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Abstract

Rutile TiO₂ particles with specific exposed crystal faces were prepared by hydrothermal treatment of titanium trichloride (TiCl₃) solution with poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) (PVP) as a shape-control reagent. Crystal phase, shape, and size of TiO₂ particles were found to be greatly dependent on the concentration of PVP in the solution. The exposed crystal surface of TiO₂ was controlled by changing the concentration of PVP in TiCl₃ and NaCl solutions. The prepared TiO₂ particles were characterized by TEM, SEM, XRD, and specific surface area measurements. The photocatalytic activity of the synthesized TiO₂ particles was evaluated by decomposition of acetaldehyde and toluene in gas phase. The synthesized TiO₂ particles showed higher photocatalytic activity for degradation of acetaldehyde and toluene than did commercial TiO₂ particles (MT-600B). However, the tendency of photocatalytic activities of the synthesized TiO₂ particles for degradation of acetaldehyde in gas phase was different from that for degradation of toluene. From the photodeposition of Pt and PbO₂, we propose that the (110) face provides reductive sites and that the (111) face provides oxidative sites. The results suggest that the crystal faces facilitate the separation of electrons and holes, resulting in improvement in photocatalytic activity.
1. Introduction

Among transition-metal oxide materials, nanostructured TiO$_2$ has been intensively investigated in the past several decades as a select platform on which an exceptionally wide range of appealing solid-state physical-chemical properties coexist with the potential for low cost and environmental remediation and energy technologies [1-3]. Rutile TiO$_2$ has some advantages over anatase such as higher chemical stability and higher refractive index. It is of fundamental significance to explore mild synthetic techniques by which particle shapes, nano- and micro-meter-scale morphologies, and crystallinity are well defined and controlled [3-5]. Moreover, surface chemistry of single crystalline rutile particles has been the subject of intensive studies because their chemical activity depends greatly on surface structures [6].

Photocatalytic reaction on TiO$_2$ is induced by excited electrons and positive holes, which migrate in the bulk and induce reduction and oxidation, respectively, by reacting with adsorbed materials on the surface. However, recombination, which is promoted by impurities or defects, may occur on the surface or in the bulk [7]. It has been reported that well-crystallized faceted particles showed enhanced photocatalytic activity compared to particles with poorly crystalline surfaces and that the photocatalytic activity increased with increase in crystallite size, the surface itself being an intrinsic
defect [8]. In our previous study, we synthesized well-crystallized and well-faceted TiO₂ nanorods as a consequence of the ripening processes occurring under hydrothermal conditions [9].

Phase-controlled synthesis of TiO₂ nanostructures is particularly challenging in liquid media, in which transformation of molecular precursors is affected by a complex interplay of thermodynamic and kinetic factors [10]. Several groups have reported methods for preparing TiO₂ particles with specific exposed crystal faces using organic and inorganic reagents [4, 11]. However, there have been few reports in which the relationship between surface structure and photocatalytic activity was described [12, 13]. Recently, hydrophilic polymers, such as poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) and poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) (PVP), have been used as shape-control reagents of metal and metal oxide particles [13-15]. Polymers are widely used in the chemical synthesis of colloidal nanocrystals, and their roles are generally documented as steric stabilizers or capping agents to protect the product from agglomeration [16]. PVP has received special attention because of its high chemical stability, nontoxicity, and excellent solubility in many polar solvents [16, 17]. PVP is widely used for shape-controlled nanoparticle synthesis due to its preferred adsorption on specific surfaces [15, 18]. Our results suggest that the preferred adsorption of PVP is on (111) and (001) rutile crystal faces,
resulting in exposure of the (001) face of TiO$_2$ nanorods.

In the present study, rutile TiO$_2$ nanorods with specific exposed crystal faces were prepared by hydrothermal treatment using aqueous TiCl$_3$ and NaCl as reactants and PVP as a shape-control reagent. The resulting products were fully characterized, and the effects of concentration of PVP on crystallinity, crystal size, and crystal shape were determined. The photocatalytic activities of different samples in the oxidation of acetaldehyde and toluene were determined and were found to be correlated with crystal shape of the rutile TiO$_2$ nanorods. We demonstrated that the crystal surface structure of TiO$_2$ nanorods can be controlled by adjusting the concentration of PVP. These TiO$_2$ nanorods are expected to show high levels of photocatalytic activity due to the different crystal faces.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals

All of the chemical reagents used in this study were commercial products without further treatment. Titanium trichloride (TiCl$_3$), sodium chloride (NaCl), poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) (PVP-K30, molecular weight: 40,000), 2-propanol ((CH$_3$)$_2$CHOH),
hexachloroplatinic acid (H₂PtCl₆·6H₂O), lead nitrate (Pb(NO₃)₂), and nitric acid (HNO₃) were purchased from Wako (all of reagent grade), and acetaldehyde (CH₃CHO) and toluene were purchased from Aldrich. TiO₂ (MT-600B, Tayca), a rutile with an average surface area of 25-35 m² g⁻¹, was used as a reference photocatalyst.

2.2. Sample preparation

In a typical synthesis procedure, a chemical solution was put in a sealed Teflon-lined autoclave reactor containing 50 ml aqueous solution of titanium trichloride (TiCl₃, 0.15 M), sodium chloride (NaCl, 5 M) and poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) (PVP, 0 mM – 0.5 mM). The solutions were then put into a 180 °C oven for 10 h. The substrate was centrifuged and rinsed with deionized water and then dried in a vacuum oven. After hydrothermal treatment, the organic compounds that remained or were adsorbed on the surface of TiO₂ particles were removed by ultraviolet (UV) irradiation with a 500-W super-high-pressure mercury lamp (Ushio, SX-U1501UO) for 24 h. The particles were dried under reduced pressure at 60 °C for 6 h. Throughout this paper, samples are referred to as SH5-0 PVP (without PVP), SH5-0.1 PVP (0.1 mM PVP), SH5-0.25 PVP (0.25 mM PVP), SH5-0.4 PVP (0.4 mM PVP) and SH5-0.5 PVP (0.5 mM PVP).
2.3. Photodeposition of Pt and PbO\textsubscript{2} on TiO\textsubscript{2} particles

Photodepositions of Pt and PbO\textsubscript{2} were carried out to determine reduction and oxidation sites on TiO\textsubscript{2} particles [19, 20]. For Pt/TiO\textsubscript{2} preparation, an aqueous TiO\textsubscript{2} suspension (SH5-0.25 PVP) (2 g/L) containing 0.52 M 2-propanol and 1 mM hexachloroplatinic acid (H\textsubscript{2}PtCl\textsubscript{6}·6H\textsubscript{2}O) was irradiated with a 500 W Hg lamp (USHIO Co. Ltd., SX-UI501HQ) for 24 h. N\textsubscript{2} gas was vigorously purged through the suspension prior to UV irradiation. The light intensity was about 1 mW cm\textsuperscript{-2}. After irradiation, the color of the powder changed from white to gray, and the suspension was centrifuged and washed with distilled water and then collected as powder after drying for 3 h at 70 °C under reduced pressure.

Using this platinized TiO\textsubscript{2} powder, Pb\textsuperscript{2+} ions were oxidized into PbO\textsubscript{2}. This reaction was carried out in an aqueous Pt/TiO\textsubscript{2} suspension (2 g/L) containing 0.1 M Pb(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2} under aerated conditions. The pH of the solution for this reaction was adjusted to 1.0 by the addition of nitric acid according to the literature [19, 20]. After photoreaction for 24 h using a 500 W Hg lamp, the color of the powder changed from gray to brown, indicating that PbO\textsubscript{2} had been deposited on the surface. The light intensity was about 0.1 W cm\textsuperscript{-2}. Pt and PbO\textsubscript{2} particles deposited on TiO\textsubscript{2} were observed in SEM, EDX and TEM images.
2.4. Characterization

Phase identification of TiO₂ particles was determined from X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns measured with an X-ray diffractometer (JEOL, JDX3500) with Cu Kα radiation (\( \lambda = 1.5405 \text{ Å} \)). The mean grain size (d) was determined from Scherrer’s equation \[ d = \frac{0.9}{\beta \cos \theta}, \] where \( \beta \) is the wavelength of the X-ray radiation, \( \beta \) is the full width at half-maximum of the diffraction peak, and \( 2\theta \) is the diffraction angle. The morphology of the samples was observed by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM; JEOL, JSM-6701FONO) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM; Hitachi, H-9000NAR). The specific surface area was determined with a surface area analyzer (Quantachrome, Autosorb-1) by using the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller method [22].

2.5. Photocatalytic activity measurement

The photocatalytic activity of TiO₂ nanoparticles was evaluated by measuring the changes in concentrations of acetaldehyde and toluene and evolved CO₂ as a function of irradiation time. A Tedlar bag (AS ONE Co. Ltd.) was used as the photoreactor vessel. One hundred mg of TiO₂ powder was spread on the bottom of a glass dish and the dish...
was placed in a reaction vessel with a volume of 125 cm$^3$. Then 500 ppm of acetaldehyde was prepared in the vessel by injection of saturated gas acetaldehyde or 100 ppm of toluene was prepared in the vessel by injection of saturated gas toluene. Irradiation was conducted at room temperature after equilibrium between the gas and adsorbed acetaldehyde or toluene had been reached. The light source was a 500 W Xe-lamp (USHIO Co. Ltd., SX-U1501XQ). The light beam was passed through a UV-35 filter to cut off wavelength shorter than 350 nm. Fine stainless meshes were used as neutral density filters to adjust the irradiation intensity (30 mW cm$^{-2}$). After starting the irradiation, the decrease in acetaldehyde concentration and evolved carbon dioxide concentration was measured using a gas chromatograph (Shimadzu Model GC-8A and GC 14A) equipped with a Flame Ionization Detector (FID). Toluene was analyzed by a gas chromatograph (Shimadzu GC-1700AF) equipped with an FID and a TC-1 capillary column (length, 30 m; i.d., 0.25 mm; film thickness, 0.25 $\mu$m).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. XRD patterns and specific surface area of rutile nanorods

Figure 1 shows XRD patterns of TiO$_2$ particles prepared by hydrothermal treatment
with PVP at 180 °C for 10 h. All of the diffraction peaks in the XRD patterns agree with those of TiO₂ in rutile form and no other phases were detected. The intensity of diffraction peaks of rutile TiO₂ becomes stronger with increase in PVP concentration, indicating improvement in crystallinity of the rutile rod as shown in Figure 1. Scherrer’s equation was applied to the rutile (110) peaks and provided a mean grain size of around 50-55 nm for prepared TiO₂ (as shown in Table 1). These results indicated that the crystal sizes of the resulting rutile nanorods increased with increase in the concentration of PVP. The specific surface areas of samples are shown in Table 1. BET surface area increased with increase in the concentration of PVP.

3.2. TEM and SEM observations of rutile nanorods

To determine the role of PVP in the reaction, we conducted a series of syntheses by varying the concentration of PVP. TEM and SEM images showed that addition of the PVP polymer resulted in perfect control of the shape of TiO₂ nanorods. Figure 2 shows TEM images of rutile TiO₂ nanorods obtained after hydrothermal treatment with different PVP concentrations. TEM images without PVP (Figure 2a) showed a rod-like shape with a triangular end rutile structure and single crystalline quality of the TiO₂ nanorod. TEM images with PVP (Figure 2b – 2d) showed that the shape of the rod end
changed from a triangular tip to a larger square tip when the concentration of PVP was increased (from 0.1 mM to 0.5 mM). We calculated edge sizes of the (001) direction from TEM images: SH5-0 PVP (0 nm) < SH5-0.1 PVP (15 nm) < SH5-0.25 PVP (37 nm) < SH5-0.5 PVP (69 nm). As previously reported [9], SAED patterns of the exposed surface of the end of the rod and side surface of the rod were assigned to (111) and (110), respectively (data not shown). The area of exposed (111) crystal faces gradually decreased with increase in PVP concentration. At the same time, a new crystal face assigned to the (001) face gradually became exposed as shown in Fig. 2. Consequently, surface morphology of the rutile TiO$_2$ rod was controlled by changing the concentration of PVP. These results indicated that chemical structure of the added PVP greatly affects the shape of the rod end of rutile TiO$_2$ crystals. Figure 3 shows SEM images of samples taken from nanorods obtained with different PVP concentrations. SEM images without PVP (Figure 3a) revealed that the structures were composed of nanorods with triangular-like tips. When the concentration of PVP was increased from 0.1 mM to 0.5 mM, the shape of the end of the rod changed from a triangular-like tip (composed of four triangular-shaped faces with one vertex) to a trapezoid-like tip (composed of four trapezoidal-shaped faces and one square top) (Figure 3). The shape of the formed TiO$_2$ nanorods changed with change in the concentration of PVP via hydrothermal treatment.
In the case of commercially available PVP, the ends of the rods are terminated with a hydroxyl (-OH) group because of the involvement of water as a polymerization medium and the presence of hydrogen peroxide [17]. The formed side surfaces, (111) facets, must be stabilized through chemical interactions with oxygen (and/or nitrogen) atoms of the pyrrolidone units of PVP. In addition, since twinning is only favorable when the surface energy of the (111) facets is greater than that of the (110) facets [6], the presence of PVP can serve to reduce the driving force for twin formation through its selective interaction with the (111) planes [18]. Thus, our results suggest that the shape of the TiO₂ nanorod is controllable by additive PVP of the solution.

3.3. Photocatalytic activity for acetaldehyde and toluene decomposition

Photocatalytic activity for acetaldehyde decomposition strongly depended on the surface structure of prepared TiO₂ nanorods. Figure 4 shows photocatalytic evolution of CO₂ as a result of complete degradation of acetaldehyde on the prepared rutile TiO₂ nanorods prepared with PVP at light intensity of 30 mW cm⁻². Photocatalytic activity levels of synthesized rutile TiO₂ are much higher than those of MT-600B (reference TiO₂) and rutile TiO₂ prepared without PVP. Photocatalytic activity of the TiO₂ particles showed dependence on the concentration of PVP, though S_{BET} showed dependence on
the amount of PVP. However, photocatalytic activity level of rutile TiO$_2$ showed a tendency to be saturated at PVP concentrations above 0.25 mM. A plausible explanation is degradation of acetaldehyde, which is easily decomposed under UV irradiation. Under this condition, injection of photoexcited electrons in the conduction band to oxygen adsorbed on the surface of TiO$_2$ particles should be a rate-determining step. Therefore, the photocatalytic activity of rutile TiO$_2$ nanorods for degradation of acetaldehyde reached an appropriate level because the amount of oxygen adsorbed on the surface of rutile TiO$_2$ with small relative surface area might be smaller than that of electrons generated photocatalytically in rutile TiO$_2$. However, toluene is a compound that is difficult to be oxidized because accumulated intermediates obtained from ring-opening reaction of toluene reduce the rate of toluene photooxidation [23]. We therefore showed the photocatalytic activity of toluene in gas phase compared to that of acetaldehyde. Figure 5 shows the time course of CO$_2$ evolution in degradation of toluene over TiO$_2$ nanorods prepared with various concentrations of PVP. Photocatalytic activity levels of TiO$_2$ nanorods are higher than those of MT-600B as a reference TiO$_2$.

Photocatalytic activity levels of TiO$_2$ nanorods prepared with PVP are higher than those of TiO$_2$ nanorods prepared without PVP. Photocatalytic activity of the TiO$_2$ particles showed dependence on the concentration of PVP (SH5-0.25 PVP > SH5-0.4
PVP > SH5-0.5 PVP > SH5-0.1 PVP > SH5-0 PVP (without PVP) > MT-600B), though $S_{\text{BET}}$ showed no dependence on the concentration of PVP (see Table 1). TiO$_2$ prepared with 0.25 mM of PVP showed the highest photocatalytic activity among the prepared TiO$_2$ samples despite similar surface structures. Moreover, TiO$_2$ particles prepared with 0.25 mM of PVP showed completely degradation of toluene, which is hardly oxidized by a TiO$_2$ catalyst. Unlike the case of degradation of acetaldehyde, photo-degradation of toluene might be the rate-determining step. Therefore, we did not observe a tendency for saturation of photocatalytic activity for degradation of toluene in gas phase. That is, the activity of rutile TiO$_2$ for degradation of toluene changed drastically depending on the kind of rutile TiO$_2$ nanorods under UV irradiation. The photocatalytic activity of rutile TiO$_2$ nanorods was maximum when the amount of PVP was 0.25 mM. Under this condition, the new exposed crystal face, (001), was thought to play an important role in improvement of photocatalytic activity of rutile TiO$_2$ nanorods. We previously reported that the (111) crystal face acts as an oxidation site [9]. However, the new exposed crystal face, (001), showed stronger oxidation power than that of the (111) crystal face as can be seen in Figure 5.

TiO$_2$ prepared with high concentrations of PVP (0.4 mM and 0.5 mM) showed lower photocatalytic activity than that of TiO$_2$ prepared with 0.25 mM PVP despite
having similar surface structures and larger surface areas. A possible reason for this is that residual PVP adsorbed on TiO$_2$, which was presumably not completely removed by UV irradiation in the preparation procedure, might prevent photoabsorption and adsorption of reactants, resulting in a decrease in the efficiency of photocatalytic reaction. However, our results suggested that the photocatalytic activity of the samples for acetaldehyde and toluene degradation was affected by the new exposed crystal surface, (001), of rutile TiO$_2$.

The large specific surface areas and small crystal sizes as well as high crystallinity of TiO$_2$ might usually play important roles in the enhancement of photocatalytic activities. However, separation of reaction sites on the photocatalyst particle by the exposed crystal surface of the rutile nanorod is a more important factor for improvement of photocatalytic activity because a rutile nanorod having a small surface area (20-30 m$^2$g$^{-1}$) showed a higher level of photocatalytic activity than that of ST-01 having a large surface area (300 m$^2$g$^{-1}$) [9]. These results indicate that the charge separation between photoexcited electrons and holes should be improved by optimization of the exposed crystal surfaces for reactions resulting in increase of photocatalytic activity.

3.4. Photocatalytic deposition of Pt and PbO$_2$ on TiO$_2$ nanorods
To determine the site at which reduction or oxidation predominantly proceeds, photodeposition of Pt and PbO2 was carried out. Figure 6 shows TEM and SEM images of Pt- and PbO2-deposited TiO2 nanorods that were prepared with 0.25 mM of PVP. The deposited metals were analyzed by EDX (figure not shown). Figure 6d shows SEM images of rutile TiO2 particles showing PbO2 deposits, which were loaded on the particles by UV irradiation of the Pt-deposited TiO2 powder. Pt particles were deposited on the (110) face as shown in Figure 6a and Figure 6b. Figure 6c and Figure 6d show that the PbO2 particles were deposited on the (111) faces. The results shown in Figure 6 indicate that the oxidation site and reduction site on the rutile particles are on the newly exposed (001) face and on the (110) face, respectively. These results agree with results of a previous study [9]. Therefore, the results suggest that effective separation of oxidation and reduction sites of rutile TiO2 nanorods is an important factor for high efficiency of decomposition of acetaldehyde and toluene.

4. Conclusion

Addition of hydrophilic polymer PVP enabled control of exposed crystal faces of rutile TiO2 nanorods in crystallization during hydrothermal treatment. Hydrothermal treatment with PVP resulted in rutile TiO2 nanorods being well-crystallized and well-
dispersed without formation of large aggregates. The morphology of rutile crystals can be controlled by changing the concentration of PVP. We showed that PVP is a polymer capping reagent capable of effectively covering (111) facets rather than (110) facets, resulting in exposure of (001) facets. Well-crystallized rutile TiO$_2$ synthesized with PVP possessed higher levels of CO$_2$ evolution activity than those of MT-600B and TiO$_2$ prepared without PVP under UV light irradiation. It was found that the photocatalytic activity depends on not surface area but surface structure of the TiO$_2$ nanorods, suggesting that electron-hole pair recombination plays an important role during the photodegradation of acetaldehyde and toluene, at least under the present experimental conditions. Recombination is apparently slower in well-faceted and large rutile nanorods, thus increasing the activity.
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Table 1. Physical properties of rutile TiO$_2$ nanorod samples and reference TiO$_2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Synthesis Conditions (M)</th>
<th>Mean Crystallite Size (nm)</th>
<th>BET surface area (m$^2$/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TiCl$_3$</td>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>PVP (mM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT-600B</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH5-0 PVP</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH5-0.1 PVP</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH5-0.5 PVP</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Calculated as weighted mean value of the crystallite size (XRD) of TiO$_2$. 
**Figure Captions**

**Figure 1.** XRD patterns of samples obtained from TiCl₃ solutions with various concentrations of PVP after hydrothermal treatment for 10 h at 180 °C.

**Figure 2.** TEM images of (a) SH5-0 PVP, (b) SH5-0.1 PVP, (c) SH5-0.25 PVP and (d) SH5-0.5 PVP.

**Figure 3.** SEM images of (a) SH5-0 PVP, (b) SH5-0.1 PVP, (c) SH5-0.25 PVP and (d) SH5-0.5 PVP.

**Figure 4.** Time profiles of decomposition of acetaldehyde on TiO₂ at different concentrations of PVP at light intensity of 30 mW cm⁻². The experimental conditions were: [acetaldehyde]₀ = 500 ppm, [TiO₂] = 10.4 mg/cm², UV light (λ > 350 nm) irradiated.

**Figure 5.** Time profiles of decomposition of toluene on TiO₂ at different concentrations of PVP at light intensity 30 mW cm⁻². The experimental conditions were: [toluene]₀ = 100 ppm, [TiO₂] = 10.4 mg/cm², UV light (λ > 350 nm) irradiated.

**Figure 6.** TEM image (a) and SEM image (b) of a rutile TiO₂ nanorod (SH5-0.25 PVP) on which Pt particles were deposited. TEM image (c) and SEM image (d) of a rutile TiO₂ nanorod (SH5-0.25 PVP) on which Pt and PbO₂ particles were deposited.
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