provided by UEF Electronic Publi

FORESTRY AND NATURAL SCIENCES

Henri M.P. Siljanen

Activity and Diversity of Methanotrophs in a Littoral Wetland of an Eutrophic Boreal Freshwater Lake

Publications of the University of Eastern Finland *Dissertations in Forestry and Natural Sciences*

HENRI M.P. SILJANEN

Activity and diversity of methanotrophs in a littoral wetland of an eutrophic boreal freshwater lake

Publications of the University of Eastern Finland Dissertations in Forestry and Natural Sciences Number 63

Academic Dissertation

To be presented by permission of the Faculty of Science and Forestry, University of Eastern Finland for public examination in the Auditorium ML2 in Medistudia Building at the University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, on Wednesday 29th February 2012, at 12 o'clock noon.

Kopijyvä Kuopio, 2012 Editors: Prof. Pertti Pasanen Lecturer Sinikka Parkkinen, Prof. Kai Peiponen Distribution: Eastern Finland University Library / Sales of publications P.O.Box 107, FI-80101 Joensuu, Finland tel. +358-50-3058396 http://www.uef.fi/kirjasto

> ISBN: 978-952-61-0676-2 (printed) ISSNL: 1798-5668 ISSN: 1798-5668 ISBN: 978-952-61-0677-9 (PDF) ISSN: 1798-5676 (PDF)

ABSTRACT

Most of methane (CH4) emitted from lakes may be derived from their littoral wetlands. In the surface layers of wetlands, aerobic CH4 oxidizing bacteria, known as methanotrophs, consume a large part of the CH4 produced in deeper anoxic layers, having thus major atmospheric importance. However, the characteristics of the methanotroph community and its capacity to withstand environmental changes in boreal littoral wetlands are poorly understood.

The aim of the present work which was a part of the European Science Foundation project METHECO, was to study activity and structure of a methanotroph community, and its sensitivity against environmental stresses in a littoral wetland of an eutrophicated lake in Eastern Finland. The function and community composition of methanotrophs were studied with CH4 oxidation assays and a mono-oxygenase gene (*pmoA*) specific microarray, quantitative PCR and clone libraries. Spatial and seasonal variation of methanotrophs as well as sensitivity and recovery of the community against *in situ* nitrogen loading were examined. In addition, the reproducibility of methanotroph detecting tools in ring analysis for shared joint samples in five European laboratories was investigated.

The hydrological conditions in the wetland affected the community composition and activity of methanotrophs. Wet conditions supported growth and activity of type I methanotrophs, whereas in dry conditions, type II methanotrophs were dominant and the overall CH4 oxidation capacity of the methanotroph community was reduced. There was only minor seasonal variation in the activity, in contrast to the diversity of methanotrophs. A higher water table, typical feature in spring, caused succession of type Ib methanotrophs in the dry area which did not harbour these methanotrophs during seasons with a lower water table. In addition, a low temperature supported growth and activity of type II methanotrophs. Ammonium nitrate loading did not affect the overall CH4 oxidation or CH4 fluxes in the littoral wetland but increased *pmoA* transcription of type I methanotrophs and decreased the relative abundance of type II methanotrophs. Ring analysis suggested that DNA extraction is a sensitive step in the molecular detection of methanotrophs and results between laboratories could be better compared by determining the ratio of type I to type II methanotroph abundance than simply describing the numbers of methanotrophs.

The results of the present work demonstrate that hydrology is a key factor for diversity and activity of methanotrophs in littoral wetlands. One could predict that climate change will likely alter hydrological conditions in littoral wetlands and thereby activity and diversity of methanotrophs and also CH4 emissions. Methanotroph species inhabiting wetlands possess different strategies against inorganic nitrogen. Finally, this diverse methanotroph community is well suited to tolerate the nitrogen load leached from the catchment.

Universal Decimal Classification: 502.51, 547.211, 574.5, 579.266, 579.68

CAB Thesaurus: water microbiology; microbial activities; microbial ecology; aquatic environment; wetlands; freshwater lakes; eutrophication; methane; oxidation; Bacteria; spatial variation; seasonal variation; nitrogen; ammonium nitrate; hydrology; water table; laboratories; comparisons; variation

Yleinen suomalainen asiasanasto: ympäristömikrobiologia; mikrobiekologia; järvet; kosteikot; rannat; ranta-alueet; rehevöityminen; metaani; hapettuminen; hapetus; bakteerit; alueelliset erot; vuodenajat; vaihtelu; typpi; ammoniumnitraatti; hydrologia; laboratoriot; vertailu

Acknowledgements

The work included in this thesis was carried out during 2006-2012 in the Department of Environmental Science, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio and in the Finnish Environment Institute, Kuopio. I wish to express my deepest gratitude to my principle supervisor, Professor Pertti Martikainen for all of his patient guidance, humorous and inspiring stance as well as rousing attitude on science making. A possibility to work in his Biogeochemistry Research Group has been exciting and consciousness expanding adventure and particularly a great honor.

I am grateful to my secondary supervisor Dr. Anne Saari, Ph.D. for her careful guidance on the path finding everyday arrangements in the department and the secrets of biogeochemistry examination. I want to thank also my other supervisors Professor Garry Wong and Docent Kristina Servomaa, Ph.D. for their support and guidance at the start of these studies.

I am grateful to Professor Colin Murrell for his acceptance on the invitation to serve as an opponent in public defence. I want to thank Dr. Michael Pester, Ph.D. and Professor Martin Romantschuk, for their official pre-examination review of the thesis and Dr. Ewen MacDonald, D.Pharm., for proofreading of the final version of the manuscript.

I am grateful for Dr. Levente Bodrossy for welcoming me to his laboratory at the Austrian Institute of Technology to learn *pmoA* microarray technique and having important co-operation during the whole project. I want to thank you also Dr. Paul Bodelier for welcoming me also to his laboratory in the Netherland Institute of Ecology. I have been privileged to work with such as an excellent experts from different scientific backgrounds and I want thank all my other co-authors: Dr. Sascha Krause, Dr. Anssi Lensu, Dr. Guy Abell, , Dr. Yao Pan, Prof. Peter Frenzel, Dr. Anne-Grethe Hestnes, Dr. Claudia Lüke, Mrs. Marion Meima-Franke and Professor Mette Svenning. Special thanks go to Dr. S. Krause and Dr. Anssi Lensu on co-operation with geostatistics and Dr. Guy Abell with phylogenetics. I want to express special thank for Vivian Paganuzzi B.Sc. for proofreading of manuscripts of papers.

I want to thank current and former Deans of the Faculty and Head of Department for providing excellent facilities for work. I want thank also Regional Environment Centre (Currently Centre for Economic Development, Transport and the Environment) and Finnish Environment Institute for providing laboratory facilities. I want to thank Mrs. Tarja Rahkonen and Mr. Nina Partanen for their excellent technical assistance and colleagues and friends in Biogeochemistry Research Group for generating such a cosy and at a same time outstanding working environment.

I want to thank also Family Tiihonen for access the littoral zone of the Lake Kevätön close to their home and the possibility to use of old sauna building as a shelter.

I am also grateful for financial support from Finnish Academy; The Graduate School for Environmental Science and Technology; The Maj and Tor Nessling Foundation; The Kone Foundation; The Finnish Cultural Foundation of Northern

Savo; Maa ja vesitekniikan tuki ry association; The Niemi Foundation and The European Science Foundation (ESF) under the EuroDIVERSITY programme METHECO (contract No. ERAS-CT-2003-980409 of the European Commission, DG Research, FP 6).

I want to thank many friends for supporting, sharing the pains and joys with a cup of coffee, bicycle rides or skiing/jogging trips. I would also express my deepest gratitude to my family members. I want to thank especially my parents for all your love you have given to me. I want to also my parents and parents in law for all kinds of household help and willingness for childcare during these busy years of family development.

Finally and most importantly I would like to express my most heartfelt gratefulness to my wife, Reetta, for her love, support, encouragement and unlimited patience, which has helped me to complete this journey. I am also very thankful about our daughter, Adonika, who have delighted me and it has been really great to follow her first steps. I dedicate this thesis to you with all my love.

Kuopio 30th January 2012.

Henri Siljanen

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

LIST OF ORIGINAL ARTICLES

- Chapter 2. Henri MP Siljanen, Anne Saari, Sascha Krause, Anssi Lensu, Guy CJ Abell, Levente Bodrossy, Paul LE Bodelier, Pertti J Martikainen. 2011. Hydrology is reflected in the functioning and community composition of methanotrophs in the littoral wetland of a boreal lake. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **75:** 430-445.
- Chapter 3. Henri MP Siljanen, Anne Saari, Levente Bodrossy, Pertti J Martikainen. 2011. Seasonal variation in the function and diversity of methanotrophs in the littoral wetland of a boreal eutrophic lake. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol,* in press.
- Chapter 4. Henri MP Siljanen, Anne Saari, Levente Bodrossy, Pertti J Martikainen. 2011. The effect of nitrogen load on the functioning and diversity methanotrophs in the littoral wetland of a boreal lake. *Front Microbiol* **3:** 39 doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2012.00039.
- Chapter 5. Yao Pan, Levente Bodrossy, Peter Frenzel, Anne-Grethe Hestnes, Sascha Krause, Claudia Lüke, Marion Meima-Franke, Henri Siljanen, Mette M Svenning, Paul LE Bodelier. 2010. Assessing the impact of inter- as well as intra laboratory variation on reproducibility of microbial community analyses. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **76:** 7451-7458.

The original articles have been reproduced with permission of the copyright holders.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION

- Chapter 2. The author, Anne Saari and Pertti Martikainen planned the experiments. The author and Anne Saari performed the field and laboratory work. The author contributed to planning and performing the statistical and phylogenetic analyses, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All co-authors contributed to the subsequent writing process.
- Chapter 3. The author, Anne Saari and Pertti Martikainen planned the experiments. The author and Anne Saari were responsible for the field and laboratory work. The author performed the statistical analyses and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. The following modification of the manuscript was done by all co-authors.
- Chapter 4. The author, Anne Saari and Pertti Martikainen planned the experiments. The author and Anne Saari performed the field and laboratory work. The author performed the statistical analyses and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All co-authors contributed to the subsequent writing process.
- Chapter 5. The author contributed to the planning of the experiments, participated in the laboratory work and contributed to planning and performing the statistical analyses. The author contributed writing of the manuscript.

Contents

Chapter 1: General introduction

1.1 ATMOSPHERIC CH4

Methane (CH4) is second most abundant greenhouse gas in the atmosphere after carbon dioxide. Methane is a 25 times more efficient as a greenhouse gas than carbon dioxide with a time horizon of 100 years (Global Warming Potential, Forster et al. 2007) and it accounts for about 20% of the radiative forcing (warming effect) of the atmosphere (Forster et al. 2007).

Pre-industrial atmospheric CH4 (600-700ppb) is assumed to have originated largely from the expanding peatlands 5000 years ago and onwards (Korhola et al. 2010). Due to the increased anthropogenic CH4 emissions (e.g. rice fields, landfills, eutrophication of lakes) and decreased consumption of CH4 in soil resulting from land-use changes (Denman et al. 2007), the concentration of $CH₄$ in the atmosphere has more than doubled since the preindustrial period and is currently 1774 ppb (Forster et al. 2007). The methane concentration in the atmosphere remained almost constant during the period 1998-2006 (Dlugokencky et al. 2003, Monteil et al. 2011) but began to increase again in 2007 (Dlugokencky et al. 2009, Frankenberg et al. 2011). Two explanations have been proposed for the period when there was no increase in the atmospheric CH4 concentration i.e. either a decrease in natural wetland emissions or an increase in the anthropogenic NO_x emissions which would facilitate OH radical driven CH4 oxidation in the troposphere and stratosphere (Karlsdóttir and Isaksen 2000, Monteil et al. 2011).

1.2 PRODUCTION AND OXIDATION OF CH4

The total global CH₄ emission is estimated to be 500-600 Tg year⁻¹ (Table 1)(Denman et al. 2007, Conrad 2009). Methane originates from biomass burning, fossil fuel production/usage, as well as from CH4 production by methanogenic archaea when organic matter becomes decomposed under anaerobic conditions in ruminants, termites, wetlands, rice fields, landfills, oceans and sewage treatment. Wetlands are the most important natural CH4 source contributing a quarter of the total natural CH4 emissions (Table 1). Methane has been reported to be emitted also from chemical reactions in plants, i.e. pectin is a potential source of CH4 (Bruhn et al.

2009, Wishkermann et al. 2011). In some studies, chemical reactions in plants have been estimated to contribute 4-6% to the total CH4 emissions (Keppler et al. 2006, Conrad 2009, Mukhin and Voronin 2011). However, other reports do not support the proposal that plants would be significant direct sources of CH4 (Nisbet et al. 2009, Rice et al. 2010).

Methane is oxidized chemically in the atmosphere whereas in soils, sediments and waters the oxidation reactions are performed by microorganisms (Lelieveld et al. 1998). Oxidation of CH₄ in the troposphere is mediated by OH radicals: CH₄ + OH \rightarrow CH3· + H2O (see examples Crutzen and Zimmermann 1991). Some CH4 is removed in the stratosphere also by charged oxygen which is produced by photodissociation reactions with chlorine and ozone (Crutzen 1991).

Source	% of the total emissions	
	Natural	Anthropogenic
Wetlands	23	
Plants	6	
Termites	3	
Ocean	3	
Gas hydrates	2	
Rice fields		10
Ruminants		17
Landfills		7
Sewage threatment		4
Biomass burning		7
Fossil fuel		18

Table 1. Global sources of CH4 to the atmosphere (% of the total emissions of 500-600 Tg per year, Conrad 2009).

Whether ecosystems act as a sink or a source of CH₄ is determined by the balance between CH4 production by strictly anaerobic methanogenic archaea and CH4 oxidation by aerobic and anaerobic microorganisms (Hanson and Hanson 1996, Hinrichs et al. 1999, Boetius et al. 2000, Raghoebarsing et al. 2006, Hu et al. 2009, Ettwig et al. 2010). In soil, aerobic methane oxidizing bacteria, i.e. methanotrophs, play a unique role in the carbon cycle because they are the only organisms using CH4 as both an energy and a carbon source. In upland soils, methanotrophs consume about 30 Tg of CH4 per year (Denman et al. 2007). The methane emission from wetlands is about 100 Tg per year (Table 1). Methane oxidation greatly decreases CH4 emissions from wetlands because methanotrophs in the aerobic surface layers of wetlands can consume more than 90% of the CH4 produced in the deeper anoxic layers (Oremland and Culbertson 1992) thus implementing an important ecosystem service.

1.3 DEVELOPMENT OF METHODS IN MICROBIAL ECOLOGY

Methanotrophs were first described in 1906 (Söhngen 1906). Attempts to isolate methanotrophs from environmental samples began over 60 years later (Whittenbury et al. 1970). The cultivation of methanotrophs is time-consuming, and the isolation of high affinity methanotrophs living in environments with low CH₄ concentration has not yet been successful. Therefore, molecular biological methods that rely on extraction of microbial nucleic acids (i.e. DNA/RNA) from environmental samples, are now the key tools to study the occurrence and diversity of methanotrophs.

The development of Sanger's chain-termination sequencing (Sanger et al. 1977) and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Mullis et al. 1986) have facilitated the progress of molecular studies in microbiology. The identification of bacteria and archaea is possible with clone libraries of 16S rRNA gene (Olsen et al. 1986) and functional gene markers. The number of published 16S rRNA gene sequences has approximately doubled every year since the early 1990s (Pace 2009). Currently there are over 3.1 million entries of 16S rRNA gene fragments in the NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information) Genbank. The overall development of microbiology and microbial ecology of methanotrophs can be estimated from the public citation database by examining at how many times "16S" and the word "methanotroph*" are found (Figs. 1a,b).

Fig. 1. Hits from the ISI Web of Knowledge -database to describe the development of molecular microbial ecology. Shown are the numbers of "hits" from the database plotted against the year. For the development of microbiology in general, the keyword "16S" was used (a), for the development of microbial ecology of methanotrophs the keyword "methanotroph" was used (b), for the development of the microarray methods in general, the keywords "microarray AND DNA" were applied (c) and for the development of microarrays to detect microbes the keywords "microarray AND DNA AND microbial" were used (d).*

The development of DNA microarray technologies has helped to study the expression of many genes in parallel (Schena et al. 1995). DNA microarrays are basically glass slides which have the ability to recognize known genes in samples subjected for assay. The genetic characteristics of subject DNA/cDNA can be recognized after its hybridization on probes of microarray slide. The subject DNA hybridized is detected on slide by labelling techniques. Recently some other technologies (e.g. next generation sequencing, pyrosequencing) have started to replace microarrays in molecular biology (Fig. 1c) (Margulies et al. 2005, Bartram et al. 2011, Lemos et al. 2011). Microbial diagnostic microarrays were introduced soon after the first microarray study (Guschin et al. 1997). Since microbial diagnostic microarrays offer both rapid and inexpensive detection of various organisms within complex communities, it can be assumed that they will be applied in microbiology also in the future (Fig. 1d). Microbial communities can be profiled with methods such as Terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) and Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE). However, compared to these methods, methanotroph specific microarrays offer a tool to detect methanotrophs semi-quantitatively with better single species level detection ability (Fig. 2)(Bodrossy et al. 2003, Stralis-Pavese et al. 2011).

Since methanotrophs use CH4 as their carbon source, stable isotope probing (SIP) is a technology which allows detection of active methanotrophs when they incorporate 13C - derived from 13CH4 into their DNA within the cell (Radajewski et al. 2000). The DNA-SIP approach and analysis of active methanotrophs through mRNA have both shown the active methanotroph community in soils with naturally high CH₄ concentrations (Kumaresan et al. 2011). In SIP, the concentration of CH₄ (e.g. 1%) has to be sufficiently high to attain enough label in DNA/RNA. Therefore, one limitation of DNA-SIP is that, it cannot be utilized at low CH4 concentrations (close to the atmospheric level) which are the prevalent in well aerated soils (Bengtson et al. 2009).

Fig. 2. Overview of the workflow in microbial ecological studies of methanotrophs when CH4 oxidation potential analysis, soil physical and chemical analyses, quantitative PCR, clone libraries and pmoA microarray technique is performed (a). Example of scanned tif-image of pmoA microarray (b).

1.4 CLASSIFICATION OF METHANOTROPHS

Methane oxidizing microbes occupy both aerobic and anaerobic environments. In marine sediments, anaerobic CH4 oxidizing archaea (ANME) oxidize CH4 associated to sulfate reduction (Hinrichs et al. 1999, Boetius et al. 2000). Methane oxidation by sulfate reduction (ANME) has not been found to take place in soils or freshwater lakes. However, in anaerobic freshwater environments, CH4 oxidation can be carried out by *Candidatus Methylomirabilis oxyfera* bacterium when nitrite is present. This bacterium first releases oxygen from nitrite in its cell and then uses this oxygen for CH4 oxidation (Ettwig et al. 2010, Deutzmann and Schink 2011). It is not known if this mechanism occurs also in soil.

Methane oxidizing aerobic bacteria, or methanotrophs, can be taxonomically divided into three phylum, *Alpha*- and *Gammaproteobacteria* and *Verrucomicrobia*, based on their intracellular membrane structure, carbon assimilation pathways, PLFA patterns and phylogeny of molecular markers (Table 2)(Semrau et al. 2010). Despite the high diversity of methanotrophs and their flexibility in being able to occupy various environmental niches, they share similarities in the pathway to oxidize CH_4 to methanol by the methane mono-oxygenase enzymes (MMO). There are two different forms of oxygenases for CH4 in methanotrophs: cytoplasmic membrane-bound particulate methane mono-oxygenase (pMMO) and soluble methane mono-oxygenase (sMMO) located in the cytoplasm.

Particulate MMO is widespread in almost all methanotrophs, including the anaerobic Candidatus *M. oxyfera*, but not *Methyloferula* and facultative *Methylocella* species (Dedysh et al. 2005, Ettwig et al. 2010, Vorobev et al. 2011). The polymorphic gene of the 27 kDa fragment of pMMO (*pmoA*) detects a broad spectrum of methanotrophs and its variation is similar to that of the 16S rRNA gene marker. Therefore, it represents a good functional marker to detect methanotrophs (McDonald et al. 2008). In contrast, sMMO has been found in *Methylocella* and recently isolated *Methyloferula*, but not from the majority of other methanotrophs. *Methylocella* species have been isolated from acidic ombrotrophic Sphagnum peat bogs and acidic forest cambisols (Dedysh et al. 2000, Dunfield et al. 2003). However, *Methylocella* has not been found in acidic forest soils in general (Kolb et al. 2005). Recently, *Methylocella* phylotypes have also been detected in alkaline conditions, but their functions and role in the CH4 cycle in these kinds of ecosystems are unknown (Rahman et al. 2010).

Proteobacteria methanotrophs have been studied for decades, but *Verrucomicrobia* methanotrophs have been found only recently (Dunfield et al. 2007). *Verrucomicrobia*

methanotrophs have been detected from geothermal areas having extremely acidic conditions (Op den Camp et al. 2009). Aerobic *Proteobacteria* methanotrophs are grouped into three families in two phylum: *Methylocystaceae* and *Beijerinckaceae* in the *Alphaproteobacteria* phylum, and *Methylococcaceae* in the *Gammaproteobacteria* phylum. There are 17 methanotroph genera within these families. The *Methylocystacaea* family has two genera, i.e. *Methylosinus* and *Methylocystis,* whereas the *Beijerinckaceae* family consists of *Methylocapsa*, *Methyloferula* and *Methylocella* genera. The *Methylococcaceae* family consists of *Methylobacter*, *Methylococcus*, *Methylocaldum*, *Methylohalobius*, *Methylomicrobium*, *Methylomonas*, *Methylosoma*, *Methylosarcina*, *Methylosphaera*, *Methylothermus*, *Crenothrix* and *Clonothrix* genera (Semrau et al. 2010, Vorobev et al. 2011). The *Methylococcacaea* family is often referred to as type I and *Methylocystaceae* as type II methanotrophs. Type I methanotrophs can be subdivided into type Ia (*Methylobacter*, *Methylomonas* and related species) and type Ib (called before also type X) (*Methylococcus*, *Methylocaldum* and related species) subgroups (Bodrossy et al. 2003).

Methanotrophs possess a great phylogenetic variability. Since newly found genera and species (i.e. *Methyloacidphilum* and Cand. *Methylomirabilis*) seem to be moderately distantly related to the previously identified species, it can be assumed that differentiation of these species occurred a long time ago and furthermore that new species will be found (Semrau et al. 2010). There are recent findings suggesting that facultative methanotrophy is not limited only to species of *Beijerinckaceae* family (Dedysh et al. 2005, Dunfield et al. 2010), but it can also occurs in specific lineages of *Alphaproteobacteria* methanotrophs (Belova et al. 2010, Im et al. 2010, Pratscher et al. 2011).

References: Ettwig et al. 2010, Semrau et al. 2010, Belova et al. 2011, Vorobev et al. 2011. ND, not determined. +, present; - absent.

1.5. FACTORS CONTROLLING THE ACTIVITY AND DIVERSITY OF METHANOTROPHS

1.5.1. Availability of CH4

Methane oxidation is distinguished by low affinity (high CH4 concentration environments) and high affinity (low CH4 concentration environments, i.e atmospheric concentration or less) methanotrophic activity. Affinity types can be defined with *K*m-values. Temperate and boreal forest soils harbor high-affinity methanotrophs (*K*m 5-92 ppmv) (Bender and Conrad 1992, Whalen and Reeburgh 1996, Saari et al. 2004) whereas sediments and peat soils contain low-affinity methanotrophs (*K*m 7900-43000 ppmv) (Lidstrom and Somers 1984, Watson et al. 1997). The substrate availability in soil affects both the activity and the diversity of the methanotrophs. In forest soils, where the CH4 concentration is low, so called upland-soil-clusters dominate and oxidize atmospheric CH4, i.e. they are able to take up atmospheric CH4 (Kolb 2009, Degelmann et al. 2010). In the CH4 emitting environments (wetlands/peatlands, river/lake sediments, rice fields, landfills) with a high CH₄ concentration, the methanotroph community is generally dominated by type II species (Henckel et al. 2000, Gebert et al. 2008, Kumaresan et al. 2009, Steenbergh et al. 2010). These environments also support fast-growing type I methanotrophs which react rapidly to any increase in the CH4 availability. Type I methanotrophs tolerate fluctuations in environmental conditions by implementing an r-type life strategy, whereas type II methanotrophs live in more stagnant conditions maintaining a K-type life strategy (Henckel et al. 2000, Steenbergh et al. 2010).

1.5.2 Soil hydrology

The methanotrophic activity tends to reguire specific optimal moisture conditions (Semrau et al. 2010). An increase in the soil water content can either stimulate (Mosaavi & Crill 1997; West & Schmidt 1998; Kettunen et al. 1999) or inhibit the functioning of methanotrophs (Whalen & Reeburgh 1990; Bender & Conrad 1995). In upland soils, a high water content in the soil can inhibit methanotrophs by limiting diffusion of CH_4 and O_2 into the soil. On the other hand, increased water availability can activate resting cells (West & Schmidt 1998). In wetlands, a higher water table can support CH4 oxidation via an increase in the availability of CH4, resulting from enhanced methanogenesis (Mosaavi and Crill 1997, Kettunen et al. 1999). Drainage tends to have only a minor impact on the type II methanotrophs, whereas type I methanotroph community can become more diverse after drainage (Henckel et al. 2001). The overall mechanisms through which hydrology affects the functions and diversity of methanotrophs are poorly understood (Semrau et al. 2010). Littoral

wetlands, with their natural hydrological gradients, offer a good model system to study the effects of variable hydrological conditions on methanotrophs.

1.5.3. Soil temperature

Temperature is an universal factor controlling microbial activity. To my knowledge there is only one published study where the effects of temperature on diversity and activity of methanotrophs have been studied simultaneously (Mohanty et al. 2007). The results from that study suggest that methanotrophs with different temperature optima are present in soil. Thermophilic, mesophilic and psychrophilic species have been identified (Semrau et al. 2010). All conventional thermo/psychrophilic methanotrophs, except thermophilic *Verrucomicrobia*, belong to the phylum gammaproteobacteria (Dunfield et al. 2007, Semrau et al. 2010). Methane oxidation shows moderately low temperature dependence (Q_{10} from 1.8 to 2.9) (Whalen 2005). Methanotrophs inhabiting landfill and forest soils have been reported to be dominated by mesophiles which can be inhibited by low $(< 10 °C)$ or high (> 40 °C) temperatures (Boeckx and Van Cleemput, 1996, Boeckx et al. 1996, Whalen and Reeburgh 1996). The effect of temperature on the function and diversity of methanotrophs in littoral wetlands is unknown.

1.5.4. Nitrogen concentration in soil

The effects of nitrogen load/fertilizers on methanotrophs have been studied for decades (Steudler et al. 1989), but the results have been contradictory. In some studies nitrogen has inhibited, in others it has stimulated functioning of methanotrophic community (Bodelier and Laanbroek 2004). There are a few studies where the effects of nitrogen on methanotrophs have been studied with high taxonomical resolution. Most of studies combining diversity and functioning of methanotrophs have been conducted under *in vitro* conditions. It has been found that nitrogen fertilizers decrease the abundance of type II methanotrophs in well-areated soils (Mohanty et al. 2006, Cebron et al. 2007). However, in rice field soil, nitrogen has stimulated methanotrophs (Bodelier et al. 2000). Subsequently it was shown by DNA-SIP that type I *Methylocaldum* methanotrophs in rice paddy soil can be stimulated by nitrogen (Noll et al. 2008). It has been postulated that the characteristics of the methanotroph community is a key factor in explaining its reaction against nitrogen loading (Mohanty et al. 2006). The effects of nitrogen on the functioning and diversity of methanotrophs in littoral wetlands are unknown.

1.6 LAKES AS SOURCES OF CH4

Global CH₄ emissions from freshwaters have been estimated to be 103 Tg CH₄ year⁻¹ (Bastviken et al. 2011). Previously, CH4 emissions from lakes have been calculated together with the emissions from wetlands, contributing 23% to the total emissions (Table 1) (Conrad 2009). A recent estimate indicated that open freshwater sources alone contributed 17-20% of the total CH4 emissions (Bastviken et al. 2011). Freshwater CH₄ and CO₂ emissions together (expressed as $CO₂$ equivalent) are important because they correspond to 79% of the total global $CO₂$ sinks. Therefore, it has been recommended that greenhouse gas emissions from freshwater lakes need to be included into the global C models (Bastviken et al. 2011).

Aquatic ecosystems are an important element of the boreal landscape. In Finland, there are 188 000 lakes (surface area more than 500 m2) covering about 10% of the total land area (Raatikainen and Kuusisto 1990). In the boreal and arctic regions, lakes are typically small. Small lakes $(10 km^2) have the highest CH₄ emissions per$ surface unit (Bastviken et al. 2004, Juutinen et al. 2009). Small lakes account for about 60% of the global lake area (Downing et al. 2006) and thus are responsible for most of the CH4 emitted from lakes (Bastviken et al. 2011). In Finland, about 9% of lakes are classified as being eutrophic (Mannio et al. 2000) and these lakes have the highest CH4 emissions (Juutinen et al. 2009).

The littoral zone of lakes can contribute as much as 70% of the total CH4 released from lakes (Juutinen et al. 2003b, Bastviken et al. 2008). The littoral wetland acts as a buffer zone between terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. It is exposed to nutrients leached from the catchment area and to the fluctuations in the lake water level. Littoral wetlands are therefore periodically inundated (e.g. during spring flooding).

The littoral wetlands typically have, in addition to high variation in hydrology, variability also in soil quality and vegetation, and all of these factors can influence the production, oxidation, transport and release of CH4. Changes in the water level affect both CH4 production and CH4 oxidation, and thus CH4 fluxes, primarily through the availability of oxygen (e.g. Mosaavi and Crill 1997, Kettunen et al. 1999). A high water level in the littoral wetland enhances CH4 emissions (Juutinen et al. 2001, Chapter 2). In the water-saturated littoral wetlands of lakes, high primary production (mainly by vascular plants) fuels methanogenesis by providing organic substrates, i.e. above-ground litter, root litter and root exudates. Many emergent wetland plants have large interior open spaces, termed aerenchyma, through which they transport oxygen from the atmosphere to support respiration in the roots and

release CH4 from sediments into the atmosphere (Amstrong 1967, Bubier 1995, Bergström et al. 2007). The plant biomass correlates positively with CH4 emissions in littoral zones (Kankaala et al. 2003, Kankaala et al. 2005). Eutrophication activates primary production in lakes and thus also increases the possibilities for CH4 production.

1.7 METHANOTROPHS IN LAKES

Measurements of CH4 oxidation in water column and sediments of freshwater lakes started already in early 1970s (see review Reeburg and Heggie 1977). The first studies on the ecology of methanotrophs in lake sediments were conducted in Lake Washington (Lidstrom and Somers 1984, Costello and Lidstrom 1999, Costello et al. 2002). Since then, many other freshwater lakes have been studied (Pester et al. 2004, Rahalkar and Schink 2007, Rahalkar et al. 2009, Antony et al. 2010). New methanotroph species have been discovered (Kalyuzhnaya et al. 2005, Rahalkar et al. 2007), and stable isotope probing (SIP) (Lin et al. 2004, Anthony et al. 2010, Dumont et al. 2011) as well as metagenomics (Kalyuzhnaya et al. 2008) have been employed to study the roles of methanotrophs in CH4 oxidation. In addition to studies on the overall distribution and activity of methanotrops, also methanotrophs associated with emergent macrophytes have been investigated (King et al. 1994, Boon et al. 1996). Studies on aquatic foodwebs suggest that a large amount of carbon originated from methanotrophs is incorporated into macroinverterbrates living in the lakes (Deines et al. 2007). However, most foodweb studies have focused on profundal freshwater sediments and there is little information available from temporally flooded littoral wetlands.

The phylogenetic analyses, by functional or 16S rRNA gene markers, suggest that in profundal as well as littoral sediment, type I methanotrophs are dominant over type II methanotrophs (Costello et al. 2002, Rahalkar et al. 2009). Recently, SIP was used to determine that type I and type II methanotrophs were active CH4 oxidizers in the oligotrophic Lake Stechlin, but type I methanotrophs dominated over type II methanotrophs (Dumont et al 2011). A study in the alkaline Lonar Lake suggested that *Methylomicrobium* methanotrophs were the dominant group in CH4 oxidation in this lake, but there were also some previously uncultivated CH4-utilizing methanotrophs in *Betaproteobactia*, *Deltaproteobacteria*, *Verrucomicrobia*, and *Firmicutes* phyla (Antony et al. 2010). A study from the oligotrophic Lake Stechlin detected also *Betaproteobacteria* in heavy fractions of DNA-SIP but not in RNA-SIP suggesting that the detection of *Betaproteobacteria* could be a result from cross-feeding of 13C (Dumont et al. 2011). In general, the present results suggest that type I methanotrophs are likely the dominant group being responsible for oxidizing CH4 in lake sediments.

1.8 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Table 1. Summary of methods used in this thesis.

1.9 AIMS OF THE STUDY

The aim of this work was to study the functioning and diversity of methanotrophs in the littoral wetland of a boreal lake and to examine reproducibility of methanotroph detection between various laboratories. More specifically the aims were:

- To analyze the activity and diversity of methanotrophs in hydrologically different sub-zones of a littoral wetland.
- To evaluate spatial and seasonal variations in function and diversity of methanotrophs in a littoral wetland.
- To determine the sensitivity of methanotrophs to withstand nitrogen load in a littoral wetland.
- To evaluate intra- and interlaboratory variations of methanotroph detection.

Chapter 6: General discussion

6.1. SPATIAL VARIATION OF THE METHANOTROPH COMMUNITY IN A LITTORAL WETLAND

There are enormous spatial and seasonal variations in the moisture content in littoral wetlands. These variable moisture conditions in different subsites of littoral wetlands affect the physico/chemical characteristics of the soil as well as the vegetation. The spatial variability of the methanotroph community in the littoral wetland was evaluated with a geostatistical approach (Chapter 2). The structure of the methanotroph community was non-homogeneous and patchy and it was modified by hydrology (Fig. 3a, Chapter 2). The structure of methanotrophs in the littoral wetland was more patchy (Chapter 2) than the methanotroph communites in other environments studied up till now (e.g. alpine meadows, landfill and rice paddy soils: Abell et al. 2009, Krause et al. 2009, Kumaresan et al. 2009). The littoral wetland had high species richness (47 OTUs, 93% similarity) compared to other environments (e.g. 26 OTUs in temperate forest soils, 93% similarity, Degelmann et al. 2010; about 35 OTUs, 90 % similarity, in rice field soils, Lüke et al. 2010). There was spatial variability also in the functioning of methanotrophs (Chapter 2). Water level is known to be a factor controlling CH4 oxidation and CH4 production in wetlands (Kettunen et al. 1999). When the water table was sufficiently high, methanotrophs exhibited a high capacity to oxidize $CH₄$ in the littoral wetland (Chapter 2). This can be explained by the increased availability of CH4 and the greater abundance of methanotrophs in the wet conditions (Chapter 2).

The results of the present study suggest, that type I (especially uncultivated type Ib phylotypes in "freshwater-cluster") methanotrophs enjoyed a competitive advantage in soils where there was a high water content (Fig. 3a, Chapter 2). In contrast, dry conditions in littoral wetlands supported the growth of type II and type Ia methanotrophs. These findings agree with previous results on the dominance of type I methanotrophs in freshwater sediments (Costello et al. 2002, Rahalkar et al. 2009, Antony et al. 2010, Dumont et al. 2011). The concurrent increase in the number of type Ib freshwater-cluster methanotrophs with the increasing moisture represents a new insight into the ecology of methanotrophs in littoral wetlands. It has to be noted that type II methanotrophs dominated in dry areas of littoral wetland and these microorganisms are sensitive against inorganic nitrogen load (Mohanty et al. 2006, Cebron et al. 2007), e.g. to nitrogen leached from agricultural soils which could affect the overall function of the methanotroph community in the dry regions of littoral wetlands (see Chapter 6.3).

6.2 SEASONAL VARIATION IN METHANOTROPH COMMUNITY IN LITTORAL WETLAND

The methanotrophic activity has a low temperature dependency as shown by the Q_{10} values (between 1 and 2.8) (Whalen et al. 2005, Semrau et al. 2010). In the present study, functioning of methanotrophs was in general rather stable in the different seasons. However, in the dry subsite of the wetland, methanotrophs were activated during summer (Chapter 3). In spring, when there were high water levels, type I methanotrophs expanded their niche and functioning into the area which was dry during the growing season but transiently wet in spring (Fig. 3a, Chapter 3). Type I methanotrophs could also have been transported by water from the wet parts to the dry parts of the wetland when the water level rose.

Previous studies in biofilters and landfill soils have indicated that type I methanotrophs become the dominant group at low temperatures (Gebert et al. 2003, 2004, Börjesson 2004). This is supported by the findings that all psychrophilic methanotrophic strains so far indentified are *Gammaproteobacteria* (Semrau et al. 2010). Data from littoral wetlands indicate that the methanotroph community in wet conditions is more susceptible to cold conditions than the communities that are living in dry conditions (Chapter 3). However, in the studied littoral wetland, winter conditions did not increase type I methanotroph abundance, but in contrast, the relative abundance of type II increased. This suggests that littoral wetlands are not occupied by similar type I psychrophilic methanotrophs as described previously.

6.3 EFFECT OF NITROGEN LOAD ON THE ACTIVITY AND DIVERSITY OF METHANOTROPHS

The nitrogen load experiment *in situ* revealed that methanotrophs in littoral wetland displayed variable reactions against nitrogen (Chapter 4). Type II methanotrophs were susceptible to nitrogen (reduction in their relative abundance, and negative correlation with CH4 oxidation and nitrogen content)(Fig. 3b, Chapter 4). Concurrently, there were also nitrogen tolerant type I methanotrophs (increase in their *pmoA* transcription and there was a positive correlation between type I *pmoA* transcription and CH4 oxidation/the nitrogen content) (Fig. 3b, Chapter 4). As a result of these mixed reactions of methanotrophs against nitrogen, the nitrogen load had only minor effects on the CH4 oxidation potential and CH4 fluxes in the littoral wetland. These results provide new insights into the previous, conflicting results about responses of methanotrophs to nitrogen (Bodelier and Laanbroek 2004). In forest soil, in contrast to rice field soil, nitrogen has inhibited the overall functioning of methanotrophs (Steudler et al. 1989, Bodelier et al. 2000, Mohanty et al. 2006). The stimulated activity in rice field soil was later linked to activation of type I (*Methylocaldum*, *Methylomicrobium*) methanotrophs with DNA-SIP (Noll et al. 2008). The responses of methanotrophs against nitrogen have been postulated to be related to community composition since type I methanotrophs have been stimulated whereas type II methanotrophs have been inhibited by nitrogen (Mohanty et al. 2006). Our results from littoral wetlands suggest that the diverse methanotroph communities in littoral wetlands are tolerant to changes in the nitrogen load. However, climate change can alter hydrological conditions in littoral wetlands and subsequently shape methanotroph communities to be more type II methanotrophs dominated (Chapter 2) i.e. species which are more sensitive to nitrogen.

General discussion

Fig. 3. Methanotrophs in littoral wetland based on the results of the thesis. Major methanotroph types in littoral wetland in different hydrological conditions (a). The relative abundance of methanotroph types is described with size of the text. Effects of nitrogen load on methanotrophs in littoral wetland (b). Wetland description modified from Juutinen 2004. a Water level fluctuation from Larmola 2005.

6.4 REPRODUCIBILITY OF METHANOTROPH DETECTION

Methanotrophs form a distinct group of bacteria which use one simple substrate (CH4) as their energy and carbon source and are responsible for a specific biogeochemical process (CH4 oxidation) in the environments. Therefore, they are good model organisms in microbial ecology. Methane oxidizing bacteria have been used as model organisms in an European research consortium METHECO in studies on microbial molecular ecology in various European ecosystems. The standardization of laboratory protocols for the detection of methanotrophs was one urgent need for success of this project. This standardization was done for extraction protocols of nucleic acids from environmental samples, PCR amplification of *pmoA* genes and utilization of microarray detection. Intra- and interlaboratory variations were evaluated between five European laboratories (Chapter 5). Even though there were some differences in the DNA extraction and handling techniques in the five laboratories, the data was still valid allowing basic conclusions to be drawn. Firstly, the abundance ratio of type I and type II methanotrophs was not affected by the variable laboratory practices. Secondly, the results of community composition determined for various samples within a laboratory were valid because any possible bias in the results was "constant".

6.5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Lakes contribute significantly to the global CH₄ emissions and littoral wetlands are important sources of CH4 in lake ecosystems. Activity of methanotrophs is known to highly reduce methane emissions from wetlands but methanotrophs in littoral wetlands are poorly known. The results of this thesis show how the variable hydrological conditions, typical in littoral wetlands, affect functioning and diversity of methanotrophs in this environment. There is spatial variability in community composition and functioning of methanotrophs across the hydrological gradient. There are seasonal changes in wetland hydrology and the function and diversity of methanotrophs respond to these changes.

Littoral wetlands as a buffer zones receive nitrogen leached from the catchment which could inhibit the ability of methanotrophs to oxidize methane. The results from experiments carried out during one season indicate that the diverse methanotroph community in littoral wetland withstands nitrogen and nitrogen loading does not disturb methane oxidation.

In changing climate there can be changes in the amount and timing of precipitation which are reflected by hydrology, vegetation, methane dynamics and methanotroph community structure of the littoral wetlands. It is important that methanotroph community structure of an ecosystem determined in one laboratory retain similar basic characteristics when determined in another laboratory.

REFERENCES

- Abell CJG, Stralis-Pavese N, Sessitsch A and Bodrossy L. 2009. Grazing affects methanotroph activity and diversity in an alpine meadow soil. *Environ Microbiol Rep* **1**: 457-465.
- Amstrong W. 1967. The use of polarography in the assay of oxygen diffusing from roots in anaerobic media. *Physiol Plantarum* **20:** 540-553.
- Antony PC, Kumaresan D, Ferrando L, Boden R, Moussard H, Fernández Scavino A, Shouche YS and Murrell JC. 2010. Active methylotrophs in the sediments of Lonar Lake, a saline and alkaline ecosystem formed by meteor impact. *ISME J* **4:** 1470-1480.
- Bartram AK, Lynch MD, Stearns JC, Moreno-Hagelsieb G and Neufeld JD. 2011. Generation of multimillion-sequence 16S rRNA gene libraries from complex microbial communities by assembling paired-end illumina reads. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **77:**3846-3852.
- Bastviken D, Tranvik LJ, Downing JA, Crill PM and Enrich-Prast A. 2011. Freshwater methane emissions offset the continental carbon sink. *Science* **331:** 50.
- Bastviken D, Cole JJ, Pace ML and Tranvik LJ. 2004. Methane emissions from lakes: Dependence of lake characteristics, two regional assessments, and a global estimate. *Global Biogeochem Cycles* **18:** GB4009, doi:10.1029/2004GB002238.
- Bastviken D, Cole JJ, Pace ML and Van de Bogert MC. 2008. Fates of methane from different lake habitats: connecting whole-lake budgets and CH4 emissions. *J Geophys Res* **113:** G02024.
- Belova SE, Baani M, Suzina NE, Bodelier PLE, Liesack W and Dedysh SN. 2010. Acetate utilization as a survival strategy of peat-inhabiting *Methylocystis* spp.. *Environ Microbiol Rep* **3:** 36-46.
- Bender M and Conrad R. 1992. Kinetics of CH4 oxidation in oxic soils exposed to ambient air or high CH4 mixing rations. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **101:** 261-270.
- Bender M and Conrad R. 1995. Effect of CH4 concentrations and soil conditions on the induction of CH4 oxidation activity. *Soil Biol Biochem* **27:** 1517-1527.
- Bergström I, Mäkelä S, Kankaala P and Kortelainen P. 2007. Methane efflux from littoral vegetation stands of southern boreal lakes: an upscaled regional estimate. *Atmos Environ* **41:** 339–351.
- Bengtson P, Basiliko N, Dumont MG, Hills M, Murrell JC, Roy R, Grayston SJ. 2009. Links between methanotroph community composition and CH4 oxidation in a pine forest soil. *FEMS Microb Ecol* **70:** 356-366.
- Bodelier PLE, Roslev P, Henckel T and Frenzel P. 2000. Stimulation by ammonium-based fertilizers of methane oxidation in soil around rice roots. *Nature.* **403:** 421-424.
- Bodelier PLE and Laanbroek HJ. 2004. Nitrogen as a regulatory factor of methane oxidation in soils and sediments. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **47:** 265-277.
- Bodrossy L, Stralis-Pavese N, Murrell JC, Radajewski S, Weilharter A and Sessitsch A. 2003. Development and validation of a diagnostic microbial microarray for methanotrophs. *Environ Microbiol* **5:** 566-82.
- Boeckx P and Van Cleemput O. 1996. Methane oxidation in a neutral cover soil: influence of moisture content, temperature, and nitrogen-turnover. *J Environ Qual* **25:** 178-183.
- Boeckx P, Van Cleemput O and Villaralvo I. 1996. Methane emission from a landfill and the methane oxidizing capacity of its cover soil. *Soil Biol Biochem* **28:** 1397-1405.
- Boetius A, Ravenschlag K, Schubert CJ, Rickert D, Widdel F, Gieseke A , Amann R, Jorgensen BB, Witte U and Pfannkuche O. 2000. A marine microbial consortium apparently mediating anaerobic oxidation of methane. *Nature* **407:** 623-626.
- Boon PI, Virtue P & Nichols PD. 1996. Microbial consortia in wetland sediments a biomarker analysis of the effects of hydrological regime, vegetation and season on benthic microbes. *Mar Freshwater Res* **47:** 27-41.
- Bubier JL. 1995. The relationship of vegetation to methane emission and hydrochemical gradients in northern peatlands. *J Ecol* **83:** 403-420.
- Bruhn D, Mikkelson TN, Obro J, Willats WGT and Ambus P. 2009. Effects of temperature, ultraviolet radiation and pectin methyl esterase on aerobic methane release from plant material. *Plant Biol* **11:** 43-48.
- Börjesson G, Sundh I and Svensson B. 2004. Microbial oxidation of CH4 at different temperatures in landfill cover soils. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **48:** 305-312.
- Cebron A, Bodrossy L, Stralis-Pavese N, Singer AC, Thompson IP, Prosser JI and Murrell JC. 2007. Nutrient amendments in soil DNA stable isotope probing experiments reduce the observed methanotroph diversity. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **73**: 798-807.
- Conrad R. 2009. The global methane cycle: recent advances in understanding the microbial processes involved. *Environ Microb Rep* **1:** 285-292.
- Costello AM and Lidstrom ME. 1999. Molecular characterization of functional and phylogenetic genes from natural populations of methanotrophs in lake sediments. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **65:** 5066-5074.
- Costello AM, Auman AJ, Macalady JL, Scow KM and Lidstrom ME. 2002. Estimation of methanotroph abundance in a freshwater lake sediment. *Environ Microbiol* **4:** 443-450.

Crutzen PJ. 1991. Methane´s sinks and sources. *Nature* **350:** 380-381.

Crutzen PJ and Zimmermann PH. 1991. The changing photochemistry of the troposphere. *Tellus B* **43:** 136-151.

References

- Dedysh SN, Liesack W, Khmelenina VN, Suzina NE, Trotsenko YA, Semrau JD, Bares AM, Panikov NS and Tidje JM. 2000. *Methylocella palustris* gen nov., a new methane-oxidizing acidophilic bacterium from peat bogs, representing a novel subtype of serine pathway methanotrophs. *Int J Syst Evol Micr* **50:** 955-969.
- Dedysh SN, Knief C and Dunfield PF. 2005. *Methylocella* species are facultatively methanotrophic. *Environ Microbiol* **187:** 4665-4670.
- Degelmann DM, Borken W, Drake HL and Kolb S. 2010. Different atmospheric methane-oxidizing communities in European beech and Norway spruce soils. *Appl Environ Microb* **76:** 3228-3235.
- Deines P, Bodelier PLE and Eller G. 2007. Methane-derived carbon flows through methane-oxidizing to higher trophic levels in aquatic systems. *Environ Microbiol* **9:** 1126-1134.
- Denman KL, Brasseur G, Chidthaisong A, Ciais P, Cox PM, Dickinson RE. et al. 2007. Couplings between changes in the climate system and biogeochemistry. In: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Maning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K.B. et al. (eds). Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK and New York. pp 500-587.
- Deutzman JS and Schink B. 2011. Anaerobic oxidation of methane in sediments of lake Constance, an oligotrophic freshwater lake. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **77:** 4429-4436.
- Dlugokencky EJ, Houweling S, Bruhwiler L, Masarie KA, Lang PM, Miller JB, and Tans PP. 2003. Atmospheric methane levels off: Temporary pause or a new steady-state? *Geophys Res Lett* **30:** doi: 10.1029/2003GL018126.
- Dlugokencky EJ, Bruhwiler L, White JWC, Emmons LK, Novelli PC, Montzka SA, Masarie KA, Lang PA, Crotwell AM, Miller JB and Gatti LV. 2009. Observational constraints on recent increases in the atmospheric CH4 burden. *Geophys Res Lett* **36:** L18803, doi:10.1029/2009GL039780.
- Downing JA, Prairie YT, Cole JJ, Duarte CM, Tranvik LJ, Striegl RG, McDowell WH, Kortelainen P, Caraco NF, Melack JM and Middelburg JJ. 2006. The global abundance and size distribution of lakes, ponds, and impoundments. *Limnol Oceanogr* **51:** 2388-2397.
- Dumont MG, Pommerenke PC and Conrad R. 2011. DNA-, rRNA and mRNA-based stable isotope probing of aerobic methanotrophs in lake sediment. *Environ Microbiol* **13:** 1153-1167.
- Dunfield PF, Belova SE, Vorob'ev AV, Cornish SL and Dedysh SN. 2010. *Methylocapsa aurea* sp. nov., a facultatively methanotrophic bacterium possessing a particulate methane monooxygenase. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **60:** 2659- 2664.
- Dunfield PF, Khmelenina VN, Suzina NE, Trotsenko Y and Dedysh SN. (2003) *Methylocella silvestris* sp. nov., a novel methanotroph isolated from an acidic forest cambisol. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **53:** 1231-1239.
- Dunfield PF, Yuryev AS, Smirnova AV, Stott MB, Hou S, Ly B, Saw JH, Zhou Z, Ren Y, Wang J, Mountain BW, Crowe MA, Weatherby TM, Bodelier PLE, Liesack W, Feng L, Wang L and Alam M. 2007. Methane oxidation by an extremely acidophilic bacterium of the phylum Verrucomicrobia. *Nature* **450:** 879-918.
- Ettwig KF, Butler MK, Le Paslier D, Pelletier E, Mangenot S, Kuypers MMM, Schreiber F, Dutilh, BE, Zedelius J, de Beer D, Gloerich J, Wessels HJCT, van Alen T, Luesken F, Wu ML, van de Pas-Schoonen KT, Op den Camp HJM, Janssen-Megens EM, Francoijs KJ, Stunnenberg H, Weissenbach J, Jetten MSM and Strous M. 2010. Nitritedriven anaerobic methane oxidation by oxygenic bacteria. *Nature* **464:** 543-548.
- Forster P, Ramaswamy V, Artaxo P, Berntsen T, Betts R, Fahey DW. et al. 2007. Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and in Radiative Forcing. In *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of working group I to the fourth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Solomon, S., Qin, D., Maning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K.B. et al. (eds). Cambridge, New York: Cambridge University Press, pp. 131-217.
- Frankenberg C, Aben I, Bergamaschi P, Dlugokencky E J, van Hees R, Houweling S, van der Meer P, Snel R, Tol P. 2011. Global column-averaged methane mixing ratios from 2003 to 2009 as derived from SCIAMACHY: Trends and variability. *J Geophys Res* **116:** D04302.
- Gebert J, Groengroeft A and Miehlich G. 2003. Kinetics of microbial landfill methane oxidation in biofilters. *Waste Manage* **23:** 609-619.
- Gebert J, Gröngfört A, Schloter M and Gattinger A. 2004. Community structure in a methanotroph biofilter as revealed by phospholipid fatty acid analysis. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **240:** 61-68.
- Gebert J, Stralis-Pavese N, Alawi M and Bodrossy L. 2008. Analysis of methanotrophic communities in landfill biofilters using diagnostic microarray. *Environ Microbiol* **10:** 1175-1188.
- Guschin DY, Mobarry BK, Proudnikov D, Stahl DA, Rittmann BE and Mirzabekov AD. 1997. Oligonucleotide microchips as genosensors for determinative and environmental studies in microbiology. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **63:** 2397-2402.
- Hanson RS and Hanson TE. 1996. Methanotrophic bacteria. *Microbiol Rev* **60:** 439-471.
- Henckel T, Roslev P and Conrad R. 2000. Effects of O₂ and CH₄ on presense and activity of the indigenous methanotrophic community in rice field soil. *Environ Microbiol* **2:** 666-679.
- Henckel T, Jäckel U and Conrad R. 2001. Vertical distribution of the methanotrophic community after drainage of rice field soil. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **34:** 279-291.
- Hinrichs KU, Hayes JM, Sylva SP, Brewer PG and DeLong EF. 1999. Methane-consuming archaebacteria in marine sediments. *Nature* **398:** 802-805.
- Hu S, Zeng RJ, Burow LC, Lant P, Keller J and Yuan Z. 2009. Enrichment of denitrifying anaerobic methane oxidizing microorganisms. *Env Microbiol Rep* **1:** 377-384.
- Im J, Lee SW, Yoon S, DiSpirito AA and Semrau JD. 2010. Characterization of a novel facultative *Methylocystis* species capable of growth on methane, acetate and ethanol. *Environ Microbiol Rep* **3:** 174-181.
- Juutinen S, Rantakari M, Kortelainen P, Huttunen JT, Larmola T, Alm J, Silvola J and Martikainen PJ. 2009. Methane dynamics in different boreal lake types. *Biogeosciences* **6:** 209-223.
- Juutinen S, Alm J, Larmola T, Huttunen JT, Morero M, Saarnio S, Martikainen PJ and Silvola J. 2003a. Methane (CH4) release from littoral wetlands of boreal lakes during an extended flooding period. *Glob Change Biol* **9:** 413-424.
- Juutinen S, Alm J, Larmola T, Huttunen JT, Morero M, Martikainen PJ and Silvola J. 2003b. Major implication of the littoral zone for methane release from boreal lakes. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **17:** 1117.
- Juutinen S, Alm J, Martikainen PJ and Silvola J. 2001. Effects of spring flood and water level draw-down on methane dynamics in the littoral zone of boreal lakes. *Freshwater Biol* **46:** 855-869.
- Juutinen S. 2004. Methane fluxes and their environmental controls in the littoral zone of boreal lakes. University of Joensuu, PhD Dissertations in Biology, No: 25. ISBN 952-458-478-6.
- Kalyuzhnaya MG, Stolyar SM, Auman AJ, Lara JC, Lidstrom ME and Chistoserdova L. 2005. *Methylosarcina lacus* sp. nov., a methanotroph from Lake Washington, Seattle, USA, and emended description of the genus *Methylosarcina*. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **55:** 2345-2350.
- Kalyuzhnaya MG,Lapidus A, Ivanova N, Copeland AC, McHardy AC, Szeto E, Salamov A, Grigoriev IV, Suciu D, Levine SR, Markowitz VM, Rigoutsos I, Tringe SG, Bruce DC, Richardson PM, Lidstrom ME and Chistoserdova L. 2008. High-resolution metagenomics targets specific functional types in complex microbial communities. *Nat Biotechnol* **26:** 1029-1034.
- Kankaala P, Mäkelä S, Bergström I, Huitu E, Käki T, Ojala A, Rantakari M, Kortelainen P and Arvola L 2003. Midsummer spatial variation in methane efflux from stands of littoral vegetation in a boreal meso-eutrophic lake. *Freshwater Biol* **48:** 1617-1629.
- Karlsdóttir S and Isaksen ISA. 2000. Changing methane lifetime: Possible cause for reduced growth. *Geophys Res Lett* **27:** 93-96.
- Keppler F, Hamilton JTG, Bras M and Rockmann T. 2006. Methane emissions from terrestrial plants under aerobic conditions. *Nature* **439:** 187-191
- Kettunen A, Kaitala V, Lehtinen A, Lohila A, Alm J, Silvola J and Martikainen PJ. 1999. Methane production and oxidation potentials in relation to water table fluctuations in two boreal mires. *Soil Biol Biochem* **31:** 1741-1749.
- King GM. 1994. Associations of methanotrophs with the roots and rhizomes of aquatic vegetation. *Appl Environ Microb* **60:** 3220–3227.
- Kolb S, Knief C, Dunfield PF and Conrad R. 2005. Abundance and activity of uncultured methanotrophic bacteria in the consumption of atmospheric methane in two forest soils. *Environ Microbiol* 7**:** 1150-1161.
- Kolb S. 2009. The quest for atmospheric methane oxidizers in forest soils. *Environ Microbiol Rep* **1:** 336-346.
- Korhola A, Ruppel M, Seppä H, Väliranta M, Virtanen T and Weckström J. 2010. The importance of northern peatland expansion to the late Holocene rise of atmospheric methane. *Quaternary Science Reviews*. **29:** 611-617.
- Krause S, Lüke C and Frenzel P. 2009. Spatial heterogeneity of methanotrophs: a geostatistical analysis of *pmoA*-based T-RFLP patterns in a paddy soil. *Environ Microbiol Rep* **1:** 393-397.
- Kumaresan D, Abell GCJ, Bodrossy L, Stralis-Pavese N and Murrell JC. 2009. Spatial and temporal diversity of methanotrophs in a landfill cover soil are differentially related to soil abiotic factors. *Environ Microbiol Rep* **1:** 398-407.
- Kumaresan D, Stralis-Pavese N, Abell GCJ, Bodrossy L and JC Murrell. 2011. Physical disturbance to ecological niches created by soil structure alters community composition of methanotrophs. *Environ Microbiol Rep* **3:** 613-621.
- Larmola T. 2005. Carbon gas exchange in the littoral zone of boreal lakes. University of Joensuu, PhD Dissertations in Biology, No: 40. ISBN 952-485-760-2.
- Lelieveld J, Crutzen P and Dentener FJ. 1998. Changing concentration, lifetime and climate forcing of atmospheric methane. *Tellus* **50B:** 128-150.
- Lemos LN, Fulthorpe RR, Triplett EW and Roesch LF. 2011. Rethinking microbial diversity analysis in the high throughput sequencing era. *J Microbiol Methods* **86:**42-51
- Lidstrom ME and Somers L. 1984. Seasonal study of methane oxidation in Lake Washington. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **47:** 1255-1260.
- Lin JL, Radajewski S, Eshinimaev BT, Trotsenko YA, McDonald IP and Murrell JC. 2004. Molecular diversity of methanotrophs in Transbaikal soda lake sediments and identification of potentially active populations by stable isotope probing. *Environ Microbiol* **6:** 1049-1060.
- Lüke C, Krause S, Cavigiolo S, Greppi D, Lupotto E and Frenzel P. 2010. Biogeography of wetland rice methanotrophs. *Environ Microbiol* **12:** 862-872.
- Noll M, Frenzel P and Conrad R. 2008. Selective stimulation of type I methanotrophs in a rice paddy soil by urea fertilization revealed by RNA-based stable isotope probing. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **65:** 125-132.
- Noll M, Matthies D, Frenzel P, Derakshani M and Liesack W. 2005. Succession of bacterial community structure and diversity in a paddy soil oxygen gradient. *Environ Microbiol* 7**:** 382-395.
- Mannio J, Räike A and Vuorenmaa J. 2000. Finnish lake survey 1995: regional characteristics of lake chemistry. *Verh Internat Verein Limnol* **27:** 362-367.
- Margulies M, Egholm M, Altman WE, Attiya S, Bader JS, Bemben LA, Berka J, Braverman MS, Chen YU, Chen Z, Dewell SB, Du L, Fierro JM, Gomes XV, Godwin BC, He W, Helgesen S, He Ho ZH, Irzyk GP, Jando SC, Alenquer MLI, Jarvie TP, Jirage KB, Kim JB, Knight JR, Lanza JR, Leamon JB, Lefkowitz SM, Lei M, Li J, Lohman

References

KL, Lu H, Makhijani VB, McDade KE, McKenna MP, Myers EW, Nickerson E, Nobile JR, Plant R, Puc PB, Ronan M, Roth GT, Sarkis GJ, Simons JF, Simpson JW, Srinivasan M, Tartaro KR, Tomasz A, Vogt KA, Volkmer GA, Wang SH, Wang Y, Weiner MP, Yu P, Begley RF and Rothberg JM. 2005. Genome sequencing in microfabricated high-density picolitre reactors. *Nature* **437**: 376-380.

- Mohanty SR, Bodelier PLE and Conrad R. 2007. Effect of temperature on composition of the methanotrophic community in rice field and forest soil *FEMS Microb Ecol* **62:** 34-31.
- Mohanty SR, Bodelier PLE, Floris V and Conrad R. 2006. Differential effects of nitrogenous fertilizers on methane consuming microbes in rice field and forest soils. *Appl Environ Microb* **72:** 1346-1354.
- Monteil G, Houweling S, Dlugockenky EJ, Maenhout G, Vaughn BH, White JWC and Rockmann T. 2011. Interpreting methane variations in the past two decades using measurements of CH4 mixing ratio and isotopic composition. *Atmos Chem Phys Discuss* **11:** 6771-6803.
- Mosaavi SC and Crill PM. 1997. Controls on CH4 and CO2 emissions along two moisture gradients in the Canadian Boreal zone. *J Geophys Res* **102:** 261-277.

Mukhin VA and Voronin PY. 2011. Methane emission from living tree wood. *Rus J Plant Phys* **58:** 344-350.

- Mullis K, Faloona F, Scharf S, Saiki R, Horn G and Erlich H. 1986. Specific enzymatic amplification of DNA in vitro: The polymerase chain reaction. *Cold Spring Harbor Symp Quant Biol* **51:** 263-273.
- Nisbet RER, Fisher R, Nimmo RH, Bendall DS, Crill PM, Gallego-Sala AV, Hornibrook ERC, Lopez-Juez E, Lowry D, Nisbet PBR, Shuckburgh EF, Sriskantharajah S, Howe CJ and Nisbet EG. 2009. Emission of methane from plants. *Proc R Soc B* **276:** 1347-1354.
- Olsen GJ, Lane DJ, Giovannoni SJ, Pace NR and Stahl DA. 1986. Microbial ecology and evolution: a ribosomal RNA approach. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **40:** 337-365.
- Op den Camp, HJM, Islam T, Stott, MB, Harhangi HR, Hynes A, Schouten S, Jetten MSM, Birkeland NK, Pol A and Dunfield PF. 2009. Environmental, genomic and taxonomic perspectives on methanotrophic Verrucomicrobia. *Environ Microb Rep* **1:** 293-306.
- Oremland RS and Culbertson CW. 1992. Importance of methane-oxidizing bacteria in the methane budget as revealed by the use of specific inhibitor. *Nature* **356**: 421-423.
- Pace NR. 2009. Mapping the Tree of Life: Progress and Prospects. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **73:** 565-576.
- Pester M, Friedrich MW, Schink B and Brune A. 2004. *pmoA*-based analysis of methanotrophs in a littoral lake sediment reveals a diverse and stable community in a dynamic environment. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70:** 3138- 3142.
- Pratscher J, Dumont MG and Conrad R. 2011. Assimilation of acetate by the putative atmospheric methane oxidizers belonging to the USCα clade. *Environ Microbiol* 13: 2692-2701.
- Raatikainen M and Kuusisto E. 1990. The number and surface area of the lakes in Finland (in Finnish). *Terra* **102:** 97- 110.
- Radajewski S, Ineson P, Parekh NR and Murrell JC. 2000. Stable-isotope probing as a tool in microbial ecology. *Nature* **403:** 646-649.
- Raghoebarsing AA, Pol A, van de Pas-Schoonen KT, Smolders AJP, Ettwig KF, Rijpstra IC, Schouten S, Sinninghe Damsté JS, Op den Camp HJM, Jetten MSM and Strous M. 2006. A microbial consortium couples anaerobic methane oxidation to nitrification. *Nature* **440:** 918-921.
- Rahalkar M and Schink B. 2007. Comparison of aerobic methanotrophic communities in littoral and profundal sediments of Lake Constance by a molecular approach. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **73:** 4389-4394.
- Rahalkar M, Bussmann I and Schink B. 2007. *Methylosoma difficile* gen. nov, sp nov., a novel methanotrophs enriched by gradient cultivation from littoral sediment of Lake Constance. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **57:** 1073-1080.
- Rahalkar M, Deutzmann J, Schink B and Bussmann I. 2009. Abundance and activity of methanotrophic bacteria in littoral and profundal sediments of Lake Constance (Germany). *Appl Environ Microbiol* **73:** 4389-4394.
- Rahman MT, Crombie A, Chen Y, Stralis-Pavese N, Bodrossy L, Meir P, McNamara NP and Murrell JC. 2010. Environmental distribution and abundance of the facultative methanotroph *Methylocella*. *ISME J* **5:** 1061-1066.
- Reeburgh WS and Heggie DT. 1977. Microbial methane consumption reactions and their effect on methane distributions in freshwater and marine environments. *Limnol Oceanogr* **22**: 1-9.
- Rice AL, Butenhoff CL, Shearer MJ, Teama D, Rosenstiel TN and Khalil MAK 2010. Emissions of anaerobically produced methane by trees. *Geophys Res Lett* **37:** LO3807.
- Saari A, Rinnan R and Martikainen PJ. 2004. Methane oxidation in boreal forest soils: Kinetics and sensitivity to pH and ammonium. *Soil Biol Biochem* **36:** 1037-1046.
- Sanger F, Nicklen S and Coulson AR. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* **74:** 5463-5467.
- Schena M, Shalon D, Davis RW and Brown PO. 1995. Quantitative monitoring of gene-expression patterns with a complementary-DNA microarray. *Science* **270:** 467-470.

Semrau JD, DiSpirito AA and Yoon S. 2010. Methanotrophs and copper. *FEMS Microb Rev* **34:** 496-531.

- Stralis-Pavese N, Abell GCJ, Sessitsch A and Bodrossy L. 2011. Analysis of methanotroph community composition using a pmoA-based microbial diagnostic microarray. *Nat Protoc* **6:** 609-624.
- Steenbergh AK, Meima MM, Kamst M and Bodelier PLE. 2010. Biphasic kinetics of a methanotrophic community is a combination of growth and increased activity per cell. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **71:** 12-22.
- Steudler PA, Bowden RD, Melillo JM and Aber JD. 1989. Influence of nitrogen fertilization on methane uptake in temperate forest soils. *Nature* **341:** 314–316.
- Söhngen NL. 1906. Über bakterien, welche methan als kohlenstoffnahrung und energiequelle gebrauchen. *Centr Bakt Parasitenkd Infectionsk* **15:** 513–517.
- Vorobev AV, Baani M, Doronina NV, Brady AL, Liesack W, Dunfield PF and Dedysh SN. 2011. *Methyloferula stalleta* gen. nov. , sp. nov., and acidophilic, obligately methanotrophic bacterium possessing only a soluble methane monooxygenase. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **61:** 2456-2463.
- Watson A, Stephen KD, Nedwell DB and Arah JRM. 1997. Oxidation of methane in peat: kinetics of CH₄ and O₂ removal and the role of plant roots. *Soil Biol Biochem* **29:** 1257-1267.
- West AE and Schmidt SK. 1998. Wetting stimulates atmospheric CH4 oxidation by alpine soil. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **25:** 349-353.
- Whalen SC. 2005. Biogeochemistry of methane exchange between natural wetlands and the atmosphere. *Environ Eng Sci*. **22:** 73-94.
- Whalen SC and Reeburgh WS. 1990. Consumption of atmospheric methane by tundra soils. *Nature* **356:** 421-423.
- Whalen SC and Reeburgh WS. 1996. Moisture and temperature sensitivity of CH4 oxidation in boreal soils. *Soil Biol Biochem* **28:** 1271-1278.
- Whalen SC. 2005. Biogeochemistry of methane exchange between natural wetlands and the atmosphere. *Environ Eng Sci* **22:** 73-94.
- Whittenbury R, Phillips KC and Wilkinson JF. 1970. Enrichment, isolation and some properties of methane-utilizing bacteria. *J Gen Microbiol* **61:** 205-218.
- Wishkerman A, Greiner S, Ghyczy M, Boros M, Rausch T, Lenhart K and Keppler F. 2011. Enhanced formation of methane in plant cell cultures by inhibition of cytochrome c oxidase. *Plant Cell Environ* **34:** 457-464.

Littoral wetlands are significant methane sources. Methanotrophs can reduce methane emissions in wetlands, but methanotrophs in littoral wetlands are poorly known. This thesis shows how the variable hydrological conditions, typical in littoral wetlands, affect functioning and diversity of methanotrophs in this environment. It shows also that nitrogen load does not disturb methane oxidation in littoral wetlands. In addition, the effects of sample handling practices on methanotroph detection was studied in five European laboratories.

Henri M.P. Siljanen

Freshwater Lake

Activity and Diversity of

Methanotrophs in a Littoral

Wetland of an Eutrophic Boreal

Publications of the University of Eastern Finland *Dissertations in Forestry and Natural Sciences*

isbn 978-952-61-0676-2