Improving Soil Moisture Estimation through the Joint Assimilation of SMOS and GRACE Satellite Observations

Manuela Girotto

George Mason University, Department of Civil, Environmental, and Infrastructure Engineering

March 28th 2018

manuela.girotto@nasa.gov

Outline

- Introduction & Motivations
- GRACE-DA (Downscaling GRACE Observations)
- SMOS(SMAP)-DA
- Joint Assimilation of SMOS+GRACE
- Conclusions & Future Directions

Importance of Soil Moisture and Groundwater

Weather & Climate Forecasts



Agricultural Productivity

- Enhance weather and climate forecast skills
- Improve agricultural practices
- Improve flood prediction and drought monitoring

- Economic impacts
- Link between water, energy, carbon at the land surface

Importance of Soil Moisture and Groundwater



RESERVOIR	VOLUME (KM^3)	RESIDENCE TIME
Oceans	1,322,000,000	2500 years
Ice caps & glaciers	29,199,700	-
Groundwater (near-surface)	4,171,400	8 years
Lakes & Rivers	130,700	88 days
Soil Moisture	66,700	47 days
Atmosphere	12,900	9 days

<u>Soil Moisture (SM) vs. Groundwater (GW):</u>

SM smaller volumes & more temporally dynamic than GW

A look at these from Space?

Soil Moisture ← SMOS/SMAP
Groundwater ← GRACE [?]

Soil Moisture From Space

*Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity (**SMOS**) Mission*



- L-band at multiple incidence angles
- Lauched: Nov. 2009
- ~40 km resolution

Advantages:

- Tb (L-band,1.4GHz) depends on soil moisture
- Frequent observations (e.g., global coverage **every 2-3 days**)
- Good horizontal resolution (**40km**)

Disadvantages:

- Only sensitive to soil moisture of **surface** layer (i.e., ~<5cm)

What about rootzone and groundwater?

*Soil Moisture Active Passive (**SMAP**)*



- L-band (active)/passive
- Launch: 31 Jan 2015
- (3)-40 km resolution

Groundwater from Space: GRACE?



GRACE = Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment



$$F_1 = F_2 = G\frac{m_1 \times m_2}{r^2}$$

- Gravity = *f*(mass)
- Gravity varies in **space** (e.g., mountains = more mass)
- Gravity can be measured with two satellite one running after the other [range-rate observations] → GRACE!

Groundwater from Space: GRACE?



- Gravity varies in **time**
- Water changes the Earth's mass
- Mass changes the gravity field (in **space** and **time**)
- GRACE observations: monthly **TWS** anomalies

Gravity (GRACE) can monitor where the water is now and how it is changing over time

Groundwater from Space: GRACE?



TWS = Terrestrial Water Storage

[sum of groundwater, unsaturated soil moisture profile, snow, vegetation storage]

Advantages:

- Unique Mission: can see beyond the surface

Applications:

- Ice Melt Loss [e.g., Antarctica & Greenland]
- Droughts [e.g., Texas, California]
- Groundwater Depletion [e.g., India]
- Sea Level Rise

→ **Scales used for global mass balances**

Groundwater from Space: GRACE?



Disadvantages:

- Column integrated [**no partitioning into storages**]
- **Coarse horizontal resolution** [300-400 km]
- **Coarse temporal resolution** [monthly]
- Strong spatial error correlations

Scales used for global mass balances

?

Downscaling:

- **Horizontal**
- **Temporal**
- **Vertical**

Scales that are more useful for hydrological applications

Groundwater from Space: GRACE?



Disadvantages:

- Column integrated [**no partitioning into storages**]
- **Coarse horizontal resolution** [300-400 km]
- **Coarse temporal resolution** [monthly]
- Strong spatial error correlations

Scales used for global mass balances

DATA ASSIMILATION

Downscaling:
- **Horizontal**
- **Temporal**
- **Vertical**

Scales that are more useful for hydrological applications

Outline

- Introduction & Motivations
- GRACE-DA (Downscaling GRACE Observations)
- SMOS(SMAP)-DA
- Joint Assimilation of SMOS+GRACE
- Conclusions & Future Directions

Data assimilation (DA)



The Key Idea of DA:

- Estimates of a Specific Phenomena can be obtained form **Model** & **Observations**
- Neither are perfect
- Use them in combination to optimize the estimates

Data assimilation (DA) for hydrology



Observations
- Satellite Remote Sensing
- Insitu Observations
- Airborne Observations

The Key Idea of DA:

- Estimates of a Specific Phenomena can be obtained form **Model** & **Observations**
- Neither are perfect
- Use them in combination to optimize the estimates

Apply DA using SMOS and GRACE observations to estimate soil moisture profile

GRACE data assimilation (DA) as a downscaling approach

Catchment Land Surface Model (CLSM)

- **“High” spatial and temporal resolutions**
  - 36 km (vs. 300-400 km)
  - Hourly/daily (vs. monthly)
- MERRA (&MERRA-2) forcings

- **Soil Moisture Profile:**

[1] catdef (**i.e., groundwater**)

[2] rzexc

[3] srfexc

- Other water storages:

[4-6] snow [7] canopy

* Note: missing lakes and river storages



Modeled (predicted) TWS ← ***f*** **([1], [2], [3], [4-6], [7])**

Observed (GRACE) TWS (Coarse scales) —**DA**→ **[1], [2], [3], [4-6], [7]** (model, fine scales)

Take advantage of the model structures to downscale GRACE observations

GRACE DA: Two-Steps Ensemble Kalman Filter

[1] Conduct 1 month forecast ensemble integration without assimilation

[2] Calculate model terrestrial water storage (TWS) observation prediction (space and temporal aggregation)

[3] Calculate the increments via ensemble Kalman filter analysis

[4] Rewind and apply increments repeat from [1] for the next month.

How to compute analysis for a *monthly-averaged* observations?

Girotto et al., (2016) WRR

GRACE DA: Temporal Aggregation and Downscaling



- Calculation of the increment as an average (i.e., "**monthly increment**")
- Application of the increment as an **initial condition** at the beginning of the month
- **Downscaling** the observed TWS from monthly to model **temporal** resolution (i.e., daily)
- Day-to-day **variability** [largest in surface soil moisture]

DA should better represent the monthly signature of the assimilated GRACE-TWS observations

GRACE DA: Vertical Downscaling



Soil Moisture Profile:
[1] catdef
(**i.e., groundwater level**)
[2] rzexc
[3] srfexc

Other water storages:
[4-6] snow [7] canopy



- Catdef dominates profile increments

(i.e., largest GRACE-DA impact in **groundwater**)
Use GRACE-DA to update catdef (i.e., the groundwater only!)

GRACE DA: Vertical & Horizontal Downscaling

Scales used for global mass balances (~300-400 km)

Scales that are more useful for hydrological applications (36 km)

TYPICAL MONTHLY ABSOLUTE INCREMENTS (2003-2015)

GRACE TWS observations



Obs – Forecasts TWS [z- M(x)]



DATA ASSIMILATION



- **Horizontally downscaled TWS**
- Typical monthly increments:
  srfexc = 0.63 mm
  rzexc = 0.54 mm
  catdef = 15.30 mm
- Largest impact in (catdef) groundwater (residence time?)

*Scaling the observations prior to DA: Unbiased observations to match model climatology (long-term mean and standard deviation)

Girotto et al., (2016) WRR

GRACE Data Assimilation: Validation

Soil Moisture:
- 157 SCAN (Soil and Climate Analysis Network)
- 95 USCRN (U.S. Climate Reference Network)
- 4 Cal/Val USDA sites

- Surface (0-5 cm)
- Rootzone (0-100 cm)

Groundwater:
- 136 USGS (Unconfined aquifer only)

Statistical Methods:
Skill: Anomalies Correlations
Monthly values Jan. 2003 - Dec. 2013

Bulk Statistics



$\Delta R = R_{DA} - R_{OL}$ [BLUE = DA better than OL]



GRACE-DA

- Improves groundwater estimates
- Mixed results for root-zone and surface soil moisture (Short memory? Small increments?)

→ Add soil moisture (SMOS/SMAP)?

Outline

- Introduction & Motivations
- GRACE-DA (Downscaling GRACE Observations)
- SMOS(SMAP)-DA
- Joint Assimilation of SMOS+GRACE
- Conclusions & Future Directions

SMOS(SMAP) to help with surface soil moisture?

SMOS/SMAP Brightness Temperature (Tb)



Models

- Catchment Land Surface Model
  - ➢ Estimate surface soil moisture, temperature

- Radiative Transfer Model (*De Lannoy et al., 2013*)
  - ➢ Estimate Tb [e.g., to compare with observed Tb]

Data Assimilation

For one location *k*, one time step *i*, one ensemble member *j*:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k,i}^{j+} = \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k,i}^{j-} + \mathbf{K}_{k,i}[\mathbf{y}_i^j - \hat{\mathbf{y}}_i^{j-}]$$

$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k,i}^{j}$ Soil moisture, temperature (signs -: forecasts, +analysis)

$\mathbf{K}_{k,i}$ Kalman gain

$\mathbf{y}_i^j$ Tb SMOS/SMAP observations

$\hat{\mathbf{y}}_i^{j-}$ Tb forecast in observation space

Continuous estimates of surface, rootzone, soil moisture (+ others)

Every 3-hrs, 9 km (SMAP_L4)
- 36 km (this presentation)

SMOS(SMAP) to help with surface soil moisture?

Differences in satellite-observed and simulated brightness temperatures (Tb) result in updates to model variables:

- *Surface and rootzone soil moisture*
- *Soil temperature*



De Lannoy and Reichle 2016

SMOS(SMAP) to help with surface soil moisture?

Soil Moisture:
- 157 SCAN (Soil and Climate Analysis Network)
- 95 USCRN (U.S. Climate Reference Network)
- 4 Cal/Val USDA sites

- Surface (0-5 cm)
- Rootzone (0-100 cm)

Groundwater:
- 136 USGS (Unconfined aquifer only)

Statistical Methods:
Skill: Anomalies Correlations
Monthly values Jan. 2003 - Dec. 2013

Bulk Statistics



$\Delta R = R_{DA} - R_{OL}$ [BLUE = DA better than OL]



SMOS-DA
- Beneficial for surface and root zone soil moisture
- But has degraded groundwater

→ What if we incorporate both GRACE+SMOS observations together?

GRACE Data Assimilation: Validation

Soil Moisture:
- 157 SCAN (Soil and Climate Analysis Network)
- 95 USCRN (U.S. Climate Reference Network)
- 4 Cal/Val USDA sites

- Surface (0-5 cm)
- Rootzone (0-100 cm)

Groundwater:
- 136 USGS (Unconfined aquifer only)

Statistical Methods:
Skill: Anomalies Correlations
Monthly values Jan. 2003 - Dec. 2013

Bulk Statistics



$\Delta R = R_{DA} - R_{OL}$ [BLUE = DA better than OL]



GRACE-DA
- Improves groundwater estimates
- Mixed results for root-zone and surface soil moisture (Short memory? Small increments?)

→ Add soil moisture (SMOS/SMAP)?

Outline

- Introduction & Motivations
- GRACE-DA (Downscaling GRACE Observations)
- SMOS(SMAP)-DA
- Joint Assimilation of SMOS+GRACE
- Conclusions & Future Directions

GRACE+SMOS Data Assimilation: Method

What if we incorporate both GRACE+SMOS observations together?



Girotto et al., (in prep.)

GRACE+SMOS Data Assimilation: Vertical Structure of the Updates



- The uncertainty of **top (surface)** water storages is mostly reduced because of the assimilation of SMOS
- The uncertainty of **bottom** water storages is mostly reduced because of the assimilation of GRACE
- The combination of the two observations keeps the uncertainty reduction on both **surface and deeper** storages.

Girotto et al., (in prep.)

GRACE+SMOS Data Assimilation: Method



- Example loc in Idaho
- GRACE DA only increments in catdef (i.e., groundwater)
- GRACE DA calculates year-round increments.
- SMOS DA increments only for warm months (i.e., no frozen soil)
- Srfexc & rzexc increments agree in terms of directions

Girotto et al., (in prep.)

GRACE+SMOS Data Assimilation: Method



- catdef increments similar to GRACE DA
- srfexc & rzexc incr. similar to SMOS DA

→ Characteristics of the univariate assimilations are maintained in the joint system

- Anti-correlation between increments brought about GRACE & SMOS

→ Fighting observations?

Girotto et al., (in prep.)

GRACE+SMOS Data Assimilation: Validation



Best estimates when both SMOS & GRACE obs. are assimilated!

Girotto et al., (in prep.)

GRACE+SMOS Data Assimilation: Validation



GRACE DA

- Improves groundwater estimates
- Mixed results for root-zone and surface soil moisture

SMOS DA

- Improves surface and root zone soil moisture
- It degrades groundwater

SMOS+GRACE DA

- Improves surface and root zone soil moisture
- it maintains high skills vs. TWS
- It overcomes the degradation of groundwater

MERGING SMOS+GRACE LEAD TO THE BEST RESULTS!

Girotto et al., (in prep.)

Outline

- Introduction & Motivations
- GRACE-DA (Downscaling GRACE Observations)
- SMOS(SMAP)-DA
- Joint Assimilation of SMOS+GRACE
- Conclusions & Future Directions

Soil Moisture Profile Estimates

GRACE DA

- GRACE DA primarily affects groundwater and has smaller impacts on soil moisture
- GRACE DA leads to improve groundwater

SMOS DA

- SMOS DA is mostly beneficial to improve surface soil moisture
- SMOS DA marginally improves rootzone soil moisture
- SMOS DA leads to minimal changes in the groundwater

Joint SMOS+GRACE DA

- The entire soil moisture profile is improved when both SMOS & GRACE observations are used jointly

GRACE DA as a Downscaling Method

- Vertical: [from TWS to the various water storage compartments (e.g., groundwater, etc.)]
- Horizontal: [from 300-400 km to 36 km increments]
- Temporal: [from monthly to daily]

Impacts onto the Vertical Profile

- GRACE, SMOS, and the joint assimilations decrease model uncertainties
- GRACE affects deepest moisture storages
- SMOS affects shallower moisture storages

- There is an anti-correlation between increments brought about the GRACE and SMOS

The best hydrology can be achieved for when both observation types are assimilated jointly

Thanks for your attention!

Improving Soil Moisture Estimation through the Joint Assimilation of SMOS and GRACE Satellite Observations

Manuela Girotto

manuela.girotto@nasa.gov

Outline

- Introduction & Motivations
- Downscaling GRACE Observations (GRACE-DA)
- GRACE-DA & Anthropogenic Hydrological Processes
- Conclusions & Future Directions

GRACE+SMOS Data Assimilation: Vertical Structure of the Updates







Grab this from the science snapshot?
Key points

Girotto et al., (in prep.)

GRACE DA: Vertical & Spatial downscaling

Impact of TWS to the single storages (e.g., rootzone soil moisture)



- Data Assimilation is better than Open Loop (model only) at this location

GRACE+SMOS Data Assimilation: Validation



Girotto et al., (in prep.)

GRACE Data Assimilation: Trends and Anomalies in TWS



- GRACE DA fails to adjust for dry conditions [2011-2016] → **known (model) depth to bedrock issue**!
- **Improved anomalies agreement between assimilation and observed TWS (and GW)**