doi: 10.1111/ele.12952

Ecology Letters, (2018) 21: 957-967

ТЙ

LETTER

Parasitic wasp-associated symbiont affects plant-mediated species interactions between herbivores

Abstract

Antonino Cusumano,¹* Feng Zhu,^{1,2} Anne-Nathalie Volkoff,³ Patrick Verbaarschot,¹ Janneke Bloem,¹ Heiko Vogel,⁴ Marcel Dicke¹ and Erik H. Poelman¹ Microbial mutualistic symbiosis is increasingly recognised as a hidden driving force in the ecology of plant-insect interactions. Although plant-associated and herbivore-associated symbionts clearly affect interactions between plants and herbivores, the effects of symbionts associated with higher trophic levels has been largely overlooked. At the third-trophic level, parasitic wasps are a common group of insects that can inject symbiotic viruses (polydnaviruses) and venom into their herbivorous hosts to support parasitoid offspring development. Here, we show that such thirdtrophic level symbionts act in combination with venom to affect plant-mediated interactions by reducing colonisation of subsequent herbivore species. This ecological effect correlated with changes induced by polydnaviruses and venom in caterpillar salivary glands and in plant defence responses to herbivory. Because thousands of parasitoid species are associated with mutualistic symbiotic viruses in an intimate, specific relationship, our findings may represent a novel and widespread ecological phenomenon in plant-insect interactions.

Keywords

Herbivore colonisation, parasitoid, plant-insect interactions, polydnaviruses, tritrophic interactions.

Ecology Letters (2018) 21: 957-967

INTRODUCTION

Mutualistic symbiosis is a widespread phenomenon in nature in which partners associate in an intimate relationship with reciprocal benefits. Microbial symbionts can provide benefits to their associated multicellular eukaryotes that include nutritional effects (Bennett & Moran 2015; Douglas 2015) and protection against natural enemies (Scarborough et al. 2005; Gerardo & Parker 2014) or against abiotic stress (Montllor et al. 2002; Heyworth & Ferrari 2015). The role of mutualistic symbiosis receives increasing attention as a hidden driving force that mediates networks of interacting species (Sanders et al. 2016). Plants form the basis of most terrestrial food webs and interact with a suite of different organisms in nature, including herbivorous and carnivorous insects (Schoonhoven et al. 2005). It is now recognised that plants are not alone when interacting with other organisms because microbial symbionts may modulate the strength of plant-insect interactions and consequently affect insect community structuring in plant-based food webs. Plantassociated symbionts such as mycorrhizal fungi affect not only plant growth but also plant defences by inducing systemic resistance towards a wide range of attackers including aboveground herbivores (Pineda et al. 2010; Jung et al. 2012; Pieterse et al. 2014). Herbivore-associated symbionts can play a major role in overcoming plant defences (Frago et al. 2012; Zhu et al. 2014; Sugio et al. 2015). For example symbiotic bacteria associated

with oral secretions of Colorado potato beetles can manipulate the physiology of tomato plants to the benefit of their herbivorous hosts leading to evasion of anti-herbivore defences (Chung *et al.* 2013). Carnivorous insects such as parasitic wasps are also known to harbour symbionts (Dorémus *et al.* 2014; Drezen *et al.* 2014); however, the ecological effects of such third-trophic level symbionts have not been investigated so far in a plantinsect context. To unravel the complexity of plant-insect interactions and arms races between plants and herbivores, the involvement of the third-trophic level is crucial because plant traits may enhance top-down herbivore suppression by natural enemies (Price *et al.* 1980). This concept deserves to be extended to studying symbiont-mediated effects on tritrophic interactions.

Since the early 1990s a large body of evidence has shown that plants and carnivores commonly interact via herbivoreinduced plant volatiles (HIPVs) which recruit predators and parasitoids of the attacking herbivore (Turlings *et al.* 1990; Vet & Dicke 1992), a phenomenon often described as 'cry for help' (Dicke & Baldwin 2010). Endoparasitoids lay their eggs in the herbivore and the parasitoid larvae consume the herbivore from inside out, often keeping the herbivore alive and regulating its growth during parasitoid larval development (Godfray 1994). As a result, parasitoids themselves may interact with the plant by influencing plant responses to herbivory as a consequence of the parasitisation of the attacking herbivore (Poelman *et al.* 2011; Zhu *et al.* 2015; Kaplan *et al.*

¹Laboratory of Entomology, Wageningen University, P.O. Box 16, 6700 AA, Wageningen, The Netherlands

²Department of Terrestrial Ecology, Netherlands Institute of Ecology (NIOO-KNAW), Droevendaalsesteeg 1, 6708 PB, Wageningen, The Netherlands ³DGIMI UMR 1333, INRA, Université de Montpellier 2, Place Eugène Bataillon, CC101, 34095 Montpellier Cedex, France

⁴Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology, Hans-Knöll-Str. 8, D-07745 Jena, Germany

*Correspondence: E-mail: antonino.cusumano@inra.fr

© 2018 The Authors *Ecology Letters* published by CNRS and John Wiley & Sons Ltd This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. 2016; Ode et al. 2016). Parasitoid-mediated induction of plant responses has been shown to change the plant's phenotype with consequences for plant-mediated interactions between early (Pieris brassicae) and subsequent (Plutella xylostella) herbivore colonisers: for example the moth P. xvlostella prefers to oviposit on cabbage plants previously infested with unparasitised P. brassicae caterpillars compared to plants infested with caterpillars parasitised by Cotesia glomerata (Poelman et al. 2011). Such parasitoid-mediated induction of plant responses occurs via phenotypic changes in the herbivore's oral secretions (regurgitant and/or saliva) which are known to play a key role in inducing plant defence responses (Poelman et al. 2011; Shikano et al. 2017); indeed, many elicitors that plants use to counteract herbivore attack have been identified in the oral secretions of caterpillars that come in contact with plant tissues during herbivore feeding (Alborn et al. 1997; Mattiacci et al. 1995; Bonaventure et al. 2011, Bonaventure 2012; Rivera-Vega et al. 2017).

The effect of parasitisation of herbivores on plant responses has been assumed to be triggered by the parasitic wasp larvae feeding within the herbivore's body (Poelman et al. 2011). However, thousands of parasitoid species injectspecific symbiotic viruses (polydnaviruses = PDVs) into their hosts that manipulate herbivore physiology and immune responses (Pennacchio & Strand 2006; Strand & Burke 2013; Dorémus et al. 2014; Chevignon et al. 2015). PDVs are unique insect viruses as they can only replicate in the calyx region of the wasp's ovary. Subsequently, viral particles are injected into the host caterpillar during parasitism events, to prevent encapsulation of parasitoid eggs by suppressing the caterpillar's immune response (Edson et al. 1981; Shelby & Webb 1999; Strand et al. 2006; Webb et al. 2006; Lu et al. 2010; Burke & Strand 2014). The effect of symbiotic wasp viruses on the ecology of plant-insect interactions has not been investigated (Shikano et al. 2017). During oviposition, parasitoids also inject venoms which may synergise the effects of PDVs (Asgari & Rivers 2011; Asgari 2012) and can be required for the expression of PDV genes in the caterpillar (Zhang et al. 2004).

Here, we experimentally manipulated the phenotype of herbivores feeding on brassicaceous plants. We isolated parasitoid eggs, venom and calyx fluid (containing PDV particles) from the gregarious parasitoid Cotesia glomerata (Fig. S1) and injected these parasitoid-derived components into secondinstar P. brassicae caterpillars subsequently feeding on wild Brassica oleracea plants. We discovered that in the event of parasitism, viral symbionts and venom, but not the parasitoid offspring, influence oviposition preference of P. xylostella moths, which often colonise brassicaeous plants after pierid butterflies in the field. We further demonstrate that surgical removal of P. brassicae salivary glands knocks down plantmediated species interactions between parasitised caterpillars and P. xylostella. We show that these ecological effects of viral symbionts and venom correlate with changes in gene transcript levels in the caterpillar's salivary glands and in plant defence responses to herbivory. Overall, these results elucidate a new ecological role of third-trophic level symbionts in plant-insect interactions, highlighting a fascinating complexity within terrestrial networks of interacting species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plants and insects

Seeds of the wild *Brassica oleracea* population 'Kimmeridge' (Dorset, UK, 50°360N, 2°070W) were grown in a glasshouse compartment ($22 \pm 3^{\circ}$ C, 50–70% relative humidity and 16:8 h L:D photoperiod). Five-week-old plants were used in the experiments. The herbivores (*P. brassicae* and *P. xylos-tella*) and parasitoids (*C. glomerata*) were originally collected from field sites near Wageningen University, the Netherlands, and reared on cabbage plants (*B. oleracea* var gemmifera cv. Cyrus) in glasshouse compartments ($22 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C, 50-70% relative humidity and 16:8 h L:D photoperiod).

Isolation of polydnavirus particles (PDVs), venom and parasitoid eggs

Calyx fluid (containing the PDV particles) and venom were extracted from C. glomerata wasps anaesthetised on ice and dissected in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) under a light microscope. The venom apparatus (gland and reservoir) and the ovaries were collected separately and pooled in 250 µL PCR tubes. The volume was adjusted with PBS to reach the desired concentration in wasp equivalents (w.e.) as described in Dorémus et al. 2013 (e.g. venom apparatus from 30 wasps pooled in 30 µL of PBS for injection of 100 nL containing 0.1 w.e./caterpillar). A concentration of 0.1 w.e. was selected considering that after 10 parasitism events depletion of the parasitoids' egg load can occur (Zhu et al. 2015), and we assumed that 1/10 of calyx fluid and venom is injected along with the eggs during a parasitism bout. Venom gland and calyx were disrupted by several passages through a 20 µL micropipette cone. Tubes containing the extracts were centrifuged for 5 min at 2800 G (venom) or for 1 min at 28 G (calyx fluid) and then supernatants containing the venom or calyx extracts were stored on ice until injections into second-instar P. brassicae caterpillars (as described below). It has been shown that purification of the virus by centrifugation has similar effects on caterpillar physiology as other purification techniques such as filtration or using a gradient (Beckage et al. 1994). Presence of PDV particles in calyx extracts was confirmed under an electron microscope Zeiss EM10CR at 80 kV. For injections with a mixture of venom and calyx fluid, equal volumes of the two extracts were mixed before injection experiments. To isolate mature parasitoid eggs and to minimise contamination with PDV particles, second-instar P. brassicae caterpillars were parasitised by C. glomerata and rapidly dissected in PBS to recover eggs. The eggs were suspended in 30 µL of PBS in a 250 µL PCR tube, pelleted gently (5 s at 112 G) and washed three times using 30 µL of PBS.

Microinjections in caterpillars

Phosphate-buffered saline solutions with components retrieved from parasitic wasps were injected into L2 *P. brassicae* caterpillars anaesthetised with CO_2 using the NanojectII Auto-Nanoliter Injector (Drummond). In all experiments, 0.1 wasp equivalent of venom, calyx fluid or a mixture of venom and calyx fluid (with or without eggs) dissolved in 100 nL were injected. Eggs were injected as aliquots of PBS containing approximately 20-40 eggs per 100 nL. We prepared seven different caterpillar treatments to test the effect of each of three component of parasitism individually (eggs, PDVs, venom) and their combined effects in a full factorial design: (1) calvx fluid (containing PDVs); (2) venom; (3) eggs; (4) calyx fluid+ venom; (5) calyx fluid + eggs; (6) venom + eggs; (7) calyx fluid + venom + eggs. The last treatment mimics a natural parasitism event. Two additional treatments were used as controls to test whether the microinjection treatment per se affected the interaction of the caterpillars with the food plant: (8) unparasitised caterpillars injected with 100 nL of PBS (negative control) and (9) C. glomerata parasitised caterpillars injected with 100 nL of PBS (positive control). After microinjections, the caterpillars that recovered within 2 h were transferred to wild B. oleracea plants.

To investigate the effect of parasitoid offspring itself (alone or in combination with PDVs and venom), all nine caterpillar treatments were used in herbivore oviposition preference bioassays (see below). To test if symbiotic wasp viruses (alone or in combination with venom) induce phenotypic changes that mimic parasitised herbivores in a plant-insect perspective, treatments 1, 2, 4, 8 and 9 were used in caterpillar- and plantgene transcriptional analyses (see below).

Herbivore oviposition preference

Response induced by injected caterpillars

To investigate if PDVs, parasitoid offspring and venom affect subsequent herbivore colonisation, we performed two-choice oviposition preference experiments in which female diamondback moths (P. xylostella) were tested for their preferences for B. oleracea plants previously induced by P. brassicae caterpillars injected with PDVs, venom or eggs over control plants (i.e. induced by PBS-injected caterpillars). We followed the methodology described by Poelman et al. (2011) in which each plant was infested with two L2 caterpillars that were allowed to feed for 7-10 days after injection treatments. This time window was sufficient to allow parasitoid egg eclosion and development of larvae within the caterpillars (Sato 1980). Furthermore, the time window we chose for induction is relevant from an ecological perspective as P. xylostella commonly colonises brassicaceous plants after P. brassicae in The Netherlands (Poelman et al. 2010). On the morning of the experiment, we detached the leaves from the plant, placed them in glass vials containing tap water and matched them with a similar-sized leaf of the control treatment. The pair of leaves was placed in a plastic cylinder (diameter 13 cm, height 22 cm) in which a male and female moth were released. The females were allowed to oviposit overnight, and the number of eggs on each leaf was counted the next morning. For each pairwise combination we tested 31-41 replicates.

Response induced by ablated caterpillars

To investigate the effect of caterpillar saliva on plant-mediated oviposition preference by diamondback moths, we first surgically removed salivary glands as described by Musser *et al.* (2006) (see Appendix S1) from unparasitised *P. brassicae* caterpillars (PB) and *Cotesia glomerata*-parasitised caterpillars (CG-PB). We then induced wild B. oleracea plants for 24 h with two fifth-instar caterpillars treated as follows: (1) P. brassicae caterpillars with intact labial salivary glands (PB-S+); (2) P. brassicae caterpillars with ablated labial salivary glands (PB-S-); (3) C. glomerata-parasitised P. brassicae caterpillars with intact labial salivary glands (PB-CG-S+); (4) C. glomerata-parasitised P. brassicae caterpillars with ablated labial salivary glands (PB-CG-S-); or (5) plants were left untreated serving as the undamaged control (UD). After induction, we tested the subsequent response of diamondback moths in terms of oviposition preferences. In particular, we asked whether the moth is able to discriminate between: (1) plants induced by unparasitised caterpillars and plants induced by parasitised caterpillars with salivary glands ablated (PB-S- vs PB-CG-S-); (2) plants induced by mock-treated caterpillars or caterpillars with labial salivary glands removed (PB-S+ vs PB-S-; and PB-CG-S+ vs PB-CG-S-); (3) undamaged control plants and plants damaged by ablated unparasitised (UD vs PB-S-) or parasitised (UD vs PB-CG-S-) caterpillars. For each pairwise combination we tested 59-73 replicates.

Leaf damage assessment

To analyse whether oviposition preference by diamondback moths correlated with the amount of leaf damage inflicted by caterpillars in the different plant treatments, we quantified the amount of damage on each leaf that had been used in the oviposition experiments. The leaves were taped onto a white paper sheet and scanned with a RICOH Scanjet MPC4503. The scans were analysed for the size of damaged leaf surface by counting the number of pixels making up the damaged area using Adobe Photoshop-CC 2015.1.2. The number of pixels was converted into cm² by comparison with the number of pixels that make up a reference 1-cm² square. For each of the different treatments, we then used linear regression models to test the effect of the fixed factor 'leaf area damage' on the response variable 'number of eggs laid'.

Labial salivary gland collection, RNA extraction and real-time qPCR

To investigate whether infection with polydnaviruses (PDVs) (alone or in combination with venom), affects transcriptional responses in the herbivore's salivary glands, we quantified relative transcript levels in the salivary glands of genes encoding for glucose dehydrogenase (GDH) and β -glucosidase precursors (BGPs). We targeted *GDH*, whose encoded product is a member of the superfamily of glucose-methanol-choline oxidoreductases (GMCs) that include enzymes known to suppress plant defences such as the related glucose oxidase (GOX) (Musser *et al.* 2002). We also targeted *BGPs* as their final product, β -glucosidase, is an elicitor of plant responses in brassicaceous plants (Mattiacci *et al.* 1995).

Labial salivary glands, collected from differentially treated caterpillars 7–10 days after injection when the herbivore had reached the fifth-larval instar, were immediately placed into an RNase-free 2.2-mL microfuge tube. Each pair of glands was used as one biological replicate (10–12 replicates were carried out for each treatment). These samples were immediately

frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C for RNA isolation. RNA was isolated using the RNeasy kit from Qiagen according to the manufacturer's instructions. 2 µg of total RNA was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using Bio-Rad's iSCRIPT cDNA synthesis kit in a 40 µL reaction volume also according to the manufacturer's instructions. Primers (Table S1) were designed based on sequence information of Pieris brassicae. iQ SYBRGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad) was used to perform the real time qPCR reactions in duplicate. The following PCR program was used for all PCR reactions (annealing temperature in Table S1): 95°C, 3 min followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, annealing temperatures for 10 s and 72°C for 30 s, with data collection at 72°C. The PCR reactions were followed by a melt curve analysis to check for primerdimer formation or unspecific PCR products. Calibrated Normalised Relative Quantity values are calculated using the Cq values of the unparasitised caterpillar, calibrating for inter-run variation and normalising using the Cq values of the reference genes Elongation Factor 1a and Ribosomal protein s20 which were selected (using geNorm, Vandesompele et al. 2002) out of a total of seven reference genes.

Plant responses to injected caterpillars

To study plant responses to differentially injected herbivores, we quantified relative transcript levels of four genes from *B. oleracea* involved in different signal-transduction pathways underlying induced defence. In the Jasmonic Acid (JA) signal-transduction pathway, we targeted a gene coding for the enzyme lipoxygenase (*BoLOX*) whereas in the Salicylic Acid (SA) signal-transduction pathway we targeted the pathogene-sis-related-1 gene (*BoPR1*). In addition, we selected two genes involved in the regulation of biosynthesis of defensive metabolites: *BoPIN* that codes for a protease inhibitor, and *BoMYR* that codes for myrosinase, an enzyme important for the metabolism of brassicaceous-specific secondary compounds (glucosinolate-breakdown products).

To rule out quantitative feeding effects by differential herbivore behaviour due to injection treatments, we standardised the amount of damage per treatment. We punctured 3 tiny holes ($\approx 0.5 \text{ mm}^2$) within an area of 1.5 cm in diameter to the youngest fully expanded leaf of each plant, using a sterile pin needle. After puncturing, 3 µL of freshly collected regurgitant from differently injected P. brassicae caterpillars was applied to the tiny holes on these mechanically damaged leaves (1 µL of regurgitant for each hole). Regurgitant of caterpillars was collected from the same individuals used for salivary gland extraction. To obtain enough regurgitant for each treatment, we used a capillary to collect regurgitant from several caterpillars, each regurgitating 1-5 µL (Fatouros et al. 2005). As control treatments, we included (1) plants with punctured leaves that were treated with water instead of regurgitant; (2) undamaged plants. We harvested leaf discs (1.5 cm in diameter) 2 h after the treatments had been applied, as such induction method has been shown to effectively mimic true herbivory at this time point (Poelman et al. 2011). The leaf discs included the puncture site and were collected by punching as described by Zheng et al. (2007). Each leaf disc from an individual plant was immediately placed into an RNasefree 2.2-mL microfuge tube as one biological replicate (10–12 replicates were carried for each treatment). These samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80° C for RNA isolation.

Isolation of plant RNA and qPCR

RNA was isolated using the ISOLATE II Plant RNA kit from Bioline according to the manufacturer's instructions. 2 µg of total RNA was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using Bio-Rad's iSCRIPT cDNA synthesis kit in a 40 µL reaction volume according to the manufacturer's instructions. Primers (Table S2) were designed based on sequence information of the used plants or were designed earlier by Poelman et al. (2011). iQ SYBRGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad) was used to perform the real time qPCR reactions in duplicate. The following PCR program was used for all PCR reactions (annealing temperatures in Table S2): 95°C, 3 min followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, annealing temperatures for 10 s and 72°C for 30 s, with data collection at 72°C. The PCR reactions were followed by a melt curve analysis to check for primer-dimer formation or unspecific PCR products. Delta-delta Cq values are calculated using the Cq values of the untreated plants and normalising using the Cq values of the reference genes BTUB and ACT2 which were selected (using geNorm, Vandesompele et al. 2002) out of a total of six known reference genes.

Statistical analyses

Oviposition preference of diamondback moths (*P. xylostella*) was analysed using Wilcoxon matched-pair signed-rank tests for each of the pairwise treatment comparisons. ANOVA was used to test if transcript levels of caterpillar and plant genes were significantly affected by microinjection treatments. For transcript levels of salivary glands, we tested the overall effect of gene, treatment and the gene×treatment interaction with a two-way ANOVA. When needed, were log-transformed before analyses were carried out and model fit was assessed with residual plots. Post-hoc differences between the treatments were tested using Tukey tests. Data were analysed with R statistical software (R Development Core Team 2013).

RESULTS

Effects of wasp-associated symbiotic viruses and venom on oviposition preference by subsequent herbivores

Leaves induced by PBS-injected/parasitised caterpillars received fewer eggs than leaves induced by caterpillars injected with PBS only (Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks test, Z = 3.8239, P < 0.001). Injection of parasitoid eggs alone or in combination with venom or calyx fluid into caterpillars did not trigger any oviposition discrimination by diamondback moths over leaves induced by PBS-injected caterpillars (Fig. 1). In contrast, leaves induced by caterpillars injected with calyx fluid in combination with venom received fewer eggs than leaves induced by PBS-injected caterpillars (Z = 2.090, P = 0.036) (Fig. 1). A similar oviposition response was observed in diamondback moths when given a preference between leaves



Figure 1 Effect of wasp-associated symbiotic viruses and venom on oviposition preference by subsequent herbivores. Wild *Brassica oleracea* plants were induced for 7–10 days by two second-instar *Pieris brassicae* caterpillars injected with 100 nL of PBS containing 0.1 *Cotesia glomerata* wasp equivalents of: (1) calyx fluid (CF) (containing PDVs); (2) venom (V); (3) eggs (E); (4) calyx fluid + venom; (5) calyx fluid + eggs; (6) venom + eggs; (7) calyx fluid + venom + eggs. Two additional treatments were used: (8) PBS = unparasitized caterpillars injected with 100 nL of PBS and (9) Par|PBS = *C. glomerata* parasitized caterpillars injected with 100 nL of PBS. After induction, treated leaves were offered to diamondback moths in two-choice tests in which a leaf induced by PBS-injected caterpillars was used always as control. The numbers of eggs laid overnight by diamondback moths were used to quantify oviposition preferences. Bold horizontal lines show medians, boxes contain the 25th–50th percentiles, whiskers show the upper and lower quartiles and points show outliers. Statistical differences within pairwise treatment combinations were carried out with Wilcoxon matched-pair signed-rank test, *P* < 0.05.

induced by PBS-injected caterpillars and those induced by caterpillars in which all parasitoid-derived components (PDVs + venom + eggs) were injected (Z = 2.538, P = 0.001) (Fig. 1). Although injection of parasitoid-derived components into caterpillars differentially affected the amount of damage inflicted to the plants (Table S3), oviposition preferences of diamondback moths were not correlated with the amount of feeding damage (Table S4).

Effects of surgical removal of caterpillar salivary glands on oviposition preference by subsequent herbivores

In two-choice tests, diamondback moths preferred to oviposit on plants damaged by mock-treated unparasitised P. brassicae caterpillars over undamaged control plants (Fig. 2; Wilsigned-ranks coxon's matched-pairs test. Z = 4.237. P < 0.001). The preference for plants damaged by unparasitised caterpillars over parasitised caterpillars was lost when salivary glands of both caterpillars were surgically removed (Fig. 2, PB-S- vs PB-CG-S-: Z = -0.331, P = 0.741). Diamondback moths laid fewer eggs on plants induced by P. brassicae caterpillars with ablated salivary glands compared to plants induced by mock-treated P. brassicae (Fig. 2; PB-S- vs PB-S+: Z = -3.182, P = 0.001). Furthermore, the moths laid more eggs on plants induced by mock-treated parasitised *P. brassicae* than plants induced by parasitised *P. brassicae* caterpillars with ablated salivary glands (Fig. 2; PB-CG-S+ vs PB-CG-S-: Z = -2.146, P = 0.032). Finally, the moths did not discriminate between undamaged leaves and leaves induced by unparasitised or parasitised caterpillars with ablated salivary glands (Fig. 2 UD vs PB-S-: Z = -1.518, P = 0.129; UD vs PB-CG- S-: Z = -1.337, P = 0.181).

Effects of wasp-associated symbiotic viruses and venom on gene transcription in herbivore salivary glands

Overall, microinjection treatment had a significant main effect on transcript levels (ANOVA: $F_{4,144} = 11.8182$, P < 0.001), whereas the main effect of gene identity was not significant ($F_{2,144} = 0.0111$, P = 0.99), so the three genes show a similar effect of treatments on transcript level. A significant gene × treatment effect was found ($F_{8,144} = 6.9129$, P < 0.001), indicating that the effect of the microinjection treatment is stronger for GDH than for BGP-1 and BGP-2. Injection treatments significantly affected transcript levels of GDH in salivary glands (ANOVA: $F_{4,48} = 8.5614$, P < 0.001) (Fig. 3a). We found reduced transcript levels in salivary glands from caterpillars injected with calyx fluid + venom and



Figure 2 Effect of surgical removal of caterpillar salivary glands on oviposition preference by subsequent herbivores. Wild *Brassica oleracea* plants were induced for 24 h by two fifth-instar *Pieris brassicae* caterpillars whose labial salivary glands had been either surgically removed or left intact. Plants were induced with the following treatments: (1) PB-S+: caterpillars with intact labial salivary glands; (2) PB-S-: caterpillars with ablated labial salivary glands; (3) PB-CG-S+: *C. glomerata* parasitized caterpillars with intact labial salivary glands; (4) PB-CG-S-: *C. glomerata* parasitized caterpillars with ablated labial salivary glands; (4) PB-CG-S-: *C. glomerata* parasitized caterpillars with ablated labial salivary glands. As controls we used (5) UD: undamaged plants. After induction, treated leaves were offered to diamondback moths in two-choice tests and the numbers of eggs laid overnight were used to quantify oviposition preferences. Bold horizontal lines show medians, boxes contain the 25th–50th percentiles, whiskers show the upper and lower quartiles and points show outliers. Statistical differences within pairwise treatment combinations were carried out with Wilcoxon matched-pair signed-rank tests, P < 0.05.

naturally parasitised caterpillars compared to caterpillars injected only with PBS (Fig. 3a). Remarkably, no significant differences were found between transcript levels in PBSinjected/parasitised caterpillars compared with those injected with a combination of calyx fluid plus venom (Fig. 3a). As found for GDH, relative transcript levels of BGP-1 and BGP-2 were also significantly affected by injection treatments $(BGP-1: F_{4,48} = 2.8810, P = 0.032; BGP-2: F_{4,48} = 2.9603,$ P = 0.029) (Fig. 3b,c). Transcript levels of both BGP genes tended to be downregulated in caterpillars injected with calyx fluid +venom as well as in PBS-injected/parasitised caterpillars compared with negative controls (CF+V vs PBS: P = 0.056; Par|PBS vs PBS: P = 0.059, Tukey test) (Fig. 3b,c). For both BGP genes, transcript levels were similar in salivary glands of caterpillars injected with calvx fluid+venom compared with PBS-injected/parasitised caterpillars (Fig. 3b,c).

Effects of wasp-associated symbiotic viruses and venom on transcription of plant-defence-related genes

Regurgitant collected from differentially injected caterpillars affected transcript levels of three plant-defence-related genes (ANOVA, *BoLOX*: $F_{4,55} = 6.5087$, P < 0.001; *BoPIN*: $F_{4,55} = 3.9107$, P = 0.007; *BoMYR*: $F_{4,55} = 3.3809$, P = 0.019),

whereas *BoPR1* was not affected ($F_{4,55} = 1.4010$, P = 0.245) (Fig. 4). Transcript levels of *BoMYR* were significantly higher in plants treated with regurgitant from caterpillars injected only with PBS over those treated with PBS-injected/parasitised caterpillars or injected with calyx fluid and venom (Fig. 4d). Analyses of transcript levels of *BoMYR* suggest that parasitoid larvae do not play a major role in triggering parasitism-induced responses in plant secondary chemistry (i.e. breakdown of glucosinolates).

DISCUSSION

Plant responses to parasitised caterpillars differ from responses to unparasitised caterpillars and this was assumed to be caused by the parasitoid larvae feeding within the herbivore body (Poelman *et al.* 2011). Here, we challenged this and our results clearly show that calyx fluid containing *C. glomerata* polydnaviruses acts in combination with parasitoid venom to mediate complex ecological interactions at the plant–insect interface which affect the oviposition behaviour of a subsequent herbivore species. These effects correlate with specific changes induced in the herbivore's salivary glands and in plant defence responses to herbivory. Thus, our data show that third-trophic level symbionts play a key ecological role in



Figure 3 Effect of wasp-associated symbiotic viruses and venom on gene transcript levels in herbivore salivary glands. L2 *Pieris brassicae* caterpillars were injected with 100 nL of PBS containing 0.1 *Cotesia glomerata* wasp equivalents of: (1) calyx fluid (CF) containing polydnaviruses, (2) venom (V) and (3) calyx fluid + venom. Two additional treatments were used as controls: (4) PBS = unparasitized caterpillars injected with 100 nL of PBS and (5) Par| PBS = *C. glomerata* parasitised caterpillars injected with PBS. Relative gene transcript levels of glucose dehydrogenase (GDH) and β -glucosidase precursors (BGPs) were quantified. Bold horizontal lines show medians, boxes contain the 25th – 50th percentiles, whiskers show the upper and lower quartiles and points show outliers. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences (ANOVA followed by Tukey test, P < 0.05, n = 10-12).

plant-insect interactions, whereas the parasitoid offspring itself is surprisingly not the major driver of parasitismmediated effects on the induction of plant responses. Although our targeted gene transcription approach shows that virus plus venom affect transcriptional responses in caterpillar salivary gland and in plant tissue, the exact mechanisms underlying the altered behaviour of *P. xylostella* moths remains to be elucidated.

Diamondback moths preferred to oviposit on B. oleracea leaves induced by PBS-injected P. brassicae caterpillars over leaves induced by caterpillars simultaneously injected with PDVs+venom, isolated from the parasitoid C. glomerata. Diamondback moths have been suggested to selectively oviposit on plants previously attacked by unparasitised P. brassicae caterpillars because their progeny suffers lower mortality due to reduced larval parasitism in the presence of P. brassicae caterpillars (Shiojiri et al. 2001, 2002). Localisation of host plants by diamondback moths may be based on volatile organic compounds specific for brassicaceous host plants (i.e. glucosinolate-breakdown products), which are released in high amounts in response to herbivory by unparasitised P. brassicae caterpillars (Gols et al. 2009). After herbivory by P. brassicae, diamondback moths can detect plant phenotypic changes caused by BoMYR, which codes for myrosinase, an enzyme important for the metabolism of glucosinolate-breakdown products (Zheng et al. 2011). In fact, we found significantly higher transcript levels of this gene in plants induced by PBS-injected (=unparasitised) P. brassicae caterpillars over

those induced by caterpillars simultaneously injected with PDV and venom or parasitised by *C. glomerata*.

Interestingly, diamondback moths adjust their oviposition preferences based on plant phenotypic changes induced by oral secretions of P. brassicae caterpillars simultaneously injected with PDVs and venom. In contrast, changes in quantitative plant traits due to differences in the amount of damage inflicted by differentially injected caterpillars did not affect diamondback moth oviposition discrimination. The key role of herbivore salivary secretions is also supported by evidence that surgical removal of labial salivary glands from P. brassicae disrupts oviposition preference of diamondback moths. In fact, plants previously attacked by P. brassicae caterpillars with ablated salivary glands are perceived by diamondback moths as undamaged control plants, regardless of the parasitism status of the caterpillars. Due to the importance of salivary glands, it is possible that plant phenotypic changes which affect colonisation by diamondback moths are triggered by changes in elicitors induced by injection of PDVs and venom in P. brassicae caterpillars. Indeed, PDVs target several tissues when injected into caterpillars and salivary glands are also specifically infected (Bitra et al. 2011) suggesting a direct effect of PDVs on salivary secretions. Although an untargeted transcriptomic approach could reveal the whole pattern of manipulations that PDVs and venom could induce in salivary glands, our targeted approach indicated downregulation of key genes (BGP-1, BGP-2, GDH) among which a stronger effect was observed in GDH (coding for glucose



Figure 4 Effect of wasp-associated symbiotic viruses and venom on transcript levels of plant-defence-related genes. Regurgitant was collected from *Pieris* brassicae caterpillars injected with 100 nL of PBS containing 0.1 *Cotesia glomerata* wasp equivalents of: (1) calyx fluid (CF) containing polydnaviruses, (2) venom (V) and (3) calyx fluid + venom. Regurgitant was also collected from (4) PBS = unparasitised caterpillars injected with 100 nL of PBS. (5) Par| PBS = *C. glomerata* parasitised caterpillars injected with PBS. Regurgitant from differently injected caterpillars was applied to mechanically damaged wild *Brassica oleracea* plants and leaf discs containing the damaged area were subsequently harvested 2 h after induction. Relative gene transcript levels of *BoLOX* (a), *BoPR1* (b), *BoPIN* (c) and *BoMYR* (d) were quantified. As additional controls we quantified transcript levels in mechanically damaged plants (Mech. Dam) as well as in undamaged plants (UD). Different letters above bars indicate statistically significant differences (ANOVA followed by Tukey test, P < 0.05, n = 10-12). Error bars correspond to standard errors.

dehydrogenase), which is closely related to glucose oxidase (GOX), an enzyme known to suppress plant defences in tobacco plants (Musser *et al.* 2002).

From a community perspective, feeding damage by *P. brassicae* caterpillars injected with PDVs and venom could be beneficial for the plant, as it reduced the pressure of colonisation by diamondback moths. Moreover, alterations in herbivore oral secretions mediated by symbionts associated with insects at the third-trophic level could be perceived by the plant as information that the attacking herbivore has been successfully parasitised and thus plants could attenuate defences accordingly. A suggestion that plants could indeed adjust chemical defences in response to parasitism status of the attacking herbivore is found in transcript levels of *BoMYR* which showed similar downregulation patterns after induction with regurgitant collected from caterpillars injected

with PDVs and venom compared with PBS-injected/parasitised caterpillars. Changes in transcript levels in response to injection treatments were also found for other plant defencerelated genes (*BoLOX*, *BoPIN*) but no differences were found between plant response to unparasitised and parasitised caterpillars, possibly due to the extensive variation in plant traits expressed by the wild population of *B. oleracea* that we used in this study. As expected, no differences were found in transcript levels of *BoPR1* which is a marker for the salicylic acid (SA) pathway and a major regulator of responses to sap-feeding herbivores (de Vos *et al.* 2005; Erb *et al.* 2012; Pieterse *et al.* 2012).

A large body of evidence has shown that PDVs manipulate caterpillar physiology to the benefit of their symbiotic partners (Pennacchio & Strand 2006; Strand & Burke 2013, 2015; Drezen *et al.* 2014). Although the focus of this paper was to

unravel the ecological effects of PDVs on plant-mediated interactions between herbivore species, the hypothesis that PDVs could also manipulate plant physiology to benefit their associated parasitoids merits further investigation. Here, we can speculate that PDVs could increase wasp fitness via changes in food quality cascading along the trophic chain: by reducing chemical defences (i.e. reduction of glucosinolatebreakdown products), P. brassicae caterpillars parasitised by C. glomerata could have access to plant resources of better nutritional quality which, in turn, could lead to higher quantitative/qualitative resources for the developing parasitoid larvae. Plant nutritional quality is well-known to impact parasitoid fitness via effects on the herbivore host (Ode 2006) and this is especially important in gregarious parasitoids such as C. glomerata in which scramble competition may limit the amount of available resources for the developing wasps (Harvey et al. 2013). How PDVs and venom act in combination to mimic parasitism-induced effects in a plant-insect context deserves to be investigated in further studies: PDVs affect the physiology of the herbivores to the benefit of their parasitoid partners (Strand & Burke 2013; Dorémus et al. 2014; Drezen et al. 2014) and it has been shown that the venom often synergises with PDVs (Asgari 2012). Alternatively, PDV genes might be exclusively expressed in the caterpillar body in the presence of the venom as found during in vitro experiments in the closely related host-parasitoid system Pieris rapae - C. rubecula in which the venom is suggested to assist uncoating of viral particles (Zhang et al. 2004). In particular, it will be interesting to investigate if PDV genes are expressed in a tissue-specific manner in P. brassicae caterpillars parasitised by C. glomerata and whether the venom facilitates this process (Bitra et al. 2011). In conclusion, our work shows that not only symbionts associated with herbivorous insects can impact plant responses to herbivory (Chung et al. 2013; Su et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2017), but symbionts of carnivorous insects can also play an important role. This finding adds an extralayer of complexity to the ecology of plant-insect interactions suggesting that consideration of the third-trophic level should be extended to the associated symbionts as well to fully understand how plants cope with herbivores. Studies on hostparasitoid interactions and on plant-herbivore interactions have largely developed independently. Our results unravel exciting connections between these research fields and highlight the importance of placing mutualistic interactions in a community context to unravel sophisticated hidden ecological interactions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank three anonymous reviewers whose constructive comments contributed to improving the quality of the manuscript. We thank Léon Westerd, André Gidding and Frans van Aggelen for culturing insects and the experimental farm of Wageningen University (Unifarm) for rearing plants. Fruitful discussions with Gary Felton (Penn State University), which helped to improve this manuscript, have been greatly appreciated. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement nr: 655178 to AC and the European Research Council (ERC) grant agreement nr: 677139 to EHP.

AUTHORSHIP

AC, ANV, MD and EHP designed the experiments. AC, FZ, JB and PV performed the experiments. AC and FZ analysed data. AC, FZ, ANV, HV, MD and EHP wrote the manuscript.

DATA ACCESSIBILITY STATEMENT

Data available from the Dryad Digital Repository: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.gp5km40 (Cusumano et al. 2018).

REFERENCES

- Alborn, H.T., Turlings, T.C.J., Jones, T.H., Stenhagen, G., Loughrin, J.H. & Tumlinson, J.H. (1997). An elicitor of plant volatiles from beet armyworm oral secretion. *Science*, 276, 945–949.
- Asgari, S. (2012). Parasitoid Viruses: Symbionts and Pathogens. Elsevier, London, UK, pp. 217–231.
- Asgari, S. & Rivers, D.B. (2011). Venom proteins from endoparasitoid wasps and their role in host-parasite interactions. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.*, 56, 313–335.
- Beckage, N.E., Tan, F.F., Schleifer, K.W., Lane, R.D. & Cherubin, L.L. (1994). Characterization and biological effects of *Cotesia congregata* polydnavirus on host larvae of the tobacco hornworm, *Manduca sexta*. *Arch. Insect Biochem.*, 26, 165–195.
- Bennett, G.M. & Moran, N.A. (2015). Heritable symbiosis: the advantages and perils of an evolutionary rabbit hole. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, 112, 10169–10176.
- Bitra, K., Zhang, S. & Strand, M.R. (2011). Transcriptomic profiling of *Microplitis demolitor* bracovirus reveals host, tissue and stage-specific patterns of activity. J. Gen. Virol., 92, 2060–2071.
- Bonaventure, G. (2012). Perception of insect feeding by plants. *Plant Biol.*, 14, 872–880.
- Bonaventure, G., van Doorn, A. & Baldwin, I.T. (2011). Herbivoreassociated elicitors: FAC signaling and metabolism. *Trends Plant Sci.*, 16, 294–299.
- Burke, G.R. & Strand, M.R. (2014). Systematic analysis of a wasp parasitism arsenal. *Mol. Ecol.*, 4, 890–901.
- Chevignon, G., Cambier, S., Da Silva, C., Poulain, J., Drezen, J.M., Huguet, E. et al. (2015). Transcriptomic response of Manduca sexta immune tissues to parasitization by the bracovirus associated wasp Cotesia congregata. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol., 62, 86–99.
- Chung, S.H., Rosa, C., Scully, E.D., Peiffer, M., Tooker, J.F., Hoover, K. et al. (2013). Herbivore exploits orally secreted bacteria to suppress plant defenses. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 110, 15728–15733.
- Cusumano, A., Zhu, F., Volkoff, A.N., Verbaarschot, P., Bloem, J., Vogel, H. *et al.* (2018). Data from: Parasitic wasp-associated symbiont affects plant-mediated species interactions between herbivores. *Dryad Digital Repository*, doi:10.5061/dryad.gp5km40
- Dicke, M. & Baldwin, I.T. (2010). The evolutionary context for herbivore-induced plant volatiles: beyond the 'cry for help'. *Trends Plant Sci.*, 15, 167–175.
- Dorémus, T., Urbach, S., Jouan, V., Cousserans, F., Ravallec, M., Demettre, E. *et al.* (2013). Venom gland extract is not required for successful parasitism in the polydnavirus-associated endoparasitoid *Hyposoter didymator* (Hym. Ichneumonidae) despite the presence of numerous novel and conserved venom proteins. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.*, 43, 292–307.
- Dorémus, T., Darboux, I., Cusson, M., Ravallec, M., Jouan, V., Frayssinet, M. *et al.* (2014). Specificities of ichnoviruses associated with campoplegine wasps: genome, genes and role in host-parasitoid interaction. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.*, 6, 44–51.

- Douglas, A.E. (2015). Multiorganismal insects: diversity and function of resident microorganisms. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.*, 60, 17–34.
- Drezen, J.M., Chevignon, G., Louis, F. & Huguet, E. (2014). Origin and evolution of symbiotic viruses associated with parasitoid wasps. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.*, 6, 35–43.
- Edson, K.M., Vinson, S.B., Stoltz, D.B. & Summers, M.D. (1981). Virus in a parasitoid wasp: suppression of the cellular immune response in the parasitoid's host. *Science*, 211, 582–583.
- Erb, M., Meldau, S. & Howe, G.A. (2012). Role of phytohormones in insect-specific plant reactions. *Trends Plant Sci.*, 17, 250–259.
- Fatouros, N.E., van Loon, J.J.A., Hordijk, K.A., Smid, H.M. & Dicke, M. (2005). Herbivore-induced plant volatiles mediate in-flight host discrimination by parasitoids. J. Chem. Ecol., 31, 2033–2047.
- Frago, E., Dicke, M. & Godfray, H.C.J. (2012). Insect symbionts as hidden players in insect-plant interactions. *Trends Ecol. Evol.*, 27, 705– 711.
- Gerardo, N.M. & Parker, B.J. (2014). Mechanisms of symbiont-conferred protection against natural enemies: an ecological and evolutionary framework. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.*, 4, 8–14.
- Godfray, H.C.J. (1994). *Parasitoids: Behavioral and Evolutionary Ecology*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- Gols, R., van Dam, N.M., Raaijmakers, C.E., Dicke, M. & Harvey, J.A. (2009). Are population differences in plant quality reflected in the preference and performance of two endoparasitoid wasps? *Oikos*, 118, 733–743.
- Harvey, J.A., Poelman, E. & Tanaka, T. (2013). Intrinsic inter- and intraspecific competition in parasitoid wasps. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.*, 58, 333–351.
- Heyworth, E.R. & Ferrari, J. (2015). A facultative endosymbiont in aphids can provide diverse ecological benefits. J. Evol. Biol., 28, 1753– 1760.
- Jung, S.C., Martinez-Medina, A., Lopez-Raez, J.A. & Pozo, M.J. (2012). Mycorrhiza-induced resistance and priming of plant defenses. J. Chem. Ecol., 38, 651–664.
- Kaplan, I., Carrillo, J., Garvey, M. & Ode, P.J. (2016). Indirect plant– parasitoid interactions mediated by changes in herbivore physiology. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.*, 14, 112–119.
- Lu, Z., Beck, M.H. & Strand, M.R. (2010). Egf1.5 is a second phenoloxidase cascade inhibitor encoded by *Microplitis demolitor* bracovirus. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.*, 40, 497–505.
- Mattiacci, L., Dicke, M. & Posthumus, M.A. (1995). beta-Glucosidase: an elicitor of herbivore-induced plant odor that attracts host-searching parasitic wasps. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, 92, 2036–2040.
- Montllor, C.B., Maxmen, A. & Purcell, A.H. (2002). Facultative bacterial endosymbionts benefit pea aphids *Acyrthosiphon pisum* under heat stress. *Ecol. Entomol.*, 27, 189–195.
- Musser, R.O., Hum-Musser, S.M., Eichenseer, H., Peiffer, M., Ervin, G., Murphy, J.B. *et al.* (2002). Herbivory: caterpillar saliva beats plant defences. *Nature*, 416, 599–600.
- Musser, R.O., Farmer, E., Peiffer, M., Williams, S.A. & Felton, G.W. (2006). Ablation of caterpillar labial salivary glands: technique for determining the role of saliva in insect–plant interactions. J. Chem. Ecol., 32, 981–992.
- Ode, P.J. (2006). Plant chemistry and natural enemy fitness: effects on herbivore and natural enemy interactions. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.*, 51, 163–185.
- Ode, P.J., Harvey, J.A., Reichelt, M., Gershenzon, J. & Gols, R. (2016). Differential induction of plant chemical defenses by parasitized and unparasitized herbivores: consequences for reciprocal, multitrophic interactions. *Oikos*, 125, 1398–1407.
- Pennacchio, F. & Strand, M.R. (2006). Evolution of developmental strategies in parasitic Hymenoptera. Annu. Rev. Entomol., 51, 233–258.
- Pieterse, C.M.J., van der Does, D., Zamioudis, C., Leon-Reyes, A. & van Wees, S.C. (2012). Hormonal modulation of plant immunity. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.*, 28, 489–521.
- Pieterse, C.M., Zamioudis, C., Berendsen, R.L., Weller, D.M., van Wees, S.C. & Bakker, P.A. (2014). Induced systemic resistance by beneficial microbes. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.*, 52, 347–375.

- Pineda, A., Zheng, S.J., van Loon, J.J., Pieterse, C.M. & Dicke, M. (2010). Helping plants to deal with insects: the role of beneficial soilborne microbes. *Trends Plant Sci.*, 15, 507–514.
- Poelman, E.H., van Loon, J.J.A., van Dam, N.M., Vet, L.E.M. & Dicke, M. (2010). Herbivore-induced plant responses in *Brassica oleracea* prevail over effects of constitutive resistance and result in enhanced herbivore attack. *Ecol. Entomol.*, 35, 240–247.
- Poelman, E.H., Zheng, S.J., Zhang, Z., Heemskerk, N.M., Cortesero, A.M. & Dicke, M. (2011). Parasitoid-specific induction of plant responses to parasitized herbivores affects colonization by subsequent herbivores. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, 108, 19647–19652.
- Price, P.W., Bouton, C.E., Gross, P., McPheron, B.A., Thompson, J.N. & Weis, A.E. (1980). Interactions among three trophic levels: influence of plants on interactions between insect herbivores and natural enemies. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.*, 11, 41–65.
- R Development Core Team. (2013). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. Available at: http://www.R-project.org. Last accessed 19 February 2018.
- Rivera-Vega, L.J., Acevedo, F.E. & Felton, G.W. (2017). Genomics of lepidoptera saliva reveals function in herbivory. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.*, 19, 61–69.
- Sanders, D., Kehoe, R., Veen, F.J., McLean, A., Godfray, H.C.J., Dicke, M. et al. (2016). Defensive insect symbiont leads to cascading extinctions and community collapse. *Ecol. Lett.*, 19, 789–799.
- Sato, Y. (1980). Experimental studies on parasitization by *Apanteles glomeratus*. V. Relationships between growth rate of parasitoid and host age at the time of oviposition. *Entomophaga*, 25, 123–128.
- Scarborough, C.L., Ferrari, J. & Godfray, H.C.J. (2005). Aphid protected from pathogen by endosymbiont. *Science*, 310, 1781.
- Schoonhoven, L.M., Van Loon, J.J. & Dicke, M. (2005). Insect-Plant Biology. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Shelby, K.S. & Webb, B.A. (1999). Polydnavirus-mediated suppression of insect immunity. J. Insect Physiol., 45, 507–514.
- Shikano, I., Rosa, C., Tan, C.W. & Felton, G.W. (2017). Tritrophic interactions: microbe-mediated plant effects on insect herbivores. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.*, 55, 313–331. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-080516-035319.
- Shiojiri, K., Takabayashi, J., Yano, S. & Takafuji, A. (2001). Infochemically mediated tritrophic interaction webs on cabbage plants. *Popul. Ecol.*, 43, 23–29.
- Shiojiri, K., Takabayashi, J., Yano, S. & Takafuji, A. (2002). Oviposition preferences of herbivores are affected by tritrophic interaction webs. *Ecol. Lett.*, 5, 186–192.
- Strand, M.R. & Burke, G.R. (2013). Polydnavirus-wasp associations: evolution, genome organization, and function. *Curr. Opin. Virol.*, 3, 587–594.
- Strand, M.R. & Burke, G.R. (2015). Polydnaviruses: from discovery to current insights. *Virology*, 479, 393–402.
- Strand, M.R., Beck, M.H., Lavine, M.D. & Clark, K.D. (2006). *Microplitis demolitor* bracovirus inhibits phagocytosis by hemocytes from *Pseudoplusia includens*. Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol., 61, 134– 145.
- Su, Q., Oliver, K.M., Xie, W., Wu, Q., Wang, S. & Zhang, Y. (2015). The whitefly-associated facultative symbiont *Hamiltonella defensa* suppresses induced plant defences in tomato. *Funct. Ecol.*, 29, 1007– 1018.
- Sugio, A., Dubreuil, G., Giron, D. & Simon, J.C. (2015). Plant–insect interactions under bacterial influence: ecological implications and underlying mechanisms. J. Exp. Bot., 66, 467–478.
- Turlings, T.C.J., Tumlinson, J.H. & Lewis, W.J. (1990). Exploitation of herbivore-induced plant odors by host-seeking parasitic wasps. *Science*, 250, 1251–1253.
- Vandesompele, J., De Preter, K., Pattyn, F., Poppe, B., Van Roy, N., De Paepe, A. *et al.* (2002). Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biol.*, 3, research0034-1.

- Vet, L.E. & Dicke, M. (1992). Ecology of infochemical use by natural enemies in a tritrophic context. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.*, 37, 141–172.
- de Vos, M., van Oosten, V.R., van Poecke, R.M., van Pelt, J.A., Pozo, M.J., Mueller, M.J. *et al.* (2005). Signal signature and transcriptome changes of *Arabidopsis* during pathogen and insect attack. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.*, 18, 923–937.
- Wang, J., Peiffer, M., Hoover, K., Rosa, C., Zeng, R. & Felton, G.W. (2017). Helicoverpa zea gut-associated bacteria indirectly induce defenses in tomato by triggering a salivary elicitor (s). *New Phytol.*, 214, 1294–1306.
- Webb, B.A., Strand, M.R., Dickey, S.E., Beck, M.H., Hilgarth, R.S., Barney, W.E. *et al.* (2006). Polydnavirus genomes reflect their dual roles as mutualists and pathogens. *Virology*, 347, 160–174.
- Zhang, G., Schmidt, O. & Asgari, S. (2004). A novel venom peptide from an endoparasitoid wasp is required for expression of polydnavirus genes in host hemocytes. J. Biol. Chem., 279, 41580–41585.
- Zheng, S.J., van Dijk, J.P., Bruinsma, M. & Dicke, M. (2007). Sensitivity and speed of induced defense of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L.): dynamics of *BoLOX* expression patterns during insect and pathogen attack. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.*, 20, 1332–1345.
- Zheng, S.J., Zhang, P.J., van Loon, J.J.A. & Dicke, M. (2011). Silencing defense pathways in *Arabidopsis* by heterologous gene sequences from

Brassica oleracea enhances the performance of a specialist and a generalist herbivorous insect. *J. Chem. Ecol.*, 37, 818–829.

- Zhu, F., Poelman, E.H. & Dicke, M. (2014). Insect herbivore-associated organisms affect plant responses to herbivory. *New Phytol.*, 204, 315– 321.
- Zhu, F., Broekgaarden, C., Weldegergis, B.T., Harvey, J.A., Vosman, B., Dicke, M. *et al.* (2015). Parasitism overrides herbivore identity allowing hyperparasitoids to locate their parasitoid host by using herbivoreinduced plant volatiles. *Mol. Ecol.*, 24, 2886–2899.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

Editor, Jonathan Chase Manuscript received 2 November 2017 First decision made 11 December 2017

Manuscript accepted 23 February 2018