Integrating Software Metrics for Fortran Legacy into an IDE
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Abstract. Software Metrics have being used since the 70s, their purpose is to measure different software attributes, such as complexity and maintainability, to name a few. Software Metrics help programmers obtain valuable information about programs. That information is essential when working with legacy systems. Scientists have been producing Fortran programs for the last six decades, and some of those programs became legacy years ago. We have implemented a set of well known software metrics for Fortran into a widely used IDE (Integrated Development Environment) by means of AST (Abstract Syntax Tree). This integration allows developers to obtain software metrics from their source code while they are programming.
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1 Introduction

Software attributes measurement and software process improvement has been a research topic for many years. The idea of improving software processes that can be tracked down [34,23] while measuring software attributes has been deeply treated since the 70s [22,17,24]. In this paper, we will focus in software metrics: “A function whose inputs are software data and whose output is a single numerical value that can be interpreted as the degree to which software possesses a given attribute that affects its quality” [18]. Most of the relevant studies focusing on software metrics initially concentrated on Fortran Programming Language [6,22,24], among others. Fortran is one of the most long lived programming languages [2] which has been widely used by scientists to produce large amounts of source code ever since it came into existence, it has become as the “de facto” scientific programming language [26,3,21,28,29]. Throughout its long lived existence it has experimented a particular evolutionary process since it was the first programming language to be standardized [2]. Fortran has passed through
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Fortran evolution has also been described as pragmatical, given the fact that updated versions of Fortran are fully backward compatible with older versions of the language. Updated versions have kept full compatibility even with obsolescent features already deleted from the language standard, with minor exceptions: “Unlike Fortran 90, Fortran 95 was not a superset; it deleted a small number of so-called obsolescent features. This incompatibility is more theoretical than real however, as all existing Fortran 95 compilers include the deleted features as extensions” [7]. Annex B of the Fortran 2008 Standard (ISO/IEC 2008) enumerates the obsolescent features of the language that have not been deleted, some of which may be found in the FORTRAN 66 and FORTRAN 77 specification. Fortran 2008 was released in 2010, and it is the current revision of the Fortran standard.

Taking into account the Fortran evolution described above, it seems to be paradoxical that there are a few tools implementing software metrics for Fortran [38,37]. Furthermore, most of them (if not all) have closed source and commercial/paid licences. In addition, there are no modern IDEs including metrics for the Fortran language. In this article we will contribute with an implementation for Fortran of some of the most widely used software metrics, and we will integrate them in Photran, “a Fortran IDE and Refactoring tool based on Eclipse” [36].

2 Software Metrics

As defined in [18], a software metric is “A function whose inputs are software data and whose output is a single numerical value that can be interpreted as the degree to which software possesses a given attribute that affects its quality”. We will focus on a set of well known software metrics that have been used for the last 30 years by programmers and software scientists. All of them will be implemented to be used on Fortran programs.

2.1 Cyclomatic Complexity

In 1977, the “cyclomatic number \( V(G) \) of a graph \( G \) with \( v \) vertices, \( e \) edges, and connected components is \( V(G) = e - n + p \)” is introduced [24]. The proposal is to “measure and control the number of paths through a program”, and it was called Cyclomatic Complexity (CC). In other words, the CC measures “the amount of decision logic in a source code function” [33]. Also, there was a tool to obtain the CC on PDP-10 Fortran programs [24]. One remarkable aspect about the CC is the possibility to determine a number or a threshold to characterize programs such as that suggested CC upper bound per routine: 10 [24,33]. A
set of thresholds were defined [12] related to software risk evaluation, as shown in Table 1. The CC is a widespread software metric even though has its own detractors [30,31].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cyclomatic Complexity</th>
<th>Risk Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-10</td>
<td>A simple module without much risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>A more complex module with moderate risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-50</td>
<td>A complex module of high risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 and greater</td>
<td>An untestable program of very high risk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Cyclomatic Complexity Range Description

We have implemented the CC to measure routine’s complexity, and taking into account that the CC has different variations to be calculated, our approach is the same as that published in [4]. Each Fortran program is assumed to have a unique entry and exit point in order to simplify the complexity calculations: “For programs with unique entry and exit nodes, this metric is equivalent to one plus the number of decisions and in this work, is equal to the one plus sum of the following constructs: logical if, if-then-else, block-if, block if-then-else’s, do loops, while loops, AND’s, OR’s, XOR’s, EQV’s, NEQV’s, twice the number of arithmetic if’s, n-1 decision counts for a computed Go To with n statement labels, and n decision counts for a case if with n predicates”.

2.2 Halstead Complexity Metrics

A set of metrics derived from source code in order to measure computational complexity was proposed in the 70s [15,17,16]. A set of independent properties that could be obtained from an algorithm regardless of the programming language in which it had been written was defined, trying to determine if an algorithm “may possess a general structure which obeys physical laws”. The proposed properties were based on classifying program entities as either operators and operands [15]. The properties are:

- Number of different operators occurring in an algorithm $\eta_1$.
- Number of different operands occurring in an algorithm $\eta_2$.
- The total occurrences of operators in the program $N_1$.
- The total occurrences of operands in the program $N_2$.

And the metrics are defined using those properties:

- Unique Entities, also know as Program Vocabulary, $\eta$: the number of unique operators plus the number of unique operands in the program. Calculated as $\eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2$.
- Accumulated Entities, also know as Program Length, $N$: the total number of operators plus the total number of operands in the program. Obtained as $N = N_1 + N_2$.
Program Volume, $V$: “consists of the product of the total number of entities occurring, $N$, and the number of binary digits which would be required to specify each such entity among distinct entities” [15]. Program Volume is calculated as $V = N \log_2 \eta$.

Level, $L$: “has a maximum value of unity, which will be reached whenever both the number of distinct operators is at its minimum value of two, and no operand has been mentioned more than once” [15]. Program Level is obtained as $L = 2/\eta_1 * \eta_1/N_2$.

Difficulty, $D$: defined as $D = 1/L$.

Effort, $E$: defined as $E = V * D$

Just like CC, Halstead metrics have different variations to be calculated [6,4], and our approach is the same as that published in [4].

### 2.3 Maintainability Index

While Software Maintenance were gaining momentum, software development practices were also maturing. Different authors argue that software maintenance represents the highest cost in the software development process. By 1975, “The total cost of maintaining a widely used program is typically 40 percent or more of the cost of developing it” [5]. In 1979, the software maintenance costs was reported to be 67% [35], in the 1990’s this number was about 75% [10], and in the 2000’s 90% of the entire project cost was calculated [11]. A maintenance index based on a three dimensional approach was proposed in [8], where the three dimensions are:

- Control structure.
- Information structure.
- Typographic, naming and commenting.

The maintenance metric is a result of the analysis of different regression models. “The regression model that seemed most applicable was a four-metric polynomial based on Halsteads effort metric and on metrics measuring extended cyclomatic complexity, lines of code, and number of comments” [8]. As a result, the maintenance index was defined as:

$$171 - 3.2 * \ln(V) - 0.23 * CC - 16.2 * \ln(LoC) + Comments$$

where $V$ is the volume as defined in the previous section, 2.2, $CC$ is the Cyclomatic Complexity, and $LoC$ is the number of Lines of Code. Our implementation is based on a slight modification, resulting in [39]:

$$MI = Max(0, (171 - 3.2 * \ln(V) - 0.23 * CC - 16.2 * \ln(LoC)) * 100/171)$$

### 3 Fortran Software Metrics Implementation

In order to implement metrics described in section 2 we have based our development on Photran [36], a multiplatform integrated development environment.
(IDE) for Fortran based on Eclipse. As an IDE, phorotran integrates editing, source navigation, compilation, and debugging into a single tool. Furthermore, as it uses make for compilation, it can work with virtually any existing Fortran compiler. It is also equipped with error parsers, which help to interpret error messages from popular compilers and associate error markers with the appropriate lines of code [36].

Photran infrastructure uses a compiler-like approach to represent a Fortran program by building an Abstract Syntax Tree (AST) as a program representation. An AST “represents the hierarchical syntactic structure of the source program.” [1]. Each construct occurring in the source code of the program is denoted on a tree node. It is called “abstract” because the AST does not represent every detail which appears in the real syntax, see Figure 1. The Photran AST is based on the Fortran language definition, as expected, but also adds its own information, so that it is possible to modify the AST (which is why it is usually known as rewritable AST) and (re)generate the Fortran program.

In order to extract metrics from the source code of the Fortran programs we have built a set of java classes that implement the visitor pattern [14], as shown in Figure 2. While traversing/visiting the AST we are able to collect as much information/data as we need. Thus, metrics are easily implemented by collecting data in the AST in a uniform way. This general strategy greatly simplifies the implementation of the metrics presented in this paper as well as new ones, depending on specific project and software analysis needs. Even when some data is not fully contained in a single AST node, each visitor is implemented.
so that collects and aggregates data from the proper AST nodes, depending on the specific metric.

After the information about the selected editor is gathered it is shown in a specific IDE view called “Metric View”. Thus, we take advantage of the so-called Views defined by Photran. The Metric View in Figure 3 shows three specific metrics: Cyclomatic Complexity, Maintainability Index and Source Lines of Code per routine. The information in the view is updated each time a new editor is selected. We have added a small colored circle (red, yellow, green) that is displayed in the CC column indicating the color according to the range shown in Table 1.

The information contained in the Metric View is consistent with the source code shown in the editor as shown in Figure 4. It is expected to aid the programmer to identify the location (routines) of potential problems regarding possible “bad smells” in the source code, such as too complex or too large routines, for example. Colors could be added to other columns (e.g. to the MI column) on demand, and it would change only the Metric View (or, more specifically, the corresponding column in the Metric View).
4 Related Work

Integrating metrics data into a broadly used IDE is a relatively new idea. On the one hand, Microsoft Visual Studio (which was initially known as Visual Studio 97 starting on May 1th, 1997 and passed through at least 8 product versions), has included metrics on its last 4 versions (Visual Studio 2008, 2010, 2012, 2013) [9]. On the other hand, Eclipse (from which Photran is derived) does not provide software metric data information in any of its distributions, but there is a set of plugins that allow the user to gather metrics data for Java and other supported programming languages.

Regarding software implemented using Fortran, available tools to obtain software metrics are not integrated to an IDE, and they are stand alone tools [38,37]. Fortran development tradition has been more naturally associated to a development tool chain instead of using an IDE (such as Photran).
5 Conclusions and Further Work

We have shown an implementation and integration into a modern IDE for the Fortran programming language of some of the most widely used software metrics. The metric gathering process has been implemented with a compiler-like approach using ASTs. The obtained information has been integrated in a Photran (an Eclipse derivation) view in order to make it available to the programmer while performing all the regular programming activities.

As our main interest is focused on Fortran source code transformation and Fortran Legacy source code handling [25,32], we will continue working on:

- Adding new metrics to the Metric View.
- Allowing user customize the Metric View.
- Adding a column that shows Fortran obsolescent and deleted features used in the source code.
- Integrating new legacy-aware functionality to the IDE.
- Integrating Static Analysis information as Photran Views.
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