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Highlights

- Estimates for the burden of foodborne diarrhoeal disease in Indonesia are presented.
- Estimates for the costs of foodborne diarrhoeal disease in Indonesia are presented.
- Foodborne diarrhoeal illness is estimated to be a significant public health and economic burden in Indonesia.
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Abstract

Estimates for the burden (number of cases) and cost of diarrhoeal foodborne illness (FBI) per year in Indonesia were calculated. Distinct data sources and models (derived from the World Health Organisation, and Indonesian sources) were used to cross-validate results from each analysis. For all cases, estimates of the burdens and costs of the foodborne illnesses were broadly of the same magnitude. Total annual estimates of diarrhoeal FBI cases in Indonesia ranged from 10,189,312 to 22,476,423, with costs estimated as $4,763,051,067–16,752,046,500 USD. Estimates concur with similar studies in other countries that indicate the huge burden and cost of foodborne illness worldwide, emphasising the need for appropriate countermeasures to reduce this burden.
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1. Introduction

Foodborne illness (FBI) is widely and rightly recognised as a major burden to public health worldwide (Havelaar et al. 2015; WHO 2015). Estimating the burden of disease caused by foodborne hazards is a complex and difficult task. There is a wide range of microbial, parasitic, and chemical causes of FBI (WHO, 2008a, b, 2015; see later), with exposure pathways to humans that may not be exclusively through contaminated foods: environmental, animal or person-to-person contact, for example. Attribution of the foodborne component of such illnesses is not trivial (Hald et al. 2016). Estimates should also account for the significant level of underreporting of cases to the public health system. In brief, not everyone that acquires infection will progress to a diagnosis and/or record in the public health system. Estimates of the scale of underreporting are seldom trivial, but marked variance between ranges observed in published studies have been observed (eg. Wheeler et al. 1999; Persuad et al. 2013). Since estimating the burden of FBI is difficult, it is a similarly taxing task to evaluate the associated costs of illness (COI) on a national basis. Nonetheless, with the increasing body of evidence demonstrating the significant incidence of FBI worldwide, with commensurate COI associated with this burden, there has been significant interest in establishing more accurate evaluations of both metrics, to help guide measures that are appropriate for improvements to both public health and public finances. Previous undertakings to estimate the cost of FBI in Indonesia involved development and application of a model that was applied to outbreak cases in 2013, in which COI was estimated as $78,001.095
USD (Rahayu et al. 2016). However, since outbreaks of disease only represent a fraction of the whole burden of disease (WHO 2008b), this value is likely to be a significant underestimate of COI for foodborne illness in Indonesia.

In this paper, a number of different data sources and approaches are used to estimate the burden of FBI and corresponding COI, for the whole of Indonesia.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Core data sources. A variety of data sources were utilised in the analyses described here:


   b) Agtini et al. 2005. A two-year study of the burden of diarrhoea, shigellosis, and cholera in North Jakarta, Indonesia: the only such study in the country identified from published academic literature.

   c) Hald et al. (2016). Estimates by the WHO of the relative contributions of food to the burden of disease due to selected foodborne hazards, used for attributing Indonesian diarrhoeal cases (Agtini et al. 2005) to those of foodborne origin.

   d) Centers for Disease Control (CDC) website (per capita income estimates for Indonesia ($10,250) (www.cdc.gov/globalhealth/countries/Indonesia).

   e) Population census data from 2013 publicly available for each of the South-East Asian subgroup B (SEAR-B) countries as defined by the WHO in their global estimates of foodborne illness (Havelaar et al. 2015; WHO 2015). Figures used (millions) were: Indonesia, 249.9; Thailand, 67.01; and Sri Lanka, 20.48. For Jakarta, the population in 2010 was found to be 9.608 million.

2.2. Underreporting estimates. To evaluate the range and degree to which diarrhoeal cases may be underreported for incorporation into the Indonesian models, studies from British Columbia, Grenada, Guyana, Jamaica, New Zealand, Ontario and the United Kingdom were examined (Wheeler et al. 1999; Majowicz et al. 2005; MacDougall et al. 2008; Lake et al. 2009; Tam et al. 2012; Glasgow et al. 2013; Persuad et al. 2013; Fletcher et al. 2013).
2.3. **Estimates for the burden and cost of FBI in Indonesia.** Three distinct approaches were used to calculate five sets of revised estimates of the cost of FBI in Indonesia.

1. **WHO DALY estimates.** The WHO-commissioned global burden of illness study provided detailed estimates of the burden of foodborne disease caused from 31 key microbial, parasitic and chemical hazards in each of 14 regions of the world. These estimates incorporate consideration of underreporting (WHO 2015). Indonesia was included in the South-East Asia Region B (SEAR-B), alongside Thailand and Sri Lanka. The scientific methodologies, including data collection, source attribution and DALY calculation have been robustly developed and published (Devleesschaere et al. 2015; Hald et al. 2016; Havelaar et al. 2015; WHO 2008a 2015). To facilitate comparison with available Indonesian data (Agtini et al. 2005), only results from 26 bacterial, viral and parasitic agents included in the WHO-commissioned study were used. The diarrheogenic nature of the agents included in the present analysis was checked independently before inclusion; microorganisms able to cause both invasive disease and diarrhoea were included in the current analysis, given their potential for the latter. The Indonesian DALY fraction of the SEAR-B analysis (74.06858%) was calculated using 2013 population data for all three countries. Since one DALY equates to one year of productive life lost, the cost of FBI in this model was calculated by multiplying DALY estimates for Indonesia (1.711815 million) with per capita income estimates for Indonesia from the CDC ($10,250; as above).

2. **Replacement of outbreak data with WHO FBI case estimates.** The estimated number of foodborne outbreak cases used in the Indonesian Rahayu et al. (2016) model (169,000) were replaced with those from the WHO estimates of diarrheal FBI in the SEAR-B region adjusted for the Indonesian population only (16,504,559 cases: i.e. 74.06858% of the SEAR-B incidence of 23,094,487).

3. North Jakarta diarrheal data reworking. A two-year study on the incidence of diarrhoea in North Jakarta (Agtini et al. 2005) listed cases of diarrhoea with *Vibrio cholera*, *Shigella* spp., and unspecified causes. These data were re-examined to attribute possible causes of FBI in the unspecified fraction by cross-referencing proportions of cases caused by each of 26 foodborne diarrheogenic hazards (viruses, bacteria, parasites) for the SEAR-B region as estimated by Havelaar et al. (2015); and attributing the fraction that may be due to consumption to contaminated food by cross-referencing estimates of
Hald et al. (2016). Since *V. parahaemolyticus* was not included in the Hald et al. (2016) estimates, the attribution fraction of 99% to food consumption was derived from a risk profile for this organism produced by the Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO 2011). Chemical foodborne hazards included in the WHO study were not considered since typical sequelae do not include diarrhoea (Havelaar et al. 2015). Estimated cases of FBI in North Jakarta were then modelled according to available census figures for Jakarta (9.608 M in 2010) and Indonesia (249.9 M in 2013) to represent the total population of Indonesia. This represented a multiplication factor of 26. An underreporting rate of 136 (Model 3, Table 2) per case reported was used, which was the lowest estimate found in comparable country studies of FBI (Wheeler et al. 1999) (Table 1). Underreporting rates of 200 (Model 4, Table 2) and 300 (Model 5, Table 2) per case were also included for comparison since both values fell within the median range of known underreporting values (Table 1).

3. Results

3.1. Estimates of the underreporting level of diarrhoeal cases in other countries

Results from a number of international studies are given in Table 1 and indicate that underreporting of diarrhoeal cases can vary from 136 (Wheeler et al. 1999) to 2881 (Persuad et al. 2013).

Table 1. Estimates of the underreporting level of diarrhoeal cases and their sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Underreporting factor</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>MacDougall et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>Glasgow et al. 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td>2881</td>
<td>Persuad et al. 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>Fletcher et al. 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>Lake et al. 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>Majowicz et al. 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>Wheeler et al. 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>Tam et al. 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2. *Estimates of annual burden of foodborne diarrhoeal illness in Indonesia*

Table 2 displays our estimates of foodborne illness in Indonesia caused by each of 19 potentially diarrhoeagenic pathogens, as derived from the results of Agtini et al. (2005), calculated as described in section 2.3. Total annual estimates of diarrhoeal FBI cases ranged from 10,189,312 to 22,476,423.

**Table 2.** Estimates of annual burden of foodborne diarrhoeal illness in Indonesia, based on North Jakarta (Agtini et al. 2005) data and WHO- or FAO/WHO derived organismal attribution values (FAO/WHO 2011; Hald et al. 2016). See text for details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>% of diarrhoeal cases (WHO 2011)</th>
<th>Estimated no. of diarrhoeal cases (Indonesia)</th>
<th>% Attribution to FBI (median value, WHO 2011)</th>
<th>Estimated no. of diarrhoeal FBI cases (Indonesia): no underreporting applied</th>
<th>Underreporting factor 136</th>
<th>Underreporting factor 200</th>
<th>Underreporting factor 300</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Campylobacter</td>
<td>0.18635</td>
<td>35318.35645</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>20131.46318</td>
<td>2737878.992</td>
<td>547575798.4</td>
<td>1.64273E+11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-typhoidal <em>Salmonella</em></td>
<td>0.1448</td>
<td>27443.5096</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>15917.23557</td>
<td>2164744.037</td>
<td>432948807.4</td>
<td>1.29885E+11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEC</td>
<td>0.00319</td>
<td>604.59113</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>247.8823633</td>
<td>33712.00141</td>
<td>6742400.282</td>
<td>2022720085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Brucella</em></td>
<td>0.00038</td>
<td>72.02026</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>36.7303326</td>
<td>4995.325234</td>
<td>999065.0467</td>
<td>299719514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enteropathogenic <em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>0.08403</td>
<td>15925.95381</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>4618.526605</td>
<td>628119.6183</td>
<td>125623923.7</td>
<td>37687177096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterotoxigenic <em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>0.15672</td>
<td>29702.67144</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>11287.01515</td>
<td>1535034.06</td>
<td>307006812</td>
<td>92102043601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cryptosporidium</em></td>
<td>0.01008</td>
<td>1910.43216</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>191.043216</td>
<td>25981.87738</td>
<td>5196375.475</td>
<td>1558912643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Giardia</em></td>
<td>0.02016</td>
<td>3820.86432</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>496.7123616</td>
<td>67552.88118</td>
<td>13510576.24</td>
<td>4053172871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmonella Typhi</em></td>
<td>0.0383</td>
<td>7258.8841</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>3121.320163</td>
<td>424499.5422</td>
<td>84899908.43</td>
<td>25469972530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norovirus</td>
<td>0.10077</td>
<td>19098.63579</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>2291.836295</td>
<td>311689.7361</td>
<td>62337947.22</td>
<td>18701384166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis A</td>
<td>0.00748</td>
<td>1417.66196</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>482.0050664</td>
<td>65552.68903</td>
<td>13110537.81</td>
<td>3933161342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Listeria</em></td>
<td>0.00002</td>
<td>3.79054</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.79054</td>
<td>515.51344</td>
<td>103102.688</td>
<td>30930806.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Estimated cases and associated costs of diarrhoeal FBI in Indonesia based on WHO DALY, WHO-Rahayu modelling and Agtini-Rahayu modelling.

Results from each of the models described above are given in Table 3. The estimated costs of diarrhoeal FBI p.a. were estimated to range between $4,763,051,067–16,752,046,500 USD.

**Table 3.** Estimated number of cases of FBI in Indonesia per annum and their associated costs according to each of five different models. The original estimates by Rahayu et al. (2016) are included as a reference for comparison. For details of the approaches used, refer to the numberings in the “Revised cost estimates” section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model name and number</th>
<th>Estimated number of diarrhoeal FBI cases</th>
<th>Estimated cost of illness (USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rahayu <em>et al.</em> 2016 (reference model)</td>
<td>169,000</td>
<td>$78,001,095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO-DALY (1)</td>
<td>16,504,559</td>
<td>$167,520,465,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO- Rahayu (2)</td>
<td>16,504,559</td>
<td>$7,715,148,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agtini- Rahayu (3)</td>
<td>10,189,312</td>
<td>$4,763,051,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agtini- Rahayu (4)</td>
<td>14,984283</td>
<td>$7,004,486,863</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Discussion.

4.1. Estimated costs of foodborne illness in Indonesia.

Estimates of the burden of FBI and the corresponding costs are, as described above, complex undertakings in which many factors must be considered. The accuracy of any analysis is naturally dependant on the quality, quantity and accuracy of the data available. However, the scale of the exercise invariably results in a range of necessary assumptions being made to complete the estimates. In a comprehensive study to estimate the global burden of foodborne illness, the authors stated that “our study is subject to several limitations, notably due to uncertainties in the data limitations on burden estimates and attribution estimates” (Havelaar et al. 2015). The commentary and results contained in this report on the Indonesian situation must be viewed in that light.

The present study is believed to be the first to estimate the burden of FBI and associated COI for the whole of Indonesia. Previous studies have examined FBI in North Jakarta (Agtini et al. 2005), at a South East Asian Regional level (Havelaar et al. 2015; Hald et al. 2016; WHO, 2015), or outbreaks of FBI in Indonesia (Rahayu et al. 2016). The algorithm developed in the latter study was used as the baseline model for nationwide COI estimates presented here, since it incorporated local knowledge. Some caveats to the use of the model should be noted, however, including assumptions for: (a) costings for transportation that may vary from city to city; (b) fatal cases representing a loss of 12 productive years; and (c) mortality cost representing $7 USD. Nonetheless, the use of a very different approach in which the cost burden of FBI for Indonesia represented by Disability Adjusted Life Year (DALY) values calculated in a global WHO-led study (Havelaar et al. 2015; WHO 2015), with per capita income estimates for Indonesia used as a multiplier, reached broadly similar COI estimates (Table 2). Such estimations are generally in line in their magnitude with those from other countries (Table 4, overleaf).

The DALY-based estimate has a higher per capita value, since it accounts for longer-term sequelae, such as polyneuropathic disorders (eg. Guillain-Barré syndrome) or liver damage arising from FBI. It should be noted that the DALY metric was not originally designed as a measure to directly estimate costs of illness, but to quantify and standardise health impacts from diverse diseases “for use
in planning and evaluating the health sector” (Murray 1994). The use of the monetised DALY metric in the current study does not advocate for its use in this regard and was included only as a comparator to the Indonesian model to broadly test the validity of the latter, since it used a different
Table 4. Cost-of-FBI estimates and their sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Source of estimate</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Scharff, 2012</td>
<td>77.7 Billion USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>UK FSA, 2011</td>
<td>1.5 B GBP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Edenstein et al. 2016</td>
<td>1.0 B Euro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Kirk et al. 2008</td>
<td>1.14 B USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>Gadiel and Abelson 2010</td>
<td>162 M NZD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>This report</td>
<td>5.4 – 17 B USD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

methodology. That all of the estimates in this study are of the same level of magnitude suggests that diarrhoeal foodborne disease has major health and cost impacts to the people of Indonesia. Indeed, since the DALY metric largely accounts for indirect health costs, the actual cost impacts of illness are likely to be substantively higher.

4.2. **Exclusions from cost-of-illness estimates.**

The COI estimates do not include the cost of maintaining surveillance or regulatory networks to identify and contain FBI, product recalls or export losses. Such costs are not trivial and add to the overarching financial impact of FBI to Indonesia. Indeed, data from the Indonesian Statistics Agency (cited by the Global Business Guide Indonesia, at http://www.gbgindonesia.com/en/manufacturing/article/2014/thirst_quenching_Indonesia_s_food_andamp_beverage_industry.php) indicate an expanding market in exported foods from Indonesia valued at $4.83 billion USD. Market expansion could readily be curtailed by FBI agents present in foodstuffs that breach regulatory standards or damage trust.

4.3. **Estimates for the burden of foodborne diarrhoeal illness in Indonesia.**

Differences in the numbers of estimated FBI cases are evident among the models. The WHO FBI estimates for Indonesia were derived from regional, not country-specific data that were lacking. The Indonesian study of Agtini et al. (2005) examined for relatively few pathogens, hence WHO-derived evaluations of FBI pathogen prevalence were used in the current study to help attribute and
thus estimate, proportions of illness from food. A range of underreporting multipliers in the Agtini et al. (2005) data reworking (Tables 2 and 3) were selected to represent a spectrum of rates in a range reported in other studies (Table 1): given some of these reported values, higher multipliers would be justified. These factors account for the difference in FBI cases estimated from 10, 189,312 to 22,476,423 p.a. (Table 3). As expected (WHO 2008a), such estimates are greater than cases related to outbreaks, as used in the original Rahayu et al. (2016) modelling. Given the complexities of calculating the cost of foodborne illness, comparisons among different countries is equally challenging (McLinden et al. 2014), hence the WHO decision to base such comparisons on DALYs (Havelaar et al. 2015, WHO 2015). Nonetheless, a tabulation of recent COI estimates is enlightening, providing additional, independent evaluations of the cost impact of FBI (Table 4). However, more accurate estimates of impacts and attribution of FBI agents in Indonesia require further investigations of their prevalence and distribution in patients and foodstuffs.

5. **Concluding remarks**

The causes of FBI are myriad. The WHO (2008) listed over 100 different microbial, parasitic and chemical FBI causes, yet even this was not exhaustive. Microorganisms such as *Arcobacter butzleri*, *A. skirrowii*, *A. cryaerophilus*, *Cronobacter sakazakii*, *Helicobacter pullorum*, *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* and *V. vulnificus* are established or implicated foodborne pathogens, and once foodborne pathogenic species in the genera *Campylobacter*, *Cryptosporidium*, *Salmonella*, and *Yersinia* are individualised, and additional pathogenic types of *Escherichia coli* and Norovirus considered, the list of known individual foodborne hazards approaches 200. Additional, as-yet undetermined causes, cannot be ruled out. No single study is able to account for every known hazard and substantive proportions of diarrhoea go undiagnosed in every country, every year. It is a reasonable presumption that a percentage of these undiagnosed cases are due to FBI agents. Hence, any estimation of FBI and associated COI will most likely be an underestimation. Since the WHO estimate of global FBI due to 31 defined hazards was for 600 million cases per annum (Havelaar et al. 2015; WHO 2015), that is a sobering thought. The magnitude of these estimates warrant further consideration for action. Additional data on the prevalence of FBI agents in the Indonesian population and in their foodstuffs would be invaluable for more accurate information for action.
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