Strong violations of Bell-type inequalities for path-entangled number states
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We show that nonlocal correlation experiments on the two spatially separated modes of a maximally path-entangled number state may be performed. They lead to a violation of a Clauser-Horne Bell inequality for any finite photon number \( N \). We also present an analytical expression for the two-mode Wigner function of a maximally path-entangled number state and investigate a Clauser-Horne-Shimony-Holt Bell inequality for such a state. We test other Bell-type inequalities. Some are violated by a constant amount for any \( N \).
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I. INTRODUCTION

Maximally path-entangled number states of the form

\[
|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|N\rangle_a |0\rangle_b + e^{i\varphi} |0\rangle_a |N\rangle_b) \tag{1}
\]

(often referred as \( N00N \) states) have important applications to quantum imaging [1], metrology [2,3], and sensing [4]. Characterizing their quantum-mechanical properties is therefore a valuable task for improving upon the above applications. Entanglement is the most profound property of quantum-mechanical systems. \( N00N \) states are nonseparable states and hence are entangled. But do they also show non-local behavior when we perform a correlation experiment on their modes? The amount of non locality demonstrated by a Bell-type experiment provides an operational definition of entanglement (for a review of Bell inequalities and experiments see, e.g., Ref. [5]). It distinguishes between the class of states that are entangled but admit a local hidden variable model and those which do not and so may be called nonlocally correlated [6].

Several publications [7] address the question of whether the \( N00N \) states are nonlocally correlated for the case \( N=1 \). Gisin and Peres have shown that it is possible to find pairs of observables, whose correlations violate a Bell’s inequality for any nonfactorable pure state of two quantum systems [8]. This result was later extended to states of more than two systems by Popescu and Rohrlich [9]. Recent experiments [10,11] have reported strong evidence that \( N00N \) states violate a Bell’s inequality for \( N=1 \), leaving open the question as to what experiments might show nonlocally correlations for \( N>1 \).

We propose a specific experiment that shows that \( N00N \) states are nonlocally correlated for any finite \( N \). We investigate two measurement schemes using the unbalanced homodyne detection scheme described in Ref. [12] and compare the results. The correlation functions we calculate can be related to two well-known phase space distributions: the two-mode \( Q \) function and the two-mode Wigner function.

Banaszek and Wódkiewicz first pointed out the operational definition of the \( Q \) and Wigner function [12]. We modify this approach and calculate the distribution functions for the \( N00N \) states entirely from these phase space distributions, and thereby construct a Clauser-Horne and a Clauser-Horne-Shimony-Holt Bell inequality. In Sec. IV we also test other Bell-type inequalities not commonly used so far in quantum optical experiments. The above Bell tests may be performed in an unbalanced homodyne detection scheme as given, for example, in Ref. [12] and shown in Fig. 1.

For simplicity we choose \( \varphi = \pi \) for the states in Eq. (1). It is now understood that the introduction of a reference frame is required in any Bell test [13] and one should consider the field modes as entangled rather than the photons [14,15]. In the number basis, a shared local oscillator acts as the required reference frame. The beam splitters in this approach are assumed to operate in the limit where the transmittivity \( T \rightarrow 1 \). We further assume that a strong coherent state \( |\gamma\rangle \), where \( |\gamma| \rightarrow \infty \), is incident on one of the two input ports. The beam splitter then acts as the displacement operator \( \hat{D}(|\gamma\rangle 1-T) \) on the second input port [16–18]. We introduce

\[ |\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|N\rangle_a |0\rangle_b - |0\rangle_a |N\rangle_b) \]

and \( a \) and \( b \) label the modes.

FIG. 1. Unbalanced homodyne detection scheme for a Bell experiment with \( N00N \) states. Here \( |\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|N\rangle_a |0\rangle_b - |0\rangle_a |N\rangle_b) \) and \( a \) and \( b \) label the modes.
complex parameters $\alpha = \gamma_n \sqrt{1 - T}$ and $\beta = \gamma_n (1 - T)$. The phase-space parametrization with respect to these parameters is then analogous to a correlation experiment with polarized light and different relative polarizer settings where the nonlocality of polarization entangled states such as $|\Psi\rangle = (|H\rangle_a |V\rangle_b - |V\rangle_a |H\rangle_b)/\sqrt{2}$ is well established.

II. BELL EXPERIMENT WITH ON-OFF DETECTION SCHEME

In the first experimental setup we consider a simple non-number resolving photon-detection scheme. In the case of the homodyne detection scheme under consideration, the local positive operator valued measure (POVM) is defined by

$$\hat{Q}(\alpha) + \hat{P}(\alpha) = I \quad \text{with}$$

$$\hat{Q}(\alpha) = \hat{D}(\alpha) |0\rangle \langle 0| \hat{D}^\dagger(\alpha),$$

$$\hat{P}(\alpha) = \hat{D}(\alpha) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |n\rangle \langle n| \hat{D}^\dagger(\alpha).$$

We assume lossless detectors for our investigation. The expectation value of $\hat{Q}(\alpha)$ tells us the probability that no photons are present, depending on the phase and amplitude of the local oscillator. The expectation value of $\hat{P}(\alpha)$ gives the probability of counting one or more photons, while not distinguishing between one or more photons. So we simply assign a 1 to a detector click and a 0 otherwise, giving us a binary result. We label the two modes of the NOON state by $a$ and $b$. The corresponding measurement operators for a correlated measurement of the displaced vacuum can be written as $\hat{Q}_a(\alpha) \otimes \hat{Q}_b(\beta)$. The expectation value for the state $|\Psi\rangle$ is given by

$$Q_{ab}(\alpha, \beta) = \langle \Psi | \hat{Q}_a(\alpha) \otimes \hat{Q}_b(\beta) |\Psi\rangle = |\langle \alpha, \beta |\Psi\rangle|^2.$$  (4)

The above expression is the two-mode $Q$ function of the NOON state up to a factor $1/n^2$, and the result is given by

$$Q_{ab}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2N!} e^{-\|[\alpha^2 + \beta^2]/2\|} |\alpha^N - \beta^N|^2.$$  (5)

To obtain the probabilities for the individual measurements we calculate

$$Q_a(\alpha) = \langle \Psi | \hat{Q}_a(\alpha) \otimes \hat{I}_b |\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{2} e^{-|\alpha|^2} \left( |\alpha|N + 1 \right).$$  (6)

$$Q_b(\beta) = \langle \Psi | \hat{I}_a \otimes \hat{Q}_b(\beta) |\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{2} e^{-|\beta|^2} \left( |\beta|N + 1 \right).$$  (7)

Using the completeness relation $\hat{P}(\alpha) = \hat{I} - \hat{Q}(\alpha)$, we obtain the probabilities for the correlated and single detector counts—$P_a(\alpha) = 1 - Q_a(\alpha)$, $P_b(\beta) = 1 - Q_b(\beta)$, and $P_{ab}(\alpha, \beta) = 1 - Q_a(\alpha) - Q_b(\beta) + Q_{ab}(\alpha, \beta)$—in terms of the $Q$ functions. We build from these the Clauser-Horne combination (CH) [19], which for a local hidden variable model admits the inequality

$$-1 \leq P_{ab}(\alpha, \beta) - P_{ab}(\alpha, \beta') + P_{ab}(\alpha', \beta) + P_{ab}(\alpha', \beta') - P_a(\alpha') - P_b(\beta') \leq 0.$$  (8)

If this inequality is violated it follows that NOON state contain nonlocal correlations. In order to attain such a violation, we minimize the function $\text{CH} = \text{P}_{ab}(\alpha, \beta) - \text{P}_{ab}(\alpha, \beta') + \text{P}_{ab}(\alpha', \beta) + \text{P}_{ab}(\alpha', \beta') - \text{P}_a(\alpha') - \text{P}_b(\beta')$ for a given $N$ over the parameter space spanned by $\alpha, \alpha', \beta$, and $\beta'$. The violation of the Clauser-Horne combination for the NOON states with $N=1, \ldots, 4$ is shown in Fig. 2.

The results show a decrease in the amount of violation with $N$. The maximal violation is obtained for $N=1$. For $N \geq 3$ the violation is so reduced that it would be increasingly hard to observe experimentally. If we increase the precision of our numerical method, we observe that for large $N$ the minimum of the CH combination, in fact, never hits the classical bound of $-1$ exactly, i.e., there is a violation of the inequality for any finite $N$, which can be shown as follows. Let $N$ be finite and odd. We choose $\alpha' = \beta = 0$ and $\alpha = -\beta'$, then the CH combination reduces to $\text{CH} = 1/N! |\alpha|^2 N \langle e^{-|\alpha|^2} \rangle^2 (1 - 2 e^{-|\alpha|^2})$. For any $0 < |\alpha|^2 < \ln 2$, we obtain $\text{CH} < -1$. For even $N$ the same proof holds except that we need to choose $\alpha = \beta'$ instead.

The Bell measurement presented leads to a decrease of the amount of violation with $N$. This decrease with $N$ is due to the specific way the reference frame is introduced in terms of the local displacement operators $\hat{D}(\alpha)$ and $\hat{D}(\beta)$ for the correlation measurement. The scheme is based on measuring the overlap of coherent states with the modes of the NOON state. The elements contained in Eq. (4) are of the form $\langle N, 0 | \alpha, \beta \rangle$ and $\langle 0, N | \alpha, \beta \rangle$. In order to maximize these products we would need $N$ to take, at the same time, the values $|\alpha|^2 = N$ and $|\beta|^2 = 0$. Since the “distance” of $N$ to the vacuum becomes larger with $N$, the correlated overlap is reduced. This may explain the decrease in the amount of violation observed.

We can also display some correlations by plotting the marginals of the $Q$ function in Eq. (4). We therefore decompose the dimensionless complex local oscillator amplitudes in the set of real variables $x, y, u, v, \text{i.e., } \alpha = x + iy, \beta = u + iv$ and obtain $Q_m(y, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q_{ab}(x, y, u, v) dx du$. These probability densities are displayed in Figs. 3–5, for $N=1, 2, 3$. We
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see that the distributions for $N=2,3$ have a higher symmetry than for $N=1$.

The linear correlation coefficient $r = \text{cov}(y, v)/(\Delta y \Delta v)$, where $\text{cov}(y, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (y-\bar{y})(v-\bar{v}) Q_m(y, v) dy dv$, vanishes for all $N>1$, although we see from the pictures that the two phase space variables are statistically dependent. This is an indication of nonlinear correlations between the two phase space variables. Note that the measurement described by the operators in Eqs. (2) and Eq. (3) requires only non-number resolving photon counters and may therefore be performed with current detector technology. In the next section we consider a correlated parity measurement on the modes and investigate the amount of violation in this scheme.

III. BELL TEST WITH PARITY MEASUREMENT

An operational definition of the two-mode Wigner function for the $N00N$ state is given in terms of a correlated parity measurement [12]. The measurements can be described by the following POVM operators:

$$\hat{I}_m^a = \hat{D}(a) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |2k\rangle\langle 2k| \hat{D}^\dagger(a),$$

where $\hat{D}(a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (y-\bar{y})(v-\bar{v}) Q_m(y, v) dy dv$, vanishes for all $N>1$, although we see from the pictures that the two phase space variables are statistically dependent. This is an indication of nonlinear correlations between the two phase space variables. Note that the measurement described by the operators in Eqs. (2) and Eq. (3) requires only non-number resolving photon counters and may therefore be performed with current detector technology. In the next section we consider a correlated parity measurement on the modes and investigate the amount of violation in this scheme.

The linear correlation coefficient $r = \text{cov}(y, v)/(\Delta y \Delta v)$, where $\text{cov}(y, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (y-\bar{y})(v-\bar{v}) Q_m(y, v) dy dv$, vanishes for all $N>1$, although we see from the pictures that the two phase space variables are statistically dependent. This is an indication of nonlinear correlations between the two phase space variables. Note that the measurement described by the operators in Eqs. (2) and Eq. (3) requires only non-number resolving photon counters and may therefore be performed with current detector technology. In the next section we consider a correlated parity measurement on the modes and investigate the amount of violation in this scheme.

The corresponding operator for the correlated measurement of the parity on mode $a$ and $b$ may be defined as

$$\hat{I}_m(a, b) = [\hat{I}_a^m(a) - \hat{I}_b^m(b)] \otimes [\hat{I}_a^m(b) - \hat{I}_b^m(b)].$$

The outcome of the measurements is either $+1$ or $-1$. It may be noted that this operator can be rewritten as

$$\hat{I}_m(a, b) = \hat{D}_m(a) \hat{D}_m(b) (-1)^{\hat{D}_m^\dagger(a) \hat{D}_m(b)} (-1)^{\hat{D}_m^\dagger(b) \hat{D}_m(b)}.$$

Using this property the expectation value of Eq. (12) for the $N00N$ state can be expressed as a function of two Laguerre polynomials and an interference term

$$\Pi(a, \beta) = \langle \Psi | \hat{I}_m(a, \beta) | \Psi \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} e^{-4|a|^2 - 4|\beta|^2} \left[ (-1)^N [L_N(4|a|^2) + L_N(4|\beta|^2)] - \frac{\binom{2N}{N} (|a|^2 \beta^N + a^N |\beta|^2)}{N!} \right],$$

where $L_N(x)$ is the Laguerre polynomial [22]. The two-mode Wigner function is obtained from $W(a, \beta) = \Pi(a, \beta)/4/\pi^2$. By building the CHSH [23] inequality defined by

$$-2 \leq \Pi(a, \beta) + \Pi(a', \beta) + \Pi(a, \beta') - \Pi(a', \beta') \leq 2,$$

we determine how this Bell inequality is violated as a function of $N$. A minimization procedure in the parameter space $a$, $a'$, $\beta$, and $\beta'$ as a function of $N$ is carried out with a numerical routine to investigate the amount of violation. We see that the correlated parity measurement leads to a viola-
correlations of the CHSH Bell inequality for \( N = 1 \), and that states with larger \( N \) do not violate the inequality.

The Wigner function may also be used to understand this behavior. We therefore calculate the marginals of the Wigner function by integrating over two of the variables, where we use the same decomposition of the dimensionless complex local oscillator amplitudes \( \alpha = x + iy \) and \( \beta = u + iv \), and obtain \( W_m(y, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(x, y, u, v) dx du \). The function \( W_m(y, v) \) is positive definite and can be interpreted as the probability density for the remaining variables.

From the density plots in Figs. 6–8 we see that the probability densities become more symmetric the larger \( N \) becomes, similar to the previous case for the marginals of the \( Q \) function, but the interference structures are much more pronounced than for the \( Q \) function. Here we also obtain a vanishing correlation coefficient \( r \) for all \( N > 1 \), from which we can infer that a nonlinear correlation measure is necessary to describe these correlations.

We conclude from the results of the first section that a set of parameters can always be found which violate the CH inequality in Eq. (8). Therefore \( \{000\} \) states show nonlocal correlations for any finite \( N \). The presented setup is not yet optimal but might be promising for demonstrating nonlocal correlations of \( \{000\} \) states with low photon numbers \( N \) experimentally. Although the requirements for the overall detection efficiency for a loophole-free test of the CHSH Bell inequality would be very large, i.e., 96% for \( N = 1 \) [11]. In the following section we are going to show that the test of other Bell-type inequalities leads to a different result.

IV. MORE BELL-TYPE INEQUALITIES

So far we have used the CH and the CHSH Bell inequalities defined in Eqs. (8) and (14). Other Bell inequalities might be more suitable for a Bell test for a nonlocal experiment with \( \{000\} \) states. The CH Bell inequality is a specific inequality for four correlated events, while at most two are intersected at the same time. Pitowsky [24] derived all the Bell-type inequalities for three and four correlated events:

\[
0 \leq p_i - p_{ij} - p_{ik} + p_{jk},
\]

\[
p_i + p_j + p_k - p_{ij} - p_{ik} - p_{jk} \leq 1,
\]

\[
-1 \leq p_{ik} - p_{jl} + p_{il} - p_{jk} - p_{ik} - p_{kl} \leq 0,
\]

for any different \( i, j, k, l \). Equation (17) is the CH inequality. Equations (15), (16) are inequalities in the so-called Bell-Wigner polytope of three correlated events, whereas Eq. (17) belongs to the Clauser-Horne polytope [24]. Later on, Janssens et al. [25] explicitly constructed inequalities for six correlated events where, as before, two are intersected at the same time. We consider the following four:

\[
p_i + p_j + p_k + p_l - p_{ij} - p_{ik} - p_{il} - p_{jk} - p_{jl} - p_{kl} \leq 1,
\]

\[
2p_i + 2p_j + 2p_k + 2p_l - p_{ij} - p_{ik} - p_{il} - p_{jk} - p_{jl} - p_{kl} \leq 3,
\]

\[
0 \leq p_i - p_{ij} - p_{ik} + p_{il} + p_{jk} + p_{jl} - p_{kl},
\]

\[
p_i + p_j + p_k - 2p_l - p_{ij} - p_{ik} + p_{il} - p_{jk} + p_{jl} - p_{kl} \leq 1.
\]

We investigate the amount of violation for the inequalities in Eqs. (18)–(21) for the simple on-off detection scheme of Sec.
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We expect that Bell inequalities exist which show a constant violation because of the following argument. Let us assume Alice and Bob can perform locally, a unitary transformation on her/his particle as given by

$$U_j = |1\rangle_N |N\rangle_1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |n\rangle_n |n\rangle_N,$$

where $j=a,b.$ The combined application of their local unitary transformations transforms the one-photon entangled state into an $N$-photon entangled $N00N$ state

$$U_a U_b |(1,0)\rangle \sqrt{2} = |N,0\rangle - |0,N\rangle \sqrt{2}$$

(see also Fig. 12).

The fact that this local unitary operation exists tells us that there ought to be a nonlocal measurement which acknowledges this fact. Therefore the same amount of nonlocality should be obtained for the $N$-photon state as for the one-photon entangled state. The fact that some of the Bell tests do not show this result means that these Bell tests are not optimal. However, the Bell tests of the inequalities in Eqs. (22), (23), (25) seem to be optimal for the $N00N$ state since their outcome shows a constant violation for any $N$. We point out that these Bell-type inequalities have two more joint probabilities than the CH and CHSH Bell inequalities. The class of inequalities with six joint probabilities seems to be more sensitive to the nonlocality in $N00N$ states. From our results we also infer that, for some applications, types of Bell inequalities other than the Clauser-Horne and the Clauser-Horne-Shimony-Holt should be considered. It is, experimentally, not more difficult to test these Bell inequalities, since

FIG. 9. (Color online) Violation of the inequality (22) as a function of $N$.

FIG. 10. (Color online) Violation of the inequality (24) as a function of $N$.

FIG. 11. (Color online) Violation of the inequality (25) as a function of $N$.
one only needs to measure the correlation functions for a few more parameter settings. The experimental setup does not need to be changed.

V. CONCLUSION

We presented several Bell tests for \(N_00N\) states. In Sec. II a simple on-off detection scheme together with the CH Bell inequality shows a violation for any \(N\) although the violation decreases as \(N\) increases. In Sec. III we consider a correlated parity measurement together with the CHSH Bell inequality. A violation is found only for \(N=1\). In Sec. IV we consider the simple on-off detection scheme but test Bell-type inequalities with six joint probabilities. We then attain a violation that stays constant for any \(N\) and we show by a simple argument with local unitary operations that this is to be expected for an optimal Bell test with \(N_00N\) states. If we use the violation of a Bell-type inequality as a measure of non-locality, then \(N_00N\) states contain the same amount of non-locality for any \(N\). Despite this fact, using \(N_00N\) states with large \(N\) is advantageous for applications such as quantum imaging, metrology, and sensing, although the improvement in the performance of these applications does not seem to be necessarily related to the nonlocal properties of \(N_00N\) states.

Finally we point out that it might be advantageous in many experiments to also test the Bell-type inequalities in Sec. IV, in addition to the CH or CHSH Bell inequalities. One gains more insight into the nonlocal properties of the states under investigation, as shown by our example.
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