in that sense. Thus:
(6) In a prayer asking God's help in preaching a sermon, God is requested to stand instead of the speaker:
Di- BÍ be ugtá- tei.
2sg -DOM here stand-imperative
YOU (GOD) stand here.
The speaker wishes God to replace him as preacher (of the sermon to follow), and so contrasts God (you) with himself (me). In doing so, GOD is foregrounded.
(7) Similarly, when two or more people are concluding a visit to another's house, they most often say prior to leaving:
A- BÍ tig-wa- ke.
we-DOM go-pres -will
WE (IN CONTRAST WITH YOU) are about to go.

In doing so, they contrast themselves (we) with those who are not leaving (you). The data shows this usage of bí only with pronouns. In such uses, it foregrounds the pronoun referent by contrasting it with the other participants in the situation.

### 3.1.3 Summary statements - bí

As was mentioned earlier, the root meaning of bí is dominance or advancing the plot of the story. Thus, in the summary section of a narrative, which occurs after the narration of all the events of the story, bí will be found as a clause-initial pronoun. In such summaries, the bí does not refer anaphorically to a nominal in the text. Rather, it means the whole content of the story up to this point.
(8) In the 'Mamberamo Escape' text, after the speaker has finished narrating the actions of the plot, he says:
BÍ kuépi. BÍ kíbra. DOM like-that DOM all done IT happened like that. THAT'S all there is.
These statements summarise all the events narrated so far, and advise the addressee that those events are completed. Then, the statement:
Pam- $B I ́$ kgíg kíbra. clans-DOM important THESE CLANS are the important (ones).
specifies that the plot of the story just concluded was about clans. The narrator then relates how the events he has narrated relate to the present, situation. Thus, although in the third clause, he uses pam-BÍ, i.e. NP + bí this NP is anaphoric only in the sense that it refers back to the whole plot and applies all that happened to that one subject, i.e. clans. This then is followed by several clauses all beginning with bí. These bi's do not refer to the dominant participants and props, but to the whole content of the story. As long as the events of the story were being presented, bí refers to dominant characters. So it is only at the conclusion of these events that bí refers to the sum total of the events of the story.

Such a summary need not even be as extended as in the example above, but may consist of only one clause, as in another origin story where the action finishes, and then the narrator closes with:

```
Bí kí kíbra.
DOM just alZ done
THAT'S just all there is to say.
```

Here again, bí refers not to a dominant character, but to the sum total of all that has been narrated. It is as if the narrator says, 'That's all I know on that subject. Don't expect any more.'
A slight variation of the summary use of bí is seen at the conclusion of a discourse section centring around two or more dominant participants who have been interacting.
(10) In the 'Mamberamo Escape' text, after describing the people's deliverance, the narrator relates an extended dialogue and a description of two sets of brothers and sisters, representing two clans, interacting and eventually marrying. This section is concluded by:
Kwé atéjé kí bé pad-pí. BÍ kí pad-sá. BÍ óko then together just there live-p DOM just live-and DOM here pad-sá Bİ kwío. live-and DOM like-that
Then THEY lived there together. THEY only lived there. THEY lived like that.

Since in the preceding context the separate groups of brothers and sisters united, the bi's here refer to both the men and the women, who are the dominant participants, and their marriage had culminated the preceding section. Thus, although bí is glossed in the clause of the example here as they, its influence could be said to cover the whole section in which they interact. Thus, bí also sums up the section and states that THEY are the dominant participants in it.
In summaries, then, bí is used to refer to the whole content of the story, or preceding material. It does not refer to a specific character.

### 3.1.4 The Sikaritai cleft construction - bí ... bí

To conclude our investigation of bí marking nominals, consider the construction bí + NP + bí. The sentence in which this sandwich occurs functions like some cleft sentences ('it was $X$ that $Y$ ') do in English. In Sikaritai, the bí sandwich foregrounds the NP and asserts that its referent is unique in its role in moving the plot forward at the current point in the story. No other referent except this one could have fulfilled that role. The referent itself can be a participant, a prop, an action, or a temporal. As in cleft sentences in English, the sandwiched NP in Sikaritai is given information, and is topiclike in function. A general gloss for this construction might be, 'it was this very thing or action that ...'
(ll) In the 'Mamberamo Escape' text, when the wind blew a palm tree so that it landed on an ironwood tree, and so was available for the people to climb onto and escape to higher ground, we find:
BÍ kepíg BÍ be túóg alá pa-we- síg- sái.
DOM blowing DOM there ironwood branch down-on-put-and IT WAS THIS VERY BLOWING THAT put it (the palm tree) on the ironwood branch.
Thus, the narrator marks kepíg blowing with a bí sandwich to show the unique role of this event in facilitating deliverange of the people from being marooned in the middle of the Mamberamo River, and ultimately in getting them to a better life. Nothing else could have done this.
(12) In the 'Big Scrotum' text, after describing the tree and man lived in, the speaker adds:

# BÍ tíg alá BÍ be kwá sé-su- sá- bí. DOM tree branch DOM there house up-build-and-then IT WAS IN THOSE VERY TREE BRANCHES THAT he built his house. <br> Soon after this part in the story, the narrator describes how the scrotum of the man living in the tree hung between its branches right down to the ground so that the animals came and rubbed it and thus were killed as game. This situation is an indispensable part of the plot of the story. And it was because the man lived in a tree of just this height and with just these branches that his scrotum hung down in the right way. This uniqueness of the tree branches is foregrounded by putting the $N P$ tíg alá tree branches inside a bí sandwich. 

The bí sandwiched nominal has a topic or framework-like function. First, the bi sandwich always operates on the first constituent in a clause, which is the preferred position for a constituent with a topic-like function. Further, I have checked the texts and found that the nominal in the sandwich is always given information.
Therefore, in summary, we can say that a bí sandwich marks a nominal both as topic-like and as foregrounded because of its uniqueness.
In summary we see, then, that whenever bí is used, it establishes its referent as dominant or involved in moving forward the plot of the story or both. Most commonly bi occurs first with a NP, and then subsequent mentions of that NP referent may be made with bí in isolation. When bí follows a prop, it temporarily foregrounds that prop and shows that it has an important role in moving the plot forward at that point. Bí acts as summariser at the conclusion of the main events of a discourse, or in a few cases at the end of a topic section (as this term is defined in note 4). When used thus, bí refers not to a dominant participant or prop, but to the content of the discourse as a whole. Finally, a specialised use of foregrounding occurs with the bí sandwich which contrastively foregrounds the nominal it sandwiches, asserting the uniqueness of the nominal referent in a key role.

### 3.2 Non-dominance - bá

Whereas Sikaritai speakers use bí to indicate a participant or prop as dominant or involved in carrying forward the plot of the story, bá marks those participants or props which are uninvolved or not directly involved, in advancing the plot. Such participants may be dominated by the major participants, or they may simply carry on behind the scenes with actions that merely aid the major participants to accomplish their purposes. In no way could we claim that these non-dominant characters are not necessary to the story, otherwise they would not be included in the story. But in the speaker's mind, they are overshadowed by characters marked with bí.
Bá functions in discourse in three ways:

1. The most unmarked function is as a general third person pronoun. Used in this way, ba does not function in the restricted system of dominance, and so is marked with low tone. As such, it is used primarily in normal, casual conversation.
2. NP + bá marks participants and props as having non-dominant roles, in that they are either uninvolved in advancing the plot of the story, or are dominated by the major characters.
3. The bá sandwich (bá ... bá) marks a relative clause in Sikaritai. This use of bá backgrounds the relative clause information. Used in these ways (2. and 3.), bá operates within the restricted system of dominance, and so is marked with high tone.

### 3.2.1 Independent third person pronoun - ba

Ba (low tone, unmarked) is most commonly used in normal conversation as a general third person pronoun. As such, it identifies the referent as neither dominant nor dominated. Thus, the speaker simply states something about the referent, and the situation serves to identify the referent of the ba.

Thus, during a typical village conversation, a host may make the following comment as his guest is going home:

BA kwá dó-ko tig-wa- ke. 3sg house his-to go-pres -will $H E$ is going to his house (now).

Identification of the referent of ba is clear, since he is still in the speaker and addressee's presence, and from the standpoint of interaction, neither the speaker nor the referent is dominant or dominated, so that the non-restricted form ba is used.
(14) Similarly, in the 'Burmeso Adultery' text, the setting describes God creating first things, then mankind, and specifically the clans involved in the text. We find there:
BA ajé apota sig-pí. Awépeg aka sig-pí, kwé trótai ... he self create put-p food begin put-p then mankind ... $H E$ (GOD) himself created. Food he made, then humans ...
Here, God is the only participant in the setting. His dominance, then, is not under consideration, but rather the things he did. Thus, he is referred to with ba.

So we see that the most common function of ba is as a general third person pronoun in normal conversation. Here, dominance is not at issue. Similarly, in discourses where participant dominance is not under consideration, ba is used as a pronoun to identify participants.

### 3.2.2 Globally non-dominant or dominated character - NP + bá ${ }^{5}$

In discourse, the most widespread use of bá is probably as a marker of participants and props which are not directly involved in advancing the plot. These may be:

1. Characters who assist the dominant participants in carrying out the actions of the plot.
2. Characters who are dominated by the dominant characters.
3. Props which simply act as instruments behind the scenes, to help in carrying out the actions of the plot.

In any case, the presence of bá signals that the bá referent is overshadowed by those referents marked with bí.

### 3.2.2.1 Globally non-dominant character - NP + bá

When a non-dominant participant or prop is first introduced into a text, the construction NP + bá is used. Note how this is similar to the way NP + bí introduces dominant participants. Then, as that character is referred to subsequently in the text, either bá by itself or NP + bá is used. Thus, it is always clear that that referent is not directly involved in advancing the plot of the story.
(15) Thus, in the 'Burmeso Adultery' text, first the major participants, i.e. the wife, unmarried man, and the husband, are all introduced by NP + bí. Then the initial events, the adultery and murder of the husband, occur. The next section centres around searching for the dead body of the husband and then searching for and killing the adulteress. It begins by introducing the additional participants, i.e. the husband's brother, mother, and child. Of these, only the brother is dominant, as he is active in the searching and subsequently the killing. His mother only takes care of the child while he searches. Thus:
Awed kipiá BÁ- bu túé puráre kúejokwé túegje-sá mother old NDOM-POD child male very big carry-and
kwákad kásai. Póye-wa. Túé- BÁ póye-wa. path walk-round cry-pres child-NDOM cry-pres THE OLD MOTHER carried the very big boy and walked around. THE CHILD was crying.

Neither the mother nor the child have any part in the events that move the plot forward, so they are introduced with NP + bá, and are subsequently referred to by bá. Thus, their non-dominance, or here, non-involvement, is marked.

### 3.2.2.2 Dominated character - NP + bá

By far the more common use of $N P+$ bá in a text is to introduce and identify characters which are dominated by the dominant characters. These dominated characters may have the role of undergoer, i.e. they are acted upon in order to advance the plot. But they may simply be under the influence of the dominant characters. Furthermore, their dominated role may be permanent or temporary.
(16) In the 'Big Scrotum' text, the children of the man with the big scrotum who lived around his tree house are first introduced by the construction:
Tró kía túé- lo BÁ- bu bóro kwá su- kád-ko. men other child-his NDOM-POD his house build-round-stative THE OTHER PEOPLE HIS CHILDREN built houses around his.

The man has a dominant role over the children at this point, both with respect to size (he is a giant) and location (he lived in a tree). Thus, the children are here marked with bá. However, later on in the story, the same children plan to kill the man; so not only does the pronoun marking them change from bá to bí (by means of babí, to be discussed in 3.3), but the scrotum, which was initially referred to (in example 5) by NP + bí is subsequently referred to by $N P+$ bá indicating the children's dominance over it, and in turn over the man.
(17) In the 'Mamberamo Escape' text, the main participants are two brothers. Two clauses before the point in the story where the elder brother establishes dominance over the younger by tying him up and sending him down river, the younger is referred to by $\mathrm{NP}+$ bá.
Tró kía- BÁ atí, 'í do- kó!' man other-NDOM voice $I$ hold-stative THE OTHER MAN SAID, 'I'm holding it (the captured mouse).'

Although the younger brother is holding the captured mouse that the elder was looking for at this point in the story, and thus might appear to be the dominant character, in fact the NP + bá marking tells the addressee that the real situation is that he will almost immediately be dominated, i.e. by being tied up by his elder brother.
(18) Later in the same story, when this younger brother frees himself from the tope that he is tied with, we find:
Igtógi akaug-ko bgí-pi kíd-a kurí-sa déje-BÁ pidó-wa. knife shoulder-in kept-p pull-and take-and rope-NDOM cut-pres He pulled out the knife in his shoulder and cut THE ROPE.
The rope is clearly being acted upon, and thus is totally dominated by the knife. This bá marks a dominated prop.

### 3.2.2.3 An instrument to carry out an action of the plot $-N P+$ bá

Bá also marks props (rarely participants) which are an instrument or a means of accomplishing all or part of an action of the plot.
(19) In another speaker's version of the 'Mamberamo Escape' text, after the older brother ties up the younger and puts him in a boat, we find:
Kwé síg-BÁ puia- sála bíd- tá kudé- wa.
then boat-NDOM untie-having land-from push off-pres Then having untied THE BOAT, he pushed it away from land.
In this example, the bá-marked boat is the instrument used to carry the man (and by extension his whole clan) to the place where they can climb onto the palm tree and escape to higher ground, which is the culmination of the plot. ${ }^{6}$ It is interesting to note that four clauses later in the same text, the same boat is foregrounded by using NP + bí (see 3.1.2), because there it is a crucial prop in the climax of the story.

In the 'Big Scrotum' text, when the children have made plans to kill the man, they first make bows and arrows and then they bring these bows and arrows to the site of the murder:
Kwéo, bakó síke- BÁ písa- BÁ do- kéd- sá be-sé- ke- tig. then they bows-NDOM arrow-NDOM hold-pl and there-up-bring Then they took THE BOWS and ARROWS and held them.

Again, these instruments are used by the children to kill the man, and so are dominated by the children in this action. The result, i.e. the death of the man and the subsequent ruin of the surrounding land, is the outcome of the story, and the instruments help to accomplish this outcome.
To summarise, then, the most widespread uses of bá in texts establish the referent(s) as not directly involved in moving the plot forward. Characters marked with bá, as such, do one of three things: they may assist the major characters in moving the plot forward, they may be dominated by the major characters, or they may serve as instruments in actions that move the plot forward.

### 3.2.3 The Sikaritai relative clause - bá ... (bá)

To conclude our discussion of the role of bá in Sikaritai discourse, consider the bá sandwich. This sandwich is the most common means of creating a relative clause. This use of bá fits in well with the overall function of bá as marker of something that is overshadowed or dominated. But, we might ask: what is being overshadowed or dominated? In the relatively limited number of relative clauses available in texts at present, the construction either identifies or characterises a participant in terms of some previously mentioned action or situation. As such, the presence of the initial bá backgrounds the information in the relative clause. At the current stage of analysis, the final bb, which is optional, seems to function only as a surface marker, showing at what point the relative clause ends. The participant or prop thus identified or characterised may be named by the NP head of the relative clause, or the clause itself may be headless. Every example of a relative clause I have seen occurs in a paragraph initial sentence so the relative clause information serves as a cohesive link between the preceding discourse and what is to follow.

The Relative Clause has two functions in Sikaritai discourse:

1. To link the paragraph following to the previous, and to identify, and occasionally to characterise, a participant on the basis of his previous involvement in the discourse.
2. To subdivide a group of participants (or props) into subgroups in terms of characteristics of these subgroups already mentioned in the previous context. Such a subdivision is necessary because at times the situation changes so that a previously dominant group splits up into a dominant subgroup and a non-dominant one.
Examples 21, 22, and 23 exemplify the first function.
(21) The second version of the 'Mamberamo Escape' text begins with the initial setting in which one brother sets a trap and waits for the mouse. Then, while he is sleeping, his brother kills and eats the mouse. In the part of the story giving the sequel to this action, the following relative clause:
Kwé BÁ dokúg sa- pí babí
then NDOM mouse eat-p RR
Then THE ONE WHO ATE THE MOUSE
occurs three times in the following sentences (given in translation), each time at the beginning of a paragraph:
i) Then THE ONE WHO ATE THE MOUSE put his knife in his shoulder strap.
ii) Then THE ONE WHO ATE THE MOUSE stood and held the palm tree branches.
iii) Then THE ONE WHO ATE THE MOUSE gathered with his other brothers.

This relative clause has two functions:

1. It identifies the participant, the younger brother, on the basis of his previous involvement in the discourse. In this story, eating the mouse was the first step in a chain of events which led finally to the culmination of the story, namely the migration of the clans. Specifically, the younger brother stole the mouse from his elder, and the latter in anger tied him up and set him adrift in a boat on the Mamberamo River. Eventually, the boat hit a tree in the middle of the river and the younger brother contrived to escape via a palm tree which was conveniently bent over to be within his reach and his escape led to the migration of the clans.
2. It characterises the participant in terms of his action which is viewed as bad.

Each time the relative clause occurs, it introduces a new paragraph, and thus establishes the referent as a continuing participant in the discourse. But since the information in the bá sandwich is explanatory information only, providing a link with the previous context, but not reporting an event on the main event line at this point, it is accordingly backgrounded.
(22) In the 'Big Scrotum' text, the narrator first describes how the children prepared to murder the man with the big scrotum and states that 'only the children did the killing; the parents hunted pigs and cassowaries'. The narrator next describes the murder, and how it led to the ruin of the surrounding land. Then the parents ask why the ruin has come upon them. In referring to these parents, the narrator identifies them by relative clause information in a bá-sandwich in the following paragraph-initial sentence:
Tró-BÁ túé doreí díg ku- sá kgá sigje BÁ men-NDOM child hold pig kill and down put NDOM The men WHO HELD (HAD AUTHORITY OVER) THE CHILDREN, WHO HAD HUNTED PIGS AND SLAUGHTERED THEM.

Thus, here again, the parents are identified in terms of their previous actions. This identificational information comes in a relative clause, and is backgrounded by being bounded by a preceding and a following bá. It serves merely to explain which people are being considered and is a cohesive link; it does not report an event on the main event line.

In the 'Mamberamo Escape' text, after the people climb onto the palm tree, and it swings back into normal position, the speaker states:
Bí be- sé- pad. BÁ betigjá asgád-kó do- kéd-pi. 3DOM there-up-live NDOM palm tree leaf-to hold-pl-p THEY lived up there, those WHO HELD ONTO THE PALM TREE LEAVES.

Here, the bi refers to a group of people, and the relative clause information introduced by ba identifies these people because in the preceding context people have been reported as holding onto a palm tree. At the same time, the characterisation of these people in terms of the relative clause information is important because the sequel discusses the difficulties that people who live hanging onto trees have in building houses.
The relative clause using bá can also function to divide a dominant group of participants or props into subgroups. In this usage, an overall group is first referred to by bí, indicating their dominance in the total story. Following this, the group is divided into subgroups, which are characterised by information given in relative clauses. The relative clauses flash back to reports in the story of previous involvements of the subgroups and thus contain background information.

Examples 24 and 25 exemplify this function of the relative clause.
(24) Towards the end of the 'Mamberamo Escape' text, the speaker concludes a section describing the courtship and marriage of representatives from two clans, with a statement that:
Kwé atéjé kí bé pad- pí. Bí óko pí-pad- sá. then together just there live-p DOM here begin-live-and Then they just lived together there. THEY began living there.
in which the pronoun bi refers to the two groups together. Then the narrator adds:
Tró BÁ Bgadtébí pad- pí o- bé óko pi-paig. men nDOM Maitebi live-p here-there here begin-go down The men WHO LIVED AT MAITEBI come down to this place.
BÁ Sawésá wadkó pad- pí BA- bé Ápuéd akra-kó paig. NDOM Sawesa flow live-p nDOM-there Apui in-to go down Those WHO LIVED WHERE THE SAWESA FLOWS came down into Apui.
The subject NP's and their relative clauses in these last two sentences, viz. (THE MEN) WHO LIVED AT MAITEBI and (THOSE) WHO LIVED WHERE THE SAWESA FLOWS, identify the two subgroups of clans which together constitute the referent of bi in the very first sentence cited for this example. In the ensuing discourse, only the first mentioned of these is the participant subgroup under attention and only they advance the plot of the story, so they
become dominant, and thus will be marked with bi. Again, these relative clauses occur in paragraph-initial sentences and the identification is in terms of information already given in the preceding context. (Note that in the first relative clause there is no following ba.)
Finally, consider the following from a text dealing with a migration due to a flood long ago. The speaker describes how an ancestor ordered members from various clans to leave their location and accompany him. As they left, their pigs wanted to go with them, but there was no room in their canoes. So the following tells what the humans did to stop the pigs from going with them:
Bí díg- BÁ Bgadtébí Ód Awédtá bókó pad- kó babí
DOM pig-NDOM Maitebi Od Mountain there live
pi kí- sí apaug- ko kudá ... sago only-CST head-on throw They, that is THE PIGS WHO LIVED ON THE MAITEBI AND THE OD MOUNTAINS, had sago thrown at their heads.
BÁ Bgadtébí-tai tíg- bu bíka- lá díg apaug óko bogjé. NDOM Maitebi-men go-POD paddle-with pig head here hit Those WHO WENT WITH THE MAITEBI MEN were hit with a paddle on the head.

The whole group of pigs, referred to by the initial bí of the first sentence, stands in a whole-part relationship with each of the subgroups defined by the relative clauses. Accordingly, the first relative clause, viz. WHO LIVED ON THE MAITEBI AND THE OD MOUNTAINS, specifies one group of pigs at a particular site, and states how they were detained. The second relative clause, viz. WHO WENT WITH THE MAITEBI MEN, delineates a second group and states in turn how they were detained. Since neither of the subgroups dominates the whole group, each is marked by bá. And the information in the relative clause is backgrounded and serves only to identify the subgroup; in this example on the basis of location. Finally, the babi at the end of the first relative clause indicates that the first group, i.e. THE PIGS WHO LIVED ON THE MAITEBI AND THE OD MOUNTAINS, is to become involved in further development of the plot of the story. (The bí here acts similarly to the bí of example 24.) ${ }^{7}$
To summarise, how does the relative clause, marked by a preceding bá and an optionally following one, function in Sikaritai discourse? As a general rule, the initial bá backgrounds the information within the clause and uses it to identify a participant in terms of events narrated in the preceding context. The relative clause may identify a participant (group) as a subgroup of a previously mentioned group (this is an extended use of the biba Head-Modifier Construction). The identification usually characterises the participant, and in some cases (e.g. example 23), this characterisation itself is relevant to the argument of the ensuing discourse. The relative clause for the most part occurs in a paragraph-initial sentence and is cohesive.
What then is the function of the particle bá in discourse? We can understand this better by comparing its function with that of bí. In general terms, bí identifies participants and props as dominant or directly involved in carrying
out the plot of the discourse as a whole; while bá identifies participants and props as dominated or not directly involved in advancing the plot. In conversation, and occasionally in a text, ba (low tone) acts as a general third person pronoun in situations where dominance is not at issue. NP + bá at or near the beginning of a text, introduces a character not directly involved in advancing the plot. It can identify a participant or prop as dominated, or as simply an instrument for carrying out actions which advance the plot. Finally, bá in relative clauses serves to identify or characterise a participant on the basis of previous happenings. Such relative clause information is backgrounded and cohesive. In all these cases, the presence of bá establishes the associated material as not directly involved in advancing the plot at the current point in the story.

### 3.3 Role reversal - babí

Given the understanding that in Sikaritai discourse, bí identifies those referents that are dominant and actively involved in advancing the plot of the story; while bá specifies referents which are either dominated or only indirectly involved in advancing the plot, the next logical question is: does Sikaritai have a means of temporarily changing the dominance status of a participant or prop? In other words, what happens if:

1. A dominant participant is momentarily dominated, but his status in terms of the whole discourse remains dominant?
or 2. A non-dominant character is momentarily lifted to a position of dominance, but overall his status as a non-dominant character is retained?
or 3. A permanent change of dominance status is imminent (usually this means that a dominant character is about to be dominated)?
How are these processes signalled?
It follows from the functions of bí and bá when occurring separately, that the combination bá + bí = babí should signal such a shift. Two formal devices using babí are found: in general terms, babí following a nominal (NP + babí) indicates a temporary change of dominance status, or an imminent shift of a more permanent nature. On the other hand, babi either preceding or following a clause indicates an imminent shift of a more permanent nature.

### 3.3.1 Dominant character temporarily dominated - NP + babí

In most narrative discourses, interest in the story is kept high by varying the dominance status especially of major participants (i.e. between dominance and being dominated). Thus, an element of suspense is added when a dominant character is temporarily dominated.
(26) In the 'Mamberamo Escape' text, the younger brother is the key to the deliverance of the people, and so is dominant in the overall scheme of the story. However, at one point, he is tied up and sent down river, and here is clearly dominated by his older brother. Thus, it is not surprising that at this point he is referred to by NP + babí:

Kwé bá dokúg sa- pí BABÍ igtógí akaug-ko bgí-pi. then NDOM mouse eat-p RR knife shoulder-in kept-p Then THE ONE WHO ATE THE MOUSE put the knife in his shoulder.
Thus, all the while he is tied up, the younger brother, referred to here as THE ONE WHO ATE THE MOUSE, is dominated by his older brother in the immediate events. Nevertheless, he is still dominant in the overall scheme of the story. Later, when he leads the deliverance, the same relative clause is used followed by babí, but now with bí repeated as subject of the sentence to indicate that he is once again dominant over the local events as well. This is seen in the following clause:
Kwé bá dokúg sa- pí BABÍ, BÍ bétigjá asgád-kó ugtá do- wa. then NDOM mouse eat-p RR DOM palm tree leaf-to stand hold-pres Then THE ONE WHO ATE THE MOUSE stood and held the tree leaves.
Similarly, later in the story, the palm tree which the people climb onto and swing up to deliverance, is obviously a key prop, and in fact is referred to by bi. However, at the moment that it is being held and tied down, and the people are climbing onto it, it is appropriately referred to by NP + babí:
Tígjá BABÍ ugtá do-wa, túóg ala- kó pa- we- síg- wa palm tree RR stand hold-pres tree branch-to down-to-putugtá do-wa. Tígjá BABÍ ugtá do- sála ígjo-wa. stand hold palm stand hold-having tie-
(He) stood and held THE PALM TREE, stood and held it down on the ironwood branch. Stood and held THE PALM TREE and tied.

At this point, the palm tree is dominated by the people, as well as being used as an instrument to accomplish their deliverance, while in the overall scheme it is a key prop, labelled with bi' in the preceding and following paragraphs.

### 3.3.2 Non-dominant character temporarily dominant - NP + babí

On the other hand, when referring to a participant or prop (usually a prop) who is non-dominant in terms of the overall discourse, NP + babí signals a temporary lifting into a position of dominance, probably for some crucial action.
(28) In the 'Burmeso Adultery' text, just after the wife commits adultery and then murders her husband, a star representing his spirit comes to inform his mother and brother of his death. The star does this by lighting on each of them, and then hanging up his (the dead man's) net bag and loincloth. At this point, the star is referred to by NP + babí:
Kwé sejákóg BABÍ si- kó kébetig- kó betíá be- ug-tíg-pi. then star RR net bag Zoin cloth two there-up-go-p Then THE STAR hung up (his) net bag and loincloth.
The star is, in the overall scheme of the story, only an instrument to lead the people to discover the dead body, and ultimately to punish the adulterous wife by death. However,
at this point, the star is dominant over the other participants as he tells them vital information about the body which they did not yet know, and the babí shows that it is lifted to a position of temporary dominance.

Similarly, in the 'Mamberamo 'Escape' text, when the younger brother has freed his hands, he takes out the knife which was in his shoulder strap, and cuts the rope which bound him and releases the remainder of his body. At this point:
Alá kíd-a kuri-sá igtó BABÍ igtógí akaug-ko bgí-pi hand pull take-and knife RR knife shoulder-in kept-p déje-bá pidó- wa. rope-NDOM cut-pres
(He) pulled out THE KNIFE, the one in his shoulder, and out the rope.
The knife is still primarily an instrument for carrying out the crucial action of cutting his bonds. However, the narrator wishes to stress the knife's dominant role at this point, and so gives it temporary foregrounding by the babí marking. It is as if he is saying, 'consider this knife carefully'.
At the current stage of analysis, the difference between the foregrounding use of bí (section 3.1.2) and this use of babí to mark a dominated character as temporarily dominant is not completely clear. But perhaps with bi the prop is foregrounded for a longer span, while with babi the foregrounding is more brief.

### 3.3.3 Imminent change in the global dominance scheme of the discourse $N P+b a b i ́$ or clause + babí or babí + clause.

By far the most widespread use of babí is to indicate an imminent change in the dominance scheme of the discourse as a whole. This change is a permanent one (and not temporary as were the changes described in sections 3.3 .1 and 3.3.2), and is effected by any one of the three babi devices given in the subtitle here. When such a device occurs in a story, the addressee can expect a permanent change in dominance relationships between participants. The change may be reported one or several clauses later in the story, but in any case it is imminent.

### 3.3.3.1 Imminent permanent change of participant dominance status - NP + babí

Whereas the primary function of NP + babí is to indicate that a character's global dominance status is being changed temporarily, it can also signal an imminent permanent change of this status.
(30)

In the 'Big Scrotum' text, as discussed earlier, the children who kill the man are introduced as dominated participants by $\mathrm{NP}+$ bá. But just before they gather and plan the murder we find:

Kwéo túé- lo kwá sukó BABİ díg kí bokó-sí then child-his house build RR pig only there-CST
ku- sá sa- wá.
kizl-and eat-pres
Then HIS CHILDREN WHO BUILT HOUSES there only had to kill pigs and eat (them).

While at this point in the story the children are still dominated by the man, the babí after the NP HIS CHILDREN WHO BUILT HOUSES signals that shortly a change will take place in this relationship. And in fact ten clauses later they kill him and achieve dominance, and so an upgrading in dominance has occurred.
(31) Further, near the end of the text, after the people discuss the ruined conditions of the land, the speaker begins a new paragraph with:
Kwé tró BABÍ pa- ku- wa kúejokwé. then men RR recip-kill-pres very-big
Then THE PEOPLE had a very big war.
The result of this war is the total elimination of the group. Thus, the babí after the NP tró men indicates that the end of their dominance over the situation is at hand. Here a downgrading in dominance has occurred.

So we see that $N P+b a b i ́ ~ c a n ~ s i g n a l ~ a n ~ i m m i n e n t ~ c h a n g e ~ o f ~ s t a t u s ~ i n ~ t h e ~ o v e r a l l ~$ dominance scheme in either of two directions:

1. The dominated participant achieves dominance.
2. The dominant participant is done in.

### 3.3.3.2 Imminent permanent change of participant role within clause clause + babí

Babí at the end of a clause involving an agent and a patient indicates that the agent is being phased out, and the patient will become the new agent, and thus become dominant.
(32) In the introduction of the 'Burmeso Adultery' text, God's creation of things is first described, then the creation of man. There follows:
Kwé trótai apota síg BABİ. Ápuéd bé- pad- pí. then humans created put $R \mathrm{R}$ Apui there-live-p Then (he=God) created humans. (They) lived at Apui.

Whereas the man was the patient in the first clause, being created by God, the babí at the end of the clause reverses this status, so that God drops out of the picture, and the humans become the new agent, specifically the dominant participant of the text.

### 3.3.3.3 Imminent permanent change of dominance status as per addressee expectation - babí + clause

Similarly, babí clause initial, signals a reversal of the dominance relationships that are expected by the addressee. Such addressee expectation would be based either on the participant roles established so far in the discourse (see example 33), or on cultural norms (see example 34).
(33) This is from a text describing a woman's adultery with a snake and its consequences. After the adultery the woman and her husband exchange short bits of conversation. At this point, one would expect, based on what is said, that the snake has more power over her than her husband. However, the clause following the exchange states:
Kwésa BABÍ, bí sejá tig bí kúg-ko pasúéya akúgja then RR DOM sun go DOM speak-stat gather story
bí pú kurabí
DOM bow take
Then when the sun went down, they had talked together, he took his bow.

The babí reverses the expected dominance relation, i.e. snake over woman, and, in fact, for the remainder of the story the husband is dominant over the snake on behalf of the woman. His dominance is further signalled by the occurrences of bí in this example.

In the 'Burmeso Adultery' text, after a wife has committed adultery with an unmarried man, she contrives to dominate her husband and kill him. At this point in the text, the following two clauses occur together:
BABÍ túgja-ka- bgóda- bí ded- é keé.
RR wife-not-bacheZor-DOM fish-for go down
The wife and her husband went fishing.
BABÍ té- o- sí áje be apód-kó sígjo.
RR husband-her-CST self there kill-stat leave
(She) killed her husband herself there and left him.
In the first clause, the initial babí indicates that the cultural expectation of a husband's dominance over his wife is about to be disrupted as they go fishing. Then, the second clause expresses the same idea, but states specifically how the tables were turned. Note that the two clauses together indicate just one dominance reversal. It is not expected, i.e. not a cultural norm, for a wife to kill her husband, although in this story the addressee has had a certain amount of warning in the description of the wife's adultery in the preceding context.
The following example is in fact a combination of the two devices, $N P+b a b i$ and babí + Clause, both of which signal an imminent and permanent reversal of dominance relationships.
(35) At one point in the 'Mamberamo Escape' text, the older brother ties up the younger and sends him down river. We find:
Kwésa BABÍ tró BABÍ kwé- la igje- sála pi- ke- wá. then RR man RR vine-with tie-having begin-go down-pres Then (he=the older brother) tied him (the younger brother) up with vine, and sent him down river.
In this example, the NP tró man, which in the context can only mean 'younger brother', is followed by babi. This tells the addressee that the younger brother who up to and including the event of this clause has been dominated, will soon become dominant. And, the babí preceding tró man is a 'clause initial' babí which also indicates an imminent reversal of dominance relationships. Thus, the two markings are in accord with each other.

In summary, then, the presence of babí indicates a role reversal of some kind. In the case of $N P+b a b i$, this reversal may mean a temporary upgrading or downgrading of a character's dominance status, while his dominance status with respect to the total discourse remains the same. However, NP + babí may operate on a higher level than clause. It may indicate that a permanent change of dominance status, either a permanent downgrading (including elimination) or a permanent upgrading, is about to take place. Similarly, a babí clause initial or final indicates an imminent and permanent reversal of dominance relationships between characters. When babí occurs clause final, the semantic roles in the marked clause are reversed in the succeeding clause and the change in dominance is permanent. However, when babí occurs clause initial, it means that the dominance roles at this point in the discourse, defined and expected either in terms of the events in the preceding context, or failing this, in terms of cultural norms, will soon be permanently reversed.

### 3.4 Essential and secondary information in a clause pair - biba

We have seen that bl marks dominant characters and bá marks non-dominant ones. However, the combination bí + bá = bíba signals another kind of dominance relationship, this time a head-modifier relationship between clauses. In one variety of such a relationship (example 36), the first construction, or head, introduces a key prop marked by bí, while the second clause, or modifier, gives secondary information about that prop and is marked by bá. In another variety of such a relationship (examples 37 and 38), the first clause, or head, gives essential characterising information about a discussion that one of the participants was involved in, and is marked by bi; while the second, or modifier, gives secondary information about it, and is marked by bá. This bíba usage is still in keeping with the primary function of bi to indicate what is dominant and bá to indicate what is dominated, because the head is always dominant over the modifier. Thus, the presence of bíba indicates that the second clause, marked by bá, adds only secondary information to further specify that in the first.
(36) In the introduction to the 'Mamberamo Escape' text, a white ant nest is set as bait to catch a mouse. The narrator first identifies the nest in a general way, then specifies which kind it was:

```
Kísed tgáwa kwákid- ba.
ant nest white
There was a white ant nest.
BÍBA kúg- kó bo- su- pí sí tu- pí.
нм tree-in there-build-p-CST unfasten-p
IT WAS THE KIND made in trees that he took down.
```

The nest is a key prop in the text, as the capture and eating of the mouse leads ultimately to the migration of two groups of ancestors, which is the culmination of the plot. Thus, it is referred to by bi. What follows in the second clause is descriptive information about the nest. The second clause information does not in any way advance the plot; it is secondary information with respect to the first clause, and as such is appropriately preceded by bá. Thus, we get the blba sequence.

Similarly, in a text describing one persons' investigation of activities in his village during his absence, the speaker suggests a situation:
Pi- paig- wa. Móses be- tá pi- paig- ké. Pi paig-sá begin-go down-pres Moses there-from begin-go down-will go down wakó bóko ugtá kúgjúa. 'Áje tí- sí óko kobad-peí-se?' when there stand talk self what-CST here work-REM-ques
BÍBA bóko kúgjúa.
нм there speak
Moses came down. He came down from there, and when we stood there and talked, 'What have you been doing?', THAT KIND we talked there.
This example illustrates the secondary variety of the bíba marked relationship between clauses. The speaker (or initiator of conversation) uses one particular question, 'What have you been doing?', as an indication of the general kind of information they discussed. This question is an essential characterisation of what was discussed; everything that was discussed was like that. Thus the first clause, 'What have you been doing?', is the head, and therefore appropriately marked by bí; whereas the second clause, THAT KIND we talked there, merely tells us that the rest of the conversation was like that as well, and is secondary information, and appropriately marked by bá.

In a typical conversation between the author and local church leaders, the following kind of exchange sequence is common:

| Question: | Wégki di Yohánes 3:1-7 kúgjúa. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | now 2sg John 3:1-7 speak |
|  | Now you should preach on John 3:1-7. |
| Answer: | BÍBA kúg júa-bi? |
|  | HM speak-ques |
|  | Is THAT THE SUBJECT we should preach on? |

The first clause is a suggestion by the author, while the second is its confirmation by the addressee. The relationship between these two clauses with the bíba between them is the same as in the preceding example. The first clause contains the essential
characterising information and is therefore the head and marked with bí. The second just says all the preaching is to be based on John 3:1-7, and is secondary information and so is marked with bá.

In summary, then, the bíba marking between two clauses relates the information in these clauses in a head-modifier relationship. As such, the second clause adds secondary information to further specify or expand the information in the first.

Note that the second function of the relative clause in Sikaritai, i.e. to divide a dominant group of characters into subgroups (see section 3.2.3), is an extended use of the bíba, head-modifier construction. That is, the overall group marked with bí acts as head, while the subgroups, marked with bá, act as modifier, supplying secondary information about the head (see examples 24 and 25). Example 23 also fits this category, but in a simpler sense.

## 4. CONCLUSION

What, then, have we learned about bí and bá in Sikaritai discourse? The presence of bí indicates that the referent it is associated with is dominant and involved in advancing the plot of the story. On the other hand, the presence of bá shows that its referents are dominated or not directly involved in advancing the plot. As such, bl and bá throughout the body of a text indicate the relative dominance of characters, and bí after the action concludes refers to the content of the story itself. Accordingly, the combinations of $b l$ and bá operate as connectors or ladders between the levels of dominance. Thus, babí signals a reversal in the dominance status of a character - either from non-dominant to temporarily dominant, or from dominant to temporarily dominated, or an imminent permanent reversal of dominance relationships. On the other hand, blba between two clauses sìgnals that the second clause information expands that in the first by adding secondary information. Since the first clause information is essential to the plot, it is followed by bí; while the second is supplementary, and thus is preceded by bá.

All in all, bí, bá, babí, and bíba serve well to keep the addressee up-to-date on who has what dominance status at a given point and what changes are imminent.

## ABBREVIATIONS

| CST | Focus of contrast (Chafe 1976) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { POD } \\ & \text { pres } \end{aligned}$ | Point of departure or topic Present Tense |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DOM | Dominant or dominance | ques | Tag question |
| HM | Head-modifier relationship between two clauses | recip | Reciprocal aspect |
| ints | Intensive aspect | rem | Spatially or temporally remote aspect |
| NDOM | Non-dominant or dominated | RR | Role reversal |
| p | Past Tense |  |  |

## NOTES

${ }^{1}$ Sikaritai is a Papuan language, spoken by a tribal group of 500 in the Mamberamo River region of the Indonesian province of Irian Jaya. Speakers live in three main villages, located approximately 150 miles south-west of Sentani, in an area west of the Mamberamo River, approximately 15 miles long and ten miles wide. Sikaritai is identified in previous survey reports as Aikwakai or Ati, and has been classified by Voorhoeve (1980) as a member of the Trans New Guinea Phylum, Tor-Lakes Plain Stock, Central Lakes Plain Family.

The data on which this paper is based were taken from 12 texts (approximately 75 pages), representing primarily narrative discourse, but including expository, descriptive, and conversational discourse as well. The texts were collected in the village of Sikari over a period of two years (1982-1984). The author has been resident and involved in language analysis at Sikari for extended periods since late 1979, under the auspices of RBMU International (Regions Beyond Missionary Union).

Special thanks go to Obed Sibetai and Tomas Sibetai, both residents of Sikari, for their help in transcribing and analysing these texts. Thanks also to Dr Ivan Lowe, International Linguistic Consultant with the Summer Institute of Linguistics, for his untiring help in sorting out the data to produce this paper.
Initial research and tentative conclusions for this paper were reached during a field workshop directed by Dr Larry B. Jones, of the Indonesia Branch of the Summer Institute of Linguistics, during September and October 1982. The paper itself was written at a workshop directed by $\operatorname{Dr}$ Ivan Lowe, held at Danau Bira, Irian Jaya, under the auspices of SIL in cooperation with Universitas Cenderawasih, Abepura, Irian Jaya, during September to December 1984.
${ }^{2}$ The phonemes of Sikaritai are: stops $b, d, g, t, k$, fricatives $p, s, g$, flap $r$, semivowels $w, y$, and affricate $j$. Of the stops, only $d$ and $g$ occur syllable final, and the unmarked syllable structure is CV. Flap $r$ alternates with 1 and occurs only as second member of a consonant cluster. Fricative $p$ alternates with h. Stop d alternates with l. Fricative $g$ is a pharyngeal fricative and occurs only as second member of a consonant cluster with stops and fricatives.

Vowels are $i$, e, ei, a, o, u. e is the open mid front vowel [ $\varepsilon$ ]. ei is the close mid front vowel [e].
${ }^{3}$ Character is a cover term which includes both participants and props.
${ }^{4}$ In a slightly different realm, discourses are usually divided into sections whose contents centre around a particular participant, prop, or proposition, and a particular semantic domain. In other words, within the overall discourse plot, there may be one or more centres of attention (Li and Thompson, 1976). It is as if the speaker says, 'Given this character or proposition, this is how things proceeded accordingly.'. Thus, the character or proposition acts as a point of departure or the topic for the following section. Such a section may be of any size - from an entire discourse, to a section considering one subject within a whole, to even a single clause. The essential feature of a topic section is a spatial, temporal, individual, or situational framework as set out by Chafe (1976).

Thus, bí and bá serve to keep track of who or what is dominant or major versus what is non-dominant or minor with respect to the story plot. But the particle bu further sets off sections united around a given character and semantic domain. Such a framework does not supercede the roles played by bí and bá. Rather, bu usually operates on a different level, using one character or proposition as a point of departure for what follows.
${ }^{5}$ The distinction between non-dominant and dominated is based on the fact that a participant can be non-dominant without being dominated. Such a participant may not be involved in carrying forward the plot of the story, but as in the case of the mother in the 'Burmeso Adultery' text (example l5), simply assists the dominant characters in advancing the plot. Such a participant could not be said to be dominated, in the sense of being overpowered - as in the case of the children in the 'Big Scrotum' text (example 16), who are clearly overpowered by the man. Therefore, a non-dominant participant is simply uninvolved without being overpowered, while a dominated participant may in fact be more involved in a negative sense and is overpowered.
${ }^{6}$ In this instance, one could claim that the boat is overshadowed by the act of going. But NP + bá in any case indicates that it is non-dominant.
${ }^{7}$ The relative clause function of subdividing a dominant group of characters is in fact an extended use of the biba Head-modifier construction to connect two clauses. See section 3.4.
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# TOPICAL AND NON-TOPICAL PARTICIPANTS IN GALELA NARRATIVE DISCOURSE 

Deidre Shelden

## 0. INTRODUCTION

This paper is about participant topicality in Galela. ${ }^{1}$ This language has an intricate prefixing system by which the addressee knows exactly on whom to place his attention throughout a narrative discourse. In other words, the topicality of participants is signalled by a pronominal prefix system which appears mainly on verbs but is also occasionally found on locational nouns and numbers.

The first section describes the mechanisms used to signal two parameters: first, topicality, i.e. on whom the addressee should place his attention, and second, the syntactic role of such topical participants. The second section describes mechanisms used to signal the introduction, reidentification, or change of topical participants within narrative portions. The third section describes mechanisms used to signal the introduction of non-topical participants.

## 1. POINTS OF HIGH TOPIC CONTINUITY

In this paper I use the term participant to mean the referent of any argument of a verb, irrespective of whether the referent is singular or plural, animate or inanimate. This is largely a matter of choosing a convenient terminology and of avoiding clumsy terms like 'participant sets' to deal with plural referents.

I define a major participant in a narrative as one who interacts with other participants throughout the narrative. Thus if a story has one major participant, that participant will be central to most of the interactions in the story. If a story has two or more major participants, these will interact with each other for most of the story. By contrast, a minor participant will interact only very occasionally and then almost always with a major participant. That is to say, minor participants, as a rule, do not interact with each other.

[^4]I also define a topical participant as one on whom the narrator wants the addressee to place his attention at that particular point in the story. Thus, as a story progresses, we would expect the topical participant or participants to change from time to time. At a given point in the story, there may be one topical participant or more than one. Usually only major participants can be topical, but at certain crucial points in a story (see Examples 16 and 24) a minor participant can be topical for a short time.

I consider first, stretches of the discourse over which there is continuity of topical participant. In these portions the pronominal verb prefix is crucial for distinguishing two parameters; participant topicality and syntactic role. Zero pronominal prefix occurs when the participant is non-topical (as shown in section l.3).

### 1.1 The syntactic role of the participant

A topical participant is always marked by a pronominal prefix on the verb. This prefix also gives the syntactic role of the topical participant for that clause, that is to say, different prefixes are used depending on whether the topical participant is subject or object of the verb.

Table 1 shows the pronominal verb prefixes that mark the syntactic role of the participant as subject. ${ }^{2}$

| Table 1: |  | Subject verb prefix paradigm ${ }^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | SINGULAR | PLURAL |
| first <br> person | $\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{o})-$ | exclusive <br> inclusive |
| second <br> person | $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{o})-$ |  |
| third <br> person | masculine <br> feminine | $\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{o})-$ <br> $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{o})-$ |
| fourth <br> person | ni |  |

Table 2 shows the pronominal verb prefixes that mark the syntactic role of a participant as object.

|  | Table 2: | Object verb prefix paradigm |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| first <br> person SINGULAR PLURAL  <br> second <br> person $\mathrm{i}-$ exclusive <br> inclusive $\mathrm{na-}$ <br> third <br> person masculine <br> feminine $\mathrm{ni}-$ $\mathrm{ni}-$ <br> fourth <br> person mi- ya-  | da- |  |

Illustrations of the use of some of the pronominal verb prefixes follow.
Example l. Syntactic role of subject.
ngohi TO-temo
I I-say
I say
In Example l, ngohi $I$ is marked as subject of the verb temo to say by the subject prefix to- $I$.

Example 2. Syntactic role of object.
o-nyawa NI-sano
a-person YOU O-ask
a person asked you
In Example 2, onyawa a person is not marked on the verb sano to ask although he is the subject of the verb. The prefix ni- marks 'you object' as object of the verb, because you is the topical participant at this point as defined by the previous discourse context. The discourse context is also needed to determine whether the topical participant 'you object' is singular or plural, since in Table 2 both prefixes are identical. ${ }^{5}$

Example 3. Third person feminine subject.
NGOPA MA-GENA surat ma-gena MO-lefo CHILD OF-THAT letter of-that SHE-write that child wrote that letter

In Example 3, the topical participant ngopa magena that child is marked as subject of the verb lefo to write by the prefix mo- she.

Example 4. Fourth person non-human subject

```
o-kaso I-goli
a-dog 4ps-bite
a dog bites
```

In Example 4, okaso dog is marked as subject of the verb goli to bite by the subject prefix i- '4pS' which means fourth person singular subject. ${ }^{6}$

### 1.2 Marking topical participants

Whose syntactic role is marked on a verb? In any stretch of discourse, only the topical participants will be marked by prefixes on the verbs. Each prefix shows the syntactic role of the participant for the verb it appears on. Non-topical participants are not marked by prefixes on the verbs. Thus the possible options for such markings are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Verb prefixes referencing topical participants

|  |  | syntactic role of referents  <br> subject referent  <br> is topical object referent <br> is topical  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One Topical <br> Participant | $+\begin{gathered} \text { subject } \\ \emptyset \end{gathered}$ | $\varnothing$ $+\begin{aligned} & \text { object } \\ & \text { Prefix } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Two Topical <br> Participants | $+\begin{aligned} & \text { subject } \\ & \text { Prefix } \end{aligned}$ | $+\begin{gathered} \text { object } \\ \text { Prefix } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Non-topical <br> Participant | $\varnothing$ | $\emptyset$ |

### 1.2.1 One topical participant

When there is only one prefix on the verb, the referent of that prefix is the topical participant.

In Example 5 below, the narrator wants the addressee's attention on the minor participant Aweng who answers a question from the major participant in a short narrative. The subject prefix wo he on the verb temo to say marks the subject (Aweng) of the clause as the (minor) topical participant at this point of the discourse.

Example 5.
Aweng Wo-temo
Aweng HE-say
Aweng says
In Example 6, three verbs describe a string of events.
Example 6.

1. UNA asa WO-tagi ma-dodiao-ka
$H E$ future $H E-g o$ of-friend-at
he then goes to his friend
2. awi-besi o-kilo motoha WA-aho
his-iron a-kilogrom five $H E$ 4po-bring
he brings his own five kilograms of iron
3. la WO-si-dodogu
next $H E$-cause-stay
to deposit (it)
There is one topical participant in this narrative portion. He is introduced by the narrator in clause 1 by the free pronoun una he with the co-referential subject prefix wo- he. In clauses 2 and 3 , the topical participant is marked again, but just by a verb prefix. He is marked by the prefix wa- on the verb in clause 2 and the prefix wo- on the verb in clause 3. The prefix wa-, however, has the gloss 'he $4 \mathrm{pO}^{\prime}$ which means third person masculine singular subject acting on fourth person object. ${ }^{3}$ Thus for clause 2 , the same topical participant is marked, but the marking is part of the portmanteau prefix wawhich means he acting on it (the iron). (See H. Shelden 1984).
Examples 7 and 8 are clauses which occur at two different points of a short narrative. The topical participant of each is 'we exclusive' marked by the object prefix mi-.

Example 7.
ka-gena de o-Soatobaru ma-nyawa MI-dolomu
at-that then a-Soatobaru of-person US excl-stare
then Soatobaru people there stared at us
Example 8.

> ka-dine o-Kiraka de o-nyawa MI-aso
> at-land location a-Kira-at then a-person US excl-call
> at the western Zocation

Earlier in the narrative, 'we exclusive' was established as the topical participant and continues to be throughout this story. (Thus, here a co-referential free nominal is unnecessary, see section 2.l.) This topical participant is marked only by the object prefix mi- 'us exclusive' on the verb in each clause. The free nominals in the same clause, in fact, are not co-referential with the verb prefixes.

Note that the subjects of the verbs are referenced with free nominals, manyawa, people, and onyawa, a person, in their respective clauses, but are not marked on the verb with co-referential subject prefixes. This is because these subject referents are, in fact, non-topical in these two clauses and it is only topical participants that are marked on the verb.

### 1.2.2 Two topical participants interacting

Some verbs in a discourse are marked with both subject and object prefixes (subject and object not being co-referential). According to the previous discussion then, there must be two topical participants involved in the action described by the verb, one for the subject role and one for the object role marked by the verbal prefixes.

Example 9.
Aweng WO-MI-sasano
Aweng $H E-H E R-a s k$ questions
Aweng asked her questions
The verb in this example has both subject and object prefixes, so we should expect it to describe an interaction between two topical participants.

In examining the linguistic context preceding Example 9, we find nyonya foreign married woman (the referent of prefix mi-her in the example) was introduced as topical participant at the very beginning of the story.

Then, seven clauses preceding Example 9, Aweng is introduced as a topical participant by the device of the free nominal Aweng and the co-referential subject prefix wo- he in the clause.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { de AWENG WO-temo } \\
& \text { then AWENG HE-say } \\
& \text { then AWeng said }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the intervening clauses, between the introduction of Aweng and the clause of Example 9, sometimes Aweng is topical and takes the (only) prefix marking on the verb, and sometimes nyonya is topical and takes the (only) prefix marking on the verb.

However, the verb of Example 9 has both subject and object prefixes. The subject prefix wo- he refers to the topical participant Aweng, the object prefix mi- she refers to the topical participant nyonya, and the clause describes an interaction between these two topical participants.

Example 10.
la nako so wo-liho de TO-WI-si-haga next if so he-go home then I-HIM-cause-Zie then if he comes home, I'll lie to him

In Example 10, two topical participants are marked on the verb haga to lie. One topical participant is marked by the subject prefix to- $I$ and the second topical participant by the object prefix wi-him. Early in the story of this example, the same two participants have been introduced as major participants (see Example 15). Then, nine clauses before the clause of Example 10, one man goes to the other's house (Example 6), and the event is described by the following clause.

```
awi-besi o-kilo motoha wa-aho
his-iron a-kilogram five he 4po-bring
he'Zl bring his five kilograms of iron
```

Then in Example 10, the two-topic construction is used to describe a hypothetical interaction between these two topical participants. The man referred to by the subject prefix to- $I$ decides to lie to the other man, referred to by the object prefix wi-him.

Further conflict follows which leads to the climactic repentance of the lie. The two-topic construction is also used to mark these points of interaction. This tells the addressee to pay attention to the conflict between these two men throughout this part of the story.

### 1.3 Marking non-topical participants

A non-topical participant is one on whom the narrator does not want the addressee to place his attention at the moment. He is a participant who either plays a minor role in the whole narrative, or a major participant who plays a minor role in the current portion of the narrative. Non-topical participants are not marked by verb prefixes.
Example 11.

> de $M 0-\emptyset-$-sano
> then $S H E-\emptyset-a s k$
> then she asked (him)

In Example ll, the narrator does not want the addressee's attention on who is being asked, so the 'askee' is marked with a zero pronominal prefix, ' $\varnothing$ '. The attention is on the subject mo- she (the one who is asking).

In fact, by tracing participants through this story, it can be seen that the only person who could have been asked at this point was Aweng. Had the askee Aweng been topical, the verb sano to ask would have been prefixed with wi-him and not with mo- she.

Example 12.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { O-BESI MA-DUHUTU ma-gena asa } \begin{array}{l}
\text { WO-ø-si-supu } \\
\text { A-IRON OF-OWNER of-that recently } H E-\varnothing \text {-cause-outside } \\
\text { that iron owner put (him) outside }
\end{array} \text { }
\end{aligned}
$$

In Example 12, the non-topical participant is the object of the verb sisupu to put outside, i.e. the child whom the iron owner put outside. Since this child is non-topical, there is no object prefix on the verb. However, the subject referent obesi maduhutu iron owner is the topical participant and so is marked by the subject prefix wo- he.

## 2. POINTS OF LOW TOPIC CONTINUITY

I have up to now been dealing with participant reference in stretches of (narrative) discourse where there is a constant topical participant. These are stretches of high topic continuity. Within such stretches of discourse, the pronominal prefix on a verb marks the topical participant and his syntactic role for the verb.

I pass on now to consider the introduction, reidentification, or change of a topical participant. These functions occur in portions of a narrative where there is low topic continuity. At these points, we find a free nominal which is co-referential with a prefix on the verb in the same clause. This device introduces, reidentifies, or changes a topical participant, as shown in sections $2.1,2.2$, and 2.3 respectively. (The case when the nominal is not co-referential with a verb prefix is dealt with in section 3.)

### 2.1 Introduction of a topical participant

A topical participant is introduced with a free nominal and a co-referential prefix on a verb (Examples 13, 14, and 16) or at times on a number word (Example 15).

Example 13.
ONGO-PEDEKA MO-babalo moi
A-WOMAN $S H E$-widow one
there was a woman who was widowed

In Example 13, a major topical participant is introduced with the nominal ongo-pedeka $a$ woman and the co-referential subject prefix mo- she on the verb babalo to be widowed.

Example 14.
MA-NGOPA MA-GENA I-MI-ronga ongo-Dina
OF-CHILD OF-THAT $4 \mathrm{pS}-H E R-n o m e ~ a-D i n a ~$
that child is named Dina

In Example 14, taken from the same narrative as Example 13, a second major topical participant is introduced with the nominal mangopa magena that child and the co-referential object prefix mi-her on the verb ronga to name. (The prefix $i$ - marks indefinite human 'they' who are subject of the verb. Though these participants are outside of the speaker-addressee core communication situation they are marked here on the verb as topical participants. ${ }^{6}$ )
Example 15. (see also Example 17)
0-NYAWA YA-sinoto
A-PERSON THEM-two
the two of them or they are two people
In Example 15, two major topical participants are introduced at the beginning of a narrative with the nominal onyawa a person and the co-referential object prefix ya- them on the number word sinoto two. When used to count people, numbers always take the object prefix (and never the subject prefix).

Example 16.

| 1. | sidago o-wange ma-moi AWI-NGOPA MA-GENA asa until a-day of-one HIS-CHILD OF-THAT recently until one day his child was out walking | WO-tagitagi HE-go and go |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | eko wo-uule ka-gena awi-loloha-ka or HE-play at-that his-yard-at or playing in his yard |  |

Likewise a minor participant can be topical. In Example 16, a minor participant of the same narrative is introduced as a topical participant for this stretch of discourse. The nominal awingopa magena his child is co-referential with the subject prefix wo- he on the verbs tagitagi to go and go and uule to play. The narrator wants attention on this minor participant 'his child' when he is brought into the story to be revengefully kidnapped by the iron owner.

### 2.2 Reidentification of a topical participant

Although a topical participant has already been introduced, he may need to be reidentified at various subsequent points in the discourse. In portions of the discourse where there is less topic continuity, clarification is often needed as to exactly which of several participants at that point is topical. So the topical participant is reidentified with a free nominal and a co-referential verb prefix. Other points where a topical participant is reidentified are those where the story passes from the setting to actual events or from one episode to another. At these points, the same mechanism of a free nominal with a co-referential verb prefix is used.

Here I define an episode as the sequence of situations and events within a single time setting. Thus, there is a change of episode as the narration passes through a time setting. When it does so, the topical participant may change or stay the same, but even when it does not change, it needs to be reidentified at the beginning of the new episode.
Example $17 .{ }^{8}$

1. ka-naga o-doku moi-ka 0-NYAWA YA-sinoto
at-is a-village one-at A-PERSON THEM-two
in a village there are two people
i-ma-ri-bobapo
4pS-reflexive-reciprocal-friend they are friends
2. so o-wange moi-ka de i-wi-moi so a-day one-at then 4 pS -him-one so, one day one of them wo-mau wo-tagi o-doku ma-somoa-ka he-want he-go a-village of-other-at wants to go to another village
3. wo-mau wo-ma-gogahu he-want he-reflexive-work for he wants to look for work
```
4. mutuwade ma-dongoho UNA WO-mau WO-ma-jobo
    therefore of-in time \(H E\) HE-want \(H E\)-reflexive-leave
    therefore in time he wants to leave
5. UNA asa WO-tagi ma-dodiao-ka
    \(H E\) future \(H E-g o\) of-friend-at
    he will go to his friends
6. awi-besi o-kilo motoha wa-aho
    his-iron a-kilogram five he 4po-bring
    he'zl bring his five kilograms of iron
```

7. la wo-si-dodogu
then he-cause-stay
and then deposit (it)
8. so ONYAWA UNA MAGENA asa WO-liho WO-tagi AWI-tahu-ka so A-PERSON HE OF-THAT future HE-go home HE-go HIS-house-at so that some person will go home to his house
In clause 1 of Example 17, the narrator introduces a set of two participants, including the topical participant of this portion of the story, with onyawa ya-sinoto two people. The nominal onyawa a person, is co-referential with the object prefix ya- them. In clause 2 , one of these two becomes the topical participant of this portion when he is singled out with i-wi-moi fourth person him-one or one of them. But notice that this topical participant is reidentified three more times with a nominal and a co-referential verb prefix (in clauses 4, 5, and 8) for different reasons.

First, in clause 4, una he is co-referential with the subject prefix wo- he on two verbs mau to want and jobo to leave. The same topical participant as before is reidentified here with a nominal and co-referential prefixes because at this point the discourse passes from the setting, in clauses l-3, to the actual events after clause 4, which describes his intended departure. The time margin of clause 4 madongoho in time confirms that the story has passed from the setting to the actual events because the word madongoho is a kind of deictic time anchorage (Rommetveit 1968). But note that in clause 4 he is only described as thinking about 'leaving'.

Second, in clause 5, the nominal una he and the co-referential subject prefix wo- he on the verb tagi to go reidentifies the same topical participant once more at the point where the narration of his actual departure begins.
Third, in clause 8, onyawa una magena that person is co-referential with the subject prefix wo- he on the verbs liho to go home and tagi to go. This usage clarifies who goes home. It reidentifies the topical participant in clause 8 as the same person who deposited the iron in clause 7 and the same as the topical participant of clauses 4 and 5 ; thus it clarifies that this referent is not the one who received the iron.

Therefore, the reidentification in clause 8 clarifies a possible confusion of participant identity. On the other hand, the reidentification in clauses 4 and 5 shows that the story has gone from the setting to the actual events.

Example 18.

1. bolo 0-NYAWA UNA MA-GENA asa eko AWI-DODIAO MA-GENA finished A-PERSON HE OF-THAT future or HIS-FRIEND OF-THAT finished, that person, or rather, that friend of his
asa WO-liho awi-tahu-ka
future $H E-g o$ home his-house-at
will go home to his house
New episode begins with new time setting.
2. So o-wange ma-moi-ka de 0-NYAWA UNA MA-GENA so a-day of-one-at then A-PERSON HE OF-THAT so one day that person
eko AWI-DODIAO MA-GENA WO-mau WO-balasi
or HIS-FRIEND OF-THAT HE-want HE-revenge
or rather, that friend of his will want revenge
In Example 18, the events of the immediately preceding context are that a man's friend has hidden a piece of iron that has been entrusted to his care and then lied to the iron owner, saying that a mouse has eaten it.

In clause 1 , the free nominals onyawa una magena that person and awidodiao magena that friend of his are co-referential with the subject prefix wo- he on the verb liho to go home. The topical participant so defined is the iron owner of Example 17. The episode closes at the end of clause 1 when he goes home after his friend has lied to him. He needs to be reidentified at the very end of this episode because the other topical participant (i.e. the lying friend) was under attention for most of the earlier part of the episode. But here at clause 1 there is a quick switch of attention to the iron owner to show the latter's reaction. (Notice how the particle bolo finished also helps signal the switch of attention.)

In clause 2, a new episode begins with a new time setting owange mamoika de one day. As a part of the marking of the new episode, the iron owner is reidentified with the two nominals onyawa una magena that person and awidodiao magena that friend of his which are both co-referential with the subject prefix wo he on the verbs mau want, and balasi revenge. In this new episode, the iron owner is again the participant under attention. In the previous episode he was the reactor, merely listening to his friend's lies, but in this episode he becomes the initiator, wanting revenge for his friend's lie and eventually achieving it.

### 2.3 Change of topical participants

Although the major participants of the narrative have already been introduced, exactly who the topical participant is may change at different points within a given episode. These changes are signalled by the same device, i.e. a free nominal and a co-referential verb prefix.

Example 19.

1. so de WA-hika so then $H E$ 4pO-go there so then he goes there
2. de MA-DODIAO MA-GENA asa WO-temo then OF-FRIEND OF-THAT future $H E-s a y$ then that friend will say

In Example 19, clause l, the verb hika to go there is marked by the prefix wawhich for motion verbs means third person masculine singular subject towards a specific destination. ${ }^{9}$ In clause 2 , the topical participant changes to madodiao magena that friend of (his) and this change is signalled by the nominal just cited and the co-referential subject prefix wo- he on the verb temo to say.

Example 20.


## 3. INTRODUCTION OF NON-TOPICAL PARTICIPANTS

At some points in discourse, the narrator may need to introduce a participant who is not topical. This is done by the device of a free nominal which is not co-referential with any verb prefix. The free nominal itself introduces the non-topical participant while the verb prefix marks another participant which
has been already established as topical in the preceding context. It is clear that the device used here is consistent with the rule that only topical participants are marked by verb prefixes.

Note that the point where a non-topical participant is so introduced is a point of high topic continuity. ${ }^{11}$
Example 2l. (see Example 8)

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { ka-dine } & 0-K i r a-k a & \text { de } \\
\text { at-NYAWA } \varnothing \text {-mi-aso } \\
\text { atocation } a-K i r a-a t ~ t h e n ~ & A-P E R S O N ~ \varnothing-u s ~ e x c l-c a l l ~ \\
\text { at the western Zocation }
\end{array}
$$

The free nominal onyawa a person brings in at this one point the non-topical participant who is subject of the verb aso to call. That free nominal is not co-referential with the object prefix mi- 'us exclusive' on the verb. (This object prefix mi- 'us exclusive', in fact, marks the foreign woman and her companion who were introduced earlier as the topical participants.)
In Example 2l, the person who is the non-topical participant merely calls out to the topical participants, (the foreign woman and her companion). In fact, that person never interacts further with the topical participants nor with anyone else; he just appears momentarily and exits.

Example 22.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ka-dahu 0-NYAWA } \varnothing \text {-ni-sano } \\
& \text { at-down location then A-PERSON } \varnothing \text {-you O-ask } \\
& \text { at a northern location a person asked you }
\end{aligned}
$$

The free nominal onyawa a person introduces the non-topical participant who is the subject of the verb sano to ask. That free nominal is not co-referential with the object prefix ni- you on the verb. This object prefix marks the foreign married woman and her companion, who were introduced earlier as the topical participants.
In Example 22, which is taken from the same story as Example 21, the non-topical participant asks the topical participants where they are going. But this person, again, never interacts further with the major participants nor with anyone else.

Example 23.

> ka-gena de O-SOATOBARU MA-NYAWA $\varnothing$-mi-dolomu at-that then A-SOATOBARU OF-PERSON $\varnothing$-us excl-stare at that point Soatobaru people stared at us

In Example 23 which again is taken from the same story as 21 and 22, the free nominal oSoatobaru manyawa Soatobaru people introduces these non-topical participants as subjects of the verb dolomu to stare. That free nominal is not co-referential with the object prefix mi- 'us exclusive' on the verb. This object prefix marks the foreign woman and her companion as the topical participants who are stared at as they enter the store. There is no further interaction between the two groups of participants at this point.

However, it is to be noted that at the very end of the story, the same group of Soatobaru people becomes the topical participant in Example 24. They gossip about the foreign woman as she leaves the store and her companion admonishes them to not gossip like that since the foreigner knows their language.

Example 24.

1. ka-gena de YO-bicara YO-sosabarang at-that then THEY-speak THEY-gossip then there they spoke gossip
2. de ngohi to-temo
then $I \quad I$-say
then I said
3. 'upa NI-bicara NI-sosabarang
don't YOU pls-speak YOU pls-gossip
'Don't you speak gossip
4. sababu ona ya-nako-ka yo-bahasa Galela'
because they they 4po-know-complete they-language Galela because they already know the Galela language'

At this point in the story, the minor participants, the Soatobaru people who were introduced in Example 23 and were non-topical there, are now marked as topical participants with verb prefixes yo- they in clause 1 and ni- 'you plural subject' in clause 3. And, in fact, they significantly interact with the major participant, the narrator (marked by prefix to- $I$ in clause 2 ) in the four clauses of this example.

## 4. SUMMARY

A Galela narrative discourse is organised around the topical participants, i.e. the participants on whom the narrator wants the addressee to place his attention.

The system of pronominal verb prefixes marks the participants that are topical at any given point in the narrative. Topical participants are usually major participants, but occasionally a minor participant may become topical at some point in $\mathfrak{a}$ narrative by having a significant interaction at that point with a major topical participant (see Example 24).
The introduction, reidentification, and change of topical participants is signalled by a free nominal with a co-referential verb prefix. The non-topical participants are identified by a free nominal without any (co-referential) verb prefix.

NOTES
${ }^{1}$ The Galela language is a member of the Mainland Family of the North Halmahera Stock in the West Papuan Language Family (Grimes 1984). It is spoken by at least 20,000 Galelans who live on north Halmahera Island around Galela Bay and Lake Galela and on the south side of Morotai Island (due north) in the north Moluccan Islands of Indonesia. The Galelans are fairly acculturated to the national culture of Indonesia, heavily influenced from Java, so very few are monolingual.

Fieldwork to study the Galela language with the Cooperative Program of Pattimura University and the Summer Institute of Linguistics began in October 1983. The data which formed the basis of this analysis was gathered in the village
of Duma, at the interior end of Lake Galela. Since a large quantity of narrative texts was not available at the time, this paper is based on an in-depth analysis of two narrative discourses.

Grateful acknowledgements are made to Yosafat Etha, Robinson Ipol, and Lina Etha, all residents of Duma village who either narrated the two stories or helped me as a language helper, to Howard Shelden on whose topical participant hypothesis this paper is based, and to Dr Ivan Lowe, an international linguistic consultant for the Summer Institute of Linguistics, for help in writing this paper. Grateful acknowledgement is also made to the various levels of Indonesian government officials and the cooperative agreement between the Universitas Pattimura in Ambon and the Summer Institute of Linguistics (UnPatti/SIL) which helped initiate the field work for this study.
${ }^{2}$ The phonemes of Galela are
vowels /i/, /e/, /a/, /u/, /o/
voiceless stops /p/, /t/, /tš/, /k/
voiced stops /b/, /d, /d /, /dž/, /g/
fricatives / $\mathrm{\beta} / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s} /$, /h/
nasals /m/, /n/, /n/, /ñ/
lateral /l/
vibrant /ř/
semivowels /y/, /w/
The phone /d/ is a voiced dental stop, whereas /ḍ/ represents a voiced retroflexed avleolar stop.

The practical orthography used in this paper is based on Indonesian orthography and is the same as the phonemic orthography except that
/d/ is written d
/d/ is written d
/p/ is written $f$
$/$ tš/ is written c
/dž/ is written $j$
/n/ is written ng
/ñ/ is written ny
and $w$ and $y$ represent the non-syllabic allophones of the high vowels /u/ and /i/ respectively.
${ }^{3}$ In this table the vowel / / / is present in the subject prefixes when an object is not marked. This is why the parentheses have been put round the vowel /o/ in Table 1.
${ }^{4}$ When the vowel /a/ is appended (see note 3) to the fourth person subject prefix i-, the resulting form /ia/ is realised orthographically as ya(H. and D. Sheldon 1984).
${ }^{5}$ Other prefixes that are also identical: mi-we/us/her, and i-me/it.
${ }^{6}$ The Galela language specifies that a Third Person participant must be both human and definite. Any particle that is outside of the speaker-addressee core communication situation is marked on the verb by a fourth person marker if it is a non-human or an indefinite human, see $H$. Shelden (1984).
${ }^{7}$ The Galela use four points of the compass, which however, are described as the up, down, land, and sea points both for directions and for locations. The free translations will reflect our western orientation of compass points: south (for Galela up), north (for down), west (for land), and, east (for sea).
${ }^{8}$ The prefix ma- marks verbs as reflexive. On some verbs, such as jobo to leave of clause 4 of this example, the ma- prefix is obligitory. On other verbs, such as bobapo friend of clause lit is not.
${ }^{9}$ Verbs of motion are permitted to take locationals as objects, but are otherwise intransitive. Here, the verb hika to go somewhere, prefixed by the wa- 'he 4pO' tells us that the destination is explicit and in this case recoverable from the preceding context. If, however, wo- he had been used on the verb hika with no object marking, the destination would have been indefinite, and the whole construction would mean 'so then he goes somewhere'.
${ }^{10}$ When the subject of a verb is third person singular 'him or her' and the object is third person singular of the same gender as the subject, the combination is realised by the following portmanteau forms: he acting on $\mathrm{him}=/ \mathrm{wi} /$ instead of $/ \mathrm{wo}-\mathrm{wi} /$ and she acting on her $=/ \mathrm{mi} /$ instead of /mo-mi/.
${ }^{11}$ No verb prefixes whatever will occur on a verb if no participant is topical at that point in the story.
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## ADVERSATIVE RELATIONS IN ISIRAWA NARRATIVE DISCOURSE

## Hiroko Oguri

## 1. INTRODUCTION ${ }^{1}$

In Isirawa ${ }^{2}$, one of the most puzzling verb prefixes to analyse was pii-. Sometimes Isirawa speakers could give the meanings, for instance again or still for pii-, but most times they could not. It became evident that pii- was used sometimes to show frustration, sometimes the final successful result after great effort, sometimes contrast, sometimes a drastic reaction, but it was difficult to see how these different functions fitted into a system.

In this paper I propose to describe the functions of the verb prefix pii- as fitting into the overall category of 'adversative relations'.
An 'adversative' relation can be loosely defined as the logical relationship expressed by the English connective 'but'. Halliday and Hasan (1976) have analysed the two principal meanings of this connective to be contraexpectation and contrast. Lakoff (197l) deals with essentially the same meanings but calls them denial of expectation and semantic opposition, respectively. Longacre (1976) treats the various meanings of the adversative under the cover terms frustration and contrast. For frustration he has the submeanings: frustrated coupling, frustrated succession, frustrated overlap, frustrated hypotheses, frustrated contingency, frustrated efficient cause, frustrated final cause, frustrated attribution, frustrated modality. Lowe (to appear) also invokes the two principal meanings contraexpectation and contrast for the adversative. He defines a contraexpectation situation as one in which the expectation is not realised and thus arrives at the submeanings: contrary to law, contrary to quasi-law, contrary to enablement, contrary to mutual convenience, contrary to high-frequency expectation and contrary to normal evidence-conclusion inference. All the above authors make the point that the larger socio-cultural context is usually necessary for an understanding of what is expected (or presupposed) in the contraexpectation relationship expressed in a specific linguistic example.

Therefore in this paper, I will discuss examples of pii- which signal contraexpectation (section 2.1) and examples of pii- which signal contrast (section 2.2).

Papers in New Guinea linguistics, No. 25, 233-248. Pacific Linguistics, A-74, 1986.

## 2. ADVERSATIVE RELATIONS EXPRESSED BY PII-

There are two common categories of the adversative: contraexpectation and contrast. Both are marked by pii- in Isirawa.

### 2.1 Contraexpectation

One of the most common functions of pii- is to express contraexpectation. Contraexpectation can be said to occur when an action takes place or a state of affairs exists which goes either against the specific expectation of an individual in a particular situation or against the general expectation of the culture as a whole. This general type of expectation can be based on physical laws or on social norms.

Contraexpectation can be contrary to the expectation of the topical participant in the discourse or contrary to the expectation of the speaker or of the addressee or of the culture as a whole. The discussion of the uses of pii- in this paper will be divided according to the individual or individuals for whom the event or the state is not expected.

There is a subcategory of contraexpectation which I call frustration. This refers to an aborted attempt by the topical participant to achieve a result. This subcategory is treated in section 2.l.l.l. Notice that frustration is a special case of an event or state contrary to the topical participant's expectation.

### 2.1.1 Contrary to the expectation of the topical participant in the discourse

When some event or state occurs in the discourse which is not expected by the topical participant, that event or state is introduced with pii- prefixed to the verb describing the event or state. By topical participant, I mean that participant on whom the narrator wants the addressee to place his attention at any point in a narrative.

Example 1.
A female spirit bird tries to kill an old man who had treated her badly, but the old man's youngest son comes to rescue him. In the evening when the spirit bird comes to the entrance of the old man's house to attack him, the son hits her on the head. She falls down outside the house and stays there dripping blood till dawn. In the morning when she leaves, they hear the sound of her leaving. Around eight o'clock the boy opens the door to get out of the house and taking his bow and arrows, checks round the house to see if there are other spirit birds around or not. But, contrary to his expectation, there is no one; only blood. Referring to this unexpected state of affairs, the narrator says,

```
as-asmiira nii PII-maa- ri
only-blood sbj RP be
there was only blood
```

(The gloss RP (see list of abbreviations page 259) means 'at that time and at that place in the discourse'.)

The following examples 2 and 3 show pii- being used to mark an event or state contrary to the desire of the topical participant, and therefore contrary to his expectation. (Every desire will set up an expectation, no matter how weak.)

Example 2.
In the same story about the female spirit bird, she is going to attack an old man because he had treated her badly. While the old man is hiding in a jungle hut, his companions run away to a mountain. The spirit bird thinks the old man is with them and goes after them, flashing at them with her shiny eyes. In fear and dread of the light from her eyes, the humans flee up the mountain path. They would not have gone up that path by the light of her eyes of their own free will, because they were afraid she might harm them. Therefore, their flight within range of the spirit bird's eyes is contrary to their desire. The text says,
Ceii pev nuera acama so PE(=pii+e)-weriis-mi.
they his/her/its eye light in CE+3pl go-up PC
They went up (the mountain) by the light of her eyes (unwillingly).

The fact that they went contrary to their desire is here marked by pe- which is a portmanteau of pii- 'contraexpectation' and e- 'third person plural subject'. ${ }^{3}$

Example 3.
A boy has had a nose-stick taken from him by a woman. With the object of getting the nose-stick back, he comes to the village where she lives. He stays there overnight and, in the morning (although he is afraid and therefore rather unwilling), he goes anyway, catches her and retrieves his nose-stick. The Isirawa text says,

Miriie nonoeii PE- sue-mi.
young-man there CE+sg go PC
The young man went ANYWAY (even though he was unwilling).
The young man went contrary to his desire, that is to say, in spite of a certain unwillingness occasioned by his fear. Thus the verb sue to go is marked by pe- which is a portmanteau of pii- 'contraexpectation' and e'singular subject'.

### 2.1.1.1 Frustration

When the topical participant attempts to achieve a result but fails, that participant has been frustrated and his failure is contrary to his desire and thus to his expectation. Such frustration is marked in Isirawa by pii'contraexpectation', preceded immediately by aro in vain. Thus frustration is a subcategory of the category 'contrary to the expectation of the topical participant'.

Example 4.
Saticana who has eaten a boy, is shot by the boy's father. Saticana wants to fight back his assailants but he cannot find his bow and arrows. In the meantime he is shot with lots of arrows. He wants to stand firm but, contrary to his desire, he cannot do so and has to run away. The narrator says,

```
ARO PE- niikruowe-mi.
in-vain CE+sg endure PC
He tried to endure in vain.
```

It was Saticana's desire to endure and he attempted to do so but failed. This frustration of his attempt is marked by pii- (here pe-) 'contraexpectation' and aro in vain.

Example 5.
In one story a female spirit bird tries to attack an old man who has done bad things to her. The old man is hiding in a house and his companions are running along a path. The spirit bird thinks the old man is also with them so she goes after them. She shines at them with her flashlight-like eyes in order to find the old man but she cannot find him among them. The text says,

ARO PE- viyaraasaana-mi.
in-vain EC+sg shine PC She shone at (them) in vain.

Her attempt to find the old man is frustrated and this frustration is expressed by aro in vain and pii- (here pe-) 'contraexpectation'.

Example 6.
After Saticana dies and decays, his parents decide to move into a river to live. They go to the Nipisiaana river and measure its depth, hoping it is big (deep) enough for them to live in. But it is not. The text says,

Nipisiaana ma vase ARO PII-caa-mus -mi.
name-of-river this in/at in-vain $C E$ dl measure PC
They measured the Nipisiaana river in vain.
The parents took steps to move into a river and live there but that attempt failed because the river turned out not to be deep enough. This failure or frustration is marked by the combination of aro in vain and pii'contraexpectation'.

### 2.1.2 Contrary to the expectation of the narrator of the discourse

The prefix pii- can also be used to mark an action or a state which takes place contrary to the narrator's desire and therefore to his expectation. One might gloss this usage of pii- as but too bad. Such a usage therefore expresses the narrator's evaluation of an action or state.
Example 7.
The parents of Saticana are looking for a river to stay in. The mother measures the depth of the Nipisiaana river by jumping into it but her body still shows, so there is not enough water. At this point in the story the narrator expresses his disappointment that the river is too shallow for them to stay in.

```
Antateso PII-maa- mii.
visible CE RP be
BUT IT IS TOO BAD she was visible.
```

Thus, pii- is used to signal a state that is contrary to the narrator's desire. Here the narrator is expressing empathy with the mother; what is contrary to her desire (and expectation) is also contrary to his. This contraexpectation is marked by pii-.

Example 8.
After Saticana has been shot because he has eaten a boy, he goes back home and asks his mother to get him water to drink and sago to eat. While he is eating the sago, he falls down and dies. One of the Isirawa men told me that it was too bad that Saticana died, even though he had eaten the boy. The narrator communicates his sympathy for Saticana by using the pii- prefix.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Pe}^{4} \text { vao moii PE- frorapa -pi. } \\
& \text { that at there CE+sg faZZ-down PC } \\
& \text { BUT IT IS TOO BAD at that time he feZZ down (and died). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 9.
When Saticana is shot, he runs away dripping blood and vomiting. According to the Isirawa belief, these drops of blood and vomit became saticana trees whose resin provides them with light. The narrator says 'If he had run in this direction first, we would have been lucky, there would have been saticana trees on the coast'. Then he says,

To-tore wiina vao PE- saausaana-mi.
only-nome-of-river head at CE+sg run PC
BUT TOO BAD he ran only to the upper stream of the Tor river.
Note that in this example pii- (here pe-) is used to express something contrary not only to the speaker's but also to the addressee's desire for light-producing resin.

### 2.1.3 Contrary to the expectation of the addressee of the discourse (narrator corrects what he considers could possibly be a false presupposition on the part of the addressee)

When the addressee has a false presupposition, or the narrator thinks the addressee might possibly have a false presupposition, the narrator can correct it. He can forestall such a false presupposition, as it were. In this case pii- occurs on the verb, showing that the narrator's statement is not expected by the addressee.
In the following examples (10 and ll) pii- can be translated by English stilZ. Example 10.

When Saticana is dying, he asks his mother to give him sago from his own tree, then he asks her to bake it and the mother does. The narrator then speaks directly to the addressees saying,

```
Ef naafa puaii mariife pes }\mp@subsup{}{}{5}\textrm{PE}-\quad\mathrm{ raavoana.
his sago up-there like-this mkr CE+sg be
His sago territory STILL exists up there.
```

In so doing, he is correcting a possible false presupposition that the addressee might have, that Saticana's sago territory no longer exists, because usually someone's sago territory disappears after a long time. Since Saticana is a folk hero of such a long time ago, his sago fields might reasonably be expected to have vanished by now.

Example 11.
After Saticana dies and his body decays, his parents decide to move to a river to live, but they cannot find one deep enough for the two of them to live in together. So the father decides to stay in the Nenekaamaii river and the mother in the Sarinie-Praata river. The narrator says, 'The husband went back and stayed in the Nenekaamaii river'. Then he continues,

```
Are pe vao PE- mii.
now that at CE+sg be
He is STILL there now.
```

Here again, the narrator is correcting a possible false presupposition that the addressee might have that the father is not there any more, because usually an historical personage does not live till the present day.

Note that in both examples 10 and ll, the pii- marking occurs in a clause containing information that is off the main event line. These clauses, in fact contain interpersonal information from the narrator to the addressee linking the events of the story to the present day state of affairs.

In the following examples, pii- can be translated by English only or just.
Example 12.
In one story, two boys enter a school far away from their home village. Two years later, their parents withdraw them from the school without the boys being able to read or write, and take them back to the village. The boys then just stay there with their parents and carry on with ordinary village life. The text says,

```
Peso PII-a- navraau-mi.
mkr CE 3pl be PC
They JUST stayed (there).
```

One Isirawa man told me that, if parents bring boys back from a school, the boys sometimes get upset and leave their own village. But these two boys did not; they just stayed with their parents. The narrator is saying, 'In case you think they got upset at their parents and left for another village just as some boys do, I tell you they did not, they just stayed in their own village'. Thus, he is correcting the addressees' remotely possible false presupposition by using the pii-marked clause.

Example 13.
Saticana, having eaten a boy, is shot by the boy's father. He runs away to his home. His mother sees from a great distance what has happened and she goes to pound sago while waiting for him to come back. The text says,

Pe vo PE- vres -ii, fifivrii-eii.
that obj CE+sg pound-sago pres wait in-order-to
She was JUST pounding it (sago) in order to wait for (him).


#### Abstract

One Isirawa person said that the mother did not go far away to look for food, etc., but was only pounding sago near the house, because if she had gone far, Saticana would not have found her when he arrived dying. The narrator is saying, 'In case you think she went into the jungle or some place to look for food etc., I tell you she did not. She was just pounding sago near the house'. Thus, the narrator forestalls the remote possibility of the addressees' false presupposition by using the pii-marked clause.


### 2.1.4 Contrary to the expectation of the whole Isirawa culture

Some events are so unusual that they are contrary to the expectation of everyone in the culture. Such events are also marked by pii-. In example l4, the pii-marked verb reports an event that is done in a very unusual way, in example 15, the pii-marked verb reports an unusual feat of strength, while in example 16, there is an unusual reversal of roles. In these contexts, piicould be translated by English surprisingly.

Example 14.
In one story, a father whose adopted son was eaten by a man, decides to take vengeance. He goes to a garden and makes arrows. Because that night may be the only chance he has to shoot the man, he is in a hurry. He quickly dries out some bamboo for the arrow heads but they would not be dry enough by Isirawa standards. An Isirawa man told me that no one uses new bamboo to make arrow heads; it must be dried out really well first. In the story this abnormal procedure is marked by pii- (here pe-).

| Riiraariire | PE- tasi | -mi. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| new-metari-bamboo CE+sg do-something-with-string | PC |  |
| He SURPRISINGLY tied new metari bamboo with string (as arrow heads). |  |  |

Example 15.
Saticana, after he has eaten a boy and all sorts of food, falls asleep in the boy's father's house. While sleeping he is shot by the father. He wakes up and decides to destroy the house. In the dark he catches hold of the centre pillar of the house and at last succeeds in pulling it out. Pulling out the centre pillar is such a feat of strength that normal people could never do it, and this abnormality is marked with pii-.

Pupuii PE- torii-mi.
up CE+sg pulZ PC
SURPRISINGLY he pulled it out.
A free translation of this sentence might be SURPRISINGLY ENOUGH, he was able to pull it out.

Example 16.
A boy loses his sister-in-law's nose-stick when it is taken from him by a young woman. He tries to get it back. On his way to the village where the thief lives, he stays one night at a dogs' village and there he takes a dog for company. At last he arrives at the thief's village, goes to her and pulls out the nose-stick which he has lost. The woman and others start making lots of noise, and the strong chief accompanied by other men comes with bow and arrows to fight the boy. When one man tries to shoot the boy, the dog bites
him and rescues the boy. Another man tries to shoot the boy, and again the dog bites him. After all this fighting, the dog wins contrary to everyone's expectation. Dogs do not usually beat armed people. That would be abnormal. The narrator concludes the paragraph,

```
Maa-maanane PE- maa-mi.
victory(In) CE+sg be PC
SURPRISINGLY he (the dog) had the victory.
```


### 2.2 Contrast

The other category of the adversative in Isirawa is contrast. ${ }^{6}$ This again is marked by pii-. Two types of contrast will be treated in this paper: simple contrast and repeated action as contrast.

### 2.2.1 Simple contrast

Isirawa speakers consider contrastive, two different actions, done by two different participants or sets of participants at the same time. Also the same or similar actions performed by different participants l) at different locations, or 2) with different coagents, or 3) on different direct objects, are considered contrastive. Likewise, two similar situations with two different settings and two different outcomes (see example 2l) can be considered contrastive. Some examples follow.

Example 17.
An old man, who has caught a rat-like animal, does not want to share it with his daughter but rather to eat it all by himself. So he says to her, 'Child, you build your fire over there with the other men. (On the other hand) I will build mine here at the bend of the river by myself'. In these two clauses, the contrasts are between two participants, the daughter and the father, and between two locations, there and here. There is also contrast between the presence of a coagent and the absence of one, i.e. 'with other men' and 'by myself'. Thus the clauses are contrastive and the contrast is marked by pii(here pe-) on the verb of the second clause.

| Aya, mii ce-ce vas neviis, takii ca. Ope sisa |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mother you only-that at build-fire father with | $I$ bend-of-the-river |

mais PE- neviis -ii, afaata.
here CST+sg build-fire pres myself
Child (lit mother), build the fire there with the other men (lit fathers). ON THE OTHER HAND I will build the fire here at the bend of the river by myself.
Example 18.
In one story a group of people are travelling together. But one old man was left behind. The narrator says, 'Others were already walking up there. But the old man was still behind them.'. The second clause contrasts the position of the old man with the position of the others. The contrast is marked by pii- on the verb of the second clause.

```
Miiname pueii ri- pu- vinana -ii, miinama maii tetraria
other up begin up-there go/walk pres other this behind
PII-mai- e- maa-mai.
CST still sg be P INC
Others were walking up there, ON THE OTHER HAND the other one was
still behind (them).
```

Example 19.
In one story a son suggests to his father that he eat sago pudding. In reply, the father asks, 'What will I eat it with?' The son gives him some wildfowl to go with his sago pudding. Then the father asks, 'What will you (in contrast) eat it with?' In these two questions the contrast is between what the one participant, the father, will eat his sago with and what the other participant, the son, will eat his sago with. These contrasts are marked by pii- on the verb frii to eat of the second clause.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Pe e ware we frii } & \text {-yaro? } & \text { Pe mii ware o } \\ \text { then } I \text { what with eat-sago-pudding inter } & & \text { then you what with }\end{array}$
PE- frii -faro?
CST+sg eat-sago-pudding fut inter
Then what shall I eat my sago pudding with? ... ON THE OTHER HAND
what will you eat your sago pudding with?
Example 20.
In another story some boys go swimming in the ocean. They know that the adults are upset about it, (the adults think it is too dangerous). But they swim a long way from the shore anyway. A village authority decides to discipline them and follows them on the shore. Girls go with him, saying loudly how bad the boys are. The text says,

| Ne PII-me- | vinana-ii, wakera ma, aviitiira |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| we CST RP+lpl | go pres village-authority this girl |

soek pe vao PII-maa- asiianayo -ii.
all that at CST RP talk-loudly-while-walking pres
We went (in the ocean), WHILE a village authority and all the girls were talking loudly as they were walking there (on the shore).

In these two clauses there is a contrast between two sets of participants; on the one hand the boys, and on the other the village authority and the girls. There is also a contrast between their two actions, namely going (swimming) and talking with a loud voice while walking. These contrasts are indicated by pii-.

Note that in this example pii- marks the first clause as well as the second clause where we would normally expect it to occur. However the use of pii- in the first clause is sometimes optional, sometimes obligatory. The conditions under which pii- obligatorily occurs in the first clause have not yet been fully analysed.

Example 21.
In this example, the successive clauses are labelled $A, B, C$, and $D$. In this story, two sisters get married to one man. The older sister says to him, AWhen you and my younger sibling go for sago, BI take a walk. CWhen we two walk, Bmy younger sibling has to go for sago (by herself). In the sentence comprising the clauses $A$ and $B$, what is done by the first set of participants
(you and my younger sibling) in clause A is in contrast with what is done by the second participant (I) in clause B. Similarly, in the sentence comprising the clauses $C$ and $D$, what is done by the third set of participants (you and I) in clause $C$ is in contrast with what is done by the fourth participant ( $m y$ younger sibling) in clause D. Furthermore, the sentence containing clauses A and $B$ describes a situation which is in contrast to the situation which is described in the following sentence (clause C + clause D). These three contrasts are indicated by four occurences of pii-, one marking the verb of each clause.

```
ANaafa iiye mii wiitopiaa ca PII-maan- sue-no,
    sago for you my-younger-sibling with CST RP + dl go TRC
Be waa tatarora PII-maa- nii. CAniinawa PII-an-sue-ne,
    I my walk CST RP walk we-two CST dl go TRC
    Dwiitopiie naafa iiye PII-ari- suen.
my-younger-sibling sago for CST later go
When you and my younger sibling go for sago, I take a walk. When
we two walk, my younger sibling has to go for sago (by herself).
```


### 2.2.2 Repeated action as contrast

When an action is repeated in Isirawa the verb expressing the repeated action is marked with pii- 'contrast'. The same action when repeated is considered contrastive because it must occur at a different time or be performed by a different actor from the original action. When pii-marks an action which is repeated by the same actor at a different time, it can be translated as again in English, when it marks an action which is repeated by a different actor, it can be translated as also. Examples follow:
Example 22.
A boy loses his sister-in-law's nose-stick when it is grabbed by a girl. When his sister-in-law finds that he has lost it, she gets upset. He decides to get it back and goes to the thief's village where her father Siimaatrowe also lives. At first the father takes a bow and arrow and fights with him but the boy is so strong that he evokes Siimaatrowe's admiration and they become friends. Siimaatrowe lets the boy stay overnight and sends him back home because the girl is visiting another village. The boy goes back home again without the nose-stick. Then the sister-in-law asks again about the nose-stick and insists that he find it. So the boy goes back to Siimaatrowe's house again. The text says,

| Eware PII-terapav, sao. | Ave PII-e- morii. Eware peso |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| he CST come-back house mother CST sg ask he mkr |  |

PE- terapa -pi, Siimaatrowe sao.
CST+sg come-back PC man's-name house
He come back home AGAIN. The sister-in-law (lit mother) asked him AGAIN. He went back to Siimaatrowe's house AGAIN.
In this example, there are three repeated actions, each marked by pii'contrast'. In the order in which they are reported in the narrative, the actions are: his coming back home again; his sister-in-law's asking again; his going back to Siimaatrowe's house again. There is a contrast in time of occurence between each original action and its subsequent repetition.

Strictly speaking, the first pii-marked verb PII-terapav sao come back home again does not refer to the repetition of a previously mentioned action. However, my language helper says that the Isirawa treat this as repeated action because the actor comes back to the state where he had been before. The second and third pii-marked verbs both refer to repetitions of actions already mentioned in the preceding context.

Example 23.
While he is sleeping, Saticana is shot with bows and arrows by the father and the stepfather of the boy whom he has eaten. He wakes up and tries to fight back. He says to them, I also would like to take arrows, where the Isirawa text says,

```
Ama, ao PII-me- ririi.
friend I CST want take
Friends, I ALSO would like to take arrows.
```

The boy's father and stepfather had taken arrows. Saticana wanted to do the same. The action ririi take which he desired to do is marked with pii'contrast' because its time and actor are different from those of the original 'taking of arrows'.

## 3. CONCLUSION

Thus, the Isirawa verb prefix pii- marks an adversative relationship between two propositions. The relationship can be either contraexpectation or contrast.

Contraexpectation includes both the case when something happens which one does not expect to happen and the case when something which one expects to happen does not happen at all. In Isirawa, the second type of contraexpectation is marked not only by pi i- (which marks the first type) but is also marked with aro in vain.

Two propositions which describe the same action done by different actors, and with one other contrastive feature between them (for example, at different locations) are in contrast, as also are two propositions which describe two contrasting actions done by two different actors at the same time. The Isirawa also see a repeated action as contrast, because there is contrast between the time at which the original action and its repetition are performed or between the actors of the original and of the repetition.

ABBREVIATIONS

| CE | contraexpectation | lit | literally |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CST | contrast | mkr | marker |
| dl | dual subject | obj | object marker |
| fut inter | future interrogative | PC | past completive |
| In | Indonesian loan word | P INC | past incompletive |
| inter | interrogative | pres | present |


| RP | reference point, i.e. at <br> that time and at that place <br> in the discourse | sg |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | sub |  |
| sbj |  | lpl |
|  |  | 3 pl |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { singular subject } \\
& \text { today's recent completive } \\
& \text { first person plural subject } \\
& \text { third person plural subject }
\end{aligned}
$$

## NOTES

${ }^{1}$ The data on which this paper is based consist of 130 pages of narrative text collected between 1973 and 1984 with the Cooperative Program of Cenderawasih University and the Summer Institute of Linguistics. I wish to express my appreciation to Musa Yapun and Filipus Mamawiso from Amsira in the Jayapura district who have been teaching me their language. I also want to thank Dr Ivan Lowe for his consultant help in analysis and writing. I am grateful to Dr Helen Miehle for helping me to express myself more clearly in English.
${ }^{2}$ Isirawa is a Papuan (non-Austronesian) language spoken by about 2,000 people on the north coast of Irian Jaya in the Jayapura district. Voorhoeve (1975) gives Saberi as another name for Isirawa, and classifies it as a member of the Dani-Kwerba Stock.

Isirawa has 13 consonants and eight vowels. The consonant phonemes of Isirawa are /p/, /t/, /k/, /f/, /s/, /h/, /b/, /č/, /m/, /n/, /r/, /w/, /y/ where $/ \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s} /, / \mathrm{n} /, / \mathrm{c} /$ and $/ \mathrm{r} /$ are made at the dental point of articulation and /r/ is a flap except after voiceless stops /p/, /t/, /k/, when it is realised as a trill. /b/ is a voiced bilabial fricative, while /f/ is a voiceless labio-dental fricative. The vowel phonemes are /i/, /i/, / $\varepsilon /$ / / /, /a/, /u/, /o/, /J/ where /o/ and /J/ are back vowels which are somewhat higher than the corresponding cardinal vowels.

The practical orthography for Isirawa which is used in this paper conforms to the phonemes in the above transcription and also to Indonesian orthography except in the following cases:
/c/ is used to represent /č/ (as in Indonesian)
/v/ represents /b/
/ii/ represents the lax high front vowel /l/
/aa/ represents the central vowel /ə/
/o/ represents the back vowels /o/ and /o/
Isirawa verb suffixes which mark events occuring in past time are portmanteau constructions combining tense (past, recent, today's past, today's recent) and aspect (completive and incompletive). They are:

| -mi | past completive | -aai | today's past completive |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -mai | past incompletive | -mano | today's past incompletive |
| -mo | recent completive | $-a$ | today's recent completive |
| -mapi recent incompletive | $-i \mathbf{i t o}$ | today's recent incompletive |  |

The tense 'past' marks an event or state realised more than three days ago, the tense 'recent' marks one realised in the time period from three days ago until yesterday. The tense 'today's past' marks one realised in the time period from dawn to around $7 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. on the same day as the time of speaking, 'today's recent' marks one realised in the time period after 7 a.m. on the same day up until the point of speaking.
${ }^{3}$ The vowel of pii may disappear when a vowel follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { pii- }+a=\text { piia or pa } \\
& \text { pii- }+e=\text { piie or pe }
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{4}$ Pe is a deictic which means that and is a homophone of pe- (prefix pii- + singular or third person plural subject e).
${ }^{5}$ Peso (pes in fast speech) is a discourse marker whose tentative gloss is at that.
${ }^{6}$ Contrast here is being used in the more diffuse sense mentioned by Longacre (1976:104).
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