# Stability of Piecewise Affine Systems through Discontinuous Piecewise Quadratic Lyapunov Functions

Raffaele Iervolino<sup>†</sup>, Stephan Trenn<sup>\*</sup>, Francesco Vasca<sup>‡</sup>

Abstract—State-dependent switched systems characterized by piecewise affine (PWA) dynamics in a polyhedral partition of the state space are considered. Sufficient conditions on the vectors fields such that the solution crosses the common boundaries of the polyhedra are expressed in terms of quadratic inequalities constrained to the polyhedra intersections. A piecewise quadratic (PWQ) function, not necessarily continuous, is proposed as a candidate Lyapunov function (LF). The sign conditions and the negative jumps at the boundaries are expressed in terms of linear matrix inequalities (LMIs) via conecopositivity. A sufficient condition for the asymptotic stability of the PWA system is then obtained by finding a PWQ-LF through the solution of a set LMIs. Numerical results with a conewise linear system and an opinion dynamics model show the effectiveness of the proposed approach.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The asymptotic stability of continuous-time systems which are piecewise affine (PWA) over a polyhedral partition of the state space can be investigated by using Lyapunov approaches. A common quadratic Lyapunov function does not always exists and to find a more general Lyapunov function is a nontrivial issue [1], [2]. In order to get less conservative conditions a typical approach consists in using piecewise quadratic (PWQ) Lyapunov functions (LFs) [3]. A seminal work on the use of PWQ-LFs for PWA systems is [4] where the S-procedure is employed to determine stability conditions expressed in terms of linear matrix inequalities (LMIs). A typical assumption for this technique is the continuity of the PWQ-LF on the boundaries shared by different polyhedra [5], [6].

A stability analysis with *discontinuous* PWQ-LFs for planar PWA systems with continuous vector fields is proposed in [7]. The stability conditions proposed in [8] for the PWQ-LF allows discontinuities but the apriori knowledge of the sequence of modes and the S-procedure are required. By using the copositive programming approach [9], in this paper we translate polyhedra-constrained conditions on the PWQ-LF into corresponding linear matrix inequalities (LMIs). The proposed approach can be considered as a generalization of the stability analysis presented in [10], [11] where the continuity of the PWQ-LF was required and a more restrictive class of PWA systems was considered. We adopt the cone-copositive approach in order to formulate a crossing condition of the system trajectory through the polyhedra boundaries. This condition allows the analysis of existence and uniqueness of solutions for a quite general class of PWA systems and to express a non-increasing condition for the candidate PWQ-LF in terms of LMIs.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II some definitions on polyhedra and cones are recalled and some preliminary results on the sign analysis of a PWQ function on a polyhedron is presented. The class of PWA systems is presented in Sec. III. The main stability result with the conditions for the existence of a possibly discontinuous PWQ-LF is presented in Sec. IV. The numerical examples illustrated in Sec. V confirm the effectiveness of the approach. Sec. VI concludes the paper.

### II. PRELIMINARIES

In this section some preliminary definitions and concepts on (polyhedral) cones, polyhedra, homogenization procedure and copositivity are recalled.

## A. Cones and homogenization procedure

Definition 1: Given a finite number  $\rho$  of points  $\{r_{\ell}\}_{\ell=1}^{\rho}$ ,  $r_{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ ,  $\rho \in \mathbb{N}$ , a conical hull

$$\mathcal{C} = \operatorname{cone} \left\{ r_{\ell} \right\}_{\ell=1}^{\rho} \tag{1}$$

is the set of points  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $v = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\rho} \theta_\ell r_\ell$ , with  $\theta_\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+$  being the set of nonnegative real numbers. The set C is also called (polyhedral) cone and the points  $\{r_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^{\rho}$  are called rays of the cone. The matrix  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times \rho}$  whose columns are the points  $\{r_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^{\rho}$  in an arbitrary order is called ray matrix. Any  $v \in C$  can be written as  $v = R\theta$  where  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\rho}$ .

Definition 2: Given a finite number  $\lambda$  of points  $\{v_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^{\lambda}$ ,  $v_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ , a convex hull, say  $\operatorname{conv}\{v_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^{\lambda}$ , is the subset of points in a conical hull for which  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\lambda} \theta_\ell = 1$ .

Definition 3: Given a finite number  $\lambda$  of vertices  $\{v_{\ell}\}_{\ell=1}^{\lambda}$ and a finite number  $\rho$  of rays  $\{r_{\ell}\}_{\ell=1}^{\rho}$ ,  $v_{\ell}, r_{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ ,  $\lambda, \rho \in \mathbb{N}$ , the (convex) set

$$X = \operatorname{conv}\{v_{\ell}\}_{\ell=1}^{\lambda} + \operatorname{cone}\{r_{\ell}\}_{\ell=1}^{\rho}$$
(2)

is a polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The expression (2) identifies the socalled  $\mathcal{V}$ -representation of the polyhedron. The conical hull  $\mathcal{C}_X$  of X is obtained by interpreting the vertices also as rays, i.e.

$$C_X = \operatorname{cone}\{\{v_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^{\lambda}, \{r_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^{\rho}\}$$
(3)

and the corresponding ray matrix is

$$R = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} v_1 & \cdots & v_\lambda & r_1 & \dots & r_\rho\end{array}\right). \tag{4}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Department of Electrical Engineering and Information Technology, University of Naples Federico II, Via Claudio 21, 80125 Napoli, Italy, email: rafierv@unina.it; \* Department of Mathematics, TU Kaiserslautern, Gottlieb-Daimler Str. 48, 67663 Kaiserslautern, Germany, email: trenn@mathematik.uni-kl.de; <sup>#</sup> Department of Engineering, University of Sannio, Piazza Roma 21, 82100 Benevento, Italy, email: francesco.vasca@unisannio.it.

In the following we assume that in the polyhedron representation (2) all possible redundancies of the set of vertices and rays have been eliminated.

Definition 4: A partion of  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a family of fulldimensional sets  $\{\mathcal{X}_s\}_{s=1}^S$ ,  $S \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_{s=1}^S \mathcal{X}_s$ and  $\operatorname{int}(\mathcal{X}_s) \cap \operatorname{int}(\mathcal{X}_m) = \emptyset$  for  $s \neq m$ , where  $\operatorname{int}(\mathcal{X}_s)$ denotes the interior of  $\mathcal{X}_s$ . A polyhedral partition is a partition  $\{X_s\}_{s=1}^S$  where each  $X_s$  is a closed full-dimensional polyhedral and each intersection of two polyhedra is either empty or a common face.

Note that the assumption that for a polyhedral partition the intersection of two adjacent polyhedra is a common face is not restricitve and can always be achieved with suitable subdivision of the regions.

In order to utilize copositiveness and LMIs we will use the homogenization procedure defined below.

Definition 5: Consider a polyhedron  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with the representation (2). For each vertex  $v_{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , its vertex-homogenization  $\hat{v}_{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is defined as  $\hat{v}_{\ell} = \operatorname{col}(v_{\ell}, 1)$ , where  $\operatorname{col}(\cdot)$  indicates a vector obtained by stacking in a single column the column vectors in its argument. For each ray  $r_{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  its direction-homogenization  $\hat{r}_{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is defined as  $\hat{r}_{\ell} = \operatorname{col}(r_{\ell}, 0)$ . The resulting conic homogenization of X is then

$$\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{X}} = \operatorname{cone}\{\{\widehat{v}_{\ell}\}_{\ell=1}^{\lambda}, \{\widehat{r}_{\ell}\}_{\ell=1}^{\rho}\},\$$

with corresponding ray matrix

$$\widehat{R} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & \cdots & v_\lambda & r_1 & \cdots & r_\rho \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(5)

We can now present some definitions and results on copositivity and cone-copositivity.

Definition 6: A symmetric matrix  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is conecopositive with respect to a cone  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  if it is positive semidefinite with respect to that cone, i.e., if  $x^\top P x \ge 0$ for any  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ . A cone-copositive matrix will be denoted by  $P \succcurlyeq^{\mathcal{C}} 0$ . If the equality only holds for x = 0, then P is strictly cone-copositive and the notation is  $P \succ^{\mathcal{C}} 0$ . In the particular case  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n_+$ , a (strictly) cone-copositive matrix is called (strictly) copositive.

The notation  $P \succeq 0$ , i.e., without any superscript on the inequality, indicates that P is positive semidefinite, i.e.,  $x^{\top}Px \ge 0$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

The cone-copositivity evaluation of a known symmetric matrix can always be transformed into an equivalent copositive problem and then to an LMI, as stated by the following result.

Lemma 7: Let  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a symmetric matrix,  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a polyhedral cone with ray matrix  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times \rho}$  and N be a symmetric (entrywise) positive matrix. Consider the following constrained inequalities

$$P \succ^{\mathcal{C}} 0,$$
 (6a)

$$R^{\top} P R \succ^{\mathbb{R}^{\rho}_{+}} 0, \tag{6b}$$

$$R^{\top}PR - N \succcurlyeq 0. \tag{6c}$$

Then the following conditions hold

i) 
$$(6a) \iff (6b)$$

# ii) $(6c) \Longrightarrow (6a)$ .

*Proof:* i) This follows directly from Definition 1.

From (6c) it is 
$$R^* PR - N = Q$$
 with  $Q \ge 0$  and hence

$$\theta^{\top} R^{\top} P R \theta = \theta^{\top} (Q + N) \theta, \tag{7}$$

since  $\theta^{\top} N \theta$  is strictly positive for  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{\rho}_{+} - \{0\}$ , it follows that also (7) is strictly positive for  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{\rho}_{+} - \{0\}$ . Then (6b) holds and from i) the proof is complete.

*Remark 8:* With similar arguments it can be shown that for any symmetric (entrywise) *nonnegative* matrix  $\overline{N}$ , the LMI  $R^{\top}PR - \overline{N} \succeq 0$  implies  $R^{\top}PR \succeq^{\mathbb{R}^{\rho}_{+}} 0$  which is equivalent by definition to  $P \succeq^{\mathcal{C}} 0$ .

#### B. Sign of quadratic functions on polyhedra

Let us consider a polyhedron  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  represented as (2) and a quadratic function

$$V(x) = x^{\top} P x + 2\nu^{\top} x + \omega, \quad x \in X,$$
(8)

where  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a symmetric matrix,  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a vector,  $\omega$  is a real scalar with  $\omega = 0$  if  $0 \in X$ . Define the symmetric matrix  $\hat{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$  as

$$\widehat{P} = \begin{pmatrix} P & \nu \\ \nu^{\top} & \omega \end{pmatrix}.$$
(9)

For the sign analysis of (8) we need the following preliminary result.

Lemma 9 (cf. [12]): Consider (8), (9) and let  $C_{\hat{X}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be the conic homogenization of the polyhedron  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Consider the following constrained inequalities

$$V(x) \ge 0, \quad x \in X, \tag{10a}$$

$$\widehat{P} \succcurlyeq^{\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{X}}} 0, \tag{10b}$$

$$\widehat{R}^{\top}\widehat{P}\widehat{R} - \overline{N} \succeq 0, \tag{10c}$$

with  $\omega = 0$  if  $0 \in X$  and  $\overline{N}$  any symmetric (entrywise) nonnegative matrix. Then the following conditions hold

i) 
$$(10a) \iff (10b)$$
  
ii)  $(10c) \implies (10a).$ 

*Remark 10:* If  $0 \notin X$ , the equivalence i) in Lemma 9 is valid also for strict inequalities; the implication ii) in Lemma 9 is valid for strict inequalities if  $\overline{N}$  is replaced by a matrix N with (strictly) positive entries, i.e. for the statements

$$V(x) > 0, \quad x \in X, \quad 0 \notin X \tag{11a}$$

$$\widehat{P} \succ^{\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{X}}} 0 \tag{11b}$$

$$\widehat{R}^{\top}\widehat{P}\widehat{R} - N \succcurlyeq 0, \tag{11c}$$

we have

i) 
$$(11a) \iff (11b)$$
  
ii)  $(11c) \implies (11a)$ 

To obtain a strict inequality also for the case that  $0 \in X$  an additional condition is required:

Lemma 11 ([13]): With the notation of Lemma 9 assume  $0 \in X$  and  $\omega = 0$ , i.e.  $V(x) = x^{\top}Px + 2\nu^{\top}x$ . Consider the statements

$$V(x) > 0, \quad x \in X \setminus \{0\}, \quad 0 \in X$$
(12a)

$$\left. \begin{array}{c} R^{+}PR - N \succcurlyeq 0 \quad \land \\ 2\nu^{\top}Re_{i} \ge 0, \ i = 1, \dots, \lambda + \rho \end{array} \right\}$$
(12b)

where  $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^{\lambda+\rho}$  denotes the *i*-th unit vector and N is a matrix with (strictly) positive entries. Then the implication (12b)  $\Longrightarrow$  (12a) holds.

#### **III. PWA SYSTEM AND SOLUTION CONCEPT**

#### A. General setup and definitions

Let us consider a polyhedral partition  $\{X_s\}_{s=1}^S$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and denote by  $\Sigma_0$  the subset of indices s such that  $0 \in X_s$  and  $\Sigma_1$  its complement, i.e.,  $\Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_1 = \{1, \ldots, S\} =: \Sigma$ . We consider the PWA system

$$\dot{x} = A_s x + b_s, \quad x \in X_s, \quad s = 1, \dots, S$$
(13)

where  $A_s \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $b_s \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $b_s = 0$  for all  $s \in \Sigma_0$ . Each  $X_s$  is assumed to be a closed set, i.e. there is some ambiguity in (13) on the polyhedra intersections. This ambiguity can be resolved by considering solutions in the sense of Caratheodory, i.e. absolutely continuous (in particular, differentiable almost everywhere) functions x which satisfy (13) for *almost all* times.

Definition 12: A solution x is called global iff it is defined on the whole time interval  $[0, \infty)$ . A solution  $x : [0, \omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\omega \in (0, \infty]$ , of (13) is called maximal iff either  $\omega = \infty$ (i.e. x is global) or there is no solution  $\overline{x} : [0, \overline{\omega})$  with  $\overline{\omega} > \omega$ which coincided with x on  $[0, \omega)$ . A non-global solution  $x : [0, \omega)$ ,  $\omega \in (0, \infty)$ , is called Zeno-solution iff it is maximal and the limit of  $\dot{x}(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \omega$  does not exist.

For a characterization on existence and uniqueness of solution for bimodal PWA systems see [14]. Note that we are not considering sliding modes or Filippov solutions, this is a topic of future research; furthermore, if the accumulation of switching times in finite time occurs in a *global* solution, we do not call such a behavior Zeno-behavior. Finally, note that in general there may be non-global solutions which are not Zeno-solutions.

#### B. The crossing condition

Recall that we assumed that the intersection

$$X_{ij} := X_i \cap X_j$$

of two polyhedral sets  $X_i$ ,  $X_j$  of the polyhedral partition  $\{X_s\}_{s=1}^S$  is either empty or a common face. In particular, any nonempty  $X_{ij}$  is again a polyhedron and has a  $\mathcal{V}$ -representation (2) which simply consists of the common vertices and rays of the  $\mathcal{V}$ -representations of  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  (under the nonrestrictive assumption that the rays in the  $\mathcal{V}$ -representations of  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  are normalized). Let

$$\Sigma_{\cap} := \{ (i,j) \mid X_{ij} \neq \emptyset \}$$

In the following we will introduce a crossing condition for which we focus on n-1-dimensional intersections, i.e. on the set

$$\Sigma_{\cap}^{n-1} := \{ (i,j) \in \Sigma_{\cap} \mid \dim X_{ij} = n-1 \}.$$

Note that  $(i,j) \in \Sigma_{\cap}$  if, and only if,  $(j,i) \in \Sigma_{\cap}$ . Each facet  $X_{ij}$ , i.e. with  $(i,j) \in \Sigma_{\cap}^{n-1}$ , is contained in a unique hyperplane  $\mathcal{H}_{ij} = \mathcal{H}_{ji}$  which is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{ij} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid h_{ij}^\top x + g_{ij} = 0 \right\}$$

for some normal vector  $h_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and offset  $g_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ . The hyperplane  $\mathcal{H}_{ij}$  can be also defined by taking the pairs of common rows of the  $\mathcal{H}$ -representations of  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  [4]. For any normal vector  $h_{ij}$  of  $\mathcal{H}_{ij}$  also  $\lambda h_{ij}$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  is a normal vector of  $\mathcal{H}_{ij}$ . Hence it is no restriction of generality to assume that  $h_{ij}$  is chosen such that it points from  $X_i$  to  $X_j$ , i.e. we can assume that

$$h_{ij}^{\top}x + g_{ij} > 0, \quad x \in X_j - X_{ij},$$
 (14a)

$$h_{ij}^{\top} x + g_{ij} < 0, \quad x \in X_i - X_{ij}.$$
 (14b)

We can now formulate the following *crossing condition* for any  $(i, j) \in \Sigma_{\cap}^{n-1}$  (cf. [15, Sec. 4.3] which presents a slightly weaker assumption):

$$(x^{\top}A_i^{\top} + b_i^{\top})h_{ij} \cdot h_{ij}^{\top} (A_j x + b_j) > 0, \quad \forall x \in X_{ij}.$$
(15)

An extension of the crossing condition on facets when (15) is zero can be obtained by considering possibly nonzero higher order derivatives [13]. Since  $X_{ij}$  is connected, (15) implies that each factor  $(x^{\top}A_i^{\top} + b_i^{\top})h_{ij}$  and  $h_{ij}^{\top}(A_jx + b_j)$  in (15) has constant sign. It is easily seen that the crossing condition for  $(i, j) \in \Sigma_{\cap}^{n-1}$  is satisfied if, and only if, the crossing condition for  $(j, i) \in \Sigma_{\cap}^{n-1}$  is satisfied, the only difference is that the positive product in one case results from two positive factors and in the other case from two negative factors. This redundancy can be eliminated by introducing the direction aware index set

$$\Sigma_{\cap}^{\operatorname{cross}} = \left\{ (i,j) \in \Sigma_{\cap}^{n-1} \middle| \begin{array}{c} (15) \text{ holds } \wedge \exists x \in X_{ij} : \\ h_{ij}^{\top}(A_j x + b_j) > 0 \end{array} \right\}.$$
(16)

While the index set  $\Sigma_{\cap}$  is independent of the actual PWA dynamics, the index set  $\Sigma_{\cap}^{cross}$  depends on the specific system and maybe empty if no facet  $X_{ij}$  satisfies (15). If  $(i, j) \in \Sigma_{\cap}^{cross}$  then any solution of (13) which crosses  $int(X_{ij})$  does this from  $X_i$  to  $X_j$ .

In practice it may be difficult to verify the crossing condition (15) because formally it has to be tested for all  $x \in X_{ij}$ . However, the following lemma shows that (15) can be verified by finding a solution of an LMI.

Lemma 13 ([13]): Consider the PWA system (13) and define for every pair  $(i,j) \in \Sigma_{\cap}^{n-1}$ 

$$Q_{ij} = A_i^{\top} h_{ij} h_{ij}^{\top} A_j \tag{17a}$$

$$\mu_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (A_j^{\top} h_{ij} h_{ij}^{\top} b_i + A_i^{\top} h_{ij} h_{ij}^{\top} b_j)$$
(17b)

$$\zeta_{ij} = b_i^{\dagger} h_{ij} h_{ij}^{\dagger} b_j, \qquad (17c)$$

and

$$\widehat{Q}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{ij} & \mu_{ij} \\ \mu_{ij}^{\top} & \zeta_{ij} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(18)

Furthermore, let  $R_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (\lambda_{ij} + \rho_{ij})}$  be the ray matrix of the cone  $\mathcal{C}_{X_{ij}}$  and let  $\widehat{R}_{ij}$  be the ray matrix of the cone  $\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{X}_{ij}}$ . Assume that for all  $(i, j) \in \Sigma_{\cap}^{n-1}$  with  $0 \in X_{ij}$  the following inequalities hold:

$$2\mu_{ij}^{\dagger}R_{ij}e_{\ell} \ge 0, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, \lambda_{ij} + \rho_{ij}.$$

Then the crossing condition (15) holds if the following LMIs hold for all  $(i,j)\in\Sigma^{n-1}_{\cap}$ 

$$R_{ij}^{\top}Q_{ij}R_{ij} - N_{ij} \succcurlyeq 0, \ 0 \in X_{ij}$$

$$(19a)$$

$$\widehat{R}_{ij}^{\top} \widehat{Q}_{ij} \widehat{R}_{ij} - N_{ij} \succeq 0, \ 0 \notin X_{ij}, \tag{19b}$$

for some symmetric matrix  $N_{ij}$  with (strictly) positive entries.

#### IV. ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY OF PWA SYSTEMS

Let us consider the quadratic functions

$$V_s(x) = x^{\top} P_s x + 2\nu_s^{\top} x + \omega_s, \quad x \in X_s$$
 (20)

with s = 1, ..., S,  $P_s \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  symmetric matrix,  $\nu_s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\omega_s \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $\omega_s = 0$  if  $s \in \Sigma_0$ . Define the (possibly discontinuous) candidate Lyapunov function  $V : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  as

$$V(x) = V_s(x), \quad x \in int(X_s) \tag{21}$$

with  $s = 1, \ldots, S$  and arbitrary elsewhere.

Lemma 11 can be applied to the different polyhedra of the polyhedral partition of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in order to get a set of LMIs which provides a sufficient condition for the positive sign of each (20) and negative sign of each corresponding time derivative along the system solution. Then we exploit Lemma 9 for providing a sufficient condition on the negative sign of the possible jumps of the candidate Lyapunov function when the solution crosses the boundaries shared by different polyhedra.

Theorem 14: Consider the system (13) with the polyhedral partition  $\{X_s\}_{s=1}^S$  where each  $X_s$  is expressed according to (2) with corresponding matrices  $\{R_s\}_{s\in\Sigma_0}$  and  $\{\widehat{R}_s\}_{s\in\Sigma_1}$  as in (4) and (5), respectively. Assume that all maximal solutions are global, furthermore let  $\Sigma_{\cap}^{cross} \subseteq \Sigma_{\cap}$  be given by (16). For  $s \in \Sigma_0$  consider the set of LMIs

$$R_s^{\dagger} P_s R_s - N_s \succeq 0, \qquad (22a)$$

$$-R_s^{\dagger} (A_s^{\dagger} P_s + P_s A_s) R_s - M_s \succeq 0, \qquad (22b)$$

and, for  $s \in \Sigma_1$ ,

$$\widehat{R}_s^{\top} \widehat{P}_s \widehat{R}_s - N_s \succeq 0, \tag{23a}$$

$$-\widehat{R}_{s}^{\top}(\widehat{A}_{s}^{\top}\widehat{P}_{s}+\widehat{P}_{s}\widehat{A}_{s})\widehat{R}_{s}-M_{s} \succeq 0, \qquad (23b)$$

where

$$\widehat{A}_s = \left(\begin{array}{cc} A_s & b_s \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) \tag{24}$$

and  $\widehat{P}_s \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+1)\times(n+1)}$  are symmetric matrices in the form (9);  $N_s$ ,  $M_s$  are symmetric (entrywise) positive matrices of appropriate dimensions. Furthermore, for  $(i, j) \in \Sigma_{\square}^{cross}$  consider the nonincreasing-jump-LMI

$$-\widehat{R}_{ij}^{\top}\widehat{P}_{ij}\widehat{R}_{ij} - \overline{N}_{ij} \succeq 0, \qquad (25a)$$

and, for  $(i, j) \in \Sigma_{\cap} \setminus (\Sigma_{\cap}^{cross} \cup \{ (i, j) \mid (j, i) \in \Sigma_{\cap}^{cross} \})$ , the continuity equality constraint

$$\widehat{R}_{ij}^{\top}\widehat{P}_{ij}\widehat{R}_{ij} = 0, \qquad (25b)$$

where  $R_{ij}$  is the ray matrix corresponding to the cone  $C_{\widehat{X}_{ij}}$ ,  $\overline{N}_{ij}$  is a symmetric matrix with nonnegative entries and

$$\widehat{P}_{ij} := \left( \begin{array}{cc} P_j - P_i & \nu_j - \nu_i \\ (\nu_j - \nu_i)^\top & \omega_j - \omega_i \end{array} \right).$$

Assume that the LMIs (22), (23), (25), and, for  $s \in \Sigma_0$ , the inequalities

$$\frac{2\nu_s^\top R_s e_i \ge 0,}{-2\nu_s^\top A_s R_s e_i \ge 0,} \qquad i = 1, \dots, \lambda_s + \rho_s,$$
 (26)

have a solution  $\{P_s, \nu_s, \omega_s, N_s, M_s\}_{s=1}^S$  with  $\omega_s = 0$  for  $s \in \Sigma_0$ . Then all solutions of the PWA system (13) converge asymptotically to zero.

Conditions (22)–(23) are similar to those in [16] where Lyapunov stability via copositive matrices over convex sets is applied to linear evolution variational inequalities.

The proof of Theorem 14 is based on the following Lemma highlighting specific properties of the functions  $V_s$ .

Lemma 15: Let  $V_s$  be given by (20), let

$$\dot{V}_s(x) := x^\top (A_s^\top P_s + P_s A_s) x + (2b_s^\top P_s + \nu_s^\top A_s) x + \nu_s^\top b_s$$

and assume that (22), (23), (25) and (26) hold. Then  $V_s$  is positive and  $\dot{V}_s$  is negative definite in the following sense:

$$V_s(x) > 0 \quad \forall x \in X_s \setminus \{0\}, \tag{27a}$$

$$\dot{V}_s(x) < 0 \quad \forall x \in X_s \setminus \{0\}.$$
(27b)

Furthermore, each  $V_s$  is radially unbounded in the following sense: for all  $\overline{v} \in V_s(X_s) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$  the preimage

$$V_s^{-1}([0,\overline{v}]) := \{ x \in X_s \mid V_s(x) \le \overline{v} \} \text{ is compact} (28)$$

and for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  exists  $\overline{v} > 0$  such that

$$V_s^{-1}([0,\overline{v}]) \subseteq \{ x \in X_s \mid ||x|| \le \varepsilon \}.$$
(29)

Note that for  $s \in \Sigma_1$  and for sufficiently small  $\overline{v} > 0$  the set  $V_s^{-1}([0, \overline{v}])$  will be empty.

**Proof:** From Remark 10 and Lemma 11 together with (23a), (22a) and (26) we conclude that  $V_s$  is positive definite and the same Remark and Lemma together with (23a), (22a) and (26) ensure that  $\dot{V}_s(x)$  is negative definite. Radial unboundedness (28) follows from the quadratic nature of  $V_s$  and (29) is a consequence of continuity and positive definiteness of  $V_s$ .

Proof of Theorem 14. Choose the PWQ function V as in (8) as a candidate Lyapunov function; in fact, V can be defined also on  $X \setminus \bigcup_s \operatorname{int} X_s = \bigcup_{(i,j) \in \Sigma_n} X_{ij}$  as follows:

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} V_j(x), & x \in X_{ij} \text{ with } (i,j) \in \Sigma_{\cap}^{\text{cross}}, \\ V_i(x) = V_j(x), & x \in X_{ij} \text{ with } (i,j), (j,i) \notin \Sigma_{\cap}^{\text{cross}}, \end{cases}$$

where the equality  $V_i(x) = V_j(x)$  in the second case is a consequence from condition (25b). Note furthermore, that due to condition (25a) we have for all  $x \in X_{ij}$  with  $(i, j) \in \Sigma_{\square}^{cross}$ :

$$V(x) = V_j(x) \le V_i(x). \tag{30}$$

Step 1: We show V is decreasing along solutions.

Let  $x : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be any (global) solution of the PWA system (13) and let v(t) := V(x(t)). By definition x is differentiable almost everywhere, in particular for almost all  $t \in [0, \infty)$  there exists  $s \in \Sigma$ , such that

$$\dot{x}(t) = A_s x(t) + b_s \quad \text{and} \quad x(t) \in X_s$$

and invoking (27b) we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}v(t) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}V(x(t)) = \dot{V}_s(x(t)) < 0.$$
(31)

It remains to show that v does not jump upwards at those time points where v is not continuous. Therefore let  $t_* \in$  $[0,\infty)$  be some point where v is discontinuous. Since x is continuous it follows that  $x(t_*) \in X_{ij}$  for some  $(i, j) \in \Sigma_{\cap}$ . If  $(i, j) \in \Sigma_{\cap}^{coss}$  [or  $(j, i) \in \Sigma_{\cap}^{coss}$ ] then the solution crosses  $X_{ij}$  from region  $X_i$  towards region  $X_j$  [or vice versa] and (30) ensures that  $v(t_*) = V_i(x(t^*)) \ge V_j(x(t^*) = v(t_*^+))$ [or  $v(t_*) = V_j(x(t^*)) \ge V_i(x(t^*) = v(t_*^+)]$ . For all other cases, V is continuous on  $X_{ij}$  by (25b) which contradicts our assumption that v is discontinuous at  $t_*$ .

Step 2: We show that all solutions converge to zero.

Let  $\underline{v} := \lim_{t\to\infty} v(t)$ , which is well-defined because v is monotonically decreasing. Seeking a contradiction assume  $\underline{v} > 0$  then x evolves within the set

$$K := \bigcup_{s=1}^{S} V_s^{-1}([\underline{v}, v(0)])$$

which, due to positive definiteness of all  $V_s$  does not contain the origin. Furthermore, K is compact because of (28) and continuity of  $V_s$ . Hence, for almost all  $t \ge 0$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}v(t) \le \min_{s \in \Sigma} \min_{x \in K \cap X_s} \dot{V}_s(x) =: \delta < 0$$

which implies that  $v(t) \leq v(0) - \delta t$ , contradicting  $v(t) \geq \underline{v}$ for all  $t \geq 0$  and hence  $v(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ . Seeking again a contradiction assume  $x(t) \neq 0$ . Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and an increasing unbounded sequence  $\{t_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $||x(t_k)|| \geq \varepsilon$ . Since  $V(x(t_k)) \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ , this contradicts (29).

*Remark 16:* The nonincreasing-jump-LMIs (25a) does not exclude continuity on the corresponding facet. Moreover, on all facets where the crossing condition (17) is not satisfied and on all intersections  $X_{ij}$  which are not facets, i.e. intersections of dimension lower than n - 1, the continuity constraint (25b) is used.

*Remark 17:* Local stability in a bounded (polyhedral) region which is an invariant set containing the origin, can be proved with straightforward reformulations of Theorem 14.

# V. SIMULATION RESULTS

Consider the switched second order system  $\dot{x} = A(x)x$ with  $A(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -5 \\ 0.2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  for x being in the first and third quadrants of the state space, and  $A(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -0.2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  when x is in the second and forth quadrants. In [17] it was shown that this system does not admit a continuous PWQ-LF with the four quadrants partition. The set of LMIs (22) with the nonincreasing-jump-LMIs (25) was solved by considering a uniform partition into 108 cones. It easy to verify that the crossing conditions (15) are satisfied for all the common boundaries. Figure 1 shows the state space trajectory and a level curve of the Lyapunov function.



Fig. 1: State space trajectory (blue line) for the switched second order system and a Lyapunov function level curve (black line).

In Figure 2 are reported the time evolutions of the Lyapunov function computed along the trajectory and the state variables. The PWQ-LF is discontinuous at some switching time instants, according to Remark 16.



Fig. 2: Time evolutions of the state variables (blue and red lines) and Lyapunov function (black line) with a zoom around a jump (dashed line).

As a second example let us consider the opinion dynamics model in [18] which can be represented in the form (13) with the partition reported in Figure 3.



Fig. 3: State space for the opinion dynamics model: a state trajectory (black line) and some level curves of the PWQ-LF.

The system matrices are

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, & A_2 &= A_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \\ A_4 &= A_5 &= \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, & A_6 &= \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \\ A_7 &= \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, & A_8 &= \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \\ A_9 &= A_{10} &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, & A_{11} &= A_{12} &= \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $b_s = 0$  for all  $s \in \{1, ..., 12\}$ . Depending on the initial conditions, the convergence to an equilibrium or a clustering with different steady state values can occur [19].

The local asymptotic stability of the origin of (13) can be analyzed by using the proposed PWQ-LF approach. By applying Remark 17, we found a PWQ-LF for the PWA dynamics in the polyhedral region shown in Figure 3 which is contained in the feasibility domain.



Fig. 4: Time evolutions of the state  $v_{ariables}^{[s]}$  and discontinuous PWQ-LF (dashed line).

In the same region, which is an invariant set, the crossing conditions are satisfied and hence the origin is asymptotically stable for any initial condition belonging to that region. Fig. 4 shows the time evolutions of state variables and PWQ-LF. It is evident the discontinuity of the PWQ-LF when the trajectory crosses the polyhedra boundaries.

#### VI. CONCLUSIONS

Piecewise quadratic Lyapunov functions (PWQ-LFs) have been used in the literature for the analysis of the asymptotic stability for piecewise affine (PWA) systems. In this paper, by exploiting the cone-copositivity approach, the problem of finding a PWQ-LF has been formulated in terms of a set of LMIs. The resulting PWQ-LF is not required to be continuous and non-increasing conditions at the polyhedra boundaries are included in the problem in terms of further LMIs. Simulation results have shown the effectiveness of the proposed approach.

#### REFERENCES

- L. Rodrigues and S. Boyd, "Piecewsie-affine state feedback for piecewsie-affine slab systems using convex optimization," *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 54, no. 9, pp. 835–853, 2005.
- [2] J. Pavlov, A. Pogromsky, N. Van De Wouw, and H. Nijmeijer, "On convergence properties of piecewise affine systems," *International Journal of Control*, vol. 80, no. 8, p. 12331247, 2007.
- [3] H. Lin and P. J. Antsaklis, "Stability and stabilizability of switched linear systems: A survey of recent results," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 308–322, 2009.
- [4] M. Johansson, *Piecewise Linear Control Systems A Computational Apporach.* Heidelberg, Germany: Springer, 2003, vol. 284.
- [5] J. Leth and R. Wisniewski, "On formalism and stability of switched systems," *Journal of Control Theory Applications*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 176–183, 2012.
- [6] S. Waitman, P. Massioni, L. Bako, G. Scorletti, and V. Fromion, "Incremental l<sub>2</sub>-gain analysis of piecewise-affine systems using piecewise quadratic storage functions," in *Proc. of 55th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, Las Vegas, USA, Dec 2016, pp. 1334–1339.
- [7] N. Eghbal, N. Pariz, and A. Karimpour, "Discontinuous piecewise quadratic lyapunov functions for planar piecewise affine systems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 399, no. 2, pp. 586–593, 2013.
- [8] S. Pettersson and B. Lennartson, "Hybrid system stability and robustness verification using linear matrix inequalities," *International Journal of Control*, vol. 75, no. 16-17, pp. 1335–1355, 2002.
- [9] J. Sponsel, S. Bundfuss, and M. Dür, "An improved algorithm to test copositivity," *Journal of Global Optimization*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 537– 551, 2012.
- [10] R. Iervolino and F. Vasca, "Cone-copositivity for absolute stability of Lur'e systems," in *Proc. of 53rd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, Los Angeles, California, USA, Dec 2014, pp. 6305–6310.
- [11] R. Iervolino, F. Vasca, and L. Iannelli, "Cone-copositive piecewise quadratic Lyapunov functions for conewise linear systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 60, no. 11, pp. 3077–3082, 2015.
- [12] J. F. Sturm and S. Zhang, "On cones of nonnegative quadratic functions," *Mathematics of Operations Research*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 246–267, 2003.
- [13] R. Iervolino, D. Tangredi, and F. Vasca, "Lyapunov stability for piecewise affine systems via cone-copositivity," *Automatica*, vol. 81, pp. 22–29, Jul 2017.
- [14] L. Q. Thuan and M. K. Camlibel, "On the existence, uniqueness and the nature of Carathéodory and Filippov solutions for bimodal piecewise affine systems," *System and Control Letters*, vol. 68, pp. 76–85, 2014.
- [15] C. Georgescu, B. Brogliato, and V. Acary, "Switching, relay and complementarity systems: A tutorial on their well-posedness and relationships," *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, vol. 241, no. 22, pp. 1985–2002, 2012.
- [16] D. Goelven and B. Brogliato, "Stability and instability matrices for linear evolution variational inequalities," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 521–534, 2004.
- [17] M. Defoort, M. Djemai, and S. Trenn, "Nondecreasing Lyapunov functions," in *Proc. of Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems*, Groningen, The Netherlands, July 2014, pp. 1038–1043.
- [18] D. Tangredi, R. Iervolino, and F. Vasca, "Coopetition and cooperosity over opinion dynamics," in *Complex Networks & Their Applications*, ser. Studies in Computational Intelligence, H. Cherifi, S. Gaito, W. Quattrociocchi, and A. Sala, Eds. Springer, 2017, vol. 693, pp. 397–409.
- [19] S. Motsch and E. Tadmor, "Heterophilious dynamics enhances consensus," *SIAM Review*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 577–621, 2014.