

Syddansk Universitet

No detectable differential microRNA expression between non-atherosclerotic arteries of type 2 diabetic patients (treated or untreated with metformin) and non-diabetic patients

Steffensen, Lasse Bach ; Feddersen, Søren; Rørdam Preil, Simone; Rasmussen, Lars Melholt

Published in: Cardiovascular Diabetology

DOI: 10.1186/s12933-018-0715-y

Publication date: 2018

Document version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license CC BY

Citation for pulished version (APA):

Steffensen, L. B., Feddersen, S., Preil, S. R., & Rasmussen, L. M. (2018). No detectable differential microRNA expression between non-atherosclerotic arteries of type 2 diabetic patients (treated or untreated with metformin) and non-diabetic patients. Cardiovascular Diabetology, 17, [72]. DOI: 10.1186/s12933-018-0715-y

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

ORIGINAL INVESTIGATION

Open Access



No detectable differential microRNA expression between non-atherosclerotic arteries of type 2 diabetic patients (treated or untreated with metformin) and non-diabetic patients

Lasse Bach Steffensen^{1,2,3,4*†}, Søren Feddersen^{1,3†}, Simone Rørdam Preil^{1,2} and Lars Melholt Rasmussen^{1,2,3}

Abstract

Background: Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is an independent risk factor of cardiovascular disease (CVD), however, the underlying mechanisms are largely unknown. Using non-atherosclerotic internal thoracic arteries (ITAs) obtained from coronary artery bypass grafting, we previously identified a distinct elevation in the level of proteins comprising the arterial basement membrane in T2DM patients not treated with metformin. Altered transcription of genes encoding these proteins has not been observed, indicating alternative mechanisms of dysregulation.

Methods: In this study we screened for differential expression of arterial microRNAs (miRNAs) in T2DM patients to test the hypothesis that the arterial protein signature of diabetic patients is associated with dysregulation at the miRNA level, and further to lay the foundation for novel hypotheses addressing the increased CVD risk of T2DM patients. MiRNA isolated from fresh frozen ITAs [from 18 T2DM- (10 of which were subject to metformin treatment) and 30 non-diabetes mellitus (non-DM) patients] were analyzed by microarray, and miRNAs isolated from formalin-fixated paraffin-embedded (FFPE) ITAs were analyzed by quantitative PCR (qPCR) in an independent study group [26 T2DM- (15 of which were subject to metformin treatment) and 26 non-DM patients] to determine expression levels of miRNAs in a pre-defined panel of 12 miRNAs.

Results: Unexpectedly, no miRNAs were found to be affected by T2DM status in either of the two study groups.

Conclusions: Our data suggest that alternatives to microRNA dysregulation underlie T2DM-associated protein changes in non-atherosclerotic arteries.

Keywords: MicroRNA, Diabetes, Artery, Microarray

Background

Diabetic patients have increased morbidity and mortality of cardiovascular disease (CVD) including stroke and acute myocardial infarction [1]. The underlying mechanisms are far from understood, however, CVD risk in diabetic patients is independent of hyperlipidemia and hypertension [2] suggesting a direct effect of

*Correspondence: lsteffensen@health.sdu.dk

² Centre for Individualized Medicine in Arterial Diseases (CIMA), Odense University Hospital, Sdr. Boulevard 29, 5000 Odense C, Denmark Full list of author information is available at the end of the article hyperglycemia and/or insulin resistance within macrovascular tissue. This idea is supported by studies showing that diabetic patients have altered remodeling capacity [3, 4] as well as an augmented prevalence of artery calcification [5] and -stiffening [6]. A paradoxical protection against aneurysms among diabetic individuals is likewise compatible with the notion that specific arterial alterations form the background for cardiovascular consequences of diabetes [7]. Our group previously provided additional support for a direct effect of diabetes in arterial tissue by demonstrating that a distinct group of proteins constituting the vascular basement membrane (including the α 1- and α 2-chains of collagen IV, as well as



© The Author(s) 2018. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

 $^{^{\}dagger}\text{Lasse}$ Bach Steffensen and Søren Feddersen contributed equally to this work

y1-laminin and β 2-laminin) was elevated in arteries from diabetic patients (40 and 20% for the α 1- and α 2-chains of collagen IV, respectively) [8]. Diabetic patients treated with metformin, a standard drug with beneficial effects on glucose metabolism and diabetes-related complications [9], had significantly reduced levels of these proteins as compared to non-metformin treated diabetic patients [8]. Interestingly, these protein alterations do not appear to be accompanied by changes of the corresponding gene transcripts [10, 11]. This indicates alternative mechanisms of the observed diabetes-induced effects at the protein level, such as reduced protein degradation (possibly as a consequence of protein glycation or oxidative modifications) and/or altered expression of epigenetic regulators of messenger RNA (mRNA) translation. Especially the idea of microRNA (miRNA)-mediated epigenetic regulation is appealing since COL4A1 and COL4A2 mRNA (encoding the α 1- and α 2-chain of collagen IV, respectively) are subject to pronounced regulation by miRNAs miR-29a and miR-29b [12-17], which are expressed in vascular tissue [18].

MiRNAs are short (~22 nucleotides) single-stranded non-coding RNA molecules that bind to target mRNA mainly in 3' untranslated regions causing translation blockade or mRNA cleavage thereby resulting in decreased translation [19]. Only the former would be detectable by transcriptomic approaches.

Studies investigating miRNA expression in vascular tissue are scant, and to our knowledge, an explorative approach has ever been used to assess the effect of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) on miRNA expression in nonlesional arteries. We therefore sought to screen for differentially expressed miRNAs by microarray analysis to test the hypothesis that the altered protein signature found in T2DM patients is associated with dysregulation at the miRNA level, and further to lay the foundation for novel hypotheses addressing the increased CVD risk of T2DM patients.

Methods

Artery tissue

The Odense Artery Biobank comprises internal thoracic arteries (ITAs) collected from patients undergoing coronary artery by-pass grafting (CABG) at Odense University Hospital in Denmark since 2008. These arteries have been the foundation for several studies addressing vascular pathophysiology [11, 20-24].

RNA purification

RNA for microarray analysis was isolated from fresh frozen ITAs (from 18 T2DM- (10 of which were subject to metformin treatment) and 30 non-diabetes mellitus (non-DM) patients) using NORGEN Total RNA

Purification Kit (#17200,37500, Norgen Biotek Corporation), while RNA for quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) analysis was isolated from formalin-fixated paraffin-embedded (FFPE) ITAs [from 26 T2DM- (15 of which were subject to metformin treatment) and 26 non-DM patients; four sections of 20 µm from each patient] using NORGEN FFPE RNA Purification kit (#25300, Norgen Biotek Corporation). FFPE sections was obtained from FFPE tissue blocks previously used to determine differential basement membrane protein expression [8]. To allow for normalization of sample-to-sample variation in RNA isolation from FFPE sections and reverse transcription (RT) efficiency, 5 fmol synthetic Arabidopsis thaliana miR-159a (ath-miR-159a) was added to each sample along with buffer RL when using the NORGEN FFPE RNA Purification kit.

MicroRNA microarray

All experiments and analyses were conducted at Exigon Services, Denmark. Total RNA was quantified via absorbance spectrophotometry in a NanoDrop 8000 (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA) and verified by an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer profile. 750 ng total RNA from sample and reference was labeled with Hy3[™] and Hy5[™] fluorescent label, respectively, using the miR-CURY LNA[™] microRNA Hi-Power Labeling Kit, Hy3[™]/ Hy5[™] (Exigon, Denmark). The Hy3[™]-labeled samples and a Hy5TM-labeled reference RNA sample were mixed pair-wise and hybridized to the miRCURY LNA[™] micro-RNA Array 7th Gen (Exiqon, Denmark), which contains capture probes targeting all microRNAs for human (2042 miRNAs), mouse (1281 miRNAs) and rat (723 miRNAs) registered in the miRBASE 18.0. The hybridization was performed according to the miRCURY LNA[™] microRNA Array instruction manual using a Tecan HS4800TM hybridization station (Tecan, Austria). One slide cracked in the hybridization station so no profiling data was obtained for this sample (resulting in 48 successful samples). After hybridization the microarray slides were scanned and stored in an ozone free environment (ozone level below 2.0 ppb) in order to prevent potential bleaching of the fluorescent dyes. The miRCURY LNA[™] microRNA Array slides were scanned using the Agilent G2565BA Microarray Scanner System (Agilent Technologies, Inc., USA) and the image analysis was carried out using the ImaGene 9.0 software (BioDiscovery, Inc., USA). The quantified signals were background corrected (Normexp with offset value 10 [25]) and normalized using quantile normalization method, which was found to produce the best between-slide normalization to minimize the intensity-dependent differences between the samples. The threshold for detection was calculated for each individual microarray slide as 1.2 times the 25th

percentile of the overall signal intensity of the slide. MiR-NAs with intensities above threshold in less than 20% of the samples were removed from the final dataset. By this filtering procedure, 677 probes were included in the expression analysis.

In Additional file 1, non-annotated human miRPlus miRNAs are predicted miRNA sequences derived from Exiqon's database of proprietary material, database mining, and publications.

Quantitative polymerase chain reaction

12 miRNAs were selected (Table 2) for quantification in FFPE ITA samples. Total RNA was quantified via absorbance spectrophotometry in a NanoDrop 8000 (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA) and miRNA expression was measured by qPCR using TaqMan microRNA assays (Life Technologies). Total RNA was converted to cDNA using the TaqMan MicroRNA Reverse Transcription Kit and Megaplex stem-loop RT primers for Human Pool A and B (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions for low sample input (LSI). For each sample an equal amount of RNA (12 ng) was used as input for each RT and two RT reactions were established; one with Pool A and one with Pool B Megaplex RT primers. Each RT reaction had a final volume of 7.5 μ L.

Pre-amplification was performed using Megaplex PreAmp primers for Human Pool A or Pool B (Life Technologies) and TaqMan PreAmp Mastermix (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions for LSI. PreAmp reactions had a final volume of 25 μ L and contained 2.5 μ L RT-product. Thermal cycling conditions were as follows: 95 °C for 10 min, 55 °C for 2 min, and 72 °C for 2 min, followed by 12 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 4 min. Final inactivation was performed at 99.9 °C for 10 min. PreAmp-products were diluted 1:10 in 0.1× TE buffer (pH 8.0).

For the qPCR step 1 μ L of diluted PreAmp-product was mixed with TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix II (2×) and TaqMan miRNA assay (20×) in a final volume of 10 μ L. qPCR was performed on a ViiA7 real-time instrument (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and samples were run in triplicate. Thermal cycling conditions were as follows: 95 °C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 1 min.

The ViiA7 Real-Time qPCR Analysis Software was used to obtain quantification cycle (Cq)-values, which were exported to the qBase^{PLUS} software (Biogazelle, NV, Belgium) for relative quantification. Cq-values were converted to relative quantities using the method of qBase^{PLUS}, which is a modification of the classic delta–delta-Cq method [26]. The modification takes multiple reference genes and gene specific amplification efficiencies into account, as well as the error on the estimated

amplification efficiency [26]. Briefly, Cq-values are first converted into relative quantities based on the gene specific amplification efficiency. Normalization is then performed by dividing the relative quantities by a sample specific normalization factor, which is calculated by taking the geometric mean of the relative quantities of the reference genes as described by Hellemans et al. [26].

In order to measure miRNA levels samples were spread across two different runs for each miRNA and data was corrected for inter-run variation using inter-run calibration. For each measured miRNA two samples (inter-run calibrators) were measured in both of the different runs, in addition to the other samples that were spread across the runs. In the gBase^{PLUS} software the results for the inter-run calibrators were used to quantify and correct for inter-run variation by determine a calibration factor for every miRNA-run combination [26]. Normalization was performed using equal amounts of total RNA for each sample and by normalizing to the synthetic miRNA ath-miR-159a using the qBase^{PLUS} software [26]. In addition miRNA data was also normalized using reference genes. First, putative reference genes were evaluated using geNorm [27] as an implementation of the qBase^{PLUS} software. Three putative reference genes for normalization were evaluated for both Pool A and Pool B miRNA data using geNorm. Reference genes were selected based on the average expression stability value M. Based on this, two miRNAs (hsa-miR-103a-3p and hsa-miR-27a-3p) were selected as reference genes for Pool A miRNA data, while one miRNA (hsa-miR-942-5p) and one small RNA (U6 rRNA) were selected as reference genes for Pool B miRNA data. Pool A and Pool B miRNA data were normalized separately. When using endogenous controls for normalization of the miRNA data all P-values given in Table 2 were above 0.05 (data not shown). Hence, fine consistency exist between data normalized using two different normalization strategies.

Statistics

For patient characteristics, *Student's* t-tests or *Fisher's exact* tests were performed when appropriate.

For explorative analysis, *Student's* t-tests were performed for each of the 677 probes in each of the three two-group comparisons (T2DM patients vs. non-DM patients; non-metformin treated T2DM patients vs. non-DM patients; metformin treated T2DM patients vs. non-metformin treated T2DM patients) followed by *false discovery rate* (FDR) correction of multiple testing.

For the targeted analysis, *Student*'s t-tests were used to test for differential expression in the three two-group comparisons. Intergroup differences accompanied by Bonferroni-corrected P-values below 0.004 [0.05/12 (12

being the number of tests performed)] were considered statistically significant.

Results

Tissue and study subjects

One hundred ITAs systematically collected in the Odense Artery Biobank over a 9-year period from CABG were analyzed in this study. The ITAs used in this study were all free of histologically apparent lesions, i.e. intimal thickening and atherosclerosis. Although morphologically indistinguishable between T2DM and non-DM patients (Fig. 1), several molecular differences have been identified between these groups within this tissue [8, 10, 11].

T2DM and non-DM patients used in this study were matched on age at surgery, sex, plasma cholesterol, plasma creatinine, blood pressure and statin treatment. T2DM and non-DM patients in study group 1 (microarray/explorative analysis) differed on glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) and body mass index (BMI), while in study group 2 (qPCR/targeted analysis), these groups differed on HbA1c, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) and triglycerides (TAG) (Table 1).

Explorative analysis

To screen for vascular miRNAs dysregulated in the setting of T2DM, RNA was purified from fresh frozen ITAs and analyzed by miRNA microarray. 677 probes were included in the analysis based on pre-defined criteria for probe signal intensity (see "Methods" section). Prior to correction for multiple testing, a single miRNA (miR-142-3p) was differentially expressed between T2DM and non-DM patients. Three miRNAs (miRPlus-B1114, miR-5584-3p, and miR-5006-3p) were differentially expressed comparing non-metformin-treated T2DM- and non-DM patients, and seven miRNAs (miR-491-3p, miRPlus-A1086, miR-193b-3p, miR-3935, miR-1252-5p, miRPlus-B1114, and miR-5681b) were differentially expressed between metformin-treated and non-metformin-treated T2DM patients (Fig. 2). However, no miRNAs were found to be affected post FDR correction.

Targeted analysis

We then performed an independent experiment using a targeted qPCR-based approach. A panel of 12 miRNAs was selected (Table 2). This panel included miR-221-5p and miR-222-5p which were reported to be affected by diabetes in a similar setting by others [28]. We also



	Study group 1	(explorative ana	lysis)						
	T2DM n = 18	Non-DM $n = 30$	Р	T2DM (– Met) n=8	Non-DM $n = 30$	Р	T2DM (+ Met) n = 10	T2DM (– Met) n=8	Р
	$Mean\pmSD$	$Mean \pm SD$		$Mean \pm SD$	$Mean \pm SD$		$Mean \pm SD$	$Mean \pm SD$	
Age at surgery, years	66.61±7.51	63.60±8.98	0.219	67.13±8.63	63.60±8.98	0.330	66.20±6.94	67.13±8.63	0.809
HbA1c, %	6.94 ± 1.21	5.74 ± 0.38	0.002	7.37 ± 1.52	5.74 ± 0.38	0.029	6.56 ± 0.76	7.37 ± 1.52	0.236
HbA1c, mmol/ mol	52.28 ± 13.07	39.21 ± 4.08	0.002	56.93±16.41	39.21 ± 4.08	0.029	48.21±8.36	56.93±16.41	0.238
Cholesterol, mmol/L	4.19±1.11	4.45±1.16	0.486	4.27±1.16	4.45±1.16	0.721	4.11±1.14	4.27±1.16	0.803
LDL, mmol/L	2.31 ± 0.70	2.61 ± 1.01	0.267	2.41 ± 0.76	2.61 ± 1.01	0.571	2.21 ± 0.68	2.41 ± 0.76	0.614
HDL, mmol/L	1.16 ± 0.38	1.21 ± 0.33	0.684	1.01 ± 0.24	1.21 ± 0.33	0.101	1.30 ± 0.46	1.01 ± 0.24	0.168
Triglycerides, mmol/L	1.88±1.21	1.45±0.63	0.210	2.23 ± 1.54	1.45±0.63	0.234	1.58±0.81	2.23 ± 1.54	0.344
Creatinine, µmol/L	83.72±15.42	85.70±13.14	0.653	76.88±11.22	85.70±13.14	0.080	89.20±16.62	76.88±11.22	0.080
BMI, kg/m ²	29.98 ± 3.06	27.26 ± 3.77	0.010	29.24 ± 2.57	27.26 ± 3.77	0.104	30.57 ± 3.42	29.24 ± 2.57	0.360
SBP, mm Hg	134.22 ± 19.47	138.42 ± 22.13	0.510	141.38 ± 22.36	138.42 ± 22.13	0.749	128.50 ± 15.66	141.38 ± 22.36	0.192
DBP, mm Hg	75.06 ± 11.61	77.70 ± 12.78	0.476	80.00 ± 14.63	77.70 ± 12.78	0.697	71.10 ± 7.02	80.00 ± 14.63	0.146
Male sex, %	100	100		100	100		100	100	
Statins, n	18	30		8	30		10	8	
Antihyperten- sives, <i>n</i>	17	26	0.637	7	26	1.000	10	7	0.444
Insulins, <i>n</i>	6	0	0.002	4	0	< 0.001	2	4	0.321
Oral antidiabetic agents, <i>n</i>	: 12	0	< 0.001	2	0	0.040	10	2	0.002

Study group 2 (tar	geted analysis)
--------------------	-----------------

	T2DM n=26	Non-DM $n=26$	Ρ	T2DM (- Met) n=11	Non-DM $n=26$	Ρ	T2DM (+ Met) n=15	T2DM (- Met) n=11	Р
	$Mean\pmSD$	$Mean\pmSD$		$Mean\pmSD$	$Mean\pmSD$		$Mean \pm SD$	$Mean\pmSD$	
Age at surgery, years	67.84±4.83	68.99±5.24	0.410	67.35±4.21	68.99±5.24	0.367	68.25±5.35	67.35±4.21	0.670
HbA1c, %	7.11 ± 1.11	5.60 ± 0.37	< 0.001	7.41 ± 1.39	5.60 ± 0.37	< 0.001	6.84 ± 0.75	7.41 ± 1.39	0.231
HbA1c, mmol/ mol	54.24±12.17	37.71 ± 4.03	< 0.001	57.48±15.19	37.71±4.03	< 0.001	51.28 ± 8.16	57.48±15.19	0.230
Cholesterol, mmol/L	3.76±1.04	4.20 ± 1.26	0.230	4.00 ± 1.32	4.20 ± 1.26	0.692	3.55 ± 0.68	4.00 ± 1.32	0.329
LDL, mmol/L	1.85 ± 0.69	2.33 ± 0.90	0.058	2.07 ± 0.87	2.33 ± 0.90	0.447	1.65 ± 0.42	2.07 ± 0.87	0.174
HDL, mmol/L	1.13 ± 0.24	1.41 ± 0.51	0.030	1.14 ± 0.25	1.41 ± 0.51	0.129	1.12 ± 0.25	1.14 ± 0.25	0.851
Triglycerides, mmol/L	1.90 ± 0.89	1.21 ± 0.35	0.002	1.89 ± 1.03	1.21 ± 0.35	0.011	1.91 ± 0.79	1.89 ± 1.03	0.960
Creatinine, µmol/L	96.12±29.47	86.40±16.93	0.160	95.64±32.61	86.40±16.93	0.269	96.47±28.12	95.64±32.61	0.945
BMI, kg/m ²	28.66 ± 3.95	27.13 ± 3.69	0.150	27.21 ± 3.41	27.13 ± 3.69	0.949	29.73 ± 4.08	27.21 ± 3.41	0.110
SBP, mm Hg	141.12 ± 18.64	139.92 ± 19.79	0.820	138.82 ± 10.59	139.92 ± 19.79	0.863	142.80 ± 23.09	138.82 ± 10.59	0.601
DBP, mm Hg	74.92 ± 10.42	77.73 ± 10.71	0.340	75.73 ± 12.28	77.73 ± 10.71	0.621	74.33 ± 9.24	75.73 ± 12.28	0.744
Male sex, %	85	85		82	85		87	82	
Statins, n	26	26		11	26		15	11	
Antihyperten- sives, <i>n</i>	21	13	0.040	8	13	0.285	13	8	0.620

Table 1 (continued)

	Study group	2 (targeted and	alysis)						
	T2DM n=26	Non-DM $n = 26$	Р	T2DM (- Met) n=11	Non-DM $n = 26$	Р	T2DM (+ Met) n = 15	T2DM (- Met) n=11	Р
	$Mean \pm SD$	$Mean\pmSD$		$Mean \pm SD$	$Mean\pmSD$		$Mean \pm SD$	$Mean\pmSD$	
Insulins, <i>n</i>	6	0	0.023	3	0	0.021	3	3	0.218
Oral antidiabetic agents, <i>n</i>	: 19	0	< 0.001	4	0	0.005	15	4	< 0.001

For each study group three comparisons of clinical data are made: T2DM vs. non-DM patients; non-metformin-treated T2DM (– Met) vs. non-DM patients; and nonmetformin-treated T2DM (– Met) vs. metformin-treated T2DM patients (+ Met). Results are shown as mean ± SD, percentage (%) or number (*n*) as indicated In study group 1, one slide cracked during the hybridization process. Since no miRNA expression data is available for this sample, the accompanying patient data is not included in the table

HbA1c glycated hemoglobin, LDL low-density lipoprotein, HDL high-density lipoprotein, BMI Body-mass index, SBP systolic blood pressure, DBP diastolic blood pressure



is represented as volcano plots for the following comparisons: T2DM vs. non-DM patients. (reference group); non-metformin-treated T2DM (– Met) vs. non-DM patients (reference group); and non-metformin-treated T2DM (– Met) vs. metformin-treated T2DM patients (reference group); and non-metformin-treated T2DM (– Met) vs. metformin-treated T2DM patients (reference group); and non-metformin-treated T2DM (– Met) vs. metformin-treated T2DM patients (reference group); and non-metformin-treated T2DM (– Met) vs. metformin-treated T2DM patients (reference group); and non-metformin-treated T2DM (– Met) vs. metformin-treated T2DM patients (reference group); and non-metformin-treated T2DM (– Met) vs. metformin-treated T2DM patients (reference group); and non-metformin-treated T2DM (– Met) vs. metformin-treated T2DM patients (reference group); and non-metformin-treated T2DM (– Met) vs. metformin-treated T2DM patients (reference group); and non-metformin-treated T2DM (– Met) vs. metformin-treated T2DM patients (reference group); and non-metformin-treated T2DM (– Met) vs. metformin-treated T2DM patients (reference group); and non-metformin-treated T2DM (– Met) vs. metformin-treated T2DM patients (reference group); and non-metformin-treated T2DM (– Met) vs. metformin-treated T2DM patients (reference group); and non-metformin-treated T2DM (– Met) vs. metformin-treated T2DM patients (reference group); and non-metformin-treated T2DM (– Met) vs. metformin-treated T2DM patients (reference group); and non-metformin-treated T2DM (– Met) vs. metformin-treated T2DM patients (reference group); and non-metformin-treated T2DM (– Met) vs. metformin-treated T2DM patients (reference group); and non-metformin-treated T2DM (– Met) vs. metformin-treated T2DM (– Met) vs. me

included miR-29a-3p and miR-29b-3p—the primary candidates to regulate the level of α 1- and α 2-chain of collagen IV [12–17]. Finally, we included eight miR-NAs (miR-21-5p, miR-24-3p, miR-26a-5p, miR-182-3p, miR-145-5p, miR-132-3p, miR-212-3 and miR-1298-5p) previously demonstrated to be involved in phenotypic modulation of vascular smooth muscle cells [29–37], since these are the vast majority of cells in ITAs.

Important for the aim of our study, the RNA used for this analysis was obtained from sections of the same FFPE tissue that we used to document elevated levels of basement membrane proteins [8, 10].

Although most miRNAs were successfully quantified in all samples, we failed to detect miR-182-3p in 25 of 52 samples and miR-221-5p in all of the 52 samples. We assume this is due to low abundance since both miR-182-3p- and miR-221-5p were excluded from the microarray analysis, because of low signal intensity, however technical issues could potentially also be an issue.

Nominal p-values below 0.05 were found for several miRNAs in the intergroup comparisons: miR-222-5p and miR-1298-5p [T2DM (- Met) vs. non-DM], and miR-24-3p, miR-145-5p, miR-222-5p and miR-1298-5p [T2DM (+ Met) vs. T2DM (- Met)] (Table 2). However, following Bonferroni correction of multiple testing no significant differences were observed. An interesting pattern is observed for miR-222-5p and miR1298-5p as expression is reduced in non-metformin treated T2DM patients as compared to both non-DM patients and metformin-treated patients, suggesting that metformin treatment counteracts the effect of T2DM. However, the same trends were not seen in the microarray data (Additional file 1).

n = 26	-	1=11	n = 26	-	1=15	<i>n</i> =11	
i±SD Mean±SD	2	dean±SD	Mean±SD	-	Mean± SD	Mean±SD	
± 0.079 0.101 ± 0.098	0.928 -	- 0.012 ± 0.098	0.101 ± 0.098	0.493 (0.204 ± 0.117	-0.012 ± 0.090	0.183
土 0.093 0.100 土 0.114	0.876 -	- 0.099 土 0.114	0.100±0.114	0.303 ().286 土 0.1 26	0.099 ± 0.114	0.039
土0.071 0.116土0.096	0.978 -	- 0.018 ± 0.096	0.116±0.096	0.408 (0.220 土 0.095	-0.018 ± 0.095	0.097
± 0.264 (<i>n</i> = 10) 1.449 ± 0.179 (<i>n</i> = 17	') 0.456 1	$.037 \pm 0.179 \ (n = 5)$	1.449 ± 0.179 (<i>n</i> = 17)	0.326	1.393±0.297 (<i>n</i> =5)	$1.037 \pm 0.457 (n = 5)$	0.532
± 0.088 0.107 ± 0.109	0.846 -	-0.067 ± 0.109	0.107 ± 0.109	0.346 (0.281 ± 0.121	-0.067 ± 0.103	0.047
土0.075 0.180土0.084	0.849 0).064 土 0.084	0.180土0.084	0.434 (0.227 ± 0.105	0.064 ± 0.104	0.294
土 0.091 0.220 土 0.087	0.582 0).017 ± 0.087	0.220±0.087	0.240 ().247 土 0.102	0.017±0.160	0.216
NA	:	٩٨	NA	:	٩٨	NA	÷
± 0.118 (<i>n</i> = 25) 0.306 ± 0.084	0.674 -	-0.135 ± 0.084 ($n = 10$)	0.306±0.084	0.011 0).499土 0.134	-0.135 ± 0.154 (<i>n</i> = 10)	0.006
± 0.090 0.189 ± 0.105	0.636 -	- 0.040 ± 0.105	0.189 土 0.105	0.231 0).242 土 0.108	- 0.040 ± 0.144	0.122
72±0.043 - 0.013±0.075	0.501 -	- 0.1 22 ± 0.075	-0.013 ± 0.075	0.358 -	-0.035 ± 0.072	-0.122 ± 0.028	0.326
99 ± 0.041 0.035 ± 0.070	0.104 -	- 0.222 ± 0.070	0.035 ± 0.070	0.031 -	- 0.009 土 0.047	-0.222 ± 0.054	0.007
nparisons were made: T2DM vs. non-DM p performed using equal amounts of total R chnologies)	atients; non- NA for each	metformin-treated T2D sample and by normaliz	M (— Met) vs. non-DM cing the relative expres	patients; and sion to the sy	non-metformin-treated nthetic miRNA ath-miR	I T2DM ($-$ Met) vs. metfor -159a. Values are mean \pm	sD.
± 0.071 0.116 ± 0.096 ± 0.071 0.116 ± 0.096 ± 0.024 $(n = 10)$ 1.449 ± 0.179 ± 0.028 0.107 ± 0.109 ± 0.075 0.180 ± 0.084 ± 0.091 0.220 ± 0.087 ± 0.0118 $(n = 25)$ $\pm 0.013 \pm 0.075$ 0.306 ± 0.084 ± 0.090 0.189 ± 0.105 ± 0.090 0.189 ± 0.105 22 ± 0.043 -0.013 ± 0.075 99 ± 0.041 0.035 ± 0.070 99 ± 0.041 0.035 ± 0.070 99 ± 0.041 0.035 ± 0.070		 0.070 0.978 0.946 0.846 0.849 0.849 0.849 0.582 0.582 0.5674 0.656 0.656 0.636 0.636 0.636 0.636 0.636 0.636 0.644 0.647 0.647 0.647 0.647 0.647 0.644 0.644 0.647 0.647 0.647 0.647 0.647 0.646 <li< td=""><td>0.070 $-0.018 \pm 0.095 \pm 0.014$ 0.978 -0.018 ± 0.096 0.846 -0.067 ± 0.109 0.849 0.064 ± 0.084 0.582 0.017 ± 0.084 0.574 -0.135 ± 0.084 (n = 10) 0.636 -0.040 ± 0.105 0.636 -0.040 ± 0.105 0.104 -0.222 ± 0.075 0.104 -0.222 ± 0.070 attents; non-metformin-treated T2D NA for each sample and by normalized to the treated to t</td><td>0.070 $-0.092 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.096$ 0.116 \pm 0.096 0.978 -0.018 ± 0.096 0.116 \pm 0.096 0.846 -0.067 ± 0.109 0.107 \pm 0.179 0.846 -0.067 ± 0.109 0.107 \pm 0.109 0.849 0.064 \pm 0.084 0.180 \pm 0.084 0.849 0.064 \pm 0.084 0.180 \pm 0.084 0.582 0.017 \pm 0.087 0.220 \pm 0.084 0.582 0.017 \pm 0.087 0.220 \pm 0.084 0.574 -0.135 ± 0.084 0.306 \pm 0.084 0.574 -0.135 ± 0.084 0.306 \pm 0.084 0.654 -0.132 ± 0.084 0.306 \pm 0.0105 0.6536 -0.040 ± 0.105 0.109 \pm 0.105 0.636 -0.122 ± 0.075 0.1013 \pm 0.075 0.104 -0.222 ± 0.070 0.0335 \pm 0.070 0.104 -0.222 ± 0.070 0.0335 \pm 0.070 0.104 -0.222 ± 0.070 0.0355 \pm 0.070 0.104 -0.222 ± 0.070 0.0335 \pm 0.070</td><td>0.0700 -0.009 ± 0.0114 0.0005 0.0018 ± 0.0096 0.116 ± 0.096 0.0408 0.0326 0.978 -0.018 ± 0.096 0.116 ± 0.096 0.0408 0.326 0.9456 1.037 ± 0.179 $(n = 17)$ 0.326 0.326 0.846 -0.067 ± 0.109 0.107 ± 0.109 0.346 0.346 0.849 0.064 ± 0.084 0.180 ± 0.084 0.434 0.346 0.849 0.064 ± 0.084 0.180 ± 0.084 0.434 0.240 0.849 0.077 ± 0.087 0.220 ± 0.087 0.240 0.143 ± 0.046 0.582 0.017 ± 0.087 0.206 ± 0.084 0.011 0.674 0.574 -0.135 ± 0.084 0.306 ± 0.084 0.011 0.674 0.674 -0.135 ± 0.084 0.306 ± 0.084 0.011 0.011 0.636 -0.040 ± 0.105 0.189 ± 0.105 0.231 0.011 0.636 -0.040 ± 0.105 0.013 ± 0.075 0.231 0.031 0.104 -0.222 ± 0.070 0.035 ± 0.070 0.031 0.031 0.104</td><td>0.070 -0.099 ± 0.013 ± 0.096 0.116 ± 0.096 0.116 ± 0.095 0.202 ± 0.095 0.978 -0.018 ± 0.096 0.116 ± 0.096 0.408 0.220 ± 0.095 0.9456 1.037 ± 0.179 (n = 5) (n = 17) 0.326 1.393 ± 0.297 (n = 5) 0.846 -0.067 ± 0.109 0.107 ± 0.109 0.346 0.281 ± 0.121 0.849 0.064 ± 0.084 0.180 ± 0.084 0.434 0.227 ± 0.105 0.849 0.064 ± 0.087 0.120 ± 0.084 0.434 0.227 ± 0.105 0.582 0.017 ± 0.087 0.220 ± 0.084 0.474 0.102 0.582 0.017 ± 0.087 0.220 ± 0.084 0.494 ± 0.105 0.247 ± 0.105 0.574 -0.135 ± 0.084 0.306 ± 0.084 0.011 0.499 ± 0.134 0.574 -0.135 ± 0.084 0.306 ± 0.0184 0.011 0.499 ± 0.134 0.636 -0.040 ± 0.105 0.189 ± 0.105 0.231 0.242 ± 0.108 0.636 -0.013 ± 0.075 0.336 ± 0.075 0.3558 -0.035 ± 0.072 0.636 -0.012 ± 0.075 0.031 -0.242 ± 0.108 0.104 0.636 -0.0212 ± 0.070 0.031<</td><td>0.070 -0.093 ± 0.003 ± 0.003 ± 0.005 ± 0.005 -0.0018 ± 0.005 -0.0018 ± 0.005 0.978 -0.018 ± 0.096 0.116 ± 0.096 0.408 0.220 ± 0.005 -0.018 ± 0.095 0.978 -0.018 ± 0.096 0.116 ± 0.096 0.408 0.220 ± 0.057 (n = 5) 0.846 -0.067 ± 0.109 0.107 ± 0.109 0.346 0.281 ± 0.121 -0.067 ± 0.103 0.849 0.064 ± 0.084 0.180 ± 0.084 0.434 0.227 ± 0.105 0.064 ± 0.104 0.582 0017 ± 0.087 0.220 ± 0.087 0.247 ± 0.102 0.017 ± 0.160 NA NA NA NA NA 0.574 -0.135 ± 0.084 0.306 ± 0.084 0.011 0.499 ± 0.134 -0.135 ± 0.154 0.574 -0.135 ± 0.084 0.306 ± 0.084 0.011 0.499 ± 0.134 -0.013 ± 0.056 0.5674 -0.135 ± 0.070 0.301 0.242 ± 0.108 -0.040 ± 0.144 0.664 ± 0.104 0.5636 -0.040 ± 0.105 0.189 ± 0.105 0.242 ± 0.102 0.017 ± 0.160 0.017 ± 0.160 0.6366 -0.040 ± 0.105 0.131 0.2422 ± 0.102 0.0122 ± 0.028 0.0140 ± 0</td></li<>	0.070 $-0.018 \pm 0.095 \pm 0.014$ 0.978 -0.018 ± 0.096 0.846 -0.067 ± 0.109 0.849 0.064 ± 0.084 0.582 0.017 ± 0.084 0.574 -0.135 ± 0.084 (n = 10) 0.636 -0.040 ± 0.105 0.636 -0.040 ± 0.105 0.104 -0.222 ± 0.075 0.104 -0.222 ± 0.070 attents; non-metformin-treated T2D NA for each sample and by normalized to the treated to t	0.070 $-0.092 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.096$ 0.116 \pm 0.096 0.978 -0.018 ± 0.096 0.116 \pm 0.096 0.846 -0.067 ± 0.109 0.107 \pm 0.179 0.846 -0.067 ± 0.109 0.107 \pm 0.109 0.849 0.064 \pm 0.084 0.180 \pm 0.084 0.849 0.064 \pm 0.084 0.180 \pm 0.084 0.582 0.017 \pm 0.087 0.220 \pm 0.084 0.582 0.017 \pm 0.087 0.220 \pm 0.084 0.574 -0.135 ± 0.084 0.306 \pm 0.084 0.574 -0.135 ± 0.084 0.306 \pm 0.084 0.654 -0.132 ± 0.084 0.306 \pm 0.0105 0.6536 -0.040 ± 0.105 0.109 \pm 0.105 0.636 -0.122 ± 0.075 0.1013 \pm 0.075 0.104 -0.222 ± 0.070 0.0335 \pm 0.070 0.104 -0.222 ± 0.070 0.0335 \pm 0.070 0.104 -0.222 ± 0.070 0.0355 \pm 0.070 0.104 -0.222 ± 0.070 0.0335 \pm 0.070	0.0700 -0.009 ± 0.0114 0.0005 0.0018 ± 0.0096 0.116 ± 0.096 0.0408 0.0326 0.978 -0.018 ± 0.096 0.116 ± 0.096 0.0408 0.326 0.9456 1.037 ± 0.179 $(n = 17)$ 0.326 0.326 0.846 -0.067 ± 0.109 0.107 ± 0.109 0.346 0.346 0.849 0.064 ± 0.084 0.180 ± 0.084 0.434 0.346 0.849 0.064 ± 0.084 0.180 ± 0.084 0.434 0.240 0.849 0.077 ± 0.087 0.220 ± 0.087 0.240 0.143 ± 0.046 0.582 0.017 ± 0.087 0.206 ± 0.084 0.011 0.674 0.574 -0.135 ± 0.084 0.306 ± 0.084 0.011 0.674 0.674 -0.135 ± 0.084 0.306 ± 0.084 0.011 0.011 0.636 -0.040 ± 0.105 0.189 ± 0.105 0.231 0.011 0.636 -0.040 ± 0.105 0.013 ± 0.075 0.231 0.031 0.104 -0.222 ± 0.070 0.035 ± 0.070 0.031 0.031 0.104	0.070 -0.099 ± 0.013 ± 0.096 0.116 ± 0.096 0.116 ± 0.095 0.202 ± 0.095 0.978 -0.018 ± 0.096 0.116 ± 0.096 0.408 0.220 ± 0.095 0.9456 1.037 ± 0.179 (n = 5) (n = 17) 0.326 1.393 ± 0.297 (n = 5) 0.846 -0.067 ± 0.109 0.107 ± 0.109 0.346 0.281 ± 0.121 0.849 0.064 ± 0.084 0.180 ± 0.084 0.434 0.227 ± 0.105 0.849 0.064 ± 0.087 0.120 ± 0.084 0.434 0.227 ± 0.105 0.582 0.017 ± 0.087 0.220 ± 0.084 0.474 0.102 0.582 0.017 ± 0.087 0.220 ± 0.084 0.494 ± 0.105 0.247 ± 0.105 0.574 -0.135 ± 0.084 0.306 ± 0.084 0.011 0.499 ± 0.134 0.574 -0.135 ± 0.084 0.306 ± 0.0184 0.011 0.499 ± 0.134 0.636 -0.040 ± 0.105 0.189 ± 0.105 0.231 0.242 ± 0.108 0.636 -0.013 ± 0.075 0.336 ± 0.075 0.3558 -0.035 ± 0.072 0.636 -0.012 ± 0.075 0.031 -0.242 ± 0.108 0.104 0.636 -0.0212 ± 0.070 0.031<	0.070 -0.093 ± 0.003 ± 0.003 ± 0.005 ± 0.005 -0.0018 ± 0.005 -0.0018 ± 0.005 0.978 -0.018 ± 0.096 0.116 ± 0.096 0.408 0.220 ± 0.005 -0.018 ± 0.095 0.978 -0.018 ± 0.096 0.116 ± 0.096 0.408 0.220 ± 0.057 (n = 5) 0.846 -0.067 ± 0.109 0.107 ± 0.109 0.346 0.281 ± 0.121 -0.067 ± 0.103 0.849 0.064 ± 0.084 0.180 ± 0.084 0.434 0.227 ± 0.105 0.064 ± 0.104 0.582 0017 ± 0.087 0.220 ± 0.087 0.247 ± 0.102 0.017 ± 0.160 NA NA NA NA NA 0.574 -0.135 ± 0.084 0.306 ± 0.084 0.011 0.499 ± 0.134 -0.135 ± 0.154 0.574 -0.135 ± 0.084 0.306 ± 0.084 0.011 0.499 ± 0.134 -0.013 ± 0.056 0.5674 -0.135 ± 0.070 0.301 0.242 ± 0.108 -0.040 ± 0.144 0.664 ± 0.104 0.5636 -0.040 ± 0.105 0.189 ± 0.105 0.242 ± 0.102 0.017 ± 0.160 0.017 ± 0.160 0.6366 -0.040 ± 0.105 0.131 0.2422 ± 0.102 0.0122 ± 0.028 0.0140 ± 0

and Non-DM patients
T2DM
mples from
ial FFPE sa
s in arteri
ed miRNA
of selecte
QPCR analysis
Table 2

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to assess the influence of diabetes on macrovascular miRNA expression using an explorative approach. Unexpectedly, we were unable to detect any vascular miRNAs for which the expression level is affected by either T2DM status or metformin-treatment. Moreover, we were not able to detect differential expression of 12 selected miRNAs using a targeted qPCR-based approach in an independent study group, which we previously have used to demonstrate distinct diabetes-induced changes at the protein level [8].

This finding is not consistent with a previous report by Coleman et al. showing a more than twofold elevation in the expression of miR-221-5p and miR-222-5p in ITAs from non-metformin-treated T2DM patients as compared to both non-DM patients and metformin-treated T2DM patients [28]. miR-221-5p was excluded from the microarray analysis as it did not reach the threshold of signal intensity, and was not detectable by qPCR in any sample of study group 2. miR-222-5p was detected in both analyses, yet we found no significant effect of T2DM or metformin-treatment. The explanation underlying this discrepancy is unclear, however, while study populations are comparable in size, differences do exist. In Coleman et al., the T2DM patients that were not treated with metformin are obese (BMI: 34.8 ± 1.4), while the equivalent group in both our study groups are merely overweight [BMI: 29.2 ± 2.6 (Study group 1) and 27.2 ± 3.4 (Study group 2)], which may explain dissimilarities, since obesity is closely related to epigenetic alterations [38]. Importantly, technical variability caused by differences in tissue preparation, RNA purification, reverse transcription, qPCR methodology and/or normalization strategies may contribute to the observed differences between the study by Coleman et al., and this study.

Evaluation of changes induced specifically by diabetes (being hyperglycemia or vascular insulin resistance) on vascular cells and tissue that might prime subsequent pathological mechanisms necessitates analysis of nondiseased artery tissue. Our study focus on such putative alterations and is based on analysis of ITAs devoid of lesions. The microRNAome is strongly affected by artery lesions such as intimal hyperplasia or atherosclerosis [39, 40] and the presence of such lesions could potentially outweigh the influence of diabetes on vascular cells. Moreover, varying degree of disease progression between samples would introduce heterogeneity and intragroup variability, which reduce the ability to detect intergroup differences. As we base our study on non-lesional arteries we do not address potential effects of diabetes on miRNA expression after lesion initiation. Although difficult to detect due to the reasons stated above, hyperglycemia or vascular insulin resistance might have an effect on miRNA expression of inflammatory cells or phenotypically modulated artery cells. Also, diabetes may affect miRNA expression in the presence of other pathological stimuli like inflammation. Since the purpose of our study is to identify diabetes-induced vascular changes that precede and prime pathological processes, it is a limitation that we use an artery that is lesion resistant. However, vascular alterations induced by e.g. hyperglycemia presumably affects all arteries similarly, while the principal determinant of the susceptibility to atherosclerosis is local hemodynamics [41].

After an initial explorative analysis, we focus on a panel of 12 miRNAs in the targeted analysis. The 12 miRNAs selected for this analysis have previously been reported to be regulated in the setting of diabetes, to be known regulators of collagen IV expression, or to affect phenotypic modulation of vascular smooth muscle cells. Not considering miRNAs implicated in other vascular disease processes such as inflammation [42] or other diabetesrelated conditions [43–45] in the targeted analysis is a limitation in our study. Moreover, several factors and pathways are known to be associated to vascular complications in diabetic patients, and since we do not have comprehensive data whether our patients display these characteristics, we cannot exclude that differences in such factors may have influenced our results.

We previously identified elevated levels of a distinct group of proteins associated with basement membranes in non-lesional arteries of T2DM patients. The underlying mechanisms remain elusive, although it does not appear to be associated with altered expression of the genes encoding these proteins. In this study we sought to identify whether miRNA expression was affected by T2DM status, and if such regulation could explain our previous observations. As we were unable to detect differential expression of miRNAs between T2DM and non-DM patients, we suggest that other mechanisms of regulation such as decreased protein degradation in diabetics underlie our previous findings.

Conclusions

Our data suggest that alternatives to microRNA dysregulation underlie T2DM-associated protein changes in non-atherosclerotic arteries.

Additional file

Additional file 1. MicroRNA microarray results. MicroRNA microarray data analysis for 677 probes comparing (1) T2DM vs. non-DM patients, (2) T2DM (– Met) vs. non-DM patients, and (3) T2DM (– Met) vs. T2DM (– Met).

Abbreviations

CVD: cardiovascular disease; ITA: internal thoracic artery; CABG: coronary artery bypass grafting; T2DM: type 2 diabetes mellitus; non-DM: non diabetes mellitus; miRNA: microRNA.

Authors' contributions

All authors participated in designing the study. SRP collected patient material and information. LBS performed the explorative experiment in collaboration with Exiqon Services, Denmark. SF performed the targeted experiment. LBS, SF and LMR analyzed and interpreted the data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Department of Clinical Biochemistry and Pharmacology, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark. ² Centre for Individualized Medicine in Arterial Diseases (CIMA), Odense University Hospital, Sdr. Boulevard 29, 5000 Odense C, Denmark. ³ Department of Clinical Research, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark. ⁴ Department of Cardiovascular and Renal Research, Institute of Molecular Medicine, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark.

Acknowledgements

We thank Susanne Hillbrandt and Pia Søndergaard Jensen for technical assistance.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

All datasets generated and analysed during this study are included in this published article and its additional file.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All participants gave written informed consent, and the study was approved by the local ethics committees (S-20100044).

Funding

This work was supported by the Novo Nordisk Foundation, the Independent Research Fund Denmark and Odense University Hospital Research Funds.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 14 February 2018 Accepted: 10 May 2018 Published online: 17 May 2018

References

- Franco OH, Steyerberg EW, Hu FB, Mackenbach J, Nusselder W. Associations of diabetes mellitus with total life expectancy and life expectancy with and without cardiovascular disease. Arch Intern Med Am Med Assoc. 2007;167:1145–51.
- Collaboration Emerging Risk Factors, Wormser D, Kaptoge S, Di Angelantonio E, Wood AM, Pennells L, et al. Separate and combined associations of body-mass index and abdominal adiposity with cardiovascular disease: collaborative analysis of 58 prospective studies. Lancet. 2011;377:1085–95.
- Jensen LO, Thayssen P, Mintz GS, Maeng M, Junker A, Galloe A, et al. Intravascular ultrasound assessment of remodelling and reference segment plaque burden in type-2 diabetic patients. Eur Heart J. 2007;28:1759–64.
- Jiménez-Quevedo P, Suzuki N, Corros C, Ferrer C, Angiolillo DJ, Alfonso F, et al. Vessel shrinkage as a sign of atherosclerosis progression in type 2 diabetes: a serial intravascular ultrasound analysis. Am Diab Assoc. 2009;58:209–14.

- Lehto S, Niskanen L, Suhonen M, Rönnemaa T, Laakso M. Medial artery calcification. A neglected harbinger of cardiovascular complications in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 1996;16:978–83.
- Stehouwer CDA, Henry RMA, Ferreira I. Arterial stiffness in diabetes and the metabolic syndrome: a pathway to cardiovascular disease. Diabetologia. 2008;51:527–39.
- MRCP PADS, PhD CL, PhD ER, PhD SD, PhD MP-R, FRCP CPG, et al. Articles Type 2 diabetes and incidence of cardiovascular diseases: a cohort study in 1-9 million people. Shah et al. Open Access article distributed under the terms of CC BY; 2014;:1–9.
- Preil SAR, Kristensen LP, Beck HC, Jensen PS, Nielsen PS, Steiniche T, et al. Quantitative proteome analysis reveals increased content of basement membrane proteins in arteries from patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and lower levels among metformin users. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2015;8:727–35.
- 9. Rena G, Hardie DG, Pearson ER. The mechanisms of action of metformin. Diabetologia. 2017;60:1577–85.
- Cangemi C, Skov V, Poulsen MK, Funder J, Twal WO, Gall M-A, et al. Fibulin-1 is a marker for arterial extracellular matrix alterations in type 2 diabetes. Clin Chem. 2011;57:1556–65.
- Skov V, Knudsen S, Olesen M, Hansen ML, Rasmussen LM. Global gene expression profiling displays a network of dysregulated genes in non-atherosclerotic arterial tissue from patients with type 2 diabetes. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2012;11:15.
- Du B, Ma L-M, Huang M-B, Zhou H, Huang H-L, Shao P, et al. High glucose down-regulates miR-29a to increase collagen IV production in HK-2 cells. FEBS Lett. 2010;584:811–6.
- Li Z, Hassan MQ, Jafferji M, Aqeilan RI, Garzon R, Croce CM, et al. Biological functions of miR-29b contribute to positive regulation of osteoblast differentiation. J Biol Chem. 2009;284:15676–84.
- Liu Y, Taylor NE, Lu L, Usa K, Cowley AW, Ferreri NR, et al. Renal medullary microRNAs in Dahl salt-sensitive rats: miR-29b regulates several collagens and related genes. Hypertension. 2010;55:974–82.
- Luna C, Li G, Qiu J, Epstein DL, Gonzalez P. Role of miR-29b on the regulation of the extracellular matrix in human trabecular meshwork cells under chronic oxidative stress. Mol Vis. 2009;15:2488–97.
- Maurer B, Stanczyk J, Jüngel A, Akhmetshina A, Trenkmann M, Brock M, et al. MicroRNA-29, a key regulator of collagen expression in systemic sclerosis. Arthritis Rheum. 2010;62:1733–43.
- Steele R, Mott JL, Ray RB. MBP-1 upregulates miR-29b that represses Mcl-1, collagens, and matrix-metalloproteinase-2 in prostate cancer cells. Genes Cancer. 2010;1:381–7.
- Sudo R, Sato F, Azechi T, Wachi H. MiR-29-mediated elastin downregulation contributes to inorganic phosphorus-induced osteoblastic differentiation in vascular smooth muscle cells. Genes Cells. 2015;20:1077–87.
- 19. Olson EN. MicroRNAs as therapeutic targets and biomarkers of cardiovascular disease. Sci Transl Med. 2014;6:239ps3.
- Lyck Hansen M, Beck HC, Irmukhamedov A, Jensen PS, Olsen MH, Rasmussen LM. Proteome analysis of human arterial tissue discloses associations between the vascular content of small leucine-rich repeat proteoglycans and pulse wave velocity. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2015;35:1896–903.
- Faarvang A-SA, RørdamPreil SA, Nielsen PS, Beck HC, Kristensen LP, Rasmussen LM. Smoking is associated with lower amounts of arterial type I collagen and decorin. Atherosclerosis. 2016;247:201–6.
- Park K, Mima A, Li Q, Rask-Madsen C, He P, Mizutani K, et al. Insulin decreases atherosclerosis by inducing endothelin receptor B expression. JCI Insight. 2016;1:1–17.
- Madsen JB, Pedersen L, Kidholm CL, Rasmussen LM. Arterial iron content is increased in patients with high plasma ferritin levels. J Vasc Res. 2016;53:301–7.
- 24. Preil SAR, Thorsen A-SF, Christiansen AL, Poulsen MK, Karsdal MA, Leeming DJ, et al. Is cardiovascular disease in patients with diabetes associated with serum levels of MMP-2, LOX, and the elastin degradation products ELM and ELM-2? Scand J Clin Lab Invest. 2017;77:493–7.
- 25. Ritchie ME, Silver J, Oshlack A, Holmes M, Diyagama D, Holloway A, et al. A comparison of background correction methods for two-colour microarrays. Bioinformatics. 2007;23:2700–7.

- Hellemans J, Mortier G, De Paepe A, Speleman F, Vandesompele J. qBase relative quantification framework and software for management and automated analysis of real-time quantitative PCR data. Genome Biol. 2007;8:19.
- 27. Hellemans J, Vandesompele J. Selection of reliable reference genes for RT-qPCR analysis. Methods Mol Biol. 2014;1160:19–26.
- Coleman CB, Lightell DJ, Moss SC, Bates M, Parrino PE, Woods TC. Elevation of miR-221 and -222 in the internal mammary arteries of diabetic subjects and normalization with metformin. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2013;374:125–9.
- Chan MC, Hilyard AC, Wu C, Davis BN, Hill NS, Lal A, et al. Molecular basis for antagonism between PDGF and the TGFbeta family of signalling pathways by control of miR-24 expression. EMBO J. 2010;29:559–73.
- Fiedler J, Stöhr A, Gupta SK, Hartmann D, Holzmann A, Just A, et al. Functional microRNA library screening identifies the hypoxamir miR-24 as a potent regulator of smooth muscle cell proliferation and vascularization. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2014;21:1167–76.
- Leeper NJ, Raiesdana A, Kojima Y, Chun HJ, Azuma J, Maegdefessel L, et al. MicroRNA-26a is a novel regulator of vascular smooth muscle cell function. J Cell Physiol. 2011;226:1035–43.
- Sun L, Bai Y, Zhao R, Sun T, Cao R, Wang F, et al. Oncological miR-182-3p, a novel smooth muscle cell phenotype modulator, evidences from model rats and patients. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2016;36:1386–97.
- Li Y, Huang J, Jiang Z, Zhong Y, Xia M, Wang H, et al. MicroRNA-145 regulates platelet-derived growth factor-induced human aortic vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation and migration by targeting CD40. Am J Transl Res. 2016;8:1813–25.
- Zhang Y-N, Xie B-D, Sun L, Chen W, Jiang S-L, Liu W, et al. Phenotypic switching of vascular smooth muscle cells in the "normal region" of aorta from atherosclerosis patients is regulated by miR-145. J Cell Mol Med. 2016;20:1049–61.
- Eskildsen TV, Jeppesen PL, Schneider M, Nossent AY, Sandberg MB, Hansen PBL, et al. Angiotensin II regulates microRNA-132/-212 in hypertensive rats and humans. Int J Mol Sci. 2013;14:11190–207.

- Hu W, Wang M, Yin H, Yao C, He Q, Yin L, et al. MicroRNA-1298 is regulated by DNA methylation and affects vascular smooth muscle cell function by targeting connexin 43. Cardiovasc Res. 2015;107:534–45.
- Li Y, Yan L, Zhang W, Hu N, Chen W, Wang H, et al. MicroRNA-21 inhibits platelet-derived growth factor-induced human aortic vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation and migration through targeting activator protein-1. Am J Transl Res. 2014;6:507–16.
- Cheng Z, Zheng L, Almeida FA. Epigenetic reprogramming in metabolic disorders: nutritional factors and beyond. J Nutr Biochem. 2017;54:1–10.
- Wang R, Dong L-D, Meng X-B, Shi Q, Sun W-Y. Unique MicroRNA signatures associated with early coronary atherosclerotic plaques. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2015;464:574–9.
- Ji R, Cheng Y, Yue J, Yang J, Liu X, Chen H, et al. MicroRNA expression signature and antisense-mediated depletion reveal an essential role of microRNA in vascular neointimal lesion formation. Circ Res. 2007;100:1579–88.
- Peiffer V, Sherwin SJ, Weinberg PD. Does low and oscillatory wall shear stress correlate spatially with early atherosclerosis? A systematic review. Cardiovasc Res. 2013;99:242–50.
- Mozos I, Malainer C, Horbanczuk J, Gug C, Stoian D, Luca CT, et al. Inflammatory markers for arterial stiffness in cardiovascular diseases. Front Immunol Front. 2017;8:1058.
- 43. Kim J. MicroRNAs as critical regulators of the endothelial to mesenchymal transition in vascular biology. BMB Rep. 2018;51:65–72.
- 44. La Sala L, Cattaneo M, De Nigris V, Pujadas G, Testa R, Bonfigli AR, et al. Oscillating glucose induces microRNA-185 and impairs an efficient antioxidant response in human endothelial cells. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2016;15:71.
- 45. Jansen F, Wang H, Przybilla D, Franklin BS, Dolf A, Pfeifer P, et al. Vascular endothelial microparticles-incorporated microRNAs are altered in patients with diabetes mellitus. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2016;15:49.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

