30 November 2016, p.1 / 54

| 1  | A decadal inversion of CO <sub>2</sub> using the Global Eulerian-Lagrangian Coupled Atmospheric  |
|----|--|
| 2  | model (GELCA): sensitivity to the ground-based observation network   |
| 3  |  |
| 4  | T. Shirai <sup>1</sup> , M. Ishizawa <sup>1</sup> , R. Zhuravlev <sup>2</sup> , A. Ganshin <sup>2</sup> , D. Belikov <sup>1,3,4,*</sup> , M. Saito <sup>1</sup> , T. Oda <sup>5</sup> , V. |
| 5  | Valsala <sup>6</sup> , A. J. Gomez-Pelaez <sup>7</sup> , R. Langenfelds <sup>8</sup> and S. Maksyutov <sup>1</sup>   |
| 6  | 1. Center for Global Environmental Research, National Institute for Environmental Studies,   |
| 7  | Tsukuba, Japan   |
| 8  | 2. Central Aerological Observatory, Dolgoprudny, Russia  |
| 9  | 3. Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia   |
| 10 | 4. National Institute of Polar Research, Tachikawa, Japan  |
| 11 | 5. Goddard Earth Sciences Technology and Research, Universities Space Research Association,  |
| 12 | Columbia, MD, USA / Global Modeling and Assimilation Office, NASA Goddard Space  |
| 13 | Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA  |
| 14 | 6. Indian Institute for Tropical Meteorology   |
| 15 | 7. Izaña Atmospheric Research Center, Meteorological State Agency of Spain, Izaña, Spain   |
| 16 | 8. Oceans and Atmosphere Flagship, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research   |
| 17 | Organization, Aspendale, Australia   |
| 18 | *Now at: Faculty of Environmental Earth Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan   |
| 19 |  |
| 20 | Correspondence to: Tomoko Shirai ( <u>tshirai@nies.go.jp</u> )   |
| 21 | Center for Global Environmental Research, National Institute for Environmental Studies, 16-2   |

22 Onogawa, Tsukuba 305-8506, JAPAN

#### 23 Abstract

We present an assimilation system for atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) using a Global 24 Eulerian-Lagrangian Coupled Atmospheric model (GELCA), and demonstrate its capability to 25 capture the observed atmospheric  $CO_2$  mixing ratios and to estimate  $CO_2$  fluxes. With the efficient 26 data handling scheme in GELCA, our system assimilates non-smoothed CO<sub>2</sub> data from 27 observational data products such as the Observation Package (ObsPack) data products as 28 constraints on surface fluxes. 29 We conducted sensitivity tests to examine the impact of the site selections and the prior 30 uncertainty settings of observation on the inversion results. For these sensitivity tests, we made 31 32 five different site/data selections from the ObsPack product. In all cases, the time series of the 33 global net  $CO_2$  flux to the atmosphere stayed close to values calculated from the growth rate of 34 the observed global mean atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio. At regional scales, estimated seasonal CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes were altered, depending on the CO<sub>2</sub> data selected for assimilation. Uncertainty 35 36 reductions (URs) were determined at the regional scale and compared among cases.

As measures of the model-data mismatch, we used the model-data bias, root-mean-square error, and the linear correlation. For most observation sites, the model-data mismatch was reasonably small.

40 Regarding regional flux estimates, tropical Asia was one of the regions that showed a

| 41 | significant impact from the observation network settings. We found that the surface fluxes in       |
|----|---|
| 42 | tropical Asia were the most sensitive to the use of aircraft measurements over the Pacific, and the |
| 43 | seasonal cycle agreed better with the results of bottom-up studies when the aircraft measurements   |
| 44 | were assimilated. These results confirm the importance of these aircraft observations, especially   |
| 45 | for constraining surface fluxes in the tropics.   |
| 46 | Keywords: carbon cycle, top-down approach, flux estimation, data selection, carbon dioxide,         |
| 47 | inversion, coupled model, flux distribution, tropical Asia  |

48

#### 49 **1. Introduction**

50 Carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  is a major greenhouse gas and the most important contributor to anthropogenic climate change. Before the industrial revolution, the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> exchange 51 with natural carbon reservoirs (land and ocean) was largely in balance, in the absence of human 52 53 influences. However, the combustion of fossil fuels (coal, natural gas, and oil), as well as certain 54 industrial processes and land-use changes, has considerably increased since the pre-industrial era. 55 The current level of CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere has increased by nearly 40% compared to the level in 56 the pre-industrial era (Conway and Tans, 2014). Currently, about half of the extra CO<sub>2</sub> that modern 57 human activities have released into the atmosphere has been absorbed by the land biosphere and 58 oceans (Ciais et al., 2010a). Although global land and ocean carbon sinks increase with rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Fifth Assessment Report stated 59 with high confidence that global warming will reduce the sinks and partially counterbalance the 60 equilibrium. It is thus urgent to understand the current status and trends of  $CO_2$  exchange between 61 land, ocean, and atmosphere so that the potential impacts of ongoing global climate change on the 62 63 carbon cycle can be assessed.

Inverse modeling is one approach to quantifying the spatiotemporal distribution of sources and sinks at the Earth's surface; this approach starts from a set of atmospheric mixing ratio observations by using an atmospheric transport model and sophisticated statistical inversion

| 67 | schemes (Ciais et al., 2010b). Global Eulerian models have been used extensively for global CO <sub>2</sub>              |
|----|--|
| 68 | inversion (e.g., Gurney et al. (2004) and references therein). Initially, Eulerian models with low                       |
| 69 | spatial resolution (starting from $10^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$ in the 1980s) were able to reproduce the seasonal cycle |
| 70 | of global atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> mixing ratios reasonably well. At that time, observational network was             |
| 71 | much less abundant and most observations were made at weekly to monthly intervals. In 1996, the                          |
| 72 | GLOBALVIEW-CO <sub>2</sub> data product was provided by the U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric                        |
| 73 | Administration (NOAA) Earth System Research Laboratory (ESRL)  |
| 74 | (http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/globalview/). This data product contains extended records of                          |
| 75 | CO <sub>2</sub> with a regular temporal distribution, derived from high-precision atmospheric measurements               |
| 76 | such as those from the World Data Centre for Greenhouse Gases  |
| 77 | (http://ds.data.jma.go.jp/gmd/wdcgg/introduction.html) of the World Meteorological Organization                          |
| 78 | Global Atmospheric Watch program and the Carbon Dioxide Information and Analysis Center                                  |
| 79 | (CDIAC; http://cdiac.esd.ornl.gov). The observational records in GLOBALVIEW products are                                 |
| 80 | free of temporal gaps and have been extensively used by many carbon cycle models. Recently,                              |
| 81 | spatial observational coverage has been expanding as more vertical profiles and better horizontal                        |
| 82 | coverage become available from aircraft and satellite measurements, and measurement frequency                            |
| 83 | has been getting higher as more continuous measurements are being made at surface stations,                              |
| 84 | including tower sites (Bruhwiler et al., 2011; Saeki et al., 2013; Houweling et al., 2015). Models                       |

have been developed that are able to handle the higher frequency but irregular datasets, and such 85 models have started to use actual data for inversion (e.g. Rodenbeck et al., 2003; Chevallier et al., 86 2010; Chevallier et al., 2011). NOAA ESRL released a new set of observation data products in 87 2012 as a successor to GLOBALVIEW, called Observation Package (ObsPack) data products 88 (Masarie et al., 2014). 89

To derive regional surface flux information, high-frequency observations that represent 90 hourly to synoptic variations are particularly useful. Nevertheless, simulating fine spatial and 91 temporal CO<sub>2</sub> variability in the vicinity of variable sources and sinks is quite challenging. Global 92 Eulerian models with high spatial resolution have a high computational cost. One way of obtaining 93 higher resolution flux estimates within a region of interest is to use a "zoomed" or "nested" 94 atmospheric transport model (Peters et al., 2005; Peylin et al., 2005). The idea of coupling two 95 96 different types of models for global and regional modeling for inversion was introduced by Rodenbeck et al. (2009), and Trusilova et al. (2010) implemented this idea as a coupled system 97 98 consisting of TM3, a global Eulerian atmospheric transport model and the Stochastic Time-99 Inverted Lagrangian Transport (STILT) regional Lagrangian model. Rigby et al. (2011) 100 implemented a global inverse model with zoom over several regions resolved with a regional Lagrangian transport model NAME. Lagrangian particle dispersion models (LPDMs) are an 101 102 effective tool for simulating observations at high spatial and temporal resolutions (Lin, 2012).

| 103 | Lagrangian models have minimal numerical diffusion, which is inherent in Eulerian models.            |
|-----|--|
| 104 | LPDMs have been coupled with numerical weather prediction (NWP) models and used extensively          |
| 105 | in air-pollution dispersion modeling (Uliasz, 1993). Recently, coupled LPDM/NWP models, such         |
| 106 | as the coupled Weather Research and Forecasting-Stochastic Time-Inverted Lagrangian Transport        |
| 107 | (WRF-STILT) model, have been used for a wide range of applications, including surface flux           |
| 108 | estimates by carbon cycle studies (Gerbig et al., 2003; Gourdji et al., 2010; Nehrkorn et al., 2010; |
| 109 | Pillai et al., 2011).  |
| 110 | Ganshin et al. (2012) developed the Global Eulerian-Lagrangian Coupled Atmospheric model             |
| 111 | (GELCA) based on a framework introduced by Koyama et al. (2011). GELCA combines two                  |
| 112 | transport models: The National Institute for Environmental Studies-Transport Model (NIES-TM)         |
| 113 | version 8.1i (Maksyutov et al., 2008; Belikov et al., 2013), a Eulerian global transport model, is   |
| 114 | coupled with FLEXPART version 8.0 (Stohl et al., 2005), a LPDM. The global background mixing         |
| 115 | ratio field generated by NIES-TM is used as time-variant boundary conditions for FLEXPART,           |
| 116 | which performs backward simulations from each receptor point (observation location). GELCA           |
| 117 | has demonstrated better performance in resolving short-timescale variations compared with NIES-      |
| 118 | TM only (Koyama et al., 2011; Ganshin et al., 2012).   |
| 119 | In this paper, we introduce a global CO <sub>2</sub> inverse system using GELCA and we evaluate the  |

120 performance of the GELCA inverse modeling system in estimating decadal global monthly CO<sub>2</sub>

| 121 | flux distributions. As constraining observation data, we used an ObsPack data product, which             |
|-----|--|
| 122 | includes actual data (whereas GLOBALVIEW contains only processed data), to take full                     |
| 123 | advantage of the coupled modeling approach, which can effectively make use of measurements               |
| 124 | reflecting CO <sub>2</sub> exchange along a local path or footprint as well as measurements representing |
| 125 | hemispheric-scale background air. We examine the sensitivity of the inverse system to the data           |
| 126 | selection by comparing inversion results among five different subsets of the ObsPack data product.       |
|     |  |

127

## 128 2 GELCA inverse modeling system

### 129 2.1. GELCA coupled atmospheric model

A schematic diagram of the GELCA inverse modeling framework is shown in Fig. 1. We implemented the coupling at temporal boundaries instead of spatial boundaries. Two-day backward-transported particles modeled by FLEXPART were combined at the end points with the background CO<sub>2</sub> levels 2 days prior to the observations simulated by NIES-TM. The mixing ratio  $C(x_r, t_r)$  at the receptor location  $x_r$  at time  $t_r$  can be expressed as the sum of near-site contributions calculated by FLEXPART and the background contributions calculated by NIES-TM.

137 
$$C(x_r, t_r) = C_{near\_site}(x_r, 0 \le t_r - t \le 2days) + C_{background}(x_r, t_r - 2days).$$
(1)

| 138 | FLEXPART simulates the backward transport of 10,000 particles released from each                                 |
|-----|--|
| 139 | receptor point (observation location). $C_{near\_site}(x_r, 0 \le t_r - t \le 2days)$ is calculated by           |
| 140 | integrating the sensitivity of CO2 mixing ratio to the surface fluxes (footprint) along 2-day                    |
| 141 | trajectory paths of all particles. $C_{background}(x_r, t - 2days)$ is the average of the CO <sub>2</sub> mixing |
| 142 | ratios at the time of coupling simulated by NIES-TM, weighted by the number of the end points                    |
| 143 | of the back-trajectories contained in each model grid cell. Detailed description about the Eulerian-             |
| 144 | Lagrangian coupling is given in (Ganshin et al., 2012).  |
| 145 | The duration of the backward calculations was set to 2 days to be consistent with the                            |
| 146 | timescale of particles leaving the mixed layer (Gloor et al., 2001). Note that coupling with a                   |
| 147 | Lagrangian model might not result in a significant improvement, compared with use of a pure                      |
| 148 | Eulerian model, for remote sites, because numerical diffusion has a significant impact on the                    |
| 149 | simulated mixing ratios at the receptor only if there are inhomogeneous sources or sinks near (less              |
| 150 | than about 2 days upwind of) the receptor. Figure S1 shows the examples of footprints in winter                  |
| 151 | and summer for one of the observational datasets used in this study, from which we point out the                 |
| 152 | following features. Firstly, the distribution of observation sites mostly determines the footprint               |
| 153 | coverage, making North America and Europe fairly well covered compared to other regions.                         |
| 154 | Secondly, the footprint coverage varies significantly with the wind as well. In general, the coverage            |
| 155 | widens in winter compared to summer due to the stronger winds during winter in middle and high                   |

latitudes. The wind direction is important as well. For example, in East Asia, in winter, the wind
blows dominantly from the Siberian High towards the Pacific Ocean, whereas it blows dominantly
from the Pacific High towards the continent in summer. Since most observation sites are located
around the east side of the continent, more surface flux signal can be captured from the continental
East Asia in winter than in summer (Figure S1).

The meteorological fields driving both models were taken from the Japan Meteorological Agency Climate Data Assimilation System (Onogi et al., 2007), which has a regular horizontal resolution of  $1.25^{\circ} \times 1.25^{\circ}$ , 40 hybrid sigma-pressure vertical model levels, and a temporal resolution of 6 hours. Planetary boundary layer height data were obtained from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts Interim Reanalysis dataset (Dee et al., 2011).

167

#### 168 *2.2. Inversion scheme*

For long-lived trace gases such as  $CO_2$ , the assumption that atmospheric mixing ratios respond linearly to changes in emissions holds well. Under the assumption of linearity, the relationship between a vector of observed values (*z*) and that of sources and sinks (*s*) can be expressed in matrix form as

$$173 \quad z = Hs + \nu, \tag{2}$$

where H is a matrix of the sensitivities of observations to changes in emissions or initial conditions and v represents the model-data mismatch error, which includes both observational and model errors. The sensitivity of the observations to emission fields can be decomposed into two parts for the coupled model:

178 
$$H = H_{near\_site} + H_{backgound}.$$
 (3)

The term  $H_{\text{near_site}}$  represents the sensitivity of the observations at a particular site to emissions surrounding the site as calculated by FLEXPART. The term  $H_{\text{background}}$  represents the sensitivities to background emissions (i.e., the impact of emissions beyond the immediate vicinity of the site), which are estimated by NIES-TM.

Using the Bayesian approach, the measure of the fit between modeled source strengths s and observed values z is expressed as a cost function J(s), assuming that s, z, and their uncertainties can be described as Gaussian probability density functions:

186 
$$J(s) = \frac{1}{2} \Big[ (z - Hs)^T R^{-1} (z - Hs) + (s - s_p)^T Q^{-1} (s - s_p) \Big],$$
(3)

187 where  $s_p$  is the vector of the prior source strength, R is the observation error covariance matrix 188 and Q is the prior source strength error covariance matrix. The prior covariance structure describes 189 the uncertainties of each regional flux, and the correlation in space of the regional fluxes. In the 190 current study, we assumed a diagonal prior covariance matrix, which means that estimated fluxes 191 were assumed to show no correlation. At the minimum of J(s), the posterior source strength vector 192 s and the posterior covariance matrix Q' are expressed as

193 
$$s = s_0 + K(z - Hs_0),$$
 (4)

194 
$$Q' = (I - KH)Q.$$
 (5)

195 where the Kalman gain matrix is

196 
$$K = QH^T (R + HQH^T)^{-1}$$
. (6)

197 In a batch mode inversion, all non-observed parameters are estimated using all available observations simultaneously at each solution step. When the number of observations and source 198 regions increases, the matrix of basis functions *H* becomes very large, and the computational cost 199 becomes very large. To avoid this large computational cost, we employed the fixed-lag Kalman 200 Smoother optimization technique (Bruhwiler et al., 2005) to minimize J(s) in Eq. 3 rather than a 201 full-matrix batch mode inversion. In this technique, only a subset of the transport information is 202 kept at each time step, because most of the signal from source regions decays within a few months 203 to half a year. The time window of the transport information kept is called the lag length. We used 204 a lag length of 3 months based on the results of the numerical experiments performed by 205 Maksyutov et al. (2009) on the influence of various time windows. The detailed description about 206 the fixed-lag Kalman smoother applied for atmospheric inversion is given in (Bruhwiler et al., 207

208 2005).

| 209 | The inversion process employed in this study is illustrated in Fig. 2. The modelled CO <sub>2</sub>            |
|-----|--|
| 210 | concentrations $z_{mod}$ are sum of the background concentrations $z_b$ and the presubtracted                  |
| 211 | concentrations $z_p$ calculated by GELCA. The calculation of $z_b$ is started from the initial CO <sub>2</sub> |
| 212 | mixing ratio 3D field based on an ensemble of forward simulation results by six different transport            |
| 213 | models: Gap-filled and Ensemble Climatology Mean (Saito et al., 2011). Details about the prior                 |
| 214 | fluxes used to calculate $z_p$ are given in the next section. In each inversion cycle, the modelled            |
| 215 | concentrations are compared to observations $z_{ob}$ and the state vector s is optimized with a 3-month        |
| 216 | window. With the response functions prepared by GELCA, posterior fluxes from step t are                        |
| 217 | calculated from the optimized state vector, and incorporated into the background concentration for             |
| 218 | step t+1.  |

In the inversion process, we applied our own criteria to filter outliers from datasets. We deselected data points for which the model-data mismatch exceeded three times  $\sigma$  of the annual value of the residual standard deviation (RSD) around the smooth-fit curve of the measurements at each site. These data-filtering criteria worked much more effectively in keeping as many data while filtering obvious outliers than eliminating data points with a larger model-data mismatch than a certain fixed value because the filtering condition is nicely adjusted according to the normal variability of CO<sub>2</sub> records at each site. The calculation period was from January 2001 to December 2011. The first year was considered to be a spin-up period. Fluxes were solved monthly for 64 regions: 42 land regions and 228 22 ocean regions (Fig. 3).

229

- 230 2.3. Prior CO<sub>2</sub> flux estimates and their uncertainties
- As prior CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes, we used daily terrestrial biosphere fluxes, monthly oceanic fluxes, monthly fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, and monthly biomass-burning emissions. The spatial resolution of all prior fluxes used in this study was 1° latitude  $\times$  1° longitude. The fluxes from the biosphere, the oceans, and fossil fuel burning were developed for the NIES Level 4 data product of the Greenhouse gas Observing SATellite (GOSAT) project; detailed descriptions are available in Maksyutov et al. (2013).
- For daily CO<sub>2</sub> exchange between the terrestrial biosphere and the atmosphere, Net Ecosystem Production (NEP) of the VISIT (Vegetation Integrative SImulator for Trace gases) process-based biosphere model was used (Ito, 2010). The physiological parameters of the VISIT model were optimized by the method described by Saito et al. (2014).
- The monthly ocean-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange was calculated by an ocean pCO<sub>2</sub> data assimilation system (Valsala and Maksyutov, 2010) based on an ocean offline tracer transport

| 243 | model (OTTM) (Valsala et al., 2008). The OTTM was coupled to a simple biogeochemical model                                 |
|-----|--|
| 244 | that synthesizes the surface ocean pCO <sub>2</sub> and air-sea CO <sub>2</sub> flux by a variational assimilation method. |
| 245 | Fossil fuel emissions, which were imposed in forward and inverse calculations, were obtained                               |
| 246 | from the Open-source Data Inventory of Anthropogenic CO <sub>2</sub> (ODIAC) emission dataset (Oda and                     |
| 247 | Maksyutov, 2011); emission estimates were based on CDIAC the country-level estimates (~2008)                               |
| 248 | and to the year 2008 emissions were projected up to 2011 by using data from the British Petroleum                          |
| 249 | Statistical Review of World Energy (British Petroleum, 2012). The emissions dataset used in this                           |
| 250 | study are available from the NIES web site ( <u>http://db.cger.nies.go.jp/dataset/ODIAC/</u> ).                            |
| 251 | Prior estimates of CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from biomass burning were taken from the Global Fire                          |
| 252 | Emissions Database version 3.1 (Giglio et al., 2010; van der Werf et al., 2010).   |
| 253 | The prior flux uncertainty for land regions and oceanic regions were prescribed as the mean                                |
| 254 | standard deviation of the monthly NEE calculated by VISIT for the past 30 years (1979-2009) and                            |
| 255 | the mean standard deviation of the oceanic flux assimilated by OTTM for the period 2001-2009.                              |
| 256 |  |
| 257 | 2.4. Atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> observational data  |
|     |  |

obspack\_co2\_1\_PROTOTYPE\_v1.0.3\_2013-01-29, hereafter called the ObsPack product, which

258

In this study, the global atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> data are from the package version

| 260 | includes actual CO <sub>2</sub> measurement data from multiple observation platforms, including towers, |
|-----|---|
| 261 | aircraft, and ships, contributed by 22 laboratories from around the world. Quality control of data      |
| 262 | in the ObsPack products is left to the data providers, which means that the criteria for data           |
| 263 | selection are not uniform across each product. Most of the data are provided by the data                |
| 264 | providers as 'representative of site,' indicating that the data have been selected to represent large,  |
| 265 | well-mixed air masses. When there was more than one laboratory conducting the same type of              |
| 266 | measurements during the same time period at a given site, we chose only one (priority was given         |
| 267 | to NOAA). For tower sites, which provide data from multiple sampling altitudes, we used only            |
| 268 | data from the highest level as representative of the boundary layer mixing ratio. The                   |
| 269 | programmable flask package, an automated grab sampler (Turnbull et al., 2012), was categorized          |
| 270 | as a flask sampler in this study. The details of each measurement technique are available               |
| 271 | elsewhere (e.g. Gomez-Pelaez and Ramos, 2011; Stephens et al., 2011). All sites used in this            |
| 272 | study are listed in Table 1.  |
| 273 | The mean annual values of RSD were used as elements of the data mismatch error                          |
| 274 | covariance matrix. The RSD for corresponding sites are provided in the                                  |
| 275 | obspack_co2_1_GLOBALVIEW-CO2_2013_v1.0.3_2013-05-24 product (GLOBALVIEW-                                |
| 276 | CO2, 2013). For the sites that are not included in the GLOBALVIEW product, we used the                  |
| 277 | average RSD values of all other sites over a latitudinal zone of 20° and an altitudinal level of 1      |

279 minimum uncertainty value was set to 0.25 ppm.

| 280 | In this study, we conducted sensitivity tests for different cases, each consisting of                 |
|-----|---|
| 281 | different site/data selections and observation uncertainties, to determine the impact of the          |
| 282 | observation settings on the inversion results. We prepared five cases, a control case dataset and     |
| 283 | four different subsets of the control case. The control case used all of the sites listed in Table 1, |
| 284 | whereas the other four cases included only selected sites (indicated by checkmarks in the four        |
| 285 | right-hand columns of the table). The number of sites and types of data used in each case are         |
| 286 | shown in Table 2. A total of 154 sites were used in the control case, including 35 continuous         |
| 287 | measurement sites and 27 aircraft sites. Among 35 continuous sites, data from 29 sites were           |
| 288 | pretreated to give the "afternoon mean" and "nighttime mean" that is the average value of 12-         |
| 289 | 16LT and 2-5LT, respectively. We used both afternoon and nighttime means in the control case.         |
| 290 | We used only data collected at 00:00 UTC and 12:00 UTC values when continuous data were               |
| 291 | provided at an hourly time step, which was the case for 3 JMA sites (MNM, RYO, and YON in             |
| 292 | Table 1.) Since these sites are "marine boundary" sites, we considered diurnal cycles were not        |
| 293 | significant. Among 27 aircraft sites, 26 are vertical profiles at certain locations except            |
| 294 | CONTRAIL (CON; Comprehensive Observation Network for Trace gases by Airliner) (Machida                |
| 295 | et al., 2008; Matsueda et al., 2015) of which we used data from a specific sampling mode ASE          |

| 296 | (Automatic Air Sampling Equipment) that sampled at certain latitudes during the level flight          |
|-----|---|
| 297 | along nearly fixed route between Narita and Sydney/Brisbane. For CON, we aggregated the data          |
| 298 | by 5 latitude bin between $30N - 25S$ , whereas for other aircraft sites, we aggregated the data by   |
| 299 | vertical bins. The interval of the vertical bins varied from $0.5 - 2$ km, mostly following the       |
| 300 | interval used for the corresponding site in the GLOBALVIEW product, 2013.                             |
| 301 | Case CT used 90 surface sites, including 22 continuous measurement sites and a                        |
| 302 | shipboard site but no aircraft sites. This case is named Case CT because the selected sites are       |
| 303 | those used by CarbonTracker North America (CT2011_oi), a CO <sub>2</sub> measurement and modeling     |
| 304 | system developed by NOAA (Peters et al., 2007). For Case CT, a prior observation uncertainty          |
| 305 | was assigned to each observation site according to the categories defined by Peters et al. (2005);    |
| 306 | these uncertainties ranged between 0.75 ppm (marine boundary layer) and 7.5 ppm (difficult            |
| 307 | sites). Case NF used 61 surface flask sites in the NOAA ESRL Cooperative Global Air Sampling          |
| 308 | Network (Dlugokencky et al., 2013); it included no continuous-measurement or aircraft sites.          |
| 309 | The case NF was named meaning "case NOAA Flasks". In this study, a sensitivity test was first         |
| 310 | conducted using the control case, Case CT, and Case NF. The observation locations of these            |
| 311 | three cases are shown in Fig. 4. Case SEL and Case NA were then defined on the basis of the           |
| 312 | inversion results obtained in the first sensitivity test. For Case SEL, three sites that showed large |
| 313 | model-data mismatch values were removed from the control case. The name SEL means that the            |

| 314 | "data selection" is applied. For Case NA, all aircraft data were removed from Case SEL. NA                     |
|-----|--|
| 315 | stands for "no aircraft data". Details of these two cases are explained in sections 3.5 and 3.6.               |
| 316 |  |
| 317 |  |
| 318 | 3. Results and discussion.   |
| 319 | 3.1. Global budget/trend   |
| 320 | Decadal time series of the annual CO <sub>2</sub> fluxes estimated by inversion using the five different       |
| 321 | observation datasets (five cases) described in section 2.4 are shown in Fig. 5. The global net fluxes          |
| 322 | into the atmosphere are also plotted against the global atmospheric CO2 growth rate derived                    |
| 323 | directly from the observed CO <sub>2</sub> (Dlugokencky and Tans, 2014) for comparison. The time series of     |
| 324 | the global net fluxes agreed well among the five cases and were generally consistent with the time             |
| 325 | series of the observed growth rate with respect to both year-to-year variations and annual mean                |
| 326 | values (Fig. 5a). The interannual variability of the net fluxes appeared to be strongly correlated             |
| 327 | with the variability in the land CO <sub>2</sub> flux, shown in Fig. 5b. The large interannual fluctuations of |
| 328 | the land flux correspond to El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) phases (Fig. 5d). High growth                  |
| 329 | rates of the $CO_2$ mixing ratio in 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2010 were likely due to reduced $CO_2$               |
| 330 | uptake by land during El Niño phases (Jones et al., 2001; Knorr et al., 2007; Mabuchi, 2013). The              |
| 331 | low land CO <sub>2</sub> uptake in 2002 is considered to be due to global dry condition during the period      |

| 332 | (Knorr et al., 2007). The interannual variations of the estimated land flux is in phase with the                   |
|-----|--|
| 333 | ensemble results of nine dynamic global vegetation models (Le Quéré et al., 2013) and with the                     |
| 334 | atmospheric inversion results of an ensemble of 11 transport models (Peylin et al., 2013) and 7                    |
| 335 | transport models in which GELCA is included as well (Thompson et al., 2016). The increasing                        |
| 336 | tendency of the land CO <sub>2</sub> sink in the early 2000s (Fig. 5b) was also reported by Peylin et al. (2013).  |
| 337 | The effect of ENSO events on the ocean CO <sub>2</sub> flux (Fig. 5c) is not clear. In an intercomparison          |
| 338 | study of the air-sea CO <sub>2</sub> flux in the Pacific Ocean (Ishii et al., 2014), an association of interannual |
| 339 | variation in the tropics with ENSO events was suggested by diagnostic models and ocean general                     |
| 340 | circulation models, but it was not clear in the results of atmospheric inversions. Since global                    |
| 341 | interannual variability of land fluxes is generally larger than that of oceanic fluxes, it is more                 |
| 342 | challenging for atmospheric inversions to resolve the global interannual variations of oceanic                     |
| 343 | fluxes without interference from larger atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> fluctuations mainly caused by land fluxes      |
| 344 |  |

# 345 *3.2. Regional flux distributions*

The spatial distributions of the decadal mean  $CO_2$  fluxes during 2002–2011 of the control case, Case CT, and Case NF are shown in Fig. 6. Although the global net fluxes agreed well among these three cases, at regional scales, we can see differences in the estimated  $CO_2$  fluxes among them due to the different observational data used in each case. The three inversion results share

| 350 | some features in common, such as increased uptake in temperate South America and boreal Eurasia                |
|-----|--|
| 351 | and increased emissions in tropical South America and southwestern Europe, compared to the prior               |
| 352 | fluxes. These regions, except for southwestern Europe, are poorly constrained. The results for                 |
| 353 | tropical Asia (Region 33) are interesting. The decadal mean CO <sub>2</sub> flux from this region was positive |
| 354 | (emission) in the control case, but negative (uptake) in both Case CT and Case NF. Considering                 |
| 355 | that the prior flux in this region is positive, the observational constraints of Case CT and Case NF           |
| 356 | changed the flux to negative. In the control case, which had more observational constraints than               |
| 357 | the other two cases, the flux was estimated to be positive. The reason for this difference is                  |
| 358 | discussed in section 3.6.  |
| 359 | Table 3 shows the number of data used in the inversion in these three cases. The control case                  |
| 360 | used twice as many observational data as Case CT and six times as many as Case NF. Just on the                 |
| 361 | basis of the number of observations, the control case would be expected to constrain the regional              |
| 362 | flux estimation much better. However, not only does the effectiveness of the inversion depend on               |
| 363 | the amount of observational data, but it also depends strongly on the spatial (and temporal)                   |
| 364 | coverage of the observation sites. We evaluate the effectiveness of the inversion using two                    |
| 365 | indicators, the uncertainty reduction (UR) and the model-data mismatch, in the following sections.             |
| 366 |  |

367 *3.3.* Uncertainty reduction

368 UR is a measure commonly used to evaluate the effectiveness of observational constraints in
 369 different regions. UR is defined as the relative difference between the prior and posterior flux
 370 uncertainty:

371 
$$UR = 1 - \frac{\sigma_{post}}{\sigma_{pri}} ,$$

where  $\sigma_{post}$  and  $\sigma_{pri}$  are the quadratic means of the posterior standard deviation and the prior 372 standard deviation, respectively. By definition, the more the posterior error is reduced relative to 373 the prior error, the closer to 1 UR becomes, which means that more information from observations 374 is provided to the inversion. Figure 7 shows the UR calculated for each region in each of the three 375 cases. UR is higher in land regions in the northern mid-high latitudes, where observations are the 376 377 most abundant in the framework of the current surface observation network, whereas UR is lower 378 in the poorly covered tropical Northern Hemisphere and the whole Southern Hemisphere. The 379 global pattern of the UR distribution is consistent with the UR distributions reported by Chevallier 380 et al. (2010), who conducted an inversion at both grid scale  $(3.75^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ})$  longitude × latitude) and 381 regional scale (22 Transcom3 regions distributed worldwide).

The control case showed higher UR than Case NF and Case CT in all regions, and the difference was significant in East Asia and southern Europe, where the control case had better data coverage. Case CT had strong constraints in North America, which is the target of the CarbonTracker North America project (Fig. 7b). Outside of North America, however, Case CT had

| 386 | slightly lower URs than Case NF. Considering that most of the stations included in Case NF were       |
|-----|---|
| 387 | also in Case CT, this UR difference may be due to the relatively larger prior observation uncertainty |
| 388 | values assigned to sites outside North America, which resulted in the constraints in Case CT being    |
| 389 | weaker than those in Case NF.   |
| 390 | The UR became more sensitive to the exact location of each observational station as the spatial       |
| 391 | scale became finer. For example, the Transcom3 "Europe" region is, as a whole, relatively well        |
| 392 | covered by observations, but in our land mask in which the Transcom3 "Europe" is divided into         |
| 393 | four sub-regions, Western Europe (regions 39 and 41) is well constrained with denser observation      |
| 394 | coverage, whereas Eastern Europe (regions 40 and 42) is barely constrained owing to fewer             |
| 395 | observation sites. Therefore, the high UR in Transcom3 "Europe" is due mainly to the denser           |
| 396 | observation network in Western Europe.  |
| 397 |   |

398 3.4. Model-data mismatch

The model-data mismatch is another measure used to evaluate the effectiveness of inversion results. We compared the forward simulation results using the optimized fluxes with observed CO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios at the observation sites and calculated three measures of the model-data mismatch: the model-data bias, the RMSE, and the linear correlation. The model-data bias is a systematic mismatch between observations and model (model minus observations) throughout the

| 404 | observation period. The RMSE is an aggregated form of the residuals (the difference between               |
|-----|---|
| 405 | simulated values and observed values). The correlation indicates the strength and direction of the        |
| 406 | linear relationship between model output and observed values. These three measures of the model-          |
| 407 | data mismatch calculated for each observation site in the control case are shown in Table 1, and          |
| 408 | the averaged values for all sites used in each case are shown in Table 3.                                 |
| 409 | Table 3a compares these measures among the control case, Case CT, and Case NF. In the                     |
| 410 | control case, the global mean bias of 0.21 ppm was the smallest of the three cases. The mean              |
| 411 | RMSE was $\pm 1.34$ ppm for the control case, $\pm 1.66$ ppm for Case CT, and $\pm 1.07$ ppm for Case NF. |
| 412 | The differences in RMSE may reflect the fraction of continuous data in each dataset, because the          |
| 413 | RMSE is affected by the higher variability of continuous data compared with flask data. The mean          |
| 414 | correlation coefficient <i>R</i> was 0.962, 0.958, and 0.974 for the control case, Case CT, and Case NF,  |
| 415 | respectively. The model-data correlations were high for all cases, indicating overall good                |
| 416 | performance of the GELCA inversion system.  |
| 417 | The bias and RMSE for each site in the three cases are shown in Fig. 8. The observations were             |
| 418 | not well reproduced by the model at sites that showed high values of both bias and RMSE. Nine             |
| 419 | sites in the control case showed a bias larger than ±1 ppm: Heidelberg (HEI), Toronto (TOT), Bukit        |
| 420 | Kototabang (BKT), Black Sea (BSC), Lutjewad (LUT), Sutro Tower (STR), Hohenpeissenberg                    |
| 421 | (HPB), Baltic Sea (BAL), and Point Arena (PTA). We investigated the reasons for the                       |

| 422 | discrepancies between observations and models at these sites. Among these nine sites, three were             |
|-----|--|
| 423 | probably strongly influenced by a local CO <sub>2</sub> flux such as urban emissions (HEI and TOT) or forest |
| 424 | uptake (BKT). For sites located in cities or downwind of urban areas, the model often failed to              |
| 425 | reproduce sporadic sharp peaks in the observations. Continuous measurements inside urban areas               |
| 426 | (HEI and TOT) resulted in a significantly negative bias compared to background sites. LUT and                |
| 427 | STR often captured a high CO <sub>2</sub> plume transported from urban areas of The Netherlands and San      |
| 428 | Francisco, respectively. In the case of BSC, the observational behavior has apparently been                  |
| 429 | changing. The prominent seasonal cycle seen in the early 2000s gradually disappeared, and the                |
| 430 | frequency of significantly high mixing ratios increased in the late 2000s. These changes might               |
| 431 | reflect a change of either the surrounding environment (possibly increasing CO <sub>2</sub> sources) or the  |
| 432 | measurement system. When both the topography near a site and nearby source or sink distributions             |
| 433 | are complicated, the model tends to express a higher mismatch, as in the cases of HPB, BAL, and              |
| 434 | PTA.   |
| 435 | GELCA showed significantly better performance compared to NIES-TM at locations that                          |
| 436 | require finer resolution than 2.5° grid of NIES-TM. For example, two European tower sites                    |
| 437 | Ochsenkopf (OXK; 50.0°N, 11.8°E) and Pic du Midi (PDM; 42.9°N, 0.1°E) are located close to                   |

the border of the model grids in which the topography is rather complicated (on the top of 438 mountain). Since NIES-TM cannot resolve the topographical change within each grid, the forward 439

441 vicinity of the observation sites with FLEXPART, resulting in much better fit at these difficult sites.

- 442 The observed and simulated CO<sub>2</sub> time series for OXK and PDM are shown in Figure S2. The
- 443 performance of GELCA depends on site-specific conditions (e.g., source and sink distributions in
- the vicinity and topographic features), and should be further investigated in future studies.
- 445

440

446 *3.5. Data selection to reduce observational noise* 

Based on the results reported in section 3.4, we designed a new subset called Case SEL to 447 minimize noise from observations. To avoid strong local influences, data from BSC, HEI, and TOT 448 449 were excluded from Case SEL. We also applied temporal data selection to seven continuous sites 450 located near source or sink areas. Only afternoon averages were used from the tower sites Boulder 451 Atmospheric Observatory (BAO), Moody (WKT), Beech Island (SCT), Park Falls (LEF), West Branch (WBI), and Walnut Grove (WGC), and the Pallas-Sammaltunturi (PAL) surface site (PAL), 452 453 to exclude local extreme values in the stable boundary layer at night. In contrast, only nighttime 454 averages were used from a mountain site, Shenandoah National Park (SNP; 1008 m above sea level) to minimize the bias from local sources or sinks. Temporal data selection has been used in 455 previous studies carried out since the TransCom Continuous experiment (Peters et al., 2007; Law 456 et al., 2008; Patra et al., 2008; Chevallier et al., 2010). 457

| 458 | Figure 9b shows the inversion results for Case SEL. The decrease in biospheric emissions from     |
|-----|---|
| 459 | southwestern Europe (region 39) compared to the control case is the most prominent feature,       |
| 460 | whereas the impact of Case SEL was not significant in southeastern Europe (region 40). The        |
| 461 | decadal mean decrease of biospheric emissions was $0.076 \pm 0.024$ PgC/region/year in            |
| 462 | northwestern Europe, and $0.040 \pm 0.026$ PgC/region/year in southwestern Europe; both values    |
| 463 | correspond to a 41% change from the estimated regional fluxes in the control case. This result    |
| 464 | indicates that HEI, BSC, and PAL significantly affected the inversion results for Western Europe. |
| 465 | Estimation of finely distributed anthropogenic and natural sources and sinks in Western Europe    |
| 466 | may need higher spatial and temporal resolution of both prior fluxes and transport simulation. In |
| 467 | contrast, in North America, there was no significant difference between the control case and Case |
| 468 | SEL. This result shows that the temporal data selection of continuous tower observations and the  |
| 469 | removal of TOT did not significantly affect the flux estimation in North America.                 |
| 470 |   |

471 *3.6. Effect of aircraft observations on flux estimates in tropical Asia* 

Here we discuss the large difference in terrestrial biosphere fluxes from tropical Asia (region 33)
among the prior and three posterior fluxes described in section 3.2. The decadal mean flux and UR
for this region in the control case, Case CT, and Case NF are shown in Fig. 10. In tropical Asia,
only one observation site, BKT in Indonesia, was used in this study (Fig. 4). We set the observation

| 476 | uncertainty for BKT to 2.8 ppm for the control case and Case NF; this value is derived from the               |
|-----|---|
| 477 | RSD values of the data record at site BKT in the ObsPack GLOBALVIEW product. For Case CT,                     |
| 478 | the observation uncertainty for BKT was set to 7.5 ppm, which is the maximum uncertainty in the               |
| 479 | CarbonTracker model, because of its relatively large model-data mismatch (Peters et al., 2005).               |
| 480 | The higher UR for Case NF than Case CT (Fig. 10) can be explained by the smaller prior                        |
| 481 | uncertainty assigned to BKT as well as by additional constraints from the Western Pacific Cruise              |
| 482 | (WPC; shipboard observations in the western Pacific Ocean; Fig. 4c) during 2004, which may                    |
| 483 | have detected flux signals from tropical Asia.  |
| 484 | As shown in Fig. 8, BKT showed the largest positive bias among all sites used in this study. A                |
| 485 | similar large positive bias for BKT has been found by many other atmospheric inversion studies                |
| 486 | as well (e.g. CarbonTracker Team, 2014). The flask sampling at BKT is conducted on a weekly                   |
| 487 | basis, usually around 14:00 LT, when the CO <sub>2</sub> hourly average mixing ratio reaches its minimum      |
| 488 | value (Nahas, 2012). Because the observation site is surrounded by a tropical rainforest, the                 |
| 489 | samples may be more representative of the daily minimum mixing ratio, which reflects uptake by                |
| 490 | local vegetation, than of the daytime large-scale boundary condition. Thus, the net CO <sub>2</sub> uptake in |
| 491 | tropical Asia in Case CT and Case NF may be largely due to the BKT observations.                              |
| 492 | In contrast to Case CT and Case NF, the control case yielded net CO <sub>2</sub> emissions in tropical        |

493 Asia even though it used BKT data. The UR of the control case was higher not only in tropical

| 494 | Asia but also in the overall tropical southern Pacific Ocean, compared to Case NF and Case CT.                 |
|-----|--|
| 495 | This spatial distribution difference of UR suggests that net CO <sub>2</sub> emissions in tropical Asia in the |
| 496 | control case might result from the observational constraints in the tropical southern Pacific Ocean,           |
| 497 | which were used only in the control case. These observational constraints are aircraft data such as            |
| 498 | Rarotonga (RTA; 21.25°S, 159.83°W) and CON, which were included only in the control case.                      |
| 499 | Therefore, we hypothesize that the aircraft data affected the inverted flux for the tropical Asia              |
| 500 | region in the control case. The measurement periods of RTA and CON are 2001-2011 and 2001-                     |
| 501 | 2009, respectively. The frequencies of both observations are by-weekly on average.                             |
| 502 | To test this hypothesis, we conducted another sensitivity test by removing all aircraft observations           |
| 503 | from the control case. Without aircraft data, the decadal mean regional flux in tropical Asia became           |
| 504 | negative (Fig. 9c). This result supports our hypothesis that the aircraft data strongly constrained            |
| 505 | the CO <sub>2</sub> flux estimate in this region. However, the differences did not appear to be significant in |
| 506 | the oceans and other land regions. To check the sensitivity to aircraft data in detail, differences            |
| 507 | between decadal mean regional fluxes estimated with (Fig. 9a) and without (Fig. 9c) aircraft data              |
| 508 | are shown in Fig. 11. The flux difference in tropical Asia (region 33 in Fig. 11a) stands out among            |
| 509 | the regions. Among oceanic regions, the largest flux difference was found in South Pacific north               |
| 510 | (region 50 in Fig. 11b). This sensitivity analysis indicates that tropical Asia and its neighboring            |
| 511 | ocean regions are the areas most sensitive to the aircraft data used in the inversion.                         |

| 512 | To investigate how surface fluxes from tropical Asia are transported, we calculated the distribution        |
|-----|---|
| 513 | of atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> at three vertical levels, approximately 990, 500, and 250 hPa, from monthly, |
| 514 | pulse emission from the region (annual mean is shown in Fig. 12). We kept a constant CO <sub>2</sub> source |
| 515 | (spatially distributed according to the multiple year mean of NEP from VISIT) in tropical Asia,             |
| 516 | and the transport model tracked its mixing ratios over the course of a month. The results shown in          |
| 517 | Fig. 12 indicates that the signal from surface fluxes in tropical Asia could be detected by aircraft        |
| 518 | observations in the mid/upper troposphere through vertical convection and the consequent rapid              |
| 519 | horizontal transport in the free troposphere. This active convection in tropical Asia as part of the        |
| 520 | Walker circulation must be a key process connecting surface fluxes and aircraft observations.               |
| 521 | We next examined the impact of the aircraft data on the seasonality of terrestrial biospheric fluxes        |
| 522 | from tropical Asia. The decadal mean seasonal cycle derived from the inversion using the aircraft           |
| 523 | data (control case) and the inversion without using the aircraft data (Case NA) are plotted with the        |
| 524 | prior flux in Fig. 13. The flux estimates in Case NA became significantly negative (sink) compared          |
| 525 | with the prior fluxes, whereas the seasonal estimates were mostly positive (source) in the control          |
| 526 | case. This might be due to the increased effect of the negative bias from BKT observation when              |
| 527 | we don't us aircraft measurements. A major difference in the estimated fluxes between the control           |
| 528 | case and Case NA was found during two periods: May–June and November–January. During May–                   |
| 529 | June, the estimated flux was almost zero in the control case, whereas Case NA estimated a sink.             |

| 530 | During November–January, the control case estimated large emissions, but Case NA estimated                      |
|-----|---|
| 531 | much lower emissions in November-December and even uptake in January. Niwa et al. (2012)                        |
| 532 | have also pointed out CO <sub>2</sub> emissions in tropical Asia during October–January in their atmospheric    |
| 533 | inversion for the period 2006–2008 using CONTRAIL data that were enhanced compared to an                        |
| 534 | estimate made by using only ground-based data (GLOBALVIEW-CO <sub>2</sub> ). Niwa et al. (2012) used            |
| 535 | CONTRAIL CME (Continuous CO2 Measuring Equipment) data, which were binned and monthly                           |
| 536 | averaged after smoothing and gap-filling, and the inversion was conducted with the NICAM-TM                     |
| 537 | (Nonhydrostatic Icosahedral Atmospheric Model-based Transport Model). We used only                              |
| 538 | CONTRAIL ASE data without preprocessing, and we conducted the decadal inversion by GELCA.                       |
| 539 | The decadal inversion results in this study confirmed the strong impact of aircraft data on surface             |
| 540 | flux estimates in tropical Asia.  |
| 541 | To further evaluate the seasonality of the estimated fluxes for tropical Asia, we compared our                  |
| 542 | results with bottom-up studies. Among the limited number of bottom-up studies in this region, the               |
| 543 | seasonal cycle of NEP was estimated by continuous CO2 flux measurements using the eddy                          |
| 544 | covariance technique in tropical peat swamp forests in Central Kalimantan (Hirano et al., 2007;                 |
| 545 | Hirano et al., 2012). These estimates suggest that the CO <sub>2</sub> flux is positive during the rainy season |
| 546 | (November-April) and the late dry season (August-October), whereas it is nearly neutral or                      |
| 547 | slightly negative during the early dry season (May-July). The neutral flux in the early dry season              |

| 548  | and higher emissions during the early rainy season were also seen in the seasonal cycle of the   |
|--|--|
| 549  | control case (Fig. 13). The seasonal cycle of the control case agrees better with the results from   |
| 550  | the bottom-up study than Case NA. This result indicates that the inversion with aircraft data  |
| 551  | captures well the seasonal signals of the regional land biosphere. Both our inversion results and  |
| 552  | those of the top-down study by Niwa et al. (2012) agree better with independent bottom-up studies  |
| 553  | when aircraft data are included; thus, aircraft observations play a key role in constraining CO <sub>2</sub> flux  |
| 554  | estimates in tropical Asia.  |
| 555  |  |
|  |  |
| 556  | 4. Summary and conclusions   |
| 556<br>557   | 4. Summary and conclusions<br>We presented an assimilation system for atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> using GELCA, and we demonstrated   |
| 556<br>557<br>558  | 4. Summary and conclusions<br>We presented an assimilation system for atmospheric $CO_2$ using GELCA, and we demonstrated<br>its ability to capture observed atmospheric $CO_2$ mixing ratios and to estimate $CO_2$ fluxes. In this   |
| 556<br>557<br>558<br>559   | 4. Summary and conclusions<br>We presented an assimilation system for atmospheric $CO_2$ using GELCA, and we demonstrated<br>its ability to capture observed atmospheric $CO_2$ mixing ratios and to estimate $CO_2$ fluxes. In this<br>study, to take full advantage of the data handling efficiency of GELCA, we used non-smoothed   |
| <ul><li>556</li><li>557</li><li>558</li><li>559</li><li>560</li></ul>  | 4. Summary and conclusions<br>We presented an assimilation system for atmospheric $CO_2$ using GELCA, and we demonstrated<br>its ability to capture observed atmospheric $CO_2$ mixing ratios and to estimate $CO_2$ fluxes. In this<br>study, to take full advantage of the data handling efficiency of GELCA, we used non-smoothed<br>observational data from ObsPack as constraints. ObsPack includes various types of direct   |
| <ul> <li>556</li> <li>557</li> <li>558</li> <li>559</li> <li>560</li> <li>561</li> </ul>                           | 4. Summary and conclusions<br>We presented an assimilation system for atmospheric $CO_2$ using GELCA, and we demonstrated<br>its ability to capture observed atmospheric $CO_2$ mixing ratios and to estimate $CO_2$ fluxes. In this<br>study, to take full advantage of the data handling efficiency of GELCA, we used non-smoothed<br>observational data from ObsPack as constraints. ObsPack includes various types of direct<br>atmospheric $CO_2$ measurements, continuous tower measurements, and aircraft measurements,   |
| <ul> <li>556</li> <li>557</li> <li>558</li> <li>559</li> <li>560</li> <li>561</li> <li>562</li> </ul>              | 4. Summary and conclusions<br>We presented an assimilation system for atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> using GELCA, and we demonstrated<br>its ability to capture observed atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> mixing ratios and to estimate CO <sub>2</sub> fluxes. In this<br>study, to take full advantage of the data handling efficiency of GELCA, we used non-smoothed<br>observational data from ObsPack as constraints. ObsPack includes various types of direct<br>atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> measurements, continuous tower measurements, and aircraft measurements,<br>provided by a large number of laboratories around the world.   |
| <ul> <li>556</li> <li>557</li> <li>558</li> <li>559</li> <li>560</li> <li>561</li> <li>562</li> <li>563</li> </ul> | 4. Summary and conclusions<br>We presented an assimilation system for atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> using GELCA, and we demonstrated<br>its ability to capture observed atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> mixing ratios and to estimate CO <sub>2</sub> fluxes. In this<br>study, to take full advantage of the data handling efficiency of GELCA, we used non-smoothed<br>observational data from ObsPack as constraints. ObsPack includes various types of direct<br>atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> measurements, continuous tower measurements, and aircraft measurements,<br>provided by a large number of laboratories around the world.<br>We conducted sensitivity studies to examine the impact of the observation network setting on the |

565 signal from the extensive observation dataset. We selected five different sets of sites/data from

| 566 | ObsPack: 1) comprehensive dataset (control case); 2) data selection conformed to the                             |
|-----|--|
| 567 | CarbonTracker North America project (Case CT); 3) data selection conformed to the NOAA ESRL                      |
| 568 | Cooperative Global Air Sampling Network (Case NF); 4) data selection according to the model-                     |
| 569 | data mismatch of the inversion results of the control case (Case SEL); and 5) Case SEL without                   |
| 570 | aircraft sites (Case NA).  |
| 571 | For all cases, the time series of the global net flux to the atmosphere were similar to that of the              |
| 572 | fluxes calculated from the growth rate of the observed global mean atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> mixing ratio.     |
| 573 | At regional scales, estimated seasonal $\rm CO_2$ fluxes were altered by the selection of assimilated $\rm CO_2$ |
| 574 | data. UR was derived at regional scale and compared among cases. In all regions, UR was higher                   |
| 575 | in the control case than it was in Case CT and Case NF. Case CT showed considerably higher UR                    |
| 576 | in North America, whereas outside of North America, Case NF showed slightly higher UR than                       |
| 577 | Case CT. We employed three measures of model-data mismatch between the forward simulation                        |
| 578 | results using the posterior fluxes and the observed CO <sub>2</sub> mixing ratios: the model-data bias, RMSE,    |
| 579 | and the linear correlation. For most observation sites, the model-data mismatch was reasonably                   |
| 580 | small (global mean bias, $0.21 \pm 0.03$ ppm; mean RMSE, $1.38 \pm 0.23$ ppm; correlation coefficient            |
| 581 | R > 0.9 for 91% of all used sites). There were some sites with a larger model-data mismatch, caused              |
| 582 | mostly by local conditions.  |
| 583 | Surface fluxes in tropical Asia were found to be the most sensitive to the use of aircraft                       |

| 584 | measurements in the inversion. The seasonal cycle agreed better with the results from bottom-up            |
|-----|--|
| 585 | studies when aircraft measurements were used. These results confirm the importance of aircraft             |
| 586 | observations, especially in constraining surface fluxes in the tropics.                                    |
| 587 | Overall, we found GELCA to be capable of handling various types of observations provided in                |
| 588 | ObsPack, and its performance in reproducing observed concentrations was good, with reasonably              |
| 589 | small model-data mismatches. The sensitivity studies indicated that the reduction of uncertainty in        |
| 590 | CO <sub>2</sub> flux estimation could be improved by expanding the observation network. In particular, the |
| 591 | study results highlighted the impact of aircraft measurements over the Pacific on surface flux             |
| 592 | estimation in tropical Asia. This study evaluated the basic performance of GELCA as an                     |
| 593 | assimilation tool for top-down CO2 flux estimation. Studies are now underway, for example, to              |
| 594 | integrate more observations (e.g., satellite data) into GELCA and to analyze certain regional              |
| 595 | carbon flux estimations. Our future plans include optimization of GELCA's settings (e.g., the              |
| 596 | duration of backward simulation by FLEXPART, temporal/spatial resolutions, and preprocessing               |
| 597 | of certain types of data) according to the specific aims of an investigation.                              |

598

599 Acknowledgements

600 The authors are indebted to the data providers of the ObsPack product 601 (obspack\_co2\_PROTOTYPE\_v1.0.3\_2013\_01-29). The providers are listed below with their

| 602 | funding agencies and/or funded projects if applicable: P. Steele, P. Krummel, and M. van der    |
|-----|---|
| 603 | Schoot (Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), Australia); L.    |
| 604 | Gatti and A. Martinewski (Instituto de Pesquisas Energéticas e Nucleares (IPEN), Brazil); D.    |
| 605 | Worthy (Environment Canada (EC), Canada); J. Hatakka and T. Aalto (Finnish Meteorological       |
| 606 | Institute (FMI), Finland); M. Ramonet, M. Delmotte, M. Schmidt, and P. Ciais (Laboratoire des   |
| 607 | Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement – UMR8212 CEA-CNRS-UVSQ (LSCE), France); J.A.          |
| 608 | Morgui (University of Barcelona (UB), Spain); N. Mihalopoulos (University of Crete (UOC),       |
| 609 | Greece); F. Gheusi (University of Toulouse, France); G. Bentz (LPO Station Ornithologique de    |
| 610 | l'Ile Grande, France), I. Levin and S. Hammer (University of Heidelberg, Institut für           |
| 611 | Umweltphysik (UHEI-IUP), Germany); L. Haszpra (Hungarian Meteorological Service (HMS),          |
| 612 | Hungary); A. Takizawa (Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA), Japan); T. Machida (National          |
| 613 | Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES), Japan); H. Matsueda and Y. Sawa (Meteorological     |
| 614 | Research Institute (MRI), Japan); S. Morimoto, T. Nakazawa, and S. Aoki (National Institute of  |
| 615 | Polar Research (NIPR) and Tohoku University, Japan); H. Meijer (University of Groningen, Centre |
| 616 | for Isotope Research (RUG), the Netherlands); E. Brunke, E. Scheel and C. Labushagne (South     |
| 617 | African Weather Service (SAWS), South Africa); R. Ramos (Izaña Atmospheric Research Center,     |
| 618 | Meteorological State Agency of Spain (AEMET), Spain); M. Leuenberger (University of Bern,       |
| 619 | Physics Institute, Climate and Environmental Physics (KUP), Switzerland); S. Wofsy (Harvard     |

| 620        | University (HU), United States); S. Biraud and M. Torn (Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory                                  |
|------------|--|
| 621        | (LBNL), United States); K. Masarie, A. Andrews, E. Dlugokencky, C. Sweeney, P. Tans and K.                                     |
| 622        | Thoning (Global Monitoring Division (NOAA), United States), the aircraft measurements for the                                  |
| 623        | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Global Greenhouse Gas Reference   |
| 624        | Network have been funded by the NOAA North American Carbon Program; B. Stephens (National                                      |
| 625        | Center For Atmospheric Research (NCAR), United States), the RACCOON network CO2  |
| 626        | concentration measurements have been supported by NSF (EAR-0321918), NOAA  |
| 627        | (NA09OAR4310064) and DOE (DE-SC0010624 and DE-SC001625); and R. Keeling (Scripps   |
| 628        | Institution of Oceanography (SIO), United States). The authors also thank Drs. Akihiko Ito and                                 |
| 629        | Kazuo Mabuchi at NIES for helpful discussions. T. Oda is supported by NASA Carbon Cycle Science                                |
| 630        | program (Grant # NNX14AM76G).  |
| 631        |  |
| 632        | References   |
| 633        |  |
| 634        | Belikov, D. A., Maksyutov, S., Sherlock, V., Aoki, S., Deutscher, N. M. and co-authors 2013. Simulations of                    |
| 635        | column-averaged CO2 and CH4 using the NIES TM with a hybrid sigma-isentropic (sigma-theta) vertical                            |
| 636        | coordinate. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 13, 1713-1732.   |
| 637        | British Petroleum. 2012. <i>Statistical Review of World Energy 2011</i> . London. <u>http://www.bp.com/statisticalreview</u> . |
| 638        | Bruhwiler, L. M. P., Michalak, A. M., Peters, W., Baker, D. F. and Tans, P. 2005. An improved Kalman Smoother for              |
| 640        | atmospheric inversions. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 5, 2691-2702.  |
| 040<br>641 | for 1983-2002 <i>Biogeosciences</i> <b>8</b> 1309-1331   |
| 071        | 101 1705-2002. Diogeosciences 0, 1507-1551.  |

642 CarbonTracker Team. 2014. CarbonTracker Documentation CT2013 release.

| 643 | http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/carbontracker/CT2013_doc.pdf.   |
|-----|---|
| 644 | Chevallier, F., Ciais, P., Conway, T. J., Aalto, T., Anderson, B. E. and co-authors 2010. CO2 surface fluxes at grid  |
| 645 | point scale estimated from a global 21 year reanalysis of atmospheric measurements. J. Geophys. Res., 115,            |
| 646 | doi: 10.1029/2010jd013887.  |
| 647 | Chevallier, F., Deutscher, N. M., Conway, T. J., Ciais, P., Ciattaglia, L. and co-authors 2011. Global CO2 fluxes     |
| 648 | inferred from surface air-sample measurements and from TCCON retrievals of the CO2 total column.                      |
| 649 | Geophysical Research Letters 38.  |
| 650 | Ciais, P., Canadell, J. G., Luyssaert, S., Chevallier, F., Shvidenko, A. and co-authors 2010a. Can we reconcile       |
| 651 | atmospheric estimates of the Northern terrestrial carbon sink with land-based accounting? Curr Opin Env               |
| 652 | Sust <b>2</b> , 225-230.  |
| 653 | Ciais, P., Rayner, P., Chevallier, F., Bousquet, P., Logan, M. and co-authors 2010b. Atmospheric inversions for       |
| 654 | estimating CO2 fluxes: methods and perspectives. Climatic Change 103, 69-92.  |
| 655 | Conway, T. J. and Tans, P. 2014. Trends in atmospheric carbon dioxide. http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends/.     |
| 656 | Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P. and co-authors 2011. The ERA-Interim reanalysis:  |
| 657 | configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. Q J Roy Meteor Soc 137, 553-597.                       |
| 658 | Dlugokencky, E. J., Lang, P. M., Masarie, K. A., Crotwell, A. M. and Crotwell, M. J. 2013. Atmospheric Carbon         |
| 659 | Dioxide Dry Air Mole Fractions from the NOAA ESRL Carbon Cycle Cooperative Global Air Sampling                        |
| 660 | Network, 1968-2012. ftp://aftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/data/trace_gases/co2/flask/surface/.                                     |
| 661 | Dlugokencky, E. J. and Tans, P. 2014. Annual Mean Global CO2 Growth Rates.  |
| 662 | www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends/.   |
| 663 | Ganshin, A., Oda, T., Saito, M., Maksyutov, S., Valsala, V. and co-authors 2012. A global coupled Eulerian-           |
| 664 | Lagrangian model and 1 x 1 km CO2 surface flux dataset for high-resolution atmospheric CO2 transport                  |
| 665 | simulations. Geosci. Model Dev. 5, 231-243.   |
| 666 | Gerbig, C., Lin, J. C., Wofsy, S. C., Daube, B. C., Andrews, A. E. and co-authors 2003. Toward constraining           |
| 667 | regional-scale fluxes of CO2 with atmospheric observations over a continent: 2. Analysis of COBRA data                |
| 668 | using a receptor-oriented framework. J. Geophys. Res., 108.   |
| 669 | Giglio, L., Randerson, J. T., van der Werf, G. R., Kasibhatla, P. S., Collatz, G. J. and co-authors 2010. Assessing   |
| 670 | variability and long-term trends in burned area by merging multiple satellite fire products. Biogeosciences           |
| 671 | 7, 1171-1186.   |
| 672 | GLOBALVIEW-CO2 2013. updated annually. Multi-laboratory compilation of synchronized and gap-filled                    |
| 673 | atmospheric carbon dioxide records for the period 1979-2012 (obspack_co2_1_GLOBALVIEW-                                |
| 674 | CO2_2013_v1.0.4_2013-12-23)   |
| 675 | Gloor, M., Bakwin, P., Hurst, D., Lock, L., Draxler, R. and co-authors 2001. What is the concentration footprint of a |
| 676 | tall tower? J. Geophys. Res., 106, 17831-17840.   |
| 677 | Gomez-Pelaez, A. J. and Ramos, R. 2011. Improvements in the Carbon Dioxide and Methane Continuous                     |
| 678 | Measurement Programs at Izaña Global GAW Station (Spain) during 2007-2009. In: GAW report (No. 194)                   |
| 679 | of the "15th WMO/IAEA Meeting of Experts on Carbon Dioxide, Other Greenhouse Gases, and Related                       |

681 Meteorological Organization, 133-138. 682 Gourdji, S. M., Hirsch, A. I., Mueller, K. L., Yadav, V., Andrews, A. E. and co-authors 2010. Regional-scale 683 geostatistical inverse modeling of North American CO2 fluxes: a synthetic data study. Atmospheric 684 Chemistry and Physics 10, 6151-6167. 685 Gurney, K. R., Law, R. M., Denning, A. S., Rayner, P. J., Pak, B. C. and co-authors 2004. Transcom 3 inversion 686 intercomparison: Model mean results for the estimation of seasonal carbon sources and sinks. Glob. 687 Biogeochem. Cycle 18, 21. 688 Hirano, T., Segah, H., Harada, T., Limin, S., June, T. and co-authors 2007. Carbon dioxide balance of a tropical peat 689 swamp forest in Kalimantan, Indonesia. Global Change Biol 13, 412-425. 690 Hirano, T., Segah, H., Kusin, K., Limin, S., Takahashi, H. and co-authors 2012. Effects of disturbances on the 691 carbon balance of tropical peat swamp forests. *Global Change Biol* 18, 3410-3422. 692 Houweling, S., Baker, D., Basu, S., Boesch, H., Butz, A. and co-authors 2015. An intercomparison of inverse 693 models for estimating sources and sinks of CO2 using GOSAT measurements. J. Geophys. Res., 120, 5253-694 5266. 695 Ishii, M., Feely, R. A., Rodgers, K. B., Park, G. H., Wanninkhof, R. and co-authors 2014. Air-sea CO2 flux in the 696 Pacific Ocean for the period 1990–2009. Biogeosciences 11, 709-734. 697 Ito, A. 2010. Changing ecophysiological processes and carbon budget in East Asian ecosystems under near-future 698 changes in climate: implications for long-term monitoring from a process-based model. J Plant Res 123, 699 577-588. 700 Jones, C. D., Collins, M., Cox, P. M. and Spall, S. A. 2001. The carbon cycle response to ENSO: A coupled climate-701 carbon cycle model study. J. Clim. 14, 4113-4129. 702 Knorr, W., Gobron, N., Scholze, M., Kaminski, T., Schnur, R. and co-authors 2007. Impact of terrestrial biosphere 703 carbon exchanges on the anomalous CO2 increase in 2002–2003. Geophysical Research Letters 34, 704 L09703. 705 Koyama, Y., Maksyutov, S., Mukai, H., Thoning, K. and Tans, P. 2011. Simulation of variability in atmospheric 706 carbon dioxide using a global coupled Eulerian - Lagrangian transport model. Geosci. Model Dev. 4, 317-707 324. 708 Law, R. M., Peters, W., Rodenbeck, C., Aulagnier, C., Baker, I. and co-authors 2008. TransCom model simulations 709 of hourly atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>: Experimental overview and diurnal cycle results for 2002. *Glob. Biogeochem.* 710 *Cycle* **22**, 15. 711 Le Quéré, C., Andres, R. J., Boden, T., Conway, T., Houghton, R. A. and co-authors 2013. The global carbon budget 712 1959-2011. Earth Syst. Sci. Data 5, 165-185. 713 Lin, J. C. 2012. Lagrangian Modeling of the Atmosphre: An Introduction. Geophys Monogr Ser 200, 1-11. 714 Mabuchi, K. 2013. A Numerical Study of the Relationship between the Carbon Cycle and the Land Surface 715 Processes in the Northern Hemisphere Related to Recent El Nino Events. J. Meteorol. Soc. Jpn. 91, 667-716 686.

Tracer Measurement Techniques (Jena, Germany; September 7-10, 2009)" (ed. Brand, W. A.). World

680

| 717 | Machida, T., Matsueda, H., Sawa, Y., Nakagawa, Y., Hirotani, K. and co-authors 2008. Worldwide Measurements of         |
|-----|--|
| 718 | Atmospheric CO2 and Other Trace Gas Species Using Commercial Airlines. J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.                      |
| 719 | <b>25</b> , 1744-1754.   |
| 720 | Maksyutov, S., Patra, P. K., Onishi, R., Saeki, T. and Nakazawa, T. 2008. NIES/FRCGC global atmospheric tracer         |
| 721 | transport model: Description, validation, and surface sources and sinks inversion. J. Earth Simulator 9, 3-            |
| 722 | 18.  |
| 723 | Maksyutov, S., Koyama, Y. and Valsala, V. 2009. Model analysis of observational data of the atmospheric tracers for    |
| 724 | the estimation of greenhouse gases in East Asia. In: Global Environment Research Account for National                  |
| 725 | Institutes in FY2008. Ministry of the Environment Government of Japan, 49-95.  |
| 726 | Maksyutov, S., Takagi, H., Valsala, V. K., Saito, M., Oda, T. and co-authors 2013. Regional CO2 flux estimates for     |
| 727 | 2009-2010 based on GOSAT and ground-based CO2 observations. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 13,                      |
| 728 | 9351-9373.   |
| 729 | Masarie, K. A., W., P., Jacobson, A. R. and Tans, P. P. 2014. ObsPack: a framework for the preparation, delivery, and  |
| 730 | attribution of atmospheric greenhouse gas measurements. Earth Syst. Sci. Data 6, 10.                                   |
| 731 | Matsueda, H., Machida, T., Sawa, Y. and Niwa, Y. 2015. Long-term change of CO2 latitudinal distribution in the         |
| 732 | upper troposphere. Geophysical Research Letters 42, 2508-2514.   |
| 733 | Nahas, A. C. 2012. Greenhouse Gases Monitoring Activities at Global GAW Station Bukit Kototabang, Indonesia.           |
| 734 | In: Asian GAW Greenhouse Gases Newsletter, 12-14.  |
| 735 | Nehrkorn, T., Eluszkiewicz, J., Wofsy, S. C., Lin, J. C., Gerbig, C. and co-authors 2010. Coupled weather research     |
| 736 | and forecasting-stochastic time-inverted lagrangian transport (WRF-STILT) model. Meteorology and                       |
| 737 | Atmospheric Physics 107, 51-64.  |
| 738 | Niwa, Y., Machida, T., Sawa, Y., Matsueda, H., Schuck, T. J. and co-authors 2012. Imposing strong constraints on       |
| 739 | tropical terrestrial CO2 fluxes using passenger aircraft based measurements. J. Geophys. Res., 117, doi:               |
| 740 | D11303, doi:10.1029/2012jd017474.  |
| 741 | Oda, T. and Maksyutov, S. 2011. A very high-resolution (1 km x 1 km) global fossil fuel CO2 emission inventory         |
| 742 | derived using a point source database and satellite observations of nighttime lights. Atmospheric Chemistry            |
| 743 | and Physics 11, 543-556.   |
| 744 | Onogi, K., Tslttsui, J., Koide, H., Sakamoto, M., Kobayashi, S. and co-authors 2007. The JRA-25 reanalysis. J.         |
| 745 | Meteorol. Soc. Jpn. 85, 369-432.   |
| 746 | Patra, P. K., Law, R. M., Peters, W., Roedenbeck, C., Takigawa, M. and co-authors 2008. TransCom model                 |
| 747 | simulations of hourly atmospheric CO2: Analysis of synoptic-scale variations for the period 2002-2003.                 |
| 748 | Glob. Biogeochem. Cycle 22.  |
| 749 | Peters, W., Miller, J. B., Whitaker, J., Denning, A. S., Hirsch, A. and co-authors 2005. An ensemble data assimilation |
| 750 | system to estimate CO2 surface fluxes from atmospheric trace gas observations. J. Geophys. Res., 110.                  |
| 751 | Peters, W., Jacobson, A. R., Sweeney, C., Andrews, A. E., Conway, T. J. and co-authors 2007. An atmospheric            |
| 752 | perspective on North American carbon dioxide exchange: CarbonTracker. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.                  |
| 753 | <b>104</b> , 18925-18930.  |

- Peylin, P., Rayner, P. J., Bousquet, P., Carouge, C., Hourdin, F. and co-authors 2005. Daily CO2 flux estimates over
   Europe from continuous atmospheric measurements: 1, inverse methodology. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 5, 3173-3186.
- Peylin, P., Law, R. M., Gurney, K. R., Chevallier, F., Jacobson, A. R. and co-authors 2013. Global atmospheric
  carbon budget: results from an ensemble of atmospheric CO2 inversions. *Biogeosciences* 10, 6699-6720.
- Pillai, D., Gerbig, C., Ahmadov, R., Rodenbeck, C., Kretschmer, R. and co-authors 2011. High-resolution
   simulations of atmospheric CO2 over complex terrain representing the Ochsenkopf mountain tall tower.
   *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 11, 7445-7464.
- Rigby, M., Manning, A. J. and Prinn, R. G. 2011. Inversion of long-lived trace gas emissions using combined
   Eulerian and Lagrangian chemical transport models. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 11, 9887-9898.
- Rodenbeck, C., Houweling, S., Gloor, M. and Heimann, M. 2003. CO<sub>2</sub> flux history 1982-2001 inferred from
   atmospheric data using a global inversion of atmospheric transport. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 3, 1919-1964.
- Rodenbeck, C., Gerbig, C., Trusilova, K. and Heimann, M. 2009. A two-step scheme for high-resolution regional
   atmospheric trace gas inversions based on independent models. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 9,
   5331-5342.
- Saeki, T., Maksyutov, S., Sasakawa, M., Machida, T., Arshinov, M. and co-authors 2013. Carbon flux estimation for
   Siberia by inverse modeling constrained by aircraft and tower CO2 measurements. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 118,
   1100-1122.
- Saito, M., Ito, A. and Maksyutov, S. 2014. Optimization of a prognostic biosphere model for terrestrial biomass and
   atmospheric CO2 variability. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 7, 1829-1840.
- Saito, R., Houweling, S., Patra, P. K., Belikov, D., Lokupitiya, R. and co-authors 2011. TransCom satellite
   intercomparison experiment: Construction of a bias corrected atmospheric CO2 climatology. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116.
- Stephens, B. B., Miles, N. L., Richardson, S. J., Watt, A. S. and Davis, K. J. 2011. Atmospheric CO2 monitoring
   with single-cell NDIR-based analyzers. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques* 4, 2737-2748.
- Stohl, A., Forster, C., Frank, A., Seibert, P. and Wotawa, G. 2005. Technical note: The Lagrangian particle dispersion
   model FLEXPART version 6.2. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 5, 2461-2474.
- Thompson, R. L., Patra, P. K., Chevallier, F., Maksyutov, S., Law, R. M. and co-authors 2016. Top-down assessment
   of the Asian carbon budget since the mid 1990s. *Nat Commun* 7.
- Trusilova, K., Rodenbeck, C., Gerbig, C. and Heimann, M. 2010. Technical Note: A new coupled system for global to-regional downscaling of CO2 concentration estimation. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 10, 3205 3213.
- Turnbull, J., Guenther, D., Karion, A., Sweeney, C., Anderson, E. and co-authors 2012. An integrated flask sample
   collection system for greenhouse gas measurements. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques* 5, 2321-2327.
- 789 Uliasz, M. 1993. The Atmospheric Mesoscale Dispersion Modeling System. J Appl Meteorol 32, 139-149.
- 790 Valsala, V., Maksyutov, S. and Motoyoshi, I. 2008. Design and validation of an offline oceanic tracer transport

| 791   | model for a carbon cycle study. J. Clim. 21, 2752-2769.  |
|---|--|
| 792   | Valsala, V. and Maksyutov, S. 2010. Simulation and assimilation of global ocean pCO(2) and air-sea CO2 fluxes  |
| 793   | using ship observations of surface ocean pCO(2) in a simplified biogeochemical offline model. Tellus   |
| 794   | Series B-Chemical and Physical Meteorology 62, 821-840.  |
| 795   | van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Mu, M. and co-authors 2010. Global fire emissions   |
| 796   | and the contribution of deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and peat fires (1997-2009). Atmospheric  |
| 797   | Chemistry and Physics 10, 11707-11735.   |
| 798   | Wolter, K. and Timlin, M. S. 1993. Monitoring ENSO in COADS with a seasonally adjusted principal component   |
| 799   | index. In: Proceedings of the 17th Climate Diagnostics Workshop, Norman, Oklahoma,   |
| 800   | NOAA/NMC/CAC, NSSL, Oklahoma Clim. Survey, CIMMS and the School of Meteor., Univ. of   |
| 801   | Oklahoma, 1993.  |
| 802   |  |
|   |  |
| 803   | Figure Captions  |
|   |  |
| 804   |  |
|   |  |
| 805   | Figure 1. Schematic diagram of GELCA inverse modeling framework.   |
|   |  |
| 806   |  |
|   |  |
| 807   | Figure 2. Illustration of the inversion process employed in this study. The t indicates the time   |
|   |  |
| 808   | step on monthly basis. The modelled $CO_2$ concentrations $z_{mod}$ are sum of the background  |
|   |  |
| 809   | concentrations $z_b$ and the presubtracted concentrations $z_p$ calculated by GELCA. In each   |
| 010   | inversion evels the modelled concentrations are command to charmations a find the state  |
| 810   | inversion cycle, the modelled concentrations are compared to observations $z_{ob}$ and the state   |
| 011   | vestor a is antimized within a 2 month window. Ontimized flywes are incomposed into the  |
| 811   | vector's is optimized within a 3-month window. Optimized fluxes are incorporated into the  |
| 012   | background concentration $(\pi, 2)$ hofers calculating for the next time step. The number of estenishes  |
| 812   | background concentration ( $z_b$ ) before calculating for the next time step. The number of asterisks  |
| 812   | in the unner right of a show how many times a set of monthly fluxes has been entimized   |
| 013   | in the upper right of 5 shows now many times a set of monuny fluxes has been optimized   |
| 814   | previously from past cycles. The prime in the upper right of $z_1$ means that the $z_2$ has been   |
| <ul> <li>806</li> <li>807</li> <li>808</li> <li>809</li> <li>810</li> <li>811</li> <li>812</li> <li>813</li> <li>814</li> </ul> | Figure 2. Illustration of the inversion process employed in this study. The t indicates the time step on monthly basis. The modelled CO <sub>2</sub> concentrations $z_{mod}$ are sum of the background concentrations $z_b$ and the presubtracted concentrations $z_p$ calculated by GELCA. In each inversion cycle, the modelled concentrations are compared to observations $z_{ob}$ and the state vector s is optimized within a 3-month window. Optimized fluxes are incorporated into the background concentration ( $z_b$ ') before calculating for the next time step. The number of asterish in the upper right of s shows how many times a set of monthly fluxes has been optimized previously from past cycles. The prime in the upper right of $z_b$ means that the $z_b$ has been |

updated. The dashed arrows mean monthly calculations by GELCA.

816

Figure 3. Definitions of the 64 regions used in the inversion.

818

Figure 4. Map showing the observation site locations of the different site selection cases: (a)

820 control case (all symbols), Case SEL (green symbols removed), and Case NA (red symbols

removed); (b) Case CT and (c) Case NF. Symbol shapes indicate the type of sampling:  $\bigcirc$ ,

surface discrete; +, surface continuous;  $\mathbf{\nabla}$ , ship;  $\diamondsuit$ , aircraft.

823

Figure 5. Comparison of global annual mean posterior fluxes: (a) net, (b) land biosphere, and (c)

ocean. (d) Multivariate ENSO Index (MEI) (Wolter and Timlin, 1993) for 2002–2011. Positive

826 fluxes indicate emission and negative fluxes indicate uptake. In (a), the global annual mean

827 atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> growth rate is shown with net fluxes. The CO<sub>2</sub> growth rate in ppm are

s28 converted to the emission rates in Pg of carbon with a conversion factor of 2.12 PgC ppm<sup>-1</sup> via

- simple molecular weight considerations. In (b) and (c), the global annual mean prior fluxes for
- 830 land biosphere and ocean are shown respectively.

831

Figure 6. Decadal mean (2002–2011) spatial distributions of posterior fluxes for (a–c) land and

| 833 | (e-g) ocean regions: (a, e) control case, (b, f) Case CT, (c, g) Case NF. Prior fluxes from the (d) |
|-----|---|
| 834 | land biosphere and (h) ocean. Positive fluxes indicate emission and negative fluxes indicate        |
| 835 | uptake.   |
| 836 |   |
| 837 | Figure 7. Uncertainty reductions by region: (a) control case, (b) Case CT, and (c) Case NF.         |
| 838 |   |
| 839 | Figure 8. Model-data mismatch for observation sites after inversion: (a) control case, (b) Case     |
| 840 | CT, (c) Case NF. The color and size of the colored circles indicate the bias and the RMSE,          |
| 841 | respectively. The size of the open circles indicates the prior uncertainty value.                   |
| 842 |   |
| 843 | Figure 9. Comparison of decadal mean (2002–2011) spatial distributions of posterior fluxes for      |
| 844 | the land biosphere (left panels) and ocean (right panels): (a) control case, (b) Case SEL, (c) Case |
| 845 | NA. Positive fluxes indicate emission and negative fluxes indicate uptake.                          |
| 846 |   |
| 847 | Figure 10 (a) Prior and posterior land fluxes and (b) uncertainty reduction (UR) in tropical Asia   |
| 848 | (Region 33) in the control case, Case CT, and Case NF. Positive fluxes indicate emission and        |
| 849 | negative fluxes indicate uptake.  |
|     |   |

850

| 851 | Figure 11. Differences between estimated annual mean regional CO <sub>2</sub> fluxes from the (a) land      |
|-----|---|
| 852 | biosphere and (b) ocean derived with and without aircraft observations (control case – Case NA)             |
| 853 | during 2002–2011. The numbered regions are shown in Figure 3. Positive fluxes indicate                      |
| 854 | emission and negative fluxes indicate uptake.   |
| 855 |   |
| 856 | Figure 12. Annually averaged atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> distributions at (a) 990 hPa, (b) 500 hPa, (c) 250 |
| 857 | hPa, calculated from monthly pulsed emission from tropical Asia (Region 33) in 2008.                        |
| 858 |   |
| 859 | Figure 13. Monthly mean land biosphere posterior fluxes (control case - red; Case NA - green)               |
| 860 | and prior fluxes (VISIT - gray), averaged over 2002–2011. Positive fluxes indicate emission and             |
| 861 | negative fluxes indicate uptake.  |
| 862 |   |
| 863 | Supplementary Figures   |
| 864 |   |
| 865 | Figure S1. The footprint of 2-day backward trajectory simulation by FLEXPART in (a) January                 |
| 866 | and (b) July 2009, for the ground observation dataset used in the control case in this study                |
| 867 | (obspack_co2_1_PROTOTYPE_v1.0.3_2013-01-29) was used.   |

868

- 869 Figure S2. CO<sub>2</sub> time series at (a) Ochsenkopf (OXK) and (b) Pic du Midi (PDM) simulated by
- 870 GELCA (red circle) and NIES-TM (blue circle), along with the observations (gray circle).
- 871

# Tables

Table 1. Observational sites used in this study, including the model-data mismatch results for the control case inversion. Check marks in the last four columns show whether the site is used in the indicated case

| the site is | used in the indicated case. |      |                       |         |                |               |              |               |               |    |    |         |            |
|-------------|-----------------------------|------|-----------------------|---------|----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----|----|---------|------------|
| Code        | Cito nomo                   | Tak  | Platform,             | I at 2  | I ong 2        | Altitude (m)2 | Diag (nnm)   | DMCE (mm)     | Correlation   | CT |    | CEI 3   | NIA3       |
| Code        | Site name                   | Lab. | Sampling <sup>1</sup> | Lat     | Long           | Altitude (m)- | Bias (ppm)   | KIVISE (ppin) | coefficient R | 5  | NF | SEL,    | NA         |
| ABP         | Arembepe, Bahia             | NOAA | g f                   | 12.76°S | 38.16°W        | 6             | -0.34        | 0.41          | 0.980         | ۲  |    | ۲       | ۲          |
| <b>1</b> 17 | Alast Number                | NOAA | ga<br>t               | 82.45°N | 62.51°W        | 205           | <b>cc</b> 0  | 0.60          | 0 007         | ۲  | ۲  | ۲       | ۲          |
| AL1         | Aler, Nunavut               | EC   | ແດ<br>1               | 82.45°N | 62.51°W        | 210           | -0.22        | 0.09          | 0.997         | ۲  |    | ۲       | ۲          |
|             | A rest la Maina             | NOAA | d<br>8                | 45.03°N | 68.68°W        | 157           | 0 27         | 2 77          | 0000          | ۲  |    | ۲       | ۲          |
| TIM         | Augyle, maille              | NOAA | t i                   | 45.03°N | 68.68°W        | 160           | 0.37         | 3.12          | 0.920         | ۲  |    | ۲       | ۲          |
| ASC         | Ascension Island            | NOAA | g f                   | 7.97°S  | 14.40°W        | 90            | -0.29        | 0.50          | 0.997         | ۲  | ۲  | ۲       | ۲          |
| ASK         | Assekrem                    | NOAA | g f                   | 23.26°N | 5.63°E         | 1847          | -0.05        | 0.52          | 0.997         | ۲  | ۲  | ۲       | ۲          |
| AZR         | Terceira Island, Azores     | NOAA | g f                   | 38.77°N | 27.38°W        | 24            | 0.24         | 1.18          | 0.985         | ۲  | ۲  | ۲       | ۲          |
| BAL         | Baltic Sea                  | NOAA | g f                   | 55.35°N | 17.22°E        | 28            | -1.86        | 3.72          | 0.926         | ۲  | ۲  | ۲       | ۲          |
| BAO         | Boulder Atmospheric         | NOAA | d<br>8                | 40.05°N | 105.00°W       | 1584          | -1 51        | 86 5          | 0 783         | ۲  | ۲  | ۲       | ۲          |
| טמע         | Observatory, Colorado       | NOAA | t i                   | 40.05°N | 105.00°W       | 1884          | -1.J1        | J.20          | 0.705         | ۲  |    | Sa<br>a | <b>S</b> a |
| BGI         | Bradgate, Iowa              | NOAA | a p                   | 42.82°N | 94.41°W        | 600 - 8100    | -0.76 - 0.09 | 0.52 - 3.87   | 0.879 - 0.968 |    |    | ۲       |            |
| BGU         | Begur                       | LSCE | g f                   | 41.97°N | <b>3.23</b> °E | 13            | -1.01        | 3.24          | 0.926         |    |    | ۲       | ۲          |
| BHD         | Baring Head Station         | NOAA | g f                   | 41.41°S | 174.87°E       | 95            | -0.27        | 0.52          | 0.995         | ۲  | ۲  | ۲       | ۲          |
| BKT         | Bukit Kototabang            | NOAA | g f                   | 0.20°S  | 100.32°E       | 850           | 3.54         | 3.12          | 0.813         | ۲  | ۲  | ۲       | ۲          |
| BME         | St. Davids Head,<br>Bermuda | NOAA | g                     | 32.37°N | 64.65°W        | 17            | 0.22         | 1.19          | 0.982         | ۲  | ۲  | ۲       | ۲          |
| BMW         | Tudor Hill, Bermuda         | NOAA | g f                   | 32.26°N | 64.88°W        | 60            | 0.15         | 1.06          | 0.991         | ۲  | ۲  | ۲       | ۲          |

| CRI       | GFI      | CBT        | CPS             | CON           | CMA                  | E                    | CIB                     | CHR              | CHM                 | CGO                 | CI D       | CEA            | CDL          | CDI          | CBA              | CAR                  | BSC                  | DNW            | DDW            | האום                 |              | DINE          | DNIE                                    |
|-----------|----------|------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------|---|
| Cape Rama | Сарегони | Cono Doint | Chapais, Quebec | CONTRAIL      | Cape May, New Jersey | de la Baja Atmosfera | Centro de Investigacion | Christmas Island | Chibougamau, Quebec | Cape Grim, Tasmania | Queensland | Cape Ferguson, | Saskatchewan | Candle Lake, | Cold Bay, Alaska | Briggsdale, Colorado | Black Sea, Constanta | Dallow, Alaska | Domovy Alaciza | Saskatchewan         | Bratt's Lake | Nebraska      | Beaver Crossing,                        |
| CSIRO     | SAWS     | NOAA       | EC              | NIES/MRI      | NOAA                 | TACTAL               |                         | NOAA             | EC                  | NOAA                | COTICO     | CSIBU          | Ę            | E<br>C       | NOAA             | NOAA                 | NOAA                 | NOAA           | NOAA           | Ę                    |              | NON           |   |
| ga<br>I   | 1.<br>QQ | g<br>f     | ອ<br>1          | a f           | a p                  | r<br>a               | a<br>f                  | g f              | g 1                 | g f                 | ب<br>۵     | م<br>ب         | -<br>00      | a<br>        | g f              | a p                  | g f                  | g<br>1         | g<br>f         | -<br>00              |              | م<br>ل        | 5                                       |
| 15.08°N   | 34.35°S  | 34.35°S    | 49.82°N         |               | 38.83°N              | T TOTT               | 41 81°N                 | 1.70°N           | 49.68°N             | 40.68°S             | יי מסידיד  | 10 78°C        | JJ.77 IN     | <2 00°N      | 55.21°N          | 40.37°N              | 44.18°N              | 71.32°N        | 71.32°N        | 01.2 IN              | <1 つ・N       | 40.0 IV       | 10 0°N                                  |
| 73.83°E   | 18.49°E  | 18.49°E    | 74.98°W         |               | 74.31°W              | T.75 W               | 4 03°W/                 | 157.15°W         | 74.3°W              | 144.69°E            | 177.00 L   | 147 06°E       | 100.12 W     | 105 17°W     | 162.72°W         | 104.3°W              | 28.66°E              | 156.61°W       | 156.61°W       | 10 <del>1</del> ./ W | 10/ 7°W      | 77.10 W       | 07 10°W                                 |
| 66        | 260      | 260        | 387             | 3500 - 12200  | 300 - 8200           |                      | 850                     | 5                | 423                 | 164                 | ,          | ካ              | 000          | 620          | 25               | 1800 - 11900         | 5                    | 28             | 28             | 000                  | 620          | 0070 - 000    | 0000                                    |
| -0.53     | -0.04    | 0.07       | 0.08            | -0.540.14     | -0.250.07            | 0.00                 | U8 U                    | -0.27            | 0.04                | -0.14               | 0.20       | ≥0 <u>38</u>   | -0.14<br>4   | 0 1 /        | -0.51            | -0.11 - 0.22         | -5.24                | -0.20          | 000            | -0.00                | 0 02         | -0.000.11     | 0.60 0.11                               |
| 1.80      | 0.00     | 0 20       | 1.59            | 0.43 - 0.76   | 0.71-3.68            | E                    | 7 74                    | 0.30             | 2.16                | 0.20                | 0.72       | 0 7 0          | 2.01         | 0 KJ         | 1.07             | 0.64 - 1.07          | 5.17                 | U.0 I          | 0 01           | J.IJ                 | 2 15         | 0.07 - 7.00   | 0 67 2 50                               |
| 0.981     | 0.999    | 0 000      | 0.884           | 0.987 - 0.996 | 0.894 - 0.988        | 0.000                | 0 863                   | 0.998            | 0.953               | 0.999               | 0.775      | 0 003          | 0.707        | 0 0 57       | 0.991            | 0.989 - 0.996        | 0.889                | 0.330          | 0 005          | 0.300                | 0 0 0 0      | 0.808 - 0.330 | 0 |
|           |          |            |                 |               |                      |                      |                         | ۲                |                     | ۲                   |            | ۲              | •            | ۲,           | ۲                |                      | ۲                    | ۲              | ۲              |                      |              |               |   |
|           |          | ۲          |                 |               |                      | •                    | 2                       | ۲                |                     | ۲                   |            |                |              |              | ۲                |                      | ۲                    |                | ۲              |                      |              |               |   |
| ۲         | ۲        | ۲          | ۲               | ۲             | ۲                    | •                    | 2                       | ۲                | ۲                   | ۲                   |            | 2              |              | ۲            | ۲                | ۲                    |                      | ۲              | ۲              |                      | ,            |               | \$                                      |
| ۲         | ۲        | ۲          | ۲               |               |                      |                      | ٢                       | ۲                | ۲                   | ۲                   |            | ۲              | •            | ۲.           | ۲                |                      |                      | ۲              | ۲              |                      | ۲,           |               |   |

30 November 2016, p.47 / 54

| HDP                             | HBA                           | HAA                    | GMI             | FWI                  | FTL           | FSD        | FNS                     | FIK              |               | E T              | EST             | Lor           | FCD                    |          | EIC           | EGB             | DRP           | DND                  | СҮА               | CRZ           |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------|------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------|----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Hidden Peak (Snowbird),<br>Utah | Halley Station,<br>Antarctica | Molokai Island, Hawaii | Mariana Islands | Fairchild, Wisconsin | Fortaleza     | Fraserdale | North Sea Platform (F3) | Finokalia, Crete | Saskatchewan  | East Trout Lake, | Esther, Alberta | Columbia      | Estevan Point, British |          | Easter Island | Egbert, Ontario | Drake Passage | Dahlen, North Dakota | Casey, Antarctica | Crozet Island |
| NCAR                            | NOAA                          | NOAA                   | NOAA            | NOAA                 | NOAA          | EC         | RUG                     | LSCE             | NOAA          | EC               | EC              | NOAA          | EC                     | CSIRO    | NOAA          | EC              | NOAA          | NOAA                 | CSIRO             | NOAA          |
| ଓସ<br>1                         | gg<br>f                       | a p                    | g f             | a p                  | a p           | g<br>1     | ອ<br>1                  | g f              | a p           | ຜ<br>1           | g<br>1          | a p           | ຜ<br>1                 | g<br>f   | g f           | ଓ<br>1          | s f           | a p                  | g f               | g f           |
| 40.56°N                         | 75.61°S                       | 21.23°N                | 13.39°N         | 44.66°N              | 3.52°S        | 49.88°N    | 54.85°N                 | 35.34°N          | 54.35°N       | 54.35°N          | 51.66°N         | 49.38°N       | 49.38°N                | 49.38°N  | 27.16°S       | 44.23°N         | 59.0°S        | 47.5°N               | 66.28°S           | 46.43°S       |
| 111.65°W                        | 26.21°W                       | 158.95°W               | 144.66°E        | 90.96°W              | 38.28°W       | 81.57°W    | 4.73°E                  | 25.67°E          | 104.98°W      | 104.98°W         | 110.21°W        | 126.54°W      | 126.54°W               | 126.54°W | 109.43°W      | 79.78°W         | 64.49°W       | 99.24°W              | 110.52°E          | 51.85°E       |
| 3369                            | 35                            | 300 - 8100             | 6               | 600 - 8100           | 100 - 4300    | 250        | 46                      | 150              | 600 - 7800    | 597              | 710             | 100 - 5800    | 47                     | 47       | 55            | 251             | 10            | 500 - 8100           | 55                | 202           |
| 0.00                            | -0.12                         | -0.16 - 0.27           | -0.11           | -0.49 -0.79          | -0.39 -0.02   | 0.30       | -1.25                   | -0.09            | -0.35 -0.01   | -0.31            | -0.01           | -0.43<br>0.13 | -0.41                  | _0 /1    | 0.32          | -0.20           | -0.19         | -0.390.16            | -0.24             | -0.22         |
| 1.29                            | 0.20                          | 0.39 - 0.66            | 0.58            | 0.59 - 3.05          | 0.45 -0.80    | 2.91       | 1.64                    | 1.85             | 0.94 - 1.77   | 2.04             | 3.23            | 0.88 -1.36    | 1.40                   | 1 16     | 0.74          | 5.04            | 0.29          | 0.66 - 1.86          | 0.21              | 0.26          |
| 0.966                           | 0.999                         | 0.988 - 0.995          | 0.997           | 0.909 - 0.969        | 0.209 - 0.973 | 0.955      | 0.956                   | 0.948            | 0.963 - 0.981 | 0.961            | 0.913           | 0.981 - 0.991 | 0.702                  | 0 060    | 0.994         | 0.904           | 0.997         | 0.960 - 0.990        | 0.999             | 0.999         |
|                                 | ۲                             |                        | ۲               |                      |               | ۲          |                         |                  |               | ۲                |                 |               |                        |          | ۲             | ۲               |               |                      | ۲                 | ۲             |
|                                 | ۲                             |                        | ۲               |                      |               |            |                         |                  |               |                  |                 |               |                        |          | ۲             |                 |               |                      |                   | ۲             |
| ۲                               | ۲                             | ۲                      | ۲               | ۲                    | ۲             | ۲          | ۲                       | ۲                | ۲             | ۲                | ۲               | ۲             | ۲                      | ۲        | ۲             | ۲               | ۲             | ۲                    | ۲                 | ۲             |
| ۲                               | ۲                             |                        | ۲               |                      |               | ۲          | ۲                       | ۲                |               | ۲                | ۲               |               | ۲                      | ۲        | ۲             | ۲               | ۲             |                      | ۲                 | ۲             |

30 November 2016, p.48 / 54

| LPO        | LMP       |                        | all                    | LJO                  |               | LEF                   |         | KZM          | KZD         | KUM                   | KEY                   | JFJ          | Ę       | 170                     | ICE:           | ICE        | IION         | HIN         | HPB              | HIL             | TITIVI        |   | HEI        |
|------------|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------|-------------------------|----------------|------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|---|------------|
| Ile Grande | Lampedusa | Lac La Divile, Albeita | I an I a Rinha Alberta | La Jolla, California |               | Park Falls, Wisconsin |         | Plateau Assy | Sary Taukum | Cape Kumukahi, Hawaii | Key Biscayne, Florida | Jungfraujoch | Islands | Izana, Tenerife, Canary | Vestmannaeyjar | Storhofdi, | TICEYIIAISAI | Uperchateal | Hohenpeissenberg | Homer, Illinois | Massachusetts | Harvard Forest,                         | Heidelberg |
| LSCE       | NOAA      | EC                     | NOAA                   | OIS                  | NOAA          | NOAA                  | NOAA    | NOAA         | NOAA        | NOAA                  | NOAA                  | KUP          | AEMET   | NOAA                    | NON            |            | HMS          | NOAA        | NOAA             | NOAA            | NOA           |   | UHEI-IUP   |
| g<br>f     | g<br>g    | ფ<br>1                 | ga<br>f                | g f                  | a p           | t i                   | d<br>60 | g f          | ga<br>I     | g<br>f                | ga<br>f               | დი<br>1.     | ଞ<br>1  | f<br>ga                 | ب<br>مر        | t)<br>T    | t i          | f<br>ga     | g<br>f           | a p             | a p           | )<br>}                                  | ອ<br>1     |
| 48.8°N     | 35.52°N   | 54.95°N                | 54.95°N                | 32.9°N               | 45.95°N       | 45.95°N               | 45.95°N | 43.25°N      | 44.08°N     | 19.52°N               | 25.67°N               | 46.55°N      | 28.31°N | 28.31°N                 | VI 04.CO       | 62 10°N    | 46.95°N      | 46.95°N     | 47.80°N          | 40.07°N         | 42.J4 IV      |   | 49.42N     |
| 3.58°W     | 12.62°E   | 112.45°W               | 112.45°W               | 117.3°W              | 90.27°W       | 90.27°W               | 90.27°W | 77.88°E      | 76.87°E     | 154.82°W              | 80.16°W               | 7.98°E       | 16.50°W | 16.50°W                 | 20.23 W        | 100 0C     | 16.65°E      | 16.65°E     | 11.02°E          | 87.91°W         | /2.1/ W       | 17071 00                                | 8.68°E     |
| 20         | 50        | 550                    | 546                    | 20                   | 600 - 4000    | 868                   | 715     | 2524         | 595         | 8                     | 6                     | 3580         | 2381    | 2378                    | IUU            | 100        | 363          | 344         | 066              | 600 - 8100      | 0010 - 000    | /00 0100                                | 146        |
| -0.43      | -0.41     | -V.J0                  | 0 28                   | 0.70                 | -0.29 - 0.53  | -0.05                 | 0.04    | 0.59         | -1.46       | -0.34                 | 0.07                  | -0.05        | V.10    | 0 16                    | -U.+U          | 0 10       | U.21         | 76 0        | 2.96             | -0.240.17       | -0.21 - 0.22  |   | -4.37      |
| 2.35       | 1.52      | T.V.J                  | 7 D3                   | 0.78                 | 1.11-3.63     | J.27                  | 00 2    | 1.62         | 2.50        | 0.77                  | 0.82                  | 1.92         | 0.07    | 0.60                    | 0.01           | 0 01       | J.20         | 00 2        | 5.03             | 0.72 - 2.98     | 0.00-2.40     | ~ | 8.17       |
| 0.93       | 0.941     | 0.712                  | 0 012                  | 0.993                | 0.927 - 0.985 | 0.939                 | 0 0 2 0 | 0.97         | 0.946       | 0.994                 | 0.993                 | 0.940        | 0.775   | 0 005                   | U.770          | 0 005      | U.7 IV       | 0.010       | 0.854            | 0.926 - 0.992   | U.YJY – U.YYI | 0.050 0.001                             | 0.855      |
|            | ۲         | ۲                      |                        |                      |               | ۲                     | ۲       | ۲            | ۲           | ۲                     | ۲                     |              |         |                         | •              | K          |              | ۲           | ۲                |                 |               |   |            |
|            | ۲         |                        | ۲                      |                      |               |                       |         | ۲            | ۲           | ۲                     | ۲                     |              |         | ۲                       | •              | K          |              | ۲           | ۲                |                 |               |   |            |
| ۲          | ۲         | ۲                      | ۲                      | ۲                    | ۲             | <b>S</b> a            | ۲       | ۲            | ۲           | ۲                     | ۲                     | ۲            | ۲       | ۲                       | ۲              | K          | ۲            | ۲           | ۲                | ۲               | ۲             |   |            |
| ۲          | ۲         | ۲                      | ۲                      | ۲                    |               | <b>S</b> a            | ۲       | ۲            | ۲           | ۲                     | ۲                     | ۲            | ۲       | ۲                       | •              | K          | ۲            | ۲           | ۲                |                 |               |   |            |

30 November 2016, p.49 / 54

| 30       |  |
|----------|--|
| November |  |
| 2016,    |  |
| p.50 /   |  |
|          |  |

| LUTLujewad, NetherlandsRUGgi53.4*N6.35EMAAMawson Station,<br>AntarcticaCSIROgf67.62S62.87EMAAHigh Altitude Global<br>CenterNOAAgf18.98*N97.31*W6MEXClimate ObservationNOAAgf18.98*N97.31*W4MEXClimate ObservationNOAAgf53.33*N9.90*W7MHDMace Head, County<br>GalwayNOAAgf0.06*S37.30*E3MIDSand Island, MidwayNOAAgf19.54*N155.58*W3MMAMauna Loa, Hawaii<br>Maacquarie IslandJMAAgf0.06*S37.30*E3MAAMacquarie IslandCSIROgf54.48*S155.58*W33NMAMasaranguapeNOAAgf54.48*S158.97*E3NMBGobabebNOAAgf23.58*S15.03*E5NMBGobabebNOAAgf23.58*S15.03*E5OBNObninskNOAAgf51.11*N36.60*E36.60*EOILOglesby, IllinoisNOAAap41.28*N88.94*W36.44*E  | 200 - 6000       -0.26 - 0.82       0.97 - 4.08       0.882 - 0.986       ✓         50       -0.44       0.32       0.992       ✓ | 200 - 6000         -0.26 - 0.82         0.97 - 4.08         0.882 - 0.986           50         -0.44         0.32         0.992 | 200 - 6000         -0.26 - 0.82         0.97 - 4.08         0.882 - 0.986           50         -0.44         0.32         0.992 | 200 - 6000         -0.26 - 0.82         0.97 - 4.08         0.882 - 0.986           50         -0.44         0.32         0.992 | 200 - 6000         -0.26 - 0.82         0.97 - 4.08           50         -0.44         0.32 | 200 - 6000 -0.26 - 0.82<br>50 -0.44 | 200 – 6000<br>50 |            | 2.5°E<br>142.82°E | 47.83°N<br>38.52°S | a f     | LSCE<br>CSIRO | Orleans<br>Otway, Victoria  | ORL<br>OTA |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| ILIT         Luijevad. Neherlands         RUG         g         i         53.4 v         63.5 v         6.1 v         -1.1 v         5.40 v         0.81 v         v         p. 54.0 v         p. 55.8 v  |   | ۲   |   |   | 0.874 - 0.964   | 0.65 - 3.17                         | -0.11-0.36       | 500-8100   | 88.94°W           | 41.28°N            | a p     | NOAA          | Oglesby, Illinois           | OIL        |
| Introduction in the state in | ۲   | ۲   |   | ۲   | 0.956   | 2.54                                | -0.35            | 484        | 36.60°E           | 55.11°N            | g f     | NOAA          | Obninsk                     | OBN        |
| IUT         Indixwad, Netherlands         RUG         g         i $54.1$ $63.5$ $61.1$ $540$ $0.81$ $veethore veethore v$   | ۲   | ۲   |   | ۲   | 0.300   | 1.10                                | U. IV            | 3528       | 105.59°W          | 40.05°N            | g 1     | NCAR          | INI WOL ININGE, COTOLANO    | V W NI     |
| Introduction         Nucl         B         I         Stafn         Gasta         Gasta <thgasta< th=""> <thgas< th=""><th>۲</th><th>۲</th><th>۲</th><th>۲</th><th>0800</th><th>1 16</th><th>0 10</th><th>3526</th><th>105.59°W</th><th>40.05°N</th><th>g<br/>f</th><th>NOAA</th><th>Niwot Ridge Colorado</th><th>NW/P</th></thgas<></thgasta<>   | ۲   | ۲   | ۲   | ۲   | 0800  | 1 16                                | 0 10             | 3526       | 105.59°W          | 40.05°N            | g<br>f  | NOAA          | Niwot Ridge Colorado        | NW/P       |
| IMT         Indigenal Netherlands         RIG         g         i         33.4 N         6.35 M         6.35 M         -3.11         5.40         0.81         9.80 Movember 2016, p.80   | ۲   | ۲   | ۲   | ۲   | 0.991   | 0.56                                | -0.31            | 461        | 15.03°E           | 23.58°S            | g f     | NOAA          | Gobabeb                     | NMB        |
| Introduction         Nuclear and sector         Nuclear and   |   | ۲   |   |   | 0.947 - 0.990   | 0.80 - 2.67                         | -0.52 - 0.14     | 200 - 8000 | 70.63°W           | 42.95°N            | a p     | NOAA          | Worcester,<br>Massachusetts | NHA        |
| Introduction         RUG         g         i $3.4$ N $6.35$ R $-3.11$ $5.40$ $0.81$ $-10.10$   | ۲   | ۲   | ۲   |   | 0.792   | 0.80                                | -0.38            | 20         | 35.26°W           | 5.51°S             | g f     | NOAA          | Maxaranguape                | NAT        |
|   | ۲   | ۲   |   | ۲   | 0.999   | 0.31                                | -0.18            | 13         | 158.97°E          | 54.48°S            | g f     | CSIRO         | Macquarie Island            | MQA        |
|   | ۲   | ۲   |   |   | 0.996   | 0.66                                | -0.07            | 28         | 153.98°E          | 24.28°N            | ອ<br>1  | JMA           | Minamitorishima             | MNM        |
| LUT       Lujewad, Netherlands       RUG       g       i $53.4$ N $6.35$ E $61$ $-3.11$ $5.40$ $0.81$ $\sim$ <   | ۲   | ۲   |   | ۲   | 0.331   | U.47                                |                  | 3437       | 155.58°W          | 19.54°N            | ອ<br>1  | NOAA          | IVIAUIIA LVA, IIAWAII       | MEO        |
| IUT       Lugwad, Netherlands       RUG       g       i       53.4 N       6.35 E       61       -3.11       5.40       0.81  | ۲   | ۲   | ۲   | ۲   | 0 007   | 0 40                                | 0 17             | 3402       | 155.58°W          | 19.54°N            | ga<br>f | NOAA          | Maina I an Uauaii           | MID        |
|   | ۲   | ۲   | ۲   | ۲   | 0.939   | 1.78                                | 0.61             | 3649       | 37.30°E           | 0.06°S             | ga<br>I | NOAA          | Mt. Kenya                   | MKN        |
| IUT       Lujewad, Netherlands       RUG       g       i       53.4 N       6.35 E       61       -3.11       5.40       0.81       v <th< th=""><th>۲</th><th>۲</th><th>۲</th><th>۲</th><th>0.994</th><th>0.80</th><th>0.18</th><th>11</th><th>177.38°W</th><th>28.21°N</th><th>g f</th><th>NOAA</th><th>Sand Island, Midway</th><th>MID</th></th<>  | ۲   | ۲   | ۲   | ۲   | 0.994   | 0.80                                | 0.18             | 11         | 177.38°W          | 28.21°N            | g f     | NOAA          | Sand Island, Midway         | MID        |
| LUT       Lufjewad, Netherlands       RUG       g       i       53.4*N       6.35*E       61       -3.11       5.40       0.81       v       je.50       54         MAA       Mawson Station,<br>Antarctica       CSIRO       g       f       67.62*S       62.87E       42       -0.27       0.24       0.999       v <th>۲</th> <th>۲</th> <th>۲</th> <th>۲</th> <th>0.993</th> <th>0.95</th> <th>-0.17</th> <th>26</th> <th>9.90°W</th> <th>53.33°N</th> <th>g f</th> <th>NOAA</th> <th>Galway</th> <th>MHD</th>   | ۲   | ۲   | ۲   | ۲   | 0.993   | 0.95                                | -0.17            | 26         | 9.90°W            | 53.33°N            | g f     | NOAA          | Galway                      | MHD        |
| LUT       Lutjewad, Netherlands       RUG       g       i       53.4*N       6.35*E       61       -3.11       5.40       0.81       v       v       v       v         MAA       Mawson Station,<br>Antarctica       CSIRO       g       f       67.62*S       62.87E       42       -0.27       0.24       0.999       v   |   |   |   |   |   |                                     |                  |            |                   |                    |         |               | Mare Head County            |            |
| LUT       Lutjewad, Netherlands       RUG       g       i       53.4*N       6.35*E       61       -3.11       5.40       0.81       v       v       v       v         MAA       Mawson Station,<br>Antarctica       CSIRO       g       f       67.62*S       62.87*E       42       -0.27       0.24       0.999       v  | ٢   | ٢   | ٢   |   | 0.937   | 1.15                                | 0.38             | 4469       | 97.31°W           | 18.98°N            | t<br>ad | NOAA          | Climate Observation         | MEX        |
| LUT       Lutjewad, Netherlands       RUG       g       i       53.4°N       6.35°E       61       -3.11       5.40       0.81       v       v       v         MAA       Mawson Station,<br>Antarctica       CSIRO       g       f       67.62°S       62.87°E       42       -0.27       0.24       0.999       v       v       v  |   |   |   |   |   |                                     |                  |            |                   |                    |         |               | High Altitude Global        |            |
| LUT       Lutjewad, Netherlands       RUG       g       i       53.4°N       6.35°E       61       -3.11       5.40       0.81       V       V         MAX       Mawson Station,       CCIDO       of       67.65°C       62.87°E       42       627       624  |   |   |   |   | 0.999   | 0.24                                | -0.2.1           | 74         | 02.07 E           | ט 20.10            | -<br>00 | COINC         | Antarctica                  | הרוא       |
| LUT       Lutjewad, Netherlands       RUG       g       i       53.4°N       6.35°E       61       -3.11       5.40       0.81       V       V  | ۲,  | \$  |   | <i>۲</i>  | 0 0 0 0   | 100                                 | 70 0             | cV         | 40 87°E           | 3°C3 L9            | т<br>Э  | CCIBO         | Mawson Station,             | M ^ /      |
| 30 November 2016, p. <b>50 / 54</b>   | ۲   | ۲   |   |   | 0.81  | 5.40                                | -3.11            | 61         | 6.35°E            | 53.4°N             | g i     | RUG           | Lutjewad, Netherlands       | LUT        |
|   | ) / 54  | p.5(  | 2016,   | ember   | 30 Nov  |                                     |                  |            |                   |                    |         |               |                             |            |

| SHM                   |               | SGP      |                       | SEY         |          | CT                  |               |                   | NIAC          | CAN         | RYO      | RTA           | RPB          | RBA                 | РТА                     | Гол        | DCV             | РОС           | PFA                | PDM         | FAL         | DAT                   | OXK        |
|-----------------------|---------------|----------|-----------------------|-------------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------|----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Shemya Island, Alaska | Chianonia     | Oblahoma | Conthern Croot Dlaing | Mahe Island | Carolina | Beech Island, South | Carolina      | Charleston, South | Salltarelli   | Costorom    | Ryori    | Rarotonga     | Ragged Point | Roof Butte, Arizona | Point Arena, California | Antarctica | Palmer Station, | Pacific Ocean | Poker Flat, Alaska | Pic Du Midi | GAW Station | Pallas-Sammaltunturi, | Ochsenkopf |
| NOAA                  | NOAA          | LBNL     | NOAA                  | NOAA        | NOAA     | NOAA                |               | NO A A            | IPEN          | NOAA        | JMA      | NOAA          | NOAA         | NCAR                | NOAA                    | NOAA       |                 | NOAA          | NOAA               | LSCE        | FMI         | NOAA                  | NOAA       |
| g f                   | a p           | 09<br>1  | g<br>f                | g f         | t i      | d<br>B              | ء<br>ب        | 2<br>7            | a f           | a p         | 00<br>1. | a p           | g f          | დ<br>1.             | g f                     | ⊢<br>œ     | t)<br>T         | s f           | a p                | g f         | 00<br>1.    | g<br>f                | g f        |
| 52.71°N               | 36.61°N       | 36.61°N  | 36.61°N               | 4.68°S      | 33.41°N  | 33.41°N             | UE. 1 1 14    | 20 77°N           | 2.85°S        | 2.85°S      | 39.03°N  | 21.25°S       | 13.16°N      | 36.46°N             | 38.95°N                 | ט 1.72 ט   | 2°00 1/9        |               | 65.07°N            | 42.94°N     | 67.97°N     | 67.97°N               | 50.03°N    |
| 174.13°E              | 97.49°W       | 97.49°W  | 97.49°W               | 55.53°E     | 81.83°W  | 81.83°W             | 17.00 W       | 70 22°M/          | 54.95°W       | 54.95°W     | 141.82°E | 159.83°W      | 59.43°W      | 109.10°W            | 123.74°W                | 04.00 W    | 64 00°W         |               | 147.29°W           | 0.14°E      | 24.12°E     | 24.12°E               | 11.81°E    |
| 28                    | 200 - 13000   | 374      | 374                   | 3           | 420      | 420                 | 0001 - 007    | 200 - 13300       | 100 - 4400    | 100 - 5200  | 280      | 15 - 6500     | 20           | 3004                | 22                      | 15         | 17              | 20            | 100 - 7600         | 2877        | 565         | 565                   | 1185       |
| -0.55                 | -0.340.03     | 0.24     | 76.0                  | -0.18       | -V.J I   |                     | -V.J7 - V.14  |                   | -0.04 - 0.00  | 0 51 0 66   | -0.47    | -0.30 - 0.12  | -0.08        | 0.04                | -2.47                   | -0.10      | 0 16            | -0.36 - 0.30  | -0.35 - 0.01       | -0.45       | -0.51       | 0 31                  | 0.03       |
| 1.05                  | 0.75 - 3.29   | ل.ل.ل    | 2 2 2                 | 0.57        | 0.70     | 3 00                | 0.00 - 2.01   | 0 56 - 2 81       | 0.47 - 2.10   | 21 C T/ O   | 1.65     | 0.36-0.51     | 0.42         | 1.13                | 2.93                    | 0.21       | 70 0            | 0.31 - 0.55   | 0.73 - 0.97        | 1.73        | 2.UJ        | د م<br>د              | 3.84       |
| 0.992                 | 0.849 - 0.984 | 0.710    | 0 012                 | 0.996       | 0.000    | 0 8 20              | 0.712 - 0.774 | 0 012 _ 0 004     | U.YJJ – U.YYU | 0.025 0.006 | 0.977    | 0.998 - 0.999 | 866'0        | 0.928               | 0.93                    | 0.333      | 0 0 0 0         | 0.994 - 0.998 | 0.990 - 0.995      | 0.971       | 0.970       | C C D D               | 0.889      |
| ۲                     |               | ۲        | ۲                     | ۲           | ۲        |                     |               |                   |               |             |          |               | ۲            |                     | ۲                       | •          | <               | ۲             |                    |             |             | ۲                     | ۲          |
| ۲                     |               |          | ۲                     | ۲           |          |                     |               |                   |               |             |          |               | ۲            |                     | ۲                       | •          | K               | ۲             |                    |             |             | ٢                     | ۲          |
| ۲                     | ۲             | ۲        | ۲                     | ۲           | ۲        | ۲                   |               | ۲.                | ۲             | ۲           | ۲        | ۲             | ۲            | ۲                   | ۲                       | •          | <               | ۲             | ٢                  | ۲           | ۲           | ۲                     | ۲          |
| ۲                     |               | ۲        | ۲                     | ۲           | ۲<br>۵   | ۲                   |               |                   |               |             | ۲        |               | ۲            | ۲                   | ۲                       | •          | K               | ۲             |                    | ۲           | ۲           | ۲                     | ۲          |

30 November 2016, p.51 / 54

| ω   |
|-----|
| 0   |
|     |
| Z   |
| 0   |
| ₹   |
| Q   |
| Β.  |
| 5   |
| O.  |
| 7   |
| N   |
| õ   |
| Ē   |
| 6   |
| ,   |
|     |
| 0   |
| in  |
| Ň   |
|     |
| ~   |
| / h |

| TRN     | TOT To          |               | THD           | TGC           | TDF                        | ТАР Та          | 010        | SAU S         | SUM     | STR Star                              | STM 0          |         | CDO Cont          |            | SPL (L         | Storr             | SNP She                  | OMG      | CMO      | SIS SIS         |
|---------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|---------|---------------------------------------|----------------|---------|-------------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------|-----------------|
| Trainou | oronto, Ontario | California    | rinidad Head, | Sinton, Texas | erra Del Fuego,<br>Ushuaia | e-ahn Peninsula | Antarctica | yowa Station, | Summit  | ıtro Tower, San<br>ıcisco, California | cean Station M |         | h Dole Antarctica | Institute) | esert Research | n Peak Laboratory | nandoah National<br>Park | I GIUIIA | Tutuila  | hetland Islands |
| LSCE    | EC              | NOAA          | NOAA          | NOAA          | NOAA                       | NOAA            | NIPR       | NOAA          | NOAA    | NOAA                                  | NOAA           | NOAA    | NOAA              |            | NCAR           |                   | NOAA                     | NOAA     | NOAA     | CSIRO           |
| t i     | g 1             | a p           | ga<br>f       | a p           | g f                        | g f             | ପ୍ର<br>1   | g<br>f        | g f     | d 3                                   | g f            | g 1     | ga<br>f           |            | ଡ<br>1         |                   | t i                      | g<br>1   | g f      | g f             |
| 47.97°N | 43.78°N         | 41.05°N       | 41.05°N       | 27.73°N       | 54.85°S                    | 36.74°N         | 69.00°S    | 69.00°S       | 72.60°N | 37.76°N                               | 66.00°N        | 89.98°S | 89.98°S           |            | 40.45°N        |                   | 38.62°N                  | 14.25°S  | 14.25°S  | 60.09°N         |
| 2.11°E  | 79.47°W         | 124.15°W      | 124.15°W      | 96.86°W       | 68.31°W                    | 126.13°E        | 39.58°E    | 39.58°E       | 38.42°W | 122.45°W                              | 2.00°E         | 24.80°W | 24.80°W           |            | 106.73°W       |                   | 78.35°W                  | 170.56°W | 170.56°W | 1.25°W          |
| 311     | 218             | 200 - 8100    | 112           | 200 - 8100    | 32                         | 16              | 21         | 11            | 3215    | 486                                   | 7              | 2821    | 2821              |            | 3219           |                   | 1025                     | 60       | 47       | 30              |
| 1.25    | -2.24           | -0.30 - 0.16  | -1.24         | -0.290.08     | -0.29                      | -1.47           | 0.20       | 5C U_         | -0.08   | -1.97                                 | -0.08          | 0.10    | L0 15             |            | -0.52          |                   | -0.35                    | 0.10     | 16       | 0.11            |
| 4.46    | 2.91            | 0.60 - 1.02   | 2.4           | 0.54 - 1.85   | 0.46                       | 2.65            | 0.10       | 0.18          | 0.84    | 4.86                                  | 0.95           | 0.12    | 0 1 2             |            | 1.59           |                   | 2.61                     | V.J1     | 0 3 1    | 0.73            |
| 0.886   | 0.961           | 0.987 - 0.992 | 0.934         | 0.950 - 0.995 | 0.997                      | 0.953           | 1.000      | 1 000         | 0.994   | 0.721                                 | 0.991          | 1.000   | 1 000             |            | 0.949          |                   | 0.926                    | 0.777    | 0 0 0 0  | 0.986           |
|         |                 |               | ۲             |               | ۲                          | ۲               |            | ۲             | ۲       | ۲                                     | ۲              | ۲       | ۲                 |            | ۲              |                   | ۲                        | ۲        | ۲        | ۲               |
|         |                 |               | ۲             |               | ۲                          | ۲               |            | ۲             | ۲       |                                       | ۲              |         | ۲                 |            |                |                   |                          |          | ۲        |                 |
| ۲       |                 | ۲             | ۲             | ۲             | ۲                          | ۲               | ۲          | ۲             | ۲       | ۲                                     | ۲              | ۲       | ۲                 |            | ٢              |                   | 5                        | ۲        | ۲        | ۲               |
| ۲       |                 |               | ۲             |               | ۲                          | ۲               | ۲          | ۲             | ۲       | ٢                                     | ۲              | ۲       | ۲                 |            | ۲              |                   | 5                        | ۲        | ۲        | ۲               |

| 30       |
|----------|
| November |
| 2016,    |
| p.53 /   |
| 54       |

| 1 a        | iderec     | 2; cons | 1., 2012 | kage (Turnbull et a | mable flask pack         | uous; p, program | flask; i, contir | nipboard; f, | tower; s, sł | a, aircraft; t, | : g, surface; | orm and sampling method      | 1. Platfc |
|------------|------------|---------|----------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| ۲          | ۲          | ٢       | ۲        | 0.995               | 0.86                     | -0.02            | 479              | 11.89°E      | 78.91°N      | g f             | NOAA          | Ny-Alesund, Svalbard         | ZEP       |
| ۲          | ۲          |         |          | 86.0                | 1.44                     | -0.48            | 50               | 123.02°E     | 24.47°N      | g 1.            | JMA           | Yonagunijima                 | YON       |
| ۲          | ۲          |         | ۲        | 0.955               | 2.27                     | -0.46            | 30               | 60.02°W      | 43.93°N      |                 | EC            | Sable Island, Nova<br>Scotia | WSA       |
| ۲          | ۲          | ۲       | ۲        | 0.987               | 1.10                     | -0.33            | 3815             | 100.90°E     | 36.29°N      | g f             | NOAA          | Mt. Waliguan                 | WLG       |
| <b>S</b> a | ۲<br>a     |         | ۲        | 0.075               | 2.00                     | 0.10             | 708              | 97.33°W      | 31.31°N      | t i             | NOAA          | INTOORY, I CAAS              |           |
| ۲          | ۲          |         | ۲        | 0 802               | 88 6                     | 0.16             | 708              | 97.33°W      | 31.31°N      | d<br>B          | NOAA          | Mondy Tayac                  | WKT       |
|            | •          |         | •        | 0.772               | 1./ J                    | -0.20            | 402              | טד./0 ב      |              | Cr.             |               | Desert                       | CT AA     |
| ٢          | ٢          | ٢       | ٢        | 0 073               | 1 73                     | 20.02            | C81              | 34 78°E      | N∘98 UE      | e<br>t          |               | WIS Station, Negev           | WIC       |
| <b>S</b> a | <b>S</b> a |         | ۲        | 0.721               | <b>+</b> .رر             | -0.33            | 483              | 121.49°W     | 38.27°N      | t i             | NOAA          | California                   |           |
| ۲          | ۲          |         | ۲        | 0 771               | 7 22                     | 000              | 91               | 121.49°W     | 38.27°N      | d<br>8          | NOAA          | Walnut Grove,                | WGC       |
|            | ۲          |         |          | 0.915 - 0.991       | 0.64 - 2.77              | -0.130.03        | 600 - 8200       | 91.35°W      | 41.72°N      | a p             | NOAA          |                              |           |
| <b>S</b> a | <b>S</b> a |         | ۲        | 0.007               | <b>+</b> .∪ <del>+</del> | -0.20            | 621              | 91.35°W      | 41.72°N      | t i             | NOAA          | West Branch, Iowa            | WBI       |
| ۲          | ٢          |         | ۲        | 7880                | A 0A                     | 20.02            | 621              | 91.35°W      | 41.72°N      | d<br>B          | NOAA          |                              |           |
| ۲          | ۲          | ۲       | ۲        | 0.967               | 2.12                     | -0.71            | 1012             | 111.10°E     | 44.45°N      | g f             | NOAA          | Ulaan Uul                    | UUM       |
| ۲          | ۲          | ۲       | ۲        | 0.969               | 1.76                     | 0.08             | 1332             | 113.72°W     | 39.90°N      | g f             | NOAA          | Wendover, Utah               | UTA       |
|            | ۲          |         |          | 0.975 - 0.980       | 0.11 - 1.18              | -0.12 - 0.36     | 1500 - 6000      | 106.0°E      | 47.4°N       | a p             | NOAA          | Ulaanbaatar                  | ULB       |

flask sampling method in this study).

<u>ب</u> These parameters may change over time; only the most current information is listed in the table.

. ω Temporal data selection applied in Case SEL and Case NA: a, only afternoon mean was used; n, only nighttime mean was used.

| с.       |
|----------|
| Õ        |
| November |
| 2016,    |
| p.54     |
| 54       |

| Case                | Total | Surface flask | Surface in situ | Tower | Shipboard | Aircraft |
|---------------------|-------|---------------|-----------------|-------|-----------|----------|
| <b>Control case</b> | 154   | 82            | 33              | 10    | 2         | 27       |
| Case CT             | 90    | 67            | 14              | 8     | 1         | 0        |
| Case NF             | 61    | 60            | 0               | 0     | 1         | 0        |
| Case SEL            | 151   | 81            | 31              | 10    | 2         | 27       |
| Case NA             | 124   | 81            | 31              | 10    | 2         | 0        |

----- مالا الله control case, Case SEL, and Case NA. a) nd Case NF; and b)

| <u>a)</u>           |                |            |            |       |
|---------------------|----------------|------------|------------|-------|
| Case                | Number of data | Bias (ppm) | RMSE (ppm) | R     |
| <b>Control case</b> | 171,641        | 0.21       | 1.34       | 0.962 |
| Case CT             | 78,821         | 0.25       | 1.66       | 0.958 |
| Case NF             | 28,578         | 0.23       | 1.07       | 0.974 |
| <b>b</b> )          |                |            |            |       |
| Case                | Number of data | Bias (ppm) | RMSE (ppm) | R     |
| <b>Control case</b> | 171,641        | 0.21       | 1.34       | 0.962 |
| <b>Case SEL</b>     | 156,549        | 0.18       | 1.29       | 0.963 |
| Case NA             | 115,082        | 0.20       | 1.53       | 0.958 |



Averaged  $\rm{CO}_2$  concentration for time t - 2days at the end point locations of all the FLEXPART particles.



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of GELCA inverse modeling framework



**Figure 2.** Illustration of the inversion process employed in this study. The t indicates the time step on monthly basis. The modelled  $CO_2$  concentrations  $z_{mod}$  are sum of the back-ground concentrations  $z_b$  and the presubtracted concentrations  $z_p$  calculated by GELCA. In each inversion cycle, the modelled concentrations are compared to observations  $z_{ob}$  and the state vector s is optimized within a 3-month window. Optimized fluxes are incorporated into the background concentration ( $z_b$ ') before calculating for the next time step. The number of asterisks in the upper right of s shows how many times a set of monthly fluxes has been optimized previously from past cycles. The prime in the upper right of  $z_b$  means that the  $z_b$  has been updated. The dashed arrows mean monthly calculations by GELCA.



Figure 3. Definitions of the 64 regions used in the inversion



**Figure 4.** Map showing the observation site locations of the different site selection cases: (a) control case (all symbols), Case SEL (green symbols removed), and Case NA (red symbols removed); (b) Case CT and (c) Case NF. Symbol shapes indicate the type of sampling:  $\circ$ , surface discrete; +, surface continuous;  $\mathbf{V}$ , ship;  $\diamond$ , aircraft.



**Figure 5.** Comparison of global annual mean posterior fluxes: (a) net, (b) land biosphere, and (c) ocean. (d) Multivariate ENSO Index (MEI) (Wolter and Timlin, 1993) for 2002–2011. Positive fluxes indicate emission and negative fluxes indicate uptake. In (a), the global annual mean atmospheric  $CO_2$  growth rate is shown with net fluxes. The  $CO_2$  growth rate in ppm are converted to the emission rates in Pg of carbon with a conversion factor of 2.12 PgC ppm<sup>-1</sup> via simple molecular weight considerations. In (b) and (c), the global annual mean prior fluxes for land biosphere and ocean are shown, respectively.

a) control case

e) control case























Figure 6. Decadal mean (2002–2011) spatial distributions of posterior fluxes for (a-c) land and (e-g) ocean regions: (a, e) control case, (b, f) Case CT, (c, g) Case NF. Prior fluxes from the (d) land biosphere and (h) ocean. Positive fluxes indicate emission and negative fluxes indicate uptake. Note that the scale is different in land and oceanic fluxes.



Figure 7. Uncertainty reductions by region: (a) control case, (b) Case CT, and (c) Case NF.



**Figure 8.** Model-data mismatch for observation sites after inversion: (a) control case, (b) Case CT, (c) Case NF. The color and size of the colored circles indicate the bias and the RMSE, respectively. The size of the open circles indicates the prior uncertainty value.

## a) control case





b) Case SEL



**Figure 9.** Comparison of decadal mean (2002–2011) spatial distributions of posterior fluxes for the land biosphere (left panels) and ocean (right panels): (a) control case, (b) Case SEL, (c) Case NA. Positive fluxes indicate emission and negative fluxes indicate uptake. Note that the scale is different in land and oceanic fluxes.



Figure 10 (a) Prior and posterior land fluxes and (b) uncertainty reduction (UR) in tropical Asia (Region 33) in the control case, Case CT, and Case NF. Positive fluxes indicate emission and negative fluxes indicate uptake.



-0.1 -0.15 -0.2

Region No. 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64

N.

Ocean

Atlantic Ocean

Pacific Ocean



Indian.

Ocean

S.

Ocean

# (a) 990 hPa









**Figure 12.** Annually averaged CO<sub>2</sub> distributions (ppm) at (a)990 hPa, (b)500 hPa, (c)250 hPa calculated from each monthly pulse emission from Tropical Asia (Region 33) in 2008.



**Figure 13.** Monthly mean land biosphere posterior fluxes (control case - red; Case NA - green) and prior fluxes (VISIT - gray), averaged over 2002–2011. Positive fluxes indicate emission and negative fluxes indicate uptake.





**Figure S1.** The footprint of 2-day backward trajectory simulation by FLEXPART in (a) January and (b) July 2009, for the ground observation dataset used in the control case in this study (obspack\_co2\_1\_PROTOTYPE\_v1.0.3\_2013-01-29).



**Figure S2.**  $CO_2$  time series at (a) Ochsenkopf (OXK) and (b) Pic du Midi (PDM) simulated by GELCA (red circle) and NIES-TM (blue circle), along with the observations (gray circle).