U.S. CH$_4$ emissions from oil and gas production: Have recent large increases been detected?
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Abstract

Recent studies have proposed significant increases in CH$_4$ emissions possibly from oil and gas (O&G) production, especially for the U.S. where O&G production has reached historically high levels over the past decade. In this study, we show that an ensemble of time-dependent atmospheric inversions constrained by calibrated atmospheric observations of surface CH$_4$ mole fraction, with some including space-based retrievals of column average CH$_4$ mole fractions, suggests that North American CH$_4$ emissions have been flat over years spanning 2000 through 2012. Estimates of emission trends using zonal gradients of column average CH$_4$ calculated relative to an upstream background are not easy to make due to atmospheric variability, relative insensitivity of column average CH$_4$ to surface emissions at regional scales, and fast zonal synoptic transport. In addition, any trends in continental enhancements of column average CH$_4$ are sensitive to how the upstream background is chosen, and model simulations imply that short-term (4 years or less) trends in column average CH$_4$ horizontal gradients of up to 1.5 ppb/yr can occur just from interannual transport variability acting on a strong latitudinal CH$_4$ gradient. Finally, trends in spatial gradients calculated from space-based column average CH$_4$ can be significantly biased (>&2–3 ppb/yr) due to the nonuniform and seasonally varying temporal coverage of satellite retrievals.

Plain Language Summary

In this paper we address recent claims of significant increases in methane emissions from U.S. oil and gas production. We find that such claims are inconsistent with observations by examining atmospheric inversions and observations from the NOAA aircraft monitoring program. Furthermore, we show how atmospheric variability, sampling biases, and choice of upwind background can lead to spurious trends in atmospheric column average methane when using both in situ and space-based retrievals.

1. Introduction

The global methane budget has received much attention in recent years [e.g., Kirschke et al., 2013; Nisbet et al., 2014, 2016; Schaefer et al., 2016], and to date, syntheses of regional methane budgets exist for South Asia [Patra et al., 2013], the Arctic [AMAP Assessment, 2015], North America [Miller et al., 2013], Europe [Bergamaschi et al., 2015] and South America [Wilson et al., 2016]. North America is thought to contribute 5–10% of global methane emissions [Kirschke et al., 2013]. The global CarbonTracker–CH$_4$ inversion estimates that North American CH$_4$ emission account for 10% of the global total over 2000 to 2010 [Bruhwiler et al., 2014]. A recent regional inversion study by Miller et al. [2013] found that annual U.S. CH$_4$ emissions are underestimated by a factor 1.5 to 1.7 relative to inventories. Using Greenhouse Gases Observing Satellite (GOSAT) retrievals of satellite column average CH$_4$ for 2010–2014, Turner et al. [2016] reported a recent large increase in U.S. CH$_4$ emissions, about 20% per year relative to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Greenhouse Gas Inventory (GHGI) [US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2016]. By considering surface observations, the results of three different atmospheric inversions and GOSAT retrievals, Turner et al. [2016] estimated that North American CH$_4$ emissions have risen by over 30% over 2002–2014. Such an increase would account for 30–60% of the global increase in atmospheric CH$_4$ observed since 2007 by NOAA’s Cooperative Air Sampling
et al. [2016] point out that they are unable to attribute the emission changes to individual sectors (e.g., livestock, agriculture, and fossil fuel production) but they suggest that O&G (oil and gas) production is likely to be behind the large increase they infer. Franco et al. [2016] proposed that U.S. O&G emissions increased by 15 TgCH4/yr over 2008–2014 based on ground-based Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) observations of C2H6 and a single C2H6/CH4 emission ratio for U.S. O&G emissions. Hausmann et al. [2016] also proposed large increases in CH4 emissions based on their retrieval of column averaged CH4 and C2H6 using a surface-based FTIR spectrometer at Zugspitze, Germany. They found that increases in fugitive emissions from O&G production (not necessarily attributable to the U.S.) account for 13–53% of the renewed global CH4 growth since 2007. Helmig et al. [2016] pointed out that observed increases in ethane and propane could suggest large increases in U.S. CH4 emissions from O&G production, but that such a conclusion would be inconsistent with other evidence, such as global observations of the methane isotope, δ13CH4. Although observational evidence is compelling that atmospheric C2H6 has indeed increased, it is rather more difficult to obtain the corresponding increases in CH4 emissions because the ratio of methane to ethane emitted as a result of fugitive fossil fuel emissions is highly variable. Peischl et al. [2015, 2016] showed that this ratio could vary over 2 orders of magnitude based on measurements from four different U.S. O&G production regions.

Global δ13CH4 observations constrain the global contribution of microbial sources relative to nonmicrobial sources, such as fossil fuel production. Schaefer et al. [2016] showed that the observed trend in atmospheric δ13C toward more depleted values after 2006 puts an upper limit on the increase of thermogenic sources (including fossil fuel emissions). They estimated that thermogenic emissions account for only 0.9 ± 4.8 TgCH4/yr of the recent global increase of 19.7 TgCH4/yr since 2006. The results of Nisbet et al. [2016] show a similar trend in atmospheric δ13C, and they suggest that increases in emissions from tropical wetlands, rice paddies, and ruminants are likely to be behind the recent global CH4 increase. A recent study by Schwietzke et al. [2016] makes use of a large set of global δ13CH4 observations to show that, while fossil fuel and geologic emissions make up a larger share of global emissions than previously thought (60–110% greater), they have remained relatively stable over the past few decades even as production has increased.

The question of whether CH4 emissions from the U.S. O&G sector have increased is of importance, especially considering the potential spread of new extraction technologies developed in the U.S. to exploit unconventional O&G reserves. Natural gas is regarded by some as a potential "bridge" fuel until large-scale zero carbon energy becomes economically feasible, since its CO2 emissions are half those from coal per unit of power generated [Alvarez et al., 2012; Zavala-Araiza et al., 2015]. Coal production is also a source of atmospheric CH4 [US Energy Information Administration (EIA), 2016]. However, supply chain leak rates must be small for there to be a climate benefit from switching from coal to natural gas.

2. Inferring Emission Trends From Atmospheric Inverse Models

Atmospheric inversions combine atmospheric mole fraction observations, emissions from inventories and process-based models ("priors"), and atmospheric chemistry-transport models to infer spatially and temporally resolved optimized ("posterior") methane emissions and their uncertainties. The Global Carbon Project gathered 30 different global inversions provided by 8 different research groups worldwide for 2000–2012 (Saunois et al. [2016], updating Kirschke et al. [2013]). The different inversions (see Table 1) vary in the observations assimilated (e.g., surface observations and/or retrievals of column average CH4 from GOSAT or Scanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric Chartography, on Envisat (SCIAMACHY) spaceborne instruments), the atmospheric chemical transport model used, and the inversion set up (prior emissions, prior uncertainties, and inverse technique). Assumptions made about uncertainty of the simulated observations due to transport errors and the uncertainties of prior emissions are particularly important because the relative size of these errors determines the weighting of observations relative to prior emissions in determining the solution. Optimized annual emissions for the U.S. have been extracted from this ensemble of inversion for 2000 to 2014 (Figure 1). In the following text, we will refer to inversions that sequentially estimate over time as "time dependent," the alternative being estimation of time-averaged fluxes.

Figure 1a reveals that mean posterior emissions for the contiguous U.S. from the inversion ensemble show a large spread, varying from 30 to 50 TgCH4/yr on average over 2000–2012. The inversion ensemble does not suggest a large, significant trend in U.S. emissions over this period. Several previous studies
Table 1. Characteristics of Inversions Used by Turner et al. [2016]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inversion</th>
<th>Atmospheric Transport Model</th>
<th>Observational Constraints</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Prior Emissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Wecht et al. [2014]) Global fluxes estimated at coarse resolution and finer resolution for North America.</td>
<td>GEOS-Chem Horizontal resolution: 4° × 5° global 1/2° × 2/3° North America (<a href="http://acmg.seas.harvard.edu/geos/">http://acmg.seas.harvard.edu/geos/</a>)</td>
<td>SCIAMACHY column average CH₄ (Frankenberg et al. [2011])</td>
<td>22 Jun to 14 Aug 2004 (a priori seasonal cycle used to get annual emissions)</td>
<td>Edgar v4.2 (European Commission [2011]) wetland emissions: (Kaplan [2002]) Biomass Burning: Global Fire Emissions Database, version 3 (van der Werf et al. [2006])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Turner et al. [2015]) Inversion based on Wecht et al. [2014]</td>
<td>As for Wecht et al. [2014]</td>
<td>GOSAT column average CH₄ (Kuze et al. [2009] and Parker et al. [2011])</td>
<td>Jun 2009 to Dec 2011</td>
<td>As for Wecht et al. [2014]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[e.g., Bousquet et al., 2006] have pointed out that interannual changes in emissions estimated by inverse modeling are more robust than long-term mean emissions, and the inversion ensemble shows a smaller spread among emission anomalies (Figure 1b). Inversions constrained by both surface observations and space-based retrievals of column average CH₄ also do not appear to yield noticeably different emission estimates from those using only surface observations.

Ideally, to estimate a trend over a period from flux inversions one should use a consistent inversion framework over the entire period. If different inversion methods are used for different parts of the period, the methods should be comparable. However, that is not the case for the emission trend derived by Turner et al. [2016]. That emission trend (also shown in Figure 1) is based on estimates of U.S. CH₄ emissions from three different inversion systems: Wecht et al. [2014], Miller et al. [2013], and Turner et al. [2015]. As summarized in Table 1, these inversions differ significantly in estimation technique, observational constraints, temporal resolution, atmospheric transport model, boundary conditions, and prior emissions. A major source of difference among inversions is atmospheric transport, and Locatelli et al. [2015] showed that differences in modeled transport can change the source apportionment among regions. Although Wecht et al. [2014] and Turner et al. [2015] use the same atmospheric transport model, the regional approach of Miller et al. [2013] is very different and uses offline wind fields from a regional weather prediction model to drive a Lagrangian particle dispersion model. Obtaining trend information from such different approaches requires that the methods be comparable. Alternatively, a consistent flux estimation framework applied over the entire period could be used.

A second important source of variation among inversions has to do with the choice of observations. Satellite column retrievals cannot be calibrated against World Meteorological Organization-traceable CH₄ standards and may have biases that vary spatially and over time [Monteil et al., 2013]. Even though GOSAT and SCIAMACHY are both shortwave infrared (SWIR) instruments, it has to be demonstrated that their retrievals are comparable enough to be used together for trend detection as done by Turner et al. [2016]. Miller et al. [2013] used observations from surface sites, aircraft, and towers (Table 1), and these in situ observations provide specific information about CH₄ near the surface as well as its vertical distribution (rather than a column average). Lack of data coverage, whether in situ observations or retrievals from space-based instruments, can lead to two problems: a solution that stays close to the prior estimate in unconstrained regions and an exaggerated sensitivity to local sources. Bruhwiler et al. [2014] noted the influence of observations at Southern Great Plains (SGP), a site located near a rapidly expanding O&G basin in Oklahoma, on estimated CH₄ emissions from North American O&G production. Increased CH₄ abundance over time at SGP may reflect a local increase in regional emissions, but such a trend is not necessarily linked to national-scale emission changes.

In the case of atmospheric flux inversions, the year-to-year variability of estimated emissions may reflect actual variability in sources, for example, the response of natural wetland emissions to temperature and precipitation, or it may be due to noise in the atmospheric inversion arising from misattribution of signals
to regions [Locatelli et al., 2015] due to sparse observations and transport model errors [Patra et al., 2011]. Uncertainties arising from atmospheric model transport and prior flux estimates must be specified and may be underestimated. Yet uncertainty surely limits information about how emissions change over time. Furthermore, there are potential biases in both transport and prior emissions that are difficult to quantify. All of these sources of uncertainty and unknown biases produce the large range of emissions from the Global Carbon Project (GCP) inversions (Figure 1). In this context, picking three inversions for three different years can lead to many different trends. We assembled three-point time series by choosing randomly among the ensemble of inversions and estimating a linear trend for each time series. The resulting histogram (Figure 1c) shows that a wide range of trends is possible, from large negative to large positive, but that the most probable answer, provided by the ensemble of inversions gathered here, is that there is no significant trend in U.S. CH₄ emissions since 2000.

3. Inferring Trends From Zonal Spatial Gradients

To support their claim of significant increases in U.S. CH₄ emissions, Turner et al. [2016] pointed to substantial trends they found in differences between U.S. continental and upwind background GOSAT column average
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CH$_4$ for 2010–2014 (see Figure 2 and supporting information Figures 6, and 14 of Turner et al. [2016]). They calculated relative trends using North Pacific glint retrievals (25°–43°N, 176°–128°W) as background column average CH$_4$ and subtracting these from U.S. continental nadir soundings. The mean relative column average CH$_4$ trend found by Turner et al. [2016] for the contiguous U.S. is 1.7 ppb/yr but as large as ~5 ppb/yr for some regions. We used the TM5 atmospheric transport model [Krol et al., 2005; Peters et al., 2004] to simulate the spatial CH$_4$ gradients “seen” by GOSAT retrievals by computing column average CH$_4$ at valid GOSAT retrieval times and convolving with GOSAT averaging kernels [Monteil et al., 2013]. In order to represent the spatial distribution of U.S. O&G production including unconventional reserves, we developed a spatial mask of U.S. basins over which we distributed total emissions from U.S. O&G production [e.g., US EPA, 2016]. The simulated 2.2 TgCH$_4$/yr$^2$ trend was evenly distributed over all U.S. O&G production regions. For other anthropogenic and natural emissions we used emissions that give a reasonable simulation of the global distribution of CH$_4$ [e.g., Bruhwiler et al., 2014; Houweling et al., 2014]. We reproduced the relative trend maps of Turner et al. [2016] for two cases: (1) a control simulation with annually repeating emissions and (2) as for case 1, but with US O&G emissions increasing by 2.2 TgCH$_4$/yr$^2$ as proposed by Turner et al. [2016]. For both cases, simulated North Pacific column average CH$_4$ glint soundings were used as a
background similar to Turner et al. [2016]. Figure 2 shows that for case 1, the simulated relative regional column average CH$_4$ trends are as large as those seen by Turner et al. [2016], and the relative regional trends for case 2 are not much larger than for case 1. This result demonstrates that relative regional column average CH$_4$ trends over short periods can arise from processes other than emission changes, and we will discuss what these processes are in the next sections. Figure 2 also suggests that zonal column average CH$_4$ spatial gradient trends may not be very sensitive to changes in regional emissions even as large as ~2 TgCH$_4$/yr$^2$. The insensitivity of horizontal gradients in column average CH$_4$ to emission trends is mostly due to the dilution of surface signals in the full atmospheric column. However, an additional factor is that rapid zonal transport carries some of the emissions to the background, especially in the free troposphere as may seen in Figure 2c.

### 3.1. The Effect of Transport Variability on Relative Regional Trends

Forward simulations over three decades with annually repeating emissions show that relative regional column average CH$_4$ trends are as large as those seen by Turner et al. [2016], and the relative regional trends for case 2 are not much larger than for case 1. This result demonstrates that relative regional column average CH$_4$ trends over short periods can arise from processes other than emission changes, and we will discuss what these processes are in the next sections. Figure 2 also suggests that zonal column average CH$_4$ spatial gradient trends may not be very sensitive to changes in regional emissions even as large as ~2 TgCH$_4$/yr$^2$. The insensitivity of horizontal gradients in column average CH$_4$ to emission trends is mostly due to the dilution of surface signals in the full atmospheric column. However, an additional factor is that rapid zonal transport carries some of the emissions to the background, especially in the free troposphere as may seen in Figure 2c.

Using model simulations, it is possible to consider the effects of sampling frequency on column average CH$_4$ by subsampling the complete time series as shown in Figure 4. When the full model time series (daily samples) of column average CH$_4$ enhancement is used (Figure 4a), the resulting trends are statistically significant and consistent with simulated short-term trends arising from transport variability (Figure 3). If simulated column average CH$_4$ is subsampled at GOSAT sounding times (Figure 4b), spurious relative trends can occur. At CMA (38.9°N, 74.9°W) on the U.S. East Coast, the trend for subsampled column average CH$_4$ agrees to within the uncertainties to that for the full time series, although neither trend is statistically significant. For NHA (42.3°N, 71.8°W) there is a significantly less data than at CMA, and a large spurious but statistically
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A significant trend of 2.42 ppb/yr is found. At SGP (36.6°N, 97.5°W, not shown) there even are more valid soundings than at CMA, and the trends obtained from the full and subsampled time series are similar. The steep dropoff in number of valid soundings with increasing latitude has broader implications for the use of column average CH4 retrieved from shortwave IR instruments like GOSAT in atmospheric flux inversions.

Poleward of 45°N, there may be no (or few) data available during winter. In situ measurements would clearly be needed to constrain flux estimates from inverse models during times of the year with no valid column average CH4 retrievals.

Vertical profiles of CH4 are measured regularly as part of the NOAA Global Greenhouse Gas Reference Network Aircraft Program [Sweeney et al., 2015], and we also considered the sensitivity to emission trends of zonal gradients of partial column average CH4 constructed from these aircraft profiles at several sites relative to a North Pacific boundary condition. Increased sensitivity to emission changes is possible in principle, since the profiles sample only the lowest 5–8 km of the atmosphere, closer to sources than the whole atmospheric column seen by GOSAT column average CH4. We sampled modeled zonal gradients at an aircraft profile site calculated using a “background” over the North Pacific every N days (1 ≤ N ≤ 15) over 4 years. For a given sampling frequency, we estimated the possible range of observed trends by randomly choosing a time series starting point and introducing sampling gaps (with replacement) 50,000 times and then calculating the standard deviation of the ensemble of trends. Each trend was calculated by fitting a quadratic trend line and three harmonics through the sampled zonal gradient following Thoning et al. [1989]. For a given sampling duration, we consider the two emission scenarios distinguishable as long as the error bars do not overlap (equivalent in this case to requiring that the means differ by 2 standard deviations). We found that at CMA, a trend of 2.2 Tg CH4/yr2 could be unambiguously detected in the zonal gradient of partial column CH4 over 4 years, only if profiles are sample daily (Figure 5). This is significantly more frequent than the current
sampling strategy of twice per month. Using an 8-year time series, subweekly sampling intervals were still needed for detection of the imposed trend. A similar result was found by Sweeney et al. [2015] concerning the observation frequency of profiles needed to accurately quantify U.S. fossil fuel CO₂ emissions. Note that our choice of the partial column at a single site over 4 years was motivated by the need to explain the large trends in horizontal gradients shown in Figure 2. In practice, if we were to use multiple aircraft sites within the NOAA network in a source-sink inversion, over a longer time period, we could possibly detect an O&G emission trend as large as 2.2 Tg CH₄/yr² with less frequent sampling. It is therefore important to make use of information from the entire network.

3.3. The Effect of Background Column Average CH₄ on Relative Trends

Although we have shown that infrequent sampling can cause spurious trends, we have not yet completely accounted for the large positive trends shown in Figure 2, since spurious trends in principle could be either negative or positive. The choice of the upstream background is another factor to consider, and Figure 6 shows that using a large region of the North Pacific as the background rather than a small offshore region as we did in section 3.2, following Turner et al. [2016], results in relative trends for both sites that are similar to those shown in Figure 2. There are two reasons for the large influence of the background chosen by Turner et al. [2016]: the large extent of their background area increased sensitivity to interannual variability in transport (Figure 3), and they were not able to account for spatiotemporal variability in the CH₄ seasonal cycle. Due to the seasonal coverage bias of GOSAT glint and higher-latitude zenith soundings, it is not always possible to deseasonalize the zonal gradient of GOSAT column average CH₄. NOAA aircraft profiles, however, do not have a seasonal sampling bias and are usually sampled at least twice per month. The trends in the
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**Figure 5.** Simulated 4-year relative trends in partial column average CH₄ as a function of sampling frequency for the grid boxes containing the NOAA aircraft monitoring site at CMA using an offshore Pacific Ocean background (30°–50°N, 135°–125°W). Trends and uncertainties are shown for Case 1 (repeating or “constant” emissions (red)) and Case 2 (as for Case 1 but with a 2.2 Tg CH₄/yr² trend in U.S. O&G emissions (blue)). The trend calculated using NOAA aircraft profiles at CMA is also shown (green), where the error bars denote uncertainty using a bootstrap analysis of the observed profiles. Model simulations were performed at 1 × 1° spatial resolution.
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**Figure 6.** Simulated column average CH₄ for the grid box containing the NOAA aircraft monitoring sites at (left) CMA and (right) NHA (green), and for glint retrievals of North Pacific Ocean background column average CH₄ (blue). Background column average CH₄ is defined as in Turner et al. [2016] (25°–43°N, 176°–128°W) (blue). The trends are calculated as a difference between the lines fit to the site and background column average CH₄, similar to Turner et al. [2016].
By interpolating in situ observations and constructing a marine boundary layer from surface observations over 2010–2013, the average gradient is 0.9 ± 1.4 ppb/yr and 1.3 ± 1.8 ppb/yr, respectively, if the zonal difference is not deseasonalized. If, however, the zonal difference is deseasonalized before estimating a trend, the estimated trends are 0.2 ± 1.3 ppb/yr and 0.5 ± 1.15 ppb/yr, smaller than before and more consistent. Lack of information about the seasonal cycle may result in misleading trends in large-scale horizontal gradients.

### 3.4. Detectability of Emission Trends From Vertical Gradients

We have shown in the preceding sections that large trends in zonal CH4 gradients can arise purely from interannual variability in atmospheric transport, spatial and temporal sampling patterns, choice of “background” column average CH4, and variability of the seasonal cycle over short times, even when the underlying emissions are not changing. This raises the question of whether there is a different spatial gradient that is more sensitive to trends in emissions that could be used to confirm or falsify a hypothetical emission trend. In this section, we look at the vertical gradient of CH4, defined as the difference between CH4 mixing ratios between the planetary boundary layer (PBL, 0.2–2.5 km above ground level) and the free troposphere (FT, 5.0–8.0 km above sea level), at several sites across the continental U.S. where vertical profiles of CH4 are regularly measured.

Since all CH4 sources are at the surface, its abundance is typically enhanced in the boundary layer, and the vertical gradient can be expected to increase with time if local emissions increase. However, even in the absence of an emission trend, the vertical gradient could show trends over short periods due to interannually varying transport, much like zonal gradients discussed earlier. To analyze the sensitivity of vertical gradient trends to emission trends, we simulate the vertical gradient of CH4 using the TM5 transport model for the two emission scenarios, viz., with and without an emission trend of 2.2 Tg CH4/yr² over 2004–2010 from O&G production. At any given aircraft profiling site, the ability to distinguish between the two emission scenarios depends on over how long a period and how often the vertical gradient is sampled, as well as how sensitive the site is to changing emissions. To explore this parameter space, we sample the modeled vertical gradient at a site every N day(s) where N goes from 1 to 15, with time series lengths of 4, 6, and 8 years using the analysis described in section 3.2. The results of this calculation at the Homer, Illinois (HIL) site are shown in Figure 7.

Figure 7 shows that for a given sampling frequency and length of record, the fitted trend to the sampled vertical gradient for a given emission scenario can have a range of values, due to the inherent variability of the atmospheric CH4 field. This range of possible trends (denoted by vertical error bars in Figure 7) decreases as the sampling frequency and duration increases. As before, for a given sampling duration we consider the two emission scenarios distinguishable as long as the error bars do not overlap. For example, at HIL, if we sample over 6 years, then the two emission scenarios are distinguishable as long as the average interval between two profiles is about 15 days or less. If we sample for only 4 years, however, we need to sample at least every
5 days to tell the two scenarios apart. At the current sampling frequency, which is approximately once every 3 weeks, an 8 year time series is adequate to distinguish between the trend and no-trend simulations, and it is clear that the observations at HIL (green symbols, Figure 7) do not support a 2.2 Tg CH₄ yr⁻² trend. This analysis is restricted to a single site. In practice, a trend in emissions will impact the vertical gradient at a site depending on its proximity to the emissions. Among the sites we looked at (Figure 8), the vertical gradient changed appreciably at SGP, CAR, and HIL, and at these sites it is clear that the observed trends are more consistent with the zero trend case than the case with 2.2 Tg CH₄ yr⁻² trend on O&G emissions. WBI appears to be less sensitive to O&G emissions than HIL; however, the observed trend is larger and more negative, possibly indicating the local importance of a non-O&G source process. There is significantly less sensitivity to changing O&G emissions at CMA and NHA, and time series much longer than 8 years would be required to detect even the large trend assumed for these simulations. This makes the intuitive point that sites closer to source regions can be used to detect emission trends more quickly, and raises the question of how best to combine observed gradient information from multiple sites, and if doing so would allow us to detect smaller trends, the same trend with less frequent sampling, or over a shorter time period. Such questions are best answered by an atmospheric inversion, which can deconvolve transport-related and emission-related variations and infer surface flux trends from vertical gradients at multiple sites and lateral gradients between sites.
4. Discussion

Atmospheric variability makes direct interpretation of trends in spatial gradients difficult, and we demonstrated that significant short-term relative trends can result purely from interannual variability in transport. Variability in transport is conflated with changes in emissions located outside of the U.S., and since U.S. O&G emissions are only about 2% of global total CH4 emissions [US EPA, 2016; European Commission [2011]] signals from changing US O&G emissions are likely to be difficult to detect without denser coverage of observations. Atmospheric variability ultimately plays a role in all of the detection issues we have discussed: the need for high sampling frequency, the difficulty of defining a background, and accounting for short-term transport trends. The effect of atmospheric variability on trend detection has also been noted by other studies for ozone and carbon monoxide [Saunois et al., 2012; Strode and Pawson, 2013; Weatherhead et al., 1998, 2000]. Atmospheric modeling can be helpful because it can in principle account for transport variability. Future improvements in the ability of models to accurately simulate continental sites that are a challenge to model along with higher spatial and temporal resolution will make it possible to resolve more variability.

We have demonstrated that the trend of 2.2 Tg CH4/yr2 for the period covering 2002–2014 in US O&G emissions is inconsistent with an ensemble of global inversion estimates of CH4 emissions. We have also shown that the trends in the GOSAT column average CH4 zonal gradient over North America seen by Turner et al. [2016] are not indicative of a trend in emissions. By simulating atmospheric CH4 concentrations from a repeating emission scenario, we have shown that spuriously large (up to ~4.5 ppb/yr) trends in GOSAT column average CH4 zonal gradient can be caused by a combination of (i) interannual variability of transport over 4 years, (ii) seasonal sampling bias of GOSAT, (iii) choice of the North Pacific background, and (iv) not being able to account for variation in the seasonal cycle. The combination of these four artifacts make it difficult, if not impossible, to use zonal gradients of GOSAT column average CH4 to detect and quantify a trend in O&G emissions over short periods.

To determine whether trends in the zonal gradient of column CH4 could in principle be used to distinguish an emission trend of 2.2 Tg CH4/yr2 evenly distributed over U.S. O&G production regions from a case without an emission trend, we looked at partial column CH4 constructed from NOAA aircraft profiles. These data do not suffer from artifact (ii), and in principle could be rid of artifacts (iii) and (iv) by choosing a more appropriate background and deseasonalizing. However, we saw that over 4 years, the variability in the trend due to transport can overwhelm the expected signal from an emission trend, unless the frequency of profiles is increased significantly compared to what is currently possible. The variability due to transport goes down, and the detectability of an emission trend increases, if one considers trends in the zonal gradient over longer time periods. However, use of 8 year time series did not increase detectability.

Looking at vertical CH4 profiles at NOAA aircraft locations, we have demonstrated that the trend in the vertical gradient between the PBL and the FT may be a better indicator of an emission trend, compared to the zonal gradient sampled at the same frequency. This is especially true for profiles close to emission regions. At HIL, for example, we have shown that over 8 years, our current sampling frequency would be sufficient at distinguishing a trend of 2.2 Tg CH4/yr2 from a no trend case. In addition, our results for multiple sites show that the aircraft monitoring observations are consistent with zero change in U.S. O&G emissions.

Our conclusions above about the detectability of emission trends depend on aircraft profiles at a single site. If information from samples at multiple sites are combined in a statistically consistent way, such as in an atmospheric inversion, we expect the detectability to improve significantly, and the same emission trend may be detectable using less frequent sampling and over a shorter time.

The GOSAT satellite has a repeat cycle of 3 days over any given location, and the spatial sounding density is much higher than the current network of in situ sampling sites. In the absence of clouds and other confounding factors which lead to failed retrievals, column average CH4 from GOSAT could in principle be used in an atmospheric inversion to detect trends in emissions. In practice the frequency of successful retrievals is much smaller than the frequency of soundings, and biases in GOSAT retrievals of column average CH4 can be of the...
order of 10 ppb [Alexe et al., 2015; Butz et al., 2011; Parker et al., 2011] and spatially coherent over large areas. It remains to be seen whether, given these limitations, GOSAT retrievals can be sensitive to trends in zonal gradients of the order of 1 ppb/yr, consistent with expected trends in CH$_4$ emissions. Before systematic biases can be removed, they need to be quantified. This requires much more densely spaced soundings and thorough comparison with calibrated in situ data than what is currently possible. In the optimistic case that GOSAT retrievals are sensitive to such small trends despite their noise and bias, they are still limited to low latitudes. As seen in Figure 6 (right), even at 45°N GOSAT has very few successful retrievals. In order to impose constraints on surface fluxes through the entire year over regions important for CH$_4$ fluxes, any source-sink inversion using GOSAT retrievals will also need to include in situ observations.

Atmospheric inversions, in principle, can combine information from multiple sites and account for atmospheric variability not related to surface fluxes by explicitly modeling atmospheric transport. However, trends in fluxes derived from atmospheric inversions over short periods may still be unreliable, since transport variability especially at small scales and high frequencies may not be well represented by the underlying transport model. Sparse atmospheric sampling in the form of observations is also a problem, since in the absence of observations inverse models revert back to prior fluxes; therefore, improved prior flux estimates would also be helpful for producing better posterior flux estimates. An inaccurate trend in posterior fluxes may therefore also arise from an inaccurate trend in the prior combined with insufficient observations. Changes in colocated emissions from different sources also pose problems for attribution of emission changes, and observations of co-emitted species may be particularly useful for source attribution. Sparse observations may also result in spatial misattribution of signals, and a trend in emissions can be spread over multiple source regions. Therefore, for confirming a trend in emissions from inverse models, it is advisable to look over a long time (a decade or so) at multiple inverse models spanning a wide spectrum of transport models, prior fluxes, and ingested observations. We looked at such an ensemble of inverse models in section 2 and found that none of them suggests a trend in U.S. CH$_4$ emissions since 2000 that is as large as 2.2 Tg/yr$^2$.

The trade-offs we discussed between sampling frequency, length of time, and spatial density needed to detect changes in emissions have important policy implications. There is clearly a need to be able to detect short-term changes in emissions. Accomplishing that will require a continued commitment to frequent and dense sampling from a combination of platforms.
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