

Different supramolecular interactions mediated by bromine atoms in the crystal structures of three anisole derivates

Robert Nestler,^a Anke Schwarzer^a and Tobias Gruber^{b*}

^aInstitut für Organische Chemie, TU Bergakademie Freiberg, Leipziger Strasse 29, D-09596 Freiberg/Sachsen, Germany, and ^bSchool of Pharmacy, University of Lincoln, Joseph Banks Laboratories, Green Lane, Lincoln, LN6 7DL, United Kingdom
Correspondence email: tgruber@lincoln.ac.uk

Abstract

Three anisole building blocks featuring bishydroxymethyl or bisbromomethyl pendants have analyzed with regard to their molecular structure and packing behaviour. A typical supramolecular pattern we found were C—H···π interactions responsible for the generation of molecular stacks. π···π Interactions were only observed in the absence of bromine indicating a striking influence on the distances between adjacent aromatic moieties. When comparing the bishydroxymethyl compound with the respective bisbromomethyl compound we found that the strong O—H···O in the zigzag arrangement in the first is replaced by C—H···Br interactions in the second without changing the general packing.

1. Introduction

Phenols and their ethers are important building blocks for a large variety of target compounds. Of crucial importance in this respect is their activation in order to allow the desired reactions. One way of preparing suited phenol monomers is the introduction of hydroxymethyl residues, which can be done by applying formaldehyde under basic conditions. For further use, the alcoholic OH groups can be transformed into bromomethyl groups (Moran *et al.*, 1952).

During our studies on phenolic macrocycles we prepared the three title compounds, (I)-(III) and determined their molecular structures. All three are important educts for a wide range of molecules and materials. Title compound (I) has been used in the synthesis of selenocalixarenes (Thomas *et al.*, 2012), title compounds (II) and (III) in the preparation of rotaxanes (Hirose *et al.*, 2007a; Hirose *et al.*, 2007b), supramolecular ligands (Li *et al.*, 1988; Xu *et al.*, 2012; Sookcharoenpinyo *et al.*, 2012), cyclophanes (Yamato *et al.*, 2002; Yamato *et al.*, 2006; Shimizu *et al.*, 2009), macrocycles (Akine *et al.*, 2000; Sharghi *et al.*, 2001; Akine *et al.*, 2005), crown ethers (Hirose *et al.*, 2003) and pyrene derivatives (Tashiro *et al.*, 1989).

2. Experimental

2.1. Synthesis and crystallization

Ethyl 3,5-bis(hydroxymethyl)-4-methoxy-benzoate, (I), has been synthesized from ethylparaben (Haba *et al.*, 2005; Thomas *et al.*, 2012). Title compounds (II), 4-bromo-2,6-bis(hydroxymethyl)anisole, and (III), 4-bromo-2,6-bis(bromomethyl)anisole, have been prepared from 4-bromophenol according to literature procedures (Leroy *et al.*, 1988; Tashiro *et al.*, 1989; González-Bulnes *et al.*, 2013). Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by crystallization of the title compounds from the respective solvents at room temperature [(I): ethanol, m.p. 67 °C; (II): water, m.p. 127 °C; (III): ethanol, m.p. 85 °C].

2.2. Refinement

Data collection was performed at different temperatures [(I): 203 K, (II): 173 K, (III): 153 K] due to instability of the single crystals and to avoid unintended ice formation during the measurements. Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 1.

3. Results and discussion

The title compounds (I-III) were found to crystallise with one molecule in the asymmetric part of the unit cell. While (I) crystallised in the triclinic space group $P\bar{1}$, (II) was detected in the noncentrosymmetric orthorhombic space group $Pna2_1$ and (III) in the monoclinic group $C2/c$. For all crystal structures no solvent accessible area was found (Spek, 2009). The packing indeces were calculated to 71.1 (I), 70.5 (II), and 70.2 (III) using Platon software (Spek, 2009). As expected the value slightly decreases with a higher number of bromine atoms.

First, the carbonyl group in the structure of (I) is more or less coplanar with the aromatic unit [dihedral angle of 6.69 (15) $^\circ$]. The methoxy group is not coplanar and shows torsion angles of 83.7 (2) $^\circ$ and -101.3 (2) $^\circ$ (see Table 2 for selected torsion angles). In contrast, both CH_2OH substituents differ in their position relative to the benzene core. While the hydroxymethylene unit of O1 is almost coplanar [4.6 (3) $^\circ$ and -173.24 (17) $^\circ$], the group of O3 gives a small torsion of about -22.3 (3) $^\circ$ and 155.76 $^\circ$. This is similar to the orientation of the CH_2OH groups in the structure of the free acid with torsion angles of 1.2 $^\circ$ /-179.1 $^\circ$, and -41.6 $^\circ$ /138.4 $^\circ$ for the second CH_2OH group, respectively (Kohmoto *et al.*, 2009). An inversed situation for one CH_2OH group is observed in the crystal structure of methyl 3,5-bis(hydroxymethyl)benzoate (Katzsch *et al.*, 2012). In here the torsion angles are -174.7 $^\circ$ /5.8 $^\circ$, and -47.9 $^\circ$ /131.6 $^\circ$ for the second CH_2OH group. In the crystal structure of bromoanisol (II), the hydroxymethylene and methoxy substituents are orientated like described for (I) with slight differences. The corresponding torsion angles are given in Table 3. The molecular geometry of compound (III) is described by the same orientation of the methoxy group as observed for (I) and (II). In contrast, the bromomethyl moieties point to different directions, ("trans" form). The first methylene unit at Br1 is orientated as CH_2OH of O1 in (I) but with a greater torsion (Table 4). The second methylene moiety at Br3 shows an inversed orientation with a greater torsion compared to O2 in (I). A similar situation is found in related compounds such as 1-bromo-3,5-bis(bromomethyl)-benzene with torsion angles of 77.4 $^\circ$ /-103.5 $^\circ$ and 106 $^\circ$ /-74.8 $^\circ$ (Jones *et al.*, 2012) or 1,3-bis(bromomethyl)benzene with an inversed orientation but torsion angles of -83.3 $^\circ$ /97.5 $^\circ$ and -82.9 $^\circ$ /96.2 $^\circ$ (Jones & Kus, 2007).

All three compounds (I)-(III) bear a methoxy group being able to form weak intermolecular hydrogen bonds. While (I) and (II) have two additional CH_2OH group being known to give strong hydrogen bond networks, (III) does not. Furthermore, (I) possesses COOEt group which could participate in C—H \cdots O or O—H \cdots O interactions. Indeed, the carbonyl oxygen atom O4 interacts in an O—H \cdots O hydrogen bond [O3—H3O \cdots O4: 1.83 (3) Å, Table 3] connecting two adjacent stacking like chains. These stacks arises as follows. Two molecules of (I) are connected via O—H \cdots O hydrogen bonds between the two hydroxyl functionalities [O1—H1O \cdots O3: 1.87 (3) Å, Table 3] to form discrete dimers, which are stabilized by $\pi\cdots\pi$ stacking interactions [$d = 3.4815$ (16) Å] (Fig. 1b). These dimers are connected via C—H \cdots π interaction of 2.92 Å resulting in a chain-like stacking along the crystallographic a -axis. A weak C—H \cdots O contact of 2.65 Å (Table 3) further stabilizes the molecular packing.

By way of contrast, as a consequence of the inversed orientation of the CH_2OH group in methyl 3,5-bis(hydroxymethyl)benzoate (Katzsch *et al.*, 2012), the hydrogen bonding pattern changes from discret dimers to a continuous hydrogen bonding. The crystal structure of the free acid of (I) is dominated by the dimers resulting from the COOH group.

The molecule of the structure of (II) has two CH_2OH group being able to interact in hydrogen bonds as observed in (I). In contrast to (I), no discrete dimers are formed but both hydroxyl groups are involved in linear O—H \cdots O hydrogen bonds. While O1—H1 \cdots O3 leads to a chain along the crystallographic a -axis, the combination with O3—H3 \cdots O1 results in a layerlike arrangement of stacked molecules within the ac -plane. C—H \cdots π interactions of 2.82 Å support the stacking along the c -axis. Adjacent layers are connected via Br \cdots Br contacts and weak C—H \cdots O contacts (>2.8 Å). Very weak C—H \cdots Br contacts are observed in addition to the O1—H1 \cdots O3 hydrogen bond supporting the formation of

chains. In contrast to (I), $\pi\cdots\pi$ stacking interactions with distances between adjacent centres of the aromatic units of >4.4 Å are not involved in the molecular packing of (II).

When comparing the structure of title compound (II) with the structure of the free phenol, *i.e.* 4-bromo-2,6-bis(hydroxymethyl)phenol (Crisp *et al.*, 2000), the overall packing behaviour is similar. In both cases, the arene units form continuous stacks in which the anisol units of (II) have the same orientation in every layer, whereas in the phenol every second molecule is rotated by 180° . This feature allows the phenolic hydroxyl group to take part in a combination of linear and branched hydrogen bonds, though prevents Br \cdots Br contacts as found in (II).

In the packing of (III) stacks along the crystallographic *b*-axis are observed. These stacks are generated by C—H $\cdots\pi$ (2.88 Å, 117°) and C—H \cdots Br (2.94 Å, 155.3°) interactions, and are connected to an adjacent stack via an additional C—H \cdots Br contact along the *c*-axis (2.99 Å, 154.2°). This chain along the *c*-axis is supported by a very weak Br \cdots Br contact [C1—Br2 \cdots Br3—C9: 3.7187 (8) Å, $x, -y+1, z-1/2$] resulting in a bifurcation at Br3. According to the respective angles of $96.02 (13)^\circ$ and $169.89 (13)^\circ$ it is a rather clear type II interaction (Mukherjee *et al.*, 2014). As observed in the structure of (II), $\pi\cdots\pi$ stacking interactions are involved in the formation of the stacks. Additionally, the methoxy group in (III) leads to a reduced number and longer bromine \cdots bromine contacts in comparison to 1-bromo-3,5-bis(bromomethyl)-benzene. In both cases, the aromatic bound Br is involved. Worthnoting, (II) and (III) display rather similar packing motifs. The aromatic units are piled up connected via C—H $\cdots\pi$ interactions, while the interconnection is facilitated by either O—H \cdots O or C—H \cdots Br interactions (Fig. 5).

In summary, we have analyzed the molecular structure and the packing behaviour of three arene building blocks featuring *bis*(hydroxymethyl) or *bis*(bromomethyl) substituents. In all structures C—H $\cdots\pi$ interactions are responsible for the generation of stacks. Therefore a typical supramolecular pattern the C—H $\cdots\pi$ interaction can be considered, but in combination with strong O—H \cdots O hydrogen bonds between the hydroxyl groups. For the *bis*(bromomethyl) derivative (III) the O—H \cdots O interactions in the zigzag arrangement seems to be replaced by C—H \cdots Br interactions without changing the general packing mode. Finally, $\pi\cdots\pi$ interactions were only observed in the absence of bromine (I) indicating that the larger bromine atom enlarges the distances between adjacent aromatic moieties.

Table 1

Experimental details

	(I)	(II)	(III)
Crystal data			
Chemical formula	C ₁₂ H ₁₆ O ₅	C ₉ H ₁₁ BrO ₃	C ₉ H ₉ Br ₃ O
M _r	240.25	247.09	372.89
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, <i>P</i> ī	Orthorhombic, <i>Pna</i> 2 ₁	Monoclinic, <i>C</i> 2/c
Temperature (K)	203	173	153
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	7.8601 (10), 8.2489 (11), 10.7883 (14)	13.1596 (7), 16.2514 (9), 4.4735 (2)	33.315 (4), 4.3913 (3), 15.9655 (17)
α, β, γ (°)	81.538 (11), 72.352 (10), 61.930 (9)	90, 90, 90	90, 110.142 (8), 90
<i>V</i> (Å ³)	588.15 (14)	956.71 (9)	2192.9 (4)
<i>Z</i>	2	4	8
Radiation type	Mo <i>K</i> α	Mo <i>K</i> α	Mo <i>K</i> α
μ (mm ⁻¹)	0.11	4.27	11.00
Crystal size (mm)	0.35 × 0.32 × 0.28	0.45 × 0.27 × 0.15	0.50 × 0.11 × 0.09

Data collection

Diffractometer	STOE IPDS 2 diffractometer	STOE IPDS 2 diffractometer	STOE IPDS 2T diffractometer
Absorption correction	—	Integration <i>X-AREA</i> (STOE)	Integration <i>X-AREA</i> (STOE)
T_{\min} , T_{\max}	—	0.283, 0.564	0.008, 0.076
No. of measured, independent and observed [$I > 2\sigma(I)$] reflections	4748, 2188, 1705	4183, 1904, 1647	5754, 2197, 1834
R_{int}	0.052	0.074	0.087
($\sin \theta / \lambda$) _{max} (\AA^{-1})	0.606	0.627	0.622
Refinement			
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$, $wR(F^2)$, S	0.050, 0.140, 1.12	0.065, 0.190, 1.10	0.033, 0.088, 1.10
No. of reflections	2188	1904	2197
No. of parameters	164	127	119
No. of restraints	0	1	0
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta\rho_{\max}$, $\Delta\rho_{\min}$ ($e \text{\AA}^{-3}$)	0.23, -0.26	0.82, -1.22	0.63, -0.60
Absolute structure	—	Classical Flack method preferred over Parsons because s.u. lower.	—
Absolute structure parameter	—	0.01 (5)	—

Computer programs: STOE *X-AREA*, STOE *X-RED*, *SHELXL2012* (Sheldrick, 2012), *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015), *XP* (Sheldrick, 2008), *WinGX* (Farrugia, 2012), *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010), *SHELXLE* (Hübschle *et al.*, 2011).

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$) for (I)

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
O1—H1O \cdots O3 ⁱ	0.92 (3)	1.87 (3)	2.788 (2)	176 (3)
O3—H3O \cdots O4 ⁱⁱ	0.91 (3)	1.83 (3)	2.725 (2)	167 (3)
C9—H9B \cdots O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.99	2.65	3.555 (3)	153

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1, -y+1, -z+1$; (ii) $x-1, y+1, z$; (iii) $-x, -y+2, -z+1$.

Table 3

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$) for (II)

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
C8—H8A \cdots Br1 ⁱ	0.98	3.11	3.773 (13)	126
C8—H8B \cdots Br1 ⁱⁱ	0.98	3.11	3.917 (19)	140
O1—H1 \cdots O3 ⁱⁱ	1.0 (2)	1.8 (2)	2.687 (13)	146 (20)
O3—H3 \cdots O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.76 (16)	1.95 (17)	2.685 (13)	160 (18)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1/2, y+1/2, z+1/2$; (ii) $x-1/2, -y+3/2, z$; (iii) $x+1/2, -y+3/2, z-1$.

Table 4Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$) for (III)

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
C2—H2 \cdots Br3 ⁱ	0.95	2.99	3.863 (5)	154
C7—H7A \cdots Br2 ⁱⁱ	0.99	2.94	3.866 (5)	155

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, -y+1, z-1/2$; (ii) $x, y+1, z$.**Acknowledgements**

Financial support from the 'Fonds der Chemischen Industrie' and the Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Freiberg, is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Akine, S., Goto, K. & Kawashima, T. (2000). *Tetrahedron Lett.* **41**, 897–901.
- Akine, S., Goto, K. & Kawashima, T. (2005). *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **78**, 169–179.
- Crisp, G. T., Turner, P. D. & Tiekkari, E. R. T. (2000). *Z. Kristallogr. - New Cryst. Struct.* **215**, 443–444.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **45**, 849–854.
- González-Bulnes, L., Ibáñez, I., Bedoya, L. M., Beltrán, M., Catalán, S., Alcamí, J., Fustero, S. & Gallego, J. (2013). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **52**, 13405–13409.
- Haba, K., Popkov, M., Shamis, M., Lerner, R. A., Barbas, C. F. & Shabat, D. (2005). *Angew. Chem.* **117**, 726–730.
- Hirose, K., Fujiwara, A., Matsunaga, K., Aoki, N. & Tobe, Y. (2003). *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **14**, 555–566.
- Hirose, K., Ishibashi, K., Shiba, Y., Doi, Y. & Tobe, Y. (2007b). *Chem. Lett.* **36**, 810–811.
- Hirose, K., Nishihara, K., Harada, N., Nakamura, Y., Masuda, D., Araki, M. & Tobe, Y. (2007a). *Org. Lett.* **9**, 2969–2972.
- Hübschle, C. B., Sheldrick, G. M. & Dittrich, B. (2011). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **44**, 1281–1284.
- Jones, P. G. & Kus, P. (2007). *Z. Naturforsch. B Chem. Sci.* **62**, 725–731.
- Jones, P. G., Kus, P. & Dix, I. (2012). *Z. Naturforsch. B Chem. Sci.* **67**, 1273–1281.
- Katzsch, F., Eissmann, D. & Weber, E. (2012). *Struct. Chem.* **23**, 245–255.
- Kohmoto, S., Kuroda, Y., Someya, Y., Kishikawa, K., Masu, H., Yamaguchi, K. & Azumaya, I. (2009). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **9**, 3457–3462.
- Leroy, J., Wakselman, C., Lacroix, P. & Kahn, O. (1988). *J. Fluorine Chem.* **40**, 23–32.
- Li, Y., Wu, D., Zhu, J. & Zhao, H. (1988). *Youji Huaxue* **8**, 158–61.
- Moran, W. J., Schreiber, E. C., Engel, E., Behn, D. C. & Yamins, J. L. (1952). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **74**, 127–129.
- Mukherjee, A., Tothadi, S. & Desiraju, G. R. (2014). *Acc. Chem. Res.* **47**, 2514–2524.
- Sharghi, H., Ali Nasseri, M. & Niknam, K. (2001). *J. Org. Chem.* **66**, 7287–7293.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). *Acta Cryst. C* **71**, 3–8.
- Shimizu, T., Maeda, T., Hida, K. & Yamato, T. (2009). *J. Chem. Res.* **8**, 515–519.
- Sookcharoenpinyo, B., Klein, E., Ferrand, Y., Walker, D. B., Brotherhood, P. R., Ke, C., Crump, M. P. & Davis, A. P. (2012). *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **51**, 4586–4590.

- Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **D65**, 148–155.
- Tashiro, M., Mataka, S., Takezaki, Y., Takeshita, M., Arimura, T., Tsuge, A. & Yamato, T. (1989). *J. Org. Chem.* **54**, 451–458.
- Thomas, J., Dobrzanska, L., van Hecke, K., Sonawane, M. P., Robeyns, K., van Meervelt, L., Wozniak, K., Smet, M., Maes, W. & Dehaen, W. (2012). *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **10**, 6526–6536.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **43**, 920–925.
- Xu, L., Li, Y., Yu, Y., Liu, T., Cheng, S., Liu, H. & Li, Y. (2012). *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **10**, 4375–4380.
- Yamato, T., Okabe, R., Miyamoto, S. & Miyazaki, M. (2006). *J. Chem. Res.* **9**, 593–595.
- Yamato, T., Tsuchihashi, K., Nakamura, N., Hirahara, M. & Tanaka, K. (2002). *Can. J. Chem.* **80**, 510–516.

Figure 1

Perspective views of (I)–(III) showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids for the non-H atoms and the used numbering.

Figure 2

Least-squares overlays of a) (II) (black lines) and (I) (blue lines), b) (I) (black lines) and (III) (blue lines) and c) (II) (black lines) and (III) (blue lines) with the following r.m.s. deviations: a) 0.0198 Å, b) 0.0220 Å and c) 0.0122 Å for an overlay of the following atoms: C1–C6. All hydrogen atoms not bonded to oxygen are omitted for clarity. Relevant atoms are labelled with a) A (II) and B (I), b) A (I) and B (III) and c) A (II) and B (III).

Figure 3

Packing of I

Figure 4

Packing of II Packing of III

Figure 5

Schematic non-covalent interactions in the packing of (II) and (III).

supporting information

Different supramolecular interactions mediated by bromine atoms in the crystal structures of three anisole derivates

Robert Nestler, Anke Schwarzer and Tobias Gruber*

Computing details

For all compounds, data collection: STOE *X-AREA*; cell refinement: STOE *X-AREA*; data reduction: STOE *X-RED*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXL2012* (Sheldrick, 2012); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *XP* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 2012), *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010), *SHELXLE* (Hübschle *et al.*, 2011).

(I)

Crystal data

$C_{12}H_{16}O_5$	$F(000) = 256$
$M_r = 240.25$	$D_x = 1.357 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$	Melting point: 340.15 K
$a = 7.8601 (10) \text{ \AA}$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
$b = 8.2489 (11) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 2183 reflections
$c = 10.7883 (14) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 2.0\text{--}27.4^\circ$
$\alpha = 81.538 (11)^\circ$	$\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 72.352 (10)^\circ$	$T = 203 \text{ K}$
$\gamma = 61.930 (9)^\circ$	Piece, colorless
$V = 588.15 (14) \text{ \AA}^3$	$0.35 \times 0.32 \times 0.28 \text{ mm}$
$Z = 2$	

Data collection

STOE IPDS 2	2188 independent reflections
diffractometer	1705 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Radiation source: sealed X-ray tube, 12 x 0.4 mm	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.052$
long-fine focus	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.5^\circ, \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.0^\circ$
Plane graphite monochromator	$h = -9 \rightarrow 9$
Detector resolution: 6.67 pixels mm^{-1}	$k = -9 \rightarrow 9$
rotation method scans	$l = -13 \rightarrow 13$
4748 measured reflections	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Hydrogen site location: mixed
Least-squares matrix: full	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.050$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0651P)^2 + 0.2206P]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.140$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$S = 1.12$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
2188 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.23 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
164 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.26 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. H atoms were positioned geometrically and allowed to ride on their parent atoms, C–H = 0.95–0.98 %A and Uiso(H) = 1.2–1.5 Ueq (parent atom). Hydrogen atoms bonded to O were located via electron density. Individual reflections were considered as outliers and therefore omitted.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2) for (I)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
O1	0.6177 (2)	0.0793 (2)	0.74711 (15)	0.0381 (4)
H1O	0.725 (5)	0.106 (4)	0.714 (3)	0.063 (9)*
O2	0.1535 (2)	0.6048 (2)	0.72607 (14)	0.0332 (4)
O3	0.0670 (2)	0.8314 (2)	0.36301 (15)	0.0375 (4)
H3O	−0.053 (5)	0.906 (4)	0.345 (3)	0.068 (9)*
O4	0.7377 (2)	0.0287 (2)	0.27189 (14)	0.0337 (4)
O5	0.5225 (2)	0.2543 (2)	0.17245 (13)	0.0333 (4)
C1	0.4636 (3)	0.2861 (3)	0.39511 (18)	0.0242 (4)
C2	0.5062 (3)	0.2150 (3)	0.51367 (19)	0.0257 (4)
H2	0.6094	0.0941	0.5179	0.031*
C3	0.3986 (3)	0.3202 (3)	0.62487 (19)	0.0263 (4)
C4	0.2463 (3)	0.4967 (3)	0.61648 (19)	0.0253 (4)
C5	0.1989 (3)	0.5687 (3)	0.49936 (19)	0.0255 (4)
C6	0.3094 (3)	0.4616 (3)	0.38892 (19)	0.0256 (4)
H6	0.2797	0.5082	0.3081	0.031*
C7	0.4465 (3)	0.2513 (3)	0.7539 (2)	0.0329 (5)
H7A	0.4672	0.3432	0.7887	0.040*
H7B	0.3298	0.2412	0.8159	0.040*
C8	−0.0362 (4)	0.6174 (4)	0.7980 (3)	0.0515 (7)
H8A	−0.0781	0.6774	0.8814	0.077*
H8B	−0.0270	0.4938	0.8139	0.077*
H8C	−0.1345	0.6897	0.7486	0.077*
C9	0.0352 (3)	0.7610 (3)	0.4921 (2)	0.0324 (5)
H9A	−0.0962	0.7595	0.5193	0.039*
H9B	0.0336	0.8418	0.5523	0.039*
C10	0.5892 (3)	0.1750 (3)	0.27619 (19)	0.0270 (4)
C11	0.6403 (4)	0.1645 (4)	0.0483 (2)	0.0429 (6)
H11A	0.5509	0.1828	−0.0057	0.051*
H11B	0.7165	0.0308	0.0621	0.051*
C12	0.7810 (5)	0.2422 (5)	−0.0196 (3)	0.0652 (8)
H12A	0.8573	0.1841	−0.1049	0.098*
H12B	0.8732	0.2188	0.0324	0.098*
H12C	0.7052	0.3749	−0.0312	0.098*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2) for (I)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
O1	0.0355 (8)	0.0385 (9)	0.0355 (9)	−0.0116 (7)	−0.0154 (7)	0.0076 (7)
O2	0.0290 (7)	0.0382 (8)	0.0299 (8)	−0.0135 (6)	−0.0024 (6)	−0.0106 (6)

O3	0.0285 (8)	0.0354 (8)	0.0375 (9)	-0.0061 (6)	-0.0116 (6)	0.0070 (7)
O4	0.0285 (7)	0.0314 (8)	0.0322 (8)	-0.0042 (6)	-0.0094 (6)	-0.0047 (6)
O5	0.0330 (8)	0.0359 (8)	0.0234 (7)	-0.0083 (6)	-0.0095 (6)	-0.0007 (6)
C1	0.0228 (9)	0.0250 (10)	0.0248 (10)	-0.0111 (8)	-0.0062 (7)	0.0002 (8)
C2	0.0222 (9)	0.0250 (10)	0.0279 (10)	-0.0086 (8)	-0.0081 (8)	0.0011 (8)
C3	0.0260 (10)	0.0297 (10)	0.0263 (10)	-0.0146 (8)	-0.0089 (8)	0.0019 (8)
C4	0.0226 (9)	0.0289 (10)	0.0259 (10)	-0.0138 (8)	-0.0032 (7)	-0.0036 (8)
C5	0.0236 (9)	0.0237 (10)	0.0300 (10)	-0.0104 (8)	-0.0086 (8)	0.0001 (8)
C6	0.0261 (9)	0.0264 (10)	0.0262 (10)	-0.0123 (8)	-0.0103 (8)	0.0030 (8)
C7	0.0321 (11)	0.0362 (12)	0.0266 (11)	-0.0115 (9)	-0.0097 (8)	0.0014 (9)
C8	0.0346 (12)	0.0760 (19)	0.0401 (14)	-0.0267 (12)	0.0064 (10)	-0.0185 (13)
C9	0.0298 (10)	0.0266 (11)	0.0337 (11)	-0.0083 (8)	-0.0071 (8)	0.0013 (8)
C10	0.0244 (9)	0.0300 (11)	0.0264 (10)	-0.0121 (9)	-0.0069 (8)	0.0004 (8)
C11	0.0477 (13)	0.0480 (14)	0.0236 (11)	-0.0126 (11)	-0.0083 (9)	-0.0084 (10)
C12	0.0626 (18)	0.081 (2)	0.0404 (15)	-0.0330 (16)	0.0070 (13)	-0.0083 (14)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^{\circ}$) for (I)

O1—C7	1.415 (3)	C5—C6	1.384 (3)
O1—H1O	0.92 (3)	C5—C9	1.511 (3)
O2—C4	1.379 (2)	C6—H6	0.9500
O2—C8	1.419 (3)	C7—H7A	0.9900
O3—C9	1.423 (3)	C7—H7B	0.9900
O3—H3O	0.91 (3)	C8—H8A	0.9800
O4—C10	1.216 (2)	C8—H8B	0.9800
O5—C10	1.332 (2)	C8—H8C	0.9800
O5—C11	1.447 (3)	C9—H9A	0.9900
C1—C6	1.393 (3)	C9—H9B	0.9900
C1—C2	1.395 (3)	C11—C12	1.486 (4)
C1—C10	1.479 (3)	C11—H11A	0.9900
C2—C3	1.381 (3)	C11—H11B	0.9900
C2—H2	0.9500	C12—H12A	0.9800
C3—C4	1.396 (3)	C12—H12B	0.9800
C3—C7	1.512 (3)	C12—H12C	0.9800
C4—C5	1.395 (3)		
C7—O1—H1O	105 (2)	O2—C8—H8A	109.5
C4—O2—C8	115.78 (17)	O2—C8—H8B	109.5
C9—O3—H3O	110 (2)	H8A—C8—H8B	109.5
C10—O5—C11	117.40 (16)	O2—C8—H8C	109.5
C6—C1—C2	120.16 (17)	H8A—C8—H8C	109.5
C6—C1—C10	120.83 (17)	H8B—C8—H8C	109.5
C2—C1—C10	118.96 (17)	O3—C9—C5	110.39 (17)
C3—C2—C1	120.11 (17)	O3—C9—H9A	109.6
C3—C2—H2	119.9	C5—C9—H9A	109.6
C1—C2—H2	119.9	O3—C9—H9B	109.6
C2—C3—C4	118.91 (18)	C5—C9—H9B	109.6
C2—C3—C7	121.67 (18)	H9A—C9—H9B	108.1
C4—C3—C7	119.38 (17)	O4—C10—O5	123.23 (18)
O2—C4—C5	120.26 (17)	O4—C10—C1	124.56 (18)
O2—C4—C3	117.72 (18)	O5—C10—C1	112.21 (16)

C5—C4—C3	121.82 (17)	O5—C11—C12	109.7 (2)
C6—C5—C4	118.35 (17)	O5—C11—H11A	109.7
C6—C5—C9	120.67 (18)	C12—C11—H11A	109.7
C4—C5—C9	120.96 (18)	O5—C11—H11B	109.7
C5—C6—C1	120.63 (18)	C12—C11—H11B	109.7
C5—C6—H6	119.7	H11A—C11—H11B	108.2
C1—C6—H6	119.7	C11—C12—H12A	109.5
O1—C7—C3	114.12 (17)	C11—C12—H12B	109.5
O1—C7—H7A	108.7	H12A—C12—H12B	109.5
C3—C7—H7A	108.7	C11—C12—H12C	109.5
O1—C7—H7B	108.7	H12A—C12—H12C	109.5
C3—C7—H7B	108.7	H12B—C12—H12C	109.5
H7A—C7—H7B	107.6		
C6—C1—C2—C3	-1.5 (3)	C9—C5—C6—C1	178.35 (18)
C10—C1—C2—C3	176.25 (17)	C2—C1—C6—C5	1.1 (3)
C1—C2—C3—C4	0.7 (3)	C10—C1—C6—C5	-176.65 (17)
C1—C2—C3—C7	-177.19 (18)	C2—C3—C7—O1	4.6 (3)
C8—O2—C4—C5	83.8 (2)	C4—C3—C7—O1	-173.27 (18)
C8—O2—C4—C3	-101.3 (2)	C6—C5—C9—O3	-22.3 (3)
C2—C3—C4—O2	-174.23 (16)	C4—C5—C9—O3	155.81 (18)
C7—C3—C4—O2	3.7 (3)	C11—O5—C10—O4	-3.3 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C5	0.6 (3)	C11—O5—C10—C1	176.13 (17)
C7—C3—C4—C5	178.51 (18)	C6—C1—C10—O4	172.68 (19)
O2—C4—C5—C6	173.67 (17)	C2—C1—C10—O4	-5.1 (3)
C3—C4—C5—C6	-1.0 (3)	C6—C1—C10—O5	-6.7 (3)
O2—C4—C5—C9	-4.5 (3)	C2—C1—C10—O5	175.55 (17)
C3—C4—C5—C9	-179.19 (18)	C10—O5—C11—C12	-93.5 (3)
C4—C5—C6—C1	0.2 (3)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$) for (I)

$D\cdots H \cdots A$	$D—H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D—H\cdots A$
O1—H1O \cdots O3 ⁱ	0.92 (3)	1.87 (3)	2.788 (2)	176 (3)
O3—H3O \cdots O4 ⁱⁱ	0.91 (3)	1.83 (3)	2.725 (2)	167 (3)
C9—H9B \cdots O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.99	2.65	3.555 (3)	153

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1, -y+1, -z+1$; (ii) $x-1, y+1, z$; (iii) $-x, -y+2, -z+1$.

(II)

Crystal data

$\text{C}_9\text{H}_{11}\text{BrO}_3$	$D_x = 1.715 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 247.09$	Melting point: 400.15 K
Orthorhombic, $Pna2_1$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
$a = 13.1596 (7) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 5411 reflections
$b = 16.2514 (9) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 2.0\text{--}27.2^\circ$
$c = 4.4735 (2) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 4.27 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$V = 956.71 (9) \text{ \AA}^3$	$T = 173 \text{ K}$
$Z = 4$	Piece, colorless
$F(000) = 496$	$0.45 \times 0.27 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

STOE IPDS 2
diffractometer
Radiation source: sealed X-ray tube, 12 x 0.4 mm
long-fine focus
Plane graphite monochromator
Detector resolution: 6.67 pixels mm⁻¹
rotation method scans
Absorption correction: integration
X-AREA (STOE)

$T_{\min} = 0.283$, $T_{\max} = 0.564$
4183 measured reflections
1904 independent reflections
1647 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.074$
 $\theta_{\max} = 26.5^\circ$, $\theta_{\min} = 2.5^\circ$
 $h = -13 \rightarrow 16$
 $k = -20 \rightarrow 17$
 $l = -5 \rightarrow 5$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
Least-squares matrix: full
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.065$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.190$
 $S = 1.10$
1904 reflections
127 parameters
1 restraint
Hydrogen site location: mixed

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.123P)^2 + 3.1968P]$
 where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.82 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -1.22 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
 Absolute structure: Classical Flack method preferred over Parsons because s.u. lower.
 Absolute structure parameter: 0.01 (5)

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. H atoms were positioned geometrically and allowed to ride on their parent atoms, C–H = 0.95–0.98 %A and Uiso(H) = 1.2–1.5 Ueq (parent atom). Hydrogen atoms bonded to O were located via electron density. Individual reflections were considered as outliers and therefore omitted.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2) for (II)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^* / U_{\text{eq}}$
Br1	0.47945 (7)	0.58610 (5)	0.1742 (5)	0.0274 (4)
O1	0.1690 (7)	0.6471 (6)	0.924 (2)	0.0309 (18)
O2	0.2989 (7)	0.8804 (5)	0.7961 (19)	0.0284 (18)
O3	0.5787 (7)	0.9079 (5)	0.424 (2)	0.0248 (17)
C1	0.4222 (8)	0.6800 (6)	0.371 (2)	0.019 (2)
C2	0.3384 (9)	0.6688 (7)	0.554 (3)	0.023 (2)
H2	0.3111	0.6155	0.5870	0.028*
C3	0.2954 (7)	0.7370 (6)	0.689 (4)	0.0215 (19)
C4	0.3399 (8)	0.8139 (6)	0.640 (3)	0.019 (2)
C5	0.4253 (8)	0.8240 (6)	0.462 (2)	0.021 (2)
C6	0.4652 (8)	0.7551 (7)	0.321 (3)	0.023 (2)
H6	0.5220	0.7602	0.1915	0.027*
C7	0.2037 (9)	0.7300 (8)	0.889 (3)	0.027 (2)
H7A	0.2206	0.7529	1.0878	0.032*
H7B	0.1479	0.7636	0.8040	0.032*
C8	0.2333 (12)	0.9311 (9)	0.627 (5)	0.049 (5)
H8A	0.2158	0.9801	0.7438	0.073*
H8B	0.1713	0.9005	0.5787	0.073*
H8C	0.2674	0.9477	0.4420	0.073*

C9	0.4713 (10)	0.9082 (6)	0.411 (4)	0.029 (3)
H9A	0.4498	0.9286	0.2120	0.035*
H9B	0.4447	0.9467	0.5632	0.035*
H1	0.13 (2)	0.608 (14)	0.79 (5)	0.10 (9)*
H3	0.591 (14)	0.888 (10)	0.27 (4)	0.04 (5)*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2) for (II)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Br1	0.0282 (6)	0.0184 (5)	0.0357 (6)	0.0039 (3)	0.0029 (8)	-0.0012 (7)
O1	0.029 (4)	0.040 (5)	0.024 (4)	-0.012 (4)	0.008 (3)	0.004 (4)
O2	0.030 (4)	0.025 (4)	0.030 (4)	0.004 (4)	0.002 (3)	-0.011 (3)
O3	0.021 (4)	0.022 (4)	0.031 (5)	-0.003 (3)	0.003 (3)	-0.004 (3)
C1	0.014 (5)	0.020 (5)	0.022 (5)	-0.005 (4)	0.000 (4)	0.000 (4)
C2	0.029 (6)	0.022 (5)	0.020 (4)	-0.007 (4)	-0.002 (4)	0.005 (4)
C3	0.021 (4)	0.022 (4)	0.021 (5)	0.001 (3)	-0.001 (7)	-0.001 (5)
C4	0.020 (4)	0.023 (4)	0.015 (5)	0.009 (4)	0.001 (4)	-0.005 (4)
C5	0.020 (5)	0.016 (5)	0.026 (5)	0.001 (4)	-0.002 (4)	0.001 (4)
C6	0.019 (5)	0.023 (5)	0.026 (6)	0.006 (4)	0.005 (4)	0.003 (5)
C7	0.021 (5)	0.037 (6)	0.023 (6)	-0.004 (5)	0.006 (4)	0.004 (4)
C8	0.035 (7)	0.038 (6)	0.074 (15)	0.017 (6)	0.002 (8)	-0.005 (8)
C9	0.032 (8)	0.010 (5)	0.046 (8)	-0.002 (4)	0.001 (5)	0.000 (4)

Geometric parameters (\AA , ^\circ) for (II)

Br1—C1	1.916 (11)	C3—C7	1.506 (16)
O1—C7	1.431 (15)	C4—C5	1.387 (15)
O1—H1	1.0 (2)	C5—C6	1.388 (15)
O2—C4	1.396 (13)	C5—C9	1.513 (14)
O2—C8	1.411 (19)	C6—H6	0.9500
O3—C9	1.415 (17)	C7—H7A	0.9900
O3—H3	0.76 (16)	C7—H7B	0.9900
C1—C6	1.364 (15)	C8—H8A	0.9800
C1—C2	1.387 (15)	C8—H8B	0.9800
C2—C3	1.382 (17)	C8—H8C	0.9800
C2—H2	0.9500	C9—H9A	0.9900
C3—C4	1.397 (14)	C9—H9B	0.9900
C7—O1—H1	132 (10)	C5—C6—H6	120.3
C4—O2—C8	114.8 (11)	O1—C7—C3	113.1 (9)
C9—O3—H3	101 (10)	O1—C7—H7A	109.0
C6—C1—C2	123.0 (10)	C3—C7—H7A	109.0
C6—C1—Br1	118.3 (8)	O1—C7—H7B	109.0
C2—C1—Br1	118.7 (8)	C3—C7—H7B	109.0
C3—C2—C1	118.6 (10)	H7A—C7—H7B	107.8
C3—C2—H2	120.7	O2—C8—H8A	109.5
C1—C2—H2	120.7	O2—C8—H8B	109.5
C2—C3—C4	118.5 (11)	H8A—C8—H8B	109.5
C2—C3—C7	121.7 (9)	O2—C8—H8C	109.5
C4—C3—C7	119.8 (10)	H8A—C8—H8C	109.5
C5—C4—O2	120.6 (9)	H8B—C8—H8C	109.5

C5—C4—C3	122.4 (9)	O3—C9—C5	113.0 (9)
O2—C4—C3	116.9 (10)	O3—C9—H9A	109.0
C4—C5—C6	118.1 (10)	C5—C9—H9A	109.0
C4—C5—C9	121.2 (10)	O3—C9—H9B	109.0
C6—C5—C9	120.6 (11)	C5—C9—H9B	109.0
C1—C6—C5	119.4 (10)	H9A—C9—H9B	107.8
C1—C6—H6	120.3		
C6—C1—C2—C3	1.2 (17)	C2—C1—C6—C5	0.9 (17)
Br1—C1—C2—C3	-178.1 (10)	Br1—C1—C6—C5	-179.8 (8)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-1.6 (18)	C4—C5—C6—C1	-2.5 (17)
C1—C2—C3—C7	179.4 (11)	C9—C5—C6—C1	-179.9 (11)
C8—O2—C4—C5	83.0 (14)	C2—C3—C7—O1	0.9 (17)
C8—O2—C4—C3	-101.3 (13)	C4—C3—C7—O1	-178.1 (12)
C2—C3—C4—C5	0.0 (19)	C4—C5—C9—O3	137.6 (12)
C7—C3—C4—C5	179.0 (11)	C6—C5—C9—O3	-45.0 (17)
C2—C3—C4—O2	-175.6 (11)	C2—C3—C7—O1	0.9 (17)
C7—C3—C4—O2	3.5 (17)	C4—C3—C7—O1	-178.1 (12)
O2—C4—C5—C6	177.5 (10)	C6—C5—C9—O3	-45.0 (17)
C3—C4—C5—C6	2.1 (18)	C4—C5—C9—O3	137.6 (12)
O2—C4—C5—C9	-5.1 (17)	C3—C4—O2—C8	-101.3 (13)
C3—C4—C5—C9	179.5 (12)	C5—C4—O2—C8	83.0 (14)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$) for (II)

$D\cdots H$	$D—H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D—H\cdots A$
C8—H8A \cdots Br1 ⁱ	0.98	3.11	3.773 (13)	126
C8—H8B \cdots Br1 ⁱⁱ	0.98	3.11	3.917 (19)	140
O1—H1 \cdots O3 ⁱⁱ	1.0 (2)	1.8 (2)	2.687 (13)	146 (20)
O3—H3 \cdots O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.76 (16)	1.95 (17)	2.685 (13)	160 (18)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1/2, y+1/2, z+1/2$; (ii) $x-1/2, -y+3/2, z$; (iii) $x+1/2, -y+3/2, z-1$.

(III) 4-Bromo-2,6-bis(bromomethyl)anisole*Crystal data*

$\text{C}_9\text{H}_9\text{Br}_3\text{O}$	$D_x = 2.259 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 372.89$	Melting point: 358.15 K
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
$a = 33.315 (4) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 6186 reflections
$b = 4.3913 (3) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 2.5\text{--}27.1^\circ$
$c = 15.9655 (17) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 11.00 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 110.142 (8)^\circ$	$T = 153 \text{ K}$
$V = 2192.9 (4) \text{ \AA}^3$	Piece, colorless
$Z = 8$	$0.50 \times 0.11 \times 0.09 \text{ mm}$
$F(000) = 1408$	

Data collection

STOE IPDS 2T diffractometer	Detector resolution: 6.67 pixels mm^{-1}
Radiation source: sealed X-ray tube, 12 x 0.4 mm long-fine focus	rotation method scans
Plane graphite monochromator	Absorption correction: integration <i>X-AREA</i> (STOE)
	$T_{\min} = 0.008, T_{\max} = 0.076$

5754 measured reflections
 2197 independent reflections
 1834 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.087$

$\theta_{\max} = 26.2^\circ$, $\theta_{\min} = 2.6^\circ$
 $h = -33 \rightarrow 40$
 $k = -5 \rightarrow 4$
 $l = -19 \rightarrow 19$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
 Least-squares matrix: full
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.033$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.088$
 $S = 1.10$
 2197 reflections
 119 parameters
 0 restraints

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
 H-atom parameters constrained
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0412P)^2 + 2.9694P]$
 where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.001$
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.63 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.60 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. H atoms were positioned geometrically and allowed to ride on their parent atoms, C–H = 0.95–0.98 %A and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2\text{--}1.5 U_{\text{eq}}$ (parent atom).

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2) for (III)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Br1	0.47987 (2)	0.49550 (12)	0.35631 (3)	0.03202 (15)
Br2	0.29357 (2)	0.25013 (12)	0.15688 (3)	0.03636 (16)
Br3	0.42282 (2)	0.06192 (11)	0.65117 (3)	0.03003 (14)
O1	0.32003 (9)	0.0508 (7)	0.4161 (2)	0.0277 (7)
C1	0.42990 (12)	0.3516 (10)	0.3754 (3)	0.0221 (8)
C2	0.39000 (13)	0.4533 (10)	0.3190 (3)	0.0244 (9)
H2	0.3880	0.5895	0.2715	0.029*
C3	0.35315 (12)	0.3527 (10)	0.3331 (3)	0.0205 (8)
C4	0.35679 (12)	0.1583 (9)	0.4038 (3)	0.0195 (8)
C5	0.39681 (13)	0.0557 (9)	0.4607 (3)	0.0208 (8)
C6	0.43327 (12)	0.1556 (10)	0.4443 (3)	0.0199 (8)
H6	0.4607	0.0868	0.4813	0.024*
C7	0.31004 (14)	0.4628 (11)	0.2722 (3)	0.0295 (10)
H7A	0.3112	0.6848	0.2625	0.035*
H7B	0.2883	0.4250	0.3004	0.035*
C8	0.30694 (14)	0.2317 (11)	0.4772 (3)	0.0296 (10)
H8A	0.2805	0.1481	0.4817	0.044*
H8B	0.3020	0.4419	0.4555	0.044*
H8C	0.3294	0.2284	0.5361	0.044*
C9	0.40141 (14)	-0.1557 (11)	0.5363 (3)	0.0273 (9)
H9A	0.4215	-0.3212	0.5359	0.033*
H9B	0.3733	-0.2488	0.5291	0.033*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2) for (III)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Br1	0.0210 (2)	0.0455 (3)	0.0322 (3)	-0.00607 (19)	0.01262 (19)	-0.0037 (2)

Br2	0.0317 (2)	0.0407 (3)	0.0278 (3)	0.0014 (2)	-0.00112 (19)	-0.0017 (2)
Br3	0.0344 (2)	0.0322 (2)	0.0236 (3)	0.00105 (19)	0.01019 (19)	0.00098 (19)
O1	0.0219 (14)	0.0262 (15)	0.0382 (18)	-0.0063 (13)	0.0143 (14)	-0.0061 (15)
C1	0.0188 (18)	0.026 (2)	0.022 (2)	-0.0070 (17)	0.0084 (16)	-0.0090 (19)
C2	0.023 (2)	0.026 (2)	0.024 (2)	-0.0003 (17)	0.0084 (17)	-0.0005 (18)
C3	0.0182 (17)	0.0199 (19)	0.022 (2)	-0.0008 (17)	0.0051 (15)	-0.0056 (18)
C4	0.0204 (18)	0.0167 (17)	0.024 (2)	-0.0038 (16)	0.0106 (16)	-0.0088 (18)
C5	0.025 (2)	0.0151 (18)	0.024 (2)	-0.0018 (16)	0.0107 (17)	-0.0072 (17)
C6	0.0143 (16)	0.025 (2)	0.0174 (18)	0.0029 (17)	0.0021 (14)	-0.0061 (18)
C7	0.023 (2)	0.030 (2)	0.030 (2)	0.0078 (19)	0.0033 (19)	0.000 (2)
C8	0.023 (2)	0.035 (3)	0.035 (2)	0.0003 (19)	0.0148 (19)	0.000 (2)
C9	0.031 (2)	0.021 (2)	0.028 (2)	-0.0012 (19)	0.0081 (18)	-0.001 (2)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$) for (III)

Br1—C1	1.901 (4)	C4—C5	1.404 (6)
Br2—C7	1.966 (5)	C5—C6	1.399 (6)
Br3—C9	1.971 (4)	C5—C9	1.488 (6)
O1—C4	1.387 (5)	C6—H6	0.9500
O1—C8	1.437 (5)	C7—H7A	0.9900
C1—C6	1.370 (6)	C7—H7B	0.9900
C1—C2	1.398 (6)	C8—H8A	0.9800
C2—C3	1.394 (6)	C8—H8B	0.9800
C2—H2	0.9500	C8—H8C	0.9800
C3—C4	1.387 (6)	C9—H9A	0.9900
C3—C7	1.510 (6)	C9—H9B	0.9900
C4—O1—C8	113.4 (3)	C3—C7—Br2	110.4 (3)
C6—C1—C2	121.0 (4)	C3—C7—H7A	109.6
C6—C1—Br1	120.2 (3)	Br2—C7—H7A	109.6
C2—C1—Br1	118.8 (3)	C3—C7—H7B	109.6
C3—C2—C1	119.3 (4)	Br2—C7—H7B	109.6
C3—C2—H2	120.3	H7A—C7—H7B	108.1
C1—C2—H2	120.3	O1—C8—H8A	109.5
C4—C3—C2	119.4 (4)	O1—C8—H8B	109.5
C4—C3—C7	121.2 (4)	H8A—C8—H8B	109.5
C2—C3—C7	119.3 (4)	O1—C8—H8C	109.5
C3—C4—O1	119.3 (3)	H8A—C8—H8C	109.5
C3—C4—C5	121.4 (4)	H8B—C8—H8C	109.5
O1—C4—C5	119.2 (4)	C5—C9—Br3	110.8 (3)
C6—C5—C4	118.1 (4)	C5—C9—H9A	109.5
C6—C5—C9	119.6 (4)	Br3—C9—H9A	109.5
C4—C5—C9	122.3 (4)	C5—C9—H9B	109.5
C1—C6—C5	120.7 (4)	Br3—C9—H9B	109.5
C1—C6—H6	119.6	H9A—C9—H9B	108.1
C5—C6—H6	119.6		
C6—C1—C2—C3	-0.1 (7)	O1—C4—C5—C6	176.9 (3)
Br1—C1—C2—C3	-179.1 (3)	C3—C4—C5—C9	-179.3 (4)
C1—C2—C3—C4	1.2 (6)	O1—C4—C5—C9	-2.4 (6)
C1—C2—C3—C7	180.0 (4)	C2—C1—C6—C5	-1.0 (6)

C2—C3—C4—O1	−178.0 (4)	Br1—C1—C6—C5	178.0 (3)
C7—C3—C4—O1	3.3 (6)	C4—C5—C6—C1	1.0 (6)
C2—C3—C4—C5	−1.2 (6)	C9—C5—C6—C1	−179.6 (4)
C7—C3—C4—C5	−179.9 (4)	C4—C3—C7—Br2	−105.0 (4)
C8—O1—C4—C3	−94.0 (4)	C2—C3—C7—Br2	76.3 (5)
C8—O1—C4—C5	89.1 (4)	C6—C5—C9—Br3	74.9 (4)
C3—C4—C5—C6	0.1 (6)	C4—C5—C9—Br3	−105.8 (4)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^{\circ}$) for (III)

$D\text{—H}\cdots A$	$D\text{—H}$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D\text{—H}\cdots A$
C2—H2 \cdots Br3 ⁱ	0.95	2.99	3.863 (5)	154
C7—H7A \cdots Br2 ⁱⁱ	0.99	2.94	3.866 (5)	155

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, -y+1, z-1/2$; (ii) $x, y+1, z$.