When specifying systems one has to be aware of the subtle differences between \textit{null} and \textit{undefined}: Any programmer expects that \textit{null} = \textit{null} is true and that \textit{undefined} = \textit{undefined} is nonsense.

OCL cannot be used to specify the behaviour of operations, because: (i) the specification may call operations defined in the model as long as they are side-effect free, (ii) these operations can be overridden, even if they are defined in the OCL standard library, and (iii) \textit{virtual binding} is used to resolve such calls. As a consequence, the meaning of constraints in a class diagram depends on its implementation.

Lamport and Paulson hold the opinion that mathematicians are so intelligent that their specification languages do not need to be typed [LP99]. Specification languages like OCL demonstrate the contrary.

Karl Popper’s remark that “whenever a theory appears to you as the only possible one, take this as a sign that you have neither understood the theory nor the problem which it was intended to solve” [Pop72] holds especially for UML.

UML 2.0 state machines can be rigorously formalised in about ten pages of rewriting logic [Sch05], which expose all ambiguities and unclarities [FSKdR05] occurring in the 68 page description in UML 2.0 [Obj04].

UML state machines improve drastically on most modern object-oriented programming languages, whose semantics is based on ALGOL-60, by basing their semantics on Hewitt’s actor model [Hew76].

Some of the problems of proving industrial applications correct are: (i) The given specification is almost never correct. (ii) The given application is not structurally described, i.e., by composing simpler constructs to complicated ones in a hierarchical manner, also called by stepwise hierarchical refinement.

Completeness results are only relevant if the proof of completeness shows a generally applicable method for \textit{de facto} constructing a proof for a correct program.

Old-Norse poetry like \textit{Ynglingatalf} [AM 45 fol.] has been recorded by Christians. We have to mind this fact when arguing whether there was \textit{sacral kingship} (where a king is viewed as a mediator or executive agent of a god) in pre-Christian Scandinavia of which features have been maintained by kings of Christian medieval
Scandinavia [Bae64]. We must also not forget that our reception of these poems is heavily influenced by our own culture [Fro51], which is strongly affected by Christianity.

X

The main problem of designing a distributed version of a Linda-tuple-space is not that Linda is inherently inefficient, but that it is difficult to find reasonable fairness requirements [Der05, Hlu05].

XI

Paul Lorenzen devised game semantics (Dialogische Logik), because every scientist, especially humanists, should be able to reason formally [KL96]. However, most non-logicians do not apprehend game semantics.

XII

If inventions can be patented that do not make causally determined use of natural matter and energy, as is the case with software, then all teaching concerning mental activity becomes susceptible to patent litigation.
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