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Isoquinoline and quinazoline urea derivatives were found to bind to human adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptors. Series of N -phenyl-N'-quinazol in-4-ylurea derivatives and N -phenyl-N'-isoquinolin-1-ylurea derivatives were synthesized and tested in radioligand binding assays on their adenosine receptor affinities. A structure-affinity analysis indicated that on the 2-position of the quinazol inering or the equivalent 3-position of the isoquinoline ring a phenyl or heteroaryl substituent increased the adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor affinity in comparison to unsubstituted or aliphatic derivatives. Furthermore, the structure-affinity relationship of substituted phenylurea analogues was investigated. Substituents such as electron-withdrawing or electron-donating groups were introduced at different positions of the benzene ring to probe electronic and positional effects of substitution. Substitution on the 3- or 4-position of the phenyl ring decreased the adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor affinity. Substitution at position 2 with an electron-donating substituent, such as methyl or methoxy, increased human adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor affinity, whereas substitution on the 2-position with an electron-withdrawing substituent did not influence affinity. Combination of the optimal substituents in the two series had an additive effect, which led to the potent human adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor antagonist N -(2-methoxyphenyl)-$\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-(2-(3-pyridyl) quinazolin-4-yl)urea (VUF5574, 10a) showing a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 4 nM and being at least 2500 -fold selective vs $A_{1}$ and $A_{2 A}$ receptors. Compound 10a competitively antagonized the effect of an agonist in a functional $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor assay, i.e., inhibition of CAMP production in cells expressing the human adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor; a $\mathrm{pA}_{2}$ value of 8.1 was derived from a Schild plot. In conclusion, compound 10a is a potent and selective human adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor antagonist and might be a useful tool in further characterization of the human $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor.

## I ntroduction

Extracellular adenosine exerts its physiol ogical effects by activation of cell membrane-spanning receptors called $\mathrm{P}_{1}$-purinoceptors. The $\mathrm{P}_{1}$-purinoceptors are divided into three subtypes: $A_{1}, A_{2}$, and $A_{3}$, with $A_{2}$ further subdivided into $A_{2 A}$ and $A_{2 B}$. All four receptors are coupled via a $G$ protein to the adenylate cyclasecAMP signal transduction pathway. Activated adenosine $A_{1}$ and $A_{3}$ receptors inhibit adenylate cyclase, whereas $A_{2 A}$ and $A_{2 B}$ receptors stimulate this enzyme. The target receptor in the present study, the human adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor, is mainly expressed in lung, liver, kidney, and heart but is also found in the CNS, testes, and immune system. ${ }^{1-3}$

Selective adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ antagonists are putative antiinflammatory, antiasthmatic, or antiischemic agents. ${ }^{4-9}$ Xanthines, although versatile leads for antagonists of the adenosine $A_{1}$ and $A_{2 A}$ receptors, are much less potent at the adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor. ${ }^{10}$ For this reason library screening was used to search for novel leads. Triazol onaphthpyridine, ${ }^{11,12}$ 1,4-dihydropyridines ${ }^{13-15}$ and pyridines, ${ }^{16}$ triazol oquinazol ines, ${ }^{17,18}$ isoquinolines and quinazolines, ${ }^{19,20}$ and flavonoids ${ }^{21}$ were identified

[^0]as adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor ligands this way, and chemical optimization of the leads yielded selective adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor antagonists.
Recently we have reported on a series of isoquinoline and quinazoline analogues as adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor ligands. ${ }^{19,20}$ From these studies we concluded that higher adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor affinity resulted from spacer-coupled aromatic groups on the 1-position of the isoquinoline ring (Figure 1). By altering the aromatic substitution pattern, selectivity for the adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor was obtained. We have since extended the scope of our investigations into this class of compounds and found that a urea moiety as spacer also provided an increase in binding affinity compared to a directly coupled aromatic group on the 1-position of the isoquinoline ring. In this new series, the influence on adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor affinity of substituents at the 2-position of phenylurea quinazolines was investigated first. Subsequently, the influence of substitution of the phenylurea moiety was analyzed. Finally, computeraided visualization of common elements within the isoquinoline and quinazol ine series was used to derive dues for their high affinity.

## Chemistry

The preparation of compounds $\mathbf{5 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{- k}$ was performed following the general synthetic strategy depicted in Scheme 1. The intermediates $\mathbf{4 a - k}$ were synthesized
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Figure 1. Isoquinolines and quinazolines as human adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor ligands.
initially based on a method described by Linschoten et al. ${ }^{22}$ with some modifications. Treatment of 2 -aminobenzonitrile (1) with strong base yielded a relatively stable anion as intermediate. This nucleophile reacted with nitriles $\mathbf{3 a - k}$, and after hydrolysis the quinazol ine derivatives $\mathbf{4 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{k}$ were obtained. The yield of the derivatives was dependent on the bulkiness of substituents $R_{1}$ of nitriles $\mathbf{3 a - k}$ due to steric hindrance in the nucleophilic attack of the anion. In such a case the anion could react with the starting nitrile 1 which, after hydrolysis, yielded the dimeric side product 2-(2-ami-nophenyl)-4-quinazol ineamine (2). This agrees with the findings of Taylor and Borror ${ }^{23}$ who suggested that in the case of different aminobenzonitriles the critical factor determining dimerization was the ability of the nitrile group to undergo nucl eophilic attack rather than the basicity of the attacking amino group. The course of the condensation reaction's dependence on the accessibility of the participating nitrile group to undergo nucleophilic attack was clearly demonstrated by a mixture of $\mathbf{1}$ and trimethylacetonitrile (3c), which yielded only dimer 2. The method of Smyrl and Smithwick ${ }^{24}$ with sodium hydroxide as catalyst also failed with reactants $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3 c}$. However, method B favored the formation of $\mathbf{4 c}$ over $\mathbf{2}$. In method B sodium hydride was used as base, so the formation of the anion was completed before nitrile $\mathbf{3}$ was added. With method A, an equilibrium could exist between $\mathbf{1}$ and the anion, which can compete with nitrile 3, resulting in the formation of 2. Good yields were also reached with method B in the synthesis of $\mathbf{4 e}$, although the nitrogen in $3 \mathbf{e}$ on the meta position is not able to delocalize the negative charge originating from the nucleophilic attack of the anion of $\mathbf{1}$. Shishoo et al. ${ }^{25}$ have described a hydrogen chloridecatalyzed reaction of 2-aminobenzonitrile with acetonitrile yielding $63 \%$ of 4 -amino-2methylquinazoline. However, an examination of this method using trimethylacetonitrile (3c) revealed that neither desired product nor side product was formed. These authors have described that the electron-withdrawing ability of the substituent on the nitrile did not affect the course of the reaction, and from our results we suggest that the steric factor plays a dominant role, both in the base-catalyzed reaction and in the acidcatalyzed reaction.
Finally, derivatives $\mathbf{5 a - k}$ were prepared by reaction of phenyl isocyanate ( $\mathbf{7 i}$ ) with 4 -aminoquinazol ines 4. The substituted phenylurea derivatives $\mathbf{9 a - h}$ as well as compounds $\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1 0}$, and $\mathbf{1 1}$ were prepared as depicted
in Scheme 2. Reaction of quinazolines 4 and isoquinolines 6 in dry acetonitrile at $30-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the appropriate isocyanate 7a-i afforded the products 8-11 in good overall yields. The low solubility of the products in acetonitrile simplified the isolation and purification. For this reason, we favor this method ${ }^{26}$ above reaction in refluxing dry THF. ${ }^{27}$ The yields, method used, and analytical data of amines $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ and products 5 and $\mathbf{8 - 1 1}$ are summarized in Table 1.

## Results and Discussion

Binding Studies. All synthesized compounds were tested in radioligand binding assays to determine their affinities at adenosine $A_{3}, A_{1}$, and $A_{2 A}$ receptors. The affinities at adenosine $A_{1}$ and $A_{2 A}$ receptors were determined on rat brain cortex and rat striatum with $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] D P C P X$ and $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] C G S ~ 21680$ as radioligands, respectively. ${ }^{28,29}$ The affinity at adenosine $A_{3}$ receptors was determined on membranes from HEK 293 cells, stably expressing the human $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor, using [ ${ }^{125}$ I]-AB-MECA. ${ }^{30,31}$ The results are shown in Tables 2-4.

Preliminary SAR. In previous studies we have described isoquinoline and quinazoline derivatives as a novel class of ligands for the adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor. ${ }^{19,20}$ An aromatic ring, coupled by a conjugated spacer to the 1-position of the isoquinolinering, proved beneficial for high adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor affinity. All spacers were three bond lengths long (Figure 1). In the present study we prolonged the spacer with one extra atom, thus introducing a urea moiety as spacer.

Comparison of the affinities of compounds containing the four different spacers (Table 2) revealed that compound 12, bearing an amidine group as spacer, and compound 13, containing a ketone spacer, showed moderate affinity at the adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor, whereas compounds with an amide and especially those with a urea moiety had higher adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor affinities. Compound $\mathbf{8 b}$ with a urea spacer showed the highest $A_{3}$ selectivity. These data led us to explore the urea derivatives further.

In our previous studies ${ }^{20}$ it had been shown that isoquinolines differ only slightly from quinazolines in their affinities at adenosine receptors. To check whether this also held in the urea series, we synthesized and determined the affinity of quinazolines $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}$ and isoquinolines 8a,b,d (Table 3). The results proved to be somewhat ambiguous. Quinazolines within the unsubstituted derivatives 5a and 8a and the phenyl derivatives 5d and $\mathbf{8 d}$ had increased adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor affinities, whereas 2-pyridyl derivatives $\mathbf{5 b}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$ showed the opposite effect. In view of the better accessibility of 4 -aminoquinazolines compared to the 1-aminoisoquinolines, we decided to focus on the quinazol ine derivatives only.

Optimization of the Substituent on the 2-Position. All isoquinolines and quinazolines described so far as ligands for the adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor have a 2-pyridyl group on the 3-position of the isoquinoline ring or the equivalent 2-position of the quinazoline ring, respectively. In the present study, we investigated the influence of other substituents on this position, and therefore we synthesized compounds 5a-k (Table 3).

Quinazoline 5a, being unsubstituted at position 2, had low adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor affinity. Substitution with a bulky tert-butyl group at position 2 was unfavorable

## Scheme $1^{\text {a }}$


${ }^{a} \mathrm{R}_{1}$ is defined in Table 1.

Scheme $\mathbf{2 a}^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{a} R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are defined in Table 1.
(5c). However, substitution with an aromatic group resulted in a largely increased adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor affinity (compounds $\mathbf{5 b}, \mathbf{d}-\mathbf{f}$ ). Within this group of compounds, some differences in adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor affinity were observed. The phenyl group of 5 d and the 2-pyridyl and 4-pyridyl groups of compounds 5b,f, respectively, contributed almost equally to adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor affinity, whereas the 3 -pyridyl group (derivative 5e) increased the affinity several times.
o-Methyl substitution of the 2-pyridyl group as in $\mathbf{5 g}$ was allowed. However, a 4,6-dimethyl pyrimidinyl substituent yielded modestly active $\mathbf{5 h}$. The furyl anal ogue (5i) showed affinity and selectivity at adenosine receptors very similar to those of the phenyl and 2-and 4 -pyridyl derivatives. Next, 2 -substitution of the quinazoline ring with an amine functionality was investigated. The pyrrolidine derivative $\mathbf{5 k}$ and the diethylamine derivative 5 j both possessed relatively high adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor affinity. This relatively high adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor affinity of the amine-substituted compounds 5j,k could not be caused by their high lipophilicity, because compound 5c, bearing the most lipophilic substituent within this series (tert-butyl), was inactive at the adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor. The high adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor affinity of compounds $\mathbf{5 j}, \mathbf{k}$ may be due to the Ione pair of the nitrogen atom, which may have a similar electrostatic interaction with the receptor as the $\pi$-electrons of an aromatic ring. This might explain the low affinity of the unsubstituted compound $5 \mathbf{5}$ and the aliphatic derivative 5c. Interestingly, compound $\mathbf{5 j}$ showed also high adenosine $A_{1}$ receptor affinity, even being slightly $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ selective.
In conclusion, substituents at position 2 of the quinazoline ring that are relatively small and possess a high
electron density imposed moderate to high adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor affinity in this series of quinazolines.

Substituent Effect of Phenylurea. In an approach according to Topliss for aromatic compounds, ${ }^{32}$ a series of phenylurea-substituted N -aryl- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-(2-phenylquinazol4 -yl)urea derivatives were synthesized. All compounds were tested on their adenosine receptor affinities (Table 4). The substituted compounds $9 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}$ showed highly decreased adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor affinity compared to the unsubstituted derivative 5d.

The potent and subtype-selective adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor antagonist VUF 8504 ( $\mathbf{1 5}$; Figure 2 ) bears a 4-methoxy substituent ${ }^{20}$ and differs from the present compound 9d in the spacer and the heterocyclic ring. Most probably, the length (and thus the substituent direction) of the amide and urea spacer contribute to the observed large difference. Therefore we investigated the 2- and 3 -methoxy derivatives ( $9 f, \mathbf{e}$, respectively) and compared them with the 4-methoxy derivative (9d).
The 3-methoxy derivative $\mathbf{9 e}$ showed adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor affinity with a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ value in the low micromolar range, whereas the 2-methoxy anal ogue VUF 5386 (9f) had high adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor affinity with a $\mathrm{K}_{i}$ value of 87 nM (Table 4). The large difference in adenosine receptor affinities between 9d,f is very remarkable. Since the electronic influence of ortho and para substitution is comparable, the steric aspects may be responsible for the observed difference.

Next, we examined the influence of another electrondonating substituent at the ortho position ( $\mathbf{M e}, \mathbf{9 h}$ ) as well as an electron-withdrawing substituent ( $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathbf{9 g}$ ) at this position. The affinities of compounds $\mathbf{9 f}-\mathbf{h}$ are in agreement with the electronic effect of the 4 -substituted 3 -(2-pyridyl) isoquinolin-1-ylbenzamides described before: 20 i.e., electron-withdrawing substituents did not influence adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor affinity compared to the unsubstituted phenyl derivative, while el ectron-donating substituents increased adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor affinity. This suggests a comparable type of interaction between the adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor and the substituents on both positions. We tried to visualize this by molecular modeling studies (next section).

Finally, the substituents of the most potent compounds of Tables 3 and 4 were combined in derivatives 10 in order to design active adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor antagonists. The substituent effects were found to be additive in compounds 10a,b. Both compounds were very active, and again, the methoxy derivative showed

Table 1. Yields and Analytical Data of Isoquinoline and Quinazoline Analogues


Table 1 (Continued)

| Compd | X | R ${ }_{1}$ | R ${ }_{2}$ | yield (\%) | Method | $\mathrm{mp}\left({ }^{(0} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | purification ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | formula | anal. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 f | N | Ph | 2-OMe | 32 | - | 227-229 | NMP/MeOH | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, N |
| 9 g | N | Ph | 2 -Cl | 69 | - | 233-234 | DMF/MeOH | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ |
| 9 h | N | Ph | $2-\mathrm{Me}$ | 45 | - | 120-121 | DMF/MeOH | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O} .0 .2 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | C, H, N |
| 10a | N | 3-pyridyl | 2-OMe | 77 | - | 257-258 | DMF/MeOH | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 10b | N | 3 -pyridyl | 2-Me | 50 | - | 227-228 | DMF/EtOH | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, N |
| 11 | N | 2-pyridyl | 2-OMe | 68 | - | 190 | DMF/EtOH | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | C. H. N |

Table 2. Adenosine Receptor Subtype Affinities of Isoquinoline Derivatives with Different Spacers

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Displacement of specific [ ${ }^{125 I}$ ]AB-MECA binding at human adenosine $A_{3}$ receptors expressed in HEK 293 cells, expressed as $K_{i} \pm$ SEM in nM ( $n=3-5$ ). ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Displacement of specific $[3 \mathrm{H}]$ DPCPX binding in rat brain cortical membranes, expressed as percentage displacement of specific binding at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{n}=2-3)$ or $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \pm$ SEM in $\mu \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{n}=3)$. ${ }^{\text {c Displacement of specific }[3 \mathrm{H}] \mathrm{CGS} 21680 \text { binding }{ }^{2} \text {. }}$ in rat striatal membranes, expressed as percentage displacement of specific binding at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{n}=2-3)$.
the highest affinity. This led to VUF5574 (10a), a potent human adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor antagonist with a $K_{i}$ value of 4 nM and at least 2500 -fold selectivity over $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptors.

We also synthesized compound 11, since isoquinolines and quinazolines described earlier also contained a 2-pyridyl group. Compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ is also a high-affinity human adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor antagonist but showed a 7-fold decrease in affinity compared to 10a. This agrees with the earlier finding (derivatives 5b,e) that in these quinazoline urea series a 3-pyridyl group is preferred over the 2-pyridyl group.

QSAR. We attempted some quantitative approaches to elucidate the relationships between the substituents at the 2-position of the quinazoline ring and the affinities. A similar approach was followed for substituents at the 2-position of the phenyl. We performed correlation analyses using various substituent parameters describing steric, electronic, and lipophilic properties. However, no correlation was found in the first series of compounds (compounds $\mathbf{5 a} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{k}$ ) and neither
between $(\log \mathrm{P})^{2}$ and the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in contrast to the pyridine derivatives described as antagonists for the adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor. ${ }^{16}$

Molecular Modeling. Similar phenyl substituents in the urea and benzamide series led to comparable affinities (comparison of 2- and 4-substituents and 3 -substituents). ${ }^{20}$ This suggests a common binding site for the benzamide and phenylurea substituents. The structures of 4-methoxy-N-[3-(2-pyridyl)isoquinolin-1yl ]benzamide (15) ${ }^{20}$ and N -(2-methoxyphenyl)-N'-[2-(3-pyridyl)quinazolin-4-yl ]urea (10a; Figure 2) were built and minimized. From energy minimizations with molecular mechanics, four conformations emerged, each with the nitrogen of the pyridine ring "upwards", i.e., in the opposite direction of the spacer. In all four conformations the isoquinoline and quinazoline ring showed planarity with the spacer, whereas the pyridyl group was slightly turned out of the plane. The differences between the four conformations are due to two possible orientations of the pyridyl group and two possible orientations of the phenyl ring.

Table 3. Adenosine Receptor Affinities of 3-Substituted N-Phenyl-N'-(3-R-isoquinolin-1-yl)urea Derivatives and 2-Substituted N-Phenyl-N'-(2-R-quinazolin-4-yl)urea Derivatives

a Displacement of specific [ ${ }^{125}$ ] $]$ AB-MECA binding at human adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptors expressed in HEK 293 cells, expressed as $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \pm$ SEM in $n M$ ( $n=3-5$ ) or percentage displacement of specific binding at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ). b Displacement of specific [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ DPCPX binding in rat brain cortical membranes, expressed as percentage displacement of specific binding at a concentration of 10 $\mu M(n=2-3)$ or $K_{i} \pm$ SEM in $n M(n=3)$. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Displacement of specific $[3 H] C G S ~ 21680$ binding in rat striatal membranes, expressed as percentage displacement of specific binding at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{n}=2-3)$.

Subsequently, we aimed for matching of both the methoxy group and the pyridyl ring of the two compounds. Of the possible fits no one showed planarity of both the phenylurea and benzamide and the isoquinoline and quinazoline rings. The superimposition of compounds 15 and 10a, with best possible matching of the nitrogens of the pyridine substituents as well as the methoxy groups, is depicted in Figure 3. This relatively poor fit shows that a comparable conformation of 15 and 10a in their receptor interaction is not self-evident. The superimposition in Figure 3 shows that both compounds may bind at a common binding site but full overlap is
not feasible. This suggests that more space in the receptor pocket exists than is being used, thus giving room for further synthetic efforts.

Functional Assay at Adenosine $A_{3}$ Receptors. For a functional evaluation intact cells expressing the human adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor were used. The inhibition of forskolin-stimulated cAMP production by receptor agonists was used as a read-out. NECA dose-response curves were recorded ( $n=4$ ) in the absence and presence of three increasing concentrations of 10a (Figure 4). Compound 10a caused a rightward shift of the dose-response curves; a $\mathrm{pA}_{2}$ value of 8.1 was

Table 4. Adenosine Receptor Affinities of Substituted Quinazol-4-yl- and Isoguin-1-ylurea Derivatives

|  |  |  |  | $R_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | X | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left.\mathbf{A}^{\boldsymbol{a}}\right) \\ & \boldsymbol{K}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{n M}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $A_{1}{ }^{\text {b }}$ ) | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\text {c) }}$ |
| 5d | N | phenyl | H | H | H | $287 \pm 106$ | $36 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| 9 a | N | phenyl | $\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H}$ | Cl | $38 \%$ | $26 \%$ | 24\% |
| $9 \mathrm{~b}$ | N | phenyl | H | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $38 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| 9 c | N | phenyl | H | Cl | Cl | $40 \%$ | 3.4 \% | $10 \%$ |
| 9d | N | phenyl | H | H | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $40 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| $9 \mathrm{e}$ | N | phenyl | $\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | $2040 \pm 1890$ | $6.8 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 9f VUF5386 | N | phenyl | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | H | $87.3 \pm 36.8$ | $3870 \pm 510$ | $22 \%$ |
| $9 \mathrm{~g}$ | N | phenyl | $\mathrm{Cl}$ | H | H | $220 \pm 45$ | $39 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| 9h | N | phenyl | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H | $115 \pm 31.3$ | $64 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| 10a VUF5574 | N | 3-pyridyl | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | H | $4.03 \pm 0.46$ | 52 \% | $43 \%$ |
| $10 \mathrm{~b}$ | N | 3-pyridyl | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H | $23.9 \pm 9.4$ | $295 \pm 59$ | $12 \%$ |
| $11$ | N | 2-pyridyl | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | H | $28.2 \pm 7.3$ | $84.5 \pm 14$ | $0 \%$ |
| XAC |  |  |  |  |  | $108 \pm 3.0$ |  |  |
| L-249313 |  |  |  |  |  | $172 \pm 9.1$ |  |  |
| CGS 15943 |  |  |  |  |  | $143 \pm 19$ |  |  |

a Displacement of specific [ ${ }^{125}$ I]AB-MECA binding at human adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptors expressed in HEK 293 cells, expressed as $\mathrm{K}_{i} \pm$ SEM in $n M(n=3-5)$ or percentage displacement of specific binding at a concentration of $10 \mu M$ ( $n=2$ ). ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Displacement of specific [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ DPCPX binding in rat brain cortical membranes, expressed as percentage displacement of specific binding at a concentration of 10 $\mu M(n=2-3)$ or $K_{i} \pm$ SEM in $n M(n=3)$. ${ }^{\text {c Displacement of specific }[3 H] C G S ~} 21680$ binding in rat striatal membranes, expressed as percentage displacement of specific binding at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{n}=2-3)$.


Figure 2. Most potent $A_{3}$ ligands of the benzamide and urea series of isoquinolines and quinazolines at the human adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor.
calculated from a Schild plot (inset Figure 4). The slope of the Schild plot was not significantly different from unity, suggesting the competitive nature of 10a. This value is in good agreement with the results from binding studies ( $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{i}}=8.4$, Table 4 ).

## Conclusions

In this study, we report on isoquinoline and quinazoline urea analogues as antagonists of the human adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor. From a series of N -phenyl- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ -quinazolin-4-ylurea derivatives we conclude that an


Figure 3. Fit of $A_{3}$ antagonists $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 5}$ (in the plane of the isoquinoline and quinazoline rings (left) or $90^{\circ}$ rotated (right)).
aromatic group or an amine at the 2-position of the quinazoline ring is necessary for adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor affinity. Second, the effects of substitution on the phenylurea moiety were investigated. Substitution at the 3- or 4-position of the phenyl ring decreased the


Figure 4. Inhibition of adenylate cyclase in cells stably transfected with human adenosine $A_{3}$ receptors. Data were taken from a typical experiment. The assay was carried out as described in the Experimental Section (in the presence of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ forskolin). Dose-response curves of NECA were recorded in the absence and presence of three concentrations of antagonist 10a. The pA 2 value was determined from a Schild plot (inset). In the Schild plot each data point is shown as mean $\pm$ SEM for four determinations. The ligands were: solid squares, NECA; open triangles, NECA + 10a (10 nM); solid diamonds, NECA + 10a (30 nM); open circles, NECA + 10a (100 nM).
affinity, whereas an electron-withdrawing substituent on position 2 did not influence the binding. However, 2 -substitution with the electron-donating substituents methyl or methoxy increased human adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor affinity.

Combination of the optimal substituents in both series as in $\mathbf{5 e}$ (3-pyridyl) and 9 ( 2 -methoxyphenylurea) led to 10a. In binding studies it showed a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 4 nM .
Functional antagonism was demonstrated in an assay consisting of agonist-induced inhibition of adenylate cyclase. The $\mathrm{pA}_{2}$ value of compound 10a, derived from a Schild plot, was 8.1. Thus, VUF5574 (10a) is a very active human adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor antagonist and is highly selective vs adenosine $A_{1}$ and $A_{2 A}$ receptors. This potent new human adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor antagonist might be a useful tool for pharmacol ogical characterization of the $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor.

## Experimental Section

Abbreviations: APT, attached proton test; CGS15943, 9-fluoro-2-(2-furyl)-5,6-dihydro[1,2,4]triazol o[1,5-c]quinazin-5imine; CI , chemical ionization; COSY, correlated spectroscopy; DEPT, distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer; DMEM, Dulbecco's minimal essential medium; [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ DPCPX, [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-1,3-di propyl-8-cyclopentylxanthine; $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CGS}$ 21680, [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ ]-2-[[4-(2-carboxyethyl) phenyl ]ethyl amino]-5'-N-(ethyl carbamoyl) adenosine; HEK cells, human embryonic kidney cells; HEPES, 4-(2-hydroxethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid; HMPT, hexamethylphosphoric triamide; [125I]AB-MECA, [125I ]-N ${ }^{6}$-(4-ami no-3-iodobenzyl)-5'-(N-methyl carbamoyl)adenosine; $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$, equilibrium inhibition constant; L-249313, 6-car-boxymethyl-5,9-dihydro-9-methyl-2-phenyl[1,2,4]triazol o[5,1-a][2,7]naphth-pyridine; NECA, 5'-(N-ethyl carbamoyl)adenosine; SPAP cells, secreted placental alkaline phosphatase; XAC, 8-[4-(((((2-aminoethyl) amino)carbonyl )methoxy)oxy)phenyl]-1,3-dipropylxanthine.

Materials. 6-Methyl pyridine-2-carbonitrile was purchased from LONZA Inc. (France). 4,6-Dimethylpyrimidine-2-carbonitrile was commercially available from SALOR (Austria). Acetonitrile was purchased fromJ.T. Baker (The Netherlands). 1-Aminoisoquinoline (6a), 4-chlorophenyl isocyanate, 3,4dichlorophenyl isocyanate, 2 -furonitrile, and o-tol yl isocyanate were commercially avai lable from Aldrich (The Netherlands). Benzyl cyanide, 3-cyanopyridine, 4-cyanopyridine, diethylaminoacetonitrile, 2-methoxyphenyl isocyanate, 3-methoxyphenyl isocyanate, 4-methoxyphenyl isocyanate, phenyl isocyanate,

1-pyrrolidinecarbonitrile, p-tolyl isocyanate, and trimethylacetonitrile were purchased from ACROS (Belgium). THF was predried over $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ and distilled from $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$. DMF was dried by a passage through a column of $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and acetone was distilled from $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. All other solvents used were of analytical grade. 4-Amino-2-(2-pyridinyl)quinazoline (4b) was prepared as described by Linschoten et al. ${ }^{22}$ 1-Amino-3-(2-pyridinyl)isoquinoline (6b) and 1-amino-3-phenylisoquinoline (6d) were available from stock (Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam).

Synthesis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC 200 ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $200 \mathrm{MHz} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, 50.29 MHz ) spectrometer with tetramethylsilane or sodium 3-(trimethylsilyl)propionate as an internal standard. 2D-NMR (H-H and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H})$ COSY techniques were frequently used to support interpretation of 1D spectra. The multiplicity of the carbon signals was determined by DEPT or APT spectra or by a combination of a normal decoupled carbon spectrum and a CH correlation. The symbols used are (p) for primary, (s) for secondary, ( t ) for tertiary and (q) for quaternary carbon signals. Melting points were measured on a Electrothermal IA9200 apparatus. Elemental analysis were performed by the analytical department of Organic and M olecular Inorganic Chemistry at the University of Groningen (The Netherlands) and are within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of theoretical values unless otherwise specified. Reactions were routinely monitored by thin layer chromatography on Merck silica gel $\mathrm{F}_{254}$ plates and spots were visualized with UV light at 254 nm or iodine or aqueous potassium permanganate staining.

General Procedure for the Preparation of 4a,c-k. Method A. To a solution of $10.37 \mathrm{~g}(88.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 2 -aminobenzonitrile in anhydrous dioxane ( 100 mL ) was added 1 equiv ( $4.75 \mathrm{~g}, 88.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) of freshly prepared sodium methoxide and the mixture stirred under a nitrogen atmosphere overnight. When all of the sodium methoxide had dissolved a solution of 1 equiv of the appropriate carbonitrile in 30 mL of anhydrous dioxane was added dropwise and refluxed for 16 h. After cooling the reaction mixture was hydrolyzed with 15 mL of water. Two equivalents ( $300 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.6 \mathrm{M}$ ) of HCl were added and the water layer was extracted with chloroform (3 $\times 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). After neutralization of the solution with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, the product was extracted with 100 mL of chloroform ( $5 \times 100$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate, and evaporated to dryness. The residue was crystalized.

Method B. A solution of 24.0 g ( 200 mmol ) of 2-aminobenzonitril in 70 mL of THF was added dropwise to a suspension of 9.60 g ( 240 mmol ) sodium hydride and 250 mL of anhydrous THF under a nitrogen atmosphere at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After slow warming to room temperature 50 mL of the appropriate carbonitrile $\mathbf{3}$
( 400 mmol ) or a solution of carbonitrile in dry THF was added dropwise under stirring and refluxed for 20 h . After cooling the reaction mixture was hydrolyzed with 25 mL of water and 1 equiv of HCl was added. The organic solvent was evaporated and 500 mL of water and 100 mL of chloroform were added to the resulting residue. The mixture was neutralized with 1.5 M NaOH and three times extracted with 100 mL of chloroform or ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by crystallization or sublimation.

4-Aminoquinazoline (4a). Method A. Extraction was carried out with ethyl acetate and purification by column chromotagraphy using EA as eluent: yield 74\%; mp 274-275 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta 7.48$ (ddd, $\left.{ }^{3}{ }^{3}{ }_{65}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3}{ }^{3}{ }_{67}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{68}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6\right), 7.61-$ $7.79\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7, \mathrm{H} 8 \& \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 8.21\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{56}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5\right)$, and 8.37 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2$ ).

2-(1,1-Dimethylethyl)-4-aminoquinazoline (4c). Method B. Extraction with chloroform and purification by sublimation at 0.5 Torr and $145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : yield $6.42 \mathrm{~g}(16 \%)$ white needles; mp $178{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d 6, ref DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta$ $1.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.40\left(\mathrm{ddd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{65}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{67}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{68}\right.$ $=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6), 7.59\left(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.60-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7$ and H8), and 8.16 (d, ${ }^{3}{ }_{56}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO) $29.33 \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (p) $38.52 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ (q) 112.4 C 10 (q) 123.1 C 7 (s) 124.2 C6 (s) 127.2 C8 (s) 132.1 C5 (s) 149.8 C9 (q) 161.5 C2 (q) 172.3 C4 (q).

2-Phenyl-4-aminoquinazoline (4d). Method A . Extraction with ethyl acetate and purification by crystallization from EA/ Et 2 O: yield $54 \%$; mp $146{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO-$\left.\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 7.43-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH} \& \mathrm{H} 6), 7.67-7.85$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7, \mathrm{H} 8 \& \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $8.12-8.37(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5)$, and 8.388.48 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ).

2-(3-Pyridyl)-4-aminoquinazoline (4e). Method B: yield 86\%; mp 185-188 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=$ $2.49 \mathrm{ppm}) \delta 7.35-7.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime} \& \mathrm{H} 6\right), 7.78-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, H8\& H 7), 7.98 (bs, 2H, NH 2 ), 8.27 (d, $3556=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5$ ), 8.66-8.72 (m, 2H, H4 \& H6'), and 9.56 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2^{\prime}$ ).

2-(4-Pyridyl)-4-aminoquinazoline (4f). Method $A$ : yield $68 \%$; mp 292-294 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=$ $2.49 \mathrm{ppm}) \delta 7.49-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6), 7.81-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8 \&$ H7), 8.02 (bs, 2H, NH2), 8.26-8.31 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5 \& \mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ), $8.73\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{AB}}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}^{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{AA}^{\prime}=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right.$ ).

2-(6-Methyl-2-pyridyl)-4-aminoquinazoline (4g). Method B: yield $36 \%$; mp $200-202^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO-$\left.\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 2.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.31\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3}{ }^{3} 5_{5^{\prime} 4^{\prime}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, 1H, H5'), 7.45-7.56 (m, 1H, H6), 7.74-7.83 (m, 3H, H4', H8 \& H7), $7.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 8.21\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{34^{\prime}}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3^{\prime}\right)$, and $\left.8.28\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3}\right)_{56}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5\right)$.

2-(4,6-Dimethylpyrimidin-2-yl)-4-aminoquinazoline (4h). Method B: yield $29 \%$; mp $219{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 2.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$, 7.50-7.58 (m, 1H, H6), 7.80-7.81 (m, 2H, H7 \& H8), $8.00(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), and $8.27\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3}{ }^{3} 56=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5\right)$.

2-(2-Furyl)-4-aminoquinazoline (4i). Method $A$ : yield $51 \%$; mp 232-233 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=$ $2.49 \mathrm{ppm}) \delta 6.64\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3}{ }^{3}{ }^{5} 5^{\prime}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3}{ }^{3}{ }_{4} 3^{\prime}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4^{\prime}\right)$ $7.18\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5^{\prime} 4^{\prime}}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{5^{\prime} 3^{3}}=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5^{\prime}\right), 7.44$ (ddd, 3J $\left.{ }_{65}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3}{ }^{67}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{68}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6\right), 7.66-7.80$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H3}^{\prime}, \mathrm{H} 8$, and H 7 ), $7.84\left(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 8.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{56}=\right.$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5)$.

2-Diethylamino-4-aminoquinazoline (4j). Method $A$ : yield $23 \%$; mp 247-249 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=$ $2.49 \mathrm{ppm}) \delta 1.11\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.60\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.0\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 6.96 (ddd, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{65}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3}{ }^{3} 67=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{68}=$ $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6), 7.19-7.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8 \& \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.45$ (ddd, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 78$ $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 76=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J} 75=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7$ ), and 7.93 (dd, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{56}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{57}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5\right)$.

2-(Pyrrolidin-1-yl)-4-aminoquinazoline (4k). Method A: yield $34 \%$; mp $325{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO-$\left.\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 1.88\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3}{ }^{\mathrm{J}}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.50\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}\right.$ $\left.=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.97\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{65}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}^{27}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\mathrm{H} 6), 7.23-7.39\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8 \& \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.48\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 78=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{76}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7\right)$, and $7.94\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{j}_{56}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5\right)$.

General Procedure for the Preparation of 5a-k. One equivalent of quinazolineamine 4 was dissolved in acetonitrile at $20-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1 equiv of phenyl isocyanate was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at $30-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The precipitate was isolated, dried and recrystallized from DMF/methanol.

N-Phenyl-N'-(quinazolin-4-yl)urea (5a). The reaction was carried out at room temperature: yield 79\%; mp 238$239{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO-d ${ }_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta$ $7.10\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{43^{\prime}}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.37\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{23^{3}}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz} .2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ArH), 7.68-7.22 (m, 3H, ArH \& H6), 7.85-7.96 (m, 2H, H7 \& H8), 8.68-8.82 (m, 2H, H5 \& H2), 14.08 (bs, 1H, NH). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-Phenyl- $\mathbf{N}^{\prime}$-[2-(2-pyridyl)quinazolin-4-yl]urea (5b). The reaction temperature was $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : yield $85 \%$; mp $260-262^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1}{ }^{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 7.09$ (t, 3) $\left.4^{\prime \prime} 3^{\prime \prime}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.45\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 2^{\prime \prime} 3^{\prime \prime}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right)$, 7.60-7.94 (m, 5H, ArH , H8, H6 \& H7), 8.06 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ) , 8.24 (ddd, ${ }^{3}{ }_{5^{\prime} 4^{\prime}}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5^{\prime} 6^{\prime}}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4}{ }_{5}{ }^{\prime} 3^{\prime}=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5^{\prime}$ ), 8.65 (dd, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{56}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{57}=$ unsolved, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5$ ), 8.74 (dd, ${ }^{3}{ }_{6} 6^{\prime} 5^{\prime}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4}{ }^{5} 6^{\prime}=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ), 8.84 (ddd, ${ }^{3}{ }^{3} 3^{\prime \prime}=4.9$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz},{ }^{4}{ }_{3^{\prime} 5^{\prime}}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3^{\prime}\right), 10.12(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$ and $13.08(\mathrm{bs}$, 1H, NH). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}$ ) C, H, N.

N-[2-(1,1-Dimethylethyl)quinazolin-4-yl]-N'-phenylurea (5c). The reaction was carried out at room temperature: yield $73 \%$; $\mathrm{mp} 260-261{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 1.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.08-7.22(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8), 7.42\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 67\right.$ = unsolved, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 65=$ unsolved, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6\right)$, $7.62-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7$ and ArH$), 7.80-7.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 8.71 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{56}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5$ ), $10.48(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$ and $12.39(\mathrm{bs}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.3 \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-Phenyl-N'-[(2-phenyl)quinazolin-4-yl]urea (5d). The reaction was carried out at room temperature: yield $81 \%$; mp $251-253^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta 7.11-7.23$ ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{2H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.39-7.47 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.61-7.69 $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH} \& \mathrm{H} 8 \& \mathrm{H} 6 \& \mathrm{H} 7), 7.99\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B}^{3} 56=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, H5), 8.30-8.48 (m, 2H, ArH), $10.62(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$, and 12.26 (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-Phenyl-N'-[2-(3-pyridyl)quinazolin-4-yl]urea (5e). The reaction temperature was $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : yield $76 \%$; mp $247{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, ref DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 7.15\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3}{ }^{3} 4^{\prime \prime} 3^{\prime \prime}\right.$ $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.43\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{z}^{\prime \prime} 3^{\prime \prime}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right.$ ), $7.65-$ 7.73 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8, \mathrm{H} 5^{\prime}, \mathrm{H} 6 \& \mathrm{H} 7$ ), $7.98-8.02$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 8.68-8.79 (m, 3H, H4', H6 \& H5), 9.54 (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ), 10.66 (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ) and 11.94 (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right.$ $0.2 \mathrm{MeOH}) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-Phenyl-N'-[2-(4-pyridyl)quinazolin-4-yl]urea (5f). The reaction temperature was $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : yield $74 \%$; mp $251{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, ref DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 7.15\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{B}^{3} 4_{4 \prime 3} 3^{\prime \prime}\right.$ $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.44\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{z}^{\prime \prime} 3^{\prime \prime}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.66-$ 7.82 (m, 3H, H8, H6 \& H7), 8.03-8.06 (m, 2H, ArH), 8.27 (d, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{AB}}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime} \mathrm{ArH}\right), 8.77\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{56}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\mathrm{H} 5), 8.86$ ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{BA}}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime} \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $10.70(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, NH ) and 11.90 (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) C, H, N.

N-[2-(6-Methyl-2-pyridyl) quinazolin-4-yl]-N'-phenylurea ( $\mathbf{5 g}$ ). The reaction was carried out at room temperature: yield $69 \%$; mp $243{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }^{\circ}$, ref DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 2.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.95\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{4^{\prime \prime} 3^{\prime \prime}}=\right.$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.31-7.53$ (m, 4H, ArH, H5' \& H7), $7.75-$ $7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6 \& \mathrm{ArH}), 8.04-8.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8, \mathrm{H} 5 \& H 44^{\prime}\right)$, $8.88\left(\mathrm{~d}^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{34^{\prime}}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3^{\prime}\right), 10.75(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$ and 13.43 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-[(4,6-Dimethylpyrimidin-2-yl)quinazolin-4-yl]-N'phenylurea (5h). The reaction was carried out at room temperature: yield $78 \%$; mp $260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 2.55(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3), 6.95\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{4^{\prime \prime} 3^{\prime \prime}}=\right.$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4^{\prime \prime}$ ), $7.30-7.61$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3^{\prime \prime}$ ), $7.63-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H7), 7.89-8.05 (m,2H, H2"), $8.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6), 8.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3^{3} 87=\right.$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8), 8.79\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3}{ }_{56}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5\right), 10.71(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, NH ) and 13.59 (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-[2-(2-F uryl)quinazolin-4-yl]-N'-phenylurea (5i). The reaction was carried out at room temperature: yield $74 \%$; mp $230{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, ref DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}$ )
$\delta 6.82\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3}{ }_{4^{\prime} 5^{\prime}}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3}{ }_{4}{ }^{\prime} 3^{\prime}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4^{\prime}\right), 7.14-7.23$ (m, 1H, H4"), 7.41-7.49 (m, 3H, H5' and H3'), 7.64 (ddd, 1H, H7), 7.78 (d, 2H, H2"), 7.92-7.96 (m, 2H, H8\& H6), 8.14 (sd, $\left.{ }^{3}{ }^{3} 3^{\prime} 4^{\prime}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 8.74\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}{ }_{56}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{H} 5\right), 10.65$ (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ) and $12.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2^{*}}\right.$ $0.1 \mathrm{MeOH}) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\mathbf{N}$-(2-Diethylaminoquinazolin-4-yl)-N'-phenylurea (5j). The reaction was carried out at room temperature: yield $77 \%$; $\operatorname{mp} 211{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, ref DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ $\delta 1.20\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.70\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 7.11-7.16 (m, 3H, H $7 \& A r H), 7.34-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6 \& A r H)$, 7.55-7.66 (m, 3H, H8\& ArH), $8.40\left(d,{ }^{3}{ }_{56}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5\right)$, 10.15 (bs, 1H, NH) and 11.59 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)$ C, H, N.

N-Phenyl- $\mathbf{N}^{\prime}$-[(2-pyrrolidin-1-yl)quinazolin-4-yl]urea (5k). The reaction was carried out at room temperatur: yield 76\%; mp $238{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO-d ${ }_{5}-\mathrm{H}=$ $2.49 \mathrm{ppm}) \delta 1.88\left(\mathrm{bs}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.53\left(\mathrm{bs}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.05-7.19$ (m, 2H, H7 \& ArH), 7.29-7.48 (m, 3H, H6 \& ArH), 7.52-7.67 $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8 \& \mathrm{ArH}), 8.51\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3}{ }_{56}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5\right), 10.22(\mathrm{bs}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), and $11.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

General Procedure for the Preparation of 8a-b,d. One equivalent of 6 was dissol ved in acetonitrile at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~mL} /$ mmol) and a solution of 1 equiv of phenyl isocyanate (7i) in acetonitrile was added ( $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) ( $3-\mathrm{mmol}$ scale). The mixture was stirred at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The precipitate was isolated, washed several times with subsequently acetonitrile, methanol, and petroleum ether, dried and recrystallized.
$\mathbf{N}$-Phenyl- $\mathbf{N}^{\prime}$-(isoquinolin-1-yl)urea (8a). Recrystallization from DMF/EtOH yiel ded 99\% of white crystals: mp 221$223{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta$ 7.07 ( $\left.\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}^{\prime} 3^{\prime}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.36\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{3^{\prime} 2^{\prime}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$. ArH ), $7.49\left(\mathrm{~d}^{3}{ }^{3}{ }_{3,4}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3\right), 7.61-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7$ \& ArH), 7.76-7.84 (m, 1H, H6), $7.94\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}{ }_{87}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, H8), $8.22\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{43}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4\right), 8.70\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3}{ }_{56}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, H5), 9.96 (bs, 1H, NH), and 12.70 (bs, 1H, NH). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-Phenyl-N'-[3-(2-pyridyl)isoquinolin-1-yl]urea (8b). Recrystallization from DMF/MeOH yielded 98\% of yellow/ white crystals: mp $228{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d 6 , ref DMSO-$\left.\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 7.40-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.61\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4^{\prime}\right)$, 7.67-7.92 (m, 4H, ArH \& H6 \& H7), 8.02-8.16 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ \& $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ), 8.30 (dd, ${ }^{3}{ }_{87}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{86}=$ unsolved, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8$ ), $8.41(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4), 8.74\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{56}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5\right), 8.83-8.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H6'), 10.15 (bs, 1H, NH), and 13.09 (bs, 1H, NH). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.3 \mathrm{MeOH}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-Phenyl- $\mathbf{N}^{\prime}$-(3-phenylisoquinolin-1-yl)urea (8d). Recrystallization from DMF/MeOH yielded 98\% of yellow/white crystals: mp 250-251 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d 6 , ref DMSO-d ${ }_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ $=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}) \delta 7.42-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.71-7.94(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ \& H6 \& H7), 8.26 (dd, ${ }^{3}{ }_{87}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4}{ }^{3} 86$ = unsolved, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8\right)$, $8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4), 8.70\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3}{ }_{56}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5\right), 10.04(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, NH ), and 12.89 (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{MeOH}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.

General Procedure for the Preparation of 9a-h, 10a,b, and 11. One equivalent of 2-phenyl-4-quinazolineamine (4d) was dissolved in acetonitrile at $30-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol})$ and a solution of 1 equiv of isocyanate 7 in acetonitrile was added ( $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at $30-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $0.5-4$ h. The precipitate was isolated, washed several times with different solvents, dried and recrystallized.
$\mathbf{N}$-(4-Chlorophenyl)- $\mathbf{N}^{\prime}$-(2-phenylquinazolin-4-yl)urea (9a): yield 88\%; mp 291-292 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 6.51\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{AB}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime} \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.01\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}\right.$ ва $\left.=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime} \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.47-$ $7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6$ and H 7$), 7.62-7.76$ (m,3H, H3', H4', and H5'), 8.24 (dd, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{87}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{86}=$ unsolved, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8$ ), 8.43-8.46 $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2^{\prime}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 8.73$ (dd, ${ }^{3}{ }_{56}=$ unsolved, ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{57}=$ unsol ved, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5), 10.70(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$, and 12.29 (bs, 1H, NH). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\mathbf{N}$-(4-Methylphenyl)- $\mathbf{N}^{\prime}$-(2-phenylqui nazolin-4-yl)urea (9b): yield 66\%; mp 258-260 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 3.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.50\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{AB}}\right.$ $\left.=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime} \mathrm{ArH}\right), 6.63\left(\mathrm{~d}^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{BA}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}\right.$

ArH), 7.51-7.92 (m, 5H, H6, H7, H3', H4', and H5'). 8.24 (d, $\left.{ }^{3}{ }^{27}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8\right), 8.43-8.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime} \& \& \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 8.70$ (dd, ${ }^{3}{ }^{5} 56=$ unsolved, ${ }^{4}{ }^{5}{ }_{57}=$ unsolved, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5\right), 10.58(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, NH), and 12.19 (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{MeOH}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.
$\mathbf{N}$-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)- $\mathbf{N}^{\prime}$-(2-phenylquinazolin-4-yl)urea (9c): yield 81\%; mp 282-284 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO-d $5-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}) \delta 7.16-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.47-$ $7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6$ and H 7$), 7.75-7.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}, \mathrm{H} 4^{\prime}\right.$, $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$, and $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ), 8.24 (dd, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{87}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4}{ }_{86}=$ unsolved, 1 H , H8), 8.45 (dd, $\left.{ }^{3}{ }_{56}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{57}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5\right), 10.69(\mathrm{bs}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), and 12.32 (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right.$. $0.3 \mathrm{MeOH}) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\mathbf{N}$-(4-Methoxyphenyl)- $\mathbf{N}^{\prime}$-(2-phenylquinazolin-4-yl)urea (9d): yield $72 \%$; mp $259-261{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 3.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.01\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3^{3} \mathrm{z}^{\prime} 3^{\prime \prime}\right.$ $=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.56-7.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6, \mathrm{H} 7, \mathrm{H}^{\prime} \& \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$, 7.97 (dd, ${ }^{3}{ }_{87}=$ unsolved, ${ }^{4}{ }^{4}{ }_{86}=$ unsolved, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8$ ), $8.37-8.42$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2^{\prime}\right), 8.76$ (dd, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{56}=$ unsolved, ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{57}=$ unsolved, 1 H , H5), 10.56 (bs, 1H, NH), and 12.15 (bs, 1H, NH). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.3 \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) C, H, N.
$\mathbf{N}$-(3-Methoxyphenyl)- $\mathbf{N}^{\prime}$-(2-phenylquinazolin-4-yl)urea (9e): yield $57 \%$; mp $255-258^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO-d 5 - $\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta 3.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.70-6.74$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4^{\prime \prime}$ ), $7.27-7.38$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{H} 5^{\prime \prime}$, and $\mathrm{H} 6^{\prime \prime}$ ), $7.62-$ 7.70 (m,5H, H6, H7, H3' \& H4'), 7.99 (dd, ${ }^{3}{ }_{87}=$ unsol ved, ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}{ }_{86}=$ unsolved, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8$ ), $8.39-8.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 8.75$ (dd, ${ }^{3}{ }_{56}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{57}=$ unsolved, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5\right), 10.60(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$, and 12.28 (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\mathbf{N - ( 2 - M e t h o x y p h e n y l ) - N ' - ( 2 - p h e n y l q u i n a z o l i n - 4 - y l ) - ~}$ urea (9f): yield $32 \%$; mp $227-229^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 3.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.00-7.13$ (m, 4H, ArH), 7.51-7.69 (m, 3H, H3', ArH, and H5'), 7.97$7.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6$ and H 7$), 8.19\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{87}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{86}=\right.$ unsolved, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8$ ), $8.45-8.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4^{\prime}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H} 6^{\prime}\right), 8.77$ (dd, ${ }^{3}{ }_{56}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{57}=$ unsolved, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5\right), 10.58(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$, and 11.89 (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\mathbf{N}$-(2-Chlorophenyl)- $\mathbf{N}^{\prime}$-(2-phenylquinazolin-4-yl)urea (9g): yield 69\%; mp 233-234 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 7.17\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}^{\prime \prime} 3^{\prime \prime}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4^{\prime \prime}\right)$, $7.35-7.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3^{\prime}, \mathrm{H} 2^{\prime \prime} \& H 3^{\prime \prime}\right), 7.62-7.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5^{\prime}\right)$, $7.92-8.01\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4^{\prime} \& \mathrm{H} 8\right), 8.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}^{3} 5^{\prime}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6^{\prime}\right)$, $8.28-8.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6 \& \mathrm{H} 7), 8.77\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{56}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5\right)$, 10.78 (bs, 1H, NH), and 11.99 (bs, 1H, NH). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{15}{ }^{-}$ $\left.\mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{MeOH}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\mathbf{N}$-(2-Methylphenyl)-N'-(2-phenylquinazolin-4-yl)urea (9h): yield $45 \%$; mp $120-121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO $\left.-d_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3), 7.05-7.32$ (m,3H, ArH), 7.48-7.56 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.60-7.78 (m, 2H, H6 \& H7), 7.89-8.03 (m, 2H, ArH), 8.23-8.30 (m, 2H, H8 \& H 6'), $8.78\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{56}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5\right), 10.62(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$, and 11.71 (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) C, H, N.
$\mathbf{N}$-(2-Methoxyphenyl)- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-[2-(3-pyridyl)quinazolin-4-yl]urea (10a): yield $77 \%$; mp $257-258{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO $\left.-d_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 3.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.98-7.15$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{H} 4^{\prime \prime} \& H 5^{\prime \prime}$ ), 7.62-7.79 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6$ \& H7), 8.03 $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime} \& \mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime}\right), 8.11\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 87=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8\right), 8.71-$ 8.83 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime} \& \mathrm{H} 5$ ), 9.61 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ), 10.65 (bs, 1H, NH), and 11.80 (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-(2-Methylphenyl)-N'-[2-(3-pyridyl)quinazolin-4-yl]urea (10b): yield $50 \%$; mp $227-228^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, ref DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 2.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.14-7.27$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5^{\prime} \& \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.61-7.81 (m, 3H, H8, H6 \& H7), 8.02$8.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.62\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 56=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5\right), 8.74-8.82$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4^{\prime} \& H 6^{\prime}$ ), $9.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2^{\prime}\right), 10.70(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$, and 11.50 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\mathbf{N}$-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-N'-[2-(2-pyridyl)quinazolin-4-yl]urea (11): yield $68 \%$; mp $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d 6 , ref DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{5}-\mathrm{H}=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) two isomeric forms $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{B}=4: 1$, $\mathrm{A} \delta$ $3.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.98-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.55-8.14(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}, \mathrm{H} 6, \mathrm{H} 7 \& \mathrm{H} 8$ ), $8.39-8.43$ (m, 1H, H3'), 8.77$8.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Hb}^{\prime} \& \mathrm{H} 5\right), 10.65(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$, and 12.29 (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), \mathrm{B} \delta 3.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.98-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$, 7.55-8.11 (m, 5H, H4', H5', H6, H7 \& H8), 8.52-8.56 (m, 1H,

H3'), 8.77-8.84 (m, 2H, H6 \& H5), 10.65 (bs, 1H, NH ), 14.90 (bs, 1H, N••H••O). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) C, H, N.

Molecular Modeling. Calculations were performed with SPARTAN version 5.0 (Wavefunction, Inc., Irvine, CA) ${ }^{33}$ running on a Silicon Graphics O 2 workstation. The Merck force field was used in molecular mechanics minimizations.

Correlation Analysis. Thirteen descriptors for aromatic substituents were used in the correlation analysis for compounds $5 \mathbf{5}-\mathbf{k}$ and 9 : namely $\sigma_{\mathrm{m}}, \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}, \sigma^{0}, \sigma^{-}, \sigma^{+}, \pi, \log \mathrm{P}$, ( $\log$ P) ${ }^{2}, E_{s}, L, B_{1}, B_{\text {max }}$, and MR. ${ }^{33}$ No correlation was observed between the $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor binding affinity and the descriptors other than $B_{\text {max. }}$ Data analysis was with Microsoft Excel version 5.0 (Microsoft Corp.) running on MacOS 8.5.

Pharmacology. Materials. [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CAMP},[3 \mathrm{H}] D P C P X$, and [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CGS} 21680$ were commercially available from DuPont Nemours ('s Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands) with specific activities of 31,120 , and $38.3 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol}$, respectively. [ ${ }^{125}$ ] AB MECA was prepared as described by Olah et al. ${ }^{31}$ Adenosine deaminase was from Boehringer Mannheim (Mannheim, Germany). Forskolin was purchased from Sigma (The Netherlands) and XAC from Research Biochemicals International (Natick, MA). CGS 15943 was a gift from Dr. M. Williams and Dr. J. Watthey (Ciba-Geigy, Summit) and L-249313 was a gift form Dr. M. J acobson (Merck). CHO cells expressing the human adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor were obtained from Dr. S. Rees (Glaxo Wellcome, U.K.) and HEK 293 cells stably expressing the human adenosine $A_{3}$ receptor were a gift from Dr. K.-N. Klotz (University of Würzburg, Germany).

Methods for Receptor Binding and Adenylase Cyclase Measurement. Binding of [3H]DPCPX to adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ receptors on rat cerebral cortex membranes and of [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CGS} 21680$ to adenosine $A_{2 A}$ receptors from rat striatal membranes was performed as described previously. ${ }^{28,29}$ Binding of $\left[{ }^{125}\right]$ ABBMECA to membranes of HEK 293 cells stably expressing the human adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor was determined as described. ${ }^{30,31}$ cAMP production was assayed in CHO cells stably expressing the human adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor. Assays were performed in DMEM/HEPES buffer (adjusted to pH 7.4 , at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The method involved addition of the ligand to membranes in the presence of ADA ( $10 \mathrm{IU} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), NECA as agonist, forskolin (10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) to stimulate adenylate cyclase, and rolipram and cilostamide ( $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ each) as phosphodiesterase inhibitors. The reaction was terminated by addition of ice-cold 0.1 N HCl . cAMP determination was done via $[3 \mathrm{H}]$ cAMP and incubation for $2.5-18 \mathrm{~h}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with protein kinase A. After filtration over Whatman GF/C filters using a Brandell cell harvester (Brandell, Gaithersburg, MD) under reduced pressure (200 mbar), the radioactivity was determined in a LKB1219 counter. The I $\mathrm{C}_{50}$ values and $\mathrm{pA}_{2}$ were cal culated using Prism (GraphPad, SanDiego, CA).
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