



University of Groningen

Targeting skeletal muscle tissue oxygenation (StO) in adults with severe sepsis and septic shock

Nardi, Olivier; Zavala, Elizabeth; Martin, Claude; Nanas, Serafim; Scheeren, TWL; Polito, Andrea; Borrat, Xavi; Annane, Djillali

Published in: BMJ Open

DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-017581

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2018

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Nardi, O., Zavala, E., Martin, C., Nanas, S., Scheeren, T., Polito, A., ... Annane, D. (2018). Targeting skeletal muscle tissue oxygenation (StO) in adults with severe sepsis and septic shock: a randomised controlled trial (OTO-StS Study). BMJ Open, 8(3), [e017581]. DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-017581

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

BMJ Open Targeting skeletal muscle tissue oxygenation (StO₂) in adults with severe sepsis and septic shock: a randomised controlled trial (OTO-StS Study)

Olivier Nardi,¹ Elizabeth Zavala,² Claude Martin,³ Serafim Nanas,⁴ Thomas Scheeren,^{5,6} Andrea Polito,¹ Xavi Borrat,² Djillali Annane¹

ABSTRACT

Objective Evaluation of the ratio of oxyhaemoglobin to total haemoglobin in skeletal muscle (StO_2) using near-infrared spectroscopy may aid in the monitoring of patients with sepsis. This study assessed the benefits and risks of targeting StO_2 in adults with severe sepsis or septic shock.

Design A European randomised controlled trial was performed on two parallel groups.

Setting Five intensive care units (ICU) in France, Greece, Spain and Germany were used for the study.

Participants A total of 103 adults with severe sepsis or septic shock on ICU admission were randomised (54 subjects in the experimental arm and 49 subjects in the control arm).

Interventions Haemodynamic management using an algorithm that was adapted from the 2004 Surviving Sepsis Campaign guidelines with (experimental arm) or without (control arm) targeting an StO, value greater than 80% at a minimum of two different sites. **Outcomes** The primary outcome was a composite: 7-day all-cause mortality or worsening of organ function, defined as a positive difference in Sepsis-related Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score between day 7 and randomisation (ie, delta SOFA >0). Secondary endpoints: 30-day mortality, duration of mechanical ventilation and vasopressor therapy up to 30 days from randomisation. Results The study ended prematurely due to lack of funding after enrolment of 103/190 patients. Eighteen patients (33.3%) in the experimental arm and 14 (28.6%, P=0.67) in the control arm died or exhibited delta SOFA >0 on day 7. The mean number of days on mechanical ventilation was 12.2±10.6 in the experimental group and 7.6±7.9 in the control group (P=0.03). Thirty-one (57%) patients in the experimental arm and 14 (29%) patients in the control arm received red cells by day 7 (P=0.01).

Conclusion Despite the limitation related to premature termination, this study provides no data to support the routine implementation of resuscitation protocols incorporating $StO_2 > 80\%$ at two or more muscle sites as a target. StO_2 -guided therapy may be associated with prolonged use of mechanical ventilation and an increased number of red blood cell transfusions. **Trial registration number** NCT00167596; Results.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study is the first randomised trial of near-infrared spectroscopy-derived StO₂-guided resuscitation in sepsis or septic shock.
- The trial was performed in five major intensive care units in four European countries.
- High standards to limit the risk of selection, such as consensus definition for sepsis and appropriate allocation concealment, and performance biases, including strict implementation of the 2004 Surviving Sepsis Campaign guidelines were used.
- Study limitations included the lack of assessor blinding for the primary outcome and its premature termination, which reduced the power.

INTRODUCTION

Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) is based on the capacity of different chromophores in tissues to absorb light in the 700-1000 nm wavelength range. Analysis of the light emitted and received provides a non-invasive and continuous semiquantitative calculation of the ratio of oxyhaemoglobin to total haemoglobin in skeletal muscle (ie, the tissue oxygen saturation or skeletal muscle tissue oxygenation). Basal StO₉ values of 86%±6% were reported in the thenar prominence (Thenar-StO₉) in healthy volunteers. Evaluation of StO₉ using NIRS may aid in the monitoring of patients with sepsis or septic shock.¹² A low StO₂ value during sepsis may be associated with poor clinical outcomes^{3–6} and reflect altered microcirculatory perfusion.⁷ StO_{2} values under 75% in septic patients were associated with poor outcomes.^{1 3–5} However, there is important overlap between pathological values and the values obtained under normal conditions.¹ The 2004 guidelines for the management of sepsis recommended early quantitative resuscitation protocolised care based on a set of central haemodynamic

To cite: Nardi O, Zavala E, Martin C, *et al.* Targeting skeletal muscle tissue oxygenation (StO₂) in adults with severe sepsis and septic shock: a randomised controlled trial (OTO-StS Study). *BMJ Open* 2018;**8**:e017581. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2017-017581

► Prepublication history and additional material for this paper is available online. To view please visit the journal (http://dx.doi.org 10.1136/ bmjopen-2017-017581).

Received 2 May 2017 Revised 16 December 2017 Accepted 18 December 2017

(Check for updates

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to Djillali Annane; djillali.annane@aphp.fr targets, including mean arterial pressure (MAP), heart rate, urinary output, central venous pressure (CVP) and global indices of tissue hypoperfusion (ie, central venous oxygen saturation and/or lactate clearance).8 9 The Surviving Sepsis Campaign guidelines do not include recommendations for monitoring or targeting the microcirculation, but interventions, such as inotropes and blood products transfusion, may affect sepsis survival.^{8–11} Some patients continue to exhibit altered microcirculation, for example, low StO₉ associated with poor clinical outcomes, despite completion of early quantitative protocolised care.⁶ Our previous pilot study evaluated the feasibility of targeting the microcirculation via monitoring of StO₉ at multiple sites in patients who completed a 6-hour bundle of the Surviving Sepsis Campaign.¹² The present European, multicentre, randomised trial (the Optimization of Tissue Oxygenation-StO₉ in Sepsis Study) assessed the benefits and risks of targeting StO₉ in adults with severe sepsis who were managed according to the 6-hour bundle of the 2004 Surviving Sepsis Campaign. Our hypothesis was that StO₂ evaluation would aid in the detection of patients who remained under-resuscitated after completion of early goal-directed therapy, and further StO₂-guided haemodynamic treatment may improve their clinical outcomes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Study design

The ethics committees of the participating institutions in France (n=2), Greece (n=1), Spain (n=1) and Germany (n=1) approved the protocol for this multicentre, randomised, non-blinded phase II/III trial. Recruitment into the trial began in February 2006 and ended in May 2009. An independent safety, efficacy and data-monitoring committee reviewed the study protocol prior to the initiation of recruitment and periodically reviewed the accumulated study data. All authors contributed to the design of the study, recruitment of patients, and data collection and interpretation. The sponsor had no role in the design or conduct of the study, in the collection, management, analysis, or interpretation of the data, or the preparation, review, approval or submission of the manuscript. This trial is registered at ClinicalTrials.gov under NCT00167596.

Patients

Patients with suspected or confirmed sources of infection were considered for enrolment in this study if they were 18 years of age or older, admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU), met two or more of the Systemic Immune Response Syndrome criteria¹³ and exhibited at least one of the following signs of tissue hypoperfusion: (1) a systolic blood pressure of 90 mm Hg or less; (2) arterial lactate level of 4 mmol/L or more; (3) mottled skin; (4) urine output below 30 mL/hour for at least 1 hour; and (5) altered mental status. The time window for inclusion was less than 8 hours from the onset of the first sign of

hypoperfusion. Patients younger than 18 years, pregnant women, brain-dead patients and patients who decided to withhold or withdraw from life-supporting treatments were not eligible.

Randomisation (1:1 ratio) was stratified according to the study centre and balanced by blocks of 4 using a computerised random number generator list provided by an independent statistician. Sequentially numbered, sealed and opaque envelopes were used. Each envelope was assigned to a patient and opened only after the investigator wrote the patient's information on it and faxed the signed inclusion sheet with the patient's randomisation details to the coordinating centre. This procedure allowed for the monitoring of treatment allocation to unique patients in an appropriate order.

Interventions

Subjects were managed using an algorithm that was adapted from the 2004 Surviving Sepsis Campaign guidelines.¹⁴ Briefly, patients received 500 mL of crystalloids or colloids every 30 min until their CVP was between 8 and 12mm Hg. Vasopressor therapy (norepinephrine or epinephrine) was initiated if the MAP remained lower than 65 mm Hg, using titration until an MAP of 65-80mm Hg was reached. Packed red blood cells were transfused if the central venous oxygen saturation $(ScvO_{o})$ was lower than 70% and haematocrit levels were lower than 30%. Dobutamine was initiated at a dose of $2.5 \,\mu g/kg/min$ if the ScvO₂ was lower than 70% and the haematocrit levels were higher than 30%. Dobutamine was titrated in incremental steps of 2.5 µg/kg/min every 30 min until the ScvO₉ level was 70% or greater without exceeding an infusion rate of 20 µg/kg/min. The dobutamine infusion rate was kept constant as soon as all of the haemodynamic goals were achieved or decreased whenever the heart rate exceeded 120/min. The CVP, MAP and ScvO₉ were optimised in the StO₉ arm, and the interventions (figure 1) targeted StO_a values of 80% or greater in at least two muscular sites in the thenar, masseter and deltoid areas.

ScvO, and StO, recordings

All patients had an arterial line placed in the radial or femoral artery and a catheter in the superior vena cava. The ScvO₉ and StO₂ levels were recorded at baseline (H0) and at H2, H4, H6 and H24 following randomisation. StO₂ was recorded using an InSpectra Tissue Spectrometer (InSpectra 650 StO₉ Tissue Oxygenation Monitor, Hutchinson Technology, MN, USA) and 25 mm probes placed over the left thenar eminence (Thenar-StO₉), left masseter muscle (Masseter-StO₉) and left deltoid muscle (Deltoid-StO₉) as described by Colin *et al.*³ Measurements of the Thenar-StO₉ ipsilateral to a radial arterial line were collected. The StO₉ values were sequentially collected after 2 min of stable recording in the masseter, deltoid and thenar areas. Calibration of the spectrometer was performed once before monitoring as recommended by the



Figure 1 Haemodynamic treatment algorithm. CVP, central venous pressure; Ht, haematocrit; MBP, mean blood pressure.

manufacturer. Adhesive shields were kept in place throughout the duration of the experiments. The StO_2 was recorded in the control group and masked throughout the study period to the investigators and medical and nursing staff members.

Data collection and follow-up

The patients' prior locations (ie, community, hospital or long-term care facility), Knaus categorisation of health status,¹⁵ McCabe class,¹⁶ severity of illness as assessed by vital signs, Simplified Acute Physiology Score II,¹⁷ Sepsis-related Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score,¹⁸ type and dose of any intervention, routine laboratory data, arterial blood lactates and cultures of samples collected at any suspected site of infection were recorded on admission.

The vital status of the patients was followed up to 30 days from randomisation. The type and dose of any intervention, ScvO_2 , and StO_2 at H0, H2, H4, H6 and H24 were systematically recorded during the first 24 hours after randomisation. The SOFA score was recorded each morning from randomisation to day 7 of the study.

Endpoints

The primary outcome was a composite endpoint of all-cause mortality on day 7 and deterioration in organ function as defined by a positive difference in the SOFA score between study day 7 and baseline (ie, delta SOFA >0).

The secondary endpoints included 30-day all-cause mortality, duration of mechanical ventilation and the use of vasopressors within 30 days after randomisation. Outcomes were assessed in a non-blinded manner.

Statistical analysis

Sample size

A total of 95 patients must be included in each arm to achieve a statistical power of 80%, considering that an absolute 20% difference in the main criterion would be clinically significant and the main criterion would be reached in 50% of the patients in the control group. However, the study ended after inclusion of 54 patients in the experimental group and 49 patients in the control group, which reduced the study power. The corresponding power to detect a 20% absolute difference if the main criterion was reached in 50% of the patients in the control group was only 54%.

Descriptive statistics

Continuous variables are reported as the means plus or minus SD or medians and IQR in cases of non-normality in variable distribution. Categorical variables are reported as numbers and percentages.

Comparative analyses

We performed intent-to-treat analysis after completion of the last follow-up of the last recruited patients. We did not plan interim analyses. We did not plan formal statistical comparisons of baseline characteristics because of the randomisation of treatment allocation. The primary outcome (composite endpoint of all-cause mortality on day 7 and deterioration in organ function as defined by a delta SOFA >0) was compared between groups by χ^2 tests, and relative risk and absolute difference with 95% CIs were computed. Comparisons of secondary outcomes (duration of treatment with vasopressors, duration of mechanical ventilation and mortality on day 30) were performed using χ^2 tests, and relative risks with 95% CIs were computed. Survival curves until day 30 were created using the Kaplan-Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. We also performed post hoc statistical analyses to compute univariate and multivariate ORs of mechanical ventilation on day 7. All tests were two sided, and the differences were significant when P values were lower than 0.05.

RESULTS

Patients

The sponsor of this study withdrew support for the trial in May 2009 as a consequence of the global economic crisis. The trial was stopped as a result of the cessation of funding. A total of 103 patients (54% of the 190 planned) were enrolled at the study termination.

A total of 555 patients were screened for enrolment in this study from February 2006 to May 2009. A total of 103 of the 143 eligible patients, or their next of kin, provided written informed consent. Fifty-four patients were randomly assigned to the experimental arm, and 49 patients were assigned to the control arm (figure 2). Patient characteristics and demographics of the two groups were well matched at baseline (table 1). The lungs were the most common source of infection (52.5%), and 16% of the patients exhibited positive blood cultures. Eighty-six per cent of the patients in the StO₂ group and 85% in the control group were on mechanical ventilation at inclusion.

Resuscitation endpoints

Only 27 (26%) patients, 15 in the experimental arm and 12 in the control arm, exhibited ScvO_2 values below 70% at baseline (table 2). In contrast, 67 (65%) patients, 32 in the experimental arm and 35 in the control arm, exhibited StO_2 values less than 80% at two or more muscular sites. No significant differences between the two study groups were observed in any of the haemodynamic variables or lactate levels during the first 6 hours after randomisation (table 2). The interventions delivered to the patients in the experimental arm did not produce the intended effect on StO_2 , with almost one-fourth of the patients unable to achieve the target of $\text{StO}_2 > 80\%$ at ≥ 2 sites (table 2).

Primary endpoint

There were 18 (33.3%) patients who died or had a delta SOFA >0 in the experimental arm on day 7 compared with 14 (28.6%) patients in the control arm (absolute difference 4.8%; 95% CI -0.131 to 0.226; P=0.67) (table 3). There were nine (16.7%) deaths in the experimental arm and nine (18.4%) deaths in the control arm (absolute difference: 17%; 95% CI -0.13 to 0.17; P=0.69).

Secondary endpoints

There were 32 (31.1%) deaths at study day 30, 17 (31.5%) in the experimental arm and 15 (30.6%) in the control arm (P=0.90) (table 3 and figure 3). There were no significant differences in the time on vasopressor therapy between groups $(5.8\pm13.5 \text{ days in the experimental group})$ vs 4.1±5.8 days in the control group, P=0.40). Thirty-one (57%) patients in the experimental arm and 14 (29%)in the control arm received red cells by day 7 (P=0.01, table 3). There was a trend towards higher doses of dobutamine in the experimental group at day 1 (P=0.08, table 3). The mean number of days on mechanical ventilation up to 30 days was 12.2±10.6 days in the experimental group and 7.6 \pm 7.9 days in the control group (P=0.03) (online supplementary figure 1). Thirty-six (66.7%) and 17 (35.5%) patients were on mechanical ventilation in the experimental and control groups, respectively, on





Figure 2 Study flow diagram.

day 7 (P<0.01, OR 3.3, 95% CI 1.2 to 8.7). This difference remained significant after adjustments for age and red cells transfusion (OR 2.9, 95% CI 1.1 to 8.1) There was no significant difference between groups for CVP, systolic blood pressure or heart rate at H6 (table 2).

DISCUSSION

This trial did not find any evidence for a benefit in survival or organ function from an NIRS-derived StO_2 -guided early goal-directed therapy. There was some evidence that the experimental algorithm of resuscitation was associated with prolonged mechanical ventilation, more blood transfusion and more use of inotropes.

The trial was terminated after the enrolment of 103 patients because of cessation in financial support. This decision was made because the 2009 global economic crisis strongly affected the trial sponsor, Hutchinson Technology. Thereafter, it was not possible to obtain the appropriate probes for StO_2 monitoring, and the clinical research personnel who assisted with data management were not available. We obtained access to the database in March 2010, and the final statistical report was available before the end of 2011. Thereafter, the study chair (DA) worked for the French government as chief counsellor for the Minister of Health from May 2012 to May 2017, and he could not publish any paper in partnership

with health product companies. The direction of the point estimate for the primary outcome did not favour the experimental intervention, and there was a strong indication for harm in the analysis of the secondary outcomes, despite the premature termination of the trial. Trials stopped prematurely for efficacy may result in an overestimation of the effects of an experimental intervention,¹⁹ but this trial was stopped as a consequence of the global economic crisis and not because of treatment efficacy.

This study was the first multicentre randomised trial of NIRS-derived StO₉-guided resuscitation in sepsis or septic shock. We found no evidence to support the addition of muscle StO₂ as a surrogate of microcirculation evaluation in the early phase of haemodynamic management of patients with sepsis.⁸ There was no gold standard for microcirculation available to compare our StO₂-guided strategy. We evaluated a clinical algorithm that included targeting StO₉ above 80% in at least two different sites. Therefore, the present study did not evaluate the performance of StO₉ for the diagnosis of microcirculatory dysfunction, but it evaluated the downstream consequences of the use of StO₉ on clinical outcomes. The experimental strategy of targeting muscle StO₉ produced no survival benefit and no evidence in favour of the prevention or hastening of the resolution of organ dysfunction.

Table 1 Main characteristics of the 103 patients				
Variable	Experimental arm	Control arm		
Expected number of patients	s 95	95		
Actual number of patients	54	49		
Age (year)	65.6±16	60.8±18		
Sex (%)				
Female	33.3	32.7		
Male	66.6	67.3		
BMI (kg/m²)	25±3	24±4		
McCabe score (%)				
No underlying or non-fatal disease	66.6	71.4		
Fatal disease (<5 years)	25.9	26.5		
Rapidly fatal disease (<1 y	ear) 5.5	0		
Knaus				
No limitation	44.4	40.8		
Moderate activity limitation	n 25.9	28.6		
Severe activity limitation	16.7	26.5		
Bedridden patient	13.0	4.1		
SAPSII	48±23	51±18		
SOFA on ICU admission	10±4	9±4		
Source of infection (%)				
Lung	51.9	53.1		
Abdominal	20.4	14.3		
Urogenital	14.8	18.3		
Sepsis type (%)				
Nosocomial	35.2	42.9		
Community acquired	64.8	57.1		
Positive blood culture (%)	14.8	16.3		
Corticosteroids, n (%)	31 (57)	26 (53)		
Activated protein C treatmer n (%)	nt, 8 (15)	3 (6)		

The plus-minus values are the means±SDs.

BMI, body mass index; ICU, intensive care unit; SAPSII, Simplified Acute Physiology Score II; SOFA, Sepsis-related Organ Failure Assessment.

The experimental algorithm in this trial was similar to previously described algorithms for early goal-directed therapy.²⁰ The experimental algorithm was associated with prolonged mechanical ventilation and a greater number of patients requiring blood product transfusions and dobutamine infusion. Both interventions may improve the microcirculatory function of patients who are critically ill with sepsis,^{10 11} but the resuscitation algorithm failed to normalise muscle StO_2 in most patients. However, the aggressive use of dobutamine²¹ and red cell transfusion²² is also associated with poor outcomes in ICU patients. The benefits of targeting muscle StO_2 via interventions other than dobutamine and blood products

deserve further investigation. The concept of early goal-directed therapy was challenged in three large sepsis trials.^{23–25} The results reflect that the global management of patients has substantially changed since the landmark study of Rivers *et al.*²⁰ However, the treatment strategies tested in these trials did not include microcirculation as a target. Therefore, the recent challenge of early goal-directed therapy may not affect the results of our study.

The inclusion of muscle StO_2 targets in the quantitative resuscitation protocolised care was associated with prolonged mechanical ventilation, which may have at least partially resulted from increased blood transfusions.²² Notably, the weaning of patients from mechanical ventilation followed current guidelines in both trial arms. This result encourages the monitoring of ventilation length in further studies.

We chose to target skeletal muscle StO_2 values of 80% or greater because this cut-off corresponded to the lower limit of the 95% CI in healthy volunteers and discriminated between sepsis survivors and non-survivors.²⁶

We used the commonly accepted NIRS technology to measure $\text{StO}_2^{5\ 6}$ and recorded StO_2 from the three different areas to obtain a broader evaluation of tissue oxygenation.^{12 27} We used steady-state StO_2 values rather than values obtained during vascular occlusion tests. The assessment of dynamic changes in StO_2 during and immediately after limb ischaemia may be more sensitive than steady-state StO_2 values to detect the extent of microcirculation dysfunction during sepsis.^{28 29} However, vascular occlusion test results may depend on the probe and site of measurement,³⁰ and these measurements are cumbersome and inconvenient for serial assessments at very short intervals.^{31 32} The vascular occlusion test cannot be used to assess muscle StO_2 at multiple sites.

A major objective of the initial resuscitation of patients with sepsis is to prevent the deterioration of organ function. The SOFA score is an effective method to describe organ dysfunction/failure in patients who are critically ill. Regular, repeated scoring enables effective monitoring of patient condition and disease development, and it may enable comparisons between patients who would benefit in clinical trials.³³

The delta SOFA score may be used to quantify the degree of dysfunction/failure already present on ICU admission, the degree of dysfunction/failure that appears during the ICU stay and the cumulative insult suffered by the patient. These properties make it a good instrument in the evaluation of organ dysfunction/failure.³⁴

Patients who exhibit a 1-point increase in SOFA score during the first days of ICU had death rates >50%, which is markedly higher than patients in whom the SOFA score decreased by 1 point (mortality 23%) or remained unchanged (mortality 31%).³⁵

The overall recruitment rate of this study was low, primarily because most patients entered the ICU beyond the 8-hour time window from sepsis onset. This trial found that approximately two-thirds of patients with sepsis/septic shock exhibited baseline StO₂ levels <80% at two sites, and

6

Actual number patientsActual number patientsBosenieHouse starts of two patientsVariable and patientsopatients0246Systelic blood pressure (nm Ha)5554112.26118.27120.23120.22Control arm9554102.5120.24117.23117.23P value54102.5120.24121.24114.4114.1Control arm955402.502.002.002.002.002.0P value54104.24104.4 <td< th=""><th colspan="5">Table 2 Vital signs, resuscitation goals</th></td<>	Table 2 Vital signs, resuscitation goals						
Variable and treatment group of patients patients 0 2 4 6 Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) 112±26 118±27 120±23 120±22 Control arm 95 49 115±29 120±24 16±23 117±23 P value 0.7 0.8 0.2 0.3 Control arm 95 54 10±5 11±4 11±4 11±4 Control arm 95 54 10±5 11±23 101±24 98±24 Control arm 95 54 103±24 91±24 101±24 101±24 101±24 P value 0.6 0.7 1 0.6 Control arm 95 54 6.6±10 6.4±9.6 7.3±11.7 7.6±12.9 Control arm 95 54 6.6±10 6.4±9.6 7.3±1.7 7.6±12.9 Control arm 95 54 180±105 193±114 199±105 207±112 Paulue <th></th> <th>Expected number</th> <th>Actual number of</th> <th>Baseline</th> <th>Hours after</th> <th>start of ther</th> <th>ару</th>		Expected number	Actual number of	Baseline	Hours after	start of ther	ару
Systelic blood pressure (mm Hg) 95 54 112±26 118±27 120±28 120±22 Control arm 95 49 115±29 120±24 116±23 117±23 P value 0.7 0.6 0.2 0.3 Central venous pressure (mm Hg) 0.25 11±4 11±4 11±4 11±4 Control arm 95 54 10±50 11±4 11±4 11±4 P value 0.25 0.2 0.2 0.2 P value 0.55 54 10±23 101±24 9±24 Control arm 95 54 10±23 101±24 9±24 Control arm 95 54 0.6±0 6.4±0.6 6.4±0.7 P value 0.9 0.9 0.6 0.7 1 0.6 Control arm 95 54 180±105 193±114 194±13 0.5 P value 0.6 1.92 194±13 0.5 10 0.5 SevOl_(%) <td< th=""><th>Variable and treatment group</th><th>of patients</th><th>patients</th><th>0</th><th>2</th><th>4</th><th>6</th></td<>	Variable and treatment group	of patients	patients	0	2	4	6
Experimental arm 95 54 112±26 118±27 120±23 120±23 120±23 Control arm 95 49 115±29 120±24 116±23 117±23 Experimental arm 95 54 10±5 11±4 11±4 11±4 Control arm 95 54 10±5 11±4 11±4 10±4 P value 0.25 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 Heart rate (beats/min) Experimental arm 95 54 105±25 101±23 101±24 98±24 Control arm 95 49 103±24 99±24 101±24 104±24 P value 0.7 1 0.6 1 0.6 1 0.6 1 0.6 1 0.6 1 0.6 1 0.6 1 0.6 1.7 1.6 ±12.9 1.6 1.1 0.9 0.7 1.1 0.6 1.7 1.2 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1	Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)						
Control arm 95 49 15.29 120.24 164.23 17.23 P value 0.7 0.6 0.3 0.3 Control varous pressure (rm Hg) Experimental arm 95 54 10±5 11±4 11±4 11±4 Control arm 95 49 9±4 10±4 10±4 0.24 P value 0.5 0.2 0.2 0.2 Heart rate (beats/min) 0.5 0.2 0.2 Control arm 95 54 105±25 101±24 10±24 9±24 Control arm 95 54 0.6±0 0.7 1 0.5 Lactates (mmol/L) 54 6.6±10 6.4±9.6 6.3±1.7 7.6±12.9 Control arm 95 54 6.6±10 6.3±8.5 6.3±8.6 6.8±2.9 P value 0.9 0.6 1.3±1.1 7.9±1.9 7.6±1.2 Control arm 95 54 180±105 <td< td=""><td>Experimental arm</td><td>95</td><td>54</td><td>112±26</td><td>118±27</td><td>120±23</td><td>120±22</td></td<>	Experimental arm	95	54	112±26	118±27	120±23	120±22
P value 0.7 0.6 0.2 0.3 Central venous pressure (mm Hg) Experimental arm 95 54 10±5 11±4 11±4 11±4 Control arm 95 49 9±4 10±4 10±4 10±4 P value 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 Experimental arm 95 54 10±23 101±24 98±24 Control arm 95 49 103±24 98±24 100±24 P value 0.6 0.7 1.8 0.6 Lactates (mmol/L) 0.6 0.7 3.8±.9 0.6 Lactates (mmol/L) Experimental arm 95 54 6.6±10 6.4±.9.6 7.3±1.7 7.5±12.9 Control arm 95 54 180±105 193±114 194±105 207±112 Control arm 95 54 180±105 193±114 194±105 207±112 Control arm 95 54 74.5±11 74±12 77±11 74±11	Control arm	95	49	115±29	120±24	116±23	117±23
Central venous pressure (mm Hg) U Experimental arm 95 54 10±5 11±4 11±4 11±4 P value 0.25 0.2 0.2 0.2 Heart rate (beats/min) 101±23 101±24 98±24 Control arm 95 54 105±25 101±23 101±24 98±24 Control arm 95 54 105±25 101±24 10±24 98±24 Control arm 95 54 0.6 0.7 1 0.6 Lactates (mon/L) 0.8 0.8 6.3±9.0 6.1±8.5 6.3±8.8 6.8±9.2 P value 0.9 0.8 0.7 112 0.6 P value 0.8 180±105 193±114 199±105 207±112 Control arm 95 54 180±105 193±114 199±105 207±112 Control arm 95 54 180±105 193±114 199±105 207±112	P value			0.7	0.6	0.2	0.3
Experimental arm 95 54 10±6 11±4 11±4 Control arm 95 49 9±4 10±4 10±4 10±4 P value 0.25 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 Heart rate (beats/min) Experimental arm 95 54 105±25 101±24 100±24 Control arm 95 49 0.6 0.7 1 0.6 P value 0.6 0.7 1 0.6 8.3±9.0 6.3±8.5 6.3±8.5 6.3±8.5 6.3±8.7 6.8±9.2 P value 0.9 0.9 0.6 0.7 1.9±113 7.5±12.9 Control arm 95 54 6.6±10 6.3±8.5 6.3±8.6 6.8±9.2 P value 0.9 0.6 0.7 193±124 194±113 Control arm 95 54 180±105 193±14 199±105 207±112 P value 0.6 1 0.9 0.5 0.6 1 0.9 0.5	Central venous pressure (mm Hg)						
Control arm 95 49 9±4 10±4 10±4 10±4 P value 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 Heart rate (beats/min)	Experimental arm	95	54	10±5	11±4	11±4	11±4
P value 0.25 0.2 0.2 Heart rate (beats/min) Experimental arm 95 54 105±25 101±23 101±24 98±24 Control arm 95 49 103±24 99±24 101±24 100±24 P value 0.6 0.7 1 0.6 Lactates (mmol/L) Experimental arm 95 54 6.6±10 6.4±0.6 7.3±11.7 7.6±12.9 Control arm 95 54 6.6±10 6.4±9.6 7.3±11.7 7.6±12.9 Control arm 95 54 180±105 193±141 199±105 207±112 Control arm 95 54 180±105 193±141 199±105 207±112 Control arm 95 54 180±105 193±124 194±113 194±105 207±112 P value 0.6 1 0.9 0.5 207±112 Control arm 95 54 7.5±11 76±12 77±11 P value 0.6 0.4 0.5	Control arm	95	49	9±4	10±4	10±4	10±4
Heart rate (basts/min) Experimental arm 95 54 105±25 101±24 98±24 Control arm 95 49 103±24 99±24 104±24 P value 0.6 0.7 1 0.6 Lactates (mmol/L) Experimental arm 95 54 6.6±10 6.4±.6 7.3±11.7 7.6±12.9 Control arm 95 54 6.6±10 6.4±.6 6.3±.8 6.8±.9.2 P value 0.9 0.8 0.48 6.3±.8 6.8±.9.2 P value 0.9 0.8 0.48 6.3±.8 6.8±.9.2 P value 10.9 0.9 0.8 0.7 124 194±113 P value 95 54 180±105 193±114 199±105 207±112 Control arm 95 54 180±105 193±124 194±113 P value 0.6 7.4±17 76±12 77±11 76±12 77±12 77±11 Control arm 95 54 70.5±11 76±12 77±12 75±11 76±12 72±10 71±10	P value			0.25	0.2	0.2	0.2
Experimental arm 95 54 105:25 101:23 101:24 98:24 Control arm 95 49 103:24 99:24 101:24 100:242 P value 0.6 0.7 1 0.6 Lactates (mmol/L) 5 54 6.6±10 6.4±9.6 7.3±11.7 7.6±12.9 Control arm 95 54 6.6±10 6.4±9.6 6.3±9.0 6.1±8.5 6.3±8.8 6.8±9.2 P value 95 54 6.0±10 6.9±10 199:105 207:112 Control arm 95 54 180:105 193:114 199:105 207:112 Control arm 95 54 180:110 193:127 193:124 194:113 P value 5 49 196:140 193:127 193:124 194:113 P value 95 54 74.5±11 76±12 77±12 77±11 Control arm 95 54 30 21 22 24 P value	Heart rate (beats/min)						
Control arm 95 49 103±24 9±24 101±24 100±24 P value 0.6 0.7 1 0.6 Lactates (mmol/L) Experimental arm 95 54 6.6±10 6.4±0.6 7.3±11.7 7.6±12.9 Control arm 95 49 6.3±0.0 6.1±8.5 6.3±8.0 6.8±9.2 P value 0.9 0.6 0.7 1 0.9 0.6 0.7 PaO/FIO_(mmHg) U 0.9 0.6 0.7 112.0 207±112 Control arm 95 54 198±105 193±114 199±105 207±112 Control arm 95 49 196±140 193±127 193±124 194±113 P value 0.6 1 0.9 0.5 ScvOg.(%) 7.4±10 74±10 74±10 74±10 74±10 0.2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Experimental arm	95	54	105±25	101±23	101±24	98±24
P value 0.6 0.7 1 0.6 Lactates (mmol/L) Experimental arm 95 54 6.4 0.4 7.3±11.7 7.6±12.9 Control arm 95 49 6.3±9.0 6.1±8.5 6.3±8.8 6.8±9.2 P value 0.9 0.9 0.6 0.7 1 Experimental arm 95 54 180±105 193±114 199±105 207±112 Control arm 95 54 180±105 193±114 199±105 207±112 P value - 0.6 1 0.9 0.5 ScvO ₂ (%) - - 6.4 17.4 17±12 7±112 Control arm 95 54 74.5±11 76±12 7±12 7±112 P value - - 6.4 9.5 22 2 ScvO ₂ <70% (%)	Control arm	95	49	103±24	99±24	101±24	100±24
Lactates (mmol/L) Experimental arm 95 54 66.±10 6.4±9.6 7.3±11.7 7.6±12.9 Control arm 95 49 6.3±9.0 6.1±8.5 6.3±8.8 6.8±9.2 P value 95 49 0.9 0.6 0.7 PaO_/FIO_ (mmHg) Experimental arm 95 54 180±105 193±114 199±105 207±112 Control arm 95 49 196±140 193±127 193±124 194±113 P value 0.6 193±127 193±124 194±113 P value 95 49 196±140 193±127 193±124 194±113 Control arm 95 54 74.5±11 76±12 77±12 77±11 Control arm 95 49 74±511 74±13 75±11 74±13 75±11 74±13 P value 95 49 75±11 74±13 75±11 74±13 75±11 74±13 P value 95 49 75±11 74±13 75±11 74±13 75±11 74±13 P value 95 49 75±11 74±13 75±11 74±13 75±11 74±13 P value 95 49 75±11 74±13 75±11 74±13 75±11 74±13 Control arm 95 54 92 72 26 22 22 P value 95 49 27 26 22 22 P value 95 49 174 26 22 22 P value 10.8 10 0.6 0.6 Masseter-StO_ (%) Experimental arm 95 54 91 70±19 72±18 73±18 71±20 Control arm 95 54 92 70±19 72±18 73±18 71±20 Control arm 95 54 92 67±2 66±26 62±28 P value 10.3 0.15 0.09 Masseter-StO_ (%) Experimental arm 95 54 92 70 83 65 74 P value 10.0 0.0 0.3 0.3 Masseter-StO_ (%) Experimental arm 95 54 92 70 83 0.2 Experimental arm 95 54 92 70 83 0.2 Masseter-StO_ (%) Experimental arm 95 54 92 70 83 0.2 Experimental arm 95 54 92 70 83 0.2 Masseter-StO_ (%) Experimental arm 95 54 92 59 51 63 Control arm 95 95 94 93 70 83 0.2 Masseter-StO_ (%) Experimental arm 95 95 94 93 95 0.2 Experimental arm 95 95 94 93 95 0.2 Experimental arm 95 95 94 93 0.2 Experimental arm 95 95 94 93 0.2 Masseter-StO_ (%) Experimental arm 95 95 94 93 0.2 Experimental arm 95 95 94 93 0.2 Experimental arm 95 95 94 94 0.2 Experimental arm 95 95 94 94 0.2 Experimental arm 95 95 94 94 0.2 Experimental arm 95 95 94 95 0.2 Experimental arm 95 95 94 95 0.2 Experimental arm 95 95 94 94 0.2 Experimental arm 95 95 94 95 0.5 Experimental arm 95 94 94 0.2 Experimental arm 95 95 94 95 0.5 Experimental arm 95 95 94 95 0.5 Experimental arm 95 95 94 9	P value			0.6	0.7	1	0.6
Experimental arm 95 54 6.6±10 6.4±9.6 7.3±11.7 7.6±12.9 Control arm 95 49 6.3±9.0 6.1±8.5 6.3±8.8 6.8±9.2 P value 0.9 0.9 0.6 0.7 PaO_/FIO_(mmHg) 193±114 199±105 207±112 Control arm 95 54 180±105 193±114 199±105 207±112 Control arm 95 54 180±105 193±114 199±105 207±112 Control arm 95 54 0.6 1 0.9 0.5 ScvOg (%) 7.4±13 76±12 77±12 77±11 Control arm 95 54 7.5±11 76±12 75±11 74±10 P value 0.6 0.4 0.5 0.2 ScvOg <70% (%)	Lactates (mmol/L)						
Control arm 95 49 6.3±9.0 6.1±8.5 6.3±8.8 6.8±9.2 P value 0.9 0.9 0.6 0.7 PaO_FFO_(mm Hg) value	Experimental arm	95	54	6.6±10	6.4±9.6	7.3±11.7	7.6±12.9
P value 0.9 0.6 0.7 PaO_/FiO_(mm Hg) Experimental arm 95 54 180±105 193±114 199±105 207±112 Control arm 95 49 196±140 193±127 193±124 194±113 P value 0.5 10 0.9 0.5 55 ScvO_(%) 7±11 76±12 77±12 77±11 Control arm 95 54 74.5±11 76±12 77±12 77±11 Control arm 95 49 75±11 74±13 75±11 74±10 P value 0.6 0.4 0.5 0.2 ScvO_2<70%(%)	Control arm	95	49	6.3±9.0	6.1±8.5	6.3±8.8	6.8±9.2
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ (nm Hg) Experimental arm 95 54 180±105 193±114 199±105 207±112 Control arm 95 49 196±140 193±127 193±124 194±113 P value 0.6 1 0.9 0.5 ScvO ₂ (%) 74.5±11 76±12 77±12 77±11 Control arm 95 54 75±11 74±13 75±11 74±10 P value 0.6 0.4 0.5 0.2 ScvO ₂ <70% (%)	P value			0.9	0.9	0.6	0.7
Experimental arm 95 54 180±105 193±114 199±105 207±112 Control arm 95 49 196±140 193±127 193±124 194±113 P value 0.6 1 0.9 0.5 ScvO ₂ (%) 74.5±11 76±12 77±12 77±11 Control arm 95 54 74.5±11 76±12 75±11 74±13 74±10 P value 75±11 74±13 75±11 74±12 74±10 P value 75±11 74±13 75±11 74±12 74±10 P value 75±11 74±13 75±11 74±12 74±10 ScvO ₂ <70% (%)	PaO_2/FiO_2 (mm Hg)						
Control arm 95 49 196±140 193±127 193±124 194±113 P value 0.6 1 0.9 0.5 ScvO2 (%) 1 0.9 0.5 Experimental arm 95 54 74.5±11 76±12 77±12 77±11 Control arm 95 49 75±11 76±12 77±12 71±10 P value 0.6 0.4 0.5 0.2 0.5 0.2 0.5 0.2 <t< td=""><td>Experimental arm</td><td>95</td><td>54</td><td>180±105</td><td>193±114</td><td>199±105</td><td>207±112</td></t<>	Experimental arm	95	54	180±105	193±114	199±105	207±112
P value 0.6 1 0.9 0.5 ScvO ₂ (%) Experimental arm 95 54 74.5±11 76±12 77±12 77±11 Control arm 95 49 75±11 74±13 75±11 74±10 P value 0.6 0.4 0.5 0.2 ScvO ₂ <70% (%)	Control arm	95	49	196±140	193±127	193±124	194±113
ScvO ₂ (%) Experimental arm 95 54 74.5±11 76±12 77±12 77±11 Control arm 95 49 75±11 74±13 75±11 74±10 P value 0.6 0.4 0.5 0.2 ScvO ₂ <70% (%) ValueQ	P value			0.6	1	0.9	0.5
Experimental arm 95 54 74.5±11 76±12 77±12 77±11 Control arm 95 49 75±11 74±13 75±11 74±10 P value 0.6 0.4 0.5 0.2 ScvO ₂ <70% (%)	ScvO ₂ (%)						
Control arm 95 49 75±11 74±13 75±11 74±10 P value 0.6 0.4 0.5 0.2 ScvO ₂ <70% (%)	Experimental arm	95	54	74.5±11	76±12	77±12	77±11
P value 0.6 0.4 0.5 0.2 ScvO2 <70% (%)	Control arm	95	49	75±11	74±13	75±11	74±10
ScvO2 <70% (%) Experimental arm 95 54 30 21 22 24 Control arm 95 49 27 26 22 22 P value 0.8 1 0.6 0.6 Masseter-StO2 (%) 54 70±19 72±18 73±18 71±20 Control arm 95 54 70±19 72±18 66±26 62±28 P value 95 54 70±19 72±18 66±26 62±28 P value 95 54 62 67±24 66±26 62±28 P value 0.7 0.3 0.15 0.09 Masseter-StO2 <80% (%)	P value			0.6	0.4	0.5	0.2
Experimental arm 95 54 30 21 22 24 Control arm 95 49 27 26 22 22 P value 0.8 1 0.6 0.6 Masseter-StO2 (%) 70±19 72±18 73±18 71±20 Control arm 95 54 70±19 72±18 66±26 62±28 P value 95 49 68±22 67±24 66±26 62±28 P value 0.7 0.3 0.15 0.09 Masseter-StO2 <80% (%)	ScvO ₂ <70% (%)						
Control arm 95 49 27 26 22 22 P value 0.8 1 0.6 0.6 Masseter-StO2 (%) 54 70±19 72±18 73±18 71±20 Control arm 95 54 70±19 72±18 73±18 71±20 Control arm 95 49 68±22 67±24 66±26 62±28 P value 0.7 0.3 0.15 0.09 Masseter-StO2 <80% (%)	Experimental arm	95	54	30	21	22	24
P value 0.8 1 0.6 0.6 Masseter-StO2 (%) Experimental arm 95 54 70±19 72±18 73±18 71±20 Control arm 95 49 68±22 67±24 66±26 62±28 P value 0.7 0.3 0.15 0.09 Masseter-StO2 <80% (%)	Control arm	95	49	27	26	22	22
Masseter-StO2 (%) Experimental arm 95 54 70±19 72±18 73±18 71±20 Control arm 95 49 68±22 67±24 66±26 62±28 P value 0.7 0.3 0.15 0.09 Masseter-StO2 <80% (%)	P value			0.8	1	0.6	0.6
Experimental arm 95 54 70±19 72±18 73±18 71±20 Control arm 95 49 68±22 67±24 66±26 62±28 P value 0.7 0.3 0.15 0.09 Masseter-StO2 <80% (%)	Masseter-StO ₂ (%)						
Control arm 95 49 68±22 67±24 66±26 62±28 P value 0.7 0.3 0.15 0.09 Masseter-StO2 <80% (%)	Experimental arm	95	54	70±19	72±18	73±18	71±20
P value 0.7 0.3 0.15 0.09 Masseter-StO2 < 80% (%)	Control arm	95	49	68±22	67±24	66±26	62±28
Masseter-StO2 < 80% (%)	P value			0.7	0.3	0.15	0.09
Experimental arm 95 54 62 59 51 63 Control arm 95 49 57 63 65 74 P value 0.7 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.3 Deltoid-StO2 (%) 54 58±25 59±26 60±23 57±26 P value 95 54 59±24 59±25 55±27 Control arm 95 49 60±23 59±24 59±25 55±27 P value 1 1 0.7 0.9 0.8 0.7 P value 1 1 0.7 0.9 0.8 0.7 Deltoid-StO2 <80% (%)	Masseter-StO ₂ <80% (%)						
Control arm 95 49 57 63 65 74 P value 0.7 0.4 0.3 0.3 Deltoid-StO ₂ (%) 54 58±25 59±26 60±25 57±26 Control arm 95 54 60±23 59±24 59±25 55±27 P value - - 0.7 0.9 0.8 0.7 P value - - 0.7 0.9 0.8 0.7 P value - - 0.7 0.9 0.8 0.7 P value - - 70 0.9 0.8 0.7	Experimental arm	95	54	62	59	51	63
P value 0.7 0.4 0.3 0.3 Deltoid-StO2 (%) 54 58±25 59±26 60±25 57±26 Control arm 95 49 60±23 59±24 59±25 55±27 P value - 0.7 0.9 0.8 0.7 Deltoid-StO2 <80% (%)	Control arm	95	49	57	63	65	74
Deltoid-StO2 (%) Experimental arm 95 54 58±25 59±26 60±25 57±26 Control arm 95 49 60±23 59±24 59±25 55±27 P value 0.7 0.9 0.8 0.7 Deltoid-StO2 <80% (%)	P value			0.7	0.4	0.3	0.3
Experimental arm 95 54 58±25 59±26 60±25 57±26 Control arm 95 49 60±23 59±24 59±25 55±27 P value 0.7 0.9 0.8 0.7 Deltoid-StO ₂ <80% (%)	Deltoid-StO ₂ (%)						
Control arm 95 49 60±23 59±24 59±25 55±27 P value 0.7 0.9 0.8 0.7 Deltoid-StO ₂ <80% (%)	Experimental arm	95	54	58±25	59±26	60±25	57±26
P value 0.7 0.9 0.8 0.7 Deltoid-StO2 <80% (%)	Control arm	95	49	60±23	59±24	59±25	55±27
Deltoid-StO ₂ <80% (%)	P value			0.7	0.9	0.8	0.7
Experimental arm 05 54 70 60 71 70	Deltoid-StO ₂ <80% (%)						
Experimentarian 90 04 70 09 71 79	Experimental arm	95	54	70	69	71	79
Control arm 95 49 77 72 74 79	Control arm	95	49	77	72	74	79
P value 0.4 0.8 0.7 0.9	P value			0.4	0.8	0.7	0.9

Continued

Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on March 28, 2018 - Published by group.bmj.com

Open Access

Table 2 Continued						
	Expected number	Actual number of	Baseline	Hours after start of therapy		
Variable and treatment group	of patients	patients	0	2	4	6
Thenar-StO ₂ (%)						
Experimental arm	95	54	83±10	83±11	81±16	81±16
Control arm	95	49	81±10	79±9	77±14	78±13
P value			0.3	0.11	0.17	0.25
Thenar-StO ₂ <80% (%)						
Experimental arm	95	54	31	32	26	31
Control arm	95	49	37	43	43	54
P value			0.5	0.4	0.08	0.02
$StO_2 > 80\%$ over two sites (%)						
Experimental arm	95	54	28	39	40	34
Control arm	95	49	29	33	36	23
P value			0.7	0.6	0.5	0.2

the vast majority exhibited ScvO₂ over 70%. These findings confirm that abnormal StO₂ may be a common feature in sepsis/septic shock. This trial found that the inclusion of StO₂ >80% as a target in the algorithm for early goal-directed therapy likely provided no value in clinical outcomes. However, these findings do not exclude a potential benefit of targeting StO₂ in a different protocol using different cut-off

values or treatment strategies. These findings do not exclude a potential benefit of targeting other surrogates of microcirculation. The Surviving Sepsis Campaign guidelines were updated twice,^{8 9} and the definition of sepsis was updated once,³⁶ since our trial was performed. The 2016 updated guidelines still include no statement about targeting the microcirculation in sepsis. There are several techniques to

Table 3 Study outcomes				
Outcome	Experimental	arm Control arm	Incremental effect (95% CI)	P value
Expected number of patients	95	95		
Actual number of patients	54	49		
Primary outcome: death at day 7 or DSOFA >0, n (%)	18 (33.3)	14 (28.6)		0.67
Relative risk			1.17 (0.58 to 2.34)	0.67
Absolute risk reduction			-4.7 (-22.6 to 13.1)	
Unadjusted OR			1.25 (0.54 to 2.89)	0.64
Adjusted OR (on age and gender)			1.22 (0.52 to 2.87)	0.64
Secondary outcomes				
Death at day 30, n (%)	17 (31.5)	15 (30.6)	1.04 (0.45 to 2.4)	0.9
Time on vasopressor up to 30 days, days (SD)	5.8 (13.5)	4.1 (5.8)	1.7 (-2.3 to 5.7)	0.4
Time on advanced respiratory support up to 30 days, days (SD)	12.2 (10.6)	7.6 (7.9)	4.6 (0.97 to 8.2)	0.03
Participants infused with dobutamine up to the sixth hour, %	75	70	1.48 (0.6 to 3.8)*	0.5
Mean dobutamine dose in milligrams per hour during day 1, mg/hour (SD)	11.5 (21.3)	5.9 (11.5)	5.6 (–1.2 to 12.4)	0.08†
Participants who received a transfusion of red cells up to day 7, %	57	29	3.3 (1.5 to 7.7)*	0.01

For one patient without a day 7 SOFA score, the last known SOFA score value (day 6 SOFA score) was used for the calculation of the difference (last value carried forward method). Eight patients did not have a baseline SOFA score, so the day 1 value was used as the baseline score.

*Unadjusted OR.

†Wilcoxon test.

SOFA, Sepsis-related Organ Failure Assessment.



Figure 3 Kaplan-Meier survival estimates. Shown is the probability of survival for participants according to randomisation arm at 30 days.

measure/monitor microcirculation, but no trials have investigated their usefulness in guiding patient resuscitation.³⁷

CONCLUSIONS

The present study ended prematurely due to lack of funding. Nevertheless, the current findings provide no evidence for any potential benefit from targeting muscle StO_2 in addition to CVP, MAP and $ScvO_2$. The targeting of muscle StO_2 for early goal-directed therapy failed to significantly increase muscle StO_2 in most patients, and it was associated with prolonged mechanical ventilation, increased blood transfusions and increased doses of dobutamine.

Author affiliations

¹Intensive Care Unit, Raymond Poincaré Hospital, Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris and Laboratory of Inflammation and Infection UMR 1173, University of Versailles SQY and INSERM, Garches, France

²Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine Hospital Clinic, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

³Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Hôpital Nord, Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Marseille, University of the Mediterranean, Marseille, France

⁴Critical Care Department, Evangelismos General Hospital, Athens, Greece ⁵Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, University Hospital Rostock, Rostock, Germany ⁶Department of Anesthesiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

Acknowledgements The authors thank Stacia Kraus for statistical assistance.

Contributors ON and DA designed the study, performed the statistical analysis and interpretation of data, and drafted the manuscript. EZ, CM and SN designed the study, and participated in patient recruitment and data acquisition. TS designed the study, and participated in data analysis, patient recruitment, data acquisition and revision of the manuscript. AP and XB participated in patient recruitment, study design and data acquisition. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding University of Versailles SQY, Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris and Hutchinson Technology.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Obtained.

Ethics approval The Comité de Protection des Personnes (Ethics Committee) of Saint-Germain en Laye, France, approved the protocol.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement The data cannot be deposited in public repositories since the agreement of the Ethics Committee did not cover this aspect. However, we fully agree to discuss and share key data with interested individuals (djillali.annane@ aphp.fr).

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is

properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: $\true{http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/}$

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2018. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

- 1. Mesquida J, Gruartmoner G, Espinal C. Skeletal muscle oxygen saturation (StO2) measured by near-infrared spectroscopy in the critically ill patients. *Biomed Res Int* 2013;2013:1–8.
- Scheeren TW, Schober P, Schwarte LA. Monitoring tissue oxygenation by near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS): background and current applications. *J Clin Monit Comput* 2012;26:279–87.
- Colin G, Nardi O, Polito A, et al. Masseter tissue oxygen saturation predicts normal central venous oxygen saturation during early goaldirected therapy and predicts mortality in patients with severe sepsis. *Crit Care Med* 2012;40:435–40.
- Shapiro NI, Arnold R, Sherwin R, et al. The association of nearinfrared spectroscopy-derived tissue oxygenation measurements with sepsis syndromes, organ dysfunction and mortality in emergency department patients with sepsis. *Crit Care* 2011;15:R223.
- Vorwerk C, Coats TJ. The prognostic value of tissue oxygen saturation in emergency department patients with severe sepsis or septic shock. *Emerg Med J* 2012;29:699–703.
- Leone M, Blidi S, Antonini F, et al. Oxygen tissue saturation is lower in nonsurvivors than in survivors after early resuscitation of septic shock. Anesthesiology 2009;111:366–71.
- De Backer D, Donadello K, Cortes DO. Monitoring the microcirculation. J Clin Monit Comput 2012;26:361–6.
- Dellinger RP, Levy MM, Carlet JM, et al. Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock: 2008. Crit Care Med 2008;36:296–327.
- Dellinger RP, Levy MM, Rhodes A, et al. Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock: 2012. Crit Care Med 2013;41:580–637.
- De Backer D, Creteur J, Dubois MJ, et al. The effects of dobutamine on microcirculatory alterations in patients with septic shock are independent of its systemic effects. Crit Care Med 2006;34:403–8.
- Sakr Y, Chierego M, Piagnerelli M, et al. Microvascular response to red blood cell transfusion in patients with severe sepsis. Crit Care Med 2007;35:1639–44.
- Nardi O, Polito A, Aboab J, et al. StO guided early resuscitation in subjects with severe sepsis or septic shock: a pilot randomised trial. *J Clin Monit Comput* 2013;27:215–21.
- Bone RC, Balk RÁ, Cerra FB, et al. Definitions for sepsis and organ failure and guidelines for the use of innovative therapies in sepsis. Chest 1992;101:1644–55.
- Dellinger RP, Carlet JM, Masur H, et al. Surviving sepsis campaign guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock. Crit Care Med 2004;32:858–73.
- Knaus WA, Zimmerman JE, Wagner DP, et al. APACHE-acute physiology and chronic health evaluation: a physiologically based classification system. *Crit Care Med* 1981;9:591–7.
- McCabe WA, Jackson GG. Gram negative bacteremia. I. Etiology and ecology. Arch Intern Med 1962;110:847–55.
- Le Gall JR, Lemeshow S, Saulnier F. A new Simplified Acute Physiology Score (SAPS II) based on a European/North American multicenter study. *JAMA* 1993;270:2957–63.

- Vincent JL, Moreno R, Takala J, et al. The SOFA (Sepsis-related organ failure assessment) score to describe organ dysfunction/ failure. On behalf of the Working Group on Sepsis-Related Problems of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. *Intensive Care Med* 1996;22:707–10.
- Bassler D, Briel M, Montori VM, et al. Stopping randomized trials early for benefit and estimation of treatment effects: systematic review and meta-regression analysis. JAMA 2010;303:1180–7.
- Rivers E, Nguyen B, Havstad S, et al. Early goal-directed therapy in the treatment of severe sepsis and septic shock. N Engl J Med 2001;345:1368–77.
- Hayes MA, Timmins AC, Yau EH, et al. Elevation of systemic oxygen delivery in the treatment of critically ill patients. N Engl J Med 1994;330:1717–22.
- Hébert PC, Wells G, Blajchman MA, *et al.* A multicenter, randomized, controlled clinical trial of transfusion requirements in critical care. *N Engl J Med Overseas Ed* 1999;340:409–17.
- Mouncey PR, Osborn TM, Power GS, et al. Trial of early, goal-directed resuscitation for septic shock. N Engl J Med 2015;372:1301–11.
- 24. Peake SL, Delaney A, Bailey M, *et al.* Goal-directed resuscitation for patients with early septic shock. *N Engl J Med* 2014;371:1496–506.
- Yealy DM, Kellum JA, Huang DT, et al. A randomized trial of protocolbased care for early septic shock. N Engl J Med 2014;370:1683–93.
- Neto AS, Pereira VG, Manetta JA, et al. Association between static and dynamic thenar near-infrared spectroscopy and mortality in patients with sepsis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2014;76:226–33.
- Nardi O, Gonzalez H, Fayssoil A, et al. Masseter muscle oxygen saturation is associated with central venous oxygen saturation in patients with severe sepsis. J Clin Monit Comput 2010;24:289–93.
- 28. Pareznik R, Knezevic R, Voga G, *et al*. Changes in muscle tissue oxygenation during stagnant ischemia in septic patients. *Intensive Care Med* 2006;32:87–92.
- Creteur J, Carollo T, Soldati G, et al. The prognostic value of muscle StO2 in septic patients. *Intensive Care Med* 2007;33:1549–56.
- Bezemer R, Lima A, Myers D, *et al.* Assessment of tissue oxygen saturation during a vascular occlusion test using near-infrared spectroscopy: the role of probe spacing and measurement site studied in healthy volunteers. *Crit Care* 2009;13(Suppl 5):S4.
- 31. Creteur J. Muscle StO2 in critically ill patients. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2008;14:361–6.
- Annane D. Thenar tissue oxygen saturation monitoring: noninvasive does not mean simple or accuratel. *Crit Care Med* 2011;39:1828–9.
- 33. Vincent JL, de Mendonça A, Cantraine F, et al. Use of the SOFA score to assess the incidence of organ dysfunction/failure in intensive care units: results of a multicenter, prospective study. Working group on "sepsis-related problems" of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. Crit Care Med 1998;26:1793–80.
- Moreno R, Vincent JL, Matos R, et al. The use of maximum SOFA score to quantify organ dysfunction/failure in intensive care. Results of a prospective, multicentre study. Working Group on Sepsis related Problems of the ESICM. Intensive Care Med 1999;25:686–96.
- Ferreira FL, Bota DP, Bross A, *et al.* Serial evaluation of the SOFA score to predict outcome in critically ill patients. *JAMA* 2001;286:1754–8.
- Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, et al. The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). JAMA 2016;315:801–10.
- Colbert JF, Schmidt EP. Endothelial and Microcirculatory Function and Dysfunction in Sepsis. *Clin Chest Med* 2016;37:263–75.



Targeting skeletal muscle tissue oxygenation (StO₂) in adults with severe sepsis and septic shock: a randomised controlled trial (OTO-StS Study)

Olivier Nardi, Elizabeth Zavala, Claude Martin, Serafim Nanas, Thomas Scheeren, Andrea Polito, Xavi Borrat and Djillali Annane

*BMJ Open*2018 8: doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-017581

Updated information and services can be found at: http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/8/3/e017581

These include:

References	This article cites 37 articles, 1 of which you can access for free at: http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/8/3/e017581#ref-list-1
Open Access	This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/
Email alerting service	Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic	Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections
Collections	Intensive care (216)

Notes

To request permissions go to: http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to: http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to: http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/