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The Role of Adsorbate Size on Adsorption of Ne and Xe on Graphite
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School of Chemical Engineering
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St Lucia, QLD, 4072
Australia

Abstract

We have carried out an extensive grand canonical Monte Carlo simulation to investigate the adsorption of neon and xenon on graphite. The adsorbate collision diameters of neon and xenon are smaller and greater respectively, than the commensurate graphite lattice spacing \( \lambda = \left( \sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3} \right) R \) of 0.426 nm. Simulated isotherms and isosteric heats were obtained using a graphite model that has been shown to describe successfully the adsorbate transitions for krypton, methane and nitrogen [1], which have collision diameters close to \( \lambda \). Neon does not exhibit commensurate (C) packing because the gain in the intermolecular potential interactions in the incommensurate (IC) packing when molecules move away from carbon hexagon centres, does not compensate for the increase in the solid-fluid potential energy. Xenon, on the other hand, exhibits IC packing because its molecular size is greater than \( \lambda \). Nevertheless, at a sufficiently high chemical potential, the first layer of xenon changes from the IC to C packing (in contrast to what is observed for krypton, nitrogen and methane). This transition occurs because the decrease in the xenon intermolecular interactions is sufficiently compensated by the increase in the solid-fluid interaction, and the increase in the fluid-fluid interactions between molecules in the first layer and those in the second layer. This finding is supported by the X-ray diffraction study [2, 3].
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Graphical abstract:

Snapshot of Xe adsorption on the new graphite model at 65K for different loadings:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IC Packing of first layer</th>
<th>C Packing of first layer</th>
<th>First layer as quasi-surface</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Highlights

- Correct description of the transition from fluid to incommensurate (IC) packing for neon
- For xenon, the transition from fluid to incommensurate (IC), followed by commensurate (C) packing
- The IC-to-C transition of xenon is opposite to the C-to-IC transition observed with Kr, N₂, CH₄
- The graphite model accounts for corrugation and anisotropy in polarizability
- Characteristic curve of the isosteric heat versus loading as a function of temperature
1 Introduction

The adsorption of simple gases on graphite has been extensively studied, including Xe [4-7], Ne [8-10], Kr [5, 11-13], Ar [14-16], N₂ [16-18], the oxides of carbon [19, 20] and hydrocarbons, including CH₄ [21, 22], C₂H₄ [23, 24] and benzene [25]. A phenomenon of interest is the ordering transitions of the adsorbate in the monolayer coverage region. These transitions are complex functions of the size and shape of the adsorbate and temperature, as well as the interplay between the adsorbate-adsorbate interaction and the adsorbate-adsorbent interaction. In many simulation studies, the adsorbent is represented by the 10-4-3 continuum model (with Crowell-Steele molecular parameters for the carbon atom in a graphene layer) to describe the interactions between adsorbate molecules and graphite. However, this model is unable to show the experimentally observed commensurate structure of the first layer for simple adsorbates [34-36]. For example, it fails to describe the sub-step in the experimental isotherm of nitrogen at its boiling point (77K) and the corresponding cusp and spike in the plot of the isosteric heat versus loading [1, 26].

Recently we proposed a model for graphite [1, 27], which goes beyond the homogeneous model [28], and achieves better agreement between simulation results and experimental data, particularly the transition from the commensurate (C) to incommensurate (IC) packing (Figure 1). The C-packing has a \((\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})R30^\circ\) lattice spacing of 0.426 nm and an adsorbate density of 10.56 μmol/m², and the IC structure has 2D-hexagonal packing of adsorbate molecules and the adsorbate density depends on the size of the adsorbate.

The new model takes into account:

1. The energetic corrugation parallel to the adsorvent surface, due to the discrete configuration of carbon atoms.
2. The difference between the polarizabilities of a carbon atom in graphite, parallel and normal to the graphene surface.
3. The difference in the interlayer spacing between the top two graphene layers and that of the underneath layers.
4. The smaller collision diameter and greater well depth of interaction energy function for a carbon atom in the outermost layer, and those in the inner layers.

We designate this new graphite model as the corrugation-anisotropy (CA). It has recently been demonstrated that it can give excellent agreement with the various transitions in the
adsorption isotherms of N\textsubscript{2} [1], Ar [27], Kr and CH\textsubscript{4}, as well as the cusp and spike in the plots of the isosteric heat versus loading.

![Figure 1](image-url)

**Figure 1** – A schematic diagram of the commensurate and incommensurate packing of single-site molecules on a graphite surface (LC-C is the carbon-carbon bond length)

Other adsorbates from our previous studies (Kr, CH\textsubscript{4}, and N\textsubscript{2}) have intermolecular separations at the LJ potential minimum (2\textsuperscript{1/6}\textsigma_{ff}) that are less than the commensurate lattice spacing of 0.426 nm, and therefore can form C packed layers. Argon, with a minimum separation far less than the commensurate distance is an exception. The potential barrier height between hexagon sites is insufficient to overcome the decrease in the fluid-fluid interactions for argon and therefore argon does not form a commensurate layer [27].

To complete our study of the transitions in the adsorption of noble gases on graphite, this work focuses on the adsorption of xenon and neon, which have, respectively, very strong and very weak interactions with graphite (*Figure 2*), but also have molecular diameters that are much smaller and much larger than the commensurate distance.

Adsorption of xenon on exfoliated graphite has been extensively studied by Thomy and Duval [4, 5] for temperatures in the range 97-117 K and by Suzanne et al. [6, 7] for a lower range of temperatures 85-102 K. The reported experimental 2D-triple-point and critical temperatures are 100 K and 117 K, respectively (see *Table 1*). The isotherms of Thomy and Duval exhibit first-order transitions from gas to liquid (G-L) and liquid to solid (L-S), while those of Suzanne et al. exhibit a first order transition from gas to solid (G-S). The separation
between a pair of xenon molecules at the LJ potential minimum is $2^{1/6} \sigma_{ff} = 0.438$ nm which is greater than the C-spacing of 0.426 nm between alternate C hexagons. The solid-solid transition in the first adsorbate layer of xenon is therefore from IC-packing to C-packing [2, 29], which is in the opposite direction to the 2D solid transitions for krypton, nitrogen and methane. At sufficiently low temperatures, the IC-to-C transition in xenon occurs before the onset of adsorption in the second layer [30-32]. However, there is lack of agreement among experimental measurements about the threshold temperature above which the C solid is not observed before the onset of second layer; 62.5K was reported from THEED studies [30] and 73K from high-resolution synchrotron X-ray diffraction [33, 34]. At higher temperatures, the IC-C transition in the first adsorbate layer occurs at loadings after the second layer has been formed [2, 3].

In contrast to xenon, adsorption of neon on graphite exhibits a commensurate structure only at temperatures below 5K [35], where the entropy effect is almost negligible. However, at temperatures above 10K, neon behaves similarly to argon and its isotherms exhibit G-L and L-S transitions [9, 10]. The solid is an IC-packing, as shown experimentally by LEED [8].

In view of the controversial reports in the literature for neon and xenon adsorption on graphite, we have employed our revised potential function to re-investigate this system. The interaction strength and size of these two molecules lie at opposite extremes of the simple adsorbates previously studied (Figure 2). We have completed our study of the adsorption of simple gases on graphite by presenting the characteristic curves of the isosteric heat versus loading as a function of temperature.

![Figure 2](image_url)

**Figure 2** – Plot of the distance at which the intermolecular potential is minimum ($2^{1/6} \sigma_{ff}$) versus the reduced well-depth of the interaction energy $\varepsilon_{ff}/k_B$ of noble gases, nitrogen [36] and methane. The vertical red dashed
line is the commensurate distance of 0.426 nm. Only krypton, methane and nitrogen experience a C-IC ordering transition while argon and neon can only form an IC packing and xenon experiences an IC-C transition.

2 Theory

2.1 Grand Canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) Simulations

In the GCMC simulations, we used 100,000 cycles for low loadings, 500,000 cycles for high loadings and 1 million cycles for loadings at each isotherm point across the IC-C transition for both equilibration and sampling stages. Each cycle consisted of 1000 attempted displacement, insertion and deletion moves, chosen with equal probability. In the equilibration stage, the maximum displacement step length was initially set as 2 nm, and was adjusted at the end of each cycle to give an acceptance ratio of 20%. The lengths of the simulation box in the x- and y-directions parallel to the graphite surface were \( (30 \times 15\sqrt{3})a_0 \) to ensure the periodicity of the graphite surface, where \( a_0 = 0.246 \) nm is the graphite lattice constant. The dimension in the z-direction was 2 nm. Periodic boundary conditions were applied at the boundaries in the x- and y-directions and a hard wall was positioned at \( z=2 \) nm and the carbon atom centres in the outermost graphene layer of the graphite were positioned at \( z=0 \).

2.2 Meso-Canonical Ensemble (MCE) Simulations

A two-volume NVT scheme [37, 38], which is based on the gauge cell method proposed by Neimark and Vishnyakov [39], was used to obtain the isosteric heats during the phase transition. The system comprises two boxes; one is the adsorption system and the other is a gas reservoir with a suitable cubic dimension to control fluctuations. The linear dimensions of the cubic gas reservoir for the adsorption of Xe at 65K and 105 K are 600nm and 7nm, respectively. Molecules are allowed to exchange between the two boxes. At least 100,000 cycles were used in both the equilibration and sampling stages with each cycle consisting of 1000 attempted displacements or exchanges between boxes chosen at randomly with equal probability. The x- and y-dimensions of the adsorption box were set to be the same as the dimensions used in the GCMC simulations. The excess chemical potential was determined using the Widom insertion method [40] and the pressure was calculated via the virial equation.
2.3 Fluid-Fluid and Solid-Fluid Potentials

**Fluid-Fluid Potential**

The interaction between two adsorbate molecules, the fluid-fluid (FF) interaction, was described by the 12-6 Lennard-Jones (LJ) equation. The intermolecular parameters for noble gases are given in Table 1. They were chosen because of they give an excellent description of the vapour-liquid equilibrium.

**Table 1:** Lennard-Jones Molecular Parameters of noble gases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>$\sigma$ (nm)</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{ff}/k_B$ (K)</th>
<th>$2D-T_t$ (*) (K)</th>
<th>$2D-T_c$ (*) (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>0.2801$^{[41]}$</td>
<td>33.921$^{[41]}$</td>
<td>13.6$^{[42]}$</td>
<td>15.8$^{[42]}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>0.33952$^{[41]}$</td>
<td>116.79$^{[41]}$</td>
<td>47.2$^{[43]}$</td>
<td>58$^{[43]}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kr</td>
<td>0.3685$^{[41]}$</td>
<td>164.4$^{[41]}$</td>
<td>84.8$^{[4]}$</td>
<td>86$^{[4]}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xe</td>
<td>0.3901$^{[41]}$</td>
<td>227.55$^{[41]}$</td>
<td>100$^{[4]}$</td>
<td>117$^{[4]}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) experimental values

**Solid-Fluid Potential**

The structure and properties of the new graphite model are illustrated in Figure 3. The solid adsorvent was modelled as a stack of graphene layers which are infinite in the $x$- and $y$-directions parallel to the surface. The solid-fluid (SF) interaction with the outer graphene layer was described by the 10-4 potential while the interaction with the remaining solid was described by the 10-4-3 equation [28] (with the implicit assumption of equal interlayer spacing between the graphene layers. Note that the spacing between the outer two graphene layers is different from that for inner layers). The corrugation in the SF interaction between a molecule and the outermost layer followed the equation given by Kim and Steele [44]. The carbon atom density $\rho_s$ was 38.2/nm$^2$ for all layers. The cross-collision diameter $\sigma_{sf}$ and the reduced well-depth $\varepsilon_{sf}/k_B$ of the SF interactions were calculated with the Lorentz-Berthelot mixing rule.
The energetic corrugation of the $SF$ potential function was modified to account for the difference in the carbon atom polarizability parallel to the surface and normal to the surface (Carlos and Cole [45, 46]; Nicholson [47]). This anisotropy enhances the energetic corrugation, and is characterised by two parameters $\gamma_A$ and $\gamma_R$ in the Carlos and Cole model [46]. The parameter $\gamma_A$ comes from the product of the dipole coupling tensors that appear in the calculation of the dispersion force term, and depends on the ratio of the polarizability components of the polarizability tensor. A value of $\gamma_A = 0.4$ was found, based on the graphite dielectric properties [46]. This value was used for both neon and xenon in this work. The parameter $\gamma_R$, however, does not have a physical basis and was taken as a fitting parameter; for example, Carlos and Cole [45, 46] found that a value of $\gamma_R = -0.29$ gave good agreement for He beam scattering experiments. In this work, the value $\gamma_R = 0$ was chosen for Xe, because it provides a corrugation energy $\Delta E_{corr}$ similar to the value used by Bruch et al. [29] (details are given in Appendix B). For neon, the characteristics of the 2D solid phase of the monolayer are insensitive to the value of $\gamma_R$, and we chose $\gamma_R = 0$ for consistency with xenon. To show the difference between the $SF$ potential calculated with the current model and the 10-4-3 potential model, we present plots of their $z$-dependence in Figure 4.

**Figure 3** - Schematic illustration of the graphene layers. Top layer is modelled as an energetically corrugated surface, and the underlying layers are modelled as equally spaced homogeneous graphene surfaces.
Figure 4 - Comparison between the SF energy profile of (a) xenon and (b) neon with the 10-4-3 potential model and the new graphite model with anisotropy ($\gamma_A = 0.4$, $\gamma_S = 0$). S refers to the position of the atom at the centre of carbon hexagon and SP and A to the position of the adsorbate between two carbon atoms and on top of a carbon atom, respectively.

The thermodynamic properties, *i.e.* surface excess, isosteric heat, local density distribution and radial distribution, of our simulations are defined in Appendix A.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Adsorption of Xenon on graphite

The simulated isotherms for xenon adsorption on graphite using the new graphite model are shown in *Figure 5*. These isotherms show that the 2D-triple point of the first layer, at a surface excess coverage of 9.77 $\mu$mol/m$^2$, is between 80K and 90K, and the 2D-critical point is between 110K and 120K (in agreement with 117K as reported by Thomy and Duval [4]). The isotherms exhibit a gas to solid transition for temperatures below the 2D-triple point, gas-liquid-solid transitions for temperatures between the 2D-triple and critical points, and a supercritical fluid-solid transition for temperatures greater than the 2D-critical point. We chose 65K and 105K for detailed analysis because the first adsorbate layer reaches C packing before the onset of the second layer at 65K, and at 105K the first adsorbate layer reaches C-packing after the second layer has been formed.
3.1.1 Adsorption of xenon at 65 K

The adsorption of xenon on graphite at 65K, which is far below the 2D-triple point, is used as a reference because the experimental results exhibit an IC-C transition before the onset of the second layer [2, 3]. Figure 6 presents the simulated isotherms for both the homogeneous model and the corrugation-anisotropy (CA) model. The IC-C transition, as observed experimentally, is successfully reproduced with the CA model, but the homogeneous model fails.

With the CA model, the first layer of xenon undergoes a 2D condensation from a gas-like state to a solid-like state at a surface excess coverage of 10 µmol/m², in perfect agreement with the theoretical IC density. This is followed by a transition to the C packing (once again in perfect agreement with the theoretical C density of 10.56 µmol/m²) before the onset of the second layer. This behaviour is confirmed by the X-ray experimental data [33, 34].
Figure 6 - (a) Isotherm of xenon at 65K: comparison between the CA model and the homogeneous 10-4-3 model, (b) isotherms for the first, second and third layers of xenon at 65K obtained with the CA model.

The simulated isotherm was decomposed into contributions from the first, second and third layers as shown in Figure 6b, which confirms that the first adsorbate layer achieves $C$ packing before the onset of the second layer. Interestingly, the formation of the second layer occurs by a 2D-condensation to form a perfect $IC$ packing at 10 \( \mu \)mol/m\(^2\), even though the first adsorbate layer has already reached $C$-packing ($CP$) at the higher density of 10.54 \( \mu \)mol/m\(^2\) (Figure 7). This is consistent with the experimental result [31, 33], and indicates that the solid-fluid potential does not play a part in structuring the second layer, where the $CP$ structure is determined by the adsorbate-adsorbate interactions.
Figure 7 - Top view of the snapshots of xenon molecules on graphite surface at 65K at the Points P1, P3 and P4 in Figure 6 (xenon molecules in the second layer are coloured yellow).

To better understand the adsorption mechanism during the transition, plots of isosteric heat and its contributions from solid-fluid ($SF$) and fluid-fluid ($FF$) interactions versus loading, are shown in Figure 8a, b, c. To see more clearly the behaviour of the isosteric heat across the transitions, we have also plotted it as a function of pressure in Figure 8d, e, and f. The transition from IC-packing to C-packing in the first adsorbate layer, before the onset of second layer adsorption, can be seen in Figure 8a. The isosteric heat at zero loading $q_{st}^{(0)}$, is 15kJ/mol (which is the same as the value obtained from direct Monte Carlo integration [48, 49]) and increases in the gas-like state as the number of neighbouring molecules increases. The 2D-condensation, corresponding to the $G$-$S$ transition, is seen as a discontinuity in the heat curve, with a constant isosteric heat at around 20.5 kJ/mol across the transition, obtained by a meso-canonical ensemble ($MCE$) simulation. The addition of 5.5 kJ/mol to the zero coverage heat comes from the $FF$ interactions. Since the pairwise interaction energy of a pair of xenon molecules is 1.89 kJ/mol, this suggests that the 2D-condensation occurs by boundary growth of the IC-solid in which each new molecule interacts with three molecules at the boundary of a growing cluster as shown in Figure 9.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface Excess (μmol/m²)</th>
<th>Isosteric heat (kJ/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 8** - GCMC simulated isosteric heat against loading for xenon at 65 K (shown as solid lines). The region of constant isosteric heats (total, SF and FF), shown as a dashed line, are obtained using MCE (see text). The scales are magnified to focus on the gas-like region (0-0.3 μmol/m²) and the monolayer coverage region (9.7-11 μmol/m²): (a) total isosteric heat and its decomposition into (b) solid-fluid contribution and (c) fluid-fluid contribution. The isosteric heat profile within the region of constant surface excess between Point P1 and P3 is plotted against pressure: (d) total isosteric heat and its decomposition into (e) solid-fluid contribution and (f) fluid-fluid contribution.
Once the 2D-condensation (G-S) has reached completion, each new molecule will interact with an increasing number of neighbouring molecules: when the first adsorbate layer is completed, there are six neighbouring molecules on average at Point P1, where the isosteric heat (26.3 kJ/mol) is the sum of the SF contribution of 15 kJ/mol and the FF contribution. The FF contribution of 12.26 kJ/mol, comes from six pairwise nearest neighbour interactions (6 × 1.89 kJ/mol = 11.3 kJ/mol) plus interactions with molecules in distant shells. The variation of heat released within this region of constant surface excess (i.e. between Points P1 and P3) is better seen in the plot of the isosteric heat and its SF and FF contributions versus pressure (Figure 8d, e, f) which shows a maximum at Point P2. At this point, the SF contribution increases to 18 kJ/mol because some molecules in the first layer migrate to the centres of the carbon hexagons, while most molecules in the first layer remain out-of-registry with the graphite surface as seen from the 2D-RDD in Section 3.1.3. Beyond this maximum, the isosteric heat decreases sharply because molecules begin to adsorb into the second layer. This decrease is then followed by a discontinuity in the isosteric heat curve between the transition region of 10-10.5 µmol/m². During this transition, the MCE simulation (dashed lines) shows a constant heat region, typically the case for a first order transition, which is also observed across the G-S transition at P1. The constant heat indicates that the existing molecules migrate to the centres of carbon hexagons to accommodate new molecules adsorbed into the first adsorbate layer during the IC-C transition.

In Figure 10a, b, we have decomposed the isosteric heat into the contributions from the first and second layers to reveal the specific contributions from the second layer. For loadings less than at Point P2 (where the first adsorbate layer is in IC-packing), only the first layer contributes to the isosteric heat. Immediately after Point P2, the contributions from the SF and FF interactions decrease sharply to 1.7 kJ/mol and 8 kJ/mol, respectively (Figure 8e, f). This corresponds to the onset of the second layer. 1.7 kJ/mol is the SF potential energy of a molecule in the second layer and 8 kJ/mol is the ensemble average energy of the FF interactions of molecules adsorbing into the second layer, which comes from interactions of a molecule with three molecules in the first layer and six neighbours in the first layer.

Point P3, is the point where the first adsorbate layer undergoes a transition from IC- to the C-packing and the SF contribution to the isosteric heat increases steeply to 16.2 kJ/mol. This value of SF energy is higher than the isosteric heat at zero loading (15 kJ/mol) which indicates that molecules enter the first adsorbate layer at the transition to C-packing. This
observation is further confirmed by an increase in the FF-contribution in the first adsorbate layer (Figure 10). Interestingly, at the same time there is a sharp decrease in the FF contribution in the second layer, suggesting that molecules migrate from the second layer to the first layer to facilitate the transition from the IC-packing to the C-packing in the first layer. Since the gain in the FF contribution in the first layer almost offsets the loss by the second layer, the total heat contributed by FF interaction is relatively constant (see Figure 8c) during the transition. The heat contributed by FF interactions at Point P3 is less than that at Point P1 because, prior to their migration into the first layer, molecules in the second layer have three neighbours, and when they enter the first layer, they experience additional interactions with three additional neighbours (Figure 10a). After the first adsorbate layer has achieved C-packing at Point P3, molecules enter the second layer, as indicated by the increase in the FF contribution in the second layer while the FF contribution of the first layer to the isosteric heat decreases.

![Figure 9](image_url) - A schematic representation of the boundary growth where one molecule is added to the edge of a group of adsorbed molecules during condensation (see text).
3.1.2 Adsorption of xenon at 105K

Adsorption of xenon on graphite at 105K (between the 2D-triple and critical points), exhibits two transitions: (1) a gas-to-liquid (G-L) transition and (2) a liquid-to-solid (L-S) transition. Figure 11 presents the simulated isotherm and the experimental data [12].

Figure 10 – GCMC simulated isosteric heat of xenon at 65K decomposed into contribution (a) by the first layer and (b) by the second layer.

Figure 11 - Simulated adsorption isotherm of xenon at 105K and the experimental data at 108K from Thomy and Duval [4].
As seen in Figure 11, the simulation result successfully describes the experimental G-L-S transitions in the sub-monolayer coverage before the onset of the second layer. The surface excess at the IC packing for xenon at the monolayer coverage is 9.8 µmol/m², which is in good agreement with experimental results [5].

In Figure 12 we show the isotherms decomposed into the contributions from the first, second and third layers. The onset of the second layer begins at Point P3 by way of a 2D-condensation to an IC-packed layer and, interestingly, at the same time, the first adsorbate layer undergoes a transition from IC-packing to C-packing. This is confirmed by the density distributions discussed in Section 3.1.3.

Figure 12 simulated adsorption isotherm of xenon at 105K and the contributions from the first, second and third layers: (a) log-scale; (b) linear scale.

The simulated isosteric heat versus loading at 105K is shown in Figure 13, and is in excellent agreement with experiment [12]. The maximum isosteric heat is well-reproduced at Point P1 where the first adsorbate layer approaches IC-packing [12].
Figure 13 - The simulated isosteric heat profile of xenon at 105K and experimental data at 110K [50].

Across the 2D-condensation in the first adsorbate layer, from a gas to a liquid state, the simulated isosteric heat is constant, as shown by a constant contribution from FF interactions (Figure 14c). The liquid adsorbate grows by the boundary growth mechanism discussed earlier for adsorption at 65K, and is in perfect agreement with the experimental data of Thomy and Duval [50]. To understand the energetics of the adsorption mechanism we have decomposed the isosteric heat into contributions from SF and FF interactions as shown in Figure 14b, c respectively.

After the 2D-condensation to the liquid state at around 8 μmol/m², the first layer becomes denser to achieve an IC-packing at Point P1 (shown in Figure 14), where the contribution from the FF interactions is about 13 kJ/mol, from six interacting molecules per molecule. After this point contributions from both SF and FF interactions decrease, giving rise to a cusp in the isosteric heat curve. This is due to adsorption in the second layer, because entropy favours adsorption in the second layer to adsorption into the dense first adsorbate layer. However, when the chemical potential is increased, molecules enter the first adsorbate layer, giving a spike in the isosteric heat at Point P2, with contributions from both SF and FF interactions. At this point, the first adsorbate layer forms an imperfect IC packing of density 9.7 μmol/m².
Figure 14 – A magnified view of the GCMC simulated isosteric heat profile for xenon adsorption on graphite at 105K in the gas-like region (0-2 μmol/m²) and in the complete monolayer coverage region (7.5-11 μmol/m²): (a) simulated total isosteric heat and its decomposition into contributions from (b) SF interactions and (c) FF interactions. The region of constant isosteric heats (total, SF and FF), shown as a dashed line, are obtained using MCE.
Beyond Point P2, molecules enter the second layer and therefore both SF and FF contributions to the heat decrease strongly as shown in Figure 14. As the second layer is progressively filled, some molecules from the second layer migrate into the first adsorbate layer which undergoes a transition to C packing at Point P3, giving a heat spike in the SF contribution at Point P*. If a molecule enters the first adsorbate layer, its SF interaction would be expected to contribute 15 kJ/mol to the isosteric heat, but in fact, the contribution to the heat is only 12kJ/mol. This is because the isosteric heat is the ensemble average of adsorption of new molecules in the first layer as well as in the second layer. The isosteric heat across the transition region of Point P2 to P* is determined by MCE simulation and it indicates that the molecules are adsorbed onto the second layer at pressures less than P*. The contribution from the total FF interactions to the isosteric heat seems to be constant. This is because the amount adsorbed into the second layer is so low that molecules in the second layer are so far apart that their FF interaction is weak and also because some of them move into the first layer, resulting in a constant isosteric heat shown as the dashed line in Figure 14.

3.1.3 Local Properties Analysis

The various transitions discussed in the previous sections are corroborated by the local density distribution (LDD) and the 2D-radial density distribution (2D-RDD) for the first adsorbate layer.

Local Density Distribution (LDD)

The local density distribution in Figure 15 shows the increase in the density of the first adsorbate layer during the IC-C transition at 65K and 105K, confirming that molecules enter the first layer to form a C packing. As shown in Figure 15b at 105K, xenon forms a C packed layer after the second layer has been formed, in contrast to adsorption at 65K where C-packing of the first adsorbate occurs when the second layer is nearly empty.
Figure 15 - Simulated local density distributions for xenon adsorbed on graphite at a) 65 K and b) 105 K.

Evolution of the 2D-RDD in the first and second layers during the IC-C transition

Figure 16a, b show the 2D-RDD in the first and second layers before and after the transition. The 2D-RDD of the IC-packing has a solid-like pattern, as characterized by the sharp and structured peaks. After C-packing has occurred, the peaks become sharper at 65K and at 105K, and the average distance between neighbouring molecules is 0.426 nm, which is the lattice spacing in a C-packed layer. This separation is closer than the distance of the first peak of the IC-packing, which is 0.438 nm. The C-packing is further confirmed by the position of the peaks in the second and higher shells, all of which correspond to the separations for a perfect commensurate structure (see Appendix C). At 65K, the 2D-RDD of the 2nd layer reaffirms that this layer remains in IC-packing after the transition (at Point P4 in Figure 6b).
Figure 16 - 2D Radial density distribution of xenon on graphite during the IC-C transition for: (a) 65 K and (b) 105 K

3.2 Adsorption of Neon on graphite

3.2.1 Adsorption of Neon at 25 K

Neon has a much smaller diameter than the commensurate lattice spacing and its interaction energy with the graphite surface is weaker; hence it is unable to form a C packed layer. The simulated isotherm of neon adsorption on graphite at 25K is shown in Figure 17a, and is in good qualitative agreement with experiment [26]. Both the simulated and the experimental isotherms suggest that 25K is above the 2D-critical temperature, which is reported to be 15.8K [42]. Hence, the isotherm exhibits a transition from the supercritical state to solid packing.
At the end of the transition, the adsorbate density from the simulation results is 18.7 \( \mu \text{mol/m}^2 \), which is less than 19.3 \( \mu \text{mol/m}^2 \), which is the theoretical density of a perfect IC-packing, but is in perfect agreement with experiment [12]. To gain further insight, we decomposed the isotherms into contributions from the first and second layers as shown in Figure 17b. This figure shows that the first adsorbate layer undergoes a transition from the supercritical state to IC-packing before the onset of the second layer. Once adsorption in the second layer has started, the first layer densifies to an IC-packed layer.

The normalised simulated isosteric heat of neon adsorption at 25K shows good qualitative agreement with the experimental isosteric heat at 24K [35] (see Figure 18). However, the experimental result does not show a spike at loadings close to monolayer coverage which could be due to the difficulty in measuring the very small amount of heat released by the calorimetric technique.
Figure 18 - The normalised simulated isosteric heat of neon at 25K in comparison with experimental data at 24K [51]

Figure 19 shows the isosteric heat decomposed into contributions from the $SF$ and $FF$ interactions. For loadings below Point $P1$, where the first adsorbate layer is in a supercritical state, the contribution from the $FF$ interactions increases (because of the increase in the number of neighbouring molecules) while that from the $SF$ interactions decreases slightly. This suggests that molecules move away from the centres of the carbon hexagons to maximise the $FF$ interactions. At Point $P2$ when the chemical potential is sufficient for more molecules to adsorb onto the surface, the first adsorbate layer undergoes a transition to IC-packing, and there is a spike in the isosteric heat curve. These molecules facilitate rearrangement of the first adsorbate layer, resulting in an increase in the contribution of the $FF$ interactions because of the shorter separation distances between neighbouring molecules. The peak in the contribution of the $FF$ interactions is close to 2 kJ/mol, which comes from six neon-neon interactions at their minimal potential distance (each pair interaction is 0.28 kJ/mol). Beyond Point $P2$, molecules are added to the second layer and there is a sharp drop in the contributions from both $SF$ and $FF$ interactions until a cusp is formed in the isosteric heat plot. At this point, the chemical potential is sufficient for more molecules to adsorb into the first adsorbate layer. This results in an ordering transition in the first layer producing a spike in the isosteric heat at Point $P3$. The increase in density in the first adsorbate layer and the steep increase in the contribution from the $SF$ interactions suggest that molecules in the first adsorbate layer migrate towards the centre of the carbon hexagons.
3.3 The generic isosteric heat of noble gases (Ne, Kr, Ar, Xe) undergoing \(C-IC\) transitions

To complete our investigation into the phenomenon of structural ordering of adsorption of simple gases, we provide, in this section, a generic isosteric heat profile of adsorption of noble gases and simple gases (examples of \(\text{N}_2\) and \(\text{CH}_4\)) on graphite as a function of temperature. This generic isosteric heat profile describes all the features observed in the experiments and show characteristics of the ordering transition in these adsorbates in the monolayer coverage region.

In a typical isosteric heat profile, an \(IC-C\) or \(C-IC\) transition is identified by a heat spike occurring in the following sequence: a first dense-phase peak (Point A) - a cusp (Point B) - a heat spike (Point C). For noble and simple gases adsorbed on graphite, the generic isosteric heat profiles for a range of temperatures are shown in Figure 20:

---

**Figure 19** – GCMC simulated isosteric heat of neon at 25 K decomposed into \(SF\) and \(FF\) contributions a) general view and b) magnified view for loadings 17-20 \(\mu\text{mol/m}^2\)
Figure 20 - Generic isosteric heat profile at different temperatures (see text). The Red line and blue line mark the densities of the first and second dense phase during the course of adsorption.
a) **Case A: T < T_c.** The region of constant isosteric heat represents the 2D-condensation to solid state of the first adsorbate layer, followed by a maximum heat released at the first dense-phase peak (Point A), corresponding to a complete solid-state monolayer where each adsorbed molecule interacts with six neighbouring molecules releasing a maximum heat of

\[ q_m = q_m^{(0)} + 6 \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{ff}}}{k_B} \].

The 2D-solid first layer can either be C or IC depending on the size of the adsorbate. The ensuing sharp drop in the isosteric heat immediately after Point A indicates the addition of molecules into the second layer. A sharp peak at Point C following the decline after Point A could occur depending on the ability of the molecules in the first layer to undergo a transition from C to IC packing, as in the case of krypton or methane or from IC to C, as in the case of xenon.

b) **Case B: T_c < T < T_c.** The region of constant isosteric heat represents the condensation to a 2D-liquid state in the first adsorbate layer. This liquid phase becomes denser as indicated by the moderate increase in the isosteric heat towards the first dense-phase peak (Point A) where condensation to the solid state occurs. The maximum heat released occurs at either C or IC packing. Beyond the solid-state condensation, the isosteric heat decreases as molecules prefer to adsorb into the second layer until the chemical potential is high enough (Point B) to squeeze molecules into the first layer to order it to form a denser packing (C or IC). This corresponds to a heat spike (Point C).

c) **Case C: T > T_c.** At temperature above T_c, the first adsorbate layer is in a 2D-supercritical state. The isosteric heat increases gradually as the first layer is filled to completion at Point A*. When the chemical potential is high enough (Point B*) molecules enter the first adsorbate layer and a transition to a 2D-solid state occurs (Point A). The heat at Point A is higher than Point A* because the inter-particle separation distance approaches the minimum potential distance to maximise the FF interactions. The isosteric heat then declines due to adsorption into the second layer until the chemical potential has reached Point B beyond which the first adsorbate layer undergoes a transition at Point C to form either the C or IC packing, resulting in a spike. This spike (Point C) shifts to higher loadings at higher temperatures because a higher chemical potential is required to counter an increase in entropy (temperature). The height of the heat spike gradually diminishes because its contribution towards the total isosteric heat is masked by the adsorption of molecules in the second or higher layers.

d) **Case D: T >> T_c.** At temperature much higher than T_c, there is no 2D-solid phase. Only the first dense-phase peak (Point A*) is observed when the monolayer coverage is reached. The
heat released due to the ordering transition in the first layer is masked by the heat released due to adsorption onto higher layers.

4 Conclusion

We have made extensive simulations of adsorption on a CA graphite model for a range of temperatures to study the ordering transitions of xenon and neon whose sizes and interaction energies lie at opposite extremes for the noble gases. The simulation results using the CA graphite model describe well the transition from IC to C packing for xenon and the transition from fluid to IC packing for neon, all of which are supported with experimental findings. We conclude that the ability of adsorbate molecules to form IC or C packing depends on the separation at the minimum of the intermolecular potential relative to the commensurate graphite lattice spacing $\lambda$. Krypton, methane and nitrogen undergo a C-IC ordering transition since their minimum intermolecular potential distance is close to $\lambda$. Xenon experiences an IC-C transition since its minimum intermolecular potential separation is larger than $\lambda$ while argon and neon can only form an IC packing since their collision diameters are smaller than $\lambda$. The ordering transitions of adsorbate molecules are reflected in the isosteric heat curves and a generic heat profile was provided for a range of different temperatures.
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Appendix A – Thermodynamic Properties

**Surface excess**

The surface excess concentration is defined as:

\[
\Gamma_{ex} = \frac{N_{ex}}{L_x L_y} = \frac{\langle N \rangle - V_{acc} \rho_g}{L_x L_y} \tag{1}
\]

Where \( N_{ex} \) is the excess amount adsorbed, \( \langle N \rangle \) is the ensemble average of the number of particles in the simulation box, \( \rho_g \) is the bulk gas density, \( V_{acc} \) is the accessible volume (defined as the volume that is accessible to the centre of a molecule where the SF potential is non-positive), \( L_x \) and \( L_y \) are the box dimensions in the \( x \)- and \( y \)-directions, respectively.

**Isosteric heat**

In the GCMC ensemble, the isosteric heat was calculated from fluctuation theory [52] as:

\[
q_{\text{st}} = \frac{\langle U \rangle \langle N \rangle - \langle UN \rangle}{\langle N^2 \rangle - \langle N \rangle^2} + k_B T \tag{2}
\]

where \( U \) is the sum of the potential energies of interaction between adsorbate molecules \( (U_{\text{FF}}) \) and between adsorbate-solid adsorbent \( (U_{\text{SF}}) \), and \( N \) is the number of particles in the system.

To understand how various interactions contribute to the isosteric heat, we decomposed the energy term in the above equation into contributions from the SF and FF interactions. Similarly, the contributions from each layer, \( q_{k} \), can be calculated from:

\[
q_k = \frac{\langle U_k \rangle \langle N \rangle - \langle U_k N \rangle}{\langle N^2 \rangle - \langle N \rangle^2} \tag{3}
\]
where $U_K$ is the energy calculated as the sum of pairwise energies when two molecules reside in the same layer or half the pairwise energy if one of them is located in a different layer. The sum of contributions from all layers is thus the total isosteric heat. In a meso-canonical ensemble, the heat across the transition can be computed from the derivative of the configuration energy with respect to loading.

**Local density distribution**

The variation in the distance of the centre of geometry of adsorbate molecules from the surface was calculated as:

$$\rho(z) = \frac{\langle \Delta N_{z,z+\Delta z} \rangle}{L_x L_y \Delta z}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\langle \Delta N_{z,z+\Delta z} \rangle$ is the ensemble average of the number of molecules whose centre of geometry is located in the region bound between $z$ and $z+\Delta z$.

**Radial density distribution**

The 2D-radial density distribution of Xe molecules in the first layer was calculated from:

$$\rho(r) = \frac{\langle \Delta N_{r,r+\Delta r} \rangle}{(4/3)\pi \left[ (r+\Delta r)^3 - r^3 \right]}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Where $\langle \Delta N_{r,r+\Delta r} \rangle$ is the average number of particles whose centres of mass are located in the first layer and in the radial bin bounded by $[r, r + \Delta r]$. 


Appendix B – The effect of $\gamma_R$ on the adsorption isotherms

**Xenon**

The effects of $\gamma_R$ and anisotropy on the adsorption of xenon at monolayer coverage are explored in Figure 21. The choice of $\gamma_R$ clearly has a significant impact on the characteristics of the 2D solid phase of the monolayer. When $\gamma_R$ is negative, the adsorbate prefers the centre of the graphene hexagons because the inter-site energy barriers are higher. With $\gamma_R = -0.54$ or $-1.05$, the xenon monolayer exhibits an IC-C transition before the onset of the second layer. However, this transition was not observed in Thomy and Duval’s reported isotherms [5] and is not supported by diffraction studies [30-33]. Therefore, these negative values have been rejected. The simulated isotherms are closest to experimental observation when $\gamma_R$ is in the range $-0.1 \leq \gamma_R \leq 0$. In this work, we choose $\gamma_R = 0$.
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**Figure 21** – (a) The effect of the choice of $\gamma_R$ on the characteristics of monolayer coverage region, (b) magnification of IC-C transition region
Neon

Figure 22 - Adsorption of neon at 25K: comparison of the homogeneous 10-4-3 potential model and the new model (with $\gamma_R = 0$ and $\gamma_R = 0.54$)
Appendix C – 2D Radial density distribution of a perfect commensurate phase

Figure 23: Schematic radial distribution for a perfect commensurate phase on a graphene plane