# STUDY ON HERB-DRUG INTERACTIONS

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

## Liping Yang B.Sci.

School of Health Sciences College of Science, Engineering and Health RMIT University March 2009

## Declaration

I certify that except where due acknowledgement has been made, the work is that of the author alone; the work has not been submitted previously, in whole or in part, to quality for any other academic award; the content of the thesis is the result of work which has been carried out since the official commencement date of the approved research program; and, any editorial work, paid or unpaid, carried out by a third party is acknowledged.

Liping Yang

Date

#### Acknowledgements

I am most grateful to my supervisors, Associate Professor Chun Guang Li, Associate Professor Shufeng Zhou and my consultant Professor Charlie Changli Xue for their inspiration, outstanding intellect, encouragement, guidance, and support during my study for this degree. Sincere thanks also go to my consultant, Professor Hualiang Jiang and Professor Hong Liu, at Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China, for their invaluable support for my *in silico* studies. Without your support, the thesis would not have been possible.

I would like to greatly thank Dr. Mingyue Zheng, Dr. Cheng Luo and Professor Weiliang Zhu for their knowledge, friendship and support when I conducted my project in Shanghai. Special thanks go to Dr. Tony Lin Zhang for his support in my systematic review of relevant literatures. Many thanks also go to Dr. George Lenon for his help in ethnic application. I wish to thank Dr. Shujun Sheng for his valuable suggestion for my project. The help of the staff and students from the Discipline of Chinese Medicine, School of Health Sciences, RMIT University, Victoria, Australia, have also been most helpful. I would like particularly to acknowledge the contribution of Dr. Ye Shen, Mr. Michael Owen, and Dr. Thomas Cheung.

I wish to thank Professor Emilio Badoer and Dr Martin Stebbing, School of Medical Sciences, RMIT University, for their help and understanding since the first day of my study in RMIT University. Many thanks also go to Dr. Neil Owens and Dr. Ari Kantzides for their kind support for my study in RMIT University.

Most of all I would like to thank my parents, Yirao Yang and Shuqiu Li, who have been a constant source of support – emotional and moral– during my postgraduate years, and this thesis would not have existed without them. Finally, I would like to thank my son, Harry Xin, who is my spiritual source of support to go through all the hard years.

### **Summary of Thesis**

Herbal medicines, such as St John's wort, garlic, gingko, and ginseng, are commonly used complementary therapies. These products are often available over the counter and self-administered along with conventional therapeutic drugs, which raise concerns of potential herb-drug interactions. Most reported herb-drug interactions are pharmacokinetic interactions, through modulation of the activities of cytochrome P450 (CYP), and/or drug transporters. The changes of CYP activities by herbal ingredients may lead to modifications of efficacies of prescribed drugs or result in adverse reactions. Hence, understanding of mechanism of interactions of herbal ingredients with human CYPs is important in evaluating and predicating potential herb-drug interactions and necessary for the safe practice of herbal and conventional medicines.

The human CYP enzymes are a superfamily which consists of at least 57 functional *CYP* genes. Among them, CYP1A2, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6 and 3A4/5 are the most important enzymes responsible for the Phase I metabolism of therapeutic drugs. There is a large variability in the expressions and activities of different CYPs, which are impacted by numerous factors, including genetic (e.g., mutation), host (e.g., diseases), and environmental (e.g., inducers and inhibitors), which makes the metabolism of drugs highly variable in individuals. Inhibition of CYP enzymes is one of the most common causes of harmful drug–drug interactions and some severe adverse reactions due to drug-CYP interactions, which has led to the recent withdrawal of several drugs from the market, such as the nonsedating antihistamine terfenadine.

When different compounds (e.g., a drug and herbal compound) are co-administered, they may compete at the same active site of CYPs, resulting in potential inhibition. We hypothesize that the atom-atom interactions between the ligands and the residues at the active site of CYPs determine the substrate and inhibitor specificity of individual CYPs. To test our hypothesis, we conducted a series of experiments including *in vitro* assays to determine inhibitory actions of a variety of natural compounds on human CYPs, pharmacokinetic-based predication of *in vivo* situation using the *in vitro* data; and *in silico* studies to explore the ligand-CYP interactions using docking and pharmacophore modeling methods.

We first determined the inhibitory effects (IC<sub>50</sub>) of 56 herbal compounds on activities of five human drug metabolising CYPs (CYP1A2, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6 and 3A4) *in vitro* using a high

throughput approach. The tested herbal components included a variety of structurally distinct compounds such as triterpenoids of danshen (*Salvia miltiorrhiza*), flavonoids and their glycoside derivatives, saponine, other glucosides, lactones, alkaloids, and acids. A small number of them are found to significantly inhibit human CYP1A2, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6 and 3A4 with differential potency, including tanshinone I, tanshinone IIA, cryptotanshinone, baicalein, quercetin, silybin, osthole and  $\gamma$ -schisandrin.

Based on the *in vitro* data obtained, we predicted metabolic herb-drug interactions of these compounds *in vivo* with the application of appropriate pharmacokinetic principles. Some predicting results were consistent with published clinical reports. For example, the prediction of *S. miltiorrhiza* increasing the AUC value of warfarin is consistent with the results from clinical case reports. However, a marked disparity has been observed when some predictions are compared with results from clinical studies. For example, the prediction of *S. mariani* (containing silybin) increasing the AUC of indinavir (a CYP3A4 substrate) is not in agreement with the result of a clinical report where the plasma concentration of indinavir was not altered by co-administered silymarin in healthy volunteers.

Finally, we studied the interactions of a series of ligands including substrates and inhibitors with CYP1A2 using docking and pharmacophore modeling approaches. We have identified 6 residues at the active site of CYP1A2 which are essential for ligand recognition. Furthermore, the relative potency of potential inhibitors could be predicted through analysis of hydrophobic interactions between the ligand and the 6 essential residues at the active site of CYP1A2. Moreover, we developed a pharmacophore model on the basis of the common features of known CYP1A2 inhibitors. In combination with the docking results, the established pharmacophore model could be applied for screening novel CYP1A2 inhibitors.

In conclusion, our *in vitro* and *in silico* studies have provided further insights into the interactions of ligands including herbal components with the active site of CYP1A2, which may be useful for the future studies of herb-drug and herb-CYP interactions. Further studies are warranted to explore the mechanisms underlying herb-CYP and herb-drug interactions.

## **Table of Content**

STUDY ON HERB-DRUG INTERACTIONS			
Declarationii			
Acknowledg	Acknowledgementsii		
Summary of	Summary of Thesisi		
Table of Co	ntent	vi	
Table of Fig	gures	viii	
Table of Tal	bles	ix	
Publications	3	X	
Abbreviatio	ns	xi	
Chapter 1 Gen	eral Introduction	1	
1.1 An Int	roduction to Human Cytochrome P450s	1	
1.2 Biolog	gy and Pharmacology of Human CYPs	4	
1.2.1	Human CYP1A2 enzyme	4	
1.2.2	Human CYP2C9 enzyme		
1.2.3	Human CYP2D6 enzyme		
1.2.4	Human CYP3A4 enzyme		
1.2.5	Other CYPs		
1.3 Geneti	ic Mutations of Human CYP Genes and the Functional Impact		
1.3.1	The CYP1A2 gene		
1.3.2	The CYP2C9 gene		
1.3.3	The CYP2D6 gene		
1.3.4	Other CYP genes		
1.4 Struct	ural Features of Major Human Drug Metabolizing CYPs	47	
1.4.1	Common structural features of CYPs		
1.4.2	CYP1A2		
1.4.3	CYP2C9	51	
1.4.4	CYP2D6		
1.4.5	CYP3A4		
1.4.6	Other CYPs		
1.5 Drug-	drug, herb-drug and herb-CYP interactions		
1.5.1	Clinical significance of drug interactions		
1.5.2	Drug interactions due to inhibition of CYP enzymes		
1.5.3	Drug interaction due to induction of CYP enzymes	60	
1.5.4	Herb-drug interactions	61	
1.6 Tools	to study drug interactions	64	
1.6.1	In silico methods	64	
1.6.2	In vitro methods		
1.6.3	In vivo methods		
1.7 Hypot	hesis and General Aims	70	
Chapter 2 Hig	h-throughput Screening of Herbal Inhibitors for Human CYP	Enzymes 97	
2.1 Introd	uction	97	
2.2 Materi	als and Methods	98	
2.2.1	Chemicals and reagents		
2.2.2	Source of recombinant human CYP enzymes		

	2.2.3	Enzyme inhibition assays	99
	2.2.4	IC <sub>50</sub> determination	100
2.3	Result	s	100
	2.3.1	Inhibitory effects on CYP1A2	100
	2.3.2	Inhibitory effects on CYP2C9	100
	2.3.3	Inhibitory effects on CYP2C19	101
	2.3.4	Inhibitory effects on CYP2D6	101
	2.3.5	Inhibitory effects on CYP3A4	102
2.4	Conclu	usions and Discussion	102
Chapter	3 Prec	dicting Pharmacokinetic herb-Drug Interactions	118
3.1	Introd	uction	118
3.2	Pharm	acokinetic principles for inhibitory drug interactions	119
3.3	Predic	ting metabolic herb-drug interactions based on in vitro data	121
3.4	Result	S	122
3.5	Conclu	usions and Discussion	123
Chapter -	4 A C	computerized Modeling Study for the Interaction of Ligands with Hun	man
CYP1A2	2 Enzym	ne	130
4.1	Introd	uction	130
4.2	Model	ling Methods	132
	4.2.1	Docking study	132
	4.2.2	Ligplot study	133
	4.2.3	Pharmacophore hypotheses generation for CYP1A2 inhibitors	133
	4.2.4	Training set selection and conformational analysis	133
	4.2.5	Generation of pharmacophore models	134
4.3	Result	S	134
	4.3.1	AutoDock study of known CYP1A2 substrates	134
	4.3.2	Ligplot study for substrate-CYP1A2 interaction	135
	4.3.3	Pharmacophore study for CYP1A2 inhibitors	137
	4.3.4	AutoDock study for herbal components	138
	4.3.5	Ligplot study for herb-CYP1A2 interaction	139
4.4	Conclu	usions	140
Chapter	5 Gen	eral Discussion	160
5.1	A Sun	mary of Objectives Achieved	160
5.2	Herb-I	Drug and Herb-CYP Interactions	160
5.3	Predic 164	tion of Pharmacokinetics Herb-Drug Interactions Based on in vitro D	)ata
5.4	Docki	ng and Pharmacophore Modeling Studies for CYP1A2	166
	5.4.1	Residues in CYP1A2 active site involved in substrate recognition	166
	5.4.2	Common features of CYP1A2 ligands	168
5.5	Limita	tions of the Present Project	171
5.6	Conclu	usions and Future Directions	172
Refe	erences.		174

## **Table of Figures**

Figure 1-1. Metabolic activation of benzo[a]pyrene (B[a]P) by CYP1A1, 1A2 and 1B1.
B[a]P is a polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon that is mutagenic and highly carcinogenic.
The first step of B[a]P activation includes the formation of B[a]P 7,8-oxide catalyzed
by CYP1A1, 1A2 and 1B192
Figure 1-2. Metabolic activation of aristolochic acids (AAs). Both AAI and AAII undergo
reduction of the nitro group catalyzed by enzymes to reactive cyclic nitrenium ions93
Figure 1-3. A schematic illustration of the aromatic hydrocarbon receptor (AhR)-mediated
induction of Phase I and Phase II drug metabolizing enzymes and drug transporters
such as human CYP1A1, 1B1, 1A2, and 2S1, UGT1A1 and 1A6, and MDR1/ABCB1.
The AhR exists as cytoplasmic aggregates bound to two 90-kDa heat-shock proteins
(HSPs), the cochaperone p23 and a 43-kDa immunophilin-like protein hepatitis B virus
X-associated protein 2 (XAP2)94
Figure 1-4. Crystal structures of published human CYPs with or without complexed
ligands95
Figure 2-1. Chemical structures of the natural compounds tested in this study 110
Figure 2-2. Inhibitory effects of herbal compounds on human CYP1A2113
Figure 2-3. Inhibitory effects of herbal compounds on human CYP2C9114
Figure 2-4. Inhibitory effects of herbal compounds on human CYP2C19115
Figure 2-5. Inhibitory effects of herbal compounds on human CYP2D6116
Figure 2-6. Inhibitory effects of herbal compounds on human CYP3A4117
Figure 4-1. Chemical structures of fluvoxamine, galangin, miconazole, $\alpha$ -naphthoflavone
(used as initial template), and rutaecarpine which are all CYP1A2 inhibitors. These
compounds were used as the training set150
Figure 4-2. Known CYP1A2 substrates and their structures relevant to metabolic
pathways and conformations in the active site of CYP1A2151
Figure 4-3. Chemical structures of 25 substrates of CYP1A2
Figure 4-4. The active site of CYP1A2 and the key residues responsible for substrate
binding155
Figure 4-5. The three conserved residues (Asp, Gly/Ser, Ala and Thr) in the active sites of
CYP1A2, 2C9, 2C19 and 2D6156
Figure 4-6. Pharmacophore models generated by five potent inhibitors of CYP1A2 with
the HipHop module in Catalyst. Hopyo-1: the intact model with all the four features
(two distinct hydrophobic areas, one aromatic ring and one HBA); Hopyo-1m: a model
modified by excluding a hydrophobic area
Figure 4-7. Chemical structures of 9 known CYP1A2 inhibitors, which were used as the
validating set

Figure 4-8. The interaction between tanshinone I and the residues in the active site of CYP1A2......159

## **Table of Tables**

Table 1-1.  List of human CYP genes and their non-synonymous SNPs
Table 1-2. The major substrates, inhibitors and inducers for the major drug metabolizing
CYPs73
Table 1-3. Reported variants of human CYP1A2.  76
Table 1-4. Reported variants of the human CYP2C9 gene.  78
Table 1-5. Frequencies of CYP2C9 alleles and genotypes in different ethnic groups80
Table 1-6. Reported variants of human CYP2D6.  83
Table 1-7.  Overview of published structures of human CYPs.  89
Table 1-8. Case reports and clinical trials of herb-drug interactions in humans
Table 2-1. The reaction systems consisting of the CYP enzymes, positive inhibitors and
probe substrates
Table 2-2. IC <sub>50</sub> value of the sixty test compounds and seven herbal products
Table 3-1. The IC <sub>50</sub> of potential herbal inhibitors
Table 3-2. Prediction for the risk of herb-drug interaction.  127
Table 3-3. Prediction of AUC ratio. 129
Table 4-1. Known CYP1A2 substrates and the data relevant to metabolic pathways
catalyzed by CYP1A2 and conformations in the active site of CYP1A2142
Table 4-2. The total amino acid residues and C-C pairs involved in the binding of known
substrates $(n = 18)$ to the active site of CYP1A2 as analyzed by Ligplot
Table 4-3. Ligand-pharmacophore (Hopyo-1 & Hopyo-1m) mapping results for known
substrates and inhibitors of CYP1A2 and 2C9. The mapping extent was determined by
the Fit value out of 4 features144
Table 4-4. Ligand-pharmacophore (Hopyo-1 and Hopyo-1m) mapping results for herbal
compounds tested in this study. The mapping extent was determined by the Fit value
out of 4 features for Hypyo-1 and 3 for Hypro-1m, respectively
Table 4-5. The results for tested herbal compounds: IC50, fit value for pharmacophore
(Hopyo-1) mapping, free binding energy for the conformations in the active site of
CYP1A2, C-C pairs of the first and second pose for each compound and CYP1A2
interaction. The first half table list the herbal compounds that the free energy of binding
lower than -6.60 kcal/mol; the second half table list the herbal compounds that the free
energy of binding higher than -6.60 kcal/mol146
Table 4-6. Hydrophobic interaction between tanshinone I and the residues in the active
site of CYP1A2148

#### **Publications**

#### Peer-Reviewed Journal Papers

Li C.G., <u>Yang L.P.</u>, Zhou S.F., (2007). Interactions between Chinese Herbal Medicines and Drugs. *Australian Journal of Acupuncture and Chinese Medicine* 2(1): 17-24.

Zhou S.F., <u>Yang L.P.</u>, Bartlam M., Liu J.P., Wei M.Q., Kanwar J.R., (2009) Structure, Function, Regulation and Polymorphism of Human Cytochrome P450 2A6. *Current Drug Metabolism* (Accepted, Impact factor = 4.6)

Liu Y.H., Mo S.L., Di Y.M., <u>Yang L.P.</u>, Zhou S.F., (2009). Multidrug Resistance Associated Proteins And Implications In Drug Development. *Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology and Physiology* (Accepted, Impact factor = 2.1).

<u>Yang L.P.</u>, Li C.G., Zhou S.F., (2009). High-throughput Screening of Herbal Inhibitors for Human CYP Enzymes. *Combinatorial Chemistry & High Throughput Screening* (Submitted, Impact factor = 2.4)

<u>Yang L.P.</u>, Li C.G., Zhou S.F., (2009). Predicting Pharmacokinetic Drug Interactions with Herbs (revision completed)

#### Published Conference Abstracts

<u>Yang L.P.</u>, Li C.G. Danshen: a systematic review of adverse effects and drug interactions. ASCEPT-SEAWP Scientific Meeting November 2007, Adelaide, Australia.

Yang L.P., Xue C., Zhang L., and Li C.G. Adverse effects of Danshen agents: a systematic review. Third International Congress of Complementary Medicine Research, April 2008, Sydney, Australia

## Abbreviations

[I]	Inhibitor (herbal components) unbound concentrations
AA	Aristolochic acid
AFB <sub>1</sub>	Aflatoxin B <sub>1</sub>
AhR	Aromatic hydrocarbon receptor
AhRR	AhR repressor
AIP1	AhR interacting protein 1
ANF	α-Naphthoflavone
ARA9	AhR-associated protein 9
AUC	Area under the concentration-time curve
B[a]P	Benzo[a]pyrene
bHLH	basic helix-loop-helix
CAR	Constitutive androstane receptor
CL	Clearance
CL <sub>h</sub>	Hepatic clearance
CL <sub>tot</sub>	Total clearance
CNV	Copy number variant
COX	Cyclooxygenase
СҮР	Cytochrome P450
DAS	Diallyl sulfide
DBD	DNA binding domain
DMSO	Dimethyl sulfoxide
DRE	Dioxin response element
EM	Extensive metabolizer
FAD	Flavin adenine dinucleotide
$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{h}}$	Fraction of hepatic clearance $(CL_h)$ in total clearance $(CL_{tot})$
$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{m}}$	Fraction in hepatic metabolism
GR	Glucocorticoid receptor
HBA	Fydrogen bond acceptors
HD	Hydrogen donor
HMG CoA	3-Hydroxy-3-methyl-glutaryl-coenzyme A
HNF	Hepatic nuclear factor
Hsp	Heat-shock proteins
5-HT	5-Hydroxytryptamine

HTP	High-throughput
IC <sub>50</sub>	Concentration causing 50% inhibition
IM	Intermediate metabolizer
K <sub>d</sub>	Dissociation constant
Ki	Inhibition constant
K <sub>m</sub>	Michaelis-Menten constant
LBD	Ligand binding domain
LT	Leukotriene
MAMC	7-Methoxy-4-(aminomethyl)-coumarin 7-methoxy-4-(aminomethyl)-coumarin
MDMA	Methylenedioxymethamphetamine
MPTP	1-Methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine
Mr	Molecular mass
NADPH	Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
NNK	4-(Methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone
NR	Nuclear receptor
NSAID	Non-steroid antiinflammatory drug
РАН	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
PDB	Protein database
P-gp	P-glycoprotein
PM	Poor metabolizer
PPI	Proton pump inhibitor
PTP	4-Phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine
PXR	Pregnane X receptor
QSAR	Quantitative structure-activity relationship
RH	Hydrocarbon substrate
ROH	Hydroxylated metabolite
RXR	Retinoic X receptor
SDM	Site-directed mutagenesis
SNP	Single nucleotide polymorphism
SRS	Substrate recognition site
SSRI	Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor
TCA	Tricyclic antidepressant
TCDD	2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo- <i>p</i> -dioxin
UGT	Uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase

UM	Ultra-rapid metabolizer
VKORC1	Vitamin K epoxide reductase complex subunit 1
V <sub>max</sub>	Maximum velocity
WHO	World health organization
XAP2	X-associated protein 2
XRE	Xenobiotic response element

#### CHAPTER 1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 An Introduction to Human Cytochrome P450s

The cytochrome P450 (CYP), an enzyme superfamily, has been found across all organisms in every kind of life forms but present in diverse shapes in prokaryotic and eukaryotic worlds. In prokaryotes, CYPs present as soluble proteins whereas in eukaryotes they are bound to the membranes of either mitochondrion or the endoplasmic reticulum (de Waziers et al., 1990). The name of CYP derived from its unique character, namely all the enzymes are bound to cell (cyto) membranes and compass a heme pigment (chrome and P) that absorbs light at a wavelength of 450 nm when exposed to carbon monoxide (Omura and Sato, 1964).

In general, CYPs are responsible for a vast number of oxidations in nature, resulting in biotransformation of endogenous (e.g. fatty acids and retinoic acid) and exogenous (e.g. drugs and carcinogens) compounds in living bodies. The oxidative reactions catalyzed by CYPs include hydroxylation, *N*-, *O*- and *S*-dealkylation, sulphoxidation, epoxidation, deamination, desulphuration, dehalogenation, peroxidation, and *N*-oxide reduction (Hannemann et al., 2007). Through these oxidation reactions, CYPs process a so-called Phase 1 metabolism for a number of therapeutic drugs, leading to biotransformations of the drugs from hydrophobic forms to hydrophilic forms that are generally less toxic and facilitate their elimination from the body. In some cases, CYPs may form toxic metabolites from drugs (Zhou et al., 2005a).

A typical CYPs reaction is presented by catalysing a reductive scission of molecular dioxygen (bound to the heme iron at the core of the CYP), and then introducing a single atom from oxygen into a hydrocarbon substrate (RH) to generate a hydroxylated metabolite (ROH) and a molecule of water (Guengerich, 2002). During the reaction, two electrons are transferred from nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) to CYP via electron transfer proteins (flavoproteins or ferredoxin-like proteins, see Eq. 1-1).

$$RH + O_2 + NADPH + 2e^- + H^+ \rightarrow ROH + H_2O + NADP^+$$
 Equation 1-1

According to the methods of electron delivery from NADPH to catalytic site, CYPs can be divided into four classes (Werck-Reichhart and Feyereisen, 2000): class I CYPs need both a flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD)-containing reductase and an iron sulphur redoxin, comprised by most prokaryotic bacterial CYPs and eukaryotic mitochondrial CYPs (Ewen et al., 2008); class II CYPs require only a FAD/FMN-containing CYP reductase for electron

transferring, including endoplasmic CYPs (the so-called microsomal CYPs) (Koymans et al., 1993a); class III CYPs require no electron donor and are self-sufficient; and class IV CYPs receive electrons directly from NADPH, which merely exist in fungal CYPs. The classification of the interactions with redux partners is unrelated to CYP evolutionary history. In mammals, the mitochondrial CYPs (class I) are essential for the biosynthesis of vitamin D, bile acids and cholesterol-derived steroid hormones, whereas the functions of microsomal CYPs (class II) are extremely diverse, from biosynthesis of steroid hormones to metabolism of therapeutic drugs. Meanwhile, class III CYPs catalyse the rearrangement or dehydration of alkylhydroperoxides or alkylperoxides initially generated by dioxygenases in both mammals and plants and class IV CYPs reduce nitric oxide (NO) generated by denitrigication nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) in fungi (Werck-Reichhart and Feyereisen, 2000).

Up to now, more than 7,000 named sequences in the CYP superfamily have been reported in animals, plants, bacteria and fungi (<u>http://drnelson.utmem.edu/CytochromeP450.html</u>, access date: 25 March 2009). In humans, there are 57 functional *CYP* genes (see Table 1-1) and 58 pseudogenes which are grouped into different classes or families. The nomenclature of CYPs employs a three-tiered classification based on amino acid sequence similarity determined through gene sequencing, indicated by an Arabic numeral (family, e.g. CYP<u>1</u>, > 40% similarity), a capital letter (subfamily, e.g. CYP1<u>A</u>, > 55% similarity) and another Arabic numeral (gene, e.g. CYP1A<u>2</u>, > 97% identity comprise alleles) (Brown et al., 2008).

Most of the human CYPs with much narrow substrate specificity are devoted mainly to the metabolism of endogenous substrates, such as sterols, fatty acids, eicosanoids, and vitamins (Guengerich, 2006). However, fifteen individual CYP enzymes in families 1 (1A1 and 1A2), 2 (2A6, 2A13, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C18, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1 and 2F1) and 3 (3A4, 3A5 and 3A7) with a wide-substrate binding profile are heavily involved in xenobiotics (including a number of therapeutic drugs) metabolism (Guengerich, 2006). Among them, CYP1A2, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6 and 3A4/5 are essential for most therapeutic drug oxidations and have been investigated extensively. CYP3A4 is responsible for metabolizing more than 50% of drugs that are CYP substrates (Zhou, 2008b). A typical feature of these drug-metabolizing CYPs is that they exhibit broad and overlapping substrate specificity (Guengerich et al., 2005).

Human CYP enzymes are the most important heme-thiolate enzyme system and are predominantly expressed in the liver, although they are found in practically all tissues, such as

small intestine, lung, kidney, brain, adrenal gland, gonads, heart, nasal and tracheal mucosa, and skin (Pelkonen et al., 2008). In human liver, all CYPs comprise approximately 2% of total microsomal proteins (0.3–0.6 nmol/mg, CYPs/microsomal protein). The relative abundance of individual CYPs in liver has been determined as CYP1A2 (>10%), 2A6 (~10%), 2B6 (<5%), 2C8 (~5%), 2C9 (>15%), 2C19 (<5%), 2D6 (~2-4%), 2E1 (~15%), and 3A4/5/7 (35%) (Guengerich, 2006; Pelkonen et al., 2008). The significance of the individual CYP enzyme in human drug metabolism varies, with CYP3A, CYP2D, and CYP2C being responsible for the metabolism of 50, 25, and 20% respectively of the currently known drugs (Guengerich, 2006). In addition to the liver, the CYPs are expressed appreciably in extrahepatic tissues including small intestinal mucosa, lung, kidney, brain, placenta, olfactory mucosa, and skin, with the intestinal mucosa probably being the most important extrahepatic site of drug biotransformation (Lin and Lu, 2001; Paine et al., 2006).

There is a large variability in the expression and activity of human CYPs. Large interindividual variation in the activity of CYPs is observed, ranging from 20- (CYP2E1 and 3A4) to >1,000-fold (CYP2D6) (Shimada et al., 1994a). The expression and activities of CYPs are impacted by numerous factors, including genetic (e.g., genetic mutation), host (e.g., diseases), and environmental factors (e.g., inducers and inhibitors), making drug metabolism highly variable (Meech and Mackenzie, 1997; Rendic and Di Carlo, 1997; Iyer, 1999; Snyder, 2000). For most CYPs, both environmental and genetic factors have important impact to their expression and activity.

The most common type of genetic variation in the human genome occurs as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) occurring at a frequency of  $\geq 1\%$  in a given population. Other genetic mutations, such as deletion, insertion and copy number variants (CNVs), have often been observed (Ingelman-Sundberg et al., 2007). Genetic mutations may lead to polymorphism, where two phenotypes, namely poor metabolisers (PMs) and extensive metabolisers (EMs), exist in the population. Poor metabolisers lack detectable activity of a certain enzyme as a result of an autosomal-recessively transmitted defect in its expression, which may lead to greater bioavailability, higher plasma concentrations, prolonged elimination half-life and possibly increased pharmacological response from standard doses of drugs (Ingelman-Sundberg et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2008). A number of allelic variants have been identified in most human CYP genes (http://www.cypalleles.ki.se). The functional impact of these mutations on pharmacotherapy varies, depending on a number of factors. The polymorphisms within CYP enzymes mainly affect the pharmacokinetics of drugs that are mainly metabolized by those enzymes. The genotype-induced pharmacokinetic changes might be particularly important for certain drugs that have narrow therapeutic windows and there is a high risk for developing adverse drug reactions, such as warfarin and theophylline (Ingelman-Sundberg et al., 2007).

Environmental factors, such as co-administration of two or more drugs/herbs, may significantly change the CYP expression or activity through induction or inhibition and subsequent impact on the pharmacokinetics of the drugs leading to clinically important drug-drug or herb-drug interactions (Lin and Lu, 2001; Zhou and Lai, 2008). The pharmacokinetic changes due to CYP induction and inhibition may occur with a large variety of therapeutic drugs that are extensively metabolized by CYPs (Lin and Lu, 2001).

Overall, almost 50% of the overall elimination of commonly used drugs can be attributed to one or more of the various CYP enzymes in humans (Wilkinson, 2005). CYP activity varies among individuals of a given population. Variability in CYP content and activities can have profound influence on the *in vivo* response of humans to drugs (Nebert and Russell, 2002). Most CYPs are subject to induction and inhibition, and genetic mutations play an important or dominant role in the enzyme activity variation of many CYPs, in particular CYP2A6, 2C9, 2C19, and 2D6 (Ingelman-Sundberg et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2008). The major substrates, inhibitors and inducers of the principal drug metabolizing CYPs have been listed in Table 1-2.

#### 1.2 Biology and Pharmacology of Human CYPs

#### **1.2.1 Human CYP1A2 enzyme**

There are three members in human CYP1 family, CYP1A1, 1A2 and 1B1. The expression of CYP1A2 is major in the liver (~13%) (Shimada et al., 1994a) and slightly in the lung (Wei et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2003), whereas CYP1A1 is mainly expressed in the extrahepatic tissues including intestine (Prueksaritanont et al., 1996; Paine et al., 1999), lung (Shimada et al., 1996b; Willey et al., 1997), placenta (Hakkola et al., 1996a), and lymphocytes (Vanden Heuvel et al., 1993; Dey et al., 2001; van Duursen et al., 2005). CYP1B1 is known to be expressed in almost every tissue, normally in fibroblasts, bone marrow stromal cells and steroidogenic tissues (Hakkola et al., 1997; Heidel et al., 1998) and in the liver at a low level but not in the lung (Hakkola et al., 1997). In humans, CYP1A2 shares 80% amino acid sequence identity with CYP1A1 and about 40% with 1B1, and the substrate specificities of these enzymes often

overlap. However, CYP1A1 as an extrahepatic enzyme is considered to play a minor role in the elimination of therapeutic drugs *in vivo*. Human CYP1 enzymes have demonstrated remarkably overlapping substrate specificities for which the molecular planarity of substrates and inhibitors is a determining factor.

#### 1.2.1.1 Interindividual variability of the expression and activity of CYP1A2

CYP1A2 has been found to show approximately 40- to 130-fold interindividual variations in CYP1A2 expression and activity (Guengerich, 2006). Approximately 15- and 40-fold interindividual variations in CYP1A2 mRNA and protein expression levels have been observed in human livers (Ikeya et al., 1989). These findings may reflex a genetically-determined difference in constitutive and/or inducible *CYP1A2* gene expression. Environmental factors have been found to influence the interindividual differences in CYP1A2 activity and expression.

Unimodal, bimodal and trimodal distributions of CYP1A2 activity when measured by caffeine urinary metabolic ratios have been observed in different study populations (Butler et al., 1992; Nakajima et al., 1994; Catteau et al., 1995; Notarianni et al., 1995). The frequency of PMs in non-smokers was 5% in Australians (Ilett et al., 1993), 14% in Japanese (Nakajima et al., 1994) and 5% in Chinese (Ou-Yang et al., 2000). There is also marked racial difference in CYP1A2 activity. Swedes had a 1.54-fold higher CYP1A2 activity than Koreans (Kall and Clausen, 1995). A lower CYP1A2 activity has been found in Asian and African populations compared to Caucasians (Relling et al., 1992). Environmental factors have been thought to influence the interindividual differences in CYP1A2 activity and expression. Cigarette smoking and intake of oral contraceptive steroids are well established inducers of CYP1A2 activity (Rasmussen et al., 2002). However, it has been suggested that approximately 35 to 75% of the interindividual variability in CYP1A2 activity is due to genetic factors (Rasmussen et al., 2002).

#### 1.2.1.2 Probe substrates of CYP1A2

Several compounds including phenacetin, caffeine and theophylline have been often used as probes for phenotyping CYP1A2 *in vivo*. The marker reactions include phenacetin *O*-deethylation, caffeine *N*-demethylation, and theophylline *N*-demethylation. Phenacetin undergoes oxidative *O*-deethylation to yield acetaminophen by CYP1A1/1A2 and has therefore been used to assess the catalytic activity of CYP1A2 *in vivo* and *in vitro* or to investigate its activity and regulation (Bartoli et al., 1996). Caffeine is predominantly (~95%) metabolised by

CYP1A2 to three metabolic dimethylxanthines and one hydroxylated metabolite and thus is usually used as a "gold standard" probe for determining CYP1A2 activity (Kalow and Tang, 1991; Tassaneeyakul et al., 1992; Tassaneeyakul et al., 1994; Carrillo et al., 2000a; Ryu et al., 2007). Theophylline *N*-demethylation to 3-methylxanthine is catalyzed by CYP1A2, while CYP2E1 and 3A4 catalyze the hydroxylation to 1,3-dimethyluric acid (Gu et al., 1992; Sarkar et al., 1992; Sarkar and Jackson, 1994; Ha et al., 1995; Zhang and Kaminsky, 1995; Tjia et al., 1996).

7-Ethoxycoumarin is a commonly used probe for determining CYP1A2 activity *in vitro* (Waxman and Chang, 2006). Oxidative deethylation of 7-ethoxycoumarin by CYP1A2 (low  $K_m$  component) and by CYP2E1 and 2B6 (high  $K_m$  components) (Yamazaki et al., 1996) produced 7-hydroxycoumarin (i.e. umbelliferone) which was subsequently metabolized by glucuronidation. 7-Ethoxyresorufin *O*-deethylation is often used as the marker reaction. Similarly, this method can be applied to assay CYP1A1/2-catalyzed formation of resorufin from other alkoxyresorufins, such as 7-methoxyresorufin, 7-benzyloxyresorufin, and 7-pentoxyresorufin (Chang and Waxman, 2006).

#### **1.2.1.3** Therapeutic drugs as substrates of CYP1A2

CYP1A2 metabolises a variety of clinically important drugs, such as adenosine receptor inhibitors (e.g. paraxanthine (1,7-dimethylxanthine) (Tassaneeyakul et al., 1992), theophylline (Sarkar et al., 1992; Sarkar and Jackson, 1994; Ha et al., 1995; Zhang and Kaminsky, 1995), and caffeine (Kalow and Tang, 1991; Tassaneeyakul et al., 1992; Tassaneeyakul et al., 1994; Carrillo et al., 2000a; Ryu et al., 2007)); analgesics (e.g. phenacetin (Tassaneeyakul et al., 1993), paracetamol (Tassaneeyakul et al., 1993), and aminopyrine (Niwa et al., 1999)); antiarrhythmic agents (e.g. mexiletine (Nakajima et al., 1998), amiodarone (Ohyama et al., 2000a), and propafenone (Botsch et al., 1993; Zhou et al., 2003a)); anticancer drugs (e.g. tegafur (Ikeda et al., 2000; Komatsu et al., 2000b), flutamide (Shet et al., 1997; Goda et al., 2006), thalidomide (Miyata et al., 2003), bortezomib (Uttamsingh et al., 2005), and 5,6-dimethylxanthenone-4 acetic acid (Zhou et al., 2000; Zhou et al., 2002)); anticoagulants (e.g. R-acenocoumarol (Thijssen et al., 2000), and R-warfarin (Hermans and Thijssen, 1993; Kaminsky and Zhang, 1997)); antidepressants (e.g. amitriptyline (Mellstrom and von Bahr, 1981; Olesen and Linnet, 1997; Venkatakrishnan et al., 2000; Venkatakrishnan et al., 2001a), nortriptyline (Mellstrom and von Bahr, 1981; Olesen and Linnet, 1997; Venkatakrishnan et al., 2000; Venkatakrishnan et al., 2001a), imipramine (Koyama et al., 1997), clomipramine (Nielsen et al., 1996; Wu et al., 1998), duloxetine (Lobo et al., 2008), maprotiline (Brachtendorf et al., 2002), mianserin (Koyama et al., 1996; Stormer et al., 2000), and mirtazapine (Stormer et al., 2000)); antihistamines (e.g. azelastine (Nakajima et al., 1999a), cinnarizine (Narimatsu et al., 1993; Kariya et al., 1996), flunarizine (Narimatsu et al., 1993; Kariya et al., 1996), and diphenhydramine (Akutsu et al., 2007)); antihypertensive drugs (e.g. verapamil (Kroemer et al., 1993), pranidipine (Kudo et al., 1999), and guanabenz (Clement and Demesmaeker, 1997)); anti-migraine drugs (e.g. almotriptan (Wild et al., 1999; McEnroe and Fleishaker, 2005), and zolmitriptan (Wild et al., 1999; McEnroe and Fleishaker, 2005)); antipsychotics (e.g. clozapine (Bertilsson et al., 1994), haloperidol (Fang et al., 2001), promazine (Wojcikowski et al., 2003), olanzapine (Ring et al., 1996), zotepine (Shiraga et al., 1999), and thioridazine (Wojcikowski et al., 2006)); β-blockers (e.g. propranolol (Masubuchi et al., 1994), and carvedilol (Oldham and Clarke, 1997)); cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors (e.g. rofecoxib (Slaughter et al., 2003)); hypnotics (e.g. zolpidem (Pichard et al., 1995)); 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor (e.g. zileuton (Machinist et al., 1995)); local anaesthetics (e.g. and lidocaine (Orlando et al., 2004), and ropivacaine (Oda et al., 1995)); monoamine oxidase inhibitors (e.g. selegiline (Salonen et al., 2003)); reverse transcriptase inhibitors (e.g. efavirenz (Ward et al., 2003)); selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (e.g. fluvoxamine (Carrillo et al., 1996)); and serotonin 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonists (e.g. ondansetron (Dixon et al., 1995)).

CYP1A2 also plays a role in the metabolism of tacrine (Spaldin et al., 1994; Spaldin et al., 1995), triamterene (a potassium-sparing diuretic) (Fuhr et al., 2005), carbamazepine (Wolkenstein et al., 1998; Pelkonen et al., 2001; Pearce et al., 2002), tizanidine (Granfors et al., 2004a), terbinafine (Vickers et al., 1999), and aminoflavone (NSC686288) (Chen et al., 2006a). Tacrine is a centrally acting cholinesterase inhibitor for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease (Qizilbash et al., 1998); terbinafine is an orally active allylamine derivative that has antifungal activity against dermatophytes and many other pathogenic fungi (Gupta and Shear, 1997); and tizanidine is a centrally acting  $\alpha_2$  adrenergic agonist used as a muscle relaxant (Wagstaff and Bryson, 1997).

CYP1A2 also metabolizes cyclobenzaprine (a long-acting skeletal muscle relaxant) (Wang et al., 1996), naproxen (Miners et al., 1996), and leflunomide (Kalgutkar et al., 2003a). Leflunomide is an orally active disease-modifying anti-inflammatory agent for the treatment of advanced rheumatoid arthritis (Silverman et al., 2005). Propofol, a short-acting intravenous sedative agent used for the induction of general anesthesia, is partially metabolized by

CYP1A2 (Guitton et al., 1998). Riluzole, a drug used to slow the progress of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (Lou Gehrig's disease) (Bryson et al., 1996; Wokke, 1996; Miller et al., 2007; Radunovic et al., 2007; Groeneveld et al., 2008), is substantially metabolized by CYP1A2 1997). al., In addition, the kinase-3 (Sanderink et novel Janus inhibitor. 4-(4'-hydroxyphenyl)-amino-6,7-dimethoxyquinazoline (WHI-P131/JANEX-1), was metabolized by CYP1A1 and 1A2 in a regio-selective manner to inactive 7-O-demethylation product 4-(4'-hydroxyphenyl)-amino-6-methoxy-7-hydroxyquinazoline (Uckun et al., 2002). It should be noted that most of above drugs are also metabolized by other CYPs and CYP1A2 plays a variable role in their metabolic clearance.

Overall, CYP1A2 is a major enzyme in the metabolism of a number of important therapeutic drugs, including theophylline, tacrine, acetaminophen, antipyrine, bufuralol, ondansetron, and phenacetin (Guengerich, 1995). With regard to the relative contribution, CYP1A2 is a major enzyme for the metabolism of theophylline, caffeine, phenacetin, and propranolol, with contributions from other CYPs. For other substrates, the contribution of CYP1A2 is often <30%.

#### 1.2.1.4 Bioactivation of procarcinogens and environmental compounds by CYP1A2

CYP1A2 together with CYP1A1 and 1B1 is well known in the bioactivation for a variety of procarcinogens and mutagens, such as PAHs (e.g., benzo[a]pyrene (B[a]P)), heterocyclic amines/amides 2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-*b*]pyridine) aromatic (e.g. and mycotoxins (e.g. aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>)) (Guengerich and Liebler, 1985). CYP1A1 generally metabolizes PAHs, whereas CYP1A2 activates aminofluorenes and nitrosamines. Recombinant CYP1A1 and 1A2 both catalyzed stereo-selective epoxidation of a series of PAHs (Buters et al., 1995; Shou et al., 1996). Oxidation of the chemicals by CYP1A1 and 1A2 serves as an initial step in the conversion of the substrates to more polar metabolites, resulting in increased excretion and thereby maintaining the chemical homeostasis in the body. However, the oxidation of carcinogenic PAHs and heterocyclic aromatic amines/amides gives rise to arene oxide, diolepoxide, and other electrophilic reactive species (ultimate carcinogen) that form DNA and protein adducts, leading to tumor formation and organ toxicity (Ma and Lu, 2007).

In the presence of epoxide hydrolase, CYP1A1/1A2 and 1B1 catalyze the conversion of B[a]P to its 7,8-epoxide and consequently to 7,8-dihydrodiol, and both enzymes can in turn metabolically activate this B[a]P metabolite to an ultimate mutagenic species, the dihydrodiol

epoxide (7*R*.8*S*)-dihydroxy-(9*S*,10*R*)-epoxy-7,8,9,10-tetrahydrobenzo[a]pyrene (Figure 1-1) (Shimada et al., 1996a; Shimada et al., 1999). The first step for the bioactivation of B[a]P is the formation of B[a]P 7,8-oxide catalyzed by CYP1A1/1A2 and 1B1. The second step, catalyzed by epoxide hydrolase, is the hydrolytic conversion of 7,8-oxide to 7,8-diol. Finally, CYP1A1/2 and 1B1 catalyze the further oxidation of the 7,8-diol, producing four possible isomers of 7,8-diol-9,10-epoxide. Quantitatively, the most important of these metabolites is (7R,8S)-dihydroxy-(9S,10R)-epoxy-7,8,9,10-tetrahydrobenzo(a)pyrene which is the ultimate carcinogen binding DNA at the guanine residues and producing DNA adducts. The DNA binding will activate the H-ras and K-ras oncogenes (Marshall et al., 1984; Bizub et al., 1986; Stevens et al., 1988; Kerzee and Ramos, 2000) and other oncogenes such as *c-Jun*, *E6* and *E7* (Wu et al., 1992; Luch, 2005; Hockley et al., 2006; Jiao et al., 2008). B[a]P 7,8-dihydrodiol is a bay-region diolepoxide that can be reduced to catechol which is further oxidized to generate a reactive quinine metabolite. 7,8-Diol-9,10-epoxide can be conjugated by Phase II enzymes, resulting non-toxic glucuronides and sulfates. Alternatively, B[a]P undergoes oxidation to form 4,5-oxide. On the other hand, a one-electron oxidation pathway may be responsible for the formation of 3- and 6-hydroxy-B[a]P and subsequent metabolites 1,6-, 3,6-, and 6,12-quinones (Van Cantfort et al., 1979; Yun et al., 1992). The 3-hydroxylation of B[a]P is catalyzed by CYP1A2, 2C8, 2C9 and 3A4 (Yun et al., 1992). As such, CYP1A1/2 can convert PAHs to reactive electrophiles that can cause damage of macromolecules such as DNA and functional proteins, producing carcinogenic transformation of the cells.

The critically reactive metabolite of AFB<sub>1</sub> is the *exo* 7,8-epoxide formed by a two-electron oxidation mainly catalyzed by CYP3A4, with contribution from CYP1A1, 1B1, 1A2, 2A6 and 2B6 (Gillam et al., 1993; Penman et al., 1994; Sengstag et al., 1994; Ueng et al., 1995; Crespi et al., 1997). CYP3A4 catalyzes the formation of the genotoxic AFB<sub>1</sub> *exo* 8,9-epoxide only; while CYP1A2 forms both the *exo* and the non-genotoxic *endo* isomers. The *exo* 8,9-epoxide of AFB<sub>1</sub> can bind the N<sup>7</sup> atom of guanine in DNA, resulting in DNA adducts.

#### 1.2.1.5 Metabolism of natural and herbal products by CYP1A2

CYP1A2 plays an important role in the metabolism of a number of natural and herbal compounds, which often results in toxic metabolites. Alkenylbenzenes include simple compounds like safrole, methyleugenol, and estragole, which are present in herbal medicines such as nutmeg, cinnamon, tarragon, basil, fennel, and anise. They are used as a constituent of various food flavours, aromatic oils, spices, perfumes, and detergents. The carcinogenicity of

estragole may be related to its metabolism, which involves the formation of several metabolites, some of which are carcinogenic. The metabolic bioactivation of estragole starts with its conversion into the putative proximate carcinogen 1'-hydroxyestragole by CYP1A2, 2A6, 2C19, 2D6, and 2E1, which is similar to the activation pathway of methyleugenol and safrole (Borchert et al., 1973; Jeurissen et al., 2004; Ueng et al., 2004; Jeurissen et al., 2007).

In 1991, a unique form of nephropathy associated with the long-term use of Aristolochia fanchi for slimming purpose was reported in Belgium. More than 100 young women suffered from kidney damage, developing in several patients into renal and urinary tract cancer (Kessler, 2000; Li, 2000; Nortier et al., 2000; Lampert and Xu, 2002). A line of evidence indicates that aristolochic acids (AAs) present in the herb are the compounds responsible for this renal toxicity (Cosyns et al., 1999; Lord et al., 2001; Debelle et al., 2002; Nortier et al., 2003). Thus, the Chinese herb-caused nephropathy is also called AA nephropathy (Stefanovic et al., 2006). AAs are known to be nephrotoxic, genotoxic and carcinogenic (Isnard Bagnis et al., 2004). AAs are a family of structurally related nitrophenanthrene carboxylic acids which are primarily from Aristolochia spp. (e.g. A. fangchi (Guang Fangji), A. clematits, and A. manshuriensis) et al., 2003; Kumar et al., 2003). The predominant (Ioset AAs are AAI (8-methoxy-6-nitro-phenanthro-(3,4-*d*)-1,3-dioxolo-5-carboxylic acid) and AAII (6-nitro-phenanthro-(3,4-d)-1,3-dioxolo-5-carboxylic acid) (Kumar et al., 2003). AA is demethylated to form a metabolite for phase II conjugation reactions. As alkaloids, both AAI and AAII underwent reduction of the nitro group catalyzed by oxidative enzymes to reactive cyclic nitrenium ions (Figure 1-2) (Schmeiser et al., 1997; Stiborova et al., 2001a; Stiborova et al., 2001b; Stiborova et al., 2002). Hepatic microsomal CYP1A1/2, NADPH:CYP reductase, DT-diaphorase, xanthine oxidase, cyclooxygenase-1 (COX1) and other peroxidases have been found to catalyze the oxidative reaction (Schmeiser et al., 1997; Stiborova et al., 2001a; Stiborova et al., 2001b; Stiborova et al., 2002). Addition of inhibitors or inducers of CYP1A1/2 was found to decrease or increase the formation of DNA adducts (Stiborova et al., 2001b). The primary route of AAI and II metabolism appears to be the nitro reduction pathway. Aristolactam nitrenium ion in turn can give rise to an isomeric carbonium ion that reacts covalently with DNA (in particular the amino groups of guanine and adenosine) and/or proteins, DNA leading to adduct formation. For example, the adducts (e.g. 7-(deoxyadenosin-N<sup>6</sup>-yl)aristolactam I or II and 7(deoxyguanosin-N<sup>2</sup>-yl)aristolactam I or II) have been detected in kidney and ureter tissues of patients taking herbs containing AAs, several months or even years after discontinuation of the herbal consumption (Pfau et al., 1990;

Schmeiser et al., 1996; Stiborova et al., 1999; Dong et al., 2006; Mei et al., 2006). An AT $\rightarrow$ TA mutation also was detected in the p53 gene of a urothelial tumor of a patient with AA nephropathy (Lord et al., 2004). AA-DNA adducts potentially could serve as useful biomarkers of exposure for monitoring of the mutagenic and/or carcinogenic potential of AAs.

CYP1A2 is involved in the oxidative metabolism of some natural flavonoids. Genistein is a soy-derived isoflavone that has been shown to be an effective chemopreventive agent of chemical-induced carcinogenesis in vivo. Biochanin A, a 4'-O-methyl derivative of genistein, is the major isoflavone in red clover (Trifolium pratense) but is not present in soy foods. This compound has also been shown to inhibit chemical-induced tumor carcinogenesis. The major metabolic routes of genistein and biocahnin A are sulfation and glucuronidation, however, several hydroxylated metabolites of genistein have been identified in vitro and in vivo (Kulling et al., 2002). The oxidative metabolites of genistein and biochanin A are mainly 3'-, 6-, and 8-hydroxylated products (Kulling et al., 2002). CYP1A2 is predominantly responsible for 3'-OH-genistein formation, with contribution from CYP2E1, 2C8 and 3A4 (Hu et al., 2003). Biochanin A can be regarded as a prodrug of genistein and is rapidly converted into the demethylated metabolite genistein in vitro and in vivo (Tolleson et al., 2002). Tangeretin was also mainly metabolized by CYP1A2 (Breinholt et al., 2003). CYP1A2 also rapidly catalyzed O-demethylation of prunetin to genistein, of formononetin (neochanin) to genistein and daidzein, and of 5,4'-dimethoxyisoflavone to formononetin and daidzein, respectively (Hu et al., 2003). Formononetic was also glucuronidated and hydroxylated at 2' and 5' positions. In addition, the flavonols galangin (3,5,7-trihydroxyflavone) and kempferide are metabolized by CYP1A1, 1A2 and 2C9 and (Otake and Walle, 2002). Galangin was oxidized at the 4'-position, whereas kaempferide was O-demethylated to 4'-OH-galangin. However, chrysin was not a substrate of CYP1A1 and 1A2.

#### **1.2.1.6 Induction of CYP1A2**

Many inducers for CYP1A1 such as 3-methylcholanthrene, 3-methylcholenthrene and 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin (TCDD) can inducer CYP1A2. Rifampicin is only a weak inducer of CYP1A2 (Backman et al., 2006a). Tobacco smoking and dietary constituents such as cruciferous vegetables and charcoal-broiled meat can induce CYP1A2 activity (Wietholtz et al., 1981; Kalow and Tang, 1991; Fontana et al., 1999). Tobacco and marijuana smoking appears to increase the clearance of theophylline by induction of metabolic pathways (Zevin and Benowitz, 1999). Theophylline clearance has been shown to increase by ~50% in young

adult tobacco smokers and by ~80% in elderly tobacco smokers compared to non-smoking subjects (Grygiel and Birkett, 1981). Passive smoke exposure has also been shown to increase theophylline clearance by up to 50%. Abstinence from tobacco smoking for one week causes a reduction of ~40% in theophylline clearance.

Induction of CYP1A2 activity may also be influenced by coadministration with high-dose (120 mg/day) omeprazole (Han et al., 2002; Yoshinari et al., 2008). Omeprazole induced CYP1A2 in primary human hepatocytes at mRNA and protein levels (Diaz et al., 1990; Masubuchi et al., 1998). A 2- to 10-fold induction of the CYP1A2 protein and CYP1A-dependent activities as determined by the caffeine  $N^3$ -demethylation breath test was observed in liver biopsies from cancer patients before and after 4-day treatment with omeprazole at therapeutic doses (Rost et al., 1992). Similar induction was seen in cancer patients taking 20 mg/day for 4 days (Diaz et al., 1990). At a therapeutic dose (40 mg), omeprazole failed to induce CYP1A2 as measured by the caffeine  $N^3$ -demethylation breath test in individuals with extensive metabolizer phenotype for CYP2C19, but the induction was revealed at a higher dose (120 mg) in the same individuals (Rost et al., 1999). On the other hand, induction of CYP1A2 by omeprazole was observed in individuals with poor metabolizer phenotype for CYP2C19 at the dose of 40 mg. Clearly, individual variations in the metabolic rate of omeprazole by CYP2C19 affect the intracellular concentration of the inducer (omeprazole) contributing to variability of CYP1A induction (Rost et al., 1992; Rost et al., 1994; Han et al., 2002). However, several other studies did not observed remarkable induction of caffeine and phenacetin metabolism by omeprazole or pantoprazole (Andersson et al., 1991; Rizzo et al., 1996; Hartmann et al., 1999). Notably, omeprazole is a competitive inhibitor of CYP1A2 in vitro with a  $K_i$  of 150  $\mu$ M (Rost et al., 1999).

All members of CYP1 subfamily are regulated by the aromatic hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) through AhR-mediated transactivation following ligand binding and nuclear translocation (see Figure 1-3). AhR is a ligand-activated transcription factor and a basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) protein belonging to the Per-Arnt-Sim (PAS, where Per stands for *Drosophila* period clock protein, Arnt refers to AhR nuclear translocator and Sim is *Drosophila* single-minded protein) family of transcription factors (Ma, 2001; Ma and Lu, 2007). The bHLH motif is located in the *N*-terminal of the protein and is a common entity in a variety of transcription factors. Members of the bHLH superfamily have two functionally distinctive and highly conserved domains (Burbach et al., 1992). The first is the basic-region (b) which is involved in the binding of the

transcription factor to DNA; while the second is the helix-loop-helix (HLH) region which facilitates protein-protein interactions. AhR contains two PAS domains, PAS-A and PAS-B, which are stretches of 200-350 amino acids that exhibit a high sequence homology to the protein domains that were found in the *Drosophila* genes period (Per) and single minded (Sim) and in Arnt. The PAS domains support specific secondary interactions with other PAS domain-containing proteins, resulting in heterozygous and homozygous protein complexes. The ligand binding site of AhR is within the PAS-B domain that contains several conserved residues critical for ligand binding (Goryo et al., 2007). In addition, a Q-rich domain is located in the *C*-terminal region of AhR, which is involved in co-activator recruitment and transactivation (Kumar et al., 2001).

The mRNA of AhR is dominantly expressed in the placenta, lung, heart, pancreas, and liver (Dolwick et al., 1993). The AhR exists as cytoplasmic aggregates bound to two 90-kDa heat-shock proteins (Hsps), the cochaperone prostaglandin E synthase 3 (p23) and a 43-kDa immunophilin-like protein hepatitis B virus X-associated protein 2 (XAP2, also called AhR interacting protein 1, AIP1; or AhR-associated protein 9, ARA9) (Figure 1-3) (Carver et al., 1998; Petrulis et al., 2000; Petrulis et al., 2003; Ogiso et al., 2004; Hollingshead et al., 2006). These other proteins are involved in the correct folding and stabilization of AhR. For example, XAP2 interacts with the *C*-terminal of Hsp90 and binds to the AhR nuclear localization sequence and thus prevents the inappropriate trafficking of the receptor into the nucleus (Petrulis et al., 2000). The dimer of Hsp90 together with p23 protects the receptor from proteolysis, constrain the receptor in a conformation receptive to ligand binding and prevent the premature binding of Arnt (Carver et al., 1994; Carver et al., 1998).

Upon binding a ligand, after the replacement of its associated molecule with Arnt to form a heterodimer with release of 90 kDa HSPs, AhR translocates into the nucleus (Denison and Nagy, 2003). This heterodimer interacts with a 5'-GCGTG-3' DNA sequence, the core binding motif of the xenobiotic response element (XRE) or dioxin response element (DRE) of the target genes (Fujisawa-Sehara et al., 1987; Kubota et al., 1991), located and present in multiple copies in the upstream region of the *CYP1A1* gene promoter. The human *CYP1A1*, the mouse *Cyp1a2*, and the mouse *Cyp1b1* genes harbor 10, 12, and 11 dioxin response element motifs in their respective upstream regions (Zhang et al., 1998; Zhang et al., 2003). The AhR-regulated genes include *CYP1A1*, *1A2*, *1B1*, and *2S1*, *UGT1A1* and *1A6*, *GSTA1* (Yueh et al., 2003).

A Per-Arnt-Sim protein called AhR repressor (AhRR) inhibits AhR signal transduction by competing with AhR for Arnt and also by binding to XRE (Mimura et al., 1999; Baba et al., 2001; Watanabe et al., 2001; Haarmann-Stemmann et al., 2007; Evans et al., 2008). AhRR can be induced by AhR ligands, which represents an efficient negative feedback loop for the regulation of AhR signal transduction (Haarmann-Stemmann and Abel, 2006). The AhR knockout mice have been generated, which had decreased liver size and liver deformation, bile duct fibrosis, decreased accumulation of lymphocytes in the spleen and lymph nodes, loss of B[a]P carcinogenicity decreased constitutive expression of Cyp1a2, and resistance to TCDD-induced Cyp1a1 induction (Fernandez-Salguero et al., 1995b; Fernandez-Salguero et al., 1996; McDonnell et al., 1996; Shimizu et al., 2000; Harstad et al., 2006). Two strains of Arnt-null mice have also been generated, but these mice die in utero (Kozak et al., 1997). Deletion of Xap2 in mice results in cardiac malformation and embryonic lethality (Lin et al., 2007). However, AhRR<sup>-/-</sup> mice are normal and fertile (Hosoya et al., 2008). AhRR<sup>-/-</sup> mice expressed higher levels of Cyp1a1 mRNA induction in the skin, stomach and spleen than wild-type mice, while expression of Cyp1a1 mRNA was not significantly affected in the liver, lung, heart or other tissues, suggesting that the induction of Cyp1a1 mRNA in AhRR<sup>-/-</sup> mice takes place in a tissue-specific manner.  $AhRR^{-/-}$  mice also displayed a delayed response to skin carcinogenesis caused by B[a]P (Hosoya et al., 2008).

Human AhR has been mapped to chromosome 7.17.3. Cloning of human AhR cDNA revealed that it encodes a protein of 848 amino acid residues (Ema et al., 1994). The human AhR is more similar to the DBA/2 (D2) mouse AhR than to the C57BL/6 (B6) mouse AhR, with two critical determinants reducing ligand-binding affinity observed in D2 AhR: a T to G mutation at the position equivalent to the termination codon (TGA) of the B6 AhR, causing an elongation of the carboxyl terminus, and a Val381 equivalent to the Val375 of D2 AhR replacing Ala375 of B6 AhR. Ligand-affinity differences range between 2- and 6-fold for the B6 and D2 AhRs when cDNA-expressed AHRs are studied. Recombinant human AhR gave a  $K_d$  value of 1.58 nM for TCDD in agreement with that of D2 AhR (1.66 nM), ~6-fold higher than that of B6 AhR (0.27 nM); the  $K_d$  values of the mouse AhRs are qualitatively similar to those reported earlier (16 nM for D2 and 1.8 nM for B6) (Okey et al., 1989). Human AhR protein consists of many functional domains, including bHLH-PAS (amino acid residues 13-81, 111-181, and 275-342), Hsp90-interacting (27-79 and 182-374), Arnt-interacting (40-79 and 182-374), nuclear localization (13-39), nuclear export (55-75), and transactivation (490-805) domains (Dolwick et al., 1993; Denison and Nagy, 2003). Typical ligands of human AhR are TCDD,

3-methylcholanthrene, and  $\beta$ -naphthoflavone. Several endogenous ligands have also been identified, such as tryptophan derivatives (e.g., indirubin) and arachidonic acid metabolites (e.g., lipoxin A4) (Song et al., 2002).

The regulation of expression of CYP1A1/1A2 is complex because gene transcription not only involves the AhR but also a number of transcription factors, and is potentially influenced by the actions of transcriptional coactivators and corepressors. AhR-mediated signalling pathways provide a first line of defense against potentially toxic environmental contaminants. However, induction of metabolic processes by the AhR can also produce highly carcinogenic metabolites, creating a link between AhR activation and chemical carcinogenesis. Induction of CYP1A1/1A2 is generally a means of maintaining the homeostasis of the chemical environment in cells by increasing the metabolic clearance of substrates. Since CYP1A1/1A2 catalyzes the metabolic activation of PAHs and heterocyclic aromatic amines/amides to ultimate carcinogens, it is expected that induction of the enzyme is detrimental in humans exposed to high levels of PAHs and heterocyclic aromatic amines/amides such as by cigarette smoking. Induction of the enzyme in humans exhibits large variations; high inducibility may impose additional risk for lung cancer to individuals who are smokers (Ma and Lu, 2007). Furthermore, CYP1A2 can metabolize a range of substrates; induction of the enzymes by one substrate may increase the metabolism of other chemicals (for instance, clinical drugs), resulting in unexpected drug-drug interactions.

In addition to the conventional AhR-mediated pathway for the induction of CYP1A1/1A2, omeprazole can trigger the induction of CYP1A1/1A2 not by binding to the AhR, but by activating the AhR via the signal transduction pathways (Backlund et al., 1997). Genistein, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor, and daidzein, an inhibitor of casein kinase II, efficiently inhibited omeprazole-mediated but not TCDD-mediated induction of CYP1A1, as monitored at the transcriptional, mRNA, and protein levels (Backlund et al., 1997). In addition, insulin pretreatment caused an almost complete inhibition of omeprazole-dependent CYP1A1 induction but only partially affected TCDD and B[a]P-mediated induction of CYP1A1. Staurosporine, an inhibitor of protein kinase C, impaired the induction by both omeprazole and B[a]P. In addition, omeprazole has been shown to induce several protein tyrosine kinase targets *in vitro* (Ishida et al., 2002).

Induction of CYP1A2 has important implication for clinical drug-drug interactions (Tang et al., 2005). CYP1A2-mediated caffeine metabolism, as determined by the caffeine breath test, was induced by omeprazole at 40 mg in subjects with a poor metabolizer phenotype for CYP2C19 (Rost et al., 1994). Potent inducers of CYP1A2 may reduce the clearance of drugs whose metabolism is mainly dependent on CYP1A2. On the other hand, the induction of CYP1A2 may increase the risk of carcinogenicity of certain chemicals and contribute to cancer risk. It had been reported that increasing activity of CYP1A2 may be associated with high risk for breast cancer (Hong et al., 2004), meanly due to metabolism modulation of estrogen, a CYP1A2 substrate.

#### 1.2.1.7 Inhibitors of CYP1A2

Several drugs including carbamazepine (Masubuchi et al., 2001), dihydralazine (Masubuchi and Horie, 1998), furafylline (Kunze and Trager, 1993), isoniazid (Wen et al., 2002b), rofecoxib (withdrawn from the market due to its cardiovascular risk) (Karjalainen et al., 2006), and zileuton (Lu et al., 2003) are mechanism-based (suicide) inhibitors of CYP1A2 (Table 1-2). In addition, the deethylated metabolite of amiodarone, desethylamiodarone, can inactivate CYP1A2 (Ohyama et al., 2000b). Furafylline as a mechanism-based inhibitor of CYP1A2 (Kunze and Trager, 1993) is commonly used as a selective inhibitor for CYP1A2 in reaction phenotyping studies. Furafylline is a methylxanthine derivative that was introduced as a long-acting replacement for theophylline in the treatment of asthma (Segura et al., 1986).

Oltipraz, a chemo-protective agent, is a competitive and mechanism-based inhibitor of CYP1A2 (Langouet et al., 2000). *trans*-Resveratrol inactivates CYP1A2, but not CYP1A1 (Chang et al., 2001). Resveratrol selectively inhibits CYP1A1 in a concentration-dependent manner with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 23  $\mu$ M (Chun et al., 1999), through blocking of the activation of AhR (Ciolino et al., 1998a). Resveratrol showed 50-fold selectivity in its inhibition of CYP1A1 over 1A2.  $\epsilon$ -Viniferin, the dimer of resveratrol, more potently inhibits CYP1A1, 1B1, and 2B6 *in vitro* (Piver et al., 2003). Several other hydroxystilbene compounds obtained from natural sources also showed inhibitory effect on CYP1A1/1A2 activity. Rhapontigenin is a potent mechanism-based inhibitor of CYP1A1 (Chun et al., 2001).

B[a]P and seven other PAH compounds tested inhibited CYP1A2 in a mechanism-based manner, but fluoranthene directly inhibited CYP1A2 (Shimada et al., 2007). All of the nine

PAHs examined were direct inhibitors of CYP1A1 and CYP1B1. Organophosphorothionate pesticides can inactive CYP1A2 and 3A4 (Di Consiglio et al., 2005).

Fluvoxamine, a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI), is a potent and relatively selective CYP1A2 inhibitor with IC<sub>50</sub> of 0.12-0.30  $\mu$ M (Brosen et al., 1993; Rasmussen et al., 1995; von Moltke et al., 1996; Becquemont et al., 1997). Other SSRIs, including fluoxetine, norfluoxetine, and sertraline also inhibited CYP1A2-mediated 7-ethoxyresorufin *O*-deethylase activity (Rasmussen et al., 1995). The  $K_i$  values for fluoxetine, norfluoxetine, sertraline, desmethylsertraline, and paroxetine were 4.4, 15.9, 8.8, 9.5 and 5.5  $\mu$ M, respectively (von Moltke et al., 1996). The antidepressant nefazodone and four of its metabolites (*m*-chloro-phenylpiperazine, two hydroxylated derivatives, and a triazoledione) were very weak inhibitors of CYP1A2. Venlafaxine and its *O*- and *N*-desmethyl metabolites showed minimal inhibitory activity toward CYP1A2 (von Moltke et al., 1996). Isosafrole is a selective inhibitor of CYP1A2 (Pastrakuljic et al., 1997).

Many drugs, including oral contraceptives (Abernethy and Todd, 1985) and fluoroquinolones such as levofloxacin and ciprofloxacin (Parker et al., 1994; Granfors et al., 2004c), can inhibit CYP1A2 activity. Propafenone and mexiletine inhibited CYP1A2-mediated phenacetin O-deethylation with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 29 and 37  $\mu$ M, respectively (Kobayashi et al., 1998). Amiodarone, bepridil, aprindine, lidocaine, flecainide and quinidine inhibited CYP1A2-catalyzed phenacetin O-deethylation in a concentration-dependent manner, with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 86 to 704 µM (Kobayashi et al., 1998). Cimetidine, ranitidine and ebrotidine all inhibited CYP1A2 in vitro (Martinez et al., 1999). Miconazole inhibited CYP1A2 with a K<sub>i</sub> of 2.9 µM, but fluconazole, itraconazole, micafungin, and voriconazole did not inhibit this enzyme (Niwa et al., 2005). However, venlafaxine (Ball et al., 1997) did not inhibit CYP1A2-mediated ethoxyresorufin O-dealkylase and disopyramide, procainamide and pilsicainide (Kobayashi et al., 1998) did not inhibit CYP1A2-catalyzed phenacetin O-deethylation.

Some natural compounds can inhibit CYP1A2 and 1A1. Rutaecarpine, evodiamine, and dehydroevodiamine are quinazolinocarboline alkaloids isolated from *Evodia rutaecarpa*, which has been used in traditional Chinese medicine for the treatment of gastrointestinal disorder, headache, and hypertension. They are all inhibitors of CYP1A1 and 1A2, with rutaecarpine

being the most potent (Ueng et al., 2002). Phenethyl isothiocyanate is a competitive inhibitor of CYP1A2 (Nakajima et al., 2001).

Inhibition of CYP1A2 by drugs has important implications in drug-drug interactions. For example, coadministration of ciprofloxacin (a CYP1A2 inhibitor) and tizanidine (a CYP1A2 substrate) had demonstrated to increase the risk of hypotension, adverse effect of overdose of tizanidine (Granfors et al., 2004c).

#### 1.2.2 Human CYP2C9 enzyme

The CYP2C subfamily comprises CYP2C8, 2C9, 2C18 and 2C19, metabolizing about 20% of clinical drugs (Totah and Rettie, 2005). CYP2C8, 2C9, and 2C19 proteins are primarily located in the liver where they account for approximately 20% of total CYP contents (Shimada et al., 1994a), whereas CYP2C18 protein seems to be primarily expressed in the skin (Zaphiropoulos, 1997). Low levels of CYP2C mRNAs and proteins have also been found in small intestine and other extra-hepatic tissues (Klose et al., 1999). A number of drugs are metabolized by CYP2C members, with CYP2C8 and 2C18 exhibiting a similar substrate specificity to that of 2C9 or 2C19 but with altered  $V_{max}$  and/or  $K_m$ . The human *CYP2C* genes are mapped to chromosome 10q24 in the following order: Cen-*CYP2C18-CYP2C19-CYPP2C9-CYP2C8*-Tel (Gray et al., 1995).

#### 1.2.2.1 Substrates of CYP2C9

CYP2C9 is one of the most abundant CYP enzymes in the human liver (~20% of hepatic total CYP content), where it metabolizes approximately 15% clinical drugs (>100 drugs), including a number of drugs with narrow therapeutic ranges (Miners and Birkett, 1998). *S*-Flurbiprofen (4'-hydroxylation) (Yamazaki et al., 1998), *S*-warfarin (7-hydroxylation) (Yamazaki et al., 1998), tolbutamide (methylhydroxylation), phenytoin (4'-hydroxylation) (Giancarlo et al., 2001), losartan (oxidation) (Lee et al., 2003), and diclofenac (4'-hydroxylation) (Yamazaki et al., 1998) have been commonly used as probe substrates for CYP2C9 (Kumar et al., 2006). Diclofenac 4'-hydroxylase and tolbutamide methylhydroxylation have been well studied as marker reactions of CYP2C9 activity and are most commonly used in CYP2C9 phenotyping studies, although some activity of other CYP2C enzymes for these substrates has been observed (Wester et al., 2000). Flurbiprofen can be included into the 5-drug Pittsburgh cocktail without showing metabolic interactions (Zgheib et al., 2006).

The substrates of CYP2C9 include oral sulfonylurea hypoglycemics (e.g. tolbutamide, glyburide, glimepiride, gliclazide and glipizide), non-steroid antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs, e.g. diclofenac, ibuprofen, ketoprofen, suprofen, naproxen, flurbiprofen, indomethacin, meloxicam, piroxicam, tenoxicam, and lornoxicam); selective COX2 inhibitors (e.g. celecoxib, lumiracoxib, etoricoxib, and valdecoxib), diuretics (e.g. torasemide and sulfinpyrazone), antiepileptics (e.g. phenytoin and phenobarbital), angiotensin II receptor inhibitors (e.g. losartan, irbesartan, and candesartan), anticancer drugs (e.g. cyclophosphamide and tamoxifen), and anticoagulants (e.g. *S*-acenocumarol, phenprocoumon and *S*-warfarin) (Miners and Birkett, 1998; Rettie and Jones, 2005).

The non-sulfonylurea antidiabetic drug, nateglinide is extensively metabolized (~70%) by CYP2C9 and partially by CYP3A4 (McLeod, 2004). Ketobemidone, an opioid analgesic structurally related to pethidine, is mainly metabolized by CYP2C9 and 3A4 via *N*-demethylation to norketobemidone (Yasar et al., 2005). Methadone is partially metabolized by CYP2C9, although CYP2B6, 2C19 and 3A4 may play a more important role in its metabolism (Foster et al., 1999; Gerber et al., 2004). Sulfamethoxazole, a sulfonamide bacteriostatic antibiotic, is eliminated mainly by metabolism, and CYP2C9 plays an important role in its *N*<sup>4</sup>-hydroxylation (Cribb et al., 1995). Terbinafine is mainly metabolized by CYP1A2, 2C9 and 3A4 (Vickers et al., 1999). Sildenafil is converted to its major circulating metabolite, UK-103,320, by CYP2C9 and 3A4, with contribution from CYP2C19 and 2D6 (Warrington et al., 2000). Vicriviroc (SCH 417690), a CCR5 receptor antagonist, is mainly metabolized by CYP2C9, with minor contribution from CYP2C8 and 2C19 (Winter et al., 2000).

CYP2C9 participates in the oxidation of several important endogenous compounds such as progesterone (Yamazaki and Shimada, 1997), testosterone (Yamazaki and Shimada, 1997), 17 $\alpha$ -ethinylestradiol (Ball et al., 1990; Wang et al., 2004), all-*trans*-retinoic acid (Marill et al., 2000). CYP2C9 is also involved in the metabolism of arachidonic acid (Rifkind et al., 1995). This will result in biologically active epoxyeicosatrienoic fatty acids (e.g. 11,12- and 14,15-epoxyeicosatrienoic fatty acids) and hydroxyeicosatetraenoic fatty acids (e.g. 7-, 11-, 13-, or 15-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic fatty acids).

#### **1.2.2.2 Induction of CYP2C9**

Like CYP2C8, rifampicin and phenobarbital induced CYP2C9, and to a lesser extent CYP2C19 mRNAs and proteins in primary human hepatocytes (Gerbal-Chaloin et al., 2001). The concentration dependence of CYP2C8 and 2C9 mRNAs in response to rifampicin and phenobarbital paralleled that of CYP3A4 and 2B6, the maximum accumulation being reached with rifampicin at 10  $\mu$ M or phenobarbital at 100  $\mu$ M. Phenobarbital is not a potent inducer of *CYP2C8* and 2*C9* genes. In contrast, dexamethasone resulted in maximum induction of CYP2C8 and 2*C9* mRNAs at 0.1  $\mu$ M while CYP3A4 and 2B6 were not induced. Dexamethasone, which has been recently shown to up-regulate pregnane X receptor (PXR) and constitutive androstane receptor (CAR) expression through the glucocorticoid receptor (GR/NR3C1), potentiated CYP2C8 and 2C9 mRNA induction in response to rifampicin and phenobarbital. Therefore, PXR/NR112, CAR/NR113, and GR/NR3C1 are all involved in the regulation of CYP2C9. In contrast to the other CYP2C messengers, CYP2C18 mRNA was not inducible in cultures human hepatocytes (Gerbal-Chaloin et al., 2001).

There are two DR1 elements at -152 and -185 bp of the promoter region of the *CYP2C9* gene, and hepatic nuclear factor-4 $\alpha$  (HNF-4 $\alpha$ /NR2A1) can activate the transcription of this gene via the DR1 element in HepG2 cells (Ibeanu and Goldstein, 1995; Chen et al., 2005b). HNF-4 $\alpha$ /NR2A1 synergizes with CAR/NR1I3 and PXR/NR1I2 in HepG2 cells treated with rifampicin when the CAR/PXR binding site at -1839 bp is present (Chen et al., 2005b). Mutation of the two HNF-4 $\alpha$ /NR2A1 binding sites differentially prevented up-regulation of *CYP2C9* promoter by both CAR/NR1I3 and HNF-4 $\alpha$ /NR2A1; synergy between the two receptors essentially abolished induction by rifampicin in HepG2 cells transfected with PXR/NR1I2. These findings suggest that there is cross-talk between distal CAR/PXR sites and HNF-4 $\alpha$ /NR2A1 binding sites in the *CYP2C9* promoter and that the HNF-4 $\alpha$ /NR2A1 sites are required for maximal induction of the *CYP2C9* promoter.

Several clinical reports have focused on the changed pharmacokinetic parameters of drugs known as CYP2C substrates, in patients receiving rifampicin, dexamethasone, phenobarbital, or a high concentration of prednisone. For example, the systemic clearance of phenytoin, tolbutamide, and *S*-warfarin exhibited a 2- to 3-fold increase in patients receiving rifampicin, suggesting clinically significant CYP2C9 induction. Dexamethasone increased phenytoin clearance (McLelland and Jack, 1978; Wong et al., 1985), suggesting a clinically significant induction of CYP2C9. In addition, phenobarbital and prednisone decreased the half-life of

elimination of cyclophosphamide, a drug recently shown to be a low  $K_m$  substrate of CYP2C9 and 2C19, whereas dexamethasone produced an increase in the body clearance of this drug (Zhang et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2006).

#### 1.2.2.3 Inhibitors of CYP2C9

Sulfaphenazole is a commonly used selective inhibitor of CYP2C9 with  $K_i$  of 0.3 µM, but it has some inhibitory effects toward the other CYP2C8 ( $K_i = 63 \mu$ M) and 2C18 ( $K_i = 29 \mu$ M) (Mancy et al., 1996). Glyburide inhibited CYP2C9-catalyzed *S*-warfarin and phenytoin metabolism in a competitive manner, with  $K_i$  values of 2.4 and 3.1 µM, respectively (Kim and Park, 2003).

Sulfamethoxazole has been shown to inhibit CYP2C9-mediated tolbutamide hydroxylation with an apparent  $K_i$  value of ~250  $\mu$ M (Komatsu et al., 2000a). It appears that trimethoprim and sulfamethoxazole are selective inhibitors of CYP2C8 and 2C9, respectively (Wen et al., 2002a). With concentrations ranging from 50 to 500 µM, sulfamethoxazole was a selective inhibitor of CYP2C9-mediated tolbutamide hydroxylation in human liver microsomes and recombinant CYP2C9, with apparent IC<sub>50</sub> values of 544 and 456 µM, respectively (Wen et al., 2002a). Trimethoprim showed a selective inhibitory effect on CYP2C8-mediated paclitaxel  $6\alpha$ -hydroxylation in human liver microsomes and recombinant CYP2C8, with apparent IC<sub>50</sub> values of 54 and 75 µM, respectively. Trimethoprim is frequently combined with sulfamethoxazole as cotrimoxazole, a broad-spectrum antibacterial agent, to treat a wide range of infections. Trimethoprim and sulfamethoxazole have increased the plasma concentrations or therapeutic effects of drugs such as tolbutamide (Wing and Miners, 1985), phenytoin (Hansen et al., 1979), warfarin (O'Reilly, 1980), and glipizide (Johnson and Dobmeier, 1990). Trimethoprim alone inhibited the metabolic clearance of tolbutamide by 14% and phenytoin by 30% in humans. Inhibition of CYP2C8/9 enzymes is considered the major mechanism for these drug interactions.

Kumar *et al.* (2006) investigated the inhibitory effects of 28 compounds which are mostly substrates of CYP2C9 on the oxidation of 5 probes of CYP2C9 (*S*-flurbiprofen, *S*-warfarin, tolbutamide, phenytoin, and diclofenac). They found that the estimated  $K_i$  value was  $\leq 1.0 \mu$ M for 16 of the 28 inhibitors of *S*-warfarin hydroxylation in CYP2C9.1, including benzbromarone (0.001  $\mu$ M); nicardipine (0.01  $\mu$ M); miconazole (0.01  $\mu$ M); ketoconazole (0.08  $\mu$ M); dapsone (0.09  $\mu$ M); sulfaphenadine (0.12  $\mu$ M); quercetin (0.25  $\mu$ M);  $\alpha$ -naphthoflavone (0.29  $\mu$ M);

nifedipine (0.34  $\mu$ M); Vivid Green (0.53  $\mu$ M); fluvoxamine (0.58  $\mu$ M); omeprazole (0.64  $\mu$ M); tamoxifen (0.66  $\mu$ M); gemfibrozil (0.79  $\mu$ M); piroxicam (0.92  $\mu$ M); tolbutamide (1.0  $\mu$ M). In contrast, only eight, six, nine, and nine of the inhibitors exhibited  $K_i$  values <1  $\mu$ M against *S*-flurbiprofen hydroxylation, phenytoin hydroxylation, tolbutamide hydroxylation, and diclofenac hydroxylation, respectively. An additional eight compounds exhibited  $K_i$  values between 1 and 10  $\mu$ M toward *S*-warfarin hydroxylation, resulting in 24 of 28 compounds exhibiting  $K_i$  values <10  $\mu$ M toward this reaction (Kumar et al., 2006). For the other four probe substrates, the majority of inhibitors fell within this 1 to 10  $\mu$ M range for the  $K_i$  values. Quinine was a relatively potent inhibitor of *S*-flurbiprofen hydroxylation with a  $K_i$  of 1.1  $\mu$ M but was a very poor inhibitor of the oxidation of the other four probe substrates ( $K_i$ : 20 to >100  $\mu$ M). Indomethacin was a very potent ( $K_i = 0.7 \ \mu$ M) inhibitor of *S*-warfarin hydroxylation but a relatively weak ( $K_i > 10 \ \mu$ M) inhibitor of all other probe substrates. Finally, *S*-ibuprofen was a poor ( $K_i > 40 \ \mu$ M) inhibitor of *S*-warfarin hydroxylation but a relatively potent ( $K_i \sim 4 \ \mu$ M) inhibitor for other four probe substrates.

#### 1.2.3 Human CYP2D6 enzyme

CYP2D6 accounts for only a small percentage of all hepatic CYPs (~2%), however, it metabolises ~25% of all medications in the human liver (Cascorbi, 2003; Ingelman-Sundberg, 2005; Gardiner and Begg, 2006; Ingelman-Sundberg et al., 2007). The primarily hepatic expression of this enzyme governs first pass metabolism after oral drug administration, whereas the low level of its intestinal expression does not appear to be important. CYP2D6 has been identified in human kidney (Nishimura et al., 2003), intestine (Prueksaritanont et al., 1995; Madani et al., 1999; Nishimura et al., 2003), breast (Huang et al., 1997), lung (Guidice et al., 1997; Bernauer et al., 2006), placenta (Hakkola et al., 1996b) and brain (Siegle et al., 2001; Chinta et al., 2002; Miksys et al., 2002) at low to moderate levels. In fetal liver, CYP2D6 mRNA was undetectable (Hakkola et al., 1994). CYP2D6 protein and enzyme activity toward bufuralol have been detected at low levels in human intestine and are differentially expressed along the length of the gastrointestinal tract (de Waziers et al., 1990; Prueksaritanont et al., 1995; Madani et al., 1999). CYP2D6 expression is highest in the jejunum and decreased distally to the colon. However, CYP3A4/5 is the most expressed CYP enzyme in human small intestine (McKinnon et al., 1995), whereas CYP2D6 and 2C19 are less expressed enzymes. The expression level of CYP2D6 was 3-fold lower in bronchial mucosa and 6-fold lower in lung parenchyma compared to that in the liver (Guidice et al., 1997). CYP2D6 is expressed constitutively in neurons in human brain (Siegle et al., 2001). CYP2D6 protein was primarily

found in large principal neurons such as pyramidal cells of the cortex, pyramidal cells of the hippocampus, and Purkinje cells of the cerebellum (Siegle et al., 2001). In glial cells, CYP2D6 protein was absent. Higher expression of CYP2D6 was detected in brain regions of alcoholics compared to non-alcoholics (Miksys et al., 2002).

#### 1.2.3.1 Substrates of CYP2D6

Sparteine and debrisoquine are two prototypical substrates of CYP2D6, which are widely used to determine the phenotype of CYP2D6. Debrisoquine was used as an anti-hypertensive agent and its 4-hydroxylation (so CYP2D6 is called debrisoquine 4-hydroxylase) is primarily mediated by the polymorphic CYP2D6 (Eiermann et al., 1998). Dextromethorphan, a synthetic analog of narcotic analgesics, is also a commonly used CYP2D6 probe *in vitro* and *in vivo*. In humans, it is primarily excreted as the unchanged parent drug and dextrorphan (Barnhart, 1980), which is pharmacologically active (Braga et al., 1994). In addition, bufuralol, a  $\beta$ -adrenoceptor blocker, has been extensively used as a probe substrate for the *in vitro* study of CYP2D6 activity.

CYP2D6 is a critical enzyme responsible for the metabolism of more than 100 therapeutic drugs although it only accounts for a small percentage (~2%) of all hepatic CYP enzymes. CYP2D6 can metabolize a number of drugs, including antidepressants (e.g. desipramine (Murphy et al., 2000), clomipramine and fluoxetine), neuroleptics (e.g. haloperidol),  $\beta$ -blockers (e.g. metoprolol (Yuan et al., 2008) and nebivolol (Lefebvre et al., 2007)), antiarrhythmics (e.g. debrisoquine (Eiermann et al., 1998)), analgesics (codeine (Kirchheiner et al., 2007) and oxycodone (Heiskanen et al., 1998)), antiemetics (ondansetron and tropisetron (Kaiser et al., 2002)) and anticancer drugs (cyclophosphamide) (Huang et al., 2000). Many of these drugs have narrow therapeutic index.

CYP2D6 also extensively metabolizes opioids (e.g. codeine, dihydrocodeine and tramadol), antiemetics (e.g. tropisetron, ondansetron, dolasetron, and metoclopramid), antihistamines (e.g. terfenadine (Jones et al., 1998), oxatomide (Goto et al., 2004), loratadine (Yumibe et al., 1995; Yumibe et al., 1996), astemizole (Matsumoto and Yamazoe, 2001), epinastine (Kishimoto et al., 1997), promethazine (Nakamura et al., 1996), mequitazine (Nakamura et al., 1998), azelastine (Imai et al., 1999; Nakajima et al., 1999a), diphenhydramine and chlorpheniramine), and antiarrhythmics (e.g. sparteine, propafenone, encainide, flecainide, cibenzoline, aprindine, lidocaine, procainamide and mexiletine).
CYP2D6 metabolizes drugs of abuse of amphetamine type such as methamphetamine ('meth', 'ice'), methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA, 'ecstasy'), N-ethyl-3, 4-methylenedioxyamphetamine ('eve'), 4-methylenedioxyamphetamine and 3. (i.e. tenamfetamine, 'the love drug') (Lin et al., 1997; Wu et al., 1997; Kreth et al., 2000; Segura et al., 2005). CYP2D6 is the primary enzyme for the CYP2D6 in their aromatic 4-hydroxylation and N-demethylation (Lin et al., 1997). Similarly, MDMA is metabolized to methylenedioxyamphetamine via demethylation by CYP2D6 as a high-affinity enzyme, with low-affinity contributions from CYP1A2, 2B6, and 3A4 (Tucker et al., 1994; Lin et al., 1997; Kreth et al., 2000). However, CYP2D6 did not N-demethylated MDMA (Lin et al., 1997).

CYP2D6 has also been shown to metabolize carcinogens and neurotoxins such as 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) (Coleman et al., 1996; Gilham et al., 1997; Modi et al., 1997; Kalgutkar et al., 2003b), 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (Ohta et al., 1990), and indolealkylamines (Yu et al., 2003b). MPTP is a neurotoxin and potent inducer of experimental Parkinson's disease in nonhuman primates (Barsoum et al., 1986; Jenner, 2003; Emborg, 2007). Besides MAO-B-mediated bioactivation of MPTP to the positively charged mitochondrial neurotoxin N-methyl-4-phenylpyridinium (MPP<sup>+</sup>), CYP2D6, 1A2 and 3A4 the **MPTP** to corresponding metabolize non-neurotoxic N-4-(4'-hydroxyphenyl)-N-methyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine and 4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (PTP) metabolites via N-demethylation (Coleman et al., 1996; Modi et al., 1997). The high affinity activity toward MPTP was absent in liver microsomes from a PM subject (Coleman et al., 1996). Rat CYP2D and 2C can N-demethylate MPTP (Narimatsu et al., 1996) and female Dark Agouti rats are more sensitive to MPTP neutotoxicity than other strains (Jimenez-Jimenez et al., 1991). CYP2D6 efficiently hydroxylated β-carbolines al., 2006). various (Herraiz et N(2)-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-β-carboline, a close MPTP analog, is extensively hydroxylated 6-hydroxy-N(2)-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-β-carboline to and а corresponding 7-hydroxy-derivative (Herraiz et al., 2006). CYP2D6 is also involved in the metabolism of diuron, a widely used herbicide and antifouling biocide (Abass et al., 2007).

A study using the CYP2D6-humanized mouse line has established that CYP2D6 is a 5-methoxyindolethylamine *O*-demethylase (Yu et al., 2003b) and 5-methoxytryptamine, a metabolite and precursor of melatonin (*N*-acetyl-5-methoxytrytamine), is metabolized by

CYP2D6 to 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT/serotonin) with a high turnover of 51.7 min<sup>-1</sup> and relatively low  $K_m$  of 19.5  $\mu$ M (Yu et al., 2003a). Recombinant CYP2D6 exhibited remarkable ability to convert *p*-tyramine and *m*-tyramine to dopamine. Human CYP2D6 and rat CYP2D4 are the predominant CYP2Ds in the brain and exhibit 21-hydroxylation activity toward progesterone and its metabolite 17 $\alpha$ -hydroxyprogesterone (Kishimoto et al., 2004).

### 1.2.3.2 Induction of CYP2D6

By employing cultured human hepatocytes, the induction of CYP1A, 2A,2B, 2C, 2E, and 3A subfamilies has been reported (Rodriguez-Antona et al., 2000; Gerbal-Chaloin et al., 2001). In contrast to these CYP enzymes, none of the model inducers examined increased levels of CYP2D6, 2E1, and 4A11 in 72-hr cultured human liver slices. For CYP2D6, previous studies have suggested that this P450 enzyme is refractory to induction by known inducers of other CYP subfamilies (Rodriguez-Antona et al., 2000). In cultured precision-cut human liver slices, treatment with 50  $\mu$ M concentrations of  $\beta$ -naphthoflavone, lansoprazole, rifampicin, dexamethasone, and methylclofenapate or 500  $\mu$ M sodium phenobarbital did not induce CYP2D6, with little effect on CYP2C8, 2C9, 2E1, and 4A1 (Edwards et al., 2003). Phenobarbital or rifampin failed to cause notable induction of CYP2D6 activity (Madan et al., 2003). Ritonavir and nelfinavir did no induce CYP2D6 in human hepatocytes, but significantly induced CYP1A2, 2B6, 2C9, 2C19 and 3A4 (Dixit et al., 2007). Using human enterocytes collected from 6 healthy subjects before and after 10 days of 600 mg/day oral rifampicin administration, CYP2D6 was not induced (Glaeser et al., 2005).

In contrast to other CYPs, CYP2D6 is generally not regulated by many known environmental agents and is not inducible by common known steroids (Bock et al., 1994). However, interindividual differences in response to drugs metabolized by CYP2D6 may also be influenced modestly by hormonal state, diet, and by xenobiotic regulation of expression of the enzyme in liver and extrahepatic organs such as brain, kidney, and intestine (Llerena et al., 1996; Miksys et al., 2002).

*In vitro* and *in vivo* studies indicate that the nuclear receptors (NRs) including PXR, CAR and GR do not appear to play a role in the regulation of CYP2D6. Prototypical inducers such as phenobarbital, rifampin and dexamethasone do not inducer CYP2D6 in cultured human hepatocytes (Edwards et al., 2003; Madan et al., 2003). Additionally, no or minor to moderate clinical drug interactions between P450 inducers and drug substrates that are mainly

metabolized by CYP2D6 have been reported (Branch et al., 2000). Therefore, the currently available data suggest that variability of CYP2D6 is largely governed by genetic factors, which is consistent with the large number of *CYP2D6* allelic variants that have been identified to date.

HNF-4 $\alpha$ , a member of the nuclear receptor superfamily, is mainly expressed in a restricted manner in the liver, intestine, kidney, and pancreas (Mendel and Crabtree, 1991). It plays an important role in the regulation of many liver-specific genes, such as those encoding apolipoproteins, coagulation factors, and CYPs (Mendel and Crabtree, 1991; Erdmann and Heim, 1995). A direct-repeat element with a one-nucleotide spacer located in the proximal promoter region of the CYP2D6 gene plays an important role in modulating CYP2D6 expression, and HNF-4 $\alpha$  interacts with this binding element (Cairns et al., 1996). Cotransfection of the minimal CYP2D6 promoter -CAT construct (-392 bp) with a mammalian HNF-4 $\alpha$  expression vector resulted in a 30-fold induction of CAT activity in COS-7 cells. Although HNF-4 $\alpha$  was originally identified as an orphan receptor, fatty acyl-CoA thioesters are identified to be endogenous ligands for HNF-4 $\alpha$  (Hertz et al., 1998; Petrescu et al., 2002). The binding of ligand may shift the oligometic-dimetic equilibrium of HNF-4 $\alpha$  or may modulate the affinity of HNF-4 $\alpha$  for its cognate promoter element, resulting in either activation or inhibition of HNF-4 $\alpha$  transcriptional activity as a function of chain length and the degree of saturation of the fatty acyl-CoA ligands (Petrescu et al., 2002). The HNF-4a binding element is conserved in the proximal promoter regions of more than 20 CYP2 genes (Chen et al., 1994; Ibeanu and Goldstein, 1995). Recently, Jover et al. (2001) demonstrated that HNF-4α plays a general role in the regulation of major P450 genes, including CYP3A4, CYP3A5, CYP2A6, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6, in human hepatocytes using antisense technique. By using small interfering RNA technique, Kamiyama et al. (2007) found that suppression of HNF-4 $\alpha$ caused decrease in the mRNA levels of CYP2A6, CYP2B6, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, CYP3A4, UGT1A1, UGT1A9, ABCB1, ABCB11, and ABCC2, as well as those of PXR and CAR. In addition, deletion of HNF-4 $\alpha$  decreased debrisoquine 4-hydroxylase activity in CYP2D6 humanized mice more than 50% (Corchero et al., 2001). These findings indicate that HNF-4 $\alpha$  may act as a common regulator of the liver-specific transcription of many P450 genes.

#### 1.2.3.3 Inhibitors of CYP2D6

A number of CYP2D6 substrates and other compounds have been found to inhibit CYP2D6 and this has important clinical implications when drugs are coadministered. Many antipsychotic drugs including chlorpromazine, fluphenazine, perphenazine, haloperidol, thioridazine, risperidone, clozapine, trifluperidol, and zuclopenthixol are metabolized by CYP2D6 and also significantly inhibit this enzyme (Shin et al., 1999). Metoclopramide, a gastroprokinetic and antiemetic agent, is a substrate and inhibitor of CYP2D6 (Desta et al., 2002a). Terfenadine, a nonsedating H<sub>1</sub> receptor antagonist, could interact with CYP2D6, either as a substrate or as an inhibitor (Smith and Jones, 1992; Jones et al., 1998).

Quinidine and fluoxetine are competitive inhibitors of CYP2D6, which did not exhibit a preincubation-dependent increase in inhibitory potency. Quinidine, pimozide and halofantrine compete for the substrate-binding site of CYP2D6 but are not metabolized by it (Otton et al., 1988). Terbinafine, used for the treatment of superficial dermatophytosis, inhibited dextromethorphan *O*-demethylation with an apparent  $K_i$  ranging from 28 to 44 nM in human hepatic microsomes and averaging 22.4 nM for the heterologously expressed enzymes (Abdel-Rahman et al., 1999). Terbinafine is not metabolized by any CYPs. A number of anti-HIV agents are CYP2D6 inhibitors. Ritonavir inhibits CYP2D6 *in vitro* (von Moltke et al., 1998a) and *in vivo* (Aarnoutse et al., 2005). Indinavir, saquinavir, nelfinavir, and delavirdine are all CYP2D6 inhibitors (von Moltke et al., 1998a; Voorman et al., 2001). Amobarbital, valproic acid, ethosuximide, caffeine, theophylline, disopyramide and phenytoin are not inhibitors of CYP2D6 (Broly et al., 1990). In addition, both bupropion and hydroxybupropion inhibited CYP2D6-mediated dextromethorphan *O*-demethylation, with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 58 and 74  $\mu$ M, respectively (Hesse et al., 2000).

Progesterone, testosterone, pregnanolone, pregnenolone,  $17\beta$ -estradiol, and  $17\alpha$ hydroxyprogesterone competitively inhibited CYP2D6 activity, whereas epiallopregnanolone and alfaxalone non-competitively inhibited the activity (Hiroi et al., 2001). Progesterone and testosterone inhibited bufuralol 1'-hydroxylation with  $K_i$  values of 33 and 63  $\mu$ M, respectively. All these steroids lack the basic nitrogen atoms and are thus atypical substrates of CYP2D6.

Paroxetine (an SSRI) inhibits CYP2D6 activity at IC<sub>50</sub> concentrations ranging from 150 nM to 2.0  $\mu$ M, depending on the substrate (Fogelman et al., 1999). Paroxetine is also a mechanism-based inhibitor of CYP2D6, (Bertelsen et al., 2003), which has been shown to

reduce the clearance of desipramine (Alderman et al., 1997), perphenazine (Ozdemir et al., 1997), metoprolol (Hemeryck et al., 2000), risperidone (Spina et al., 2001), and atomoxetine (Belle et al., 2002), where the clearance of the victim drugs is impaired by 5- to 8-fold. MDMA is also a mechanism-based inhibitor of CYP2D6 (Heydari et al., 2004; Van et al., 2007).

#### 1.2.4 Human CYP3A4 enzyme

CYP3A4 has the highest abundance in the human liver, representing about 40% of the total hepatic CYP content and CYPs in the gastrointestinal tract (Shimada et al., 1994b). There are three major proteins (CYP3A4, 3A5 and 3A7) and one additional protein (CYP3A34) in the CYP3A family. Among them, CYP3A7 is the predominant CYP form in embryonic, fetal, and newborn livers (Kitada and Kamataki, 1994; Hakkola et al., 2001) but a minor form in the adult liver (Schuetz et al., 1994), having less important for drug metabolism in general. CYP3A5, with minor polymorphism and relative weak catalytic capability, has the substrate and inhibitor specificity highly similar to CYP3A4 (Wrighton et al., 1990; Williams et al., 2002) and is consistently expressed in extrahepatic tissues, such as kidney, lung, colon, and esophagus (Ding and Kaminsky, 2003; Burk and Wojnowski, 2004). CYP3A4 is the most important one in the biotransformation of drugs, metabolizing more than 50% of all therapeutic drugs used in the clinical setting (Zhou, 2008a).

## 1.2.4.1 Substrate specificity of CYP3A4

The substrate specificity of the CYP3A4 enzymes is very broad, with an extremely large number of structurally divergent and weightly differential chemicals. A large variety of substrates of CYP3A4 varying in molecular weight from metyrapone (Mr 226 Dal) to cyclosporine (M<sub>r</sub> 1,203 Dal), including macrolide antibiotics (e.g. clarithromycin and erythromycin), anti-arrhythmics (e.g. quinidine), benzodiazepines (e.g. alprazolam and midazolam1), immune modulators (e.g. cyclosporine and tacrolimus), HIV antivirals (e.g. indinavir and ritonavir), antihistamines (e.g. chlorpheniramine and terfenadine), calcium channel blockers amlodipine, felodipine, nifedipine and (e.g. verapamil) and 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-glutaryl-coenzyme A (HMG CoA) reductase inhibitors (e.g. atorvastatin, cerivastatin, lovastatin and simvastatin). CYP3A4 exhibits a relatively large substrate-binding cavity that is consistent with its capacity to oxidize bulky substrates such as cyclosporine, statins, taxanes, and erythromycin (Zhou, 2008a).

#### 1.2.4.2 Inhibitors of CYP3A4

The relatively low degree of substrate selectivity makes CYP3A4 susceptible to inhibition by different chemicals. This is accordant with the fact that the inhibitors of CYP3A4 cover a broad variety of structurally unrelated substances. Many of CYP3A4 inhibitors are important therapeutic drugs and posses mechanism-based inhibitory property, including macrolide antibiotics (e.g., clarithromycin, and erythromycin), anti-HIV agents (e.g., ritonavir and delavirdine), antidepressants (e.g. fluoxetine and fluvoxamine), calcium channel blockers (e.g., verapamil and diltiazem), steroids and their modulators (e.g., gestodene and mifepristone), and several herbal and dietary components (Zhou, 2008a).

Chemicals used as selective inhibitors of CYP3A4 include a small number of compounds inhibiting CYP3A4 in an irreversible (e.g. triacetyloleandomycin, gestodene) and/or reversible (e.g. ketoconazole) manner (Zhou et al., 2005c). Ketoconazole is most widely used, probably because of advantages in potency, selectivity, commercial availability, and ease of use. However, selectivity of ketoconazole for CYP3A4 is often less than ideal. For example, CYP1B1, 2B6, and 2C8/9/19 enzymes are significantly inhibited (20-60%) at concentrations required to inhibit CYP3A4 by 95% (von Moltke et al., 1998b).

A number of drugs with widely differing structures and therapeutic targets have been reported to be mechanism-based inhibitors of CYP3A4 (Zhou, 2008a). These include macrolide antibiotics (e.g., clarithromycin, and erythromycin), anti-HIV agents (e.g., ritonavir and delavirdine), antidepressants (e.g. fluoxetine and fluvoxamine), calcium channel blockers (e.g., verapamil and diltiazem), steroids and their modulators (e.g., gestodene and mifepristone), and several herbal and dietary components (Zhou, 2008a). Large numbers of acetylenes, particularly those synthetic steroids such as gestodene, norethisterone, ethinylestradiol, and norgestrel, have been demonstrated to cause mechanism-based inactivation of CYPs (Guengerich, 1990). However, most of the alkynes that inactivate CYPs are terminal acetylenes. Studies have shown that internal acetylenes such as several different methyl-substituted aryl acetylenes (propynylaryl acetylenes) and 10-dodecynoic acid also cause mechanism-based inactivation of CYPs (Foroozesh et al., 1997; Helvig et al., 1997). Mifepristone, an internal acetylene that has a methyl group substituting for the hydrogen on the external carbon of the triple bond, is a potent and selective mechanism-based inactivator of CYP3A4 via irreversible modification of the apoprotein (He et al., 1999).

Most of these CYP3A4 inactivators are also substrates and reversible inhibitors of CYPs (in particular CYP3A4), and some of which are also inducers of CYP3A and other CYPs. Three glitazones, troglitazone, rosiglitazone and pioglitazone, are mechanism-based CYP3A4 inhibitors, and their order of potency for inactivation is troglitazone > rosiglitazone (Lim et al., 2005a). Structurally, the three glitazones share a 2,4-thiazolidinedione functionality. Reactive metabolites from bioactivation of 2,4-thiazolidinedione moiety can inactivate CYP3A4. However, troglitazone is the only one containing a chromane moiety; instead, rosiglitazone has a dialkylamino-pyridine and pioglitazone has a dialkylpyridine group. Formation of quinone methide from chromane might contribute to the greater potency of troglitazone for inactivating CYP3A4. The less effective formation of covalent adducts in CYP3A4 by rosiglitazone and pioglitazone, combined with the much lower doses generally prescribed (<10 mg/day) may explain the lacking of idiosyncratic hepatotoxicity and pharmacokinetic drug-drug interactions of those drugs, compared with troglitazone, in clinical settings (Lim et al., 2005a).

# 1.2.4.3 Induction of CYP3A4

The PXR/NR112, also known as steroid and xenobiotic receptor and pregnane-activated receptor is a member of the NR family of ligand-dependent transcription factors (Synold et al., 2001; Moore et al., 2006; Stanley et al., 2006; Matic et al., 2007). PXR/NR1I2 has been identified as a key regulator for the expression of genes involved in all stages of drug metabolism and transport (Synold et al., 2001; Matic et al., 2007). Phase I drug metabolizing enzymes regulated by PXR/NR1I2 include CYP2B6, 2C8, 3A4, 3A5, and 3A7, carboxylesterases, and dehydrogenases (Synold et al., 2001; Moore et al., 2006; Stanley et al., 2006; Matic et al., 2007). The ligands of PXR/NR1I2 include a wide variety of structurally diverse, low-affinity exogenous and endogenous chemicals, e.g. steroid hormones and steroid metabolites, such as progesterone, estrogen, corticosterone, 5β-pregnane, and androstanol, and dietary and herbal compounds, such as coumestrol, carotenoids, and hyperforin, a constituent of the herbal antidepressant St John's wort (Blumberg et al., 1998; Moore et al., 2000a; Moore et al., 2000b). Therapeutic drugs that behave as PXR/NR1I2 activators include rifampicin, phenobarbital, nifedipine, clotrimazole, mifepristone, and metyrapone (Moore et al., 2000b). Many of the PXR ligands are also shared by CAR/NR113. Upon ligand binding, PXR/NR112 forms a heterodimer with RXRα/NR1B1 and transactivates ER6 (everted repeat with a 6 bp spacer) elements upstream of the CYP genes (Waxman, 1999). RXRα/NR1B1 serves as a common heterodimerization partner for many orphan nuclear receptors, including CAR/NR1I3.

The binding of PXR/RXR $\alpha$  to ER6 is followed by recruitment of coactivator proteins, e.g. steroid receptor coactivator-1 and transcriptional activation of the respective gene (Lanz et al., 1999). There is evidence for a second binding site for PXR/NR112 in the ~7,800 bp upstream 5'-flanking region of the *CYP3A4* gene having ER6-like binding sites (Goodwin et al., 1999). PXR/NR112 and RXR $\alpha$ /NR1B1 are induced by GR/NR3C1 (Pascussi et al., 2008). Thus, the activation of GR/NR3C1 by glucocorticoids, such as dexamethasone, leads to the induction of PXR/RXR and to the increase of CYP3A4 induction by endogenous and exogenous compounds. *Pxr* knockout mice showed no induction by typical mouse Cyp3a inducers. The loss of Pxr did not alter the basal Cyp3a expression in mice. Transgenic mice containing human PXR/NR112 were also generated showing induction by human specific inducers, such as rifampicin (Xie et al., 2000).

The most common clinical implication for the activation of PXR/NR112 is the occurrence of drug-drug interactions mediated by up-regulated CYP3A4. Therefore, altered function or expression of the PXR/NR112 gene due to SNPs is considered an important additional source of inter-individual variation in the expression and activity of CYP3A4. To date, there are 401 reported SNPs for the human PXR/NR112 gene in the SNP database at NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, access date: 25 March 2009). Multiple SNPs of PXR/NR112 have functional effects on the expression of human PXR/NR1I2. Zhang et al. (2001) found that the -25385C>T was associated with a marked higher CYP3A4 induction ability by rifampin as determined by the erythromycin breath test, a marker of CYP3A4 hepatic activity. Individuals with the -25385C>T genotype had a 2-fold higher CYP3A4 activity after treatment with rifampin, as compared to subjects with the wild-type genotype. Out of nine SNPs reported in the 3'-UTR of PXR/NR112, four demonstrated association with the expression levels of target genes. Hustert et al. (2001) found 3 variants (V140M, D163G, and A370T) with significant functional defects in terms of CYP3A4 transcription. A Q158K mutation of PXR/NR112 has been linked to decreased rifampin-mediated CYP3A4 induction. Koyano et al. (2004) have investigated the three variants [443G>A (R148Q), 1141C>T (R381W), 1207G>A (I403V)] of PXR/NR112 and found their basal and rifampicin-induced transactivation of the CYP3A4 enhancer/promoter was significantly reduced compared with the wild-type PXR/NR1I2 (Lim et al., 2005b). Our previous study showed that the activity of the recombinants with alleles containing the -24622A>T in the 5'-untranslated region (UTR) or -24446C>A in exon 1 was 30-40% higher than that in the reference genotype (Wang et al., 2007).

### 1.2.5 Other CYPs

In humans, there are three functional genes in the *CYP2A* subfamily: *CYP2A6*, 2A7 and 2A13 (Fernandez-Salguero and Gonzalez, 1995; Hoffman et al., 1995; Raunio et al., 1999). The *CYP2A6* and 2A7 genes have a 96 % similarity in the nucleotide sequence and a 94 % identity at the amino acid sequence (Miles et al., 1989). *CYP2A6* codes a functional enzyme that is polymorphically expressed in the human liver accounting for about 1-10% of total CYPs, and only trace amounts are found in extrahepatic tissues (Koskela et al., 1999), while the product of *CYP2A7* has been shown to not incorporate heme and is thus inactive (Yamano et al., 1990; Ding et al., 1995). *CYP2A13* is not expressed in the liver but expressed in the olfactory bulb and respiratory tract (Fernandez-Salguero and Gonzalez, 1995; Hoffman et al., 1995; Raunio et al., 1999). In addition, the *CYP2A subfamily* contains two identical copies of a pseudogene, *CYP2A7PT* and *CYP2A7PC* (or *CYP2A7P1*) which contain putative *CYP2A* coding sequences corresponding to exons 1 through 5 (Fernandez-Salguero et al., 1995a). CYP2A7 mRNA is expressed in liver at similar levels as CYP2A6.

CYP2A6 metabolizes about 1% of clinical drugs. CYP2A6 is involved in the metabolism of valproic acid, with substantial contribution from CYP2B6 and 2C9 (Sadeque et al., 1997). The reactive metabolite, 4-ene-valproic acid, is a hepatotoxin. Halothane is metabolized by CYP2A6 as well as 3A4 (Spracklin et al., 1996). CYP2A6 is responsible for the sulfoxidation diethyldithiocarbamate and thiono-oxidation of methyl ester to form S-methyl-N,N-diethylthiolcarbamate sulfoxide, the putative active metabolite responsible for the alcohol deterrent effects of disulfiram (Madan et al., 1998). CYP2A6, 2B6, and 3A4 are the high  $K_{\rm m}$  components for cyclophosphamide and ifosfamide 4-hydroxylation, while CYP2C8 and 2C9 are the low K<sub>m</sub> components (Chang et al., 1993). Pilocarpine is a cholinergic agonist that is metabolized to pilocarpic acid by serum esterase. Formation of 3-hydroxypilocarpine from pilocarpine, a cholinergic agonist, is mainly metabolised by CYP2A6 (Endo et al., 2007). formation of 3-hydroxypilocarpine Coumarin strongly inhibited the by >90%. 2n-Propylquinoline, a newly developed drug for the treatment of visceral leishmaniasis, is hydroxylated by CYP2A6, with contribution from CYP2E1 and 2C19 (Belliard et al., 2003).

Human CYP2A6 is the major catalyst in the metabolism of *R*-verbenone, a natural compound of the essential oil from rosemary species such as *Rosmarinus officinalis* L., *Verbena triphylla*, and *Eucalyptus globulus*, by liver microsomes (Miyazawa et al., 2003). Fenchol is a terpene and an isomer of borneol and the naturally occurring *S*-fenchol is used extensively in

perfumery. *S*-Fenchol is metabolized to fenchone by CYP2A6 (Miyazawa and Gyoubu, 2007b). Fenchone is further hydroxylated by CYP2A6 and 2B6 in human liver microsomes (Miyazawa and Gyoubu, 2007a). *R*-Camphor was oxidized to 5-exo-hydroxyfenchone by CYP2A6 (Gyoubu and Miyazawa, 2007). Camphor is found in wood of the camphor laurel (*Cinnamomum camphora*). Camphor is an active ingredient (along with menthol) in vapor-steam products, such as Vicks VapoRub, and it is effective as a cough suppressant.

CYP2A13 has similar substrate specificity to 2A6 with some marked differences. CYP2A13 is active in the metabolism of a number of procarcinogens. CYP2A13 is the most efficient enzyme metabolic activation of the tobacco-specific in the procarcinogen 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone (NNK), a tobacco-specific lung carcinogen (Jalas et al., 2003; Smith et al., 2003; Brown et al., 2007). CYP2A13 is mainly expressed in the respiratory tract (Zhu et al., 2006) where it can convert NNK into carcinogenic species that crosslink DNA and consequently induce carcinogenesis (Su et al., 2000). Studies with recombinant enzymes have demonstrated that CYP2A13 is 30-215 times more efficient at activating NNK into its carcinogenic metabolites than CYP2A6 (Su et al., 2000; He et al., 2004a). CYP2E1, 2D6, and 3A4 have also been shown to metabolize NNK *in vitro*, but their  $K_{\rm m}$  values are much higher than  $K_{\rm m}$  values for the 2A enzymes (Patten et al., 1996). The level of lung CYP2A13, but not CYP2A6 which can also metabolize NNK, was correlated with human lung microsomal NNK metabolic activation activity (He et al., 2004a; He et al., 2004b; Zhang et al., 2007), suggesting a more important role of CYP2A13 in the activation of NNK in the lung.

CYP2B6 can metabolise ~8% of all pharmaceutical drugs to some extent. These include cyclophosphamide (Chang et al., 1993), ifosfamide (Chang et al., 1993; Granvil et al., 1999), tamoxifen (Crewe et al., 2002), ketamine (Yanagihara et al., 2001; Hijazi and Boulieu, 2002), artemisinin (Svensson and Ashton, 1999), nevirapine (Erickson et al., 1999; Ward et al., 2003), efavirenz (Erickson et al., 1999; Ward et al., 2003), bupropion (Faucette et al., 2000; Hesse et al., 2000), sibutramine (Bae et al., 2008), propofol (Court et al., 2001; Oda et al., 2001), *S*-mephenytoin (Heyn et al., 1996), selegiline (Hidestrand et al., 2001; Kamada et al., 2002; Salonen et al., 2003), *S*-mephobarbital (Kobayashi et al., 1999), triethylenethiophosphoramide (thioTEPA) (Jacobson et al., 2002), valproic acid (Kiang et al., 2006), pethidine (Turpeinen et al., 2006), perhexiline (Davies et al., 2007), and diazepam (Ono et al., 1996). Ketamine *N*-demethylation is catalysed by CYP3A4, 2B6 and 2C9 (Hijazi and Boulieu, 2002). CYP2B6,

2D6, and 3A4 catalyze the oxidation of perhexiline enantiomers (Davies et al., 2007). Meperidine is an opioid analgesic metabolized in the liver by CYP2B6, 3A4 and 2C19 via N-demethylation to normeperidine (Ramirez et al., 2004), a potent stimulant of the central nervous system. The novel uroprotective drug *N*-methyl,*N*-propargyl-2-phenylethylamine was converted by CYP2B6, 2C19 and 2D6 to *N*-methylphenylethylamine and N-propargylphenylethylamine (Rittenbach et al., 2007). In addition, human CYP2B6 preferentially metabolized benzyloxyresorufin and pentoxyresorufin, although other CYPs also metabolized these substrates in human liver microsomes (Gervot et al., 1999).

Some inhibitory agents against CYP2B6 have been characterized as to the potency and selectivity of inhibition toward CYP2B6. These include orphenadrine (Ekins et al., 1997; Guo et al., 1997), n-propylxanthate (Kent et al., 1999) and xanthates (Yanev et al., 1999), 2-phenyl-2-(1-piperidinyl)propane (Chun et al., 2000), ritonavir (Hesse et al., 2001), efavirenz (Hesse et al., 2001), and nelfinavir (Hesse et al., 2001). Xanthates have been reported to be selective mechanism-based inactivators of CYP2B6 (Kent et al., 1999; Yanev et al., 1999). Both clopidogrel and ticlopidine inhibited bupropion hydroxylation as mechanism-based inhibitors (Richter et al., 2004). Ticlopidine is also a selective mechanism-based inhibitor of CYP2C19 (Ko et al., 2000; Giancarlo et al., 2001; Ha-Duong et al., 2001).  $\epsilon$ -Viniferin is a potent mechanism-based inhibitor of CYP2B6 using 7-benzoxyresorufin-*O*-debenzoyloxylation as a marker reaction (Piver et al., 2003). thioTEPA is a selective inhibitor of CYP2B6 catalyzed *S*-mephenytoin *N*-demethylation to nirvanol with an IC<sub>50</sub> value of ~5  $\mu$ M (Rae et al., 2002).

CYP2C8 accounts for about 7% of total hepatic CYP contents (Shimada et al., 1994a) and metabolizes ~5% of drugs cleared by Phase I reaction. The prototypical substrate for CYP2C8 is the potent antimicrotubule drug paclitaxel, and its  $6\alpha$ -hydroxylation has been widely used in *in vitro* reaction phenotyping (Rahman et al., 1994; Cresteil et al., 2002). CYP2C8 contributes substantially to the biotransformation of a variety of clinical drugs, including antimalarial agents (e.g. amodiaquine (Li et al., 2002) and chloroquine (Kim et al., 2003), thiazolidinedione antidiabetic drugs (e.g. troglitazone (Yamazaki et al., 1999), rosiglitazone (Baldwin et al., 1999), pioglitazone (also minor contribution from CYP2C9 and 3A4) (Jaakkola et al., 2006b)), statins (e.g. cerivastatin and fluvastatin (Wang et al., 2002a), atorvastatin (Jacobsen et al., 2000), and simvastatin (Prueksaritanont et al., 2003), methadone (Wang and DeVane, 2003), buprenorphine (Picard et al., 2005), and loperamide (Kim et al., 2004)), repaglinide (a hypoglycaemic drug that stimulates insulin secretion) (Bidstrup et al., 2003; Kajosaari et al., 2005), and *R*-ibuprofen (Hamman et al., 1997).

Montelukast and zafirlukast, both leukotriene  $D_4$  (LTD<sub>4</sub>) receptor antagonists, seem to be a potent and relatively selective competitive inhibitor of CYP2C8 in vitro (Walsky et al., 2005a; Walsky et al., 2005b). Montelukast can also inhibit CYP2C9 with IC<sub>50</sub> of 1.2 µM (50-fold higher than the interaction with CYP2C8) (Walsky et al., 2005b). However, montelukast and zafirlukast do not alter the pharmacokinetics of CYP2C8 substrates such as repaglinide (Kajosaari et al., 2006) and pioglitazone (Jaakkola et al., 2006a) in vivo in humans. This is likely to reflect the pharmacokinetic properties of montelukast that limit the in vivo concentration of montelukast available for CYP2C8 binding. The benzylic side chain of montelukast is known to be oxidized in vivo (Balani et al., 1997) and in vitro by CYP2C9 and 3A4, but not CYP2C8 (Chiba et al., 1997). Quercetin, trimethoprim and gemfibrozil are all inhibitors of CYP2C8 (Wang et al., 2002a; Wen et al., 2002a). Trimethoprim has been shown to increase the area under the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC) of repaglinide AUC 1.3to 2.2-fold (Niemi et al., 2004). Cotreatment of gemfibrozil has been shown to increase the AUC of rosiglitazone 1.8- to 2.8-fold (Niemi et al., 2003a), AUC of pioglitazone 3.2-fold (Jaakkola et al., 2005), AUC of repaglinide 5.5- to 15-fold (Niemi et al., 2003b; Tornio et al., 2008), AUC of ibuprofen by 34% (Tornio et al., 2007), AUC of loperamide 2.2-fold (Niemi et al., 2006), and AUC of cerivastatin 1.3- to 10-fold (Backman et al., 2002).

CYP2C19 is primarily expressed in hepatic tissue, but a significant amount is also found in the gut wall, particularly the duodenum (Zhou et al., 2008). CYP2C19 is responsible for the metabolism of approximately 10% of therapeutic drugs, including proton pump inhibitors (e.g. omeprazole, lansoprazole and pantoprazole), antidepressants (e.g. imipramine, amitriptyline and escitalopram), benzodiazepines (e.g. diazepam and flunitrazepam), anticancer drugs (e.g. cyclophosphamide), anti-epileptics (e.g. phenytoin, mephenytoin, phenobarbital), clopidogrel and so on (Zhou et al., 2008). CYP2C19 is also contributes to the catabolism of endogenous substrates like estradiol (Justenhoven et al., 2008), progesterone and testosterone (Yamazaki and Shimada, 1997).

The expression level of *CYP2C9* in the human liver is about 20 times higher than that of *CYP2C19* (Romkes et al., 1991), indicating that there are some differences in the regulatory

mechanism of *CYP2C9* and *2C19*. It has been reported that PXR/NR112, CAR/NR113, GR/NR3C1, and HNF- $3\gamma$ /NR2A2 and HNF- $4\alpha$ /NR2A1 are involved in the basal expression of *CYP2C9* and *2C19* (Gerbal-Chaloin et al., 2001; Raucy et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2003; Bort et al., 2004; Kawashima et al., 2006; Kojima et al., 2007; Wortham et al., 2007). Analysis of the *CYP2C19* promoter revealed a single CAR/NR113 binding site at -1891/-1876 bp which binds CAR/NR113 and PXR/NR112 and a glucocorticoid-responsive element at -1750/-1736 bp (Chen et al., 2003). Rifampicin induced a modest increase in promoter activity in cells cotransfected with PXR/NR112. Dexamethasone activated the -2.7-kb *CYP2C19* promoter in HepG2 cells only in the presence of cotransfected GR/NR3C1, whereas the GR/NR3C1 antagonist mifepristone inhibits this response and mutation of the glucocorticoid-responsive element abolishes Dexamethasone-induced activation (Chen et al., 2003).

CYP3A5 accounts for about 7-8% of total CYP3A content in only ~20% of the liver samples examined. CYP3A5 is polymorphically expressed in adults with detectable expression in about 10-20% in Caucasians, 33% in Japanese and 55% in African-Americans (Kuehl et al., 2001). The *CYP3A5* gene is localized in a cluster on chromosome 7q21-q22.1 and consists of 13 exons (Spurr et al., 1989; Schuetz and Guzelian, 1995; Finta and Zaphiropoulos, 2000). CYP3A4 and 3A5 are considered to have similar substrate specificity, but the contribution of CYP3A5 to the total metabolic clearance of CYP3A substrates in the liver *in vivo* has yet to be determined. The only human *CYP* gene induced directly by GR/NR3C1 is *CYP3A5*. There is no consensus glucocorticoid responsive element in the *CYP3A5* gene, but instead GR/NR3C1 binds to the glucocorticoid responsive element half-sites in the 5'-flanking region of *CYP3A5*.

## 1.3 Genetic Mutations of Human CYP Genes and the Functional Impact

In 1969, Alexanderson *et al.* (1969) provided the first direct evidence from a twin study that the metabolic clearance of nortriptyline was influenced by genetic factors. Mahgoub *et al.* (1977) and Eichelbaum *et al.* (1979) independently discovered that the metabolism of debrisoquine and sparteine, respectively, is polymorphic, and it was later shown that these drugs are metabolized by a common enzyme, i.e. CYP2D6 whose activity is determined by genetic trait. Phenotypically, a specific population are composed of ultra-rapid metabolizers (UMs), EMs, intermediate metabolizers (IMs), and PMs. The distribution of the genetic variations and the phenotypes is ethnicity-dependent (Chowbay et al., 2005). The PM phenotype is due to the presence of two non-functional (null) alleles or deletion of entire gene, while the EM phenotype is due to one or two alleles with normal function. An IM phenotype is

usually found in individuals carrying one null allele and another allele with reduced function, while UMs often carry more than one extra functional gene. Pharmacogenetics is the study of the influence of genetic factors in the individual variation in drug response, while pharmacogenomics is a more global definition and entails the study of the entire spectrum of genes and their contribution to variability in drug efficacy and toxicity using genome-wide approaches (Evans and Relling, 1999; McLeod, 2001; McLeod and Evans, 2001; Weinshilboum, 2003; Zhou et al., 2008). Genetic polymorphisms within CYPs mainly affect the metabolism of drugs that are substrates for those particular enzymes, probably leading to differences in drug response in addition to an altered risk for adverse drug reactions (Ingelman-Sundberg et al., 2007; Kirchheiner and Seeringer, 2007).

Genetic polymorphisms within CYPs mainly affect the metabolism of drugs that are substrates for those particular enzymes, probably leading to differences in drug response in addition to an altered risk for adverse drug reactions (Ingelman-Sundberg et al., 2007; Kirchheiner and Seeringer, 2007). Most members of the *CYP* families are polymorphic (see <a href="http://www.imm.ki.se/CYPalleles">http://www.imm.ki.se/CYPalleles</a>) and allelic variants resulting in altered protein expression and activity have significant effects on the disposition of drugs and may cause diseases as a phenotype.

### 1.3.1 The *CYP1A2* gene

To date, more than 15 variant alleles and a series of subvariants (\**1B* to \**16*) of the *CYP1A2* gene have been identified (see Table 1-3) (http://www.imm.ki.se/CYPalleles, access date: 25 March 2009), and 158 SNPs have been found in the *CYP1A2* upstream sequence, introns and exons in NCBI dbSNP (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, access date: 25 March 2009). *CYP1A2\*1A* is referred to as the wild-type. Among the SNPs located in seven exons, there are 22 non-synonymous that change amino acid sequence. These include 43C>T (L15F); 53C>G (S18C); 63C>G (F21L); 130G>A (E44K); 217G>A (G73R); 310G>A (D104N); 331C>T (L111F); 373T>A (F125I); 413G>A (R138H); 538A>G (M180V); 613T>G (F205V); 841C>T (R281W); 894C>A (S298R); 895G>A (G299S); 940A>G (I314V); 1042G>A (G348S); 1067G>A (R356Q); 1217G>A (C406Y); 1291C>T (R431W); 1313C>T (T438I); 1369C>T (R457W); 1434A>T (Q478H); 1543A>G (I515V). Synonymous SNPs of *CYP1A2* exons include 222C>T (D74D); 249G>T (T83T); 306C>T (G102G).

The most extensively studied polymorphisms are -3860G>A (*CYP1A2\*1C*), -2467delT (*CYP1A2\*1D*), -739T>G (*CYP1A2\*1E*) and -163C>A located in intron 1 (*CYP1A2\*1F*), which were first reported in a Japanese population (Chida et al., 1999a). *CYP1A2\*1C* was reported to cause decreased inducibility of the enzyme in smokers of Japanese, probably due to decreased expression of the enzyme (Nakajima et al., 1999b). The -163C>A in intron 1 caused increased enzyme inducibility in the presence of an inducer (e.g. smoking) in white smokers (Sachse et al., 1999), although this association is controversial (Chida et al., 1999a; Nordmark et al., 2002; Shimoda et al., 2002; Aklillu et al., 2003; Larsen and Brosen, 2005). Smokers with the -163C/C genotype had 40% lower plasma 17X:137X ratios compared with those with the -163A/A genotype in smokers (Sachse et al., 1999).

*CYP1A2\*1J* (-163C>A; -739T>G) and *CYP1A2\*1K* (-163C>A; -739T>G; -729C>T, all located in intron 1) have been detected in Ethiopian non-smokers (Aklillu et al., 2003). The *\*1K* haplotype was associated with 40% lower inducibility *in vitro*, and non-smokers heterozygous for *\*1K* had significantly lower CYP1A2 activity compared with the wild-type (Aklillu et al., 2003). The -729C>T SNP abolishes a binding site for an Ets nuclear factor, resulting in highly decreased CYP1A2 expression and caffeine metabolism (Aklillu et al., 2003). *CYP1A2\*1K* is relatively rare.

*CYP1A2\*1G*, *\*1H*, *\*1L*, *\*1M*, *\*1N*, *\*1P*, *\*1Q*, *\*1R*, *\*1S*, *\*1T*, *\*1U*, *\*1V*, and *\*1W* are relatively rare and do not alter enzyme activity (Chevalier et al., 2001; Soyama et al., 2005; Ghotbi et al., 2007). More recently, the -3113A>G polymorphism, with a frequency of 10% in a Chinese population, has been reported to be associated with decreased CYP1A2 activity (Chen et al., 2005a). *CYP1A2\*2* carries a 63C>G mutation that causes a F21L substitution, which was first detected from the direct sequencing of DNA from one of eight Chinese subjects (Huang et al., 1999), but its functional impact is unclear due to its rarity. The *CYP1A2\*3* (2385G>A; 5347T>G), *\*4* (2499A>T), *\*5* (3497G>A) and *\*6* (5090C>T) variants all cause amino acid changes, which were first detected in a French population with very low frequencies (0.5%) (Chevalier et al., 2001). When expressed in *E. coli*, *CYP1A2\*3*, *\*4*, and *\*5* had decreased enzyme expression and activity and altered substrate specificity for phenacetin and heterocyclic amines; whereas *\*6* did not express any enzyme (Zhou et al., 2004a). *CYP1A2\*7* contains a 3534G>A mutation in intron 6, causing RNA splicing defect and leading to loss of CYP1A2 activity, which was found in a 70-year old patient who had very high plasma concentrations of clozapine when administered at normal dose (Allorge et al., 2003).

Other variants of *CYP1A2*, including \*8 (5166G>A; 5347T>C), \*9 (248C>T), \*10 (502G>C), \*11 (558C>A), \*12 (634A>T), \*13 (1514G>A), \*14 (5112C>T), \*15 (125C>G; 534T>C) and \*16 (2473G>A; 5347T>C), have been detected in Japanese with very low frequencies (0.2-0.6%) (Murayama et al., 2004). The \*11 variant (leading to F186L substitution) had a significantly decreased enzyme activity when expressed in V79 hamster cells, with 12% of the wild-type capacity for phenacetin *O*-deethylation and 28% for 7-ethoxyresorufin *O*-deethylation (Murayama et al., 2004). *CYP1A2\*8*, \*15, and \*16 alleles, leading to R456F, P42R, and R377Q changes, respectively, showed <1% of the 7-ethoxyresorufin *O*-deethylation capacity compared with the wild-type in transfected V79 hamster cells (Saito et al., 2005). It appears that the amino acids at residues 42, 186, 377 and 456 play an important role in enzyme-substrate interactions.

There are significant ethnic differences in the distribution of common and rare *CYP1A2* SNPs and alleles. The -3860G>A and the -2467delT mutations are lower in Caucasians compared with Asians, while -739G was frequent in Ethiopians and Saudi Arabians (Chida et al., 1999a; Sachse et al., 1999; Nordmark et al., 2002; Larsen and Brosen, 2005). The -163C>A SNP has similar frequencies in all populations studied, with highest frequency in Africans. The *CYP1A2\*1F* allele is more frequent in Caucasians and Africans, while \*1D, \*1L, \*1M and \*1N are more common in Asians (Chida et al., 1999a; Sachse et al., 1999; Nordmark et al., 2002; Larsen and Brosen et al., 1999; Nordmark et al., 2002; Larsen and Africans, while \*1D, \*1L, \*1M and \*1N are more common in Asians (Chida et al., 1999a; Sachse et al., 1999; Nordmark et al., 2002; Larsen and Brosen, 2005). *CYP1A2\*1J*, \*1K and \*1W are rare in all populations studied.

Resistance to clozapine therapy due to low plasma drug levels has been reported in smokers harbouring the -163A/A genotype (Ozdemir et al., 2001; Eap et al., 2004). Higher plasma concentrations of clozapine and its metabolite *N*-desmethylclozapine have been observed in patients carrying two *CYP1A2* variants associated with reduced enzyme activity (-3860A, -2467del, -163C, -739G and/or -729T) compared with those with one or none (Melkersson et al., 2007).

Since CYP1A2 can bioactivate procarcinogens, epidemiological studies have been conducted to explore the relationship of *CYP1A2* polymorphisms and cancer risk. Chinese smokers homozygous for the *CYP1A2* haplotype -3860G/-3113G/5347C have increased hepatocellular carcinoma risk (Chen et al., 2006b). A 2-fold increased risk for squamous lung cancer has been observed in patients carrying -2467del mutation (Pavanello et al., 2007). Increased lung cancer

risk was also found in Japanese non-smokers carrying the -163A/A genotype (Osawa et al., 2007). Increased gastric cancer risk was shown in non-smokers carrying the -3860 mutation (Agudo et al., 2006), while -163C and -2467delT alleles were associated with pancreatic cancer in heavy smokers (Li et al., 2006a). On the other hand, lower risk of breast cancer has been found in *CYP1A2* -163C/C carriers (Le Marchand et al., 2005), but this allele is associated with endometrial and ovarian cancers (Mikhailova et al., 2006). Lower circulating estradiol levels have been detected in premenopausal women with the -163C/C genotype compared with -163A/A and -163A/C carriers (Lurie et al., 2005). High estradiol levels are known to increase breast cancer risk.

## **1.3.2** The *CYP2C9* gene

To date, 33 variants and a series of subvariants of *CYP2C9* (\**1B* through to \**34*) have been identified (Table 1-4) (http://www.imm.ki.se/CYPalleles, access date: 25 March 2009). *CYP2C9\*1A* is referred to the wild-type. There have been 520 SNPs found in the *CYP2C9* upstream sequence, introns and 9 exons in NCBI dbSNP (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, access date: 25 March 2009). Among these SNPs, there are 19 non-synonymous SNPs found in exons 3, 5, 7, 8, and 9. These include 334A>C (I112L); 371G>A (R124Q); 430C>T (R144C); 448C>T (R150C); 449G>A (R150H); 752A>G (H251R); 815A>G (E272G); 817insA (273frameshift); 980T>C (I327T); 1003C>T (R335W); 1010C>T (P337R); 1073A>G (Y358C); 1075A>C (I359L); 1076T>C (I359T); 1080C>G (D360E); 1238T>C (L413P); 1341A>C (L447F); 1465C>T (P489S). Eleven SNPs in exons of *CYP2C9* are synonymous: 96C>G (G32G); 228G>A (V76V); 390G>T (T130T); 837A>C (P279P); 840T>A (S280S); 936C>A (L312L); 1026G>A (R342R); 1140C>A (L380L); 1185A>T (L395); 1323C>T (A441A); 1425A>T (G475G).

One of the first identified and most common allelic variants is *CYP2C9\*2*, a missense mutation of 430T>C causing the substitution of R144C (Rettie et al., 1994). Typically, this mutation causes a decrease in enzyme activity toward CYP2C9 substrates such as *S*-warfarin and tolbutamide. *CYP2C9\*3* is a missense mutation of 1075A>C on exon 7 that leads to an I359L substitution (Sullivan-Klose et al., 1996). *CYP2C9\*2* causes ~20-30% loss of enzyme activity toward *S*-naproxen, whereas the \*3 mutation may reduce  $V_{max}$  activity by as much as 70%. It is possible this loss is due to enzyme conformational changes that reduce the enzyme's ability to bind to substrates. *CYP2C9\*4* is an extremely rare missense mutation of 1076T>C originally identified in a Japanese epilepsy patient with an adverse reaction to phenytoin (Imai et al.,

2000). It is believed the lack of activity is due to an I359T substitution. The *CYP2C9\*5* allele contains the 1080C>G transversion in exon 7 causing a D360E change, which has been found almost exclusively in African-Americans (Dickmann et al., 2001; Allabi et al., 2004; Allabi et al., 2005). Approximately 3% of this population carries the *CYP2C9\*5* allele. Unlike *CYP2C9\*2* and \*3, \*5 appears to affect the Michaelis-Menten ( $K_m$ ) constant of various drugs, substantially reducing the efficiency of the enzyme and increasing the  $K_m$  values (Dickmann et al., 2001; Allabi et al., 2004).

*CYP2C9\*6* is a null allele because of deletion of A at 818 nucleotide on exon 5 originally identified in an African American patient with a high sensitivity to phenytoin, which results in a shortened protein (Kidd et al., 2001; Allabi et al., 2005). *CYP2C9\*13* has been identified in a Chinese poor metabolizer of lornoxicam and the allele has a T269C transversion in exon 2 of *CYP2C9* that leads to an L90P substitution (Si et al., 2004). Frequency analysis shows that approximately 2% of the Chinese populations carry this variant allele. The half-life of lornoxicam was about 105 hr in this carrier which was markedly longer than that of other *CYP2C9\*1/\*3* and *CYP2C9\*1/\*1* carriers (half-lives of 5.8–8.1 and 3.2–6.3 hr, respectively), suggesting that the *CYP2C9\*13* allele has a larger effect on CYP2C9-mediated drug metabolism.

There are significant ethnic differences in the frequency of *CYP2C9* variants (Table 1-5). *CYP2C9\*2* is reasonably frequent among Caucasians with ~1% of the population being homozygous carriers and a significant 22% are heterozygous (Sullivan-Klose et al., 1996). The corresponding figures for the *CYP2C9\*3* allele are 0.4% and 15%; with another 1.4% being compound heterozygotes – *CYP2C9\*2/\*3* (Kamali and Pirohamed, 2006). *CYP2C9\*5* is estimated to be inherited in ~3% of the African-American population as a single allele mutation of 1080C>G (Allabi et al., 2004; Allabi et al., 2005). In addition, African-Americans have a significantly lower rate of *CYP2C9\*2* and \*3 inheritance than Caucasians, with 2.5% and 1.25% frequency, respectively.

There are a number of clinical studies that address the impact of *CYP2C9* polymorphisms on the clearance and/or therapeutic response of drugs that are substrates of CYP2C9. The drugs most extensively studied include coumarin anticoagulants, sulfonylurea drugs, angiotensin II inhibitors, phenytoin, and NSAIDs. Mutant alleles of the *CYP2C9* gene have been associated with slow hydroxylation of *S*-warfarin (Lal et al., 2006). There are two common allelic

polymorphisms in the CYP2C9 gene, including CYP2C9\*2 and \*3 that encode enzymes that are approximately 12% and 5% as efficient as the wild-type, respectively, and both have a substantial effect on the intrinsic clearance of warfarin (Gage and Lesko, 2008). Subjects who were homozygous for the CYP2C9\*3 allele showed a 90% reduction in the elimination of S-warfarin in comparison to subjects who were homozygous for the wild-type allele (Takahashi and Echizen, 2001). Impaired metabolism of a low therapeutic index drug such as warfarin has important clinical implications. Carriers of such polymorphisms require both smaller loading and maintenance doses and have a 4-fold increase in risk of bleeding complications, particularly at the beginning of therapy (Gage and Lesko, 2008). An individual that requires a low dose of warfarin is 6-fold more likely to be positive for one or more of the variant alleles compared with the general population. Patients who are CYP2C9\*3 homozygous require the lowest doses (Kamali and Pirohamed, 2006). Pharmacogenetic testing of CYP2C9 would be useful to identify this subgroup of patients who have difficulty at the initiation of warfarin therapy, and are potentially at a higher risk of haemorrhage. These findings clearly demonstrate the need for clinical assessment of CYP2C9 genotype when establishing optimal warfarin therapy (Bussey et al., 2008).

There are case reports describing 4- to 5-fold increase in phenytoin AUCs in patients with CYP2C9<sup>\*</sup>3/<sup>\*</sup>3 or 6\* (Kidd et al., 1999; Kidd et al., 2001). In Caucasian patients receiving a stable dose of phenytoin who had plasma concentrations within the therapeutic range, the presence of at least one  $CYP2C9^*2$  or \*3 allele correlated with one-third lower mean dose requirements (199 vs 314 mg/day, respectively) (van der Weide et al., 2001). The dose requirements for individuals carrying the CYP2C9<sup>\*</sup>1/<sup>\*</sup>1,  $*1/^{2}$ ,  $*1/^{*}3$ ,  $*2/^{2}$ , and  $*2/^{*}3$  genotypes needed 314, 193, 202, 217, and 150 mg/day for phenytoin, respectively (van der Weide et al., 2001). Similar results have been observed in Japanese (Odani et al., 1997; Mamiya et al., 1998) and Taiwanese (Hung et al., 2004) patients. A single-dose study in healthy volunteers also revealed that there was a 30% lower concentrations in wild-type individuals compared with carriers of CYP2C9<sup>\*</sup>2 or <sup>\*</sup>3 alleles (Aynacioglu et al., 1999). In another study, the AUCs of phenytoin were 1.5- and 2.7-fold higher in healthy individuals with one or two CYP2C9\*2 and \*3 variant alleles, respectively, compared with those with the  $CYP2C9^*1/^*1$  genotype (Caraco et al., 2001). Several studies examined whether CYP2C9 genotype affects the toxicity of phenytoin. There were more individuals with the  $CYP2C9^*1/3^*$  genotype among Korean patients with skin reactions to phenytoin compared with non-exposed controls (Lee et al.,

2004). However, no association between *CYP2C9* variants and gingival overgrowth was observed in patients (Soga et al., 2004).

### 1.3.3 The CYP2D6 gene

The genetic variation contributes largely to the interindividual variation in the activity of CYP2D6. Presently, 71 different human CYP2D6 variant alleles (\*1B to \*72) and a series of subvariants have been identified (Table 1-6) and designated by the human cytochrome P450 allele nomenclature committee (http://www.imm.ki.se/CYPalleles, access date: 25 March 2009). There have been 134 SNPs of CYP2D6 described at NBCI dbSNP, with 32 non-synonymous SNPs reported (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/, access date: 25 March 2009). These include 31G>A (V11M); 77G>A (R26H); 100C>T (P34S); 124G>A (G42R); 271C>A (L91M); 281A>G (H94R); 320C>T (T107I); 358T>A (F120I); 364G>T (G122S); 454delT (152frameshift); 463G>A (E155K); 496A>G (N166D); 501C>A (H167Q); 502T>G (S168A); 505G>T (G169C); 635G>A (G212E); 692T>C (L231P); 709G>T (A237S); 775delA (259frameshift); 886A>G (N285S); 886T>C (C296R); 899C>G (A300G); 901G>A (D301); 931delA (281frameshift); 932C>T (S311L); 971A>C (H324P); 986G>A (G329V); 1012G>A (V338M); 1094G>A (R365H); 1117G>A (G373S); 1405C>G (P469A); 1408A>G (T470A); 1432C>T (H478Y); 1435G>C (G479R); 1441T>G (F481V); 1457C>G (T486S). Synonymous SNPs of *CYP2D6* exons include 84C>A (R28R); 294C>G (T98T); 333T>C (G111G); 336C>T (F112F); 408C>G (V136V); 657T>C (F219F); 801C>A (P267P); 828G>T (L276L); 935 937delAAG> (K281K); 972T>C (H324H); 1083T>C (H361H); 1203G>A (S401S); 1401G>C (S467S); 1410T>C (T470T); 1443T>C (F481F); 1449C>T (F483F); 1457C>G (T486S).

Null alleles of *CYP2D6* do not encode a functional protein and there is no detectable residual enzymatic activity. They are responsible for the PM phenotype when present in homozygous or compound heterozygous constellations. The mechanism by which leading to a total loss of function includes: a) single base mutations or small insertions/deletions that interrupt the reading frame or interfere with correct splicing leading to prematurely terminated protein/stop codon (e.g. *CYP2D6\*3*, \*4, \*5, \*6, \*7, \*8, \*11, \*12, \*13, \*14, \*15, \*16, \*18, \*19, \*20, \*21, \*38, \*40, \*42, \*44, \*56 and \*62); b) non-functional full length coded alleles (e.g. *CYP2D6\*12*, \*14 and \*18); c) deletion of entire *CYP2D6* gene as a result of large sequence deletions (e.g. *CYP2D6\*5*); and formation of hybrid genes (e.g. *CYP2D6\*13* and \*16). There is a large

deletion of sequence in \*13, and \*16 and as a result both contain a *CYP2D7-2D6* hybrid gene (Gaedigk et al., 1991).

The alleles *CYP2D6\*10*, *\*14*, *\*17*, *\*18*, *\*36*, *\*41*, *\*47*, *\*49*, *\*50*, *\*51*, *\*54*, *\*55*, and *\*57* give rise to significantly decreased activity. The enzyme activity change may be substrate-dependent for some alleles such as *\*17*. Individuals harboring either of these alleles are PMs or IMs.

Functional studies did not demonstrate altered enzyme activity with several alleles of *CYP2D6*, including \*2A, \*17×2, \*35, \*41×2, and \*48. The *CYP2D6*\*27, \*39 and \*48 alleles encode enzymes with largely normal activity compared to the wild-type protein (Sakuyama et al., 2008). CYP2D6.27 (E410K), CYP2D6.39 (S486T) and CYP2D6.48 (A90V) expressed in COS-7 cells showed a slightly higher intrinsic clearance than the wild-type enzyme. A90, E410 and S486 are located in  $\beta$ -sheet 3, between the K' and K'' helices and in B helix, respectively (Rowland et al., 2006). It appears that these residues are not important for the function of CYP2D6.

On extremely high the other hand, CYP2D6 activity results from gene duplication/multiduplication of functional alleles (e.g. \*1 and \*2) fused in a head to tail orientation, as a result of unequal crossover events and other mechanisms. This was noted by a molecular characterization of the CYP2D6 locus in patients with extremely rapid metabolisms (Bertilsson et al., 1993). Initially, alleles with 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 13 gene copies were reported by Johanson et al. (1993) and Aklillu et al. (1996) In a Swedish family (father, daughter, and son) as many as 13 copies of a functional allele of CYP2D6 have been identified (Johansson et al., 1993). Carriers of CYP2D6\*2×N (N = 2, 3, 4, 5, or 13) with extremely high CYP2D6 activity were identified in a Swedish population (Dahl et al., 1995) and an Ethiopian population (Aklillu et al., 1996). The gene duplication/multiduplication results from unequal crossover events and other mechanisms. Gene duplication and multiduplication of CYP2D6 can result enzymes which are functional, partly functional and non-functional. Gaedigk et al. (2007b) found gene duplication events in \*1, \*2, \*4, \*6, \*10, \*17, \*29, \*35, \*43, and \*45. Duplications occurred at 1.3, 5.75, and 2.0% in Caucasian, African American, and racially mixed populations, respectively. Most of the variant duplications except  $*35 \times N$  were found in African Americans. The  $*4 \times N$  was as frequent as  $*2 \times N$  in African Americans (Gaedigk et al.,

2007b). Extremely high CYP2D6 activity can result from gene duplication or multiduplication of functional allele \**1* and \*2 fused in a head to tail orientation (Gaedigk et al., 2007b).

# 1.3.4 Other CYP genes

The *CYP2A6* gene spans a region of approximately 6 kb pairs consisting of 9 exons and has been mapped to the long arm of chromosome 19 (between 19q12 and 19q13.2) (Miles et al., 1989). It is located within a 350-kb pair gene cluster together with the *CYP2A7* and *2A13* genes, two *CYP2A7* pseudogenes, as well as genes in the *CYP2B* and *2F* subfamilies (Hoffman et al., 1995). To date, more than 33 variant alleles (\**1B* to \**34*) of the *CYP2A6* gene have been identified (http://www.imm.ki.se/CYPalleles, access date: 25 March 2009). There have been 227 SNPs found in the *CYP2A6* upstream sequence, 8 introns and 9 exons in NCBI dbSNP (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, access date: 25 March 2009). There are 28 non-synonymous SNPs in exons 1-9. These include 13G>A (G5R); 86G>A (S29N); 352T>C (F118L); 361G>C (G121R); 383G>A (R128Q); 391T>G (S131A); 451G>A (E151K); 457T>C (S153P); 474C>G (D158E); 478C>A (L160I); 479T>A (L160H); 607C>A (R203S); 773C>A (T258K); 835G>C (E279Q); 874G>A (V292M); 881C>G (T294S); 902G>C (G301A); 931C>T (R311C); 997A>T (R333\*); 1093G>A (V365M); 1175T>A (F392Y); 1226A>G (Q409R); 1252A>G (N418D); 1257G>C (E419D); 1412T>C (I471T); 1427A>G (K476R); 1436G>T (G479V); 1454G>T (R485L).

Because of the substantial involvement of CYP2A6 in nicotine elimination, it has been proposed that the *CYP2A6* polymorphism is a major determinant of an individual's nicotine metabolic clearance and smoking behavior. Individuals homozygous for a *CYP2A6* gene deletion displayed only 15% of urinary cotinine levels compared with individuals carrying at least one active *CYP2A6* gene after smoking the same number of cigarettes (Kitagawa et al., 1999). Subjects with *CYP2A6\*7/\*7*, \*7/\*10 (1.8), and \*7/\*19 showed prominently lower cotinine/nicotine ratios compared with that of subjects with *CYP2A6\*1A/\*1A* (Fukami et al., 2005). Benowitz *et al.* (2006) revealed that individuals harboring *CYP2A6\*1/\*9* or \*1/\*12 showed 17.6% lower nicotine clearance than individuals with the wild-type (15.5 vs 18.8 ml/min/kg). Healthy subjects with the *CYP2A6\*1/\*2*, \*1/\*4, \*9/\*12, \*9/\*4, or \*9/\*9 showed 37.8% lower nicotine clearance than the wild-type (11.7 vs 18.8 ml/min/kg). Overall, individuals carrying either of above variant alleles of *CYP2A6* showed lower clearance of cotinine, longer half-lives for nicotine and cotinine, and greater fraction of the nicotine dose as

unchanged nicotine and nicotine glucuronide in the urine compared with the wild-type (Benowitz et al., 2006).

The CYP2C19 gene is mapped to the long arm of chromosome 10, located in a densely packed region also containing genes encoding CYP2C8, 2C9 and 2C18 (Romkes et al., 1991). The CYP2C19 enzyme is a protein of 490 amino acids. It is encoded by the CYP2C19 gene consisting of 9 exons which is mapped to chromosome 10 (10q24.1-q24.3) (Romkes et al., 1991). CYP2C19 is primarily present in hepatic tissue, but a significant amount is also found in the gut wall, particularly the duodenum. To date, at least 24 (\*1B to \*25) variants and a series of subvariants of CYP2C19 have been identified (http://www.imm.ki.se/CYPalleles, access date: 25 March 2009). CYP2C19\*1A represents the wild-type. There have been 553 SNPs found in the CYP2C9 upstream sequence, introns and 9 exons in NCBI dbSNP (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, access date: 25 March 2009). Among these SNPs, there are 26 non-synonymous SNPs found in exons 3, 5, 7, 8, and 9. These include 1A>G (M1V); 50T>C (L17P); 55A>C (I19L); 221T>C (M74T); 276G>C (E92D); 358T>C (W120R); 365A>C (E122A); 431G>A (R144H); 449G>A (R150H); 502T>C (F168L); 518C>T (A173V); 527A>G (N176S); 636G>A (W212\*); 680C>T (P227L); 836A>C (Q279P); 839C>A (S280Y); 905C>G (T302R); 985C>T (R329C); 991G>A (V331I); 1030C>T (H344Y); 1180G>A (V394M); 1228C>T (R410C); 1297C>T (R433W); 1316delG (439frameshift); 1390C>A (P464T); 1473A>C(\*491C). Synonymous SNPs in exons of CYP2C19 include 99T>C (P33P); 390G>T (T130T); 681G>A/C (P227P); 903A>G (T301T); 990C>T (V330V); 993T>G (V331V); 1059C>T (H353H); 1062G>A (E354E); 1251A>C (G417G); 1440G>A (P480P).

The first *CYP2C19* variant allele discovered was *CYP2C19\*2A* containing 681G>A on exon 5 that causes splicing defect (de Morais et al., 1994b). *\*2B* and *\*2C* also carry this mutation and additional SNPs (99C>T; 990C>T; 991A>G) (Ibeanu et al., 1998). *CYP2C19\*3A* and *\*3B* share the 636G>A SNP resulting in a premature stop codon in exon 4 together with 991A>G and 1251A>C (*\*3B* also contains 1078G>A) (Fukushima-Uesaka et al., 2005). *CYP2C19\*2A*, *\*2B*, *\*2C*, *\*3A*, and *\*3B* are null alleles, resulting in complete loss of enzyme activity (De Morais et al., 1994a). The majority of PMs of CYP2C19 are due to these variant alleles (Desta et al., 2002b). *CYP2C19\*4* is an initiation codon variant of 1A>G, resulting in GTG initiation codon and also carries 99C>T and 991A>G (Ferguson et al., 1998).

The majority of enzyme deficiency associated with PMs of *S*-mephenytoin has been found to be the responsibility of various variant alleles of *CYP2C19*. As with other CYPs, the frequency of PMs varies across races, with 13 to 23% of Asians and 1 to 8% of Caucasians and black Africans lacking functioning enzyme (Desta et al., 2002b). Three common types of *CYP2C19* genotypes of the PM phenotype exist, including two homozygous genotypes, \*2/\*2 and \*3/\*3, and one heterozygous genotype, \*2/\*3. The homozygous *CYP2C19\*2/\*2* genotype is by far the most frequent of the three defective PM genotypes (Desta et al., 2002b). For EMs, there are two genotypes that are heterozygous for the *CYP2C19* wild-type, \*1/\*2 and \*1/\*3, and one genotype that is homozygous for the wild-type allele, \*1/\*1.

The distribution of common variant alleles of *CYP2C19* has been found to vary among different ethnic groups. The allelic frequency of *CYP2C19\*2* has been shown to be ~17% in African-Americans, 30% in Chinese and ~15% in Caucasians (Desta et al., 2002b). *CYP2C19\*3* has been shown to be more frequent in Chinese (5%) and less frequent in African-Americans (0.4%) and Caucasians (0.04%). *CYP2C19\*2* is the dominant defective allele and accounts for around 75-85% of PM phenotype in Chinese and Caucasian populations (Desta et al., 2002b). Almost all PMs in the Asians and Africans can be attributed to *CYP2C19\*2* and *CYP2C19\*3*.

The AUCs of both omeprazole and lansoprazole in PMs are 4- to 15-fold higher compared to homozygous EMs, whereas the values in heterozygous EMs are only 2- to 3-fold higher than homozygous EMs (Furuta et al., 1999a; Furuta et al., 1999b; Furuta et al., 2001; Ieiri et al., 2001; Shirai et al., 2001; Cho et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2002; Shirai et al., 2002). With multiple dosing, the increase in the AUC of omeprazole, but not of lansoprazole or pantoprazole, decreases to ~2-fold in EMs, due to inhibition of its own metabolism (Andersson et al., 1998; Shirai et al., 2001). Such auto-inhibition does not occur in PMs who lack functional CYP2C19 or has very low enzyme activity. There is a 6-fold higher AUC of lansoprazole in PMs than in heterozygous and homozygous EMs (Tanaka et al., 1997; Andersson et al., 1998). The AUC of rabeprazole are also increased 3.0- to 5.3-fold in PMs compared to homozygous EMs (Horai et al., 2001; Ieiri et al., 2001; Shirai et al., 2001; Lin et al., 2003).

# 1.4 Structural Features of Major Human Drug Metabolizing CYPs

The structural information of CYPs was first obtained from bacterial CYPs, such as CYPBM3 simply because they are all soluble proteins and much easy for crystallization. The crystal

structure of rabbit CYP2C5 is the first reported mammalian microsomal CYP. The crystallinity of the CYP2C5 (protein database (PDB) ID: 1DT6) (Williams et al., 2000) is a milestone for the relevant research since then the crystal structures of human CYPs could be gradually revealed based on the first mammalian membrane-binding CYP work. The structures of CYP2C5 in complex with diclofenac (1NR6) or a dimethyl derivative of sulfaphenazole (1N6B) (Wester et al., 2003) have been reported. The structure of rabbit CYP2B4 in a free form (1PO5) (Scott et al., 2004) or in complex with 1-(4-cholorophenyl)imidazole (2Q6N) (Zhao et al., 2006), bifonazole (2BDM) (Zhao et al., 2006), or 4-(4-chlorophenyl)imidazole (1SUO) (Scott et al., 2004) has also been determined.

To date, the crystal structures of at least twelve human CYPs, including human CYP1A2 (Sansen et al., 2007), 2A6 (Yano et al., 2005), 2A13 (Smith et al., 2007), 2C8 (Schoch et al., 2004; Schoch et al., 2008), 2C9 (Williams et al., 2003), 2D6 (Rowland et al., 2006), 2E1, 2R1 (Strushkevich et al., 2008), 3A4 (Williams et al., 2004; Yano et al., 2004), 7A1, 8A1 (prostacyclin synthase) (Li et al., 2008), and 46A1 (Mast et al., 2008), have been solved by X-ray crystallography (also see <a href="http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/">http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/</a>, access date: 25 March 2009). The general information of these structures is summarized in Table 1-7.

### **1.4.1** Common structural features of CYPs

Comparisons to the bacterial soluble CYPs, the mammalian CYP conserves the general aspects of the overall folding pattern of these proteins. However, the substrate binding cavity is poorly conserved (Williams et al., 2000). The sequence variation of substrate recognition sites (SRS) in the active site is partially responsible for the change and furthermore for the catalytic diversity displayed by the CYP2C5 and subsequently human CYPs.

In general, human CYP enzymes share a conserved overall fold and topology, although sequence conservation within CYPs family is relatively low at less than 20% sequence identity (Brown et al., 2008). The conserved core is formed by a four-helix bundle, helices J and K, a coil termed the 'meander' and two sets of  $\beta$ -sheets. The four-helix bundle composed of three parallel helices labelled D, L, and I and one antiparallel helix E and the heme group is bound between distal I helix and proximal L helix (a heme-binding loop) through an absolutely conserved cysteine that serves as the fifth ligand for the heme iron (see Figure 1-4). The most characteristic CYP consensus sequence (Phe-X-X-Gly-X-Arg-X-Cys-X-Gly) is located in the heme-binding loop on the proximal face of the heme just before the L helix, while another

CYP consensus sequence (Ala/Gly-Gly-X-Asp/Glu-Thr-Thr/Ser) accommodated at the central part of the long I helix which forms a wall above the heme (Werck-Reichhart and Feyereisen, 2000).

Despite the highly conserved fold, there is plenty structural discrimination due to distinct amino acid sequences in diverse CYP enzymes, especially the difference of certain key amino acid residues in the CYP active sites. These key residues in the active sites usually govern certain metabolisms occur in specific positions through binding certain rather than any substrates. A number of studies suggest that the topography and the character of certain key amino acid residues at the CYP active site are the major determinants of substrate specificity. Therefore, a single amino acid difference may affect substrate reactivity, representing by the change of binding affinity, reaction regioselectivity and velocity. This kind of alterations was actually observed in individuals with SNPs of the *CYP2D6* gene (Zhou et al., 2006), although they were classified into poor or normal metabolism groups without aware of genetic polymorphisms.

# 1.4.2 CYP1A2

CYP1A2 substrates generally contain planar ring that can fit the narrow and planar active site of the enzyme (Sansen et al., 2007). Before the crystal structure of human CYP1A2 was resolved, the knowledge of the active site of CYP1A2 enzyme was obtained mainly from homology models that were built up on the basis of the structure of either bacteria CYPBM3 (Lozano et al., 1997; Lozano et al., 2000) or rabbit CYP2C5 (Kim and Guengerich, 2004). These homology models did provide valuable information for understanding the structure-activity relationship of CYP1A2. However, the gap between the models and real structure of CYP1A2 had always existed until 2007 when the first X-ray structure of a human CYP1 family enzyme, CYP1A2, was determined (Sansen et al., 2007).

Several pharmacophore models have been established for a number of structurally diverse inhibitors of CYP1A2 previously (Korhonen et al., 2005; Roy and Roy, 2008). Based on the inhibitory potencies on CYP1A2, a group of naphthalene, lactone and quinoline derivatives (n = 52) have been analysed (Korhonen et al., 2005). The results indicated that electronegative substitutions at position 1 of naphthalene (dibenzene) increased the inhibitory potency whereas other substitutions and heterocyclic nitrogen atom (e.g. quinoline) decreased the effect. In addition, a long side chain decreased the inhibition of five-ring lactones (Korhonen et al.,

2005). Another study of 21 naturally occurring flavonoids has demonstrated that a non-substituted phenyl ring at position 2 and a double bond between position 2 and 3 of the 1,4-benzopyrone nucleus are essential for the inhibitory effects of the flavonoids (Roy and Roy, 2008). Namely, any substitutions on these positions will lead to poor inhibitory activity of the flavonoids. On the other hand, hydroxyl groups present at position 5 and 7 of the benzopyran nucleus should not be glycosylated for the potent inhibitory activity of CYP1A2 enzyme (Roy and Roy, 2008). The two group chemicals, flavonoids and the derivatives of naphthalene, lactone and quinoline, had been used for quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) analysis to extract novel structural information related to the interaction between inhibitory molecules and the CYP1A2 active site. However, these models are mainly based on particular core structures and may be useful to screen potential inhibitors with similar structures instead of distinct structure chemicals.

The structure of human CYP1A2 was crystallized in a complex with ANF, an inhibitor of CYP1A2, which has been refined to 1.95 Å (PDB ID: 2HI4) (Sansen et al., 2007). In the 2HI4 structure, both helix F' and G' are  $3^{10}$  helical fragments instead of typical  $\alpha$ -helices. In addition, the CYP1A2 structure is different from those of CYP2 and 3 members in the length and local structure of loop regions. CYP1A2 also contains an additional  $\beta$ 3'-sheet between helices H and I and a small  $\alpha$ -helix (K") residing at the proximal surface (see Figure 1-4). Furthermore, the region connecting helices C and D possesses a Ser-rich insertion, which forms a loop extending into the solvent.

In the 2HI4 structure in complex with ANF, the compact active site is closed with a relatively small volume of the cavity of 375 Å<sup>3</sup> (Sansen et al., 2007), which is 44.2% larger than that of CYP2A6 ( $260 Å^3$ ) (Yano et al., 2005), but smaller than that of CYP2D6 (~ $540 Å^3$ ) (Rowland et al., 2006) and CYP3A4 (1386 Å<sup>3</sup>) (Yano et al., 2004). The substrate binding cavity of CYP1A2 is narrow, formed by residues on helices F and I that define a relatively planar binding platform for the substrate on either side. It is clear that the narrow and flat active site cavity of CYP1A2 can fit well with planar compounds such as ANF and typical CYP1A2 is a single preferred orientation, which places the phenyl ring close to the heme iron and makes it an inhibitor rather than a substrate for CYP1A2.

The relatively narrow, planar substrate binding cavity of CYP1A2 is of great importance in drug metabolism as well as in procarcinogen activation. The unique active site architecture defines a distinctive substrate binding site that is different from the structures of CYP2 and CYP3 members. The residues lining along the helices I and F contribute to the narrow binding pocket (see Figure 1-4) and fit the structural properties of its substrates and inhibitors. Especially the side chain of Phe226 on helix F (SRS2) makes a great contribution to the  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking with ANF. Amino acid substitutions for Phe226 (F226I or F226Y) showed a reduced catalytic efficiency (Yun et al., 2000), indicating the prominent role of the Phe226 at the active site both for binding and catalysing substrates. This result is consistent with the fact that most of the CYP1A2 substrates and inhibitors are small, planar and lipophilic molecules (Korhonen et al., 2005). The crystal structure of CYP1A2 improves the understanding of drug recognition on the basis of molecular level and provides a rational platform for exploring CYP1A2-ligand interactions.

# 1.4.3 CYP2C9

Before the release of CYP2C9 structure in 2003, many structure-activity relationship studies were conducted through homology model of CYP2C9 on the basis of rabbit CYP2C5 (Oda et al., 2004). De Groot *et al.* (2002) have constructed diverse pharmacophores for CYP2C9 inhibitors and substrates, respectively. They had built up a pharmacophore model for CYP2C9 ligand using 16 structurally diverse substrates and pointed out that a hydrophobic region and a hydrogen bond acceptor are common features for these CYP2C9 ligands. Differentially, Ekins *et al.* (2000a) reported three pharmacophore models based on three groups of inhibitors and extracted additional features, such as an acceptor and a donor of hydrogen bond plus two hydrophobic zones in 2 of 3 models whereas two hydrogen bond acceptors in the third model. However, these models either were with very basic common features that are too wild to screen specific inhibitors for CYP2C9, or were built by structurally similar inhibitors of CYP2C9 that obstruct these models for a wide application.

To date, three crystal structures of human CYP2C9 enzyme have been resolved by X-ray analysis: one in ligand-free form (PDB ID: 10G2) and two in complex with warfarin (PDB ID: 10G5) and flurbiprofen (PDB ID: 1R9O), respectively (Williams et al., 2003; Wester et al., 2004). The structure of 10G5 enzyme is significantly different from that of 1R9O. There were extensively altered or mutated amino acids in the 10G5 enzyme that encompass residues 30–53, 97–121, 196–233, and 467–478. Seven amino acids had been substituted in specific

regions (K206E, I215V, C216Y, S220P, P221A, I223L, and I224L) and 4 histidine tags had been added to the 1OG5 structure (Williams et al., 2003). There were no mutations introduced into the catalytic domain of the protein for 1R9O construct beside two terminal modifications: one on *C*-terminus extended by a 4-residue histidine tag and another on *N*-terminal transmembrane domain which has been removed (Wester et al., 2004).

In the 1OG5 structure, the seven residue substitutions (whether bound to warfarin or not) are similar to that of rabbit CYP2C5 (PDB ID: LVdH) in the region, which may result in warfarin binding to the 1OG5 enzyme in the distal end of the active site cavity, more than 10 Å from the heme iron (Williams et al., 2003). In this orientation, the *S*-warfarin molecule is believed to be too distant for the hydroxylation to occur. Furthermore, a relative large pocket (~470 Å<sup>3</sup>) and no basic residue had been identified in the active site from the 1OG5 structure, which rendered the selectivity of CYP2C9 for small lipophilic anions difficult to understand.

In the 1R9O structure, however, the two terminal modifications merely facilitated purification and structural determination of the catalytic domains of the truncated enzyme without impact on the active site. The flurbiprofen in the active site of CYP2C9 was positioned at a reasonable distance (4.9 Å) from the iron to facilitate hydroxylation. A relative small structure encompassed the active site cavity in the 1R9O construct. Most importantly, the 1R9O structure reveals that the basic Arg108 residue points into the active site and is able to interact with the negatively charged lipophilic substrate, such as naproxen, ibuprofen, diclofenac, indomethacin, and gemfibrozil (Wester et al., 2004). This structure is in accordance with several experimental observations that were difficult to rationalize based on the 10G5 structure.

The crystal structures of the CYP2C9 provide insight into the ligand-CYP interaction. However, the differences in the active sites of the two crystal structures of CYP2C9 may not only reflect conformational flexibility of the protein but also present challenge to understand the structure determinants of substrate oxidation. Most likely, the structure of CYP2C9-flurbiprofen complex is more reasonable than that of CYP2C9-warfarin complex for the explanation of substrate specificity of CYP2C9.

### 1.4.4 CYP2D6

Although the first modelling study of CYP2D6 published as early as 1993 based on the crystal structure of bacterial CYP101 (Koymans et al., 1993b), the human crystal structure of CYP2D6 was disclosed until 2006, a decade late (Rowland et al., 2006). A number of homologic models had appeared during the decade, on the basis of either bacterial enzymes (Koymans et al., 1993b; Lewis et al., 1997) or more recently the rabbit CYP2C5 enzyme (Kirton et al., 2002; Venhorst et al., 2003). These models provided some important information, such as the implication of Asp301 as a residue necessary for catalytic activity (Koymans et al., 1993b). However, a lot of difference among the models gives rise to some challenging questions regarding the explanations for experimental results from site-directed mutagenesis (SDM) studies. The structure of human CYP2D6, indeed, is able to explain many reported data of SDM and to help understand the metabolism of some substrates.

The crystal structure of CYP2D6 shows a fold similar to other recently solved human structures, especially to CYP2C9. Although the lengths and orientations of the individual secondary structural elements in CYP2D6 are very similar to those seen in CYP2C9, there are several notable differences existing at the helix C-D connection, the G-H loop, the turn number of F helix, the location of F-G loop related to B' helix. These differences are considered to be essential for CYP2D6 to shape the active site cavity that stands above the heme like a "right foot" with the volume of ~540 Å<sup>3</sup> (Rowland et al., 2006). The cavity is bordered by the heme group and residues from the B, F, G and I helixes, the B -C loop, the loop between helix K and  $\beta$ -sheet 1 strand 4, and the loop between the strands of  $\beta$ -sheet 4 (Rowland et al., 2006).

There are two negatively charged residues, Asp301 on I helix and Glu216 on F helix, identified as binding residues for substrates and inhibitors of CYP2D6. Between them, Asp301 played a key role in the binding of substrates to CYP2D6 as well as a structural role in hydrogen bonding to a backbone NH of the B-C loop, whereas Glu216 is more likely responsible for residue recognition and an intermediate binding form (Rowland et al., 2006). Mutation of either Asp301 or Glu216 to a neutral amino acid results in loss of CYP2D6 activity (Lennard, 1990), which implicate the importance of the two residues.

Two phenylalanine residues, Phe481 and Phe483, in the loop between two strands of  $\beta$ -sheet 4 region (SRS6) attracted attention and also gave rise to some debate around the Phe481 positioning (de Groot et al., 1999a). Early homology modelling studies suggested that Phe481

is an important aromatic residue associated with ligand binding (de Groot et al., 1999b). This residue appears to interact with ligands via a  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interaction between its phenyl ring and the planar hydrophobic aromatic moiety common to many CYP2D6 substrates. Substitution of Phe481 by Leu or Gly reduced the affinity of several typical CYP2D6 substrates, including debrisoquine, metoprolol and dextromethorphan, with 3-16-fold higher  $K_m$  values compared to the wild-type (Hayhurst et al., 2001). However, replacement of Phe481 with Thr did not alter the  $K_m$  and  $V_{max}$  values for *S*-metoprolol, debrisoquine and dextromethorphan. Homology models based on rabbit CYP2C5 suggest that, however, Phe481 is positioned outside the binding pocket, but in close contact with the active site residue Phe483 (Smith et al., 1998; Venhorst et al., 2003). The crystal structure clearly shows that Phe483 is oriented into the cavity, whereas Phe481 is located remotely rather than pointing directly toward the heme group (Rowland et al., 2006).

Another critical Phe residue is Phe120 located in the B-C loop, and its importance has been recognised in SDM studies (Flanagan et al., 2004; McLaughlin et al., 2005). Keizers *et al.* (2004) revealed that the F120A mutant abolished the *O*-demethylation activity toward 7-methoxy-4-(aminomethyl)-coumarin 7-methoxy-4-(aminomethyl)-coumarin (MAMC, used as an *in vitro* probe for CYP2D6), whereas bufuralol 1'-hydroxylation was not affected. Surprisingly, the mutant protein carrying the F120A mutation can metabolize quinidine via *O*-demethylation and 3-hydroxylation (McLaughlin et al., 2005), unlike the wild-type CYP2D6. The mutation F120I (358T>A; rs1135822) can be found in a small percentage of the Southeast Asian population (Solus et al., 2004). All of these findings indicate that residue Phe120 in the active site is important in substrate binding and catalysis in CYP2D6. The position of Phe120 is suggested to orient substrates with respect to the heme and to form  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions with CYP2D6 substrates that contain aromatic rings.

### 1.4.5 CYP3A4

Two similar ligand-free structures of human CYP3A4 were published independently in 2004 (Williams et al., 2004; Yano et al., 2004). In contrast to the structures of CYP2 family, the most prominent features of the CYP3A4 structure are the short F and G helixes that do not pass over the active site cavity, as well as a large, highly ordered hydrophobic core of phenyl alanine residues above the active site (Yano et al., 2004). However, the volumes (~670 Å<sup>3</sup> and ~950 Å<sup>3</sup>) of the active sites in the published both ligand-free structures seem to be too small to

metabolize large substrates such as bromocriptine ( $M_r$  655 Dal) and cyclosporine ( $M_r$  1,203 Dal). It is speculated that conformational changes may occur upon ligand binding.

Yano *et al.* (2004) reported an active-site volume of 1,386 Å<sup>3</sup> while Williams *et al.* (2004) found a small volume ~520 Å<sup>3</sup>. Although the active site volume of CYP3A4 is similar to that of CYP2C8, the shape of the active site cavity differs considerably due to differences in the folding and packing of portions of the protein that form the cavity (Yano et al., 2004). Compared with CYP2C8, the active site cavity of CYP3A4 is much larger near the heme iron (Yano et al., 2004). CYP3A4 contains an unexpected peripheral binding site located above a 7-Phe residue cluster, which may be involved in the initial recognition of substrates or allosteric effectors (Williams et al., 2004). The progesterone molecule resides in the peripheral "nest" formed by loops between the F and F' helices and the G' and G helices, i.e. in the F/G-loop region. This resembles that of palmitate binding in the CYP2C8 (1PQ2) structure (Schoch et al., 2004).

There is a remarkable difference between the two ligand-free CYP3A4 structures in the position of the Arg212 residue located within the linker between F and F0 and lining the active site. In the structure reported by Yano *et al.* (Yano et al., 2004) this side chain was directed towards the heme iron and hydrogen bonds to surrounding residues in a conformation that could disable the proton transfer pathway required for catalytic cycle. In the structure reported by Williams et al. (Williams et al., 2004), however, this side chain was rotated by ~120° and oriented away from the putative proton transfer pathway. This discrepancy between the two structures indicates that this might be a flexible element of the structure.

To date, there are four crystal structures of CYP3A4–ligand complex with metyrapone, progesterone, ketoconazole and erythromycin respectively (1TQN, 1WOE, 1WOF and 1WOG). Surprisingly, the protein conformational change upon ligand binding failed to be observed in two CYP3A4–ligand complex structures with metyrapone and progesterone (Williams et al., 2004). However, dramatic conformational changes were observed in the structures of CYP3A4–ketoconazole and CYP3A4–erythromycin complexes, with the increase in the active site volume by >80%. The volume of the active site is increased to 1,650 Å<sup>3</sup> in the ketoconazole-complexed structure and to ~2,000 Å<sup>3</sup> in erythromycin-complexed structure (Ekroos and Sjogren, 2006), although these are less pronounced than those seen in the structures of rabbit CYP2B4 (Scott et al., 2003). Interestingly, four ketoconazole molecules

have been identified simultaneously binding in the active site of CYP3A4 (Ekroos and Sjogren, 2006). One of the four ketoconazoles bound to the heme iron with its imidazole nitrogen. If the ligand-induced conformational changes can reflect the flexibility of CYP3A4, the simultaneous binding of multiple ligands may partially explain the atypical Michaelis–Menten kinetics and drug–drug interactions displayed by CYP3A4.

### 1.4.6 Other CYPs

To date, there are 10 structures of CYP2A6 available in PDB. These include the structures of 2A6 in complex with coumarin (PDB: 1Z10) (Yano et al., 2005), methoxsalen (1Z11) (Yano et al., 2005) and synthetic 3-heteroaromatic analogues of nicotine as inhibitors (2FDY, 2FDW, 2FDV, and 2FDU) (Yano et al., 2006). Several structures of the CYP2A6 N297Q (2PG5), L240C/N297Q (2PG6) and N297Q/I300V (2PG7) mutants have also been solved to a resolution of 1.95, 2.50 and 2.80 Å, respectively (Sansen et al., 2007). Recently, Devore *et al.* (2008) reported the structure of 2A6 I208S/I300F/G301A/S369G mutant in complex with phenacetin (3EBS).

All X-ray structures of CYP2A6 show the common typical CYP fold as other CYP members. The identities of the residues that contact the ligand molecules are identical in different CYP2A6 complex structures, and changes in the contacting amino acids are generally restricted to slight rearrangements of 107Phe to maximize orthogonal aromatic interactions.

CYP2A6 has the smallest active site cavity with a volume of 260 Å<sup>3</sup> among all human CYPs whose structures have been determined. This is about 4-fold smaller than those of CYP2C8, 2C9 or 3A4 (Yano et al., 2005). The CYP2A6 structure shows a clearly well-adapted enzyme for the oxidation of small, planar substrates that can fit into the compact, small, and hydrophobic active site with one hydrogen bond donor, Asn297, which orients ligands such as coumarin for regio-selective oxidation. The small active site volume of 2A6 may be associated with rather tight packing of the secondary structural units. In contrast to other mammalian CYP structures, helix I of CYP2A6 has an ideal secondary structural fold with no remarkable kink in the vicinity of heme. In addition, there was no water molecule found between the heme cofactor and helix I. The proximity of the substrate hydroxylation site (~3.3 Å) to the heme Fe atom may explain the displacement of a water molecule from the sixth coordination of the heme iron, leading to conversion of the heme ion from a low to a high spin state.

The structure of CYP2C8 was first determined in the absence of substrates or inhibitors by Schoch *et al.* (2004). Consistent with the large size of several substrates and inhibitors such as paclitaxel and montelukast, the enzyme exhibits a relatively large substrate-binding cavity compared with the ones evident for structures of most other human CYPs (Schoch et al., 2004). Computer-simulated docking indicated that the large active-site cavity is likely to accommodate substrates in several possible binding poses that do not necessarily conform closely to the proposed pharmacophore. Additionally, the docking simulations suggested that anionic groups might be accommodated in a large substrate access channel located between the helix B-C loop and  $\beta$ 1 sheet with the potential for polar interactions with protein side chains as well as residual water molecules (Melet et al., 2004). Further computer simulations also indicated that all-*trans*-retinoic acid might bind in either a proximal site or an alternative distal site near helix B' that places the retinoid carboxylate close to Arg241. The latter suggested that conformational changes could allow Arg241 to neutralize the charge of the retinoid in the distal site.

Recently, Schoch et al. (2008) further determined the crystal structures of CYP2C8 complexed with montelukast (2.8 Å, 2NNI), troglitazone (2.7 Å, 2VN0), felodipine (2.3 Å, 2NNJ), and 2 molecules of 9-cis-retinoic acid (2.6 Å, 2NNH) (Schoch et al., 2008). Montelukast is a relatively large anionic ( $M_r = 586$ ) inhibitor that exhibits a tripartite structure and complements the size and shape of the active-site cavity; while the inhibitor troglitazone ( $M_r = 442$ ) occupies the upper portion of the active-site cavity, leaving a substantial part of the cavity unoccupied. The smaller neutral felodipine molecule ( $M_r = 384$ ), a high affinity inhibitor of CYP2C8 with a  $K_i$  of 90 nM (Marill et al., 2000), is sequestered with its dichlorophenyl group positioned close to the heme iron, and water molecules fill the distal portion of the cavity. The structure of the 9-cis-retinoic acid ( $M_r = 300$ ) complex reveals that two molecules bind simultaneously in the active site of CYP2C8. A second molecule of 9-cis-retinoic acid is located above the proximal molecule and can restrain the position of the latter for more efficient oxygenation (Schoch et al., 2008). Solution binding studies do not discriminate between cooperative and noncooperative models for multiple substrate binding. The complexes of CYP2C8 with structurally distinct ligands further demonstrate the conformational adaptability of active site-constituting residues, especially Arg241, which can reorient in the active-site cavity to stabilize a negatively charged functional group and define two spatially distinct binding sites for anionic moieties of substrates.

### 1.5 Drug-drug, herb-drug and herb-CYP interactions

# **1.5.1** Clinical significance of drug interactions

Drug interactions may occur during recent or concurrent use of another drug or drugs or ingestion of food. It was defined as the action of a drug that may affect the activity, metabolism, or toxicity of another drug. A drug interaction is any pharmacological modification of an exogenous substance (in drug, herb and food) in a body caused by another exogenous compound (in drug, herb and food) during a diagnostic or therapeutic period (MacLennan et al., 2006). This relates to so-called drug-drug interactions (interactions between drugs), herb-herb interactions (interactions between herbs) or drug-food interactions (interactions between drug and food).

Most drug interactions are involved in pharmacodynamic and/or pharmacokinetic mechanisms. Pharmacodynamic interactions involve synergistic or antagonistic interactions on drug targets, e.g. receptors, which can often be predicted and avoided. For example, Ma Huang contains ephedrine-like alkaloids which exhibit sympathomimetic activities. Thus, Ma Huang may interact with other sympathomimetic agents and then increase the actions of monamine oxidase inhibitors and adrenergic agonists such as clonidine, and decrease the actions of bethanidine and guanethidine (Wooltorton and Sibbald, 2002) On the other hand, pharmacokinetic interactions are much more difficult to anticipate, which occur through multiple mechanisms, including alterations of compounds' absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion. Most reported drug interactions are pharmacokinetic interactions. Coadministration of two or more drugs or herbs may give rise to drug interactions due to an alteration of CYPs activity (Lynch and Price, 2007) if the drugs or herbs are metabolized by the same enzyme system(s). The drug interactions may potentially result in altered pharmacokinetics for one or all of the coadministered compounds due to either inhibition or induction of a specific CYP enzyme. If these effects of the drug interaction occur to certain extent, clinical efficacy of those drugs may be lost and furthermore adverse drug interactions, including some fatal interactions may overcome their therapeutic anticipation (Li, 2001; Lin and Lu, 2001).

#### **1.5.2** Drug interactions due to inhibition of CYP enzymes

Inhibition of CYP enzymes is one of the most common causes of harmful drug-drug interactions and has led to the withdrawn of several marketed drugs during the past decades. The nonsedating antihistamines terfenadine and astemizole, for instance, and the gastrointestinal motility agent cisapride, were all withdrawn from the U.S. market because

metabolic inhibition by other drugs led to life-threatening arrhythmias (Dresser et al., 2000). The calcium channel blocker mibefradil was withdrawn from the U.S. market in 1998 because it was a potent mechanism-based enzyme inhibitor that increased the plasm concentration of other cardiovascular drugs to toxic levels (Mullins et al., 1998).

Inhibition of CYPs activity can reduce metabolism and elimination of the parent compounds that are subject to first-pass metabolism and lead to increased bioavailability even toxicity of these compounds, especial for those extensively metabolized mainly by CYP enzymes. For example, a clinical trial had indicated that fluconazole, a potent inhibitor of CYP2C9, reduced approximately 70% of metabolic clearance of *S*-warfarin, leading to significant bleeding at clinical setting (Black et al., 1996). With regard to prodrugs, inhibition may result in a decrease in the amount of the active drug form, leading to therapeutic failure due to lack of efficacy of the drug. Tamoxifen, a selective estrogen receptor modulator, could significantly reduce the conversion of prodrug losartan to its active form by inhibiting CYP2C9 activity in breast cancer patients (Boruban et al., 2006).

The type of CYP inhibition can be either reversible (competitive or non-competitive) or irreversible (mechanism-based). Reversible inhibition is the most common type of enzyme inhibition and takes place directly, while irreversible inhibition requires biotransformation of the inhibitor. Reversible inhibition can be further divided into competitive, noncompetitive, uncompetitive, and mixed-type inhibition (Lin and Lu, 1998; Hollenberg, 2002). In competitive situation, substrate and inhibitor are competitory to bind to the same position at the active site of an enzyme with hydrophobic, electrostatic or hydrogen-bond interactions, which are both formed and broken down easily (Lin and Lu, 1998; Hollenberg, 2002). In a noncompetitive inhibition, however, the binding site of the inhibitor is different from that of the substrate. As for mixed-type inhibition, both competitive and noncompetitive inhibitions are frequently observed. For example, *in vitro* studies have demonstrated that glyburide strongly inhibited CYP2C9-catalyzed *S*-warfarin and phenytoin metabolism in a competitive manner (Kim and Park, 2003).

Irreversible inhibition, on the other hand, usually occurs by forming metabolite intermediate complexes, which bind to the residues or heme of the CYP with strong covalent bond leading to a long lasting inactivation (Zhou et al., 2004c; Zhou et al., 2005c). This process is called 'mechanism based inhibition' or 'suicide inhibition' — the metabolic product inactivates the
enzyme completely. Classical mechanism-based inhibitors include the CYP1A2 inhibitor furafylline (Sesardic et al., 1990; Kunze and Trager, 1993), the CYP3A4 inhibitor gestodene (Guengerich, 1990; Back et al., 1991), and the CYP2E1 inhibitor disulfiram (Kharasch et al., 1993). The typical feature of mechanism-based inhibition is the time-, concentration- and NADPH-dependent and is terminated by enzyme re-synthesis (Halpert, 1995; Ito et al., 1998c; Kent et al., 2001).

## 1.5.3 Drug interaction due to induction of CYP enzymes

In contrast to inhibition, induction of CYP enzymes usually occurs through two general mechanisms: stabilizing the mRNA or enzyme (e.g., CYP2E1) (Gonzalez, 2007) and increasing gene transcription. Increase in gene transcription of CYP enzyme is more common than stabilization of the mRNA or enzyme and is mediated by nuclear receptors, such as AhR, CAR, and PXR (Moore et al., 2002; Honkakoski et al., 2003; Wang and LeCluyse, 2003; Mandal, 2005; Qatanani and Moore, 2005; Tirona and Kim, 2005). Induction of gene transcription is usually triggered by ligand (drug) binding to the ligand binding domain (LBD) of the nuclear receptors. Subsequently, the ligand-activated transcription factors conduct conformational changes of the receptors leading to the release of co-repressors and recruitment of co-activators and a dimerization partner (RXR, for CAR/PXR and the AhR nuclear translocator, ARNT, for AhR) to form the actual DNA-binding complex. Finally, the DNA binding domain (DBD) on the nuclear receptor is exposed and binds to respective DNA response elements present in the promoter region of target genes (CYP enzymes) leading to gene transcription (Wang and LeCluyse, 2003; Lemaire et al., 2004).

This inductive process produces more CYP enzyme than that present normally in a biological system. The increased CYP enzyme along with increased activity elevates metabolic clearance of certain drugs, substrates of relative CYP enzyme. Consequently, pharmacokinetics of these drugs is influenced, reflecting by reduced AUC, maximum plasma concentration ( $C_{max}$ ), and half-life. A typical example is the herbal antidepressant St John's Wort (a potent CYP3A4 inducer) that had been reported to increase CYP3A4 expression and consequently decrease the AUC and  $C_{max}$  of midazolam (a CYP3A4 substrate) by 79 and 65%, respectively (Mueller et al., 2006). The induction of CYP3A4 by St John's wort resulted in reduced ethinylestradiol levels from oral contraceptives, leading to unexpected pregnancies (Gordon, 1998; Barbenel et al., 2000). Furthermore, St John's Wort has been reported to reduce cyclosporine concentrations in transplant patients and lead to organ rejection (Breidenbach et al., 2000a;

Breidenbach et al., 2000b). Both ethinylestradiol and cyclosporine are predominately metabolized by CYP3A4 (Zhou, 2008a).

#### 1.5.4 Herb-drug interactions

# 1.5.4.1 Clinically reported herb-drug interactions

Herbal medicines, such as St John's wort, garlic, gingko, and ginseng, are freely available over the counter and very often self-administered complements along with therapeutic drugs (De Smet, 2002; De Smet, 2005). This has given rise to potential adverse herb-drug interactions in clinical settings when co-administered with prescribed medicines. A number of adverse herb-drug interactions have been identified in humans and frequently impacted medications are those with a narrow therapeutic window and extensively metabolized by CYP enzymes, such as warfarin, digoxin and cyclosporine (Fugh-Berman, 2000; Hu et al., 2005; Li et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2007). One of the most commonly reported herbs is St John's wort which interacts with a broad therapeutic drugs, including cyclosporine, digoxin, theophylline, oral contraceptives, methadone, fluoxetine, and buspirone (Table 1-8) (Barone et al., 2000; Karliova et al., 2000; Mai et al., 2000; Ruschitzka et al., 2000; Ahmed et al., 2001; Beer and Ostermann, 2001; Moschella and Jaber, 2001; Turton-Weeks et al., 2001; Alscher and Klotz, 2003). Gingko biloba was also reported to interact with ibuprofen, trazodone, fluoxetine, buspirone and phenytoin (Table 1-8). It should be noted that both warfarin and cyclosporine are well-known substrates of CYP2C9 and 3A4, respectively, while St John's wort is a potent inducer of CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein (P-gp). An additional example is licorice (Glycyrrhiza glabra) which was reported to increase the plasma concentrations of prednisolone (Chen et al., 1990; Chen et al., 1991) by inhibiting the metabolism of prednisolone, and also potentiated the skin vasoconstrictive action of hydrocortisone (Teelucksingh et al., 1990).

The use of multiple medicines will significantly increase the risk of potential herb-drug interactions, especially in the elderly or certain group of consumers such as cancer patients. The risk for drug interactions increases with the number of products consumed. For example, the risk for potential interactions for consuming two products is 6%; five products, 50%, and the risk increases to 100% for consuming eight or more products. In this regards, the likelihood of herb-drug interactions is theoretically higher than drug-drug interactions since most therapeutic drugs usually contain a single chemical entity.

It should be pointed out, however, that our understanding about the interactions between herbs and drugs is still limited (Zhou et al., 2007). It is difficult to characterise and identify definitely an herb-drug interaction. The knowledge of herb-drug interaction is largely based on case reports or case series reports. Considering that a significant number of patients or herbal consumers failed to disclose the use of herbal products to their physicians (Klepser et al., 2000), and most physicians have limited knowledge on various herbal products, the risk of potential herb-drug interactions is increased. Thus, there have been efforts for implementation of coordinated toxicity monitoring systems by the World Health Organization (WHO), eg WHO Collaborating Centre for International Drug Monitoring (<u>http://www.who-umc.org/</u>), and by various governments including Australia, UK, USA, Singapore and China, aimed at improving monitoring and timely reporting of potential herb-drug interactions. To date, a number of herb-drug interactions have been identified in humans and these have been summarized in Table 1-8.

#### **1.5.4.2** Mechanisms for herb-drug interactions

In general, a single herb contains multiple phytochemicals that may be biologically active and capable of modulating physiological actions, similar to therapeutic drugs, through complex synergistic and antagonistic effects. Therefore, it is not hard to understand that most herb-drug interactions are mediated by pharmacodynamic and/or pharmacokinetic mechanisms. Pharmacodynamic interactions involve synergistic or antagonistic interactions on the same drug targets, e.g. receptors, which can often be predicted and avoided. For example, Ma Huang contains ephedrine-like alkaloids which exhibit sympathomimetic activities. Thus, Ma Huang may interact with other sympathomimetic agents and thus increase the actions of monamine oxidase inhibitors and adrenergic agonists such as clonidine, and decrease the actions of bethanidine and guanethidine (Wooltorton and Sibbald, 2002) On the other hand, pharmacokinetic interactions are much more difficult to anticipate, which occur through multiple mechanisms, including alterations of drug's absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion. Most common reported herb-drug interactions are pharmacokinetic interactions, especial those resulting from the modulation of the activities of CYPs and/or drug transporters.

The activity of CYPs may be changed by herbal ingredients through enzyme induction and inhibition. Like therapeutic drugs, the induction of CYPs by herbal product usually requires several days, which may lead to decreased drug plasma levels (through increased drug metabolism), and subsequently to reduced drug effects (Zhou et al., 2003b). Conversely, the

inhibition of CYPs is often immediate and may lead to increased drug plasma levels (through decreased drug metabolism), and thus increased drug effect which may result in significant adverse reactions or toxicities. Many clinical adverse events induced by herbal produces have been associated with CYP inhibitions (Hu et al., 2005; Li et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2007).

Herbs may inhibit CYPs by three mechanisms: competitive inhibition, non-competitive inhibition, and mechanism-based inhibition. Mutual competitive inhibition may occur between a herbal constituent and a drug, as both are often metabolised by the same CYP isoform. For example, diallyl sulfide from garlic is a competitive inhibitor of CYP2E1 (Teyssier et al., 1999). Non-competitive inhibition is caused by the binding of herbal constituents containing electrophilic groups (e.g. imidazole or hydrazine group) to the heme portion of CYP. For example, piperine inhibited arylhydrocarbon hydroxylase (CYP1A) and 7-ethoxycourmarin deethylase (CYP2A) by non-competitive mechanism (Dalvi and Dalvi, 1991). Hyperforin present in St John's wort is also a potent noncompetitive inhibitor of CYP2D6 activity *in vitro* (Obach, 2000a). The mechanism-based inhibition of CYP is due to the formation of a complex between herbal metabolite with CYP. For example, diallyl sulfone derived from diallyl sulfide is a suicide inhibitor of CYP2E1 by forming a complex via an epoxide metabolite (Premdas et al., 2000), leading to autocatalytic destruction of CYP2E1 (Jin and Baillie, 1997a).

#### 1.5.4.3 Prediction of metabolic herb-drug interactions

Herb-drug interactions may be harmful or even fatal. For example, feverfew, garlic, ginkgo, ginger, and ginseng may potentiate the effect of warfarin, resulting in longer bleeding time (Fugh-Berman, 2000; Fugh-Berman and Ernst, 2001). Kava has resulted in coma when used with alprazolam (Miller, 1998). Therefore, it is important to be able to extrapolate both *in vitro* and *in vivo* data of herb-drug interactions to humans. Some successes have occurred in the prediction of drug-drug interactions from *in vitro* metabolic inhibition data based on *in vitro* models such as hepatic microsomes and hepatocytes, if the following criteria can be met: a) drug clearance must be primarily by metabolism; b) drug is not subject to substantial conjugation or other non-CYP metabolism; c) the liver is the primary organ of metabolic clearance; and d) the compound does not possess physico-chemical properties that are associated with absorption problems (i.e. limited solubility, low gastroenteral permeability) (Houston, 1994; Obach, 2000b). The prediction of the alteration in plasma concentration or the area of the plasma AUC by a coadministered compound involves the determination of inhibition constant ( $K_i$ ), and the unbound concentration of inhibitor ([I]).

However, the prediction of metabolic drug interactions from *in vitro* systems is limited due to several problems including inappropriate design of *in vitro* experiments; presence of extra-hepatic metabolism; and active transport in liver. In addition, the in vitro scaling of kinetic and inhibition data from human tissues is more complex, particularly as the metabolism of many drugs by CYP3A4 is inconsistent with a classical Michaelis-Menten kinetic model (Lin, 1998; Houston and Kenworthy, 2000). Despite these difficulties, quantitative *in vitro* metabolic inhibition data can be extrapolated reasonably well to *in vivo* situations with the application of appropriate pharmacokinetic principles (Ito et al., 1998a; Ito et al., 1998b). Thus, the prediction of metabolic herb-drug interactions could provide a useful tool to offer the opportunity to use *in vitro* inhibition data as a criterion for monitoring herb-drug metabolic interactions involving human drug metabolising enzymes (in particular CYPs).

# 1.6 Tools to study drug interactions

A combination of *in silico*, *in vitro* and *in vivo* models are often used in drug-drug, herb-drug and herb-CYP interaction studies. *In silico* is a term used for experiments done using a high-performance computer (i.e. on a silicon chip), while *in vitro* and *in vivo* refer to experiments done outside of living organisms and in living organisms, respectively.

## 1.6.1 In silico methods

There is an increasing use of *in silico* methods to study CYPs and their interactions with xenobiotics (Hutter, 2009). The major *in silico* methods include simple rule-based modelling, structure-activity relationships, three-dimensional quantitative structure-activity relationships (QSAR), and pharmacophores (Krejsa et al., 2003; Hutter, 2009). All represent useful tools for understanding reactions catalyzed by CYPs, predicting possible herb-drug metabolism interactions, pharmacokinetic parameters such as clearance, and toxicity (Harris, 2004). The resulting data based on *in silico* approaches may be of clinical relevance (Norinder, 2005). For example, knowledge of the substrate specificity and regulation of the CYP is essential, as this will provide information on the possible herb-drug interaction.

*In silico* approaches have also been used to study herb-CYP interactions (Wilson et al., 2003; de Groot et al., 2004; de Graaf et al., 2005). A structure-activity relationship analysis was used to investigate the effect of structural modifications of piperine (pentadienyl or piperidine) on the inhibition of the CYP-catalyzed reactions, arylhydrocarbon hydroxylation (CYP1A) and

7-methoxycoumarin-O-demethylation (CYP2) in microsomes prepared from untreated, 3-methylcholanthrene- and phenobarbital-treated rat liver (Koul et al., 2000). This study has indicated that saturation of the side chain resulted in a marked increase in the inhibition of CYPs; while modifications in the phenyl and basic moieties in a few analogues led to maximum selectivity in inhibiting either constitutive or inducible CYP activities (Koul et al., 2000). QSAR studies have been used to analyze the inhibitory effects on caffeine  $N^3$ -demethylation (a marker activity of CYP1A2) in human liver microsomes of naturally occurring flavonoids that exist in many herbs (Lee et al., 1998). This study demonstrated that the number of hydroxyl groups and their glycosylation had an important influence on the inhibitory effect of various flavonoids. QSAR analysis has indicated that the volume to surface area ratio was the most effective factor for producing the inhibition of caffeine  $N^3$ -demethylation by these flavonoids, and the electron densities on the C3 and C4' atoms exercised significant influence on the inhibitory effect. The suppression of 2-amino-3,4-dimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoline-induced *umu* gene expression by flavonoids was well correlated with their calculated CYP1A2 inhibitory potencies (Lee et al., 1998).

The use of computational techniques will add chemical knowledge to the empirical data obtained with *in vitro* systems and enable the prediction of substrates or inhibitors of specific enzymes through computer-based models. The predictions by means of a rapid *in silico* screen might be useful as a drug interaction screen, and assist medicinal chemists understanding potential inhibitors for certain enzymes in the use of therapeutics and making necessary chemical modifications in the drug discovery process. There is an increasing use of *in silico* methods to study CYPs and their interactions with xenobiotics (Ekins and Wrighton, 2001; Lewis and Dickins, 2001; Vedani et al., 2006) since they are an important family of drug-metabolizing enzymes.

*In silico* screening can be performed in two fundamental ways: ligand-based and protein-based manner. Combinations of protein- and ligand-based methods have often been used. For the ligand-based method, molecular descriptors extract information from a set of CYP ligands to build a model (e.g. QSAR or pharmacophore model) that provides rules to classify other chemicals as potential CYP ligands and is validated by another set of known CYP ligands. Alleged pharmacophore is a hypothesis representing generalized molecular features including 3D (hydrophobic groups, charged/ionizable groups, hydrogen bond donor/acceptors), 2D

(substructures), and 1D (physical and biological properties) aspects that are considered to be responsible for a desired activity (Purushottamachar et al., 2007).

There are often two different approaches applied in the hypothesis generation: Catalyst Hypogen and HipHop programs. Hypogen is an activity-based alignment derived from a training set that collects conformational models of compounds spanning activities of 4–5 orders of magnitude. At least 16 molecules are necessary to ensure statistical significance of pharmacophores computed in the Catalyst Hypogen algorithm. HipHop, on the other hand, is a common feature alignment of highly potent compounds based on 3D feature information without consideration of the activity in the set molecules. In addition, two separated sets of compounds (training and validating sets) are critical for the applicability and predictivity of both Hypogen and HipHop models. If the training set for the building of both models are narrowed at a single core structure, the produced model may be of limited value to other research programs, unless the same structure type is used. Once the training set is comprised by a number of distinct core structures, a good generalizable hypothesis is possible to be produced (Ekins, 2003). A retrieved pharmacophore model is expected to discriminate between active and inactive compounds.

In the protein-based approach, candidate ligands are docked into the crystal structure or a homology model of certain CYPs, and the estimated low free energy of binding and inhibition constant ( $K_i$ ) will be calculated for the evaluation of CYP inhibitory potency.

Although it is a virtual screening system, *in silico* study could provide some early prediction of the possible involvement of CYPs in the metabolism of drugs or drug candidates, not only improving drug safety but also contributing to make drug design more effective and less cost. Available crystal structures of human CYPs have provided important functional information of these proteins and are very useful for further *in silico* studies.

# 1.6.2 In vitro methods

A number of *in vitro* systems have established to investigate drug-CYP interactions, including cDNA expressed recombinant human CYP enzymes (from baculovirus-infected insect cells and *E. coli*), subcellular fractions (liver microsomes, cytosols, and homogenates), B lymphoblastoid cells, isolated and cultured hepatocytes or liver cell lines and precision-cut liver slices (Eddershaw and Dickins, 1999; Ekins et al., 2000b; Streetman et al., 2000a;

LeCluyse, 2001; Venkatakrishnan et al., 2001b; Baranczewski et al., 2006). Each of these systems has advantages and limitations, and it is most likely that a combination of methods will provide the most accurate information on drug-CYP interactions.

A number of cDNA expressed recombinant human CYP enzymes are available, and offer a great chance for interaction study between drug candidates and CYP enzymes by a rapid manner, which make high throughput screening *in vitro* available. There are several CYP screening kits aimed to offer a simple "mix-and-read" fluorescent assay that is designed for high-throughput (HTP) screening in multi-well plates. Test compounds are analyzed by their capacity to inhibit the production of a fluorescent signal in reactions using recombinant CYP enzymes and specific CYP substrates along with appropriate positive and negative controls.

To date, 26 human CYP enzymes have had commercial screening kits containing recombinant cDNA-expressed CYP enzymes prepared from the baculovirus-infected insect cell system, including CYP1A1, 1A2, 1B1, 2A6, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9.1 (Arg144), 2C9.1 (Arg144), 2C9.2 (Cys144), 2C9.3 (Leu359), 2C18, 2C19, 2D6.1 (Val374), 2D6.10, 2E1, 2J2, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7, 4A11, 4F12, 4F2, 4F3A, 4F3B, CYP19 (aromatase) and CYP oxidoreductase (www.bdbiosciences.com/product\_families). These enzyme systems provide high level of catalytic activity (six-fold higher than an average human live microsomes sample) and are used for screening study of diverse compounds related to metabolism *in vitro*. All of the major drug metabolism enzymes are available in this expressed enzyme system.

For inhibition studies, IC<sub>50</sub> values obtained from cDNA-expressed enzyme system can be compared with that of known inhibitors detected by the same enzyme without the complication of competing pathways of metabolism. With the cDNA-expressed enzyme system, Phase II reaction can also be investigated through different kits with different enzyme system (not in the scale of present project). However, induction effect of test compounds on CYP enzymes could not be investigated by these systems (Crespi and Penman, 1997). Even though, the use of cDNA-expressed CYP system is also a reasonable starting point for the preliminary determination of the principal CYPs involved in a drug candidate in a drug discovery setting.

Liver microsomes systems sound like an ideal for the production of most major metabolites from both Phase I and Phase II reactions. However, cofactors (nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate–NADPH or urindine diphosphate glucuronic acid) need to be add artificially since CYP- or uridine diphosphate glucuronotransferase (UGT)-catalyzed reactions to replace those lost due to the destruction of cell integrity. In addition, because of the latter, no coupled metabolism is present, and Phase II reactions following a Phase I reaction cannot be studied.

In contrast, hepatocytes provide cellular integrity with respect to enzyme architecture and allow the study of Phase II reactions following Phase I metabolism. In addition, hepatocytes allow for any concentration gradients mediated by transporters that may affect exposure of substrate/inhibitor to enzymes. However, some transporters are rapidly down-regulated after isolation of hepatocytes (Li et al., 1997), and support matrices (sandwich cultures) may introduce artefacts (e.g., additional collagen diffusion barrier; and loss of enzyme activity) (LeCluyse, 2001). Precision-cut liver slices probably best simulate the *in vivo* situation as they retain the physiological environment for the enzymes and cofactors of both Phase I and Phase II reactions and partially retain the architecture of the liver (Parrish et al., 1995; Ekins, 1996; Ferrero and Brendel, 1997; Olinga et al., 1998). However, both uptake and/or metabolism in liver slices are often lower than in hepatocytes, which limit their utility as a predictive model for pharmacokinetic scaling.

Obviously, *in vitro* models may provide fundamental information of drug-CYP interactions by a quick screening manner but is impossible to draw a comprehensive picture for the interactions. However, high throughput screening with cDNA-expressed enzyme system can provide relative accurate inhibitory potency (e.g.  $IC_{50}$  or  $K_i$  values) of tested compounds on a specific CYP (Carlson and Fisher, 2008).

### 1.6.3 In vivo methods

Although *in silico* and *in vitro* models may provide quick screening methods for the herb-CYP interactions, *in vivo* interaction studies are usually necessary to provide evidence of their clinical importance. Animal studies may give important information on herb-CYP interactions, but inter-species variations in the substrate specificity, catalytic features and amino acid sequences of CYPs may cause difficulty in extrapolating animal data to humans (Boobis et al., 1990; Lewis et al., 1998). For example, chlorzoxazone 6-hydroxylation is extensively catalyzed by CYP2E1 in humans (de Vries et al., 1994), but by CYP1A2 and 3A1 in rats (Kobayashi et al., 2002). It may be difficult to predict accurately the effects of tested

compounds in humans based on animal data. Therefore, clinical trials of human studies are usually required to confirm herb-CYP interactions.

A common approach for estimation of *in vivo* drug interactions in animals and humans is through the administration of a specific probe compound, which is predominately or exclusively metabolized by an individual CYP enzyme. Probe substrates and selective inhibitors (see Table 1-2) can be used to explore the effects of herbs on the activity of specific CYP enzyme *in vivo*, e.g. caffeine for CYP1A2 (Carrillo et al., 2000b), tolbutamide or warfarin for CYP2C9 (Bourrie et al., 1996; Chainuvati et al., 2003), mephenytoin or omeprazole for CYP2C19 (Streetman et al., 2000a; Chainuvati et al., 2003), dextromethorphan, or debrisoquin for CYP2D6 (Wieling et al., 2000), chlorzoxazone for CYP2E1 (Lucas et al., 1999), and midazolam (Rivory et al., 2001) or erythromycin (Rivory et al., 2001) for CYP3A4 (Brockmoller and Roots, 1994; Streetman et al., 2000a). In clinical trial, there are two basic strategies to handle probe drugs, individual administration of a specific probe targeting one CYP enzyme and simultaneous administration of multiple probes targeting multiple enzymes at one trial session. The later method is so-called "cocktail" strategy.

The cocktail of probe drugs have been used to explore the activities of multiple CYPs (Frye et al., 1997; Adedoyin et al., 1998; Dierks et al., 2001) and could provide information on several metabolism pathways in a single session of clinical trial, which minimizes the complicating influence of intra-individual variability over time. For example, alprazolam and caffeine can be administered simultaneously for the assessment of in vivo CYP3A4 and 1A2 activity, respectively (Schmider et al., 1999). A cocktail, including probe drugs caffeine, chlorzoxazone, mephenytoin, metoprolol, and midazolam administered simultaneously has effectively phenotyped CYP1A2, 2E1, 2C19, 2D6, and 3A4, respectively, in humans (Zhu et al., 2001). Similarly, a cocktail containing tolbutamide (CYP2C9), caffeine (CYP1A2), dextromethorphan (CYP2D6), oral midazolam (intestinal wall and hepatic CYP3A), and intravenous midazolam (hepatic CYP3A) have been used to investigate the effects of St John's wort on the activities of various CYPs in humans (Wang et al., 2001).

However, the value of the "cocktail" approach may be limited due to marked intrasubject variability and the possibility of interaction between the coadministered probes. Palmer *et al.* (2001) (Palmer et al., 2001) reported that chlorzoxazone significantly altered the pharmacokinetics of oral midazolam, perhaps through inhibition of first-pass metabolism by

CYP3A in the intestine. However, since Streetman *et al.* (Streetman et al., 2000b) have validated a 4-drug cocktail (caffeine, dextromethorphan, omeprazole and midazolam), a modified "Cooperstown 5+1" cocktail (add warfarin plus vitamin K1) (Chainuvati et al., 2003) have been wildly applied in clinical trials for drug interaction studies, which minimized the interactions among the probe drugs and succeeded to study drug interactions with CYP1A2, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6 and 3A4, e.g. the evaluation of the drug interaction potential of a triphasic oral contraceptive and aplaviroc (a novel human immunodeficiency virus entry inhibitor) (Shelepova et al., 2005; Johnson et al., 2006).

## 1.7 Hypothesis and General Aims

There is increasing evidence that modulation of CYPs is the major cause of a number of drug-drug and herb-drug interactions. However, little is known about the interaction of ligands (substrates and inhibitors) with CYPs at molecular levels. It is still unclear how activities of specific CYPs are influenced by the presence of most herb medicines in body system. We hypothesize that the atom-atom interactions in the residues of the ligand and CYPs are the basis on which the substrate and inhibitor specificity is determined for individual CYPs.

To test our hypothesis, we attempted to: a) conduct *in vitro* inhibitory studies of human CYPs by herbal compounds; b) to extrapolate the *in vitro* data to *in vivo* situations; and c) to explore the ligand-CYP1A2 interactions using docking and pharmacophore modelling studies. The data arising from this project have important clinical and toxicological implications.

Family 1         Adds         Column         Adds         Column         Family 3           CYP1A1         15q22-q24         Xenobiotics         512         7         25           CYP1A2         15q24         Xenobiotics         516         7         31           CYP1B1         2p21         sterols         543         3         30           Family 2          CYP2A6         19q13.2         Xenobiotics         494         9         37           CYP2A6         19q13.2         Unknown         494         9         18         CYP2A13         19q13.2         Xenobiotics         494         9         11           CYP2B6         19q13.2         Xenobiotics         491         9         32         CYP2C18         10q24.3         Xenobiotics         490         9         14           CYP2C9         10q24         Xenobiotics         490         9         9         14           CYP2C19         10q24q24.3         Xenobiotics         490         9         10         7           CYP2C19         10q24q24.3         Xenobiotics         493         9         19         19           CYP2C19         10q24q24.3         Xenobiotics<
$\begin{array}{c} CYPIAI & 15q22-q24 & Xenobiotics & 512 & 7 & 25 \\ CYP1A2 & 15q24 & Xenobiotics & 516 & 7 & 31 \\ \hline \\ Xenobiotics & Xenobiotics & 543 & 3 & 30 \\ \hline \\ Family 2 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $
CYP1A215q24Xenobiotics Xenobiotics, Xenobiotics,516731CYP1B12p21sterols543330Family 2sterols543330CYP2A619q13.2Xenobiotics494937CYP2A719q13.2Unknown494911CYP2A719q13.2Xenobiotics490932CYP2A710q23.33Xenobiotics490914CYP2C810q23.33Xenobiotics49099CYP2C910q24Xenobiotics49099CYP2C910q24Xenobiotics49099CYP2C910q24.1-q24.3Xenobiotics49099CYP2D622q13.1Xenobiotics497952CYP2L110q24.3-qterXenobiotics493910CYP2D622q13.1Xenobiotics491107CYP2L119q13.2Xenobiotics49195CYP2L119q13.1Unknown50121CYP2S119q13.1Unknown5031332CYP2U4425Unknown5031332CYP3A77q21.1Xenobiotics503135CYP3A77q21.1Xenobiotics503135CYP3A77q21.1Xenobiotics503135CYP3A77q21.1Xenobiotics50313
Xenobiotics, family 2         Xenobiotics         543         3           CYP2A7         19q13.2         Xenobiotics         494         9         37           CYP2A7         19q13.2         Unknown         494         9         18           CYP2A7         19q13.2         Unknown         494         9         18           CYP2A7         19q13.2         Xenobiotics         491         9         32           CYP2B6         19q13.2         Xenobiotics         490         9         14           CYP2C8         10q24.1         Xenobiotics         490         9         31           CYP2C9         10q24.1-q24.3         Xenobiotics         490         9         31           CYP2C19         10q24.1-q24.3         Xenobiotics         493         9         19           CYP2D6         22q13.1         Xenobiotics         493         9         10           CYP2D1         10q24.3-qter         Xenobiotics         493         9         10           CYP2D2         1p31.3-p31.2         Fatty acids         502         9         10           CYP2D1         19q13.1         Unknown         504         9         2           CY
CYP1B1         2p21         sterols         543         3         30           Family 2
Family 2 $CYP2A6$ 19q13.2Xenobiotics494937 $CYP2A7$ 19q13.2Unknown494918 $CYP2A13$ 19q13.2Xenobiotics494911 $CYP2B6$ 19q13.2Xenobiotics491932 $CYP2C8$ 10q23.33Xenobiotics490914 $CYP2C9$ 10q24Xenobiotics49099 $CYP2C9$ 10q24.1-q24.3Xenobiotics490931 $CYP2D6$ 22q13.1Xenobiotics490952 $CYP2D6$ 22q13.1Xenobiotics493919 $CYP2D6$ 19q13.2Xenobiotics491107 $CYP2L1$ 10q24.3-qterXenobiotics491107 $CYP2L1$ 10q24.3-qterXenobiotics491107 $CYP2L1$ 10q24.3-qterXenobiotics491107 $CYP2L1$ 10q24.3-qterXenobiotics491107 $CYP2L1$ 10q24.3-qterXenobiotics502910 $CYP2L1$ 10q24.3-qterVitamins50121 $CYP2L1$ 10q24.3-qterVitamins50121 $CYP2L1$ 10q24.3-qterVitamins50121 $CYP2L1$ 10q13.1Unknown50495 $CYP2L1$ 10q25Unknown5031335 $CYP3A5$ 7q21.1Xenobiotics503135
CYP2A619q13.2Xenobiotics494937CYP2A719q13.2Unknown494918CYP2A1319q13.2Xenobiotics494911CYP2A619q13.2Xenobiotics491932CYP2C810q23.33Xenobiotics490914CYP2C910q24Xenobiotics490928CYP2C910q24.1-q24.3Xenobiotics490931CYP2D622q13.1Xenobiotics490952CYP2D622q13.1Xenobiotics493919CYP2L110q24.3-qterXenobiotics493919CYP2L210q13.2Katobiotics491107CYP2L110q24.3-qterXenobiotics493910CYP2L110q24.3-qterXenobiotics493910CYP2L110q13.2Vatanins50121CYP2L110q13.1Unknown50495CYP2V17p22.3Unknown54450CYP3A47q21.1Xenobiotics5031332CYP3A57q21.1Xenobiotics503135CYP3A77q21.1Vanown503135CYP3A77q21.1Unknown503135CYP3A77q21.1Unknown519127CYP4A211p33Unknown519127CYP4
CYP2A719q13.2Unknown494918CYP2A1319q13.2Xenobiotics494911CYP2B619q13.2Xenobiotics491932CYP2C810q23.33Xenobiotics490914CYP2C910q24Xenobiotics490928CYP2C1910q24.1-q24.3Xenobiotics49099CYP2C1910q24.1-q24.3Xenobiotics49099CYP2C1910q24.3-qterXenobiotics497952CYP2C1110q24.3-qterXenobiotics493919CYP2D622q13.1Xenobiotics491107CYP21119q13.2Kenobiotics491107CYP2121p31.3-p31.2Fatty acids502910CYP21119q13.1Unknown50495CYP21119q13.1Unknown50495CYP2114q25Unknown5031332CYP3A47q21.1Xenobiotics503135CYP3A57q21.1Xenobiotics503135CYP3A437q21.1Unknown519127CYP3A437q21.1Unknown519127CYP4A111p33Fatty acids519127CYP4A111p31.1Unknown5191215CYP4F1119p13.1Unknown5111218
CYP2A1319q13.2Xenobiotics494911 $CYP2B6$ 19q13.2Xenobiotics491932 $CYP2C8$ 10q23.33Xenobiotics490914 $CYP2C9$ 10q24Xenobiotics490928 $CYP2C19$ 10q24.1-q24.3Xenobiotics49099 $CYP2C19$ 10q24.1-q24.3Xenobiotics49099 $CYP2D6$ 22q13.1Xenobiotics497952 $CYP2D6$ 22q13.1Xenobiotics493919 $CYP2L1$ 10q24.3-qterXenobiotics491107 $CYP2L2$ 1p31.3-p31.2Fatty acids502910 $CYP2R1$ 11p15.2Vitamins50121 $CYP2R1$ 11p15.2Vitamins50121 $CYP2R1$ 19q13.1Unknown50495 $CYP2N1$ 4q25Unknown54450Family 3 $CYP3A5$ 7q21.1Xenobiotics503135 $CYP3A4$ 7q21.1Venobiotics503135 $CYP3A43$ 7q21.1Unknown503135 $CYP4A11$ 1p33Fatty acids519127 $CYP4A12$ 1p33Unknown5191215 $CYP4F12$ 1p31.1Eicosanoids5201311 $CYP4F22$ 19p13.1Fatty acids511125 $CYP4F22$ 19p13.1 </td
CYP2B619q13.2Xenobiotics491932CYP2C810q23.33Xenobiotics490914CYP2C910q24Xenobiotics490928CYP2C1910q24.1-q24.3Xenobiotics49099CYP2C1910q24.1-q24.3Xenobiotics490931CYP2D622q13.1Xenobiotics497952CYP2L110q24.3-qterXenobiotics493919CYP2L110q24.3-qterXenobiotics491107CYP2L119q13.2Fatty acids502910CYP2L119j1.3-p31.2Fatty acids50121CYP2S119q13.1Unknown50495CYP2U14q25Unknown49092CYP2U14q25Unknown5031332CYP3A77q21.1Xenobiotics503135CYP3A77q21.1Xenobiotics503135CYP3A437q21.1Unknown503135CYP4A111p33Fatty acids519127CYP4B11p34-p12Fatty acids5111218CYP4F1119p13.1Unknown524125CYP4F1219p13.1Fatty acids5201311CYP4F219p13.1Eicosanoids5201311CYP4F319p13.2Eicosanoids520136
CYP2C8 $10q23.33$ Xenobiotics $490$ $9$ $14$ CYP2C9 $10q24$ Xenobiotics $490$ $9$ $28$ CYP2C18 $10q24$ Xenobiotics $490$ $9$ $9$ CYP2C19 $10q24.1-q24.3$ Xenobiotics $490$ $9$ $31$ CYP2C6 $22q13.1$ Xenobiotics $497$ $9$ $52$ CYP2L1 $10q24.3-qter$ Xenobiotics $497$ $9$ $52$ CYP2L1 $10q24.3-qter$ Xenobiotics $491$ $10$ $7$ CYP2L1 $19q13.2$ Xenobiotics $491$ $10$ $7$ CYP2L1 $19q13.2$ Vanobiotics $491$ $10$ $7$ CYP2L1 $11p15.2$ Vitamins $501$ $2$ $1$ CYP2R1 $11p15.2$ Vitamins $501$ $2$ $1$ CYP2S1 $19q13.1$ Unknown $544$ $5$ $0$ Family 3CYP3A4 $7q21.1$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $32$ CYP3A5 $7q21.1$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $5$ CYP3A5 $7q21.1$ Unknown $503$ $13$ $5$ CYP3A5 $7q21.1$ Unknown $519$ $12$ $7$ CYP4A11 $1p33$ Fatty acids $519$ $12$ $7$ CYP4F11 $1p34-p12$ Fatty acids $511$ $12$ $15$ CYP4F11 $1p31.1$ Unknown $524$ $13$ $11$ CYP4F11 $1p913.1$ Eicosanoids $520$ $13$ $11$ CY
CYP2C9 $10q24$ Xenobiotics $490$ $9$ $28$ $CYP2C18$ $10q24$ Xenobiotics $490$ $9$ $9$ $CYP2C19$ $10q24.1-q24.3$ Xenobiotics $490$ $9$ $31$ $CYP2C19$ $10q24.1-q24.3$ Xenobiotics $497$ $9$ $52$ $CYP2L6$ $22q13.1$ Xenobiotics $493$ $9$ $19$ $CYP2L1$ $10q24.3-qter$ Xenobiotics $493$ $9$ $19$ $CYP2I1$ $10q24.3-qter$ Xenobiotics $491$ $10$ $7$ $CYP2I1$ $1pq13.2$ Xenobiotics $491$ $10$ $7$ $CYP2I1$ $1pq13.2$ Yeathy acids $502$ $9$ $10$ $CYP2I1$ $11p15.2$ Vitamins $501$ $2$ $1$ $CYP2I1$ $19q13.1$ Unknown $544$ $5$ $0$ $CYP2I1$ $4q25$ Unknown $544$ $5$ $0$ $Family 3$ $     CYP3A4$ $7q21.1$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $32$ $CYP3A7$ $7q21.4$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $5$ $CYP3A43$ $7q21.1$ Venobiotics $503$ $13$ $5$ $Family 4$ $     CYP4A11$ $1p33$ Fatty acids $519$ $12$ $7$ $CYP4F11$ $1p34-p12$ Fatty acids $511$ $12$ $18$ $CYP4F11$ $1p31.1$ Unknown $524$ $13$ $11$ <t< td=""></t<>
CYP2C18 $10q24$ Xenobiotics $490$ $9$ $9$ $CYP2C19$ $10q24.1-q24.3$ Xenobiotics $490$ $9$ $31$ $CYP2D6$ $22q13.1$ Xenobiotics $497$ $9$ $52$ $CYP2E1$ $10q24.3-qter$ Xenobiotics $493$ $9$ $19$ $CYP2E1$ $10q24.3-qter$ Xenobiotics $491$ $10$ $7$ $CYP2I7$ $1p31.3-p31.2$ Fatty acids $502$ $9$ $10$ $CYP2J2$ $1p31.3-p31.2$ Fatty acids $502$ $9$ $10$ $CYP2J1$ $1p15.2$ Vitamins $501$ $2$ $1$ $CYP2S1$ $19q13.1$ Unknown $504$ $9$ $5$ $CYP2W1$ $7p22.3$ Unknown $490$ $9$ $2$ $CYP2A4$ $7q21.1$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $32$ $CYP3A5$ $7q21.1$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $5$ $CYP3A7$ $7q21-q22.1$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $5$ $CYP3A7$ $7q21-q22.1$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $5$ $CYP3A7$ $7q21.1$ Unknown $503$ $13$ $5$ $Family 4$ $     CYP4A11$ $1p34-p12$ Fatty acids $519$ $12$ $7$ $CYP4B1$ $1p34-p12$ Fatty acids $511$ $12$ $18$ $CYP4F12$ $19p13.1$ Unknown $524$ $13$ $11$ $CYP4F12$ $19p13.1$ Eicosanoids $520$ $13$ </td
CYP2C19 $10q24.1-q24.3$ Xenobiotics $490$ $9$ $31$ $CYP2D6$ $22q13.1$ Xenobiotics $497$ $9$ $52$ $CYP2E1$ $10q24.3-qter$ Xenobiotics $493$ $9$ $19$ $CYP2F1$ $19q13.2$ Xenobiotics $491$ $10$ $7$ $CYP2I$ $1p31.3-p31.2$ Fatty acids $502$ $9$ $10$ $CYP2I1$ $11p15.2$ Vitamins $501$ $2$ $1$ $CYP2S1$ $19q13.1$ Unknown $504$ $9$ $5$ $CYP2W1$ $7p22.3$ Unknown $490$ $9$ $2$ $CYP2W1$ $7p22.3$ Unknown $544$ $5$ $0$ Family 3 $     CYP3A4$ $7q21.1$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $32$ $CYP3A5$ $7q21.1$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $5$ $CYP3A7$ $7q21-q22.1$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $5$ $CYP4A11$ $1p33$ Fatty acids $519$ $12$ $7$ $CYP4A11$ $1p34-p12$ Fatty acids $511$ $12$ $18$ $CYP4F11$ $1p34-p12$ Fatty acids $511$ $12$ $18$ $CYP4F12$ $19p13.1$ Unknown $524$ $13$ $11$ $CYP4F2$ $19p13.1$ Eicosanoids $520$ $13$ $6$ $CYP4F2$ $19p13.1$ Eicosanoids $520$ $13$ $6$ $CYP4F3$ $19p13.2$ Eicosanoids $520$ $13$ $3$
CYP2D6 $22q13.1$ Xenobiotics $497$ $9$ $52$ $CYP2E1$ $10q24.3$ -qterXenobiotics $493$ $9$ $19$ $CYP2F1$ $19q13.2$ Xenobiotics $491$ $10$ $7$ $CYP2J2$ $1p31.3$ - $p31.2$ Fatty acids $502$ $9$ $10$ $CYP2J2$ $1p31.3$ - $p31.2$ Fatty acids $502$ $9$ $10$ $CYP2J2$ $1p31.3$ - $p31.2$ Vitamins $501$ $2$ $1$ $CYP2J2$ $19q13.1$ Unknown $504$ $9$ $5$ $CYP2W1$ $7p22.3$ Unknown $490$ $9$ $2$ $CYP2W1$ $4q25$ Unknown $544$ $5$ $0$ Family 3 $CYP3A4$ $7q21.1$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $32$ $CYP3A5$ $7q21.1$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $5$ $CYP3A7$ $7q21-q22.1$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $5$ $CYP3A43$ $7q21.1$ Unknown $503$ $13$ $5$ $Family 4$ $V$ $V$ $V$ $V$ $12$ $7$ $CYP4A11$ $1p33$ Fatty acids $519$ $12$ $7$ $CYP4B1$ $1p34-p12$ Fatty acids $511$ $12$ $18$ $CYP4F11$ $19p13.1$ Unknown $524$ $13$ $11$ $CYP4F2$ $19p13.1$ Fatty acids $520$ $13$ $11$ $CYP4F2$ $19p13.12$ Unknown $531$ $14$ $2$ $CYP4F3$ $19p13.1$ Eicosanoids $520$
CYP2EI $10q24.3$ -qterXenobiotics $493$ $9$ $19$ $CYP2FI$ $19q13.2$ Xenobiotics $491$ $10$ $7$ $CYP2J2$ $1p31.3$ - $p31.2$ Fatty acids $502$ $9$ $10$ $CYP2J2$ $1p31.3$ - $p31.2$ Fatty acids $502$ $9$ $10$ $CYP2J1$ $11p15.2$ Vitamins $501$ $2$ $1$ $CYP2SI$ $19q13.1$ Unknown $504$ $9$ $5$ $CYP2WI$ $7p22.3$ Unknown $490$ $9$ $2$ $CYP2UI$ $4q25$ Unknown $544$ $5$ $0$ Family 3 $     CYP3A4$ $7q21.1$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $32$ $CYP3A5$ $7q21.1$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $5$ $CYP3A43$ $7q21.1$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $5$ $CYP3A43$ $7q21.1$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $5$ $CYP4A11$ $1p33$ Fatty acids $519$ $12$ $7$ $CYP4A11$ $1p33$ Fatty acids $511$ $12$ $18$ $CYP4F11$ $19p13.1$ Unknown $524$ $13$ $11$ $CYP4F2$ $19p13.1$ Fatty acids $520$ $13$ $11$ $CYP4F2$ $19p13.12$ Unknown $531$ $14$ $2$ $CYP4F3$ $19p13.2$ Eicosanoids $520$ $13$ $6$ $CYP4F8$ $19p13.1$ Eicosanoids $520$ $13$ $3$
CYP2FI19q13.2Xenobiotics491107 $CYP2J2$ 1p31.3-p31.2Fatty acids502910 $CYP2J2$ 11p15.2Vitamins50121 $CYP2SI$ 19q13.1Unknown50495 $CYP2WI$ 7p22.3Unknown49092 $CYP2UI$ 4q25Unknown54450Family 3 $$
CYP2J2 $1p31.3-p31.2$ Fatty acids $502$ $9$ $10$ $CYP2RI$ $11p15.2$ Vitamins $501$ $2$ $1$ $CYP2SI$ $19q13.1$ Unknown $504$ $9$ $5$ $CYP2WI$ $7p22.3$ Unknown $490$ $9$ $2$ $CYP2UI$ $4q25$ Unknown $544$ $5$ $0$ Family 3 $     CYP3A4$ $7q21.1$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $32$ $CYP3A5$ $7q21.1$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $5$ $CYP3A7$ $7q21-q22.1$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $5$ $CYP3A43$ $7q21.1$ Unknown $503$ $13$ $5$ $CYP4A11$ $1p33$ Fatty acids $519$ $12$ $7$ $CYP4A22$ $1p33$ Unknown $519$ $12$ $15$ $CYP4F11$ $1p13.1$ Unknown $524$ $12$ $5$ $CYP4F12$ $1p13.1$ Fatty acids $520$ $13$ $11$ $CYP4F2$ $1p13.12$ Unknown $531$ $14$ $2$ $CYP4F3$ $1p13.2$ Eicosanoids $520$ $13$ $6$ $CYP4F8$ $1p13.1$ Eicosanoids $520$ $13$ $6$
CYP2RI11p15.2Vitamins50121 $CYP2SI$ 19q13.1Unknown50495 $CYP2WI$ 7p22.3Unknown49092 $CYP2UI$ 4q25Unknown54450Family 3 $CYP3A4$ 7q21.1Xenobiotics5031332 $CYP3A5$ 7q21.1Xenobiotics5021315 $CYP3A7$ 7q21-q22.1Xenobiotics503135 $CYP3A43$ 7q21.1Unknown503135 $CYP3A43$ 7q21.1Unknown503135 $CYP3A43$ 7q21.1Unknown503135 $CYP3A43$ 7q21.1Unknown503135 $CYP4A11$ 1p33Fatty acids519127 $CYP4A22$ 1p33Unknown5191215 $CYP4F11$ 19p13.1Unknown524125 $CYP4F12$ 19p13.1Fatty acids5201311 $CYP4F22$ 19p13.12Unknown531142 $CYP4F3$ 19p13.2Eicosanoids520136 $CYP4F8$ 19p13.1Eicosanoids520133
CYP2S1         19q13.1         Unknown         504         9         5           CYP2W1         7p22.3         Unknown         490         9         2           CYP2U1         4q25         Unknown         544         5         0           Family 3         CYP3A4         7q21.1         Xenobiotics         502         13         15           CYP3A5         7q21.1         Xenobiotics         503         13         5           CYP3A7         7q21-q22.1         Xenobiotics         503         13         5           CYP3A7         7q21-q22.1         Xenobiotics         503         13         5           CYP3A7         7q21-q22.1         Xenobiotics         503         13         5           CYP3A43         7q21.1         Unknown         503         13         5           Family 4         C         C         CYP4A11         1p33         Fatty acids         519         12         7           CYP4A11         1p33         Unknown         519         12         15         9           CYP4A11         1p34-p12         Fatty acids         511         12         18           CYP4F11         19p13.1 <th< td=""></th<>
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
CYP2U1       4q25       Unknown       544       5       0         Family 3       CYP3A4       7q21.1       Xenobiotics       503       13       32         CYP3A4       7q21.1       Xenobiotics       502       13       15         CYP3A5       7q21.1       Xenobiotics       503       13       55         CYP3A7       7q21-q22.1       Xenobiotics       503       13       5         CYP3A43       7q21.1       Unknown       503       13       5         CYP3A43       7q21.1       Unknown       503       13       5         CYP3A43       7q21.1       Unknown       503       13       5         Family 4       C       C       C       7       7         CYP4A11       1p33       Fatty acids       519       12       7         CYP4A22       1p33       Unknown       519       12       15         CYP4B1       1p34-p12       Fatty acids       511       12       18         CYP4F12       19p13.1       Fatty acids       524       13       11         CYP4F2       19p13.12       Unknown       531       14       2         C
Family 3CYP3A47q21.1Xenobiotics5031332CYP3A57q21.1Xenobiotics5021315CYP3A77q21-q22.1Xenobiotics503135CYP3A437q21.1Unknown503135Family 47CYP4A221p33Fatty acids519127CYP4B11p34-p12Fatty acids5111218CYP4F1119p13.1Unknown524125CYP4F219pter-p13.11Eicosanoids5201311CYP4F319p13.2Eicosanoids520136CYP4F819p13.1Eicosanoids520133
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
CYP3A5 $7q21.1$ Xenobiotics $502$ $13$ $15$ $CYP3A7$ $7q21-q22.1$ Xenobiotics $503$ $13$ $5$ $CYP3A43$ $7q21.1$ Unknown $503$ $13$ $5$ Family 4 $CYP4A11$ $1p33$ Fatty acids $519$ $12$ $7$ $CYP4A22$ $1p33$ Unknown $519$ $12$ $15$ $CYP4B1$ $1p34-p12$ Fatty acids $511$ $12$ $18$ $CYP4F11$ $19p13.1$ Unknown $524$ $12$ $5$ $CYP4F2$ $19p13.1$ Fatty acids $524$ $13$ $11$ $CYP4F2$ $19pter-p13.11$ Eicosanoids $520$ $13$ $11$ $CYP4F3$ $19p13.2$ Eicosanoids $520$ $13$ $6$ $CYP4F8$ $19p13.1$ Eicosanoids $520$ $13$ $3$
CYP3A77q21-q22.1Xenobiotics503135CYP3A437q21.1Unknown503135Family 4CYP4A111p33Fatty acids519127CYP4A221p33Unknown5191215CYP4B11p34-p12Fatty acids5111218CYP4F1119p13.1Unknown524125CYP4F1219p13.1Fatty acids5241311CYP4F219pter-p13.11Eicosanoids5201311CYP4F319p13.2Eicosanoids520136CYP4F819p13.1Eicosanoids520133
CYP3A43       7q21.1       Unknown       503       13       5         Family 4       .       .       .       .       .         CYP4A11       1p33       Fatty acids       519       12       7         CYP4A22       1p33       Unknown       519       12       15         CYP4B1       1p34-p12       Fatty acids       511       12       18         CYP4F11       19p13.1       Unknown       524       12       5         CYP4F12       19p13.1       Fatty acids       524       13       11         CYP4F2       19pter-p13.11       Eicosanoids       520       13       11         CYP4F3       19p13.2       Eicosanoids       520       13       6         CYP4F8       19p13.1       Eicosanoids       520       13       3
Family 4CYP4A111p33Fatty acids519127CYP4A221p33Unknown5191215CYP4B11p34-p12Fatty acids5111218CYP4F1119p13.1Unknown524125CYP4F1219p13.1Fatty acids5241311CYP4F219pter-p13.11Eicosanoids5201311CYP4F2219p13.12Unknown531142CYP4F319p13.2Eicosanoids520136CYP4F819p13.1Eicosanoids520133
CYP4A111p33Fatty acids519127CYP4A221p33Unknown5191215CYP4B11p34-p12Fatty acids5111218CYP4F1119p13.1Unknown524125CYP4F1219p13.1Fatty acids5241311CYP4F219pter-p13.11Eicosanoids5201311CYP4F2219p13.12Unknown531142CYP4F319p13.2Eicosanoids520136CYP4F819p13.1Eicosanoids520133
CYP4A221p33Unknown5191215CYP4B11p34-p12Fatty acids5111218CYP4F1119p13.1Unknown524125CYP4F1219p13.1Fatty acids5241311CYP4F219pter-p13.11Eicosanoids5201311CYP4F2219p13.12Unknown531142CYP4F319p13.2Eicosanoids520136CYP4F819p13.1Eicosanoids520133
CYP4B11p34-p12Fatty acids5111218CYP4F1119p13.1Unknown524125CYP4F1219p13.1Fatty acids5241311CYP4F219pter-p13.11Eicosanoids5201311CYP4F2219p13.12Unknown531142CYP4F319p13.2Eicosanoids520136CYP4F819p13.1Eicosanoids520133
CYP4F1119p13.1Unknown524125CYP4F1219p13.1Fatty acids5241311CYP4F219pter-p13.11Eicosanoids5201311CYP4F2219p13.12Unknown531142CYP4F319p13.2Eicosanoids520136CYP4F819p13.1Eicosanoids520133
CYP4F1219p13.1Fatty acids5241311CYP4F219pter-p13.11Eicosanoids5201311CYP4F2219p13.12Unknown531142CYP4F319p13.2Eicosanoids520136CYP4F819p13.1Eicosanoids520133
CYP4F219pter-p13.11Eicosanoids5201311CYP4F2219p13.12Unknown531142CYP4F319p13.2Eicosanoids520136CYP4F819p13.1Eicosanoids520133
CYP4F2219p13.12Unknown531142CYP4F319p13.2Eicosanoids520136CYP4F819p13.1Eicosanoids520133
CYP4F319p13.2Eicosanoids520136CYP4F819p13.1Eicosanoids520133
<i>CYP4F8</i> 19p13.1 Eicosanoids 520 13 3
<i>CYP4V2</i> 4a35.2 Unknown 525 11 15
CYP4XI 1p33 Unknown 509 12 1
<i>CYP4ZI</i> 1p33 Unknown 505 12 0
Family 5
CYP5A1 7a34-a35 Eicosanoids 534 13 23
Family 7
$CYP7AI \qquad 8a11-a12 \qquad \text{Sterols} \qquad 504 \qquad 6 \qquad 2$
$CYP7B1 \qquad 8a21.3 \qquad Sterols \qquad 506 \qquad 6 \qquad 1$
Family 8
CYP8AI = 20a1313 = Eicosanoids = 500 = 10 = 14
$CYP8B1 \qquad 3n22-n21 3 \qquad Sterols \qquad 500 \qquad 10 \qquad 14$
Family 11
$CYP11A1 = 15a23-a24 \qquad \text{Sterols} \qquad 521 \qquad 6 \qquad 10$
$CYP11B1 \qquad 8a21 \qquad Sterols \qquad 503 \qquad 9 \qquad 26$
$CYP11B2 \qquad 8a21-a22 \qquad Sterols \qquad 503 \qquad 9 \qquad 20$

 Table 1-1.
 List of human CYP genes and their non-synonymous SNPs.

Family 17					
CYP17A1	10q24.3	Sterols	508	8	31
Family 19					
CYP19A1	15q21.1	Sterols	503	10	13
Family 20					
CYP20A1	2q33.2	Unknown	462	13	4
Family 21					
CYP21A2	6p21.3	Sterols	495	10	68
Family 24					
CYP24A1	20q13	Vitamins	514	12	4
Family 26					
CYP26A1	10q23-q24	Vitamins	497	7	3
CYP26B1	2p13.3	Vitamins	512	6	3
CYP26C1	10q23.33	Vitamins	522	4	3
Family 27					
CYP27A1	2q33-qter	Sterols	531	8	15
CYP27B1	12q13.1-q13.3	Vitamins	508	9	22
<i>CYP27C1</i>	2q14.3	Unknown	372	8	1
Family 39					
CYP39A1	6p21.1-p11.2	Sterols	469	12	7
Family 46					
CYP46A1	14q32.1	Sterols	500	15	0
Family 51					
CYP51A1	7q21.2-q21.3	Sterols	509	10	3

Data are from Wang *et al.* (2009).

Acetaminophen	Substrates	Inhibitors	T 1				
Acetaminophen		Substrates Inhibitors Inducers					
	Olanzapine	Amiodarone	Broccoli				
Amitriptyline	Ondansetron	Cimetidine	Brussel sprouts				
Caffeine*	Phenacetin*	Ciprofloxacin**	Char-grilled meat				
Clomipramine	Propranolol	Fluvoxamine**	Insulin				
Clozapine	Riluzole	Furafylline**	Methylcholanthrene				
Cyclobenzaprine	Ropivacaine	Interferon	Modafinil				
Estradiol	Tacrine	Methoxsalen	Nafcillin				
Fluvoxamine	Theophylline	Mibefradil	β-Naphthoflavone				
Haloperidol	Tizanidine		Omeprazole				
Imipramine	<i>R</i> -Warfarin		Tobacco				
Melatonin*	Verapamil						
Mexiletine	Zileuton						
Naproxen	Zolmitriptan						
	Caffeine* Clomipramine Clozapine Cyclobenzaprine Estradiol Fluvoxamine Haloperidol Imipramine Melatonin* Mexiletine Naproxen	Caffeine*Phenacetin*ClomipraminePropranololClozapineRiluzoleCyclobenzaprineRopivacaineEstradiolTacrineFluvoxamineTheophyllineHaloperidolTizanidineImipramine <i>R</i> -WarfarinMelatonin*VerapamilMaproxenZolmitriptan	Caffeine*Phenacetin*Ciprofloxacin**ClomipraminePropranololFluvoxamine**ClozapineRiluzoleFurafylline**CyclobenzaprineRopivacaineInterferonEstradiolTacrineMethoxsalenFluvoxamineTheophyllineMibefradilHaloperidolTizanidineImipramine <i>R</i> -WarfarinMetatonin*VerapamilMexiletineZileutonNaproxenZolmitriptan				

Table 1-2. The major substrates, inhibitors and inducers for the major drug metabolizing CYPs.

	Sul	ostrates	Inhibitors	Inducers			
1	Amitriptyline	Losartan	Amiodarone	Rifampin			
2	Celecoxib	Lornoxicam	Fenofibrate	Secobarbital			
3	Diclofenac*	Meloxicam	Fluconazole				
4	Fluoxetine	Nateglinide	Fluvastatin				
5	Flurbiprofen*	Phenytoin (4'-OH)	Fluvoxamine				
6	Fluvastatin	Piroxicam	Isoniazid				
7	Glimepiride	Rosiglitazone	Lovastatin				
8	Glipizide	S-Naproxen	Phenylbutazone				
9	Glipizide	Suprofen	Probenicid				
10	Glyburide	S-Warfarin*	Sertraline				
11	Glyburide/Glibenclamide	Tamoxifen	Sulfamethoxazole				
12	Ibuprofen	Tolbutamide*	Sulfaphenazole**				
13	Irbesartan	Torsemide	Teniposide				
14			Voriconazole				
15			Zafirlukast				

	CYP2C19					
		Substrates	Inhibitors	Inducers		
1	Amitriptyline	Nelfinavir	Chloramphenicol	Carbamazepine		
2	Carisoprodol	Nilutamide	Cimetidine	Norethindrone		
3	Chloramphenicol	Omeprazole*	Felbamate	Prednisone		
4	Citalopram	Pantoprazole	Fluoxetine	Rifampin		
5	Clomipramine	Phenobarbitone	Fluvoxamine			
6	Clopidogrel	Phenytoin	Indomethacin			
7	Cyclophosphamide	Primidone	Ketoconazole			
8	Diazepam	Progesterone	Modafinil			
9	E-3810	Proguanil	Oxcarbazepine			
10	Hexobarbital	Propranolol	Probenicid			
11	Imipramine N-demethylation	Rabeprazole	Ticlopidine			
12	Indomethacin	<i>R</i> -Mephobarbital	Topiramate			
13	Lansoprazole	R-Warfarin (8-OH)	Lansoprazole			
14	Moclobemide	S-Mephenytoin*	Omeprazole			
15		Teniposide	Pantoprazole			
16			Rabeprazole			
		CYP2D	06			
	Substrates Inhibitors Inducers					

1	Alprenolol	Metoclopramide		Amiodarone	Dexamethasone
2	Amitriptyline	Mexilletine	-	Bupropion	Rifampin
3	Amphetamine	Minaprine		Celecoxib	-
4	Aripiprazole	Nebivolol		Chlorpheniramine	
5	Atomoxetine	Nortriptyline		Chlorpromazine	
6	Bufuralol*	Ondansetron		Cimetidine	
7	Carvedilol	Oxycodone		Cinacalcet	
8	Chlorpheniramine	Paroxetine		Citalopram	
9	Chlorpromazine	Perhexiline		Clemastine	
10	Clomipramine (Antidepres)	Perphenazine		Clomipramine	
11	Codeine ( <i>O</i> -demethylation)	Phenacetin		Cocaine	
12	Debrisoquine*	Phenformin	-	Diphenhydramine	
13	Desipramine	Promethazine	-	Doxepin	
14	Dexfenfluramine	Propafenone	-	Doxorubicin	
15	Dextromethorphan*	Propranolol	-	Duloxetine	
16	Duloxetine	Risperidone	-	Escitalopram	
17	Encainide	S-Metoprolol	-	Fluoxetine	
18	Flecainide	Sparteine		Goldenseal	
19	Fluoxetine	Tamoxifen	-	Halofantrine	
20	Fluvoxamine	Thioridazine	-	Hydroxyzine	
21	Haloperidol	Timolol		Levomepromazine	
22	Imipramine	Tramadol		Methadone	
23	Lidocaine	Venlafaxine	-	Metoclopramide	
24	Methoxyamphetamine	Zuclopenthixol		Mibefradil	
25				Midodrine	
26				Moclobemide	
27				Paroxetine	
28				Perphenazine	
29				Quinidine**	
30				Ranitidine	
31				Red-Haloperidol	
32				Ritonavir	
33				Sertraline	
34				Terbinafine	
35				Ticlopidine	
36				Tripelennamine	

CYP2E	1
-	

		Substrates	Inhibitors	Inducers
1	Acetaminophen	Halothane	Diethyl-Dithiocarba mate	Ethanol
2	Aniline	Isoflurane	Disulfiram	Isoniazid
3	Benzene	Methoxyflurane		
4	Chlorzoxazone	N, N-Dimethylformamide		
5	Enflurane	Sevoflurane		
6	Ethanol	Theophylline		
		CYP3A4		
		Substrates	Inhibitors	Inducers
1	Alfentanyl	Lidocaine	Amiodarone	Barbiturates
2	Alprazolam	Lovastatin	Aprepitant	Carbamazepine
3	Amlodipine	Methadone	Chloramphenicol	Efavirenz
4	Aprepitant	Midazolam*	Cimetidine	Efavirenz
5	Aripiprazole	Nateglinide	Clarithromycin	Glucocorticoids

6

Delaviridine

Modafinil

Nelfinavir

7	Atorvastatin	Nifedipine*	Diethyl-dithiocarbam ate	Nevirapine
8	Buspirone	Nisoldipine	Diltiazem	Nevirapine
9	Cafergot	Nitrendipine	Erythromycin	Oxcarbazepine
10	Caffeine	NOT Azithromycin	Fluconazole	Phenobarbital
11	Cerivastatin	NOT Pravastatin	Fluvoxamine	Phenytoin
12	Chlorpheniramine	NOT Rosuvastatin	Gestodene	Pioglitazone
13	Cilostazol	Ondansetron	Grapefruit Juice	Rifabutin
14	Cinacalcet	Pimozide	Imatinib	Rifampin
15	Cisapride	Progesterone (Steroid 6β-OH)	Indinavir**	St. John's Wort
16	Clarithromycin	Propranolol	Itraconazole**	Troglitazone1
17	Cocaine	Quetiapine	Ketoconazole	
18	Codeine- ( <i>N</i> -Demethylation)	Quinidine 3-OH (Not 3A5)	Mibefradil	
19	Cyclosporine	Quinine	Mifepristone	
20	Dapsone	Risperidone	Nefazodone	
21	Dexamethasone	Ritonavir	Nelfinavir	
22	Dextromethorphan	Salmeterol	Norfloxacin	
23	Diazepam (3-OH)	Saquinavir	Norfluoxetine	
24	Diltiazem	Sildenafil	Ritonavir	
25	Docetaxel	Simvastatin	Saquinavir	
26	Domperidone	Sirolimus	Telithromycin**	
27	Eplerenone	Tacrolimus (FK506)	Verapamil	
28	Erythromycin (Not 3A5)	Tamoxifen	Voriconazole	
29	Estradiol (Steroid 6β-OH)	Taxol		
30	Felodipine	Telithromycin (Macr-Antibio)		
31	Fentanyl	Terfenadine		
32	Finasteride	Terfenadine (Antihistamines)		
33	Gleevec	Testosterone* (Steroid 6β-OH)		
34	Haloperidol	Trazodone		
35	Hydrocortisone (Steroid 6β-OH)	Triazolam		
36	Indinavir	Verapamil		
37	Irinotecan	Vincristine		
38	LAAM	Zaleplon		
39	Lapatinib	Ziprasidone		
40	Lercanidipine	Zolpidem		

\*: model substrate; \*\*: highly selective inhibitor. Data are also extracted from the Drug-Interaction website http://medicine.iupui.edu/flockhart/table.htm.

CYP1A2	Nucleotide change	Effect	Enzyme activi	ty	Reference
	C C		In vivo	In vitro	
*1A	Wild-type		Normal	Normal	(Ikeya et al., 1989;
					Quattrochi and Tukey,
					1989)
*1B	5347T>C				(Nakajima et al., 1999b;
	-				Welfare et al., 1999)
*1C	-3860G>A <sup>a</sup>		$\downarrow$		(Nakajima et al.,
					1999b)
*1D	-2467del1				(Chida et al., 1999a)
*IE *1E	-/391>G		A. 1 11 11		(Chida et al., 1999a)
*1F	-163C>A		Inducibility		(Chida et al., $1999a$ ;
					Sachse et al., 1999, $\Pi$ an $t = 2002$ )
*1G	-739T>G: 5347T>C				(Chevalier et al. $2001$ )
*1H	2025A>C: 5347T>C				(Chevalier et al., 2001)
*11	-739T>G · -163C>A				(Aklillu et al. 2003)
*1K	-739T>G <sup>+</sup> - <b>729C&gt;T</b> <sup>+</sup> - <b>163C&gt;A</b>		↓ ↓		(Aklillu et al. 2003)
*11.b	-3860G > A: $-2467 delT$		•		(Sovama et al. 2005)
12	-163C>A · 5347T>C				(50 yunna et un., 2005)
$*1M^b$	-163C>A; 2159G>A				(Soyama et al., 2005)
$*1N^{b}$	-3594T>G; -2467delT;				(Soyama et al., 2005)
	-163C>A; 2321G>C;				
	5521A>G; 5347T>C				
$*1P^b$	-3594T>G; -2467delT;				(Soyama et al., 2005)
	-733G>C; <b>-163C&gt;A</b> ; 2321G>C;				
h	5521A>G; 5347T>C				
$*1Q^{b}$	-2808A>C; -163C>A;				(Soyama et al., 2005)
	2159G>A				
$*IR^{\circ}$	-35941>G; -2467del1;				(Soyama et al., 2005)
	-36/C>1; -163C>A; 2321G>C;				
*15 <sup>b</sup>	3053 A > G: 5347 T > C				(Sovement al 2005)
*17 <sup>b</sup>	-2667T>G: 5347T>C				(Soyama et al., 2005)
*11/b	678C>T: 5347T>C				(Soyama et al., 2005)
*1V <sup>b</sup>	-2467delT: -163C>A				(Ghothi et al. 2007)
*1W <sup>b</sup>	-3113A>G: -2467delT:				(Ghotbi et al., 2007) (Ghotbi et al., 2007)
1 //	-739T>G: <b>-163C&gt;A</b>				(0110101010101,2007)
*2	63C>G	F21L			(Huang et al., 1999)
*3	<b>2385G&gt;A</b> ; 5347T>C	D348N	↓Expression		(Chevalier et al., 2001;
			1		Zhou et al., 2004a)
*4	2499A>T	I386F			(Chevalier et al., 2001;
					Zhou et al., 2004a)
*5	3497G>A	C406Y	↓Expression		(Chevalier et al., 2001)
*6	5090C>T	R431W	↓Expression		(Chevalier et al., 2001;
		~ ~ ~			Zhou et al., 2004a)
*7	3533G>A	Splicing	$\downarrow$		(Allorge et al., 2003)
*0	<b>51</b> ((0) A: 5247TS C	defect			(9-ite et el 2005)
*ð	5100G>A; 534/1>C	K450H		$\downarrow$	(Salto et al., $2005$ ;
*0	248C>T	T83M		+	(Murayama at al., 2003)
*10	502G>C	F1680			(Murayama et al., 2004)
*11	558C>A	F186I			(Murayama et al., 2004)
*12	634A>T	S212C		*	(Murayama et al., 2004)
*13	1514G>A	G2998	1	+	(Murayama et al. 2004)
*14	5112C>T	T438I	1		(Murayama et al 2004)
*15	125C>G; 5347T>C	P42R	1	↓	(Saito et al. $2004$ )
	,				Soyama et al., 2005)

Table 1-3. Reported variants of human *CYP1A2*.

*16	2473G>A; 5347T>C	R377Q	$\downarrow$	(Saito et al., 2005; Soyama et al., 2005)
-	-1051T>C; -733G>C; 1590C>T; 2570G>A; 2646C>T; 2694A>C; 5010C>T; 5521A>G			(Solus et al., 2004)
-	53C>G	S18C		(Solus et al., 2004)
-	1513C>A	S298R		(Solus et al., 2004)
-	1559A>G	I314V		(Solus et al., 2004)

 1339A>G
 1314V
 (Solus et al., 2004)

 Data are extracted from <a href="http://www.imm.ki.se/CYPalleles">http://www.imm.ki.se/CYPalleles</a> (access date: 25 March 2009).

 <sup>a</sup>Nucleotide variations in bold are the major SNPs responsible for the phenotype of the corresponding allele.

 <sup>b</sup>Predicted.

СҮР2С9	Nucleotide change		Amino acid change	Reference
	cDNA	Gene		
*1A	Wild-type			(Romkes et al., 1991)
*1B <sup>a</sup>		-26652664delTG; -1188T>C		(King et al., 2004)
*1C <sup>a</sup>		-1188T>C		(Shintani et al., 2001; King et al., 2004)
*1D <sup>a</sup>		-26652664delTG		(King et al., 2004)
*2A <sup>a</sup>	<b>430</b> C>T <sup>b</sup>	-1188T>C, -1096A>G; -620G>T; -485T>A; -484C>A; <b>3608C&gt;T</b>	R144C	(Rettie et al., 1994)
*2B <sup>a</sup>	430C>T	-26652664delTG, -1188T>C; -1096A>G; -620G>T; -485T>A; -484C>A; <b>3608C&gt;T</b>	R144C	(King et al., 2004)
*2 <i>C</i> <sup>a</sup>	430C>T	-1096A>G; -620G>T; -485T>A; -484C>A; 3608C>T	R144C	(King et al., 2004)
* <i>3A</i> <sup>a</sup>	1075A>C	-1911T>C; -1885C>G; -1537G>A; -981G>A; 42614A>C	1359L	(Haining et al., 1996)
*3B <sup>a</sup>	1075A>C	-1911T>C; -1885C>G; -1537G>A; -1188T>C; -981G>A; <b>42614A&gt;C</b>	1359L	(Shintani et al., 2001; King et al., 2004)
*4	1076T>C	42615T>C	I359T	(Imai et al., 2000)
*5	1080C>G	42619C>G	D360E	(Dickmann et al., 2001)
*6	818delA	10601delA	273Frame shift	(Kidd et al., 2001)
*7	55C>A	55C>A	L19I	(Blaisdell et al., 2004)
*8	449G>A	3627G>A	R150H	(Blaisdell et al., 2004)
*9	752A>G	10535A>G	H251R	(Blaisdell et al., 2004)
*10	815A>G	10598A>G	E272G	(Blaisdell et al., 2004)
*11A <sup>a</sup>	1003C>T	42542C>T	R335W	(Higashi et al., 2002)
*11B <sup>a</sup>	1003C>T	-26652664delTG; -1188T>C; <b>42542C&gt;T</b>	R335W	(King et al., 2004)
*12	1465C>T	50338C>T	P489S	(Blaisdell et al., 2004)
*13	269T>C	3276T>C	L90P	(Si et al., 2004)
*14	374G>A	3552G>A	R125H	(Zhao et al., 2004)
*15	485C>A	9100C>A (linkage with -1188T>C can not be excluded)	S162X	(Zhao et al., 2004)
*16	895A>G	-1188T>C; <b>33497A&gt;G</b>	T299A	(Zhao et al., 2004)
*17	1144C>T	42683C>T	P382S	(Zhao et al., 2004)

Table 1-4. Reported	l variants	of the human	CYP2C9 gene.
---------------------	------------	--------------	--------------

*18	1075A>C; 1190A>C; 1425A>T	-1911T>C; -1885C>G; -1537G>A; -1188T>C; -981G>A; 42614A>C; 47391A>C; 50298A>T	I359L; D397A	(Zhao et al., 2004)
*19	1362G>C	-1188T>C; 50235G>C	Q454H	(Zhao et al., 2004)
*20	208G>C	-1188T>C; 3215G>C	G70R	(Zhao et al., 2004)
*21	89C>T	89C>T	P30L	(Veenstra et al., 2005)
*22	121A>G	121A>G	N41D	(Veenstra et al., 2005)
*23	226G>A	3233G>A	V76M	(Veenstra et al., 2005)
*24	1060G>A <sup>c</sup>	42599G>A	E354K	(Herman et al., 2006)
*25	353_362del <sup>d</sup>	3531_3540del (AGAAATGGAA)	118Frameshift	(Maekawa et al., 2006)
*26 <sup>a</sup>	389C>G	1565C>T; -1188T>C; 3567C>G; 3856G>A; 8763C>T; 9032G>C; 10311A>G; 33349A>G; 50056A>T	T130R	(Maekawa et al., 2006)
*27 <sup>a</sup>	449G>T	-3089G>A; -26652664delTG; -1188T>C; 3627G>T; 3898C>T; 47639C>T; 50056A>T	R150L	(Maekawa et al., 2006)
*28	641A>T	9256A>T	Q214L	(Maekawa et al., 2006)
*29 <sup>a</sup>	835C>A	251T>C; 3411T>C; 33437C>A; 33658A>G; 50056A>T	Р279Т	(Maekawa et al., 2006)
*30	1429G>A	50302G>A	A477T	(Maekawa et al., 2006)
-	-	96C>G; 251T>C; 2191T>A; 2340G>A; 2638G>T; 2737T>C; 3162G>C; 3235G>A; 3898C>T; 3924T>C; 4033A>G; 4157C>T; 4309A>G; 4628T>A; 4670G>T; 9032G>C; 9069G>A; 10682T>C; 10787G>A; 10814G>T; 33349A>G; 33658A>G; 42469T>C; 42726C>T; 47545A>T; 47593T>C; 50053G>A; 50066G>A; 50081G>C; 50434C>T; 50454C>G; 50566A>G; 50658A>G; 50742T>A; 52104C>A; 52175T>C; 52236C>T; 52319G>C; 53194insTGACAT; 53403C>T; 53498delT; 53538G>C; 53557T>C		(Solus et al., 2004)
-	-	49C>A	L17I	(Maekawa et al., 2006)
-	-	47439T>C	L413P	(Solus et al., 2004)
-	-	42612A>G	Y358C	NCBI dbSNP
-	-	-8897C>A; -8553C>A; -8422A>G; -8416T>G; -7419A>G; -7336G>A; -5813A>G; -5661C>A; -5146G>C; -5143A>C; -5140A>T; -4877G>A; -4302C>T; -3597A>G; -3579G>A; -3360T>C	-	(Kramer et al., 2008)

<sup>a</sup>Predicted. <sup>b</sup>Nucleotide variations in bold are the major SNPs responsible for the phenotype of the corresponding allele. <sup>c</sup>Existence of the *CYP2C9*\*2 polymorphism 430C>T on the same allele can not be excluded. <sup>d</sup>AGAAATGGAA (deleted).

Ethnic group	No. of subject	Allel	e frequ (%)	iency	Genotype frequency (%)					Reference
	(n)	*1	*2	*3	*1/*2	*1/*3	*2/*2	*2/*3	*3/*3	
Caucasian										
American-Caucasian	100	86.0	8.0	6.0	16.0	12.0	0	0	0	(Sullivan-Klose et al., 1996)
American-Caucasian	461	90.3	9.7	0						(London et al., 1996)
American-Caucasian	140	82.5	13.2	4.3	22.1	8.6	2.1	0	0	(Dickmann et al., 2001)
American-Caucasian	200	82.5	9.8	7.8	15.1	9.7	2.2	1.6	2.7	(Higashi et al., 2002)
Belgian <sup>a</sup>	121	82.2	10.0	7.4	18.2	11.6	0	1.6	0.8	(Allabi et al., 2003)
Brazilian	103	83.0	9.7	7.3	16.5	11.6	1.0	1.0	1.0	(Lima et al., 2008)
Brazilian <sup>b</sup>	331	84.9	8.6	6.5	14.5	10.9	0.9	0.9	0.6	(Scordo et al., 2002)
British	561	84.1	10.6	5.3	19.1	9.4	0.5	1.1	0	(Taube et al., 2000)
British	94	80.9	19.1	0						(Furuya et al., 1995)
British	100	79.0	12.5	8.5	19.0	15.0	3.0	0	1	(Stubbins et al., 1996)
Canadian	325	78.0	15.0	7.0	20.3	15.7	1.2	1.6	0	(Gaedigk et al., 2001)
Egyptian	247	82.0	12.0	6.0	19.0	11.7	2.4	0	0	(Hamdy et al., 2002)
Faroese	311	81.2	18.8	0	17.7	10.6	0	1.6	0	(Halling et al., 2005)
German	127	86.6	13.4	0						(Xie et al., 2002)
German	108	81.0	14.0	5.0						(Burian et al., 2002)
German	367	81.5	10.7	7.8						(Xie et al., 2002)
Israeli	156	84.0	10.0	6.0	17.9	12.8	0	1.3	0	(Loebstein et al., 2001)
Italian	157	77.8	12.5	0.97	16.8	14.0	2.5	1.9	1.3	(Scordo et al., 2001)
Italian	93	74.7	12.4	12.9	16.1	17.2	2.2	4.3	2.2	(Scordo et al., 2002)
Portuguese	135	78.8	13.2	8.0						(Oliveira et al., 2007)
Russian	290	83.9	9.1	7.0	18.3	11.3	0.7	1.4	0.3	(Gaikovitch et al., 2003)
Spanish	157	69.4	14.3	16.2	15.9	23.5	1.9	8.9	0	(Garcia-Martin et al., 2001)
Spanish	102	74.5	15.6	9.8	19.6	13.7	3.9	3.9	1.0	(Dorado et al., 2003)
Spanish	70	70.0	10.7	19.3	17.1	25.7	1.4	1.4	5.7	(Llerena et al., 2003)
Spanish	89	77.9	14.1	8.0	23.6	11.2	2.3	1.1	1.1	(Llerena et al., 2003)
Spanish	138	78.1	14.6	7.3	19.6	11.6	2.9	2.9	0.7	(Llerena et al., 2003)
Spanish	64	72.7	14.8	12.5	21.9	17.2	3.1	1.5	3.1	(Llerena et al., 2004a)

Table 1-5. Frequencies of *CYP2C9* alleles and genotypes in different ethnic groups.

Spanish	355	71.0	19.0	10.0	28.2	15.5	3.1	2.8	1.1	(Martinez et al., 2005)
Spanish	200	81.8	12.0	6.2	22.0	12.5	1.0	0	0	(Mas et al., 2005)
Spanish	142	78.5	13.7	7.7	19.0	9.6	2.8	2.8	1.4	(Dorado et al., 2008)
Swedish	430	81.9	10.7	7.4	18.6	11.6	0.5	1.9	0.7	(Yasar et al., 1999)
Swedish	201	82.3	11.2	6.5						(Wadelius et al., 2004)
Turkish	499	79.4	10.6	10.0	18.0	17.2	1.0	1.1	0.8	(Aynacioglu et al., 1999)
Turkish	85	-	-	-	11.8	14.1	3.5	1.2	1.2	(Babaoglu et al., 2004)
Turkish <sup>c</sup>	205	76.8	12.7	9.8	18.5	13.7	1.5	3.9	1.0	(Oner Ozgon et al., 2008)
African										
African	100	98.5	1.0	0.5	2.0	1.0	0	0	0	(Sullivan-Klose
American										et al., 1996)
African	239	964	36	0						, , ,
American	200	20.1	5.0	Ŭ						
African	122				5.0	2.5	0	0	0	(Dickmann et
American	123	1-	-	-	5.0	2.5	0	0	0	
American	110	060	0	1.5						al., 2001)
Atrican	110	96.2	0	1.5						$(X_{1e} \text{ et } al.,$
American										2002)
African	115	85.0	5.0	5.0						(Momary et al.,
American <sup>e</sup>										2007)
Belgian	111	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	(Allabi et al., 2003)
Ethionian	150	04.0	4.0	2.0	07	16	0	0	0	(Saarda at al
Ethiopian	150	94.0	4.0	2.0	8.7	4.0	0	0	0	(Scordo et al., 2001)
Asian										
Chinese	135	100	0	0						(Wang et al., 1995)
Chinese	115	98.3	0	1.7	0	3.0	0	0	0	(Wang et al., 1995)
Chinese	102	95.0	0	5.0	0	10.8	0	0	0	(Gaedigk et al., 2001)
Chinese	711	96.2	0	3.8	0	7.6	0	0	0	(Hong et al., 2005)
Chinese	376	96.7	0	3.3	0	6.6	0	0	0	(Hong et al., 2005)
Chinese	178	95.5	0	4.5	0	8.9	0	0	0	(Miao et al., 2007)
Japanese	218	97.9	0	2.1	0	4.1	0	0	0	(Nasu et al., 1997)
Japanese	86	98.3	0	1.7						
Japanese	140	98.2	0	1.8	0	3.6	0	0	0	(Kimura et al., 1998)
Korean	574	98.9	0	1.1	0	2.3	0	0	0	(Yoon et al., 2001)
Koran <sup>f</sup>	358	93.4	0	6.0	0	12.0	0	0	0	(Bae et al., 2005)
Malasian	191	93.2	0	6.8						(Ngow et al., 2008)
Taiwanese	98	97.4	0	2.6	0	8.2	0	0	0	(Sullivan-Klose et al., 1996)
Others		ſ								
Bolivian	778	92.2	4.8	3.0	9.3	5.7	0	0.4	0	(Bravo-Villalta et al., 2005)

Canadian native	114	91.0	3.0	6.0	6.1	11.4	0	0	0	(Gaedigk et al.,
Indian										2001)
Canadian Inuit	151	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(Gaedigk et al.,
										2001)
Iranian	160	79.3	11.0	9.7	17.5	13.7	2.5	0	1.9	(Peyvandi et
										al., 2002)
Iranian	200	87.2	12.8	0	10.5	0	7.5	0	0	(Zand et al.,
										2007)
	98	86.0	8.0	6.0	15.0	10.0	0	1.0	0	(Llerena et al.,
Mexican-American										2004b)
Omani	189	89.7	7.4	2.9	12.7	5.8	1.1	0	0	(Tanira et al.,
										2007)
Tamilian	135	90.7	2.6	6.7	4.4	12.7	0	0.7	0	(Adithan et al.,
										2003)

<sup>a</sup>The frequency of *CYP2C9\*11* was 0.4%. (Allabi et al., 2003) <sup>b</sup>The population contained a mixture of white (n = 136), black (n = 77), and intermediate (n = 118). (Scordo et al., 2002)

al., 2002) <sup>c</sup>The frequency of *CYP2C9\*4* was 0.7%. (Oner Ozgon et al., 2008) <sup>d</sup>The frequency of *CYP2C9\*5* was 2.3%. (Xie et al., 2002) <sup>e</sup>The frequency of *CYP2C9\*5* was 5.0%. (Momary et al., 2007) <sup>f</sup>The frequency of *CYP2C9\*13* was 0.6%. (Bae et al., 2005)

CYP2D6	Nucleotide change	Amino acid change	Impact on	Reference
			enzyme	
*14	None		activity	$(V_{imum} \text{ at al} 1090)$
*1A *1B			Normal	(Minuta et al., 1989) $(Marez et al., 1987)$
*10	1978C>T		Normal	(Marez et al., 1997)
*1D	2575C>A		Norman	(Marez et al. 1997)
*1E	1869T>C			(Sachse et al. 1997)
*1XN		N active genes	1	(Dahl et al., 1995; Sachse et al., 1997)
*2A	-1584C>G; -1235A>G; -740C>T; -678G>A; <i>CYP2D7</i> gene conversion in intron 1; 1661G>C;	R296C; S486T	Normal	(Johansson et al., 1993; Panserat et al., 1994; Raimundo et al., 2000;
	2850C>T; 4180G>C			Sakuyama et al., 2008)
*2B	1039C>T; 1661G>C; 2850C>T; 4180G>C	R296C; S486T		(Marez et al., 1997)
*2C	1661G>C; 2470T>C; 2850C>T; 4180G>C	R296C; S486T		(Marez et al., 1997; Sachse et al., 1997)
*2D	2850C>T; 4180G>C	R296C; S486T		(Marez et al., 1997)
*2E	997C>G; 1661G>C; 2850C>T; 4180GC	R296C; S486T		(Marez et al., 1997)
*2F	1661G>C; 1724C>T; 2850C>T; 4180G>C	R296C; S486T		(Marez et al., 1997)
*2G	1661G>C; 2470T>C; 2575C>A; 2850C>T; 4180G>C	R296C; S486T		(Marez et al., 1997)
*2H	1661G>C; 2480C>T; 2850C>T; 4180G>C	R296C; S486T		(Marez et al., 1997)
*2J	See <i>CYP2D6*59</i>			
*2K	1661G>C; 2850C>T; 4115C>T; 4180G>C	R296C; S486T		(Marez et al., 1997)
*2L (formerly	-1584C; -1298G>A; -1235A>G;	R296C; S486T		(Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
·41D)	843T>G; 1513C>T; 1661G>C;			
	1757C>T; 2850C>T; 3384A>C; 3584G>A : 3790C>T: 4180G>C			
*2M	-1584C <sup>·</sup> -1237 -1236insAA <sup>·</sup>	R296C: S486T		(Gaedigk et al. 2005b)
2111	-1235A>G: -750 -749delGA:	12,000,01001		(Guduigk et ul., 20050)
	-740C>T; -678G>A; CYP2D7 gene			
	conversion in intron 1; 310G>T;			
	746C>G; 843T>G; 1661G>C;			
	2850C>T; 2988G; 3384A>C;			
	3584G>A; 3790C>1; 4180G>C;			
*2YN	1661G>C 2850C>T 4180G>C	R296C· \$486T·	<u>↑</u>	(Johansson et al. 1993)
(N=2, 3, 4, 5)	10010-0, 28500-1, 41800-0	N active genes	1	Dahl et al 1995. Aklillu
or 13)		it would going		et al., 1996)
*3A	2549delA <sup>a</sup>	259Frameshift	None	(Kagimoto et al., 1990)
*3B	1749A>G; 2549delA	N166D; 259frameshift		(Marez et al., 1997)
*4A	100C>T; 974C>A; 984A>G;	P34S; L91M;	None	(Gough et al., 1990;
	997C>G; 1661G>C; <b>1846G&gt;A</b> ;	H94R; splicing		Hanioka et al., 1990;
	4180G>C	defect; S486T		Kagimoto et al., 1990)
*4B	100C>T; 974C>A; 984A>G; 997C>G; <b>1846G&gt;A</b> ; 4180G>C	P34S; L91M; H94R; <b>splicing</b>	None	(Kagimoto et al., 1990)
*4C	100C>T: 1661C>C: 19/6C>A:	P3/S onliging	None	(Vokota et al. 1002)
-40	3887T>C; 4180G>C	<b>defect</b> ; L421P; S486T	INUITE	(10K01a et al., 1993)

Table 1-6. Reported variants of human *CYP2D6*.

1				
*4D	100C>T; 1039C>T; 1661G>C;	P34S; splicing	None	(Marez et al., 1997)
*15	100C>T: 1661C>C: 1846C>A:	$D_2/S_1$ enliging		(Maraz et al. 1007)
·4E	4180G>C	defect: S486T		(Watez et al., 1997)
*4F	$100C \ge T$ · $974C \ge A$ · $984A \ge G$ ·	P34S· L91M·		(Marez et al 1997)
	997C>G: 1661G>C: 1846G>A:	H94R $\cdot$ solicing		(111102 01 11., 1997)
	$1858C > T \cdot 4180G > C$	defect: R173C		
	10500-1, 41000-0	S486T		
*4G	100C>T: $974C>\Delta$ : $984\Delta>G$ :	P34S· 191M·		(Marez et al. 1997)
40	1000 1, 7100 R, 901 R	H0/R splicing		(Watez et al., 1997)
	2038C>T· 4180G>C	defect: D3251		
	23560-1,41600-0	S486T		
*4H	100C>T· $974C>A$ · $984A>G$ ·	P34S· L91M·		(Marez et al 1997)
	997C>G· 1661G>C· 1846G>A·	H94R splicing		(
	3877G>C·4180G>C	defect: E4180		
		S486T		
*4J	100C>T; 974C>A; 984A>G;	P34S; L91M;		(Marez et al., 1997)
	997C>G; 1661G>C; <b>1846G&gt;A</b>	H94R; splicing		
		defect		
*4K	100C>T; 1661G>C; <b>1846G&gt;A</b> ;	P34S; splicing	None	(Sachse et al., 1997)
	2850C>T; 4180G>C	defect; R296C;		
	,	S486T		
*4L	100C>T; 997C>G; 1661G>C;	P34S; splicing		(Shimada et al., 2001)
	<b>1846G&gt;A</b> ; 4180G>C	defect; S486T		
*4M	-1235A>G; 746C>G; 843T>G	L91M; H94R;		(Agundez et al., 1997;
	974C>A; 984A>G; 997C>G;	splicing defect		Fuselli et al., 2004;
	1661G>C; <b>1846G&gt;A</b> ; 2097A>G;			Gaedigk et al., 2006)
	3384A>C; 3582A>G; 4401C>T			
*4N (Found	-1426C>T; -1235A>G; -1000G>A;	P34S; L91M;	None	(Gaedigk et al., 2006)
in a gene	100C>T; 310G>T; 746C>G;	H94R; splicing		
duplication)	843T>G; 974C>A; 984A>G;	defect; P469A;		
· · ·	997C>G; 1661G>C; <b>1846G&gt;A</b> ;	T470A; H478S;		
	2097A>G; 3384A>C; 3582A>G;	G479A; F481V;		
	gene conversion to CYP2D7 in	A482S; S486T		
	exon 9; 4180G>C; 4401C>T			
*4X2			None	(Lovlie et al., 1997;
				Sachse et al., 1998)
*5	CYP2D6 deleted	<i>CYP2D6</i> deleted	None	(Gaedigk et al., 1991;
No.C.A.		4405	N	Steen et al., 1995)
*0A	1707del1	118Frameshift	None	(Saxena et al., 1994)
*6B	1707def1; 1976G>A	118Frameshift	None	(Evert et al., 1994a; Daly
		4405	N	et al., 1995)
*6C	1707def1; 1976G>A; 4180G>C	118Frameshift	None	(Marez et al., 1997)
*6D	1707del1; 3288G>A	118F rameshift	N.	(Marez et al., 1997)
*/	2935A>C	H324P	None	(Evert et al., 1994b)
*8	1661G>C; 1758G>T; 2850C>T;	G169X	None	(Broly et al., 1995)
*0	4180G>C	720111		
*9	2015_201/delAAG	K281del	$\checkmark$	(Tyndale et al., 1991; Proly and Mayor 1002)
*104	<b>100C&gt;T</b> : 1661C>C: 4180C>C	D246.0404T		(Valuate at al 1002)
TUA	100C>1,10010-C,41800-C	<b>I 343</b> , 34001	*	(10K0ta et al., 1995, Sakuvama et al. 2008)
*10B	-1426C>T1237 -1236ins A A.	<b>P34S</b> · S486T	1	(Johansson et al. 1004)
100	-1235 A > G' -1000 G > A' = 100 C > T'	1 545, 54001	¥	(30114133011 et al., 1994)
	1039C>T· 1661G>C· 4180G>C			
*10C	See *36			
*100	<b>100C\T</b> · 1030C\T· 1661C\C·	<b>P34S</b> · SA86T		(Ishiguro et al. 2004b)
10D	1000-1, $10370-1$ , $10010-0$ , 1180050 CVP2D7 like 2' flooking	1 JT0, 54001		(15111gur0 et al., 20040)
	region			
*1082				(Garcia Barcalo at al
10A2			\ ↓	2000· Ii et al 2002
1		1		2000, JI Ct al., 2002,

				Mitsunaga et al., 2002; Isbiguro et al. 2004a)
*11	<b>883G&gt;C</b> ; 1661G>C; 2850C>T; 4180G>C	<b>Splicing defect</b> ; R296C: S486T	None	(Marez et al., 1995)
*12	<b>124G&gt;A</b> ; 1661G>C; 2850C>T; 4180G>C	<b>G42R</b> ; R296C; S486T	None	(Marez et al., 1996)
*13	<i>CYP2D7P/CYP2D6</i> hybrid: Exon 1 <i>CYP2D7</i> , exons 2-9 <i>CYP2D6</i>	Frameshift	None	(Panserat et al., 1995)
*14A	100C>T; <b>1758G&gt;A</b> ; 2850C>T; 4180G>C	P34S; <b>G169R</b> ; R296C; S486T	None	(Wang et al., 1999; Sakuyama et al., 2008)
*14B	intron 1 conversion with CYP2D7 (214-245); 1661G>C; <b>1758G&gt;A</b> ; 2850C>T; 4180G>C	<b>G169R</b> ; R296C; S486T	$\downarrow$	(Ji et al., 2002; Sakuyama et al., 2008)
*15	137_138insT	46Frameshift	None	(Sachse et al., 1996)
*16	<i>CYP2D7P/CYP2D6</i> hybrid: Exons 1-7 <i>CYP2D7P</i> -related, exons 8-9 <i>CYP2D6</i>	Frameshift	None	(Daly et al., 1996)
*17	<b>1023C&gt;T</b> ; 1661G>C; <b>2850C&gt;T</b> ; 4180G>C	<b>T107I</b> ; <b>R296C</b> ; S486T	Ļ	(Masimirembwa et al., 1996; Oscarson et al., 1997)
*17XN			Normal $(if N = 2)$	(Cai et al., 2006)
*18	4125_4133dupGTGCCCACT	468_470dupVPT	None	(Yokoi et al., 1996; Sakuyama et al., 2008)
*19	1661G>C; <b>2539_2542delAACT</b> ; 2850C>T; 4180G>C	255Frameshift	None	(Marez et al., 1997)
*20	1661G>C; <b>1973_1974insG</b> ; 1978C>T; 1979T>C; 2850C>T; 4180G>C	211Frameshift	None	(Marez-Allorge et al., 1999)
*21A	-1584C>G; -1426C>T; -12581257insAAAAA; -1235A>G; -740C>T; -678G>A; -629A>G; 214G>C; 221C>A; 223C>G; 227T>C; 310G>T; 601delC; 1661G>C; 2573_2574insC; 2850C>T; 3584G>A; 4180G>C	267Frameshift	None	(Chida et al., 1999b)
*21B	-1584C>G; -1235A>G; -740C>T; -678G>A; intron 1 conversion with <i>CYP2D7</i> (214-245); 1661G>C; <b>2573_2574insC</b> ; 2850C>T; 4180G>C	267Frameshift	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003)
*22	82C>1	R28C		(Marez et al., 1997)
*23	<u>95/U&gt;1</u> 2852A>C	A83V 12071		(Marez et al., 1997)
*24	2855A>C 2109C>C	1297L D242C		(Marez et al., 1997)
*25	3277T>C	1369T		(Marez et al., 1997)
*27	3853G>A	F410K	Normal	(Marez et al 1997)
27			rtornur	Sakuyama et al., 2008)
*28	19G>A; 1661G>C; 1704C>G; 2850C>T; 4180G>C	V7M; Q151E; R296C; S486T		(Marez et al., 1997)
*29	1659G>A; 1661G>C; 2850C>T; 3183G>A; 4180G>C	V136M; R296C; V338M; S486T	$\downarrow$	(Marez et al., 1997; Wennerholm et al., 2001; Wennerholm et al., 2002)
*30	1661G>C; 1863_1864insTTTCGCCCC; 2850C>T; 4180G>C	174_175insFRP; R296C; S486T		(Marez et al., 1997)
*31	1661G>C; 2850C>T; 4042G>A; 4180G>C	R296C; R440H; S486T		(Marez et al., 1997)

* 20				
*32	1661G>C; 2850C>T; 3853G>A;	R296C; E410K;		(Marez et al., 1997)
+22	4180G>C	S4861	NF 1	
*33	2483G>1	A23/S	Normal	(Marez et al., 1997)
*34	2850C>1	R296C		(Marez et al., 1997)
*35	-1584C>G; 31G>A; 1661G>C; 2850C>T; 4180G>C	V11M; R296C; S486T	Normal	(Marez et al., 1997; Gaedigk et al., 2003b)
*35X2	31G>A; 1661G>C; 2850C>T;	V11M; R296C;	↑	(Griese et al., 1998)
	4180G>C	S486T		
*36	-1426C>T; -12371236insA;	<b>P34S</b> ; P469A;	Negligible	(Johansson et al., 1994;
(Duplication	-1235A>G; -1000G>A; <b>100C&gt;T</b> ;	T470A; H478S;		Leathart et al., 1998)
or tandem)	1039C>T; 1661G>C; gene	G479A; F481V;		
	conversion to $CYP2D7$ in exon 9; 4180G>C	A482S; S4861		
*36 (Single)	$-1426C > T^{-} -1235A > G^{-} -1000G > A^{-}$	<b>P34S</b> · P469A·	Negligible	(Gaedigk et al 2006:
50 (Single)	<b>100C&gt;T</b> $310G>T$ $843T>G$	$T470A^{\cdot}$ H478S <sup>\chi</sup>	rtegingiole	Sakuvama et al 2008)
	1039C > T $1661G > C$ $2097A > G$	$G479A^{\cdot} = F481V^{\cdot}$		Sundyanna et an, 2000)
	3384A>C; 3582A>G; gene	A482S; S486T		
	conversion to CYP2D7 in exon 9			
*37	100C>T; 1039C>T; 1661G>C;	P34S; R201H;		(Marez et al., 1997)
	1943G>A; 4180G>C;	S486T		
*38	2587_2590delGACT	271Frameshift	None	(Leathart et al., 1998)
*39	1661G>C; 4180G>C	S486T	Normal	(Shimada et al., 2001;
				Sakuyama et al., 2008)
*40	1023C>T; 1661G>C;	T107I;	None	(Gaedigk et al., 2002)
	1863_1864ins(TTT CGC CCC)2;	174_175ins(FRP)2;		
	2850C>T; 4180G>C	R296C; S486T		
*41	-1584C; -1235A>G; -740C>T;	R296C; splicing	$\rightarrow$	(Raimundo et al., 2000;
	-678G>A; <i>CYP2D7</i> gene	defect; S486T		Raimundo et al., 2004;
	conversion in intron 1; 1661G>C;			Rau et al., 2006; Toscano
	2850C>T; <b>2988G&gt;A</b> ; 4180G>C			et al., 2006a)
*42	-1584C; 1661G>C; 2850C>T;	R296C;	None	(Gaedigk et al., 2003a)
	<b>3259_3260insGT</b> ; 4180G>C	365Frameshift		
*43				(Marez et al., 1997)
15	//G>A	R26H	<b>N</b> T	
*44	7/G>A 82C>T; <b>2950G&gt;C</b>	R26H Splicing defect	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003)
*44 *45A	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;         -1584C;	R26HSplicing defectE155K;R296C;C1000000000000000000000000000000000000	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A	82C>T; <b>2950G&gt;C</b> -16011600GA>TT; -1584C; -12381237delAA; 1004_1002imeA;	R26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T         R296C;	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;         -12381237delAA;         -10941093insA;         -1011T>C;         2106>T;         746C>C;         843T>C;	R26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T         R296C;	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;         -12381237delAA;         -10941093insA;         -1011T>C;         310G>T;       746C>G;         843T>G;         1661G>C:       1716G>A;         -1212A	R26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T         S486T	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;         -12381237delAA;         -10941093insA;         -1011T>C;         310G>T;       746C>G;         843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;         2575C>A:       2661G>A:         2850C>T;	R26HSplicing defectE155K;R296C;S486T	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;         -12381237delAA;         -10941093insA;         -1011T>C;         310G>T;         746C>G;         843T>G;         1661G>C;         1716G>A;         2575C>A;         2661G>A;         2850C>T;         3254T>C;         3584G>A	R26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T         R296C;	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;         -12381237delAA;         -10941093insA;         -1011T>C;         310G>T;       746C>G;         843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         254T>C;       3384A>C;         3584G>A;         3790C>T;       4180G>C	R26HSplicing defectE155K;R296C;S486T	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A *45B	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;         -12381237delAA;         -10941093insA;         -1011T>C;         310G>T;       746C>G;         843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         254T>C;       3384A>C;         3790C>T;       4180G>C         -1584C:       -1543G>A:         -1298G>A:	R26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T         E155K:	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A *45B	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;         -12381237delAA;         -10941093insA;         -1011T>C;         310G>T;       746C>G;         843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;         2129A>C;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         3790C>T;       4180G>C         -1584C;       -1543G>A;         -1235A>G;       -10941093insA;	R26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T         E155K;           E155K;         R296C;           S486T         S486T	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A *45B	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;         -12381237delAA;         -10941093insA;         -1011T>C;         310G>T;       746C>G;         843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         3254T>C;       3384A>C;         3790C>T;       4180G>C         -1584C;       -1543G>A;         -1235A>G;       -10941093insA;         -740C>T;       -695 -692delTGTG;	R26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T         E155K;           E155K;         R296C;           S486T         S486T	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A *45B	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;         -12381237delAA;         -10941093insA;         -1011T>C;         310G>T;       746C>G;         843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;         2129A>C;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         254T>C;       3384A>C;         3790C>T;       4180G>C         -1584C;       -1543G>A;         -1235A>G;       -10941093insA;         -740C>T;       -695692delTGTG;         310G>T;       746C>G;       843T>G;	R26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T         E155K;           E155K;         R296C;           S486T         S486T	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A *45B	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;         -12381237delAA;         -10941093insA;         -1011T>C;         310G>T;       746C>G;         843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         254T>C;       3384A>C;         354T>C;       3584G>A;         3790C>T;       4180G>C         -1584C;       -1543G>A;         -1235A>G;       -10941093insA;         -740C>T;       -695692delTGTG;         310G>T;       746C>G;       843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;       2575C>A;	R26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T         E155K;           E155K;         R296C;           S486T         S486T	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A *45B	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;         -12381237delAA;         -10941093insA;         -1011T>C;         310G>T;       746C>G;         843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;         2129A>C;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         254T>C;       3384A>C;         3584G>A;         3790C>T;       4180G>C         -1584C;       -1543G>A;         -1235A>G;       -10941093insA;         -740C>T;       -695692delTGTG;         310G>T;       746C>G;       843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;       2575C>A;         2661G>A;       2850C>T;       3254T>C;	R26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T         E155K;           E155K;         R296C;           S486T         S486T	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A *45B	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;         -12381237delAA;         -10941093insA;         -101T>C;         310G>T;       746C>G;         843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;         2129A>C;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         3790C>T;       4180G>C         -1584C;       -1543G>A;         -1235A>G;       -10941093insA;         -740C>T;       -695692delTGTG;         310G>T;       746C>G;       843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;       2575C>A;         2661G>A;       2850C>T;       3254T>C;         310G>T;       746C>G;       843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;       2575C>A;         2661G>A;       2850C>T;       3254T>C;         3384A>C;       3584G>A;       3790C>T;	R26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T         E155K;           E155K;         R296C;           S486T         S486T	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A *45B	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;         -12381237delAA;         -10941093insA;         -1011T>C;         310G>T;       746C>G;         843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;         2129A>C;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         3790C>T;       4180G>C         -1584C;       -1543G>A;       -1298G>A;         -1235A>G;       -10941093insA;         -740C>T;       -695692delTGTG;         310G>T;       746C>G;       843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;       2575C>A;         2661G>A;       2850C>T;       3254T>C;         3384A>C;       3584G>A;       3790C>T;         4180G>C       4180G>C       3790C>T;	R26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T           E155K;         R296C;           S486T	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A *45B *45B	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;         -12381237delAA;         -10941093insA;         -10941093insA;         -1011T>C;         310G>T;         746C>G;         843T>G;         1661G>C;         1716G>A;         2129A>C;         2575C>A;         2661G>A;         2850C>T;         3254T>C;         3384A>C;         3790C>T;         4180G>C         -1584C;         -1543G>A;         -1298G>A;         -1235A>G;         -10941093insA;         -740C>T;         -695692delTGTG;         310G>T;         746C>G;         843T>G;         1661G>C;         1716G>A;         2575C>A;         2661G>A;         2850C>T;         3254T>C;         3384A>C;         3584G>A;         3790C>T;         4180G>C         -1584C;         -1584C;         -1584C;         -1584C;         -1584C;         -1584G>A;	R26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T           E155K;         R296C;           S486T           S486T           R296C;           S486T	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A *45B *46	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;         -12381237delAA;         -10941093insA;         -10941093insA;         -1011T>C;         310G>T;         746C>G;         843T>G;         1661G>C;         1716G>A;         2129A>C;         2575C>A;         2661G>A;         2129A>C;         3254T>C;         3384A>C;         3790C>T;         4180G>C         -1584C;         -1543G>A;         -10941093insA;         -740C>T;         -695692delTGTG;         310G>T;         746C>G;         843T>G;         1661G>C;         1716G>A;         2575C>A;         2661G>A;         2850C>T;         3254T>C;         3384A>C;         3584G>A;         3790C>T;         4180G>C         -1584C;         -1584C;         -1584G>A;         3790C>T;         3254T>C;         3384A>C;         3584G>A;         3790C>T;	R26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T           E155K;         R296C;           S486T           R26H;         E155K;           R26H;         E155K;           R296C;         S486T	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A *45B *46	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;         -12381237delAA;         -10941093insA;         -1011T>C;         310G>T;       746C>G;         843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;         2129A>C;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         254T>C;       3384A>C;         3790C>T;       4180G>C         -1584C;       -1543G>A;         -1235A>G;       -10941093insA;         -740C>T;       -695692delTGTG;         310G>T;       746C>G;         843T>G;       1661G>C;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         2560C>T;       3254T>C;         3384A>C;       3584G>A;         3790C>T;       4180G>C         -1584C;       -1543G>A;         -1584C;       -1543G>A;         -1584C;       -1543G>A;         -1235A>G;       -740C>T;         2661G>A;       2850C>T;         3254T>C;       3384A>C;         3584G>A;       3790C>T;         4180G>C       -1584C;         -1584C;       -1	R26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T           E155K;         R296C;           S486T           R26H;         E155K;           R26H;         E155K;           R296C;         S486T	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A *45B *45B	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;         -12381237delAA;         -10941093insA;         -10941093insA;         -1011T>C;         310G>T;       746C>G;         843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         254T>C;       3384A>C;         310G>T;       746C>G;         843T>G;       1661G>C;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         2661G>A;       2850C>T;         3254T>C;       3384A>C;         384A>C;       3584G>A;         3790C>T;       4180G>C         -1584C;       -1543G>A;       -1298G>A;         -1235A>G;       -740C>T;       77G>A;         310G>T;       746C>G;       843T>G;	R26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T           E155K;         R296C;           S486T           R26H;         E155K;           R26H;         E155K;           R296C;         S486T	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A *45B *45B	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;         -12381237delAA;         -10941093insA;         -1011T>C;         310G>T;       746C>G;         843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;         2129A>C;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         21235A>G;       -10941093insA;         -740C>T;       -695692delTGTG;         310G>T;       746C>G;       843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;       2575C>A;         2661G>A;       2850C>T;       3254T>C;         3384A>C;       3584G>A;       3790C>T;         4180G>C       -1584C;       -1543G>A;       -1298G>A;         -1235A>G;       -740C>T;       77G>A;         310G>T;       746C>G;       843T>G; </td <td>R26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T           E155K;         R296C;           S486T           R26H;         E155K;           R296C;         S486T</td> <td>None</td> <td>(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)</td>	R26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T           E155K;         R296C;           S486T           R26H;         E155K;           R296C;         S486T	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A *45B *46	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;       -1584C;         -12381237delAA;         -10941093insA;       -1011T>C;         310G>T;       746C>G;       843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;       2129A>C;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;       2850C>T;         3254T>C;       3384A>C;       3584G>A;         3790C>T;       4180G>C         -1584C;       -1543G>A;       -1298G>A;         -1235A>G;       -10941093insA;         -740C>T;       -695692delTGTG;         310G>T;       746C>G;       843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;       2575C>A;         2661G>A;       2850C>T;       3254T>C;         3384A>C;       3584G>A;       3790C>T;         4180G>C       -1584C;       -1543G>A;       -1298G>A;         -1235A>G;       -740C>T;       3254T>C;       3384A>C;       3584G>A;       3790C>T;         4180G>C       -1584C;       -1543G>A;       -1298G>A;       -1298G>A;       -1235A>G;       -740C>T;       77G>A;         310G>T;       746C>G;       843T>G;       1480G>C       -1584C;       -1543G>A;       -1298G>A;       -1298G>A;       -1298G>A; </td <td>K26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T           E155K;         R296C;           S486T           R26H;         E155K;           R296C;         S486T</td> <td>None</td> <td>(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)</td>	K26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T           E155K;         R296C;           S486T           R26H;         E155K;           R296C;         S486T	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A *45B *46	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;         -12381237delAA;         -10941093insA;         -10941093insA;         -1011T>C;         310G>T;       746C>G;         843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;         2129A>C;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;         2580C>T;       3030G>G/A*;         310G>T;       746C>G;         4180G>C       -1235A>G;         -1584C;       -1543G>A;         -1235A>G;       -740C>T;	K26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T           E155K;         R296C;           S486T           R26H;         E155K;           R296C;         S486T	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A *45B *46 *47	$\begin{array}{c} 7/6 > A \\ \hline 82C > T; 2950G > C \\ \hline -1601_{-1600GA > TT;} -1584C; \\ -1238_{-1237delAA;} \\ -1094_{-1093insA;} -1011T > C; \\ 310G > T; 746C > G; 843T > G; \\ 1661G > C; 1716G > A; 2129A > C; \\ 2575C > A; 2661G > A; 2850C > T; \\ 3254T > C; 3384A > C; 3584G > A; \\ 3790C > T; 4180G > C \\ \hline -1584C; -1543G > A; -1298G > A; \\ -1235A > G; -1094_{-1093insA}; \\ -740C > T; -695_{-}692delTGTG; \\ 310G > T; 746C > G; 843T > G; \\ 1661G > C; 1716G > A; 2575C > A; \\ 2661G > A; 2850C > T; 3254T > C; \\ 3384A > C; 3584G > A; 3790C > T; \\ 4180G > C \\ \hline -1584C; -1543G > A; -1298G > A; \\ -1235A > G; -740C > T; 77G > A; \\ 310G > T; 746C > G; 843T > G; \\ 1661G > C; 1716G > A; 2575C > A; \\ 2661G > A; 2850C > T; 3254T > C; \\ 3384A > C; 3584G > A; 3790C > T; \\ 4180G > C \\ \hline -1584C; -1543G > A; -1298G > A; \\ -1235A > G; -740C > T; 77G > A; \\ 310G > T; 746C > G; 843T > G; \\ 1661G > C; 1716G > A; 2575C > A; \\ 2661G > A; 2850C > T; 3030G > G/A *; \\ 3254T > C; 3384A > C; 3491G > A; \\ 3584G > A; 3790C > T; 4180G > C \\ \hline -1426C > T; -1235A > G; -1000G > A; \\ 720 < T = 1000C < T = 1000C < A; \\ \hline 200 < T = 1000C < T = 1000C < A; \\ \hline \end{array}$	R26H           Splicing defect           E155K;         R296C;           S486T           E155K;         R296C;           S486T           R26H;         E155K;           R296C;         S486T           R26H;         E155K;           R296C;         S486T	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)
*44 *45A *45B *46 *47	7/G>A         82C>T; 2950G>C         -16011600GA>TT;       -1584C;         -12381237delAA;         -10941093insA;       -1011T>C;         310G>T;       746C>G;       843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;       2129A>C;         2575C>A;       2661G>A;       2850C>T;         3254T>C;       3384A>C;       3584G>A;         3790C>T;       4180G>C       -1584C;         -1584C;       -1543G>A;       -1298G>A;         -1235A>G;       -10941093insA;         -740C>T;       -695692delTGTG;         310G>T;       746C>G;       843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;       2575C>A;         2661G>A;       2850C>T;       3254T>C;         3384A>C;       3584G>A;       3790C>T;         4180G>C       -1584C;       -1543G>A;       -1298G>A;         -1235A>G;       -740C>T;       77G>A;       310G>T;         310G>T;       746C>G;       843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;       2575C>A;       2661G>A;         2135A>G;       -740C>T;       77G>A;         310G>T;       746C>G;       843T>G;         1661G>C;       1716G>A;       2575C>A; <td>R26H         Splicing defect         E155K;       R296C;         S486T       E155K;         R296C;       S486T         R26H;       E155K;         R296C;       S486T         R26H;       E155K;         R296C;       S486T</td> <td>None</td> <td>(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)</td>	R26H         Splicing defect         E155K;       R296C;         S486T       E155K;         R296C;       S486T         R26H;       E155K;         R296C;       S486T         R26H;       E155K;         R296C;       S486T	None	(Yamazaki et al., 2003) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a) (Gaedigk et al., 2005a)

*48	972C>T	A90V	Normal	(Soyama et al., 2004; Sakuyama et al., 2008)
*49	-1426C>T; -1235A>G; -1000G>A; <b>100C&gt;T</b> ; 1039C>T; 1611T>A; 1661G>C; 4180G>C	<b>P34S</b> ; F120I; S486T	$\downarrow$	(Soyama et al., 2004; Sakuyama et al., 2008)
*50	1720A>C	E156A	$\downarrow$	(Soyama et al., 2004; Sakuyama et al., 2008)
*51	-1584C>G; -1235A>G; -740C>T; -678G>A; <i>CYP2D7</i> gene conversion in intron 1; 1661G>C; 2850C>T; 3172A>C; 4180G>C	R296C; E334A; S486T	Negligible	(Soyama et al., 2004; Sakuyama et al., 2008)
*52	-1426C>T; -12451244insGA; -1235A>G; -1028T>C; -1000G>A; -377A>G; 100C>T; 1039C>T; 1661G>C; 3877G>A; 4180G>C; 4388C>T; 4401C>T	P34S; E418K		http://www.cypalleles.ki.se
*53	1598A>G; 1611T>A; 1617G>T	F120I; A122S	1	(Ebisawa et al., 2005; Sakuyama et al., 2008)
*54	100C>T; 1039C>T; 1661G>C; 2556C>T; 4180G>C	P34S; T261I; S486T	$\downarrow$	(Ebisawa et al., 2005; Sakuyama et al., 2008)
*55	1661G>C; 2850C>T; 3790C>T; 3835A>C; 4180G>C	R296C; K404Q; S486T	$\downarrow$	(Ebisawa et al., 2005; Sakuyama et al., 2008)
*56A	-1584C>G; -1235A>G; -740C>T; -678G>A; CYP2D7 gene conversion in intron 1; 1661G>C; 2850C>T; <b>3201C&gt;T</b> ; 3384A>C; 3584G>A; 3790C>T; 4180G>C	R296C; <b>R344X</b>	None	(Li et al., 2006b)
*56B	-1426C>T; -1235A>G; -1000G>A; 100C>; 310G>T; 843T>G; 1039C>T; 1661G>C; 2097A>G; <b>3201C&gt;T</b> ; 3384A>C; 3582A>G, 4180G>C	P34S; <b>R344X</b>		(Gaedigk et al., 2007a)
*57 (In tandem with *10)	100C>T; 310G>T; 843T>G; 887C>T; 1039C>T; 1661G>C; 3384A>C; 3582A>G; gene conversion to <i>CYP2D7</i> in exon 9; 4180G>C	P34S;         R62W;           P469A;         T470A;           H478S;         G479A;           F481V;         A482S;           S486T         S486T	Negligible	(Soyama et al., 2006; Sakuyama et al., 2008)
*58	-1426C>T; -1235A>G; -740C>T; CYP2D7 gene conversion in intron 1; 310G>T; 843T>G; 1023C>T; 1661G>T; 1863_1864insTTTCGCCCC; 2850C>T; 3384A>C; 3584G>A; 3790C>T; 4180G>C	T107I; 174_175insFRP; R296C; S486T		http://www.cypalleles.ki.se
*59	1661G>C; <b>2291G&gt;A</b> ; 2850C>T; 2939G>A; 4180G>C	R296C; S486T	$\downarrow$	(Marez et al., 1997; Toscano et al., 2006b)
*60				
*61	gene conversion to CYP2D7 in exon 9	P469A; T470Ā; H478S; G479A; F481V; A482S; S486T		http://www.cypalleles.ki.se
*62	4044C>T	R441C	None	(Klein et al., 2007)
*63	2850C>T; gene conversion to CYP2D7 in exon 9	R296C; P469A; T470A; H478S; G479A; F481V; A482S; S486T		http://www.cypalleles.ki.se
*64	-1426C>T; -1235A>G; -1000G>A; 100C>T; 310G>T; 843T>G; 1023C>T; 1661G>C; 2097A>G;	P34S; T107I; S486T		(Gaedigk and Coetsee, 2008)

	22844 C: 25824 C: 4180C C:			
	3584A-C, 3582A-G, 4180G-C, 4401C>T: 4722T>G			
*65	100C>T; $310G>T$ ; $843T>G$ ;	P34S· R296C·		(Gaedigk and Coetsee
05	$1661G>C^{-}$ 2850C>T <sup>-</sup> 3384A>C <sup>-</sup>	S486T		(Odedigk and Coelsee, 2008)
	3584G>A: 3790C>T: 4180G>C:	5.001		_ = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
	4481G>A			
*66	<i>CYP2D7P/CYP2D6</i> hybrid:	Frameshift		(Gaedigk and Coetsee,
	Exons 1-6 CYP2D7, exons 7-9			2008)
	CYP2D6			<i>,</i>
*67	<i>CYP2D7P/CYP2D6</i> hybrid:	Frameshift		http://www.cypalleles.ki.se
	Exons 1-5 CYP2D7, exons 6-9			
	CYP2D6			
	-98C>T; -43insG; 1923C>T;			(Solus et al., 2004)
	1998T>C; 2303C>T; 2663G>A;			
	2760T>A; 3408T>C; 3435C>A;			
	4172C>T			
	4155C>	H478Y		(Solus et al., 2004)
	1/0/1>G/C/A	W152G/R/R		NCBI dbSNP
	184/G>A	GI69E		NCBI dbSNP
	CYP2D/ gene conversion in intron			http://www.cypalleles.ki.se
	4 (2050-2392)	1.0010		1
	24001>C	L231P		http://www.cypalleles.ki.se
	2606G>A	E278K		http://www.cypalleles.ki.se
*69	2010A>1	M2/9K		http://www.cypaneles.ki.se
*00	1000  released	D24S: D206C:	1	(Gaadigk at al. 2000)
109	-1420C > 1, -1255A > 0, -1000G > A, $100C > T \cdot 310G > T \cdot 746C > G \cdot$	r 545, K290C, splicing defect:	*	(Gaedigk et al., 2009)
	843T>G 1062A>G 1661G>C	S486T		
	2850C>T $2988G>A$ $3384A>C$	54001		
	3584G>A: 3790C>T: 4180G>C:			
	4401C>T; 4481G>A			
*70	-175G>A; 310G>T; 843T>G;	V119M; V136M;		http://www.cypalleles.ki.se
	1608G>A; 1659G>A; 1661G>C;	V338M; S486T		
	3183G>A; 3384A>C; 4180G>C;			
	4722T>G			
*71	-1584C>G; 125G>A; 1494 T>C	G42E		http://www.cypalleles.ki.se
*72	-1426C>T; -1235A>G; -1000G>A;	P34S; E383K;	$\downarrow$	(Matsunaga et al., 2009)
	100C>T; 310G>T; 843T>G;	S486T		
	1039C>T; 1661G>C; 2097A>G;			
	3318G>A; 3384A>C; 3582A>G;			
	4180G>C; 4401C>1			(9, 1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 1, 200, 4)
-	-98C>1; $-43insG;$ $1923C>1;$ $1008T>C;$ $2202C>T;$ $2662C>A;$			(Solus et al., 2004)
	$19981 \ge 12030 \ge 120030 \ge 120030000000000000000000000000000000000$			
	27001-A, 34081-C, 3455C-A,			
	4172C>1 4155C>T	H478I		(Solus et al. $2004$ )
-	$1707T > G/C/\Delta$	W152G/R/R		NCBI dbSNP
	1847G>A	G169E		NCBI dbSNP
	CYP2D7 gene conversion in intron	51071		http://www.cvnalleles.ki.se
	4 (2050-2392)			<u>http://www.cypulleles.kl.se</u>
	2466T>C	L231P		http://www.cvpalleles ki se
	2606G>A <sup>b</sup>	E278K		http://www.cvpalleles.ki.se
	2610A>T <sup>b</sup>	M279K		http://www.cvpalleles.ki.se
	1621G>T	R123L		http://www.cypalleles.ki.se
	4057G>A	G445E		http://www.cypalleles.ki.se

<sup>a</sup>Nucleotide variations in bold are the major SNPs responsible for the phenotype of the corresponding allele. <sup>b</sup>Part of novel *CYP2D7* gene conversion in exon 5 (2470-2610) that includes 2470T>C and 2575C>A.

	СҮР	PDB ID	Ligand	Mean resolution (Å)	Publishing year	Reference
1	1A2	2HI4	$\alpha$ -Naphthoflavone (ANF)	1.95	2007	(Sansen et al., 2007)
2	2E1	3E4E	4- Methylpyrazole		2008	(Porubsky et al., 2008)
3	2E1	3E6I	Indazole		2008	(Porubsky et al., 2008)
4	2A13	2P85	Indole	2.35	2007	(Smith et al., 2007)
5	2A6	1Z10	Coumarin	1.9	2005	(Yano et al., 2005)
6	2A6	1Z11	Methoxsalen	2.05	2005	(Yano et al., 2005)
7	2A6	2FDU	<i>N,N</i> -Dimethyl(5- (Pyridin-3-Yl)furan-2-Yl) methanamine		2006	(Yano et al., 2006)
8	2A6	2FDV	N-Methyl(5-(Pyridin- 3-Yl)furan-2-Yl)methanamine		2006	(Yano et al., 2006)
9	2A6	2FDW	(5-(Pyridin-3-Yl)furan-2-Yl) methanamine		2006	(Yano et al., 2006)
10	2A6	2FDY	Adrithiol		2006	(Yano et al., 2006)
11	2A6	2PG5	Free	1.95	2007	(Sansen et al., 2007)
12	2A6	2PG6	Free	2.5	2007	(Sansen et al., 2007)
13	2A6	2PG7	Free	2.8	2007	(Sansen et al., 2007)
14	2A6	3EBS	Phenacetin		2008	(Sansen et al., 2007)
15	2C8	1PQ2	Free	2.7	2004	(Schoch et al., 2004)
16	2C8d h	2NNH	$2 \times 9$ -cis-retinoic acid	2.6	2008	(Schoch et al., 2008)
17	2C8d h	2NNI	Montelukast	2.8	2008	(Schoch et al., 2008)
18	2C8d h	2NNJ	Felodipine	2.28	2008	(Schoch et al., 2008)
19	2C8d h	2VN0	Troglitazone	2.7	2008	(Schoch et al., 2008)
20	2C9	10G2	Free	2.6	2003	(Williams et al., 2003)
21	2C9	10G5	Warfarin	2.55	2003	(Williams et al., 2003)
22	2C9	1R9O	Flurbiprofen	2	2004	(Wester et al., 2004)
23	2D6	2F9Q	Free	3	2006	(Rowland et al., 2006)
24	2R1	3C6G	Vitamin D3		2008	(Strushkevich et al., 2008)
25	2R1	3CZH	Vitamin D2		2008	
26	2R1	3DL9	1α-hydroxy-vitamin D2		2008	
27	3A4	1TQN	Free	2.05	2004	(Yano et al., 2004)
28	3A4	1W0E	Free	2.8	2004	(Williams et al., 2004)
29	3A4	1W0F	Metyrapone	2.65	2004	(Williams et al., 2004)
30	3A4	1W0G	Progesterone	2.74	2004	(Williams et al., 2004)
31	3A4	2J0D	Erythromycin		2006	(Ekroos and Sjogren, 2006)
32	3A4	2V0M	Ketoconazole	2.8	2006	(Ekroos and Sjogren, 2006)
33	46A1	2Q9F	Cholesterol-3-sulphate	1.9	2008	(Mast et al., 2008)
34	46A1	2Q9G	Free	2.4	2008	(Mast et al., 2008)
35	7A1	3DAX	Free		2008	
	PGIS	3B6H	Minoxidil		2008	

Table 1-7. Overview of published structures of human CY	Ps.
---	-----

Data are from the PDB at <u>http://www.rcsb.org</u>.

Herb	Drug	Evidence	Reference
	Cyclosporine	Case reports	(Gordon, 1998; Rey and Walter, 1998; Bon S et al., 1999; Barone et al., 2000; Karliova et al., 2000; Mai et al., 2000; Ruschitzka et al., 2000; Yue et al., 2000b; Ahmed et al., 2001; Barone et al., 2001; Beer and Ostermann, 2001; Moschella and Jaber, 2001; Turton-Weeks et al., 2001; Alscher and Klotz, 2003)
		Case series	(Breidenbach et al., 2000a; Breidenbach et al., 2000b)
	Cyclosporine	Clinical trial	(Bauer et al., 2003)
	Sertraline	Case reports	(Lantz et al., 1999; Barbenel et al., 2000) (Lantz et al., 1999)
	Oral contraceptives	Case series	(Gordon, 1998; Barbenel et al., 2000)
	Paroxetine	Case reports	(Waksman JC et al., 2000)
	Theophylline	Case report	(Nebel et al., 1999)
St Johnson [II	Loperamide	Case report	(Khawaja et al., 1999)
perforatum]	Nefazodone	Case report	(Lantz et al., 1999)
F J ]	Phenprocoumon	Case report	(Gordon, 1998)
	Venlaxafine	Case report	(Prost et al., 2000)
	Amitriptylin	Clinical trial	(Johne et al., 2002)
	Tacrolimus	Clinical trial	(Hebert et al., 2004) (Mai et al., 2003)
	Simvastatin	Clinical trial	(Sugimoto et al., 2001)
	Imatinib	Clinical trial	(Frye et al., 2004)
	Indinavir	Clinical trial	(Piscitelli et al., 2000)
	Irenotecan	Clinical trial	(Mathijssen et al., 2002)
	<i>R</i> - and <i>S</i> -verapamil	Clinical trial	(Tannergren et al., 2004)
	Midazolam	Clinical trial	(Mueller et al., 2006)
	Digoxin	Clinical trials	(Johne et al., 1999; Durr et al., 2000; Mueller et al., 2004)
	Fexofenadine	Clinical trial	(Wang et al., 2002b)
	Fexofenadine	Clinical trial	(Dresser et al., 2003)
	Oral contraceptives	Clinical trials	(Hall et al., 2003; Murphy et al., 2005)
	Warfarin	Clinical trial	(Jiang et al., 2004)
	Warfarin	Case series	(Yue et al., 2000b)
	Phenelzine	Case report	(Shader and Greenblatt, 1985; Jones and Runikis, 1987)
Ginseng	Warfarin	Case report	(Janetzky and Morreale, 1997; Rosado, 2003)
	Warfarin	Clinical trials	(Jiang et al., 2004; Jiang et al., 2006)
American Ginseng	Warfarin	Clinical trial	(Yuan et al., 2004)
		Case report	(Izzat et al., 1998)
Danshen [Salvia miltiorrhiza]	Warfarin	Case reports	(Tam et al., 1995; Yu et al., 1997)
Dong quai [Angelica sinensis]		Case report	(Page and Lawrence, 1999)

Table 1-8. Case reports and clinical trials of herb-drug interactions in humans.

			Case report	(Ellis GR and MR., 1999)
Papaya	a extract [Papaya carica]		Case report	(McRae, 1996)
Devil's	s claw argo-phytumprocumbens]		Case report	(Shaw et al., 1997)
Garlic	[Allium sativum]		Case report	(WH, 1991)
	Saquinavir	Clinical trial	(Piscitelli et al., 2000)	
Garlic [Allium sativum]		Alprazolam level	Clinical trial	(Markowitz et al., 2003)
		Warfarin	Clinical trials *	(Egashira et al., 2003; Jiang et al., 2005; Jiang et al., 2006)
	Warfarin	Case report	(Matthews, 1998)	
	Trazodone	Case report	(Galluzzi et al., 2000)	
Ginkgo [Ginkgo biloba]		Valerian	Case report	(Chen et al., 2002)
		Thiazide diuretic	Case report	(McRae, 1996)
		Aspirin	Case report	(Rosenblatt and Mindel, 1997)
		Ibuprofen	Case report	(Meisel et al., 2003)
		Phenytoin	Case report	(Kupiec and Raj, 2005)
		Omeprazole	Clinical trial	(Yin et al., 2004)
Evening primrose oil [Oenethera biennis]		Anaesthetics	Case report	(McRae, 1996)
Kava [Piper methysticum]		Alprazolam	Case report	(Almeida and Grimsley, 1996)
		Levodopa	Case report	(Schelosky et al., 1995)
Betel nut [Areca catechu]		Flupenthixol	Case report	(Deahl, 1989)
		Fluphenazine	Case report	(Deahl, 1989)
Eleuthero [Eleutherococcus senticosis]		Digoxin	Case report	(McRae, 1996)
Gan C	ao (Licorice)	Digitalis	Case report	(Harada et al., 2002)
Gan Cao (Licorice)		Enalapril	Case report	(Iida et al., 2006)
Chili pepper [Capsicum species]		ACE inhibitor	Case report	(Hakas, 1990)
Formula	Xiao Chai Hu Tang (sho-saiko-to)	Caffeine	Clinical trial	(Saruwatari et al., 2003)
	Xiao Chai Hu Tang (sho-saiko-to) Saiboku-To Sairei-To	Prednisolone	Clinical trial	(Homma et al., 1995)

Data are also from Zhou *et al.* (2007), Hu *et al.* (2005) and Li *et al.* (2007). Note: All clinical trials included in the Table demonstrated significant interactions between the concerned herbs and drugs, except for those marked with "\*" which showed no significant interaction between warfarin and Ginkgo.

Figure 1-1. Metabolic activation of benzo[a]pyrene (B[a]P) by CYP1A1, 1A2 and 1B1. B[a]P is a polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon that is mutagenic and highly carcinogenic. The first step of B[a]P activation includes the formation of B[a]P 7,8-oxide catalyzed by CYP1A1, 1A2 and 1B1.



Figure 1-2. Metabolic activation of aristolochic acids (AAs). Both AAI and AAII undergo reduction of the nitro group catalyzed by enzymes to reactive cyclic nitrenium ions.



Figure 1-3. A schematic illustration of the aromatic hydrocarbon receptor (AhR)-mediated induction of Phase I and Phase II drug metabolizing enzymes and drug transporters such as human CYP1A1, 1B1, 1A2, and 2S1, UGT1A1 and 1A6, and MDR1/ABCB1. The AhR exists as cytoplasmic aggregates bound to two 90-kDa heat-shock proteins (HSPs), the cochaperone p23 and a 43-kDa immunophilin-like protein hepatitis B virus X-associated protein 2 (XAP2).





Figure 1-4. Crystal structures of published human CYPs with or without complexed ligands.


\*CYP-year-PDB: complex: the name of CYP enzymes with the publication year of their crystal structure; PDB codes; crystal state or complex name.

# CHAPTER 2 HIGH-THROUGHPUT SCREENING OF HERBAL INHIBITORS FOR HUMAN CYP ENZYMES

#### 2.1 Introduction

Herbs and herbal products are more like to be used as botanical supplements in Australia and many other Western countries but many herbs and herbal preparations are used as medications to treat diseases in China (Qiu, 2007). No matter as supplements or as medications, the active components in herbs can significantly affect the outcome of medical treatment if herbal supplements are used in combination with conventional medications. In another words, herb-drug interactions may happen at any time when the efficacy or toxicity of a conventional medication is changed by the administration of herbal supplements (Zhou et al., 2007). The well-known clinical case is St. John's wort that had been reported to reduce the blood concentration of a variety of clinical drugs such as cyclosporine and indinavir (Mills et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2004d; Hu et al., 2005). Theoretically, the likelihood of herb-drug interactions is higher than drug-drug interactions because drugs usually contain a single and well-known chemical entity while almost all herbal products contain multiple constitutes. Potential herb-drug interactions are safety concern, especially for drugs with narrow therapeutic range (e.g. warfarin and theophylline) and for high-risk groups, such as the elderly or patients with renal or hepatic diseases. A number of clinically important herb-drug interactions have been reported based on case reports and randomized clinical studies (Hu et al., 2005). For most of these interactions, the underlying mechanism is yet to be determined, although both pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic components are considered to play an important role.

For pharmacokinetic herb-drug interaction, altered drug metabolism is probably the most important mechanism. Like many drug-drug interactions, modulation of CYPs is the major mechanism for some herb-drug interactions (Zhou et al., 2003b). CYPs are a superfamily of membrane-bound, heme-containing and mixed function oxygenases, with at least 57 members in humans (Rendic, 2002). Among them, CYP1A2, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, and 3A4 are responsible for the metabolism of more than 90% of currently known drugs (Rendic, 2002). Most CYPs are subject to inhibition and induction by a variety of structurally distinct compounds including herbal medicines. Herbal components such as St John's wort are well-known CYP3A4 and 2C9 inducer while most other herbal ingredients exhibit inhibitory effect on various CYPs (Zhou et al., 2003b). Thus, it is important to explore the effect of herbal components on CYPs.

Recently, high throughput (HTP) screening methods have been applied to examine the effect of natural compounds on CYPs, which represent a useful and efficient strategy for the study of herb-CYP interactions (Ansede and Thakker, 2004). They are capable of handling a great number of herbal constituents, and have the ability to provide *in vitro* inhibition data as a criterion for monitoring herb-drug metabolic interactions involving human drug metabolizing enzymes (in particular the CYPs). For example, an HTP screening procedure has been validated to assess the effects of various dietary and herbal flavonoids on human CYP1A1 expression using HepG2 cells expressing this enzyme (Allen et al., 2001) and Zou *et al.* (2002) have examined the effect of selected herbal compounds and 7 crude herbal products from commonly used herbs on human CYPs using a HTP screening method.

## 2.2 Materials and Methods

## 2.2.1 Chemicals and reagents

Fifty-seven purified herbal compounds tested in this study (Figure 2-1) were purchased from the National Institute for the Control of Pharmaceutical and Biological Products (Beijing, China). These compounds mainly include triterpenoids, flavonoids, saponins, lactones, and alkaloids. 18\alpha-Glycyrrhetinic acid, 18\beta-glycyrrhetinic acid and glycyrrhizic acid ammonium were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals Co. (St Louis, MO). Compound danshen dropping pills were from Tianjin Tasly Pharmaceutical Co. ltd., Tianjin, China; Tanshinone capsule were from Hebei Xinglong Xili Pharmaceuticals Co. Ltd., Xinglong, China; Diammonium Glycyrrhizinate Enteric-coated Capsules were from Chia-tai Tianging Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Lianyungang, China; and Compound Yiganling Tablets were from Beijing Double-crane Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. Beijing, China. Concentrated wuweizi granules (Schizandra chinensis fruit extract) were obtained from Cathay Herbal Laboratories Pty Ltd. (Surry hills, NSW, Australia); concentrated licorice granules (*Glycyrrhiza uralensis*) were obtained from Koda International Pty. Ltd. (Sydney, Australia); Dried danshen roots were obtained from Chinese Medicine Research Group, RMIT University (Melbourne, Australia). Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was purchased from Merck Co. (Whitehouse Station, NJ). All other reagents were of analytical or HPLC grade.

# 2.2.2 Source of recombinant human CYP enzymes

The inhibition of human CYP1A2, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6 and 3A4 enzymes was assessed using commercial kits containing recombinant CYP expressed in insect cells using BD Supersomes, NADPH-generating system (NADP<sup>+</sup> and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase), and corresponding fluorescent substrates (Table 2-1) (<u>http://www.bdbiosciences.com/discovery\_labware</u>) (Favreau et al., 1999; Crespi and Stresser, 2000; Crespi et al., 2002).

## 2.2.3 Enzyme inhibition assays

The CYP inhibition assays were conducted in 96-well microplates in duplicate as described previously (Crespi and Stresser, 2000). Briefly, all purified herbal compounds for this study were dissolved in acetonitrile (24 compounds), methanol (17 compounds), or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 19 compounds). For crude herbal products, further extraction was conducted using 100% methanol. The final concentration of acetonitrile, methanol, and DMSO in the reaction system was 2% acetonitrile, 1% methanol, and 0.2% DMSO, respectively (v/v). To each well, the test compound at various concentrations and NADPH were added and pre-incubated for 10 min at 37°C without shaking. The reaction was initiated by addition of enzyme/substrate mixture. The final concentration of each CYP enyzme is as following: 0.0018  $\mu$ M for CYP1A2, 0.0036  $\mu$ M for CYP2C9, 0.0036  $\mu$ M for CYP2C19, 0.0055  $\mu$ M for CYP2D6 and 0.0036  $\mu$ M for CYP2D6, 2C19 and 3A4. The reaction was terminated by addition of 75  $\mu$ l acetonitrile-0.5 M Tris (4:1, pH 7.5) base solution to each well. The fluorescence was measured using a PolarStar Microplate Reader (BMG LABTECH Pty. Ltd., Offenburg, Germany).

The excitation wavelengths were 390 nm for CYP1A2, 2D6, 2C9 and 3A4; and a 405-nm absorption filter for CYP2C19; while the emission wavelengths were 460 nm for CYP1A2, 2D6 and 2C19, and 530 nm for CYP2C9 and 3A4. For each assay, the positive control and control vehicle were included and a standard curve was constructed. The positive control inhibitor of each CYP enzyme is as following: furafylline for CYP1A2, sulfaphenazole for CYP2C9, tranylcypromine for CYP2C19, quinidine for CYP2D6 and ketoconazole for CYP3A4. Duplicate samples were used for each test compound in each assay. For each compound, the assay was conducted once following the manufacture introduction. Repeat assay was conducted for selected samples to confirm the results.

## 2.2.4 IC<sub>50</sub> determination

The  $IC_{50}$  value was determinate by a linear interpolation method according to the following equation:

 $IC_{50} = \frac{(50\% - Low\% Inhibition)}{(High\% Inhibition - Low\% Inhibition)} \times (HighCon-LowCon.) + LowCon. Equation 2-1$ 

#### 2.3 Results

# 2.3.1 Inhibitory effects on CYP1A2

There were three herbal compounds exhibiting remarkable inhibitory effects on CYP1A2, with the IC<sub>50</sub> values <1.0  $\mu$ M (Figure 2-2). These included tanshinone I, tanshinone IIA and cryptotanshinone with the IC<sub>50</sub> value of 0.027, 0.187and 0.910  $\mu$ M, respectively. In addition, baicalein, osthole, quercetin, cordycepin, sodium tanshinone IIA sulfonate and hyperoside showed moderate inhibition on the CYP1A2, with the IC<sub>50</sub> value of 1.22, 1.49, 3.97, 6.69, 7.08 and 14.46  $\mu$ M, respectively. Quercitrin, icariin, alloin, baicalin and triptolide had minor inhibitory effects on CYP1A2 with the IC<sub>50</sub> value of 33.76, 43.00, 66.00, 70.03 and 98.22  $\mu$ M, respectively. The other 42 herbal compounds showed little or negligible inhibition (IC<sub>50</sub> > 100  $\mu$ M) on CYP1A2. Notably, four herbal compounds including rutaecarpine, scopoletin, puerarin and andrographolide produced fluorescence and thus interfered with the determination for CYP1A2 (Table 2-2).

### 2.3.2 Inhibitory effects on CYP2C9

Three herbal compounds exhibited remarkable inhibitory effects on CYP2C9. They were tanshinone I, tanshinone IIA and  $\gamma$ -schisandrin with the IC<sub>50</sub> of 0.106, 0.209 and 0.520  $\mu$ M, respectively (Figure 2-3). Ten herbal compounds showed moderate inhibition on the CYP2C9, including cryptotanshinone, sodium tanshinone IIA sulfonate, baicalein, quercetin, silybin, osthole, icariin, hyperoside, baicalin and quercitrin with the  $IC_{50}$  value of 1.23, 1.36, 2.52, 3.01, 3.14, 8.30, 14.34, 14.37, 20.42 and 21.76 µM, respectively. Eight herbal compounds including gallic acid. dehydroandrographolide, 18β-glycyrrhetinic acid. ginsenoside Rg3. andrographolide, sodium danshensu, schisandrin, protocatechuicaldehyde and ursolic acid only had minor inhibitory effect on the CYP2C9, with the IC<sub>50</sub> of 30.64, 39.76, 43.37, 61.53, 69.22, 73.12, 85.2, 90.66 and 100.75 µM, respectively. Other twenty five herbal had weak or no inhibit CYP2C9. Notably, fourteen herbal compounds generated fluorescence and could not be detected by this approach for CYP2C9. These included salvianolic acid B, rutaecarpine, scopoletin, puerarin, alloin, liquiritin, jujuboside B, asperosaponin VI, saikosaponin D, astragaloside, amygdalin, gastrodin, trigonelline and polydatin (Table 2-2).

## 2.3.3 Inhibitory effects on CYP2C19

Only two of the sixty herbal compounds,  $\gamma$ -schisandrin and osthole, exhibited remarkable inhibitory effects on CYP2C19 with the IC<sub>50</sub> value of 0.072 and 0.920  $\mu$ M, respectively (Figure 2-4). Eight herbal compounds showed moderate inhibition to CYP2C19, including baicalein, quercetin, dehydroandrographolide, cryptotanshinone, sodium tanshinone IIA sulfonate, silybin, tanshinone I and protocatechuicaldehyde with the IC<sub>50</sub> of 2.12, 7.23 8.87, 13.65, 19.44, 20.26, 21.09 and 25.7 $\mu$ M, respectively. Other eight herbal compounds, gallic acid, schisandrin, hyperoside, baicalin, icariin, andrographolide, 18β-glycyrrhetinic acid and quercitrin, only had minor inhibitory effect on CYP2C19 with the IC<sub>50</sub> value of 31.53, 36.81, 37.08, 46.11, 72.17, 79.03, 96.67 and 98.77  $\mu$ M, respectively. Other thirty-one herbal compounds showed weak (IC<sub>50</sub> > 100  $\mu$ M) or no inhibitory effect on CYP2C19. Notably, eleven herbal compounds produced fluorescence and interfered with the detection for CYP2C19, including rutaecarpine, tanshinone IIA, scopoletin, jujuboside B, asperosaponin VI, saikosaponin D, astragaloside, amygdalin, gastrodin, trigonelline and puerarin (Table 2-2).

# 2.3.4 Inhibitory effects on CYP2D6

None of the sixty herbal compounds exhibited remarkably inhibitory effects on CYP2D6. Only three herbal compounds, sodium tanshinone IIA sulfonate,  $\gamma$ -schisandrin and matrine, showed moderate inhibition to CYP2D6 with the IC<sub>50</sub> value of 11.55, 16.97 and 24.96  $\mu$ M, respectively (Figure 2-5). Baicalein, osthole, hyperoside, quercetin and quercitrin had minor inhibition to CYP2D6, with the IC<sub>50</sub> of 36.78, 51.37, 53.22, 54.59 and 90 µM, respectively. Other 41 herbal compounds had little (IC<sub>50</sub> > 100  $\mu$ M) or negligible inhibition to CYP2D6. Notably, eleven herbal compounds produced fluorescence which interfere the detection for CYP2D6. These are salvianolic acid puerarin, protocatechuic acid, B. polydatin, ferulic acid, protocatechuicaldehyde, bilobalide, ginkgolide B, ginkgolide C, rutaecarpine and scopoletin (Table 2-2).

## 2.3.5 Inhibitory effects on CYP3A4

There were only two herbal compounds,  $\gamma$ -schisandrin and tanshinone I, exhibiting remarkably inhibitory effects on CYP3A4 with the IC<sub>50</sub> of 0.009 and 0.220  $\mu$ M, respectively (Figure 2-6). Thirteen herbal compounds including baicalein, evodin, sodium tanshinone IIA sulfonate, silybin, cryptotanshinone, paclitaxol, osthole, ursolic acid, polydatin, schisandrin, quercetin, ferulic acid and dehydroandrographolide showed moderate inhibition to CYP3A4, with the IC<sub>50</sub> value of 1.24, 1.33, 1.78, 2.85, 2.96, 9.66, 12.01, 16.24, 16.78, 19.4, 19.8, 21.7 and 24.12  $\mu$ M, respectively. Hyperoside, gallic acid, quercitrin, 18β-glycyrrhetinic acid and protocatechuicaldehyde had minor inhibition on CYP3A4, with the IC<sub>50</sub> value of 47.49, 64.44, 71.01, 73.18 and 81.19  $\mu$ M, respectively. In addition, Sanqi saponin and total notoginsenosides also showed inhibitory effects on CYP3A4 and the IC<sub>50</sub> value was 40.85 and 60.91  $\mu$ g/ml, respectively. Other 31 herbal compounds had weak (IC<sub>50</sub> > 100  $\mu$ M) or no inhibitory effect on CYP3A4. Seven herbal compounds, amygdalin, salvianolic acid B, puerarin, ginkgolide B, ginkgolide C, rutaecarpine and scopoletin, produced fluorescence which interfered with the detection for CYP3A4 (Table 2-2).

# 2.4 Conclusions and Discussion

HTP method based on fluorometric assay for screening of potential inhibitors of CYPs is available since 1997 (Crespi and Stresser, 2000). In the present study, we examined the effect of a number of herbal components in five human CYPs using a validated HTP approach. The herbal components tested include a variety of structurally distinct compounds such as triterpenoids of danshen (*Salvia miltiorrhiza*), flavonoids and their glycoside derivatives, saponine, other glucosides, lactones, alkaloids, and acids. As all the 57 compounds are purified herbal components, three organic solvents (acetonitrile, methanol and DMSO) had been used to prepare stock solutions at high concentrations. For the subsequent reaction, the test compounds were diluted with water at very low concentrations. These organic solvents were only used as vehicles to get a proper amount of test compounds dissolving into water for final reaction.

Tanshinone I, tanshinone IIA and cryptotanshinone, all from danshen, significantly inhibited the activities of CYP1A2 and 2C9; tanshinone I also considerably inhibited CYP3A4. In contrast, the hydrophilic constituents of danshen (sodium danshensu, protocatechuic acid, salvianolic acid B and protocatechuicaldehyde) showed weak or negligible inhibitory effects on the five CYP enzymes. Notably, the derivative of tanshinone IIA, sodium tanshinone IIA

sulfonate, had a remarkable inhibition to CYP2C9 and 3A4. Sodium tanshinone IIA sulfonate has been commonly used for patients with unstable angina pectoris in China.

In the present study, we found that the activities of CYP2C9, 2C19 and 3A4 were remarkably inhibited by  $\gamma$ -schisandrin. Silybin, a major component in milk thistle (*Silybi mariani*), significantly inhibited the activities of CYP2C9 and 3A4, which is in line with the report by Sridar *et al.* (Sridar et al., 2004). It is evident that free flavonoids such as baicalein and quercetin (rich in Ginkgo leaves and many other herbs), have significant inhibitory effects on CYP1A2, 2C9, 2C19 and 3A4. However, the flavonoid glucosides (baicalin, hyperoside, quercitrin and icariin) have much lower inhibitory effects on CYP1A2, 2C9, 2C19 and 3A4

Osthole, a coumarin derivative, had remarkably inhibitory effects on CYP2C19 and moderate inhibitory effects on CYP1A2, 2C9 and 3A4. Alkaloids are one of the largest groups of natural products. We also tested eight alkaloids (stachydrine chloride, trigonelline, rutaecarpine, oxymatrine, sophoridine and matrine) and only found matrine having moderate inhibition to CYP2D6. Among the seven natural acids including salvianolic acid B, protocatechuic acid,  $18\alpha/\beta$ -glycyrrhetinic acid, gallic acid, ferulic acid and ursolic acid tested in this study, only ferulic acid and ursolic acid moderately inhibited CYP3A4. All lactones tested except scopoletin had little inhibitory effect on CYP enzymes. In contrast, dehydroandrographolide (from *Andrographis paniculata*) inhibited CYP2C19 significantly and 3A4 to a moderate level, while evodin (from *Evodia rutaecarpa*) significantly inhibited CYP3A4.

Saponins, a heterogeneous group of sterol and triterpene glucosides, are found in a large number of plants and some animals (e.g. the sea cucumber) (Skene and Sutton, 2006). Most of the tested saponin compounds failed to show any inhibitory effects on the five CYP enzymes. Only ginsenoside Rg3 (*panax Ginseng*) exhibited minor inhibitory effect on CYP2C9, while sanqi saponin and total notoginsenosides (both from *panax notoginseng*) exhibited weak inhibition to CYP3A4. All other glucosides including alloin, amygdalin, arctiin, forsythin, gastrodin, liquiritin, polydatin and puerarin exhibited little inhibition to CYP2C9, 2C19, 2D6 and 3A4.

In conclusion, a variety of structurally distinct herbal compounds have been examined with their ability to inhibit major human CYPs using HTP approach and a small number of them are found to significantly inhibit human CYP1A2, 2C9, 2C19 and CYP3A4. Given that these enzymes play a key role in the metabolism of many important clinical drugs, further investigations in humans are needed to explore the clinical impact.

Table 2-1. The reaction systems consisting of the CYP enzymes, positive inhibitors and probe substrates.

Enzyme	Enzyme Assay	Positive	Fluorescent Substrate
		Inhibitor	
CYP1A2	Phenacetin	Furafylline	3-Cyano-7-ethoxycoumarin
	O-deethylase		
CYP2C9	Diclofenac	Sulfaphenazole	7-Methoxy-4-trifluoromethylcoumarin
	4'-hydroxylase	_	
CYP2C19	S-Mephenytoin	Tranylcypromine	3-Cyano-7-ethoxycoumarin
	4'-hydroxylase		
CYP2D6	Bufuralol	Quinidine	3-[2-( <i>N</i> , <i>N</i> -diethyl- <i>N</i> -methylamino)ethyl]-7-methoxy-4-methylcoumarin
	1'-hydroxylase		
CYP3A4	Midazolam	Ketoconazole	7-Benzyloxy-4-trifluoromethylcoumarin
	1'-hydroxylase		

Table 2-2. $IC_{50}$ value of the sixt	v test compounds an	nd seven herbal products.
--	---------------------	---------------------------

Test compound	Number of compound	Herbal source	Highest concentration	IC <sub>50</sub> (μM)					
-			(µM)	CYP1A2	CYP2C9	CYP2D6	CYP3A4	<b>CYP2C19</b>	
Alloin	1	Rheum palmatum	128.35	66.00	#	-	-	-	
		Aloe vera							
Amygdalin	2	Prunus armeniaca	110.62	-	#	-	#	#	
		Prunus persica							
Andrographolide	3	Andrographis paniculata	101.18	#	69.22	-	-	79.03	
Arctiin	4	Arctium lappa	100.00	-	-	-	-	-	
Asperosaponin VI	5	Dipsacus asperoides	57.04	-	#	-	-	#	
Astragaloside	6	Astragalus membranaceus	66.20	-	#	-	-	#	
Baicalein	7	Scutellaria baicalensis	101.40	1.22	2.52	36.78	1.24	2.12	
Baicalin	8	Scutellaria baicalensis	101.88	70.03	20.42	-	-	46.11	
		Lonicera japonica							
Bilobalide	9	Ginkgo biloba	100.16	-	-	#	-	-	
Borneol	10	Dryobalanops aromatic	100.20	-	-	-	-	-	
		Chrysanthemum							
		morifolium							
Canthridin	11	Mylabris	99.82	-	-	-	-	-	
Cordycepin	12	Cordyceps sinensis	100.10	6.69	-	-	-	-	
Cryptotanshinone	13	Salvia miltiorrhiza	100.22	0.91	1.23	-	2.96	13.65	
Dehydroandrographolide	14	Andrographis paniculata	100.20	-	39.76	-	24.12	8.87	
Evodin	15	Evodia rutaecarpa	100.49	-	-		1.33	-	
Ferulic Acid	16	Ligusticum chuanxiong	100.22	-	-	#	21.7	-	
		Angelica sinensis							
Forsythin	17	Forsythia suspensa	99.93	-	-	-	-	-	
Gallic acid	18	Rheum palmatum	104.13	-	30.64	-	64.44	31.53	
		Cornus officinalis							
Gastrodin	19	Gastrodia elata	100.22	-	#	-	-	#	
Ginkgolide A	20	Ginkgo biloba	100.06	-	-	-	-	-	
Ginkgolide B	21	Ginkgo biloba	100.18	_	-	#	#	-	
Ginkgolide C	22	Ginkgo biloba	100.18	_	-	#	#	_	
Ginsenoside Rg3	23	Panax ginseng	68.07	-	61.53	-	-	-	

18α-Glycyrrhetinic acid	24	Glycyrrhiza uralensis	99.77	-	-	-	-	-
18β-Glycyrrhetinic acid	25	Glycyrrhiza uralensis	98.89	-	43.37	-	73.18	96.67
Glycyrrhizic acid	26	Glycyrrhiza uralensis	97.11	-	-	-	-	-
ammonium								
Hyperoside	27	Epimedium brevicornum	101.03	14.46	14.37	53.22	47.49	37.08
		Crataegus pinnatifida						
		Apocynum venetum						
Icariin	28	Epimedium brevicornum	102.86	43.00	14.34	-	-	72.17
Jujuboside B	29	Ziziphus jujuba	50.00	-	#	-	-	#
Liquiritin	30	Glycyrrhiza uralensis	134.09	-	#	-	-	-
Matrine	31	Sophora flavescens	100.38	-	-	24.96	-	-
		Sophorae alopecuroidis						
		Sophora tonkinensis						
Notoginsenosides (total)	32	Panax notoginseng	81.10	-	-	-	60.91	-
			µg/ml				µg/ml	
Osthole	33	Angelica pubescens	100.42	1.49	8.3	51.37	12.01	0.92
		Cnidium monnieri						
Oxymatrine	34	Sophora flavescens	100.12	-	-	-	-	-
		Sophorae alopecuroidis						
Paclitaxol	35	Ramulus et folium taxi	115.47	-	-	-	9.66	-
		chinensis						
Polydatin	36	Polygonum cuspidatum	155.64	-	#	#	16.78	-
Protocatechuic Acid	37	Salvia miltiorrhiza	100.56	-	-	#	-	-
		Ilicis pubescentis						
		Petiolus trachycarpi						
Protocatechuicaldehyde	38	Salvia miltiorrhiza	100.70	-	90.66	#	81.19	25.7
		Ilicis pubescentis						
		Petiolus trachycarpi						
Puerarin	39	Scutellaria baicalensis	111.92	#	#	#	#	#
		Pueraria lobata						
Quercetin	40	Ginkgo biloba	101.08	3.97	3.01	54.59	19.8	7.23
		Bupleurum chinensis						
Quercitrin	41	Hyptericum japonicum	108.83	33.76	21.76	90	71.01	98.77
		Viscum coloratum						
Rutaecarpine	42	Evodia rutaecarpa	100.78	#	#	#	#	#

Saikosaponin A	43	Bupleurum chinensis	67.35	-	-	-	_	-
Saikosaponin D	44	Bupleurum chinensis	62.23	-	#	-	-	#
Salvianolic acid B	45	Salvia miltiorrhiza	100.14	-	#	#	#	-
Sanqi saponin	46	Panax notoginseng	59.90	-	-	-	40.85	-
		0 0	μg/ml				µg/ml	
γ-Schisandrin	47	Schisandra chinensis	100.02	-	0.52	16.97	0.009	0.072
Schisandrin	48	Schisandra chinensis	100.08	-	85.2	-	19.4	36.81
Scopoletin	49	Morus alba	100.54	#	#	#	#	#
Silybin	50	Silybi Mariani	100.10	-	3.14	-	2.85	20.26
Sodium danshensu (Salt of salvianolic acid A)	51	Salvia miltiorrhiza	99.76	-	73.12	-	-	-
Sodium tanshinone IIA sulfonate	52	Salvia miltiorrhiza	101.67	7.08	1.36	11.55	1.78	19.44
Sophoridine	53	Sophora tonkinensis Sophorae alopecuroidis	100	-	-	-	-	-
Stachydrine chloride	54	Leonurus heterophyllus	101.12	-	-	-	-	-
Tanshinone I	55	Salvia miltiorrhiza	27.75	0.027	0.106	-	0.220	21.09
Tanshinone IIA	56	Salvia miltiorrhiza	51.05	0.187	0.209	-	-	#
Tetramethylpyrazine Hydrochloride	57	Ligusticum chuanxiong	100.3	-	-	-	-	-
Trigonelline	58	Trigonella foenum-graecum	99.90	-	#	-	-	#
Triptolide	59	Tripterygium wilfordii	100.22	98.22	-	-	-	-
Ursolic acid	60	Forsythia suspense Cornus officinalis	104.01	-	100.75	-	16.24	-
Dried danshen roots (equal to raw herb mg/ml)		Salvia miltiorrhiza	0.4*	0.0012*	0.0065*	0.106*	0.013*	0.08*
Tanshinone capsule (equal to cryptotanshinone)		Salvia miltiorrhiza	100.05	0.077	0.061	0.899	0.122	1.56

Compound danshen dropping pills (equal to	Salvia miltiorrhiza	100.09	27.82	-	-	19.96	26.55
salvianone acid A)							
Concentrated licorice	Glycyrrhiza uralensis	9.962*	1.04*	0.0045*	2.325*	0.055*	0.0645*
granules							
(equal to raw herb mg/ml)							
Diammonium	Glycyrrhiza uralensis	58.34	-	-	-	-	-
glycyrrhizinate							
Enteric-coated Capsules							
Concentrated wuweizi	Schisandra chinensis	12.6*	3.17*	-	0.99*	0.82*	1.49*
granules							
(equal to raw herb mg/ml)							
Compound Yiganling tablet	Schisandra chinensis +	1.12*	1.09*	0.01*	0.392*	0.004*	0.012*
(equal to a tablet weight)	Silvbi Mariani						

Inhibition percentage was presented at the highest concentration tested if  $IC_{50}$  could not be calculated. For concentration and  $IC_{50}$  values,  $\mu M$  was used as the unit except those crude herbal products with a symbol of "\*". "-" No effect at the highest concentration tested. "#."  $IC_{50}$  value may not be estimated; compound exhibited native fluorescence at concentrations tested.

# Figure 2-1. Chemical structures of the natural compounds tested in this study.











Alloin

Liquiritin



О⊦



Forsythin











H2

OCH,

# (continued)

Saponine



Н₃С







CH3

Lactones









Bilobalide

Ginkgolide A

Ginkgolide B

Ginkgolide C



Andrographolide



Dehydroandrographolide



Evodin

HO O O

Scopoletin

# (continued)

Alkaloids



Figure 2-2. Inhibitory effects of herbal compounds on human CYP1A2.



Figure 2-3. Inhibitory effects of herbal compounds on human CYP2C9.







Figure 2-5. Inhibitory effects of herbal compounds on human CYP2D6.



Figure 2-6. Inhibitory effects of herbal compounds on human CYP3A4.



# CHAPTER 3 PREDICTING PHARMACOKINETIC HERB-DRUG INTERACTIONS

### 3.1 Introduction

Many commonly used herbal products have been reported to modulate the pharmacokinetics of important prescribed drugs, leading to altered absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion. The well-known clinical case is St. John's wort that had shown to reduce the AUC of a variety of clinical drugs, including cyclosporine (Breidenbach et al., 2000b), amitriptyline (Johne et al., 2002), digoxin (Johne et al., 1999), indinavir (Piscitelli et al., 2000), nevirapine (de Maat et al., 2001), oral contraceptives (Yue et al., 2000a), warfarin (Yue et al., 2000a), phenprocoumon (Maurer et al., 1999), theophylline (Nebel et al., 1999), and simivastatin(Sugimoto et al., 2001). The outcomes due to certain herb-drug interactions may be fatal threaten, such as St John's wort decreasing cyclosporine's plasma concentration and then causing tissue rejection in transplant patients. Therefore, combining use of certain herbs with certain therapeutic drugs is on the risk, especially for drugs with narrow therapeutic range (e.g. warfarin and theophylline) and for high-risk groups, such as the elderly or patients with renal or hepatic diseases. Few severe herb-drug interactions have been reported based on case reports (Hu et al., 2005) but the clinical study on herb-drug interactions are still limited, despite many opportunities of combining use of herbs with interventional drugs. Efforts to identify all potential herb-drug interactions will lead to limitless investigations. However, efforts to predict pharmacokinetic drug interactions with certain herbs may provide a perspective view to avoid toxic or fatal herb-drug interactions, if properly using *in vitro* herb-drug interaction data.

Prediction of herb-drug interactions from *in vitro* data is commonly obtained using estimates of enzyme inhibition constant ( $K_i$ ), inhibitor (herbal components) unbound concentrations ([I]), fraction ( $f_h$ ) of hepatic clearance ( $CL_h$ ) in total clearance ( $CL_{tot}$ ) for the potentially inhibited drug and its fraction ( $f_m$ ) of the metabolic process subject to inhibition in  $CL_h$ . Therefore, the clearance of co-administered drugs must be primarily through metabolism but not subject to substantial conjugation or other non-CYP metabolism. Furthermore, the liver is the primary organ of metabolic clearance and the drug does not possess physiochemical properties that are associated with absorption problems (i.e. limited solubility, low intestinal permeability). We have conducted an *in vitro-in vivo* extrapolation for herb-drug interactions based on our *in vitro* inhibitory data following pharmacokinetic principles.

## 3.2 Pharmacokinetic principles for inhibitory drug interactions

Herbs may inhibit CYPs by three mechanisms (Zhou et al., 2005b): competitive inhibition, non-competitive inhibition, and mechanism-based inhibition. Mutual competitive inhibition may occur between herbal constituent and drug, which are often metabolised by the same CYP enzyme. For example, diallyl sulfide from garlic is a competitive inhibitor of CYP2E1 (Teyssier et al., 1999). Non-competitive inhibition is caused by the binding of herbal constituents containing electrophilic groups (e.g. imidazole or hydrazine group) to the heme portion of CYP. For example, piperine inhibited arylhydrocarbon hydroxylase (CYP1A) and 7-ethoxycourmarin deethylase (CYP2A) by non-competitive mechanism (Dalvi and Dalvi, 1991). Hyperforin present in St John's wort is a potent non-competitive inhibitor of CYP2D6 activity (Obach, 2000c). The mechanism-based inhibition of CYP is due to the formation of a complex between herbal metabolite with CYP. Diallyl sulfone is a suicide inhibitor of CYP2E1 by forming complex, leading to autocatalytic destruction of CYP2E1 (Jin and Baillie, 1997b).

Generally, the extent of inhibition (R, %) of drug metabolism by herbal constituents depends on the inhibition mechanism when the substrate concentration [S] is high. For example, the R value of a particular metabolic pathway by a competitive inhibitor from coadministered herb can be calculated by Eq. 3-1 (Lin, 1998; von Moltke et al., 1998b):

$$R (\%) = \frac{CL_{int}'}{CL_{int}} \times 100 = \frac{[I]}{[I] + K_i \times (1 + [S]/K_m)} \times 100$$
 (Equation 3-1)

where [S] and [I] are the maximal unbound substrate and inhibitor concentration respectively;  $K_i$ , the inhibitory constant; and  $K_m$ , Michaelis-Menten constant.

When multiple inhibitory herbal constituents are involved, R is calculated by Eq. 3-2:

$$R(\%) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{[I_i]}{[I_i] + K_{i(i)} \times (1 + [S] / K_m)} \times 100 \right]$$
(Equation 3-2)

However, in clinical situations, [S] is often much lower than  $K_m$ , then R is expressed by Eq. 3-3, independent of the inhibition nature, except for the non-competitive inhibition (Tucker, 1992):

$$R (\%) = \frac{CL_{int}'}{CL_{int}} \times 100 = \frac{1}{1 + K_i / [I]} \times 100$$
 (Equation 3-3)

In addition, the expected increase (AUC ratio) in the AUC or steady-state concentration by an inhibiting constituent is dependent on the route of administration, as this will determine if the drug undergoes first pass in the liver and/or the gut (Ito et al., 1998c). If drugs are administered by i.v. bolus, the AUC ratio ( $\frac{AUC'}{AUC}$ , the ratio of AUC in the presence of inhibitor over that in the absence of inhibitor) can be calculated by Eq. 3-4:

AUC ratio = 
$$\frac{AUC'}{AUC} = \frac{CL_{int}}{CL_{int}} = 1 + [I]/K_i$$
 (Equation 3-4)

where  $CL_{int}$  is the intrinsic clearance inhibited by the inhibiting constituent; ' represents the value after alteration by herb-drug interaction. Since herbs usually contain multiple inhibitory constituents, an herb-drug interaction *in vivo* is considered likely if the following is true:

AUC ratio = 
$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ [I_i] / K_{i(i)} \right]$$
 (Equation 3-5)

where  $[I_i]$  is the maximal unbound inhibitor concentration of each inhibitory constituent,  $K_{i(i)}$ , the inhibition constant for each constituent, n, the number of inhibitory constituents in the herb.

Given consideration of the fraction ( $f_h$ ) of hepatic clearance ( $CL_h$ ) in total clearance ( $CL_{tot}$ ), the expected AUC ratio in the AUC or steady-state concentration by an inhibiting constituent can also be calculated by Eq. 3-6:

$$\text{AUCratio} = \frac{AUC}{AUC} = \frac{C_{\text{ss}}}{C_{\text{ss}}} = \frac{CL_{\text{tot}}}{CL_{\text{tot}}} = \frac{CL_{\text{h}}/f_{\text{h}}}{CL_{\text{h}}' + CL_{\text{h}}/f_{\text{h}}} = \frac{1}{f_{h} \times CL_{h}'/CL_{h} + 1 - f_{h}} \quad (\text{Equation 3-6})$$

where  $f_h$  is the fraction of hepatic clearance in total clearance;  $CL_h$  is the hepatic clearance; and ' represents the value after alteration by drug interaction.

For high clearance drugs administered by i.v. bolus,  $CL_h$  is rate-limited by the flow rate. When the altered  $CL_h$  remains rate-limited by the flow rate, then  $CL_h = CL_h$ , i.e. AUC ratio = 1, AUC is not altered.

However, for a low clearance drug administered by i.v., it is necessary to consider the fraction  $(f_m)$  of the metabolic process subject to inhibition in  $CL_h$ . Therefore, the AUC ratio is given by Eq. 3-7.

AUC ratio = 
$$\frac{1}{f_{h} \times f_{m} \times CL_{int} / CL_{int} + 1 - f_{h} \times f_{m}}$$
 (Equation 3-7)

where  $CL_{int}$  is the intrinsic clearance inhibited by the inhibiting constituent; ' represents the value after alteration by herb-drug interaction; and  $f_m$  is the fraction of the specific metabolic pathway in hepatic clearance.

In the clinical settings, [S] is often much lower than  $K_m$ , then AUC ratio is given by the following equation:

AUC ratio = 
$$\frac{1}{f_{h} \times f_{m} \times \left\{\frac{1}{(1 + [I]/K_{i})}\right\} + 1 - f_{h} \times f_{m}}$$
(Equation 3-8)

Obviously, the AUC ratio is determined by  $K_i$ , [I],  $f_h$ , and  $f_m$ , but not by  $K_m$  or [S]. It should be noted that multiple inhibitory herbal constituents are always involved in the inhibition of the same metabolic pathway of a drug, thus AUC ratio is calculated by Eq. 3-9.

AUC ratio = 
$$\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ f_h \times f_m \times \left\{ \frac{1}{(1+[I]/K_i)} \right\} + 1 - f_h \times f_m \right]}$$
(Equation 3-9)

The values of  $f_h$  and  $f_m$  can be determined from the urinary recovery of the parent molecule and each metabolite.  $K_i$  can be estimated by *in vitro* inhibition studies using liver microsomes, hepatocytes and cDNA-expressed microsomes. However, the determination of these parameters is difficult for herbs that often contain multiple components and low plasma levels are reached when administered.

### 3.3 Predicting metabolic herb-drug interactions based on in vitro data

We had examined the effect of a number of herbal components in five human CYPs using a validated high throughput approach. The herbal components tested include a variety of structurally distinct compounds such as triterpenoids, flavonoids, saponine, lactones, alkaloids, and acids. We found that a small number of herbal compounds exhibited remarkable inhibitory effect (IC<sub>50</sub> < 1.0  $\mu$ M) on CYP1A2, 2C9, 2C19, or 3A4, including  $\gamma$ -schisandrin, tanshinone I, tanshinone IIA, cryptotanshinone, osthole and silybin (Table 3-1).

Following above pharmacokinetic principles, we conducted an exercise to predict pharmacokinetic herb-drug interactions using these *in vitro* results, with a focus on the constituents purified from *Schizandra chinensis* (Wuweizi), *Salvia miltiorrhiza* (Danshen), *Angelica pubescens* (osthole) and *Silybi Mariani* (Shuifeiji).

The expected AUC ratio was mainly dependent on [I],  $K_i$ ,  $f_h$ , number of inhibitory herbal constituents (n) and  $f_m$ . As shown in Equation 3-10, herb-drug interactions would be with low risk if  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} [I_i]/K_{i(i)}]$  is less than 0.1, medium risk if it is between 0.1-1.0, and high risk if it is greater than 1 (Zhou et al., 2004b). Furthermore, a  $K_i$  value of a competitive inhibitor can be estimated by its IC<sub>50</sub> as it equal to half IC<sub>50</sub> value (Zhou et al., 2004b). In present study, we hypothesize that all the herbal compounds used here are competitive inhibitors of CYP1A2.

### 3.4 Results

Table 3-2 shows the predicted risk of pharmacokinetic changes by various herbal medicines. Table 3-3 shows the estimated AUC ratio (based on Eq. 5) with regard to CYP isoform inhibited by individual herbal constituents using *S. chinensis, S. miltiorrhiza, S. Mariani* (milk thistle) and *A. pubescens/Cnidium monnieri* as examples. It appeared that the *S. chinensis* might cause high risk for metabolic interactions with drugs that are primarily metabolised by CYP2C9, 2C19 or 3A4; *S. miltiorrhiza* would cause high risk for metabolic interactions with drugs that are mainly eliminated by CYP1A2 at low blood concentration and might also cause high risk for metabolic interactions with drugs that are metabolice of tanshinone II A (*S. miltiorrhiza*), would cause medium to high risk for metabolic interaction with drugs that are primarily metabolized by CYP1A2, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6 or 3A4, whereas *S. Mariani* (milk thistle) might cause medium to high risk for metabolic interaction with drugs that are mainly eliminated by CYP1A2, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6 or 3A4, whereas *S. Mariani* (milk thistle) might cause medium to high risk for metabolic interaction with drugs that are mainly eliminated by CYP2C9, 2C19 or 3A4. The other five herbal constituents, baicalein, baicalin, quercitrin, quercetin and icariin, would just cause low to moderate risk for metabolic interactions with drugs that are mainly eliminated by these enzymes.

As shown in Table 3-3, the AUC ratio due to herb-drug combination can be estimated using Eq. 3-9. Coadministration of *S. chinensis* was expected to significantly increase the AUC values of warfarin (a CYP2C9 substrate) and most CYP3A4 substrates, such as carbamazepine,

cyclosporine A, indinavir, midazolam and tacrolimus. It was also expected to significantly increase the AUC of omeprazole (a CYP2C19 substrate).

Coadministration of the herb *S. miltiorrhiza* (Danshen) was expected to increase the AUC values of CYP1A2 and 2C9 substrates, such as caffeine and theophylline (both CYP1A2 substrates), and CYP2C9 substrate warfarin, but it would not remarkably change the AUC of CYP3A4 substrates (including carbamazepine, cyclosporine, indinavir, midazolam and tacrolimus). However, sodium tanshinone IIA sulfonate, the artificial derivate of tanshinone IIA (*S. miltiorrhiza*), was expected to remarkably increase the AUC of warfarin (a CYP2C9 substrate) and the AUC of carbamazepine, cyclosporine, indinavir, midazolam and tacrolimus (all CYP3A4 substrates), but it would not significantly change the AUC of CYP1A2 (e.g. theophylline) and 2C19 substrates (e.g. omeprazole).

The *S. Mariani* (milk thistle) was expected to remarkably increase the AUC values of warfarin and most CYP3A4 substrates such as carbamazepine, cyclosporine, indinavir, midazolam and tacrolimus, but it would not remarkably change the AUC of omeprazole (CYP2C19 substrates).

Concurrent use of *A. pubescens* or *C. monnieri* (including osthole) might significantly increase the AUC values of caffeine/theophylline (CYP1A2 substrates), warfarin (CYP2C9 substrate) and omeprazole (CYP2C19 substrate), while it would not remarkably change the AUC of most CYP3A4 substrates such as carbamazepine, cyclosporine A, indinavir, midazolam and tacrolimus.

#### **3.5** Conclusions and Discussion

For low clearance drug by i.v. injection, the AUC ratio was generally determined by inhibition constant ( $K_i$ ), unbound inhibitor concentration ([I]), hepatic fraction ( $f_h$ ), number of inhibitory herbal constituents (n) and metabolic pathway fraction in hepatic metabolism ( $f_m$ ), while the AUC ratio for a high clearance drug by oral route, the AUC ratio was determined by  $K_i$ , [I], n and  $f_m$ . By varying these parameters, the AUC ratio changed accordingly. It appeared likely to predict an herb-drug metabolic interaction, if the inhibiting herbal constituents could be qualitatively and quantitatively determined. High throughput screening assays provide a useful strategy for the qualitative and quantitative study of herb-CYP interactions. High throughput screening assays are capable of handling the great number of herbal constituents (if using

purified herbal components), thus offer the opportunity to use the resulting *in vitro* inhibition data as a criterion for monitoring herb-drug metabolic interactions involving human drug metabolising enzymes (in particular CYPs) (Masimirembwa CM et al., 2001).

Based on our previous high throughput results, we conducted predictions for *S. chinensis* ( $\gamma$ -schisandrin and schisandrin), *S. miltiorrhiza* (tanshinone I, tanshinone IIA, and cryptotanshinone), sodium tanshinone IIA sulfonate, *S. Mariani* (silybin A and B) and *A. pubescens/Cnidium monnieri* (osthole). Some predicting results were consisting with clinical reports. For example, it was expected that *S. chinensis* ( $\gamma$ -schisandrin and schisandrin) would increase the AUC value of tacrolimus, which is consistent with the report (Xin et al., 2007) where *Schisandra sphenanthera* extracts increase the oral bioavailability of tacrolimus. Furthermore, the prediction of *S. miltiorrhiza* increasing the AUC value of warfarin (CYP2C9 substrate) is consistent with the report by Chan (2001). It is also in agreement with the case reports that *S. miltiorrhiza* products increased 2-fold in prothrombin time of warfarin and induced over-anticoagulation in patients.

However, some predictions were opposite or different to the clinical studies. For example, clinical studies of *S. miltiorrhiza* interaction with caffeine/theophylline (CYP1A2 substrates) showed a different picture. An early study reported that compound danshen tablets (mainly contained *S. miltiorrhiza*) increased the metabolism of caffeine in healthy subjects, implicating that compound danshen tablets induced the activity of CYP1A2. However, a recent study by Qiu *et al.* (2008a) reported that *S. miltiorrhiza* extracts did not influence the metabolism of theophylline in healthy volunteers. The *in vitro* study showed that human CYP1A2 is inhibited by the ethyl acetate extract of danshen and danshen products (Ueng et al., 2003; Qiu et al., 2008b). Another example is indinavir (a CYP3A4 substrate), whose plasma concentration was not altered by co-administered silymarin (containing silybin) in healthy volunteers (DiCenzo et al., 2003). However, the prediction was that *S. Mariani* would increase the AUC of indinavir.

These findings reflect the difficulties and complexity when predicting herb-drug interactions. The reasons are as following: a) using above pharmacokinetic principles plus *in vitro* data to predict herb-drug interactions *in vivo* can only be used for the herbs with inhibitory effects. If herbal compounds act as inductors *in vivo*, like St John Wort, current predicting procedure is not proper. b) herbal preparations may contain multiple CYP-modulating constituents, with unknown amounts and inhibition/induction potency for CYPs. Therefore the total effects *in* 

*vivo* are balance results of individual effects of the multiple constituents; c) the inhibitor/induction of CYP by herbs may by temporally distinguishable, depending on the herb's dosing, administration route and tissues; d) marked variability in the contents of herbal constituents (Bergonzi et al., 2001); e) presence of extra-hepatic metabolism; and active transport in liver; and f) many herbs are used chronically.

In conclusion, the prediction of metabolic herb-drug interactions based on *in vitro* inhibition data involving human drug metabolising enzymes (in particular CYPs) is possible, but the prediction is uncertain and complex when multiple factors are involved.

Herbal compound	IC <sub>50</sub> (µM)					
	CYP1A2	CYP2C9	CYP2D6	CYP3A4	<b>CYP2C19</b>	
γ-Schisandrin	-	0.52	16.97	0.009	0.07	
Schisandrin	-	85.20	-	19.40	36.81	
Tanshinone I	0.027	0.11	-	0.22	21.09	
Tanshinone IIA	0.19	0.21	-	-	-	
Cryptotanshinone	0.91	1.23	-	2.96	13.65	
Sodium tanshinone IIA sulfonate	7.08	1.36	11.55	1.78	19.44	
Sodium Danshensu	-	73.12	-	-	-	
Salvianolic acid B	-	-	-	-	-	
Protocatechuicaldehyde	-	90.66	-	81.19	25.70	
Protocatechuic Acid	-	-	-	-	-	
Osthole	1.49	8.30	51.37	12.01	0.92	
Silybin	-	3.14	-	2.85	20.26	
Baicalein	1.22	2.52	36.78	1.24	2.12	
Baicalin	70.03	20.42	-	-	46.11	
Quercetin	3.97	3.01	54.59	19.80	7.23	
Quercitrin	33.76	21.76	90.00	71.01	98.77	
Icariin	43.00	14.34	-	-	72.17	

Table 3-1. The  $IC_{50}$  of potential herbal inhibitors.

Table 3-2. Prediction for the risk of herb-drug interaction.

		[Ι] μΜ	K <sub>i</sub> μM	[ <b>I</b> ]/ <i>K</i> <sub>i</sub>	Estimated AUC ratio (R)	Risk of herb-drug interaction
Schisandra	chinensis (Wuweizi)					
CYP1A2	γ-Schisandrin	-	-	-		NT 4
	Schisandrin	-	-	-	-	NA
CYP2C9	γ-Schisandrin	0.689	0.26	2.65	2 75	High
	Schisandrin	4.31	42.6	0.101	5.75	підп
CYP2C19	γ-Schisandrin	0.689	0.036	19.15	20.28	High
	Schisandrin	4.31	18.41	0.234	20.38	mgn
CYP2D6	γ-Schisandrin	0.689	8.49	0.081	1.08	Low
	Schisandrin	4.31	-	-	1.00	LOW
CYP3A4	γ-Schisandrin	0.689	0.0045	153.16	154 61	High
	Schisandrin	4.31	9.70	0.445	1.54.01	
Salvia milti	orrhiza (Danshen)	Low Con.				
CYP1A2	Tanshinone I	0.006	0.014	0.437		
	Tanshinone IIA	0.009	0.094	0.101	2.0	High
	Cryptotanshinone	0.209	0.455	0.460		
CYP2C9	Tanshinone I	0.006	0.053	0.111		
	Tanshinone IIA	0.009	0.105	0.090	1.56	Medium
	Cryptotanshinone	0.209	0.615	0.340		
CYP2C19	Tanshinone I	0.006	10.55	0.0006		
	Tanshinone IIA	0.009	-	-	1.03	Medium
	Cryptotanshinone	0.209	6.83	0.031		
CYP2D6	Tanshinone I	0.006	-	-		
	Tanshinone IIA	0.009	-	-	-	NA
	Cryptotanshinone	0.209	-	-		
СҮРЗА4	Tanshinone I	0.006	0.11	0.054		
	Tanshinone IIA	0.009	-	-	1.19	Medium
	Cryptotanshinone	0.209	1.48	0.141		<u>_</u>
Salvia milti	orrhiza (Danshen)	High Con.				
CYP1A2	Tanshinone IIA	5.44*	0.094	58.14	59.14	High
CLUDA CO	Cryptotanshinone	2.09	0.455	4.60	5.60	High
CYP2C9	Tanshinone IIA	5.44*	0.105	52.02	53.02	High
	Cryptotanshinone	2.09	0.615	3.40	4.40	High
CYP2CI9	Tanshinone IIA	5.44*	-	-	-	NA
CVDAD	Cryptotanshinone	2.09	6.83	0.307	1.31	Medium
CYP2D6	Tanshinone IIA	5.44*	-	-	-	NA
	Cryptotanshinone	2.09	-	-	-	NA
CYP3A4	Tanshinone IIA	5.44*	-	-	-	NA
Cod: 4	Cryptotanshinone	2.09	1.48	1.414	2.41	High
Socium tan	sumone IIA sulfonate	1.00				
CYP1A2		1.26	3.54	0.357	1.36	Medium
CYP2C9	Sodium	1.26	0.679	1.86	2.86	High
CYP2CI9	tanshinone IIA	1.26	9.72	0.130	1.13	Medium
CYP2D6	suiionate	1.26	5.78	0.219	1.22	Medium
СҮРЗА4		1.26	0.890	1.42	2.42	High

Angelica pu	Angelica pubescens / Cnidium monnieri (Duhuo / Shechuangzi)							
CYP1A2		2.75	0.75	3.69	4.69	High		
CYP2C9		2.75	4.15	0.66	1.66	Medium		
CYP2C19	Osthole	2.75	0.46	5.98	6.98	High		
CYP2D6		2.75	25.69	0.107	1.11	Medium		
CYP3A4		2.75	6.01	0.458	1.46	Medium		
Silybi Mariani (Shuifengji, milk thistle)								
CYP1A2	Silybin A	4.84	-	-		<u>.</u>		
	Silybin B	1.21	-	-	-	NA		
CYP2C9	Silybin A	4.84	1.57	3.08				
	Silybin B	1.21	1.57	0.768	4.85	High		
CYP2C19	Silybin A	4.84	10.13	0.478	1.60			
	Silybin B	1.21	10.13	0.119	1.60	Medium		
CYP2D6	Silybin A	4.84	-	-				
	Silybin B	1.21	-	-	-	NA		
CYP3A4	Silybin A	4.84	1.43	3.40	5.24	TT: 1		
	Silybin B	1.21	1.43	0.847	5.24	High		
Scutellaria l	<i>baicalensis</i> (Huangq	in)						
CYP1A2		0.207	0.61	0.340	1.34	Medium		
CYP2C9		0.207	1.26	0.164	1.16	Medium		
CYP2C19	Baicalein	0.207	1.06	0.196	1.20	Medium		
CYP2D6		0.207	18.39	0.011	1.01	Low		
CYP3A4		0.207	0.620	0.334	1.33	Medium		
Scutellaria l	baicalensis /Lonicera	<i>a japonica</i> (Huang	gqin/Jinyinhua)					
CYP1A2		0.067	35.02	0.002	1.002	Low		
CYP2C9		0.067	10.21	0.007	1.007	Low		
CYP2C19	Baicalin	0.067	23.06	0.003	1.003	Low		
CYP2D6		0.067	-	-	-	NA		
CYP3A4		0.067	-	-	-	NA		
Hyptericum	japonicum / Viscum	<i>coloratum</i> (Diero	cao/Hujisheng)					
CYP1A2		8.19	16.88	0.49	1.49	Medium		
CYP2C9		8.19	10.88	0.75	1.75	Medium		
CYP2C19	Quercitrin	8.19	49.39	0.166	1.17	Medium		
CYP2D6		8.19	45	0.182	1.18	Medium		
CYP3A4		8.19	35.51	0.231	1.23	Medium		
Ginkgo bilo	ba / Bupleurum chin	ensis (Yinxinye/O	Caihu)					
CYP1A2		0.051*	1.99	0.026	1.03	Low		
CYP2C9		0.051*	1.51	0.034	1.03	Low		
CYP2C19	Quercetin	0.051*	3.62	0.014	1.01	Low		
CYP2D6		0.051*	27.30	0.002	1.002	Low		
CYP3A4		0.051*	9.90	0.005	1.005	Low		
Epimedium	brevicornum (Yinya	nghe)						
CYP1A2		0.151	21.50	0.007	1.007	Low		
CYP2C9		0.151	7.17	0.021	1.02	Low		
CYP2C19	Icariin	0.151	36.09	0.004	1.004	Low		
CYP2D6		0.151	-	-	-	NA		
CYP3A4		0.151	-	-	-	NA		

Major CYP		$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ [I_i] / K_{i(i)} \right]$	$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{h}}$	$f_m$	Estimated	Observed	Ref.
Schisandra chine	nsis (v-schisandrin + s	chisandrin)			AUC Ialio	AUC Tatio	
CYP2C9	Warfarin	2.75	1	0.85	2.66		
<b>CYP2C19</b>	Omeprazole	19.38	0.75	0.56	1.66		
CYP3A4	Carbamazepine	153.61	0.8	0.65	2.07		
CYP3A4	Cyclosporine A	153.61	0.94	0.76	3.45		
CYP3A4	Indinavir	153.61	0.85	0.7	2.45		
CYP3A4	Midazolam	153.61	0.88	0.75	2.90		
CYP3A4	Tacrolimus	153.61	0.86	0.7	2.49	1.64	(Xin et al., 2007)
Salvia miltiorrhiz	a (tanshinone I + tansl	hinone IIA + cry	ptotar	nshinone	e)		
CYP1A2	Caffeine	0.998	0.95	0.79	1.60		
CYP1A2	Theophylline	0.998	0.84	0.69	1.41		
CYP2C9	Warfarin	0.559	1	0.85	1.44	Increased	(Chan, 2001)
<b>CYP2C19</b>	Omeprazole	0.031	0.75	0.56	1.01		
CYP3A4	Carbamazepine	0.195	0.8	0.65	1.09		
CYP3A4	Cyclosporine A	0.195	0.94	0.76	1.13		
CYP3A4	Indinavir	0.195	0.85	0.7	1.11		
CYP3A4	Midazolam	0.195	0.88	0.75	1.12		
CYP3A4	Tacrolimus	0.195	0.86	0.7	1.11		
Sodium tanshir	none IIA sulfonate						
CYP1A2	Caffeine	0.357	0.95	0.79	1.25		
CYP1A2	Theophylline	0.357	0.84	0.69	1.18		
CYP2C9	Warfarin	1.86	1	0.85	2.24		
<b>CYP2C19</b>	Omeprazole	0.130	0.75	0.56	1.05		
CYP3A4	Carbamazepine	1.42	0.8	0.65	1.44		
CYP3A4	Cyclosporine A	1.42	0.94	0.76	1.72		
CYP3A4	Indinavir	1.42	0.85	0.7	1.54		
CYP3A4	Midazolam	1.42	0.88	0.75	1.63		
CYP3A4	Tacrolimus	1.42	0.86	0.7	1.55		
Silybi Mariani (si	lybin A + silybin B)						
CYP2C9	Warfarin	3.85	1	0.85	3.07		
<b>CYP2C19</b>	Omeprazole	0.597	0.75	0.56	1.19		
CYP3A4	Carbamazepine	4.24	0.8	0.65	1.73		
CYP3A4	Cyclosporine A	4.24	0.94	0.76	2.37		
CYP3A4	Indinavir	4.24	0.85	0.7	1.93	0.91	(Piscitelli et al., 2002)
CYP3A4	Midazolam	4.24	0.88	0.75	2.15		- /
CYP3A4	Tacrolimus	4.24	0.86	0.7	1.95		
Angelica pubesce	ns/Cnidium monnieri (	Osthole)					
CYP1A2	Caffeine	3.69	0.95	0.79	2.44		
CYP1A2	Theophylline	3.69	0.84	0.69	1.84		
CYP2C9	Warfarin	0.663	1	0.85	1.51		
<b>CYP2C19</b>	Omeprazole	5.98	0.75	0.56	1.56		
CYP3A4	Carbamazepine	0.458	0.8	0.65	1.20		
CYP3A4	Cyclosporine A	0.458	0.94	0.76	1.29		
CYP3A4	Indinavir	0.458	0.85	0.7	1.23		
CYP3A4	Midazolam	0.458	0.88	0.75	1.26		
CYP3A4	Tacrolimus	0.458	0.86	0.7	1.23		

Table 3-3. Prediction of AUC ratio.

# CHAPTER 4 A COMPUTERIZED MODELING STUDY FOR THE INTERACTION OF LIGANDS WITH HUMAN CYP1A2 ENZYME

# 4.1 Introduction

In the superfamily of the human CYP enzymes, family 1 contains three well characterized monooxygenases, namely CYP1A1, 1A2 and 1B1. These CYPs participates in over 10% of all Phase 1 oxidative reactions. Among them, CYP1A2 is the most important one for the oxidative metabolism of exogenous compounds in human liver, including a variety of procarcinogens such as PAHs and therapeutic drugs (Brosen, 1995; Eaton et al., 1995; Hammons et al., 1997; Rendic and Di Carlo, 1997). CYP1A1 is not expressed in the liver, but inducible by smoking and some compounds. CYP1A2 is one of the enzymes responsible for activating aromatic heterocyclic amines and PAHs to highly reactive metabolites that crosslink DNA and ultimately cause carcinogenesis (Eaton et al., 1995; Guengerich et al., 1999; Zhou et al., 2005a). The activation of procarcinogens such as heterocyclic amines and PAHs by CYP1A2 makes this enzyme particularly important in carcinogenesis. The amino radical (-NH<sub>2</sub>) in these amines, rich in cooked meat and fish, is converted by CYP1A2 into a hydroxyamino group (N-OH-) which is further activated to form esters that ultimately produce DNA adducts (Yamashita et al., 1988). In general, aromatic amines are bioactivated in two steps, N-oxidation by CYP1A2, followed by a conjugation (usually acetylation or sulphonation) (Yamashita et al., 1988). These conjugation reactions introduce good leaving groups, resulting in a highly reactive resonance-stabilized nitrenium/carbonium ion (Yamashita et al., 1988). Therefore, induction of CYP1A2 enzyme may enhance individual susceptibility to carcinogenesis, whereas inhibition of the CYP1A2 enzyme might have important implications for cancer chemoprevention. In fact, some natural compounds with potent inhibitory effect on CYP1A2 have been shown to reduce chemical-induced carcinogenesis in preclinical studies (Zhou et al., 2005a). On the other hand, since CYP1A2 is involved in the metabolic clearance of a number of clinical drugs such as theophylline, tacrine and propranolol, inhibition or induction of CYP1A2 activity is associated with a number of pharmacokinetic drug interactions when drugs are administered concomitantly (Zhou et al., 2009). The drug interactions are more clinically important when the victim drug has a narrow therapeutic index (e.g. theophylline).

The crystal structure of human CYP1A2 (Sansen et al., 2007) has been recently solved, which provides us a firm basis for further investigation of the mechanism of ligand-CYP1A2 interaction at molecular level. A narrow, planar ligand binding cavity in the active site of

CYP1A2 is observed in the structure (PDB ID: 2HI4, see Figure 1-4), which is consistent with the fact that most of the CYP1A2 substrates and inhibitors are planar, small molecules with high log P values (highly lipophilic) (Korhonen et al., 2005; Zhou et al., 2009). CYP1A2 contributes significantly to the hepatic metabolism of many hydrophobic drugs such as amitriptyline, haloperidol, olanzapine, tacrine, theophylline, zileuton, and zolmitriptan, as well as its probe substrate caffeine (Zhou et al., 2009).

The known inhibitors of human CYP1A2 include amiodarone, ciprofloxacin, cimetidine, fluvoxamine, furafylline, mibefradil, ANF, propafenone, rofecoxib and rofecoxib (Zhou et al., 2009). Fluvoxamine, for instance, a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor, is a potent mechanism-based inhibitor of CYP1A2 and has been shown to significantly increase the plasma levels of tizanidine (a substrate of CYP1A2), leading to tizanidine intoxication when coadministered (Granfors et al., 2004b). Drugs behaving as potent mechanism-based inhibitors of CYP1A2 may explain some drug-drug interactions observed in clinical practice. For example, zileuton is a mechanism-based inhibitor of CYP1A2 (Lu et al., 2003) and this may explain why it decreased the oral clearance of antipyrine (St Peter et al., 1995), propranolol (Lau, 1997), *R*-warfarin (Awni et al., 1995e), and theophylline (Granneman et al., 1995), at doses that have a minimal effect on the pharmacokinetics of S-warfarin (Awni et al., 1995e), phenytoin (Samara et al., 1995), digoxin (Awni et al., 1995d), naproxen (Awni et al., 1995a), prednisone (Awni et al., 1995c), sulfasalazine (Awni et al., 1995b), and terfenadine (Awni et al., 1997). Rofecoxib moderately increases the plasma level and effects of theophylline (Bachmann et al., 2003) and the R-warfarin (Schwartz et al., 2000). Like fluvoxamine, rofecoxib at therapeutic doses of 25 mg per day increased more than 10-fold the plasma concentrations and adverse effects of the CYP1A2 substrate tizanidine in humans (Backman et al., 2006b).

Previous studies have established several pharmacophore models to explore the interaction of ligands with CYP1A2 (Lozano et al., 1997; Lewis et al., 2003). However, these models have intrinsic limitations as they are all based on homology models arising from bacterial and rabbit CYP structures. The structural information obtained from these models is limited. This has prompted us to conduct docking studies for known CYP1A2 substrates and inhibitors, and then established pharmacophore models using a set of known CYP1A2 inhibitors. We then validated the models with a set of other known CYP1A2 inhibitors and compared with our *in vitro* inhibitory data (see Section 2.3 of Chapter 2).
## 4.2 Modelling Methods

The AutoDock program and the Ligplot program were used to establish models for CYP1A2 and its ligands including substrates and inhibitors. The HipHop module in Catalyst (Accelrys, Inc., installed in Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China) was used to generate pharmacophore hypotheses with qualitative common features utilizing a series of ligands.

## 4.2.1 Docking study

The binding mode of 25 known substrates of CYP1A2 with diverse structures in the active site of CYP1A2 was estimated by docking simulation using the AutoDock 4.0 program. These substrates included acetaminophen, amitriptyline, caffeine, estradiol, tacrine, naproxen, fluvoxamine, phenacetin, tizanidine and zileuton (Table 4-1). The AutoDock program can calculate the binding energy of a ligand when it binds to a protein and, in present study, was used to determine the energy when a ligand was docked into the active site of CYP1A2.

Ligands were added polar hydrogens; Gasteiger charges computed; non-polar hydrogens merged; and energy minimization was performed as entries before docking as described previously (Paxton et al., 2005). Docking was carried out in a standard grid-based mode within the active site of CYP1A2 structure, derived from 2HI4 (PDB) where water and ligand ( $\alpha$ -naphthoflavone) were removed. Default values for van der Waals scaling, electrostatics, and ligand minimization were used. A modified genetic search algorithm employing a local minimum refinement was used to identify low energy binding sites and orientations of the probe molecule. A grid of 54 × 54 × 54-point with a spacing of 0.375 Å centred at 2.674 × 18.041 × 19.672 Å that fully encompassed the active site was employed. The top ten scoring conformations of each ligand were saved. The other parameters were set as follows: number of genetic algorithm runs, 10; maximum number of genetic algorithm popular size, 120; number of top individuals, 1; rate of gene mutation, 0.02; rate of crossover, 0.8; GA crossover mode, twopt. Only the substrate/inhibitor and amino acid residues within 4.5 Å were allowed during the determination. The protein was frozen when the docking was performed automatically.

## 4.2.2 Ligplot study

The Ligplot program was used to analyse the docking results of ligands at the active site of CYP1A2 by generating the schematic diagrams for the protein–ligand interactions (Wallace et al., 1995). These diagrams indicate which residue atoms in the CYP1A2 protein interact with which ligand atoms. The atom-atom interaction carried out by the Ligplot program was presented as hydrogen bond (O-H) and hydrophobic (C-C) interactions. After obtaining the diagrams from substrate and inhibitor analysis, the binding residues involved in these interactions were selected.

## 4.2.3 Pharmacophore hypotheses generation for CYP1A2 inhibitors

The HipHop module in Catalyst was routinely used to generate pharmacophore hypotheses with qualitative common features utilizing a series of ligands. To identify the common features of CYP1A2 inhibitors, we employed a series of ligands with distinct core structures to generate a pharmacophore model.

The training set of ligands (n = 5) included fluvoxamine, galangin, miconazole,  $\alpha$ -naphthoflavone (used as initial template), and rutaecarpine which are all CYP1A2 inhibitors (Fig. 4-1). To identify pharmacophore features necessary for potent CYP1A2 inhibitors, the qualitative HipHop model was generated based on these five compounds in training set. Besides to study common features of CYP1A2 inhibitors, we also explored the common features shared by substrates and inhibitors of CYP1A2, on the assumption that the ligands with high affinity bind in a similar manner at the enzyme active site. Therefore, we used CYP1A2 inhibitors as the training set and utilized both substrates and inhibitors of CYP1A2 as validating sets.

The validating set of ligands encompassed 9 well-known CYP1A2 inhibitors, namely, amiodarone, cimetidine, ciprofloxacin, enoxacin, furafylline, methoxsalen, mibefradil, propafenone and rofecoxib. We further examined the usefulness of the established pharmacophore models employing a series of ligands, including 56 herbal compounds and 18 well-known CYP1A2 substrates.

# 4.2.4 Training set selection and conformational analysis

All structural models were built and minimized within the Catalyst (Accelrys Inc.). Before starting the pharmacophore generation process, conformational models for the molecules were

calculated using the best conformer generation method. When the lowest energy was more than 20 kcal/mol, the conformers were excluded. The poling algorithm was used, which sought to provide a broad coverage of conformational space. The number of conformers generated for each compound was limited to a maximum number of 255 which was set as a default value.

#### 4.2.5 Generation of pharmacophore models

In the HipHop model generation process using above five CYP1A2 inhibitors, the highest weight was assigned to the most active ligand with the highest binding affinity,  $\alpha$ -naphthoflavone, in the training set.  $\alpha$ -Naphthoflavone was considered as a 'reference compound' specifying a 'principal' value of 2 and a 'MaxOmitFeat' value of 0. A 'principle' value of 2 ensures that all of the chemical features in the ligand will be considered in building hypothesis space, while a 0 of 'MaxOmitFeat' value forces mapping of all features of the ligand. For the remaining four inhibitors, the 'principle' value was set at 1 and 'MaxOmitFeat' value at 1 since these ligands show lower binding affinity compared to  $\alpha$ -naphthoflavone. Maximum pharmacophore hypotheses were set to 10 and minimum interfeature distance to 2, while all other parameters were set at default values.

#### 4.3 Results

#### 4.3.1 AutoDock study of known CYP1A2 substrates

Most substrates of CYP1A2 are known as small, planar, hydrophobic, and either weakly basic or neutral molecules (Sansen et al., 2007). For perspective of substrate-CYP1A2 interaction at molecular level, an AutoDock program was used to dock the ligands into the active site of the ligand-free CYP1A2 crystal structure (PDB ID: 2HI4) (Sansen et al., 2007). Our docking experiments resulted in a maximum of 10 docking poses that needed to be analyzed manually. To reasonably analyze the docking results of CYP1A2 substrates, the known metabolic pathway of each substrate catalyzed by CYP1A2 was employed to select their unique conformation based on the fact that only such unique poses produce metabolites (see Figure 4-2).

After docking, a total of 77 conformers had been generated from 25 known substrates for CYP1A2 (Figure 4-3), with 3.1 conformers per substrate molecule. According to the known metabolic pathway of these substrates, a unique pose of each substrate was identified from their multi-conformations, resulting in unique poses for 18 substrates only (Figure 4-2). For the remaining 7 substrates, their unique poses could not be identified even when we conducted

further docking using their conformers at the lowest energy. These compounds included acetaminophen, clozapine, estradiol, mexiletine, olanzapine, riluzole, and theophylline. It is unknown why these known substrates of CYP1A2 could not give rise to unique poses. Therefore, a total of 18 poses for the 18 substrates were used for further Ligplot analysis.

#### 4.3.2 Ligplot study for substrate-CYP1A2 interaction

The Ligplot program was used to analyze the docking results of ligands at the active site of CYP1A2. Substrate-CYP1A2 interaction occurred in the active site of CYP1A2 between the atoms on substrates and atoms in residues of CYP1A2, including hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic contacts. This program can identify specific atom-atom interactions including both hydrogen bond (O-H) and hydrophobic (C-C) interactions between the ligand and protein. Therefore, the interactions between the atoms on 18 substrates of CYP1A2 with 18 identified unique conformers resulting in metabolite production and the atoms in residues at the active site of CYP1A2 were analyzed using the Ligplot algorithm. Since the unique conformers of other seven substrates had not been successfully gained, they were not included in the Ligplot study.

As for hydrogen bond (O-H) interactions, a total of 11 hydrogen bonds, including 5 hydrogen bond donors (HBDs) and 6 hydrogen bond acceptors (HBAs) on CYP1A2 residues were found from the interactions between the 18 conformers and CYP1A2. Three residues, Ala317, Thr124 and Thr118 of the CYP1A2 were identified as hydrogen donors, while other four residues (Asn257, Asn312, Asp320 and Thr124) were found as hydrogen acceptors. As hydrogen donors, residues Ala317 and Thr124 were involved in the generation of two HBDs for each, while Thr118 formed one HBD only. For hydrogen acceptors, Asn312 and Asp320 were involved in the formation of two HBAs for each, and Asn257 and Thr124 generated one HBA for each (see Table 4-1).

With regard to hydrophobic (C-C) interactions, a total of 21 residues at the active site of CYP1A2 were identified to participate in the hydrophobic interactions between the substrates and the enzyme. These residues included Ala317, Asn312, Asp313, Asp320, Gly316, Ile117, Ile386, Leu382, Leu497, Phe125, Phe226, Phe256, Phe260, Ser122, Thr118, Thr124, Thr223, Thr321, Thr498 and Val227 (Table 4-2). Hem900 was also involved in the substrate-enzyme interactions and thus was included in the Ligplot study.

As expected, the residue Phe226 interacted with most CYP1A2 substrates tested in this study. According to the sum of interacting C-C pairs on each residue with 18 conformers, it was identified that interactive C-C pairs of Phe226 were over 150 (Group 1). Ala317, Hem900, Gly316, Phe125, Phe260 and Thr124 were between 50 and 100 C-C pairs (Group 2); Asp313, Asp320 and Leu497 were between 25 and 50 C-C pairs (Group 3); and the remaining residues only had less than 25 C-C pairs (Group 4, please see Table 4-2). Obviously, Phe226 was the most essential residue for binding interaction between the 18 substrates and CYP1A2, followed by the 6 residues (with 50~100 C-C pairs) acting as the second essential group and then the third group of residues (with 25~50 C-C pairs). On average, each substrate interacted with the enzyme via 3.0 C-C pairs.

Among the 21 residues, 13 of which were involved in the interaction of more than half of the 18 substrates with CYP1A2. These residues included Ala317 (18 substrates), Phe226 (18), Hem900 (17), Gly316 (16), Phe125 (16), Thr124 (15), Ile386 (14), Leu497 (14), Asp313 (12), Phe260 (12), Thr321 (12), Thr118 (10), and Asp320 (10) (see Table 4-2 and Figure 4-4). There were six residues including Phe226, Ala317, Gly316, Phe125, Thr124 and Hem900 that participated in the interactions of 15-18 substrates with CYP1A2, indicting their essential role in the substrate-CYP1A2 binding.

Phe226 in helix F, which has been found to interact with all 18 substrate tested in our study, appears to play a critical role in substrate recognition, acting as a sensor for aromatic hydrocarbon substrates. Another Phe, Phe260 in helix G, was located on the other side of Phe226, forming an aromatic platform together with Phe226 which can accommodate aromatic hydrocarbons (Figure 4-4). Since the distance between the two benzol rings of Phe226 and Phe260 is slightly different, it may facilitate recognition of some substrates containing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. SDM studies have confirmed the important role of Phe226 in substrate recognition (Parikh et al., 1999)

The active site of CYP1A2 contains three important residues, Asp313, Ala317, and Thr321 positioning side by side in helix I above the heme. These three residues are well reserved in other CYPs which are also found in CYP2C9 (PDB ID: 1R9O) and 2D6 (PDB ID: 2F9Q) with identical positioning (see Figure 4-5). These three residues together with the heme constitute a conservative core of the active site for these enzymes. Furthermore, in CYP1A2, 2C9 and 2C19 (a homology model), a Gly is conserved sequentially before the Ala, while a Ser instead

of Gly was found neighboring the Ala in CYP2D6. This conserved structure at active sites could explain why CYP1A2 and 2C9 share certain substrates (e.g. amitriptyline and naproxen) and inhibitor (fluvoxamine). However, diverse residue constitution and 3<sup>rd</sup>-grade structure beyond the active core makes most CYP1A2 substrates different from those of CYP2C9.

Overall, there are six hydrophobic residues (Phe226, Ala317, Gly316, Phe125, Thr124 and Hem900) and two acidic residues (Asp313 and Asp320 on helix I located besides Ala317) forming the core of CYP1A2 active site cavity. These residues play a critical role in substrate recognition. At position Phe125, there is a natural mutation (373T>A) identified in humans.

# 4.3.3 Pharmacophore study for CYP1A2 inhibitors

Previous pharmacophore studies of CYP1A2 inhibitors have demonstrated that typical CYP1A2 inhibitors are aromatic, lipophilic, neutral, and acidic compounds (Lewis et al., 2004; Gleeson et al., 2007). However, these studies have only provided limited structural information for CYP1A2 inhibitors. To further explore the common configuration features of CYP1A2 inhibitors, we conduct pharmacophore modelling studies using Catalyst. The models were further validated using a series of well-known CYP1A2 inhibitors using Ligand Pharmacophore Mapping module of the Catalyst.

For the first step, we have set up the preliminary pharmacophores representing the common chemical features such as HBA, HBD, and hydrophobic area. The best pharmacophore (Hopyo-1) based on the five typical CYP1A2 inhibitors (i.e. fluvoxamine, galangin, miconazole, naphthoflavone-template, and rutaecarpine) indicated that two hydrophobic areas, one aromatic ring and one HBA were common (see Figure 4-6).

We further validated these pharmacophores derived from five known CYP1A2 inhibitors, using another 9 well-known CYP1A2 inhibitors (see Figure 4-7) through Ligand Pharmacophore Mapping program. During validation, the four essential features including two distinct hydrophobic areas, one aromatic ring and one HBA were all tested. The mapping result showed that 8 out of 9 inhibitors (88.9%) were hit and 6 of them (67%) showed a good agreement (Fit value > 2.77/4) with the four features, one with reasonable agreement (Fit value  $\sim 2.4/4$ ), and only one with a poor Fit value (1.8/4) (see Table 4-3).

Furthermore, we conducted a small-scale screening of 56 herbal compounds based on the validated pharmacophores. Except four compounds without optimized conformations, the Hopyo-1 hit 21 of remaining 52 herbal compounds and 19 of the 21 hits have Fit value over 2.7/4, including 9 potential inhibitors shown in our *in vitro* studies (Table 2-2). If one hydrophobic region was excluded from the pharmacophore model, 26 of the 52 herbal compounds could be hit including 12 potential inhibitors observed in our *in vitro* studies (Table 4-4).

Moreover, we mapped the Hopyo-1 to 25 known CYP1A2 substrates to check how many of the common features were shared between inhibitors and substrates of CYP1A2. It hit 13 substrates with 8 of them showing good agreement (Fit value > 2.9/4) and 5 exhibiting poor agreement (Fit value < 2.3/4) (Table 4-3). The 8 substrates showing good agreement with pharmacophore features arising from CYP1A2 inhibitors included fluvoxamine, haloperidol, olanzapine, ondansetron, ropivacaine, tizanidine, verapamil and *R*-warfarin. Four more substrates (baicalein, hyperoside, polydatin and quercetin) were hit if one hydrophobic region of the four common features was omitted. These results implicate that there are certain common structural features between substrates and inhibitors of CYP1A2. However, some CYP1A2 inhibitors may not be as hydrophobic as most CYP1A2 substrates.

#### **4.3.4** AutoDock study for herbal components

Following pharmacophore screening of 56 purified herbal compounds, we conducted a series docking of the 56 herbal compounds into the active site of CYP1A2 using AutoDock 4.0 program. After docking, a total of 180 conformers had been generated from the 56 herbal compounds, with an average of 3.2 conformers per compound. Only the optimal pose of each compound with the lowest free energy of binding were used for docking analysis.

These dockings yielded values of estimated free energy of binding ranging from -11.09 to +2,870 kcal/mol (note that the more negative the value is, the tighter the predicted binding is). Thirty seven of 56 herbal compounds showed high values of binding energy ranging from -6.51 to +2,870 kcal/mol and were predicted to be poor inhibitors of CYP1A2. In contrast, other remaining 19 herbal compounds had relatively low binding energy ranging from -11.09 to -6.73 kcal/mol and were estimated to be potential inhibitors of CYP1A2. These 19 compounds included amygdalin, andrographolide, baicalein, baicalin, cordycepin, cryptotanshinone, dehydroandrographolide, matrine, osthole, oxymatrine, polydatin, quercetin,

quercitrin, rutaecarpine, scopoletin, sophoridine, tanshinone I, tanshinone IIA, and tanshinone IIA sulfonate sodium.

If these results were combined with those from the pharmacophore studies, 8 of the 19 predicted CYP1A2 inhibitors were hit by the hypothesis (Hopyo-1) (see Table 4-4), and 11 of the 19 predicted inhibitors could be hit if one hydrophobic region was omitted from the hypothesis 1 (Hopyo-1m) (Table 4-4). Indeed, 8 of the 11 predicted inhibitors which were also hit the Hopyo-1 were found to be moderate to potent CYP1A2 inhibitors in our *in vitro* studies. There were other three weak inhibitors of herbal compounds (alloin, hyperoside and icariin) hit by the Hopyo-1 but scored with high values of binding energy (-5.64, -6.10 and +50.81 kcal/mol, respectively). In fact, these three compounds were weak to moderate inhibitors of CYP1A2 with IC<sub>50</sub> of 66.00, 14.46 and 43.00  $\mu$ M, respectively.

Our bench work identified 14 of the 56 herbal compounds as inhibitors *in vitro* and 13 of the 14 potential inhibitors (92.9%) were successfully predicted by pharmacophore model in combination with the data from docking results. Only cordycepin, as an exception, was not included in the correct prediction list because it failed to form proper conformation to map the Hopyo-1. However, cordycepin had a low estimated binding energy and indeed it was a moderate inhibitor of CYP1A2 *in vitro*.

#### 4.3.5 Ligplot study for herb-CYP1A2 interaction

Using the Ligplot program, we further performed analysis of ligand-enzyme binding studies with the 19 herbal compounds showing a low binding energy (see Table 4-5). We counted the C-C pair number interacting between each of the 19 compounds and the 6 essential residues of CYP1A2 (Phe226, Ala317, Gly316, Phe125, Thr124 and Hem900). Rutaecarpine and quercitrin had the most and same C-C pairs (42) with the 6 residues, followed by andrographolide (40), dehydroandrographolide (40), baicalin (36), polydatin (36), tanshinone IIA sulfonate sodium (36), tanshinone I (31), oxymatrine (30), sophoridine (29), cryptotanshinone (28), osthole (26), amygdalin (25), tanshinone IIA (25), matrine (24), cordycepin (21), baicalein (15), quercetin (15) and scopoletin (12) (Table 4-5).

Since molecules with  $M_r > 310$  Dal are often complicated with steric and electrochemical characteristics that make the compound difficult to enter the active site of CYP1A2, we analysed the impact of molecular weight on the atom-atom pairing. There are 7 of the 19

molecules with  $M_r > 310$  Dal, i.e. alloin, baicalin, hyperoside, icariin, quercitrin, triptolide and tanshinone IIA sulfonate sodium. It was found that the 7 relatively large molecules had more C-C pairs than other 13 ones with  $M_r < 310$ , except rutaecarpine. However, only 3 of the 7 compounds had shown weak to moderate inhibition on CYP1A2 in our *in vitro* study, i.e. tanshinone IIA sulfonate sodium (IC<sub>50</sub>, 7.1  $\mu$ M), quercitrin (IC<sub>50</sub>, 33.8  $\mu$ M) and hyperoside (IC<sub>50</sub>, 14.5  $\mu$ M). Among the relatively small 13 compounds, the order of C-C pair numbers were rutaecarpine > tanshinone I > oxymatrine > sophoridine > cryptotanshinone > osthole > amygdalin > tanshinone IIA > matrine > cordycepin > baicalein > quercetin > scopoletin (Table 4-5). Among these 13 compounds, seven had shown moderate to potent inhibitory effect on CYP1A2 in our *in vitro* results, in an order of inhibitory potency as follow: tanshinone I > tanshinone IIA > cryptotanshinone > baicalein > osthole > quercetin > cordycepin. Table 4-6 and Figure 4-8 show the details of C-C interactions between tanshinone I and CYP1A2. The inhibitory potency of these smaller compounds was higher than those with  $M_r > 310$  Dal (Figure 2-2).

It was worthy to note that rutaecarpine, andrographolide and scopoletin produced fluorescence and thus interfered with the determination for CYP1A2 activity. However, rutaecarpine had been reported to have potent inhibition on CYP1A2 with IC<sub>50</sub> of 22 nM by other group (Don et al., 2003) and had have the most C-C pair number in our Ligplot analysis. The remaining compounds, amygdalin, dehydroandrographolide, polydatin, matrine, oxymatrine and sophoridine, did not hit by Hopyo-1 in pharmacophore analysis and also did not exhibit any inhibitory effect on CYP1A2 in our *in vitro* study, although these compounds had hydrophobic interaction with the functionally essential residues in the active site of CYP1A2 with a low binding energy.

#### 4.4 Conclusions

These results indicate that hydrophobic contact of ligand and certain residues in the active site of CYP1A2 are important for substrate-CYP1A2 and inhibitor-CYP1A2 interactions, which may partially explain why most CYP1A2 substrates and inhibitors are small, planar with aromatic ring and hydrophobic molecules. The common features of these ligands are of one to two hydrophobic regions, an aromatic ring and a hydrogen bond acceptor. Phe226, Ala317, Gly316, Phe125, Thr124 and Hem900 were identified as the most important residues to influence inhibitory potency of CYP1A2 inhibitors. Furthermore, three essential residues (Asp, Ala and Thr) standing side by side in helix I immediately above the heme were identified as

conservative residues in the active sites of CYP1A2, which are also found in CYP2C9 and 2D6.

Using a combined *in silico* approach of estimating binding energy, pharmacophore modelling and hydrophobic atom-atom interaction analysis between the ligand and the 6 functionally essential residues in the active site of CYP1A2, it is likely to screen potential inhibitors for CYP1A2 from herbal sources and synthetic compound library. The data from the *in silico* screening can also be used to predict relative inhibitory potency of potential CYP1A2 inhibitors.

Number	Substrate	Total number of metabolite	Total number of conformer based on known metabolic pathway	Total number of pose <sup>a</sup>	Distance of reactive atom to Fe (X, Å)	Residue as hydrogen bond donor	Residue as hydrogen bond acceptor
1	Acetaminophen	1	0	4	ND		
2	Amitriptyline	1	1	2	4.34		
3	Caffeine	1	1	2	4.34		
4	Clomipramine	1	1	3	3.36		
5	Clozapine	1	0	1	ND		
6	Cyclobenzaprine	1	1	3	3.29		
7	Estradiol	1	0	2	ND		
8	Fluvoxamine	1	1	7	3.94		
9	Haloperidol	1	1	5	5.42		
10	Imipramine	2	1	2	5.02		
11	Mexiletine	3	0	3	ND		
12	Naproxen	1	1	3	ND	Ala317	
13	Olanzapine	3	0	1	ND		
14	Ondansetron	4	1	2	4.94		Asn257
15	Phenacetin	1	1	2	4.00		Asn312
16	Propranolol	2	1	5	4.1	Thr124	
17	Riluzole	1	0	3	ND		
18	Ropivacaine	2	1	2	4.00		
19	Tacrine	4	1	1	5.27		Asp320
20	Theophylline	2	0	1	ND		
21	Tizanidine	1	1	4	6.66		Thr124
22	Verapamil	1	1	7	7.20	Thr118	
23	Warfarin	3	1	2	4.46	Thr124	
24	Zileuton	1	1	3	4.86	Ala317	
25	Zolmitriptan	1	1	7	3.35		Asn312 Asp320
Total	25	41	18	77		5	6
Mean ± SD	-	$1.64\pm0.99$	$0.72\pm0.46$	3.08 ± 1.85	$4.62 \pm 1.09$ (range: 3.29 to 6.66)	-	-

Table 4-1. Known CYP1A2 substrates and the data relevant to metabolic pathways catalyzed by CYP1A2 and conformations in the active site of CYP1A2.

<sup>a</sup>Total pose number refers to docking generated conformation number. ND = Not determined.

Number	Residue	Sum of number of	Number of	Average number of	Group <sup>a</sup>
		interaction atom pairs	substrates	C-C pairs per	
		(n = 18)	involved	substrate	
1	Phe226	173	18	9.6	1
2	Ala317	94	18	5.2	2
3	Hem900	91	17	5.4	2
4	Gly316	71	16	4.4	2
5	Phe125	59	16	3.7	2
6	Thr124	55	15	3.7	2
7	Phe260	52	12	4.3	2
8	Asp313	34	12	2.8	3
9	Asp320	31	10	3.1	3
10	Leu497	27	14	1.9	3
11	Phe256	24	8	3.0	3
12	Ile386	22	14	1.6	3
13	Thr223	19	7	2.7	4
14	Thr118	18	10	1.8	4
15	Thr321	17	12	1.4	4
16	Ser122	14	9	1.6	4
17	Asn312	12	6	2.0	4
18	Leu382	8	6	1.3	4
19	Thr498	8	7	1.1	4
20	Ile117	7	6	1.2	4
21	Val227	7	6	1.2	4
Mean ±	-	-	-	$3.0 \pm 2.0$	-
SD					

Table 4-2. The total amino acid residues and C-C pairs involved in the binding of known substrates (n = 18) to the active site of CYP1A2 as analyzed by Ligplot.

<sup>a</sup>Grouping was based on the number of interaction atom pairs.

Compounds	Fit value (Hopyo-1)	Fit value (Hopyo-1m)	LogP	M <sub>r</sub> (Dal)
CYP1A2 substrates	(13/25)	(17/25)		
Caffeine	NM	1.153	-0.131	194.191
Estradiol	1.279	1.971	4.131	272.382
Fluvoxamine	2.909	2.742	3.113	318.335
Haloperidol	3.873	2.995	3.014	375.864
Naproxen	1.983	1.996	2.998	230.259
Olanzapine	2.950	2.651	1.507	312.432
Ondansetron	3.934	2.949	2.074	293.363
Phenacetin	NM	1.861	1.626	179.216
Propranolol	0.109	0.683	3.097	259.343
Riluzole	0.400	1.908	2.843	234.198
Ropivacaine	2.994	2.863	3.105	274.401
Tacrine	NM	1.121	3.316	198.264
Tizanidine	3.093	2.793	0.653	253.711
Verapamil	3.607	2.884	3.899	454.602
Warfarin	3.195	2.868	3.417	308.328
Zileuton	2.337	2.079	3.74	236.290
Zolmitriptan	NM	1.819	1.644	287.357
Mean ± SD	$2.51 \pm 1.24$	$2.20\pm0.73$	$2.591 \pm 1.179$	$275.443 \pm 68.360$
CYP1A2 inhibitors	(8/9)	( <b>9</b> / <b>9</b> )		
Amiodarone	3.824	2.994	8.891	645.312
Cimetidine	3.434	2.96	0.19	252.339
Ciprofloxacin	2.412	2.387	0.654	331.342
Enoxacin	2.770	2.583	0.552	320.319
Furafylline	3.491	2.839	-0.244	260.249
Methoxsalen	1.840	1.545	1.93	216.19
Mibefradil	3.262	2.828	6.294	495.629
Propafenone	3.455	2.991	3.934	341.444
Rofecoxib	NM	2.472	1.342	314.356
Mean ± SD	$3.061\pm0.665$	$2.622\pm0.463$	$2.616 \pm 3.141$	$353.020 \pm 135.280$

Table 4-3. Ligand-pharmacophore (Hopyo-1 & Hopyo-1m) mapping results for known substrates and inhibitors of CYP1A2. The mapping extent was determined by the Fit value out of 4 features.

Table 4-4. Ligand-pharmacophore (Hopyo-1 and Hopyo-1m) mapping results for herbal compounds tested in this study. The mapping extent was determined by the Fit value out of 4 features for Hypyo-1 and 3 for Hypro-1m, respectively.

Herb compound (21/56)	Fit value (Hopyo-1)	Fit value (Hopyo-1m)	$IC_{50}(\mu M)$
Alloin	2.869	2.767	66
Arctiin	3.691	2.931	ND
Baicalein	NM	2.999	1.22
Baicalin	3.078	3.000	70.03
Cryptotanshinone	2.876	2.675	0.91
Evodin	2.467	2.392	ND
Ferulic Acid	0.126	1.424	ND
Forsythin	3.823	2.929	ND
Hyperoside	NM	2.999	14.46
Icariin	3.490	2.999	42.998
Liquiritin	2.913	2.999	ND
Osthole	3.179	2.989	1.49
Paclitaxol	3.228	2.707	ND
Polydatin	NM	2.848	ND
Puerarin	3.319	2.888	ND
Quercetin	NM	2.998	3.97
Quercitrin	3.127	3.000	33.76
Rutaecarpine	2.765	2.880	ND
Salvianolic acid B	3.413	2.929	ND
Schisandrin A	3.411	2.717	ND
Schisandrin B-y	3.025	2.499	ND
Scopolein	NM	1.455	ND
Silybin	3.279	2.996	ND
Tanshinone I	3.719	2.979	0.027
Tanshinone IIA	3.085	2.986	0.187
Tanshinone IIA sulfonate	3.157	2.988	7.077
Mean ± SD	$3.05 \pm 0.75$	$2.77 \pm 0.42$	-

ND = Not determined due to lack of inhibitory effect at the highest concentrations tested or interfering fluorescence.

Table 4-5. The results for tested herbal compounds: IC50, fit value for pharmacophore (Hopyo-1) mapping, free binding energy for the conformations in the active site of CYP1A2, C-C pairs of the first and second pose for each compound and CYP1A2 interaction. The first half table list the herbal compounds that the free energy of binding lower than -6.60 kcal/mol; the second half table list the herbal compounds that the free energy of binding higher than -6.60 kcal/mol.

Test herbal compound	M <sub>r</sub> (Dal)	<b>IC</b> <sub>50</sub> (μM)	Total number of pose <sup>a</sup>	Hopyo-1 Fit value	Binding energy <sup>b</sup> (kcal/mol)	C-C pairs <sup>c</sup>
Amygdalin	457.42	ND	5	NM	-7.98	25
Andrographolide	350.46	ND	2	NM	-8.07	40
Baicalein	270.23	1.22	2	2.999*	-9.06	15
Baicalin	446.36	70.03	4	3.078	-6.73	36
Cordycepin	251.24	6.69	4	Nm	-6.76	21
Cryptotanshinone	296.35	0.91	1	2.876	-10.89	28
Dehydroandrographolide	332.42	ND	2	NM	-9.53	40
Matrine	248.37	ND	1	NM	-8.05	24
Osthole	244.28	1.49	2	3.179	-8.28	26
Oxymatrine	264.36	ND	9	Nm	-8.28	30
Polydatin	390.00	ND	5	2.848*	-7.81	36
Quercetin	302.24	3.97	2	2.998*	-8.67	15
Quercitrin	448.39	33.76	2	3.127	-8.24	42
Rutaecarpine	287.31	ND	1	2.765	-10.39	42
Scopoletin	192.16	ND	2	1.455*	-6.80	12
Sophoridine	248.37	ND	1	NM	-8.86	29
Tanshinone I	276.28	0.027	1	3.719	-10.82	31
Tanshinone IIA	294.33	0.187	1	3.085	-11.09	25
Tanshinone IIA Sulfonate	396.00	7.077	2	3.157	-10.72	36
Mean ± SD	315.61 ±		$2.58\pm2.04$		$-8.79 \pm 1.43$	29.11 ±
188-Glycyrrhetinic acid 2	470.70	ND	1	NM	+12.34	).20
Alloin	418 39	66	5	2 869	-5.64	
Arctiin	534 54	ND	7	3 691	+6.03	
AsperosanoninVI	929.10	ND	10	NM	+530.35	
Astragaloside	784.00	ND	6	NM	+223 59	
Bilobalide	326.30	ND	2	NM	-4 42	
Borneol	154 20	ND	2	NM	-5.92	
Canthridin	196.21	ND	2	NM	-6.27	
Sodium Danshensu	185.13	ND	- 7	NM	-5.87	
Evodin	470 50	ND	3	2,467	+20.55	
Ferulic Acid	194 18	ND	2	0.126	-6.20	
Forsythin	534.54	ND	6	3.823	+31.25	
Gallic acid	170.12	ND	2	NM	-5.21	
Gastrodin	286.27	ND	2	NM	-6.51	
Ginkgolide A	408.41	ND	- 1	NM	-0.21	
Ginkgolide B	424.41	ND	2	NM	+9.50	
Ginkgolide C	440.41	ND	- 1	NM	+11.13	
Ginsenoside Rg3	785.03	ND	8	NM	+473.18	
Glycyrrhetinic acid	839.99	ND	1	NM	+19.42	

Hyperoside	464.37	14.46	4	2.999*	-6.10
Icariin	676.65	42.998	5	3.490	+50.81
Liquiritin	418.39	ND	6	2.913	-1.42
Paclitaxol	853.92	ND	4	3.228	+415.88
Protocatechuic Acid	154.12	ND	2	NM	-5.59
Protocatechuic aldehyde	138.12	ND	2	NM	-5.24
Puerarin	416.37	ND	5	3.319	-4.12
Saikosaponin A	780.96	ND	6	NM	+295.57
Saikosaponin D	780.96	ND	3	NM	+284.80
Salvianolic acid B	718.60	ND	10	3.413	+130.71
Schisandrin A	432.50	ND	1	3.411	-3.16
γ-Schisandrin	400.45	ND	2	3.025	-4.25
Silybin	482.43	ND	5	3.279	+22.48
Stachydrine	179.64	ND	2	NM	-4.41
Tetramethylpyrazine	136.20	ND	1	NM	-5.27
Trigonelline	137.13	ND	2	NM	-4.58
Triptolide	360.39	98.22	1	NM	-6.48
Ursolic acid	456.68	ND	1	NM	+13.81
Mean ± SD	447.18 ± 242.81	-	$3.58\pm2.59$	-	66.34 ± 144.99

<sup>a</sup>Docking generated conformation number. <sup>b</sup>Estimated free energy of binding for the optimized pose. <sup>c</sup>The hydrophobic interaction between herbal compounds with their optimized poses and the 6 essential residues of the CYP1A2 active site.

\*The mapping extent was determined by the Fit value out of 3 for Hypro-1m, instead of 4 features for Нуруо-1.

Residue	Atom (from residue)	Atom (from ligand)	Distance (Å)
Ala317	C (B)	C21	3.59
Ala317	C (A)	C21	3.76
Ile386	C (D1)	C20	3.70
Ala317	C (B)	C20	3.75
Thr124	C (G2)	C20	3.43
Hem900	C (4D)	C19	3.65
Hem900	C (HA)	C19	3.75
Ile386	C (D1)	C19	3.27
Thr124	C (G2)	C19	3.26
Hem900	C (4D)	C18	3.60
Hem900	C (2A)	C18	3.82
Hem900	C (1A)	C18	3.31
Hem900	C (HA)	C18	3.46
Ile386	C (D1)	C18	3.81
Hem900	C (4A)	C17	3.63
Hem900	C (HB)	C17	3.58
Leu382	C (D2)	C17	3.20
Thr321	C (G2)	C17	3.21
Leu497	C (D2)	C15	3.85
Thr498	C (G2)	C14	3 66
Leu497	C (D2)	C14	3.81
Thr321	C (G2)	C14	3.88
Asn320	C(G)	C13	3.87
Asp320	C (B)	C13	3 77
Ala317	$C(\mathbf{A})$	C12	3.85
Ala317	C(R)	C11	3.84
Ala317	$C(\mathbf{A})$	C11	3 49
Ala317	C(A)	C9	3.71
Gly316	C (A)	C9	3.71
Gly316	C C	C7	3 51
Gly316	C(A)	C7	3.56
Dhe226	C(F2)	C7	3.50
Ghy216	C (E2)	C7 C6	3.73
Gly316	C	C0 C6	3.55
Dbe226	$C(\mathbf{R})$	C0 C6	3.70
Dhe226	C(D2)	C0 C6	3.60
A sp320	C(D2)	C0 C5	3.04
Gby216	С (В)	C5	3.87
Dbo226	C C (E2)	C5	3.79
Plie220	C(E2)	C5	5.84 2.66
A an 220	C(D2)		2.00
Asp520	С (В)	C3	5.64 2.79
The220	C (D2)	C3	3.78
Th: 202	C(G2)		3.38 2.49
I nr223	C (B)		3.48 2.72
Pne220	C(D2)		5.1Z
Phe226		C2	3.//
Phe $260$	C (EI)		3.33
Phe260	C (DI)	CI	3.79
Phe256	C (Z)	CI	3.35

Table 4-6. Hydrophobic interaction between tanshinone I and the residues in the active site of CYP1A2.

Phe256	C(E2)	C1	3.20
Mean $\pm$ SD	-	-	$3.64\pm0.20$

The alphabet letter in the brackets of column 2 is the position of the C-atom of the amino acid residue.

Figure 4-1. Chemical structures of fluvoxamine, galangin, miconazole,  $\alpha$ -naphthoflavone (used as initial template), and rutaecarpine which are all CYP1A2 inhibitors. These compounds were used as the training set.





Fluvoxamine  $IC_{50} = 1.24 \ \mu M$ 

ò



**Galangin** Ki = 8 nM

 $\alpha$ -Naphthoflavone IC<sub>50</sub> = 26 nM

**Miconazole**  $IC_{50} = 2.9 \ \mu M$ 



R

**Rutaecarpine**  $IC_{50} = 22 \text{ nM}$ 



Figure 4-2. Known CYP1A2 substrates and their structures relevant to metabolic pathways and conformations in the active site of CYP1A2.





Figure 4-3. Chemical structures of 25 substrates of CYP1A2.





Figure 4-4. The active site of CYP1A2 and the key residues responsible for substrate binding.



Figure 4-5. The three conserved residues (Asp, Gly/Ser, Ala and Thr) in the active sites of CYP1A2, 2C9, 2C19 and 2D6.

Active site of CYP2C19

Active site of CYP2D6

Figure 4-6. Pharmacophore models generated by five potent inhibitors of CYP1A2 with the HipHop module in Catalyst. Hopyo-1: the intact model with all the four features (two distinct hydrophobic areas, one aromatic ring and one HBA); Hopyo-1m: a model modified by excluding a hydrophobic area.

Норуо-1

Hopyo-1m







Figure 4-7. Chemical structures of 9 known CYP1A2 inhibitors, which were used as the validating set.

Mibefradil

Propafenone

Rofecoxib



Figure 4-8. The interaction between tanshinone I and the residues in the active site of CYP1A2.

#### CHAPTER 5 GENERAL DISCUSSION

#### 5.1 A Summary of Objectives Achieved

In this project, we have hypothesized that the substrate and inhibitor specificity of individual human CYPs is based on the atom-atom interactions between the ligand and the residues in the active site of the particular CYP. In order to test the hypothesis, we first employed an HTP approach to examine the inhibitory effect of a number of herbal components on five important drug-metabolising CYPs (1A2, 2C9, 2C19, 2D3, and 3A4, Table 2-1). The tested herbal components include a variety of structurally distinct compounds such as triterpenoids of danshen (S. miltiorrhiza), flavonoids and their glycoside derivatives, saponine, other glucosides, lactones, alkaloids, and acids (Table 3-1). A small number of them are found to significantly inhibit human CYP1A2, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6 and 3A4 with differential potency, including tanshinone I, tanshinone IIA, cryptotanshinone, baicalein, quercetin, silybin, osthole and  $\gamma$ -schisandrin. Thereafter, we predicted potential herb-drug interactions of these compounds in vivo based on the in vitro inhibition data. Some predicting results are consistent with the data observed in clinical reports, but some predictions are wrong. Finally, we have conducted docking studies for a series of known CYP1A2 substrates and inhibitors and established pharmacophore models using a set of CYP1A2 inhibitors. We have identified 6 residues in the active site of CYP1A2 being essential for ligand recognition through the analysis of docking results. Furthermore, we set up and validated the pharmacophore model for virtual screening of CYP1A2 inhibitors. In combination with docking results, the pharmacophore hypothesis and hydrophobic contact between ligand and the 6 essential residues in the active site of CYP1A2, it is likely to screen potential CYP1A2 inhibitors and to predict their inhibitory potency for the CYP1A2 enzyme. Our results provide insights into the mechanisms for ligand-CYP1A2 interactions and partial explanation for the substrate and inhibitor specificity of CYP1A2, an important enzyme that metabolizes a number of therapeutic drugs and activate a variety of procarcinogens.

#### 5.2 Herb-Drug and Herb-CYP Interactions

Botanical products are increasingly becoming popular as alternative medicines, and an estimated one third of adults in the developed countries use alternative therapies, including herbs. Herbs are often administered in combination with therapeutic drugs, raising the potential of pharmacokinetic and/or pharmacodynamic herb-drug interactions.

There are an increased number of reports on herb-drug interactions, although many of them are from case reports and limited clinical observations. Thus, herb-drug interactions may be significantly under-reported and underestimated, and more frequently than drug-drug interactions, since most patients (up to 70%) do not reveal their herbal use to their allopathic practitioners (Eisenberg et al., 1993).

Despite the widespread use of herbal medicines, documented herb-drug interactions are sparse and many of the observed herb-drug interactions are based on individual case and case series reports (Table 1-8). Although some herb-drug interactions may be beneficial by enhancing the efficacy and reducing the toxicities of the coadministered drugs, in many cases, the herb-drug interactions may increase drug toxicity, or even be fatal. Thus, more studies are needed to confirm and assess the clinical significance of these potential herb-drug interactions.

A number of *in vitro* systems can be used to investigate herb-CYP interactions (e.g. liver microsomes, precision-cut liver slices, cultured hepatocytes, and cDNA-expressed enzymes). We have adopted an HTP approach to screen the inhibitory effect of a number of herbal compounds on five major drug-metabolizing CYP enzymes. From our *in vitro* study, it was found that all three lipophilic components of Danshen (e.g. tanshinone IIA) had significantly inhibition on both CYP1A2 and 2C9 activity, whereas the hydrophilic constituents of Danshen (e.g. danshensu) only showed poor to weak inhibitory effects on all the five CYP enzymes.

We have found that the activities of CYP2C9, 2C19 and 3A4 were remarkably inhibited by  $\gamma$ -schisandrin, a major active compound present in *S. chinensis* (Wuweizi). Wuweizi is traditionally used to protect the liver and treatment of chronic liver diseases. The total CYP content and the metabolic rate of antipyrine were enhanced significantly in the liver microsomes obtained from the rats pretreated with Wuweizi (*S. chinensis*) (Zhu et al., 2000). Treatment with extracts of Wuweizi induced the expression of drug-metabolizing enzymes and transporters in reporter gene assays and in cultured human hepatocytes (Mu et al., 2006). The affected enzymes and transporters included CYP3A and 2C enzymes and the multidrug resistance-associated protein 2. In rats, the administration of Wuweizi enhanced the clearance of warfarin (Mu et al., 2006). These results demonstrate a potent inducing effect of Wuweizi *in vivo* and have important implications in drug-herb interactions.

We found that two free flavonoids (baicalein and quercetin) had significant inhibitory effects on CYP1A2, 2C9, 2C19 and 3A4, but their flavonoid glucosides (baicalin, hyperoside, quercitrin and icariin) only showed minor to moderate inhibitory effects on these enzymes. Flavonoids are a diverse group of phytochemicals that are produced by various plants including medicinal herbs (e.g. *Silybum marianum*, *Alpinia officinarum*, and *H. perforatum*) (Dixon and Steele, 1999). Flavonoids are structurally classified into eight groups: flavans, flavanones, isoflavanones, flavones, isoflavones, anthocyanidines, chalcones and flavonolignans. Flavonoids exhibit a wide range of biological activities arising mainly from their antioxidant properties and ability to modulate several enzymes or cell receptors. These include anti-bacterial and antiviral activity, antiinflammatory, antiangionic, analgesic, antiallergic effects, hepatoprotective, cytostatic, apoptotic, estrogenic and antiestrogenic properties (Dwyer, 1995; Gordon et al., 1995; Nagai et al., 1995; Galati et al., 2000; Rice-Evans, 2001). As the chemical structure and activities of some flavonoids are similar to those of naturally occurring estrogens, they are assigned as phytoestrogens.

Flavonoids can also directly modulate the activities of various CYPs (Chan et al., 1998; Zhai et al., 1998; Doostdar et al., 2000; Henderson et al., 2000; Boek-Dohalska et al., 2001; Ho et al., 2001; Piver et al., 2001; Hodek et al., 2002; Kent et al., 2002). Some naturally occurring flavonoids are potent inhibitors of CYP1A1, 1A2, 1B1, 3A4, 3A6, and CYP19. In contrast, some flavonoids enhanced/stimulated the activities of CYP3A4 and 1A2 (Tsyrlov et al., 1994; Ueng et al., 1997; Boek-Dohalska et al., 2001). The different effects of various flavonoids on CYP3A4 may be partly explained by the presence of distinct ligand binding sites on CYP3A4 (Hosea et al., 2000). Structure-activity analysis indicated that flavonoids containing hydroxyl groups inhibited CYP activity, whereas those lacking hydroxyl groups stimulated the enzyme activity. For example, non-substituted 7,8-benzoflavone increased CYP3A4 activity (Ueng et al., 1997; Boek-Dohalska et al., 2001). In another study, quercetin inhibited the activity of aryl hydrocarbon hydroxylase (CYP1A), but enhanced the activity of cDNA-expressed human CYP1A2 (Tsyrlov et al., 1994). Likewise, 7,8-benzoflavone was an inhibitor of human CYP1A1 and 1A2, but an activator of CYP3A4 (Tassaneeyakul et al., 1993).

Flavonoids of oral herbal products or food may be metabolized by microflora in the gut, where flavonoid glycosides are usually cleaved into free flavonoids (aglycones), and both glycosides and aglycones are absorbed (Hollman and Katan, 1997). The degradation of a flavonoid skeleton occurs mainly in the gut, resulting in degradation products including various phenolic

acids, some of which still exhibit a radical-scavenging activity. These metabolites can be absorbed and consequently found in urine (Hollman and Katan, 1997; Rice-Evans, 2001). Some flavonoids have been identified as substrates of CYPs (Silva et al., 1997a; Silva et al., 1997b; Roberts-Kirchhoff et al., 1999; Doostdar et al., 2000; Rice-Evans, 2001). In the liver, flavonoids are hydroxylated and/or *O*-demethylated by various CYPs and then subjected to conjugation reactions (glucuronidation, sulfation, *O*-methylation) catalyzed by phase II enzymes. For example, genistein (5,7,4'-trihydroxyisoflavone) is converted into orobol (5,7,3',4'-tetrahydroxyisoflavone) by CYPs 1A1, 1A2, 1B1 and 2E1, while CYP 3A4 metabolizes genistein into two other undefined metabolites (Roberts-Kirchhoff et al., 1999).

Notably, many flavonoids have been reported to be potent inducers of various CYPs (Canivenc-Lavier et al., 1996; Ciolino et al., 1998b; Ciolino and Yeh, 1999; Hodek et al., 2002). For example, galangin, quercetin, diosmin and its aglycone form, diosmetin, increased the expression of CYP1A1, while other flavonoids such as flavone, tangeretin and synthetic  $\beta$ -naphthoflavone stimulated the expression of CYP1A1/2 and CYP2B1/2 (Ciolino et al., 1998b; Ciolino and Yeh, 1999). Flavanone appears to be specific inducer of CYP2B1/2 (Canivenc-Lavier et al., 1996). However, other CYPs such as CYP2E1 and 3A4 which are responsible for the metabolism of a number of therapeutic drugs and the activation of many procarcinogens, appeared not to be inducible by flavonoids. Similarly, some flavonoids such as genistein, equol or hop prenylflavanones and prenylchalcones did not modulate CYP (Helsby et al., 1997).

An additional free flavonoid, silvbin with a relatively large molecular mass (Mr 482.44), was found to significantly inhibit the activities of CYP2C9 and 3A4 in our study. Silibin, also known as silvbinin, is the major active constituent of silvmarin, the mixture of flavonolignans extracted from milk thistle (S. marianum). Extracts of milk thistle are well-known to prevent or reverse hepatotoxicity of reactive drug metabolites or naturally occurring toxins (Kroll et al., 2007). Silibinin has hepatoprotective properties that protect liver cells against toxins (Vogel et al., 1984; Das and Vasudevan, 2006; Pradhan and Girish, 2006). Silibinin has also effects demonstrated anti-cancer against human prostate adenocarcinoma cells, estrogen-dependent and -independent human breast carcinoma cells, human ectocervical carcinoma cells, human colon cancer cells, and both small and nonsmall human lung carcinoma cells both in vitro and in mouse models (Raina et al., 2008; Singh et al., 2008a; Singh et al., 2008b; Singh et al., 2008c; Garcia-Maceira and Mateo, 2009; Singh et al., 2009).

Silybin inhibited CYP3A4, 2D6 and 2E1 in human liver microsomes (Zuber et al., 2002). Silybin and its  $\beta$ -glycosides did not induce the expression of CYP1A2 and 3A4 (Kosina et al., 2005). Silybin did not affect the activity of P-gp (Patel et al., 2004). Co-administration of silymarin does not considerably change the extent of absorption or metabolism of nifedipine but may decrease the absorption rate in healthy subjects (Fuhr et al., 2007). This finding indicates that silymarin is not a potent CYP3A4 inhibitor *in vivo*. Another flavonoid, tangeretin, did not alter the CYP3A4 activity in human volunteers (Backman et al., 2000). It appears that silybin has limited effects on the pharmacokinetics of drugs *in vivo* (Wu et al., 2009).

From our *in vitro* inhibition results, it can be expected that lipophilic and small herbal components show greater inhibition on human CYP1A2. Most known inhibitors of CYP1A2 are lipophilic and small. Since CYP1A2 contains a small active site cavity, it can readily accommodate small molecules.

Herbal compounds can inhibit human CYPs to variable extent in vitro, but many of them induce these enzymes through nuclear receptor-mediated pathways. Flavonoids modulated most CYPs, in particular CYP3A4, the predominant human hepatic and intestinal CYP, which is responsible for the metabolism of approximately 50% of therapeutic agents. Concomitant administration of herbs and drugs may alter the pharmacokinetics of the latter, which may result in an altered therapeutic effect or cause toxicity.

## 5.3 Prediction of Pharmacokinetics Herb-Drug Interactions Based on *in vitro* Data

Pharmacokinetic herb-drug interactions are caused due to altered absorption, metabolism, distribution and excretion of drugs. The underlying mechanisms for the altered drug concentrations by concomitant herbal medicines are always to be determined, but the induction or inhibition of hepatic and intestinal CYPs and/or drug transporters such as P-gp (Walter-Sack and Klotz, 1996; Wilkinson, 1997; Evans, 2000; Ioannides, 2002; Zhou et al., 2003c) have been suggested. Herbs are often given orally and thus herbal constituents may modulate gastrointestinal pH and motility. Due to high concentrations in the gut lumen, herbal constituents are likely to exert a major effect on intestinal enterocytes. These cells represent the first cell lining limiting entry of orally administered drugs into the body. Both P-gp and CYP3A4 are expressed at high levels in the villus tip of enterocytes, the primary site of absorption for orally administered drugs. The interplay of both intestinal P-gp and CYP3A4 determines bioavailability of many drugs such as cyclosporine (Kolars et al., 1991), midazolam

(Paine et al., 1996), HIV protease inhibitors (Kim et al., 1998), verapamil (Fromm et al., 1996), digoxin (Greiner et al., 1999), and talinolol (Westphal et al., 2000). Thus, the modulation of intestinal P-gp and CYP3A represents an important mechanism for the enhanced or reduced bioavailability of coadministered drugs.

Based on the *in vitro* results, we predicted the pharmacokinetic herb-drug interactions following pharmacokinetic principles, with a focus on purified constituents from *S. chinensis* ( $\gamma$ -schisandrin), *S. miltiorrhiza* (tanshinone I and II A), *A. pubescens* (osthole) and *S. Mariani* (silybin). We predicted that the *S. chinensis* ( $\gamma$ -schisandrin and schisandrin) might increase the AUC of drugs that are primarily metabolised by CYP2C9, 2C19 or 3A4 in humans (Table 3-2 and Table 3-3). The oral bioavailability of tacrolimus (a CYP3A4 substrate) was increased in humans when *S. sphenanthera* extracts were co-administrated (Xin et al., 2007). Our prediction of *S. miltiorrhiza* causing pharmacokinetic drug interactions is also consistent with results from the clinical study (Chan, 2001) where *S. miltiorrhiza* products increased the prothrombin time of warfarin 2-fold and induced over-anticoagulation in patients. Thus, using *in vitro* inhibition data, it is possible to predict some pharmacokinetic herb-drug interactions with certain herbs and to provide a perspective view on how potential for the herb would interact with the drug coadministered. The prediction data can be used to avoid toxic or fatal herb-drug interactions.

The clinical importance of herb-drug interactions depends on factors that are related to coadministered drugs (dose, dosing regimen, administration route, pharmacokinetic and therapeutic range), herbs (species, dose, dosing regimen, and administration route) and patients (genetic polymorphism, age, gender and pathological conditions) (Dresser et al., 2000). Generally, a doubling or more in drug plasma concentration/AUC has the potential for enhanced adverse effects. However, less marked changes may still be clinically important for drugs with a steep concentration-response relationship or a narrow therapeutic index. In most cases, the extent of herb-drug interaction varies markedly among individuals, depending on interindividual differences in drug metabolizing enzymes (in particular CYP3A4) and transporters (e.g. P-gp), existing medical condition, age and other factors (Zhou et al., 2003b; Zhou et al., 2004e). Due to the difficulties in determining the specific constituents responsible for the inhibition of CYPs and/or P-gp, it appears to be difficult to predict herb-drug interactions (Zhou et al., 2004b).

## 5.4 Docking and Pharmacophore Modeling Studies for CYP1A2

CYP1A2 accounts for ~13% of the total CYP content of the human liver and is the major enzyme involved in the metabolism of a number of drugs including acetaminophen, caffeine, imipramine, propranolol, tacrine and theophylline as well as the metabolism of endogenous substances such as  $17\hat{a}$ -estradiol, melatonin and uroporphyrinogen III (Table 1-2). Many clinical drugs and some herbal medicines are known to inhibit the activity of CYP1A2, which may provide an explanation of some clinical drug interactions observed.

To assess the molecular factors affecting the inhibitory effect of herbal compounds on CYP1A2, we have conducted ligand-based analysis in the basis of Catalyst/HipHop programs to evaluate the common features for structurally diverse inhibitors and to develop pharmacophore models. The corresponding results offered better understanding of the structural features that are important for selective binding in the CYP1A2 active site and also provide us with clues towards novel selective inhibitors of the CYP1A2. Meanwhile, we have employed AutoDock 4.0 programs for protein-based analysis to explore the binding mode and binding energy in the active site of substrates, inhibitors and tested herbal compounds of CYP1A2. The Ligplot program has also been used to analyse the docking results of the substrates, inhibitors and tested herbal compounds for CYP1A2 and for the ligand-protein interactions.

#### 5.4.1 Residues in CYP1A2 active site involved in substrate recognition

In the 2HI4 structure in complex with ANF (Figure 1-4), the rather compact active site is closed without clear solvent or substrate access channels with a relatively small volume of the cavity of 375 Å<sup>3</sup> (Sansen et al., 2007). Sansen *et al.* (2007) have found that the substrate binding cavity of CYP1A2 is narrow, which is lined by residues on helices F and I that define a relatively planar binding platform for the substrate on either side. Helix I bends as it crosses the heme prosthetic group and its residues form one flat side of the substrate binding cavity, resulting in a coplanarity through the Ala317 side chain, the Gly316-Ala317 peptide bond, and the Asp320-Thr321 peptide bond. On the other side of the cavity, the side chain of Phe226 of helix F forms a parallel substrate binding surface.

The active site cavity of CYP1A2 is stabilized through a strong hydrogen-bonding interaction between the side chain of Thr223 on helix F and the side chain of Asp320 on helix I. Both Thr223 and Asp320 play a role in forming an extensive network of hydrogen-bonded water

molecules and side chains, including Tyr189, Val220, Thr498, and Lys500. It is clear that the narrow and flat active site cavity of CYP1A2 can fit well with planar compounds such as ANF and typical CYP1A2 substrates such as theophylline, caffeine, melatonin, tacrine, clozapine. ANF is a potent, competitive inhibitor of CYP1A2 with  $K_i$  values of 1-50 nM (Shimada et al., 1998; Cho et al., 2003). ANF binds CYP1A2 in a single preferred orientation, which places the phenyl ring close to the heme iron and makes it an inhibitor rather than a substrate for CYP1A2. Similarly, CYP2A6 contains a narrow and flat active site cavity and this protein preferentially oxidizes small planar compounds such as nicotine, coumarin and naphthalene (Yano et al., 2005).

We have employed computerized programs to analyze the ligand-CYP1A2 interaction based on the crystal structure of CYP1A2 (PDB ID: 2HI4). Our substrate-CYP1A2 interaction study identified 12 residues at the active site of CYP1A2 as important residues for ligand binding. These residues define the substrate specificity of CYP1A2 as small, planar aromatic-ring containing and hydrophobic ligands. In particular, there are 6 residues in the active site of CYP1A2 identified as essential residues for substrate recognition, including Thr124, Phe125, Phe226, Gly316, Ala317, and Hem900. The 6 residues are also identified as the most important residues for the binding of CYP1A2 inhibitors and the extent of ligand and the 6 residue interaction determines the extent of inhibitory potency. This is consistent with the results from the study by Sansen *et al.* (2007).

Interestingly, a recent study of CYP1A1 homology models based on the rabbit CYP2C5 and a composite of CYP2C5, 2C8, and 2C9 X-ray crystal structures has revealed several residues in its active site that are potentially involved in binding of the prototypic CYP1A1 substrate 7-ethoxyresorufin (Lewis et al., 2007). These include Ser122, Phe123, Phe224, Ala317, Thr321, and Ile386. SDM studies have confirmed their importance in 7-ethoxyresorufin binding and turnover and aromatic interactions over hydrogen bonding in orientating 7-ethoxyresrufin play a critical role in a catalytically favorable manner (Lewis et al., 2007).

Our data demonstrated that Phe226 is the most significant residue in the hydrophobic active site of CYP1A2 for most substrate binding and this is supported by an SDM study (Parikh et al., 1999). The SDM study has indicated that three mutants at Phe226 position (F226I, F226T, and F226Y) of human CYP1A2 displayed very low  $k_{cat}$  values for 7-ethoxyresorufin and phenacetin oxidations (Parikh et al., 1999).
Other two acidic residues (Asp313 and Asp320) at the active site of CYP1A2 are found to be essential for the hydrogen bond formation between a ligand and CYP1A2, and also determine the basic preference of CYP1A2 ligands. This is partially supported by an SDM study at the Asp320 position of human CYP1A2. One of the Asp320 mutants, D320A, was found to substantially decrease the activity of CYP1A2 (Parikh et al., 1999).

Notably, we found that there are three conservative residues (Asp, Ala and Thr) located at the same positioning in the active sites of CYP1A2, 2C9 and 2D6. The conservation of the three residues implies the fundamental function of these three CYPs in substrate recognition and catalytic reactions.

Human CYP1 enzymes have demonstrated remarkably overlapping substrate specificities for which the molecular planarity of substrates and inhibitors is a determining factor. The planar active site architecture in the CYP1A2 structure, which is well adapted for the oxidation of relatively large aromatic compounds, is likely to be conserved among the CYP1 enzymes. Relatively small changes in the enzyme active site residues can provide an explanation for CYP1A specificities for the *O*-dealkylation of alkoxyresorufins. Although wild-type CYP1A1 shows a clear preference for 7-ethoxyresorufin *versus* 7-methoxyresorufin *O*-dealkylation compared to CYP1A2, the reciprocal CYP1A1 V382L and CYP1A2 L382V mutants display interchanged specificities (Liu et al., 2004). In the 2HI4 structure, the distance between Leu382  $C^{\delta}$  and C'3 and C'4 of ANF is only 3.9 and 4.1 Å, respectively, which demonstrates the restricted architecture at the base of the CYP1A2 active site cavity and explains the preference of CYP1A2 for shorter alkoxyresorufins. The unique active site topology of CYP1A2 demonstrates how CYP1 enzymes have evolved to catalyze efficiently polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon oxidation and delineates structural properties that define a distinctive substrate binding site.

## 5.4.2 Common features of CYP1A2 ligands

Our pharmacophore modelling studies showed the common features of CYP1A2 inhibitors as one to two hydrophobic regions, an aromatic ring and a HBA. The model presents the common features of CYP1A2 inhibitors, which could hit 88.9% known inhibitors of CYP1A2 and 64% herbal inhibitors tested. Interestingly, this model could hit 56% known CYP1A2 substrates as well. It is worthy to note that excluding one hydrophobic feature of the model could improve

the hitting rate of known inhibitors to 100%; known CYP1A2 substrates to 68% and herbal inhibitors tested to 86%. The model is efficient to screen most inhibitors and a number of substrates of CYP1A2. Since the modified model (a hydrophobic region, an aromatic ring and a HBA) hit more substrates and inhibitors of CYP1A2, it is suggested to be fundamental common features for CYP1A2 ligands.

Since both substrates and competitive inhibitors interact with the active side of CYP1A2, there must be some common features shared by substrates and inhibitors. Therefore, using five potent inhibitors, we developed pharmacophore Hopyo-1 that can effective distinguish CYP1A2 inhibitors from a set of herbal compounds in combination with docking analysis. This pharmacophore model may not be specific for certain category inhibitors. The three to four identified common features (one to two hydrophobic regions, an aromatic ring and a hydrogen bond acceptor) may help us to conduct initial screening for searching work of novel CYP1A2 inhibitor. The pharmacophore Hopyo-1 represents the fundamental 3D structure features of most CYP1A2 inhibitors that may appear different 2D structures. This model is useful for early stage of screening and additional docking study is necessary to exclude the molecules with high binding energy in the active site of CYP1A2. In combination with docking program, the pharmacophore model may serve for database searching to hit potential new lead inhibitors for CYP1A2.

In addition to hydrophobic and hydrophilic interaction, rutaecarpine and tanshinone I have a planar polycyclic structure, which is critical element for inhibitory potency of CYP1A2 inhibitors. A slight break of the polycyclic and planar structure shapely decreases the inhibitory extent. Tanshinone IIA and cryptotanshinone, two close analogues of tanshinone I, possess similar polycyclic with a slight different on steric structure leading their IC<sub>50</sub> increasing to 187 nM and 910 nM, respectively. Further analysis indicated that both Tanshinone IIA and cryptotanshinone have the same number of the polycyclic but loss a double bond at cycle D that breaks the planarity of the two molecules in 3D structures. Moreover, the methyl on cycle A of cryptotanshinone breaks the planar structure at another head of the polycyclic, which is a possible reason for the inhibitory potency of cryptotanshinone is lower than that of Tanshinone IIA.

These results can be supported by the residue constitute in the active site of CYP1A2. There are three Phe residues in the active site of CYP1A2. The Phe residues implicate that aromatic rings are involved heavily in ligand-CYP1A2 interactions. Further analysis showed that the Phe226 participates all researched interactions and most  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interplays while the Phe260 participates most of the two interactions. Additionally, the Phe125 locates at the pocket entry of the active side and is supposed to be responsible for ligand recognition. These results may partially interpret the favourite of CYP1A2 for aromatic polycyclic chemicals.

In addition, the pharmacophore model derived from five potent inhibitors of CYP1A2 also support this finding. Aromatic ring is one of the four common features of the hypothesis (Hopyo 1) and another two hydrophobic areas may also be possible to hit aromatic rings. Only one hydrogen bond donor implicates most CYP1A2 ligands should be quite lipophilic molecule that has at least one aromatic ring. Most importantly, our lab data and literature reports fully support the finding. The aromatic polycyclic compounds, such as tanshinone I, tanshinone IIA and cryptotanshinone, show the most potent inhibition on CYP1A2. Alternatively, the finding gives rise of a good explanation for the characters of CYP1A2 ligands with multiple aromatic rings.

An additional analysis of oxymatrine, sophoridine and matrine further emphasizes the importation of the planar polycyclic structure for CYP1A2 inhibitory potency. The three compounds are analogues with four cycles linking together constructed merely by single bonds, which leads to an inflated instead of planar structure in space. Although three of them accommodated in the active site with low binding energy by docking and interacted with the six essential residues with a number of C-C pairs, none of them were hit by the pharmacophore and also detected any inhibitory effect on CYP1A2 in our *in vitro* study.

The *in silico* approaches provide useful tools for understanding ligand-CYP interactions and for predicting possible drug interactions (Ekins and Wrighton, 2001). The resulting data based on *in silico* approaches may be of clinical and toxicological relevance. For example, it is possible to identify or design very potent CYP1A2 inhibitor which can be used to block procarcinogen bioactivation.

In combination with our *in vitro* study and the Ligplot analysis of the interaction between herbal compounds and CYP1A2, we identified that the C-C number of hydrophobic

interactions between small ligand and the six residues are able to predict relatively inhibitory potency of potential inhibitors. Our screening results showed that rutaecarpine and tanshinone I ( $M_r < 310$  Del) hold the most C-C pairs with the 6 residues of CYP1A2 and predicted to be the strongest herbal inhibitors, which is in accordance with our *in vitro* study and literature reports. The inhibitory effect on CYP1A2 of tanshinone I was detected as IC<sub>50</sub> of 27 nM, while rutaecarpine was reported (Don et al., 2003) as a selective and potent inhibitor of CYP1A2 with IC<sub>50</sub> of 22 nM. Rutaecarpine, in docking result, showed an additional hydrogen bond and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions with CYP1A2, which may let rutaecarpine bind in the active site tighter than other inhibitors that have only hydrophobic interactions, like tanshinone I.

## 5.5 Limitations of the Present Project

Although the present study has conducted *in silico* and *in vitro* experiments to investigate the herb and drug interaction, there are several limitations for this project. With the high throughput approach, we have assessed the inhibitory effects of the 56 herbal compounds on five principal CYP enzymes (CYP1A2, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6 and 3A4). However, the inhibitory effect on the remaining important CYPs (e.g. CYP2E1, 2B6, 2C8 and 2A6) is not determined. We can only screen a small number of natural compounds given that there are more than 22,000 compounds isolated from natural medicinal products so far. We have only determined the IC<sub>50</sub> values, rather than the  $K_i$  values. As such, we can only assume that the nature of inhibition was competitive and estimate the  $K_i$  values based on IC<sub>50</sub> values when extrapolating the *in vitro* data to *in vivo* situations. Since only microsomes are used, the inducing effects of these herbal compounds on CYPs are not determined. Further studies are warranted to explore the potential effects of herbal components on drug-metabolizing enzymes and drug transporters using cultured human hepatocytes and precision-cut liver slices.

Although the inhibition test is an HTP approach, the inhibition potency cannot be determined for a proportion of herbal components due to interfering fluorescence or very low levels of metabolite formation in the enzyme reaction systems. Thus, the reaction system should be optimized and alternative probes should be used to avoid signal interference.

In our *in silico* study, the pharmacophore models built for CYP1A2 ligands are based merely on certain structural information without relevant activity values. Therefore, the models can only be applied for initial screening to identify potential CYP1A2 inhibitors but could not predict the inhibitory potency of the potential inhibitors. To make a relatively accurate prediction, QSAR analysis is a proper approach to address this issue. Although the *in silico* results were validated by *in vitro* data, it is still necessary to explore these herb-drug interactions *in vivo* (both animal and human studies). In our ligand-CYP1A2 interaction studies, the dynamics is not considered. Molecular dynamic studies are needed to explore the ligand-enzyme interactions at molecular levels.

In addition, the computer-based docking and pharmacophore studies are only conducted for CYP1A2. These models may fail as disappointing results can be linked to the key aspects of the model and modelling procedure, and many of these related to the original data and its interpretation (Stouch et al., 2003). Further work is ongoing to analyze the interactions of ligand with other important CYPs such as CYP2A6, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6 and 3A4. Furthermore, the essential amino acid residues identified for binding at the active site of CYP1A2 require further validation by SDM studies.

Finally, we did not conduct any animal and human studies in this project. Although *in vitro* and *in silico* studies can provide useful information for CYPs and potential herb-CYP interactions, animal studies can offer valuable data on potential inducing and inhibitory effect of herbs on important CYPs, although caution is often needed when extrapolating the data from animal studies to humans due to marked interspecies variations. For any potential herb-drug interactions, well-designed clinical studies with reasonable sample size are certainly required to confirm the interaction, but these studies are always time-consuming and expensive.

## 5.6 Conclusions and Future Directions

Evidence from *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies has indicated that the constituents of herbal preparations interact with various CYP enzymes extensively, either as substrates, inhibitors and/or inducers, and it is apparent that the modulation of CYPs by herbs is complex, depending on the type of source of herb, their administration dose, regimen and route, the target organ and the species. These interactions will not be confined to the liver, but may also occur in other tissues where the CYPs are considerably expressed, in particular in the gastrointestinal site, as medicinal herbs are most often given orally. In addition, the multiple ingredients in herbs may modify the intestinal pH and motility, and inhibit and/or induce intestinal drug transporters such as P-gp, and thus change the rate and extent of concomitant drug absorption.

High throughput screening assays may represent a useful strategy for the study of herb-CYP interactions. They are capable of handling the great number of herbal constituents (e.g. a single herb usually contains dozens of constituents), and have the ability to provide in vitro inhibition data as a criterion for monitoring herb-drug metabolic interactions involving human drug metabolizing enzymes (in particular the CYPs).

*In silico* approaches represent a useful tool for the study of herb-CYP interactions as demonstrated by our studies and studies by other researchers. Our established pharmacophore model could readily distinguish the most potent inhibitor if CYP1A2. Thus, this model could be used as a high throughput-screening tool to identify natural constituents of herbal preparations that inhibit CYP1A2, before undertaking *in vitro* determinations. This will help avoid coadministration of drugs that are extensively metabolized by CYP1A2 with herbal products that showed potent inhibitory effects on this enzyme.

Herb-CYP interactions may have important clinical and toxicological implications, and rigorous testing for possible drug interactions with widely used herbs is needed. It is perhaps time to consider herbs not as alternative medicine based on tradition and experience, but as phytotherapy, and an integrated part of modern medical treatment. Regulations on medicinal herbs would be desirable, but this would be a matter of considerable debate. However, safety (e.g. herb-drug interactions), quality and efficacy should be proved, based on an objective and appropriate standard as for modern medicines.

However, herb-drug interactions are difficult to characterize and resolve, because of the lack of comprehensive federal regulations regarding safety, efficacy, and manufacturing standards for herbal medicines. It has been proposed that herbs are appropriately labelled to alert consumers to possible interactions with other concomitantly used drugs and to recommend a consultation with their general practitioners, pharmacists, and/or other medical carers. It is time to consider herbs not as alternative medicine based on tradition and experience, but as phytotherapy, an integrated part of medical treatment (Qiu, 2007). Regulations with regard to safety (e.g. herb-drug interactions), quality and efficacy of herbs would be highly desirable. Thus, monitoring of adverse events when herbal medicines are coadministered with drugs can be systematically carried out and potential herb-drug interactions be identified. This would enable more accurate product labelling and a body of useful information on potential herb-drug interactions to medical professionals.

## References

- Aarnoutse RE, Kleinnijenhuis J, Koopmans PP, Touw DJ, Wieling J, Hekster YA and Burger DM (2005) Effect of low-dose ritonavir (100 mg twice daily) on the activity of cytochrome P450 2D6 in healthy volunteers. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **78**:664-674.
- Abass K, Reponen P, Turpeinen M, Jalonen J and Pelkonen O (2007) Characterization of diuron N-demethylation by mammalian hepatic microsomes and cDNA-expressed human cytochrome P450 enzymes. *Drug Metab Dispos* 35:1634-1641.
- Abdel-Rahman SM, Marcucci K, Boge T, Gotschall RR, Kearns GL and Leeder JS (1999) Potent inhibition of cytochrome P-450 2D6-mediated dextromethorphan O-demethylation by terbinafine. *Drug Metab Dispos* **27**:770-775.
- Abernethy DR and Todd EL (1985) Impairment of caffeine clearance by chronic use of low-dose oestrogen-containing oral contraceptives. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 28:425-428.
- Adedoyin A, Frye RF, Mauro K and Branch RA (1998) Chloroquine modulation of specific metabolizing enzymes activities - investigation with selective five drug cocktail. Br J Clin Pharmacol 46:215-219.
- Adithan C, Gerard N, Vasu S, Balakrishnan R, Shashindran CH and Krishnamoorthy R (2003) Allele and genotype frequency of CYP2C9 in Tamilnadu population. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **59:**707-709.
- Agudo A, Sala N, Pera G, Capella G, Berenguer A, Garcia N, Palli D, Boeing H, Del Giudice G, Saieva C, Carneiro F, Berrino F, Sacerdote C, Tumino R, Panico S, Berglund G, Siman H, Stenling R, Hallmans G, Martinez C, Bilbao R, Barricarte A, Navarro C, Quiros JR, Allen N, Key T, Bingham S, Khaw KT, Linseisen J, Nagel G, Overvad K, Tjonneland A, Olsen A, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Boshuizen HC, Peeters PH, Numans ME, Clavel-Chapelon F, Boutron-Ruault MC, Trichopoulou A, Lund E, Offerhaus J, Jenab M, Ferrari P, Norat T, Riboli E and Gonzalez CA (2006) Polymorphisms in metabolic genes related to tobacco smoke and the risk of gastric cancer in the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 15:2427-2434.
- Agundez JA, Ramirez R, Hernandez M, Llerena A and Benitez J (1997) Molecular heterogeneity at the CYP2D gene locus in Nicaraguans: impact of gene-flow from Europe. *Pharmacogenetics* **7:**337-340.
- Ahmed SM, Banner NR and Dubrey SW (2001) Low cyclosporin-A level due to Saint-John's-wort in heart transplant patients. *J Heart Lung Transplant* **20:**795.
- Aklillu E, Carrillo JA, Makonnen E, Hellman K, Pitarque M, Bertilsson L and Ingelman-Sundberg M (2003) Genetic polymorphism of CYP1A2 in Ethiopians affecting induction and expression: characterization of novel haplotypes with single-nucleotide polymorphisms in intron 1. *Mol Pharmacol* 64:659-669.

- Aklillu E, Persson I, Bertilsson L, Johansson I, Rodrigues F and Ingelman-Sundberg M (1996) Frequent distribution of ultrarapid metabolizers of debrisoquine in an ethiopian population carrying duplicated and multiduplicated functional CYP2D6 alleles. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **278**:441-446.
- Akutsu T, Kobayashi K, Sakurada K, Ikegaya H, Furihata T and Chiba K (2007) Identification of human cytochrome p450 isozymes involved in diphenhydramine N-demethylation. *Drug Metab Dispos* 35:72-78.
- Alderman J, Preskorn SH, Greenblatt DJ, Harrison W, Penenberg D, Allison J and Chung M (1997) Desipramine pharmacokinetics when coadministered with paroxetine or sertraline in extensive metabolizers. J Clin Psychopharmacol 17:284-291.
- Alexanderson B, Evans DA and Sjoqvist F (1969) Steady-state plasma levels of nortriptyline in twins: influence of genetic factors and drug therapy. *Br Med J* 4:764-768.
- Allabi AC, Gala JL, Desager JP, Heusterspreute M and Horsmans Y (2003) Genetic polymorphisms of CYP2C9 and CYP2C19 in the Beninese and Belgian populations. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 56:653-657.
- Allabi AC, Gala JL and Horsmans Y (2005) CYP2C9, CYP2C19, ABCB1 (MDR1) genetic polymorphisms and phenytoin metabolism in a Black Beninese population. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* **15:**779-786.
- Allabi AC, Gala JL, Horsmans Y, Babaoglu MO, Bozkurt A, Heusterspreute M and Yasar U (2004) Functional impact of CYP2C9\*5, CYP2C9\*6, CYP2C9\*8, and CYP2C9\*11 in vivo among black Africans. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **76**:113-118.
- Allen SW, Mueller L, Williams SN, Quattrochi LC and Raucy J (2001) The use of a high-volume screening procedure to assess the effects of dietary flavonoids on human CYP1A1 expression. *Drug Metab Dispos* **29**:1074-1079.
- Allorge D, Chevalier D, Lo-Guidice JM, Cauffiez C, Suard F, Baumann P, Eap CB and Broly F (2003) Identification of a novel splice-site mutation in the CYP1A2 gene. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 56:341-344.
- Almeida JC and Grimsley EW (1996) Coma from the health food store: interaction between kava and alprazolam. *Ann Intern Med* **125:**940-941.
- Alscher DM and Klotz U (2003) Drug interaction of herbal tea containing St. John's wort with cyclosporine. *Transpl Int* **16**:543-544.
- Andersson T, Bergstrand R, Cederberg C, Eriksson S, Lagerstrom PO and Skanberg I (1991) Omeprazole treatment does not affect the metabolism of caffeine. *Gastroenterology* 101:943-947.
- Andersson T, Holmberg J, Rohss K and Walan A (1998) Pharmacokinetics and effect on caffeine metabolism of the proton pump inhibitors, omeprazole, lansoprazole, and pantoprazole. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **45:**369-375.

- Ansede JH and Thakker DR (2004) High-throughput screening for stability and inhibitory activity of compounds toward cytochrome P450-mediated metabolism. *J Pharm Sci* **93:**239-255.
- Awni WM, Braeckman RA, Cavanaugh JH, Locke CS, Linnen PJ, Granneman GR and Dube LM (1995a) The pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic interactions between the 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor zileuton and the cyclo-oxygenase inhibitor naproxen in human volunteers. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **29 Suppl 2:**112-124.
- Awni WM, Braeckman RA, Locke CS, Dube LM and Granneman GR (1995b) The influence of multiple oral doses of zileuton on the steady-state pharmacokinetics of sulfasalazine and its metabolites, sulfapyridine and N-acetylsulfapyridine. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **29 Suppl 2:**98-104.
- Awni WM, Cavanaugh JH, Leese P, Kasier J, Cao G, Locke CS and Dube LM (1997) The pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic interaction between zileuton and terfenadine. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **52:**49-54.
- Awni WM, Cavanaugh JH, Tzeng TB, Witt G, Granneman GR and Dube LM (1995c) Pharmacokinetic interactions between zileuton and prednisone. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 29 Suppl 2:105-111.
- Awni WM, Hussein Z, Cavanaugh JH, Granneman GR and Dube LM (1995d) Assessment of the pharmacokinetic interaction between zileuton and digoxin in humans. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **29 Suppl 2:**92-97.
- Awni WM, Hussein Z, Granneman GR, Patterson KJ, Dube LM and Cavanaugh JH (1995e) Pharmacodynamic and stereoselective pharmacokinetic interactions between zileuton and warfarin in humans. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **29 Suppl 2:**67-76.
- Aynacioglu AS, Brockmoller J, Bauer S, Sachse C, Guzelbey P, Ongen Z, Nacak M and Roots I (1999) Frequency of cytochrome P450 CYP2C9 variants in a Turkish population and functional relevance for phenytoin. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 48:409-415.
- Baba T, Mimura J, Gradin K, Kuroiwa A, Watanabe T, Matsuda Y, Inazawa J, Sogawa K and Fujii-Kuriyama Y (2001) Structure and expression of the Ah receptor repressor gene. *J Biol Chem* **276:**33101-33110.
- Babaoglu MO, Yasar U, Sandberg M, Eliasson E, Dahl ML, Kayaalp SO and Bozkurt A (2004) CYP2C9 genetic variants and losartan oxidation in a Turkish population. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 60:337-342.
- Bachmann K, White D, Jauregui L, Schwartz JI, Agrawal NG, Mazenko R, Larson PJ and Porras AG (2003) An evaluation of the dose-dependent inhibition of CYP1A2 by rofecoxib using theophylline as a CYP1A2 probe. *J Clin Pharmacol* **43**:1082-1090.
- Back DJ, Houlgrave R, Tjia JF, Ward S and Orme ML (1991) Effect of the progestogens, gestodene, 3-keto desogestrel, levonorgestrel, norethisterone and norgestimate on the oxidation of ethinyloestradiol and other substrates by human liver microsomes. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 38:219-225.

- Backlund M, Johansson I, Mkrtchian S and Ingelman-Sundberg M (1997) Signal transduction-mediated activation of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor in rat hepatoma H4IIE cells. *J Biol Chem* **272:**31755-31763.
- Backman JT, Granfors MT and Neuvonen PJ (2006a) Rifampicin is only a weak inducer of CYP1A2-mediated presystemic and systemic metabolism: studies with tizanidine and caffeine. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **62**:451-461.
- Backman JT, Karjalainen MJ, Neuvonen M, Laitila J and Neuvonen PJ (2006b) Rofecoxib is a potent inhibitor of cytochrome P450 1A2: studies with tizanidine and caffeine in healthy subjects. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **62**:345-357.
- Backman JT, Kyrklund C, Neuvonen M and Neuvonen PJ (2002) Gemfibrozil greatly increases plasma concentrations of cerivastatin. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **72**:685-691.
- Backman JT, Maenpaa J, Belle DJ, Wrighton SA, Kivisto KT and Neuvonen PJ (2000) Lack of correlation between in vitro and in vivo studies on the effects of tangeretin and tangerine juice on midazolam hydroxylation. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **67:**382-390.
- Bae JW, Kim HK, Kim JH, Yang SI, Kim MJ, Jang CG, Park YS and Lee SY (2005) Allele and genotype frequencies of CYP2C9 in a Korean population. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **60**:418-422.
- Bae SK, Cao S, Seo KA, Kim H, Kim MJ, Shon JH, Liu KH, Zhou HH and Shin JG (2008) Cytochrome P450 2B6 (CYP2B6) Catalyzes the Formation of Pharmacologically Active Sibutramine Metabolites in Human Liver Microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos*.
- Balani SK, Xu X, Pratha V, Koss MA, Amin RD, Dufresne C, Miller RR, Arison BH, Doss GA, Chiba M, Freeman A, Holland SD, Schwartz JI, Lasseter KC, Gertz BJ, Isenberg JI, Rogers JD, Lin JH and Baillie TA (1997) Metabolic profiles of montelukast sodium (Singulair), a potent cysteinyl leukotriene1 receptor antagonist, in human plasma and bile. *Drug Metab Dispos* 25:1282-1287.
- Baldwin SJ, Clarke SE and Chenery RJ (1999) Characterization of the cytochrome P450 enzymes involved in the in vitro metabolism of rosiglitazone. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **48**:424-432.
- Ball SE, Ahern D, Scatina J and Kao J (1997) Venlafaxine: in vitro inhibition of CYP2D6 dependent imipramine and desipramine metabolism; comparative studies with selected SSRIs, and effects on human hepatic CYP3A4, CYP2C9 and CYP1A2. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **43**:619-626.
- Ball SE, Forrester LM, Wolf CR and Back DJ (1990) Differences in the cytochrome P-450 isoenzymes involved in the 2-hydroxylation of oestradiol and 17 alpha-ethinyloestradiol. Relative activities of rat and human liver enzymes. *Biochem J* 267:221-226.
- Baranczewski P, Stanczak A, Sundberg K, Svensson R, Wallin A, Jansson J, Garberg P and Postlind H (2006) Introduction to in vitro estimation of metabolic stability and drug interactions of new chemical entities in drug discovery and development. *Pharmacol Rep* 58:453-472.

- Barbenel DM, Yusufi B, O'Shea D and Bench CJ (2000) Mania in a patient receiving testosterone replacement postorchidectomy taking St John's wort and sertraline. *J Psychopharmacol* **14:**84-86.
- Barnhart JW (1980) The urinary excretion of dextromethorphan and three metabolites in dogs and humans. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* **55**:43-48.
- Barone GW, Gurley BJ, Ketel BL and Abul-Ezz SR (2001) Herbal supplements: a potential for drug interactions in transplant recipients. *Transplantation* **71**:239-241.
- Barone GW, Gurley BJ, Ketel BL, Lightfoot ML and Abul-Ezz SR (2000) Drug interaction between St. John's wort and cyclosporine. *Ann Pharmacother* **34**:1013-1016.
- Barsoum NJ, Gough AW, Sturgess JM and de la Iglesia FA (1986) Parkinson-like syndrome in nonhuman primates receiving a tetrahydropyridine derivative. *Neurotoxicology* **7:**119-126.
- Bartoli A, Xiaodong S, Gatti G, Cipolla G, Marchiselli R and Perucca E (1996) The influence of ethnic factors and gender on CYP1A2-mediated drug disposition: a comparative study in Caucasian and Chinese subjects using phenacetin as a marker substrate. *Ther Drug Monit* **18**:586-591.
- Bauer S, Stormer E, Johne A, Kruger H, Budde K, Neumayer HH, Roots I and Mai I (2003) Alterations in cyclosporin A pharmacokinetics and metabolism during treatment with St John's wort in renal transplant patients. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **55**:203-211.
- Becquemont L, Ragueneau I, Le Bot MA, Riche C, Funck-Brentano C and Jaillon P (1997) Influence of the CYP1A2 inhibitor fluvoxamine on tacrine pharmacokinetics in humans. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 61:619-627.
- Beer AM and Ostermann T (2001) [St. John's wort: interaction with cyclosporine increases risk of rejection for the kidney transplant and raises daily cost of medication]. *Med Klin (Munich)* **96:**480-483.
- Belle DJ, Ernest CS, Sauer JM, Smith BP, Thomasson HR and Witcher JW (2002) Effect of potent CYP2D6 inhibition by paroxetine on atomoxetine pharmacokinetics. *J Clin Pharmacol* **42:**1219-1227.
- Belliard AM, Baune B, Fakhfakh M, Hocquemiller R and Farinotti R (2003) Determination of the human cytochrome P450s involved in the metabolism of 2n-propylquinoline. *Xenobiotica* **33**:341-355.
- Benowitz NL, Swan GE, Jacob P, 3rd, Lessov-Schlaggar CN and Tyndale RF (2006) CYP2A6 genotype and the metabolism and disposition kinetics of nicotine. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **80**:457-467.
- Bergonzi MC, Bilia AR, Gallori S, Guerrini D and Vincieri FF (2001) Variability in the content of the constituents of *Hypericum perforatum* L. and some commercial extracts. *Drug Dev Ind Pharm* 27:491-497.
- Bernauer U, Heinrich-Hirsch B, Tonnies M, Peter-Matthias W and Gundert-Remy U (2006) Characterisation of the xenobiotic-metabolizing Cytochrome P450 expression

pattern in human lung tissue by immunochemical and activity determination. *Toxicol Lett* **164:**278-288.

- Bertelsen KM, Venkatakrishnan K, Von Moltke LL, Obach RS and Greenblatt DJ (2003) Apparent mechanism-based inhibition of human CYP2D6 in vitro by paroxetine: comparison with fluoxetine and quinidine. *Drug Metab Dispos* **31**:289-293.
- Bertilsson L, Carrillo JA, Dahl ML, Llerena A, Alm C, Bondesson U, Lindstrom L, Rodriguez de la Rubia I, Ramos S and Benitez J (1994) Clozapine disposition covaries with CYP1A2 activity determined by a caffeine test. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 38:471-473.
- Bertilsson L, Dahl ML, Sjoqvist F, Aberg-Wistedt A, Humble M, Johansson I, Lundqvist E and Ingelman-Sundberg M (1993) Molecular basis for rational megaprescribing in ultrarapid hydroxylators of debrisoquine. *Lancet* 341:63.
- Bidstrup TB, Bjornsdottir I, Sidelmann UG, Thomsen MS and Hansen KT (2003) CYP2C8 and CYP3A4 are the principal enzymes involved in the human in vitro biotransformation of the insulin secretagogue repaglinide. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 56:305-314.
- Bizub D, Wood AW and Skalka AM (1986) Mutagenesis of the Ha-ras oncogene in mouse skin tumors induced by polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S* A 83:6048-6052.
- Black DJ, Kunze KL, Wienkers LC, Gidal BE, Seaton TL, McDonnell ND, Evans JS, Bauwens JE and Trager WF (1996) Warfarin-fluconazole. II. A metabolically based drug interaction: in vivo studies. *Drug Metab Dispos* 24:422-428.
- Blaisdell J, Jorge-Nebert LF, Coulter S, Ferguson SS, Lee SJ, Chanas B, Xi T, Mohrenweiser H, Ghanayem B and Goldstein JA (2004) Discovery of new potentially defective alleles of human CYP2C9. *Pharmacogenetics* 14:527-537.
- Blumberg B, Sabbagh W, Jr., Juguilon H, Bolado J, Jr., van Meter CM, Ong ES and Evans RM (1998) SXR, a novel steroid and xenobiotic-sensing nuclear receptor. *Genes Dev* 12:3195-3205.
- Bock KW, Schrenk D, Forster A, Griese EU, Morike K, Brockmeier D and Eichelbaum M (1994) The influence of environmental and genetic factors on CYP2D6, CYP1A2 and UDP-glucuronosyltransferases in man using sparteine, caffeine, and paracetamol as probes. *Pharmacogenetics* **4**:209-218.
- Boek-Dohalska L, Hodek P, Sulc M and Stiborova M (2001) alpha-Naphthoflavone acts as activator and reversible or irreversible inhibitor of rabbit microsomal CYP 3A6. *Chem Biol Interact* **138**:85-106.
- Bon S, Hartmann K and Johanniskraut. KM (1999) Ein Enzyminduktor? *Schweitzer Apothekerzeitung* **16:**535-536.
- Boobis AR, Sesardic D, Murray BP, Edwards RJ, Singleton AM, Rich KJ, Murray S, de la Torre R, Segura J, Pelkonen O and et al. (1990) Species variation in the response of

the cytochrome P-450-dependent monooxygenase system to inducers and inhibitors. *Xenobiotica* **20**:1139-1161.

- Borchert P, Wislocki PG, Miller JA and Miller EC (1973) The metabolism of the naturally occurring hepatocarcinogen safrole to 1'-hydroxysafrole and the electrophilic reactivity of 1'-acetoxysafrole. *Cancer Res* **33**:575-589.
- Bort R, Gomez-Lechon MJ, Castell JV and Jover R (2004) Role of hepatocyte nuclear factor 3 gamma in the expression of human CYP2C genes. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **426:**63-72.
- Boruban MC, Yasar U, Babaoglu MO, Sencan O and Bozkurt A (2006) Tamoxifen inhibits cytochrome P450 2C9 activity in breast cancer patients. *J Chemother* **18**:421-424.
- Botsch S, Gautier JC, Beaune P, Eichelbaum M and Kroemer HK (1993) Identification and characterization of the cytochrome P450 enzymes involved in N-dealkylation of propafenone: molecular base for interaction potential and variable disposition of active metabolites. *Mol Pharmacol* **43**:120-126.
- Bourrie M, Meunier V, Berger Y and Fabre G (1996) Cytochrome p450 isoform inhibitors as a tool for the investigation of metabolic reactions catalyzed by human liver microsomes. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **277:**321-332.
- Brachtendorf L, Jetter A, Beckurts KT, Holscher AH and Fuhr U (2002) Cytochrome P450 enzymes contributing to demethylation of maprotiline in man. *Pharmacol Toxicol* **90**:144-149.
- Braga PC, Fossati A, Vimercati MG, Caputo R and Guffanti EE (1994) Dextrorphan and dextromethorphan: comparative antitussive effects on guinea pigs. *Drugs Exp Clin Res* **20**:199-203.
- Branch RA, Adedoyin A, Frye RF, Wilson JW and Romkes M (2000) In vivo modulation of CYP enzymes by quinidine and rifampin. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **68:**401-411.
- Bravo-Villalta HV, Yamamoto K, Nakamura K, Baya A, Okada Y and Horiuchi R (2005) Genetic polymorphism of CYP2C9 and CYP2C19 in a Bolivian population: an investigative and comparative study. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 61:179-184.
- Breidenbach T, Hoffmann MW, Becker T, Schlitt H and Klempnauer J (2000a) Drug interaction of St John's wort with cyclosporin. *Lancet* **355:**1912.
- Breidenbach T, Kliem V, Burg M, Radermacher J, Hoffmann MW and Klempnauer J (2000b) Profound drop of cyclosporin A whole blood trough levels caused by St. John's wort (Hypericum perforatum). *Transplantation* **69**:2229-2230.
- Breinholt VM, Rasmussen SE, Brosen K and Friedberg TH (2003) In vitro metabolism of genistein and tangeretin by human and murine cytochrome P450s. *Pharmacol Toxicol* **93:**14-22.
- Brockmoller J and Roots I (1994) Assessment of liver metabolic function clinical implications. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **27:**216-248.

- Broly F, Libersa C, Lhermitte M and Dupuis B (1990) Inhibitory studies of mexiletine and dextromethorphan oxidation in human liver microsomes. *Biochem Pharmacol* 39:1045-1053.
- Broly F, Marez D, Lo Guidice JM, Sabbagh N, Legrand M, Boone P and Meyer UA (1995) A nonsense mutation in the cytochrome P450 CYP2D6 gene identified in a Caucasian with an enzyme deficiency. *Hum Genet* **96**:601-603.
- Broly F and Meyer UA (1993) Debrisoquine oxidation polymorphism: phenotypic consequences of a 3-base-pair deletion in exon 5 of the CYP2D6 gene. *Pharmacogenetics* **3:**123-130.
- Brosen K (1995) Drug interactions and the cytochrome P450 system. The role of cytochrome P450 1A2. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **29 Suppl 1:**20-25.
- Brosen K, Skjelbo E, Rasmussen BB, Poulsen HE and Loft S (1993) Fluvoxamine is a potent inhibitor of cytochrome P4501A2. *Biochem Pharmacol* **45**:1211-1214.
- Brown CM, Reisfeld B and Mayeno AN (2008) Cytochromes P450: a structure-based summary of biotransformations using representative substrates. *Drug Metab Rev* **40**:1-100.
- Brown PJ, Bedard LL, Reid KR, Petsikas D and Massey TE (2007) Analysis of CYP2A contributions to metabolism of 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone in human peripheral lung microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **35**:2086-2094.
- Bryson HM, Fulton B and Benfield P (1996) Riluzole. A review of its pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties and therapeutic potential in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Drugs* **52**:549-563.
- Burbach KM, Poland A and Bradfield CA (1992) Cloning of the Ah-receptor cDNA reveals a distinctive ligand-activated transcription factor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **89:**8185-8189.
- Burian M, Grosch S, Tegeder I and Geisslinger G (2002) Validation of a new fluorogenic real-time PCR assay for detection of CYP2C9 allelic variants and CYP2C9 allelic distribution in a German population. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 54:518-521.
- Burk O and Wojnowski L (2004) Cytochrome P450 3A and their regulation. *Naunyn* Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol **369**:105-124.
- Bussey HI, Wittkowsky AK, Hylek EM and Walker MB (2008) Genetic testing for warfarin dosing? Not yet ready for prime time. *Pharmacotherapy* **28:**141-143.
- Buters JT, Shou M, Hardwick JP, Korzekwa KR and Gonzalez FJ (1995) cDNA-directed expression of human cytochrome P450 CYP1A1 using baculovirus. Purification, dependency on NADPH-P450 oxidoreductase, and reconstitution of catalytic properties without purification. *Drug Metab Dispos* **23**:696-701.
- Butler MA, Lang NP, Young JF, Caporaso NE, Vineis P, Hayes RB, Teitel CH, Massengill JP, Lawsen MF and Kadlubar FF (1992) Determination of CYP1A2 and NAT2

phenotypes in human populations by analysis of caffeine urinary metabolites. *Pharmacogenetics* **2**:116-127.

- Cai WM, Nikoloff DM, Pan RM, de Leon J, Fanti P, Fairchild M, Koch WH and Wedlund PJ (2006) CYP2D6 genetic variation in healthy adults and psychiatric African-American subjects: implications for clinical practice and genetic testing. *Pharmacogenomics J* **6**:343-350.
- Cairns W, Smith CA, McLaren AW and Wolf CR (1996) Characterization of the human cytochrome P4502D6 promoter. A potential role for antagonistic interactions between members of the nuclear receptor family. *J Biol Chem* **271:**25269-25276.
- Canivenc-Lavier MC, Bentejac M, Miller ML, Leclerc J, Siess MH, Latruffe N and Suschetet M (1996) Differential effects of nonhydroxylated flavonoids as inducers of cytochrome P450 1A and 2B isozymes in rat liver. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 136:348-353.
- Caraco Y, Muszkat M and Wood AJ (2001) Phenytoin metabolic ratio: a putative marker of CYP2C9 activity in vivo. *Pharmacogenetics* **11**:587-596.
- Carlson TJ and Fisher MB (2008) Recent advances in high throughput screening for ADME properties. *Comb Chem High Throughput Screen* **11**:258-264.
- Carrillo JA, Christensen M, Ramos SI, Alm C, Dahl ML, Benitez J and Bertilsson L (2000a) Evaluation of caffeine as an in vivo probe for CYP1A2 using measurements in plasma, saliva, and urine. *Ther Drug Monit* **22:**409-417.
- Carrillo JA, Christensen M, Ramos SI, Alm C, Dahl ML, Benitez J and Bertilsson L (2000b) Evaluation of caffeine as an in vivo probe for CYP1A2 using measurements in plasma, saliva, and urine. *Ther Drug Monit* **22**:409-417.
- Carrillo JA, Dahl ML, Svensson JO, Alm C, Rodriguez I and Bertilsson L (1996) Disposition of fluvoxamine in humans is determined by the polymorphic CYP2D6 and also by the CYP1A2 activity. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **60**:183-190.
- Carver LA, Jackiw V and Bradfield CA (1994) The 90-kDa heat shock protein is essential for Ah receptor signaling in a yeast expression system. *J Biol Chem* **269:**30109-30112.
- Carver LA, LaPres JJ, Jain S, Dunham EE and Bradfield CA (1998) Characterization of the Ah receptor-associated protein, ARA9. *J Biol Chem* **273**:33580-33587.
- Cascorbi I (2003) Pharmacogenetics of cytochrome p4502D6: genetic background and clinical implication. *Eur J Clin Invest* **33** 17-22.
- Catteau A, Bechtel YC, Poisson N, Bechtel PR and Bonaiti-Pellie C (1995) A population and family study of CYP1A2 using caffeine urinary metabolites. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **47:**423-430.
- Chainuvati S, Nafziger AN, Leeder JS, Gaedigk A, Kearns GL, Sellers E, Zhang Y, Kashuba ADM, Rowland E and Bertino J, Joseph S. (2003) Combined phenotypic assessment of cytochrome P450 1A2, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, and 3A,

N-acetyltransferase-2, and xanthine oxidase activities with the "Cooperstown 5+1 cocktail". *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics* **74**:437-447.

- Chan TY (2001) Interaction between warfarin and danshen (Salvia miltiorrhiza). Ann Pharmacother **35:**501-504.
- Chan WK, Nguyen LT, Miller VP and Harris RZ (1998) Mechanism-based inactivation of human cytochrome P450 3A4 by grapefruit juice and red wine. *Life Sci* 62:135-142.
- Chang TK, Chen J and Lee WB (2001) Differential inhibition and inactivation of human CYP1 enzymes by trans-resveratrol: evidence for mechanism-based inactivation of CYP1A2. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **299:**874-882.
- Chang TK and Waxman DJ (2006) Enzymatic analysis of cDNA-expressed human CYP1A1, CYP1A2, and CYP1B1 with 7-ethoxyresorufin as substrate. *Methods Mol Biol* **320**:85-90.
- Chang TK, Weber GF, Crespi CL and Waxman DJ (1993) Differential activation of cyclophosphamide and ifosphamide by cytochromes P-450 2B and 3A in human liver microsomes. *Cancer Res* **53:**5629-5637.
- Chen C, Meng L, Ma X, Krausz KW, Pommier Y, Idle JR and Gonzalez FJ (2006a) Urinary metabolite profiling reveals CYP1A2-mediated metabolism of NSC686288 (aminoflavone). *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **318**:1330-1342.
- Chen D, Klesmer J, Giovanniello A and Katz J (2002) Mental status changes in an alcohol abuser taking valerian and gingko biloba. *Am J Addict* **11:**75-77.
- Chen D, Lepar G and Kemper B (1994) A transcriptional regulatory element common to a large family of hepatic cytochrome P450 genes is a functional binding site of the orphan receptor HNF-4. *J Biol Chem* **269**:5420-5427.
- Chen MF, Shimada F, Kato H, Yano S and Kanaoka M (1990) Effect of glycyrrhizin on the pharmacokinetics of prednisolone following low dosage of prednisolone hemisuccinate. *Endocrinol Jpn* **37:**331-341.
- Chen MF, Shimada F, Kato H, Yano S and Kanaoka M (1991) Effect of oral administration of glycyrrhizin on the pharmacokinetics of prednisolone. *Endocrinol Jpn* 38:167-174.
- Chen X, Wang H, Xie W, Liang R, Wei Z, Zhi L, Zhang X, Hao B, Zhong S, Zhou G, Zhang L, Gao X, Zhu Y and He F (2006b) Association of CYP1A2 genetic polymorphisms with hepatocellular carcinoma susceptibility: a case-control study in a high-risk region of China. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* **16**:219-227.
- Chen X, Wang L, Zhi L, Zhou G, Wang H, Zhang X, Hao B, Zhu Y, Cheng Z and He F (2005a) The G-113A polymorphism in CYP1A2 affects the caffeine metabolic ratio in a Chinese population. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **78**:249-259.
- Chen Y, Ferguson SS, Negishi M and Goldstein JA (2003) Identification of constitutive androstane receptor and glucocorticoid receptor binding sites in the CYP2C19 promoter. *Mol Pharmacol* **64:**316-324.

- Chen Y, Kissling G, Negishi M and Goldstein JA (2005b) The nuclear receptors constitutive androstane receptor and pregnane X receptor cross-talk with hepatic nuclear factor 4alpha to synergistically activate the human CYP2C9 promoter. J Pharmacol Exp Ther **314**:1125-1133.
- Chevalier D, Cauffiez C, Allorge D, Lo-Guidice JM, Lhermitte M, Lafitte JJ and Broly F (2001) Five novel natural allelic variants-951A>C, 1042G>A (D348N), 1156A>T (I386F), 1217G>A (C406Y) and 1291C>T (C431Y)-of the human CYP1A2 gene in a French Caucasian population. *Hum Mutat* **17**:355-356.
- Chiba M, Xu X, Nishime JA, Balani SK and Lin JH (1997) Hepatic microsomal metabolism of montelukast, a potent leukotriene D4 receptor antagonist, in humans. *Drug Metab Dispos* **25:**1022-1031.
- Chida M, Yokoi T, Fukui T, Kinoshita M, Yokota J and Kamataki T (1999a) Detection of three genetic polymorphisms in the 5'-flanking region and intron 1 of human CYP1A2 in the Japanese population. *Jpn J Cancer Res* **90**:899-902.
- Chida M, Yokoi T, Nemoto N, Inaba M, Kinoshita M and Kamataki T (1999b) A new variant CYP2D6 allele (CYP2D6\*21) with a single base insertion in exon 5 in a Japanese population associated with a poor metabolizer phenotype. *Pharmacogenetics* **9:**287-293.
- Chinta SJ, Pai HV, Upadhya SC, Boyd MR and Ravindranath V (2002) Constitutive expression and localization of the major drug metabolizing enzyme, cytochrome P4502D in human brain. *Brain Res Mol Brain Res* **103:**49-61.
- Cho JY, Yu KS, Jang IJ, Yang BH, Shin SG and Yim DS (2002) Omeprazole hydroxylation is inhibited by a single dose of moclobemide in homozygotic EM genotype for CYP2C19. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **53**:393-397.
- Cho US, Park EY, Dong MS, Park BS, Kim K and Kim KH (2003) Tight-binding inhibition by alpha-naphthoflavone of human cytochrome P450 1A2. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1648:**195-202.
- Chowbay B, Zhou S and Lee EJ (2005) An interethnic comparison of polymorphisms of the genes encoding drug-metabolizing enzymes and drug transporters: experience in Singapore. *Drug Metab Rev* **37:**327-378.
- Chun J, Kent UM, Moss RM, Sayre LM and Hollenberg PF (2000) Mechanism-based inactivation of cytochromes P450 2B1 and P450 2B6 by 2-phenyl-2-(1-piperidinyl)propane. *Drug Metab Dispos* **28**:905-911.
- Chun YJ, Kim MY and Guengerich FP (1999) Resveratrol is a selective human cytochrome P450 1A1 inhibitor. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **262:**20-24.
- Chun YJ, Ryu SY, Jeong TC and Kim MY (2001) Mechanism-based inhibition of human cytochrome P450 1A1 by rhapontigenin. *Drug Metab Dispos* **29:**389-393.
- Ciolino HP, Daschner PJ and Yeh GC (1998a) Resveratrol inhibits transcription of CYP1A1 in vitro by preventing activation of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor. *Cancer Res* **58**:5707-5712.

- Ciolino HP, Wang TTY and G.C. Yeh GC (1998b) Diosmin and diosmetin are agonists of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor that differentially affect cytochrome P450 1A1 activity. *Cancer Res* **58**:2754-2760.
- Ciolino HP and Yeh GC (1999) The flavonoid galangin is an inhibitor of CYP1A1 activity and agonist/antagonist of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor. *Br J Cancer* **79:**1340-1346.
- Clement B and Demesmaeker M (1997) Formation of guanoxabenz from guanabenz in human liver. A new metabolic marker for CYP1A2. *Drug Metab Dispos* **25:**1266-1271.
- Coleman T, Ellis SW, Martin IJ, Lennard MS and Tucker GT (1996) 1-Methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) is N-demethylated by cytochromes P450 2D6, 1A2 and 3A4--implications for susceptibility to Parkinson's disease. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **277:**685-690.
- Corchero J, Granvil CP, Akiyama TE, Hayhurst GP, Pimprale S, Feigenbaum L, Idle JR and Gonzalez FJ (2001) The CYP2D6 humanized mouse: effect of the human CYP2D6 transgene and HNF4alpha on the disposition of debrisoquine in the mouse. *Mol Pharmacol* **60**:1260-1267.
- Cosyns JP, Jadoul M, Squifflet JP, Wese FX and van Ypersele de Strihou C (1999) Urothelial lesions in Chinese-herb nephropathy. *Am J Kidney Dis* **33**:1011-1017.
- Court MH, Duan SX, Hesse LM, Venkatakrishnan K and Greenblatt DJ (2001) Cytochrome P-450 2B6 is responsible for interindividual variability of propofol hydroxylation by human liver microsomes. *Anesthesiology* **94:**110-119.
- Crespi CL, Miller VP and Stresser DM (2002) Design and application of fluorometric assays for human cytochrome P450 inhibition. *Methods Enzymol* **357:**276-284.
- Crespi CL and Penman BW (1997) Use of cDNA-expressed human cytochrome P450 enzymes to study potential drug-drug interactions. *Adv Pharmacol* **43:**171-188.
- Crespi CL, Penman BW, Steimel DT, Smith T, Yang CS and Sutter TR (1997) Development of a human lymphoblastoid cell line constitutively expressing human CYP1B1 cDNA: substrate specificity with model substrates and promutagens. *Mutagenesis* **12**:83-89.
- Crespi CL and Stresser DM (2000) Fluorometric screening for metabolism-based drug--drug interactions. *J Pharmacol Toxicol Methods* **44**:325-331.
- Cresteil T, Monsarrat B, Dubois J, Sonnier M, Alvinerie P and Gueritte F (2002) Regioselective metabolism of taxoids by human CYP3A4 and 2C8: structure-activity relationship. *Drug Metab Dispos* **30**:438-445.
- Crewe HK, Notley LM, Wunsch RM, Lennard MS and Gillam EM (2002) Metabolism of tamoxifen by recombinant human cytochrome P450 enzymes: formation of the 4-hydroxy, 4'-hydroxy and N-desmethyl metabolites and isomerization of trans-4-hydroxytamoxifen. *Drug Metab Dispos* **30**:869-874.

- Cribb AE, Spielberg SP and Griffin GP (1995) N4-hydroxylation of sulfamethoxazole by cytochrome P450 of the cytochrome P4502C subfamily and reduction of sulfamethoxazole hydroxylamine in human and rat hepatic microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **23**:406-414.
- Dahl ML, Johansson I, Bertilsson L, Ingelman-Sundberg M and Sjoqvist F (1995) Ultrarapid hydroxylation of debrisoquine in a Swedish population. Analysis of the molecular genetic basis. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 274:516-520.
- Dalvi RR and Dalvi PS (1991) Comparison of the effects of piperine administered intragastrically and intraperitoneally on the liver and liver mixed-function oxidases in rats. *Drug Metab Drug Interact* **9:**23-30.
- Daly AK, Fairbrother KS, Andreassen OA, London SJ, Idle JR and Steen VM (1996) Characterization and PCR-based detection of two different hybrid CYP2D7P/CYP2D6 alleles associated with the poor metabolizer phenotype. *Pharmacogenetics* **6**:319-328.
- Daly AK, Leathart JB, London SJ and Idle JR (1995) An inactive cytochrome P450 CYP2D6 allele containing a deletion and a base substitution. *Hum Genet* **95**:337-341.
- Das SK and Vasudevan DM (2006) Protective effects of silymarin, a milk thistle (Silybium marianum) derivative on ethanol-induced oxidative stress in liver. *Indian J Biochem Biophys* **43:**306-311.
- Davies BJ, Coller JK, Somogyi AA, Milne RW and Sallustio BC (2007) CYP2B6, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4 catalyze the primary oxidative metabolism of perhexiline enantiomers by human liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **35**:128-138.
- de Graaf C, Vermeulen NP and Feenstra KA (2005) Cytochrome p450 in silico: an integrative modeling approach. *J Med Chem* **48:**2725-2755.
- de Groot MJ, Ackland MJ, Horne VA, Alex AA and Jones BC (1999a) Novel approach to predicting P450-mediated drug metabolism: development of a combined protein and pharmacophore model for CYP2D6. *J Med Chem* **42:**1515-1524.
- de Groot MJ, Ackland MJ, Horne VA, Alex AA and Jones BC (1999b) A novel approach to predicting P450 mediated drug metabolism. CYP2D6 catalyzed N-dealkylation reactions and qualitative metabolite predictions using a combined protein and pharmacophore model for CYP2D6. *J Med Chem* **42**:4062-4070.
- de Groot MJ, Alex AA and Jones BC (2002) Development of a combined protein and pharmacophore model for cytochrome P450 2C9. *J Med Chem* **45:**1983-1993.
- de Groot MJ, Kirton SB and Sutcliffe MJ (2004) In silico methods for predicting ligand binding determinants of cytochromes P450. *Curr Top Med Chem* **4**:1803-1824.
- de Maat MM, Hoetelmans RM, Mathot RA, van Gorp EC, Meenhorst PL, Mulder JW and Beijnen JH (2001) Drug interaction between St John's wort and nevirapine. *AIDS* **15:**420-421.

- De Morais SM, Wilkinson GR, Blaisdell J, Meyer UA, Nakamura K and Goldstein JA (1994a) Identification of a new genetic defect responsible for the polymorphism of (S)-mephenytoin metabolism in Japanese. *Mol Pharmacol* **46**:594-598.
- de Morais SM, Wilkinson GR, Blaisdell J, Nakamura K, Meyer UA and Goldstein JA (1994b) The major genetic defect responsible for the polymorphism of S-mephenytoin metabolism in humans. *J Biol Chem* **269**:15419-15422.
- De Smet PA (2002) Herbal remedies. N Engl J Med 347:2046-2056.
- De Smet PA (2005) Herbal medicine in Europe--relaxing regulatory standards. *N Engl J Med* **352:**1176-1178.
- de Vries JD, Salphati L, Horie S, Becker CE and Hoener BA (1994) Variability in the disposition of chlorzoxazone. *Biopharm Drug Dispos* **15**:587-597.
- de Waziers I, Cugnenc PH, Yang CS, Leroux JP and Beaune PH (1990) Cytochrome P 450 isoenzymes, epoxide hydrolase and glutathione transferases in rat and human hepatic and extrahepatic tissues. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **253**:387-394.
- Deahl M (1989) Betel nut-induced extrapyramidal syndrome: an unusual drug interaction. *Mov Disord* **4:**330-332.
- Debelle FD, Nortier JL, De Prez EG, Garbar CH, Vienne AR, Salmon IJ, Deschodt-Lanckman MM and Vanherweghem JL (2002) Aristolochic acids induce chronic renal failure with interstitial fibrosis in salt-depleted rats. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 13:431-436.
- Denison MS and Nagy SR (2003) Activation of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor by structurally diverse exogenous and endogenous chemicals. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **43:**309-334.
- Desta Z, Wu GM, Morocho AM and Flockhart DA (2002a) The gastroprokinetic and antiemetic drug metoclopramide is a substrate and inhibitor of cytochrome P450 2D6. *Drug Metab Dispos* **30**:336-343.
- Desta Z, Zhao X, Shin JG and Flockhart DA (2002b) Clinical significance of the cytochrome P450 2C19 genetic polymorphism. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **41**:913-958.
- Devore NM, Smith BD, Urban MJ and Scott EE (2008) Key residues controlling phenacetin metabolism by human cytochrome P450 2A enzymes. *Drug Metab Dispos*.
- Dey A, Parmar D, Dayal M, Dhawan A and Seth PK (2001) Cytochrome P450 1A1 (CYP1A1) in blood lymphocytes evidence for catalytic activity and mRNA expression. *Life Sci* **69:**383-393.
- Di Consiglio E, Meneguz A and Testai E (2005) Organophosphorothionate pesticides inhibit the bioactivation of imipramine by human hepatic cytochrome P450s. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* **205:**237-246.

- Diaz D, Fabre I, Daujat M, Saint Aubert B, Bories P, Michel H and Maurel P (1990) Omeprazole is an aryl hydrocarbon-like inducer of human hepatic cytochrome P450. *Gastroenterology* **99:**737-747.
- DiCenzo R, Shelton M, Jordan K, Koval C, Forrest A, Reichman R and Morse G (2003) Coadministration of milk thistle and indinavir in healthy subjects. *Pharmacotherapy* **23**:866-870.
- Dickmann LJ, Rettie AE, Kneller MB, Kim RB, Wood AJ, Stein CM, Wilkinson GR and Schwarz UI (2001) Identification and functional characterization of a new CYP2C9 variant (CYP2C9\*5) expressed among African Americans. *Mol Pharmacol* **60**:382-387.
- Dierks EA, Stams KR, Lim HK, Cornelius G, Zhang HL and Ball SE (2001) A method for the simultaneous evaluation of the activities of seven major human drug-metabolizing cytochrome P450s using an in vitro cocktail of probe substrates and fast gradient liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. *Drug Metab Dispos* 29:23-29.
- Ding S, Lake BG, Friedberg T and Wolf CR (1995) Expression and alternative splicing of the cytochrome P-450 CYP2A7. *Biochem J* **306** ( **Pt 1**):161-166.
- Ding X and Kaminsky LS (2003) Human extrahepatic cytochromes P450: function in xenobiotic metabolism and tissue-selective chemical toxicity in the respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **43**:149-173.
- Dixit V, Hariparsad N, Li F, Desai P, Thummel KE and Unadkat JD (2007) Cytochrome P450 enzymes and transporters induced by anti-human immunodeficiency virus protease inhibitors in human hepatocytes: implications for predicting clinical drug interactions. *Drug Metab Dispos* **35**:1853-1859.
- Dixon CM, Colthup PV, Serabjit-Singh CJ, Kerr BM, Boehlert CC, Park GR and Tarbit MH (1995) Multiple forms of cytochrome P450 are involved in the metabolism of ondansetron in humans. *Drug Metab Dispos* 23:1225-1230.
- Dixon RA and Steele C (1999) Flavonoids and isoflavonoids-gold mine for metabolic engineering. *Trend Plant Sci* **4**:394-400.
- Dolwick KM, Schmidt JV, Carver LA, Swanson HI and Bradfield CA (1993) Cloning and expression of a human Ah receptor cDNA. *Mol Pharmacol* **44**:911-917.
- Don MJ, Lewis DF, Wang SY, Tsai MW and Ueng YF (2003) Effect of structural modification on the inhibitory selectivity of rutaecarpine derivatives on human CYP1A1, CYP1A2, and CYP1B1. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* **13**:2535-2538.
- Dong H, Suzuki N, Torres MC, Bonala RR, Johnson F, Grollman AP and Shibutani S (2006) Quantitative determination of aristolochic acid-derived DNA adducts in rats using 32P-postlabeling/polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis analysis. *Drug Metab Dispos* 34:1122-1127.
- Doostdar H, Burke MD and Mayer RT (2000) Bioflavonoids: selective substrates and inhibitors for cytochrome P450 CYP1A and CYP1B1. *Toxicology* **144:**31-38.

- Dorado P, Berecz R, Norberto MJ, Yasar U, Dahl ML and A LL (2003) CYP2C9 genotypes and diclofenac metabolism in Spanish healthy volunteers. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **59**:221-225.
- Dorado P, Cavaco I, Caceres MC, Piedade R, Ribeiro V and Llerena A (2008) Relationship between CYP2C8 genotypes and diclofenac 5-hydroxylation in healthy Spanish volunteers. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **64**:967-970.
- Dresser GK, Schwarz UI, Wilkinson GR and Kim RB (2003) Coordinate induction of both cytochrome P4503A and MDR1 by St John's wort in healthy subjects. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **73:**41-50.
- Dresser GK, Spence JD and Bailey DG (2000) Pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic consequences and clinical relevance of cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibition. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **38:**41-57.
- Durr D, Stieger B, Kullak-Ublick GA, Rentsch KM, Steinert HC, Meier PJ and Fattinger K (2000) St John's Wort induces intestinal P-glycoprotein/MDR1 and intestinal and hepatic CYP3A4. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 68:598-604.
- Dwyer J (1995) Overview: dietary approaches for reducing cardiovascular disease risks. J Nutr **125:**6566-6572.
- Eap CB, Bender S, Jaquenoud Sirot E, Cucchia G, Jonzier-Perey M, Baumann P, Allorge D and Broly F (2004) Nonresponse to clozapine and ultrarapid CYP1A2 activity: clinical data and analysis of CYP1A2 gene. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* **24**:214-219.
- Eaton DL, Gallagher EP, Bammler TK and Kunze KL (1995) Role of cytochrome P4501A2 in chemical carcinogenesis: implications for human variability in expression and enzyme activity. *Pharmacogenetics* **5**:259-274.
- Ebisawa A, Hiratsuka M, Sakuyama K, Konno Y, Sasaki T and Mizugaki M (2005) Two novel single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of the CYP2D6 gene in Japanese individuals. *Drug Metab Pharmacokinet* **20**:294-299.
- Eddershaw PJ and Dickins M (1999) Advances in drug metabolism screening. *Pharm Sci Technolo Today* **2:**13-19.
- Edwards RJ, Price RJ, Watts PS, Renwick AB, Tredger JM, Boobis AR and Lake BG (2003) Induction of cytochrome P450 enzymes in cultured precision-cut human liver slices. *Drug Metab Dispos* **31:**282-288.
- Egashira K, Fukuda E, Onga T, Yogi Y, Matsuya F, Koyabu N, Ohtani H and Sawada Y (2003) Pomelo-induced increase in the blood level of tacrolimus in a renal transplant patient. *Transplantation* **75**:1057.
- Eichelbaum M, Spannbrucker N, Steincke B and Dengler HJ (1979) Defective N-oxidation of sparteine in man: a new pharmacogenetic defect. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **16**:183-187.

- Eiermann B, Edlund PO, Tjernberg A, Dalen P, Dahl ML and Bertilsson L (1998) 1- and 3-hydroxylations, in addition to 4-hydroxylation, of debrisoquine are catalyzed by cytochrome P450 2D6 in humans. *Drug Metab Dispos* **26**:1096-1101.
- Eisenberg DM, Kessler RC, Foster C, Norlock FE, Calkins DR and Delbanco TL (1993) Unconventional medicine in the United States: prevalence, costs, and patterns of use. *N Engl J Med* **328:**246-252.
- Ekins S (1996) Short-term maintenance of phase I and II metabolism in precision-cut liver slices in dynamic organ culture. *Drug Metab Dispos* **24**:364-366.
- Ekins S (2003) In silico approaches to predicting drug metabolism, toxicology and beyond. *Biochem Soc Trans* **31:**611-614.
- Ekins S, Bravi G, Binkley S, Gillespie JS, Ring BJ, Wikel JH and Wrighton SA (2000a) Three- and four-dimensional-quantitative structure activity relationship (3D/4D-QSAR) analyses of CYP2C9 inhibitors. *Drug Metab Dispos* 28:994-1002.
- Ekins S, Ring BJ, Grace J, McRobie-Belle DJ and Wrighton SA (2000b) Present and future in vitro approaches for drug metabolism. *J Pharmacol Toxicol Methods* **44:**313-324.
- Ekins S, VandenBranden M, Ring BJ and Wrighton SA (1997) Examination of purported probes of human CYP2B6. *Pharmacogenetics* **7:**165-179.
- Ekins S and Wrighton SA (2001) Application of in silico approaches to predicting drug--drug interactions. *J Pharmacol Toxicol Methods* **45:**65-69.
- Ekroos M and Sjogren T (2006) Structural basis for ligand promiscuity in cytochrome P450 3A4. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103:**13682-13687.
- Ellis GR and MR. S (1999) Untitled (photograph and brief case
- report). In 'Minerva'. Br Med J 319:650.
- Ema M, Ohe N, Suzuki M, Mimura J, Sogawa K, Ikawa S and Fujii-Kuriyama Y (1994) Dioxin binding activities of polymorphic forms of mouse and human arylhydrocarbon receptors. *J Biol Chem* **269**:27337-27343.
- Emborg ME (2007) Nonhuman primate models of Parkinson's disease. Ilar J 48:339-355.
- Endo T, Ban M, Hirata K, Yamamoto A, Hara Y and Momose Y (2007) Involvement of CYP2A6 in the formation of a novel metabolite, 3-hydroxypilocarpine, from pilocarpine in human liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **35**:476-483.
- Erdmann D and Heim J (1995) Orphan nuclear receptor HNF-4 binds to the human coagulation factor VII promoter. *J Biol Chem* **270**:22988-22996.
- Erickson DA, Mather G, Trager WF, Levy RH and Keirns JJ (1999) Characterization of the in vitro biotransformation of the HIV-1 reverse transcriptase inhibitor nevirapine by human hepatic cytochromes P-450. *Drug Metab Dispos* **27:**1488-1495.

- Evans AM (2000) Influence of dietary components on the gastrointestinal metabolism and transport of drugs. *Ther Drug Monit* **22**:131-136.
- Evans BR, Karchner SI, Allan LL, Pollenz RS, Tanguay RL, Jenny MJ, Sherr DH and Hahn ME (2008) Repression of aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) signaling by AHR repressor: role of DNA binding and competition for AHR nuclear translocator. *Mol Pharmacol* **73**:387-398.
- Evans WE and Relling MV (1999) Pharmacogenomics: translating functional genomics into rational therapeutics. *Science* **286**:487-491.
- Evert B, Griese EU and Eichelbaum M (1994a) Cloning and sequencing of a new non-functional CYP2D6 allele: deletion of T1795 in exon 3 generates a premature stop codon. *Pharmacogenetics* **4:**271-274.
- Evert B, Griese EU and Eichelbaum M (1994b) A missense mutation in exon 6 of the CYP2D6 gene leading to a histidine 324 to proline exchange is associated with the poor metabolizer phenotype of sparteine. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol* 350:434-439.
- Ewen KM, Schiffler B, Uhlmann-Schiffler H, Bernhardt R and Hannemann F (2008) The endogenous adrenodoxin reductase-like flavoprotein arh1 supports heterologous cytochrome P450-dependent substrate conversions in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *FEMS Yeast Res* 8:432-441.
- Fang J, McKay G, Song J, Remillrd A, Li X and Midha K (2001) In vitro characterization of the metabolism of haloperidol using recombinant cytochrome p450 enzymes and human liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **29**:1638-1643.
- Faucette SR, Hawke RL, Lecluyse EL, Shord SS, Yan B, Laethem RM and Lindley CM (2000) Validation of bupropion hydroxylation as a selective marker of human cytochrome P450 2B6 catalytic activity. *Drug Metab Dispos* 28:1222-1230.
- Favreau LV, Palamanda JR, Lin CC and Nomeir AA (1999) Improved reliability of the rapid microtiter plate assay using recombinant enzyme in predicting CYP2D6 inhibition in human liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **27:**436-439.
- Ferguson RJ, De Morais SM, Benhamou S, Bouchardy C, Blaisdell J, Ibeanu G, Wilkinson GR, Sarich TC, Wright JM, Dayer P and Goldstein JA (1998) A new genetic defect in human CYP2C19: mutation of the initiation codon is responsible for poor metabolism of S-mephenytoin. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 284:356-361.
- Fernandez-Salguero P and Gonzalez FJ (1995) The CYP2A gene subfamily: species differences, regulation, catalytic activities and role in chemical carcinogenesis. *Pharmacogenetics* **5 Spec No:**S123-128.
- Fernandez-Salguero P, Hoffman SM, Cholerton S, Mohrenweiser H, Raunio H, Rautio A, Pelkonen O, Huang JD, Evans WE, Idle JR and et al. (1995a) A genetic polymorphism in coumarin 7-hydroxylation: sequence of the human CYP2A genes and identification of variant CYP2A6 alleles. *Am J Hum Genet* 57:651-660.

- Fernandez-Salguero P, Pineau T, Hilbert DM, McPhail T, Lee SS, Kimura S, Nebert DW, Rudikoff S, Ward JM and Gonzalez FJ (1995b) Immune system impairment and hepatic fibrosis in mice lacking the dioxin-binding Ah receptor. *Science* 268:722-726.
- Fernandez-Salguero PM, Hilbert DM, Rudikoff S, Ward JM and Gonzalez FJ (1996) Aryl-hydrocarbon receptor-deficient mice are resistant to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin-induced toxicity. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* **140**:173-179.
- Ferrero JL and Brendel K (1997) Liver slices as a model in drug metabolism. *Adv Pharmacol* **43:**131-169.
- Finta C and Zaphiropoulos PG (2000) The human cytochrome P450 3A locus. Gene evolution by capture of downstream exons. *Gene* **260**:13-23.
- Flanagan JU, Marechal JD, Ward R, Kemp CA, McLaughlin LA, Sutcliffe MJ, Roberts GC, Paine MJ and Wolf CR (2004) Phe120 contributes to the regiospecificity of cytochrome P450 2D6: mutation leads to the formation of a novel dextromethorphan metabolite. *Biochem J* 380:353-360.
- Fogelman SM, Schmider J, Venkatakrishnan K, von Moltke LL, Harmatz JS, Shader RI and Greenblatt DJ (1999) O- and N-demethylation of venlafaxine in vitro by human liver microsomes and by microsomes from cDNA-transfected cells: effect of metabolic inhibitors and SSRI antidepressants. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 20:480-490.
- Fontana RJ, Lown KS, Paine MF, Fortlage L, Santella RM, Felton JS, Knize MG, Greenberg A and Watkins PB (1999) Effects of a chargrilled meat diet on expression of CYP3A, CYP1A, and P-glycoprotein levels in healthy volunteers. *Gastroenterology* 117:89-98.
- Foroozesh M, Primrose G, Guo Z, Bell LC, Alworth WL and Guengerich FP (1997) Aryl acetylenes as mechanism-based inhibitors of cytochrome P450-dependent monooxygenase enzymes. *Chem Res Toxicol* **10**:91-102.
- Foster DJ, Somogyi AA and Bochner F (1999) Methadone N-demethylation in human liver microsomes: lack of stereoselectivity and involvement of CYP3A4. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **47:**403-412.
- Fromm MF, Busse D, Kroemer HK and Eichelbaum M (1996) Differential induction of prehepatic and hepatic metabolism of verapamil by rifampin. *Hepatology* 24:796-801.
- Frye RF, Fitzgerald SM, Lagattuta TF, Hruska MW and Egorin MJ (2004) Effect of St John's wort on imatinib mesylate pharmacokinetics. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **76:**323-329.
- Frye RF, Matzke GR, Adedoyin A, Porter JA and Branch RA (1997) Validation of the five-drug pittsburgh cocktail approach for assessment of selective regulation of drug-metabolizing enzymes. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **62**:365-376.

Fugh-Berman A (2000) Herb-drug interactions. Lancet 355:134-138.

- Fugh-Berman A and Ernst E (2001) Herb-drug interactions: Review and assessment of report reliability. Br J Clin Pharmacol 52:587-595.
- Fuhr U, Beckmann-Knopp S, Jetter A, Luck H and Mengs U (2007) The effect of silymarin on oral nifedipine pharmacokinetics. *Planta Med* **73**:1429-1435.
- Fuhr U, Kober S, Zaigler M, Mutschler E and Spahn-Langguth H (2005) Rate-limiting biotransformation of triamterene is mediated by CYP1A2. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther* **43**:327-334.
- Fujisawa-Sehara A, Sogawa K, Yamane M and Fujii-Kuriyama Y (1987) Characterization of xenobiotic responsive elements upstream from the drug-metabolizing cytochrome P-450c gene: a similarity to glucocorticoid regulatory elements. *Nucleic Acids Res* 15:4179-4191.
- Fukami T, Nakajima M, Higashi E, Yamanaka H, Sakai H, McLeod HL and Yokoi T (2005) Characterization of novel CYP2A6 polymorphic alleles (CYP2A6\*18 and CYP2A6\*19) that affect enzymatic activity. *Drug Metab Dispos* **33**:1202-1210.
- Fukushima-Uesaka H, Saito Y, Maekawa K, Ozawa S, Hasegawa R, Kajio H, Kuzuya N, Yasuda K, Kawamoto M, Kamatani N, Suzuki K, Yanagawa T, Tohkin M and Sawada J (2005) Genetic variations and haplotypes of CYP2C19 in a Japanese population. *Drug Metab Pharmacokinet* 20:300-307.
- Furuta T, Ohashi K, Kobayashi K, Iida I, Yoshida H, Shirai N, Takashima M, Kosuge K, Hanai H, Chiba K, Ishizaki T and Kaneko E (1999a) Effects of clarithromycin on the metabolism of omeprazole in relation to CYP2C19 genotype status in humans. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 66:265-274.
- Furuta T, Ohashi K, Kosuge K, Zhao XJ, Takashima M, Kimura M, Nishimoto M, Hanai H, Kaneko E and Ishizaki T (1999b) CYP2C19 genotype status and effect of omeprazole on intragastric pH in humans. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 65:552-561.
- Furuta T, Shirai N, Xiao F, Ohashi K and Ishizaki T (2001) Effect of high-dose lansoprazole on intragastic pH in subjects who are homozygous extensive metabolizers of cytochrome P4502C19. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **70**:484-492.
- Furuya H, Fernandez-Salguero P, Gregory W, Taber H, Steward A, Gonzalez FJ and Idle JR (1995) Genetic polymorphism of *CYP2C9* and its effect on warfarin maintenance dose requirement in patients undergoing anticoagulation therapy. *Pharmacogenetics* 5:389-392.
- Fuselli S, Dupanloup I, Frigato E, Cruciani F, Scozzari R, Moral P, Sistonen J, Sajantila A and Barbujani G (2004) Molecular diversity at the CYP2D6 locus in the Mediterranean region. *Eur J Hum Genet* 12:916-924.
- Gaedigk A, Bhathena A, Ndjountche L, Pearce RE, Abdel-Rahman SM, Alander SW, Bradford LD, Rogan PK and Leeder JS (2005a) Identification and characterization of novel sequence variations in the cytochrome P4502D6 (CYP2D6) gene in African Americans. *Pharmacogenomics J* **5**:173-182.

- Gaedigk A, Blum M, Gaedigk R, Eichelbaum M and Meyer UA (1991) Deletion of the entire cytochrome P450 CYP2D6 gene as a cause of impaired drug metabolism in poor metabolizers of the debrisoquine/sparteine polymorphism. *Am J Hum Genet* **48**:943-950.
- Gaedigk A, Bradford LD, Alander SW and Leeder JS (2006) CYP2D6\*36 gene arrangements within the cyp2d6 locus: association of CYP2D6\*36 with poor metabolizer status. *Drug Metab Dispos* **34:**563-569.
- Gaedigk A, Bradford LD, Marcucci KA and Leeder JS (2002) Unique CYP2D6 activity distribution and genotype-phenotype discordance in black Americans. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **72:**76-89.
- Gaedigk A, Casley WL, Tyndale RF, Sellers EM, Jurima-Romet M and Leeder JS (2001) Cytochrome P4502C9 (CYP2C9) allele frequencies in Canadian Native Indian and Inuit populations. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol* **79:**841-847.
- Gaedigk A and Coetsee C (2008) The CYP2D6 gene locus in South African Coloureds: unique allele distributions, novel alleles and gene arrangements. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 64:465-475.
- Gaedigk A, Eklund JD, Pearce RE, Leeder JS, Alander SW, Phillips MS, Bradford LD and Kennedy MJ (2007a) Identification and characterization of CYP2D6\*56B, an allele associated with the poor metabolizer phenotype. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **81:**817-820.
- Gaedigk A, Frank D and Fuhr U (2009) Identification of a novel non-functional CYP2D6 allele, CYP2D6\*69, in a Caucasian poor metabolizer individual. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **65**:97-100.
- Gaedigk A, Ndjountche L, Divakaran K, Dianne Bradford L, Zineh I, Oberlander TF, Brousseau DC, McCarver DG, Johnson JA, Alander SW, Wayne Riggs K and Steven Leeder J (2007b) Cytochrome P4502D6 (CYP2D6) gene locus heterogeneity: characterization of gene duplication events. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 81:242-251.
- Gaedigk A, Ndjountche L, Gaedigk R, Leeder JS and Bradford LD (2003a) Discovery of a novel nonfunctional cytochrome P450 2D6 allele, CYP2D642, in African American subjects. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **73:**575-576.
- Gaedigk A, Ndjountche L, Leeder JS and Bradford LD (2005b) Limited association of the 2988g > a single nucleotide polymorphism with CYP2D641 in black subjects. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **77**:228-230; author reply 230-221.
- Gaedigk A, Ryder DL, Bradford LD and Leeder JS (2003b) CYP2D6 poor metabolizer status can be ruled out by a single genotyping assay for the -1584G promoter polymorphism. *Clin Chem* **49**:1008-1011.
- Gage BF and Lesko LJ (2008) Pharmacogenetics of warfarin: regulatory, scientific, and clinical issues. *J Thromb Thrombolysis* **25**:45-51.
- Gaikovitch EA, Cascorbi I, Mrozikiewicz PM, Brockmoller J, Frotschl R, Kopke K, Gerloff T, Chernov JN and Roots I (2003) Polymorphisms of drug-metabolizing

enzymes CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, CYP1A1, NAT2 and of P-glycoprotein in a Russian population. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **59**:303-312.

- Galati G, Teng S, Moridani MY, Chan TS and O'Brien P (2000) Cancer chemoprevention and apoptosis mechanisms induced by dietary polyphenolics. *Drug Metab Drug Interact* **17**:311-349.
- Galluzzi S, Zanetti O, Binetti G, Trabucchi M and Frisoni GB (2000) Coma in a patient with Alzheimer's disease taking low dose trazodone and gingko biloba. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* **68**:679-680.
- Garcia-Barcelo M, Chow LY, Lam KL, Chiu HF, Wing YK and Waye MM (2000) Occurrence of CYP2D6 gene duplication in Hong Kong Chinese. *Clin Chem* **46**:1411-1413.
- Garcia-Maceira P and Mateo J (2009) Silibinin inhibits hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha and mTOR/p70S6K/4E-BP1 signalling pathway in human cervical and hepatoma cancer cells: implications for anticancer therapy. *Oncogene* **28**:313-324.
- Garcia-Martin E, Martinez C, Ladero JM, Gamito FJ and Agundez JA (2001) High frequency of mutations related to impaired CYP2C9 metabolism in a Caucasian population. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **57**:47-49.
- Gardiner SJ and Begg EJ (2006) Pharmacogenetics, drug-metabolizing enzymes, and clinical practice. *Pharmacol Rev* **58:**521-590.
- Gerbal-Chaloin S, Pascussi JM, Pichard-Garcia L, Daujat M, Waechter F, Fabre JM, Carrere N and Maurel P (2001) Induction of CYP2C genes in human hepatocytes in primary culture. *Drug Metab Dispos* **29:**242-251.
- Gerber JG, Rhodes RJ and Gal J (2004) Stereoselective metabolism of methadone N-demethylation by cytochrome P4502B6 and 2C19. *Chirality* **16:**36-44.
- Gervot L, Rochat B, Gautier JC, Bohnenstengel F, Kroemer H, de Berardinis V, Martin H, Beaune P and de Waziers I (1999) Human CYP2B6: expression, inducibility and catalytic activities. *Pharmacogenetics* **9:**295-306.
- Ghosal A, Ramanathan R, Yuan Y, Hapangama N, Chowdhury SK, Kishnani NS and Alton KB (2007) Identification of human liver cytochrome P450 enzymes involved in biotransformation of vicriviroc, a CCR5 receptor antagonist. *Drug Metab Dispos* 35:2186-2195.
- Ghotbi R, Christensen M, Roh HK, Ingelman-Sundberg M, Aklillu E and Bertilsson L (2007) Comparisons of CYP1A2 genetic polymorphisms, enzyme activity and the genotype-phenotype relationship in Swedes and Koreans. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 63:537-546.
- Giancarlo GM, Venkatakrishnan K, Granda BW, von Moltke LL and Greenblatt DJ (2001) Relative contributions of CYP2C9 and 2C19 to phenytoin 4-hydroxylation in vitro: inhibition by sulfaphenazole, omeprazole, and ticlopidine. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 57:31-36.

- Gilham DE, Cairns W, Paine MJ, Modi S, Poulsom R, Roberts GC and Wolf CR (1997) Metabolism of MPTP by cytochrome P4502D6 and the demonstration of 2D6 mRNA in human foetal and adult brain by in situ hybridization. *Xenobiotica* 27:111-125.
- Gillam EM, Baba T, Kim BR, Ohmori S and Guengerich FP (1993) Expression of modified human cytochrome P450 3A4 in Escherichia coli and purification and reconstitution of the enzyme. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **305**:123-131.
- Glaeser H, Drescher S, Eichelbaum M and Fromm MF (2005) Influence of rifampicin on the expression and function of human intestinal cytochrome P450 enzymes. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **59**:199-206.
- Gleeson MP, Davis AM, Chohan KK, Paine SW, Boyer S, Gavaghan CL, Arnby CH, Kankkonen C and Albertson N (2007) Generation of in-silico cytochrome P450 1A2, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, and 3A4 inhibition QSAR models. *J Comput Aided Mol Des* 21:559-573.
- Goda R, Nagai D, Akiyama Y, Nishikawa K, Ikemoto I, Aizawa Y, Nagata K and Yamazoe Y (2006) Detection of a new N-oxidized metabolite of flutamide, N-[4-nitro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]hydroxylamine, in human liver microsomes and urine of prostate cancer patients. *Drug Metab Dispos* 34:828-835.
- Gonzalez FJ (2007) The 2006 Bernard B. Brodie Award Lecture. Cyp2e1. Drug Metab Dispos 35:1-8.
- Goodwin B, Hodgson E and Liddle C (1999) The orphan human pregnane X receptor mediates the transcriptional activation of CYP3A4 by rifampicin through a distal enhancer module. *Mol Pharmacol* **56**:1329-1339.
- Gordon JB (1998) SSRIs and St.John's Wort: possible toxicity? *Am Fam Physician* **57:**950,953.
- Gordon PB, Holen I and Seglen PO (1995) Protection by naringin and some other flavonoids of hepatocytic autophagy endocytosis against inhibition by okadaic acid. *J Biol Chem* **270**:5830-5838.
- Goryo K, Suzuki A, Del Carpio CA, Siizaki K, Kuriyama E, Mikami Y, Kinoshita K, Yasumoto K, Rannug A, Miyamoto A, Fujii-Kuriyama Y and Sogawa K (2007) Identification of amino acid residues in the Ah receptor involved in ligand binding. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 354:396-402.
- Goto A, Adachi Y, Inaba A, Nakajima H, Kobayashi H and Sakai K (2004) Identification of human p450 isoforms involved in the metabolism of the antiallergic drug, oxatomide, and its inhibitory effect on enzyme activity. *Biol Pharm Bull* 27:684-690.
- Gough AC, Miles JS, Spurr NK, Moss JE, Gaedigk A, Eichelbaum M and Wolf CR (1990) Identification of the primary gene defect at the cytochrome P450 CYP2D locus. *Nature* **347:**773-776.

- Granfors MT, Backman JT, Laitila J and Neuvonen PJ (2004a) Tizanidine is mainly metabolized by cytochrome p450 1A2 in vitro. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **57:**349-353.
- Granfors MT, Backman JT, Neuvonen M, Ahonen J and Neuvonen PJ (2004b) Fluvoxamine drastically increases concentrations and effects of tizanidine: a potentially hazardous interaction. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **75**:331-341.
- Granfors MT, Backman JT, Neuvonen M and Neuvonen PJ (2004c) Ciprofloxacin greatly increases concentrations and hypotensive effect of tizanidine by inhibiting its cytochrome P450 1A2-mediated presystemic metabolism. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **76**:598-606.
- Granneman GR, Braeckman RA, Locke CS, Cavanaugh JH, Dube LM and Awni WM (1995) Effect of zileuton on theophylline pharmacokinetics. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **29 Suppl 2:**77-83.
- Granvil CP, Madan A, Sharkawi M, Parkinson A and Wainer IW (1999) Role of CYP2B6 and CYP3A4 in the in vitro N-dechloroethylation of (R)- and (S)-ifosfamide in human liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **27:**533-541.
- Gray IC, Nobile C, Muresu R, Ford S and Spurr NK (1995) A 2.4-megabase physical map spanning the CYP2C gene cluster on chromosome 10q24. *Genomics* **28**:328-332.
- Greiner B, Eichelbaum M, Fritz P, Kreichgauer HP, von Richter O, Zundler J and Kroemer HK (1999) The role of intestinal P-glycoprotein in the interaction of digoxin and rifampin. *J Clin Invest* **104**:147-153.
- Griese EU, Zanger UM, Brudermanns U, Gaedigk A, Mikus G, Morike K, Stuven T and Eichelbaum M (1998) Assessment of the predictive power of genotypes for the in-vivo catalytic function of CYP2D6 in a German population. *Pharmacogenetics* 8:15-26.
- Groeneveld GJ, van Kan HJ, Lie AHL, Guchelaar HJ and van den Berg LH (2008) An association study of riluzole serum concentration and survival and disease progression in patients with ALS. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **83:**718-722.
- Grygiel JJ and Birkett DJ (1981) Cigarette smoking and theophylline clearance and metabolism. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **30**:491-496.
- Gu L, Gonzalez FJ, Kalow W and Tang BK (1992) Biotransformation of caffeine, paraxanthine, theobromine and theophylline by cDNA-expressed human CYP1A2 and CYP2E1. *Pharmacogenetics* **2:**73-77.
- Guengerich FP (1990) Mechanism-based inactivation of human liver microsomal cytochrome P-450 IIIA4 by gestodene. *Chem Res Toxicol* **3**:363-371.
- Guengerich FP (1995) Human cytochrome P450 enzymes, , in: *P.R. Ortiz de Montellano, Cytochrome P450, 2nd edn*, pp 473-535, Plenum, New York.

Guengerich FP (2002) Update information on human P450s. Drug Metab Rev 34:7-15.

- Guengerich FP (2006) Cytochrome P450s and other enzymes in drug metabolism and toxicity. *Aaps J* **8:**E101-111.
- Guengerich FP and Liebler DC (1985) Enzymatic activation of chemicals to toxic metabolites. *Crit Rev Toxicol* **14:**259-307.
- Guengerich FP, Parikh A, Turesky RJ and Josephy PD (1999) Inter-individual differences in the metabolism of environmental toxicants: cytochrome P450 1A2 as a prototype. *Mutat Res* **428:**115-124.
- Guengerich FP, Wu ZL and Bartleson CJ (2005) Function of human cytochrome P450s: characterization of the orphans. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **338:**465-469.
- Guidice JM, Marez D, Sabbagh N, Legrand-Andreoletti M, Spire C, Alcaide E, Lafitte JJ and Broly F (1997) Evidence for CYP2D6 expression in human lung. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **241:**79-85.
- Guitton J, Buronfosse T, Desage M, Flinois JP, Perdrix JP, Brazier JL and Beaune P (1998) Possible involvement of multiple human cytochrome P450 isoforms in the liver metabolism of propofol. *Br J Anaesth* **80**:788-795.
- Guo Z, Raeissi S, White RB and Stevens JC (1997) Orphenadrine and methimazole inhibit multiple cytochrome P450 enzymes in human liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **25:**390-393.
- Gupta AK and Shear NH (1997) Terbinafine: an update. J Am Acad Dermatol 37:979-988.
- Gyoubu K and Miyazawa M (2007) In vitro metabolism of (-)-camphor using human liver microsomes and CYP2A6. *Biol Pharm Bull* **30:**230-233.
- Ha-Duong NT, Dijols S, Macherey AC, Goldstein JA, Dansette PM and Mansuy D (2001) Ticlopidine as a selective mechanism-based inhibitor of human cytochrome P450 2C19. *Biochemistry* 40:12112-12122.
- Ha HR, Chen J, Freiburghaus AU and Follath F (1995) Metabolism of theophylline by cDNA-expressed human cytochromes P-450. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **39:**321-326.
- Haarmann-Stemmann T and Abel J (2006) The arylhydrocarbon receptor repressor (AhRR): structure, expression, and function. *Biol Chem* **387**:1195-1199.
- Haarmann-Stemmann T, Bothe H, Kohli A, Sydlik U, Abel J and Fritsche E (2007) Analysis of the transcriptional regulation and molecular function of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor repressor in human cell lines. *Drug Metab Dispos* 35:2262-2269.
- Haining RL, Hunter AP, Veronese ME, Trager WF and Rettie AE (1996) Allelic variants of human cytochrome P450 2C9: baculovirus-mediated expression, purification, structural characterization, substrate stereoselectivity, and prochiral selectivity of the wild-type and I359L mutant forms. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 333:447-458.
- Hakas JF, Jr. (1990) Topical capsaicin induces cough in patient receiving ACE inhibitor. *Ann Allergy* **65**:322-323.

- Hakkola J, Pasanen M, Hukkanen J, Pelkonen O, Maenpaa J, Edwards RJ, Boobis AR and Raunio H (1996a) Expression of xenobiotic-metabolizing cytochrome P450 forms in human full-term placenta. *Biochem Pharmacol* **51**:403-411.
- Hakkola J, Pasanen M, Pelkonen O, Hukkanen J, Evisalmi S, Anttila S, Rane A, Mantyla M, Purkunen R, Saarikoski S, Tooming M and Raunio H (1997) Expression of CYP1B1 in human adult and fetal tissues and differential inducibility of CYP1B1 and CYP1A1 by Ah receptor ligands in human placenta and cultured cells. *Carcinogenesis* 18:391-397.
- Hakkola J, Pasanen M, Purkunen R, Saarikoski S, Pelkonen O, Maenpaa J, Rane A and Raunio H (1994) Expression of xenobiotic-metabolizing cytochrome P450 forms in human adult and fetal liver. *Biochem Pharmacol* **48:**59-64.
- Hakkola J, Raunio H, Purkunen R, Pelkonen O, Saarikoski S, Cresteil T and Pasanen M (1996b) Detection of cytochrome P450 gene expression in human placenta in first trimester of pregnancy. *Biochem Pharmacol* **52**:379-383.
- Hakkola J, Raunio H, Purkunen R, Saarikoski S, Vahakangas K, Pelkonen O, Edwards RJ, Boobis AR and Pasanen M (2001) Cytochrome P450 3A expression in the human fetal liver: evidence that CYP3A5 is expressed in only a limited number of fetal livers. *Biol Neonate* 80:193-201.
- Hall SD, Wang Z, Huang SM, Hamman MA, Vasavada N, Adigun AQ, Hilligoss JK, Miller M and Gorski JC (2003) The interaction between St John's wort and an oral contraceptive. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 74:525-535.
- Halling J, Petersen MS, Damkier P, Nielsen F, Grandjean P, Weihe P, Lundgren S, Lundblad MS and Brosen K (2005) Polymorphism of CYP2D6, CYP2C19, CYP2C9 and CYP2C8 in the Faroese population. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 61:491-497.
- Halpert JR (1995) Structural basis of selective cytochrome P450 inhibition. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **35:**29-53.
- Hamdy SI, Hiratsuka M, Narahara K, El-Enany M, Moursi N, Ahmed MS and Mizugaki M (2002) Allele and genotype frequencies of polymorphic cytochromes P450 (CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2E1) and dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPYD) in the Egyptian population. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **53**:596-603.
- Hamman MA, Thompson GA and Hall SD (1997) Regioselective and stereoselective metabolism of ibuprofen by human cytochrome P450 2C. *Biochem Pharmacol* **54:**33-41.
- Hammons GJ, Milton D, Stepps K, Guengerich FP, Tukey RH and Kadlubar FF (1997) Metabolism of carcinogenic heterocyclic and aromatic amines by recombinant human cytochrome P450 enzymes. *Carcinogenesis* **18**:851-854.
- Han XM, Ouyang DS, Chen XP, Shu Y, Jiang CH, Tan ZR and Zhou HH (2002) Inducibility of CYP1A2 by omeprazole in vivo related to the genetic polymorphism of CYP1A2. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **54:**540-543.

- Hanioka N, Kimura S, Meyer UA and Gonzalez FJ (1990) The human CYP2D locus associated with a common genetic defect in drug oxidation: a G1934----A base change in intron 3 of a mutant CYP2D6 allele results in an aberrant 3' splice recognition site. *Am J Hum Genet* **47**:994-1001.
- Hannemann F, Bichet A, Ewen KM and Bernhardt R (2007) Cytochrome P450 systems--biological variations of electron transport chains. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1770:330-344.
- Hansen JM, Kampmann JP, Siersbaek-Nielsen K, Lumholtz IB, Arroe M, Abildgaard U and Skovsted L (1979) The effect of different sulfonamides on phenytoin metabolism in man. *Acta Med Scand Suppl* **624:**106-110.
- Harada T, Ohtaki E, Misu K, Sumiyoshi T and Hosoda S (2002) Congestive heart failure caused by digitalis toxicity in an elderly man taking a licorice-containing chinese herbal laxative. *Cardiology* **98:**218.
- Harris DL (2004) In silico predictive metabolism: a structural/electronic filter method. *Curr Opin Drug Discov Devel* **7:**43-48.
- Harstad EB, Guite CA, Thomae TL and Bradfield CA (2006) Liver deformation in Ahr-null mice: evidence for aberrant hepatic perfusion in early development. *Mol Pharmacol* **69**:1534-1541.
- Hartmann M, Zech K, Bliesath H, Steinijans VW, Koch H, Wurst W and Mascher H (1999) Pantoprazole lacks induction of CYP1A2 activity in man. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther* **37:**159-164.
- Hayhurst GP, Harlow J, Chowdry J, Gross E, Hilton E, Lennard MS, Tucker GT and Ellis SW (2001) Influence of phenylalanine-481 substitutions on the catalytic activity of cytochrome P450 2D6. *Biochem J* **355**:373-379.
- He K, Woolf TF and Hollenberg PF (1999) Mechanism-based inactivation of cytochrome P-450-3A4 by mifepristone (RU486). *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **288**:791-797.
- He XY, Shen J, Ding X, Lu AY and Hong JY (2004a) Identification of critical amino acid residues of human CYP2A13 for the metabolic activation of 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone, a tobacco-specific carcinogen. Drug Metab Dispos 32:1516-1521.
- He XY, Shen J, Hu WY, Ding X, Lu AY and Hong JY (2004b) Identification of Val117 and Arg372 as critical amino acid residues for the activity difference between human CYP2A6 and CYP2A13 in coumarin 7-hydroxylation. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **427**:143-153.
- Hebert MF, Park JM, Chen YL, Akhtar S and Larson AM (2004) Effects of St. John's wort (Hypericum perforatum) on tacrolimus pharmacokinetics in healthy volunteers. *J Clin Pharmacol* **44**:89-94.
- Heidel SM, Czuprynski CJ and Jefcoate CR (1998) Bone marrow stromal cells constitutively express high levels of cytochrome P4501B1 that metabolize 7,12-dimethylbenz[a]anthracene. *Mol Pharmacol* **54**:1000-1006.

- Heiskanen T, Olkkola KT and Kalso E (1998) Effects of blocking CYP2D6 on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of oxycodone. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **64**:603-611.
- Helsby NA, Williams J, Kerr D, Gescher A and Chipman JK (1997) The isoflavones equol and genistein do not induce xenobiotic-metabolizing enzymes in mouse and in human cells. *Xenobiotica* **27:**587-596.
- Helvig C, Alayrac C, Mioskowski C, Koop D, Poullain D, Durst F and Salaun JP (1997) Suicide inactivation of cytochrome P450 by midchain and terminal acetylenesa mechanistic study of inactivation of a plant acid omega-hydroxylase. J Biol Chem 272:414-421.
- Hemeryck A, Lefebvre RA, De Vriendt C and Belpaire FM (2000) Paroxetine affects metoprolol pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics in healthy volunteers. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **67:**283-291.
- Henderson MC, Miranda CL, Stevens JF, Deinzer ML and Buhler DR (2000) In vitro inhibition of human P450 enzymes by prenylated flavonoids from hops, Humulus lupulus. *Xenobiotica* **30**:235-251.
- Herman D, Peternel P, Stegnar M, Breskvar K and Dolzan V (2006) A novel sequence variant in exon 7 of CYP2C9 gene (CYP2C9\*24) in a patient on warfarin therapy. *Thromb Haemost* **95:**192-194.
- Hermans JJ and Thijssen HH (1993) Human liver microsomal metabolism of the enantiomers of warfarin and acenocoumarol: P450 isozyme diversity determines the differences in their pharmacokinetics. *Br J Pharmacol* **110**:482-490.
- Herraiz T, Guillen H, Aran VJ, Idle JR and Gonzalez FJ (2006) Comparative aromatic hydroxylation and N-demethylation of MPTP neurotoxin and its analogs, N-methylated beta-carboline and isoquinoline alkaloids, by human cytochrome P450 2D6. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 216:387-398.
- Hertz R, Magenheim J, Berman I and Bar-Tana J (1998) Fatty acyl-CoA thioesters are ligands of hepatic nuclear factor-4alpha. *Nature* **392:**512-516.
- Hesse LM, Venkatakrishnan K, Court MH, von Moltke LL, Duan SX, Shader RI and Greenblatt DJ (2000) CYP2B6 mediates the in vitro hydroxylation of bupropion: potential drug interactions with other antidepressants. *Drug Metab Dispos* 28:1176-1183.
- Hesse LM, von Moltke LL, Shader RI and Greenblatt DJ (2001) Ritonavir, efavirenz, and nelfinavir inhibit CYP2B6 activity in vitro: potential drug interactions with bupropion. *Drug Metab Dispos* **29:**100-102.
- Heydari A, Yeo KR, Lennard MS, Ellis SW, Tucker GT and Rostami-Hodjegan A (2004) Mechanism-based inactivation of CYP2D6 by methylenedioxymethamphetamine. *Drug Metab Dispos* **32:**1213-1217.
- Heyn H, White RB and Stevens JC (1996) Catalytic role of cytochrome P4502B6 in the N-demethylation of S-mephenytoin. *Drug Metab Dispos* **24**:948-954.

- Hidestrand M, Oscarson M, Salonen JS, Nyman L, Pelkonen O, Turpeinen M and Ingelman-Sundberg M (2001) CYP2B6 and CYP2C19 as the major enzymes responsible for the metabolism of selegiline, a drug used in the treatment of Parkinson's disease, as revealed from experiments with recombinant enzymes. *Drug Metab Dispos* 29:1480-1484.
- Higashi MK, Veenstra DL, Kondo LM, Wittkowsky AK, Srinouanprachanh SL, Farin FM and Rettie AE (2002) Association between CYP2C9 genetic variants and anticoagulation-related outcomes during warfarin therapy. *Jama* **287**:1690-1698.
- Hijazi Y and Boulieu R (2002) Contribution of CYP3A4, CYP2B6, and CYP2C9 isoforms to N-demethylation of ketamine in human liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **30**:853-858.
- Hiroi T, Kishimoto W, Chow T, Imaoka S, Igarashi T and Funae Y (2001) Progesterone oxidation by cytochrome P450 2D isoforms in the brain. *Endocrinology* 142:3901-3908.
- Ho PC, Saville DJ and Wanwimolruk S (2001) Inhibition of human CYP3A4 activity by grapefruit flavonoids, furanocoumarins and related compounds. *J Pharm Pharm Sci* **4:**217-227.
- Hockley SL, Arlt VM, Brewer D, Giddings I and Phillips DH (2006) Time- and concentration-dependent changes in gene expression induced by benzo(a)pyrene in two human cell lines, MCF-7 and HepG2. *BMC Genomics* **7**:260.
- Hodek P, Trefil P and Stiborova M (2002) Flavonoids-potent and versatile biologically active compounds interacting with cytochromes P450. *Chem-Biol Interact* **139:**1-21.
- Hoffman SM, Fernandez-Salguero P, Gonzalez FJ and Mohrenweiser HW (1995)
  Organization and evolution of the cytochrome P450 CYP2A-2B-2F subfamily gene cluster on human chromosome 19. *J Mol Evol* 41:894-900.
- Hollenberg PF (2002) Characteristics and common properties of inhibitors, inducers, and activators of CYP enzymes. *Drug Metab Rev* **34:**17-35.
- Hollingshead BD, Patel RD and Perdew GH (2006) Endogenous hepatic expression of the hepatitis B virus X-associated protein 2 is adequate for maximal association with aryl hydrocarbon receptor-90-kDa heat shock protein complexes. *Mol Pharmacol* 70:2096-2107.
- Hollman PC and Katan MB (1997) Absorption, metabolism and health effects of dietary flavonoids in man. *Biomed Pharmacother* **51**:305-310.
- Homma M, Oka K, Ikeshima K, Takahashi N, Niitsuma T, Fukuda T and Itoh H (1995) Different effects of traditional Chinese medicines containing similar herbal constituents on prednisolone pharmacokinetics. *J Pharm Pharmacol* **47**:687-692.
- Hong CC, Tang BK, Hammond GL, Tritchler D, Yaffe M and Boyd NF (2004) Cytochrome P450 1A2 (CYP1A2) activity and risk factors for breast cancer: a cross-sectional study. *Breast Cancer Res* **6**:R352-365.

- Hong X, Zhang S, Mao G, Jiang S, Zhang Y, Yu Y, Tang G, Xing H and Xu X (2005) CYP2C9\*3 allelic variant is associated with metabolism of irbesartan in Chinese population. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 61:627-634.
- Honkakoski P, Sueyoshi T and Negishi M (2003) Drug-activated nuclear receptors CAR and PXR. Ann Med **35:**172-182.
- Horai Y, Kimura M, Furuie H, Matsuguma K, Irie S, Koga Y, Nagahama T, Murakami M, Matsui T, Yao T, Urae A and Ishizaki T (2001) Pharmacodynamic effects and kinetic disposition of rabeprazole in relation to CYP2C19 genotypes. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 15:793-803.
- Hosea NA, Miller GH and Guengerich FP (2000) Elucidation of distinct ligand binding sites for cytochrome P450 3A4. *Biochemistry* **39:**5929-5939.
- Hosoya T, Harada N, Mimura J, Motohashi H, Takahashi S, Nakajima O, Morita M, Kawauchi S, Yamamoto M and Fujii-Kuriyama Y (2008) Inducibility of cytochrome P450 1A1 and chemical carcinogenesis by benzo[a]pyrene in AhR repressor-deficient mice. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 365:562-567.
- Houston JB (1994) Utility of in vitro drug metabolism data in predicting in vivo metabolic clearance. *Biochem Pharmacol* **47:**1469-1479.
- Houston JB and Kenworthy KE (2000) In vitro-in vivo scaling of CYP kinetic data not consistent with the classical Michaelis-Menten model. *Drug Metab Dispos* **28:**246-254.
- Hu M, Krausz K, Chen J, Ge X, Li J, Gelboin HL and Gonzalez FJ (2003) Identification of CYP1A2 as the main isoform for the phase I hydroxylated metabolism of genistein and a prodrug converting enzyme of methylated isoflavones. *Drug Metab Dispos* 31:924-931.
- Hu Z, Yang X, Ho PC, Chan SY, Heng PW, Chan E, Duan W, Koh HL and Zhou S (2005) Herb-drug interactions: a literature review. *Drugs* **65**:1239-1282.
- Huang JD, Guo WC, Lai MD, Guo YL and Lambert GH (1999) Detection of a novel cytochrome P-450 1A2 polymorphism (F21L) in Chinese. *Drug Metab Dispos* 27:98-101.
- Huang Z, Fasco MJ and Kaminsky LS (1997) Alternative splicing of CYP2D mRNA in human breast tissue. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **343**:101-108.
- Huang Z, Roy P and Waxman DJ (2000) Role of human liver microsomal CYP3A4 and CYP2B6 in catalyzing N-dechloroethylation of cyclophosphamide and ifosfamide. *Biochem Pharmacol* **59**:961-972.
- Hung CC, Lin CJ, Chen CC, Chang CJ and Liou HH (2004) Dosage recommendation of phenytoin for patients with epilepsy with different CYP2C9/CYP2C19 polymorphisms. *Ther Drug Monit* 26:534-540.
- Hustert E, Zibat A, Presecan-Siedel E, Eiselt R, Mueller R, Fuss C, Brehm I, Brinkmann U, Eichelbaum M, Wojnowski L and Burk O (2001) Natural protein variants of
pregnane X receptor with altered transactivation activity toward CYP3A4. *Drug Metab Dispos* **29:**1454-1459.

- Hutter MC (2009) In silico prediction of drug properties. Curr Med Chem 16:189-202.
- Ibeanu GC and Goldstein JA (1995) Transcriptional regulation of human CYP2C genes: functional comparison of CYP2C9 and CYP2C18 promoter regions. *Biochemistry* **34:**8028-8036.
- Ibeanu GC, Goldstein JA, Meyer U, Benhamou S, Bouchardy C, Dayer P, Ghanayem BI and Blaisdell J (1998) Identification of new human CYP2C19 alleles (CYP2C19\*6 and CYP2C19\*2B) in a Caucasian poor metabolizer of mephenytoin. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 286:1490-1495.
- Ieiri I, Kishimoto Y, Okochi H, Momiyama K, Morita T, Kitano M, Morisawa T, Fukushima Y, Nakagawa K, Hasegawa J, Otsubo K and Ishizaki T (2001) Comparison of the kinetic disposition of and serum gastrin change by lansoprazole versus rabeprazole during an 8-day dosing scheme in relation to CYP2C19 polymorphism. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 57:485-492.
- Iida R, Otsuka Y, Matsumoto K, Kuriyama S and Hosoya T (2006) Pseudoaldosteronism due to the concurrent use of two herbal medicines containing glycyrrhizin: interaction of glycyrrhizin with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor. *Clin Exp Nephrol* 10:131-135.
- Ikeda K, Yoshisue K, Matsushima E, Nagayama S, Kobayashi K, Tyson CA, Chiba K and Kawaguchi Y (2000) Bioactivation of tegafur to 5-fluorouracil is catalyzed by cytochrome P-450 2A6 in human liver microsomes in vitro. *Clin Cancer Res* 6:4409-4415.
- Ikeya K, Jaiswal AK, Owens RA, Jones JE, Nebert DW and Kimura S (1989) Human CYP1A2: sequence, gene structure, comparison with the mouse and rat orthologous gene, and differences in liver 1A2 mRNA expression. *Mol Endocrinol* **3**:1399-1408.
- Ilett KF, Castleden WM, Vandongen YK, Stacey MC, Butler MA and Kadlubar FF (1993) Acetylation phenotype and cytochrome P450IA2 phenotype are unlikely to be associated with peripheral arterial disease. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **54:**317-322.
- Imai J, Ieiri I, Mamiya K, Miyahara S, Furuumi H, Nanba E, Yamane M, Fukumaki Y, Ninomiya H, Tashiro N, Otsubo K and Higuchi S (2000) Polymorphism of the cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2C9 gene in Japanese epileptic patients: genetic analysis of the CYP2C9 locus. *Pharmacogenetics* 10:85-89.
- Imai T, Taketani M, Suzu T, Kusube K and Otagiri M (1999) In vitro identification of the human cytochrome P-450 enzymes involved in the N-demethylation of azelastine. *Drug Metab Dispos* 27:942-946.
- Ingelman-Sundberg M (2005) Genetic polymorphisms of cytochrome P450 2D6 (CYP2D6): clinical consequences, evolutionary aspects and functional diversity. *Pharmacogenomics J* **5:**6-13.

- Ingelman-Sundberg M, Sim SC, Gomez A and Rodriguez-Antona C (2007) Influence of cytochrome P450 polymorphisms on drug therapies: pharmacogenetic, pharmacoepigenetic and clinical aspects. *Pharmacol Ther* **116**:496-526.
- Ioannides C (2002) Pharmacokinetic interactions between herbal remedies and medicinal drugs. *Xenobiotica* **32:**451-478.
- Ioset JR, Raoelison GE and Hostettmann K (2003) Detection of aristolochic acid in Chinese phytomedicines and dietary supplements used as slimming regimens. *Food Chem Toxicol* **41**:29-36.
- Ishida S, Jinno H, Tanaka-Kagawa T, Ando M, Ohno Y, Ozawa S and Sawada J (2002) Characterization of human CYP1A1/1A2 induction by DNA microarray and alpha-naphthoflavone. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **296:**172-177.
- Ishiguro A, Kubota T, Ishikawa H and Iga T (2004a) Metabolic activity of dextromethorphan O-demethylation in healthy Japanese volunteers carrying duplicated CYP2D6 genes: duplicated allele of CYP2D6\*10 does not increase CYP2D6 metabolic activity. *Clin Chim Acta* 344:201-204.
- Ishiguro A, Kubota T, Sasaki H and Iga T (2004b) A long PCR assay to distinguish CYP2D6\*5 and a novel CYP2D6 mutant allele associated with an 11-kb EcoRI haplotype. *Clin Chim Acta* **347:**217-221.
- Isnard Bagnis C, Deray G, Baumelou A, Le Quintrec M and Vanherweghem JL (2004) Herbs and the kidney. *Am J Kidney Dis* **44:**1-11.
- Ito K, Iwatsubo T, Kanamitsu S, Nukajima Y and Sugiyama Y (1998a) Quantitative prediction of in vivo drug clearance and drug interactions from in vitro data on metabolism, together with binding and transport. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 38:461-499.
- Ito K, Iwatsubo T, Kanamitsu S, Ueda K, Suzuki H and Sugiyama Y (1998b) Prediction of pharmacokinetic alterations caused by drug-drug interactions: metabolic interaction in the liver. *Pharmacol Rev* **50**:387-411.
- Ito K, Iwatsubo T, Kanamitsu S, Ueda K, Suzuki H and Sugiyama Y (1998c) Prediction of pharmacokinetic alterations caused by drug-drug interactions: metabolic interaction in the liver. *Pharmacol Rev* **50**:387-412.
- Iyer L (1999) Inherited variations in drug-metabolizing enzymes: significance in clinical oncology. *Mol Diagn* **4**:327-333.
- Izzat MB, Yim AP and El-Zufari MH (1998) A taste of Chinese medicine! Ann Thorac Surg 66:941-942.
- Jaakkola T, Backman JT, Neuvonen M and Neuvonen PJ (2005) Effects of gemfibrozil, itraconazole, and their combination on the pharmacokinetics of pioglitazone. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **77**:404-414.

- Jaakkola T, Backman JT, Neuvonen M, Niemi M and Neuvonen PJ (2006a) Montelukast and zafirlukast do not affect the pharmacokinetics of the CYP2C8 substrate pioglitazone. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **62**:503-509.
- Jaakkola T, Laitila J, Neuvonen PJ and Backman JT (2006b) Pioglitazone is metabolised by CYP2C8 and CYP3A4 in vitro: potential for interactions with CYP2C8 inhibitors. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol* **99:**44-51.
- Jacobsen W, Kuhn B, Soldner A, Kirchner G, Sewing KF, Kollman PA, Benet LZ and Christians U (2000) Lactonization is the critical first step in the disposition of the 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase inhibitor atorvastatin. *Drug Metab Dispos* 28:1369-1378.
- Jacobson PA, Green K, Birnbaum A and Remmel RP (2002) Cytochrome P450 isozymes 3A4 and 2B6 are involved in the in vitro human metabolism of thiotepa to TEPA. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* **49:**461-467.
- Jalas JR, Ding X and Murphy SE (2003) Comparative metabolism of the tobacco-specific nitrosamines 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone and 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanol by rat cytochrome P450 2A3 and human cytochrome P450 2A13. *Drug Metab Dispos* **31:**1199-1202.
- Janetzky K and Morreale AP (1997) Probable interaction between warfarin and ginseng. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* **54**:692-693.
- Jenner P (2003) The contribution of the MPTP-treated primate model to the development of new treatment strategies for Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* **9:**131-137.
- Jeurissen SM, Bogaards JJ, Awad HM, Boersma MG, Brand W, Fiamegos YC, van Beek TA, Alink GM, Sudholter EJ, Cnubben NH and Rietjens IM (2004) Human cytochrome p450 enzyme specificity for bioactivation of safrole to the proximate carcinogen 1'-hydroxysafrole. *Chem Res Toxicol* **17**:1245-1250.
- Jeurissen SM, Punt A, Boersma MG, Bogaards JJ, Fiamegos YC, Schilter B, van Bladeren PJ, Cnubben NH and Rietjens IM (2007) Human cytochrome p450 enzyme specificity for the bioactivation of estragole and related alkenylbenzenes. *Chem Res Toxicol* **20**:798-806.
- Ji L, Pan S, Marti-Jaun J, Hanseler E, Rentsch K and Hersberger M (2002) Single-step assays to analyze CYP2D6 gene polymorphisms in Asians: allele frequencies and a novel \*14B allele in mainland Chinese. *Clin Chem* **48**:983-988.
- Jiang X, Blair EY and McLachlan AJ (2006) Investigation of the effects of herbal medicines on warfarin response in healthy subjects: a population pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic modeling approach. J Clin Pharmacol 46:1370-1378.
- Jiang X, Williams KM, Liauw WS, Ammit AJ, Roufogalis BD, Duke CC, Day RO and McLachlan AJ (2004) Effect of St John's wort and ginseng on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of warfarin in healthy subjects. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 57:592-599.

- Jiang X, Williams KM, Liauw WS, Ammit AJ, Roufogalis BD, Duke CC, Day RO and McLachlan AJ (2005) Effect of ginkgo and ginger on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of warfarin in healthy subjects. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 59:425-432.
- Jiao S, Liu B, Gao A, Ye M, Jia X, Zhang F, Liu H, Shi X and Huang C (2008) Benzo(a)pyrene-caused increased G1-S transition requires the activation of c-Jun through p53-dependent PI-3K/Akt/ERK pathway in human embryo lung fibroblasts. *Toxicol Lett* 178:167-175.
- Jimenez-Jimenez FJ, Tabernero C, Mena MA, Garcia de Yebenes J, Garcia de Yebenes MJ, Casarejos MJ, Pardo B, Garcia-Agundez JA, Benitez J, Martinez A and et al. (1991) Acute effects of 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine in a model of rat designated a poor metabolizer of debrisoquine. *J Neurochem* 57:81-87.
- Jin JX and Baillie TA (1997a) Metabolism of the chemoprotective agent diallyl sulfide to glutathione conjugates in rats. *Chem Res Toxicol* **10**:318-327.
- Jin L and Baillie TA (1997b) Metabolism of the chemoprotective agent diallyl sulfide to glutathione conjugates in rats. *Chem Res Toxicol* **10**:318-327.
- Johansson I, Lundqvist E, Bertilsson L, Dahl ML, Sjoqvist F and Ingelman-Sundberg M (1993) Inherited amplification of an active gene in the cytochrome P450 CYP2D locus as a cause of ultrarapid metabolism of debrisoquine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **90**:11825-11829.
- Johansson I, Oscarson M, Yue QY, Bertilsson L, Sjoqvist F and Ingelman-Sundberg M (1994) Genetic analysis of the Chinese cytochrome P4502D locus: characterization of variant CYP2D6 genes present in subjects with diminished capacity for debrisoquine hydroxylation. *Mol Pharmacol* **46**:452-459.
- Johne A, Brockmoller J, Bauer S, Maurer A, Langheinrich M and Roots I (1999) Pharmacokinetic interaction of digoxin with an herbal extract from St John's wort (Hypericum perforatum). *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **66**:338-345.
- Johne A, Schmider J, Brockmoller J, Stadelmann AM, Stormer E, Bauer S, Scholler G, Langheinrich M and Roots I (2002) Decreased plasma levels of amitriptyline and its metabolites on comedication with an extract from St. John's wort (*Hypericum perforatum*). J Clin Psychopharmacol 22:46-54.
- Johnson BM, Song IH, Adkison KK, Borland J, Fang L, Lou Y, Berrey MM, Nafziger AN, Piscitelli SC and Bertino JS, Jr. (2006) Evaluation of the drug interaction potential of aplaviroc, a novel human immunodeficiency virus entry inhibitor, using a modified cooperstown 5 + 1 cocktail. *J Clin Pharmacol* **46**:577-587.
- Johnson JF and Dobmeier ME (1990) Symptomatic hypoglycemia secondary to a glipizide-trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole drug interaction. *Dicp* **24:**250-251.
- Jones BC, Hyland R, Ackland M, Tyman CA and Smith DA (1998) Interaction of terfenadine and its primary metabolites with cytochrome P450 2D6. *Drug Metab Dispos* 26:875-882.

- Jones BD and Runikis AM (1987) Interaction of ginseng with phenelzine. J Clin Psychopharmacol 7:201-202.
- Jover R, Bort R, Gomez-Lechon MJ and Castell JV (2001) Cytochrome P450 regulation by hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 in human hepatocytes: a study using adenovirus-mediated antisense targeting. *Hepatology* **33**:668-675.
- Justenhoven C, Hamann U, Schubert F, Zapatka M, Pierl CB, Rabstein S, Selinski S, Mueller T, Ickstadt K, Gilbert M, Ko YD, Baisch C, Pesch B, Harth V, Bolt HM, Vollmert C, Illig T, Eils R, Dippon J and Brauch H (2008) Breast cancer: a candidate gene approach across the estrogen metabolic pathway. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 108:137-149.
- Kagimoto M, Heim M, Kagimoto K, Zeugin T and Meyer UA (1990) Multiple mutations of the human cytochrome P450IID6 gene (CYP2D6) in poor metabolizers of debrisoquine. Study of the functional significance of individual mutations by expression of chimeric genes. J Biol Chem 265:17209-17214.
- Kaiser R, Sezer O, Papies A, Bauer S, Schelenz C, Tremblay PB, Possinger K, Roots I and Brockmoller J (2002) Patient-tailored antiemetic treatment with 5-hydroxytryptamine type 3 receptor antagonists according to cytochrome P-450 2D6 genotypes. J Clin Oncol 20:2805-2811.
- Kajosaari LI, Laitila J, Neuvonen PJ and Backman JT (2005) Metabolism of repaglinide by CYP2C8 and CYP3A4 in vitro: effect of fibrates and rifampicin. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol* **97:**249-256.
- Kajosaari LI, Niemi M, Backman JT and Neuvonen PJ (2006) Telithromycin, but not montelukast, increases the plasma concentrations and effects of the cytochrome P450 3A4 and 2C8 substrate repaglinide. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **79**:231-242.
- Kalgutkar AS, Nguyen HT, Vaz AD, Doan A, Dalvie DK, McLeod DG and Murray JC (2003a) In vitro metabolism studies on the isoxazole ring scission in the anti-inflammatory agent lefluonomide to its active alpha-cyanoenol metabolite A771726: mechanistic similarities with the cytochrome P450-catalyzed dehydration of aldoximes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **31**:1240-1250.
- Kalgutkar AS, Zhou S, Fahmi OA and Taylor TJ (2003b) Influence of lipophilicity on the interactions of N-alkyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridines and their positively charged N-alkyl-4-phenylpyridinium metabolites with cytochrome P450 2D6. *Drug Metab Dispos* **31**:596-605.
- Kall MA and Clausen J (1995) Dietary effect on mixed function P450 1A2 activity assayed by estimation of caffeine metabolism in man. *Hum Exp Toxicol* **14**:801-807.
- Kalow W and Tang BK (1991) Caffeine as a metabolic probe: exploration of the enzyme-inducing effect of cigarette smoking. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **49**:44-48.
- Kamada T, Chow T, Hiroi T, Imaoka S, Morimoto K, Ohde H and Funae Y (2002) Metabolism of selegiline hydrochloride, a selective monoamine b-type inhibitor, in human liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Pharmacokinet* 17:199-206.

- Kamali F and Pirohamed M (2006) The future prospects of pharmacogenetics in oral anticoagulation therapy. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **61**:746-751.
- Kaminsky LS and Zhang ZY (1997) Human P450 metabolism of warfarin. *Pharmacol Ther* **73:**67-74.
- Kamiyama Y, Matsubara T, Yoshinari K, Nagata K, Kamimura H and Yamazoe Y (2007) Role of human hepatocyte nuclear factor 4alpha in the expression of drug-metabolizing enzymes and transporters in human hepatocytes assessed by use of small interfering RNA. *Drug Metab Pharmacokinet* 22:287-298.
- Kariya S, Isozaki S, Uchino K, Suzuki T and Narimatsu S (1996) Oxidative metabolism of flunarizine and cinnarizine by microsomes from B-lymphoblastoid cell lines expressing human cytochrome P450 enzymes. *Biol Pharm Bull* 19:1511-1514.
- Karjalainen MJ, Neuvonen PJ and Backman JT (2006) Rofecoxib is a potent, metabolism-dependent inhibitor of CYP1A2: implications for in vitro prediction of drug interactions. *Drug Metab Dispos* 34:2091-2096.
- Karliova M, Treichel U, Malago M, Frilling A, Gerken G and Broelsch CE (2000) Interaction of Hypericum perforatum (St. John's wort) with cyclosporin A metabolism in a patient after liver transplantation. *J Hepatol* **33**:853-855.
- Kawashima S, Kobayashi K, Takama K, Higuchi T, Furihata T, Hosokawa M and Chiba K (2006) Involvement of hepatocyte nuclear factor 4alpha in the different expression level between CYP2C9 and CYP2C19 in the human liver. *Drug Metab Dispos* 34:1012-1018.
- Keizers PH, Lussenburg BM, de Graaf C, Mentink LM, Vermeulen NP and Commandeur JN (2004) Influence of phenylalanine 120 on cytochrome P450 2D6 catalytic selectivity and regiospecificity: crucial role in 7-methoxy-4-(aminomethyl)-coumarin metabolism. *Biochem Pharmacol* 68:2263-2271.
- Kent UM, Aviram M, Rosenblat M and Hollenberg PF (2002) The licorice root derived isoflavan glabridin inhibits the activities of human cytochrome P450S 3A4, 2B6, and 2C9. *Drug Metab Dispos* **30**:709-715.
- Kent UM, Juschyshyn MI and Hollenberg PF (2001) Mechanism-based inactivators as probes of cytochrome P450 structure and function. *Curr Drug Metab* **2:**215-243.
- Kent UM, Yanev S and Hollenberg PF (1999) Mechanism-based inactivation of cytochromes P450 2B1 and P450 2B6 by n-propylxanthate. *Chem Res Toxicol* **12:**317-322.
- Kerzee JK and Ramos KS (2000) Activation of c-Ha-ras by benzo(a)pyrene in vascular smooth muscle cells involves redox stress and aryl hydrocarbon receptor. *Mol Pharmacol* **58**:152-158.

Kessler DA (2000) Cancer and herbs. N Engl J Med 342:1742-1743.

- Kharasch ED, Thummel KE, Mhyre J and Lillibridge JH (1993) Single-dose disulfiram inhibition of chlorzoxazone metabolism: a clinical probe for P450 2E1. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **53**:643-650.
- Khawaja IS, Marotta RF and Lippmann S (1999) Herbal medicines as a factor in delirium. *Psychiatr Serv* **50**:969-970.
- Kiang TK, Ho PC, Anari MR, Tong V, Abbott FS and Chang TK (2006) Contribution of CYP2C9, CYP2A6, and CYP2B6 to valproic acid metabolism in hepatic microsomes from individuals with the CYP2C9\*1/\*1 genotype. *Toxicol Sci* 94:261-271.
- Kidd RS, Curry TB, Gallagher S, Edeki T, Blaisdell J and Goldstein JA (2001) Identification of a null allele of CYP2C9 in an African-American exhibiting toxicity to phenytoin. *Pharmacogenetics* 11:803-808.
- Kidd RS, Straughn AB, Meyer MC, Blaisdell J, Goldstein JA and Dalton JT (1999) Pharmacokinetics of chlorpheniramine, phenytoin, glipizide and nifedipine in an individual homozygous for the CYP2C9\*3 allele. *Pharmacogenetics* 9:71-80.
- Kim D and Guengerich FP (2004) Selection of human cytochrome P450 1A2 mutants with enhanced catalytic activity for heterocyclic amine N-hydroxylation. *Biochemistry* **43**:981-988.
- Kim KA, Chung J, Jung DH and Park JY (2004) Identification of cytochrome P450 isoforms involved in the metabolism of loperamide in human liver microsomes. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **60:**575-581.
- Kim KA and Park JY (2003) Inhibitory effect of glyburide on human cytochrome p450 isoforms in human liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **31**:1090-1092.
- Kim KA, Park JY, Lee JS and Lim S (2003) Cytochrome P450 2C8 and CYP3A4/5 are involved in chloroquine metabolism in human liver microsomes. *Arch Pharm Res* 26:631-637.
- Kim KA, Shon JH, Park JY, Yoon YR, Kim MJ, Yun DH, Kim MK, Cha IJ, Hyun MH and Shin JG (2002) Enantioselective disposition of lansoprazole in extensive and poor metabolizers of CYP2C19. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **72**:90-99.
- Kim RB, Fromm MF, Wandel C, Leake B, Wood AJ, Roden DM and Wilkinson GR (1998) The drug transporter P-glycoprotein limits oral absorption and brain entry of HIV-1 protease inhibitors. J Clin Invest 101:289-294.
- Kimura M, Ieiri I, Mamiya K, Urae A and Higuchi S (1998) Genetic polymorphism of cytochrome P450s, CYP2C19, and CYP2C9 in a Japanese population. *Ther Drug Monit* **20**:243-247.
- Kimura S, Umeno M, Skoda RC, Meyer UA and Gonzalez FJ (1989) The human debrisoquine 4-hydroxylase (CYP2D) locus: sequence and identification of the polymorphic CYP2D6 gene, a related gene, and a pseudogene. *Am J Hum Genet* 45:889-904.

- King BP, Khan TI, Aithal GP, Kamali F and Daly AK (2004) Upstream and coding region CYP2C9 polymorphisms: correlation with warfarin dose and metabolism. *Pharmacogenetics* **14:**813-822.
- Kirchheiner J, Schmidt H, Tzvetkov M, Keulen JT, Lotsch J, Roots I and Brockmoller J (2007) Pharmacokinetics of codeine and its metabolite morphine in ultra-rapid metabolizers due to CYP2D6 duplication. *Pharmacogenomics J* **7:**257-265.
- Kirchheiner J and Seeringer A (2007) Clinical implications of pharmacogenetics of cytochrome P450 drug metabolizing enzymes. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1770:489-494.
- Kirton SB, Kemp CA, Tomkinson NP, St-Gallay S and Sutcliffe MJ (2002) Impact of incorporating the 2C5 crystal structure into comparative models of cytochrome P450 2D6. *Proteins* **49:**216-231.
- Kishimoto W, Hiroi T, Sakai K, Funae Y and Igarashi T (1997) Metabolism of epinastine, a histamine H1 receptor antagonist, in human liver microsomes in comparison with that of terfenadine. *Res Commun Mol Pathol Pharmacol* **98**:273-292.
- Kishimoto W, Hiroi T, Shiraishi M, Osada M, Imaoka S, Kominami S, Igarashi T and Funae Y (2004) Cytochrome P450 2D catalyze steroid 21-hydroxylation in the brain. *Endocrinology* 145:699-705.
- Kitada M and Kamataki T (1994) Cytochrome P450 in human fetal liver: significance and fetal-specific expression. *Drug Metab Rev* **26**:305-323.
- Kitagawa K, Kunugita N, Katoh T, Yang M and Kawamoto T (1999) The significance of the homozygous CYP2A6 deletion on nicotine metabolism: a new genotyping method of CYP2A6 using a single PCR-RFLP. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 262:146-151.
- Klein K, Tatzel S, Raimundo S, Saussele T, Hustert E, Pleiss J, Eichelbaum M and Zanger UM (2007) A natural variant of the heme-binding signature (R441C) resulting in complete loss of function of CYP2D6. *Drug Metab Dispos* **35**:1247-1250.
- Klepser TB, Doucette WR, Horton MR, Buys LM, Ernst ME, Ford JK, Hoehns JD, Kautzman HA, Logemann CD, Swegle JM, Ritho M and Klepser ME (2000) Assessment of patients' perceptions and beliefs regarding herbal therapies. *Pharmacotherapy* 20:83-87.
- Klose TS, Blaisdell JA and Goldstein JA (1999) Gene structure of CYP2C8 and extrahepatic distribution of the human CYP2Cs. *J Biochem Mol Toxicol* **13:**289-295.
- Ko JW, Desta Z, Soukhova NV, Tracy T and Flockhart DA (2000) In vitro inhibition of the cytochrome P450 (CYP450) system by the antiplatelet drug ticlopidine: potent effect on CYP2C19 and CYP2D6. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **49**:343-351.
- Kobayashi K, Abe S, Nakajima M, Shimada N, Tani M, Chiba K and Yamamoto T (1999) Role of human CYP2B6 in S-mephobarbital N-demethylation. *Drug Metab Dispos* 27:1429-1433.

- Kobayashi K, Nakajima M, Chiba K, Yamamoto T, Tani M, Ishizaki T and Kuroiwa Y (1998) Inhibitory effects of antiarrhythmic drugs on phenacetin O-deethylation catalysed by human CYP1A2. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **45:**361-368.
- Kobayashi K, Urashima K, Shimada N and Chiba K (2002) Substrate specificity for rat cytochrome P450 (CYP) isoforms: screening with cDNA-expressed systems of the rat. *Biochem Pharmacol* **63**:889-896.
- Kojima K, Nagata K, Matsubara T and Yamazoe Y (2007) Broad but distinct role of pregnane x receptor on the expression of individual cytochrome p450s in human hepatocytes. *Drug Metab Pharmacokinet* **22**:276-286.
- Kolars JC, Awni WM, Merion RM and Watkins PB (1991) First-pass metabolism of cyclosporin by the gut. *Lancet* **338**:1488-1490.
- Komatsu K, Ito K, Nakajima Y, Kanamitsu S, Imaoka S, Funae Y, Green CE, Tyson CA, Shimada N and Sugiyama Y (2000a) Prediction of in vivo drug-drug interactions between tolbutamide and various sulfonamides in humans based on in vitro experiments. *Drug Metab Dispos* 28:475-481.
- Komatsu T, Yamazaki H, Shimada N, Nakajima M and Yokoi T (2000b) Roles of cytochromes P450 1A2, 2A6, and 2C8 in 5-fluorouracil formation from tegafur, an anticancer prodrug, in human liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **28**:1457-1463.
- Korhonen LE, Rahnasto M, Mahonen NJ, Wittekindt C, Poso A, Juvonen RO and Raunio H (2005) Predictive three-dimensional quantitative structure-activity relationship of cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitors. *J Med Chem* 48:3808-3815.
- Kosina P, Maurel P, Ulrichova J and Dvorak Z (2005) Effect of silybin and its glycosides on the expression of cytochromes P450 1A2 and 3A4 in primary cultures of human hepatocytes. *J Biochem Mol Toxicol* **19**:149-153.
- Koskela S, Hakkola J, Hukkanen J, Pelkonen O, Sorri M, Saranen A, Anttila S, Fernandez-Salguero P, Gonzalez F and Raunio H (1999) Expression of CYP2A genes in human liver and extrahepatic tissues. *Biochem Pharmacol* **57:**1407-1413.
- Koul S, Koul JL, Taneja SC, Dhar KL, Jamwal DS, Singh K, Reen RK and Singh J (2000) Structure-activity relationship of piperine and its synthetic analogues for their inhibitory potentials of rat hepatic microsomal constitutive and inducible cytochrome P450 activities. *Bioorg Med Chem* 8:251-268.
- Koyama E, Chiba K, Tani M and Ishizaki T (1996) Identification of human cytochrome P450 isoforms involved in the stereoselective metabolism of mianserin enantiomers. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **278:**21-30.
- Koyama E, Chiba K, Tani M and Ishizaki T (1997) Reappraisal of human CYP isoforms involved in imipramine N-demethylation and 2-hydroxylation: a study using microsomes obtained from putative extensive and poor metabolizers of S-mephenytoin and eleven recombinant human CYPs. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 281:1199-1210.

- Koyano S, Kurose K, Saito Y, Ozawa S, Hasegawa R, Komamura K, Ueno K, Kamakura S, Kitakaze M, Nakajima T, Matsumoto K, Akasawa A, Saito H and Sawada J (2004)
  Functional characterization of four naturally occurring variants of human pregnane X receptor (PXR): one variant causes dramatic loss of both DNA binding activity and the transactivation of the CYP3A4 promoter/enhancer region. *Drug Metab Dispos* 32:149-154.
- Koymans L, Donne-op den Kelder GM, Koppele Te JM and Vermeulen NP (1993a) Cytochromes P450: their active-site structure and mechanism of oxidation. *Drug Metab Rev* 25:325-387.
- Koymans LM, Vermeulen NP, Baarslag A and Donne-Op den Kelder GM (1993b) A preliminary 3D model for cytochrome P450 2D6 constructed by homology model building. J Comput Aided Mol Des 7:281-289.
- Kozak KR, Abbott B and Hankinson O (1997) ARNT-deficient mice and placental differentiation. *Dev Biol* **191:**297-305.
- Kramer MA, Rettie AE, Rieder MJ, Cabacungan ET and Hines RN (2008) Novel CYP2C9 promoter variants and assessment of their impact on gene expression. *Mol Pharmacol* **73:**1751-1760.
- Krejsa CM, Horvath D, Rogalski SL, Penzotti JE, Mao B, Barbosa F and Migeon JC (2003) Predicting ADME properties and side effects: the BioPrint approach. *Curr Opin Drug Discov Devel* 6:470-480.
- Kreth K, Kovar K, Schwab M and Zanger UM (2000) Identification of the human cytochromes P450 involved in the oxidative metabolism of "Ecstasy"-related designer drugs. *Biochem Pharmacol* **59**:1563-1571.
- Kroemer HK, Gautier JC, Beaune P, Henderson C, Wolf CR and Eichelbaum M (1993) Identification of P450 enzymes involved in metabolism of verapamil in humans. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol* **348:**332-337.
- Kroll DJ, Shaw HS and Oberlies NH (2007) Milk thistle nomenclature: why it matters in cancer research and pharmacokinetic studies. *Integr Cancer Ther* **6**:110-119.
- Kubota M, Sogawa K, Kaizu Y, Sawaya T, Watanabe J, Kawajiri K, Gotoh O and Fujii-Kuriyama Y (1991) Xenobiotic responsive element in the 5'-upstream region of the human P-450c gene. *J Biochem* **110:**232-236.
- Kudo S, Okumura H, Miyamoto G and Ishizaki T (1999) Cytochrome P-450 isoforms involved in carboxylic acid ester cleavage of Hantzsch pyridine ester of pranidipine. *Drug Metab Dispos* 27:303-308.
- Kuehl P, Zhang J, Lin Y, Lamba J, Assem M, Schuetz J, Watkins PB, Daly A, Wrighton SA, Hall SD, Maurel P, Relling M, Brimer C, Yasuda K, Venkataramanan R, Strom S, Thummel K, Boguski MS and Schuetz E (2001) Sequence diversity in CYP3A promoters and characterization of the genetic basis of polymorphic CYP3A5 expression. *Nat Genet* 27:383-391.

- Kulling SE, Lehmann L and Metzler M (2002) Oxidative metabolism and genotoxic potential of major isoflavone phytoestrogens. *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci* **777:**211-218.
- Kumar MB, Ramadoss P, Reen RK, Vanden Heuvel JP and Perdew GH (2001) The Q-rich subdomain of the human Ah receptor transactivation domain is required for dioxin-mediated transcriptional activity. J Biol Chem 276:42302-42310.
- Kumar V, Poonam, Prasad AK and Parmar VS (2003) Naturally occurring aristolactams, aristolochic acids and dioxoaporphines and their biological activities. *Nat Prod Rep* **20**:565-583.
- Kumar V, Wahlstrom JL, Rock DA, Warren CJ, Gorman LA and Tracy TS (2006) CYP2C9 inhibition: impact of probe selection and pharmacogenetics on in vitro inhibition profiles. *Drug Metab Dispos* 34:1966-1975.
- Kunze KL and Trager WF (1993) Isoform-selective mechanism-based inhibition of human cytochrome P450 1A2 by furafylline. *Chem Res Toxicol* **6**:649-656.
- Kupiec T and Raj V (2005) Fatal seizures due to potential herb-drug interactions with Ginkgo biloba. *J Anal Toxicol* **29:**755-758.
- Lal S, Jada SR, Xiang X, Lim WT, Lee EJ and Chowbay B (2006) Pharmacogenetics of target genes across the warfarin pharmacological pathway. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 45:1189-1200.
- Lampert N and Xu Y (2002) Chinese herbal nephropathy. Lancet 359:796-797.
- Langouet S, Furge LL, Kerriguy N, Nakamura K, Guillouzo A and Guengerich FP (2000) Inhibition of human cytochrome P450 enzymes by 1,2-dithiole-3-thione, oltipraz and its derivatives, and sulforaphane. *Chem Res Toxicol* **13:**245-252.
- Lantz MS, Buchalter E and Giambanco V (1999) St. John's wort and antidepressant drug interactions in the elderly. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol* **12:**7-10.
- Lanz RB, McKenna NJ, Onate SA, Albrecht U, Wong J, Tsai SY, Tsai MJ and O'Malley BW (1999) A steroid receptor coactivator, SRA, functions as an RNA and is present in an SRC-1 complex. *Cell* **97:**17-27.
- Larsen JT and Brosen K (2005) Consumption of charcoal-broiled meat as an experimental tool for discerning CYP1A2-mediated drug metabolism in vivo. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol* 97:141-148.
- Lau R (1997) Drug interactions with zileuton. *Lancet* **349:**1479-1480.
- Le Marchand L, Donlon T, Kolonel LN, Henderson BE and Wilkens LR (2005) Estrogen metabolism-related genes and breast cancer risk: the multiethnic cohort study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **14**:1998-2003.
- Leathart JB, London SJ, Steward A, Adams JD, Idle JR and Daly AK (1998) CYP2D6 phenotype-genotype relationships in African-Americans and Caucasians in Los Angeles. *Pharmacogenetics* **8**:529-541.

- LeCluyse EL (2001) Human hepatocyte culture systems for the in vitro evaluation of cytochrome P450 expression and regulation. *Eur J Pharm Sci* **13**:343-368.
- Lee AY, Kim MJ, Chey WY, Choi J and Kim BG (2004) Genetic polymorphism of cytochrome P450 2C9 in diphenylhydantoin-induced cutaneous adverse drug reactions. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **60**:155-159.
- Lee CR, Pieper JA, Frye RF, Hinderliter AL, Blaisdell JA and Goldstein JA (2003) Tolbutamide, flurbiprofen, and losartan as probes of CYP2C9 activity in humans. *J Clin Pharmacol* **43:**84-91.
- Lee H, Yeom H, Kim YG, Yoon CN, Jin C, Choi JS, Kim BR and Kim DH (1998) Structure-related inhibition of human hepatic caffeine N3-demethylation by naturally occurring flavonoids. *Biochem Pharmacol* **55**:1369-1375.
- Lefebvre J, Poirier L, Poirier P, Turgeon J and Lacourciere Y (2007) The influence of CYP2D6 phenotype on the clinical response of nebivolol in patients with essential hypertension. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **63**:575-582.
- Lemaire G, Delescluse C, Pralavorio M, Ledirac N, Lesca P and Rahmani R (2004) The role of protein tyrosine kinases in CYP1A1 induction by omeprazole and thiabendazole in rat hepatocytes. *Life Sci* **74**:2265-2278.
- Lennard MS (1990) Genetic polymorphism of sparteine/debrisoquine oxidation: a reappraisal. *Pharmacol Toxicol* **67:**273-283.
- Lewis BC, Mackenzie PI and Miners JO (2007) Comparative homology modeling of human cytochrome P4501A1 (CYP1A1) and confirmation of residues involved in 7-ethoxyresorufin O-deethylation by site-directed mutagenesis and enzyme kinetic analysis. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **468**:58-69.
- Lewis DF and Dickins M (2001) Quantitative structure-activity relationships (QSARs) within series of inhibitors for mammalian cytochromes P450 (CYPs). *J Enzyme Inhib* **16**:321-330.
- Lewis DF, Eddershaw PJ, Goldfarb PS and Tarbit MH (1997) Molecular modelling of cytochrome P4502D6 (CYP2D6) based on an alignment with CYP102: structural studies on specific CYP2D6 substrate metabolism. *Xenobiotica* **27**:319-339.
- Lewis DF, Ioannides C and Parke DV (1998) An improved and updated version of the compact procedure for the evaluation of P450-mediated chemical activation. *Drug Metab Rev* **30:**709-737.
- Lewis DF, Lake BG and Dickins M (2004) Quantitative structure-activity relationships within a homologous series of 7-alkoxyresorufins exhibiting activity towards CYP1A and CYP2B enzymes: molecular modelling studies on key members of the resorufin series with CYP2C5-derived models of human CYP1A1, CYP1A2, CYP2B6 and CYP3A4. *Xenobiotica* **34**:501-513.
- Lewis DF, Lake BG, Dickins M, Ueng YF and Goldfarb PS (2003) Homology modelling of human CYP1A2 based on the CYP2C5 crystallographic template structure. *Xenobiotica* **33**:239-254.

- Li AP (2001) Screening for human ADME/Tox drug properties in drug discovery. *Drug Discov Today* **6**:357-366.
- Li AP, Maurel P, Gomez-Lechon MJ, Cheng LC and Jurima-Romet M (1997) Preclinical evaluation of drug-drug interaction potential: present status of the application of primary human hepatocytes in the evaluation of cytochrome P450 induction. *Chem Biol Interact* **107:5**-16.
- Li CG, Yang LP and Zhou SF (2007) Interactions between Chinese herbal medicines and drugs. *Aust J Acupunct Chin Med* **2:**17-24.
- Li D, Jiao L, Li Y, Doll MA, Hein DW, Bondy ML, Evans DB, Wolff RA, Lenzi R, Pisters PW, Abbruzzese JL and Hassan MM (2006a) Polymorphisms of cytochrome P4501A2 and N-acetyltransferase genes, smoking, and risk of pancreatic cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 27:103-111.
- Li L, Pan RM, Porter TD, Jensen NS, Silber P, Russo G, Tine JA, Heim J, Ring B and Wedlund PJ (2006b) New cytochrome P450 2D6\*56 allele identified by genotype/phenotype analysis of cryopreserved human hepatocytes. *Drug Metab Dispos* 34:1411-1416.
- Li XQ, Bjorkman A, Andersson TB, Ridderstrom M and Masimirembwa CM (2002) Amodiaquine clearance and its metabolism to N-desethylamodiaquine is mediated by CYP2C8: a new high affinity and turnover enzyme-specific probe substrate. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **300**:399-407.
- Li YC, Chiang CW, Yeh HC, Hsu PY, Whitby FG, Wang LH and Chan NL (2008) Structures of prostacyclin synthase and its complexes with substrate analog and inhibitor reveal a ligand-specific heme conformation change. *J Biol Chem* **283:**2917-2926.
- Li YM (2000) Chinese herbs and urothelial carcinoma. *N Engl J Med* **343:**1269; author reply 1269-1270.
- Lim HK, Duczak N, Jr., Brougham L, Elliot M, Patel K and Chan K (2005a) Automated screening with confirmation of mechanism-based inactivation of CYP3A4, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, and CYP1A2 in pooled human liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* 33:1211-1219.
- Lim YP, Liu CH, Shyu LJ and Huang JD (2005b) Functional characterization of a novel polymorphism of pregnane X receptor, Q158K, in Chinese subjects. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* **15**:337-341.
- Lima MV, Ribeiro GS, Mesquita ET, Victer PR and Vianna-Jorge R (2008) CYP2C9 genotypes and the quality of anticoagulation control with warfarin therapy among Brazilian patients. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **64**:9-15.
- Lin BC, Sullivan R, Lee Y, Moran S, Glover E and Bradfield CA (2007) Deletion of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor-associated protein 9 leads to cardiac malformation and embryonic lethality. *J Biol Chem* **282**:35924-35932.

- Lin CJ, Yang JC, Uang YS, Chern HD and Wang TH (2003) Time-dependent amplified pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic responses of rabeprazole in cytochrome P450 2C19 poor metabolizers. *Pharmacotherapy* **23**:711-719.
- Lin JH (1998) Applications and limitations of interspecies scaling and in vitro extrapolation in pharmacokinetics. *Drug Metab Dispos* **26**:1202-1212.
- Lin JH and Lu AY (1998) Inhibition and induction of cytochrome P450 and the clinical implications. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **35:**361-390.
- Lin JH and Lu AY (2001) Interindividual variability in inhibition and induction of cytochrome P450 enzymes. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **41:**535-567.
- Lin LY, Di Stefano EW, Schmitz DA, Hsu L, Ellis SW, Lennard MS, Tucker GT and Cho AK (1997) Oxidation of methamphetamine and methylenedioxymethamphetamine by CYP2D6. *Drug Metab Dispos* **25**:1059-1064.
- Liu C, Russell RM and Wang XD (2003) Exposing ferrets to cigarette smoke and a pharmacological dose of beta-carotene supplementation enhance in vitro retinoic acid catabolism in lungs via induction of cytochrome P450 enzymes. *J Nutr* **133:**173-179.
- Liu J, Ericksen SS, Sivaneri M, Besspiata D, Fisher CW and Szklarz GD (2004) The effect of reciprocal active site mutations in human cytochromes P450 1A1 and 1A2 on alkoxyresorufin metabolism. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **424:**33-43.
- Llerena A, Berecz R, Dorado P, Gonzalez AP, Penas LEM and De La Rubia A (2003) CYP2C9 gene and susceptibility to major depressive disorder. *Pharmacogenomics J* **3:**300-302.
- Llerena A, Cobaleda J, Martinez C and Benitez J (1996) Interethnic differences in drug metabolism: influence of genetic and environmental factors on debrisoquine hydroxylation phenotype. *Eur J Drug Metab Pharmacokinet* **21**:129-138.
- Llerena A, Dorado P, Berecz R, Gonzalez AP and Penas LEM (2004a) Effect of CYP2D6 and CYP2C9 genotypes on fluoxetine and norfluoxetine plasma concentrations during steady-state conditions. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **59**:869-873.
- Llerena A, Dorado P, O'Kirwan F, Jepson R, Licinio J and Wong ML (2004b) Lower frequency of CYP2C9\*2 in Mexican-Americans compared to Spaniards. *Pharmacogenomics J* **4**:403-406.
- Lobo ED, Bergstrom RF, Reddy S, Quinlan T, Chappell J, Hong Q, Ring B and Knadler MP (2008) In vitro and in vivo evaluations of cytochrome P450 1A2 interactions with duloxetine. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **47:**191-202.
- Loebstein R, Yonath H, Peleg D, Almog S, Rotenberg M, Lubetsky A, Roitelman J, Harats D, Halkin H and Ezra D (2001) Interindividual variability in sensitivity to warfarin--Nature or nurture? *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **70**:159-164.
- London SJ, Daly AK, Leathart JBS, Navidi WC and Idle JR (1996) Lung cancer risk in relation to the CYP2C9<sup>\*</sup>1/CYP2C9<sup>\*</sup>2 genetic polymorphism among

African-Americans and Caucasians in Los Angeles county, California. *Pharmacogenetics* **6**:527-533.

- Lord GM, Cook T, Arlt VM, Schmeiser HH, Williams G and Pusey CD (2001) Urothelial malignant disease and Chinese herbal nephropathy. *Lancet* **358**:1515-1516.
- Lord GM, Hollstein M, Arlt VM, Roufosse C, Pusey CD, Cook T and Schmeiser HH (2004) DNA adducts and p53 mutations in a patient with aristolochic acid-associated nephropathy. *Am J Kidney Dis* **43**:e11-17.
- Lovlie R, Daly AK, Idle JR and Steen VM (1997) Characterization of the 16+9 kb and 30+9 kb CYP2D6 XbaI haplotypes. *Pharmacogenetics* **7:**149-152.
- Lozano JJ, Lopez-de-Brinas E, Centeno NB, Guigo R and Sanz F (1997) Three-dimensional modelling of human cytochrome P450 1A2 and its interaction with caffeine and MeIQ. *J Comput Aided Mol Des* **11:**395-408.
- Lozano JJ, Pastor M, Cruciani G, Gaedt K, Centeno NB, Gago F and Sanz F (2000) 3D-QSAR methods on the basis of ligand-receptor complexes. Application of COMBINE and GRID/GOLPE methodologies to a series of CYP1A2 ligands. J Comput Aided Mol Des 14:341-353.
- Lu P, Schrag ML, Slaughter DE, Raab CE, Shou M and Rodrigues AD (2003) Mechanism-based inhibition of human liver microsomal cytochrome P450 1A2 by zileuton, a 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor. *Drug Metab Dispos* **31:**1352-1360.
- Lucas D, Ferrara R, Gonzalez E, Bodenez P, Albores A, Manno M and Berthou F (1999) Chlorzoxazone, a selective probe for phenotyping CYP2E1 in humans. *Pharmacogenetics* **9:**377-388.
- Luch A (2005) Nature and nurture lessons from chemical carcinogenesis. *Nat Rev Cancer* **5:**113-125.
- Lurie G, Maskarinec G, Kaaks R, Stanczyk FZ and Le Marchand L (2005) Association of genetic polymorphisms with serum estrogens measured multiple times during a 2-year period in premenopausal women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 14:1521-1527.
- Lynch T and Price A (2007) The effect of cytochrome P450 metabolism on drug response, interactions, and adverse effects. *Am Fam Physician* **76**:391-396.
- Ma Q (2001) Induction of CYP1A1. The AhR/DRE paradigm: transcription, receptor regulation, and expanding biological roles. *Curr Drug Metab* 2:149-164.
- Ma Q and Lu AY (2007) CYP1A induction and human risk assessment: an evolving tale of in vitro and in vivo studies. *Drug Metab Dispos* **35**:1009-1016.
- Machinist JM, Mayer MD, Shet MS, Ferrero JL and Rodrigues AD (1995) Identification of the human liver cytochrome P450 enzymes involved in the metabolism of zileuton (ABT-077) and its N-dehydroxylated metabolite, Abbott-66193. *Drug Metab Dispos* 23:1163-1174.

- MacLennan AH, Myers SP and Taylor AW (2006) The continuing use of complementary and alternative medicine in South Australia: costs and beliefs in 2004. *Med J Aust* **184:**27-31.
- Madan A, Graham RA, Carroll KM, Mudra DR, Burton LA, Krueger LA, Downey AD, Czerwinski M, Forster J, Ribadeneira MD, Gan LS, LeCluyse EL, Zech K, Robertson P, Jr., Koch P, Antonian L, Wagner G, Yu L and Parkinson A (2003) Effects of prototypical microsomal enzyme inducers on cytochrome P450 expression in cultured human hepatocytes. *Drug Metab Dispos* 31:421-431.
- Madan A, Parkinson A and Faiman MD (1998) Identification of the human P-450 enzymes responsible for the sulfoxidation and thiono-oxidation of diethyldithiocarbamate methyl ester: role of P-450 enzymes in disulfiram bioactivation. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* **22**:1212-1219.
- Madani S, Paine MF, Lewis L, Thummel KE and Shen DD (1999) Comparison of CYP2D6 content and metoprolol oxidation between microsomes isolated from human livers and small intestines. *Pharm Res* **16**:1199-1205.
- Maekawa K, Fukushima-Uesaka H, Tohkin M, Hasegawa R, Kajio H, Kuzuya N, Yasuda K, Kawamoto M, Kamatani N, Suzuki K, Yanagawa T, Saito Y and Sawada J (2006) Four novel defective alleles and comprehensive haplotype analysis of CYP2C9 in Japanese. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* **16**:497-514.
- Mahgoub A, Idle JR, Dring LG, Lancaster R and Smith RL (1977) Polymorphic hydroxylation of Debrisoquine in man. *Lancet* **2:**584-586.
- Mai I, Kruger H, Budde K, Johne A, Brockmoller J, Neumayer HH and Roots I (2000) Hazardous pharmacokinetic interaction of Saint John's wort (Hypericum perforatum) with the immunosuppressant cyclosporin. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther* **38**:500-502.
- Mai I, Stormer E, Bauer S, Kruger H, Budde K and Roots I (2003) Impact of St John's wort treatment on the pharmacokinetics of tacrolimus and mycophenolic acid in renal transplant patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* **18**:819-822.
- Mamiya K, Ieiri I, Shimamoto J, Yukawa E, Imai J, Ninomiya H, Yamada H, Otsubo K, Higuchi S and Tashiro N (1998) The effects of genetic polymorphisms of CYP2C9 and CYP2C19 on phenytoin metabolism in Japanese adult patients with epilepsy: studies in stereoselective hydroxylation and population pharmacokinetics. *Epilepsia* 39:1317-1323.
- Mancy A, Dijols S, Poli S, Guengerich P and Mansuy D (1996) Interaction of sulfaphenazole derivatives with human liver cytochromes P450 2C: molecular origin of the specific inhibitory effects of sulfaphenazole on CYP 2C9 and consequences for the substrate binding site topology of CYP 2C9. *Biochemistry* 35:16205-16212.
- Mandal PK (2005) Dioxin: a review of its environmental effects and its aryl hydrocarbon receptor biology. *J Comp Physiol* [B] **175:**221-230.

- Marez-Allorge D, Ellis SW, Lo Guidice JM, Tucker GT and Broly F (1999) A rare G2061 insertion affecting the open reading frame of CYP2D6 and responsible for the poor metabolizer phenotype. *Pharmacogenetics* **9**:393-396.
- Marez D, Legrand M, Sabbagh N, Guidice JM, Spire C, Lafitte JJ, Meyer UA and Broly F (1997) Polymorphism of the cytochrome P450 CYP2D6 gene in a European population: characterization of 48 mutations and 53 alleles, their frequencies and evolution. *Pharmacogenetics* **7:**193-202.
- Marez D, Legrand M, Sabbagh N, Lo-Guidice JM, Boone P and Broly F (1996) An additional allelic variant of the CYP2D6 gene causing impaired metabolism of sparteine. *Hum Genet* **97:**668-670.
- Marez D, Sabbagh N, Legrand M, Lo-Guidice JM, Boone P and Broly F (1995) A novel CYP2D6 allele with an abolished splice recognition site associated with the poor metabolizer phenotype. *Pharmacogenetics* **5**:305-311.
- Marill J, Cresteil T, Lanotte M and Chabot GG (2000) Identification of human cytochrome P450s involved in the formation of all-trans-retinoic acid principal metabolites. *Mol Pharmacol* **58**:1341-1348.
- Markowitz JS, Devane CL, Chavin KD, Taylor RM, Ruan Y and Donovan JL (2003) Effects of garlic (Allium sativum L.) supplementation on cytochrome P450 2D6 and 3A4 activity in healthy volunteers. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **74:**170-177.
- Marshall CJ, Vousden KH and Phillips DH (1984) Activation of c-Ha-ras-1 proto-oncogene by in vitro modification with a chemical carcinogen, benzo(a)pyrene diol-epoxide. *Nature* **310**:586-589.
- Martinez C, Albet C, Agundez JA, Herrero E, Carrillo JA, Marquez M, Benitez J and Ortiz JA (1999) Comparative in vitro and in vivo inhibition of cytochrome P450 CYP1A2, CYP2D6, and CYP3A by H2-receptor antagonists. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 65:369-376.
- Martinez C, Garcia-Martin E, Blanco G, Gamito FJ, Ladero JM and Agundez JA (2005) The effect of the cytochrome P450 CYP2C8 polymorphism on the disposition of (R)-ibuprofen enantiomer in healthy subjects. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **59**:62-69.
- Mas S, Crescenti A, Vidal-Taboada JM, Bergonon S, Cuevillas F, Laso N, Molina R, Ballesta A and Lafuente A (2005) Simultaneous genotyping of CYP2C9\*2, \*3, and 5' flanking region (C-1189T) polymorphisms in a Spanish population through a new minisequencing multiplex single-base extension analysis. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 61:635-641.
- Masimirembwa C, Persson I, Bertilsson L, Hasler J and Ingelman-Sundberg M (1996) A novel mutant variant of the CYP2D6 gene (CYP2D6\*17) common in a black African population: association with diminished debrisoquine hydroxylase activity. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **42:**713-719.
- Masimirembwa CM, Thompson R and TB A (2001) In vitro high throughput screening of compounds for favorable metabolic properties in drug discovery. *Combinatorial Chem High Throughput Screening* **4:**245-263.

- Mast N, White MA, Bjorkhem I, Johnson EF, Stout CD and Pikuleva IA (2008) Crystal structures of substrate-bound and substrate-free cytochrome P450 46A1, the principal cholesterol hydroxylase in the brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105:**9546-9551.
- Masubuchi N, Li AP and Okazaki O (1998) An evaluation of the cytochrome P450 induction potential of pantoprazole in primary human hepatocytes. *Chem Biol Interact* **114:**1-13.
- Masubuchi Y and Horie T (1998) Dihydralazine-induced inactivation of cytochrome P450 enzymes in rat liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **26**:338-342.
- Masubuchi Y, Hosokawa S, Horie T, Suzuki T, Ohmori S, Kitada M and Narimatsu S (1994) Cytochrome P450 isozymes involved in propranolol metabolism in human liver microsomes. The role of CYP2D6 as ring-hydroxylase and CYP1A2 as N-desisopropylase. *Drug Metab Dispos* **22**:909-915.
- Masubuchi Y, Nakano T, Ose A and Horie T (2001) Differential selectivity in carbamazepine-induced inactivation of cytochrome P450 enzymes in rat and human liver. *Arch Toxicol* **75**:538-543.
- Mathijssen RH, Verweij J, de Bruijn P, Loos WJ and Sparreboom A (2002) Effects of St. John's wort on irinotecan metabolism. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **94**:1247-1249.
- Matic M, Mahns A, Tsoli M, Corradin A, Polly P and Robertson GR (2007) Pregnane X receptor: promiscuous regulator of detoxification pathways. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* **39:**478-483.
- Matsumoto S and Yamazoe Y (2001) Involvement of multiple human cytochromes P450 in the liver microsomal metabolism of astemizole and a comparison with terfenadine. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **51:**133-142.
- Matsunaga M, Yamazaki H, Kiyotani K, Iwano S, Saruwatari J, Nakagawa K, Soyama A, Ozawa S, Sawada JI, Kashiyama E, Kinoshita M and Kamataki T (2009) Two novel CYP2D6\*10 haplotypes as possible causes of a poor metabolic phenotype in Japanese. *Drug Metab Dispos*.
- Matthews MK, Jr. (1998) Association of Ginkgo biloba with intracerebral hemorrhage. *Neurology* **50**:1933-1934.
- Maurer A, Johne A and Bauer S (1999) Interaction of St. John's wort extract with phenprocoumon. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **55:**A22.
- McDonnell WM, Chensue SW, Askari FK and Moseley RH (1996) Hepatic fibrosis in Ahr-/- mice. *Science* 271:223-224.
- McEnroe JD and Fleishaker JC (2005) Clinical pharmacokinetics of almotriptan, a serotonin 5-HT(1B/1D) receptor agonist for the treatment of migraine. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **44:**237-246.

- McKinnon RA, Burgess WM, Hall PM, Roberts-Thomson SJ, Gonzalez FJ and McManus ME (1995) Characterisation of CYP3A gene subfamily expression in human gastrointestinal tissues. *Gut* **36**:259-267.
- McLaughlin LA, Paine MJ, Kemp CA, Marechal JD, Flanagan JU, Ward CJ, Sutcliffe MJ, Roberts GC and Wolf CR (2005) Why is quinidine an inhibitor of cytochrome P450 2D6? The role of key active-site residues in quinidine binding. *J Biol Chem* 280:38617-38624.
- McLelland J and Jack W (1978) Phenytoin/dexamethasone interaction: A clinical problem. *Lancet* 1:1096-1097.
- McLeod HL (2001) Pharmacogenetics: more than skin deep. Nat Genet 29:247-248.
- McLeod HL and Evans WE (2001) Pharmacogenomics: unlocking the human genome for better drug therapy. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **41**:101-121.
- McLeod JF (2004) Clinical pharmacokinetics of nateglinide: a rapidly-absorbed, short-acting insulinotropic agent. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **43**:97-120.
- McRae S (1996) Elevated serum digoxin levels in a patient taking digoxin and Siberian ginseng. *Cmaj* **155:**293-295.
- Meech R and Mackenzie PI (1997) Structure and function of uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferases. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol* **24**:907-915.
- Mei N, Arlt VM, Phillips DH, Heflich RH and Chen T (2006) DNA adduct formation and mutation induction by aristolochic acid in rat kidney and liver. *Mutat Res* **602:**83-91.
- Meisel C, Johne A and Roots I (2003) Fatal intracerebral mass bleeding associated with Ginkgo biloba and ibuprofen. *Atherosclerosis* **167:**367.
- Melet A, Marques-Soares C, Schoch GA, Macherey AC, Jaouen M, Dansette PM, Sari MA, Johnson EF and Mansuy D (2004) Analysis of human cytochrome P450 2C8 substrate specificity using a substrate pharmacophore and site-directed mutants. *Biochemistry* 43:15379-15392.
- Melkersson KI, Scordo MG, Gunes A and Dahl ML (2007) Impact of CYP1A2 and CYP2D6 polymorphisms on drug metabolism and on insulin and lipid elevations and insulin resistance in clozapine-treated patients. *J Clin Psychiatry* **68**:697-704.
- Mellstrom B and von Bahr C (1981) Demethylation and hydroxylation of amitriptyline, nortriptyline, and 10-hydroxyamitriptyline in human liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **9**:565-568.
- Mendel DB and Crabtree GR (1991) HNF-1, a member of a novel class of dimerizing homeodomain proteins. *J Biol Chem* **266**:677-680.
- Miao L, Yang J, Huang C and Shen Z (2007) Contribution of age, body weight, and CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genotype to the anticoagulant response to warfarin:

proposal for a new dosing regimen in Chinese patients. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **63:**1135-1141.

- Mikhailova ON, Gulyaeva LF, Prudnikov AV, Gerasimov AV and Krasilnikov SE (2006) Estrogen-metabolizing gene polymorphisms in the assessment of female hormone-dependent cancer risk. *Pharmacogenomics J* **6**:189-193.
- Miksys S, Rao Y, Hoffmann E, Mash DC and Tyndale RF (2002) Regional and cellular expression of CYP2D6 in human brain: higher levels in alcoholics. *J Neurochem* **82:**1376-1387.
- Miles JS, Bickmore W, Brook JD, McLaren AW, Meehan R and Wolf CR (1989) Close linkage of the human cytochrome P450IIA and P450IIB gene subfamilies: implications for the assignment of substrate specificity. *Nucleic Acids Res* 17:2907-2917.
- Miller LG (1998) Herbal medicinals: selected clinical considerations focusing on known or potential drug-herb interactions. *Arch Intern Med* **158**:2200-2211.
- Miller RG, Mitchell JD, Lyon M and Moore DH (2007) Riluzole for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS)/motor neuron disease (MND). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*:CD001447.
- Mills E, Montori VM, Wu P, Gallicano K, Clarke M and Guyatt G (2004) Interaction of St John's wort with conventional drugs: systematic review of clinical trials. *BMJ* 329:27-30.
- Mimura J, Ema M, Sogawa K and Fujii-Kuriyama Y (1999) Identification of a novel mechanism of regulation of Ah (dioxin) receptor function. *Genes Dev* 13:20-25.
- Miners JO and Birkett DJ (1998) Cytochrome P4502C9: an enzyme of major importance in human drug metabolism. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **45**:525-538.
- Miners JO, Coulter S, Tukey RH, Veronese ME and Birkett DJ (1996) Cytochromes P450, 1A2, and 2C9 are responsible for the human hepatic O-demethylation of R- and S-naproxen. *Biochem Pharmacol* **51**:1003-1008.
- Mitsunaga Y, Kubota T, Ishiguro A, Yamada Y, Sasaki H, Chiba K and Iga T (2002) Frequent occurrence of CYP2D6\*10 duplication allele in a Japanese population. *Mutat Res* **505:**83-85.
- Miyata M, Tamura E, Motoki K, Nagata K and Yamazoe Y (2003) Thalidomide-induced suppression of embryo fibroblast proliferation requires CYP1A1-mediated activation. *Drug Metab Dispos* **31:**469-475.
- Miyazawa M and Gyoubu K (2007a) Metabolism of (-)-fenchone by CYP2A6 and CYP2B6 in human liver microsomes. *Xenobiotica* **37**:194-204.
- Miyazawa M and Gyoubu K (2007b) Roles of human CYP2A6 and rat CYP2B1 in the oxidation of (+)-fenchol by liver microsomes. *Xenobiotica* **37**:943-953.

- Miyazawa M, Sugie A and Shimada T (2003) Roles of human CYP2A6 and 2B6 and rat CYP2C11 and 2B1 in the 10-hydroxylation of (-)-verbenone by liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **31:**1049-1053.
- Modi S, Gilham DE, Sutcliffe MJ, Lian LY, Primrose WU, Wolf CR and Roberts GC (1997) 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine as a substrate of cytochrome P450 2D6: allosteric effects of NADPH-cytochrome P450 reductase. *Biochemistry* 36:4461-4470.
- Momary KM, Shapiro NL, Viana MA, Nutescu EA, Helgason CM and Cavallari LH (2007) Factors influencing warfarin dose requirements in African-Americans. *Pharmacogenomics* **8**:1535-1544.
- Moore DD, Kato S, Xie W, Mangelsdorf DJ, Schmidt DR, Xiao R and Kliewer SA (2006) International Union of Pharmacology. LXII. The NR1H and NR1I receptors: constitutive androstane receptor, pregnene X receptor, farnesoid X receptor alpha, farnesoid X receptor beta, liver X receptor alpha, liver X receptor beta, and vitamin D receptor. *Pharmacol Rev* **58**:742-759.
- Moore LB, Goodwin B, Jones SA, Wisely GB, Serabjit-Singh CJ, Willson TM, Collins JL and Kliewer SA (2000a) St. John's wort induces hepatic drug metabolism through activation of the pregnane X receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **97**:7500-7502.
- Moore LB, Maglich JM, McKee DD, Wisely B, Willson TM, Kliewer SA, Lambert MH and Moore JT (2002) Pregnane X receptor (PXR), constitutive androstane receptor (CAR), and benzoate X receptor (BXR) define three pharmacologically distinct classes of nuclear receptors. *Mol Endocrinol* **16**:977-986.
- Moore LB, Parks DJ, Jones SA, Bledsoe RK, Consler TG, Stimmel JB, Goodwin B, Liddle C, Blanchard SG, Willson TM, Collins JL and Kliewer SA (2000b) Orphan nuclear receptors constitutive androstane receptor and pregnane X receptor share xenobiotic and steroid ligands. *J Biol Chem* 275:15122-15127.
- Moschella C and Jaber BL (2001) Interaction between cyclosporine and Hypericum perforatum (St. John's wort) after organ transplantation. *Am J Kidney Dis* **38**:1105-1107.
- Mu Y, Zhang J, Zhang S, Zhou HH, Toma D, Ren S, Huang L, Yaramus M, Baum A, Venkataramanan R and Xie W (2006) Traditional Chinese medicines Wu Wei Zi (Schisandra chinensis Baill) and Gan Cao (Glycyrrhiza uralensis Fisch) activate pregnane X receptor and increase warfarin clearance in rats. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **316:**1369-1377.
- Mueller SC, Majcher-Peszynska J, Uehleke B, Klammt S, Mundkowski RG, Miekisch W, Sievers H, Bauer S, Frank B, Kundt G and Drewelow B (2006) The extent of induction of CYP3A by St. John's wort varies among products and is linked to hyperforin dose. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **62**:29-36.
- Mueller SC, Uehleke B, Woehling H, Petzsch M, Majcher-Peszynska J, Hehl EM, Sievers H, Frank B, Riethling AK and Drewelow B (2004) Effect of St John's wort dose and preparations on the pharmacokinetics of digoxin. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **75**:546-557.

- Mullins ME, Horowitz BZ, Linden DH, Smith GW, Norton RL and Stump J (1998) Life-threatening interaction of mibefradil and beta-blockers with dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers. *Jama* **280**:157-158.
- Murayama N, Soyama A, Saito Y, Nakajima Y, Komamura K, Ueno K, Kamakura S, Kitakaze M, Kimura H, Goto Y, Saitoh O, Katoh M, Ohnuma T, Kawai M, Sugai K, Ohtsuki T, Suzuki C, Minami N, Ozawa S and Sawada J (2004) Six novel nonsynonymous CYP1A2 gene polymorphisms: catalytic activities of the naturally occurring variant enzymes. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 308:300-306.
- Murphy MP, Beaman ME, Clark LS, Cayouette M, Benson L, Morris DM and Polli JW (2000) Prospective CYP2D6 genotyping as an exclusion criterion for enrollment of a phase III clinical trial. *Pharmacogenetics* **10**:583-590.
- Murphy PA, Kern SE, Stanczyk FZ and Westhoff CL (2005) Interaction of St. John's Wort with oral contraceptives: effects on the pharmacokinetics of norethindrone and ethinyl estradiol, ovarian activity and breakthrough bleeding. *Contraception* 71:402-408.
- Nagai T, Suzuki Y, Tomimori T and Yamada H (1995) Antiviral activity of plant flavonoids. *Biol Pharm Bull* **18:**295-302.
- Nakajima M, Kobayashi K, Shimada N, Tokudome S, Yamamoto T and Kuroiwa Y (1998) Involvement of CYP1A2 in mexiletine metabolism. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **46:**55-62.
- Nakajima M, Nakamura S, Tokudome S, Shimada N, Yamazaki H and Yokoi T (1999a) Azelastine N-demethylation by cytochrome P-450 (CYP)3A4, CYP2D6, and CYP1A2 in human liver microsomes: evaluation of approach to predict the contribution of multiple CYPs. *Drug Metab Dispos* **27**:1381-1391.
- Nakajima M, Yokoi T, Mizutani M, Kinoshita M, Funayama M and Kamataki T (1999b) Genetic polymorphism in the 5'-flanking region of human CYP1A2 gene: effect on the CYP1A2 inducibility in humans. *J Biochem* **125**:803-808.
- Nakajima M, Yokoi T, Mizutani M, Shin S, Kadlubar FF and Kamataki T (1994) Phenotyping of CYP1A2 in Japanese population by analysis of caffeine urinary metabolites: absence of mutation prescribing the phenotype in the CYP1A2 gene. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **3**:413-421.
- Nakajima M, Yoshida R, Shimada N, Yamazaki H and Yokoi T (2001) Inhibition and inactivation of human cytochrome P450 isoforms by phenethyl isothiocyanate. *Drug Metab Dispos* **29:**1110-1113.
- Nakamura K, Yokoi T, Inoue K, Shimada N, Ohashi N, Kume T and Kamataki T (1996) CYP2D6 is the principal cytochrome P450 responsible for metabolism of the histamine H1 antagonist promethazine in human liver microsomes. *Pharmacogenetics* **6**:449-457.
- Nakamura K, Yokoi T, Kodama T, Inoue K, Nagashima K, Shimada N, Shimizu T and Kamataki T (1998) Oxidation of histamine H1 antagonist mequitazine is catalyzed by cytochrome P450 2D6 in human liver microsomes. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **284:**437-442.

- Narimatsu S, Kariya S, Isozaki S, Ohmori S, Kitada M, Hosokawa S, Masubuchi Y and Suzuki T (1993) Involvement of CYP2D6 in oxidative metabolism of cinnarizine and flunarizine in human liver microsomes. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 193:1262-1268.
- Narimatsu S, Tachibana M, Masubuchi Y and Suzuki T (1996) Cytochrome P4502D and -2C enzymes catalyze the oxidative N-demethylation of the parkinsonism-inducing substance 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine in rat liver microsomes. *Chem Res Toxicol* **9**:93-98.
- Nasu K, Kubota T and Ishizaki T (1997) Genetic analysis of CYP2C9 polymorphism in a Japanese population. *Pharmacogenetics* **7:**405-409.
- Nebel A, Schneider BJ, Baker RK and Kroll DJ (1999) Potential metabolic interaction between St. John's wort and theophylline. *Ann Pharmacother* **33:**502.
- Nebert DW and Russell DW (2002) Clinical importance of the cytochromes P450. *Lancet* **360**:1155-1162.
- Ngow H, Teh LK, Langmia IM, Lee WL, Harun R, Ismail R and Salleh MZ (2008) Role of pharmacodiagnostic of CYP2C9 variants in the optimization of warfarin therapy in Malaysia: a 6-month follow-up study. *Xenobiotica* **38**:641-651.
- Nielsen KK, Flinois JP, Beaune P and Brosen K (1996) The biotransformation of clomipramine in vitro, identification of the cytochrome P450s responsible for the separate metabolic pathways. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **277**:1659-1664.
- Niemi M, Backman JT, Granfors M, Laitila J, Neuvonen M and Neuvonen PJ (2003a) Gemfibrozil considerably increases the plasma concentrations of rosiglitazone. *Diabetologia* **46:**1319-1323.
- Niemi M, Backman JT, Neuvonen M and Neuvonen PJ (2003b) Effects of gemfibrozil, itraconazole, and their combination on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of repaglinide: potentially hazardous interaction between gemfibrozil and repaglinide. *Diabetologia* **46**:347-351.
- Niemi M, Kajosaari LI, Neuvonen M, Backman JT and Neuvonen PJ (2004) The CYP2C8 inhibitor trimethoprim increases the plasma concentrations of repaglinide in healthy subjects. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **57:**441-447.
- Niemi M, Tornio A, Pasanen MK, Fredrikson H, Neuvonen PJ and Backman JT (2006) Itraconazole, gemfibrozil and their combination markedly raise the plasma concentrations of loperamide. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **62:**463-472.
- Nishimura M, Yaguti H, Yoshitsugu H, Naito S and Satoh T (2003) Tissue distribution of mRNA expression of human cytochrome P450 isoforms assessed by high-sensitivity real-time reverse transcription PCR. *Yakugaku Zasshi* **123**:369-375.
- Niwa T, Inoue-Yamamoto S, Shiraga T and Takagi A (2005) Effect of antifungal drugs on cytochrome P450 (CYP) 1A2, CYP2D6, and CYP2E1 activities in human liver microsomes. *Biol Pharm Bull* **28**:1813-1816.

- Niwa T, Sato R, Yabusaki Y, Ishibashi F and Katagiri M (1999) Contribution of human hepatic cytochrome P450s and steroidogenic CYP17 to the N-demethylation of aminopyrine. *Xenobiotica* **29:**187-193.
- Nordmark A, Lundgren S, Ask B, Granath F and Rane A (2002) The effect of the CYP1A2 \*1F mutation on CYP1A2 inducibility in pregnant women. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **54**:504-510.
- Norinder U (2005) In silico modelling of ADMET-a minireview of work from 2000 to 2004. SAR QSAR Environ Res 16:1-11.
- Nortier JL, Martinez MC, Schmeiser HH, Arlt VM, Bieler CA, Petein M, Depierreux MF, De Pauw L, Abramowicz D, Vereerstraeten P and Vanherweghem JL (2000) Urothelial carcinoma associated with the use of a Chinese herb (Aristolochia fangchi). *N Engl J Med* **342:**1686-1692.
- Nortier JL, Schmeiser HH, Muniz Martinez MC, Arlt VM, Vervaet C, Garbar CH, Daelemans P and Vanherweghem JL (2003) Invasive urothelial carcinoma after exposure to Chinese herbal medicine containing aristolochic acid may occur without severe renal failure. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* **18:**426-428.
- Notarianni LJ, Oliver SE, Dobrocky P, Bennett PN and Silverman BW (1995) Caffeine as a metabolic probe: a comparison of the metabolic ratios used to assess CYP1A2 activity. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **39:**65-69.
- O'Reilly RA (1980) Stereoselective interaction of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole with the separated enantiomorphs of racemic warfarin in man. *N Engl J Med* **302**:33-35.
- Obach RS (2000a) Inhibition of human cytochrome P450 enzymes by constituents of St. John's Wort, an herbal preparation used in the treatment of depression. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **294:**88-95.
- Obach RS (2000b) Metabolism of ezlopitant, a nonpeptidic substance P receptor antagonist, in liver microsomes: enzyme kinetics, cytochrome P450 isoform identity, and in vitro-in vivo correlation. *Drug Metab Dispos* **28**:1069-1076.
- Obach RS (2000c) Metabolism of ezlopitant, a nonpeptidic substance P receptor antagonist, in liver microsomes: enzyme kinetics, cytochrome P450 isoform identity, and in vitro-in vivo correlation. *Drug Metab Dispos* **28**:1069-1076.
- Oda A, Yamaotsu N and Hirono S (2004) Studies of binding modes of (S)-mephenytoin to wild types and mutants of cytochrome P450 2C19 and 2C9 using homology modeling and computational docking. *Pharm Res* **21**:2270-2278.
- Oda Y, Furuichi K, Tanaka K, Hiroi T, Imaoka S, Asada A, Fujimori M and Funae Y (1995) Metabolism of a new local anesthetic, ropivacaine, by human hepatic cytochrome P450. *Anesthesiology* **82:**214-220.
- Oda Y, Hamaoka N, Hiroi T, Imaoka S, Hase I, Tanaka K, Funae Y, Ishizaki T and Asada A (2001) Involvement of human liver cytochrome P4502B6 in the metabolism of propofol. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **51:**281-285.

- Odani A, Hashimoto Y, Otsuki Y, Uwai Y, Hattori H, Furusho K and Inui K (1997) Genetic polymorphism of the CYP2C subfamily and its effect on the pharmacokinetics of phenytoin in Japanese patients with epilepsy. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **62**:287-292.
- Ogiso H, Kagi N, Matsumoto E, Nishimoto M, Arai R, Shirouzu M, Mimura J, Fujii-Kuriyama Y and Yokoyama S (2004) Phosphorylation analysis of 90 kDa heat shock protein within the cytosolic arylhydrocarbon receptor complex. *Biochemistry* 43:15510-15519.
- Ohta S, Tachikawa O, Makino Y, Tasaki Y and Hirobe M (1990) Metabolism and brain accumulation of tetrahydroisoquinoline (TIQ) a possible parkinsonism inducing substance, in an animal model of a poor debrisoquine metabolizer. *Life Sci* **46**:599-605.
- Ohyama K, Nakajima M, Nakamura S, Shimada N, Yamazaki H and Yokoi T (2000a) A significant role of human cytochrome P4502C8 in amiodarone N-deethylation: An approach to predict the contribution with relative activity factor. *Drug Metab Dispos* 28:1303-1310.
- Ohyama K, Nakajima M, Suzuki M, Shimada N, Yamazaki H and Yokoi T (2000b) Inhibitory effects of amiodarone and its N-deethylated metabolite on human cytochrome P450 activities: prediction of in vivo drug interactions. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **49:**244-253.
- Okey AB, Vella LM and Harper PA (1989) Detection and characterization of a low affinity form of cytosolic Ah receptor in livers of mice nonresponsive to induction of cytochrome P1-450 by 3-methylcholanthrene. *Mol Pharmacol* **35**:823-830.
- Oldham HG and Clarke SE (1997) In vitro identification of the human cytochrome P450 enzymes involved in the metabolism of R(+)- and S(-)-carvedilol. *Drug Metab Dispos* **25**:970-977.
- Olesen OV and Linnet K (1997) Hydroxylation and demethylation of the tricyclic antidepressant nortriptyline by cDNA-expressed human cytochrome P-450 isozymes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **25:**740-744.
- Olinga P, Merema MT, Hof IH, De Jager MH, De Jong KP, Slooff MJ, Meijer DK and Groothuis GM (1998) Effect of cold and warm ischaemia on drug metabolism in isolated hepatocytes and slices from human and monkey liver. *Xenobiotica* **28**:349-360.
- Oliveira E, Marsh S, van Booven DJ, Amorim A, Prata MJ and McLeod HL (2007) Pharmacogenetically relevant polymorphisms in Portugal. *Pharmacogenomics* **8:**703-712.
- Omura T and Sato R (1964) The Carbon Monoxide-Binding Pigment of Liver Microsomes. I. Evidence for Its Hemoprotein Nature. *J Biol Chem* **239:**2370-2378.
- Oner Ozgon G, Langaee TY, Feng H, Buyru N, Ulutin T, Hatemi AC, Siva A, Saip S and Johnson JA (2008) VKORC1 and CYP2C9 polymorphisms are associated with warfarin dose requirements in Turkish patients. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **64**:889-894.

- Ono S, Hatanaka T, Miyazawa S, Tsutsui M, Aoyama T, Gonzalez FJ and Satoh T (1996) Human liver microsomal diazepam metabolism using cDNA-expressed cytochrome P450s: role of CYP2B6, 2C19 and the 3A subfamily. *Xenobiotica* **26**:1155-1166.
- Orlando R, Piccoli P, De Martin S, Padrini R, Floreani M and Palatini P (2004) Cytochrome P450 1A2 is a major determinant of lidocaine metabolism in vivo: effects of liver function. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **75:**80-88.
- Osawa Y, Osawa KK, Miyaishi A, Higuchi M, Tsutou A, Matsumura S, Tabuchi Y, Tsubota N and Takahashi J (2007) NAT2 and CYP1A2 polymorphisms and lung cancer risk in relation to smoking status. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* **8:**103-108.
- Oscarson M, Hidestrand M, Johansson I and Ingelman-Sundberg M (1997) A combination of mutations in the CYP2D6\*17 (CYP2D6Z) allele causes alterations in enzyme function. *Mol Pharmacol* **52**:1034-1040.
- Otake Y and Walle T (2002) Oxidation of the flavonoids galangin and kaempferide by human liver microsomes and CYP1A1, CYP1A2, and CYP2C9. *Drug Metab Dispos* **30:**103-105.
- Otton SV, Crewe HK, Lennard MS, Tucker GT and Woods HF (1988) Use of quinidine inhibition to define the role of the sparteine/debrisoquine cytochrome P450 in metoprolol oxidation by human liver microsomes. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **247:**242-247.
- Ou-Yang DS, Huang SL, Wang W, Xie HG, Xu ZH, Shu Y and Zhou HH (2000) Phenotypic polymorphism and gender-related differences of CYP1A2 activity in a Chinese population. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **49**:145-151.
- Ozdemir V, Kalow W, Okey AB, Lam MS, Albers LJ, Reist C, Fourie J, Posner P, Collins EJ and Roy R (2001) Treatment-resistance to clozapine in association with ultrarapid CYP1A2 activity and the C-->A polymorphism in intron 1 of the CYP1A2 gene: effect of grapefruit juice and low-dose fluvoxamine. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* **21**:603-607.
- Ozdemir V, Naranjo CA, Herrmann N, Reed K, Sellers EM and Kalow W (1997) Paroxetine potentiates the central nervous system side effects of perphenazine: contribution of cytochrome P4502D6 inhibition in vivo. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **62:**334-347.
- Page RL, 2nd and Lawrence JD (1999) Potentiation of warfarin by dong quai. *Pharmacotherapy* **19:**870-876.
- Paine MF, Hart HL, Ludington SS, Haining RL, Rettie AE and Zeldin DC (2006) The human intestinal cytochrome P450 "pie". *Drug Metab Dispos* **34:**880-886.
- Paine MF, Schmiedlin-Ren P and Watkins PB (1999) Cytochrome P-450 1A1 expression in human small bowel: interindividual variation and inhibition by ketoconazole. *Drug Metab Dispos* 27:360-364.

- Paine MF, Shen DD, Kunze KL, Perkins JD, Marsh CL, McVicar JP, Barr DM, Gillies BS and Thummel KE (1996) First-pass metabolism of midazolam by the human intestine. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **60:**14-24.
- Palmer JL, Scott RJ, Gibson A, Dickins M and Pleasance S (2001) An interaction between the cytochrome P450 probe substrates chlorzoxazone (CYP2E1) and midazolam (CYP3A). *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **52**:555-561.
- Panserat S, Mura C, Gerard N, Vincent-Viry M, Galteau MM, Jacoz-Aigrain E and Krishnamoorthy R (1995) An unequal cross-over event within the CYP2D gene cluster generates a chimeric CYP2D7/CYP2D6 gene which is associated with the poor metabolizer phenotype. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **40**:361-367.
- Panserat S, Mura C, Gerard N, Vincent-Viry M, Galteau MM, Jacqz-Aigrain E and Krishnamoorthy R (1994) DNA haplotype-dependent differences in the amino acid sequence of debrisoquine 4-hydroxylase (CYP2D6): evidence for two major allozymes in extensive metabolisers. *Hum Genet* 94:401-406.
- Parikh A, Josephy PD and Guengerich FP (1999) Selection and characterization of human cytochrome P450 1A2 mutants with altered catalytic properties. *Biochemistry* 38:5283-5289.
- Parker AC, Preston T, Heaf D, Kitteringham NR and Choonara I (1994) Inhibition of caffeine metabolism by ciprofloxacin in children with cystic fibrosis as measured by the caffeine breath test. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **38**:573-576.
- Parrish AR, Gandolfi AJ and Brendel K (1995) Precision-cut tissue slices: applications in pharmacology and toxicology. *Life Sci* **57**:1887-1901.
- Pascussi JM, Gerbal-Chaloin S, Duret C, Daujat-Chavanieu M, Vilarem MJ and Maurel P (2008) The tangle of nuclear receptors that controls xenobiotic metabolism and transport: crosstalk and consequences. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **48**:1-32.
- Pastrakuljic A, Tang BK, Roberts EA and Kalow W (1997) Distinction of CYP1A1 and CYP1A2 activity by selective inhibition using fluvoxamine and isosafrole. *Biochem Pharmacol* **53**:531-538.
- Patel J, Buddha B, Dey S, Pal D and Mitra AK (2004) In vitro interaction of the HIV protease inhibitor ritonavir with herbal constituents: changes in P-gp and CYP3A4 activity. *Am J Ther* **11**:262-277.
- Patten CJ, Smith TJ, Murphy SE, Wang MH, Lee J, Tynes RE, Koch P and Yang CS (1996) Kinetic analysis of the activation of 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone by heterologously expressed human P450 enzymes and the effect of P450-specific chemical inhibitors on this activation in human liver microsomes. Arch Biochem Biophys 333:127-138.
- Pavanello S, B'Chir F, Pulliero A, Saguem S, Ben Fraj R, El Aziz Hayouni A, Clonfero E and Mastrangelo G (2007) Interaction between CYP1A2-T2467DELT polymorphism and smoking in adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma of the lung. *Lung Cancer* 57:266-272.

- Paxton JW, Kestell P, Chiang D, Zhou S and Lewis DF (2005) Inhibition of human CYP1A2 oxidation of 5,6-dimethyl-xanthenone-4-acetic acid by acridines: a molecular modelling study. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol* **32:**633-639.
- Pearce RE, Vakkalagadda GR and Leeder JS (2002) Pathways of carbamazepine bioactivation in vitro I. Characterization of human cytochromes P450 responsible for the formation of 2- and 3-hydroxylated metabolites. *Drug Metab Dispos* 30:1170-1179.
- Pelkonen O, Myllynen P, Taavitsainen P, Boobis AR, Watts P, Lake BG, Price RJ, Renwick AB, Gomez-Lechon MJ, Castell JV, Ingelman-Sundberg M, Hidestrand M, Guillouzo A, Corcos L, Goldfarb PS and Lewis DFV (2001) Carbamazepine: a 'blind' assessment of CYP-associated metabolism and interactions in human liver-derived in vitro systems. *Xenobiotica* **31**:321-343.
- Pelkonen O, Turpeinen M, Hakkola J, Honkakoski P, Hukkanen J and Raunio H (2008) Inhibition and induction of human cytochrome P450 enzymes: current status. *Arch Toxicol* **82:**667-715.
- Penman BW, Chen L, Gelboin HV, Gonzalez FJ and Crespi CL (1994) Development of a human lymphoblastoid cell line constitutively expressing human CYP1A1 cDNA: substrate specificity with model substrates and promutagens. *Carcinogenesis* 15:1931-1937.
- Petrescu AD, Hertz R, Bar-Tana J, Schroeder F and Kier AB (2002) Ligand specificity and conformational dependence of the hepatic nuclear factor-4alpha (HNF-4alpha). *J Biol Chem* **277:**23988-23999.
- Petrulis JR, Hord NG and Perdew GH (2000) Subcellular localization of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor is modulated by the immunophilin homolog hepatitis B virus X-associated protein 2. *J Biol Chem* **275**:37448-37453.
- Petrulis JR, Kusnadi A, Ramadoss P, Hollingshead B and Perdew GH (2003) The hsp90 Co-chaperone XAP2 alters importin beta recognition of the bipartite nuclear localization signal of the Ah receptor and represses transcriptional activity. *J Biol Chem* **278:**2677-2685.
- Peyvandi F, Spreafico M, Karimi M, Zeinali S, Mannucci PM and Bianchi Bonomi A (2002) Allele frequency of CYP2C9 gene polymorphisms in Iran. *Thromb Haemost* 88:874-875.
- Pfau W, Schmeiser HH and Wiessler M (1990) Aristolochic acid binds covalently to the exocyclic amino group of purine nucleotides in DNA. *Carcinogenesis* **11**:313-319.
- Picard N, Cresteil T, Djebli N and Marquet P (2005) In vitro metabolism study of buprenorphine: evidence for new metabolic pathways. *Drug Metab Dispos* 33:689-695.
- Pichard L, Gillet G, Bonfils C, Domergue J, Thenot JP and Maurel P (1995) Oxidative metabolism of zolpidem by human liver cytochrome P450s. *Drug Metab Dispos* **23**:1253-1262.

- Piscitelli SC, Burstein AH, Chaitt D, Alfaro RM and Falloon J (2000) Indinavir concentrations and St John's wort. *Lancet* **355:**547-548.
- Piscitelli SC, Formentini E, Burstein AH, Alfaro R, Jagannatha S and Falloon J (2002) Effect of milk thistle on the pharmacokinetics of indinavir in healthy volunteers. *Pharmacotherapy* **22:**551-556.
- Piver B, Berthou F, Dreano Y and Lucas D (2001) Inhibition of CYP3A, CYP1A and CYP2E1 activities by resveratrol and other non volatile red wine components. *Toxicol Lett* **125:**83-91.
- Piver B, Berthou F, Dreano Y and Lucas D (2003) Differential inhibition of human cytochrome P450 enzymes by epsilon-viniferin, the dimer of resveratrol: comparison with resveratrol and polyphenols from alcoholized beverages. *Life Sci* 73:1199-1213.
- Porubsky PR, Meneely KM and Scott EE (2008) Structures of human cytochrome P-450 2E1. Insights into the binding of inhibitors and both small molecular weight and fatty acid substrates. *J Biol Chem* **283:**33698-33707.
- Pradhan SC and Girish C (2006) Hepatoprotective herbal drug, silymarin from experimental pharmacology to clinical medicine. *Indian J Med Res* **124**:491-504.
- Premdas PD, Bowers RJ and Forkert PG (2000) Inactivation of hepatic CYP2E1 by an epoxide of diallyl sulfone. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **293**:1112-1120.
- Projean D, Morin PE, Tu TM and Ducharme J (2003) Identification of CYP3A4 and CYP2C8 as the major cytochrome P450 s responsible for morphine N-demethylation in human liver microsomes. *Xenobiotica* **33**:841-854.
- Prost N, Tichadou L, Rodor F, Nguyen N, David JM and Jean-Pastor MJ (2000) [St. Johns wort-venlafaxine interaction]. *Presse Med* **29**:1285-1286.
- Prueksaritanont T, Dwyer LM and Cribb AE (1995) (+)-bufuralol 1'-hydroxylation activity in human and rhesus monkey intestine and liver. *Biochem Pharmacol* **50**:1521-1525.
- Prueksaritanont T, Gorham LM, Hochman JH, Tran LO and Vyas KP (1996) Comparative studies of drug-metabolizing enzymes in dog, monkey, and human small intestines, and in Caco-2 cells. *Drug Metab Dispos* **24:**634-642.
- Prueksaritanont T, Ma B and Yu N (2003) The human hepatic metabolism of simvastatin hydroxy acid is mediated primarily by CYP3A, and not CYP2D6. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **56**:120-124.
- Purushottamachar P, Khandelwal A, Chopra P, Maheshwari N, Gediya LK, Vasaitis TS, Bruno RD, Clement OO and Njar VC (2007) First pharmacophore-based identification of androgen receptor down-regulating agents: discovery of potent anti-prostate cancer agents. *Bioorg Med Chem* 15:3413-3421.
- Qatanani M and Moore DD (2005) CAR, the continuously advancing receptor, in drug metabolism and disease. *Curr Drug Metab* **6**:329-339.

- Qiu F, Wang G, Zhao Y, Sun H, Mao G, A J and Sun J (2008a) Effect of danshen extract on pharmacokinetics of theophylline in healthy volunteers. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **65:**270-274.
- Qiu F, Zhang R, Sun J, Jiye A, Hao H, Peng Y, Ai H and Wang G (2008b) Inhibitory effects of seven components of danshen extract on catalytic activity of cytochrome P450 enzyme in human liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **36**:1308-1314.
- Qiu J (2007) China plans to modernize traditional medicine. Nature 446:590-591.
- Qizilbash N, Whitehead A, Higgins J, Wilcock G, Schneider L and Farlow M (1998) Cholinesterase inhibition for Alzheimer disease: a meta-analysis of the tacrine trials. Dementia Trialists' Collaboration. *Jama* **280**:1777-1782.
- Quattrochi LC and Tukey RH (1989) The human cytochrome Cyp1A2 gene contains regulatory elements responsive to 3-methylcholanthrene. *Mol Pharmacol* **36:**66-71.
- Radunovic A, Mitsumoto H and Leigh PN (2007) Clinical care of patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Lancet Neurol* **6**:913-925.
- Rae JM, Soukhova NV, Flockhart DA and Desta Z (2002) Triethylenethiophosphoramide is a specific inhibitor of cytochrome P450 2B6: implications for cyclophosphamide metabolism. *Drug Metab Dispos* **30:**525-530.
- Rahman A, Korzekwa KR, Grogan J, Gonzalez FJ and Harris JW (1994) Selective biotransformation of taxol to 6 alpha-hydroxytaxol by human cytochrome P450 2C8. *Cancer Res* 54:5543-5546.
- Raimundo S, Fischer J, Eichelbaum M, Griese EU, Schwab M and Zanger UM (2000) Elucidation of the genetic basis of the common 'intermediate metabolizer' phenotype for drug oxidation by CYP2D6. *Pharmacogenetics* **10**:577-581.
- Raimundo S, Toscano C, Klein K, Fischer J, Griese EU, Eichelbaum M, Schwab M and Zanger UM (2004) A novel intronic mutation, 2988G>A, with high predictivity for impaired function of cytochrome P450 2D6 in white subjects. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 76:128-138.
- Raina K, Rajamanickam S, Singh RP, Deep G, Chittezhath M and Agarwal R (2008) Stage-specific inhibitory effects and associated mechanisms of silibinin on tumor progression and metastasis in transgenic adenocarcinoma of the mouse prostate model. *Cancer Res* 68:6822-6830.
- Ramirez J, Innocenti F, Schuetz EG, Flockhart DA, Relling MV, Santucci R and Ratain MJ (2004) CYP2B6, CYP3A4, and CYP2C19 are responsible for the in vitro N-demethylation of meperidine in human liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* 32:930-936.
- Rasmussen BB, Brix TH, Kyvik KO and Brosen K (2002) The interindividual differences in the 3-demthylation of caffeine alias CYP1A2 is determined by both genetic and environmental factors. *Pharmacogenetics* **12:**473-478.

- Rasmussen BB, Maenpaa J, Pelkonen O, Loft S, Poulsen HE, Lykkesfeldt J and Brosen K (1995) Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and theophylline metabolism in human liver microsomes: potent inhibition by fluvoxamine. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **39:**151-159.
- Rau T, Diepenbruck S, Diepenbruck I and Eschenhagen T (2006) The 2988G>A polymorphism affects splicing of a CYP2D6 minigene. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **80:**555-558; author reply 558-560.
- Raucy JL, Mueller L, Duan K, Allen SW, Strom S and Lasker JM (2002) Expression and induction of CYP2C P450 enzymes in primary cultures of human hepatocytes. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 302:475-482.
- Raunio H, Rautio A and Pelkonen O (1999) The CYP2A subfamily: function, expression and genetic polymorphism. *IARC Sci Publ*:197-207.
- Relling MV, Lin JS, Ayers GD and Evans WE (1992) Racial and gender differences in N-acetyltransferase, xanthine oxidase, and CYP1A2 activities. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 52:643-658.
- Rendic S (2002) Summary of information on human CYP enzymes: Human P450 metabolism data. *Drug Metab Rev* **34**:83-448.
- Rendic S and Di Carlo FJ (1997) Human cytochrome P450 enzymes: a status report summarizing their reactions, substrates, inducers, and inhibitors. *Drug Metab Rev* 29:413-580.
- Rettie AE and Jones JP (2005) Clinical and toxicological relevance of CYP2C9: drug-drug interactions and pharmacogenetics. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **45**:477-494.
- Rettie AE, Wienkers LC, Gonzalez FJ, Trager WF and Korzekwa KR (1994) Impaired (S)-warfarin metabolism catalysed by the R144C allelic variant of CYP2C9. *Pharmacogenetics* **4:**39-42.
- Rey JM and Walter G (1998) Hypericum perforatum (St John's wort) in depression: pest or blessing? *Med J Aust* 169:583-586.
- Rice-Evans C (2001) Flavonoid antioxidants. Curr Med Chem 8:797-807.
- Richter T, Murdter TE, Heinkele G, Pleiss J, Tatzel S, Schwab M, Eichelbaum M and Zanger UM (2004) Potent mechanism-based inhibition of human CYP2B6 by clopidogrel and ticlopidine. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **308**:189-197.
- Rifkind AB, Lee C, Chang TK and Waxman DJ (1995) Arachidonic acid metabolism by human cytochrome P450s 2C8, 2C9, 2E1, and 1A2: regioselective oxygenation and evidence for a role for CYP2C enzymes in arachidonic acid epoxygenation in human liver microsomes. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **320**:380-389.
- Ring BJ, Catlow J, Lindsay TJ, Gillespie T, Roskos LK, Cerimele BJ, Swanson SP, Hamman MA and Wrighton SA (1996) Identification of the human cytochromes P450 responsible for the in vitro formation of the major oxidative metabolites of the antipsychotic agent olanzapine. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 276:658-666.

- Rittenbach KA, Holt A, Ling L, Shan J and Baker GB (2007) Metabolism of N-methyl, N-propargylphenylethylamine: studies with human liver microsomes and cDNA expressed cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes. *Cell Mol Neurobiol* **27**:179-190.
- Rivory LP, Slaviero KA, Hoskins JM and Clarke SJ (2001) The erythromycin breath test for the prediction of drug clearance. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **40**:151-158.
- Rizzo N, Padoin C, Palombo S, Scherrmann JM and Girre C (1996) Omeprazole and lansoprazole are not inducers of cytochrome P4501A2 under conventional therapeutic conditions. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **49:**491-495.
- Roberts-Kirchhoff ES, Crowley JR, Hollenberg PF and Kim H (1999) Metabolism of genistein by rat and human cytochromes P450s. *Chem Res Toxicol* **12**:610-616.
- Rodriguez-Antona C, Jover R, Gomez-Lechon MJ and Castell JV (2000) Quantitative RT-PCR measurement of human cytochrome P-450s: application to drug induction studies. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **376**:109-116.
- Romkes M, Faletto MB, Blaisdell JA, Raucy JL and Goldstein JA (1991) Cloning and expression of complementary DNAs for multiple members of the human cytochrome P450IIC subfamily. *Biochemistry* **30**:3247-3255.
- Rosado MF (2003) Thrombosis of a prosthetic aortic valve disclosing a hazardous interaction between warfarin and a commercial ginseng product. *Cardiology* **99:**111.
- Rosenblatt M and Mindel J (1997) Spontaneous hyphema associated with ingestion of Ginkgo biloba extract. *N Engl J Med* **336:**1108.
- Rost KL, Brosicke H, Brockmoller J, Scheffler M, Helge H and Roots I (1992) Increase of cytochrome P450IA2 activity by omeprazole: evidence by the 13C-[N-3-methyl]-caffeine breath test in poor and extensive metabolizers of S-mephenytoin. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **52**:170-180.
- Rost KL, Brosicke H, Heinemeyer G and Roots I (1994) Specific and dose-dependent enzyme induction by omeprazole in human beings. *Hepatology* **20**:1204-1212.
- Rost KL, Fuhr U, Thomsen T, Zaigler M, Brockmoller J, Bohnemeier H and Roots I (1999) Omeprazole weakly inhibits CYP1A2 activity in man. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther* 37:567-574.
- Rowland P, Blaney FE, Smyth MG, Jones JJ, Leydon VR, Oxbrow AK, Lewis CJ, Tennant MG, Modi S, Eggleston DS, Chenery RJ and Bridges AM (2006) Crystal structure of human cytochrome P450 2D6. *J Biol Chem* 281:7614-7622.
- Roy K and Roy PP (2008) Comparative QSAR studies of CYP1A2 inhibitor flavonoids using 2D and 3D descriptors. *Chem Biol Drug Des* **72:**370-382.
- Ruschitzka F, Meier PJ, Turina M, Luscher TF and Noll G (2000) Acute heart transplant rejection due to Saint John's wort. *Lancet* **355:**548-549.

- Ryu JY, Song IS, Sunwoo YE, Shon JH, Liu KH, Cha IJ and Shin JG (2007) Development of the "Inje cocktail" for high-throughput evaluation of five human cytochrome P450 isoforms in vivo. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **82:**531-540.
- Sachse C, Brockmoller J, Bauer S, Reum T and Roots I (1996) A rare insertion of T226 in exon 1 of CYP2D6 causes a frameshift and is associated with the poor metabolizer phenotype: CYP2D6\*15. *Pharmacogenetics* **6**:269-272.
- Sachse C, Brockmoller J, Bauer S and Roots I (1997) Cytochrome P450 2D6 variants in a Caucasian population: allele frequencies and phenotypic consequences. *Am J Hum Genet* **60**:284-295.
- Sachse C, Brockmoller J, Bauer S and Roots I (1999) Functional significance of a C-->A polymorphism in intron 1 of the cytochrome P450 CYP1A2 gene tested with caffeine. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **47**:445-449.
- Sachse C, Brockmoller J, Hildebrand M, Muller K and Roots I (1998) Correctness of prediction of the CYP2D6 phenotype confirmed by genotyping 47 intermediate and poor metabolizers of debrisoquine. *Pharmacogenetics* 8:181-185.
- Sadeque AJ, Fisher MB, Korzekwa KR, Gonzalez FJ and Rettie AE (1997) Human CYP2C9 and CYP2A6 mediate formation of the hepatotoxin 4-ene-valproic acid. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **283:**698-703.
- Saito Y, Hanioka N, Maekawa K, Isobe T, Tsuneto Y, Nakamura R, Soyama A, Ozawa S, Tanaka-Kagawa T, Jinno H, Narimatsu S and Sawada J (2005) Functional analysis of three CYP1A2 variants found in a Japanese population. *Drug Metab Dispos* 33:1905-1910.
- Sakuyama K, Sasaki T, Ujiie S, Obata K, Mizugaki M, Ishikawa M and Hiratsuka M (2008) Functional characterization of 17 CYP2D6 allelic variants (CYP2D6.2, 10, 14A-B, 18, 27, 36, 39, 47-51, 53-55, and 57). *Drug Metab Dispos* 36:2460-2467.
- Salonen JS, Nyman L, Boobis AR, Edwards RJ, Watts P, Lake BG, Price RJ, Renwick AB, Gomez-Lechon MJ, Castell JV, Ingelman-Sundberg M, Hidestrand M, Guillouzo A, Corcos L, Goldfarb PS, Lewis DF, Taavitsainen P and Pelkonen O (2003)
  Comparative studies on the cytochrome p450-associated metabolism and interaction potential of selegiline between human liver-derived in vitro systems. *Drug Metab Dispos* 31:1093-1102.
- Samara E, Cavanaugh JH, Mukherjee D and Granneman GR (1995) Lack of pharmacokinetic interaction between zileuton and phenytoin in humans. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **29 Suppl 2:**84-91.
- Sanderink GJ, Bournique B, Stevens J, Petry M and Martinet M (1997) Involvement of human CYP1A isoenzymes in the metabolism and drug interactions of riluzole in vitro. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **282:**1465-1472.
- Sansen S, Yano JK, Reynald RL, Schoch GA, Griffin KJ, Stout CD and Johnson EF (2007) Adaptations for the oxidation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons exhibited by the structure of human P450 1A2. *J Biol Chem* **282:**14348-14355.

- Sarkar MA, Hunt C, Guzelian PS and Karnes HT (1992) Characterization of human liver cytochromes P-450 involved in theophylline metabolism. *Drug Metab Dispos* 20:31-37.
- Sarkar MA and Jackson BJ (1994) Theophylline N-demethylations as probes for P4501A1 and P4501A2. *Drug Metab Dispos* **22:**827-834.
- Saruwatari J, Nakagawa K, Shindo J, Nachi S, Echizen H and Ishizaki T (2003) The in-vivo effects of sho-saiko-to, a traditional Chinese herbal medicine, on two cytochrome P450 enzymes (1A2 and 3A) and xanthine oxidase in man. *J Pharm Pharmacol* 55:1553-1559.
- Saxena R, Shaw GL, Relling MV, Frame JN, Moir DT, Evans WE, Caporaso N and Weiffenbach B (1994) Identification of a new variant CYP2D6 allele with a single base deletion in exon 3 and its association with the poor metabolizer phenotype. *Hum Mol Genet* 3:923-926.
- Schelosky L, Raffauf C, Jendroska K and Poewe W (1995) Kava and dopamine antagonism. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* **58**:639-640.
- Schmeiser HH, Bieler CA, Wiessler M, van Ypersele de Strihou C and Cosyns JP (1996) Detection of DNA adducts formed by aristolochic acid in renal tissue from patients with Chinese herbs nephropathy. *Cancer Res* 56:2025-2028.
- Schmeiser HH, Frei E, Wiessler M and Stiborova M (1997) Comparison of DNA adduct formation by aristolochic acids in various in vitro activation systems by 32P-post-labelling: evidence for reductive activation by peroxidases. *Carcinogenesis* 18:1055-1062.
- Schmider J, Brockmoller J, Arold G, Bauer S and Roots I (1999) Simultaneous assessment of CYP3A4 and CYP1A2 activity in vivo with alprazolam and caffeine. *Pharmacogenetics* **9:**725-734.
- Schoch GA, Yano JK, Sansen S, Dansette PM, Stout CD and Johnson EF (2008)
  Determinants of cytochrome P450 2C8 substrate binding: structures of complexes with montelukast, troglitazone, felodipine, and 9-cis-retinoic acid. *J Biol Chem* 283:17227-17237.
- Schoch GA, Yano JK, Wester MR, Griffin KJ, Stout CD and Johnson EF (2004) Structure of human microsomal cytochrome P450 2C8. Evidence for a peripheral fatty acid binding site. *J Biol Chem* 279:9497-9503.
- Schuetz JD, Beach DL and Guzelian PS (1994) Selective expression of cytochrome P450 CYP3A mRNAs in embryonic and adult human liver. *Pharmacogenetics* **4:**11-20.
- Schuetz JD and Guzelian PS (1995) Isolation of CYP3A5P cDNA from human liver: a reflection of a novel cytochrome P-450 pseudogene. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1261:161-165.
- Schwartz JI, Bugianesi KJ, Ebel DL, De Smet M, Haesen R, Larson PJ, Ko A, Verbesselt R, Hunt TL, Lins R, Lens S, Porras AG, Dieck J, Keymeulen B and Gertz BJ (2000)

The effect of rofecoxib on the pharmacodynamics and pharmcokinetics of warfarin. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **68**:626-636.

- Scordo MG, Aklillu E, Yasar U, Dahl ML, Spina E and Ingelman-Sundberg M (2001) Genetic polymorphism of cytochrome P450 2C9 in a Caucasian and a black African population. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 52:447-450.
- Scordo MG, Pengo V, Spina E, Dahl ML, Gusella M and Padrini R (2002) Influence of CYP2C9 and CYP2C19 genetic polymorphisms on warfarin maintenance dose and metabolic clearance. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **72**:702-710.
- Scott EE, He YA, Wester MR, White MA, Chin CC, Halpert JR, Johnson EF and Stout CD (2003) An open conformation of mammalian cytochrome P450 2B4 at 1.6-A resolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100:13196-13201.
- Scott EE, White MA, He YA, Johnson EF, Stout CD and Halpert JR (2004) Structure of mammalian cytochrome P450 2B4 complexed with 4-(4-chlorophenyl)imidazole at 1.9-A resolution: insight into the range of P450 conformations and the coordination of redox partner binding. *J Biol Chem* 279:27294-27301.
- Segura J, Garcia I and Tarrus E (1986) Some pharmacokinetic characteristics of furafylline, a new 1,3,8-trisubstituted xanthine. *J Pharm Pharmacol* **38:**615-618.
- Segura M, Farre M, Pichini S, Peiro AM, Roset PN, Ramirez A, Ortuno J, Pacifici R, Zuccaro P, Segura J and de la Torre R (2005) Contribution of cytochrome P450 2D6 to 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine disposition in humans: use of paroxetine as a metabolic inhibitor probe. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 44:649-660.
- Sengstag C, Eugster HP and Wurgler FE (1994) High promutagen activating capacity of yeast microsomes containing human cytochrome P-450 1A and human NADPH-cytochrome P-450 reductase. *Carcinogenesis* 15:837-843.
- Sesardic D, Pasanen M, Pelkonen O and Boobis AR (1990) Differential expression and regulation of members of the cytochrome P450IA gene subfamily in human tissues. *Carcinogenesis* **11**:1183-1188.
- Shader RI and Greenblatt DJ (1985) Phenelzine and the dream machine--ramblings and reflections. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* **5**:65.
- Shaw D, Leon C, Kolev S and Murray V (1997) Traditional remedies and food supplements. A 5-year toxicological study (1991-1995). *Drug Saf* **17**:342-356.
- Shelepova T, Nafziger AN, Victory J, Kashuba AD, Rowland E, Zhang Y, Sellers E, Kearns G, Leeder JS, Gaedigk A and Bertino JS, Jr. (2005) Effect of a triphasic oral contraceptive on drug-metabolizing enzyme activity as measured by the validated Cooperstown 5+1 cocktail. J Clin Pharmacol 45:1413-1421.
- Shet MS, McPhaul M, Fisher CW, Stallings NR and Estabrook RW (1997) Metabolism of the antiandrogenic drug (Flutamide) by human CYP1A2. *Drug Metab Dispos* 25:1298-1303.

- Shimada T, Gillam EM, Oda Y, Tsumura F, Sutter TR, Guengerich FP and Inoue K (1999) Metabolism of benzo[a]pyrene to trans-7,8-dihydroxy-7, 8-dihydrobenzo[a]pyrene by recombinant human cytochrome P450 1B1 and purified liver epoxide hydrolase. *Chem Res Toxicol* **12**:623-629.
- Shimada T, Hayes CL, Yamazaki H, Amin S, Hecht SS, Guengerich FP and Sutter TR (1996a) Activation of chemically diverse procarcinogens by human cytochrome P-450 1B1. Cancer Res 56:2979-2984.
- Shimada T, Murayama N, Okada K, Funae Y, Yamazaki H and Guengerich FP (2007) Different mechanisms for inhibition of human cytochromes P450 1A1, 1A2, and 1B1 by polycyclic aromatic inhibitors. *Chem Res Toxicol* **20**:489-496.
- Shimada T, Tsumura F, Yamazaki H, Guengerich FP and Inoue K (2001) Characterization of (+/-)-bufuralol hydroxylation activities in liver microsomes of Japanese and Caucasian subjects genotyped for CYP2D6. *Pharmacogenetics* **11**:143-156.
- Shimada T, Yamazaki H, Foroozesh M, Hopkins NE, Alworth WL and Guengerich FP (1998) Selectivity of polycyclic inhibitors for human cytochrome P450s 1A1, 1A2, and 1B1. *Chem Res Toxicol* **11**:1048-1056.
- Shimada T, Yamazaki H, Mimura M, Inui Y and Guengerich FP (1994a) Interindividual variations in human liver cytochrome P450 enzymes involved in the oxidation of drugs, carcinogens and toxic chemicals. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **270**:414-423.
- Shimada T, Yamazaki H, Mimura M, Inui Y and Guengerich FP (1994b) Interindividual variations in human liver cytochrome P-450 enzymes involved in the oxidation of drugs, carcinogens and toxic chemicals: studies with liver microsomes of 30 Japanese and 30 Caucasians. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 270:414-423.
- Shimada T, Yamazaki H, Mimura M, Wakamiya N, Ueng YF, Guengerich FP and Inui Y (1996b) Characterization of microsomal cytochrome P450 enzymes involved in the oxidation of xenobiotic chemicals in human fetal liver and adult lungs. *Drug Metab Dispos* 24:515-522.
- Shimizu Y, Nakatsuru Y, Ichinose M, Takahashi Y, Kume H, Mimura J, Fujii-Kuriyama Y and Ishikawa T (2000) Benzo[a]pyrene carcinogenicity is lost in mice lacking the aryl hydrocarbon receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **97:**779-782.
- Shimoda K, Someya T, Morita S, Hirokane G, Yokono A, Takahashi S and Okawa M (2002) Lack of impact of CYP1A2 genetic polymorphism (C/A polymorphism at position 734 in intron 1 and G/A polymorphism at position -2964 in the 5'-flanking region of CYP1A2) on the plasma concentration of haloperidol in smoking male Japanese with schizophrenia. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 26:261-265.
- Shin JG, Soukhova N and Flockhart DA (1999) Effect of antipsychotic drugs on human liver cytochrome P-450 (CYP) isoforms in vitro: preferential inhibition of CYP2D6. *Drug Metab Dispos* 27:1078-1084.
- Shintani M, Ieiri I, Inoue K, Mamiya K, Ninomiya H, Tashiro N, Higuchi S and Otsubo K (2001) Genetic polymorphisms and functional characterization of the 5'-flanking
region of the human CYP2C9 gene: in vitro and in vivo studies. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **70**:175-182.

- Shiraga T, Kaneko H, Iwasaki K, Tozuka Z, Suzuki A and Hata T (1999) Identification of cytochrome P450 enzymes involved in the metabolism of zotepine, an antipsychotic drug, in human liver microsomes. *Xenobiotica* 29:217-229.
- Shirai N, Furuta T, Moriyama Y, Okochi H, Kobayashi K, Takashima M, Xiao F, Kosuge K, Nakagawa K, Hanai H, Chiba K, Ohashi K and Ishizaki T (2001) Effects of CYP2C19 genotypic differences in the metabolism of omeprazole and rabeprazole on intragastric pH. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 15:1929-1937.
- Shirai N, Furuta T, Xiao F, Kajimura M, Hanai H, Ohashi K and Ishizaki T (2002) Comparison of lansoprazole and famotidine for gastric acid inhibition during the daytime and night-time in different CYP2C19 genotype groups. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 16:837-846.
- Shou M, Gonzalez FJ and Gelboin HV (1996) Stereoselective epoxidation and hydration at the K-region of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons by cDNA-expressed cytochromes P450 1A1, 1A2, and epoxide hydrolase. *Biochemistry* 35:15807-15813.
- Si D, Guo Y, Zhang Y, Yang L, Zhou H and Zhong D (2004) Identification of a novel variant CYP2C9 allele in Chinese. *Pharmacogenetics* **14**:465-469.
- Siegle I, Fritz P, Eckhardt K, Zanger UM and Eichelbaum M (2001) Cellular localization and regional distribution of CYP2D6 mRNA and protein expression in human brain. *Pharmacogenetics* **11**:237-245.
- Silva ID, Rodrigues AS, Gaspar J, Laires A and Rueff J (1997a) Metabolism of galangin by rat cytochromes P450: relevance to the genotoxicity of galangin. *Mut Res* **393:**247-257.
- Silva ID, Rodrigues AS, Gaspar J, Maia R, Laires A and Rueff J (1997b) Involvement of rat cytochrome 1A1 in the biotransformation of kaempferol to quercetin: relevance to the genotoxicity of kaempferol. *Mutagenesis* **12**:383-390.
- Silverman E, Mouy R, Spiegel L, Jung LK, Saurenmann RK, Lahdenne P, Horneff G, Calvo I, Szer IS, Simpson K, Stewart JA and Strand V (2005) Leflunomide or methotrexate for juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. N Engl J Med 352:1655-1666.
- Singh RP, Gu M and Agarwal R (2008a) Silibinin inhibits colorectal cancer growth by inhibiting tumor cell proliferation and angiogenesis. *Cancer Res* **68**:2043-2050.
- Singh RP, Raina K, Deep G, Chan D and Agarwal R (2009) Silibinin suppresses growth of human prostate carcinoma PC-3 orthotopic xenograft via activation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 and inhibition of signal transducers and activators of transcription signaling. *Clin Cancer Res* 15:613-621.
- Singh RP, Raina K, Sharma G and Agarwal R (2008b) Silibinin inhibits established prostate tumor growth, progression, invasion, and metastasis and suppresses tumor angiogenesis and epithelial-mesenchymal transition in transgenic adenocarcinoma of the mouse prostate model mice. *Clin Cancer Res* **14**:7773-7780.

- Singh RP, Tyagi A, Sharma G, Mohan S and Agarwal R (2008c) Oral silibinin inhibits in vivo human bladder tumor xenograft growth involving down-regulation of survivin. *Clin Cancer Res* **14**:300-308.
- Skene CD and Sutton P (2006) Saponin-adjuvanted particulate vaccines for clinical use. *Methods* 40:53-59.
- Slaughter D, Takenaga N, Lu P, Assang C, Walsh DJ, Arison BH, Cui D, Halpin RA, Geer LA, Vyas KP and Baillie TA (2003) Metabolism of rofecoxib in vitro using human liver subcellular fractions. *Drug Metab Dispos* 31:1398-1408.
- Smith BD, Sanders JL, Porubsky PR, Lushington GH, Stout CD and Scott EE (2007) Structure of the human lung cytochrome P450 2A13. *J Biol Chem* 282:17306-17313.
- Smith DA and Jones BC (1992) Speculations on the substrate structure-activity relationship (SSAR) of cytochrome P450 enzymes. *Biochem Pharmacol* **44**:2089-2098.
- Smith G, Modi S, Pillai I, Lian LY, Sutcliffe MJ, Pritchard MP, Friedberg T, Roberts GC and Wolf CR (1998) Determinants of the substrate specificity of human cytochrome P-450 CYP2D6: design and construction of a mutant with testosterone hydroxylase activity. *Biochem J* 331 (Pt 3):783-792.
- Smith GB, Bend JR, Bedard LL, Reid KR, Petsikas D and Massey TE (2003) Biotransformation of 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone (NNK) in peripheral human lung microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **31:**1134-1141.
- Snyder R (2000) Microsomal enzyme induction. Toxicol Sci 55:233-234.
- Soga Y, Nishimura F, Ohtsuka Y, Araki H, Iwamoto Y, Naruishi H, Shiomi N, Kobayashi Y, Takashiba S, Shimizu K, Gomita Y and Oka E (2004) CYP2C polymorphisms, phenytoin metabolism and gingival overgrowth in epileptic subjects. *Life Sci* 74:827-834.
- Solus JF, Arietta BJ, Harris JR, Sexton DP, Steward JQ, McMunn C, Ihrie P, Mehall JM, Edwards TL and Dawson EP (2004) Genetic variation in eleven phase I drug metabolism genes in an ethnically diverse population. *Pharmacogenomics* 5:895-931.
- Song J, Clagett-Dame M, Peterson RE, Hahn ME, Westler WM, Sicinski RR and DeLuca HF (2002) A ligand for the aryl hydrocarbon receptor isolated from lung. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99:**14694-14699.
- Soyama A, Kubo T, Miyajima A, Saito Y, Shiseki K, Komamura K, Ueno K, Kamakura S, Kitakaze M, Tomoike H, Ozawa S and Sawada J (2004) Novel nonsynonymous single nucleotide polymorphisms in the CYP2D6 gene. *Drug Metab Pharmacokinet* 19:313-319.
- Soyama A, Saito Y, Hanioka N, Maekawa K, Komamura K, Kamakura S, Kitakaze M, Tomoike H, Ueno K, Goto Y, Kimura H, Katoh M, Sugai K, Saitoh O, Kawai M, Ohnuma T, Ohtsuki T, Suzuki C, Minami N, Kamatani N, Ozawa S and Sawada J

(2005) Single nucleotide polymorphisms and haplotypes of CYP1A2 in a Japanese population. *Drug Metab Pharmacokinet* **20:**24-33.

- Soyama A, Saito Y, Kubo T, Miyajima A, Ohno Y, Komamura K, Ueno K, Kamakura S, Kitakaze M, Tomoike H, Ozawa S and Sawada J (2006) Sequence-based analysis of the CYP2D6\*36-CYP2D6\*10 tandem-type arrangement, a major CYP2D6\*10 haplotype in the Japanese population. *Drug Metab Pharmacokinet* 21:208-216.
- Spaldin V, Madden S, Adams DA, Edwards RJ, Davies DS and Park BK (1995) Determination of human hepatic cytochrome P4501A2 activity in vitro use of tacrine as an isoenzyme-specific probe. *Drug Metab Dispos* 23:929-934.
- Spaldin V, Madden S, Pool WF, Woolf TF and Park BK (1994) The effect of enzyme inhibition on the metabolism and activation of tacrine by human liver microsomes. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **38**:15-22.
- Spina E, Avenoso A, Facciola G, Scordo MG, Ancione M and Madia A (2001) Plasma concentrations of risperidone and 9-hydroxyrisperidone during combined treatment with paroxetine. *Ther Drug Monit* 23:223-227.
- Spracklin DK, Thummel KE and Kharasch ED (1996) Human reductive halothane metabolism in vitro is catalyzed by cytochrome P450 2A6 and 3A4. *Drug Metab Dispos* 24:976-983.
- Spurr NK, Gough AC, Stevenson K and Wolf CR (1989) The human cytochrome P450 CYP3A locus: assignment to chromosome 7q22-qter. *Hum Genet* **81**:171-174.
- Sridar C, Goosen TC, Kent UM, Williams JA and Hollenberg PF (2004) Silybin inactivates cytochromes P450 3A4 and 2C9 and inhibits major hepatic glucuronosyltransferases. *Drug Metab Dispos* 32:587-594.
- St Peter JV, Braeckman RA, Granneman GR, Locke CS, Cavanaugh JH and Awni WM (1995) The effect of zileuton on antipyrine and indocyanine green disposition. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **57**:299-308.
- Stanley LA, Horsburgh BC, Ross J, Scheer N and Wolf CR (2006) PXR and CAR: nuclear receptors which play a pivotal role in drug disposition and chemical toxicity. *Drug Metab Rev* 38:515-597.
- Steen VM, Molven A, Aarskog NK and Gulbrandsen AK (1995) Homologous unequal cross-over involving a 2.8 kb direct repeat as a mechanism for the generation of allelic variants of human cytochrome P450 CYP2D6 gene. *Hum Mol Genet* 4:2251-2257.
- Stefanovic V, Toncheva D, Atanasova S and Polenakovic M (2006) Etiology of Balkan endemic nephropathy and associated urothelial cancer. *Am J Nephrol* **26**:1-11.
- Stevens CW, Manoharan TH and Fahl WE (1988) Characterization of mutagen-activated cellular oncogenes that confer anchorage independence to human fibroblasts and tumorigenicity to NIH 3T3 cells: sequence analysis of an enzymatically amplified mutant HRAS allele. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 85:3875-3879.

- Stiborova M, Frei E, Breuer A, Bieler CA and Schmeiser HH (1999) Aristolactam I a metabolite of aristolochic acid I upon activation forms an adduct found in DNA of patients with Chinese herbs nephropathy. *Exp Toxicol Pathol* **51**:421-427.
- Stiborova M, Frei E, Breuer A, Wiessler M and Schmeiser HH (2001a) Evidence for reductive activation of carcinogenic aristolochic acids by prostaglandin H synthase
  -- (32)P-postlabeling analysis of DNA adduct formation. *Mutat Res* 493:149-160.
- Stiborova M, Frei E, Sopko B, Wiessler M and Schmeiser HH (2002) Carcinogenic aristolochic acids upon activation by DT-diaphorase form adducts found in DNA of patients with Chinese herbs nephropathy. *Carcinogenesis* 23:617-625.
- Stiborova M, Frei E, Wiessler M and Schmeiser HH (2001b) Human enzymes involved in the metabolic activation of carcinogenic aristolochic acids: evidence for reductive activation by cytochromes P450 1A1 and 1A2. *Chem Res Toxicol* **14**:1128-1137.
- Stormer E, von Moltke LL, Shader RI and Greenblatt DJ (2000) Metabolism of the antidepressant mirtazapine in vitro: contribution of cytochromes P-450 1A2, 2D6, and 3A4. *Drug Metab Dispos* 28:1168-1175.
- Stouch TR, Kenyon JR, Johnson SR, Chen XQ, Doweyko A and Li Y (2003) In silico ADME/Tox: why models fail. *J Comput Aided Mol Des* **17:**83-92.
- Streetman DS, Bertino JS, Jr. and Nafziger AN (2000a) Phenotyping of drug-metabolizing enzymes in adults: a review of in-vivo cytochrome P450 phenotyping probes. *Pharmacogenetics* **10**:187-216.
- Streetman DS, Bleakley JF, Kim JS, Nafziger AN, Leeder JS, Gaedigk A, Gotschall R, Kearns GL and Bertino JS, Jr. (2000b) Combined phenotypic assessment of CYP1A2, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, CYP3A, N-acetyltransferase-2, and xanthine oxidase with the "Cooperstown cocktail". *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 68:375-383.
- Strushkevich N, Usanov SA, Plotnikov AN, Jones G and Park HW (2008) Structural analysis of CYP2R1 in complex with vitamin D3. *J Mol Biol* **380**:95-106.
- Stubbins MJ, Harries LW, Smith G, Tarbit MH and Wolf CR (1996) Genetic analysis of the human cytochrome P450 CYP2C9 locus. *Pharmacogenetics* **6**:429-439.
- Su T, Bao Z, Zhang QY, Smith TJ, Hong JY and Ding X (2000) Human cytochrome P450 CYP2A13: predominant expression in the respiratory tract and its high efficiency metabolic activation of a tobacco-specific carcinogen, 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone. *Cancer Res* 60:5074-5079.
- Sugimoto K, Ohmori M, Tsuruoka S, Nishiki K, Kawaguchi A, Harada K, Arakawa M, Sakamoto K, Masada M, Miyamori I and Fujimura A (2001) Different effects of St John's wort on the pharmacokinetics of simvastatin and pravastatin. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **70**:518-524.
- Sullivan-Klose TH, Ghanayem BI, Bell DA, Zhang ZY, Kaminsky LS, Shenfield GM, Miners JO, Birkett DJ and Goldstein JA (1996) The role of the CYP2C9-Leu359 allelic variant in the tolbutamide polymorphism. *Pharmacogenetics* **6**:341-349.

- Svensson US and Ashton M (1999) Identification of the human cytochrome P450 enzymes involved in the in vitro metabolism of artemisinin. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 48:528-535.
- Synold TW, Dussault I and Forman BM (2001) The orphan nuclear receptor SXR coordinately regulates drug metabolism and efflux. *Nat Med* **7:**584-590.
- Takahashi H and Echizen H (2001) Pharmacogenetics of warfarin elimination and its clinical implications. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **40**:587-603.
- Tam LS, Chan TY, Leung WK and Critchley JA (1995) Warfarin interactions with Chinese traditional medicines: danshen and methyl salicylate medicated oil. Aust N Z J Med 25:258.
- Tanaka M, Ohkubo T, Otani K, Suzuki A, Kaneko S, Sugawara K, Ryokawa Y, Hakusui H, Yamamori S and Ishizaki T (1997) Metabolic disposition of pantoprazole, a proton pump inhibitor, in relation to S-mephenytoin 4'-hydroxylation phenotype and genotype. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 62:619-628.
- Tang C, Lin JH and Lu AY (2005) Metabolism-based drug-drug interactions: what determines individual variability in cytochrome P450 induction? *Drug Metab Dispos* 33:603-613.
- Tanira MO, Al-Mukhaini MK, Al-Hinai AT, Al Balushi KA and Ahmed IS (2007) Frequency of CYP2C9 genotypes among Omani patients receiving warfarin and its correlation with warfarin dose. *Community Genet* 10:32-37.
- Tannergren C, Engman H, Knutson L, Hedeland M, Bondesson U and Lennernas H (2004) St John's wort decreases the bioavailability of R- and S-verapamil through induction of the first-pass metabolism. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **75**:298-309.
- Tassaneeyakul W, Birkett DJ, McManus ME, Tassaneeyakul W, Veronese ME, Andersson T, Tukey RH and Miners JO (1994) Caffeine metabolism by human hepatic cytochromes P450: contributions of 1A2, 2E1 and 3A isoforms. *Biochem Pharmacol* 47:1767-1776.
- Tassaneeyakul W, Birkett DJ, Veronese ME, McManus ME, Tukey RH, Quattrochi LC, Gelboin HV and Miners JO (1993) Specificity of substrate and inhibitor probes for human cytochromes P450 1A1 and 1A2. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 265:401-407.
- Tassaneeyakul W, Mohamed Z, Birkett DJ, McManus ME, Veronese ME, Tukey RH, Quattrochi LC, Gonzalez FJ and Miners JO (1992) Caffeine as a probe for human cytochromes P450: validation using cDNA-expression, immunoinhibition and microsomal kinetic and inhibitor techniques. *Pharmacogenetics* **2**:173-183.
- Taube J, Halsall D and Baglin T (2000) Influence of cytochrome P-450 CYP2C9 polymorphisms on warfarin sensitivity and risk of over-anticoagulation in patients on long-term treatment. *Blood* **96:**1816-1819.
- Teelucksingh S, Mackie AD, Burt D, McIntyre MA, Brett L and Edwards CR (1990) Potentiation of hydrocortisone activity in skin by glycyrrhetinic acid. *Lancet* **335:**1060-1063.

- Teyssier C, Guenot L, Suschetet M and Siess MH (1999) Metabolism of diallyl disulfide by human liver microsomal cytochromes P-450 and flavin-containing monooxygenases. *Drug Metab Dispos* 27:835-841.
- Thijssen HH, Flinois JP and Beaune PH (2000) Cytochrome P4502C9 is the principal catalyst of racemic acenocoumarol hydroxylation reactions in human liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **28**:1284-1290.
- Tirona RG and Kim RB (2005) Nuclear receptors and drug disposition gene regulation. *J Pharm Sci* **94:**1169-1186.
- Tjia JF, Colbert J and Back DJ (1996) Theophylline metabolism in human liver microsomes: inhibition studies. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **276:**912-917.
- Tolleson WH, Doerge DR, Churchwell MI, Marques MM and Roberts DW (2002) Metabolism of biochanin A and formononetin by human liver microsomes in vitro. *J Agric Food Chem* **50**:4783-4790.
- Tornio A, Niemi M, Neuvonen M, Laitila J, Kalliokoski A, Neuvonen PJ and Backman JT (2008) The effect of gemfibrozil on repaglinide pharmacokinetics persists for at least 12 h after the dose: evidence for mechanism-based inhibition of CYP2C8 in vivo. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 84:403-411.
- Tornio A, Niemi M, Neuvonen PJ and Backman JT (2007) Stereoselective interaction between the CYP2C8 inhibitor gemfibrozil and racemic ibuprofen. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **63**:463-469.
- Toscano C, Klein K, Blievernicht J, Schaeffeler E, Saussele T, Raimundo S, Eichelbaum M, Schwab M and Zanger UM (2006a) Impaired expression of CYP2D6 in intermediate metabolizers carrying the \*41 allele caused by the intronic SNP 2988G>A: evidence for modulation of splicing events. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* 16:755-766.
- Toscano C, Raimundo S, Klein K, Eichelbaum M, Schwab M and Zanger UM (2006b) A silent mutation (2939G>A, exon 6; CYP2D6\*59) leading to impaired expression and function of CYP2D6. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* **16**:767-770.
- Totah RA and Rettie AE (2005) Cytochrome P450 2C8: substrates, inhibitors, pharmacogenetics, and clinical relevance. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **77:**341-352.
- Tsyrlov IB, Mikhailenko VM and Gelboin HV (1994) Isozyme- and species-specific susceptibility of cDNA-expressed CYP1A P-450s to different flavonoids. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1205**:325-335.
- Tucker GT (1992) The rational selection of drug interaction studies: implications of recent advances in drug metabolism. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther Toxicol* **30**:550-553.
- Tucker GT, Lennard MS, Ellis SW, Woods HF, Cho AK, Lin LY, Hiratsuka A, Schmitz DA and Chu TY (1994) The demethylenation of methylenedioxymethamphetamine ("ecstasy") by debrisoquine hydroxylase (CYP2D6). *Biochem Pharmacol* 47:1151-1156.

- Turpeinen M, Raunio H and Pelkonen O (2006) The functional role of CYP2B6 in human drug metabolism: substrates and inhibitors in vitro, in vivo and in silico. *Curr Drug Metab* **7**:705-714.
- Turton-Weeks SM, Barone GW, Gurley BJ, Ketel BL, Lightfoot ML and Abul-Ezz SR (2001) St John's wort: a hidden risk for transplant patients. *Prog Transplant* **11:**116-120.
- Tyndale R, Aoyama T, Broly F, Matsunaga T, Inaba T, Kalow W, Gelboin HV, Meyer UA and Gonzalez FJ (1991) Identification of a new variant CYP2D6 allele lacking the codon encoding Lys-281: possible association with the poor metabolizer phenotype. *Pharmacogenetics* **1**:26-32.
- Uckun FM, Thoen J, Chen H, Sudbeck E, Mao C, Malaviya R, Liu XP and Chen CL (2002) CYP1A-mediated metabolism of the Janus kinase-3 inhibitor 4-(4'-hydroxyphenyl)-amino-6,7-dimethoxyquinazoline: structural basis for inactivation by regioselective O-demethylation. *Drug Metab Dispos* 30:74-85.
- Ueng Y-F, Kuwabara T, Chun Y-J and Guengerich FP (1997) Cooperativity in oxidations catalyzed by cytochrome P450 3A4. *Biochemistry* **36:**370-381.
- Ueng YF, Hsieh CH, Don MJ, Chi CW and Ho LK (2004) Identification of the main human cytochrome P450 enzymes involved in safrole 1'-hydroxylation. *Chem Res Toxicol* 17:1151-1156.
- Ueng YF, Jan WC, Lin LC, Chen TL, Guengerich FP and Chen CF (2002) The alkaloid rutaecarpine is a selective inhibitor of cytochrome P450 1A in mouse and human liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **30**:349-353.
- Ueng YF, Kuo YH, Peng HC, Chen TL, Jan WC, Peter Guengerich F and Lin YL (2003) Diterpene quinone tanshinone IIA selectively inhibits mouse and human cytochrome p4501A2. *Xenobiotica* **33:**603-613.
- Ueng YF, Shimada T, Yamazaki H and Guengerich FP (1995) Oxidation of aflatoxin B1 by bacterial recombinant human cytochrome P450 enzymes. *Chem Res Toxicol* 8:218-225.
- Uttamsingh V, Lu C, Miwa G and Gan LS (2005) Relative contributions of the five major human cytochromes P450, 1A2, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, and 3A4, to the hepatic metabolism of the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib. *Drug Metab Dispos* 33:1723-1728.
- Van Cantfort J, Goujon FM and Gielen JE (1979) Benzo[a]pyrene metabolism in rat fetal hepatocytes culture. Improved methodology and effect of substrate concentration. *Chem Biol Interact* **28:**147-160.
- van der Weide J, Steijns LS, van Weelden MJ and de Haan K (2001) The effect of genetic polymorphism of cytochrome P450 CYP2C9 on phenytoin dose requirement. *Pharmacogenetics* **11:**287-291.
- van Duursen MB, Sanderson JT and van den Berg M (2005) Cytochrome P450 1A1 and 1B1 in human blood lymphocytes are not suitable as biomarkers of exposure to

dioxin-like compounds: polymorphisms and interindividual variation in expression and inducibility. *Toxicol Sci* **85**:703-712.

- Van LM, Hargreaves JA, Lennard MS, Tucker GT and Rostami-Hodjegan A (2007) Inactivation of CYP2D6 by methylenedioxymethamphetamine in different recombinant expression systems. *Eur J Pharm Sci* **32:**8-16.
- Vanden Heuvel JP, Clark GC, Thompson CL, McCoy Z, Miller CR, Lucier GW and Bell DA (1993) CYP1A1 mRNA levels as a human exposure biomarker: use of quantitative polymerase chain reaction to measure CYP1A1 expression in human peripheral blood lymphocytes. *Carcinogenesis* **14**:2003-2006.
- Vedani A, Dobler M and Lill MA (2006) The challenge of predicting drug toxicity in silico. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol* **99:**195-208.
- Veenstra DL, Blough DK, Higashi MK, Farin FM, Srinouanprachan S, Rieder MJ and Rettie AE (2005) CYP2C9 haplotype structure in European American warfarin patients and association with clinical outcomes. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **77:**353-364.
- Venhorst J, ter Laak AM, Commandeur JN, Funae Y, Hiroi T and Vermeulen NP (2003) Homology modeling of rat and human cytochrome P450 2D (CYP2D) isoforms and computational rationalization of experimental ligand-binding specificities. *J Med Chem* 46:74-86.
- Venkatakrishnan K, Schmider J, Harmatz JS, Ehrenberg BL, von Moltke LL, Graf JA, Mertzanis P, Corbett KE, Rodriguez MC, Shader RI and Greenblatt DJ (2001a) Relative contribution of CYP3A to amitriptyline clearance in humans: in vitro and in vivo studies. J Clin Pharmacol 41:1043-1054.
- Venkatakrishnan K, Von Moltke LL and Greenblatt DJ (2001b) Human drug metabolism and the cytochromes P450: application and relevance of in vitro models. *J Clin Pharmacol* **41**:1149-1179.
- Venkatakrishnan K, von Moltke LL, Obach RS and Greenblatt DJ (2000) Microsomal binding of amitriptyline: effect on estimation of enzyme kinetic parameters in vitro. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 293:343-350.
- Vickers AE, Sinclair JR, Zollinger M, Heitz F, Glanzel U, Johanson L and Fischer V (1999) Multiple cytochrome P-450s involved in the metabolism of terbinafine suggest a limited potential for drug-drug interactions. *Drug Metab Dispos* **27**:1029-1038.
- Vogel G, Tuchweber B, Trost W and Mengs U (1984) Protection by silibinin against Amanita phalloides intoxication in beagles. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* **73:**355-362.
- von Moltke LL, Greenblatt DJ, Duan SX, Daily JP, Harmatz JS and Shader RI (1998a) Inhibition of desipramine hydroxylation (Cytochrome P450-2D6) in vitro by quinidine and by viral protease inhibitors: relation to drug interactions in vivo. *J Pharm Sci* 87:1184-1189.
- von Moltke LL, Greenblatt DJ, Duan SX, Schmider J, Kudchadker L, Fogelman SM, Harmatz JS and Shader RI (1996) Phenacetin O-deethylation by human liver

microsomes in vitro: inhibition by chemical probes, SSRI antidepressants, nefazodone and venlafaxine. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **128**:398-407.

- von Moltke LL, Greenblatt DJ, Schmider J, Wright CE, Harmatz JS and Shader RI (1998b) In vitro approaches to predicting drug interactions in vivo. *Biochem Pharmacol* **55:**113-122.
- Voorman RL, Payne NA, Wienkers LC, Hauer MJ and Sanders PE (2001) Interaction of delavirdine with human liver microsomal cytochrome P450: inhibition of CYP2C9, CYP2C19, and CYP2D6. *Drug Metab Dispos* 29:41-47.
- Wadelius M, Sorlin K, Wallerman O, Karlsson J, Yue QY, Magnusson PK, Wadelius C and Melhus H (2004) Warfarin sensitivity related to CYP2C9, CYP3A5, ABCB1 (MDR1) and other factors. *Pharmacogenomics J* 4:40-48.
- Wagstaff AJ and Bryson HM (1997) Tizanidine. A review of its pharmacology, clinical efficacy and tolerability in the management of spasticity associated with cerebral and spinal disorders. *Drugs* **53**:435-452.
- Waksman JC, Heard K and Joliff H ea (2000) Serotonin syndrome associated with the use of St. John's wort (Hypericum perforatum) and paroxetine (Abstract). *Clin Toxicol* 38:521.
- Wallace AC, Laskowski RA and Thornton JM (1995) LIGPLOT: A program to generate schematic diagrams of protein-ligand interactions. *Prot Eng* 8:127-134.
- Walsky RL, Gaman EA and Obach RS (2005a) Examination of 209 drugs for inhibition of cytochrome P450 2C8. J Clin Pharmacol 45:68-78.
- Walsky RL, Obach RS, Gaman EA, Gleeson JP and Proctor WR (2005b) Selective inhibition of human cytochrome P4502C8 by montelukast. *Drug Metab Dispos* 33:413-418.
- Walter-Sack I and Klotz U (1996) Influence of diet and nutritional status on drug metabolism. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **31:**47-64.
- Wang B, Sanchez RI, Franklin RB, Evans DC and Huskey SE (2004) The involvement of CYP3A4 and CYP2C9 in the metabolism of 17 alpha-ethinylestradiol. *Drug Metab Dispos* 32:1209-1212.
- Wang H and LeCluyse EL (2003) Role of orphan nuclear receptors in the regulation of drug-metabolising enzymes. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **42**:1331-1357.
- Wang JS and DeVane CL (2003) Involvement of CYP3A4, CYP2C8, and CYP2D6 in the metabolism of (R)- and (S)-methadone in vitro. *Drug Metab Dispos* **31**:742-747.
- Wang JS, Neuvonen M, Wen X, Backman JT and Neuvonen PJ (2002a) Gemfibrozil inhibits CYP2C8-mediated cerivastatin metabolism in human liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* 30:1352-1356.

- Wang LL, Li Y and Zhou SF (2009) A bioinformatics approach for the phenotype prediction of non-synonymous single nucleotide polymorphisms in human cytochrome P450s. *Drug Metab Dispos*.
- Wang RW, Liu L and Cheng H (1996) Identification of human liver cytochrome P450 isoforms involved in the in vitro metabolism of cyclobenzaprine. *Drug Metab Dispos* 24:786-791.
- Wang SL, Huang J, Lai MD and Tsai JJ (1995) Detection of CYP2C9 polymorphism based on the polymerase chain reaction in Chinese. *Pharmacogenetics* **5**:37-42.
- Wang SL, Lai MD and Huang JD (1999) G169R mutation diminishes the metabolic activity of CYP2D6 in Chinese. *Drug Metab Dispos* 27:385-388.
- Wang XD, Li JL, Su QB, Deng XY, Lu Y, Chen J, Zhang JX, Zhao LZ, Zuo Z, Chan E, Chen X, Chowbay B, Xue CC, Huang M and Zhou SF (2007) A pharmacogenetic study of pregnane X receptor (NR1I2) in Han Chinese. *Curr Drug Metab* 8:778-786.
- Wang Z, Hamman MA, Huang SM, Lesko LJ and Hall SD (2002b) Effect of St John's wort on the pharmacokinetics of fexofenadine. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **71:**414-420.
- Wang ZQ, Gorski C, Hamman MA, Huang SM, Lesko LJ and Hall SD (2001) The effects of St John's wort (*Hypericum perforatum*) on human cytochrome P450 activity. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **70:**317-326.
- Ward BA, Gorski JC, Jones DR, Hall SD, Flockhart DA and Desta Z (2003) The cytochrome P450 2B6 (CYP2B6) is the main catalyst of efavirenz primary and secondary metabolism: implication for HIV/AIDS therapy and utility of efavirenz as a substrate marker of CYP2B6 catalytic activity. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **306:**287-300.
- Warrington JS, Shader RI, von Moltke LL and Greenblatt DJ (2000) In vitro biotransformation of sildenafil (Viagra): identification of human cytochromes and potential drug interactions. *Drug Metab Dispos* 28:392-397.
- Watanabe T, Imoto I, Kosugi Y, Fukuda Y, Mimura J, Fujii Y, Isaka K, Takayama M, Sato A and Inazawa J (2001) Human arylhydrocarbon receptor repressor (AHRR) gene: genomic structure and analysis of polymorphism in endometriosis. *J Hum Genet* 46:342-346.
- Waxman DJ (1999) P450 gene induction by structurally diverse xenochemicals: central role of nuclear receptors CAR, PXR, and PPAR. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **369**:11-23.
- Waxman DJ and Chang TK (2006) Use of 7-ethoxycoumarin to monitor multiple enzymes in the human CYP1, CYP2, and CYP3 families. *Methods Mol Biol* **320**:153-156.
- Wei C, Caccavale RJ, Weyand EH, Chen S and Iba MM (2002) Induction of CYP1A1 and CYP1A2 expressions by prototypic and atypical inducers in the human lung. *Cancer Lett* 178:25-36.

Weinshilboum R (2003) Inheritance and drug response. N Engl J Med 348:529-537.

- Welfare MR, Aitkin M, Bassendine MF and Daly AK (1999) Detailed modelling of caffeine metabolism and examination of the CYP1A2 gene: lack of a polymorphism in CYP1A2 in Caucasians. *Pharmacogenetics* **9:**367-375.
- Wen X, Wang JS, Backman JT, Laitila J and Neuvonen PJ (2002a) Trimethoprim and sulfamethoxazole are selective inhibitors of CYP2C8 and CYP2C9, respectively. *Drug Metab Dispos* 30:631-635.
- Wen X, Wang JS, Neuvonen PJ and Backman JT (2002b) Isoniazid is a mechanism-based inhibitor of cytochrome P450 1A2, 2A6, 2C19 and 3A4 isoforms in human liver microsomes. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 57:799-804.
- Wennerholm A, Dandara C, Sayi J, Svensson JO, Abdi YA, Ingelman-Sundberg M, Bertilsson L, Hasler J and Gustafsson LL (2002) The African-specific CYP2D617 allele encodes an enzyme with changed substrate specificity. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 71:77-88.
- Wennerholm A, Johansson I, Hidestrand M, Bertilsson L, Gustafsson LL and Ingelman-Sundberg M (2001) Characterization of the CYP2D6\*29 allele commonly present in a black Tanzanian population causing reduced catalytic activity. *Pharmacogenetics* 11:417-427.
- Werck-Reichhart D and Feyereisen R (2000) Cytochromes P450: a success story. *Genome Biol* 1:REVIEWS3003.
- Wester MR, Johnson EF, Marques-Soares C, Dansette PM, Mansuy D and Stout CD (2003) Structure of a substrate complex of mammalian cytochrome P450 2C5 at 2.3 A resolution: evidence for multiple substrate binding modes. *Biochemistry* 42:6370-6379.
- Wester MR, Lasker JM, Johnson EF and Raucy JL (2000) CYP2C19 participates in tolbutamide hydroxylation by human liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **28**:354-359.
- Wester MR, Yano JK, Schoch GA, Yang C, Griffin KJ, Stout CD and Johnson EF (2004) The structure of human cytochrome P450 2C9 complexed with flurbiprofen at 2.0-A resolution. *J Biol Chem* **279:**35630-35637.
- Westphal K, Weinbrenner A, Zschiesche M, Franke G, Knoke M, Oertel R, Fritz P, von Richter O, Warzok R, Hachenberg T, Kauffmann HM, Schrenk D, Terhaag B, Kroemer HK and Siegmund W (2000) Induction of P-glycoprotein by rifampin increases intestinal secretion of talinolol in human beings: a new type of drug/drug interaction. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 68:345-355.
- WH S (1991) Warfarin and garlic. Pharm J 246:722.
- Wieling J, Tamminga WJ, Sakiman EP, Oosterhuis B, Wemer J and Jonkman JHG (2000) Evaluation of analytical and clinical performance of a dual-probe phenotyping method for CYP2D6 polymorphism and CYP3A4 activity screening. *Ther Drug Monit* 22:486-496.

- Wietholtz H, Voegelin M, Arnaud MJ, Bircher J and Preisig R (1981) Assessment of the cytochrome P-448 dependent liver enzyme system by a caffeine breath test. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **21:**53-59.
- Wild MJ, McKillop D and Butters CJ (1999) Determination of the human cytochrome P450 isoforms involved in the metabolism of zolmitriptan. *Xenobiotica* **29**:847-857.
- Wilkinson GR (1997) The effects of diet, aging and disease-states on presystemic elimination and oral drug bioavailability in humans. *Adv Drug Deliver Rev* **27:**129-159.
- Wilkinson GR (2005) Drug metabolism and variability among patients in drug response. *N Engl J Med* **352:**2211-2221.
- Willey JC, Coy EL, Frampton MW, Torres A, Apostolakos MJ, Hoehn G, Schuermann WH, Thilly WG, Olson DE, Hammersley JR, Crespi CL and Utell MJ (1997) Quantitative RT-PCR measurement of cytochromes p450 1A1, 1B1, and 2B7, microsomal epoxide hydrolase, and NADPH oxidoreductase expression in lung cells of smokers and nonsmokers. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 17:114-124.
- Williams JA, Ring BJ, Cantrell VE, Jones DR, Eckstein J, Ruterbories K, Hamman MA, Hall SD and Wrighton SA (2002) Comparative metabolic capabilities of CYP3A4, CYP3A5, and CYP3A7. *Drug Metab Dispos* 30:883-891.
- Williams PA, Cosme J, Sridhar V, Johnson EF and McRee DE (2000) Mammalian microsomal cytochrome P450 monooxygenase: structural adaptations for membrane binding and functional diversity. *Mol Cell* 5:121-131.
- Williams PA, Cosme J, Vinkovic DM, Ward A, Angove HC, Day PJ, Vonrhein C, Tickle IJ and Jhoti H (2004) Crystal structures of human cytochrome P450 3A4 bound to metyrapone and progesterone. *Science* **305**:683-686.
- Williams PA, Cosme J, Ward A, Angove HC, Matak Vinkovic D and Jhoti H (2003) Crystal structure of human cytochrome P450 2C9 with bound warfarin. *Nature* 424:464-468.
- Wilson AG, White AC and Mueller RA (2003) Role of predictive metabolism and toxicity modeling in drug discovery--a summary of some recent advancements. *Curr Opin Drug Discov Devel* **6**:123-128.
- Wing LM and Miners JO (1985) Cotrimoxazole as an inhibitor of oxidative drug metabolism: effects of trimethoprim and sulphamethoxazole separately and combined on tolbutamide disposition. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **20**:482-485.
- Winter HR, Wang Y and Unadkat JD (2000) CYP2C8/9 mediate dapsone N-hydroxylation at clinical concentrations of dapsone. *Drug Metab Dispos* 28:865-868.
- Wojcikowski J, Maurel P and Daniel WA (2006) Characterization of human cytochrome p450 enzymes involved in the metabolism of the piperidine-type phenothiazine neuroleptic thioridazine. *Drug Metab Dispos* **34:**471-476.

- Wojcikowski J, Pichard-Garcia L, Maurel P and Daniel WA (2003) Contribution of human cytochrome p-450 isoforms to the metabolism of the simplest phenothiazine neuroleptic promazine. *Br J Pharmacol* **138**:1465-1474.
- Wokke J (1996) Riluzole. Lancet 348:795-799.
- Wolkenstein P, Tan C, Lecoeur S, Wechsler J, Garcia-Martin N, Charue D, Bagot M and Beaune P (1998) Covalent binding of carbamazepine reactive metabolites to P450 isoforms present in the skin. *Chem Biol Interact* 113:39-50.
- Wong DD, Longenecker RG, Liepman M, Baker S and LaVergne M (1985) Phenytoin-dexamethasone: a possible drug-drug interaction. *Jama* **254:**2062-2063.
- Wooltorton E and Sibbald B (2002) Ephedra/ephedrine: cardiovascular and CNS effects. *Cmaj* **166:**633.
- Wortham M, Czerwinski M, He L, Parkinson A and Wan YJ (2007) Expression of constitutive androstane receptor, hepatic nuclear factor 4 alpha, and P450 oxidoreductase genes determines interindividual variability in basal expression and activity of a broad scope of xenobiotic metabolism genes in the human liver. *Drug Metab Dispos* 35:1700-1710.
- Wrighton SA, Brian WR, Sari MA, Iwasaki M, Guengerich FP, Raucy JL, Molowa DT and Vandenbranden M (1990) Studies on the expression and metabolic capabilities of human liver cytochrome P450IIIA5 (HLp3). *Mol Pharmacol* 38:207-213.
- Wu D, Otton SV, Inaba T, Kalow W and Sellers EM (1997) Interactions of amphetamine analogs with human liver CYP2D6. *Biochem Pharmacol* **53**:1605-1612.
- Wu JW, Lin LC and Tsai TH (2009) Drug-drug interactions of silymarin on the perspective of pharmacokinetics. J Ethnopharmacol 121:185-193.
- Wu WS, Lin JK and Wu FY (1992) Differential induction of c-fos and c-jun proto-oncogenes and AP-1 activity by tumor promoter 12-O-tetradecanoyl phorbol 13-acetate in cells at different stages of tumor promotion in vitro. Oncogene 7:2287-2294.
- Wu ZL, Huang SL, Ou-Yang DS, Xu ZH, Xie HG and Zhou HH (1998) Clomipramine N-demethylation metabolism in human liver microsomes. *Zhongguo Yao Li Xue Bao* 19:433-436.
- Xie HG, Prasad HC, Kim RB and Stein CM (2002) CYP2C9 allelic variants: ethnic distribution and functional significance. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* **54**:1257-1270.
- Xie W, Barwick JL, Downes M, Blumberg B, Simon CM, Nelson MC, Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Brunt EM, Guzelian PS and Evans RM (2000) Humanized xenobiotic response in mice expressing nuclear receptor SXR. *Nature* **406**:435-439.
- Xin HW, Wu XC, Li Q, Yu AR, Zhu M, Shen Y, Su D and Xiong L (2007) Effects of Schisandra sphenanthera extract on the pharmacokinetics of tacrolimus in healthy volunteers. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **64:**469-475.

- Yamano S, Tatsuno J and Gonzalez FJ (1990) The CYP2A3 gene product catalyzes coumarin 7-hydroxylation in human liver microsomes. *Biochemistry* **29**:1322-1329.
- Yamashita K, Umemoto A, Grivas S, Kato S, Sato S and Sugimura T (1988) Heterocyclic amine-DNA adducts analyzed by 32P-postlabeling method. *Nucleic Acids Symp Ser*:111-114.
- Yamazaki H, Inoue K, Chiba K, Ozawa N, Kawai T, Suzuki Y, Goldstein JA, Guengerich FP and Shimada T (1998) Comparative studies on the catalytic roles of cytochrome P450 2C9 and its Cys- and Leu-variants in the oxidation of warfarin, flurbiprofen, and diclofenac by human liver microsomes. *Biochem Pharmacol* 56:243-251.
- Yamazaki H, Inoue K, Mimura M, Oda Y, Guengerich FP and Shimada T (1996)
  7-Ethoxycoumarin O-deethylation catalyzed by cytochromes P450 1A2 and 2E1 in human liver microsomes. *Biochem Pharmacol* 51:313-319.
- Yamazaki H, Kiyotani K, Tsubuko S, Matsunaga M, Fujieda M, Saito T, Miura J, Kobayashi S and Kamataki T (2003) Two novel haplotypes of CYP2D6 gene in a Japanese population. *Drug Metab Pharmacokinet* 18:269-271.
- Yamazaki H, Shibata A, Suzuki M, Nakajima M, Shimada N, Guengerich FP and Yokoi T (1999) Oxidation of troglitazone to a quinone-type metabolite catalyzed by cytochrome P-450 2C8 and P-450 3A4 in human liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* 27:1260-1266.
- Yamazaki H and Shimada T (1997) Progesterone and testosterone hydroxylation by cytochromes P450 2C19, 2C9, and 3A4 in human liver microsomes. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **346**:161-169.
- Yanagihara Y, Kariya S, Ohtani M, Uchino K, Aoyama T, Yamamura Y and Iga T (2001) Involvement of CYP2B6 in n-demethylation of ketamine in human liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* 29:887-890.
- Yanev S, Kent UM, Pandova B and Hollenberg PF (1999) Selective mechanism-based inactivation of cytochromes P-450 2B1 and P-450 2B6 by a series of xanthates. *Drug Metab Dispos* 27:600-604.
- Yano JK, Denton TT, Cerny MA, Zhang X, Johnson EF and Cashman JR (2006) Synthetic inhibitors of cytochrome P-450 2A6: inhibitory activity, difference spectra, mechanism of inhibition, and protein cocrystallization. J Med Chem 49:6987-7001.
- Yano JK, Hsu MH, Griffin KJ, Stout CD and Johnson EF (2005) Structures of human microsomal cytochrome P450 2A6 complexed with coumarin and methoxsalen. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 12:822-823.
- Yano JK, Wester MR, Schoch GA, Griffin KJ, Stout CD and Johnson EF (2004) The structure of human microsomal cytochrome P450 3A4 determined by X-ray crystallography to 2.05-A resolution. J Biol Chem 279:38091-38094.
- Yasar U, Annas A, Svensson JO, Lazorova L, Artursson P and Al-Shurbaji A (2005) Ketobemidone is a substrate for cytochrome P4502C9 and 3A4, but not for P-glycoprotein. *Xenobiotica* 35:785-796.

- Yasar U, Eliasson E, Dahl ML, Johansson I, Ingelman-Sundberg M and Sjoqvist F (1999) Validation of methods for CYP2C9 genotyping: frequencies of mutant alleles in a Swedish population. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 254:628-631.
- Yin OQ, Tomlinson B, Waye MM, Chow AH and Chow MS (2004) Pharmacogenetics and herb-drug interactions: experience with Ginkgo biloba and omeprazole. *Pharmacogenetics* 14:841-850.
- Yokoi T, Kosaka Y, Chida M, Chiba K, Nakamura H, Ishizaki T, Kinoshita M, Sato K, Gonzalez FJ and Kamataki T (1996) A new CYP2D6 allele with a nine base insertion in exon 9 in a Japanese population associated with poor metabolizer phenotype. *Pharmacogenetics* 6:395-401.
- Yokota H, Tamura S, Furuya H, Kimura S, Watanabe M, Kanazawa I, Kondo I and Gonzalez FJ (1993) Evidence for a new variant CYP2D6 allele CYP2D6J in a Japanese population associated with lower in vivo rates of sparteine metabolism. *Pharmacogenetics* **3:**256-263.
- Yoon YR, Shon JH, Kim MK, Lim YC, Lee HR, Park JY, Cha IJ and Shin JG (2001) Frequency of cytochrome P450 2C9 mutant alleles in a Korean population. Br J Clin Pharmacol 51:277-280.
- Yoshinari K, Ueda R, Kusano K, Yoshimura T, Nagata K and Yamazoe Y (2008) Omeprazole transactivates human CYP1A1 and CYP1A2 expression through the common regulatory region containing multiple xenobiotic-responsive elements. *Biochem Pharmacol* 76:139-145.
- Yu AM, Idle JR, Byrd LG, Krausz KW, Kupfer A and Gonzalez FJ (2003a) Regeneration of serotonin from 5-methoxytryptamine by polymorphic human CYP2D6. *Pharmacogenetics* **13**:173-181.
- Yu AM, Idle JR, Herraiz T, Kupfer A and Gonzalez FJ (2003b) Screening for endogenous substrates reveals that CYP2D6 is a 5-methoxyindolethylamine O-demethylase. *Pharmacogenetics* **13**:307-319.
- Yu CM, Chan JC and Sanderson JE (1997) Chinese herbs and warfarin potentiation by 'danshen'. *J Intern Med* **241:**337-339.
- Yuan CS, Wei G, Dey L, Karrison T, Nahlik L, Maleckar S, Kasza K, Ang-Lee M and Moss J (2004) Brief communication: American ginseng reduces warfarin's effect in healthy patients: a randomized, controlled Trial. Ann Intern Med 141:23-27.
- Yuan H, Huang Z, Yang G, Lv H, Sang H and Yao Y (2008) Effects of polymorphism of the beta(1) adrenoreceptor and CYP2D6 on the therapeutic effects of metoprolol. J Int Med Res 36:1354-1362.
- Yue QY, Bergquist C and Gerden B (2000a) Safety of St John's wort (*Hypericum perforatum*). *Lancet* **355**:548-549.
- Yue QY, Bergquist C and Gerden B (2000b) Safety of St John's wort (Hypericum perforatum). *Lancet* **355:**576-577.

- Yueh MF, Huang YH, Hiller A, Chen S, Nguyen N and Tukey RH (2003) Involvement of the xenobiotic response element (XRE) in Ah receptor-mediated induction of human UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A1. J Biol Chem 278:15001-15006.
- Yumibe N, Huie K, Chen KJ, Clement RP and Cayen MN (1995) Identification of human liver cytochrome P450s involved in the microsomal metabolism of the antihistaminic drug loratadine. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* **107:**420.
- Yumibe N, Huie K, Chen KJ, Snow M, Clement RP and Cayen MN (1996) Identification of human liver cytochrome P450 enzymes that metabolize the nonsedating antihistamine loratadine. Formation of descarboethoxyloratadine by CYP3A4 and CYP2D6. *Biochem Pharmacol* **51**:165-172.
- Yun CH, Miller GP and Guengerich FP (2000) Rate-determining steps in phenacetin oxidations by human cytochrome P450 1A2 and selected mutants. *Biochemistry* 39:11319-11329.
- Yun CH, Shimada T and Guengerich FP (1992) Roles of human liver cytochrome P4502C and 3A enzymes in the 3-hydroxylation of benzo(a)pyrene. *Cancer Res* 52:1868-1874.
- Zand N, Tajik N, Moghaddam AS and Milanian I (2007) Genetic polymorphisms of cytochrome P450 enzymes 2C9 and 2C19 in a healthy Iranian population. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol* **34:**102-105.
- Zaphiropoulos PG (1997) Exon skipping and circular RNA formation in transcripts of the human cytochrome P-450 2C18 gene in epidermis and of the rat androgen binding protein gene in testis. *Mol Cell Biol* **17:**2985-2993.
- Zevin S and Benowitz NL (1999) Drug interactions with tobacco smoking. An update. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **36**:425-438.
- Zgheib NK, Frye RF, Tracy TS, Romkes M and Branch RA (2006) Validation of incorporating flurbiprofen into the Pittsburgh cocktail. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **80**:257-263.
- Zhai S, Dai R, Friedman FK and Vestal RE (1998) Comparative inhibition of human cytochromes P4501A1 and 1A2 by flavonoids. *Drug Metab Dispos* **26**:989-992.
- Zhang J, Kuehl P, Green ED, Touchman JW, Watkins PB, Daly A, Hall SD, Maurel P, Relling M, Brimer C, Yasuda K, Wrighton SA, Hancock M, Kim RB, Strom S, Thummel K, Russell CG, Hudson JR, Jr., Schuetz EG and Boguski MS (2001) The human pregnane X receptor: genomic structure and identification and functional characterization of natural allelic variants. *Pharmacogenetics* 11:555-572.
- Zhang J, Tian Q, Chan SY, Duan W and Zhou S (2005) Insights into oxazaphosphorine resistance and possible approaches to its circumvention. *Drug Resist Updat* 8:271-297.
- Zhang J, Tian Q and Zhou SF (2006) Clinical pharmacology of cyclophosphamide and ifosfamide. *Curr Drug Ther* **1**:104-168.

- Zhang L, Savas U, Alexander DL and Jefcoate CR (1998) Characterization of the mouse Cyp1B1 gene. Identification of an enhancer region that directs aryl hydrocarbon receptor-mediated constitutive and induced expression. *J Biol Chem* 273:5174-5183.
- Zhang L, Zheng W and Jefcoate CR (2003) Ah receptor regulation of mouse Cyp1B1 is additionally modulated by a second novel complex that forms at two AhR response elements. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* **192:**174-190.
- Zhang X, D'Agostino J, Wu H, Zhang QY, von Weymarn L, Murphy SE and Ding X (2007) CYP2A13: variable expression and role in human lung microsomal metabolic activation of the tobacco-specific carcinogen 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 323:570-578.
- Zhang ZY and Kaminsky LS (1995) Characterization of human cytochromes P450 involved in theophylline 8-hydroxylation. *Biochem Pharmacol* **50**:205-211.
- Zhao F, Loke C, Rankin SC, Guo JY, Lee HS, Wu TS, Tan T, Liu TC, Lu WL, Lim YT, Zhang Q, Goh BC and Lee SC (2004) Novel CYP2C9 genetic variants in Asian subjects and their influence on maintenance warfarin dose. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 76:210-219.
- Zhao Y, White MA, Muralidhara BK, Sun L, Halpert JR and Stout CD (2006) Structure of microsomal cytochrome P450 2B4 complexed with the antifungal drug bifonazole: insight into P450 conformational plasticity and membrane interaction. *J Biol Chem* 281:5973-5981.
- Zhou H, Josephy PD, Kim D and Guengerich FP (2004a) Functional characterization of four allelic variants of human cytochrome P450 1A2. Arch Biochem Biophys 422:23-30.
- Zhou Q, Yao TW, Yu YN and Zeng S (2003a) Concentration dependent stereoselectivity of propafenone N-depropylation metabolism with human hepatic recombinant CYP1A2. *Pharmazie* 58:651-653.
- Zhou S, Chan E, Duan W, Huang M and Chen YZ (2005a) Drug bioactivation, covalent binding to target proteins and toxicity relevance. *Drug Metab Rev* **37:**41-213.
- Zhou S, Chan E, Li SC, Huang M, Chen X, Li X, Zhang Q and Paxton JW (2004b) Predicting pharmacokinetic herb-drug interactions. *Drug Metabol Drug Interact* 20:143-158.
- Zhou S, Chan E, Lim LY, Boelsterli UA, Li SC, Wang J, Zhang Q, Huang M and Xu A (2004c) Therapeutic drugs that behave as mechanism-based inhibitors of cytochrome P450 3A4. *Curr Drug Metab* 5:415-442.
- Zhou S, Chan E, Pan SQ, Huang M and Lee EJ (2004d) Pharmacokinetic interactions of drugs with St John's wort. *J Psychopharmacol* 18:262-276.
- Zhou S, Gao Y, Jiang W, Huang M, Xu A and Paxton JW (2003b) Interactions of herbs with cytochrome P450. *Drug Metab Rev* **35:**35-98.

- Zhou S, Huang M, Xu A, Yang H, Duan W and Paxton JW (2005b) Prediction of herb-drug metabolic interactions: a simulation study. *Phytother Res* **19**:464-471.
- Zhou S, Kestell P and Paxton JW (2002) Predicting pharmacokinetics and drug interactions in patients from in vitro and in vivo models: the experience with 5,6-dimethylxanthenone-4-acetic acid (DMXAA), an anti-cancer drug eliminated mainly by conjugation. *Drug Metab Rev* 34:751-790.
- Zhou S, Lim LY and Chowbay B (2004e) Herbal modulation of P-glycoprotein. *Drug Metab Rev* **36:**57-104.
- Zhou S, Paxton JW, Tingle MD and Kestell P (2000) Identification of the human liver cytochrome P450 isoenzyme responsible for the 6-methylhydroxylation of the novel anticancer drug 5,6-dimethylxanthenone-4-acetic acid. *Drug Metab Dispos* 28:1449-1456.
- Zhou S, Yung Chan S, Cher Goh B, Chan E, Duan W, Huang M and McLeod HL (2005c) Mechanism-based inhibition of cytochrome P450 3A4 by therapeutic drugs. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 44:279-304.
- Zhou SF (2008a) Drugs behave as substrates, inhibitors and inducers of human cytochrome P450 3A4. *Curr Drug Metab* **9:**310-322.
- Zhou SF (2008b) Potential strategies for minimizing mechanism-based inhibition of cytochrome P450 3A4. *Curr Pharm Des* **14**:990-1000.
- Zhou SF, Chan E, Zhou ZW, Xue CC, Lai X and Duan W (2009) Insights into the structure, function, and regulation of human cytochrome P450 1A2. *Curr Drug Metab* (in press).
- Zhou SF, Di YM, Chan E, Du YM, Chow VD, Xue CC, Lai X, Wang JC, Li CG, Tian M and Duan W (2008) Clinical pharmacogenetics and potential application in personalized medicine. *Curr Drug Metab* 9:738-784.
- Zhou SF, Gao YH, Wen QJ, Huang M, Xu AL and Paxton JW (2003c) Interactions of herbs with cytochrome P450. *Drug Metab Rev* **35:**35-98.
- Zhou SF and Lai X (2008) An update on clinical drug interactions with the herbal antidepressant St. John's wort. *Curr Drug Metab* **9**:394-409.
- Zhou SF, Zhou ZW, Li CG, Chen X, Yu X, Xue CC and Herington A (2007) Identification of drugs that interact with herbs in drug development. *Drug Discov Today* **12:**664-673.
- Zhou YH, Zheng QC, Li ZS, Zhang Y, Sun M, Sun CC, Si D, Cai L, Guo Y and Zhou H (2006) On the human CYP2C9\*13 variant activity reduction: a molecular dynamics simulation and docking study. *Biochimie* 88:1457-1465.
- Zhu B, Ou-Yang DS, Chen XP, Huang SL, Tan ZR, He N and Zhou HH (2001) Assessment of cytochrome P450 activity by a five-drug cocktail approach. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **70**:455-461.

- Zhu LR, Thomas PE, Lu G, Reuhl KR, Yang GY, Wang LD, Wang SL, Yang CS, He XY and Hong JY (2006) CYP2A13 in human respiratory tissues and lung cancers: an immunohistochemical study with a new peptide-specific antibody. *Drug Metab Dispos* 34:1672-1676.
- Zhu M, Yeung RY, Lin KF and Li RC (2000) Improvement of phase I drug metabolism with *Schisandra chinensis* against CCl4 hepatotoxicity in a rat model. *Planta Med* **66**:521-525.
- Zou L, Harkey MR and Henderson GL (2002) Effects of herbal components on cDNA-expressed cytochrome P450 enzyme catalytic activity. *Life Sci* 71:1579-1589.
- Zuber R, Modriansky M, Dvorak Z, Rohovsky P, Ulrichova J, Simanek V and Anzenbacher P (2002) Effect of silybin and its congeners on human liver microsomal cytochrome P450 activities. *Phytother Res* **16**:632-638.