#### **DART Core/Combustor-Noise Initial Test Results**

#### Devin K. Boyle, Brenda S. Henderson and Lennart S. Hultgren NASA Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, OH 44135

#### Presented at the Acoustics Technical Working Group Meeting Cleveland, Ohio, October 17-18, 2017

#### Summary

Contributions from the combustor to the overall propulsion noise of civilian transport aircraft are starting to become important due to turbofan design trends and advances in mitigation of other noise sources. Future propulsion systems for ultra-efficient commercial air vehicles are projected to be of increasingly higher bypass ratio from larger fans combined with much smaller cores, with ultra-clean burning fuel-flexible combustors. Unless effective noise-reduction strategies are developed, combustor noise is likely to become a prominent contributor to overall airport community noise in the future. The new NASA DGEN Aeropropulsion Research Turbofan (DART) is a cost-efficient testbed for the study of core-noise physics and mitigation. This presentation gives a brief description of the recently completed DART core/combustor-noise baseline test in the NASA GRC Aero-Acoustic Propulsion Laboratory (AAPL). Acoustic data was simultaneously acquired using the AAPL overhead microphone array in the engine aft quadrant far field, a single mid-field microphone, and two semi-infinite-tube unsteady pressure sensors at the core-nozzle exit. An initial assessment shows that the data is of high quality and compares well with results from a quick 2014 feasibility test. Combustor-noise components of measured total-noise signatures were educed using a two-signal source-separation method and are found to occur in the expected frequency range. The research described herein is aligned with the NASA Ultra-Efficient Commercial Transport strategic thrust and is supported by the NASA Advanced Air Vehicle Program, Advanced Air Transport Technology Project, under the Aircraft Noise Reduction Subproject.

The overarching goal of the Advanced Air Transport Technology (AATT) Project is to explore and develop technologies and concepts to revolutionize the energy efficiency and environmental compatibility of fixed wing transport aircrafts. These technological solutions are critical in reducing the impact of aviation on the environment even as this industry and the corresponding global transportation system continue to grow.



## **DART Core/Combustor-Noise Initial Test Results**

.... Research in Support of Ultra-Efficient Commercial Vehicles



Cleveland, OH, Oct 17-18, 2017

www.nasa.gov

NASA Advanced Air Vehicles Program Advanced Air Transport Technology Project Aircraft Noise Reduction Subproject

# **Core/Combustor Noise – DART Utilization**



## **Core Noise More Important in Future**

- Turbofan design trends, engine-cycle changes, and noise-mitigation advances are expected to reduce other propulsion noise sources
- Emerging lean-combustor designs could increase combustor noise level; Also less transmission loss
- Airframe, combustor and fan noise all need reduction to meet future noise goals (alphabetical order)

## **NASA DART: Cost-Efficient Platform**

- Development/Evaluation of measurement and noisemitigation techniques
- It is not a turbofan-engine development program

## **Objective of 2017 (Initial) Testing**

- Baseline core-noise acoustic measurements
- Comparison with 2014 DGEN380 test results
- Enhance branch experience with semi-infinite-tube technique



DART/DGEN380 inside NASA GRC AAPL



NASA GRC AAPL Facility

#### .... Experimental Setup



#### Setup

- 7 far-field microphones in AAPL overhead array in engine aft quadrant
  - > polar angle range: about 110° to 140°
- 1 mid-field stand-mounted microphone
  - > 130° direction, engine-center height, 10 ft distance
- 2 semi-infinite-tube pressure sensors (ITPs) at core-nozzle exit
  - > 270° and 300° azimuthal position

### **Data Acquisition**

AAPL overhead array

- Acoustic data acquired simultaneously by National Instruments' LabView system
  - > 100 kHz sampling rate, 60 s duration
- Engine performance data recorded by DART engine-control system



Mid-field microphone (left) - ITPs (right)

#### .... Semi-Infinite-Tube Probes





Semi-infinite-tube probes at core-nozzle exit 6 and 7 o'clock positions

- Kulite XCS-190, 10 psi differential
- Type-K thermocouple
- 50:1 ratio tube length after/ahead of sensor
- N<sub>2</sub> purge flow ready, but not needed



Basic design of semi-infinite-tube sensors

.... Acoustic Sensor Locations & Test Matrix



	Sensor	Radial	Polar	Azimuthal
Sonsor Logations		Distance, ft	Angle, <sup>o</sup>	Angle, <sup>o</sup>
	FF017	39.56	108.04	83.04
AAPL overhead array	FF018	38.81	113.48	83.02
	FF019	38.25	119.22	82.98
	FF020	37.55	125.18	83.05
	FF021	37.05	131.21	83.95
Stand-mounted floor	FF022	36.63	137.22	84.74
	FF023	36.57	143.35	87.20
	MF101	10.00	130.00	0
Core-nozzle exit	NE801	0	0	270
	NE802	0	0	300 (nominal)

Run #	Power, %	Run #	Power, %
1	33	9	33
2	33	10	33
3	50	11	50
4	60	12	60
5	70	13	70
6	80	14	80
7	90	15	90
8	92.5	16	92.3
		17	0

## **Test Matrix**

- Two sequential sets of points
- Each set: idle to max power
- Max power limited by T<sub>ambient</sub>
- One background-level point (engine off)
- Aug 15, 2017

#### DART Core/Combustor-Noise Test .... Shaft RPM Profiles and Relevant Frequencies





- 3.32 fan gear ratio
- 14 fan blades
- 38 LPT rotor blades

- FADEC/ECU in automatic mode
- Holds RPM extremely steady
- Two sequential sets of points
- Each set: idle to max power

Run #	Power %	SPF <sub>H</sub> Hz	SPF <sub>L</sub> Hz	SPF <sub>F</sub> Hz	BPF <sub>L</sub> Hz	BPF <sub>F</sub> H7
1	33	452.3	243.5	73.3	9252.8	1026.8
2	33	452.6	243.6	73.4	9255.8	1027.1
3	50	611.2	370.2	111.5	14069.2	1561.3
4	60	681.3	444.3	133.8	16884.1	1873.6
5	70	738.8	518.4	156.2	19700.5	2186.2
6	80	787.4	592.6	178.5	22518.1	2498.8
7	90	831.0	666.6	200.8	25332.4	2811.1
8	92.5	842.2	684.8	206.3	26022.2	2887.7
9	33	453.9	243.6	73.4	9255.4	1027.1
10	33	453.1	243.6	73.4	9255.9	1027.1
11	50	611.8	370.4	111.6	14074.3	1561.8
12	60	681.9	444.5	133.9	16890.0	1874.3
13	70	739.0	518.6	156.2	19707.1	2186.9
14	80	787.3	592.7	178.5	22520.8	2499.1
15	90	830.9	666.7	200.8	25336.5	2811.6
16	92.3	842.0	684.3	206.1	26001.6	2885.4

NASA Advanced Air Vehicles Program Advanced Air Transport Technology Project

#### **Relevant Frequencies**

#### .... Signal at 33% Power Compared to Background Level



NASA

6.1 Hz narrowband *SPL* 

Combustor broadband noise range < 1 kHz

 $BPF_{L} = 9255 \text{ Hz}$ 

Excellent measurement repeatability

NASA Advanced Air Vehicles Program Advanced Air Transport Technology Project

### DART Core/Combustor-Noise Test .... Comparison of IPT SPL and MF SPL to 2014 Results





6.1 Hz narrowband *SPL* 

2014 12.2 Hz SPL rescaled to 6.1 Hz binwidth

2014 MF results adjusted to 10 ft distance (r<sup>2</sup>)

Combustor broadband noise range < 1 kHz

Comparable IPT levels:  $f \le 1 \text{ kHz}$ 

MF broadband levels are in good agreement

#### DART Core/Combustor-Noise Test .... IPT, MF and FF SPL Variation with Power Level





6.1 Hz narrowband *SPL* 

NE801 & NE802 6 & 7 o'clock IPT

MF101 mid-field mic at 10 ft, 130°

FF021 far-field mic at 37 ft, 131°

Fan BPF and harmonics

Unclear reason for haystack around 2BPF<sub>F</sub>

No clear evidence of ITPtube vortex shedding

#### .... Core-Nozzle IPT Coherence Variation with Power Level









6.1 Hz binwidth

NE801 & NE802 6 & 7 o'clock IPT

Coherence level below statistical limit meaningless

Shaft Passing Frequencies *SPF* and harmonics

Combustor broadband noise region identified

Plane wave mode: m = 0

Up to about 450 Hz at 60%

Range increases with power

#### DART Core/Combustor-Noise Test .... MF and FF Coherent Power and Coherence Results at 60%











6.1 Hz binwidth

NE801 & NE802 6 & 7 o'clock IPT

MF101 mid-field mic at 10 ft, 130°

FF021 far-field mic at 37 ft, 131°

Combustor noise (m = 0) detected up to about 500 Hz using either reference IPT

2s-method with 7 o'clock IPT also detects second broadband-noise frequency range  $(m = \pm 1?)$ 

SPFs present

## DART/DGEN CORE-NOISE RESEARCH PATH

.... Development/Evaluation of Measurement and Noise-Mitigation Techniques





NASA Advanced Air Vehicles Program Advanced Air Transport Technology Project

Engine image © Price-Induction, used with permission.

# Summary



- DART/AAPL core/combustor-noise baseline test completed on Aug 15, 2017
- Initial data analysis and preliminary conclusions presented here
  - Acoustic data deemed to be of high quality, compares well with 2014 results and serves as a solid baseline for future work with DART
  - Combustor noise components of total noise signatures were educed using a two-signal source-separation method
  - > Combustor coherent broadband noise was detected in expected frequency range
  - A second frequency range of coherent broadband noise was also detected likely first azimuthal mode of the combustor noise (*preliminary, subject to further evaluation*)
- DART is a cost-efficient venue for studying core-noise physics and mitigation
- Core/Combustor noise must be addressed to ensure that far-term concept aircraft meet anticipated noise limits

Thanks to:

Dan Sutliff and the Facilities Team for envisioning and bringing about the DART The AAPL staff for their expertise and dedication in preparing for and executing this test. NASA Advanced Air Vehicles Program Advanced Air Transport Technology Project





## NASA CORE/COMBUSTOR-NOISE RESEARCH

.... Better Physical Understanding And Engineering Models



## **BACK-UP**

### DART Core/Combustor-Noise Test .... Engine RPM Table



Run #	<b>NH</b> <sub>mean</sub>	<b>NL</b> <sub>mean</sub>	<b>NH</b> <sub>rms</sub>	<b>NL</b> <sub>rms</sub>	<b>NH</b> <sub>maxdev</sub>	NL <sub>maxdev</sub>	Control
1	27138.1	14609.7	4.7	4.4	10.9	10.7	Н
2	27154.3	14614.4	10.2	4.5	23.7	10.4	L
3	36671.1	22214.6	22.4	7.2	47.9	16.6	L
4	40879.5	26659.0	10.0	5.9	25.5	16.0	L
5	44325.3	31106.0	16.6	6.6	39.7	20.0	L
6	47241.0	35554.9	16.5	5.4	32.0	13.1	L
7	49859.3	39998.6	22.1	8.6	42.3	23.4	L
8	50529.2	41087.7	15.8	11.6	41.2	24.3	L
9	27234.1	14613.8	19.1	5.2	37.9	13.2	L
10	27188.9	14614.5	13.3	4.1	34.9	9.5	L
11	36707.5	22222.6	13.9	8.2	29.5	21.6	L
12	40915.1	26668.4	11.9	7.7	34.9	17.6	L
13	44339.0	31116.5	13.7	9.1	26.0	28.5	L
14	47236.0	35559.2	15.2	9.2	37.0	21.8	L
15	49856.6	40005.0	30.0	8.2	89.6	20.0	L
16	50522.6	41055.2	23.0	16.2	51.6	26.8	L

Two sequential sets of points – each set: idle to max power (limited by T<sub>ambient</sub>)

- NH control during run # 1 NL control otherwise
- FADEC/ECU provides precise and steady control
- **•** Aug 15, 2017

#### .... Vortex-Shedding Frequencies



#### Vortex-Shedding Frequencies and Reynolds Numbers

2014 run #	Power, %	F <sub>core</sub> , Hz	F <sub>fan</sub> , Hz	<b>ReD</b> <sub>core</sub>	ReD <sub>fan</sub>
<b>01A</b>	47	3931	2396	11241	31207
02A	60	4896	3140	13797	40772
03A	70	5826	3772	15700	48868
04A	80	6769	4339	17227	56076
05A	90	7927	4952	18722	63814
06A	95.6	8500	5232	19357	67319

- Estimates based on DGEN380 mean-line data from the 2014 test
- F = St U/D, where St = 0.198 (1 19.7/ReD)
- Valid for Reynolds number ReD in the range 250 < ReD < 2 x 10<sup>5</sup>



- Direct measurement of core noise difficult due to jet noise
  - Core noise masked by jet noise during static engine tests
  - Forward-flight effects reduce jet noise more than core noise
- Coherence techniques used to identify mid- and far-field core-noise components



- Coherence function:  $\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = |G_{\alpha\beta}| / (G_{\alpha\alpha} G_{\beta\beta})^{1/2}$ 
  - $G_{\alpha\beta}(f)$  = one-sided cross power spectrum &  $G_{\alpha\alpha}(f)$  = one-sided auto power spectrum
  - > theoretically:  $0 \le \gamma_{\alpha\beta}(f) \le 1$

■ Finite data sequences – estimated coherence always non-zero:  $\varepsilon \leq \gamma_{\alpha\beta}(f) \leq 1$ 

- >  $\varepsilon = [1 (1-P)^{1/(M-1)}]^{1/2}$ ; P = confidence interval & M = number of independent segments
- > If estimated coherence is less than  $\varepsilon$ , the signals are independent with probability P

.... Two-Signal Source Separation Technique

# NASA

## Goal

Determine core-noise one-sided auto spectrum at microphone:  $G_{vv}(f)$ 

## Approach

Two-signal or Coherent Power Method (Bendat & Piersol 1980)

$$G_{vv}(f) = |G_{uv}|^2 / G_{uu} = |G_{xy}|^2 / (G_{xx} - G_{mm}) \approx |G_{xy}|^2 / G_{xx} = (\gamma_{xy})^2 G_{yy}$$

■ Positive bias error introduced by  $G_{uu} \approx G_{xx} \rightarrow G_{vv}(f)$  is underestimated

## Implementation



#### DART Core/Combustor-Noise Test .... MF and FF Coherent Power and Coherence Results at 70%









6.1 Hz binwidth

NE801 & NE802 6 & 7 o'clock IPT

MF101 mid-field mic at 10 ft, 130°

FF021 far-field mic at 37 ft, 131°

Combustor noise (m = 0) detected up to over 500 Hz using either reference IPT

2s-method with 7 o'clock IPT also detects second broadband-noise frequency range  $(m = \pm 1?)$ 

SPFs present

#### DART Core/Combustor-Noise Test .... MF and FF Coherent Power and Coherence Results at 80%

0.7

 $SPF_{F}$ 

200

400

600

0.6 0.5 0.4

0.5

0.3

0.2

0.1







 $SPF_{H}$ 

800

Frequency, Hz

1000

6.1 Hz binwidth

NE801 & NE802 6 & 7 o'clock IPT

MF101 mid-field mic at 10 ft, 130°

FF021 far-field mic at 37 ft, 131°

Combustor noise (m = 0) detected up to about 800 Hz using either reference IPT

Weak evidence of second broadband-noise frequency range  $(m = \pm 1?)$ 

 $2SPF_{H}$ 

1600

1400

 $2SPF_{1}$ 

1200

SPFs present

#### DART Core/Combustor-Noise Test .... MF and FF Coherent Power and Coherence Results at 90%





6.1 Hz binwidth

MF101 Total

2s-NE801

2s-NE802

Limit

1200

Limit

1200

1400

NE801 coherence

NE802 coherence

2SPF

1400

1600

1600

NE801 & NE802 6 & 7 o'clock IPT

MF101 mid-field mic at 10 ft, 130°

FF021 far-field mic at 37 ft, 131°

Combustor noise (m = 0) detected up to over 800 Hz using either reference IPT

Too low coherence to detect second broadbandnoise frequency range  $(m = \pm 1?)$ 

SPFs present



