View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk
brought to you by CORE
provided by DSpace at VU

RETRIEVAL OF LAND SURFACE PARAMETERS USING PASSIVE MICROWAVE REMOTE SENSING

Richard A.M. de Jeu

Cover: Earth rising above the Moon's horizon. Photo was taken during the famous Apollo-11 mission where astronaut Neal Armstrong stepped onto the lunar surface for the first time.
Photo Credit: NASA

© Richard A.M. de Jeu, 2003

This study was partly funded by the NASA Seasonal-to-Interannual Prediction Project (NSIPP) and was carried out at the Hydrological Sciences Branch (MC 974) at NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA, and the Department of Geo-Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Earth and Life Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

The full text of this thesis and additional background information are available on the internet at http://www.geo.vu.nl/∼geomil

Retrieval of land surface parameters using passive microwave remote sensing.
Richard de Jeu, Ph.D. Thesis Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam.

ISBN 09-9016430-8
NUR-code: 934

Subject headings:
Passive Microwave Remote Sensing / SMMR / Soil Moisture / Soil Temperature / Vegetation Indices

This thesis was printed by: PrintPartners Ipskamp, Enschede, the Netherlands

VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT

Retrieval of Land Surface Parameters using Passive Microwave Remote Sensing

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor aan
de Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam,
op gezag van de rector magnificus
prof.dr. T. Sminia,
in het openbaar te verdedigen
ten overstaan van de promotiecommissie
van de faculteit der Aard- en Levenswetenschappen
op dinsdag 4 februari 2003 om 15.45 uur
in het auditorium van de universiteit,
De Boelelaan 1105

door

Richard Adrianus Maria de Jeu

geboren te Alkemade

promotor:	prof.dr. H.F. Vugts
copromotor:	dr. M. Owe

Contents

1 General Introduction **1**
- 1.1 Background . . . 1
- 1.2 Thesis Objective and Approach . . . 2
- 1.3 Thesis Outline . . . 2

2 Microwave Theory **3**
- 2.1 Introduction . . . 3
- 2.2 Dielectric Constant . . . 4
- 2.3 Soil Physical Properties . . . 5
- 2.4 Thermal Sampling Depth . . . 6
- 2.5 Surface Roughness . . . 7
- 2.6 Vegetation Effects . . . 8
- 2.7 Dependence of Polarization on Vegetation . . . 12
- 2.8 Atmosphere . . . 13

3 Description of Data Sets **15**
- 3.1 Satellite Data . . . 15
  - 3.1.1 Nimbus-SMMR . . . 15
  - 3.1.2 TRMM . . . 16
  - 3.1.3 NOAA-AVHRR . . . 17
- 3.2 Land Surface Data . . . 17
  - 3.2.1 Vegetation . . . 17
  - 3.2.2 Soil Properties . . . 18
  - 3.2.3 Soil Temperature . . . 19
  - 3.2.4 Soil Moisture . . . 20
- 3.3 Atmospheric Data . . . 22
  - 3.3.1 Precipitation . . . 22
  - 3.3.2 Clouds . . . 22

4 Derivation of Surface Soil Temperatures **25**
- 4.1 Introduction . . . 25
- 4.2 Atmospheric Effects . . . 26
- 4.3 Surface Soil Temperature Model . . . 30

4.4 Validation of the Model . . . 33
4.5 Results . . . 34
4.6 Conclusions and Discussion . . . 36

5 Retrieval of Soil Moisture and Vegetation Optical Depth 37
5.1 Introduction . . . 37
5.2 Modelling Approach . . . 38
5.3 Results . . . 42
5.4 Summary and Conclusions . . . 44

6 Limitations of the Land Surface Parameter Retrievals 49
6.1 Introduction . . . 49
6.2 Error Propagation Analysis . . . 50
6.2.1 Theory . . . 50
6.2.2 Application to the Test Sites . . . 51
6.3 Masking Routine . . . 56
6.3.1 General . . . 56
6.3.2 Snow and Frozen Soil . . . 56
6.3.3 Vegetation . . . 56
6.4 Conclusions . . . 58

7 Land Surface Parameters derived from 18 GHz 59
7.1 Introduction . . . 59
7.2 Model Modification . . . 60
7.3 Results . . . 61
7.3.1 Vegetation . . . 61
7.3.2 Soil Moisture . . . 61
7.3.3 Interrelationships and Errors . . . 61
7.4 Conclusions and Recommendations . . . 62

8 Applications 67
8.1 Introduction . . . 67
8.2 Global Vegetation Monitoring . . . 67
8.2.1 Introduction . . . 67
8.2.2 Global Vegetation Mapping . . . 68
8.2.3 Conclusions . . . 74
8.3 Soil Moisture Dynamics . . . 75
8.3.1 Introduction . . . 75
8.3.2 The Indian Monsoon . . . 75
8.3.3 Annual Soil Moisture Changes versus Monsoon Circulation . . . 78
8.3.4 Conclusions . . . 78
8.4 Time Series Analysis on the Surface Soil Temperatures . . . 79
8.4.1 Introduction . . . 79
8.4.2 Time Series Analysis . . . 79
8.4.3 Application to the Continental United States . . . 82

9 Summary and Conclusions **87**
9.1 General . . . 87
9.2 Soil Temperature . . . 88
9.3 Soil Moisture and Vegetation Optical Depth . . . 88
9.4 Model Sensitivity . . . 89
9.5 Wavelength Effects . . . 90
9.6 Applications . . . 90
9.7 Follow-up Studies . . . 91

10 Samenvatting en Conclusies **93**
10.1 Algemeen . . . 93
10.2 Bodemtemperatuur . . . 94
10.3 Bodemvocht en Vegetatie . . . 94
10.4 Gevoeligheid van het Model . . . 95
10.5 Golflengte Effecten . . . 96
10.6 Toepassingen . . . 96
10.7 Vervolgstudies . . . 97

Bibliography **99**

Appendix A: Wang-Schmugge Model **109**

Appendix B: Acronyms and Symbols **111**

Acknowledgements **115**

Publications and Reports **117**

List of Figures

2.1 Comparison of horizontal and vertical polarized emissivity calculated for an average soil at the SMMR wavelength and incidence angle with no canopy cover. 4
2.2 Comparison of the soil dielectric constants for typical sand, loam, and clay soils. The real part is designated by ε' and the imaginary part by ε''. 6
2.3 Horizontal polarized brightness temperature calculated for a range of roughness values using Equation 2.4. 7
2.4 Schematic representation of the partitioning of microwave radiation from a vegetation covered surface in terms of the brightness temperature (From Van de Griend and Owe (1993)). 9
2.5 The effect of the vegetation optical depth on the emissivity. At H-polarization, the sensitivity of the above canopy emissivity is severly reduced at an optical depth of about 0.75 ($\Gamma = 0.3$). 10
2.6 The effect of the single scattering albedo on the soil moisture brightness temperature relationship. 11
2.7 Locations of SMMR footprints used to calculate the vertical and horizontal optical depth at saturated conditions. 12
2.8 Relationship between vertical and horizontal optical depth. 13

3.1 Location maps of the field stations. Figure (A) is a global overview, (B) shows the U.S. sites, (C) the African site and (D) the Eurasian sites. 23

4.1 Time series of the brightness temperature ratio (-o-) at different wavelengths and the precipitable water (-) for the Mongolia test site 27
4.2 Time series of the brightness temperature ratio (-o-) at different wavelengths and the precipitable water (-) for the Libya test site 28
4.3 Time series of the vertical (top) and horizontal (bottom) emissivity at 37 GHz (-o-) and the precipitable water (-) for the Mongolia test site . 29
4.4 Time series of the vertical (top) and horizontal (bottom) emissivity at 37 GHz (-o-) and the precipitable water (-) for the Libya test site . . . 30
4.5 Relation between the vertical polarized brightness temperature at 37 GHz and the soil temperature at 5 cm depth for different soil moisture conditions. Note that the influence of soil moisture on the linear relationship does have a random character. 31

4.6 The relationship between soil temperature at 5 cm depth and 1.25 cm depth according to field observations in Botswana and the U.S. (BARC) and model simulations (between the two dotted lines). 32
4.7 Average monthly composites of 1983 of day-time (A, C, E, and G) and night-time (B, D, F, and H) soil temperature at 5 cm depth of North America. A and B represent January, C and D April, E and F July and G and H October. The snow cover is also included and is indicated with black dots. 35

5.1 The theoretical relationship between MPDI and the vegetation optical depth for a range of soil dielectric constants ($h = 0$, $\omega = 0.06$, and $u = 50.3°$). Typical soil moisture values of 0 m^3 m^{-3}, 0.18 m^3 m^{-3}, 0.26 m^3 m^{-3}, 0.34 m^3 m^{-3}, and 0.41 m^3 m^{-3} would correspond to dielectric constants of k = 3, 8, 13, 18, and 23. 39
5.2 A graphical presentation of the relationship between the absolute value of the dielectric constant of the soil (k) and the fitting parameters C_1, C_2, C_3 and C_4. 40
5.3 Verification comparing the MPDI-optical depth relationship derived from the radiative transfer equation to calculation from simulated data ($h = 0$, $\omega = 0.06$, and $u = 50.3°$). 41
5.4 A simplified diagram of the soil moisture retrieval methodology 42
5.5 Time series of satellite derived day-time ($\circ$) and night-time ($+$) soil moisture and ground observations ($*$) for the test sites. For comparison the precipitation is included for the American sites. 46
5.6 Time series of satellite derived day-time ($\circ$) and night-time ($+$) vegetation optical depth and NDVI ($-$) for the test sites. For comparison the precipitation is included for the American sites. 47

6.1 The standard deviation of the soil moisture as related the vegetation optical depth. Note the different relations for different moisture regimes 52
6.2 The Coefficient of Variation (CV) of the soil moisture as related to the vegetation optical depth. The relationship is separated in two different soil moisture classes, where (A) represents the dry soils with soil moisture values below 0.2 m^3 m^{-3} and (B) represents the wet soils with soil moisture values between 0.2 and 0.5 m^3 m^{-3}. In Figure A the thick dots represent dry soils with soil moisture values below 0.1 m^3 m^{-3} and the thin dots soil moisture values between 0.1 and 0.2 m^3 m^{-3}. 53
6.3 The standard deviation of the vegetation optical depth as related to the soil moisture. Note the different relations for different vegetation types. 54
6.4 The coefficient of variation of the vegetation optical depth as related to the soil moisture. The relationship is separated in two different vegetation classes, where (A) represents sparse vegetation with optical depth values below 0.4, and (B) represents dense vegetation with optical depth values between 0.4 and 0.8. 55

7.1 Time series of the soil moisture from 6.6 GHz and 18 GHz for the 5 test sites. 63
7.2 Time series of the vegetation optical depth derived from 6.6 GHz and 18 GHz for the 5 test site. 64
7.3 The soil moisture derived from the 6.6 GHz signals versus the soil moisture from 18 GHz. $R^2 = 0.60$, S.E.E. $= 0.06$ m^3 m^{-3} for 1614 (n) points. 65
7.4 The vegetation optical depth derived from the 6.6 GHz signals versus the vegetation optical depth from 18 GHz. The dotted line indicates the 1:1 relation and the solid line the regression line. $R^2 = 0.81$, S.E.E. $= 0.06$ for 1614 (n) points. 65
7.5 The standard deviation of the vegetation optical depth as related to the soil moisture. These values are derived from the 5 test sites with the 18 GHz brightness temperatures. 66
7.6 The standard deviation of the soil moisture as related to the vegetation optical depth. These values are derived from the 5 test sites with the 18 GHz brightness temperatures. 66

8.1 A monthly composite of the vegetation optical depth for Australia during July 1983. This image clearly illustrates the boundary effect with the masked pixels (gray) along the coastlines and surrounding Lake Eyre in the south central site of Australia. 69
8.2 Global monthly composites of vegetation optical depth (A and B), NDVI (C and D), and LAI (E and F) representing January, and April respectively for 1983. Missing data are represented by the color gray . 70
8.3 Global monthly composites of vegetation optical depth (A and B), NDVI (C and D), and LAI (E and F) representing July, and October respectively for 1983. Missing data are represented by the color gray . 71
8.4 The land cover classification map developed by Defries and Townshend (1994) 72
8.5 Mean monthly precipitation (A, B, C, G, H and I) and soil moisture (D, E, F, J, K and L) composites from the Indian peninsula of 1983. This Figure represents the first six months. 76
8.6 Mean monthly precipitation (A, B, C, G, H and I) and soil moisture (D, E, F, J, K and L) composites from the Indian peninsula of 1983. This Figure represents the last six months. 77
8.7 Results of a statistical time series analysis of surface soil temperature (5 cm) retrievals from satellite microwave observations for the continental U.S. during the period 1978 to 1987. The left and right panels correspond with day-time and night-time observations respectively. Maps A and B illustrate the 9-year average temperature in Kelvin; C and D the seasonal amplitude of the mean monthly annual course in Kelvin; E and F the phase-shift (time of peak) with the unit being the date; G and H the long term trend (slope) in Kelvin per year. Five pixels (numbered) were selected for more detailed analysis. 80

8.8 Three thematic maps of the U.S. obtained from the LDAS web site (http://ldas.gsfc.nasa.gov/). These maps are a (A) vegetation classification map, (B) classification map of the soil texture type, and (C) elevation map. The description of the soil and vegetation classes can be found in Table 8.2. 81
8.9 Difference (in days) between the night-time (Figure 8.7 F) and daytime (Figure 8.7 E) phase-shift of the soil temperature at 5 cm depth. 82
8.10 The time series of the soil surface temperature for the 5 selected pixels (as marked in Figure 8.7). The circles in combination with the dashed line represent the satellite derived monthly average T_s values at 5 cm depth, and the solid line is the time series analysis model. 83

List of Tables

3.1 Characteristics of the SMMR instrument. 16
3.2 Characteristics of the extracted Oklahoma Mesonet field stations. . . . 19

5.1 Polynomial parameters that describe the relation between the absolute value of the dielectric constant of the soil (k) and the fitting parameters C_1,C_2, C_3 and C_4 (See Equation 5.3). 40

6.1 Contribution of the input parameters in the total standard deviations of the soil moisture and vegetation optical depth. Note, the soil moisture values (θ) are in m^3 m^{-3}. 57

8.1 Median vegetation optical depth (τ), NDVI, and LAI values for different land covers derived from the Mean, Maximum and Minimum monthly images of 1983 73
8.2 Description of the soil and vegetation classes, as given in Figure 8.8. . 81