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## 1 Introduction

This document describes the integration of MEANING in the TwentyOne Search engine of Irion Technologies and the testing of the resulting environment on a real scenario of the Spanish publisher EFE. MEANING acquires lexical knowledge from various sources and various languages. This knowledge is stored in the Multilingual Central Repository (MCR). During the MEANING project, the MCR has been enriched in various cycles. The purpose of work package 8 is to demonstrate that the results of MEANING can be successfully integrated in a real application and on real data.

In deliverable 8.1, we carried out an early baseline demonstration using the semantic networks at Irion and WordNet Domains imported from the MCR. For that purpose, we used the Reuters news collection and a set of 100 queries that were manually created. Originally, Reuters was a user in the MEANING project but they could not participate in the end. During the project, the Spanish publisher EFE agreed to take over the role of Reuters. EFE is interested in setting up a database with news pictures (Fototeca) that can be retrieved by journalists and customers. The database contains pictures with short Spanish or English captions.

In this deliverable, we describe first of all the integration of the MCR in the TwentyOne Search engine of Irion, and secondly, the building of the Fototeca database from two months of captions and pictures. The database will be validated with two experiments:

1. An end-user evaluation to find pictures in the database with and without MEANING results;
2. An automatic evaluation of the database, with and without MEANING, based on a set of about 100 queries;

The task has been devised as follows: a number of end-users will try to find pictures giving an assignment, based on specifically designed sceneries. Their user-behaviour is logged and they will be interviewed.

In this deliverable, we will describe the design of the first experiment and the results of a first pilot test, carried out by a single user. This pilot test will validate the design of the end-user evaluation.

The automatic evaluation will be carried out at the end of the project when having all the data delivered and integrated. The results of this evaluation will be described in deliverable 8.3. Deliverable 8.4 will describe the complete end-user evaluation.

The outline of this deliverable is as follows. We will first describe the TwentyOne Search system, the integration of the data from MEANING and the WSD approach (sections 2 and 3). Section 4 describes the EFE data and section 5 the indexes that have been built. Section 6 explains the set up of the pilot test for the end-user evaluation and section 7 the pilot test results.

## 2 TwentyOne Search

TwentyOne Search is a conceptual search engine that uses a combination of statistical and language-technology techniques. It is a two step system, where first, the relevant documents are collected using state-of-the-art statistical engines, and secondly, the best matching phrases from the relevant documents are collected. The statistical core-engine of TwentyOne Search returns the most relevant documents from large collections, using a standard vectorspace weighting. It ensures fast and robust retrieval. The language-technology has two major roles:

1. Maximize the recall of the statistical engine so that any document is found regardless of the wording and regardless of the query;
2. To extract phrases and concepts from documents so that the best matching phrase can be selected from the relevant documents;

The architecture of TwentyOne Search is such that linguistic processing during querying is minimal but still the benefits of conceptual based retrieval are maximized.

### 2.1. Conceptual Search

The core idea behind TwentyOne Search is that information is expressed in language by linguistic phrases and not by words in isolation. Consider the following queries:

1. "animal party" versus "party animal";
2. "Internet servers in Java" versus "Internet servers on Java"

Most traditional search engines will not differentiate these queries and give the same results and most likely not the desired result. The fact that the query words are combined in a linguistic phrase with a particular relation is completely neglected by search engines that look at words in isolation. In the case of Java the island it is most likely that you will never find any results because the Java program language will dominate the other meanings. Isolated words can have many different meanings hence refer to many different concepts. In combination however, they usually have a very specific and restricted meaning. In addition, very different words can have the same meaning and we also want to retrieve phrases in which these synonyms occur: "beast feast" and "feasting beasts", or "J2EE Web servers" and "Internet computers on the island Java".

The TwentyOne Search Engine therefore follows an approach where the text in documents is parsed into linguistic phrases and the words within these phrases are decomposed into sets of relevant concepts. The same applies to the queries that users type in. TwentyOne then matches the concepts related to the user queries with the concepts expressed in linguistic phrases in the documents and it will return those documents first with phrases that include most concepts of the query and that have the best matching concepts. This is shown in the schematic representation of Figure 1.


Figure 1: Basic processing scheme for TwentyOne Search

The processing of documents into phrases and concepts roughly takes place in 3 steps:

1. Classification of documents in domains
2. Parsing of the text into linguistic phrases
3. Selection of relevant concepts for the words within a phrase

At the query site, more or less the same process takes place, where classification and parsing are only effective if more than one word is used in the query.

Classification of the domain is done using Irion's text classification system TwentyOne Classify. This is explained in more detail in the next section. Parsing determines in a text what words are used in a linguistic context. The fact that words are used within a constituent structure is highly relevant for determining the actual meaning of the words in context. Within the constituent phrase, we will carry out the selection of the relevant concepts. The concepts are taken from the Wordnet of the relevant language (English and Spanish in the case of the EFE database) and are derived from the MCR. Looking up words includes morphological analysis and stemming.

Concept selection comprises the following analysis:

1. Named entity recognition: Bush, United States, Pays-Bas, The Netherlands.
2. Multiword lookup: human rights
3. Compound resolution: mensenrechtenactivistenleider ("mensen-rechten-activistenleider", literally "human-rights-activist-leader" or leader of the human rights activists)
4. Synonym detection: "beast" and "animal"; "party" and "feast".
5. Word sense disambiguation: "First service ace" \{Tennis\}; "Early morning service" \{Religion\}

Named entities are not treated as regular words so that Bush is not matched with plant and United States is also matched with America, US, USA, United States of America, Etats Union etc. Multiword lookup is important because the combination human rights matches with only one concept, whereas human and rights match with many concepts and concept combinations. Similarly, compounds that do not occur in the Wordnet need to be decomposed into the largest units that do occur. The Dutch example mensenrechtenactivistenleider thus includes the English multiword concepts human right and activist leader.

Finally, the words (single, decomposed or composed units) are related to the concepts using the wordnets in the Multilingual Central Repository (MCR). This means that synonyms are related to the same concept and that relevant meanings are selected if words or multiwords have more than one meaning. Consequently, the system can match occurrences of animal with beast, and party with feast, but the latter only when the context is not politics.

The TwentyOne Search system uses a robust scoring function that matches every query with every phrase. The scoring takes various parameters into account:

1. number of overlapping concepts between the query and the phrase
2. matching domain labels (optional)
3. the fuzziness of the query words: "parti" instead of "party", "aminal" versus "animal"
4. derivational properties of the query word: "feastings"
5. match by synonym or by original
6. part of compound or as isolated word
7. cross-lingual or in the same language

Each of these parameters can be tuned. The scores are combined in a single normalized score. The best matching phrase will correspond with a $100 \%$ score and will be similar to an exact search for all the query words, co-occurring in a single phrase. Some small linguistic variation is still allowed for a $100 \%$ match (e.g. differences in case, diacritics, hyphens, etc.). The results are presented by listing the documents with the best matching phrases first. Per document, the phrases that exceed the threshold for the conceptual score are shown. In the case of the EFE interface, the threshold is fixed to $10 \%$ as a conceptual score. This means that very poorly matched phrases are also retrieved. The score of the document is however always based on the best matching phrase. ${ }^{1}$ It is thus possible to see several phrases per document, where only one phrase represents a high score and the other phrases are of less quality.

[^0]The search can be tuned so that it either returns documents with all the query words (boolean AND) or any of the query words (boolean OR). Note that this boolean constraint only applies to the words in a document, using AND does not guarantee that the query words also co-occur in the single phrase. The conceptual phrase matching will remain the same, so that phrases with all the concepts are automatically preferred to phrases with a subset of the concepts. The main effect of using OR instead of AND will be that there are more documents to be considered. This is especially useful when the recall is low for very long or specific queries.

If phrases have the same score, the vector-space weighting of the document (the documentscore) is used as a secondary sorting key. Likewise, only the most relevant documents are shown both from a conceptual point of view as from an information value point of view.

Finally, it is possible to use negation operators on words within the query and to combine the queries with searches on meta information that is published, such as date, categories, titles, etc. These features are not further discussed here because they are not relevant for the textual retrieval that is tested in the context of MEANING.

### 2.2. TwentyOne Search Interface

The TwenyOne Search interface is shown in Figure 2, below. The screen dump shows the result of the query platos de solomillo troceado. The query language is Spanish (as opposed to English) and the type of search is the best phrase (la mejor frase), as opposed to exact phrase (la frasa exacta). According to the result list, there are 417 documents that match the query words in total (boolean OR). The maximum number of displayed results is however set to 25 (this can be adapted), so that 25 out of 417 results are presented. The first page lists the first 10 results. Each result consists of:

1. Conceptual score of the match between the best phrase in the document and query, $100 \%$ for an ideal match. Note that there can be other phrases in the same document as well that score less than the indicated score;
2. The document identifier, which is the date of the news represented as a single number, e.g. $20040422=$ April, $22^{\text {nd, }} 2004$;
3. The title of the document, here represented by the DESCRIPTION, which is always Spanish, also for English new articles;
4. A flag indicating the source language of the article;
5. The snippets: one or more linguistic phrases with some contexts, where the linguistic phrase is bold and the words from the phrase that match the query are in Italics. All phrases that are above the conceptual threshold setting are shown as a snippet. The score is based on the best matching phrase.


Figure 2: TwentyOne Search interface on the EFE Fototeca database

The screen dump shows that the first result has a phrase match of $100 \%$. The phrase occurs almost literally in the article, except for the difference in case and the difference in plurality. The second document has a lower score of $33 \%$ because only 1 out of the 3 concepts in the query occurs in the same phrase.

If a word in the phrase has synonyms or translations, these are shown in a small box when you move the mouse over he italic word. This is shown here for solomillo which has as synonyms: filete; solomo.

When we now rephrase the query with the synonym filete mistyped as filette and leave out the plato, the results look as in Figure 3. The same document is shown first but the score is now lower because the match is based on the synonym. Similary, the second result has a higher score because it contains the filete. In both cases the score is a bit lower because of the fuzzy match of filete with filette.


Figure 3: Rephrased query with a synonym

When the user clicks on the blue underlined title of a result, the article and the corresponding picture are displayed in a new window as is shown in Figure 4. The phrases with matching query concepts are highlighted in red. At the bottom of the page, you see three buttons that can be used to express satisfaction, dissatisfaction or uncertainty with the picture that is shown. This information is used to log the user satisfaction with the result.

The upper frame of the page has options to show the meta-information that can be searched for in the advanced mode and to show the original XML file from which the information was derived.


### 2.3. Cross-lingual architecture of TwentyOne Search

For the cross-lingual architecture, we make a distinction between the source languages and the search languages. The source languages are the languages of the original documents. In the case of the EFE Fototeca database, these are Spanish and English. The search languages are the languages in which the users can make queries to find documents and phrases from the collection. In the TwentyOne System, the search languages can be different from the source languages, enabling cross-lingual retrieval. This is achieved by building a separate index for every search language, if necessary translating the source language index items..

In the case of the EFE database, we thus first have to process English and Spanish source documents. This includes tokenization, tagging, parsing, named entity recognition and concept extraction. When the concepts are extracted for the English and Spanish source strings, the multilingual semantic network can be used to expand these to English and Spanish synonyms respectively, but dso to translations to all the other search languages. Both the source words and the expansions are then normalised and an index is built for each search language based on the normalized words. An overview of this process is shown below in Figure 5.


Figure 5: Architecture of TwentyOne Cross-lingual Indexing and Search

During search, the TwentyOne System can detect the query language (or receive it from the interface), next it processes the query words according to the language settings (normalization, compound splitting, etc.) and finally it matches them with the index items of the corresponding language index, in Figure 5, the query is matched with the German index. From the German index it will receive pointers to document identifiers, which correspond with original English or Spanish source files.

## 3 Integration of MEANING in TwentyOne Search

Integration of MEANING in the TwentyOne Search system involves two different tasks:

1. The use of the wordnets from the MCR to expand the words to synonyms and other variants or related terms;
2. The use of the knowledge in the MCR to build a good word-sense-disambiguation system

### 3.1. Integrating Wordnets from the MCR

The TwentyOne Search system uses a dedicated database to store the semantic networks and a special program to build up the different indexes for cross-lingual retrieval. The Irion database consists of the following components:

1. A lookup table with word forms and part of speech, linked to a list of concepts;
2. A list of concepts with pointers to synsets;
3. A table with synsets;

Each language has a separate lookup table and a separate table of synsets. All the languages, as much as possible, share the same set of concepts.

To integrate the MCR wordnets, an export was made for the Spanish wordnet consisting of two lists:

1. Lemma + POS + concept number
abandonar+v+01524047
2. Wordform + POS + concept number
```
abandonara+v+01524047
abandonara+v+01524319
abandonara+v+01525019
abandonara+v+01609431
abandonara+v+01623741
abandonara+v+01728889
abandonara+v+01761339
abandonarais+v+00253929
abandonarais+v+00346044
abandonarais+v+00415168
abandonarais+v+00415444
abandonarais+v+00415625
abandonarais+v+00734233
```

From these lists, a version of the database was built with the Spanish wordnet.
For English, only a lemma list was available from the MCR. The word form table for English was generated by Irion using the word form for the English database that was already available. This could easily be done by matching the lemma+pos information with the existing lemma+pos information and then collect all the wordforms related to the concepts associated with that Irion lemma.

For adding other search languages to the system, we only need a lemma+pos+concept list from the MCR. The wordform lists are only needed when there are also source documents for that language (here only Spanish and English). Likewise, we added the Basque, Italian and Catalan wordnets to the system by importing the lemma+pos+concept exports from the MCR.

### 3.2. Integrating WSD in the TwentyOne System

Irion adopted the Wordnet-Domains approach to Word Sense Disambigution (Magnini et al 2002), for the following reasons:

1. Easily integrated into the TwentyOne system;
2. High-precision with respect to words that matter for Information Retrieval tasks, e.g. party \{free-time or politics\};
3. Low-recall with respect to words that do not matter for Information Retrieval tasks, e.g. part, begin, be;
4. Cumulated knowledge from the MCR can easily be added to the system;
5. Fast and robust;

Irion Technology already has a state-of-the-art text classification system: TwentyOne Classify. TwentyOne Classify can be easily trained and benchmarked with any document collection. Likewise, a text classifier can be created by taking any set of words from a wordnet that is associated with a domain. Such a text classifier can then assign domain labels to unseen text, see Figure 6.

For MEANING, we created an option to augment NPs extracted from documents with domain labels. A set of general domain labels is assigned to the document as a whole. This is called a microworld tag. Next each, NP can get one or more domain labels as well, based on the words from the NP and the words from the surrounding NPs. The NP domains are called nanoworld tags. It is thus possible that a specific NP in a context has a different set of domains than the document as a whole. You see an overview of this process in Figure 6.


Figure 6: Domain based Word Sense Disambiguation

In the shown example, the document as a whole receives the microworld tag Sport and a specific NP in that document receives the nanoworld tag Finance. The disambiguation then consists of the following process for each word in the NP:

1. Are there word meanings with domain labels that match any of the nanoworld tags? If yes, these meanings are selected.
2. If no, are there there word meanings with domain labels that match the microworld tags? If yes these meanings are selected.
3. If no all meanings are selected.

The text classifiers can be improved in various ways, but within MEANING improvements need to come from the MCR. Cumulation of knowledge in the MCR, from any source in any language, can be easily ported to the classifier by generating word lists that relate this knowledge to domains.

The next page shows a fragment of an English file with NPs tagged with domains. The microworld tags are art and architecture. A single NP is listed with the id 6, which in this case, has the same nanoworld tags: <NW>art;architecture;</NW>.

## D8.2: Integration in TwentyOne Search and validation on the EFE Fototeca database

$10 \backslash$ cache_en \151. naw (13) :
<MICROWORLD>art;architecture;</MICROWORLD>
<NP ID="6">
<WRD POS="0"><WF>epa00169049</WF></WRD>
<NAME>
<WRD POS="99"><WF>Pete</WF></WRD><WRD POS="99"><WF>Townsend</WF></WRD>
</NAME>
<WRD POS="0"><WF>performs</WF></WRD><WRD POS="99"><WF>on</WF></WRD>
<WRD POS="71"><WF>the</WF></WRD><WRD POS="0"><WF>stage</WF></WRD>
<WRD POS="6"><WF>during</WF></WRD>
<NAME>
<WRD POS="71"><WF>the</WF></WRD><WRD POS="99"><WF>Ronnie</WF></WRD>
<WRD POS="99"><WF>Lane</WF></WRD><WRD POS="99"><WF>Tribute</WF></WRD>
</NAME>
<WRD POS="0"><WF>concert</WF></WRD><WRDPOS="6"><WF>at</WF></WRD>
<NAME>
<WRD POS="71"><WF>The</WF></WRD><WRD POS="99"><WF>Royal</WF></WRD>
<WRD POS="99"><WF>Albert</WF></WRD><WRD POS="99"><WF>Hall</WF></WRD>
<WRD POS="6"><WF>in</WF></WRD><WRD POS="0"><WF>central</WF></WRD>
<WRD POS="99"><WF>London</WF></WRD></NAME>
<PHR>epa00169049 Pete Townsend performs on the stage during the Ronnie Lane Tribute concert at The Royal Albert Hall in central London</PHR>
<NW>art; architecture; </NW></NP>

Separate text classifiers have been made for English and Spanish by exporting the domain vocabulary (based on WordNet Domains version 1.1.1) from the MCR. In total there are 163 domain labels distributed over different levels. Since such a fine-grained domain distinction is not necessary, domain labels at more-specific levels have been merged with the second level domains. For example, the domain art has various sublevels that have been lumped together in one domain art:

```
art
dance
drawing
    painting
    philately
photography
music
plastic_arts
    sculpture
    jewellery
    numismatics
theatre
```

We thus created 57 more global domains, as shown in Table 1. The first level domain labels: applied_science, dictrines, factotum, free_time and social_science, are only represented on so far the are words specifically for these levels.

Table 1: Level 2 Domains

| administration | color | mathematics | religion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| agriculture | commerce | medicine | sexuality |
| alimentation | computer_science | metrology | showjumping |
| anthropology | doctrines | military | social_science |
| applied_science | earth | number | sociology |
| archaeology | economy | pedagogy | sport |
| architecture | engineering | person | state |
| art | fashion | philosophy | telecommunication |
| artisanship | free_time | physics | time_period |
| astrology | history | politics | tourism |
| astronomy | industry | psychology | veterinary |
| biology | law | publishing |  |
| body_care | linguistics | pure_science |  |
| building_industry | literature | quality |  |
| chemistry |  |  |  |

The next two tables show a confusion matrix for these domains, where the words from each domain have been compared with all the other domains by classifying these words. All domains with a score above $60 \%$ are listed. We created two tables, one for English and one for Spanish. Overall the confusion matrixes are similar and do not show any striking results. In almost all cases, the domain is associated mostly strongly with itself (scores are mostly 90 or higher). The only exception is administration, which has no results above 60 in English and equal scores for earth in Spanish. On the second place, we occasionally see other domains with scores of 80 or higher, but then these domains are also strongly related, e.g. sexuality and biology, or astronomy and astrology.

It is not very likely that the strongly associated domains will cause any problems in that they will lead to the selection of unintended meanings that will result in bad retrieval. To the contrary, it is probably better to also include the strongly associated domains to have a higher recall. For example, it does not matter if star is labelled as astronomy or as astrology to get the correct interpretation and thus the correct expansion to synonyms or the correct translation, as long as it is not labelled in the art or communication domain.

This also suggests that the threshold for assigning the domain-labels for Information Retrieval can be relatively low. Whereas normally thresholds of 75 to 85 are required for an optimal text classification it is in this context probably better to work with lower thresholds to have a higher recall and probably harmless overgeneration. The crucial question is to find a balance between recall and precision of the domain assignments so that we find a positive effect for Information Retrieval.

## Table 2: Confusion matrix for English domain classifier

| earth | 6 | 0,92 | earth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| social_science | 1 | 0,75 | social_science | 0,66 | politics | 0,64 | economy | 0,62 doctrines | 0,61 | sociology |  |
| telecommunication | 7 | 0,91 | telecommunication | 0,63 | architecture | 0,61 | art |  |  |  |  |
| doctrines | 1 | 0,93 | doctrines | 0,85 | pure_science | 0,66 | pedagogy |  |  |  |  |
| sexuality | 1 | 0,95 | sexuality | 0,78 | biology |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pure_science | 1 | 0,92 | pure_science | 0,82 | doctrines |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| alimentation | 2 | 0,93 | alimentation | 0,65 | biology | 0,62 | architecture |  |  |  |  |
| medicine | 5 | 0,93 | medicine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sport | 30 | 0,92 | sport |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| law | 1 | 0,9 | law | 0,85 | economy | 0,71 | administration |  |  |  |  |
| biology | 8 | 0,94 | biology |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| industry | 1 | 0,9 | industry | 0,77 | architecture | 0,7 | economy | 0,68 transport |  |  |  |
| play | 4 | 0,92 | play | 0,77 | sport | 0,7 | economy |  |  |  |  |
| tourism | 1 | 0,89 | tourism | 0,84 | transport | 0,78 | architecture |  |  |  |  |
| number | 1 | 0,94 | number | 0,67 | architecture |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| military | 1 | 0,87 | military | 0,75 | history | 0,71 | transport | 0,69 architecture | 0,66 | earth |  |
| pedagogy | 3 | 0,94 | pedagogy | 0,62 | art | 0,6 | architecture |  |  |  |  |
| religion | 4 | 0,94 | religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| publishing | 1 | 0,9 | publishing | 0,77 | art | 0,71 | literature | 0,66 economy | 0,6 | architecture |  |
| administration | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| metrology | 1 | 0,91 | metrology | 0,74 | physics | 0,66 | quality | 0,64 economy | 0,64 | time_period | 0,62 architecture |
| building_industry | 1 | 0,95 | building_industry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| artisanship | 1 | 0,92 | artisanship | 0,77 | architecture | 0,71 | transport | 0,65 industry | 0,63 | mathematics |  |
| astronomy | 2 | 0,93 | astronomy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| anthropology | 3 | 0,93 | anthropology |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sociology | 1 | 0,92 | sociology | 0,72 | history | 0,68 | anthropology | 0,67 economy |  |  |  |
| commerce | 1 | 0,89 | commerce | 0,89 | economy | 0,72 | architecture |  |  |  |  |
| literature | 2 | 0,93 | literature | 0,65 | publishing | 0,63 | art |  |  |  |  |
| computer_science | 1 | 0,89 | computer_science | 0,71 | telecommunication | 0,66 | physics | 0,66 engineering | 0,6 | architecture |  |
| showjumping | 1 | 0,91 | showjumping | 0,75 | sport |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| state | 1 | 0,86 | state |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| body_care | 1 | 0,92 | body_care | 0,64 | architecture | 0,61 | biology |  |  |  |  |
| Confidential |  |  | Page |  |  |  | 2-8-20 |  |  |  |  |


| physics | 7 | 0,91 | physics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| history | 2 | 0,91 | history | 0,63 | anthropology | 0,62 | sociology |  |  |  |  |
| politics | 2 | 0,92 | politics | 0,79 | economy | 0,7 | administration |  |  |  |  |
| engineering | 5 | 0,91 | engineering | 0,7 | physics | 0,68 | transport | 0,65 architecture |  |  |  |
| factotum | 1 | 0,77 | factotum | 0,73 | architecture | 0,71 | economy | 0,66 transport | 0,62 | physics | 0,61 biology |
| applied_science | 1 | 0,92 | applied_science | 0,82 | industry | 0,66 | chemistry | 0,62 medicine |  |  |  |
| person | 1 | 0,92 | person | 0,68 | economy | 0,63 | biology |  |  |  |  |
| veterinary | 2 | 0,93 | veterinary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| astrology | 1 | 0,93 | astrology | 0,86 | astronomy | 0,67 | biology |  |  |  |  |
| transport | 5 | 0,92 | transport | 0,73 | architecture | 0,63 | sport |  |  |  |  |
| chemistry | 1 | 0,89 | chemistry | 0,75 | physics | 0,72 | biology | 0,69 medicine | 0,63 | architecture |  |
| fashion | 1 | 0,9 | fashion | 0,69 | architecture | 0,68 | transport | 0,66 art | 0,64 | sport | 0,6 biology |
| economy | 8 | 0,93 | economy | 0,62 | administration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| free_time | 1 | 0,89 | free_time | 0,86 | art | 0,67 | sport | 0,61 pedagogy | 0,6 | architecture |  |
| archaeology | 1 | 0,93 | archaeology | 0,76 | anthropology | 0,72 | history | 0,62 religion | 0,62 | biology |  |
| linguistics | 2 | 0,94 | linguistics | 0,65 | economy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| psychology | 2 | 0,93 | psychology | 0,63 | biology | 0,6 | pedagogy |  |  |  |  |
| agriculture | 1 | 0,91 | agriculture | 0,88 | biology | 0,7 | medicine | 0,69 veterinary | 0,66 | architecture |  |
| architecture | 4 | 0,92 | architecture | 0,69 | transport |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| color | 1 | 0,89 | color | 0,78 | biology | 0,71 | quality | 0,65 earth | 0,61 | architecture |  |
| mathematics | 3 | 0,93 | mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| philosophy | 1 | 0,95 | philosophy | 0,7 | history | 0,68 | mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| time_period | 1 | 0,92 | time_period | 0,72 | metrology | 0,66 | economy | 0,62 biology |  |  |  |
| art | 12 | 0,92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quality | 1 | 0,9 | quality | 0,72 | biology | 0,71 | metrology | 0,63 architecture | 0,62 | color |  |

## Table 3: Confusion matrix for Spanish domain classifier



| physics | 7 | 0,91 physics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| history | 2 | 0,93 history | 0,62 | earth | 0,61 anthropology | 0,6 sociology |  |  |
| politics | 2 | 0,92 politics | 0,76 | economy | 0,7 administration |  |  |  |
| engineering | 5 | 0,92 engineering | 0,7 | physics | 0,63 transport | 0,61 architecture |  |  |
| factotum | 1 | 0,84 factotum | 0,69 | economy | 0,67 architecture | 0,65 transport | 0,63 art | 0,63 psychology |
| applied_science | 1 | 0,92 applied_science | 0,86 | industry | 0,62 art |  |  |  |
| person | 1 | 0,94 person | 0,61 | economy |  |  |  |  |
| astrology | 1 | 0,9 astrology | 0,84 | astronomy |  |  |  |  |
| transport | 5 | 0,92 transport | 0,63 | architecture | 0,62 tourism | 0,61 engineering |  |  |
| chemistry | 1 | 0,91 chemistry | 0,76 | physics | 0,68 medicine | 0,63 biology |  |  |
| fashion | 1 | 0,94 fashion | 0,69 | sport | 0,68 transport | 0,61 architecture |  |  |
| economy | 8 | 0,94 economy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| free_time | 1 | 0,92 free_time | 0,87 | art | 0,69 sport |  |  |  |
| archaeology | 1 | 0,93 archaeology | 0,81 | anthropology | 0,64 religion | 0,63 history |  |  |
| linguistics | 2 | 0,95 linguistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| psychology | 2 | 0,93 psychology | 0,66 p | pedagogy |  |  |  |  |
| agriculture | 1 | 0,95 agriculture | 0,77 | zootechnics |  |  |  |  |
| architecture | 4 | 0,94 architecture |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| color | 1 | 0,93 color | 0,83 | quality | 0,71 earth | 0,68 biology |  |  |
| mathematics | 3 | 0,95 mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| philosophy | 1 | 0,95 philosophy | 0,79 | history | 0,68 mathematics |  |  |  |
| time_period | 1 | 0,93 time_period | 0,65 | religion | 0,65 metrology | 0,6 economy |  |  |
| art | 12 | 0,93 art |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| zootechnics | 1 | 0,92 zootechnics | 0,84 | agriculture | 0,6 economy |  |  |  |
| quality | 1 | 0,92 quality | 0,72 | metrology | 0,66 color | 0,66 biology |  |  |

### 3.2.1 Effectiveness of Word-Sense-Disambiguation

The classification system used a window of 10 NPs to assign nanoworlds to NPs. This means that 4 NPs to the left and 5 NPs to the right have been used as a context to assign a tag. The microworlds have been assigned to the complete text. For both the nanoworld and microworld tags, we used a threshold of 60. This is relatively low compared to the thresholds that are normally used for text classification ( 75 to 85 ). Since the confusion matrix shows that lower level associations of concepts usually also make sense, we think that such a lower threshold is most optimal. Table 4 gives an overview of the number of NPs that received a particular domain tag. The assignment is very distributed. Roughly, we see the same patterns across nanoworlds and microworlds and across English and Spanish. If we take 3\% as a threshold, the following domains are consistently above the $3 \%$ (or very close): administration; architecture; art; biology; earth; economy; factotum; history; linguistics; play; politics; religion; sport; time_period; biology; transport. Some deviation across English and Spanish is found for: linguistics; pedagogy; and sociology.

Differences can either be related to differences in the vocabulary associated with WordNet Domain concepts, or to different topics being discussed in the English and Spanish texts. The dominance of some domains (administration, biology, factotum) has also been observed elsewhere (e.g EuroWordNet, Vossen et al. 1998).

Table 4: Wordnet Domain NP tags

|  | Spanish |  |  |  | English |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wordnet Domains | Nanoworld |  | Microworlds |  | Nanoworlds |  | Microworlds |  |
| administration | 264641 | 5,09\% | 15879 | 5,43\% | 34440 | 6,24\% | 2628 | 8,46\% |
| agriculture | 6376 | 0,12\% | 197 | 0,07\% | 2618 | 0,47\% | 67 | 0,22\% |
| alimentation | 29789 | 0,57\% | 900 | 0,31\% | 3266 | 0,59\% | 63 | 0,20\% |
| anthropology | 144130 | 2,77\% | 6994 | 2,39\% | 8838 | 1,60\% | 410 | 1,32\% |
| applied_science | 234 | 0,00\% | 0 | 0,00\% | 23 | 0,00\% | 0 | 0,00\% |
| archaeology | 2412 | 0,05\% | 55 | 0,02\% | 362 | 0,07\% | 7 | 0,02\% |
| architecture | 270754 | 5,21\% | 22221 | 7,60\% | 28367 | 5,14\% | 2319 | 7,47\% |
| art | 260074 | 5,00\% | 18591 | 6,36\% | 34071 | 6,17\% | 2316 | 7,46\% |
| artisanship | 5917 | 0,11\% | 52 | 0,02\% | 1323 | 0,24\% | 8 | 0,03\% |
| astrology | 642 | 0,01\% | 5 | 0,00\% | 93 | 0,02\% | 2 | 0,01\% |
| astronomy | 34492 | 0,66\% | 1366 | 0,47\% | 2059 | 0,37\% | 15 | 0,05\% |
| biology | 129953 | 2,50\% | 7237 | 2,48\% | 27921 | 5,06\% | 1501 | 4,83\% |
| body_care | 11457 | 0,22\% | 126 | 0,04\% | 901 | 0,16\% | 6 | 0,02\% |
| chemistry | 31428 | 0,60\% | 415 | 0,14\% | 5447 | 0,99\% | 33 | 0,11\% |
| color | 9513 | 0,18\% | 409 | 0,14\% | 623 | 0,11\% | 7 | 0,02\% |
| commerce | 84277 | 1,62\% | 3653 | 1,25\% | 5944 | 1,08\% | 175 | 0,56\% |
| computer_science | 104876 | 2,02\% | 2031 | 0,69\% | 2850 | 0,52\% | 52 | 0,17\% |
| doctrines | 2774 | 0,05\% | 181 | 0,06\% | 954 | 0,17\% | 17 | 0,05\% |
| earth | 260879 | 5,02\% | 15215 | 5,21\% | 35745 | 6,47\% | 2778 | 8,94\% |
| economy | 299046 | 5,75\% | 21303 | 7,29\% | 33052 | 5,99\% | 2419 | 7,79\% |
| engineering | 63163 | 1,21\% | 3192 | 1,09\% | 5989 | 1,08\% | 184 | 0,59\% |
| factotum | 170815 | 3,29\% | 9595 | 3,28\% | 11644 | 2,11\% | 330 | 1,06\% |
| fashion | 64895 | 1,25\% | 2645 | 0,90\% | 2838 | 0,51\% | 62 | 0,20\% |
| free_time | 142776 | 2,75\% | 5618 | 1,92\% | 11869 | 2,15\% | 570 | 1,84\% |
| history | 159952 | 3,08\% | 10167 | 3,48\% | 18649 | 3,38\% | 1154 | 3,72\% |
| industry | 37618 | 0,72\% | 1215 | 0,42\% | 4343 | 0,79\% | 118 | 0,38\% |
| law | 100961 | 1,94\% | 5754 | 1,97\% | 8308 | 1,50\% | 282 | 0,91\% |
| linguistics | 183302 | 3,53\% | 14345 | 4,91\% | 6136 | 1,11\% | 184 | 0,59\% |
| literature | 121272 | 2,33\% | 3019 | 1,03\% | 7431 | 1,35\% | 241 | 0,78\% |
| mathematics | 48858 | 0,94\% | 1832 | 0,63\% | 4386 | 0,79\% | 67 | 0,22\% |
| medicine | 29225 | 0,56\% | 795 | 0,27\% | 4162 | 0,75\% | 64 | 0,21\% |
| metrology | 69650 | 1,34\% | 3397 | 1,16\% | 15207 | 2,75\% | 375 | 1,21\% |
| military | 74417 | 1,43\% | 3679 | 1,26\% | 15240 | 2,76\% | 776 | 2,50\% |
| number | 110936 | 2,13\% | 4603 | 1,57\% | 10165 | 1,84\% | 314 | 1,01\% |
| pedagogy | 87097 | 1,68\% | 4670 | 1,60\% | 16082 | 2,91\% | 1141 | 3,67\% |
| person | 109836 | 2,11\% | 5821 | 1,99\% | 7280 | 1,32\% | 244 | 0,79\% |
| philosophy | 6751 | 0,13\% | 59 | 0,02\% | 1225 | 0,22\% | 10 | 0,03\% |
| physics | 60936 | 1,17\% | 2945 | 1,01\% | 11979 | 2,17\% | 538 | 1,73\% |
| play | 171678 | 3,30\% | 9939 | 3,40\% | 18560 | 3,36\% | 1222 | 3,93\% |
| politics | 213678 | 4,11\% | 13803 | 4,72\% | 17583 | 3,18\% | 1060 | 3,41\% |
| psychology | 49971 | 0,96\% | 1244 | 0,43\% | 3148 | 0,57\% | 39 | 0,13\% |
| publishing | 30297 | 0,58\% | 1119 | 0,38\% | 5914 | 1,07\% | 224 | 0,72\% |
| pure_science | 1890 | 0,04\% | 32 | 0,01\% | 646 | 0,12\% | 4 | 0,01\% |
| quality | 29053 | 0,56\% | 741 | 0,25\% | 2585 | 0,47\% | 13 | 0,04\% |
| religion | 166111 | 3,19\% | 9205 | 3,15\% | 18793 | 3,40\% | 1380 | 4,44\% |


| sexuality | 6903 | $0,13 \%$ | 95 | $0,03 \%$ | 2645 | $0,48 \%$ | 40 | $0,13 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| showjumping | 6291 | $0,12 \%$ | 133 | $0,05 \%$ | 7 | $0,00 \%$ | 0 | $0,00 \%$ |
| social_science | 0 | $0,00 \%$ | 0 | $0,00 \%$ | 62 | $0,01 \%$ | 1 | $0,00 \%$ |
| sociology | 163837 | $3,15 \%$ | 5033 | $1,72 \%$ | 5594 | $1,01 \%$ | 128 | $0,41 \%$ |
| sport | 209472 | $4,03 \%$ | 14571 | $4,99 \%$ | 25463 | $4,61 \%$ | 1895 | $6,10 \%$ |
| state | 0 | $0,00 \%$ | 0 | $0,00 \%$ | 12 | $0,00 \%$ | 1 | $0,00 \%$ |
| telecommunication | 151308 | $2,91 \%$ | 5642 | $1,93 \%$ | 15151 | $2,74 \%$ | 879 | $2,83 \%$ |
| time_period | 131129 | $2,52 \%$ | 11503 | $3,94 \%$ | 14793 | $2,68 \%$ | 940 | $3,03 \%$ |
| tourism | 101487 | $1,95 \%$ | 6151 | $2,10 \%$ | 10976 | $1,99 \%$ | 651 | $2,10 \%$ |
| transport | 170083 | $3,27 \%$ | 12901 | $4,41 \%$ | 17347 | $3,14 \%$ | 1066 | $3,43 \%$ |
| veterinary | 0 | $0,00 \%$ | 0 | $0,00 \%$ | 1002 | $0,18 \%$ | 37 | $0,12 \%$ |
| zootechnics | 4611 | $0,09 \%$ | 123 | $0,04 \%$ | 0 | $0,00 \%$ | 0 | $0,00 \%$ |
| Total | 5199312 |  | 292293 |  | 552111 |  | 31057 |  |

The next tables show figures for the concept assignment within the domain tags. More than 2 million word tokens have been looked up in the database. From these, 383,720 word tokens could not be found. More than 1.5 million word tokens were disambiguated, of which 312,699 word tokens effectively (about 20\%). Table 5 shows the overall effectivity of the domain tags for these words. For Spanish, about 2,7 million concepts were involved. About $31 \%$ of these concepts is not affected by the disambiguation but 69\% is affected. For English, even 82.5\% of the concepts is affected. In general, the recall of the approach is thus very high (!!!). In both cases, the nanoworlds are most effective. This is obvious since we use the microworlds only as a fallback tag in case the nanoworld tags do not apply.

Table 5: Overall effectivity of the domain tags

|  | Spanish |  | English |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| total concepts | 2769753 |  | 403124 |  |
| disambiguated in microworlds | 220574 | $7,96 \%$ | 18541 | $4,60 \%$ |
| disambiguated in nanoworlds | 1691079 | $61,06 \%$ | 314394 | $77,99 \%$ |
| unaffected concepts | 858100 | $30,98 \%$ | 70189 | $17,41 \%$ |

In Table 6 and Table 7, we show the reduction and the polysemy. The tables are split over microworlds and nanoworlds. For the microworlds the reduction is about $48 \%$ for Spanish and $57 \%$ for English. In the case of the nanoworlds, the reduction is even higher: $52 \%$ for Spanish and 65\% for English.

Table 6: Concept reduction based on Microworlds

| Microworlds | Spanish |  | English |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| disambiguated words | 44652 |  | 3097 |  |
| total concepts | 220574 |  | 18541 |  |
| excluded concepts | 105620 | $47,88 \%$ | 10603 | $57,19 \%$ |
| selected concepts | 114954 | $52,12 \%$ | 7938 | $42,81 \%$ |
| polysemy | 4,9 |  | 6,0 |  |

Table 7: Concept reduction based on Nanoworlds

| Nanoworlds | Spanish |  | English |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| disambiguated words | 238671 |  | 26279 |  |
| total concepts | 1691079 |  | 314394 |  |
| excluded concepts | 879317 | $52,00 \%$ | 205221 | $65,28 \%$ |
| selected concepts | 811762 | $48,00 \%$ | 109173 | $34,72 \%$ |
| polysemy | 7,1 |  | 12,0 |  |

The polysemy number of the words affected by the nanoworlds is much higher than the number for the microworlds. This suggests that the more difficult words are solved in a nanoworld context. This is in line with the intuition that the factotum words do not belong to a specific domain and therefore can only be selected in a small context.

The next table also shows the number of times each domain tag was effectively used to select a concept within a microworld and a nanoworld (the $2^{\text {nd }}$ columns). The $3^{\text {rd }}$ columns show the average concept assignment per tag assignment. This indicates how relevant the domain tags have been. We see here that the same tags that are assigned most frequently are also effectively used most frequently. This could suggest that they are simply overasigned and therefore also more effective, in other words: if you shoot often enough you will always hit something. The overassignment is probably due to the unbalanced training of the domain classifier. Some domains have richer vocabularies than others. We cannot conclude from this percentage that the domains are also correctly assigned.

Table 8: Relevance (Rel.) of domain tags per domain

|  | Spanish |  |  |  |  |  | English |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nanoworld |  |  | Microworld |  |  | Nanoworld |  |  | Microworld |  |  |
| Wordnet Domains | Tags | Concepts | Rel. | Tags | Concepts | Rel. | Tags | Concepts | Rel. | Tags | Concepts | Rel. |
| administration | 264641 | 24004 | 9\% | 15879 | 3583 | 23\% | 34440 | 2038 | 6\% | 2628 | 392 | 15\% |
| agriculture | 6376 | 246 | 4\% | 197 | 26 | 13\% | 2618 | 146 | 6\% | 67 | 3 | 4\% |
| alimentation | 29789 | 1637 | 5\% | 900 | 166 | 18\% | 3266 | 345 | 11\% | 63 | 4 | 6\% |
| anthropology | 144130 | 7770 | 5\% | 6994 | 253 | 4\% | 8838 | 151 | 2\% | 410 | 9 | 2\% |
| applied_science | 234 | 12 | 5\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 23 | 2 | 9\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| archaeology | 2412 | 28 | 1\% | 55 | 2 | 4\% | 362 | 19 | 5\% | 7 | 1 | 14\% |
| architecture | 270754 | 22389 | 8\% | 22221 | 2806 | 13\% | 28367 | 3955 | 14\% | 2319 | 209 | 9\% |
| art | 260074 | 25746 | 10\% | 18591 | 3370 | 18\% | 34071 | 5050 | 15\% | 2316 | 346 | 15\% |
| artisanship | 5917 | 214 | 4\% | 52 | 0 | 0\% | 1323 | 50 | 4\% | 8 | 0 | 0\% |
| astrology | 642 | 16 | 2\% | 5 | 0 | 0\% | 93 | 9 | 10\% | 2 | 2 | 100\% |
| astronomy | 34492 | 611 | 2\% | 1366 | 72 | 5\% | 2059 | 68 | 3\% | 15 | 1 | 7\% |
| biology | 129953 | 17563 | 14\% | 7237 | 1865 | 26\% | 27921 | 4048 | 14\% | 1501 | 307 | 20\% |
| body_care | 11457 | 193 | 2\% | 126 | 0 | 0\% | 901 | 42 | 5\% | 6 | 0 | 0\% |
| chemistry | 31428 | 1879 | 6\% | 415 | 119 | 29\% | 5447 | 244 | 4\% | 33 | 9 | 27\% |
| color | 9513 | 309 | 3\% | 409 | 10 | 2\% | 623 | 42 | 7\% | 7 | 1 | 14\% |
| commerce | 84277 | 2369 | 3\% | 3653 | 303 | 8\% | 5944 | 446 | 8\% | 175 | 23 | 13\% |
| computer_science | 104876 | 1065 | 1\% | 2031 | 130 | 6\% | 2850 | 271 | 10\% | 52 | 5 | 10\% |


| doctrines | 2774 | 153 | 6\% | 181 | 2 | 1\% | 954 | 18 | 2\% | 17 | 1 | 6\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| earth | 260879 | 20853 | 8\% | 15215 | 2562 | 17\% | 35745 | 2253 | 6\% | 2778 | 245 | 9\% |
| economy | 299046 | 29130 | 10\% | 21303 | 3249 | 15\% | 33052 | 5261 | 16\% | 2419 | 311 | 13\% |
| engineering | 63163 | 3849 | 6\% | 3192 | 257 | 8\% | 5989 | 754 | 13\% | 184 | 4 | 2\% |
| factotum | 170815 | 74987 | 44\% | 9595 | 18556 | 193\% | 11644 | 3435 | 30\% | 330 | 707 | 214\% |
| fashion | 64895 | 2434 | 4\% | 2645 | 174 | 7\% | 2838 | 226 | 8\% | 62 | 4 | 6\% |
| free_time | 142776 | 3168 | 2\% | 5618 | 536 | 10\% | 11869 | 408 | 3\% | 570 | 34 | 6\% |
| history | 159952 | 9747 | 6\% | 10167 | 560 | 6\% | 18649 | 784 | 4\% | 1154 | 54 | 5\% |
| industry | 37618 | 2291 | 6\% | 1215 | 163 | 13\% | 4343 | 491 | 11\% | 118 | 20 | 17\% |
| law | 100961 | 8148 | 8\% | 5754 | 879 | 15\% | 8308 | 988 | 12\% | 282 | 87 | 31\% |
| linguistics | 183302 | 4632 | 3\% | 14345 | 1103 | 8\% | 6136 | 536 | 9\% | 184 | 9 | 5\% |
| literature | 121272 | 3327 | 3\% | 3019 | 489 | 16\% | 7431 | 417 | 6\% | 241 | 35 | 15\% |
| mathematics | 48858 | 3024 | 6\% | 1832 | 181 | 10\% | 4386 | 372 | 8\% | 67 | 3 | 4\% |
| medicine | 29225 | 2054 | 7\% | 795 | 159 | 20\% | 4162 | 412 | 10\% | 64 | 11 | 17\% |
| metrology | 69650 | 12989 | 19\% | 3397 | 1166 | 34\% | 15207 | 882 | 6\% | 375 | 48 | 13\% |
| military | 74417 | 9491 | 13\% | 3679 | 695 | 19\% | 15240 | 2011 | 13\% | 776 | 97 | 13\% |
| number | 110936 | 2032 | 2\% | 4603 | 956 | 21\% | 10165 | 86 | 1\% | 314 | 6 | 2\% |
| pedagogy | 87097 | 3063 | 4\% | 4670 | 323 | 7\% | 16082 | 1181 | 7\% | 1141 | 75 | 7\% |
| person | 109836 | 12849 | 12\% | 5821 | 1470 | 25\% | 7280 | 698 | 10\% | 244 | 33 | 14\% |
| philosophy | 6751 | 299 | 4\% | 59 | 9 | 15\% | 1225 | 27 | 2\% | 10 | 0 | 0\% |
| physics | 60936 | 3266 | 5\% | 2945 | 183 | 6\% | 11979 | 873 | 7\% | 538 | 24 | 4\% |
| play | 171678 | 4275 | 2\% | 9939 | 441 | 4\% | 18560 | 2243 | 12\% | 1222 | 55 | 5\% |
| politics | 213678 | 19729 | 9\% | 13803 | 2295 | 17\% | 17583 | 1909 | 11\% | 1060 | 130 | 12\% |
| psychology | 49971 | 3067 | 6\% | 1244 | 247 | 20\% | 3148 | 414 | 13\% | 39 | 6 | 15\% |
| publishing | 30297 | 3017 | 10\% | 1119 | 163 | 15\% | 5914 | 602 | 10\% | 224 | 36 | 16\% |
| pure_science | 1890 | 103 | 5\% | 32 | 1 | 3\% | 646 | 29 | 4\% | 4 | 1 | 25\% |
| quality | 29053 | 3046 | 10\% | 741 | 169 | 23\% | 2585 | 376 | 15\% | 13 | 1 | 8\% |
| religion | 166111 | 5993 | 4\% | 9205 | 962 | 10\% | 18793 | 870 | 5\% | 1380 | 78 | 6\% |
| sexuality | 6903 | 126 | 2\% | 95 | 20 | 21\% | 2645 | 164 | 6\% | 40 | 2 | 5\% |
| showjumping | 6291 | 0 | 0\% | 133 | 0 | 0\% | 7 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| social_science | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 62 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
| sociology | 163837 | 6337 | 4\% | 5033 | 1145 | 23\% | 5594 | 427 | 8\% | 128 | 33 | 26\% |
| sport | 209472 | 25241 | 12\% | 14571 | 1794 | 12\% | 25463 | 6101 | 24\% | 1895 | 265 | 14\% |
| state | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 12 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
| telecommunication | 151308 | 6242 | 4\% | 5642 | 579 | 10\% | 15151 | 1910 | 13\% | 879 | 41 | 5\% |
| time_period | 131129 | 22760 | 17\% | 11503 | 2390 | 21\% | 14793 | 1661 | 11\% | 940 | 154 | 16\% |
| tourism | 101487 | 4896 | 5\% | 6151 | 687 | 11\% | 10976 | 1065 | 10\% | 651 | 71 | 11\% |
| transport | 170083 | 17530 | 10\% | 12901 | 1698 | 13\% | 17347 | 2877 | 17\% | 1066 | 112 | 11\% |
| veterinary | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 1002 | 22 | 2\% | 37 | 0 | 0\% |
| zootechnics | 4611 | 0 | 0\% | 123 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| Total | 5199312 | 440199 | 8\% | 292293 | 58968 | 20\% | 552111 | 59709 | 11\% | 31057 | 4105 | 13\% |

## 4 EFE data

EFE delivered an XML file with 29,511 records and 29,943 images. Within each record there is a pointer to an image file. Most of the records contain Spanish articles $(26,546)$ and about $10 \%$ is English $(2,965)$. The articles represent two months of news: April - May 2004.

Table 9: Fototeca data from EFE for April-May 2004

|  |  | Spanish | English |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| XML records | 29511 | 26546 | 2965 |
| Images | 29943 |  |  |

On the next page, an example is given of an English XML records. The actual textual article is found in the field TEXTO. The field IMG_PATH contains the reference to the image:
<IMG_PATH>20040406/1152529</IMG_PATH>

The initial path directory 20040406 represents the date: $6^{\text {th }}$ April 2004. All pictures of that date are stored in the same subdirectory. The field DESCRIPCION is entered by the EFE editors. It is always Spanish and contains a mixture of keywords and other information. Since there was no specific title field to represent the articles, this field was taken as a provisional title.

A selection of the other fields was chosen as meta information for each file. This means that in the advanced mode, you can use these fields as filters in addition to the textual search. In advanced search mode you can for example search for documents from a specific author <AUTOR>, with a certain orientation <ORIENT> or color <COLOR>, etc. The selection of meta information was made for illustration purposes only. Any other selection can be made.

```
<?xml version='1.0' encoding="UTF-8" ?>
<RECORD>
<ID>FH_1152529</ID>
<CODIGOAUTO>1152529</CODIGOAUTO>
<REVISADO>20040406</REVISADO>
<PAIS_COD>GBR</PAIS_COD>
<CIUDAD>Ross-on-Wye</CIUDAD>
<LUGAR>0</LUGAR>
<AUTOR>David Jones</AUTOR>
<AGENCIA>EPA/PA</AGENCIA>
<FECHA>20040406</FECHA>
<FCREAT>20040406</FCREAT>
<ORIENT>0</ORIENT>
<COLOR>3</COLOR>
<TAMANO>2048x1357</TAMANO>
<PLANO>Plano General</PLANO>
<TEXTO>UK MAZE:epa00167171 High-ho! Off to work goes Edward Heyes as he gives the kilometre of
Lawson Cypress of the aMazing Hedge Puzzle its annual trim on Tuesday, 06 April 2004. Edward
and his brother Lindsay built the maze in 1977 at Symonds Yat near Ross-on-Wye in
Monmouthshire to commemorate the Silver Jubilee of Queen Elizabeth II. The two metre high
hedges take six weeks to trim - and the sunny side of the hedge gets a second cut later in the
year. The maze is arranged in seven concentric octagons and the shortest of 12 routes to the
centre is }180\mathrm{ metres, but unwary visitors may discover 13 other routes which are dead ends.
Mazes have appeared all over the world since antiquity, the Jubilee Maze is of a traditional
design a style known as the 'Labyrinth of Love', which was popular in the heyday of mazes
between three and four hundred years ago. EPA/David Jones UK AND IRELAND OUT[UK AND IRELAND
OUT ]</TEXTO>
<TIPO>Temático</TIPO>
<SIGLAS_ENT/>
<DESCRIPCION>CATEGORÍAS SUPLEMENTARIAS: ENTERTAINMENT (GENERAL) LABERINTOS NATURALES / SETOS /
ARBUSTOS JARDINERÍA: PODAR EL LABERINTO SE CONSTRUYÓ PARA CONMEMORAR EL JUBILEO DE PLATA DE LA
REINA ISABEL II MD*************NO VENDER EN REINO UNIDO************
*)
<CLAS_COD>01016008000000</CLAS_COD>
<IDENTIFICACION/>
<ESTADO>1</ESTADO>
<LOCALIZACION/>
<UBICACION/>
</UBICACION>
<COPYRIGHT>0</COPYRIGHT>
<AMBITO>0</AMBITO>
<ESTILO>Apaisado</ESTILO>
<PERSONAS/>
<COD_AGENCIA>42</COD_AGENCIA>
<SCATE>PA (a partir de 1 mayo 2003)</SCATE>
<CLASIFICACION>Parques, Jardines</CLASIFICACION>
<DESC_ENT/>
<REGIONES>-</REGIONES>
<COMERCIAL>0</COMERCIAL>
<PRODUCT_ID/>
<DREDATE>06/04/2004</DREDATE>
```

<HORA>000000</HORA>
<IMG_PATH>20040406/1152529</IMG_PATH>
<TESAURO>CUL: CULTURA-ESPECTACULOS,ARTE SOC:SOCIEDAD-SALUD,SOCIEDAD</TESAURO>
<TIPOAUTO>FOTOTECA</TIPOAUTO>
<IDIOMAAUTO>spanish</IDIOMAAUTO>
</RECORD>

## 5 TwentyOne Search Indexes for EFE

We built 3 different indexes for the EFE Fototeca collection:

- EFE_NO (http://efe.irion.nl/efe C): no use of wordnets.
- EFE_FULL(http://efe.irion.nl/efe B): wordnets with full expansion, no disambiguation
- EFE_MEANING (http://efe.irion.nl/efe_A): wordnets with expansion after disambiguation

In the case of the EFE_NO index, the words are not looked-up in the wordnets to expand to synonyms. They are added to the index as they occur in the text, after being normalized according to the language-settings. Note that both the English and the Spanish index thus have the original English and Spanish source words as index items because no translation takes place. Their indexes are thus equal in size and contain exactly the same content.

In the case of EFE_FULL, each word is looked up in the wordnet, where we first check for multiword phrases and apply compound resolution to unknown words. If a word or multiword is found, we take all the meanings and list all the synonyms of that word in addition to the original word. In the case of the cross-lingual indexes, we take all the translations.

In the case of the EFE_MEANING database, we also look up each word and multiword in the wordnet but we try to select meanings within the nanoworld and microworld tags (see above, section 3.2). If these tags apply, we select only the relevant meanings and expand to the synonyms and/or translations of the relevant meanings only. If none of the tags applies, all the word meanings are taken, just as with EFE_FULL.

The size of the indexes thus correlates with the amount of expansion. The EFE_NO index will be the smallest and the EFE_FULL index will be the biggest. We can thus measure the effectiveness of the Domain-based disambiguation by measuring the size of the index and the index vocabulary relative to EFE_NO and EFE_FULL. This is shown in the next table:

Table 10: Indexed lemmas for different EFE indexes

| Lemmas | NO | FULL | MEANING |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| English | 53872 | 70703 | 69716 |
| Spanish | 53872 | 63352 | 62644 |

The full expansion thus enlarged the lemma list with 17,000 for English and almost 10,000 for Spanish. The disambiguation then reduces the list with about 1000 items for both languages.

## 6 Design of the Pilot Test

### 6.1. The goal of the experiment

This section describes the initial design of the end-user evaluation framework. The evaluation will be performed in a real scenario provided by Spanish news agency EFE. During the meeting in Madrid we discussed several possible scenarios. In particular, we decided to investigate a multilingual database of pictures: FOTOTECA. This database receives about 800 pictures every day. Each picture has a short caption mainly in Spanish and English. Now, these captions are manually translated for multilingual access.

EFE has provided to us a small sample of two month of text captions and the associated pictures. Some key points about the EFE scenario:

- They receive around 800 pictures every day.
- There are Spanish (from EFE) and English texts (from EPA and AP).
- EFE is translating manualy most of the English texts.
- 50 words per text on average.
- Users usually ask for Named Entities: Persons locations and Events.
- The text is in XML format.

MEANING has designed a complete end-user evaluation framework. This design has been validated in a Pilot Test with a single user from EHU. Mainly, the user was asked to perform
a set of tasks with different systems in a limited time. Finally, the user was asked to fill in a questionnaire.

With this pilot test, we plan to check the appropriateness and correctness of the whole evaluation framework including, the tasks design, the questionnaire, the systems, the logging files, the number of necessary end-users, etc. If necessary, we will modify the end-user evaluation framework for the final test accordingly. The end-user final evaluation test will be reported in Deliverable D8.4.

### 6.2. The user tasks

The end-user pilot evaluation test has been designed to be performed by a single user. The end-user will test three different systems (namely, efe_no, efe_full, efe_meaning). Each test has four different tasks. That is, the end-user will test a total number of sixteeen different tasks using three different systems. The total time to perform each test has been set to tweenty minutes. After finishing each test, the end-user will have another ten minutes for answering a common questionnaire. That is, a total time of thirty minutes for each test, and one hour and a half as an estimated total time for completing the whole Pilot Test. We include in appendix $A$ the three test sets, and in appendix $B$ the questionnaire.

Each test set has been designed to be self-content described. First, we suggest to the enduser to read the instructions carefully. Then, we inform the end-ser that he is preparing four articles with accompanying pictures and a system located in a particular web page provides him access to the EFE Fototeca database, the system is accessible using Internet Explorer versions 5.0 and higher. We provide to the end-user access to the system using a particular username and password. In particular, he is preparing a news article of TOPIC about CONTEXT, and he feels that the text would be well served at this point by a visual showing GOAL as it is shown in the example News Article 3.

## News Article 3

TOPIC = ECONOMIA
CONTEXT = El petróleo está subiendo de precio descontroladamente y hay un debate político internacional sobre las causas y las soluciones.
GOAL $=$ Un político hablando sobre el precio del carburante.

In the task of News Article 3, the end-user is required to locate a picture showing a politician talking about the fuel prices (GOAL), in the context of the international uncontrolled rising of fuel prices (CONTEXT) within ECONOMY (TOPIC).

Now, he should query the Fototeca database using the system we are testing for an appropriate photograph. He should revise the results and select the appropriate picture. When he finds an appropriate photograph, he should click on the button labeled "This is the right picture", but If this picture is not appropriate, he should click on the button labeled "This is the wrong picture". We inform the end-user that If he do not find an appropriate photo the first time, he can try modifying the query, adding, removing or changing words from the original query. He can also select more than one picture for each news article. However, the total time for locating the appropriate pictures for the four news articles of one test is only twenty minutes. When finishing the end-user will have another ten minutes for answering a questionnaire.

We have prepared an common end-user questionnaire to be filled after finishing each test set of four tasks. The questionnaire consists of nine closed questions, allowing the end-user to provide at the end additional comments. Each question is in fact an statement that the user can agree or disagree providing a numerical score ( $1=$ strongly agree, $2=$ agree, $3=$ have no opinion, $4=$ disagree, $5=$ strongly disagree). The statements where:

1. The instructions were clear.
2. I succeeded in getting what I wanted done.
3. The queries were normal and natural.
4. The system understood what I wanted say.
5. At each point during the interaction I understood what I could say.
6. The system behaved as expected.
7. The interaction was very long.
8. I had particular trouble with queries for:
a. Types of people,
b. Types of places,
c. Types of objects or artifacts,
d. Types of events or activities,
e. other
9. I would use this system again to help me find a photo.

Finally we thank the end-user for evaluating the system.

### 6.3. Logging the user-behaviour

For the experiment, the logging of TwentyOne Search was adapted to derive the results for the experiment. For each of the indexes, a separate log file is created that stores the actions of the users and the results, e.g.:

```
tester1 # Tue Jan 11 11:28:33 CET 2005 # 5E0D57ACC0B87CA52CEAE850E767C9C9 # SEARCH : es :
terrorista : 597: 25: 5\45: 17\291: 28\334: 6\252: 46\113: 26\402 : \ 452 : 14\4 :
26\401:26\378
tester1 # Tue Jan 11 11:28:38 CET 2005 # 5E0D57ACC0B87CA52CEAE850E767C9C9 # HIGHLIGHT : es :
5\45 : 20040404/1150676
tester1 # Tue Jan 11 11:28:42 CET 2005 # 5E0D57ACC0B87CA52CEAE850E767C9C9 # UNDECIDED : es :
5\45
tester1 # Tue Jan 11 11:28:45 CET 2005 # 5E0D57ACC0B87CA52CEAE850E767C9C9 # HIGHLIGHT : es :
17\291 : 20040416/1162724
tester2 # Tue Jan 11 11:28:46 CET 2005 # 83BAB57609C13A5BF5EC2083FBCA02D2 # HIGHLIGHT : es :
27\40:20040425/1172234
tester1 # Tue Jan 11 11:28:48 CET 2005 # 5E0D57ACC0B87CA52CEAE850E767C9C9 # CONFIRMED : es :
17\291
tester2 # Tue Jan 11 11:28:57 CET 2005 # 6FD00A5A4EA5F328EA3F90BD83AE44B8 # SEARCH : es :
Panama : 262: 25: 46\298: 53\354:46\297: 7\205: 34\139: 34\138 : 34\360 : 34\361 :
53\353:34\140
tester2 # Tue Jan 11 11:29:04 CET 2005 # 6FD00A5A4EA5F328EA3F90BD83AE44B8 # SEARCH : es :
Panama barca : 345: 25: 5\226: 5\227: 8\271: 5\ 370: 42\165: 9\196 : 8\336: 13\209 :
56\147 : 56\149
tester2 # Tue Jan 11 11:29:10 CET 2005 # 6FD00A5A4EA5F328EA3F90BD83AE44B8 # HIGHLIGHT : es :
5\226 : 20040404/1151306
tester2 # Tue Jan 11 11:29:12 CET 2005 # 6FD00A5A4EA5F328EA3F90BD83AE44B8 # DISAPPROVED : es :
5\226
tester2 # Tue Jan 11 11:29:16 CET 2005 # 6FD00A5A4EA5F328EA3F90BD83AE44B8 # HIGHLIGHT : es :
5\227 : 20040404/1151307
tester2 # Tue Jan 11 11:29:19 CET 2005 # 6FD00A5A4EA5F328EA3F90BD83AE44B8 # DISAPPROVED : es :
5\227
```

On each line you see a request which has the following syntax:

- name of the person logged in\#
- time stamp\#
- session id\#
- action, where there are the following actions: SEARCH, HIGHLIGHT, DISAPPROVED, UNCERTAIN, APPROVED.

The SEARCH action has the following syntax:

- query language:
- query string:
- number of total results:
- number of collected results:
- top ten results displayed on the first page: document-idlpage-id

The number of total result is the total set of articles that contain the query words. In the case of boolean AND, these are the articles with all the query words, in the case of boolean OR the articles with any of the query words. The search engine has a maximum number of results for which it carries out the conceptual match of the query with the NPs. The total result is cut-off by the maximum results. Currently, the maximum is set to 25 but it can be any number. A higher maximum will only slow down the searches. On this set, the conceptual match is carried out and the matches above the threshold represent the collected results.

The HIGHLIGHT ACTION has the following syntax:

- result language:
- result id: document-idlpage-id:
- picture reference (if any):

The DISAPROVED/APPROVED/UNCERTAIN actions have the following syntax:

- result language:
- result id: document-idlpage-id

The users are instructed to press the new task button after completing each task. The new task button will assign a new session ID to the user. Each session ID thus marks a different
task. In the above example from the log file, there are two different users: tester1 and tester2. We see that one action of tester2 interrupts the sequence of actions of tester1. We also see that tester2 started a new session in the last part of the log file.

## 7 Pilot test results

### 7.1. Automatic retrieval of the test query

We used the benchmarking environment of TwentyOne Search to test the effectiveness of the system to find the articles with the correct images from the 21 tasks, taking the correct search field. In total 25 queries are created because some of the 21 tasks had multiple correct results. In that case each result was considered separately. We measured the recall that the correct article was listed among the first ten results. We tested this on all 3 indexes. The results are shown below in Table 11.

Table 11: Retrieval results with pilot queries

| Queries | Experiment |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Query file | D:\Irion\TwentyOneTest\EFE_3_queries.xml |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nr. Queries | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall | NO |  | FULL |  | MEANING |  |
| top 10 | 19 | 0,76 | 20 | 0,8 | 20 | 0,8 |
| 1 | 13 | 0,52 | 16 | 0,64 | 16 | 0,64 |
| 2 | 5 | 0,2 | 3 | 0,12 | 3 | 0,12 |
| 3 | 1 | 0,04 | 1 | 0,04 | 1 | 0,04 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

We see here that the use of wordnets is effective. The total recall of EFE_NO is $4 \%$ lower and the recall for the first position is even $12 \%$ lower. However, we did not measure any difference between EFE_FULL and EFE_MEANING. This means that the disambiguation did not result in a measurable recall effect for these queries.

### 7.2. User experiences

The main purpose of the Pilot Test was in fact to obtain feedback from a user prespective with respect the current design of the end-user evaluation scenario.

In table 12, we provide the approximated time (in minutes) the end-user spend per task. The total time per system A (efe_no) was more than three times larger than using system B (efe_full). And both the system $A$ (efe_no) and $C$ (efe_meaning) performed similarly. However, when system A (efe_no) and system B (efe_full) have small differences between each of their respective tasks ( 2 minutes for system $A$ and 1 minute for system $B$ ), it seems system C has large variations ( 7 minutes). This would indicate that task 2 and task 4 for system C (News Article 17 and 21 respectively) had some kind of problematic phenomena.

We should remark that the tasks (News Articles) the end-user was testing for each system were different. That is, task 1 using system A, B or C was different and can not be directly compared.

Table 12: Time in minutes (approx.) per task

|  | System A (efe_no) | System B (efe_full) | System C (efe_meaning) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Task 1 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| Task 2 | 4 | 1 | 8 |
| Task 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Task 4 | 4 | 1 | 6 |
| Total | 18 | 5 | 17 |

In fact, in the task designed for News Article 17 the GOAL was "Unos empleados de un centro comercial preparando el puesto de hortalizas" (Workers in a commercial center preparing the vegetables stand), and the end-user focus the queries on the commercial center without success. Regarding News Article 21, the end-user was not sure about the correct picture that was retrieved in a short time because the picture is not clear at all (the pictures have been manipulated with the EFE logo).

Obviously, the problems with particular tasks will be the same for all systems when running the Final Test allowing us to obtain comparable results for the systems.

Table 13 contains the answers of the end-user questionarie. Recall the numerical scores indicate the degree of agreement with each statement ( $1=$ strongly agree, $2=$ agree, $3=$
have no opinion, $4=$ disagree, $5=$ strongly disagree). With respect the design of the test, question 1 seems to indicate that the instructions were clear (except an error with the web address of system B) Question 2, 4, 6, 5, 7 and 9 indicate that the best system for the point of view of the user was system B (efe_full), and the worst system C (efe_meaning) because of the system did not behave as expected (question 6) or the interactions were very long (question 7). Although the user spent more time with system A than with C , the user consider that the interaction was longer using system C than A (question 7). However, using system C the user obtained in some cases the results very fast, but in others after multiple interactions. This problem (which in fact the responsability corresponds to the design of the the News Article task) seems to affect the whole impression of the user with respect system C (questions 2, 4, 5 and 7).

Table 13: Answers for the end-user questionarie

| Question | System A | System B | System C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. The instructions were clear. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2. I succeeded in getting what I wanted done. | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| 3. The queries were normal and natural. | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 4. The system understood what I wanted say. | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 5. At each point during the interaction <br> understood what I could say. | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 6. The system behaved as expected. <br> 7. The interaction was very long. <br> 8. I had particular trouble with queries for: <br> a. Types of people, <br> b. Types of places, <br> c. Types of objects or artifacts, <br> d. Types of events or activities <br> 9. I would use this system again to help me <br> find a photo 2 | 5 | 4 | 2 |

The end-user only provided an additional comment only for system B (efe_full): "The system is more accurate than the previous one. Asking for words appearing in the GOAL was enough to get the right picture"

After considering the user feedback the consortium decided to introduce the following criteria to the end-user evaluation framework:

- The assigned time per test set should be reduced (less than five minutes each).
- The names of the systems should be meaningless (say A, B or C). That is, modifying efe_no, efe_full or efe_meaning.
- It will be better to perform a unique questionnaire after finishing the three test sets.
- The order in which the systems are being tested could have an affect with respect the end-user expectations.
- It seems to be enough having three different end-users for the Final Test at EFE. Rotating tasks (News Articles) along the systems we can obtain comparable results for the three different systems.


## 8 Conclusions

This deliverable reports on the integration of MEANING in the TwentyOne Search engine of Irion, and secondly, the application of the search engine to a real end-user task for two months of captions and pictures from the EFE Fototeca database.

The integration involves the import of wordnets from the MCR for Spanish, English, Catalan, Basque and Italian. It also involves the use of WordNet Domains exported from the MCR and integrated in the word-sense-disambiguation system of Irion Technologies. The integration of the MCR was succesful. The disambiguation resulted in the reduction of $50 \%$ of the concepts.

We also described the building of an evaluation framework for the end-user task and the design of the first experiment and the results of a first pilot test, carried out by a single user. This pilot test validated the design of the end-user evaluation. Some small adjustments will be made to the set up of the validation.

A larger automatic test will be carried out on the built indexes with queries in all languages. The results of this test will be reported in deliverable 8.3. The final end-user evaluation will be caried out at the end of the project and will be described in deliverable 8.4.
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## Appendix A

## Instructions for the user (Pilot Test)

## Test 1 of 3

Please, read these instructions carefully.
You are preparing four articles with accompanying pictures. System A provides you access to the EFE Fototeca database.

System A can be located at http://efe.irion.nl/efe_no
You need Internet Explorer versions 5.0 and higher.
You can login to System A using username "tester1" and password "w!mp!ek".
In particular, you are preparing a news article of TOPIC about CONTEXT. You feel that the text would be well served at this point by a visual showing GOAL. Query the Fototeca database using System A for an appropriate photograph.

Revise the results and select the appropriate picture.
When you find an appropriate photograph, click on the button labeled "This is the right picture". If this picture is not appropriate, click on the button labeled "This is the wrong picture".

If you do not find an appropriate photo the first time, try modifying your query, adding, removing or changing words from the original query.
You can also select more than one picture for each news article.
However, the total time for locating the appropriate pictures for the four news articles is only twenty minutes.
When finishing you will have another ten minutes for answering a questionnaire.
Thank you for your help in evaluating this system!

## News Article 3

TOPIC = ECONOMIA
CONTEXT = El petróleo está subiendo de precio descontroladamente y hay un debate político internacional sobre las causas y las soluciones.
GOAL = Un político hablando sobre el precio del carburante.

## News Article 4

TOPIC = JUSTICIA
CONTEXT = Mejicanos condenados a muerte en Estados Unidos.
GOAL = La familia de un recluso mejicano.

## News Article 6

TOPIC = MILITAR
CONTEXT = Se están produciendo unas maniobras militares de la OTAN con un gran despliegue de efectivos en varias regiones.
GOAL $=$ Unos soldados simulando un ataque.

## News Article 7

TOPIC = MEDIO AMBIENTE
CONTEXT = Se ha celebrado una reunión sobre el tratamiento judicial de los delitos ecológicos.
GOAL $=$ Un juez especialista en medio ambiente.

## Instructions for the user (Pilot Test)

## Test 1 of 3

Please, read these instructions carefully.
You are preparing four articles with accompanying pictures. System B provides you access to the EFE Fototeca database.

System B can be located at http://efe.irion.nl/efe_full
You need Internet Explorer versions 5.0 and higher.
You can login to System B using username "tester1" and password "w!mp!ek".
In particular, you are preparing a news article of TOPIC about CONTEXT. You feel that the text would be well served at this point by a visual showing GOAL. Query the Fototeca database using System B for an appropriate photograph.
Revise the results and select the appropriate picture.
When you find an appropriate photograph, click on the button labeled "This is the right picture". If this picture is not appropriate, click on the button labeled "This is the wrong picture".
If you do not find an appropriate photo the first time, try modifying your query, adding, removing or changing words from the original query.

You can also select more than one picture for each news article.
However, the total time for locating the appropriate pictures for the four news articles is only twenty minutes.
When finishing you will have another ten minutes for answering a questionnaire.
Thank you for your help in evaluating this system!

## News Article 9

## TOPIC = POLITICA

CONTEXT = Se ha nombrado el nuevo gobierno. Hoy ha sido la toma de posesión y se han fotografiado en las escaleras la Moncloa.

GOAL = El gobierno en pleno en las escaleras del Palacio de la Moncloa.

## News Article 10

## TOPIC = TERRORISMO

CONTEXT = Sigue la violencia en Colombia y especialmente en Medellín. Las muertes son una estampa cada vez mas habitual en las calles de la ciudad.
GOAL $=$ Un entierro en Medellín.

## News Article 12

TOPIC $=$ ECONOMIA
CONTEXT = El gobierno ha anunciado un nuevo impuesto municipal.
GOAL = La oficina de un ayuntamiento.

## News Article 14

TOPIC = SOCIEDAD
CONTEXT = Se ha conocido el programa de las fiestas de San Isidro en Madrid.
GOAL $=$ Un concejal presentando las fiestas de San Isidro.

## Instructions for the user (Pilot Test)

## Test 3 of 3

Please, read these instructions carefully.
You are preparing four articles with accompanying pictures. System C provides you access to the EFE Fototeca database.

System Can be located at http://efe.irion.nl/efe_full
You need Internet Explorer versions 5.0 and higher.
You can login to System $\mathbf{C}$ using username "tester1" and password "w!mp!ek".
In particular, you are preparing a news article of TOPIC about CONTEXT. You feel that the text would be well served at this point by a visual showing GOAL. Query the Fototeca database using System $\mathbf{C}$ for an appropriate photograph.
Revise the results and select the appropriate picture.
When you find an appropriate photograph, click on the button labeled "This is the right picture". If this picture is not appropriate, click on the button labeled "This is the wrong picture".
If you do not find an appropriate photo the first time, try modifying your query, adding, removing or changing words from the original query.
You can also select more than one picture for each news article.
However, the total time for locating the appropriate pictures for the four news articles is only twenty minutes.
When finishing you will have another ten minutes for answering a questionnaire.
Thank you for your help in evaluating this system!

## News Article 16

TOPIC = SUCESOS
CONTEXT = Problemas de integración de la comunidad gitana en Andalucía.
GOAL $=$ Un acusado por un altercado entre gitanos.

## News Article 17

TOPIC = ECONOMIA
CONTEXT = Un informe subraya el precio cada vez más elevado, la poca oferta y la escasa frescura de los productos agrícolas en los centros comerciales.
GOAL $=$ Unos empleados de un centro comercial preparando el puesto de hortalizas.

## News Article 18

TOPIC = DEPORTES
CONTEXT = El ministerio ha decidido aumentar la seguridad en los campos de fútbol.
Se harán registros mas rigurosos a los aficionados.
GOAL $=$ Un guardia registrando a unos aficionados en un estadio.

## News Article 21

TOPIC = TRANSPORTE
CONTEXT $=$ Se anuncia una huelga de trabajadores de los remolcadores en el Canal de Panamá. Se espera que de llevarse a efecto se genere un caos de trafico marítimo en pocos días.
GOAL = Unas barcas remolcadoras amarradas al muelle del Canal de Panamá

## Appendix B

## User Evaluation Questionnaire (Pilot Test)

## System <br> $\qquad$

Please agree or disagree with the following statements ( $1=$ strongly agree, 2 = agree, 3 = have no opinion, $4=$ disagree, $5=$ strongly disagree):
_-_ The instructions were clear.
___ I succeeded in getting what I wanted done.
___ The queries were normal and natural.
___ The system understood what I wanted say.
___ At each point during the interaction I understood what I could say.
_-_ The system behaved as expected.
__- The interaction was very long.
I had particular trouble with queries for:
_-_ Types of people,
___ Types of places,
___ Types of objects or artifacts,
___ Types of events or activities,
other $\qquad$
___ I would use this system again to help me find a photo.

If you have any additional comments, please provide them below. Thank you for your participation in this evaluation.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the case of the small EFE articles the number of matching phrases per document is relatively low. There are not many phrases per article that can match the query. It is therefore not necessary to make the threshold tunable in the interface.

