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Abstract

The Insular Celtic languages, such as Irish and Welsh, distinctively feature a morphophonemic process known as initial consonant mutation. Essentially the initial sound of a word changes due to certain grammatical contexts. Thus the word for ‘car’ may appear as *carr, charr* and *gcarr* in Irish and as *car, gar, char* and *nghar* in Welsh. Originally these mutations result from assimilatory phonological processes which have become grammaticalized and can convey morphological, semantic and syntactic information. This paper looks at the primary mutations in Irish and Welsh, showing the phonological changes involved and exemplifying their basic triggers with forms from the modern languages. Then it explores various topics related to initial consonant mutations including their historical development and impact on the grammatical structure of the Celtic languages. This examination helps to clarify the existence and operations of the initial mutations and displays how small sound changes can have a profound impact upon a language over time.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Insular Celtic languages, such as Irish, Scottish Gaelic, Welsh and Breton, notoriously feature a grammatical process known as initial consonant mutation. Basically this involves a change of the first sound of a word in certain grammatical contexts. Initial mutations along with: verb-subject-object (VSO) word order, inflected pronouns and autonomous verbs, among other features, cause the Celtic languages to stand out against the rest of the members the Indo-European language family.

In this paper, I introduce and exemplify the initial consonant mutations in Modern Irish and Modern Welsh. This paper also provides some considerations about how they came about and how they are used. Additionally, it shows briefly the relationship among the Celtic languages, that is between the P-Celtic and Q-Celtic branches. I then look at the two main mutations in Irish (lenition and nasalization), showing the phonological changes involved and illustrating the basic triggers with examples from Modern Irish. Following this, I do the same for the three primary mutations of Modern Welsh (soft mutation, spirant mutation and nasal mutation).

After covering the basic phenomena, the main section of the paper presents a few selected in which “topics” related to these mutations and explores them more deeply: an additional mutation known as GEMINATION; an occurrence of lenition in Breton; the role which analogical levelling plays in the development of the mutation systems; the so-called “direct object mutation” in Welsh; a more detailed exploration of nasalization (including a phonological innovation in Scottish Gaelic); the information that Latin loan words in Old Irish can shed upon the history of lenition in both Goidelic and Brythonic; the phenomenon of prefixing /u/ to /s/; circumstances which block lenition from occurring. All of these focus mainly on the historical development of the mutations and on “problems” in explaining their occurrences. Understanding the underlying triggers and seeing how they operate word-internally as well make initial consonant mutations seem less exotic and allows one to see the similarities that the Celtic languages have with other Indo-European languages.

Appendices at the end exemplify the mutations of Modern Irish and Welsh with examples to show all of the sounds changed and not changed by these processes. After these, I exemplify which
forms of the copula ‘to be’ in Old Irish and Middle Welsh trigger lenition, provide notes on the way in which I transcribe the examples, show the effect of the fixed and moveable versions of the perfective augment ro in Old Irish, and display the Ogham alphabet.

The synchronic and diachronic views of the Celtic consonant mutations show how an originally phonological process developed into a morphophonemic and even syntactic phenomenon. Examining the historical underlying forms especially gives insight into these mutations which seem “puzzling” when viewed on the surface. Additionally, initial consonant mutations demonstrate how a language can radically change over time. Assimilation commonly occurs in language, but in Celtic languages the assimilations became grammaticalized and play an essential role in their grammars.
II. Mutations and the Celtic languages

So-called initial consonant mutations serve as a primary distinguishing characteristic of the Insular Celtic language family. These set sound changes occur at the beginning of words due to historical conditioning factors which no longer exist, but whose effects (i.e. the mutations) remain. For example, in the Irish phrase *i gCarna* [ə ɡəɾˠən̪ˠə] ‘in Carna’, an /ŋ/ that was historically present in the word *i* [ə] ‘in’ influenced the consonant /k/ to become voiced /ɡ/: 

/in karna/ → /ŋ kkg/ → /ŋkk/ → /g̃g/ → /gθ/ → /gθ/ → /gθ/.

At later stages, therefore, the nasal trigger is no longer visible, but nevertheless its effect remains. Phonemic contexts no longer prompts the sound changes, but rather morphosyntactic features do. Linguists refer to this phenomenon as grammaticalization.

A process called analogical levelling in Celtic can also trigger mutation. For instance, in Old Irish the negative particle *ní* does not generally cause mutation, but does cause the mutation known as lenition (/kʲ/ → /xʲ/, [c] → [ç] here) when it incorporates a (null on the surface) neuter object pronoun—compare:

*ní céil* [n̺iː ɕeːlˠ] ‘he will not conceal’

*ní ēchöl* [n̺iː ɕøːlˠ] ‘he will not conceal it’

Whereas in Modern Irish *ní* lenites by rule (and infixed pronouns no longer feature in the language)—

*ní cheiltidh sé* [n̺iː ɕɛltʰidʰ ʃeː] ‘he will not conceal’

Such cases lack historical justification. See section VII for an expanded treatment of analogical levelling.

To go deeper, all six modern Celtic languages—the Goidelic (i.e. Gaelic) languages (Irish, Scottish Gaelic and Manx) and the Brythonic (or Brittonic, British) languages (Welsh, Breton and Cornish)—have initial consonant mutations. These mutations vary in their realizations and in the contexts which trigger them, but nonetheless they share many similarities from having

---

1 velarization (ˠ) will only be marked when the distinction between velar and neutral is important; except in the case of the sonorants, see Appendix vi.

2 see section IX.a.

3 grammaticalization—“generally regarded as a process by which linguistic elements (lexical, pragmatic, and sometimes even phonetic items) change into constituents of grammar, or by which grammatical items become more grammatical in time…it includes the functionalization/grammaticalization of phonological…features such as palatalization and initial mutations to distinguish different cases; number and gender in Irish…” (Brown p.129).

4 in traditional Old Irish grammar schemes such as Thurneysen’s *ní* causes the mutation known as gemination, the doubling of the initial consonant (GOI §240-243). This was rarely shown in even Old Irish and can be ignored for the moment, see section V.
originally occurred in similar phonetic contexts. The word ‘cat’, whose Common Celtic form /*katt-/ has the underlying forms /kat/ in modern Goidelic languages and /kaθ/ in modern Brythonic languages, undergoes the so-called LENITION mutation in all of the Celtic languages after the word ‘his’:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Modern Form</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Irish</td>
<td>chat</td>
<td>[o xʊt]</td>
<td>‘his cat’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scottish Gaelic</td>
<td>chat</td>
<td>[o xʰaʰt]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manx</td>
<td>chayt</td>
<td>[ɛ xɛt]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welsh</td>
<td>gath(e)</td>
<td>[i: gaθ (e)]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breton</td>
<td>gazh</td>
<td>[ɛ gaz]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornish</td>
<td>gazh</td>
<td>[i gaθ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In each case the original /k/ phoneme, after the morpheme ‘his’ changes to either /x/ in the case of the Goidelic languages or to /g/ in the case of the Brythonic languages.

Celtic languages were once widely spoken across Europe and into Asia minor. They compromise a sub-branch of the larger Indo-European language family. Continental Celtic languages, such as Gaulish, Leptonic, Celtiberian and Galatian, became extinct in the first half of the first millennium A.D. Only in Britain and Ireland did the Celtic languages survive; thus they are called Insular Celtic languages. This language family further divides into Goidelic and Brythonic, also referred to as Q-Celtic and P-Celtic respectively. The Goidelic languages include Irish (Gaeilge), Scottish Gaelic (Gàidhlig) and Manx (Gaelg), along with their common Old Irish (Goídelc) ancestor. Welsh (Cymraeg), Cornish (Kernewek / Kernowek) and Breton (Brezhoneg) make up the Brythonic family.

The terms Q- and P-Celtic derive from the treatment of the Proto-Indo-European /*kʷ/ which distinguishes between the two branches early in their split. In Goidelic this phoneme remained /*kʷ/ but later lost its labial quality and eventually became /k/, while Brythonic kept the labial
quality of the consonant, but lost the velar place of articulation, resulting in /p/ (which had otherwise disappeared in all Celtic languages)\(^5\).

\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text{Ogham Irish} & MAQQI & [\text{mak}^{\text{ii}}] & \text{‘son GEN’} \\
\rightarrow \text{Old Irish} & maicc / meicc & [\text{mac}] / [\text{m}\text{ie}c] & \\
\rightarrow \text{Mod. Irish} & mic & [\text{m}\text{ie}c] & \\
\end{array}
\]

Old Welsh 
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text{map} & [\text{map}] & \text{‘son’} & \\
\rightarrow \text{Mod. Welsh} & \text{mab} & [\text{ma:b}] & \\
\end{array}
\]

Likewise, initially, Old Irish \textit{cenn} [\text{c}\text{ɛ}\text{n̪ˠ}] ‘head’ and Middle Welsh \textit{penn} [\text{pen}] ‘head’.

This study takes Irish and Welsh as exemplars of these two groups of Insular Celtic languages. Manx and Cornish mutational systems have decayed by extinction and later revival; Scottish Gaelic developed mutations beyond the core Goidelic system and these are subject to great dialectal diversity; French has had an extensive influence upon Breton. While all of these are fascinating in their own rights, this examination of Celtic consonant mutation concentrates on Irish and Welsh. Irish and Welsh of all the Celtic languages enjoy the most extensive attention in scholarship. Having remained closest to their earlier attested stages, they are seen as most representative of their respective Goidelic and Brythonic groups. Additionally, they are the Celtic tongues with which I am the most familiar.

\(^5\) Interesting enough, all Celtic languages lost Proto-Indo-European *p. Hence Irish \textit{athair} (Old Irish [\text{aθəɾʲ}], Modern Irish [\text{að(ə)rʲ}], Latin \textit{pater}, Greek \textit{πατήρ}, Sanskrit \textit{pitār}- all of which descend from Proto-Indo-European *\textit{p}2\textit{tr-}. Irish words containing a /p/ are often loan words, such as \textit{peann} [\text{p}^\text{ʲ}ə:\text{n̪ˠ}] ‘pen’ from Latin \textit{penna} ‘feather, wing’. Compare this with the native Irish result of the PIE root *\textit{pet}- ‘fly’ (the same root which yielded Latin \textit{penna}; \textit{cían} [\text{c}ٰ\text{n̪}] ‘bird’). In Brythonic, this root produces \textit{cdn} [\text{cdn}] ‘bird’ in Welsh and \textit{penna} was borrowed into Welsh as \textit{pin} [\text{pin}] ‘pen.’ These Celtic forms of ‘bird’ come from the root *\textit{etnos} < *\textit{pet-no-s} (McCone 1996, p. 152). Earlier Irish loan words failed to accept this voiceless labial sound - hence \textit{Cáisc} [\text{kəːʃ}] ‘Easter’ from Latin \textit{Pascha}. In a like manner, Welsh readily accepted /p/ in loans – \textit{Pasg} [\text{pasg}] ‘Easter’. See section X on loan words in Old Irish. In later Irish /p/ was fully assimilated into the Irish sound inventory and is used in creating new native lexical items such as \textit{paor} [\text{p}^\text{w}i:\text{r}] ‘laughingstock, grudge’. Additionally, /p/ in Irish arises from the de-voicing of /b/ (i.e. \textit{scuabfaidh} [\text{skuəph}] ‘will sweep’) and other combinations (i.e. \textit{timecall} \rightarrow \textit{timpeall} [\text{timpeɔl}] \rightarrow [\text{fimpeɔl}] ‘around’).

\(^6\) Brythonic languages lost any distinction between cases before the language was recorded. However one can observe remnants in prepositional phrases such as the Welsh \textit{erbym} [\text{rəm}] ‘against’ of which the element –\textit{bym} derives the dative case (and soft mutation) of \textit{pen} [\text{pen}] ‘head’. This parallels the Old Irish \textit{ar-chiunn} [\text{aɾ(ə)ɾʲˈuń̪ˠ}] ‘before, facing’; \textit{ciunn} [\text{ciu̯n̪ˠ}] (modern \textit{cionn} [\text{ciːn̪ˠ}]) represents the dative case of \textit{cenn} [\text{cɛn̪ˠ}] ‘head’ (L&P §272). Welsh \textit{pen} and Old Irish \textit{cenn} develop from the Proto-Celtic NOM/ACC *k\textit{en}nō. In Welsh, the Primitive British dative ending –\textit{i} < -\textit{ō} caused the umlaut of /ɛ/ to /ɛ/ (L&P §181.6). The Irish dative derives from *k\textit{en}nō > *k\textit{en}nū (reconstructions adapted from Stifter, p. 46).
GENERAL ACCOUNT OF MUTATIONS IN IRISH AND WELSH:

III. IRISH

Irish has two main mutations: séimhiú [ʃeːvʲuː] (lenition, aspiration) and urú [urˠuː] (eclipsis, nasalization). Up through the Primitive Irish period phonetic conditions, such as the preceding word ending in a nasal or vowel, triggered the mutations. For example, under the influence of the final vowel of the preceding definite article the initial consonant of MAQ(Q)I /makʷi/, the genitive of *MAQ(Q)AS [makʷas] ‘son’, would undergo LEN (i.e. séimhiú), changing /m/ to [m]:

/*sindí makʷi/ → [sindoː makʷi] ‘of the son’

However, as mentioned, at later stages of the language the phonetic trigger, in this case the final /i/ of /sindí/, disappeared and morphophonemic triggers became responsible for the alteration of /m/ to [μi] instead. Thus, in Old Irish ‘of the son’ was in maicc [mˠiː μac], whose séimhiú originated from the now-lost vowel, but the trigger responsible for mutation had undergone “reinterpretation.” The fact that maicc follows the genitive singular masculine definite article now triggers LEN, traditionally notated in Irish grammars with a superscripted L—ין—in^1. This constitutes an example of the GRAMMATICALIZATION mentioned earlier.

Here follows a table representative, but not exhaustive, of common morphemes which trigger séimhiú in Old and Modern Irish:

^1 likewise a superscripted N for urú.
### Mutational context

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutational context</th>
<th>Modern Irish</th>
<th>Old Irish</th>
<th>English gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>possessive pronouns:</td>
<td>mo teach [mə hːxe:n]</td>
<td>mo thech [mo θʰex]</td>
<td>‘my house’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>do ‘thy’</td>
<td>do mbac</td>
<td>do mac [do ma:j]</td>
<td>‘your son’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a ‘his’</td>
<td>a chaorígh [a xːɾʲi]</td>
<td>a cháeraig [a xai̯ɾˠəɣʲ]</td>
<td>‘his sheep (PL)’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>some relative clauses:</td>
<td>a ghlann(s) tu</td>
<td>no-glanai [n̪ˠoˈɣlanˠi]</td>
<td>‘that thou cleanest’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“dative” case:</td>
<td>don mhnaoi bheag</td>
<td>don mnaí bicc</td>
<td>‘for the small woman’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbs:</td>
<td>ghoid [ɣɛd³]</td>
<td>(gatais [gadaʃ])</td>
<td>‘stole’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>past tense</td>
<td>goidfeadh [ɣɛtʃ]</td>
<td>(negatad [n̪ˠoˈgadˠə])</td>
<td>‘would steal’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conditional tense</td>
<td>ghoidchadh [ɣɛtʃx]</td>
<td>(negaitfeld [n̪ˠoˈgadˠʃə])</td>
<td>‘used to steal’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>imperfect tense</td>
<td>ní goidfídh [ŋiː ɣɛdˠb]</td>
<td>(nígéta [ŋiːjeːda])</td>
<td>‘will not steal’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative particles</td>
<td>ní goid [ŋiː ɣɛd]</td>
<td>(nígat [ŋiːʃ])</td>
<td>‘did not steal’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ní or (w/ simple past only)</td>
<td>níor goid [ŋiːɾˠ ɣɛd]</td>
<td>níro gast [ŋiːɾˠoʃ]</td>
<td>‘he was a druid’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>past tense copula:</td>
<td>ba dhraoi é [ba ɣɛiː ʰeː]</td>
<td>(ba druː)</td>
<td>‘he was a druid’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ba, ní ba (=níor), ar, nár</td>
<td>ní ba dhraoi é [ŋiː ba ɣɛiː ʰeː]</td>
<td>ní-po druːf [ŋiːbo ɾˠuː]</td>
<td>‘he was not a druid’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1 Mutational triggers based on Modern Irish; Old Irish examples included as well, in parentheses if the mutation differs from the modern language.

2 also do bhean bheag [gənʲ vʰe:nʲ vʰog] (as in the Official Standard)

3 do, from Old Irish ro, formally preceded all of these “past” tense forms, and still does in Munster dialects (do ghoid) and also in all dialects before vowels and lenited /f/: d’ól [doːl̪ˠ] ‘drank’ and d’fhág [dəg] ‘left’.

4 LEN in leniting relative clauses only; [ro’gad] normally in main clauses.

5 later negaiːfθad [n̪ˠoˈgadˠʃəd]

6 Old Irish gaitaid exceptionally has an -f-future (*gaitaid) by analogy with gaibid ‘takes’ and gairid ‘calls’ instead of the expected f-future (*gaitfid). Thurneysen, GOI §651.b.

7 often no LEN following ba in Conamara Irish especially on /d, t, g/ (Ó Siadhail (1988), p. 170): ba draoi é [bə drəiː ʰeː]. However, there usually is LEN with idiomatic adjective expressions such as ba mhaith liom [bə waː lʲiːm] ‘I would like’ (lit. would.be good with.me) and ba cheart dom [bə ɾˠæːt dum] ‘I should’ (lit. would.be right to.me).

8 no lenition after positive, non-relative, preterit of the copular; only gemination.
Analogy has also played a large role in the development of the mutational system and the mutations spread beyond their original bounds and became more standardized. The spread of séimhiú after genitive singular masculine nouns exemplifies this.

In Old Irish most masculine nouns in the genitive singular triggered LEN on a following adjective:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Noun</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOM</td>
<td>fer cóem</td>
<td>‘a nice man’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEN</td>
<td>fir chóim</td>
<td>‘of a nice man’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However for some classes of nouns, like u-stem and consonant-stem nouns, this was not the case because the genitive ending did not historically terminated with a vowel, as demonstrated by the Primitive Irish forms of the nouns to the right.

For example the nt-stem caraé /*karant-*/ ‘friend’:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Noun</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOM</td>
<td>caraé cóem</td>
<td>‘a nice friend’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEN</td>
<td>carat coím</td>
<td>‘of a nice friend’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#carat chóim

In Modern Irish on the other hand, all masculine genitive singular nouns cause LEN:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Noun</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOM</td>
<td>fear caomh</td>
<td>‘a pleasant man’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEN</td>
<td>fir chóimh</td>
<td>‘of a pleasant man’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Noun</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOM</td>
<td>cara(id) caomh</td>
<td>‘a pleasant friend’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEN</td>
<td>carad chóimh</td>
<td>‘of a pleasant friend’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#carad caoimh

Analogy can work in other ways as well. For example an instance of lenition being lost: in Old Irish masculine nouns underwent LEN after the definite article in the nominative plural, but feminine and neuter ones did not:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Noun</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>masculine:</td>
<td>in catt</td>
<td>‘the cat’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in chaiti</td>
<td>‘the cats’ (caíth)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feminine:</td>
<td>in cloch</td>
<td>‘the stone’ (cloch)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(in)na clocha</td>
<td>‘the stones’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1 Primitive Irish from Stifter, p. 45 for *girah ‘man’ and p. 162 for *karēh ‘friend’
2 # indicates an incorrect/unpermitted form
3 braces {} indicate the radical, unmutated form of a word.
neuter: \(a \text{ cenn}\) [\(\text{æn}^\text{̂}\)] ‘the head’ \{\text{cenn}\}

\((\text{in})\text{na cenn}(a)\) [\(\text{ɪn}^\text{̂}\text{a}\ \text{æn}^\text{̂}(a)\)] ‘the heads’

In Modern Irish the plural article is uniformly \(\text{na }[\text{n}^\text{̂}\text{a}]\) and causes no lenition:

\(\text{na }\text{cait}\) [\(\text{n}^\text{̂}\text{a}\ \text{kæıt}\)] ‘the cats’

\#\(\text{na chaít}\)

\(\text{na }\text{clocha}\) [\(\text{n}^\text{̂}\text{a}\ \text{kloxa}\)] ‘the stones’

\(\text{na ceanna}^4\) [\(\text{n}^\text{̂}\text{a}\ \text{æːn}^\text{̂}\text{a}\)] ‘the heads’ (MASC in Modern Irish)

The more complex array of mutational forms of Old Irish fell into a simple and standardized rule in the modern language.

\(\text{Séimhiú}\) historically took place between two vowels—whether word internally or word initially if in a close syntactic relationship between the two words exists. Phonologically this mutation realizes itself as a weakening of the manner of articulation, manifested by frictivization, or laxening or debuccalization (Green, Anthony (2006), p. 1949). By these assimilatory changes, the consonants become more vowel-like (Ó Dochartaigh (1978), p. 464).

\(\text{SHÉIMHIÚ}^5\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>radical</th>
<th>lenited form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>frictivization of oral plosives and nasal stop /(\text{m})/:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>ɾ Mod. (\rightarrow) h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>ɾ Mod. (\rightarrow) v/ɾ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>ɾ Mod. (\rightarrow) ɣ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>ɣ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>ɾ Mod. (\rightarrow) v/ɣ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

laxening of liquids and /\(\text{n}\)/:

| L | l |
| R | r |

---

4 also \(\text{cinn} \text{[ciːrn]}\)

5 for a more detailed depiction of lenition including palatalized variants and Modern Irish examples, see Appendix i.
debuccalization of fricatives:

\[
\begin{array}{cc}
    f & \emptyset \\
    s & h
\end{array}
\]

no change to vowels:

\[
\begin{array}{cc}
    V & V
\end{array}
\]

With the loss of the interdental fricatives /θ/ and /ð/ the system becomes less uniform in Modern Irish.

Urú results from a historical nasal sound in word final position which was lost in the Early Irish period due to apocope—the loss of final syllables. This nasal caused the voicing of voiceless sounds, the nasalization of voiced sounds, and the insertion of a “tense” dental nasal /N/ before a vowel. In the case of vowels, the nasal is actually the remnant of the formerly present nasal which persisted in front a vowel in contexts where it usually would have been lost in apocope.

\[\text{Urú}^6\]

\begin{align*}
\text{radical} & \quad \text{eclipsed form} \\
\text{voicing of voiceless stops} & \\
\text{and fricative} /f'/: & \\
p & b \\
t & d \\
k & g \\
f & v \\
nasalization of voiced stops: & \\
b & \text{mb} \rightarrow m \\
d & \text{Nd} \rightarrow N \\
g & \text{ŋg} \rightarrow \eta
\end{align*}

\[^6\text{for a more detailed depiction of eclipsis including palatalized variants and Modern Irish examples, see Appendix ii.}\]
dental nasal prefixed to vowels:

\[
\begin{array}{cc}
V & NV \\
\text{s} & \text{s} \\
\text{m} & \text{m} \\
\text{L} & \text{L} \\
\text{R} & \text{R} \\
\text{N} & \text{N}
\end{array}
\]

In early Old Irish the voiced plosives remained after the prefixed homorganic nasals, but the nasals soon overtook (i.e. “eclipsed”) them and they disappeared.

Formerly an “accidental” purely phonological occurrence, by the Old Irish period syntactic and morphological processes triggered the mutations. These mutations may or may not have an essential role in meaning. For example, the third person possessive pronouns in Irish share the identical form *a [ə]; only mutation or lack of mutation distinguishes between ‘his’, ‘her’ and ‘their.’ For example, the Modern Irish word *bróg* has three mutational by-forms:

- the radical (non-mutation): *bróg* \[br̩oːg\]
- the lenited form: *bhróg* \[vɾ̩oːg\]
- the eclipsed form: *mbróg* \[mɾ̩oːg\]

All of these are brought into play when combing *bróg* the third person possessive pronouns:

- *a bhróg* \[ə vɾ̩oːg\] ‘his shoe’
- *a bróg* \[ə br̩oːg\] ‘her shoe’
- *a mbróg* \[ə mɾ̩oːg\] ‘their shoe’

Any confusion of the mutated forms would change the meaning. Ofstedal (1962) claims that the mutation which word like ‘his’ trigger are a part of the word itself. Using the Scottish Gaelic *a mhàthair* \[ə ɾ̩aːʰaɾʲ\] (unlenited *màthair* \[maːɾʲ\] ‘mother’) as an example, he asserts that the morpheme ‘his’ consists of more than /ə/, but rather that the fricative quality ([+cont]) of the /ɾʲ/ belongs to it as well. The labial nature of /ɾʲ/ along with the rest of the word carries the meaning ‘mother’ (p. 97-98). Thus it is /ə/ + len which carries the meaning ‘his’ and the form

---

7 Conamara often has *bróg* \[bɾ̩oːj\] for the old dative singular of this class of noun usually replaces the nominative.
/ ʰə:ar/ exhibits syncretism, for it both carries the meaning of ‘mother’ and contains a part of the meaning of ‘his’.

On the other hand, in other instances the presence of mutation does not add anything to the meaning. The noun geata ‘gate’ has in the variations geata [ə:ɡə:tə], gheata [ə:ɡə:tə], and ngeata [ŋə:ɡə:tə]. When saying ‘at the gate’ the use of len or nas has no contrastive meaning in Modern Irish. Connacht and Munster dialects employ eclipsis, while Ulster Irish utilizes lenition here:

Connacht/Munster  ag an ngeata  [ɛɟ ə ñʲæ:tə]
Ulster  ag an gheata  [ɛɟ ə jaːtə]

The urú or séimhiú of geata adds nothing to the meaning. If one were to violate the rule and omit mutation here—ag an geata  [ɛɟ ə ɡə:tə]—one would be understood, but be grammatically wrong. Thus, in the case of Modern Irish prepositional phrases, if mutation did not exist, meaning would not be affected. Historically Old Irish, however, differentiated case in part by the different mutations in prepositional phrases.

\( \text{i} [i] \) ‘in(to)’:

with DAT, ‘in’  isin chnáim  [ɪsɪn̥ ɪzn̥a:ɾɪ]  ‘in the bone’  LEN
isin chridiu  [ɪsɪn̥ ɪzɾiðɪu]  ‘in the heart’  LEN

with ACC, ‘into’  isin cnáim  [ɪsɪn̥ ɡn̥a:ɾɪ]  ‘into the bone’  NAS
isin cride  [ɪsɪn̥ ɡɾɪdɪə]  ‘into the heart’  NAS

Modern Irish has no distinct inflectional accusative case and little trace of a dative. Dialectal choice of mutation in prepositional phrases has no consequence to meaning. In the Caighdeáin the preposition and article combination sa [sə] ‘in the’ uses len, while many dialects employ nas. The meaning ‘into’ is supplied periphrastically with the adverb isteach [ɪʃəʃæx].

sa chnáimh / gcnáimh  [sə ʃɾiːəɾɪvː / ɡɾiːəɾɪvː]  ‘in the bone’
\( 1^{0} \)
isteach sa chnáimh/ gcnáimh  [ʃɾɪʃəʃ ʃɾiːəɾɪvː / ɡɾiːəɾɪvː]  ‘into the bone’

However, as previously shown above, mutation still does affect the meaning in the case of possessive pronouns.

---

8 The only confusion could result from a word #ceata [cəːtsə] whose eclipsed from #geata [ɡəːtsə] would sound the same as the unmutated geata [ɡəːtsə].
9 official standard of Modern Irish, see Gramadach na Gaeilge agus litriú na Gaeilge – an caighdeán oifigiúil.
10 change of [n] to [ɾ] after stops (and [m]) is a feature of Connacht and Ulster dialects
Irish utilizes mutation in many different positions. The vast majority of mutational situations occur within noun phrases or on the verb. Beginning with noun phrases, mutation can either happen to the noun itself or the adjective. An outline of the syntactic positions in which initial mutations occur in Modern Irish follows (based on, and some examples adapted from, Ó Siadhaí (1995), Christian Brothers and Mac Congáil):

### III.a. Nominal Shéimhíú

LEN takes place on the noun after:

- the feminine singular definite article:
  
  * an **bhróg** \{bróg\} \([\text{o }\text{vr}^\text{i}:\text{g}]\) ‘the shoe’

- the masculine singular definite article:
  
  * an **fhir** \{fír\} \([\text{o}'\text{ph}^\text{ir}]\) ‘of the man’

- some prepositions with the article:
  
  * ag **an cathair** \{cathair\} \([\text{sg' }\text{xa}:\text{ho}'\text{r}]\) ‘at the city’ (Ulster)
  
  * do **chathair** \{cathair\} \([\text{go' }\text{x}:\text{(ho)'r}]\) ‘to/for the city’

- some prepositions:
  
  * do **bhcean** \{bean\} \([\text{go' }\text{v':e:n}]\) ‘to/for a woman’

- numbers 1-6:
  
  * **dá bhbróg** \([\text{ya': v'roj}]\) ‘two shoes’
  
  * **trí bhbróg** \([\text{tri: v'ro:gy}]\) ‘three shoes’
  
  * **cheithre bhbróg** \([\text{cehro' v'ro:gy}]\) ‘four shoes’

- possessive pronouns (SG1, SG2, SG3M):
  
  * **mo chara** \{cara\} \([\text{mo }\text{xa:r'ya}]\) ‘my friend’
  
  * **do chara** \{cara\} \([\text{do }\text{xa:r'ya}]\) ‘your friend’
  
  * **a chara** \{cara\} \([\text{o }\text{xa:r'ya}]\) ‘his friend’

- the vocative particle:
  
  * **a Shéain** \([\text{o }\text{qa:n}]\) ‘(oh,) Seán’
• the past tense of the copula:

\[
\text{ba mhuínteoir mé} \quad \{\text{múinteoir}\}
\]

be.PST teacher SG1

\[\text{[ba βu:nh'to:ɾ'me]}\]

‘I was a teacher’

• prefixes:

\[
\text{an-} \quad \{\text{maith}\} \quad \left[\text{a:n}^\text{v} \text{wa}\right] \quad \text{‘very good’}
\]

LEN happens to an adjective after:

• feminine nouns in the nominative singular:

\[
\text{bean mhóir} \quad \{\text{mór}\} \quad \left[\text{b}^\text{i}:n^\text{v} \text{wo:ɾ'}\right] \quad \text{‘big woman’}
\]

• feminine nouns in the dative singular:

\[
\text{ag an mnaoi mhóir} \quad \left[\text{e}^\text{ɾ} \text{mɾʲi: wo:ɾ'}\right] \quad \text{‘at the big woman’}
\]

\[
\text{ag an mbean mhóir} \quad \left[\text{e}^\text{ɾ} \text{mʲæ:n^v wo:ɾ'}\right] \quad \text{‘at the big woman’}
\]

• masculine nouns in the genitive singular:

\[
\text{an thiar mhóir} \quad \{\text{mór}\} \quad \left[\text{o} \text{ɾ'iɾ wo:ɾ'}\right] \quad \text{‘of the big man’}
\]

• nouns in the vocative singular:

\[
\text{a ghrá gheal} \quad \{\text{geal}\} \quad \left[\text{æx}^\text{ɾ} \text{ja:l}^\text{ɾ'}\right] \quad \text{‘oh bright love’}
\]

• plural masculine nouns ending in Cʲ (palatal consonant):

\[
\text{báid mhóra} \quad \{\text{móra}\} \quad \left[\text{b}^\text{ɑ:d} \text{wo:ɾ'ɾ} \right] \quad \text{‘big boats’}
\]

but:

\[
\text{buachaillí móra} \quad \left[\text{bu:xəli: mo:ɾ'ɾ} \right] \quad \text{‘big boys’}
\]

• singular nouns preceded by a number (2-10)

\[
\text{seacht mbó bhéaga} \quad \{\text{beaga}\} \quad \left[\text{ʃæxt mo: vəga} \right] \quad \text{‘seven little cows’}
\]

• the past tense of the copula:

\[
\text{ba dcneas an cailín í} \quad \left[\text{bɔ jæ:s ɾ ka:l'ɾi:n iː} \right] \quad \{\text{deas}\}
\]

be.PST nice DEF girl PRON.SG3.ACC

‘she is a nice girl’
• LEN may spread to multiple adjectives:

\[
\begin{align*}
    & \text{an bhean bheag bhán} \quad \{\text{beag, bán}\} \quad [\text{ə væŋə vɔg wər}] \quad \text{‘the small fair woman’} \\
    & \text{an thuir bhige dhuíbhe} \quad \{\text{bige, duibhe}\} \quad [\text{ə n̺iɾ vəɾəŋvəɾ}] \quad \text{‘of the small dark man’}
\end{align*}
\]

Additionally, proper nouns and definite noun phrases in the genitive case (whether overt or not) are lenited:

\[
\begin{align*}
    & \text{• os cionn dhóras an tí} \quad [\text{a:s ciŋə vəɾəs ə tʲiː}] \quad \{\text{dóras}\} \\
    & \text{above door DEF house.GEN}
\end{align*}
\]

‘above the door of the house’

\[
\begin{align*}
    & \text{• ar son Mháire} \quad [\text{ɛɾʲ son̪ˠ wəɾːə}] \\
    & \text{for the sake of Máire.GEN}
\end{align*}
\]

‘for Máire’s sake’

LEN also occurs internally in compound words on the beginning of the non-initial elements:

\[
\begin{align*}
    & \text{• bréagfholt} \quad [\text{ˈbɾʲeːɡəl̪t}] \quad \text{‘wig’} \\
    & \text{from bréag ‘lie’ + folt ‘hair’}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    & \text{• grianghraf} \quad [\text{ˈɟɾʲiːn̪ˠəɾˠəf}] \quad \text{‘photograph’} \\
    & \text{from grian ‘sun’ + graf ‘graph’}
\end{align*}
\]

### III.b. Nominal Urú

NAS takes place on nouns after:

• some prepositions with the article:

\[
\begin{align*}
    & \text{ag an gceathair} \quad \{\text{cathair}’\} \quad [\text{ɛj ə ga:(hə)ɾʲ}] \quad \text{‘at the city’} \\
    & \text{(Connacht & Munster dialects)}
\end{align*}
\]

• some prepositions:

\[
\begin{align*}
    & \text{i mbóstún} \quad \{\text{Bostún}\} \quad [\text{ə mɔɾˈʃuːn}] \quad \text{‘in Boston’}
\end{align*}
\]

• numbers 7-10

\[
\begin{align*}
    & \text{ocht gcloch} \quad \{\text{cloch}\} \quad [\text{əxt ɡl̪ˈox}] \quad \text{‘eight stones’}
\end{align*}
\]

---

\(^{11}\) dorás an tí considered definite and “genitive” – double genitive like *os cionn dhóraʃ an tí not permitted.
• genitive plural article:
  na bhfear {fear} [ɲ̪ˠvʰæ:rˠ] ‘of the men’

• plural possessive pronouns:
  ár dteach {teach} [o dʰæ:x] ‘our house’
  bhur dteach [o dʰæ:x] ‘your (PL) house’
  a dteach [o dʰæ:x] ‘their house’

• after the permanently lenited dhá [ɣɑː] ‘two’ when preceded by a plural possessive pronoun:
  a dhá mbád {bád} [oɣɑːmɑːd] ‘their five boats’
  versus:
  (a) dhá bhád [(o)ɣɑːwɑːd] ‘(his) five boats’
  a dhá bád [oɣɑːbɑːd] ‘her five boats’

• certain historical prefixes:
  éagóir [eːgoːrˠ] ‘injustice’
  (from é ‘neg. prefix’ + cóir ‘justice’\textsuperscript{12})

Numerals (except dhá ‘two’) may undergo ural following:

• the genitive plural definite article maː:
  na dtí bhó {tř} [ɲ̪ˠdɾʲʰbˠoː] ‘of the three cows’

• some prepositions in conjunction with the definite article:
  ag na n-ocht gcrann {ocht} [ɛɟɲ̪ˠoxt ɣrˠɑːnˠ] ‘at the eight trees’

• possessive pronouns:
  a gcúig theach {cúig} [ɔ guːjʰæ:x] ‘their five houses’

\footnote{12} very limited negative prefix, only found before nouns with radical of /c/, /t/ or /s/; c.f. GOI §872.
Conroy Mutations

III. Irish

• Old Irish:

inna cáerach mbáin [ɪn̪ˠəɡəɾˠəx m(ə)nʲ] ‘of the white sheep (PL)’
in cáeraig n-álaind\(^{13}\) [ɪn̪ˠɪɾˠəɡə:x m(ə)nʲdʲ] ‘the beautiful sheep (ACC.SG)’

• Munster Irish (Ó Buachalla 2003 & Ó Sé):

aige’n gcáoirigh mbocht [ɛɟən ɣiːɾˠiː moxt] ‘at the poor sheep (SG)’
aige’n gcáoirigh\(^{14}\) álainn [ɛɟən ɣiːɾˠiː a:ɾˠənʲdʲ] ‘at the beautiful sheep (SG)’

but #aige’n gcáoirigh n-álainn

\{bán, álaind, bocht, álainn\}

In verbs mutation is largely governed by particles, although mutation alone can also mark tense.

**III.c. Verbal shéimhíc**

Triggers for LEN on verbs:

• absolute (positive) forms of verbs in the simple past, imperfect/past habitual and conditional\(^{15}\)

  phógadar {póg}\(^{16}\) [foːɡəɾˠdəɾˠ] ‘they kissed’
  phógaidís [foːɡədʲiːʃ] ‘they used to kiss’
  phógfaidís [foːkədʲiːʃ] ‘they would kiss’

• negative particles ní and níor (níor simple past only, except for some irregular verbs):

  ní bhristidh mé é sin {brisfidh} [ɲʲiː vʲɾʲiːʃə mʲeː eː jǐnʲ] ‘I will not break that’
  níor fhág mé {fág} [ɲʲiːɾˠə fːəɡ mʲeː] ‘I did not leave’

---

\(^{13}\) bein is archaic accusative of ‘woman’, replaced by mnaí [mnaɪ] early in Old Irish (Stifter, p. 61).

\(^{14}\) caoirigh is the dative singular form rarely used outside of Munster, elsewhere it is the same as the NOM, i.e. caora [kʲəɾˠə]

\(^{15}\) In Classical Modern Irish LEN triggered by do [də], from the Old Irish perfective particle ro [ro], which can still appear in Munster Irish, ex. do phógadar.


\(^{16}\) standard = phóg siad [foːɡ jɪːd]
• simple past tense question particles:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{\textit{ar}} & \quad \text{phógar?} \\
\text{Q.PST} & \quad \text{\{pógar\}} \\
\text{\textit{dír}} & \quad \text{\textit{kiss}}.\text{PST.PL.3} \\
\end{align*}
\]

‘did they kiss?’

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{\textit{nár}} & \quad \text{bhris} & \quad \text{tú} & \quad \text{do} & \quad \text{láimh?} \\
\text{NEG.Q.PST} & \quad \text{break.PST} & \quad \text{SG.2} & \quad \text{POSS.SG.2} & \quad \text{hand} \\
\end{align*}
\]

‘didn't you break your hand?’

• “real condition” má ‘if’:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{\textit{má}} & \quad \text{bhiomn} & \quad \text{tú} & \quad \text{liom} \\
\text{if} & \quad \text{be.PRES.\text{HAB}} & \quad \text{SG.2} & \quad \text{with.SG.1} \\
\end{align*}
\]

‘if you are with me’

• direct relative clause particle \( a \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{\textit{an}} & \quad \text{fear} & \quad \text{a} & \quad \text{phógas}^{17} & \quad \text{an} & \quad \text{bhean} & \quad \text{\{póga\}} \\
\text{DEF} & \quad \text{man} & \quad \text{REL.PRT} & \quad \text{kiss.PRES.REL} & \quad \text{DEF} & \quad \text{woman} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\([\text{\textit{fæ:}}\text{rˠ} \text{\{fo:ga\}}\text{\textit{s}} \text{\{fo:ga\}}\text{\textit{s}}\text{\textit{v'}}\text{\textit{æ:}}\text{\textit{n̪ˠ}}\text{\textit{s}}\text{\textit{v'}}\text{\textit{æ:}}\text{\textit{n̪ˠ}}]\)

‘the man who kisses the woman’ / ‘the man whom the woman kisses’

• past tense particles (i.e. past tense verbs retain LEN):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{dúirt} & \quad \text{mé} & \quad \text{gur} & \quad \text{cheannaigh} & \quad \text{mé} & \quad \text{é} \\
\text{say.PST} & \quad \text{SG.1} & \quad \text{COMPL.PST} & \quad \text{buy.PST} & \quad \text{SG.1} & \quad \text{SG.3.M} \\
\end{align*}
\]

‘I said that I bought it’

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{dúirt} & \quad \text{mé} & \quad \text{nár} & \quad \text{cheannaigh} & \quad \text{mé} & \quad \text{é} \\
\text{say.PST} & \quad \text{SG.1} & \quad \text{NEG.COMPL.PST} & \quad \text{buy.PST} & \quad \text{SG.1} & \quad \text{SG.3.M} \\
\end{align*}
\]

‘I said that I did not buy it’

---

\(^{17}\) standard \( \text{phóga} \) [fo:ga'], correct special “direct relative form” of Classical Modern Irish \( \text{phóga} \) [fo:ga] (still used in Ulster). The Conamara form \( \text{phógas} \) is a mixture of the two.
sular tháinig mé {táinig} [sʊlərʰ hɑːnʲe mʲeː]
‘before I came’

cár fhán tú? {fan} [kɑːːrʰ aːnʲ tuː]
‘where did you stay?’

marar 18 fhág tú {fág} [maːrʰəɾˠ aːɡ tuː]
‘unless you left’

* past/conditional copula

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ba mhaith leat</td>
<td>‘you would like’ 19 {maith}</td>
<td>[bə wəːt]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ba múinteoir í</td>
<td>‘she was a teacher’ 19 {múinteoir}</td>
<td>[bə βuːnʲi个人观点 iː]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ní ba mhaith leat</td>
<td>‘you would not like’</td>
<td>[nʲiː bə wəːt]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>an mba mhaith leat</td>
<td>‘would you like?’</td>
<td>[o mə wəːt]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nach mba mhaith leat</td>
<td>‘wouldn’t you like?’</td>
<td>[ŋˠəːxt mə wəːt]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III.d. Verbal urú

NAS triggers on verbs:

- question particles:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>an duigeann tú?</td>
<td>‘do you understand?’ 15 {tuigeann}</td>
<td>[ə dʲɪɡənʲ tuː]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nach duigeann tú?</td>
<td>‘don’t you understand?’</td>
<td>[ŋˠəːx dʲɪɡənʲ tuː]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18 standard murar [muraːɾ]
19 (lit. would be well with you)
20 standard níor [nʲiːɾʲ]
21 standard ar [ærʰ]
22 standard nár [ŋˠəːɾʲ]
23 SINGULAR

\[
\text{na croinne} \quad \text{a} \quad \text{deugann} \quad \text{na} \quad \text{héin} \quad \text{astu} \quad \tag{tagann}
\]

[\[n̪ˠəkɾˠiən̺ʲtə ðə ɣən̪ˠən̺ʲə\]]
‘the trees which the birds come out of’

\[
\text{na croinnte} \quad \text{as a} \quad \text{deugann} \quad \text{na} \quad \text{héin}
\]

[\[n̪ˠəkɾˠiən̺ʲtə asə ɣən̪ˠən̺ʲə\]]
‘the trees out of which the birds come’

\[
\text{an bhean a} \quad \text{bhfuil} \quad \text{a} \quad \text{hathair tinn} \quad \{\text{fuil}\}
\]

[\[əvæːn̪ˠəwɪlʲəhæː(θ)əðiː niː\]]
‘the woman whose father is sick’

\[
\text{an fear a} \quad \text{bphotgann} \quad \text{an} \quad \text{bhean é}
\]

[\[əfæːɾˠəboːgən̪ˠəvæːn̪ˠeː\]]
‘the man whom the woman kisses’

• non-past negative relative particle nach (direct and indirect):

\[
\text{na croinnte} \quad \text{nach} \quad \text{deugann} \quad \text{na} \quad \text{héin} \quad \text{astu}
\]

[\[n̪ˠəkɾˠiən̺ʲtən̪ˠən̺ʲə ɣən̪ˠən̺ʲə\]]
‘the trees which the birds do not come out of’

\[
\text{an fear nach n-íosfaidh} \quad [o fæːɾˠən̪ˠəaːx ɣlʲiːsə] \quad \{\text{íosfaidh}\}
\]

[\[əfæːɾˠən̪ˠəaːx ɣlʲiːsə\]]
‘the man who will not eat’

---

24 standard crainn [kɾˠən̪ˠə]
25 also na hénacháidh [n̪ˠəhɛn̪ˠəxəiː] in Conamara Irish
26 this type of construction involves a resumptive pronoun; literally, ‘the trees, which the birds come out of them’
* a ‘whatever’:

```
an    áit   agus  a    bhfuil   inti
DEF  place   and  all-that.REL.PRT  be.PRES.ROA2  in:SG3
[ən̪ˠ aːtʲ agəs ð wɪlʲ ín̪ˠ ə]
'the place and whatever is in it'
```

* cá ‘where’:

```
cá  mbeidh  do    mhaí?  {beidh}  [k:ə mej də wa:k]
where  be.FUT  POSS.ROA2  son
'where will your son be?'
```

* complementizer particles:

```
dúirt  sí    go  nglanann  sí  {glanann}  [duːɾˠɪʃiː gə ŋlɑnəŋiʃiː]
say.PST  SG3.F  COMPL  clean.PRES  SG3.F.NOM
'she says that she cleans'
```

```
dúirt  sí    nach  nglanann  sé  {glanann}  [duːɾˠɪʃiː nax ŋlɑnəŋiʃeː]
say.PST  SG3.F  NEG.COMPL  clean.PRES  SG3.M.NOM
'she says that he doesn't clean'
```

* “unreal” dhá27 ‘if’ (with conditional or past subjunctive only):

```
dhá  mbeadh  airgead  agam 28  {beadh}  [və: mʲex æːɾˠəʃəd a:m]
if  be.COND  money  at.SG1
'if I were to have money'
```

* negative conditional mara ‘unless’ (negative of both dá and má, used with all tenses (but habitual present used in place of future)):

```
mara 29  mbeadh  airgead  agat 30  {beadh}  [məɾˠə mʲex æːɾˠəʃəd a:d]
NEG.if  be.COND  money  at.SG2
'unless you were to have money'
```

---

27 standard dá [daː]
28 often a’m [aːm] rather than agam [aːgəm] in Conamara
29 standard mura [mʊɾˠə], also dialectally mun/a [mʊnˠə]
30 often a’d [aːd] rather than agat [aːɡət] in Conamara
Conroy Mutations
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mara  bhfuil  mo  chara  anseo  [maːɾʲ  wɪlʲ  mə xaːɾʲ  ə nʲ[ə]]
NEG.if  be.PRES.CONJ  POSS.SG1  friend  here
‘if my friend is not here’

mara  mbíonn  na  cúití  sa  scioból  {bíonn}
NEG.if  be.PRES.HAB  DEF  hound.PL  in.DEF  barn
[məːɾˠə  mʲiː(ə)nʲ nə kuːtʲiː  sə  fʃoːvʲ]
‘if the hounds/greyhounds wont be in the barn’

• sol má 32 ‘before’:
sol má  n-imím  ar  scoil  {imím}  [solʲ (m)aː nʲimʲiː mʲ  eʲ skʲ]
bef  go.PRES.SG1  to  school
‘before I go to school’

• subjunctive particle go:
go  ndéantar  do  thoil  {déantar}  [ɡo  nʲiːnʲtəɾˠ  də hɫʲ]
PRT  do.PRES.AUT  POSS.SG2  will
‘may thy will be done’

III.e /t/ PREFIXED TO /s/

Additionally, after the definite article in LEN–triggering circumstances, a /t/ prefixes to nouns beginning with a /s/ that is followed by a vowel, /l/, /n/, or /r/. The /t/ “eclipses” the /s/ and the latter is not pronounced. Refer to section XI for the historical background. This change operates on:

• feminine singular nouns in the nominative (/accusative) and after prepositions (even ones which would normally eclipse):

  an  tseachtain  [ə tʲæːxtɔnʲ]  ‘the week’
ar  an  tsaíd  [æɾʲ  o  tɾʲaːd]  ‘on the street’
don  tsláinte  [ɡənʲ  tlaːntɔ]  ‘to/for the health’

---

31 or standard cúinna  [kuːnʲɪː] ~ [kuːnʲɪː] (or coin  [kɔnʲ], etc.)
32 standard sula  [suːlə]
masculine nouns in the genitive singular:

- not after prepositions in the standard language, but often dialectically:

  \[ \text{don sagart} \]  
  \[ [\text{gən̪ˠ sa:ɡəɾˠt}] \]  
  'to/for the priest'

  or

  \[ \text{don tsagart} \]  
  \[ [\text{ɡən̪ˠ ta:ɡəɾˠt}] \]

  \[ \text{ag an siopa} \]  
  \[ [\text{ɛɟ ə ʃʊpə}] \]  
  'at the shop'

  or

  \[ \text{ag an tsiopa} \]  
  \[ [\text{ɛɟ ə tʰupə}] \]

Analogy has played a large role in the contexts in which mutations apply in Irish. The Modern Irish system has been extended and simplified to cover more situations than are historically warranted, and sometimes discarded certain usages of mutation. However despite this simplification and proliferation of the system, large amounts of dialectal variations do remain in relation to mutations.
IV. Welsh

Representing the Brythonic Celtic branch, Welsh has three mutations – *treiglad meddal* (soft mutation, lenition), *treiglad llaes* (aspirate mutation, spirant mutation), and *treiglad trwynol* (nasal mutation). As with Irish, these mutations historically resulted from phonetic conditions, but now have morphological triggers.

Proto-Celtic *bostā*¹ ‘palm (of hand), fist’ — lenition after the definite article:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Welsh: bos ‘palm’</th>
<th>Irish: bos ‘palm’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>British: <em>sindā bostā</em></td>
<td>Primitive Irish: <em>sindā bostā</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>sinda βossa</em></td>
<td><em>sinda βossa</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>in βos</em></td>
<td><em>in βos</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ir βos</em></td>
<td>Old Irish: /in βos/ in bos(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid.W. /i βos/ y vos</td>
<td>/an βos/ an bos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mod.W. /o vos/ y fós</td>
<td>Mod. Irish: /o vos/ an bhos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

also compare:

Breton: /ʌʁ voz/ ar voz

Scottish Gaelic: /ə vas/ a’ bhas

Despite similar origins and comparable phonetic changes, the mutations of the Brythonic and Goidelic branches do not always involve exact corresponding phonetic processes. For example, the lenition (i.e. *sēimhīu* / *treiglad meddal*) caused by a vowel manifests itself as frictivization in Irish (with later developments), but as both frictivization and voicing in Welsh—applying to

---

¹ Proto-Celtic from MacBain, p. 30
originally voiced and voiceless consonants respectively. In the case of the len of /k/, Irish fricativizes to /x/ and Welsh voices to /g/:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Welsh</th>
<th>Irish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>indefinite:</td>
<td>definite:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cadair</td>
<td>y gadair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phonemic:</td>
<td>/kadaɪɾ/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phonological:</td>
<td>[kader]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gloss:</td>
<td>‘chair’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>‘the chair’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, in the case of bos above, both Welsh and Irish turn the stop into a fricative.

As with Irish, mutation in Modern Welsh varies greatly between dialects and especially between the literary language and the colloquial forms. In colloquial Modern Welsh, soft mutation extends its application at the expense of the other mutations. Instead of the “correct” ym Mangor [əmːaŋɡɔːr] ‘in Bangor’, a native speaker would be likely to produce yn Fangor [ən vɑŋɡɔːɾ], or even omit the mutation altogether yn Bangor [ən bɑŋɡɔːɾ]. The spirant mutation, too, often is ignored—such as after a [ə] ‘and’ where the literary mam a thad [maːm a ðaːd] ‘mother and father’ would be commonly pronounced mam a tad [maːm a taːd] in spoken Welsh.

However, positions of contrast cause this mutation to remain steadfast. As in Irish, the third person pronouns share the same phonetic structure [iː], but cause different mutations. Such positions of contrast cause the mutations to persist. For example, the Welsh ei [iː] ‘her’ causes the spirant mutation, even in colloquial forms, for the mutation itself carries semantic information:

- **ei thad (hi)** \(^3\) {tad} \([iː ðaːd (hiː)]\) ‘her father’
- **ei dad (e)** \([iː daːd (eː)]\) ‘his father’
- **eu tad (nḥw)** \([iː t aːd (n̥uːː)]\) ‘their father’

---

2 one can even see this internally in the words for ‘chair’—the Welsh has /d/ and the Irish /h/ (from /θ/), both of which ultimately go back to a common /t/, as both derive from the Latin cathe\(\text{d}a\) (MacBain, p. 75).

3 repeated/echoed pronouns not always necessary; see King, p. 81.

4 also commonly [nuː]
OUTLINE OF WELSH MUTATIONAL TRIGGERS:  

IV.a. M菩提

Treiglad meddal (soft mutation, lenition, len, like the Irish séimhiú, historically occurred to a consonant between two vowels. Phonologically, this manifests itself as a weakening of the manner of articulation, but not always in the same manner as in Irish. In Welsh, this mutation involves the frictivization of voiced stops, including the bilabial nasal /m/, and the voicing of voiceless stops and liquids. 6 Already in the Middle Welsh period (12th-14th centuries), the voiced velar fricative [ɣ] completely disappeared and the nasal labio-dental fricative [μ] lost its nasalization, and thus lenited /b/ and /m/ became identical (LHB, p. 543).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treiglad meddal 7</th>
<th>radical</th>
<th>lenited form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>voicing of voiceless stops</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and liquids:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ñ ⟨l⟩</td>
<td>l ⟨l⟩</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ñh ⟨rh⟩</td>
<td>r ⟨ɾ⟩</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frictivization of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>voiced stops:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>v ⟨ɾ⟩</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>δ ⟨dd⟩</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>ɣ</td>
<td>→ Ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>μ</td>
<td>Mod. → v ⟨ɾ⟩</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 based on King, *Modern Welsh*, some examples taken Ibid, others are my own.
6 See Appendix vii for more details, but phonologically there is no voice-voiceless differentiation in Welsh. Rather [± spread glottis], i.e. aspiration, differentiates ⟨p⟩ and ⟨b⟩. In the underlying form, however, the difference is treated here as [± voice]. Thus /p/ becomes [pʰ] in its phonetic realization and /b/ becomes [p] / [b].
7 for a more detailed depiction of soft mutation including Welsh examples, see Appendix iii.
8 this distinction of voiced and voiceless /r/ was not present in Middle Welsh (at least in any way determinable by the orthography) e.g. Evans, *A Grammar of Middle Welsh*, p. 9. The orthographical representation of [ɾʰ] as ⟨rh⟩ did not occur until the 16th century (LHB, p. 477).
Traditional treatments of Welsh grammar recognize two different types of mutation which apply to soft mutation: contact mutation and grammatical mutation. Specific words such as the definite article and prepositions trigger contact mutation. In grammatical mutation, grammaticalization has resulted in soft mutation fulfilling particular grammatical functions, such as the formation of adverbs, the marking of direct objects (or anything following the subject position, including semantic subjects see section VIII.a) and in inflected verbal forms in the colloquial language.  

IV.a.1. CONTACT SOFT MUTATION

Contact soft mutation occurs following:

- certain simple prepositions:
  
  \[ \text{dros bont} \rightarrow \{\text{pont}\} \quad \text{[dros bont]} \quad \text{‘over a bridge’} \]

- the feminine singular definite article
  
  \[ \text{y gadair} \rightarrow \{\text{cadair}\} \quad \text{[ə gader]} \quad \text{‘the chair’} \]

  - but not with /t/ or /ń/10:
  
  \[ \text{y llaw} \rightarrow \{\text{ə lay}\} \quad \text{[ə lay]} \quad \text{‘the hand’} \]

  \#y law

- feminine singular nouns (to adjectives):
  
  \[ \text{y llaw fawr} \rightarrow \{\text{mawr}\} \quad \text{[ə lay vaɭɾ]} \quad \text{‘the big hand’} \]

---

9 King, Modern Welsh p. 16

10 in Modern Welsh the mutation is seen as “blocked”, but historically they were lenited in the stage when the final vowel yet remained, but with the loss of the final syllables became voiceless after /n/ and /ɾ/ in the new word final position, thus there appears on the surface to be no mutation after the definite article \( yr \) and the complement marker \( yn \). (Evans, GMW, p. 20).
– LEN can affect multiple adjectives - compare:

\[ y \text{ ferch } \text{ fechan } \text{ dlos} \quad [\text{o ver} \chi \text{ ve} \chi \text{an } \text{dlos}] \quad \text{‘the small pleasant girl’} \]
{bechan, tlos}

with

\[ y \text{ bachgen } \text{ bychan } \text{ tlws} \quad [\text{o baygenres } \text{ baycan } \text{ tlus}] \quad \text{‘the small pleasant boy’} \]
{bychan, tlws}

• certain possessive pronouns (sg2 & sg3.masc):

\[ ei \text{ gath e} \quad \{\text{cath}\} \quad [\text{i: ga} \theta \text{ e}] \quad \text{‘his cat’} \]
\[ dy \text{ dy} \text{ di} \quad \{\text{ty} \}\] \[ [\text{də di: dì:}] \quad \text{‘your house’} \]

• dyna ‘there is…’

\[ dyna \text{ ddyn} \quad \{\text{dyn}\} \quad [\text{dəna } \text{dï:n}] \quad \text{‘there is a man’} \]

• neu ‘or’

\[ moron \text{ neu } \text{ dywys} \quad \{\text{tywys}\} \quad [\text{moron } \text{næ } \text{ðwi:s}] \quad \text{‘carrots or corn’} \]

• numbers (1 fem, 2 masc/fem…)

\[ un \text{ ferch} \quad \{\text{merch}\} \quad [\text{i:n } \text{ver} \chi] \quad \text{‘one girl’} \]
{merch}

(but un dyn \quad [\text{i:n } \text{di:n}] \quad \text{‘one man’})

\[ dwy \text{ ferch} \quad [\text{du\text{ʷi}: } \text{ver} \chi] \quad \text{‘two girls’} \]
\[ dau \text{ ddyn} \quad [\text{dəi } \text{dï:n}] \quad \text{‘two men’} \]

• some prefixes and “prefixed adjectives”:

\[ hen \quad \text{wlad} \quad \{\text{gwlad}\} \quad [\text{he:n } \text{wla:d}] \quad \text{‘old country’} \]
\[ rhag\text{farn} \quad [\text{rʰagvarn}] \quad \text{‘prejudice’} \]

(from rhag- ‘pre-’ + barn ‘judgment’)

---

11 also: dyma, dacw, yma, yna


LEN is blocked because of the homorganic consonants /n/ and /t/ coming together. See section XII.
• compound nouns:

\[ \text{gwreidd} \text{blewyn} \quad \{\text{gyrædvlæu}\} \quad \text{‘root-hair’} \]

(from \text{gwreidd} \text{yn} ‘root’ + \text{blewyn} ‘hair’)

• the complement marker (connective particle) \text{yn}, triggering len to nouns and adjectives (but not to /\text{lh}/ or /\text{r}/) only, but never to verbal nouns:

• noun:

\[ \text{mae} \quad \text{en} \quad \text{gyfieithydd} \quad \{\text{cyfieithydd}\} \quad \text{[mæ\ en gəvjaθondo]} \]

be.PRES SG3.M + PRT translator

‘he is a translator’

• adjective:

\[ \text{mae’r} \quad \text{dyn} \quad \text{yn} \quad \text{dost} \quad \{\text{tost}\} \quad \text{[mær di:n\ an\ dost]} \]

be.PRES + DEF man PRT sick

‘the man is sick’

• but no mutation on verbal nouns:

\[ \text{mae} \quad \text{hi’n} \quad \text{bwrw} \quad \text{glaw} \quad \text{[mæ h\ i:n\ buru\ glaː]} \]

be.PRES SG3.F hitting/casting rain

‘it’s raining’

\[ \text{IV.a.2. GRAMMATICAL SOFT MUTATION} \]

Grammatical soft mutation is triggered:

• after the subject on nouns, numerals, prefixed adjectives and verbal nouns (whether the subject is overtly present or not) i.e. so-called “direct object mutation”\textsuperscript{14}:

\[ (\text{mi/fe}) \text{weles i ddraig} \quad \{\text{mi/ve}\ \text{weles i: \d}\text{raig}\} \quad \text{‘I saw a dragon’ (colloquial)} \quad \{\text{draig}\} \]

\[ \text{gwelais ddraig} \quad \{\text{gwelaːs \d}\text{raig}\} \quad \text{‘I saw a dragon’ (literary)} \]

\[ \text{rho lyfr i mi} \quad \{\text{p\ o: lvr i: mi:}\} \quad \text{‘give (thou) me a book’}\textsuperscript{15} \quad \{\text{llyfr}\} \]

\[ \text{not *mae hi’n fwrw glaw} \quad \{\text{vuru…}\} \]

\textsuperscript{14} \text{see section VIII for further discussion} \]

\textsuperscript{15} \text{thought of as rho dl...}
mae rhaid i’ch tad fynd  ['your father must go'  

*adverbs (especially temporal)

**ddoe**  ['yesterday']  

ddau fis yn ôl  ['two months ago']

*vocative

blant!  ['(oh) children!']

*colloquially (optionally) on inflected verbs:

(mi/fe) goll est ti  ['you (sg) lost']  

(goll est ti ddim)  ['you didn’t lose']  

(goll est ti?)  ['did you lose?']

*following a word which disrupts the VSO pattern:

fe alla i weld hefyd ddarn o bapur  ['I can also see a piece of paper']

III.b. **Llaes**

Treiglad Llaes (spirant mutation, aspirate mutation) only affects the voiceless stops, which are spirantized. These spirants arise in origin from geminate consonants; see section V below on gemination for the historical phonological triggers.

---

16 even though eich tad ‘your father’ is not the grammatical subject (hi is, i.e. mae hi’in rhaid i eich tad fynd — literally “it is necessary for your father (to) go”), but it is the semantic subject.

17 also ni chollaist (ti) ddim.

18 From King p. 19. hyfed ‘also’ inserted between the verb gweld and the object o bapur — basic sentence fe alla i weld ddarn o bapur [ve a:ha i: weld ddarn o: bapir] ‘I can see a piece of paper’
TREIGLAD LLAES\(^{19}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radical</th>
<th>Spirantized Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>frictivization of voiceless stops:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>f (\phi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>(\theta)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>(\chi)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

no change:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radical</th>
<th>Spirantized Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\ddot{l})</td>
<td>(\ddot{l})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\ddot{r})</td>
<td>(\ddot{r})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f (\ddot{f})</td>
<td>f (\ddot{f})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V)</td>
<td>(V)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(Y\) treiglad llaes, as previously mentioned, is largely ignored in colloquial speech, but here follows its common triggers in the standard literary language:

• *a ‘and’:
  
  *cath a chi* \{ci\} \([ka:\theta a \chi:\]\) ‘a cat and a dog’
  
  *ci a cath* \{cath\} \([ki: a \chi a:]\) ‘a dog and a cat’

• *â ‘with’:
  
  *â chyllell* \{cyllell\} \([\chi o\ell\ell\ell var]\) ‘with a breadknife’
  
  *paid â phoeni* \{poeni\} \([pa\ddot{d} a fo\ddot{m}\ell\] \(\dot{\text{v}}\) ‘don’t (thou) worry’ \(^{20}\)

\(^{19}\) for a more detailed depiction of the spirant mutation including Welsh examples, see Appendix v.
• **chwe(ch)** ‘six’:

  \[
  \text{chwe phen} \quad \{\text{pen}\} \quad [\text{χwe fen}] \quad \text{‘six heads’}
  \]

• **ei** ‘her’:

  \[
  \text{ei chhylllyll (hi)} \quad [\text{i: χɬɪɬ (hi:)}] \quad \text{‘her knives’}
  \]

• **gyda, da** ‘with’:

  \[
  \text{mae llygoden gyda chath} \quad [\text{maɭ lago:den (go:da χa:θ}] \quad \text{‘a cat has a mouse’}
  \]

• **tri** ‘three’ (MASC)

  \[
  \text{tri ddyn} \quad \{\text{dyn}\} \quad [\text{tri: ði:n}] \quad \text{‘three men’}
  \]

• **tua** ‘about, towards’

  \[
  \text{tua Thỳ Crughyweldw} \quad [\text{tia ði: kri:ghɔwɛldu:] \quad \text{‘towards Tŷ Crughyweldw’}
  \]

Any of these could also be without the aspirate mutation; but in spoken Welsh it usually, but not always present, occurs with **ei** ‘her’ because the mutation provides contrast.

### III.c. **Trwynol**

*Treiglad Trwynol* (nasal mutation), like Irish *urú*, originates from a historical final nasal sound which was lost. Unlike Irish however, a single unified process characterizes this mutation—nasalization. The Welsh nasal mutation affects only the oral stops, which become nasals with the same place of articulation and voicing of the radical sound. Unlike Irish, vowels avoid modification.\(^{21}\)

\(^{20}\) colloquially: *paid poeni* [paɭ poʊni:]

\(^{21}\) This may also be due to the limited application of *treiglad trwynol*, which in Modern Welsh occurs after *fy* [və] ‘my’ (from /*men/) and *yn* [ən] ‘in’. The latter already ends in a nasal and the former is colloquially pronounced [s] or [Ø] before consonants undergoing the nasal mutation and [ən] before vowels and unmutable consonants such as /x/; for example, *fy chwaer i* [və xwaɭ i:] or [ən xwaɭ i:] ‘my sister’.
The nasal mutation has very few triggers and like the aspirate mutation native speakers often ignore it:

- **fy** (colloquially ‘yn, ø with nasal mutation only) ‘my’:
  - *fy nghi* (f) {ci} \(\rightarrow\) \(v\)\(\dot{\mathrm{o}}\)\(\dot{\mathrm{h}}\)\(\mathrm{i}\) (\(v\)\(\mathrm{i}\)) \(\rightarrow\) ‘my dog’
  - *'nhaed* (tad) \(\rightarrow\) \(\dot{\mathrm{h}}\)\(\mathrm{a}:\mathrm{d}\) \(\rightarrow\) ‘my father’ (colloquial)

- **yn** ‘in’ (final nasal assimilate with the (new) initial consonant):
  - *yn Nghyrn* {Cymru} \(\rightarrow\) \(\dot{\mathrm{a}}\)\(\mathrm{h}\)\(\mathrm{ɔmri}:\) \(\rightarrow\) ‘in Wales’
  - *ym Mhontypridd* \(\rightarrow\) \(\mathrm{h}\)\(\mathrm{ɔntyprri}:\mathrm{ð}\) \(\rightarrow\) ‘in Pontypridd’
  - *yn Nulyn* {Dulyn} \(\rightarrow\) \(\mathrm{ni}:\mathrm{lin}\) \(\rightarrow\) ‘in Dublin’

---

22 for a more detailed depiction of the nasal mutation including Welsh examples, see Appendix iv.
*some words (such as *blwyddyn* [bluˈðin] 'year' with the special plural form *blynedd* [blənɛð] used after numbers instead of the normal *blynyddoedd* / *blynyddau* [blənɔðoðɔ] / [ blənɔðɛ]) related to time may undergo this mutation after the numbers 5-10:  

(dwy blynedd) [duʰiː vənɛð] ‘two years’ (LEN)

(tair blynedd) [tər blənɛð] ‘three years’ (no mutation)

(pedair blynedd) [pedər blənɛð] ‘four years’ (no mutation)

(pum mlynedd) [pim mlənɛð] ‘five years’

(chwe mlynedd) [χwe mlənɛð] ‘six years’

(saith mlynedd) [səθ mlənɛð] ‘seven years’

(wyth mlynedd) [uθiː mlənɛð] ‘eight years’

(naw mlynedd) [naʊ mlənɛð] ‘nine years’

(deng mlynedd) [deŋ mlənɛð] ‘ten years’ (‘ten’ normally *deg* [deg])

The negative prefix *an-* triggers nasal mutation consistently. The mutation is fixed and these words have become lexicalized.

*a*mhosib [amʰosib] ‘impossible’ (an + posib)

## III.d. Mixed Mutation

Grammatically, Welsh also has a so-called “mixed-mutation” which uses *treiglad llaes* when it can apply (*p, t, k*) and *treiglad meddal* in other instances.

**Mixed Mutation:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frictivization of</th>
<th>Radical</th>
<th>Mixed Mutation Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voiceless stops:</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>f  &lt;ph&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t</td>
<td>θ  &lt;th&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>k</td>
<td>χ  &lt;ch&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

23 (chart adapted from King, p. 120)
fricativization of voiceless stops:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>b</th>
<th>v Ø</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>d dØ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>γ  Ø</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>μ Mod. Ø</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

voicing of voiceless liquids:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>l ll</th>
<th>l l</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>r rh</td>
<td>r r</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

no change to /n/, fricatives or vowels:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>f ff</th>
<th>f ff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mostly employed in the literary language, the mixed mutation helps mark the negation of inflected verbs:

- (ni) **ches** ²⁴ i (ddim)  
  ([ni:] χe:s i: (ðm)]  
  ‘I did not get’  
  (spirant mutation of ces)

- (ni) **fydd hi** (ddim)  
  ([ni:] við hi: (ðm)]  
  ‘she will not be’  
  (soft mutation of bydd)

In colloquial Welsh, however, soft mutation usually characterizes the negation of all inflected verbs.

- **ges i ddim**  
  [ge:s i: ðm]  
  ‘I did not get’

- **fydd hi ddim**  
  [við hi: ðm]  
  ‘she will not be’

---

²⁴ the most traditional form is **ni chefsais** [ni: χəvəs] - (ni) **ches i ddim** represents an intermediate form
“TOPICS” IN CELTIC CONSONANT MUTATIONS:

V. GEMINATION

Gemination (Gem), the lengthening (“doubling”) of consonants, which only plays a small role in Goidelic in comparison with lenition and nasalization, corresponds in origin to the spirant mutation of Brythonic. Gemination occurred in pre-Old Irish (but evidence of Gem already waning in the Old Irish period) to the initial consonant of a word when a closely connected word immediately preceding it originally ended in –s or post vocalic –t and –k (LHB, p. 634; the following Goidelic gemination examples adapted from LHB, p. 634-637.):

Primitive Irish *sindās rērās → Old Irish inna ríara [இnants r[iːɾˠəɾˠːə]]
‘the wishes/demands/modulations’

Primitive Irish *ūrit nek′on → Old Irish fri nech [fɾi n̪iːɾˠəɾˠːə]
‘against anyone’

Old Irish orthography showed gemination inconsistently with double consonants. In an early stage the consonants were likely pronounced long, but these simplified into normal consonants; and were often written so even in Old Irish – inna ríara and fri nech. Before a vowel, this -s, had been lenited to /h/ and became transferred to the Anlaut of the following word.

*esjās atir → *esyāh aθir → Old I. a athair [aθəɾʲ] → Mod. I. a hathair [ə hæ:(h)ɾʲ]

This however is not shown in Old Irish orthography, for as in late Latin, an initial ‹h› before a vowel represented a mere orthographical variant and its presence of absence does not indicate whether or not the word started with hV or V. In fact, typically, its presence orthographically signifies its nonexistence phonetically, while /h/ remains orthographically unrepresented when its functions as a phoneme:

\[
\begin{align*}
hi n-Érinn & \quad [ɨ n̺iːɾʲ-r̩] \quad \text{‘in Ireland’} \\
inna euchu & \quad [n̺iːɾˠ ẖɛχu] \quad \text{‘the horses (ACC.PL)’}
\end{align*}
\]

Old Irish gemination, nevertheless, would have still prefixed h- to vowels. Despite not being shown by Old Irish orthography, the pronunciation of this prefixed h- can be assured by Middle and Modern Irish forms. Moreover, Old Irish orthography also failed to consistently represent geminated consonants with double consonants. Additionally, the nasalization of /ν/, /ɾ/ and /n/ was often also shown orthographically with double consonants—Old Irish i nnim [ɨ nʲᵊm] ‘in heaven’ < Primitive Irish *in n̪eːjḥ < Proto-Celtic *in nemisi (Stifter, p. 177).
In Welsh one refers to the **spirant mutation** which shares its origin with Old Irish **gemination**, although as seen from above, in Irish gemination ceases to affect consonants because geminates merely simplify and “disappear”. In Brythonic, on the other hand, geminated consonants did not all turn to the corresponding single consonants. Voiced geminates developed as in Irish, but in the case of voiceless stops, Brythonic turned them into voiceless fricatives in the same place of articulation:

\[
\begin{align*}
*\text{kk} & \rightarrow /\chi/ \\
*\text{tt} & \rightarrow /\theta/ \\
*\text{pp} & \rightarrow /\theta/ 
\end{align*}
\]

Gemination/spirant mutation of Welsh arises from Common Celtic final –s, -ns, -x, and –k which triggered the doubling of the initial consonant of the following word (LHB p. 636). Geminated voiceless stops developed into voiceless fricatives, while other geminated consonants became un-geminated. Hence *y treiglad llaes* only affects voiceless stops. Additionally, the nature of the original final consonant determines what occurs before a vowel. If it were originally –s or –ns, an h- may be prefixed to the next word. If however, unlike Irish, Modern Welsh h-insertion does not closely follow this historical situation. Here follow examples adapted from LHB (p. 634-638) showing the historical development of gemination after *esį̆s / ei ‘her’*:

\[
\begin{align*}
*\text{esį̆s} \text{ kattos} & \rightarrow *\text{i ccatt} \quad \rightarrow \text{ei chath} \quad \text{‘her cat’} \\
*\text{esį̆s} \text{ brakkJ̣on} & \rightarrow *\text{i bbrech’} \quad \rightarrow \text{ ei braich} \quad \text{‘her arm’} \\
*\text{esį̆s} \text{ ognos} & \rightarrow *\text{i hoyN} \quad \rightarrow \text{ei hoen} \quad \text{‘her lamb’}
\end{align*}
\]

This did not consistently apply after an original final trigger:

\[
\begin{align*}
*\text{sindos} \text{ kattos} & \rightarrow *\text{in(n) ccatt} \quad \rightarrow \text{y cath} \quad \text{‘the cat’}
\end{align*}
\]

Jackson’s unclear explanation of why gemination does not occur after the masculine definite article, where it would be expected because of the final -s (except in Breton in the case of /k/ only; see below), seems to have the final –s of the article developing to –Σ ¹ which then combined with the initial half-long /k/ ² (represented as k(k) in LHB) of the noun to yield a full geminate (LHB p. 635):

\[
*\text{sindos} \text{ kattos} \rightarrow \Sigma\text{ndo}Σ \text{ k(k)atto}Σ \rightarrow \Sigma\text{ndo}Σ \text{ k(k)ato}Σ \rightarrow \Sigma\text{ndo} \text{ kka} \text{ato}Σ \rightarrow *\text{in(n) kkatt}
\]

---

¹ Jackson uses Σ to denote some sound intermediate between /s/ and /h/ and speculates that it was “perhaps a strongly aspirate [ʃh]?” (LHB, p. 517).
² all initial consonants considered to be “half long”
³ Jackson gives the form Σndo kkatt(Σ), but this fails to explain why the initial kk- did not follow the same path as other geminate consonants. If this gemination took place after the period in which voiceless geminates
This gemination of half-long /k/ after -Σ must have taken place after voiceless geminates developed into spirants. He refers to it as a “special case” and offers no explanation as to why its development differs from that of other words terminating in –s such as *esjās.

_Cath_ is now feminine in Modern Welsh and thus the article would cause soft mutation ( _y gath_ ). However, in Breton, masculine singular and non-human masculine plural nouns beginning with /k/- in Breton do mutate after the definite article, while all other masculine to not mutate (unless they are human nouns in the plural, then lenition occurs; see section VI). Perhaps the ordering of mutations differed dialectally or the same change did occur in Welsh but was later reversed by analogy. This special mutation operates in Breton after the definite article in singular masculine nouns, plural feminine nouns and non-human masculine plurals and curiously it only affects /k/. (in SG.MASC and PL.FEM this is what would be expected with the historical definite article ending in –s!) Thus (Breton from Press/ar Bihan, p.43):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noun</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kazh</td>
<td>[kaz]</td>
<td>'cat'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ar c’hazh</td>
<td>[ʌʁ xaz]</td>
<td>'the cat’ MASC.SG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ar c’hizhier</td>
<td>[ʌʁ xizjɛʁ]</td>
<td>'the cats’ MASC.PL.non-human</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kazetenn</td>
<td>[kazeten]</td>
<td>'newspaper’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ar gazetenn</td>
<td>[ʌʁ gazetɛɾ]</td>
<td>'the newspaper’ FEM.SG(LEN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ar c’hazetennou</td>
<td>[ʌʁ xazentɛɾ]</td>
<td>'the newspapers’ FEM.PL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For more on Breton mutation see section VI.

Old Irish gemination triggered by (examples from GOI §241-243):

- definite article _inna / na_ (MASC/FEM/NEUT accusative plural; FEM genitive singular; FEM/ NEUT nominative plural):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noun</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>inna-mmraithennacht</em></td>
<td>[ɪn̪ˠəˈm:raθɛnˈa:xte]</td>
<td>'of the treachery’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>inna-mmaccu</em></td>
<td>[ɪn̪ˠəˈm:aku]</td>
<td>‘the sons’ (ACC.PL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>inna-lǐáhar</em></td>
<td>[ɪn̪ˠəˈlːə:θɛɾˠ]</td>
<td>‘the dispensations’ (NOM.PL.NEUT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>forsna-mmórchol</em></td>
<td>[foɾˈsnˠəˈmːʊɾˠxolˠ]</td>
<td>‘on the great wickedness’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(double consonants inconsistently written to indicate gemination, but likewise optionally to show “nasalization/eclipsis” (i.e. non-lenition) of /l/,/m/,/n/ and /r/)

- a ‘her’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noun</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>a-mmuntar</em></td>
<td>[aˈmːuntarˠ]</td>
<td>‘her household’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>a argat</em></td>
<td>[a hargod]</td>
<td>‘her money’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

developed into spirants, than the initial could have remained /k/, for the spirantization of voiceless geminates fule no longer actively applied.
• prepositions:
  - a ‘out of’
    a-ppeccad  [a:pʲəkð]  ‘out of sin’
  - co ‘to’
    co-bbraith  [k isempty(246)ə bˠəθ]  ‘to Doomsday, forever’
  - la ‘with’
    la mmaccu  [la m:aku]  ‘with sons/boys’
  - fri ‘to, against’
    fri mnocch  [frʲi nʲəjɛx]  ‘against anyone’

• certain verbal particles (if not a part of a leniting relative clause and containing no infixed pronoun):
  ni-robe  [nʲi:ˈrˠəvʲe]  ‘has not been’
  ro-llaad  [rˠoˈlˠəd]  ‘has been put’

• na ‘nor’
  nʲfrithalim-se rucai na-mmebuil
  NEG.expect.1SG.PRES.EMPH.  shame  nor-disgrace
  [nʲi:ˈfrʲɪθəlʲəmʲʃɛrˠəgʲu nˠəmʲːɛβʊl]
  ‘I do not expect shame nor disgrace’

In Modern Irish the reflex of gemination only affects vowels, which have [h] prefixed to them. Consonants remain unaffected in these circumstances, but their non-lenition is significant. H-insertion occurs following 5:

• the 3rd singular feminine possessive pronoun:
  a hathair  [ə hæ:(hə)ɾʲ]  ‘her father’

• the MASC/FEM/NEUT “common” plural definite article na and the FEM genitive definite article na:
  na hën  [nʲə he:nʲ]  ‘the birds’
  na hάite  [nʲə hɑ:tʲə]  ‘of the place’

---

4 Modern Irish as peaca [ə pʲəkə]
5 (An Caighdeán Oifigiúil, p. 91-92)
Conroy Mutations

• the negative particle *ná* in negative commands:
  *ná hoscail*  
  [ŋˈɑː: hoskə]  
  ‘don’t open (SG)’

• certain prepositions/particles:
  *le húll*  
  [lˠɑː h(o)uːl]  
  ‘with an apple’
  *go hálainn*  
  [ɡə haːl̪ˠən̪ˠ]  
  ‘beautiful(ly)’
  *go hár*  
  [ɡə hɑːr̪ˠd]  
  ‘highly, beautifully’
  *ó mhaidin go hoíche*  
  [oː wa:daːn̪ˠ ɡə hiː(h)ə]  
  ‘from morning till night’

• “counting”/independent number particle *a* (also in Old Irish, but not shown):
  *a h-ocht*  
  [o hoxt]  
  ‘eight’
  *a haön déäg*  
  [o hiːn̪ˠëg]  
  ‘eleven’

• certain copular forms (to pronouns only):
  *ní hea*  
  [n̪ˠiː hæ]  
  ‘it (NEUT) is not’
  *cé hí*  
  [ceː hiː]  
  ‘who is she?’

but no /h/ added to nominals—

  *ní amadán é*  
  [n̪ˠiː aːmadən̪ˠ eː]  
  ‘he is not a fool’

Internal Gemination:

Related to these geminate mutations of external sandhi are word-internal changes due to gemination.

Here I show the development of internal consonants which parallel the changes of initial mutations. Proto-Celtic forms adapted from PCD.

• The following exemplify the intervocalic realization of Proto-Celtic /t/ in its lenited forms in Irish /θ/ and Welsh /d/:

  Proto-Celtic:             *katu-  
  Gaulish:  
  Old Irish:  
  Modern Irish:  
  Welsh:  

The Proto-Celtic language, too, had internal geminate consonants. In accordance with initial gemination, Irish geminates in all positions un-geminated into simple consonants, while in Welsh, voiceless geminates underwent frictivization and the voiced ones developed the same as

6 McCone (1996), p. 44
in Irish. According to Martinet (p. 200) voiced geminates word internally were rare in Brythonic, and in Goidelic they mainly arose due to the assimilation of two combining consonants— such as a nasal plus a voiceless stop (i.e. */nt/ yielding */dd/ – see below) or */d/ */g/ yielding */gg/ (ac(c)aldam [agaldəmun] ‘address(ing)’ from *ad-glādam; GOI §149.2).

• **Gemination** of /tt/: to /t/ in Goidelic and to /θ/ in Brythonic:
  
  | Proto-Celtic: | *katto-*, *kattä- (MASC/FEM) | ‘cat’? |
  | Gaulish: | cattos |
  | (Latin: | catta ) |
  | Old Irish: | cat(t) |
  | Modern Irish: | cat |
  | Welsh: | cath |

• *-nt- developed into */dd/ in Goidelic which then became /d/; no change in Brythonic:
  
  | Proto-Celtic: | *kantom | ‘hundred’ |
  | Old Irish: | cēt [ce:d] |
  | Modern Irish: | cēad [ce:d] |
  | Welsh: | cant [kant] |

• Finally, in both Irish and Welsh, simple voiced stops became voiced fricatives – in the case of /d/ → /ð/:
  
  | Proto-Celtic: | *budimā- | ‘army, band, troop’ |
  | Old Irish: | buiden [budən] |
  | Modern Irish: | buion [bʰi:(ə)n] (Classical Modern: budhlēan) |
  | Welsh: | byddin [bɔdn] |

---

7 loan from Latin
VI. Breton Lenition in Masculine Plural Human Nouns

I have largely ignored Breton up to this point and will not go into detail concerning its (four) initial mutations. However, I will briefly look at lenition of masculine plural nouns after the definite article.

In Breton most masculine plural nouns which refer to humans undergo lenition after the definite article, while other masculine plural nouns do not mutate—except sometimes /k/, see page 38 above (Breton examples from Press / ar Bihan, p. 43):

- paotr \[pɔʁt\] ‘boy’
- ar baotred \[aʁ bɔtʁɛd\] ‘the boys’
- martolod \[maʁtolod\] ‘sailor’
- ar vontoleded \[aʁ vɔntoledɛd\] ¹ ‘the sailors’
- tourist \[tuʁist\] ‘tourist’
- an douristed \[aŋ dɔʁisted\] ‘the tourists’

However, some exceptions do exist—plurals formed with –où:

- tad \[tad\] ‘father’
- an tadoù \[aŋ tadu\] ‘the fathers’

¹ or [vɔntoledɛd]

This mutation does not affect non-human plurals:

- penn \[pɛ̃n\] ‘head’
- ar pennoù \[aʁ pɛ̃nu\] ‘the pens’
- braog \[brɔʁg\] ‘sea bass’
- ar braoged \[aʁ braɔged\] ‘the sea bass(es) (PL)’

Adjectives, also, undergo lenition when following masculine human plural nouns (unless the noun ends in /l, m, n, r/ or a vowel, adjectives beginning with /k, t, p/ do not mutate) (Press / ar Bihan, p. 88-89):
In Old Irish, all masculine plural nouns lenited after the definite article and adjectives lenited after most masculine plural nouns (-o and –io stems; c.f. GOI §232.3):

- *ind fir thriúin* [ɪ̞nd fʲɪɾˠi: θʲɾʲi:ʊ̯nˠ] ‘the strong men’
- *na fir thriúna* [n̪ˠa fʲɪɾˠi: θɾʲeːnˠə] ‘the strong men’ (Irish)
- *na buachaillí thriúna* [n̪ˠa buːx̠ˠəlːiː θɾʲeːnˠə] ‘the stong boys’ (Irish)
- *na buachaillean thriúna* [n̪ˠa buːx̠ˠəlːənˠ tɾᵉːnˠə] ‘the strong/brave herdsmen’ (Scottish)

but
- *kigerien vat* [kiɡʲɛɾʲɛnˠ ə vˠat] ‘good butchers’
- *kizhier mat* [kizʃɛɾˠ ə mˠat] ‘good cats’

Only Breton and Cornish have preserved lenition of masculine plural nouns into modern times, although Willis notes that in Breton this mutation in literary (1986, p. 45). However, even in Modern Irish and Scottish Gaelic lenited forms of adjectives follow plural masculine nouns which end in a slender consonant, reflecting a now-lost final - i:

- *na fir thriúna* [n̪ˠa fʲɪɾˠiː θɾʲeːnˠə] ‘the strong/brave men’ (Scottish)

with out lenition.

2 or [kigʃɛɾʲɛnˠ vˠat]
3 also thriúin [θɾʲeːnˠə]
VII. ANALOGICAL Levelling

Here I expand upon the example of analogical levelling from the introduction. ANALOGICAL Levelling in Celtic languages can also trigger mutation, as referred to previously. For instance, in Old Irish the negative particle *ní* normally did not trigger LEN, but did elicit such a mutation when containing an “invisible” neuter infixed pronoun—compare:

\[
\begin{align*}
ní ceil & \quad [\text{n̺iː'cel̺}] \quad \text{‘he does not conceal’} \\
ní cheil & \quad [\text{n̺iː'çel̺}] \quad \text{‘he does not conceal it (neut.)’}
\end{align*}
\]

Whereas, in Modern Irish, *ní* triggers lenition by rule in the formation of the negative (there are no infixed pronouns in the modern language, nor a neuter gender):

\[
\begin{align*}
ní cheileann sé & \quad [\text{n̺iː çel̺̱n̺ˠ ʃe}] \quad \text{‘he will not conceal’} \\
ní cheileann sé é & \quad [\text{n̺iː çel̺̱n̺ˠ ʃe e}] \quad \text{‘he will not conceal it’}
\end{align*}
\]

Such cases lack historical justification; the fused neuter pronoun form of *ní* which caused LEN began to replace the non-leniting (actually geminating) *ní* in contexts of simple negation. M’Caughey attributes this substitution to the fact that these active verb forms commonly occurred with a neuter infixed pronoun (p. 73). Pressure from other LEN-triggering pre-verbal elements likely helped the spread of mutation in this context. For example lenition occurs after the negative *nad* in so-called LENITING RELATIVE CLAUSES:

\[
\begin{align*}
nad·ceil & \quad [\text{n̺ˠad̺ˈcel̺}] \quad \text{‘which/whom he does not conceal’}
\end{align*}
\]

Additionally this assimilatory process was likely further aided by the lenition which followed the extended negative form *nicon* [n̺iː'kon̺ˠ] (especially common in Northern Old Irish¹), thus:

\[
\begin{align*}
nicon·cheil & \quad [\text{n̺iː kon̺ˠ'çel̺}] \quad \text{‘does not conceal’}
\end{align*}
\]

So, historically unjustified lenition spread to the simple declarative negative particle *ní* out of analogy with all of the other similar circumstances in which mutation occurred.²

---
² hence Scottish Gaelic *cha* [xa]. In Ulster Irish negative forms based on *cha* appear as well. Has *cha* been brought to Ulster through contact and immigration from Scotland or did it develop “naturally”? Their limited use in Ulster Irish as well as appearance before a habitual present tense to denote future events (i.e. *cha bhíonn = ní bheidh*, c.f. Scottish *cha bhi(thidh)*) points to Scottish influence. However, throughout Ireland *má bhíonn* is used in place of #*má bheidh* to refer to future events.

¹ (McCone, EIV p. 174)
Indeed, by the Middle Irish period lenition spread so that it followed preverbal particles in general. The following exampled from Classical Modern Irish exemplify fixed post-preverbal particle lenition:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Old Irish</th>
<th>Classical Mod. Irish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ro·gab</td>
<td>[ro'gav] do ꞌghabh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>do·beir</td>
<td>[do'b'er] do·bhεir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>do·gní</td>
<td>[do'ŋn̺i:] do-ní</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following poem from the Book of Leinster, as edited by Carney (Éigse 1 p. 248), shows usage of the neuter infixed pronoun after the negative in the main clause. Interestingly the lenition here was added by Carney (it does not seem to appear in the manuscript):

A Dhē tuċ dam topur ndēr
do dīl mo c[h]ìnadh, ni c[hj]i:
ni toirr[h]œ[h] talam cēn braen,
ni naem cēn anam cēn dēr.

Carney’s translation of this poem follows:

‘O God give me a well of tears to atone for my sins - I shall not hide it; land is not fruitful without moisture, I am not holy while I remain without a tear.’

The Book of Leinster does not consistently mark LEN orthographically, as evidenced by Carney’s frequent bracketed insertion of ‹h›. LEN is certain on chinadh because of the preceding mo’, but the negative particle ni does not necessarily cause lenition. Both ni chēl ‘I shall not hide it’ and ni cēl ‘I shall not hide’ make sense.

---

3 (SnaG, p. 408-9, 412, 415)
4 Italicized portions of the poem indicate scribal notations and the parenthetical h’s indicate lenition supplied by the editor; macrons designate long vowels not indicated in the manuscript.
Below are various third person singular forms of *ceilid* ‘conceals’ that show the role that mutations can play in Old Irish verbal morphology:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>ceilid</em></td>
<td>‘he conceals’</td>
<td>[cɛlʲɪð]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ceileas</em></td>
<td>‘which/whom he conceals’</td>
<td>[cɛlʲəs]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>nícceil</em></td>
<td>‘he does not conceal’</td>
<td>[nʲiːcɛlʲ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>nádcheil</em></td>
<td>‘which/whom he does not conceal’</td>
<td>[nỳaðˈçɛlʲ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ní·ceil</em></td>
<td>‘he does not conceal’</td>
<td>[nʲiːçɛlʲ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>nad·cheil</em></td>
<td>‘which/whom he does not conceal’</td>
<td>[nˠaðˈɟɛlʲ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Furthermore, the negative relative particle also displays the role of analogy and levelling in the mutational systems of Celtic languages. In Old Irish *nád*- [nỳaːð] caused lenition, while the Modern Irish equivalent *nach* [nỳaːx] causes eclipsis (NAS):

- **O.I.**  
  *nád·chuirethar* [nỳaːðˈxʊɾʲəθəɾˠ] ‘which does not put’ LEN
- **Mod.I**  
  *nach gcuireann* [nỳaːx ɡɪɾʲən̪ˠ] ‘which does not put’ NAS

However, the discrepancy in forms results from more than a simple switch of mutation. Middle Irish used *nach* [nỳaːx] and *ná* [nỳaː] (derived from the negative imperative particle with the same form) indiscriminately, both of which had no effect on an initial consonant, but inserted /h/ before a vowel. By the time of Late Modern Irish period (after ca. 1600), the language had three forms of this negative relative particle, whose same form functioned for negative questions and the negative conjunct particle (SnaG, p. 460):

- **Ná**      
  *ná* [nỳaː]  ‘h-before a vowel’
- **Nach**    
  *nach* [nỳaːx]  ‘h-before a vowel’
- **Nacht**   
  *nacht* [nỳaːx]  ‘followed by eclipsis’

The literary language at the time favoured *nach* [nỳaːx]. Flaitrí Ó Malchonais (born 1560), from *Chuain na hOíche* in County Roscommon⁶, writes *nách tuigeand* [nỳaːx tɨɟən̪ˠ] ‘which does not understand’ (Modern Irish *nach dtuigeann* [nỳaːx d(ˈ)ɟoɲ]) and *nách fuil* [nỳaːx ˈwɪlʲ] (Modern Irish *nach bhfuil* [nỳaːx wɪlʲ]) in *Desiderius: Sgáthán an Chráibhaidh*, his ca. 1616 translation of the Spanish work *El Desesoso* (Ó Malchonais; CELT). However, his work also does contain

---

⁵ *H* indicates that the form prefixes /h/ before a vowel/causes gemination.

⁶ Ó Cléirigh, p. 34
some examples of the modern usage of nach. For example, he writes nach ceurife [ŋˈa:x ɡuɾˈfə] ‘which thou wilt not put’ (Modern Irish nach gceurfidh tú [ŋˈa:x ɡuɾˈ(h)ə tuː]).

The stem nach- is a variant form of nad originally used before infixed pronouns in Old Irish (my examples, but see Stifter, p. 187-8).

\[
\begin{align*}
hóre nachim\text{ch}arai & \quad [oːɾʲ e̞ 喃ˈa:xəmˈxarˠi] \quad \text{‘because thou dost not love me’} \quad \text{LEN} \\
hóre nach\text{carai}(m)\text{m} & \quad [oːɾʲ e̞ 喃ˈa:xəˈɡarˠəmʲ] \quad \text{‘because I do not love him’} \quad \text{NAS} \\
hóre nach\text{e}(c)\text{arai}(m)\text{m} & \quad [oːɾʲ e̞ 喃ˈa:xəkˈarˠəmʲ] \quad \text{‘because I do not love her’} \quad \text{GEM} \\
hóre nach\text{ch}arâm & \quad [oːɾʲ e̞ 喃ˈa:xəˈxəm] \quad \text{‘because we do not love it’} \quad \text{LEN} \\
\sim hóre nachid\text{ch}arâm & \quad [oːɾʲ e̞ 喃ˈa:xəxˈxəm] \quad \text{LEN}
\end{align*}
\]

In Middle Irish, this from nach- with the null leniting infixed neuter pronoun became a common replacement for nad-\(^1\) (GOI §863). This explains the form with /x/. As for the mutation, NAS in dependent form (required by nach) of irregular verbs became generalized (O’Rahilly (1932), p. 40), thus nach began to be analyzed as an NAS-triggering particle. Analogy with other verbal particles such as go (the positive conjunct particle) and an (the positive question particle) which both cause NAS likely contributed to the generalization of NAS in dependant verb froms.

Scottish Gaelic, which in general does not use the NAS inherited from Old/Middle Irish, does show generalization of NAS mutation in the dependant form of irregular verbs; e.g. thoir [hɔɾʲ] ‘give’ and na doir [ŋˈa ɗəɾ] ‘do not give’ (standard na toir [na tʰəɾ]) versus the Irish equivalents tabhair [təɾʲ] and ná tabhair [ŋˈaːː toːɾʲ]. See section IX.d. for more on NAS in Scottish Gaelic. Additionally, the form nach- with the null nasalizing infixed masculine pronoun (as in hóre nach-carai(m)m from above) most likely also influenced the latter form nach-\(^7\).

O’Rahilly ((1932), p. 39-44) traces the use of ecplipsis with nach through several stages, starting in the 16\(^{th}\) century. First irregular verbs with initial /f/ and /t/ were eclipsed. This spread to all verbs beginning with /f/, /t/, /c/ and presumably the rare /p/ (mostly loan words) as evidenced by Carswell’s 1567 writings, e.g. nach duigeand [ŋˈaːx dʒəɾn] ‘which does not understand’ and nach gceuidighceand [ŋˈaːx ɡiːdˈiːɾən̪ˠ] ‘which does not help’ (Ibid., p. 41). However, as exemplified by Ó Maolchonaire above, the process was by no means complete and forms

\(^7\) 〈cc〉 sometimes used to indicate the NAS of /k/; otherwise 〈gc〉
without NAS persisted - such as the *nách tuigeand* mentioned above. By the end of the 17\textsuperscript{th} century eclipsis after *nach* spread to the voiced stops and vowels as well and continues to do so in the language today.

However, while the Connacht, Ulster and standard versions of the language use *nach*, modern spoken Munster Irish employs *ná* another one the late Modern Irish options:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Munster</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Example</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>ná fuil</em></td>
<td><em>nach bhfuil</em></td>
<td><em>ná fuil</em></td>
<td>‘which/that/who is not’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>nach bhfuil</em></td>
<td>‘is X not?’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ná tuigeann</em></td>
<td><em>nach dtuigeann</em></td>
<td><em>ná tuigeann</em></td>
<td>‘who understands’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>ná tuigeann</em></td>
<td>‘doesn’t X understand?’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VIII. “DIRECT OBJECT MUTATION”

A traditional Welsh grammar such as Gareth King’s *Modern Welsh* states that *treiglad meddal* (soft mutation, lenition – c.f. section IV.a) occurs after the subject NP of a sentence (which has VSO order)—even if it features a covert subject pronoun (such as in imperatives): (p. 21-22; some examples adapted from King):

\[
\text{gwelest} \quad \text{ti} \quad \text{ddynes} \quad \{\text{dynes}\}
\]
\[
\text{see.} \text{SG2.PRET} \quad \text{PRON.SG2} \quad \text{woman}
\]

[gwelest ti: ðanes]

‘you saw a woman’

\[
\text{gwelodd} \quad y \quad \text{ddynes} \quad \text{brydferth} \quad \text{iawn} \quad \text{gyda}
\]
\[
\text{see.} \text{SG3.PRET} \quad \text{DEF} \quad \text{woman} \quad \text{beautiful} \quad \text{very} \quad \text{with}
\]

\[
\text{ilygaid} \quad \text{gwyrddion} \quad \text{gŵn} \quad \{\text{cŵn}\}
\]
\[
\text{eye.PL} \quad \text{green.PL} \quad \text{dog.PL}
\]

[gwelðð ð ðanes brødverð jaun gada ləged gwirðijɔn gu:n]

‘the very beautiful woman with green eyes saw dogs’

\[
\text{rho} \quad \text{ddwy} \quad \text{bunt} \quad \text{i} \quad \text{mi!} \quad \{\text{dwy}\}
\]
\[
\text{give.SG2.IMPER} \quad \text{two} \quad \text{pound} \quad \text{to} \quad \text{PRON.SG1}
\]

[يثο: ðoi bint i: mi:]

‘give me £2!’

King interprets as if the subject pronoun were overtly present:

\[
\text{rho} \quad \text{di} \quad \text{ddwy} \quad \text{bunt} \quad \text{i} \quad \text{mi!}
\]
\[
\text{give.SG2.IMPER} \quad \text{PRON.SG2} \quad \text{two} \quad \text{pound} \quad \text{to} \quad \text{PRON.SG1}
\]

[يثο: ði: ðoi bint i: mi:]

In this way soft mutation differentiates subject from object, for soft mutation occurs on the object after the subject NP of the sentence. Compare:

\[
\text{gwelodd draig} \quad \{\text{gwelðð draig}\} \quad \text{‘a dragon saw’}
\]
\[
\text{gwelodd hi ddraig} \quad \{\text{gwelðð hi: d̥raig}\} \quad \text{‘she saw a dragon’}
\]

The modern literary register still features the possibility of null subjects inherited from Middle Welsh—a pro-drop language. In such an instance without an explicit subject, a lenited noun
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directly following the inflected verb would signify that it functions as the direct object and not the subject of the VP; the (pronominal) subject, in this case hi ‘she’, is understood:

\[ gwelodd\ d\draig \] ‘she saw a dragon’

However, this particular parameter setting has changed in the modern colloquial language and subjects are obligatory. We call the modern language a NON-NULL SUBJECT LANGUAGE. Soft mutation, having no clear lexical trigger, but only a syntactic one appears to operate in these circumstances. Thus, the so-called “direct object” soft mutation seems to mark ACCUSATIVE CASE (of indefinite nouns—the definite article’s mutational features overrule any other ones); however, this mutational phenomenon features more complexity than simple case marking. Roberts (1997, 2005) argues this theory. Additionally, it remains important to note that only the first direct object which immediately follows the verb can receive the soft mutation, for this mutation does not spread, unlike len on multiple adjectives following feminine singular nouns. So not

\[ \#gwelwn\  ni\ lwynog,\ wiwerod\ a\ d\draig \]

‘we will see a fox, squirrels and a dragon’

but rather

\[ gwelwn\ ni\ lwynog,\ gwiwerod\ a\ draig \]

\[ gwel\n\ ni: luw\n\ _i\n\ n\l\ w\n\ l\ g\ w\i:\ w\ e\ r\ o\ d\ a\ dra\ i\ g \]

\{lwynog, gwiwerod, draig\}

VIII.a. MODERN WELSH – ACC CASE OR XP TRIGGER?

Sometimes soft mutation appears “unexpectedly” on elements other than direct objects, while at other times direct objects fail to receive soft mutation. For example, in ‘The Syntax of Welsh "Direct Object Mutation" Revisited’, Tallerman takes an example from Morgan’s Y treigladau a’u cystrawen and displays soft mutation of an “extraposed subject” - one that does not have its usual placement directly after the verb (p. 1760-61, example Ibid.):

\[ mae\ ‘n\ dy\ arwain\ [gwmwl\ niwl\ a\ cholo\n\ d\n\] \{cwmwl\} \]

\[ mae\ ‘n\ dy\ arwain\ [gwmwl\ niwl\ a\ cholo\n\ d\n\] \{cwmwl\} \]

‘a cloud of mist and a column of fire is guiding you’

literally: ‘there.is to your guiding, a cloud of mist and a column of fire’
(the subject is cwmwl niwl a cholofn dân [kumol nul a χolɔvn de:n])

The above exhibits a grammatical, but very literary language usage. Mutation occurs when a constituent such as yn dy arwain interrupts the normal VSO word order. In normal colloquial Welsh the subject would directly follow the verb and would not have soft mutation:

mae [cwmwl niwl a cholofn dân] yn dy arwain

[ma̯ kumol nul a χolɔvn de:n ən da arwaːn]

‘a cloud of mist and a column of fire is guiding you’

By showing this she calls into question the notion that accusative case governed by the verb causes the mutation, but rather posits that XPs (such as the subject NPs or the VP yn dy arwain in this example) actually trigger the soft mutation — not abstract accusative case. I will further explore this XP Trigger Hypothesis below.

Some problems with the soft mutation of direct objects as a way to mark accusative case include sentence pairs such as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gwelas</th>
<th>i</th>
<th>ddraig</th>
<th>vs.</th>
<th>(ni) welas</th>
<th>i</th>
<th>ddim</th>
<th>draig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>see.SG1.PST</td>
<td>SG1</td>
<td>dragon</td>
<td></td>
<td>NEG</td>
<td>see.SG1.PST</td>
<td>SG1</td>
<td>NEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ewelas i: ðraig]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[(ni:) welas i: ðim draig]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‘I saw a dragon’ ‘I did not see a dragon’

Here the negative particle ddim (from dim ‘nothing’ — originally ‘anything’), co-occurring with an often covert pre-verbal ni, takes the soft mutation and the direct object retains its radical. In the periphrastic present tense construction using bod ‘to be’ and the verbal noun, the direct object is likewise unmutated:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>dw</th>
<th>i'n</th>
<th>gweld draig / #ddraig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>be.SG1.PRES</td>
<td>SG1  + PARTICLE</td>
<td>seeing dragon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[duwi:n gweld draig]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‘I see/am seeing a dragon’

Draig in both of these examples certainly does act as the grammatical object and would logically receive accusative case. How then could we explain the non-mutation of the direct object draig in welas i ddim draig and dw i'n gweld draig? In the case of both the negative and verbal noun, the non-mutation could be explained by positing that an abstract genitive case must
follow nominal elements such as *dim* and the verbal noun *gweld*. Irish operates this way (although erosion of the genitive commonly takes place dialectically):

\[
\text{tá sé ag tógáil an tí}
\]

\[\text{be.PRES SG3.M PARTICLE building DEF house.GEN}\]

\[\text{[tːʃeː toːgːɒl a tːiː]}\]

‘he is building the house’ (NOM *teach* [tːʃeː] ‘house’)

Welsh has no evidence of a distinctive genitive (or accusative) form on the surface—the case terminations fell out of use early in Brythonic (see note 5 on p. 6), but the usage of possessive pronouns to indicate the object of a verbal noun does provide further support for an abstract genitive case in Welsh:

\[
dw i'n ei weld e \{gweld\}
\]

\[\text{be.SG1.PRES SG1+PARTICLE POSS.SG3.M seeing SG3.M}\]

\[\text{[duːiːn iː weld e]}\]

‘I see/am seeing it/him’ (literally: ‘I am to his seeing’)

Non-lenition hypothetically could mark this abstract genitive case and therefore explain the lack of mutation after verbal nouns and *ddim*. However, Tallerman rejects the role of abstract case in triggering mutation on nominal elements. Rather, she argues that “a constituent bears SM [soft mutation] when it is immediately preceded by some phrasal constituent, XP” (p. 1752). Essentially, the non-finite verbal nouns (i.e. verbal nouns) are not considered phrasal constituents and therefore do not trigger mutation. She supports this with examples such as (adapted from Tallerman p. 1754; originally taken from Thorne (1993)):

\[
\text{…yn rhagweld yn 1721 dranc yr iaith Gymraeg}
\]

\[\text{PARTICLE YN FOR-SEEING in 1721 death DEF language Welsh}\]

\[\text{[an pʰagweld on miːl saːθ daː iːn draŋk or jaːθ gɔmrəŋ]}\]

‘…foreseeing in 1721 the death of the Welsh language’

This excerpt displays soft mutation of the nominal object of a verbal noun, which the temporal adjunct prepositional phrase *yn* 1721 precedes. Were abstract case the cause of soft mutation, then ‘death’ should appear in its unmutated form *tranc* and not *dranc* because, according to the argument above, it would have genitive case which does not feature soft mutation. Hence, she proposes that the interposed PP triggers the soft mutation. Predicate-type sentences, whose the
subject has LEN if something else intervenes between it and the verb, provide further support for the XP Trigger Hypothesis:

\[
\text{mae } \text{yn } \text{y castell } \text{draig}
\]

there is in DEF castle dragon

\[
\text{[maɪə kastɛl draɪ]}\]

‘There’s a dragon in the castle’

In the above sentence the intervening PP \textit{yn y castell} displaces the subject \textit{draig} from its normal position after the verb and for that reason it becomes mutated. \textit{Draig} here has no reason to receive accusative case. Tallerman attributes the mutation to the XP that intervenes between the verb and the subject. The normal word order for this would be:

\[
\text{mae } \text{draig } \text{yn } \text{y castell}
\]

there is dragon in DEF castle

\[
\text{[maɪ draɪ kastɛl]}\]

‘There’s a dragon in the castle’

Next we will look at Middle Welsh and Old Irish data, after which we will attempt a diachronic explanation to this mutational phenomenon by analyzing the underlying representations of Proto-Celtic Insular verbs. The debate between case and XP trigger does not concern me here, for I am exploring the mutation from historical phonological grounds—the original underlying trigger for initial consonant mutations. Middle Welsh grammarians such as Evens refer to subject and object mutation and not case or XP triggers.

\textbf{VIII.b. Middle Welsh Variation}

In the complex Welsh mutational system, from Old to Modern, much analogical levelling must have taken place. In Middle Welsh the systemic mutation of objects and non-mutation of subjects (with the exceptions noted above) had not yet solidified, and thus samples can exhibit variation, with the lenition of some subjects and unmutated form of direct objects without the soft mutation which often characterizes them in Modern Welsh (Evans, GMW § 21; Middle Welsh examples from the same).

Middle Welsh displays subject-lenition particularly following third singular imperfect and pluperfect verbal forms:
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ny uynhei Gaswallawn y lad ynteu

[ni vənheji gaswlaunə lað ənteǔ]
‘Caswallawn did not wish to slay him’

ny angassei Uendigeituran eiryoed ymywn ty
NEG contain.SG3.PLPF Bendigeidfran ever in.a house

[ni anasej vendigejdvran eirjoə əmiŋ ti]
‘Bendigeidfran had never been contained in a house’

Less frequently, LEN can be observed after third person singular preterite and imperative forms:

a fan welas Urwanen y mab
and when see.SG3.PRET. Branwen POS.SG3.F son

[a fan welas vranwen i: ma:b]
‘and when Branwen saw her son’

gwnaet bwp velly {pawp}
do.SG3.IMPER all likewise

[gwnaδ bað veliː]
‘let all do likewise’

An additional common trigger of LEN can be found in early Welsh poetry when a plural nominal subject follows a plural verb form rather than a singular verb form (which had become the standard in Modern Welsh):

yn Aber Cuawc yt ganant gogeů {eogeů}
in Aber Cuawc AFFIRM.PART sing.PL3.PRES cuckoo.PL

[ən aber kuawg əd ganant gogeu]
‘in Aber Cuawg cuckoos sing’

ymgetwynt Gymry {Cymry}
see to(R).PL3.PRES Welshman.PL

[əmgeduŋt gamri]
‘the Welsh will see to it’
Subjects also sometimes undergo LEN when separated from the verb (as in Modern Welsh with an intervening XP):

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
\text{y} & \text{mae} & \text{yno} & [\text{wr} \ \text{du}] \\
\hline
\text{AFFIRM.PART} & \text{there is} & \text{there} & \text{man black}
\end{array}
\]

[\text{w} \ \text{r} \ \text{du}]

‘there is there a black man’

but not consistently:

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
\text{dybyd} & \text{Gymry} & \text{gwarth} \\
\hline
\text{come.SG3.PRES} & \text{Welshman.PL} & \text{shame}
\end{array}
\]

[\text{d} \ \text{b} \ \text{i} \ \text{d} \ \text{g} \ \text{m} \ \text{r} \ \text{g} \ \text{w} \ \text{r} \ \text{h}]

‘s shame will come to the Welsh’

\footnote{1} Middle Welsh had no distinct future tense. The present indicative of main verbs denoted verbal time in the simple present, “gnomic” (universal) present, consuetudinal (habitual) present, historical (narrative, “dramatic”) present and in the future. The present of verb \textit{bot} [\textit{bod}] ‘to be’ could also signify action continuing up until the present (GMW §119). Examples Ibid.

\begin{itemize}
\item simple:
  \[
  \text{gwr} \ a \ \text{dywedy}
  \]
  ‘thou speakest true’
\item gnomic (no definitive time reference)
  \[
  \text{dyn} \ a \ \text{wyl} \ \text{y} \ \text{brechewyn} \ \text{yn} \ \text{lygat} \ \text{arall} \ \text{ac} \ \text{ny} \ \text{wyl} \ \text{y} \ \text{trawst} \ \text{yn} \ \text{y} \ \text{lygat} \ \text{e} \ \text{hun}
  \]
  ‘man sees the speck in another’s eye, but does not see the beam in his own eye’
\item historical
  \[
  \text{nyt} \ \text{k} \ \text{ynt} \ \text{yd} \ \text{yskynn} \ \text{ef} \ \text{ar} \ \text{y} \ \text{urch}, \ \text{no} \ \text{yd} \ \text{a} \ \text{hitheu} \ \text{hebdaw} \ \text{ef}
  \]
  ‘no sooner had he mounted his horse, than she passed him by’
\item consuetudinal
  \[
  \text{ef} \ \text{a} \ \text{wyl} \ \text{pawp} \ \text{o} \ \text{r} \ \text{a} \ \text{del} \ \text{y} \ \text{mewn}, \ \text{ac} \ \text{nys} \ \text{gwy} \ \text{wyl} \ \text{neb} \ \text{efo}
  \]
  ‘he sees everyone who enters, and no one sees him’
\item future
  \[
  \text{minheu} \ \text{a} \ \text{baraf} \ \text{idaw} \ \text{ef} \ \text{aynet} \ \text{y} \ \text{sseghi} \ \text{y} \ \text{bwy} \ \text{t} \ \text{yn} \ \text{y} \ \text{got}
  \]
  ‘I will make him go and tread down the food in the bag’
\item \textit{bot}: past action continuing up to present
  \[
  \text{yr} \ \text{hynny} \ \text{hyt} \ \text{hediw} \ \text{yd} \ \text{wyl} \ \text{i} \ \text{yma}
  \]
  ‘from that day to this I have been here’
\end{itemize}
In Middle Welsh len of the direct object of a verb usually occurs, especially when it is detached from the verb (i.e. by the subject):

\[
y \quad kynhelis \quad Bendigeiduran \quad Uranwen
\]

\[
\text{AFFIRM.PART} \quad \text{support.SG.PRET} \quad \text{Bendigeidran} \quad \text{Branwen}
\]

\[
[\text{o kynhelis bendigeidvran vranwen}]
\]

‘Bendigeidfran supported Branwen’

However, the direct object sometimes keeps its unmutated initial consonant—in particular after the third person singular present indicative, third person singular present subjunctive and third person preterit:

\[
ef \quad a \quad geif \quad march \quad iach
\]

\[
\text{PRON.SG.M} \quad \text{REL.PART} \quad \text{get.SG.PRES} \quad \text{horse} \quad \text{healthy}
\]

\[
[\text{ev a gei}v \text{ mar}^{\chi} \text{ ja}^{\chi}]
\]

‘he shall have a sound horse’

rather than the anticipated

\[
ef \quad a \quad geif \quad march \quad iach \quad \{march\}
\]

\[
[\text{ev a gei}v \text{ var}^{\chi} \text{ ja}^{\chi}]
\]

As in Modern Welsh, lenition does not usually follow impersonal forms:

\[
\text{Mod.Welsh} \quad \text{gwelwyd draig} \quad \{\text{gweloid draig}\} \quad \text{‘a dragon was seen’}
\]

\[
\text{Mid. Welsh} \quad \text{gwelat dreic} \quad \{\text{gwelad dreig}\}
\]

But in can sometimes in Middle Welsh (never in Modern):

\[
\text{pan} \quad \text{dreithir} \quad \text{draethawd} \quad \{\text{treathawd}\}
\]

\[
\text{when} \quad \text{sing.IMPERS.PRES} \quad \text{song}
\]

\[
[\text{pan dre}j{\theta}r \text{ dra}j{\theta}a{\text{θd}}]
\]

‘when a song is sung’

\[\text{caffa(e), cahel, cael} \text{ is an irregular verb, and thus may have had different endings in British and thus not mutate in the anticipated way.}\]
Lenition of the “object of destination” occurred following verbs of motion:¹

\[
gwyr\text{ a} aeth \quad \text{Gatraeth}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text{man.PL} & \text{REL.PART} & \text{go:SG3.PAST} & \text{Catreath} \\
\text{[guir a ajθ gatrajθ]}
\end{array}
\]

‘the men/warriors went to Catraeth’ (from *Y Gododdin*)

Since older forms of Welsh feature pro-drop and Primitive British and Common Celtic likely did as well, direct objects would have come directly after an inflected verbal form frequently—whenever the subjects were covertly “pronominal”. It is likely that a former phonological feature of some inflected verbs triggered the mutation of the next word in the phrase (viz. a vocalic ending which would trigger LEN on the word which follows it). The evidence from Middle Welsh above shows that verbs triggered mutation on subjects and nouns irregularly. N.B. – subject LEN cannot occur after verbs not in third person because any following noun would necessarily be an object. Presumably, a verb originally only triggered LEN if it terminated in a vowel, but after the loss of final syllables the once allophonic distinctions of LEN vs. non-LEN blurred and blended together because the trigger was lost. Eventually, grammaticalization could have influenced the system so that subjects keep the radical and objects mutate have soft mutation. Of course the issues of the exact trigger discussed above remain relevant and the original trigger in Brythonic and Middle Welsh may not be the same as in Modern Welsh, for analogy developed in originally phonemically conditioned system into one ruled by morphology and syntax.

Interestingly L&P notes that many of the Middle Welsh rules for lenition of the subject (and object) persisted until the end of the 16th century (well into the Modern Welsh period) (§ 237 note 4). Willis ((1986), p. 68), citing Evans (1909) notes that subjects could lenite following certain verb forms until the 18th century. The Modern language, however, never lenites subjects directly following verb forms, but of course LEN may be triggered, buy something else, such as the definite article.

---

¹ (c.f. “fixed” soft mutation of adverbs in Modern Welsh: gartref [gattrəv] ‘at home’ from cartref′[kartrəv] ‘home’ — nouns used adverbially usually have *treiglad mekkəd*
VIIIc. OLD IRISH SUPPORTIVE EVIDENCE

Turning to the Goidelic branch, Old Irish provides support to the Middle Welsh evidence that subjects, objects and more could be mutated following finite verb forms. Thurneysen states that, “in later sources…lenition is also found, though not consistently, after any verb, whether the following word be object, subject or attributive” (GOI §233). Examples Ibid.:

-object LEN:

\[
\text{do·rignius} \quad \text{chomgnimu} \\
\text{do.} \text{SG1.AUGM.PRET} \quad \text{joint-deed.PL.ACC} \\
\text{[doˈrʲiɲus xoʊmɲiːuːu]} \\
\text{‘I have just done joint deeds’}
\]

\[
\text{con·toat} \quad \text{chucai} \\
\text{turn.PL3.PRET.REL} \quad \text{to.PRON.SG3.M} \\
\text{[konˈdoad xugiatan]} \\
\text{‘who turned to him’}
\]

-subject LEN:

\[
\text{fiachimm} \quad \text{chéin} \\
\text{point.SG1.PRES} \quad \text{self.SG1} \\
\text{[fuːxəmʲ')}}</code>
\text{čɛːn]'} \\
\text{‘I myself point’}
\]

The subject occasionally also undergoes LEN following the predicate (GOI §233.2):

\[
\text{ni} \quad \text{gnáth} \quad \text{chommsuidigud} \\
\text{bc.NEG.3SG} \quad \text{usual} \quad \text{composition} \\
\text{[ɲiː gnaːθ xoʊmʃuðiŋuð]} \\
\text{‘composition is not usual’}
\]

\[
\text{gnim} \quad \text{dom-sa} \quad \text{thindnacol} \\
\text{action} \quad \text{to.SG1.emph} \quad \text{bestowing} \\
\text{[ɡnʲim doʊsə ˈtnʲdnəkɔl]} \\
\text{‘transmitting is action for me’}
\]

GOI §233 notes that, “According to later bardic teaching, the object after the verb may be lenited or not optionally…” The traditional Irish \textit{comardad} [kʊ̞ɾ̥dəd] ‘rhyme’ system may have contributed to this practice of optional mutation and non-mutation. In order for consonants
to rhyme in classical Irish metrics they must agree in class and quality (palatalized or non-palatalized). These classes consisted of sounds sharing certain characteristics. Here are the six classes according to Stifter (p. 302-303) and Knott (p. 5) – note that the fricatives and lenited liquids all belong to separate classes than their unlenited counterparts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Medieval Irish name</th>
<th>Phonetic characteristics</th>
<th>Rhyming phonemes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>na trí chonnsuine chruidhe</td>
<td>voiced stops /b/, /d/, /g/</td>
<td>/s/, /š/, /ks/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>na trí chonnsuine bhoga</td>
<td>voiceless stops /p/, /t/, /k/</td>
<td>/s/, /š/, /ks/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>na trí chonnsuine gharbha</td>
<td>voiceless fricatives /f/, /θ/, /x/</td>
<td>/l/, /ɾ/, /n/, /ŋ/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>na seacht gconnsuine éadroma</td>
<td>voiced fricatives &amp; lenited liquids /v/, /ð/, /ɣ/</td>
<td>/l/, /ɾ/, /n/, /ŋ/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>na cúig chonnsuine theanna</td>
<td>unlenited liquids /L/, /R/, /N/, /ŋ/</td>
<td>/s/, /š/, /ks/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>connsuine aimrid nach cóir a modh ar biot gan s. cile na haghaidh</td>
<td>/s/</td>
<td>/s/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
N.B. Thurneysen (§ 6) remarks on frequent scribal spelling errors in the Milan glosses (from which the examples with *chomgnímu* and *chucai* were taken) and errors in regards to ‹c› for [x] and ‹ch› for [k] would affect the interpretation of this phenomenon. Lenition (of even the voiceless stops) appears to have been inconsistently marked orthographically in even the *topur ndér* poem from the Book of Leinster (see above, p. 45). However, seeing as Thurneysen does not mention this inconsistency in relation to LEN after verbal forms, there must be enough evidence from other more precise orthographic sources.

These trends coincide with the Welsh data and suggest that the now-lost final syllable of the verbs originally triggered the mutation still noun found on Welsh direct objects. However, we must also take into consideration that the mutation does often spread by analogy.

VIII.d. **Evidence from Reconstructed Proto-Celtic Verbs**

Could we consider historic verbal endings terminating in a vowel the cause of this mutation, in a analogous manner to the way in which historic vowels triggered mutation in the other cases we examined? To begin, let’s consider a couple of different reconstructions on what the Proto-Insular Celtic verb endings for –a stem verbs in the present indicative may have looked like:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stifter</th>
<th>Kortlandt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>absolute conjunct</td>
<td>absolute conjunct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1sg -āmi</td>
<td>-āmi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2sg -āsi</td>
<td>-āis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3sg -āti</td>
<td>-āt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1pl -āmosi</td>
<td>-āmos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2pl -ātesi</td>
<td>-ātes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3pl -anti</td>
<td>-ant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stifter: from *Sengoidele*, p. 67.

Kortlandt: from *Italo-Celtic origins and prehistoric development of the Irish language*, p. 159-160.
Could these two different reconstructions represent different stages of “Proto-Celtic” or would acceptance of one mean rejection of the other? If Stifter’s reconstruction is right, and this were still the verbal system when lenition first occurred phonemically, then this could explain why objects and subjects were lenited after verb forms. In the absolute conjugation all forms in this particular tense end in a vocalic element, and thus lenition may have spread to the next word—like it did after the definite article, nouns and prepositions, etc.:

\[ /\text{pàttà}t\text{í̃} k^w\text{rannun}/ \rightarrow /\text{ássatì̃ kranna}n/ \rightarrow /\text{àsa}θi̊̃ xraNan/ \rightarrow /\text{àsa}θi̊̃ xraN/ \rightarrow ^*\text{ásdaid} \text{ chrann} \]

which would later, by analogy with other forms yield the attested Old Irish form:

\[ \text{ása}ïd \text{ crann} \quad [\text{á}:\text{sa}θ^w \text{ kr^a}n^\text{y}] \quad \text{‘a tree grows’} \]

A likely candidate in providing the analogy would be the corresponding negative:

\[ /\text{nís-pàttà}t \text{ k^w\text{rannun}}/ \rightarrow /\text{nís-ássat kranna}n/ \rightarrow / \text{nìh-ássat kkraNan}/ \rightarrow /\text{nìh-ása kraN}/ \]

which yields the Old Irish:

\[ \text{ní-ás ã crann} \quad [\text{nì}:\text{ha}:\text{sa kr^a}n^\text{y}] \quad \text{‘a tree doesn’t grow’} \]

Primitive Ogam (or Ogham) Irish inscriptions, being funerary and boundary markings, contain no verbs, and the Ogam alphabet does not differentiate between mutated and non-mutated sounds, for at that time they were still allomorphs conditioned by phonological circumstances. Additionally the 20-25 letter alphabet adapted from Latin could never adequately represent the phonemic inventory of the language. Oftentimes consonants appear doubled in Ogam inscriptions, oftentimes where a lenited consonant should be. Pederson suggested that it may have been a mark of lenition (Carney (1979), p. 419). So, lenition could have begun on nouns which followed verbal forms ending in a vowel and then later spread to following other historically unjustified forms as well. Old Irish eventually disregarded any lenition of the first indefinite noun in NPs directly following a finite verb form, but Welsh could have

---

4 In his *Indogermanisches etymologisches Wörterbuch* Pokorny attributes *ás(a)id* as a possible Old Irish reflex of the PIE root *pāt* ‘to feed, to nourish, to pasture’ (*füttern, nähren, weiden*) combined with a -t- infix (p. 787).

5 A typical Ogam inscription resembles *QRIMITIR RON(A)NN MAQ COMOGANN* ‘of the priest of Rónán, the son of Comgán’ (from Mt. Brandon in County Kerry). According to Stifter, p.12 this exemplifies later Primitive Irish and would have been something like *QREMITERI RONAGNI MAQI COMAGAGNI* when taken back before certain sound changes and the apocope of final syllables. In classical Old Irish the phrase would have developed to *cruimthir Rónáin maic Comgáin*. This inscription is from Mount Bandon in county Kerry.

6 see Appendix viii
morphologized the originally phonologically conditioned process to help distinguish between subject and object—since British lost case distinctions very early in its attested history.

Since very early Welsh still shows some lingering signs of an absolute/conjunct system similar to Old Irish (Brythonic discarded this distinction very early), we could posit a similar explanation to explain mutation following Welsh verbs—including the so-called “direct object mutation”. Interestingly, according to Evens (§129.d), almost all Welsh verbal forms derive from the absolute forms of Insular Celtic, but conjunct endings won out in the 3rd singular.

**Absolute vs. Conjunct in Middle Welsh**

- **-pereid vs. para:**
  
  \[-pereid\] vs. \[para\]:

  \[-pereid\] y rycheu,
  last.SG.3.PRES ABS DEF furrow.PL

  \[ny\] \[phara\] \[a’e\] \[goreu\]
  NEG \[last.SG.3.PRES CONJ\] AFFIRM.REL.PART.+POSS.PL3 have-made.SG3.PRET

  \([	ext{pereid } \text{ rycheu } \text{ ni fara } \text{ a’i goreu}]
  \[
  \text{‘the furrows last, he who made them lasts not’}
  
  \[
  -\text{tyuid vs. tyf}:
  \[
  \[-\text{tyuid}\] vs. \[\text{tyf}\]:
  
  \[-\text{tyuid}\] \[mabon,\] \[ny\] \[\text{thfy}\]
  grow.SG.3.PRES ABS infant NEG grow.SG3.PRES CONJ

  \[y\] \[\text{gadachan}\]
  POSS.SG3.M swaddling-clothes

  \[
  \text{[tawid mabon ni thiv i gadachan]}
  \[
  \text{‘an infant grows, his swaddling cloths do not’}
  
  Here the conjunct forms \textit{para} and \textit{tyf} (affected by the spirant mutation after the negative \textit{ny}) exhibit the possibility of a vocalic or Ø ending just like Old Irish, (viz. \textit{móra} and \textit{beir} the conjunct forms of \textit{móraid} ‘magnify, glorify’ and \textit{beirid} ‘carry, bear’ respectively). In Middle Welsh the normal present/future form of the verb had a Ø ending, c.f \textit{car} [ka:r] ‘he loves’.

---

7 (Evens GMW, §129.d)
To give an indication concerning the range of views on the origin of absolute and conjunct verb conjugations; Thurneseyen posits that absolute endings derive from Proto-Indo-European (PIE) primary endings, while the conjunct endings stem from secondary PIE ones—differing formally in that the primary endings have a final –i that the secondary ones lack. In PIE the distinction between primary and secondary endings characterizes present and non-present respectively, although the absolute and conjunct endings in Irish carry no temporal significance. Cowgill, following Pedersen, conjectures that Proto-Insular-Celtic added *(e)s to the “second place” in the clause—thus either after the verb or after the first preverb. In this view the verb has primary endings in both absolute and conjunct forms. The presence of the final –s allows the retention of the /t/ of the ending (→ /θ/ → /ð/). Here we see how both systems would reconstruct the SG3 PRES absolute and conjunct forms of ‘to carry’:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Old Irish</th>
<th>Thurneysen</th>
<th>Cowgill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>absolute:</td>
<td><em>beirid</em></td>
<td><em>bhereti</em></td>
<td><em>bereti-s</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[bʲɛɾʲəθʲ] ‘he carries’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conjunct:</td>
<td><em>ní·beir</em></td>
<td><em>-bheret</em></td>
<td><em>nʲís-bereti</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[n̺ʲiːˈbʲɛɾʲ] ‘he does not carry’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

McCone’s (SnaG, p. 141, 146) and Stifter’s (p. 67-8) reconstructions of absolute and conjunct endings look like Thurneysen’s, although they do not attribute the differences between them to primary and secondary PIE endings. Interestingly, Stifter states that the absolute and conjunct ending system of Insular Celtic “has nothing to do with the distinction between primary and secondary endings in PIE, but is the result of a special Insular Celtic development: early loss of final inherited short *-i, but retention of the vowel before enclitic particles” and then analogy levelled the entire verbal system (p. 69). Kortlandt’s forms (see chart above on p. 60) follow Pedersen and Cowgill (although he would not like to admit it) in having a final –s in the

---

8 GOI §559, §562, §565; Dillon p. 253
9 Meier-Brügger E 502 (11). Also F 202 (4) „Die Primärendungen markieren die GW [Gegenwart], das Hic-et-Nunc. Die Sekundärendungen...bezeichnen die zeitliche Ungebundenheit, ferner bei bewußter Opposition zur GW die eindeutige VG [Vergangenheit].“
10 This *(e)s is likely related to the finals –s in Kortlandt’s absolute forms, although he disagrees with Cowgill’s reconstructions. Thurneysen relates it to *est.
11 Wackernagel’s law states that clitics be placed in the second position in a clause (Dillon, p. 252).
12 (1975), p.56
absolute inflection and this clitic particle positioned directly preverbally as well when an element such as the negative particle precedes the verb.

The reconstruction with the second position *(e)s could have been an earlier stage of the one without it, for /s/ often disappears in Celtic. Additionally, *ni did originally trigger gemination and thus could have likely at some stage ended in an –s. The final –s of the absolute forms could have been lost early and then lenition apply because of the new auslauting vowel. The essential point is, that although scholars cannot agree on exact reconstructed forms or the origins of the absolute-conjunct distinction, many of the verbal forms likely did end vocalically at the time when lenition would begin to affect the language. Evidence form the Gaulish inscriptions below support this. When lenition occurred phonemically, any nominal following an inflected verbal form ending in a vowel would have **LEN** triggered on its initial consonant. The conclusions concerning levelling and the grammaticalization of **LEN** or non-**LEN** after verbs discussed above still hold.

VIII.e. **EVIDENCE FROM GAULISH VERBS**

Gaulish denotes the Continental Celtic language(s) spoken in ancient France (Gaul) before Latin came to dominate. Attestations of Gaulish date from around 300BC to 100AD (Stifter p. 3). After this, the expansion of the Roman empire and the Latin language that it brought with it became dominate in Gaul. Other attested Continental Celtic languages include Celtiberian in Spain, Lepontic in the Alps and Galatian in Turkey. Although attested Gaulish does not exhibit any orthographically apparent evidence of consonant mutations, they do allow us to see some of the verbal endings which have to be reconstructed for the Insular Celtic languages. Many of these forms do indeed terminate with vowels.

Gaulish examples, orthography and morphemes as in Eska (p. 219-222) 13:

- To-śo=KoTe 14 ‘he gave it’

13 capitals represent the stops which are ambiguous for voice in the Lugano script
14 Stifter’s phonological transcription,( p.5) /tosokonde/
To-so = Ko Te
CONNECTIVE PART it PERFECTIVE give.sg.pret

(connective particle in order to infix proclitic pronoun like Old Irish no!)

• to-me = declai obalda natina

to-
CONNECTIVE PART.
me =
PRON.SG1.ACC
set up.sg.pst

‘Obalda, (their) dear daughter, set me up’

• MONI GNATHA

MONI

come.sg2.imper

‘come, girl!’

• GABI

take.sg2.imper

c.f. Old Irish gaib [gaβʲ] ‘take’

‘take’

• DVGIJONTI = JO YNCETIN IN ALESIJA

DVGIJONTI =
serve. pl3.pres

JO
REL

‘who serve U. in Alesia’

• regu-c cambion

regu-
straighten.sg1.pres

c
and

‘and I (will) straighten the bent thing’

Schrijver, ((1997) p. 177-182) suggests that regu-c derives from *regū + kʷe.

Compare this *kʷe ‘and’ with:

-Latin que

-Greek τε

-Sanskrit च, ca

-Lepontic pe

lāTumarai saPsuTai Pe uinom naśom

‘for Latumarus and Sapsuta—Naxion wine’

-Celtiberian –ku-e
Conroy Mutations

-early Old Irish  -ch

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{ba-} & \text{ch} & \text{rí} \\
\text{COP.be.G3.PAST} & \text{and} & \text{king.NOM} \\
\text{Tara.GEN.}
\end{array}
\]

\[\text{bax r'í: theμrəx}\]

‘and he was king of Tara’

The following examples taken from Sims-William (p. 332) and Stifter (p. 5):

- uedifumí  ‘I pray’  (Chamalières inscription)
  
c.f. Old Irish \text{guidiu}  [guðu]  ‘I pray’
  
Classical Mod. Irish \text{guidhim}  [gʷi:jim]  ‘I pray’
  
Welsh \text{gweddīo}  [gwɛðijo]  ‘pray’ (verbal noun)

The following taken from Schrijver, 2007 (p. 358, 363-364):

- imperative of ‘to be’
  
3SG  biietutu
  
3PL  biontutu

Schrijver attributes the ending to \(<^*\cdot\text{tō}d\).  A 3PL form biontutuṣ is also attested, but the origin of the final \(-s\) is unclear—it could either represent a realization of the final \(-d\) in \(*\text{tō}d\) or possibly a suffixed pronoun.

- SG3 preterite endings:
  
\[\text{δεδε} \text{ieuri} \text{toberte}\]

\[\text{δεδε} \text{tomedeclai} \text{ieuri} \text{toberte}\]

\[\text{ieuri} \text{toberte}\]

\[\text{<*ber-s-t + *e}\]

Gaulish does show some loss of final vowels:

\[\text{senant u[-]eltan ‘they are ___-ing X’}\]

\[\text{< *senanti}\]
Despite lack of clarity as to the exact nature of the endings, many attested Gaulish verbs do end in vowels. They represent an earlier stage of Celtic language than for which we have attested Old Irish. The Ogam Irish verbal system may have looked very much similar to the Gaulish. If so, the vocalic Auslauts of many verbs may have been the trigger to LEN on the immediately following constituent—if capable of undergoing mutation. Hypothetically, the possibility exists that Gaulish did have phonologically conditioned initial mutations (see Gray for possible internal mutation in Gaulish), or they may have developed in a parallel fashion to those of the Insular Celtic languages had Latin not overtaken Gaulish.

The exact cause of the Welsh syntactic mutation sometimes known as “direct object mutation” remains unclear, yet it is likely that, like with other mutations, it occurred originally due to phonological conditions. The evidence from the various medieval and ancient languages point to the the verb being the original trigger for this lention. As the language developed and the original phonological triggers disappeared, levelling and analogy caused the mutation to become regularized. Whether abstract case or an XP or any other trigger actually causes the mutation in Welsh, its occurance results from complex historical conditions and development. Furthermore, new analogies will likely take place in the future and change the way that the current grammar applies this mutation.
IX. NASALIZATION

In a similar manner to lenition, nasalization (eclipsis, urú, NAS) in Irish arose through phonological processes which through time developed into purely morphophonemic ones. As with the other initial mutations, parallel phonological changes also took place word internally. In each position, certain consonants, following a nasal, assimilated to some aspect of the nasal, but the nature this assimilation differs in the Goidelic and Brythonic branches.

IX.a. PHONETIC PROCESSES

In Welsh, a nasal consonant agreeing in place of articulation and voicing replaces the original consonant (Modern Welsh orthography):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{\{-son\}} & \rightarrow \text{\{+nas\}} \\
\text{\{-cont\}} \ (\alpha \ vce) & \rightarrow \ (\alpha \ vce) \\
\end{align*}
\]

This results in:

- \(\phi /p/ \rightarrow \emptyset mh /m^h/\)
- \(\emptyset /t/ \rightarrow \emptyset nh /n^h/\)
- \(\emptyset /k/ \rightarrow \emptyset ngh /\emptyset h^h/\)
- \(\emptyset /h/ \rightarrow \emptyset m /m/\)
- \(\emptyset /d/ \rightarrow \emptyset n /n/\)
- \(\emptyset /g/ \rightarrow \emptyset ng /\emptyset/\)

(aspiration is a feature concomitant with the voicelessness of nasals)

In Irish original voiceless consonants become voiced

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{\{-son\}} & \rightarrow \text{\{+vce\}} \\
\text{\{-vce\}} & \rightarrow \text{\{+vce\}} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\(<if \ +\ cont>\)
\(<then \ +\ lab>\)

---

1 Citations forms are in Old Irish orthography followed by Modern Irish where different.
This results in: \( \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \)

- \( \mathcal{C} /l/ \rightarrow \mathcal{C} /bl/ \\
- \( \mathcal{C} /t/ \rightarrow \mathcal{C} /dt/ \\
- \( \mathcal{C} /k/ \rightarrow \mathcal{C} /g/ \\
- \( \mathcal{C} /f/ \rightarrow \mathcal{C} /v/ \\
- \( \mathcal{C} /s/ \rightarrow \mathcal{C} /z/ \rightarrow /s/ \\

The non-existent phoneme \(/z/\) reverts back to \(/s/\) except in some Modern Irish dialects which have included it by analogy and English influence; see Appendix ii concerning urú.

and original voiced consonants become homorganic nasals:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
- \text{son} \\
+ \text{vce}
\end{bmatrix}
\rightarrow
\begin{bmatrix}
+ \text{son} \\
+ \text{nas}
\end{bmatrix}
// \{\text{NAS}\} ______
\]

\( < \text{if} + \text{dental} > \)

\( < \text{then} + \text{lab} > \)

\( < + \text{tense} > \)

This results in: \( \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \)

- \( \mathcal{C} /b/ \rightarrow \mathcal{C} /mb/ \\
- \( \mathcal{C} /d/ \rightarrow \mathcal{C} /nd/ \\
- \( \mathcal{C} /g/ \rightarrow \mathcal{C} /ng/ \\

The tense dental nasal \(/N/\) prefixes to vowels:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
- \text{syll} \\
+ \text{son} \\
+ \text{cons} \\
+ \text{nas} \\
+ \text{ant} \\
+ \text{cor} \\
+ \text{tense}
\end{bmatrix}
\rightarrow
\begin{bmatrix}
+ \text{syll} \\
+ \text{son}
\end{bmatrix}
// \{\text{NAS}\} ______
\]

This results in:

\( \#V \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \mathcal{V} \)

The original nasal which triggered \{NAS\} left this \(/N/\) as a relic.
The NAS rule for consonants can be conflated into a single rule:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
- \text{son} \\
\alpha \text{ vce} \\
\alpha \text{ nas}
\end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha \text{ son} \\
+ \text{vce} \\
\alpha \text{ nas}
\end{bmatrix} // \{\text{NAS}\}
\]

Thus:

- /p/ \rightarrow /b/
- /t/ \rightarrow /d/
- /k/ \rightarrow /g/
- /f/ \rightarrow /v/
- /b/ \rightarrow /m/
- /d/ \rightarrow /N/
- /g/ \rightarrow /ŋ/

In Early Old Irish the voiced consonants likely remained after the nasal, but by the Classical Old Irish period had assimilated into it (i.e. /mb/ \rightarrow /m/). The nasal, always /N/, varying in palatal and non-palatal varieties depending on the initial underlying vowel, remains/inserts (depending on viewing the process diachronically or synchronically) before a vowel.

Examples of Old Irish NAS with reconstructed Proto-Goidelic forms adapted from Stifter:

**NEUT nominative/accusative singular:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Old Irish</th>
<th><em>a cenn mbān</em></th>
<th>Proto-Goidelic</th>
<th>/*sosin kʷennan bānan/</th>
<th>'the white/fair head’</th>
<th>n#b \rightarrow mb \rightarrow m/</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**MASC accusative singular:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Old Irish</th>
<th><em>in n-ech mbēce</em></th>
<th>Proto-Goidelic</th>
<th>/*sindan ekʷan biggan/</th>
<th>'the small horse’</th>
<th>n#b \rightarrow mb \rightarrow m/</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Old Irish</th>
<th><em>in fer</em></th>
<th>Proto-Goidelic</th>
<th>/*sindan ūiran/</th>
<th>'the man’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**MASC/FEM/NEUT genitive plural:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Old Irish</th>
<th><em>inna n-ingien ndáin</em></th>
<th>Proto-Goidelic</th>
<th>/*sindān inigenan deíwanan/</th>
<th>‘of the swift daughters’</th>
<th>n#d \rightarrow nd \rightarrow n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>


Old Irish  
*inna tíath nge*lg

Proto-Goidelic  
/*sindān toqtan gelan/

/'of the bright people/tribe'  n̥g→ŋg→ŋ

**preposition */in/ 'in':**

Modern Irish  
*i dt*eamhair

Old Irish  
*hi Temraig

Proto-Goidelic  
/*in temuri→ in temurike/

/'in Tara’

**Mutation after numbers**—compare these three numbers, and the three mutations which follow them, denoted by superscripted ¹ for LEN, ² for gemination and ³ for NAS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Old Irish</th>
<th>Primitive Irish ²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5  cóic⁴</td>
<td>[koːɟ]  *kʷogʷe  vowel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6  sé⁶</td>
<td>[ʃeː]  *su̯eh  h (&lt;χs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7  secht⁷</td>
<td>[ʃɛxt]  *seχten  nasal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples demonstrating the mutational effects of these numerals (my examples):

LEN:  
cóic báï  
[koːɟ βaɪ]  ‘five cows’  b→β

cóic aidchi  
[koːɟ aðçi]  ‘five nights’  no change

GEM:  
sé (b)bái  
[ʃeː baɪ]  ‘six cows’  b→bb→b

sé aidchi  
[ʃeː haðçi]  ‘six nights’  V→hV

NAS:  
secht mbáï  
[ʃɛxt m(b)aɪ]  ‘seven cows’  b→mb→m

secht n- aidchi  
[ʃɛxt n’aðçi]  ‘seven nights’  V→nV

N.B.  cóic and sé cause nasalization when the NP functions in the genitive (Stifter p. 117).

cóic mbó  
[koːɟ m(b)oː]  ‘of five cows’

sé mbó  
[ʃeː m(b)oː]  ‘of six cows’

² Primitive Irish from Stifter, p. 116
IX.b. WORD-INTERNAL NASALIZATION IN GOIDELIC:  

Historical development:

Following a nasal the voiceless stops, /k/ and /t/, became geminated to /kk/ and /tt/. Then the nasal “coalesced” with the vowel producing a nasal vowel. Next the voiceless geminates /kk/ and /tt/ became voiced when following the nasal vowels - /gg/ and /dd/. Later nasal vowels lost their nasal qualities and became normal oral vowels; /ã/, however, merged with /ẽ/ to produce a long or short /e/. (These same phonological changes once occurred across certain word boundaries, causing the nasal mutation).

Thus:

*onk → *onkk → *ök → *ögg → *ogg → og
*aant → *ant → *átt → *ádd → (*ádd) → *čdd (or *čdd) → čd (or čd)

After syncope occurred, any new/nt/ and /nk/ did not change, for example:


This parallels the voicing which occurs initially under the nasal mutation, which also occurs to /f/ (actually the earlier /w/ remaining: refer to: GOI §236; Cowgil (1967)) and the borrowed phoneme /p/.

Word internally, all nasals of whatever origin assimilate before /b/, /d/, /g/ to /m/, /n/, /ŋ/ respectively. However, again, this does not apply when the contact originates from syncope—further proof that the mutation was fixed before syncope took place:

náimte/náimdea [n̪ˠa:m̪ʲd̪a] ‘enemy ACC.PL.’
#[n̪ˠa:m̪ʲd̪]a
< *námaitea [n̪ˠa:m̪ʲd̪a]

The Old Irish orthographical word ‹ingen› actually represents two different non-homophonic words and shows the limitations of Old Irish orthography. One the one hand, it can represent a word whose nasal /n/ has assimilated with the following velar:

ingen [n̪ʲen̪ˠ] ‘(finger) nail’
c.f Modern Irish ionga [uŋga] & Latin unguis

On the other hand, it can also represent a word in which the /n/ and lenited /g/ have come together due to syncope. Here no assimilation of the /n/ and /ŋ/ occurs:

ingen [n̪ˠn̪ʲeŋ] ‘daughter’

3 based on, and examples from, GOI §207-208
Hence, nasals disappear before /t/ and /k/, which in turn become their corresponding voiced
geminate (i.e. unlenited) counterparts /dd/ and /gg/, which then are de-geminated to /d/ and /g/:
Examples:

- 3rd plural verbal endings:
  - -(a)it [adʲ] < *anti (absolute)
  - -(a)t [ad] < *ant (conjunct)

  c.f. Welsh carant [karant] ‘they love’ and Latin amant ‘they love’

- cotlud [kodluð] ‘sleeping’ < *con-tulud
  (verbal noun of courtuili [kon'tuili] ‘sleeps’)

- cét [ce:d] ‘hundred’ < *k̑ntóm / *k̑ntóm

- éc [e:g] ‘death’ (related to Breton ankou < nom.pl *ŋkewes – owes)

**IX.c. NASALIZATION IN BRYTHONIC**

The Brythonic languages make much less use of nasalization than do the Goidelic tongues. Welsh
alone in the Brythonic branch uses nasalization as an initial mutation. This likely reflects
dialectal differences in Primitive British which separate Welsh from the S.W. dialects which
would develop into Cornish and Breton.

According to Jackson in LHB (p. 639-43), the internal sandhi of a nasal and a voiced stop in
Brythonic parallels the Goidelic treatment. Thus, /mb/, /nd/ and /ŋg/ develop into /m(m)/, /n(n)/
and /ŋ(ŋ)/. He dates this change from around the end of the 5th to end of the 6th century.
Voiceless stops preceded by a nasal receive special treatment in Welsh, which contrasts to their
behaviour in the other Brythonic languages and in Goidelic. During the 8th to early 9th century,

---

4 inín [mín’n] in Cois Phairrge
5 c.f. Modern Irish céad [ce:d]; Welsh cant [kant]
word internal /mp/, /nt/ and /ŋk/ developed into their corresponding aspirated nasals /mh/, /nh/ and /ŋh/ through an intermediate stage of /m\h/, /n\h/ and /ŋ\h/ (LHB, p. 506). Attested orthographical forms such as *pimphet ‘fifth’ in an Old Welsh gloss dating to 820AD, presumably representing [pim\hɛd], point to this intermediate form between /mp/ and /mh/.

Jackson does not mention it, but at some stage, in at least some dialects, the nasals became voiceless (i.e. /m\h/) as well (see Appendix vii). Compare the different realizations of nasalization of the Brythonic cluster *mp and the Goidelic cluster *ŋk in the development of Proto-Celtic *kʷinkʷetos ‘fifth’ and (some forms adapted from Stifter, GOI, GMW):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proto-Celtic</td>
<td>*kʷinkʷetos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaulish</td>
<td>PINPETOS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brythonic</td>
<td>*pinpetos &gt; *pimpetō</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Welsh</td>
<td>pymhet</td>
<td>[pimhɛd]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modern Welsh</td>
<td>pumed</td>
<td>[pumed]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modern Breton</td>
<td>pempv(e)t</td>
<td>[pempv(e)t]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goidelic</td>
<td>*kʷōgʷeθah</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Irish</td>
<td>cōiced</td>
<td>[kojθəd]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modern Irish</td>
<td>cūgiú</td>
<td>[ku:jʊː]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scottish Gaelic</td>
<td>cōidgeamh</td>
<td>[kʰoːgʲuː]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lewis and Pedersen (§70.2), however, takes a different view. Namely, that /mp/, /nt/ and /ŋk/ developed to /mh/, /nh/ and /ŋh/ through /m\p/, /n\θ/ and /ŋx/. The reasoning for this view stems from forms such as mathru [maθrʉ] and cathl [kaθl] whose combinations /θr/ and /θl/ from original /ntr/ and /ntl/ which lost the nasal prior to the /m\p/, /n\θ/, /ŋx/ stage. Thus, /ntr/ → /n\θr/ → /θr/ and /ntl/ → /n\θl/ → /θl/. But L&P also notes that hanther ‘half’ and *pimphet most likely represent [hanhɛr] or [haŋhɛr] and [pimhɛd] or [pimh̥ɛd].

In Welsh similar changes occurred in external sandhi and resulted in initial nasal mutation. However, this nasal mutation does not occur in some situations in the other Brythonic languages. Jackson accounts for this by positing that the Western dialect of Late British (i.e. that would become Welsh) kept final nasals, but the South-Western dialect (which would lead to Cornish and Breton) reduced final –n “to some sort of denasalised catch” (LHB p. 640). In a footnote on the same page he further explains this as “presumably…a result of cutting of the air passage
through the nose before the \( n \) was complete, producing a kind of weak \(/
\). This might be a stage in the loss of final \(-n\) in SW.Brit.” The disappearance of the finals nasal permitted these words to generate the same effect as geminated and thus they came to cause the spirant mutation.

To illustrate this, I adapt some examples from Jackson (LHB p. 640-641) which look at the nasalization effects that the Primitive British words *\( ɨn \) ‘in’ and *\( m\)en < *\( m\)ene ‘my’ have on words which follow them in different periods of the linguistic development in both Welsh British and South-West British: (I change many of his phonetic symbols to IPA.)

West British:

early 5\(^{th}\) century:

\[
\begin{align*}
*\text{in-tig} \Sigma & \quad \text{‘in a house’} \\
*\text{mn- tig} \Sigma & \quad \text{‘my house’} \\
*\text{in-donj} \j & \quad \text{‘in a man’} \\
*\text{mn-donj} \j \Sigma & \quad \text{‘my man’}
\end{align*}
\]

early 6\(^{th}\) century:

\[
\begin{align*}
*\text{in-ti} \Sigma (\Sigma) & \\
*\text{mn- ti} \Sigma (\Sigma) & \\
+ \text{NAS} & *\text{nn}^{6} \text{un} \j i \\
+ \text{NAS} & *\text{mn}^{6} \text{un} \j \j (\Sigma)
\end{align*}
\]

early 9\(^{th}\) century:

\[
\begin{align*}
+ \text{NAS} & *\text{nt} \Sigma (\gamma) \\
+ \text{NAS} & *\text{nt} \Sigma (\gamma)
\end{align*}
\]

\(^{6}\) Jackson uses \( \Sigma \) to denote some sound intermediate between \(/\text{s}/\) and \(/\text{h}/\) and speculates that it was “perhaps a strongly aspirate \([\text{f}]\)” (LHB §115).
* t-nǐn
* ŭ1-nǐn

compare Modern Welsh:

* yn nhŷ \{tŷ\}
fŷ nhŷ

* yn nyn \{dyn\}
fŷ nyn

South-West British, in contrast, lost the nasal early and thus these words caused gemination—presumably due to the denasalized “catch”:

\[\mu\text{-tti}\nu\alpha(\Sigma)^8\] (vs. West British *mṁn- ti\nu\alpha(\Sigma), etc.)
\[\mu\text{-ddun}'\nu\alpha(\Sigma)\]

These later developed into the Cornish:

ow thy
ow den

and the Breton:

va thí (\( \Rightarrow \) va zi)
va den

The nasal mutation in Welsh, unlike in Irish (and Scottish), does not seem to apply to vowels or other consonants, to which one might expect a prefixed -n. Although not orthographically represented as a mutation, yn ‘in’ (which was yn or sometimes y in Middle Welsh) does retain the nasal before a vowel:

\[
yn Iwerddon \quad [\text{eni:wer}\d\text{\d(\(n\)}] \quad \text{‘in Ireland’}
\]
(rather than \( y n\text{Iwerddon} \))

---

\(^7\) yn nhŷ and yn nyn are hypothetical forms in Modern Welsh, for Modern Welsh does not permit use of yn with indefinite nouns, but uses mewn \([m\text{\(e\nu\)n}]\) instead: mewn tŷ and mewn dyn.

\(^8\) presumably through \(\mu\text{-ti}\nu\alpha\Sigma\)
compare the Irish:

\[\text{in Éirinn} \quad [\text{ɛˈɾʲən̺ʲ}] \quad \text{‘in Ireland’}\]

(rather than \(i nÉirinn\))

in which the mutation appears in writing by retaining the original final nasal on the preposition. In the case of this preposition, Modern Welsh “doubles” the nasal which results from \(\text{NAS}\) in the orthography. This assimilated nasal replaces the original /n/ of the preposition, i.e. \(ym Moston\) [əˈmostatn] ‘in Boston’ and \(yn Nghyenu\) [əˈŋʰəmrʲ] ‘in Wales’.

In southern dialects of Modern Welsh ‘my’ displays the retained historical nasal in the form (f)yn [(v)ən] alongside than the standard fy [və]. Speakers employ this variant especially before vowels and consonants which do not participate in the nasal mutation:

- \(fyn\) (or ‘yn) \(\text{enw}\) [[(v)ən ɛnːɾ]] ‘my name’
- \(fyn\) \(\text{chwaer}\) [[(v)ən ʰæɾ]] ‘my sister’
- \(fyn\) \(\text{llygad}\) [[(v)ən ɬəɡad]] ‘my eye’

This form displays the (expected) presence of a nasal before vowels.

**IX.d. SCOTTISH GAELIC INNOVATIONS IN NASALIZATION**

In Scottish Gaelic the nasal mutation does not operate the same way as it does in Irish. Overall Scottish Gaelic has not preserved the historical \(\text{urú}\) as it developed Irish. Some petrified forms can be found, such as \(a(m)\) \(\text{bheil}\) [ə(m) vəlʲ] ‘the question form of the present tense of the substantive verb ‘to be’ (for \(a(m)\) \(\text{bhfeil}\) compare Irish \(\text{an bhfuil}\) [ə vəlʲ]) and \(a-bhos\) ‘over here’ = \(*\text{a-bhľos}\). Furthermore, nasalization sometimes has persisted, but before vowels only, compare:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scottish Gaelic</th>
<th>Irish</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\text{ar n-athair})</td>
<td>(\text{ár n-athair})</td>
<td>‘our father’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{ar bát\d})</td>
<td>(\text{ár mbád})</td>
<td>‘our boat’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9 For Scottish Gaelic transcriptions, whether my own or adapted from other sources, I use IPA following Akerbeltz: http://www.akerbeltz.org/fuaimean/fuaimean.htm
10 \(\text{ár} ‘our’ often pronounced [ɔ] in Conamara
Three systems of Scottish Gaelic nasalization due to dialectal variation (adapted from Gillies, p. 168-9):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>radical</th>
<th>orthography</th>
<th>ScG1</th>
<th>ScG2</th>
<th>ScG3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>-m p-</td>
<td>/mp/</td>
<td>/mh/</td>
<td>/mbʰ/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>-n t-</td>
<td>/nt/</td>
<td>/nh/</td>
<td>/ndʰ/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>-n c-</td>
<td>/ŋk/</td>
<td>/ŋh/</td>
<td>/ŋgʰ/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>-m f-</td>
<td>/mf/</td>
<td>/n̪ˠf/</td>
<td>/n̪ˠg/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>-m b-</td>
<td>/mb/</td>
<td>/m/</td>
<td>/mb/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>-n d-</td>
<td>/nd/</td>
<td>/n/</td>
<td>/nd/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>-n g-</td>
<td>/ŋg/</td>
<td>/ŋ/</td>
<td>/ŋg/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ScG1 represents a system in which the final nasal and initial consonant did not merge into a single sound (like they regularly due in Irish NAS) (Ball / Müller, p. 49). Gillies posits that ScG1 was an early Scottish system, still preserved in some dialects, but which also developed further into the ScG2 and ScG3 systems. In all of the these the nasal assimilation must have taken place after the loss of final syllables, for Scottish NAS applies in circumstances which lack historical justification according to normal NAS. Consequently, this contrasts with Irish, whose nasal mutation applies not after nasals in the modern language, but to final nasals of the Primitive Irish period:

Scottish (ScG1)          Irish

*an cat*              *[əŋ kʰt]*            *an cat*              *[s(n̪ˠ) kʰt]*        ‘the cat’

*nàn cat*             *[n̪ˠəŋ kʰt]*          *na gc*              *[ŋ̪ˠəɣt]*          ‘of the cats’

Hence, Scottish nasalization is a surface level phonetic phenomenon and in many ways allomorphic variation characterizes nasalization in Scottish Gaelic just like it did in Archaic

---

11 Gillies states that the modern language general omits /f/ from the nasalization mutational system. However, he notes that in Perkshire it does become voiced (as /s/ does in this dialect) (p. 169). Thus, -m f- → /mv/. In Borgstrøm (1940 &1941) it seems as if there is no nasal mutation of /f/, but that the nasal /m/ of the standard language does not appear before it; for example, *nam faoilceán* ‘of the seagulls’ would be pronounced [n̪ˠəfυːl̪ˠək(ən̪ˠ)] in Barra without the /m/ (1940, p. 183). Compare the Irish *na bhfaoilceán* [n̪ˠəwɪːl̪ˠən̪ˠə] . However, I did find something in Borgstrøm (1940) that suggested a mutation similar to the Irish one the Gaelic place name (an island in the Outer Hebrides) that English borrowed as Benbecula, is spelled *Beinn nam faoghla* or *Beinn-a'-bh-faoghla* and always pronounced with the nasalized (voiced) /f/: [be(ɪ) n̪ˠəfɚːvɤːl̪ˠə]. *Beinn na Faoghla* is the form that appears on modern maps such as the *Tìr Chaluim Chille* all-Gaelic map of Ireland and Scotland - [http://www.colmcille.net/map-intro.html](http://www.colmcille.net/map-intro.html).
Irish, being dependent on the phonetic environment only. A visible trigger exists. However, at least in some dialects mutation carries grammatical meaning with it. In East Sutherland Gaelic (a now all-but, if not completely, extinct dialect), definiteness of masculine singular nouns can be shown by this nasal mutation alone. The article *an* which caused NAS may sometimes drop in speech (see below), leaving the mutation alone to signify definiteness and masculine gender. The mutation here does not look like any of the systems proposed by Gillies, but rather similar to the Irish one (examples adapted from Dorian, p. 46 & 72):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contraction</th>
<th>[IPA]</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tìr</td>
<td>[tʰi:r]</td>
<td>‘land’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>an tìr</td>
<td>[θi:r]</td>
<td>‘the land’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peann</td>
<td>[pʰen]</td>
<td>‘pen’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am peann</td>
<td>[θen]</td>
<td>‘the pen’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>burn</td>
<td>[pu:rn]</td>
<td>‘water’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am burn</td>
<td>[θm bu:rn]</td>
<td>‘the water’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>geata</td>
<td>[ketʰ]</td>
<td>‘gate’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>an geata</td>
<td>[θetʰ]</td>
<td>‘the gate’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dorian sometimes omits the definite in the transcriptions and does not comment on its actual absence or presence in speech. So the mutation *may* be enough to signify definiteness.

On the basis these forms which presents another variation of Scottish nasalization, I add “ScG4” the chart (ScG 4 Sutherland nasalization from Dorian, p. 71-2):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radical</th>
<th>Orthography</th>
<th>ScG1</th>
<th>ScG2</th>
<th>ScG3</th>
<th>ScG4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>-m p-</td>
<td>/mp/</td>
<td>/mh/</td>
<td>/mbʰ/</td>
<td>/m_m/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>-n t-</td>
<td>/nt/</td>
<td>/nh/</td>
<td>/ndʰ/</td>
<td>/n_d/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>-n c-</td>
<td>/nk/</td>
<td>/ŋh/</td>
<td>/ŋbʰ/</td>
<td>/ŋ_y/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>-m f-</td>
<td>/mf/</td>
<td>/ŋ/</td>
<td>/ŋb/</td>
<td>/ŋ_y/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>-m b-</td>
<td>/mb/</td>
<td>/m/</td>
<td>/mb/</td>
<td>/m_b/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>-n d-</td>
<td>/nd/</td>
<td>/n/</td>
<td>/nd/</td>
<td>/n_d/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>-n g-</td>
<td>/ng/</td>
<td>/ŋ/</td>
<td>/ŋg/</td>
<td>/ŋ_y/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>-n s-</td>
<td>/s/</td>
<td>/n/</td>
<td>/nd/</td>
<td>/n_d/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>-n s-</td>
<td>/ŋf/</td>
<td>/ŋ/</td>
<td>/ŋf/</td>
<td>/ŋ_y/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(verbs only)
To exemplify the unique optional changes to /f/, /s/ and /ʃ/ (examples from Dorian, p. 72):

- **fear**  
  [ferˠ]  
  ‘fellow’

- **am fear**  
  [ənˠ əɾˠ] ~ [ə ferˠ]  
  ‘the fellow’  
  /nØ/ ~ /f/

- **solas**  
  [səl̪ˠəs]  
  ‘light’

- **an solas**  
  [ənˠ dəl̪ˠəs] ~ [ə soːl̪ˠəs]  
  ‘the light’  
  /s/ ~/nd/ 

- **seòlaidh**  
  [ʃoːl̪ˠi]  
  ‘X will sail’

- **an seòl?**  
  [ənˠ ʃoːl̪ˠi] ~ [ənˠ dʒoːl̪ˠi]  
  ‘will X sail?’  
  /nʃ/ ~ /nʤ/ 

Gillies also provides an example of nasal mutation functioning as an optional marker for definiteness of masculine singular nouns in the ScG2 system of Lewis and parts of Skye (Ball/Fife, p. 169)—

- **am balach**  
  [(ə) məl̪ˠəx]  
  ‘the boy’

In the East Ross dialect (Watson, SnaG, p. 675):

- **am pòg**  
  [b̥oːg]  
  ‘the kiss’  
  (pòg [pʰoːg] ‘(a) kiss’)

- **am bò**  
  [b̥oː]  
  ‘the cow’  
  (bò [b̥oː] ‘(a) cow’)

Regarding this ScG2 system found in Lewis, parts of the Isle of Skye and in the West of Sutherland (i.e. the North Hebrides and North-West mainland), Seosamh Watson’s transliterations in SnaG (p. 675) imply that some of the stop does survive—here in the speech of Lewis:

- **an toll**  
  [ə n̥əuɬˈuːʃ]  
  ‘the hole’

- **an gille**  
  [ə n̥iːʃə]  
  ‘the lad’

- **an cuala**  
  [ə n̥uɬəl̥ə]  
  ‘did X hear?’

- **am bàta**  
  [ə m̥ɑːtə]  
  ‘the boat’

Borgstrøm’s (1940) account of Lewis Gaelic agrees with Watson’s transcriptons of nasalized consonants. Additionally he notes that speakers often omit the [ə] of the definite article; the mutation alone can serve to indicate the definiteness. However, in the dialects of the Southern Outer Hebrides, such as Barra, he states that in contrast to Lewis the stop dominates rather than

---

12 Watson has [ŋ̥iːʃə], but I take the aspiration to be an error here.
the nasal in these dialects; namely \( {N^C} \) in Barra rather than \( {N^C} \) (p. 131). The corresponding Barra forms of the above would be (I transcribed \( an \) \( cuala \) following his system; p. 131 for the rest):

- \( an \) \( toll \): \([ɔ ə ŋ d̥ h ɔ̣ ụ ɫ̪ ˠ]\) ‘the hole’
- \( an \) \( gille \): \([ɔ ə ŋ i:j\š]\) \(^{13}\) ‘the lad’
- \( an \) \( cuala \): \([ɔ ə ŋ h uə l̪ ˠ ɔ̆]\) ‘did X hear? ’
- \( am \) \( báta \): \([ɔ ə ŋ o:ht\š]\) ‘the boat’

A similar type of active assimilation can happen in Cois Fhairrge Irish as well where /n/ becomes /ŋ/ before velars (de Bhaldraithe (1975), p. 51):

- \( an \) \( ghaoth \): \([ɔ ə ŋ yːː]\) ‘the wind’

Typically the nasal of the definite article drops completely:

- \( an \) \( ghaoth \): \([ɔ ə yːː]\)

This dropping of the definite article’s final nasal is obligatory in Scottish Gaelic when the article triggers lenition—in this case after the nominative singular feminine:

- \( a’ \) \( ghaoth \): \([ɔ ə ɣɯ :ː]\) ‘the wind’

de Bhaldraithe (p. 51) exemplifies that in Cois Fhairrge Irish this external sandhi assimilation that takes whenever contact occurs between a nasal and a velar at word boundries:

\[
\text{thug \ PST SG3:NOM \ turf \ to \ Galway}
\]

\([hug \ ʃ e \ muː ŋ g o ɡaː:s]\)

‘He brought the turf to Galway

Here the final [n] of \( móin \) [muːn] becomes the velar [ŋ] and is depalatalized due to the influence of the following [g]. In Irish this represents a very surface level rule of natural colloquial speech and does not exhibit a significance to meaning like \( urú \) does in Irish or SG – \( NAS \) after the definite article does in Scottish Gaelic.

Returning to the Scottish phenomenon, Jackson (LHB p. 500-1) describes the ScG2 nasalization and notes variation in how scholars have transcribed them. What does the /mp/ combination in \( am \) \( port \) really sound like? — \( /mh\)/, \( /m̥ːh\)/, \( /m̥ːŋ\)/ or \( /m̥ːh\)/? Interestingly, the progression from

\(^{13}\) [5] represents a schwa sound which has been retracted to low back, and which is not round. It represents a distinctive feature of the Barra accent and is only used “in pausa” (Borgstrøm (1940) p. 151).
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/mp/ of ScG1 to the /mʰ/ ~ /mh/ of ScG2 looks remarkably like the development he proposed of the nasal mutation of voiceless stops in Welsh! In fact, Ó Máille (1927) treats them as as ‘fá anáil’ (voiceless) rather than aspirated nasals—e.g. [o ſ(em)] for an ceum ‘the footstep’ transcribing the speech of a man from the Isle of Skye (p. 22)—paralleling some of the variations in the treatment of the Welsh “voiceless” nasals; see discussion above and Appendix vii.

Not generally recognized by the standard language, dialectically some irregular Scottish Gaelic verbs preserve a fossilized nasalization of the dependant verbal form: 14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Skye</th>
<th>Ross-shire</th>
<th>East Sutherland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tháinig</td>
<td>[ha:n'ikʲ]</td>
<td>[ha:nik']</td>
<td>[he:nik']</td>
<td>[hā:nig]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cha tāinig 16</td>
<td>[xa tʰ:a:n'ikʲ]</td>
<td>[xa ɗa:nik']</td>
<td>[ha ɗe:nik']</td>
<td>[(x)a tá:nig]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thíg</td>
<td>[hug']</td>
<td>[hik']</td>
<td>[hik']</td>
<td>[hig]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cha tíg 16</td>
<td>[xa tʰi'g]</td>
<td>[xa ɗi'k']</td>
<td>[ha ɗi'k']</td>
<td>[(x)a tʰi'g] *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 Barra   Bernera, Lewis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Skye</th>
<th>Ross-shire</th>
<th>East Sutherland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tháinig</td>
<td>[ha:nik']</td>
<td>[ha:nik']</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cha tāinig 16</td>
<td>[xa ɗa:nik']</td>
<td>[xa ɗa:nik']</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thíg</td>
<td>[hik']</td>
<td>[hug']</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cha tíg 16</td>
<td>[xa ɗi'k']</td>
<td>[xa ɗi'g']</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Note that the form [(x)a tʰi'g] does not display nasalization as evidences by the presence of aspiration. This form corresponds to the “standard” language upon which the orthography is bases. If this were nasalized, one would expect a form such as [(x)a ſi'g] or [(x)a ɗi'g].

The fact that Skye, Ross-shire, Barra and Lewis have [d] (i.e. unaspirated [t], the normal reflex of <db /d/>) in the dependent forms of this verb after the negative particle cha contrasts with the

14 (Skye and Ross-shire transcriptions adapted from Borgstrøm 1941, p. 56 & 122; Sutherland transcriptions adapted from Dorian, 1978, p. 120 & 125; Lewis and Barra transcriptions from Borgstrøm 1940, p. 117 & 197).
15 [he:nik'] in Red Point (An Ruadhha Dearn); [ha:nik'] in Aultbea (An i-Alt Beithe)
16 The Scottish Gaelic Orthographical Conventions 2005, published by Ùghdarras Theisteanas na h-Alba, support these orthographical forms.
typical aspirated [tʰ] found, supported by the orthography, in the standard language. Sutherland Gaelic (represented in Dorian’s study by speakers from the North East coast of Scotland), a practically dead dialect, exhibits more variation with aspiration of the /t/ in *tig* but not in *tàinig*. In this dialect the fixed nasalization only operates in the past tense form.

The dependent forms are sometimes spelled *dig*, *d'tig* or *d'thig* and *dàinig* or *d'tàinig* dialectally in Scottish to reflect this remnant of eclipsis after *cha*. c.f. Ulster Irish:

- *cha dtanaic* [hɑ̃ danˠəc] ‘did not come’
- *cha dtig (cann)* [hɑ̃ dʰi(ŋə̃)] ‘does not come/ will not come’  
  (Ó Baoil, p. 48-49)

versus Conamara:

- *nì (or) thàinic* [pʰiː:(rˠ) hɑːnˠəc] ‘did not come’
- *nì theagann* [pʰiː hæːɡənˠ] ‘does not come’

and the Caighdeán (standard) / Munster forms:

- *níor thàinig* [pʰiː hɑːnˠəj] ‘did not come’
- *ní thagann* [pʰiː hagənˠ] ‘does not come’

Manx follows Scottish Gaelic:

- *cha daink* [xa daːŋˈk] ‘did not come’
- *cha jìg* [xa dʰiːj] ‘will not come’
  (SnaG, Williams, p. 727)

Old Irish for comparison 18:

- *ní táin(i)c* [pʰiː tʰaːnˠəj] ‘did not come’
- *ní tì(i)c* [pʰiː tʰiːj] ‘does not come’

---

17 The Scottish Gaelic future tense (i.e. *thig* here) derives from the original present tense (*tig*). In Ulster Irish the present tense negated by *cha* rather than *ní* can optionally stand for the future tense. Thus *ní thig (cann)* [pʰiː hɑːnˠəj] only means ‘does not come’. Of course, Ulster Irish may also have *ní (or) thanaic* [pʰiː hɑːnˠəc] ‘did not come’ and a distinct future *ní thicfaidh* [pʰiː hjʊkə] ‘will not come’ (in the standard orthography *ní thicfaidh*) as well (Ó Baoil, p. 48-49). This use of *cha* and the *cha + present to mean future occurs in Tory especially.

18 Old Irish had GEM, not LEN, after *ní* for LEN had yet to become a general marker of the past tense.
X. LOAN WORDS AND LENITION

Everyone knows that Saint Patrick, of Romano-British heritage, is the patron saint of Ireland 1 (died 461 or 493 AD according to the Annals of Ulster (CELT), although scholars debate the accuracy of this and to exactly what period he belonged). But what is the history of his name, especially considering that Irish originally had no native words with an initial /p/ until later loan words? The name ‘Patrick’ originates from the Latin Patricius meaning ‘noble’ and in Modern Irish the name is Pádraig [paːdɾəɟ] / [paːdɾəɹ]. But how did Saint Patrick pronounce his own name? How did the Irish to whom he brought the Gospel say it? How did the name develop over time? After looking at issues surrounding the name Patrick in Irish, we will consider its broader implications for Latin loan words into Irish, the influence of Brythonic, and mutations in both Brythonic and Goidelic.

X.a. COTHRAIGE VERSUS PÁTRAIC

The Old Irish language possessed two forms of Patrick—namely Cothraige 2 [k(ʷ)oθɾəɣʲɛ] and Pátraic [paːdɾəɹ]. Scholarship debates the accuracy of the versions of Cothraige / Coithirche and whether or not they actually reflect the Latin Patricius. Ó Ríain’s article ‘When and why Cothraige was first equated with Patricius?’ argues that Cothraige was a native Irish place/tribal name which later in the Middle Ages became associated with the saint and assumed to be the earliest Irish version of the Latin Patricius.

All in all, the plethora of forms of the name indicate, at the very least, that scribes in the later manuscript tradition were unfamiliar with Cothraige as connected to Pátraic and Patricius. For example, the 15th century Betha Phatraic 3 ‘Life of Patrick’ from An Leabhar Breac, considers Pátraic and Cothraige as two separate and unrelated names for Saint Patrick:

---

1 see O’Rahilly, The Two Patricks: A Lecture on the History of Christianity in Fifth-Century Ireland for more on Saint Patrick and the time in which he lived, and the possibility that were two men who became confused as one.

2 with many variants (some presumably purly orthographical) such as Quotirche and Quagrige in Colgan’s Secunda Vita Patricii (GOI §920); Co(i)thirche, Caithirche, Cottirche, the Latinized Quadriga(e/m), Cottirgrge, Cothirgrge, Co(n)i(th)irge, Choitrige and Contice, Kothirge, Codrige, Cothraige, Cathirge, Cathirgra, Cotraige and Codraidi (Ulich). Cothraige and Coithirche/Cothairche seem to be the most commonly assumed Early Old Irish forms.

3 the language contained in the manuscript is actually Middle Irish (10th-12th centuries), pointing to an earlier source.
Do fhognad tra Patraic don rig & dia triur bráthar. Conid aire sin tuccad fair in n-aimm is Cothraige, i. mog cethrair. Batar dino fííí. h-anmand fair. i. Succeit a aínm o thustidib.

Cothraige dia m-boi oc fognum don cethrur. Magonius, i. magis agens a aínm ic German.

Patricius, i. pater ciuim. i. athair na catharda a aínm ic comorba Petair. i. Celestinus.


Betha Phatraic (LB p. 24b-29b) (Author: [unknown]) CELT: The Corpus of Electronic Texts

As translated by Stokes:

Now Patrick served the king and his three brothers, wherefore there was given unto him the name of Cothraige, that is, the slave of four persons. Now four names had he, to wit, Succet, his name from his parents: Cothraige, when he served the four persons: Magonius (i.e. magis agens, his name with Germanus: Patricius (that is, "father of citizens") his name with Peter's successor, Celestinus.

[http://www.ucc.ie/celt/published/T201009/index.html]

On the Life of St. Patrick (Leabhar Breac) (Author: Translated by Whitley Stokes) CELT: The Corpus of Electronic Texts

Folk-etymology likely connected Cothraige to the story of Patrick’s captivity because of its apparent similarity to the number ‘four’ cethair [cɛθəɾʲ].

X.b. A PREHISTORY OF LENITION

First, in order to explain how Patricius could ever lead to a form such as Cothraige, one must take the historical development of Irish into account. Among other factors such as vowel changes, apocope, and lack of the phoneme /p/ in native words, internal LEN affected loan words in a similar manner to native ones. Thus loan words can actually help determine the period in time in which the consonant mutations occurred. In order for Patricius to participate in internal consonant mutation, it must have been borrowed before the mutation (in this case only LEN
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...applying) took place—or at least before the variation of lenited and unlenited consonants ceased to be allomorphic. When Primitive Irish borrowed *Patricius [patrikijus], the /p/ would have been “treated” as the native /kʷ/ ⁶, thereby retaining labial feature of the phoneme → *[kʷatrikijus]. (It seems unusual that the much closer sound /b/ was not reverted to instead; perhaps a correspondence between the Brythonic /p/ from /kʷ/ and the Irish /kʷ/ played a role.)

The languages would have been quite close during the 4th-5th centuries ⁸, and Saint Patrick and his British missionaries would have been speakers of both Brythonic and Goidelic—many of the Irish too who raided Britain and took British slaves would have likely been familiar with Brythonic, c.f. GOI §920.) The record shows correspondence of Latin /p/ and Goidelic /kʷ/ word initially. Since the normal reflex of /p/ in this position is Ø, Old Irish words beginning with /p/ must be loan words.

Furthermore, if the word *Patricius were borrowed before LEN took place, it would fully participate in internal mutation just like any native word. This explains the /θ/ and /s/ in place of /t/ and /k/. Apocope caused the loss of final syllables from Primitive Irish to Old Irish, so

---

⁶ L&P §83.4, c.f. Ogam Irish QRIMITIR [kʷritiːtir], OI cruimther [krʷuimθer] ‘priest’ vs. Early Welsh primer [priθder]; all from Latin presbyter. (GOI (§223) states that Cormac’s Glossary (211) notes that Old Irish modeled cruimther on Old Welsh premier [praθder] (“Cruimther i. goídelg indí as prespiter. Premther didiu a combhréid sicidie...Ni tintúd cőr dondí as prespiter anní as c[h]ruimther. Is tintúd cőir dondí as premter indí as c[h]ruimther” (Meyer, p. 19)), which was from the Latin. DIL notes that the variant with an unlenited /m/ exists—cruim(m)ther [krumθer]).

⁷ GOI §920: “It may be assumed that in earlier borrowings p was as a rule replaced by q because of the old correspondence between Irish q and the p of native Britannic words.”


⁸ as an example of the close similarity of Goidelic and Brythonic, take the oath of Saint Patrick *mo De Bróth [mo doː broːθ] ‘by the judgment of God!’; the Early Old Irish equivalent of the Old Welsh *muin Duiu Braut [mʊɨn (d)ʊɨu bɾauːt] was as a rule replaced by q because of the old correspondence between Irish q and the p of native Britannic words.”

Koch hypothesizes that the original Brythonic oath that Saint Patrick swore was */mʊɨn dəwɔs bɾəðɔs/ [monːdəwɔs bɾəðɔs] which was borrowed into Primitive Irish as */mʊɨn dəwɔs bɾəðɔs/ which became *[mʊɨn dəwɔs bɾəðɔs] in Late Primitive Irish (again with Σ representing some sort of stage between /s/ and /h/ as in LHB) (Koch, p. 180-181). Jackson in LHB (p. 633), contrasts and hypothesizes that Patrick spoke *μιν δέως βράδος in Late British, which Irish borrowed and Gaelicized the Primitive Welsh */miː nɨw bɾəðu/ into *mo De Bróth. Cormac Úa Cuileannáin, in his glossary that the original form Patrick said was *muin Duiu braut which the Irish incorrectly say (“quod Scoti corrupte dicunt” / “is trúaillech aderaid na Scotia hē”) as *modebroth (Meyer, p. 72). It is fascinating how knowledge of the Brythonic could have persisted so long (into the 10th century).
now we should be at /*kʷaθrixije*/ → later changes such as palatalization, vowel reduction, the replacement (voicing) of /x/ with /γ/ in unstressed intervocalic syllables⁹, the loss of labialization in /kʷ/ and the change from /a/ to /o/¹⁰ need not concern us here as they do not relate to consonant mutation. Thus, the normal development of Primitive Irish to Old Irish can derive /koθrəɣʲə/ from /patrikii̯us/. As per Koch (p. 182-3), Harvey argues that a pre-LEN borrowing of Patricius into Primitive Irish should have yielded *Cothairche (because of syncope and epenthesis), as if it had been from Proto-Celtic *kʷatrikjos. Koch (p. 183) postulates that it may have been the strong penultimate stress of Brythonic, in contrast to the strong initial stress of Goidelic, which blocked syncope and led to the from Cothraige. If Brythonic had its penultimate stress during Patrick’s lifetime, this would have likely affected Brythons’ pronunciation of Latin. If Patricius were pronounced /*pat'reikjuːs/ in early (pre-lenition) British Latin—it may have kept its “strange” stress in Primitive Irish: /*kʷoθrixi̯ah/ (Koch sites as “Archaic” *[kʰoθrɪˈxɛ]); which would cause Old Irish to keep the second syllable which would normally be susceptible to syncope (but likely reverting the stress to the first syllable post syncope—[ˈkoθrəɣʲɛ]). Cothairche [ˈkoθəɾʲɛ] (with a “new” second syllable added to prevent the consonant combination /θrx/) could have still existed as a possible pronunciation as well.

The form Pátraic must have entered the Irish language in another manner and in another time. One must assume that the same LEN that happened in Brythonic also happened in British Latin and that the Patricius re-entered the Irish language through British Latin or Brythonic after the period of Irish LEN. Brythonic lenition (different from Goidelic LEN, see section IV.a), which voices voiceless stops, means that Patricius would probably have been pronounced /*pa(:)drigiuː/ in British Latin (adapted from Koch, p. 67 & Uhlich, p. 63). When Irish which permits initial /p/, borrows this Brythonic influenced name it surfaces as the familiar [paːdrəɡ]. Internal lenition in Irish must have already ceased to be productive, for Irish lenition does not apply. Otherwise *[paːðrəɣʰ]/ would result.

---

⁹ c.f GOI §129 (also see §128 & §130)
¹⁰ the labio-velar properties of the /k/ transferred to the vowel and thus the rounding (and raising) of /a/ occurred: [a] → [o] (probably through [n]). „Dieses erklärt sich durch den Einfluß des labialen Elements des unmittelbar vorangehenden Labiovelars und kann als Labiovelarumlaut bezeichnet werden, also *kʰa- > *kʰo-“ (Uhlich, p. 72).
X.b.1. Koch

What implications do these forms have for lenition in Irish and Brythonic? Following Koch’s attempts to unite the lenition in both branches of Insular Celtic languages, the British Latin pronunciation of *Patricius* would produce /*pa(:)drigijuh*/ rather than /*pa(:)drigijuh*/. Here follows a synopsis of his account of the stages of lenition (p. 198-199):

• “Old Celtic” LEN:
  - stops had fortis (absolute initial position) and lenis (intervocalic and some other positions) allomorphs:

  \[
  \begin{align*}
  /k^w, k, t, b, d, g/ &\rightarrow \text{fortis} & /k^{w^{bh}}, k^{b}, t^{h}, b, d, g/ \\
  /g^{w}, \dot{g}, \dot{d}, \dot{\delta}, \dot{\gamma}/ &\rightarrow \text{lenis} & /\dot{g}^{w}, \dot{g}, \dot{d}, \dot{\delta}, \dot{\gamma}/
  \end{align*}
  \]

  -The opposition is basically between aspiration/non-aspiration in the case of formerly voiceless stops and between plosive and fricatives in the case of former voiced stops.

  -In Brythonic /k^w/: \( [k^{w^{bh}}, g^{w^{}}] \rightarrow /p/ [p^{b}, \beta] \)

• “Late Primitive Irish Spirantisation”:
  -the voiceless lenis are even further lenited into fricatives:

  \[
  [\dot{g}^{w}, \dot{g}, \dot{d}] \rightarrow [x^{w}, x, \theta]
  \]

  -Koch claims that they lost their [–cont] feature around the mid to late 5th century.

After apocope, the lenes/fortes allomorphs became phonemicized because they now contrasted. For example, /x/ could now occur in non-intervocalic positions—such as word-final position: c.f. Old Irish *liach* \([l^{i}:a:x]\) ‘pain, sorrow, woe; ladle’ \(^{11}\) < Proto-Celtic *leika-* (my reconstruction).

Thus, /x/ was no longer an allomorphic variant of /k/, but could contrast and form a near minimal pair with *lecc* \([l^{i}:e:k]\) ‘slab of rock’ (Modern leac \([l^{i}:e:k]\)) < *likkā, *l̩p-kā (MacBain, p. 225). Furthermore, the Old Irish forms *liace* \([l^{i}:a:g]\), genitive of *lie/lia* \([l^{i}:e/l\dot{a}:a]\) ‘stone’ < *lēwink (MacBain p. 228) and *liaig* \([l^{i}:a:gy]\) ‘physician, leech’ < *li(∅)ag-i- (PCD) provide the contrast of the voiced velar phonemes. (I have adapted the Proto-Celtic reconstructions, unless otherwise notes, from MacBain.)

---

\(^{11}\) Modern Irish distinguishes *liach* \([l^{i}:a:x]\) ‘woe, sorrow, pain’ and *liach* \([l^{i}:e:a:x]\) ‘ladle’ by their genitives and genders: *liach* \([l^{i}:e:a]\) (masc.) and *létiche* \([l^{i}:e:ç\dot{a}]\) (fem.) respectively. *Líach* ‘ladle’ varied with *liag* \([l^{i}:a:γ]\) in Old Irish.
Thus, “Old Celtic lenition” was responsible for the form */pa(ː)drigiuː/ in Welsh, which later became [pʰaːdrəɻ] in Irish. Although Koch does not mention it, his theory holds that /patrikii̯us/ became /*kʷadrigi̯us/ on its way to Cothraige at some point in early Primitive Irish before “Late Primitive Irish Spirantisation” occurred and /d/ and /g̊/ became /θ/ and /x/.

When Old Irish, in a post “Late Primitive Irish Spirantisation” stage, borrowed words from British Latin already affected by “Old Celtic lenition”, the /d/ of British Latin sounded much closer to the native Irish /d/, which had newly developed from /*nt/, than to /θ/. All /d/’s in Irish had already changed to /θ/ and the change no longer productively affected the language word-internally. One might think that a voiceless /d/, i.e. /t/, would stay /t/, but in Koch’s model the fortis version of Proto-Celtic *t was a distinctively aspirated /tʰ/. Therefore, /d/ seemed a closer approximation. It is interesting to note that voiceless stops are still aspirated in Modern Irish, Scottish Gaelic and Welsh and in most Welsh and Gaelic dialects the “voiced stops” are actually unaspirated voiceless stops. In Irish “voiced stops” are semi-voiced or voiced.

Reflecting on Koch’s arguments, the question of exactly when Patrick was borrowed for the second time in Old Irish, yielding Pátraic, still remains. If it was borrowed during the active LEN phase (“Late Primitive Irish Spirantisation”) then there would have been allomorphic variation between /d/ and /θ/ and /g̊/ and /x/ in that stage of Irish and one would expect ‘Patrick’ to participate in any sound change in a similar manner to native words. Since Pátraic obviously did not participate in this (which would have yielded the unattested */paftrix/), is it possible that speakers of the time assumed that ‘Patrick’ contained voiced geminate stops /dd/ and /gg/ (because the variation between /d/ & /θ/ and /g̊/ & /x/ was obviously absent), such as those resulting from *nt and *nk? A hypothetical */paːdːrɪɡɡiːjə/ would still produce [paːdrəɻ] though degemination. Or alternatively, if borrowed after the active internal operation of LEN in both Brythonic and Goidelic (both Old Celtic LEN and Late Prim. Irish spirantization), it would have simply transferred the /d/ to /d/ and /g̊/ to /g/ as described above. Or, if Koch’s theory is wrong and /d/ and /g̊/ never existed, the form /paːdrəɡ/ could have kept the /d/ and /g/ found in the Brythonic pronunciation.

X.b.2 Jackson

Jackson’s earlier view of the prehistory of LEN (based upon his revised account in *Historical Phonology of Breton*, as given in Koch, p. 197-198) differs from Koch’s and forces the
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Divergent lenitions of the Goidelic and Brythonic branches to develop independently during the same time period:

- Common Old Celtic LEN:

  - Environmentally conditioned phonetic opposition of fortis (to which he only assigns an abstract phonetic value using uppercase letters) and lenis (no LEN in either the Brythonic or Goidelic sense involved):

    \[
    \begin{align*}
    \text{fortis} & \rightarrow /K^w, K, T, B, D, G/ \\
    \text{lenis} & \rightarrow /k^w, k, t, b, d, g/ 
    \end{align*}
    \]

- Around the late 5th century AD LEN occurred in both Goidelic and Brythonic to the lenis stops, but this mutation manifested itself in different ways in the case of original voiceless stops in the two branches:

  - Late Primitive Irish:

    \[
    \begin{align*}
    \text{unlenited} & \rightarrow /K^w, K, T, B, D, G/ \\
    \text{lenited} & \rightarrow /\x ^w, \emptyset, \beta, \delta, \gamma/ 
    \end{align*}
    \]

  - Late British (with /k^w/ \rightarrow /p/:)

    \[
    \begin{align*}
    \text{unlenited} & \rightarrow /P, K, T, B, D, G/ \\
    \text{lenited} & \rightarrow /b, g, d, \beta, \delta, \gamma/ 
    \end{align*}
    \]

When /p/ became a phoneme of Irish, it followed the pattern and became /f/ under lenition. Koch does not mention it, but Jackson’s theory must have the unlenited series realized as “normal” stops: /p, k^w, k, t, b, d, g/. Thus in Jackson’s timeline, loans of the Cothraige type of loan words would have been borrowed before lenis consonants took different paths in the later part of the 5th century.
Approximate basic/combined stages in the two derivations of Patrick’s Old Irish names (based on Koch’s theory of LEN):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes</th>
<th><em>Cothraige</em> (not assuming)</th>
<th>Changes</th>
<th><em>Pátraic</em> (highlighting those relevant to LEN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Latin (British stress)</td>
<td>/patrikius/</td>
<td>• British Latin</td>
<td>/<em>pʰa(·)drig̊ijuh/</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “Old Celtic” LEN</td>
<td>/*kʰa[r]ig̊ijah/</td>
<td>• vocalic change</td>
<td>/*pʰaːdrig̊ijah/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• /s/ → /h/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• vowel change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “Late Prim. Irish</td>
<td>/*kʰaθrิก̊ijah/</td>
<td>• + vce: /tʃ/ → /θ/</td>
<td>/*pʰaːdrig̊/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirantization”</td>
<td></td>
<td>• apocope</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• transfer of + lab</td>
<td>/*kʰoθrιk̊ijah/</td>
<td>• palatalization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from /k/ to  V: a → o</td>
<td></td>
<td>• vocalic change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• palatalization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• apocope</td>
<td>/koθrιk̊e/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Goidelic stress</td>
<td>/koθraːc’e/</td>
<td>• voicing of voiceless</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• vowel reduction</td>
<td></td>
<td>fricative in unstressed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• voicing of voiceless</td>
<td>[ˈk(ʰ)oθrˠaːγə]</td>
<td>syllables classical OI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**X.c. OTHER LOAN WORDS**

This “pairing” of loan words in Old Irish was not limited to ‘Patrick’, but rather represents a much larger process in which loan words assimilated into Irish. Depending on when the borrowing took place, different phonological changes took place. Old Irish sometimes developed different versions of the same Latin word as it did with ‘Patrick’. The situation is complicated by the
fact many later loan words were in fact modelled on the earlier ones and by the fact that the
sound and mutational changes affect the language gradually. Thus, it is difficult to date when
loan words entered the language and when the phonological processes occurred. Still, one can
assemble Latin to Old Irish loan words into generally “earlier” and “later” groups. Here is a
chart, adapted from Uhlich (p. 58) which shows the trends of loan words which related to
mutation (my transcriptions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Earlier</th>
<th>Later (* +Brythonic LEN influence*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Latin /p/ → Prim. Irish /kʷ/ → Old Irish /kː/;</td>
<td>• Latin /p/ → Old Irish /pː/;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patricius → Coithirche / Coithraige</td>
<td>Patricius → Pátraic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/patrikijus/</td>
<td>/pʰaːdriɡʲuː/ ¹²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Patrick’</td>
<td>‘Patrick’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apostolus → axal (ox = /xs/)</td>
<td>pæce(m) → póːc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/apostolus/</td>
<td>/pʰeːɡ(m)/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘apostle’</td>
<td>‘peace’ ‘kiss’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apostolus → apstal/ abstal</td>
<td>(from ‘kiss of peace’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/apostolus/</td>
<td>/abostoluː/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘apostle’</td>
<td>‘apostle’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>presbyter → cruimther</td>
<td>nota → not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/presbyter/</td>
<td>/noda/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘priest’</td>
<td>‘sign’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pateus → cuithe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/puteus/</td>
<td>/kuθʲəs/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘pit, well’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹² Latin transcriptions here approximate British Latin pronunciation.

As a further example of a single Latin word borrowed into Old Irish twice, take *orthu [ɔɾˠθu] and oróit [ɔɾˠoːdʲ] ‘prayer’ from Latin orātiō. According to McCone ((1996), p. 30-31) the earlier borrowing took the path: Latin orātiō /ɔɾˠtʲ-/ > *oraθiyu > Old Irish *orthu [ɔɾˠθu] > Middle Irish ortha [ɔɾˠθa] and the later the path: Latin orātiō /ɔɾˠd-/ > Old Irish oróid [ɔɾˠaːdʲ]. Later in Old Irish, probably out of analogy with the Latin, the vowel reverted back to /aː/ oráit [ɔɾˠaːdʲ], c.f. (Classical) Modern Irish oráid [ɔɾˠaːdʲ].

One most note that some scholars, such as Ó Riain (p. 698-703), however, do suggest that Cothraige did not develop from Latin Patricius, but rather originates from the name of several Irish tribes (Cothraige, Catraige, Cat(t)raige, Coithrige) and later place names associated with them which subsequently became linked with Saint Patrick as another name. One of the supposed names of the saint in the work of Tíreachán is the 7th century form Coithirthaicus, which, Ó Riain claims, was close to enough to Cothraige that some later authors substituted the latter for the former.

---

13 Primitive Irish originally had no phoneme /f/, but it arose natively from the LEN of /su̯/, through /hu̯/ (i.e. the devoicing of /u̯/, parallel to the general development of initial /u̯/ into /f/; fer < *virah). This restored form results from the “delenition” of the borrowed Latin word, i.e. /f/ was considered to originate from /s(u)/. (Koch, p. 63)
The motivation behind this was to establish the primacy of Armagh and the North over Munster, for one of the Coithrige Cathraige tribes were subjects of the King of Cashel. Other earlier Irish tribes shared a similar name, that is to say, the Coithrige of Uisneach and the Catraige of Delbna (a tributary tribe of Uí Maine).

However, the phonological changes needed to derive Cothraige from Patricius do seem to parallel internal changes in the Irish language and the treatment of other loan words from Latin. So although it was similar to native tribal names, Cothraige as a name for Saint Patrick very likely did come from Latin Patricius. Perhaps the amount of variation of forms can be attributed to confusion with the tribal names.
XI. /t/ before /s/

The “eclipsing” of /s/ to /t/ originates from lenition. The /t/ actually originally compromised a part of the definite article which transferred to the noun. We can see this by looking at how Old Irish represented this mutation.

In Modern Irish ‘the father’ is:

\[ \text{an } t\text{-athair} \quad [əˈtæ:(h)əɾʲ] \quad ‘\text{the father (NOM.)}’ \]

Compare Old Irish, which links the /t/ directly with the definite article:

\[ \text{int } \text{athair} \quad [ɪn̪ˠt\ aθəɾʲ] \]

Pokorny reconstructs the pre-history of \( an\ t\text{-athair} \) from Goidelic \( \rightarrow \) Primitive Irish \( \rightarrow \) Old Irish in \textbf{Altirische Grammatik} (§85):

\[ *\text{sindos } aθer > *\text{sindahaθer} > *(s)\text{indhaθir} > int \text{ath(a)ir} \]

One can see that the underlying form of the Celtic definite article can be reconstructed to */sind-/. The /d/ of the stem (usually assimilated with the /n/ in most other forms) became devoiced as a result of the /h/— a product of lenited /s/ —from either the end of the definite article itself (i.e. /*sindos/) or from the /s/ at the beginning of the word that the article modifies. Thus Modern Irish \( an\ tsúil \) [s(ŋ) tu:l] ‘the eye’ can be explained by (Pokorny §85):

the Old Irish form \( int \text{súil} \) [ɪn̪ˠt hu:l] < *(s)\text{indhu}l < *sindahūlis < *sindā sūlis

It might be better from a historical perspective to view the form as \( \text{ant shúil} \) or \( \text{ant tsúil} \) in Modern Irish, as this form clearly shows that the mutation is a special case of lenition, but due to lenition nonetheless.
XII. LEN-BLOCKING

Certain circumstances cause the non-application of lenition or de-lenition, depending on if one takes a synchronic or diachronic viewpoint of the phenomenon. Lenition “blocking” occurred in Old Irish when a word that would normally trigger lenition terminated in a consonant that was homorganic, that is have the same place of articulation, with the initial consonant of the word due to undergo lenition (Stifter, p.31). Thus, it is clear that lenition blocking developed after the loss of final syllables, for it is the attested Old Irish forms, not earlier ones or underlying abstract forms, which matter here. Delentition operates as a surface rule in Old Irish. In essence three groups are affected: the large and important class of dentals (/d, t, n, l, s/), the labials (/p, b, m/) and the velars (/g, k/) (of course their lenited variants may appear in word final position as well). To exemplify:

\[
\text{cach céitbuid} \quad \quad \quad \quad [\text{kax ce:d}][\text{βuð}] \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{‘every feeling’} \quad \quad \quad \quad (\text{GOI §231})
\]

and not:

\[
*\text{cach chéitbuid}
\]

The /k/ of céitbuid does not lenite to /x/, because it shares the same place of articulation (velar) with the final /x/ of cach. Pre-apocope this must have been something like /kaxa x-/, but after the final syllables dropped the LEN rule no longer triggered mutation because two guttural consonants came into contact. Compare with:

\[
\text{ó cach tharmmorcib} \quad [\text{o: kax}][\text{θarmor}][\text{ŋəv}] \quad \text{‘from all endings’} \quad \quad \quad \quad (\text{GOI §490})
\]

where /t/ lenites to /θ/ because /x/ and /t/ do not agree in place of articulation.

This parallels internal delenition when homorganic consonants come into contact because of syncope:

\[
\text{ad comaltar} \quad \quad \quad [\text{að}][\text{kəμɔltaɾ}] \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{‘is joined’} \quad \quad \quad \quad (\text{GOI §139})
\]

Proto-Irish: */kmLatar/
-LEN \rightarrow */kmLɔɾtəɾ/
-SYNC \rightarrow */kmLɔɾtəɾ/
-DE-LEN \rightarrow */kmLɾtəɾ/
-EPENTH \rightarrow */kmLɔɾtəɾ/
Old Irish \quad [kɔmɔl]təɾv]}

Modern Irish does not observe this mutation prevention rule as strictly. The system is falling apart, for the phonetic changes are no longer active. It is impractical to refer to lenition and del-
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lenition in Modern Irish, but rather to lenition and lenition blocking for the exceptions in which LEN does not occur. LEN-blocking occurs mostly with dentals only, but even these contexts have many exceptions. Ó Siadhail, in Modern Irish, states that LEN is sometimes blocked by homorganic dentals (p. 113):

- an diabhail [ə dʰaːɭi] ‘of the devil’
- *an dhíabhail

- aon teach [eːnˠ tʰæːx] ‘any house’
- *an theach

- an-socair [ˈaːnˠsɔkəɾʲ] ‘very sturdy’
- *an-shocair

- bean slachtmhair [bʲæːnˠ slˠaːxtwəɾʲ] ‘a handsome woman’
- *bean shlachtmhair

Lenition, however, often does operate in the same contexts with attributive adjectives and attributive genitives as well as with compounds (forms from Ó Siadhail (1989), p.113 unless otherwise noted):

- caolshéans [kʲiːl̪ˠɕæːnˠs] ‘slender chance’

but on the other hand:

- caoldroim [kʲiːl̪ˠdɾˠiːm] ‘small of the back’

- móin dhúbh [muːnʲ ɣu] ‘black peat’

- sloittin dhraíocht [sʲl̪ˠiːtʲiːnˠ ɣɾˠiː(ɔ)xʈʲ] ‘a wand’

versus the standard:

- saighead draíochta [sᵃj̥d ɾʲiː(ɔ)xʈᵃ] ‘magic arrow’
  arrow magic.GEN

(Caighdeán Oifigiúil, p. 84)

---

¹ Cois Fhairrge Irish drops the final –a that appears in the standard language in the genitive case of this noun; thus standard draíochta [dɾʲiː(ɔ)xʈᵃ]
Although LEN-blocking occurs much less frequently with homorganic labials and velars than with dentals, it nevertheless does occur. The infrequently used preposition um [um] ‘about, around, at’, for example, usually triggers LEN:

\[
\text{um Cháisc} \quad [\text{um } x\alpha:\text{c}] \quad \text{‘at Easter’}
\]

but fails to do so before /b, p, m/ (Mac Congáil, p. 83; Bräsicke):

\[
\text{um bosca} \quad [\text{um } \text{boska}] \quad \text{‘around a box’}
\]

Some Munster dialects such as Clear Island (Cléire) in County Cork have this lack of LEN in other contexts as well:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard:</th>
<th>Gaeilge Chléire ²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i mo bhéal</td>
<td>[o m(ə) vʲeːlʲ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i mo phóca</td>
<td>[o m(ə) foːka]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here, in the Clear Island dialect, the form i ‘in’ + mo ‘my’ coalesce as im and lenition of /b/ and /p/ does not occur, or more likely /v/ and /f/ were delenited. Something strange happens to words beginning with /m/ in this dialect. Clear Irish treats these as if they actually began with /b/. Thus, this suggests that delenition occurred. The lenited form of both /b/ and /m/ is [v], and hence both were delenited to /b/ probably to avoid the two /m/’s that would have otherwise resulted—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard:</th>
<th>Gaeilge Chléire</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i mo mhéon</td>
<td>[o m(ə) vʲoːnʲ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The velars, in surnames, display a degree of lenition constraint as well. Nic ⁴, the feminine unmarried equivalent of mac ‘son (of)’, causes LEN unless the following element begins with /k/ and /g/ (Mac Congáil, p. 199; Ó Dónaill, p. 910-911) ⁵:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mac Dónaill</th>
<th>[(m)ək duːnʲəpʲ]</th>
<th>Nic Dhónaill</th>
<th>[ŋʲc ɣuːnʲəpʲ]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

but:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mac Conraoi</th>
<th>[(m)ək ɬoːɾʲiː]</th>
<th>Nic Conraoi</th>
<th>[ŋʲc ɬoːɾʲiː]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#Nic Chonraoi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mac Gearailt</th>
<th>[(m)ək ɬeːɾʲəlʲtʲ]</th>
<th>Nic Gearailt</th>
<th>[ŋʲc ɬeːɾʲəlʲtʲ]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

(In some cases /g/ may undergo LEN: ² all Clear Irish from Ó Buachalla (2003), p. 8
³ following prepositions, Munster dialects use meoin, the dative case of meon.
⁴ a contraction of iníon mhic ‘daughter of the son (of)’
⁵ similarly with mhic [(v)nc], the form used for married women)
but this is not standard. Like with the dentals, many lenition block rules operate optionally in the contemporary spoken language.)

Even Old Irish has examples of this constraint in surnames. McCone ((1996), p. 89-90) transcribes the pronunciation of the Ogham inscription (mentioned in section VIII, footnote 5) QRIMITIR RONANN MAQ COMAGANN as /kʰriṽiθ̥ir rónän’ vək’ xoṽaṽán’. Here the final vowel once present in MAQ(I) triggered lenition on the initial /k/ of COMAGANN. However, now two labial consonants have come together and delenition should occur. He provides the Old Irish form cruimthir Róná(i)n maic Comgá(i)n, undoubtedly representing [krˠiʃ⁹θ̥ʲəɾʲrˠo:nˠa:nʲ]; not #...maic Chomgáin [...μαc xoʊya:nʲ].

Surprisingly this lenition-blocking accounts also for instances in which nasality does not always occur when expected. Most combinations of preposition and singular definite article trigger nasality, except in Ulster where they usually trigger lenition (lenition almost all the time in Scottish Gaelic). However, in the standard language (Caighdeán) and Connemiche dialects, nasalization does not operate on /t/ and /d/ in these contexts, but it does in Munster Irish:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard/Connemara</th>
<th>Munster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ag an mbó⁶</td>
<td>[ɛɟ ə mo:]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ag an doras</td>
<td>[ɛ ə dorːas]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ag an teach</td>
<td>[ɛ ə tʲæːx]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The surface presence of the homorganic nasal /n/ does not impede nasality in other circumstances where it should occur, such as following the question particle an:

- an duigeann tú? | [ə(nˠ) dɨɡənˠ tuː] | ‘do you understand’
- an ndúinθaθh tú? | [ə(nˠ) n̪uːnθ(ʰ)x tuː] | ‘will you close?’

Thus something other than the /n/ of the definite article causes nasality-block in the case of ag an doras and ag an teach, etc.

Actually, the rule of non-nasalization after the preposition-definite article combination, traditionally described as an exception to nasalization rules, is actually non-lenition from a historical viewpoint. After the loss of a distinct accusative case (which featured nasality in the singular), the cases used after prepositions became confused, and different dialects redistributed the nasality.

---

⁶ the standard language also optionally permits lenition here, as Ulster does by rule: ag an bhó [ɛɟ ə wɔ:] ‘at the cow’
associated with accusative case and the \textsc{len} associated with dative case in different ways. Therefore, almost any preposition and singular definite article combination can cause either mutation in some dialect. For some reason, although the Conamara dialects (and standard) chose eclipsis for most of these cases (such as with \textit{ag} ‘at’ above), in the case of words beginning with \textit{/t/} and \textit{/d/}, lenition still applied instead. Thus, the blocking rule that had existed for lenition persisted, even though nasalization occurs on other consonants in the same contexts. Thus it is \#\textit{ag an dh\text{"o}ras} and \#\textit{ag an dhe\text{"a}ch} which are blocked (i.e. impossible in Irish) and not the eclipsed Munster versions (\textit{an an nd\text{"o}ras} and \textit{ag an dteach}). Most preposition and singular article combinations trigger \textsc{len} in Ulster Irish so this dialect would also reflect the standard forms in the case of \textit{/t/} and \textit{/d/}. In Munster Irish \textsc{nas} fully pervaded the system and operates on all mutable consonants including \textit{/t/} and \textit{/d/}. Hence in Conamara and \textit{Caighde\text{"a}n} Irish prepositions and the singular definite article cause a “mixed-mutation”, with \textsc{len} applying to dental plosives and \textsc{nas} to everything else.

It seems counterintuitive that such a rule exists in Modern Irish. Especially since many speakers would not pronounce the \textit{/n/} of the definite article in many circumstances, but even more so because lenited \textit{/t/} and \textit{/d/} and no longer dentals in Irish! The segments \textit{/n\#\text{"y}/} (standard \textit{an ghaoth} [\textit{\text{"o}n\’ ɣ\text{"i}ː}] ‘the wind’) and \textit{/n\#\text{"h}/} (\textit{an hata} [\textit{\text{"o}n hæːtə]} ‘the hat’) are perfectly acceptable in Modern Irish, so what causes the persistence of the lenition blocking? Hypothetically lenition had occurred, but later reversed after the homorganic consonants came in contact due to syncope. Thus, in Old Irish the combinations \textit{/n\#\text{"o}/} and \textit{/n\#\text{"o}/} reverted back to the unlenited \textit{/nd/} and \textit{/nt/} – see the \textit{ad\text{"o}comaltar} example above (p. 96). Therefore, the rule affecting \textit{/t/} and \textit{/d/} must be viewed as a relic of the time when interdental fricatives existed in Irish. Underlyingly, \textit{/\#\text{"o}/} and \textit{/\#\text{"o}/} still represent lenited \textit{/d/} and \textit{/t/} and these then become \textit{[\text{"y}]} and \textit{[h]} on the surface by a later rule.

In cases where lenition would be expected after the definite article such as the feminine singular nominative and masculine genitive singular, and in combination with certain prepositions (in the standard language only with feminine nouns in this case) \textit{/t/} appears before, and in pronunciation replaces, \textit{ts} \textit{/t/}. This shares an origin with lenition, for the \textit{/t/} represents a \textit{/d/} (originally part of the article) devoiced due to the \textit{/h/} which resulted from lenited \textit{/s/}. See section XI.
Modern Welsh likewise has some small semblance of lenition blocking. The phoneme /d/ sometimes does not mutate following /s/, (King, p. 19):

\[\text{wythnos diwetha} \quad [\text{uwi}ð\text{no:s}] \quad \text{‘last week’}\]

\[\text{*wythnos dd}i\text{wetha}\]

\[\text{nos da} \quad [\text{no:s da:}] \quad \text{‘good night’}\]

\[\text{*nos dd}a\]

compare:

\[\text{noswaith dd}a \quad [\text{no:swa}ð\theta \text{da:}] \quad \text{‘good evening’}\]

but sometimes /d/ does lenite following /s/ (Morgan, p. 60):

\[\text{pais dd}u \quad [\text{pa}ð ði:] \quad \text{‘black coat’}\]

\[\text{nos dd}i\text{staw} \quad [\text{no:s ðista}ʊ\text{]} \quad \text{‘silent night’}\]

He remarks that this lenition can be “appropriate in occasional syntactic situations” (my approximate paraphrase of the his Welsh). Perhaps relative commonality and frequency of phrases such as nos da and wythnos diwetha allowed a petrified phonological rule to operate, while in other phrases such as nos ddistaw and pais ddu where the adjective is less “connected” to the noun, the regular LEN rule persists.

Morgan (p. 61) provides further evidence of petrified LEN blocking/delenition in Welsh. He states that the place name Y Garreg Coch (which one would expect to be Y Garreg Goch (‘the red rock’) with lenition of the adjective following a feminine singular noun) actually represents [ə gærɛg kʊχ]. Where lenition blocking occurs and both consonants become voiceless. However, this phenomenon no longer appears to be active in Modern Welsh. Now I believe the place name to have yielded to paradigmatic pressure, thus it must be Y Garreg Goch 7 [ə gærɛg gʊχ]. Although, dialectically other non-standard pronunciations likely exist.

7 the only place name I could find on the BBC Wales’ “What’s in a name” site was Carreg Goch. http://www.bbc.co.uk/wales/whatsinaname/
XIII. Conclusion

These in-depth looks into Celtic consonant mutations reveal that the mutations are more than an arbitrary relic of sound changes, but have had a profound impact on the development of the Celtic languages and continue to play an active role in grammar. At one stage mutations occurred word-internally as well, but they became non-productive internally, and now only occur word-initially and in compounds. New words and even new sounds (wigwam, x-ray, zebra) are adapted into the system of initial mutations, and innovation still occurs.

Understanding the historical development, both as seen in the oldest attested forms of the languages and in reconstructions, helps to clarify operations of the initial mutations. For a learner of the modern languages, the mutations, at first glance, seem to be an illogical and impossible-to-learn feature of the Celtic languages. Exploring and understanding their historical linguistic background makes the systems seem much more natural and logical. In fact initial mutations due to assimilation are not all that strange. Even in spoken English ‘in Boston’ can actually sound something like [mboʊstn]. Significantly, in Celtic languages the sounds which triggered the mutations have been lost and the changes themselves carry grammatical information.

Forms of the same word such as ci, chi, gi & nghi and cú, chú & gcú make much more sense when one sees the system and how formerly phonological alterations, due to assimilation to the phonetic environment, developed into an important grammatical feature of the languages.

By the way – the Welsh nghath is pronounced as [ŋʰaːθ] and the Irish bhfuil as [wɪlʲ].
### APPENDICES

#### i. Séimhíú - Modern Conamara Irish

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHONEME</th>
<th>IRISH</th>
<th>PHONONOLOGICAL TRANSCRIPTION</th>
<th>ENGLISH GLOSS</th>
<th>PHONEMIC REPRESENTATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Labial Series:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p’</td>
<td>peann</td>
<td>[pʰə:nʲ]</td>
<td>'pen'</td>
<td>/pʰaN/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a pheann</td>
<td>[ə pʰə:nʲ]</td>
<td>'his pen'</td>
<td>/a ʃaN/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>póg</td>
<td>[pʰoːɡ]</td>
<td>'kiss'</td>
<td>/pʰoːɡ/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a phóg</td>
<td>[ə foːɡ]</td>
<td>'his kiss'</td>
<td>/a foːɡ/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b’</td>
<td>beach</td>
<td>[bʰeːx]</td>
<td>'bee'</td>
<td>/bʰax/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a bheach</td>
<td>[ə vəːx]</td>
<td>'his bee'</td>
<td>/a vʰax/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>bó</td>
<td>[boː]</td>
<td>'cow'</td>
<td>/boː/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a bhó</td>
<td>[ə βoː ~ woː]</td>
<td>'his cow'</td>
<td>/a voː/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f’</td>
<td>feall</td>
<td>[fʰə:lʲ]</td>
<td>'treachery'</td>
<td>/fʰaL/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a fheall</td>
<td>[(ə) aːlʲ]</td>
<td>'his treachery'</td>
<td>/a ɬ aL/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>fuinneo(i)g</td>
<td>[fʰiɲoːj]</td>
<td>'window'</td>
<td>/fiNʰoːɡ()/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a fhuinneo(i)g</td>
<td>[(ə) iɲoːj]</td>
<td>'his window'</td>
<td>/a ɬ iNʰoːɡ()/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m’</td>
<td>mic</td>
<td>[mʰiːc]</td>
<td>'sons'</td>
<td>/mʰik’/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a mhic</td>
<td>[ə vɨːc]</td>
<td>'his sons'</td>
<td>/a vʰik’/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>madadh</td>
<td>[maːdɔ]</td>
<td>'dog'</td>
<td>/madɔɬ/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a mhadadh</td>
<td>[ə waːdɔ]</td>
<td>'his dog'</td>
<td>/a ɬadɔɬ/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Dental Series:** |               |                              |               |                         |
| t’      | teach         | [tʰəːx]                       | 'house'       | /tʰax/                  |
|         | a theach      | [ə hʰəːx]                     | 'his house'   | /a hʰax/                |

1 C’ is a traditional notation for a palatalized consonant.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>/p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tí</td>
<td>[tʰiː]</td>
<td>‘houses’</td>
<td>/tʰiː:/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a thí</td>
<td>[ʰə hiː]</td>
<td>‘his houses’</td>
<td>/ə hʰiː:/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>talamh</td>
<td>‘land’</td>
<td>/taləv/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a thalamh</td>
<td>[ʰhə lʰə]</td>
<td>‘his land’</td>
<td>/ə hʰaləv/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d´</td>
<td>doech</td>
<td>‘drink’</td>
<td>/d´ox/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a dheoch</td>
<td>[ə jox]</td>
<td>‘his drink’</td>
<td>/ə y´ox/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>doras</td>
<td>‘door’</td>
<td>/dorás/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a dhoras</td>
<td>[ə jorás]</td>
<td>‘his door’</td>
<td>/ə jorás/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s´</td>
<td>síoda</td>
<td>‘silk’</td>
<td>/s´iːdə/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a shíoda</td>
<td>[ə hiːdə]</td>
<td>‘his silk’</td>
<td>/ə h´iːdə/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seol</td>
<td>[ʃoː]</td>
<td>‘sail’</td>
<td>/s´oːl/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a sheol</td>
<td>[ʃoː]</td>
<td>‘his sail’</td>
<td>/ə h´oːl/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>súil</td>
<td>‘eye’</td>
<td>/súːl/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a shúil</td>
<td>[ʃuː]</td>
<td>‘his eye’</td>
<td>/ə húːl/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

s(C):

/sk-/, /sp-/, /st-/, /sm-/: no lenition (sometimes /sm-/ in Munster, i.e. do shmaoiníos [də hmiːnʲiːs] ‘I thought’)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>/p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>scéal</td>
<td>[ʃɛːlˈ]</td>
<td>‘story’</td>
<td>/s´k´ɛːl/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a scéal</td>
<td>[ʃ ʃɛːlˈ]</td>
<td>‘his story’</td>
<td>/a s´k´ɛːl/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

/sn-/, /sr-/, /sl-/: lenition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>/p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>slabh</td>
<td>[ʃl̺ʰiːw]</td>
<td>‘mountain’</td>
<td>/s´L´iːw/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a shliabh</td>
<td>[ʃl̺ʰiːw]</td>
<td>‘his mountain’</td>
<td>/a h´L´iːw/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L´</td>
<td>leon</td>
<td>‘lion’</td>
<td>/L´oːn/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a leon</td>
<td>[ʃoː]</td>
<td>‘his lion’</td>
<td>/a l´oːn/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L^2</td>
<td>laoch</td>
<td>‘hero’</td>
<td>/Liːx/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a laoch</td>
<td>[ʃiːx]</td>
<td>‘his hero’</td>
<td>/a l´iːx/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 non-palatal /L/, /R/, /N/ do not lenite in Conamara Irish. They did in Old Irish and still can in Mayo, Ulster and Scottish dialects. See appendix viii. on /l, r, n/ in Goidelic.
### R’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sound</th>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R’</td>
<td>rí</td>
<td>[ɾiː]</td>
<td>‘king’</td>
<td>/R’i:/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a rí</td>
<td>[əɾiː]</td>
<td>‘his king’</td>
<td>/əɾiː/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sound</th>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>rothar</td>
<td>[ɾ’oːhɑɾˠ]</td>
<td>‘bike’</td>
<td>/Rɔhɔːr/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a rothar</td>
<td>[əɾ’oːhɑɾˠ]</td>
<td>‘his bike’</td>
<td>/əɾɔhɔːr/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### R

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sound</th>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N’</td>
<td>neart</td>
<td>[n̪ˠæːɾˠt̪]</td>
<td>‘strength’</td>
<td>/N’art/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a neart</td>
<td>[ə n̪ˠæːɾˠt̪]</td>
<td>‘his strength’</td>
<td>/ə n’art/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### N

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sound</th>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>náisiún</td>
<td>[n̪ˠaːʃuːn̪ˠ]</td>
<td>‘nation’</td>
<td>/Naːʃuːn/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a náisiún</td>
<td>[ə n̪ˠaːʃuːn̪ˠ]</td>
<td>‘his nation’</td>
<td>/ə naːʃuːn/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Velar Series:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sound</th>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>k’</td>
<td>ceol</td>
<td>[kʷəl̪ˠoː]</td>
<td>‘music’</td>
<td>/k’oːl/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a cheol</td>
<td>[ə kʷəl̪ˠoː]</td>
<td>‘his music’</td>
<td>/ə k’oːl/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sound</th>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>cú</td>
<td>[kʊː]</td>
<td>‘hound’</td>
<td>/kʊː/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a chú</td>
<td>[ə xuː]</td>
<td>‘his hound’</td>
<td>/ə xuː/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sound</th>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>g’</td>
<td>geata</td>
<td>[gæːtə]</td>
<td>‘gate’</td>
<td>/g’ætə/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a gheata</td>
<td>[ə gæːtə]</td>
<td>‘his gate’</td>
<td>/ə g’ætə/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sound</th>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>gabhar</td>
<td>[ɡaːɾˠəɾˠ]</td>
<td>‘goat’</td>
<td>/ɡaːɾˠəɾˠ/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a ghabhar</td>
<td>[ə ɣaːɾˠəɾˠ]</td>
<td>‘his goat’</td>
<td>/ə ɣaːɾˠəɾˠ/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Vowel:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sound</th>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>jV</td>
<td>eolas</td>
<td>[oːl̪ˠəs]</td>
<td>‘knowledge’</td>
<td>/joːls/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a eolas</td>
<td>[(ə) oːl̪ˠəs]</td>
<td>‘his knowledge’</td>
<td>/əjoːls/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sound</th>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>úll</td>
<td>[uːl̪ˠ]</td>
<td>‘apple’</td>
<td>/uːL/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a úll</td>
<td>[(ə) uːl̪ˠ]</td>
<td>‘his apple’</td>
<td>/ə uːL/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ii. URÚ - MODERN CONAMARA IRISH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHONEME</th>
<th>IRISH</th>
<th>PHONETICAL TRANSCRIPTION</th>
<th>ENGLISH GLOSS</th>
<th>PHONETICAL REPRESENTATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Labial Series:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>peann</td>
<td>[pʰaːn̪ˠ]</td>
<td>‘pen’</td>
<td>/paːN/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a bpeann</td>
<td>[o bʰaːn̪ˠ]</td>
<td>‘their pen’</td>
<td>/a b’aN/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>póg</td>
<td>[poːɡ]</td>
<td>‘kiss’</td>
<td>/poːɡ/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a bpóg</td>
<td>[o boːɡ]</td>
<td>‘their kiss’</td>
<td>/a boːɡ/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>beach</td>
<td>[bˠaːx]</td>
<td>‘bee’</td>
<td>/bˠax/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a mbeach</td>
<td>[o mˠaːx]</td>
<td>‘their bee’</td>
<td>/a mˠax/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>bó</td>
<td>[boː]</td>
<td>‘cow’</td>
<td>/boː/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a mbó</td>
<td>[o moː]</td>
<td>‘their cow’</td>
<td>/a moː/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>feall</td>
<td>[fuːl̪ˠoːj]</td>
<td>‘treachery’</td>
<td>/fuːl̪ˠaL/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a bhféall</td>
<td>[o vːl̪ˠoːj]</td>
<td>‘their treachery’</td>
<td>/a vːl̪ˠaL/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>fuinneo(i)g</td>
<td>[fʰin̪ˠoːj]</td>
<td>‘window’</td>
<td>/fiN̪ˠoːg(´)/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a bhfuinneo(i)g</td>
<td>[o hin̪ˠoːj]</td>
<td>‘their window’</td>
<td>/a hiN̪ˠoːg(´)/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>mic</td>
<td>[mʰic]</td>
<td>‘sons’</td>
<td>/mʰik´/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a mic</td>
<td>[o mʰic]</td>
<td>‘their sons’</td>
<td>/a mʰik´/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>madadh</td>
<td>[maːd̪ˠa]</td>
<td>‘dog’</td>
<td>/maːd̪ˠa/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a madadh</td>
<td>[o maːd̪ˠa]</td>
<td>‘their dog’</td>
<td>/a maːd̪ˠa/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dental Series:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>teach</td>
<td>[tʰaːx]</td>
<td>‘house’</td>
<td>/tʰax/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a dteach</td>
<td>[o dʰaːx]</td>
<td>‘their house’</td>
<td>/a dʰax/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tí</td>
<td>[tiː]</td>
<td>‘houses’</td>
<td>/tiː/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a dtí</td>
<td>[o dʰiː]</td>
<td>‘their houses’</td>
<td>/a dʰiː/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>talamh</td>
<td>[taːl̪ˠa]</td>
<td>‘land’</td>
<td>/tal̪ˠa/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a dtalamh</td>
<td>[o daːl̪ˠa]</td>
<td>‘their land’</td>
<td>/a daːl̪ˠa/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conroy Mutations</td>
<td>Appendices - 107 -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d’ deoch</td>
<td>[dʰɔx]</td>
<td>‘drink’</td>
<td>/dʰɔx/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a ndeoch</td>
<td>[o nʰɔx]</td>
<td>‘their drink’</td>
<td>/a Nʰɔx/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d doras</td>
<td>[dorʰəs]</td>
<td>‘door’</td>
<td>/dorəs/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a ndoras</td>
<td>[o nʰorʰəs]</td>
<td>‘their door’</td>
<td>/a Nʰorəs/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s’ síoda</td>
<td>[ʃi:də]</td>
<td>‘silk’</td>
<td>/sʰi:də/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a síoda</td>
<td>[o jʃi:də]</td>
<td>‘their silk’</td>
<td>/a sʰi:də/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seol</td>
<td>[ʃo:l’]</td>
<td>‘sail’</td>
<td>/sʰo:l/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a seol</td>
<td>[o jʃo:l’]</td>
<td>‘their sail’</td>
<td>/a sʰo:l/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s síul</td>
<td>[ʃu:l’]</td>
<td>‘eye’</td>
<td>/sʰu:l/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a síul</td>
<td>[o jʃu:l’]</td>
<td>‘their eye’</td>
<td>/a sʰu:l/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L’ leon</td>
<td>[ʃo:n’y]</td>
<td>‘lion’</td>
<td>/L’y৊:n/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a leon</td>
<td>[o jʃo:n’y]</td>
<td>‘their lion’</td>
<td>/a L’y৊:n/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L laoch</td>
<td>[ʃi:x]</td>
<td>‘hero’</td>
<td>/Li:x/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a laoch</td>
<td>[o jʃi:x]</td>
<td>‘their hero’</td>
<td>/a Li:x/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R’ rái</td>
<td>[ɾi:i:]</td>
<td>‘king’</td>
<td>/R’i:/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a rái</td>
<td>[o jɾi:i:]</td>
<td>‘their king’</td>
<td>/a R’i:/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R rothar</td>
<td>[ɾəhoɾəɾ’]</td>
<td>‘bike’</td>
<td>/Rohor/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a rothar</td>
<td>[o jɾəhoɾəɾ’]</td>
<td>‘their bike’</td>
<td>/a Rohor/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N’ neart</td>
<td>[ŋəɾæ:r’t]</td>
<td>‘strength’</td>
<td>/N’art/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a neart</td>
<td>[o ȵəɾæ:r’t]</td>
<td>‘their strength’</td>
<td>/a N’art/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N náisiún</td>
<td>[ŋəɾ’oːʃu:n’y]</td>
<td>‘nation’</td>
<td>/Naːs’uːn/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a náisiún</td>
<td>[o ȵəɾ’oːʃu:n’y]</td>
<td>‘their nation’</td>
<td>/a Naːs’uːn/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Velar Series:

<p>| k’ ceol          | [kʰəl’]         | ‘music’            | /k’oːl/ |
| a gceol          | [o jkʰəl’]      | ‘their music’      | /a ɡ’oːl/ |
| k cú              | [ku:]          | ‘hound’            | /ku:/ |
| a gcú            | [o gu:]        | ‘their hound’      | /a gu:/ |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conroy Mutations</th>
<th>Appendices - 108 -</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>g</strong>´ geata</td>
<td>[ʝɛːtɔ] ‘gate’ /g`ata/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a ngeata</td>
<td>[ɔ ɲɛːtɔ] ‘their gate’ /a ɲ`ata/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>g</strong> gabhar</td>
<td>[ɡaʊɾ`r] ‘goat’ /gavar/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a ngabhar</td>
<td>[ɔ ɲaʊɾ`r] ‘their goat’ /a ɲavor/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Vowel:**

| **jV** eolas | [oːl̪ˠəs] ‘knowledge’ /joːls/ |
| a n-eolas | [ɔ ɲoːl̪ˠəs] ‘their knowledge’ /a Njoːls/ |
| **V** üll | [uːl̪ˠ] ‘apple’ /u:L/ |
| a n-áll | [ɔ uːl̪ˠ] ‘their apple’ /a Nu:L/ |

* in some East Galway and Clear Island (in Cork) dialects there is an eclipsis of [s]→[z], [ʃ]→[j] (or [ʒ] ~ [ʤ]):

(Ó Siadhail, *Modern Irish* p. 114; also see Ó Tuathail 1939, p. 283-284)

| a síoda | [ɔ jiːdɔ] ‘their silk’ /a z`iːdɔ/ |
| a seol | [ɔ joːl̪ˠ] ‘their sail’ /a z`oːl/ |
| a súil | [ɔ zuːl̪ˠ] ‘their eye’ /a zuːl/ |
### TREIGLAD MEDDAL - MODERN (SOUTH-WESTERN) WELSH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHONEME</th>
<th>WELSH</th>
<th>PHONETICAL TRANSCRIPTION</th>
<th>ENGLISH GLOSS</th>
<th>PHONEMIC REPRESENTATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Labial Series:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>penn</td>
<td>[pɛn]</td>
<td>'head'</td>
<td>/pen/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ei benn (e)</td>
<td>[i: bɛn (e)]</td>
<td>'his head'</td>
<td>/i: ben (e)/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>brawd</td>
<td>[braʊd]</td>
<td>'brother'</td>
<td>/brawd/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ei frawd (e)</td>
<td>[i: vraʊd (e)]</td>
<td>'his brother'</td>
<td>/i: vraud (e)/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>ðfarm</td>
<td>[farm]</td>
<td>'farm'</td>
<td>/farm/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ei ðfarm (e)</td>
<td>[i: farm (e)]</td>
<td>'his farm'</td>
<td>/i: farm (e)/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>mam</td>
<td>[ma:m]</td>
<td>'mother'</td>
<td>/mam/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ei fam (e)</td>
<td>[i: va:m (e)]</td>
<td>'his mother'</td>
<td>/i: vam (e)/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td>fideo</td>
<td>[videjo:]</td>
<td>'video'</td>
<td>/video:/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ei fideo (e)</td>
<td>[i: video: (e)]</td>
<td>'his video'</td>
<td>/i: video: (e)/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dental Series:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>tad</td>
<td>[ta:d]</td>
<td>'father'</td>
<td>/tad/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ei dad (e)</td>
<td>[i: da:d (e)]</td>
<td>'his father'</td>
<td>/i: dad (e)/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>dinas</td>
<td>[dinas]</td>
<td>'city'</td>
<td>/dinas/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ei ddinas (e)</td>
<td>[i: ðinas (e)]</td>
<td>'his city'</td>
<td>/i: ðinas (e)/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sj</td>
<td>siop</td>
<td>[ʃop]</td>
<td>'store'</td>
<td>/ʃop/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ei siop (e)</td>
<td>[i: ʃop (e)]</td>
<td>'his store'</td>
<td>/i: ʃop (e)/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>saeth</td>
<td>[ʃaθ]</td>
<td>'arrow'</td>
<td>/ʃaθ/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ei saeth (e)</td>
<td>[i: saθ (e)]</td>
<td>'his arrow'</td>
<td>/i: saθ (e)/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td>llyfr</td>
<td>[ɬɪvr]</td>
<td>'book'</td>
<td>/ɬɪvr/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ei llyfr (e)</td>
<td>[i: ɬɪvr (e)]</td>
<td>'his book'</td>
<td>/i: ɬɪvr (e)/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 loan words only</td>
<td>4 also [ʍaːr]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
###.iv. *Treiglad Trwynol* - Modern (South-Western) Welsh

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phoneme</th>
<th>Welsh</th>
<th>Phonological Transcription</th>
<th>English Gloss</th>
<th>Phonemic Representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Labial Series:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td><em>penn</em></td>
<td>[pen]</td>
<td>‘head’</td>
<td>/pen/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>fy mhenn (i)</em></td>
<td>[vɔ mʰɛn (i:)]</td>
<td>‘my head’</td>
<td>/vɔ mɛn (i:)/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td><em>brawd</em></td>
<td>[braʊd]</td>
<td>‘brother’</td>
<td>/brawd/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>fy mrawd (i)</em></td>
<td>[vɔ mraʊd (i:)]</td>
<td>‘my brother’</td>
<td>/vɔ mrawd (i:)/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td><em>ffarm</em></td>
<td>[farm]</td>
<td>‘farm’</td>
<td>/farm/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>fy ffarm (i)</em></td>
<td>[vɔ ffarm (i:)]</td>
<td>‘my farm’</td>
<td>/vɔ ffarm (i:)/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td><em>mam</em></td>
<td>[maːm]</td>
<td>‘mother’</td>
<td>/mam/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>fy mam (i)</em></td>
<td>[vɔ maːm (i:)]</td>
<td>‘my mother’</td>
<td>/vɔ mam (i:)/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td><em>fideo</em></td>
<td>[videjoː]</td>
<td>‘video’</td>
<td>/videjoː/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>fy fideo (i)</em></td>
<td>[vɔ videjoː (i:)]</td>
<td>‘my video’</td>
<td>/vɔ videjoː (i:)/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Dental Series:** |       |                            |              |                         |
| t       | *tad*  | [taːd]                     | ‘father’     | /tad/                   |
|         | *fy nhad (i)* | [vɔ nʰaːd (i:)] | ‘my father’ | /vɔ n̥ad (i:)/ |
| d       | *dinas*| [dinas]                    | ‘city’       | /dinas/                |
|         | *fy ninas (i)* | [vɔ ninas (i:)] | ‘my city’ | /vɔ ninas (i:)/ |
| sj      | *siop* | [ʃop]                      | ‘store’      | /ʃop/                  |
|         | *fy siop (i)* | [vɔ ʃop (i:)] | ‘my store’ | /vɔ ʃop (i:)/ |
| s       | *saeth*| [saɪθ]                     | ‘arrow’      | /saɪθ/                 |
|         | *fy saeth (i)* | [vɔ saɪθ (i:)] | ‘my arrow’ | /vɔ saɪθ (i:)/ |
| l       | *llyfr*| [ɬɪvr]                     | ‘book’       | /ɬɪvr/                 |
|         | *fy llyfr (i)* | [vɔ ɬɪvr (i:)] | ‘my book’ | /vɔ ɬɪvr (i:)/ |

---

5 *fy* [vɔ] colloquially pronounced [s] or Ø. Before a vowel or unmutatable consonants it becomes [sn]
Conroy Mutations

larwm

fy larwm (i)  

**Vowel:**

iaith  

fy iaith (i)  

afal  

fy afal (i:)

**Other:**

heddwch  

fy heddwch (i:)

---

6 loan words only

7 also [ʍaːɾ]

8 fy may be pronounced [(v)ən] before vowels and unmutatable consonants. The final /n/ may originate, like the Irish prevocalic /n/ of urð to the nasal mutation.
## v. Treiglad Llaes: Modern (South-Western) Welsh

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHONEME</th>
<th>WELSH</th>
<th>PHONETICAL TRANSCRIPTION</th>
<th>ENGLISH GLOSS</th>
<th>PHONEMIC REPRESENTATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Labial Series:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>penn</td>
<td>[pen]</td>
<td>‘head’</td>
<td>/pen/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ei phenn (hi)</td>
<td>[i: fen (hi:)]</td>
<td>‘her head’</td>
<td>/i: fen (hi:)/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>brawd</td>
<td>[braʊd]</td>
<td>‘brother’</td>
<td>/brawd/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ei brawd (hi)</td>
<td>[i: braʊd (hi:)]</td>
<td>‘her brother’</td>
<td>/i: brawd (hi:)/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>ffarm</td>
<td>[farm]</td>
<td>‘farm’</td>
<td>/farm/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ei ffarm (hi)</td>
<td>[i: farm (hi:)]</td>
<td>‘her farm’</td>
<td>/i: farm (hi:)/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>mam</td>
<td>[ma:m]</td>
<td>‘mother’</td>
<td>/mam/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ei mam (hi)</td>
<td>[i: ma:m (hi:)]</td>
<td>‘her mother’</td>
<td>/i: mam (hi:)/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td>fideo</td>
<td>[videjo:]</td>
<td>‘video’</td>
<td>/video:/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ei fideo (hi)</td>
<td>[i: videjo: (hi:)]</td>
<td>‘her video’</td>
<td>/i: video: (hi:)/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dental Series:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>tad</td>
<td>[ta:d]</td>
<td>‘father’</td>
<td>/tad/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ei thad (hi)</td>
<td>[i: θa:d (hi:)]</td>
<td>‘her father’</td>
<td>/i: θad (hi:)/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>dinas</td>
<td>[dinas]</td>
<td>‘city’</td>
<td>/dinas/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ei dinas (hi)</td>
<td>[i: dinas (hi:)]</td>
<td>‘her city’</td>
<td>/i: dinas (hi:)/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sj</td>
<td>siop</td>
<td>[ʃop]</td>
<td>‘store’</td>
<td>/ʃop/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ei siop (hi)</td>
<td>[i: ʃop (hi:)]</td>
<td>‘her store’</td>
<td>/i: ʃop (hi:)/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>saeth</td>
<td>[saiθ]</td>
<td>‘arrow’</td>
<td>/saiθ/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ei saeth (hi)</td>
<td>[i: saiθ (hi:)]</td>
<td>‘her arrow’</td>
<td>/i: saiθ (hi:)/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td>llyfr</td>
<td>[ɬɪvr]</td>
<td>‘book’</td>
<td>/ɬɪvr/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ei llyfr (hi)</td>
<td>[i: ɬɪvr (hi:)]</td>
<td>‘her book’</td>
<td>/i: ɬɪvr (hi:)/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conroy Mutations</td>
<td>Appendices - 114 -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>l⁹</strong></td>
<td><em>larwm</em></td>
<td>[larum]</td>
<td>‘alarm’</td>
<td>/larum/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>ei larwm (hi)</em></td>
<td>[i: larum (hi:)]</td>
<td>‘her alarm’</td>
<td>/i: larum (hi:)/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>r</strong></td>
<td><em>rhieni</em></td>
<td>[rʰi:eni:]</td>
<td>‘parents’</td>
<td>/rʰi:eni:/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>ei rhieni (hi)</em></td>
<td>[i: rʰi:eni: (hi:)]</td>
<td>‘her parents’</td>
<td>/i: rʰi:eni: (hi:)/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>r³</strong></td>
<td><em>roced</em></td>
<td>[roked]</td>
<td>‘rocket’</td>
<td>/roked/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>ei roced (hi)</em></td>
<td>[i: roked (hi:) ]</td>
<td>‘her rocket’</td>
<td>/i: roked (hi:)/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>n</strong></td>
<td><em>nadredd</em></td>
<td>[nadreð]</td>
<td>‘snakes’</td>
<td>/nadreð/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>ei nadredd (hi)</em></td>
<td>[i: nadreð (hi:)]</td>
<td>‘her snakes’</td>
<td>/i: nadreð (hi:)/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Velar Series:**

| **k** | *ci* | [ki:] | ‘dog’ | /ki:/ |
|  | *ei chi (hi)* | [i: χi: (hi:)] | ‘her dog’ | /i: χi: (hi:)/ |
| **g** | *gwlad* | [gwla:d] | ‘land’ | /gwlad/ |
|  | *ei gwlad (hi:)* | [i: gwla:d (hi:)] | ‘her land’ | /i: gwlad (hi:)/ |
| **x** | *chwaer* | [χwa̞r] | ‘sister’ | /χwair/ |
|  | *ei chwaer (hi:)* | [i: χwa̞r (hi:)] | ‘her sister’ | /i: χwair (hi:)/ |

**Vowel:**

| **jV** | *iaith* | [jaθθ] | ‘language’ | /jaθθ/ |
|  | *ei hiaith (hi:)* | [i: hjaθθ (hi:)] | ‘her language’ | /i: hjaθθ (hi:)/ |
| **V** | *afal* | [a:val] | ‘apple’ | /aval/ |
|  | *ei hafal (hi:)* | [i: ha:val (hi:)] | ‘her apple’ | /i: haval (hi:)/ |

**Other:**

| **h** | *heddwch* | [heðuχ] | ‘peace’ | /heðuχ/ |
|  | *ei heddwch (hi:)* | [i: heðuχ (hi:)] | ‘her peace’ | /i: heðuχ (hi:)/ |

---

⁹ loan words only

¹⁰ also [ʍaːr]

¹¹ *ei ‘her’ also prefixes [h] to vowels
vi. LENITION FOLLOWING THE COPULA

Old Irish

Leniting forms of the Old Irish copula, adapted from Stifter, p. 386

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Present (Conjunct)</th>
<th>Past (preterit &amp; imperfect)</th>
<th>Augmented past</th>
<th>Future</th>
<th>Conditional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1SG</td>
<td>-ta / -da</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG</td>
<td>-ta/ da</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>-bu, -bo</td>
<td>-robo, -robu,</td>
<td>bed, robad,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-rbo, -rbu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG REL.</td>
<td>as?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bes, bas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PL</td>
<td>-tan /-dan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PL</td>
<td>-tad / -dad</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL</td>
<td>-tat / -dat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL REL.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>beta,bat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copular LEN continued…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Imperative</th>
<th>Present subjunctive</th>
<th>Past subjunctive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1SG</td>
<td></td>
<td>-ba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2SG</td>
<td></td>
<td>ba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG</td>
<td>bed, bad,</td>
<td>-bad</td>
<td>bed, bad, bid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-bad</td>
<td></td>
<td>-bed, -bad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG REL.</td>
<td>as?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PL</td>
<td>baan, ban</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PL</td>
<td>bed, bad,</td>
<td>-bad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-bad</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL</td>
<td>(-) bat</td>
<td>robat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-bat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL REL.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*no LEN with the negative ní, but in certain combinations it does lenite, e.g. combinations with císa ‘although’ - cesa, ceso, ciasu, ciaso, ceto, cetu; and má ‘if’ - maso, masu, matu

12 t / d variation is simply orthographic
13 ò also found in place of ò throughout the copula; [b] is still meant
Conroy Mutations

A few examples (GOI §495):

\begin{align*}
do \text{ rétaib ata } & \text{chosmaili} \quad \{\text{cosmaili}\} \quad \text{[do } \text{'t} \text{e} \text{:t} \text{v} \text{a} \text{d} \text{a} \text{kos} \mu \text{a} \text{l} \text{t}] \\
nech \text{ bed } & \text{chave} \quad \{\text{car(a)e}\} \quad \text{[n} \text{'e} \text{x } \text{be} \text{ð } \text{xar} \text{e} \text{]}
\end{align*}

‘of things that are similar’

‘anyone that was a friend’

Variation does exist, compare (from GOI §233.1):

\begin{align*}
bés \text{ ni-bat } & \text{cutrummi} \quad \{\text{cutrummi}\} \quad \text{[b} \text{'e} \text{s } \text{n} \text{'i} \text{:bad } \text{xudr} \text{v} \text{um} \text{t}] \\
& \quad \text{vs.} \\
ni-\text{tat cosmili} \quad \{\text{cosmili}\} \quad \text{[n} \text{'i} \text{:tad } \text{cos} \mu \text{a} \text{l} \text{t]}
\end{align*}

‘perhaps they are not equal’

‘they are not alike’

**Middle Welsh**

Soft mutation occurs after the following forms of the verb ‘to be’ in Middle Welsh:
(from Evans GMW, §21; examples Ibid.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3SG present indicative</th>
<th>oes {oj}</th>
<th>yssit {asid}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3SG consuetudinal present</td>
<td>bit, byd (rare)</td>
<td>{bid} / {bid?}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG imperfect</td>
<td>oed {oi}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG consuetudinal past</td>
<td>bydei {bo\de}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG preterit</td>
<td>bu {bu}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG present subjunctive</td>
<td>bo {bo}(rare)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG imperfect subjunctive</td>
<td>bei {be}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A few examples:

\begin{align*}
\text{n yt oes } & \text{bont arnei hitheu} \quad \{\text{pont}\} \quad \text{[nid o} \text{j } \text{o} \text{j } \text{bont arne} \text{j } \text{hi} \text{θe} \text{y]} \\
& \quad \text{‘there is not a bridge over it’}
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\text{gwaeth uu } & \text{drafferth y deu hynny} \quad \{\text{trafferth}\} \quad \text{[gwaj} \text{θ } \text{v} \text{u } \text{drafer} \text{θ } \text{ð } \text{de} \text{γ } \text{honi} \text{:]}
\end{align*}

‘worse was the plight of those two’
vii. **NOTES ON THE TRANSCRIPTIONS**

**Irish:**

The transcriptions of Modern Irish generally follow the Conamara Irish, often drawing from the *Cois Fháirge* dialect (west of Galway city from *Bearna* to *Cuan Chasla*) from the works of Ó Siadhail and de Bhaldraithe. My own transcriptions reflect this dialect and the dialect of neighbouring *An Cheathrú Rua* (from *Cuan Chasla* to *Cuan an Fhir Mhóir*). I occasionally use non-standard forms which better reflects the dialectal pronunciation and grammar.

Irish distinguishes between palatalized and non-palatalized consonants; natively referred to as *caol* [kiːlˠ] ‘slender’ and *leathan* [l̺eːtʰaːnˠ] ‘broad’ respectively. Non-palatal phonemes (with the exception of /l/, /ɾ/ and /n/; see below) are unmarked for velarization. In a stricter transcription they would be marked Cˠ or in some cases Cʷ. Celtsits traditionally denote palatal consonants by C’. I have usually marked them, using IPA as Cʲ, except for the velars for which I have transcribed as palatals rather than as palatalized velars, i.e. [c], [ɟ], and [ç] rather than [kʲ], [gʲ] and [xʲ]. Free variation exists across dialects between the two. Additionally, the palatalized dental stops [tʲ] and [dʲ] of Connacht commonly correspond to the affricates [ʧ] and [ʤ] in Ulster and Mayo and to the alveolar stops [t] and [d] in Munster (as opposed to the dental broad consonants—[t̪ˠ] and [d̪ˠ] everywhere). [ʃ] represents the palatal counterpart of [s].

Liquids and nasals in Irish have tense (unlenited) and lax (lenited) variants. In the traditional system for Irish capitals signify unlenited tense consonants:

- /L/ /L’/ /l/ /l’/
  - [lˠ] [lʰ] [lʰ] [lʰ]

- /R/ /R’/ /ɾ/ /ɾ’/
  - [ɾˠ] [ɾʰ] [ɾʰ] [ɾʰ]

- /[N]/ /[N’]/ /[n]/ /[n’]/
  - [nˠ] [nʰ] [nʰ] [nʰ]

(Čʲ represents an alveo-palatal)
Modern Irish/Scottish dialects reduce this system to varying extents. The Conamara dialect used here, for example, does not distinguish between lenited and tense of the non-palatal phonemes—the non-tense one becomes tense. Additionally, in the case of r, only \( [r^\gamma] \) and \( [r^\varepsilon] \) exist and initially only \( [r^\gamma] \), unless under lenition, in which case it becomes \( [r^\varepsilon] \) if originally \( /R^\gamma/ \); thus:

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
/L/ & /L'/ & /l/ & /l'/ \\
[l^\gamma] & [l'] & [l^\varepsilon] & [l'] \\
\hline \\
/R/ & /R'/ & /r/ & /r'/ \\
[r^\gamma] & [r'] & [r^\varepsilon] & [r'] \\
\hline \\
/N/ & /N'/ & /n/ & /n'/ \\
[n^\gamma] & [n'] & [n^\varepsilon] & [n'] \\
\end{array}
\]

(bold signifies the change from the inherited Old Irish system)

This system of transcriptions of the fortis/lenis sonorants is based on de Bhaldraithe (1966).

Other systems (such as Akerbeltz) would transcribe the dental sonorants as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
[l^\gamma] & \rightarrow [\lambda] \\
[l'] & \rightarrow [\lambda] \\
[l^\varepsilon] & \rightarrow [\lambda] \\
[l'] & \rightarrow [\lambda] \\
[r^\gamma] & \rightarrow [r] \\
[r'] & \rightarrow [r^\varepsilon] \\
[n^\gamma] & \rightarrow [n] \\
[n'] & \rightarrow [n^\varepsilon] \\
[n^\varepsilon] & \rightarrow [n^\varepsilon] \\
[n^\varepsilon] & \rightarrow [n^\varepsilon] \\
\end{align*}
\]

Some Celticists, including Thurneysen and Stifter, employ lowercase Greek letters to represent the lenited consonants. Thus (palatalization ignored here):

\[
\begin{align*}
/L/ & \rightarrow \lambda \\
/l/ & \rightarrow \lambda \\
/R/ & \rightarrow r \\
/r/ & \rightarrow \rho
\end{align*}
\]

14 not ever given because \( [r^\varepsilon] \) has not survived in any Irish or Scottish dialect.
Similarly for other lenited consonants:

- /θ/ \( \theta \)
- /ð/ \( \delta \)
- /v/ \( \beta \)
- /œ/ \( \mu \)
- /œ̃/ \( \varphi \)
- /γ/ \( \gamma \)
- /χ/ \( \chi \)

**Welsh:**

My Modern Welsh transcriptions seek to roughly imitate a general South-Western dialect.

I have transcribed the Welsh stops with an opposition between voiced and unvoiced: [p] vs. [b] whereas in reality they both feature [–voice] and aspiration \([±\text{ spread glottis}]\) distinguishes between them, thus [p\(^h\)] vs. [b\(^\text{̃}\)] / [p]. Additionally, dialectal variation does also play a role. The difference of whether consonants are differentiated by voice or aspiration holds little significance in synchronic application of mutation in Modern Welsh. However, it may lead to insights on the pre-history and development of Celtic lenition in both the Goidelic and Brythonic branches – see section X.b.

This paper represents the nasal mutation of the voiceless stops /p, t, k/ as voiceless aspirated nasals \([m\(^h\), n\(^h\), ŋ\(^h\)]\); however, they are often phonetically voiced nasals plus the voiceless glottal fricative: [mh, nh, ŋh] (Willis 1986, p. 2).

---

15 In reality in Old Irish these sounds probably were bilabial. Modern Irish varies between true bilabials and labio-dentals. Thus I occasionally use these Greek symbols as well; especially with \( \mu \).

16 Scottish Gaelic also shares the same phenomenon of pre- and post- aspiration rather than voicing, which can possibly indicate the underlying influence of Brythonic and Pictish, or maybe Scandinavian influence brought by the Vikings (Modern Icelandic, for example, employs \([±\text{ spread glottis}]\) to distinguish between /p/ and /b/).
Old Irish / Middle Welsh:

Obviously no spoken data exists upon which to draw for transcribing the pronunciation of these medieval languages, so the transcriptions are approximate. The irregular orthography further complicates matters, especially since oftentimes initial mutations have no special marking. In general, I have drawn from Stifter for the Old Irish pronunciations and have followed the basic guidelines set by Evans in GMW for Middle Welsh. In cases of ambiguous orthographical forms, the modern languages assist in formulating an educated guess.
viii. **Fixed ro (earlier) vs. moveable (proclitic) ro**

( GOI §527-8, 234.2)

Depending on its position, the augment particle *ro* may trigger lenition. It does so when it comes immediately before the main stress of the verb; the so-called “moveable ro”. The fixed *ro* is typically located after the preverbs and immediately before the verb-stem. The forms in parentheses I constructed by analogy, the others are from GOI. My forms are hypothetical and unattested.

*do·gáetha, togaítha*  ‘to deceive’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>fixed ro</th>
<th>moveable ro</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(ni-to-r-gáitsam)</td>
<td><em>ni-rutho-gáitsam</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[n̺ʲi:rˠoʊ̃gaɪ̯dsɔ̃u]</td>
<td>[n̺ʲi:rˠoʊ̃gaɪ̯dsɔ̃u]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ni-rutho-r-gaíth</em></td>
<td>(ni-ro-mthogaíth)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[n̺ʲi:mˠʊrɔŋaɪθ]</td>
<td>/ <em>ni-nro-thgaíth</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[n̺ʲi:rˠoʊ̃mˠʊŋaɪθ] / [n̺ʲi:mˠʊrˠoʊ̃aɪθ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ni-to-r-gaítha</em></td>
<td>(ni-ro-thogaítha)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[n̺ʲi:rˠoʊ̃gaɪθa]</td>
<td>[n̺ʲi:rˠoʊ̃aɪθa]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both of these parallel forms of *do-intaí*, ‘to translate’ are attested:

| do·intarráe          | dor-int-aí                | ‘has turned/translated’ |
| (-ro-śoí)            |                           |
| [do'nˠtarˠaɪ]         | [do'rˠnˠtaɪ]               |
ix. OGHAM ALPHABET
(McManus 1991, p. 2, 142; Ziegler, p. 8)

\[ 
\begin{array}{cccccc}
B & L & V & S & N & H \\
\end{array} \\
/F \\
\begin{array}{cccccc}
M & G & NG & Z & R & A \\
\end{array} \\
/G /ST \\
\begin{array}{cccccc}
H & D & T & C & Q & A \\
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{cccccc}
O & U & E & I & & \\
\end{array} \\
\textit{forfeda} (many variations):
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{EA} & \text{OI} & \text{UI} & \text{IO} & \text{AE} \\
\end{array} \]
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