A new method for modulating the activity of parvalbumin-positive neurons and cerebellar Purkinje cells \textit{in vivo}

Elli Leppä
Institute of Biomedicine
Pharmacology
University of Helsinki

ACADEMIC DISSERTATION

To be presented, with the permission of the Medical Faculty of the University of Helsinki, for public examination in Lecture Hall 2, Biomedicum Helsinki 1, Haartmaninkatu 8, on September 23\textsuperscript{rd} 2011 at 12 noon.

HELSEINKI 2011
Supervisors
Professor Esa Korpi, MD, PhD
Institute of Biomedicine
Pharmacology
University of Helsinki

Docent Anni-Maija Linden, PhD
Institute of Biomedicine
Pharmacology
University of Helsinki

Reviewers
Professor Garry Wong, PhD
Department of Biosciences
Department of Neurobiology, A.I. Virtanen Institute
University of Eastern Finland

Docent Eriika Savontaus, MD, PhD
Department of Pharmacology, Drug Development and Therapeutics
University of Turku

Dissertation opponent
Professor Raimo Tuominen, MD, PhD
Faculty of Pharmacy
Division of Pharmacology and Toxicology
University of Helsinki

ISBN 978-952-10-7131-7
ISBN 978-952-10-7132-4 (PDF)
http://ethesis.helsinki.fi
Unigrafia OY
Helsinki 2011
To Juha and Otso
# Table of Contents

ABSTRACT  ................................................................................................................................. 6  
LIST OF ORIGINAL PUBLICATIONS ........................................................................................... 8  
ABBREVIATIONS .......................................................................................................................... 9  
1 INTRODUCTION ....................................................................................................................... 10  

- Neuronal networks ................................................................................................................. 12  
  - Feedforward and feedback inhibition .................................................................................. 13  
- Interneurons ............................................................................................................................ 14  
  - Classification of GABAergic interneurons .......................................................................... 15  
  - Parvalbumin-positive interneurons ...................................................................................... 17  
  - Coordinating function and Pv-interneurons: network oscillations ........................................ 23  
  - Other parvalbumin-positive neuronal types .......................................................................... 25  
  - Significance of Pv-positive neurons in pathophysiology ...................................................... 26  
- Cerebellar circuits and Purkinje cells ....................................................................................... 29  
  - Cerebellar mutant mice .......................................................................................................... 31  
- The GABA$_A$ receptor ............................................................................................................ 32  
- GABA$_A$ receptor subunits ..................................................................................................... 33  
  - The $\alpha$ subunits ............................................................................................................... 34  
  - The $\beta$, $\delta$, $\theta$, $\epsilon$ and $\pi$ subunits ............................................................................... 35  
  - The $\gamma$ subunits ............................................................................................................... 35  
- GABA$_A$ receptor assembly ..................................................................................................... 37  
- Synaptic and extrasynaptic inhibition ....................................................................................... 37  
- Ligand binding sites of the GABA$_A$ receptor ....................................................................... 39  
  - The benzodiazepine site ........................................................................................................ 41  
  - The loreclezole site ............................................................................................................... 42  
  - Other binding sites ............................................................................................................... 43  
3 AIMS OF THE STUDY ............................................................................................................. 47  

4 MATERIALS AND METHODS .................................................................................................. 48  

- Experimental animals .............................................................................................................. 48  
  - Generation of mouse lines ................................................................................................... 48  
- Culturing and transfection of HEK-293 cells .......................................................................... 49  
- Ligand autoradiography and binding assays .......................................................................... 49  
  - $[^{35}$S$]TPS$ autoradiography (I, II, IV) .................................................................................... 50  
  - $[^{3}$H$]$Ro 15-4513 autoradiography (II, IV) ............................................................................. 50  
  - $[^{3}$H$]$EBOB binding assay (II) .............................................................................................. 50  
  - Quantification from films (I, II, IV) ....................................................................................... 50  
- In situ hybridization (III, IV) ................................................................................................... 51  
  - SHIRPA screen (IV) .............................................................................................................. 54  
- Immunohistochemistry (III, IV) .............................................................................................. 51  
  - Enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) imaging and $\beta$-galactosidase staining (IV) .................................................................................................................. 51  
  - Triple labeling (III) ................................................................................................................ 52  
  - c-Fos expression (I, II) ......................................................................................................... 52  
- Electrophysiology ..................................................................................................................... 52  
  - Recordings from HEK 293 cells (II) ....................................................................................... 53  
  - Recordings from brain slices (III) .......................................................................................... 53  
- Behavioral studies .................................................................................................................... 53  
  - SHIRPA screen (IV) .............................................................................................................. 54
4.7.2 Elevated plus-maze (I, IV) ............................................................. 54
4.7.3 Open field exploration (II) .......................................................... 54
4.7.4 Startle reflex and prepulse inhibition (IV) .................................... 55
4.7.5 Morris water maze (IV) ............................................................... 56
4.7.6 Fear conditioning (II) ................................................................. 56
4.7.7 Hot plate and tail flick (IV) ......................................................... 57
4.7.8 Tremor measurement (IV) .......................................................... 57
4.7.9 Electroshock convulsions and zolpidem (II) ................................. 58
4.7.10 Motor training (I, II, III, IV) ...................................................... 58
4.7.11 Statistical testing ....................................................................... 58

5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION ............................................................... 61
5.1 Changes in pharmacological sensitivity caused by the γ2I77 mutation . 61
  5.1.1 Abolition of zolpidem and DMCM high-affinity effects ................. 61
  5.1.2 Residual low affinity effects of zolpidem and DMCM ................. 62
5.2 Lack of synaptic inhibition in PC-Δγ2 mice does not cause motor deficits . 63
  5.2.1 Phenotype of PC-Δγ2 mice ....................................................... 63
5.3 Cell-specific modulation of motor coordination in PC-γ2-swap mice . 64
5.4 Lack of synaptic inhibition in Pv-Δγ2 mice causes wide-ranging behavioral alterations ......................................................... 65
  5.4.1 Phenotype of Pv-Δγ2 mice ....................................................... 66
  5.4.2 Increased pharmacological sensitivity of Pv-Δγ2 mice ............... 66
  5.4.3 Increased GABA-insensitive binding in Pv-Δγ2 mice ............... 67
5.5 Partially restored synaptic inhibition in Pv-Δγ2-partial rescue mice . 68
  5.5.1 Restoration of the γ2F77 subunit to reticular thalamic nucleus and molecular layer of cerebellum ............................................... 69
  5.5.2 Improvement of motor function and spatial learning ............... 69
  5.5.3 Altered pharmacological responses of Pv-Δγ2-partial rescue mice . 70

6 GENERAL DISCUSSION ................................................................. 72
6.1 Earlier models of cell-type specific modulation ............................... 72
6.2 The zolpidem method .................................................................... 77
6.3 Benefits of the γ2I77 as a background line ....................................... 78
6.4 Static versus dynamic modulation of Purkinje cells ....................... 79
6.5 Lack of synaptic inhibition in Pv-cells causes wide-ranging behavioral alterations partially reversed by the restoration of the wild-type γ2F77 subunit .................................................. 80

7 CONCLUSIONS ........................................................................... 83
8 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ............................................................... 85
9 REFERENCES ............................................................................. 87
10 ORIGINAL PUBLICATIONS ........................................................ 102
ABSTRACT

Neurons can be divided into various classes according to their location, morphology, neurochemical identity and electrical properties. They form complex interconnected networks with precise roles for each cell type. GABAergic neurons expressing the calcium-binding protein parvalbumin (Pv) are mainly interneurons, which serve a coordinating function. Pv-cells modulate the activity of principal cells with high temporal precision. Abnormalities of Pv-interneuron activity in cortical areas have been linked to neuropsychiatric illnesses such as schizophrenia. Hippocampal Pv-neurons are involved in the generation of network oscillations, and when their activity level is altered, disruption of oscillatory function ensues. Cerebellar Purkinje cells are known to be central to motor learning. They are the sole output from the layered cerebellar cortex to deep cerebellar nuclei. Purkinje cells are inhibited by Pv-positive interneurons located in the molecular layer and excited by granule cells and inferior olivary neurons. There are still many open questions about the precise role of Pv-neurons and Purkinje cells, many of which could be answered if one could achieve rapid, reversible cell-type specific modulation of the activity of these neurons and observe the subsequent changes at the whole-animal level.

The aim of these studies was to develop a novel method for the modulation of Pv-neurons and Purkinje cells in vivo and to use this method to investigate the significance of inhibition in these neuronal types with a variety of behavioral experiments in addition to tissue autoradiography, electrophysiology and immunohistochemistry. The GABA$_A$ receptor $\gamma_2$ subunit was ablated from Pv-neurons and Purkinje cells in four separate mouse lines. Pv-$\Delta \gamma_2$ mice had wide-ranging behavioral alterations and increased GABA-insensitive binding indicative of an altered GABA$_A$ receptor composition, particularly in midbrain areas. PC-$\Delta \gamma_2$ mice experienced little or no motor impairment despite the lack of inhibition in Purkinje cells. In Pv-$\Delta \gamma_2$-partial rescue mice, a reversal of motor and cognitive deficits was observed in addition to restoration of the wild-type $\gamma_2$F77 subunit to the reticular nucleus of thalamus and the cerebellar molecular layer. In PC-$\Delta \gamma_2$-swap mice, zolpidem sensitivity was restored to Purkinje cells and the administration of systemic zolpidem evoked a transient motor impairment. On the basis of these results, it is concluded that this new method of cell-type specific modulation is a feasible way to modulate the activity of selected neuronal types. The
importance of Purkinje cells to motor control supports previous studies, and the crucial involvement of Pv-neurons in a range of behavioral modalities is confirmed.
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ABBREVIATIONS

5-HT<sub>3A</sub>  5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin) receptor type 3A
AMPA  2-amino-3-(5-methyl-3-oxo-1,2-oxazol-4-yl)propanoic acid
ANOVA  analysis of variance
BAC  bacterial artificial chromosome
CA  Cornu ammonis of the hippocampus
CCK  cholecystokinin
c-Fos  a protein encoded by the FOS gene
CNS  central nervous system
DCN  deep cerebellar nuclei
DMCM  methyl 6,7-dimethoxy-4-ethyl-β-carboline-3-carboxylate
EBOB  ethynylbicycloorthobenzoate
EC<sub>50</sub>  concentration producing a half-maximal effect
EDTA  ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid
eGFP  enhanced green fluorescent protein
GABA  γ-aminobutyric acid
GABA<sub>A</sub>  γ-aminobutyric acid receptor type A
GABA<sub>B</sub>  γ-aminobutyric acid receptor type B
GABA<sub>C</sub>  γ-aminobutyric acid receptor type C
GAD  glutamic acid decarboxylase
GIS  GABA-insensitive
HEK-293  human embryonic kidney cell line
Hz  Hertz
L7Cre  Purkinje-cell specific promoter
LTD  long-term depression
IPSC  inhibitory postsynaptic current
mIPSC  miniature inhibitory postsynaptic current
MIST  molecules for inactivation of synaptic transmission
MLI  molecular layer interneurons
mRNA  messenger ribonucleic acid
NMDA  N-methyl-D-aspartic acid
PC  Purkinje cell
PC-γ<sub>2</sub>-swap  mouse line with the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor γ2F77 subunit returned to Purkinje cells
PC-Δγ2  mouse line with the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor γ2 subunit removed from Purkinje cells
PPI  pre-pulse inhibition
Pv  parvalbumin
PvCre  mouse line with Cre recombinase expressed in parvalbumin-cells
Pv-Δγ2  mouse line with the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor γ2 subunit removed from parvalbumin-cells
Pv-Δγ2-partial rescue  mouse line with the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor γ2F77 subunit returned to some parvalbumin-cells
Ro 15-1788  flumazenil, a benzodiazepine antagonist
Ro 15-4513  8-azido-5,6-dihydro-5-methyl-6-oxo-4H-imidazo[1,5-a][1,4]benzodiazepine-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester, a benzodiazepine inverse agonist
SHIRPA  SmithKline Beecham-Harwell-Imperial College-Royal London Hospital-phenotype assessment, a mouse behavioral screen
TBPS  r-butylbicyclophosphorothionate
THIP  tetrahydroisoxazolopyridine
WT  wild-type
γ2I77  a mouse line with an I77 point mutation in the γ2 of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor
1 INTRODUCTION

The appropriate functioning of the central nervous system is based on the cooperation of billions of neurons, which are the smallest units of independent action in the brain and spinal cord. There are hundreds, if not thousands, of different types of neurons, all with their special niche defined by their location, size, connections to other neurons and the electrical and chemical characteristics that allow them to communicate with each other. Networks are formed by the creation of neural circuits, in which certain neurons govern the activity of others by modulating the amount and timing of excitatory or inhibitory inputs that the principal cells receive. Usually these regulating neurons are small, inhibitory interneurons, which have connections with up to hundreds of principal neurons, and so are ideally suited for the coordination of large neuronal assemblies.

A class of inhibitory interneurons that use the inhibitory $\gamma$-aminobutyric acid (GABA) as their primary transmitter, the parvalbumin (Pv)-positive interneurons, have been shown to represent a fundamental component of information processing in many brain areas, and thus to influence many aspects of central nervous system function, ranging from regulation of the level of anxiety to effects on memory and learning. Disruptions in the functioning of Pv-cells have been implicated in neurological and neuropsychiatric illnesses such as schizophrenia and epilepsy. Although Pv-positive interneurons have been a focus of intensive study in recent years, there are nevertheless still many aspects of their roles that need further clarification.

Purkinje cells of the cerebellum have long been known to play an important part in motor learning and memory. They are connected through several well-recognized pathways to other cell types in the layered cerebellar cortex, and are the sole output from the cerebellar cortex to deep cerebellar nuclei. However, there still remain unanswered questions about the role of Purkinje cells and the significance of the molecular layer interneurons, which inhibit Purkinje cells. Many of these questions could be revealed if it were possible to selectively modulate the activity of Purkinje cells and subsequently observe the consequences of modulation at the behavioral level.

An ideal way to pinpoint the significance of a type of neuron is to examine the network and determine when specific neurons are active, and when they are not. To this end, various fast, reversible ways to modulate the activity of neurons have been developed, with varying
success. The method of modulation should cause minimal disturbance to the system at large and to produce measurable changes at the network level, so that they can be monitored in electrophysiological or behavioral experiments.

The present studies have been aimed at producing a method by which the selected neuronal type, parvalbumin-positive interneurons and cerebellar Purkinje cells are made uniquely sensitive to inhibitory modulation with the sedative-hypnotic zolpidem and the convulsant methyl 6,7-dimethoxy-4-ethyl-β-carboline-3-carboxylate (DMCM). The behavior and sensitivity to zolpidem and DMCM of five lines of transgenic mice have been carefully assessed to measure the effect of activation or inactivation of Pv-positive neurons and Purkinje cells. By measuring the effect of the genetic alterations at the behavioral level it was hoped to attain a comprehensive picture of the significance of these selected cell types to diverse behavioral modalities.
2 REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

2.1 Neuronal networks

The central nervous system (CNS) is organized at several structural levels. The gross anatomical division of cerebrum and cerebellum divides further into fore-, mid- and hindbrain and subsequently into smaller areas like the thalamus, amygdala and basal ganglia. These areas in their turn contain discrete nuclei with definite morphological, neurochemical and electrophysiological features, which can be characterized by various neuroscientific methods to reveal their function in the CNS as a whole. Inside the nuclei and between them neurons and glial cells form networks that govern the organized activation of brain areas in response to internal and external stimuli. Neurons respond to their environment by chemical and electrical processes, which allow them to change their internal state and to relay information to other neurons. The mechanisms of ion channel activity and synaptic transmission, which are typical of neurons, have been extensively investigated and can be influenced in various ways, both experimentally and in clinical settings. The challenges in understanding the significance of various brain regions, and ultimately of the different cell types, to the brain as a whole lie in identifying the discrete parts of the networks and selectively regulating their activity. Much of the earliest work regarding neuronal circuits was conducted in the neuronal system of the sea snail *Aplysia californica*, which offers the advantages of very large (up to 1 mm diameter), easily manipulated neurons. In addition their numbers are significantly fewer than in the mammalian brain (10^6 vs. 10^{12} neurons) (reviewed in Kandel and Schwartz, 1982). In such simple systems, the basic principles of neuronal activity, such as the molecular mechanisms of learning, can be examined and subsequently extrapolated to more complicated vertebrate, mammalian organisms. Kandel’s pioneering work provided the first evidence for the role of protein phosphorylation in regulating synaptic strength (reviewed in Klein and Kandel, 1978).

Information processing in the brain is a distributed phenomenon not restricted to multiple isolated areas, but requiring the cooperation of large assemblies of neurons in spatially separate regions (reviewed in Uhlhaas et al., 2008). They are connected through the activity of projection neurons originating from one area, with axons reaching across long distances to influence the activity in their target region (Lee and Tepper, 2007). Small, nonprojecting
interneurons serve important local functions, most often inhibiting the activity of their principal cells (reviewed in Rudy et al., 2011). The roles of principal cells and interneurons are basically similar in all brain regions, but the circuits they form range from very simple two-cell assemblies to intricate interconnected formations with dozens of different neuron types all contributing to the control of activity. The most detailed information on the circuit level so far has been gained in areas with a definite laminar structure, such as the hippocampus (reviewed in Freund and Buzsaki, 1996), the neocortex (reviewed in Freund and Katona, 2007) and the cerebellum (Briatore et al., 2010). Knowledge about the exact circuitry controlling the activity of different brain regions is essential to understanding CNS processes and thus for our ability to influence them in pathological conditions. Many neurological and neuropsychiatric illnesses have been shown to afflict distinct neuronal types, e.g. dopaminergic cells in Parkinson’s disease and cholinergic neurons in Alzheimer’s disease. If one wishes to understand the mechanisms behind these processes, detailed information must be obtained on the neurons in question. In many brain areas however, this knowledge is incomplete and requires further study. To pinpoint the roles of specific cell types, various strategies have been developed. The earliest approaches relied on the removal of entire brain structures by surgery (Grusser-Cornehls et al., 1999) or ablation of areas by the injection of toxins (Steiner et al., 1997). Such methods, though important in their period, are no longer considered specific enough for the goal of clarifying the significance of single neurons. Further strategies are needed to reveal the role of neuron classes to the function of the whole central nervous system. The opportunity to reversibly modulate the activity of selected neuronal types in awake, behaving animals would provide important new insights into this phenomenon.

2.1.1 Feedforward and feedback inhibition

The two main types of neuronal inhibition are feedforward and feedback inhibition. Though the mechanisms of initiation and synaptic transmitter release are the same in both types, they serve different functions in the circuit. In the former type, an inhibitory neuron, usually an interneuron, receives excitatory input and releases an inhibitory transmitter, usually GABA. The activity of the target neuron, either a principal cell or another interneuron, is reduced for as long as transmitter release from the interneuron continues or the target receptor becomes desensitized and inactivates (Pouille and Scanziani, 2001). In feedback inhibition, the source of interneuron excitation is the principal cell itself. Thus when the principal cell is activated,
it subsequently activates the interneuron, which curtails the activity of the principal cell. This type of inhibition serves to limit the duration and the spread of activity among principal cells, as well as lateral inhibition between interneurons (reviewed in Bartos et al., 2011). Depending on its cytoarchitecture and afferent connections, an inhibitory neuron may provide dynamically either feedforward, feedback or both types of inhibition within a network. The mapping of neural circuits is facilitated by using simple model organisms like the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans, in which all of the 302 cells, including neurons, of the adult hermaphrodite animal are known and characterized. The investigation of neural structures is further aided by the transparency of this animal, and several complete neuronal circuits have been identified. Additionally, by ablating selected neurons with a microlaser beam, the functional significance of each of the neurons in the network can be elucidated in turn (reviewed in deBono and Maricq, 2005). In larger, more complex organisms, different strategies must be utilized to map the neural circuitry underlying behavior, with particular emphasis on the challenges in identifying the cell types of interest.

2.2 Interneurons

Interneurons are a ubiquitous and varied class of neurons with several typical characteristics: small size, local connectivity and specialized roles in CNS circuitry. The function of interneurons is the regulation of the activity of principal cells: depending on the exact connectivity, this can mean the modulation of input via the control of dendritic excitability, as in the example of inhibitory double-bouquet interneurons (Kawaguchi and Kubota, 1998), or the control of axonal output, as in chandelier type interneurons (reviewed in DeFelipe, 1999). The actions of interneurons are most often inhibitory, although in some brain areas also excitatory interneurons exist (Woodruff et al., 2009). Additionally, during developmental stages (reviewed in Wang and Kriegstein, 2009) as well as in disease states like epilepsy (Isomura et al., 2003), the actions of the main inhibitory neurotransmitter GABA, utilized by the majority of interneurons, may become excitatory in interneurons and principal cells alike. Some invertebrate species like nematodes utilize GABA as an excitatory neurotransmitter in neuromuscular junctions (Beg and Jorgensen, 2003). However, in the majority of organisms GABA is considered as an inhibitory transmitter, and the scope of this review deals mainly with its inhibitory properties.
In many regions, principal cells, e.g. cortical pyramidal cells outnumber inhibitory interneurons by a factor of 10 or more (reviewed in Berghuis et al., 2004), but the interneurons nevertheless exert a powerful regulatory influence on network coordination, since each interneuron can possess connections to many, in some cases hundreds, of principal cells (Fino and Yuste, 2011). As parts of interconnected networks, interneurons may receive excitatory or inhibitory innervation locally or from adjacent brain areas and in their turn exert either excitatory (Woodruff et al., 2009) or inhibitory influences not only on their principal cells, but also other interneurons (reviewed in Klausberger, 2009). Principal cells can also reduce the activity of their respective interneurons via retrograde synaptic signaling via the release of inhibitory substances like cannabinoids (Wilson and Nicoll, 2001). The many types and connections of both interneurons and principal cells can result in massively complex networks, the intricacies of which are only slowly being unraveled with intense study involving many different disciplines, e.g. in vivo animal models, the identification of interneurons via cytochemical markers, electrophysiological characterization of firing properties, and even purely computational approaches.

In certain brain areas with relatively simple and well-recognized neuronal circuitry, particularly in the hippocampus and to some extent also the cerebral cortex, the establishment of classes of interneurons with clearly defined characteristics and modes of action has advanced the furthest (reviewed in Rudy et al., 2011). Interneurons can be divided into groups according to several criteria, although no one single method of classification has yet been proved to hold across all known types of interneurons in all brain areas. The most commonly used definitive properties are transmitter identity, localization, connectivity pattern, morphology, neurochemistry and electrophysiological character. More information is still needed on the different roles of the interneuronal types on network function. The targeted regulation of activity of single neuronal types would be one way to gain insights into their significance in various brain areas.

2.2.1 Classification of GABAergic interneurons

Most interneurons are inhibitory and their main neurotransmitter is GABA; GABAergic interneurons are indeed currently the most thoroughly researched interneuron type. Their great diversity has lead to various classification schemes according to brain area and chemical as well as electrical properties. The roles of all the identified subclasses of
GABAergic interneurons are far from clear. Other transmitters are also utilized by some types of interneurons. Cholinergic interneurons of the dorsal and ventral parts of the striatum may play a role in the pathophysiology of movement disorders involving the basal ganglia (Pisani et al., 2007), glycinergic interneurons of the spinal cord take part in the pattern generator arrays of complex movement (Berkowitz et al., 2010) and dopaminergic interneurons of the olfactory bulb participate in the processing of olfactory information (reviewed in Cave and Baker, 2009). GABAergic interneurons are ubiquitously expressed throughout the CNS, in areas ranging from the spinal cord to the olfactory bulb. Several attempts have been made to establish definite types of GABAergic interneurons with uniform characteristics; most success so far has been achieved in the laminar hippocampal and cortical structures. Cortical GABAergic interneurons have been grouped into at least three classes on the basis of the expression of cellular marker proteins: I) somatostatin, II) the serotonin 5-HT$_{3A}$ receptor and III) parvalbumin (discussed in the following sections; reviewed in Rudy et al., 2011), although other classifications with more main subtypes have also been proposed (reviewed in Lewis et al., 2005).

Somatostatin positive cells, typified by the Martinotti cell, make up ~30% of all cortical interneurons. They are most abundant in cortical layer V, although some are found also in layers II-IV. Martinotti cells innervate the distal dendrites of the axonal tuft of the pyramidal cells in layer I, with axonal collaterals also synapsing with apical and basal pyramidal dendrites (Uematsu et al., 2008). Their firing pattern is low threshold spiking, and the excitatory input they receive is facilitating, which makes them prime candidates for feedback inhibition. Further subdivisions of somatostatin positive interneurons can be constructed on the basis of their calbindin immunoreactivity.

5-HT$_{3A}$ receptor-positive cells form the second group of cortical interneurons, also with ~30% prevalence. This cell population is rather heterogeneous and includes vasoactive intestinal peptide positive cells with morphologies varying from bitufted and bipolar to multipolar structures, displaying a diverse variety of cytochemical markers and electrophysiological properties (Lee et al., 2010). In addition to serotonin, 5-HT$_{3A}$ receptor-positive interneurons can be excited by asetycholine acting on nicotinic receptors, irrespective of their cortical layer, morphology or firing profile. Based on their location in the superficial cortical layers II and III, 5-HT$_{3A}$ positive neurons have been postulated to provide feedforward or feedback inhibition in relation to long-range cortico-cortical inputs (Petreanu et al., 2009).
Neurogliaform cells are relatively well-known 5-HT$_{3A}$ positive cells with small, round somas and radially extending dendrites. They are unusual in that they share electrical synapses with other types of interneurons in addition to each other; usually electrically connected interneurons only relate to neurons of the same type (Olah et al., 2007). This is proposed as a way to connect multiple parallel interneuron networks and so to promote network synchronization. Another interesting feature of neurogliaform cells is the localization of synaptic vesicles to sites not adjacent to postsynaptic junctions, which may be evidence that they participate in tonic extrasynaptic transmission (Olah et al., 2009).

Additional types of cortical GABAergic interneurons are calbindin- or calretinin-positive double bouquet cells, which innervate distal dendrites of pyramidal cells (Kawaguchi and Kubota, 1998), and calretinin-positive Cajal-Retzius cells, which form synapses in the axonal tuft of the pyramidal cell (Kirmse et al., 2007). In the hippocampal CA1 area alone, 12 different types of GABAergic interneurons have recently been identified (reviewed in Klausberger, 2009), which testifies to the multiform character of this class of cell.

2.2.2 Parvalbumin-positive interneurons

Parvalbumin (Pv)-positive interneurons in cortical and hippocampal areas synapse on the soma or proximal dendrites of the pyramidal cell, or in some cases on the axon initial segment (Gonzalez-Burgos 2005). They have a typical fast-spiking firing pattern: they fire sustained, high-frequency trains of brief action potentials and have little spike frequency adaptation. These neurons have very low input resistance and fast membrane time constants, which make them ideal for developing fast synaptic responses (Gonzalez-Burgos et al., 2005). Fast spiking cortical interneurons exert a powerful and temporally precise influence on their target cells. They are under strong excitatory control from the thalamocortical inputs of layer IV and intracortical inputs of all six layers, all of which creates a narrow time window of feedforward inhibition to achieve temporal summation of the excitatory input and spike generation of principal cells (Goldberg et al., 2008). Fast-spiking interneurons typically contain many glutamatergic AMPA receptors and consequently are able to follow high-frequency repetitive stimulation with high fidelity, which enables them to mediate the rapid changes conveyed by their numerous glutamatergic inputs (Cruikshank et al., 2007).
The two most important types of cortical GABAergic Pv-interneurons are basket cells and chandelier cells. Basket cells reside in layer III, close to the pyramidal cells, synapsing on their soma and proximal dendrites. The axons of several basket cells converging on the perisomatic area of the pyramidal cell form a basket-like structure. They are likely to be the prevalent inhibitory influence in the neocortex (reviewed in Freund and Katona, 2007). Basket cells have been shown to innervate also other basket cells, in addition to pyramidal cells, while chandelier cells only synapse with pyramidal cells (Tamas et al., 1998). Two types of basket cells are recognized, the Pv-positive and the cholecystokinin (CCK)-positive. The latter have slower kinetics of activation and inactivation suited to the integration of excitatory signals (Glickfeld and Scanziani, 2006) and are thought to participate in the fine-tuning of network activity and perhaps the processing of information concerning mood,
emotional and motivational types of physiological status. One of the most essential tasks of the Pv-positive basket cells is the generation and control of network oscillations (Galarreta and Hestrin, 2001). CCK-positive cells can be retrogradely inhibited by the pyramidal cells via cannabinoid signaling (so-called depolarization-induced suppression of inhibition), but Pv-positive cells cannot (Wilson and Nicoll, 2001). This difference in the ability of the pyramidal cells to curtail one form of inhibition but not the other is intriguing and is likely to be connected to the different roles of the two types of basket cells. Pv-positive basket cells have a rather rigid mode of action that cannot be modulated as easily and in as many ways as the CCK-positive cells, as befits a system that has a clockwork-like oscillatory function. On the other hand, hippocampal Pv-positive basket cells are presynaptically modulated by μ opioid (Drake and Milner, 2002) and M2 muscarinic (Hajos et al., 1998) receptors; the activation of these receptors results in reduction of the firing of basket cells. M2 antagonists increase gamma oscillations via disinhibition of basket cells (Palhalmi et al., 2004). Experiments with selective activation or inhibition of basket cells would give further information on the role of these specialized neurons in the regulation of oscillatory functions in information processing.

Hippocampal Pv-interneurons are distinct from other interneurons in that region in that they receive much less GABAergic afferent input; only 6% of their synaptic input is inhibitory (Gulyas et al., 1999). In contrast, they receive an extensive excitatory input via ~15000 synaptic connections from all layers of the hippocampus: feedforward excitation from Schaffer collaterals and entorhinal fibers, thalamic afferents from the nucleus Reuniens in addition to feedback excitation from CA1 recurrent collaterals (reviewed in McBain and Fisahn, 2001).

In addition to conventional chemical synapses, both hippocampal and cortical basket cells are connected to each other via gap junctions, transcellular channels located in their dendrites. Gap junctions are formed by specialized proteins called connexins, and they allow direct bidirectional electrical contact and exchange of signals between neurons. They are an extremely rapid, powerful method for achieving synchronization of a group of cells (Fukuda, 2009). Gap junctions permit the formation of interconnected assemblies well suited to the control of large pyramidal cell populations to gamma frequency oscillations. It has been shown that gap junctional connections amplify the magnitude of gamma oscillations, though they do not appear to affect their generation (reviewed in Nakazawa et al., 2011). Like most
interneurons expressing gap junctions, except for the neurogliaform cells, Pv-positive basket cells only form gap junctions with cells of the same neuronal subtype (Blatow et al., 2003). It has been estimated that each Pv-positive basket cell is connected to 20-50 other basket cells, either synaptically or via gap junctions (Amitai et al., 2002).

The role of Pv-positive chandelier cells differs from that of basket cells and seems to be somewhat controversial. Chandelier cells are located in laminar structures like the neocortex and hippocampus, but are missing from the cerebellar layers, in addition to being found in the amygdala, which does not consist of layers (reviewed in Howard et al., 2005). They are most abundant in cortical layers II/III, but much fewer in number that Pv-positive basket cells (Inda et al., 2007). They have a characteristic branched structure and they form synapses specifically on the axon initial segment of the pyramidal cell, with vertical axonal varicosities called cartridges focused on the axon initial segment in a linear manner. A single axonal cartridge innervates a single axon initial segment; one axon initial segment may harbor as many as five synapses with chandelier cell cartridges from a single or several chandelier cells (reviewed DeFelipe, 1999). Chandelier cells do not innervate other interneurons, and do not receive inputs on their own axon initial segments. They are target-specific according to their localization: visual cortex chandelier cells prefer cortico-cortical pyramidal cells, while in the entorhinal cortex layer II/III, they prefer pyramidal cells that project to the hippocampus (Arellano et al., 2002). The innervation targeting chandelier cells is less clear, but is likely to show similar specificity. In layers II/III of mouse somatosensory cortex, chandelier cells receive an excitatory input within the same layer in addition to layer Va, whereas the inhibitory input originates from layers I and II/III. As logically follows from the synaptic localization on the axon initial segment, chandelier cells have a powerful influence on the initiation of the action potential. Surprisingly, despite being GABAergic, they have been postulated to have an excitatory effect on the pyramidal cells, facilitating the generation of an action potential. Three points have been raised to support this proposal: glutamatergic disynaptic EPSPs can be recorded after a single spike in a chandelier cell, the GABA reversal potential in the chandelier cell-pyramidal cell synapse is above the resting potential of the neuron, and the KCC2 potassium-chloride cotransporter is missing from the axon initial segment of the pyramidal cell, which could lead to less chloride extrusion and account for the observed elevated GABA reversal potential (reviewed in Woodruff et al., 2010). The possibility of excitation would not preclude an inhibitory function of the chandelier cell, the mode of action being dependent on the membrane potential of the postsynaptic cell, and
consequently the state of excitation of the whole network would govern the mode of action of the chandelier cell. The lack of specific markers to separate chandelier cells from Pv-positive basket cells has been an obstacle in investigating their function.

In addition to basket and chandelier cells, another type of Pv-interneuron called the multipolar bursting cell, has been localized to the border between layers I and II, with synapses at the distal dendrites of the pyramidal cell. Its firing properties differ from the two better known interneuron types in that after initially firing a few bursts, it pauses and follows with a train of low-frequency tonic spikes, and is able to undergo paired-pulse facilitation, unlike basket and chandelier cells. The prevalence and functional significance of the multipolar bursting cell are not known at present (Blatow et al., 2003).

The hippocampus contains additional types of GABAergic Pv-positive inhibitory interneurons. Unlike the soma-focused basket cells, oriens-lacunosum moleculare cells synapse on the distal dendrites, whereas bistratified cells focus their synapses on both the basal and apical dendrites of the pyramidal cell (reviewed in McBain and Fisahn, 2001). These cell types are likely to have functions very different from the basket or chandelier cells. A mouse line with a genetic ablation of the glutamatergic AMPA receptor GluR-A subunit in Pv-cells was found to have impaired spatial working memory and disrupted exploratory behavior in addition to displaying alterations in hippocampal oscillations (Fuchs et al., 2007). In a mouse line with genetic removal of the glutamatergic NMDA receptor from Pv-cells, electrophysiological recordings from the hippocampal CA1 area revealed a disruption in the oscillations, with altered theta frequency and increased gamma frequency activity as well as deficits in certain forms of memory and learning, but no changes in open field exploratory behavior (Korotkova et al., 2010). In a later report with the same mouse line, a decreased habituation of the startle reflex, a simplistic form of learning, was observed, although the startle reflex itself, as well as the pre-pulse inhibition of startle, was normal. Further disruptions in associative fear learning and an overloading of working memory were reported, although spatial reference memory in the Morris Water Maze paradigm was normal (Carlen et al., 2011). These studies underline the importance of the excitation of Pv-interneurons in hippocampal-dependent phenomena at both the electrophysiological level (oscillations) as well as their resulting whole animal-counterparts, i.e. behavioral experiments conducted in awake, moving animals. These examples of disrupted function of Pv-cells due to decreased excitation would benefit if it were possible to undertake a reversal of the setting:
i.e. investigate the effects of decreased inhibition of Pv-cells. A model with impaired inhibition in Pv-cells would offer an important opportunity to observe the activity of the network in the presence of increased inhibition toward the principal cells.

Though the neocortex and hippocampus are the most thoroughly researched areas with regard to Pv-interneuron function, many other areas also harbor GABAergic Pv-positive interneurons. The basolateral division of the amygdala contains Pv-cells with a fast-spiking firing pattern and synapse localization in the soma and proximal dendrites of the principal cells, as well as an axo-axonic cell reminiscent of the cortical chandelier cell, in that its influence can be excitatory (reviewed in Spampanato et al., 2011). The Pv-cells of the basolateral amygdala show increased expression of the immediate early gene c-Fos, a marker of neuronal activity, after the systemic administration of anxiogenic compounds, such as benzodiazepine inverse agonists (Hale et al., 2010), highlighting the importance of Pv-cells in the amygdala in the regulation of anxiety-related behaviors. The basolateral amygdala is a well-known substrate of anxiety in both animal models of anxiety and in human anxiety disorders. In the spinal cord, Pv-interneurons are present in several regions including the ventral horn. They may be used as an early marker of the large-diameter 1a fibers that synapse with motoneurons. Some Pv-cells of the spinal cord may be involved in polysynaptic pathways to motoneurons, while some may be Renshaw cells, which provide recurrent inhibition to motoneurons (Dekkers et al., 2002). In the striatum, only 3-5% of cells are GABAergic Pv-interneurons, but they nevertheless exert a powerful inhibitory influence on the activity of the projection neurons. A single Pv-interneuron makes contact with many projection neurons and thus may have a coordinating function. Striatal Pv-interneurons appear to play a role in the motor symptoms observed in an animal model of idiopathic dystonia (Gernert et al., 2000).

There are several Pv-positive interneuron types in the molecular layer of the cerebellum (Mittmann et al., 2005). The function of these basket and stellate cells, like that of Pv-interneurons in other brain areas, is to impose a precise temporal control over the output of their principal cells, the Purkinje cells. Parallel fibres originating from granule cells activate the molecular layer interneurons, which rapidly modulate the activity of Purkinje cells in a feed-forward manner (Mittmann et al., 2005). Molecular layer interneurons are highly interconnected and form a network capable of mediating very fast responses to regulate the intrinsically active Purkinje cells (Kondo and Marty, 1998). Pv-positive fast-spiking
interneurons form a part of an inhibitory feedforward circuit also in the red nucleus (Liu et al., 2002). The fairly uniform characteristics of Pv-positive interneurons across different brain areas emphasize that this cell type is an important component of inhibitory control and network coordination. However, many of the details of Pv-cell function still await clarification. Ways to specifically modulate their activity in vivo in behaving animals would be of great value in understanding their functional roles in the regulation of activity in different brain areas.

2.2.3 Coordinating function and Pv-interneurons: network oscillations

Oscillations are rhythmic fluctuations in the extracellular field potential of neurons, which have been observed in a variety of brain areas, including the olfactory bulb, thalamus, hippocampus and cortex. They are thought to be crucial to the maintenance of the temporal precision of pyramidal cell firing, and require the recruitment of interneuron and pyramidal cell populations into neuronal assemblies, which encode sensory and other kinds of data into temporally sequential representations. The functions of the various types of oscillations have not been fully established, but they are believed to support the transfer and processing of information within and between brain regions (Hartwich et al., 2009), and to assemble the features detected in different cortical areas to a unified perceived object (Whittington et al., 1995). Oscillations are divided according to frequency into several classes, the most important being theta (4-12 Hz), gamma (30-80 Hz) and fast ripple oscillations (120-200 Hz) (reviewed in Benes and Berretta, 2001). When the appropriate type of interneurons are excited, they generate rhythmic, synchronized activity within the interneuron network and inhibit pyramidal cells in a coordinated manner. The pyramidal cells can be excited only in the phase of the oscillation cycle when inhibition is at its lowest. Thus pyramidal cell activation is a self-terminating circuit: activated pyramidal cells excite interneurons, which, after a monosynaptic delay inhibit the pyramidal cells in a feedback loop. Pyramidal cells are the main source of excitation for the interneurons, and when pyramidal cells are inhibited, the activity of the interneurons becomes reduced, thus permitting the next firing phase of the pyramidals (reviewed in Fries et al., 2007).

There are several reasons why Pv-positive basket cells are thought to be responsible for the generation of gamma oscillations: they are relatively numerous, e.g. in the hippocampus accounting for ~20 % of all GABAergic interneurons; they are abundantly interconnected to
other basket cells via synapses and gap junctions (Gulyas et al., 1999); one basket cell can innervate large numbers of pyramidal cells; they have fast, non-adapting kinetics and a high intrinsic resonance frequency ideal for the generation of gamma oscillations (Pike et al., 2000), and finally, their localization at the perisomatic region of the pyramidal cell represents a prime position for the distribution of the gamma oscillations generated in the basket cell network to the pyramidal cells. Pv-positive basket cells are also very active during gamma oscillations; they fire at a rate of ~1 per gamma cycle, precisely phase-locked to the oscillations (Mann et al., 2005). Gamma oscillations occur during all behavioral states. They superimpose upon, and are modulated by slower theta activity, and are believed to offer a temporal framework for binding and processing of information (Csicsvari et al., 2003).

Theta oscillations are thought to be the “online state” of the hippocampal network, and they occur during spatial navigation, learning, memory formation and retrieval, as well as in REM sleep (reviewed in Klausberger, 2009). It has been shown that spatial information can be encoded into the firing of a hippocampal place neuron in such a way that the cell undergoes phase precession during the movement of the animal in the so-called place field of the neuron, a defined visual area to which the neuron responds. During the ~1 s duration of movement across the place field, 7-12 theta cycles are produced, and the principal cell fires at an earlier and earlier phase of the cycle toward the end of the movement. The more powerful the excitation of the pyramidal cell, the earlier in the cycle it fires (Senior et al., 2008). Interneurons contribute to the theta rhythm as well as the gamma rhythm. The output of chandelier cells is markedly increased during high network activity, and they may be recruited to curtail excess excitation. Animals in which theta oscillations have been ablated, have impaired performance in tasks measuring spatial and nonspatial working memory (reviewed in Uhlhaas et al., 2008), evidence of the fundamental role of theta oscillations in this type of memory.

Ripple oscillations occur during resting, slow-wave sleep and consummatory behavior as well as the replay and consolidation of memories (Racz et al., 2009). The contribution of Pv-positive interneurons to ripple oscillations has been demonstrated in transgenic mice in which the excitatory drive from pyramidal cells to the interneurons has been reduced (Racz et al., 2009). Other types of oscillations remained unchanged in these mice, but ripple oscillations were facilitated, implying that the excitation from pyramidal cells to Pv-interneurons is a key regulating factor in reducing ripple activity.
2.2.4 Other parvalbumin-positive neuronal types

Although GABAergic Pv-positive interneurons in various brain areas are probably the most thoroughly researched cell type expressing the parvalbumin protein, other GABAergic Pv-positive cell populations have also been identified. Projections from the globus pallidus to the striatum consist mainly of GABAergic inhibitory neurons, of which 5-19% are Pv-positive, depending on the pallidal subregion (Kita and Kita, 2001). These pallidar projection neurons regulate the activity of striatal projection neurons by innervating striatal GABAergic interneurons. In the substantia nigra pars reticulata, GABAergic Pv-positive cells inhibit dopaminergic neurons via local axon collaterals, in addition to projecting long distances to other sites, e.g. thalamus and the striatum. They may be the main source of intranigral inhibition. Consequently, afferent inhibition of these intranigral GABAergic neurons results in disinhibition of the dopamine cells (Lee and Tepper, 2007). A population of GABAergic Pv-positive neurons projects from the medial septum-diagonal band of Broca region to the hippocampus, where it participates in the generation of theta oscillatory rhythm (Simon et al., 2006). Discrete nuclei within the auditory brainstem also contain Pv-positive neurons, some of which project between the nuclei and some to more distant regions (Fredrich et al., 2009). Cerebellar Purkinje cells are another important Pv-positive cell type (Schmidt et al., 2007) with a widely recognized role in the control of movement and motor learning.

It is important to note that also neurons harboring transmitters other than GABA contain parvalbumin. These neurons may still express GABA$_A$ receptors and thus be modulated by GABAergic afferents or local inhibition, which considerably extends the variety of Pv-positive neurons affected by GABAergic transmission. Pv-positive pyramidal cells projecting from the retrosplenial and somatosensory cortices to caudal parts of the striatum are for the most part glutamatergic, with the exception of a small GABAergic subpopulation (Jinno and Kosaka, 2004). Thalamocortical projections originating from the anteroventral nucleus of thalamus are positive for parvalbumin, but negative for the GABA-synthesizing enzyme glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD) (Danos et al., 1998). The hypothalamic mammillary bodies also contain Pv-positive, GAD-negative, glutamate/aspartate neurons projecting to the anterior thalamus and the midbrain (Bernstein et al., 2007). In the rodent entorhinal cortex, a small number of pyramidal projection neurons are Pv-positive (Wouterlood et al., 1995), as is also the case in the rodent auditory cortex (de Venecia et al., 1998).
2.2.5 Significance of Pv-positive neurons in pathophysiology

Given their ubiquitous influence on the precision of principal cell firing and the contribution to overall network coordination, it is not surprising that deficits in the functioning of Pv-positive interneurons have far-ranging consequences on information processing in many brain areas. Schizophrenia is one of the illnesses where GABAergic functioning is abnormal and where Pv-positive interneurons play a significant role (reviewed in Daskalakis et al., 2007). An overall reduction in cortical inhibitory tone and synchronized activity has been detected in the brains of schizophrenic patients (reviewed in Benes and Berretta, 2001). The number of Pv-immunoreactive interneurons is decreased in the prefrontal cortex (Beasley and Reynolds, 1997) as well as in all hippocampal subfields (Zhang and Reynolds, 2002) of schizophrenic patients. These studies have not clarified whether the Pv-positive neurons are simply destroyed, whether they have not developed appropriately in the first place, or whether the production of GABA or the expression of parvalbumin has been disrupted, although in the study of (Hashimoto et al., 2003) it was proposed that in most cases the last possibility may occur. A decrease in the number of axonal cartridges formed by chandelier cells on the axon initial segments of pyramidal cells was observed in the prefrontal cortex of schizophrenic patients, pointing to alterations in the output control of these pyramidal cells (Woo et al., 1998). Since the expression of the Ca$^{2+}$-buffering parvalbumin protein begins relatively late in postnatal life, in addition to Pv-positive cells displaying a fast-firing phenotype and expressing many glutamatergic NMDA receptors, it has been speculated that these neurons are especially vulnerable to excitotoxic injury during development (reviewed in Jones, 2010). In addition to cell death, excitotoxicity can also cause synaptic and dendritic atrophy (reviewed in Woo et al., 2010). Cortical networks are still undergoing plastic preprogrammed changes as late as during adolescence, with oscillatory activity which is governed by Pv-positive interneurons playing a role in the maturation process (reviewed in Uhlhaas and Singer, 2010). Schizophrenia usually appears in adolescence or early adulthood, and it is conceivable that early damage to Pv-interneurons may ultimately manifest as a lack of appropriate coordinative function during this reorganization period, leading to clinical illness.

In schizophrenia as in many other neuropsychiatric disorders, Pv-positive interneurons are the only class of interneurons affected, other types of interneurons apparently being protected by their different chemical and electrophysiological properties. One of the hallmarks of schizophrenia which encompasses several aspects of cognitive abnormalities, such as
attentional deficits and sensory disintegration, is impairment of working memory. Working memory involves the prefrontal cortex, requires coordination between multiple brain regions and has been found to be associated with memory-related theta (Schmiedt et al., 2005) and gamma (Fries et al., 2001) oscillatory activity. EEG recordings taken during working memory tasks provide evidence for the involvement of gamma oscillations in the maintenance of information in working memory, and possibly even for a role in long-term memory, as there appears to be a relationship between the amount of gamma activity during memory acquisition and the efficiency of the recall phase (reviewed in Uhlhaas et al., 2008). Indeed gamma frequency and also other types of oscillations were reduced in EEG recordings taken from schizophrenic patients during working memory tasks (reviewed in Jones, 2010). Reductions in gamma oscillations have also been observed in various animal models of schizophrenia, where deficits in sensorimotor gating are the prevalent cognitive symptom, in conjunction with a decrease in Pv-positive interneurons in the entorhinal cortex (Cunningham et al., 2006). The disruption of rhythmic oscillatory activity throughout various brain areas would be a logical mechanism to account for the typical symptomatology of schizophrenia: a disconnection of cognitive, emotive and affective processes. The fact that the function of one of the key players of oscillation, the Pv-positive interneurons, is impaired by a decrease in the parvalbumin content and the altered connectivity to principal neurons in the areas thought to be most crucial to cognition, the cortex and the hippocampus (as discussed in the previous section), adds weight to the link between disrupted oscillations and schizophrenia. An interesting approach to the dissection of the significance of Pv-cells to oscillations would be to isolate their function by either specifically decreasing or increasing their activity and observing the possible alterations in different oscillatory frequencies.

Epilepsy is another category of neurological illness that crucially depends on the balance of inhibition and excitation of the CNS. The various types of epilepsy all have one feature in common, i.e. there are episodes of uncoordinated paroxysmal electrical activity, which are generated either in specific epileptic foci or more generally throughout the brain. Various experimental models of focal epilepsy have led to the hypothesis that neocortical basket and chandelier cells along with their axon terminals are destroyed in epilepsy, resulting in deficient inhibitory control of pyramidal cells (reviewed in DeFelipe, 1999). Pv-positive interneurons in the hippocampal dentate gyrus are selectively damaged in patients with temporal lobe epilepsy as well as in an animal model of temporal lobe epilepsy (Zhou et al., 2009; Gill et al., 2010). The excitatory drive to cortical interneurons is decreased in a model
of epileptic cortical dysplasia, suggesting that deficient inhibition may lead to overexcitation of pyramidal cells and thus to seizure activity (Zhou et al., 2009). Pyramidal cells are the most important source of cortical efferent axons and intracortical collaterals, and as such, are fundamental in the spreading of epileptiform activity (Ribak, 1985). In the hippocampal dentate gyrus, many granule cells, which have a principal cell function similar to cortical pyramidal cells, are destroyed in epilepsy, and the few survivors are densely innervated by both perisomatic basket formations and an increased number of chandelier cell axonal cartridges (Arellano et al., 2004), perhaps due to axonal sprouting. It has been proposed that understanding how chandelier cells govern the axonal output of pyramidal cells is essential if one wishes to explain the appearance of epileptic discharges in hippocampal and cortical tissue. Some controversy exists as to the epilepsy-induced changes in chandelier cell function: in some studies a loss of chandelier cell synapses on the axon initial segment has been observed, but in others the numbers of axonal cartridges have even increased (Wittner et al., 2005). Increased synaptic contact between chandelier cells and principal cells could lead to pathological hypersynchrony in the firing of principal cells. Intriguingly, in temporal lobe epilepsy, where there are sclerotic changes in the hippocampus, the function of Pv-interneurons has in some cases been found to be excitatory (Cohen et al., 2002), perhaps due to denervation-induced alterations in chloride ion transporters and membrane reversal potentials.

Schizophrenia and epilepsy are the most thoroughly researched diseases with respect to Pv-positive cells. Other neurological disorders where Pv-positive cells, both interneurons and projection neurons have been implicated, are Parkinson’s disease and multiple sclerosis. In Parkinson’s disease, the function of pallidar inhibitory Pv-positive neurons projecting to the subthalamic nucleus is disrupted, leading to disinhibition of the subthalamic nucleus dopaminergic neurons and finally excessive inhibition of the neocortex and brainstem motor nuclei (reviewed in Berghuis et al., 2004). In an animal model of multiple sclerosis, Pv-positive interneurons within the striatum have been specifically destroyed by a yet unknown mechanism, and in multiple sclerosis patients, the function of cortical Pv-interneurons has been found to be compromised (Rossi et al., 2010). The involvement of Pv-interneurons in the altered oscillatory activity and cognitive deficits in autism has also been proposed (Gogolla et al., 2010).
2.3 Cerebellar circuits and Purkinje cells

The cerebellum is a relatively well-characterized structure with regard to its layered neuronal architecture and the connections its various cell types make with each other. Its main domain of influence has traditionally been thought to be the control of movement and motor learning, including gait, posture and balance, but more recent research has also proposed roles for cerebellum in anxiety and neuropsychiatric disorders, such as schizophrenia (reviewed in Baldacara et al., 2008). The cerebellum receives afferent connections from a variety of CNS structures. Information is gathered from the cerebral cortex through relay nuclei in the brainstem, including the olivary and pontine nuclei and these signals arrive via the middle cerebellar peduncle. Information from the spinal cord and the brainstem itself enters via the inferior cerebellar peduncle (Kiyohara et al., 2003). The main outputs of the cerebellum target the cerebral motor cortex and the brainstem via deep cerebellar nuclei (Bengtsson et al., 2004). The central units of cerebellar processing are the Purkinje cells (PC), which are situated in the cerebellar cortex in a monolayer between the white matter and the granule cell layer. PCs receive inhibitory innervation from molecular layer interneurons (MLI), mainly basket and stellate cells, and excitatory innervation from granule cells and inferior olivary neurons (reviewed in Ito, 2000). As in the cerebral cortex and other forebrain areas, cerebellar basket cells produce dense interconnected formations around the somata of principal cells, in addition to forming a plexus around the axon initial segment. Stellate cells innervate the dendritic regions of PCs (Briatore et al., 2010). MLIs supply feed-forward and lateral inhibition of PCs and thus control their firing rate, timing and spread of action potentials.

Forty percent of all inhibitory synapses in the molecular layer are between MLIs. It has been estimated that a single PC receives ~1500 inhibitory synapses from stellate cells, but PC recurrent collaterals in their turn only rarely contact MLIs (Briatore et al., 2010). MLIs are required for cerebellum-dependent behaviors, including motor learning, as they provide a tight control of input-output relations of PCs (Kondo and Marty, 1998). In the cerebellar cortex, connections between MLIs may induce fast (150-200 Hz) oscillations of PCs to enable encoding of information, in a similar manner that inter-interneuronal connections in forebrain areas influence networks of pyramidal neurons (Maex and De Schutter, 2005). Another possible function for connectivity between MLIs is that the reciprocal interaction represses inhibition during periods of strong parallel fiber activity to return the network to
baseline level. This would induce the stabilization of the firing rate of the interneuronal network and enable the maintenance of the network in optimal operational range to respond to the subsequent input (Briatore et al., 2010).

**Figure 2.** Cerebellar circuits. P Purkinje cell, SC stellate cell, BC basket cell, Gr granule cell, *pf* parallel fiber, *mf* mossy fiber, *cf* climbing fiber, G Golgi cell, IO inferior olive, RN red nucleus, PN precerebellar nuclei, DCN deep cerebellar nuclei. *+_parvalbumin-positive cell. Black dots denote synapses.* (Modified from Ito, 2000).

PCs receive excitatory innervation from the axons of granule cells, which are in turn innervated by mossy fibers from extracerebellar nuclei. Granule cell axons, called parallel fibers, synapse on the dendrites of PCs, with up to 175000 connections per single PC (Isope and Barbour, 2002). In contrast to this high convergence, climbing fibers from the inferior olive, the other important source of excitatory innervations to PCs, usually contact only one PC, although the number of junctions between a climbing fiber and a PC may be as high as 26000 (reviewed in Ito, 2000). The exact mechanism of cerebellar learning has received much attention, and already decades ago (Crepel and Krupa, 1988) it was proposed that climbing fibre activity reduced the power of the parallel fibre-PC synapse in a phenomenon called cerebellar long-term depression (LTD), leading to long-lasting alterations in the circuit (Welsh et al., 2005). Depression of the excitatory influence of parallel fibers onto PCs causes decreased activity of PCs, which in turn disinhibits deep cerebellar nuclei, which are under inhibitory control by PCs (reviewed in Uusisaari and De Schutter, 2011).
2.3.1 Cerebellar mutant mice

A number of cerebellar mutant mouse lines have been described and found to be of use in characterizing the function of cerebellar circuits and cell types [e.g. the pcd (Mullen et al., 1976), wv, "weaver" (Lane et al., 1977), tg\textsuperscript{la}, "leaner" (Herrup and Wilczynski, 1982), nr, "nervous" (Landis, 1973), sg,"staggerer" (Sidman et al., 1962) and Lc, “lurcher” (Caddy and Biscoe, 1979) mouse lines]. Most of these have focused on the role of PCs in the control of movement. These mutations cause the PCs to be wholly or partially destroyed, leading to degeneration of both efferent (deep cerebellar nuclei) and afferent (granule cells, olivary nuclei) targets due to lack of innervation and target deprivation, in addition to sizeable decreases in cerebellar volume (reviewed in Grusser-Cornehls and Baurle, 2001). In some mutants, the cells primarily affected are the granule cells, but reciprocal destruction of PCs follows, pointing to a mutual dependence and interconnectedness during development.
Depending on the exact mechanism and time point of PC and/or granule cell destruction, the mutant mice exhibit a variety of movement and gait abnormalities, ranging from mild ataxia to inability to perform independent locomotion (Baurle et al., 1998). The degree of destruction of PCs is not linear with symptom severity, as mice with near complete loss of PCs may suffer from milder deficits than those animals with ~50% of PCs surviving. This phenomenon points to downstream alterations and compensatory mechanisms and some degree of inhibition being restored to deep cerebellar nuclei in mice with complete destruction of PCs, most likely by a compensatory increase of calcium-binding proteins, which does not appear to occur before 90% of PCs are lost (Baurle et al., 1998). In mice with a significant amount of surviving PCs, the signaling from PCs to deep cerebellar nuclei is apparently so profoundly disorganized that the removal of the whole cerebellum actually improves the motor status of the mice (Grusser-Cornehls et al., 1999). Another problem inherent in these cerebellar mutant models is that the mutations are not necessarily restricted to the cerebellum; there may also be alterations in other brain areas, which confounds the interpretation of the observed defects. If it were possible to rapidly and reversibly modulate the activity of selected cerebellar cell types, e.g. the Purkinje cells \textit{in vivo}, this would give valuable insights to the real-time involvement of the output of the cerebellar cortex to the deep cerebellar nuclei.

\textbf{2.4 The GABA}_A \textbf{receptor}

$\gamma$-Aminobutyric acid (GABA) is the most important inhibitory transmitter in the mammalian brain. It is synthesized in the nerve terminals of GABAergic neurons from glutamate by the two isoforms of glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD) and it is stored in vesicles to await release into the synaptic cleft. When an action potential causes GABA be released into the synapse, the transmitter binds to its receptors in the synaptic membrane of the postsynaptic neuron (reviewed in e.g. Farrant and Nusser, 2005). After it has exerted its effects, GABA´s actions are terminated by uptake into the presynaptic neuron or glial cells, or it can be metabolized via GABA transaminase. In addition to synaptic transmission, low concentrations of GABA drifting out of the synapse can activate extrasynaptically located GABA\textsubscript{A} receptors. A low, ambient GABA concentration of below 300 nM is constantly maintained in the extracellular environment and contributes to tonic, extrasynaptic inhibition (Santhakumar 2006).
The effects of GABA are mediated through three types of receptors: GABA$_A$, a ligand-gated ion-channel; GABA$_B$, a G-protein coupled receptor and GABA$_C$, an ion channel chiefly restricted to the retina. Of these three, the GABA$_A$ receptor is the most widespread; it is expressed ubiquitously in almost all brain areas and in the spinal cord, in addition to peripheral tissues, in a variety of different cell types. The presence of GAD is a useful neuronal marker for visualizing the location of GABAergic neurons. Due to its fundamental role in the inhibitory/excitatory balance of the CNS, GABA plays a role in a variety of neurological and psychiatric illnesses (reviewed in e.g. Gonzalez-Burgos et al., 2010; Macdonald et al., 2010). Many pathophysiological states, ranging from insomnia, anxiety and epilepsy to schizophrenia, have been shown to be linked to disturbances or abnormalities in the GABA system, whether from genetic alterations in the structure of the channel proteins or reduced amount of the transmitter in brain areas controlling integration of sensory information.

The GABA$_A$ receptor is a member of the Cysteine-loop ligand-gated ion channel family, a anion channel protein consisting of 5 subunits (Lo et al., 2008). It is targeted to the surface membrane of the postsynaptic neuron at or near the synapse and upon agonist binding, it opens to allow the flow of Cl$^-$ or other anions either into or out of the neuron to hyperpolarize or depolarize the cell. The direction of the current depends on the physiological state of the network, outflow occurring during development (reviewed in Wang and Kriegstein, 2009) and certain pathophysiological states, e.g. some forms of epilepsy (Isomura et al., 2003). The exact three-dimensional structure of the receptor has not yet been directly established, but good approximations have been obtained through comparisons with the acetylcholine binding protein (Brejc et al., 2001), a structure with close homology to the GABA$_A$ receptor. Each subunit of the receptor weighs ca 50-60 kDa and comprises an N-terminal extracellular domain, a transmembrane domain and a cytoplasmic domain. Agonist binding takes place in the extracellular portion, at the interface of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ subunits (Ramerstorfer et al., 2011), where a conformational change is triggered, leading to the opening of the channel gate in the transmembrane domain (Lo et al., 2008).

### 2.5 GABA$_A$ receptor subunits

Nineteen different subunits of the GABA$_A$ receptor have been identified so far, divided into 8 subunit classes: $\alpha$(1-6), $\beta$(1-3), $\gamma$(1-3), $\delta$, $\varepsilon$, $\theta$ and $\pi$, in addition to $\rho$(1-3), this final form mainly
expressed in the retina, where they create GABA<sub>C</sub> receptors. They share a protein sequence homology of 70-80% within the subunit class and 30-40% between classes (reviewed in e.g. Macdonald and Olsen; 1994, Olsen and Sieghart, 2009). Some subunits, like α1, are ubiquitously expressed, while others, e.g. γ1, have a distinct, restricted regional expression pattern. The generation of knockout mouse lines with ablations of specific subunits (discussed in later sections) has provided many revelations on the significance of the subunits to the rules of receptor assembly and function. The presence of two α subunits, along with two β subunits, is essential for the formation of functional GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors, as the two GABA binding pockets are situated at the interface between an α and a β subunit.

### 2.5.1 The α subunits

The six types of α subunits fundamentally define the affinity of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor for benzodiazepines and furthermore differentiate between the variety of effects of benzodiazepines. The amino acid H101, present in subunits α1, α2, α3 and α5 confers benzodiazepine sensitivity. In α4 and α6, there is an arginine at position 101, which renders these subunits insensitive to benzodiazepines (Wieland et al., 1992). α1 is the dominant α subunit, expressed in almost all areas of the CNS, in interneuronal subtypes as well as principal cells (Briatore et al., 2010). The α2 and α3 subunits have a more discrete pattern of expression, and their expression is generally at a lower level as compared to the α1 subunit (Pirker et al., 2000). The α2 subunit is linked to emotional behaviors due to its location in the amygdala (Low et al., 2000), and α3 may have relevance in absence epileptic symptoms due to its enrichment in the reticular nucleus of thalamus, an area known to regulate thalamocortical oscillations (Schofield et al., 2009). The α4 subunit is found in the thalamus and hippocampal granule cells, where it is usually coexpressed with the δ subunit and comprises an essential component of extrasynaptic, tonically active GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors (Belelli et al., 2005, Jia et al., 2008). It also confers a heightened sensitivity to neurosteroids (Belelli et al., 2002). The α5 subunit has its greatest expression in the hippocampus, where it may take part in extrasynaptic transmission (Caraiscos et al., 2004). It is believed to be involved in cognitive tasks; α5 knockout mice have improved performance in memory tasks (Collinson et al., 2002). The α6 subunit is only expressed in the cerebellum and cochlear nuclei, where it confers unique pharmacological properties, such as greater sensitivity to GABA and insensitivity to benzodiazepines (Korpi et al., 1999). It is an essential component of cerebellar tonic extrasynaptic transmission (Brickley et al., 2001).
2.5.2 The $\beta$, $\delta$, $\theta$, $\varepsilon$ and $\pi$ subunits

A $\beta$ subunit is required for the formation of functional GABA$_\text{A}$ receptors and it is involved in the surface expression, agonist binding and anesthetic sensitivity of the GABA$_\text{A}$ receptor. The type of the $\beta$ subunit may affect the subcellular localization of the receptor: whether it is targeted to the dendrites, cell body or axon of the neuron (Connolly et al., 1996), as well as the affinity of the receptor for certain anesthetic and anticonvulsant medications, such as etomidate and loreclezole (Wingrove et al., 1994; Hill-Venning et al., 1997), in addition to binding the benzodiazepine inverse agonist DMCM (Stevenson et al., 1995) and furosemide (Korpi et al., 1995). The $\delta$ subunit is closely associated with the $\alpha_4$ and $\alpha_6$ subunits, together with which it assembles to form mainly, though perhaps not exclusively, tonically active extrasynaptic receptors (Stell et al., 2003), although in hippocampal interneurons, the $\delta$ subunit also colocalizes with the $\alpha_1$ subunit (Glykys et al., 2007). GABA may act as a partial agonist in $\delta$ containing receptors, while other GABA site agonists, such as THIP, are full agonists (Brown et al., 2002; Saarelainen et al., 2008; Chandra et al., 2010). The physiological significance of subunits $\theta$, $\varepsilon$ and $\pi$ is unclear, and there are dissimilarities between species in the brain regions where they are expressed (Sinkkonen et al., 2000; Ranna et al., 2006). Overall their expression level is very low, but they may have important roles in discrete nuclei, like the locus ceruleus and some hypothalamic areas.

2.5.3 The $\gamma$ subunits

A $\gamma$ subunit is required for benzodiazepine modulation of the GABA$_\text{A}$ receptor. $\gamma_2$ confers full sensitivity, whereas the presence of $\gamma_1$ or $\gamma_3$ subunits reduces the modulation by benzodiazepine site ligands as compared to $\gamma_2$ (Herb et al., 1992; Benke et al., 1996). The $\gamma_1$ subunit has a very low overall expression level, with the greatest expression being found in the basal ganglia, basal and septal forebrain nuclei, restricted thalamic nuclei, central and medial nucleus of amygdala and the inferior olivary complex (Pirker et al., 2000). The tightly restricted expression pattern suggests discrete functions, e.g. the $\gamma_1$ subunit has been suspected to be involved in hypothalamic regulation of sexual behavior (Nett et al., 1999). The pharmacological properties of benzodiazepines are changed in $\gamma_1$ compared to $\gamma_2$ containing receptors. Zolpidem produces a weak negative modulation instead of the classical positive modulation (Nett et al., 1999), and vice versa DMCM is positively, instead of negatively modulating (Puia et al., 1991).
The γ2 subunit is one of the most widely investigated of all the GABA_{A} receptor subunits due to its multiple functions, ubiquitous expression and clinical significance with regard to benzodiazepine pharmacology. There are two splice variants, γ2S and γ2L, which differ by only eight amino acids. Despite the differences in their expression levels during development and some regional variation in adult animals, the two variants seem to be interchangeable (Boileau et al, 2010) and the overexpression of either one produces few phenotypic changes (Wick et al., 2000). Knockout of the γ2 subunit leads to massive neonatal mortality, with few animals surviving beyond a couple of days and displaying severe neurological abnormalities (Günther et al., 1995). Electrophysiological experiments have confirmed that receptors without the γ2 subunit are fully functional but have a lower GABA half-maximal effective concentration (EC_{50}) and reduced single channel conductance (Lorez et al., 2000). Their GABA sensitivity is increased, but they convey smaller currents. Considering the fact that the brain morphology and endocrinology of γ2 knockout mice are normal, and that functional GABA_{A} receptors are formed and transported to the cell surface, the γ2 subunit does not seem to be required for receptor assembly, transport, insertion into membranes, subcellular targeting or clustering of the GABA_{A} receptors (Günther et al., 1995). Instead it is obligatory for the development of inhibitory circuits; the time frame of death of the γ2 knockout animals coincides with the period for synaptogenesis. The γ2 subunit targets the GABA_{A} receptor to the synapse, and is required for the formation of GABAergic synapses (Essrich et al., 1998). Mice heterozygous for the presence of the γ2 subunit are viable but display phenotypic changes, including heightened neophobia and enhanced fear learning, as well as decreased amounts of synaptic clusters of GABA_{A} receptors (Crestani et al., 1999). This haploinsufficiency implies that in wild-type neurons there is no excess amount of γ2 subunit.

The γ3 subunit is the least widely expressed of the three γ subunits. The olfactory bulb, the basal forebrain including the hypothalamus, midbrain, pons and medulla harbor the most expression of the subunit, though nonetheless at a low overall level (Pirker et al., 2000). The γ3 subunit confers a benzodiazepine agonist sensitivity which is two orders of magnitude lower than the γ2 subunit, though the affinities of antagonists and inverse agonists remain approximately the same (Herb et al., 1992).
2.6 GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor assembly

The rules governing the assembly into functional receptors of the 19 known GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunits have been a topic of intense study. The total number of possible combinations is exceedingly large, and even after establishing some basic rules of assembly, over 800 different theoretical subtypes still exist, though the number of naturally existing subtypes is limited by temporal and cell-type restriction of the expression of subunits available for assembly (reviewed in Olsen and Sieghart, 2009). For some receptor subtypes there is definitive proof of expression in animal brain, for others the evidence is more tentative (Table 1). There is by now universal agreement of the obligatory coassembly of two α subunits, two β subunits and an additional subunit, usually γ or δ. This knowledge has been complemented with evidence from rarer, anatomically restricted subunits that may play unique physiological roles (reviewed in e.g. Ranna et al., 2006). The prevailing GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subtype is thought to be α1β2γ2, with a prevalence of 43% of all GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors in the CNS (McKernan and Whiting, 1996).

A. Confirmed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>α1β2γ2</th>
<th>α4βγ2</th>
<th>α5βγ2</th>
<th>α6β3δ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α2βγ2</td>
<td>α4β2δ</td>
<td>α6βγ2</td>
<td>ρ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α3βγ2</td>
<td>α4β3δ</td>
<td>α6β2δ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. High probability of existence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>α1β3γ2</th>
<th>α5β3γ2</th>
<th>αβ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α1βδ</td>
<td>αβ1γ/αβ1δ</td>
<td>α1α6βγ/α1α6βδ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Possible

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ρ1</th>
<th>αβγ1</th>
<th>αβ0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ρ2</td>
<td>αβγ1</td>
<td>αβπ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ3</td>
<td>αβε</td>
<td>αααγβγ2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subtypes proposed to exist in vivo. (Modified from Olsen and Sieghart, 2009).

2.7 Synaptic and extrasynaptic inhibition

Fast synaptic inhibition is a temporally precise inhibitory function that targets specific areas of the postsynaptic neuron. It occurs through quantal release of transmitter from the
presynaptic neuron in response to an action potential. Transmitter molecules are stored in the presynaptic terminal and are released by a mechanism of various recognized classes of proteins involved in the priming, docking and fusion of transmitter vesicles to the neuronal surface membrane to achieve release of the transmitter into the synaptic cleft (Delgado-Martinez et al., 2007). The GABA concentrations produced in this way are present in the millimolar range, with every vesicle containing several thousands of GABA molecules. The released transmitter is received into the postsynaptic density of the synaptic bouton of the postsynaptic neuron, where it may bind to various receptors and subsequently may modulate the activity of the neuron. Synaptic transmission is fast, usually on a time scale of milliseconds; the neurotransmitter effect can be terminated by the diffusion of the transmitter out of the synapse, its breakdown into metabolites, its disengagement from the receptors or by receptor desensitization (reviewed in Farrant and Nusser, 2005). In the GABAergic system synaptic receptors require the γ2 subunit to be correctly targeted to a postsynaptic localization and anchored there by the synaptic protein gephyrin, possibly by direct protein-protein interactions (Essrich et al., 1998). Some receptors containing the γ2 subunit can also be found located peri- or extrasynaptically, but the majority of GABA_A receptors outside synapses are of the binary αβ type or αβδ receptors (Brickley et al., 1999; Mortensen et al., 2010). The γ2 subunit confers synaptic receptor properties missing from αβ receptors: benzodiazepine sensitivity, a lower affinity for GABA and a higher conductance (Hevers and Lüddens, 1998).

The characteristics typical of extrasynaptic inhibition compared to synaptic inhibition are lower GABA EC_{50} values, reduced current amplitudes, slower desensitization and absence of fast desensitization, in addition to increased outward rectification (Belelli et al., 2009; Bright et al., 2011). Peri- and extrasynaptic receptors are activated by high nanomolar, ambient GABA concentrations that are attributable to GABA drifting out of the synapse after fast synaptic transmission. This type of inhibition is also called tonic, to designate the stable, background nature of extrasynaptic inhibition, although it is nevertheless subject to dynamic modulation by physiological events and pharmacological treatments (reviewed in Farrant and Nusser, 2005). Tonic inhibition is believed to maintain inhibitory equilibrium during and in the absence of fast, synaptic events and so to regulate the overall GABAergic tone of many brain areas. Considering that extrasynaptic receptors may be spread throughout the surface membrane of the neuron, as opposed to the minimal surface area occupied by from tens to some hundreds of synaptic receptors, it becomes evident that extrasynaptic transmission is able to carry more charge transfer than synaptic transmission. It is estimated that there is a
threefold overall difference in charge transfer, and it follows that extrasynaptic inhibition is a fundamental element in the control of neuronal excitability. First discovered in the cerebellar granule cells (Brickley et al., 1996), it has since been shown to play many roles e.g. in the development of absence epileptic discharges in the thalamus (Belelli et al., 2009) and is involved in mediating the effects of neurosteroids and various other classes of GABAergic drugs (excluding the benzodiazepines).

**Figure 4.** Synaptic and extrasynaptic transmission in a GABAergic synapse. **A** Transmitter release from a vesicle activates synaptic GABA\(_A\) receptors. **B** Release from multiple vesicles or terminals results in transmitter spillover and activates also extrasynaptic receptors. **C** Tonically active high-affinity extrasynaptic receptors respond to low, ambient concentrations of GABA. GAT1, GAT3: GABA transporter proteins. (Modified from Farrant and Nusser, 2005).

### 2.8 Ligand binding sites of the GABA\(_A\) receptor

The GABA\(_A\) receptor harbors binding sites for a number of substances which can modulate its activity, many of which have marked clinical significance. Two similar agonist binding sites are situated at the interface between \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) subunits (Ramerstorfer et al., 2011) and exist in all known receptor subtypes, also in binary receptors. In addition to the natural agonist GABA, the site binds several experimental agonists such as muscimol, THIP, and isoguvacine, as well as antagonists such as bicuculline. The affinity of some agonists, e.g. muscimol and THIP, is dependent on the subunit composition of the receptor; unlike GABA, they have a higher efficacy at \(\alpha4\) and \(\alpha6\) containing receptors (Winsky-Sommerer et al., 2007). The exact sequence of conformational changes that occurs after the activation of the agonist binding site to the opening of the channel pore has been under vigorous investigation for many years, but is still not completely understood. It is currently considered most likely
that the changes proceed in a stepwise manner instead of a single hinge-or pivot-like alteration of the component parts of the channel in relation to each other (Kash et al., 2004).

The cage convulsant TBPS, as well as other members of the related group of convulsants with a cage-like chemical structure, binds to the picrotoxin-sensitive convulsant binding site in the channel pore (Korpi et al., 1996), and this can be used as a pharmacological tool for investigating the properties and functional mechanics of the GABA_A receptor. Radiolabeled [^{35}S]TBPS binds to all conformations and subtypes of the receptor and is displaced by the natural ligand GABA in a concentration-dependent manner (Luddens and Korpi, 1995). The GABA-induced displacement can be modulated bidirectionally by GABAergic substances: ligands which enhance the actions of GABA decrease [^{35}S]TBPS binding and conversely ligands which decrease the actions of GABA increase [^{35}S]TBPS binding (Maksay and Simonyi, 1986). In addition to offering a way to quantify pharmacological effects at the ex vivo level, [^{35}S]TBPS binding is a feasible technique to assess the levels of GABA_A receptors in various brain areas. It is a flexible method and can be used in a variety of assays, ranging from those based on recombinant receptors and homogenized whole-brain membrane preparations to experiments with frozen slices of brain tissue.

Figure 5. A topside view of the GABA_A receptor. Five subunits center around a Cl⁻ channel pore. Two GABA binding sites (G) are located between α and β subunits, and a benzodiazepine binding site (B) is formed between an α and a γ subunit.
2.8.1 The benzodiazepine site

The class of GABAergic substances with currently the most clinical significance and consequently the subject of the most research effort, is the benzodiazepines. Their spectrum of effects ranges from mild sedation to full hypnosis, giving rise to a variety of medical indications: anxiety, insomnia, status epilepticus and preoperative care etc. The allosteric benzodiazepine binding site of the GABA_A receptor is situated at the interface between the α and γ subunits. The γ2 subunit is required for benzodiazepine sensitivity, receptors with γ1 or γ3 having a significantly lower or nonexistent sensitivity (Herb et al., 1992; Khom et al., 2006). When binding to this allosteric site, benzodiazepines increase the opening frequency of the channel and so increase the apparent affinity of GABA for the receptor (reviewed in Sigel and Luscher, 2011). In the absence of the natural ligand, benzodiazepines have no effect on the receptor and as such have low toxicity. The chemical variety of substances that have affinity for the benzodiazepine site is large, but they can be divided into three groups according to effect. Agonists of the site (also called positive modulators) enhance the effects of GABA and have anxiolytic, sedative-hypnotic, anticonvulsant, amnesic and muscle relaxant actions. Inverse agonists decrease the effects of GABA by lowering the opening frequency of the channel and produce the opposite effects, including anxiogenesis, heightened vigilance and convulsions. Antagonists have no intrinsic effect on the activity of the receptor even at high concentrations, but negate the effects of both agonists and inverse agonists (reviewed in Olsen and Sieghart, 2009).

The benzodiazepine binding site has been highly conserved during evolution, with several amino acids in the α subunit, in addition to at least two in the γ2 subunit, having been identified as being essential for its structure. Despite the similarities in the sequences of α subunits, it has been possible to develop subtype selective ligands of the benzodiazepine site, which have significantly more affinity for or efficacy in only a distinct subset of GABA_A receptors (Savic et al., 2010). These have provided valuable insights into the influence of the receptor subtype on the pharmacological profile of the receptor. Pharmacological and genetic experiments have established that sedation/hypnosis and anticonvulsive activities are largely mediated through the α1 subunit (Crestani et al., 1999), anxiolysis and muscle relaxation through α2/α3 (Low et al., 2000) and amnesia through the α5 subunit (Collinson et al., 2002). Subunits α4 and α6 are insensitive to benzodiazepines due to the presence of an arginine instead of histidine at position 101 (Dunn et al., 1999). A number of the benzodiazepine site
ligands with some subtype selectivity have shown either clinical or experimental utility. Zolpidem is a widely used sedative-hypnotic with high affinity for α1 subunit containing receptors (Crestani et al., 2000). L-838,417 and TPA023 are relatively new compounds with equal affinity for all of the benzodiazepine sensitive α subunits (α1, α2, α3 and α5), but efficacy only at α2/α3 containing receptors (Scott-Stevens et al., 2005; Ator et al., 2010). L-655,708 has an inverse agonist action at α5 containing receptors and has been shown to enhance cognition (Martin et al., 2009). These subtype targeted substances are selectively aimed at producing only some of the many effects of classical benzodiazepines, avoiding others which are perceived as unwanted, even harmful, depending on the treatment modality.

Figure 6. A homology model of the benzodiazepine binding site of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor: the extracellular domains of α1 and γ2 subunits indicating the ligand binding pocket. The bottom of the figure corresponds to the C-terminal end of the extracellular domain. Amino acid residues α1H101, α1Y209 and γ2F77 are highlighted. (Reproduced from Ogris et al., 2004 with permission).

2.8.2 The loreclezole site

Loreclezole is a broad-spectrum anticonvulsant which binds to a β subunit dependent site on the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor. The actions of loreclezole do not require the presence of the γ2 subunit, which is needed for benzodiazepine effects, and as such it is likely to be present in all
GABA\textsubscript{A} receptors, also those with the binary \(\alpha\beta\) composition. Receptors with \(\beta2/\beta3\) are more sensitive to loreclezole than receptors with \(\beta1\) with about 300-fold difference in affinity (Wingrove et al., 1994). Two of the key amino acids present in this site are N289 and N265 in the channel lining TM2 region; when the asparagine is mutated to serine, there is a marked loss of sensitivity (Wingrove et al., 1994; Stevenson et al., 1995). One of the other substances that has been shown to share the same binding site is the \(\beta\)-carboline structured convulsant, DMCM. Though better recognized as a full inverse agonist of the benzodiazepine binding site with high nanomolar affinity, DMCM has low micromolar affinity \textit{in vitro} (Stevenson et al., 1995) and \textit{ex vivo} (Makela et al., 1997) also at the loreclezole site, where its effects are GABA-potentiating. The phenomenon was first investigated in cerebellar \(\alpha6\) subunit containing receptors, which are naturally insensitive to effects mediated via the benzodiazepine site, and the results have subsequently been confirmed with recombinant receptors. One interesting question is whether this agonistic effect could also be produced \textit{in vivo} in conscious animals without concurrently invoking the strong inverse agonism of DMCM. In addition to DMCM, other \(\beta\)-carbolines like \(\beta\)-CCE also have affinity for this low-affinity site. The report of Walters et al., (2000) speculated that even classical benzodiazepines like diazepam may have some affinity to the low micromolar site, in addition to their more traditional effects. This could be a possible mechanism for the anesthetic effects of diazepam at high doses, and also makes the low affinity site an interesting target for the development of novel GABAergic substances. Since it is likely to be present also in binary, extrasynaptically located receptors, substances binding there would also affect tonic inhibition.

2.8.3 Other binding sites

Barbiturates, a class of drugs previously widely used for sedative and hypnotic and anti-convulsant purposes, have two binding sites in the GABA\textsubscript{A} receptor, an allosteric modulatory one, where barbiturates increase the open time of the channel, but do not increase the opening frequency or probability, and a directly activating one, where at high concentrations, they are able to activate the channel by themselves (Serafini et al., 2000). Amino acid residues in the \(\beta\) subunit are known to be essential for the actions of barbiturates (Serafini et al., 2000). Neuroactive steroids also have both modulatory and directly activating effects at the receptor and are assumed to have two separate binding sites. In addition, there are reports of certain neurosteroids having a negative modulatory effect, perhaps via yet another binding site (Akk
et al., 2001). Volatile anesthetics, e.g. halothane, were previously believed to exert their inhibitory effect on the CNS via a nonspecific modulation of the lipid bilayer of the neuronal membrane, but they have since been shown to have a specific binding site in the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor (Werner et al., 2011). Intravenous anesthetics, like etomidate and propofol also have their own binding/effector site, which is common to the anticonvulsant loreclezole. Other minor binding sites in the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor, such as the sites for zinc, lanthanum and furosemide, though not clinically relevant, can be used in experimental approaches to investigate the properties of the receptor (Korpi and Luddens, 1997).

Ethanol is a clinically and epidemiologically significant substance of abuse, which binds to several targets in the CNS, among them the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor. Much recent work has focused on its multiple binding sites in the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor, especially a γ2 subunit-dependent site and a recently reported δ subunit-dependent one (Hanchar 2006). Some controversy has existed as to the mechanism of action of the partial benzodiazepine inverse agonist Ro 15-4513 in reversing the receptor-mediated effects of ethanol, and as such the importance of the γ2 subunit as a site of action of ethanol. Currently it seems more likely that at least the sedation caused by ethanol is mediated through the γ2-dependent and not the δ-dependent binding site (Linden 2011).

2.9 The γ2I77 point mutation

One of the amino acids present in the benzodiazepine binding site is phenylalanine (F)77 of the γ2 subunit. Point mutation studies have established that changes in this position, interestingly analogous to F64 in the GABA binding site of the α subunit (Buhr et al., 1997), affect the binding of benzodiazepines. F77L, F77Y, F77W and F77I mutations have been created and shown to exhibit reduced affinities for a number of benzodiazepine-type substances to varying degrees depending on their chemical structures (Buhr et al., 1997). Classical nonselective benzodiazepines like diazepam and flunitrazepam mostly retain their affinities, but the affinity of some ligands like zolpidem, is reduced, as well as the affinity of the inverse agonist DMCM. In all of these mutations, the affinity of the receptor for GABA remains largely the same as in F77 containing receptors, and the coupling of the benzodiazepine site to the agonist site is intact, which implies that the functionality of the receptor has been preserved despite the point mutation (Buhr et al., 1997; Wingrove et al.,
The I77 mutation is particularly interesting, as there is an isoleucine instead of phenylalanine at position 77 in the γ1 subunit, which is insensitive to DMCM and zolpidem (Puia et al., 1991). The I77 mutation renders the γ2 subunit insensitive to triazolam, clonazepam, zolpidem, flumazenil, Ro 15-4513, DMCM and other β-carbolines (Buhr et al., 1997; Wingrove et al., 1997; Cope et al., 2004).

A mouse line with the γ2I77 point mutation was generated and an electrophysiological, pharmacological and phenotypic analysis was performed (Cope et al., 2004). The γ2I77 mice were healthy and viable, they bred normally and had no phenotypic abnormalities in the SHIRPA behavioral screen. Furthermore, their gross brain morphology was unaltered. The expression levels of most GABA_A receptor subunits in γ2I77 brains were normal, but there was an overall 15% decrease in γ2 subunit levels. The ability of zolpidem and also flumazenil to displace [³H]flunitrazepam binding was strongly reduced in γ2I77 brains, with only a slight reduction in the ability of flurazepam to displace the radioligand (Cope et al., 2004). There were no differences in baseline synaptic currents of γ2I77 mice, but zolpidem was unable to enhance the peak amplitude, weighted decay, rise time or charge transfer of averaged miniature postsynaptic currents (mIPSCs), or the total current and frequency of mIPSCs in the cerebellar Purkinje cells, of γ2I77 mice, a cell type where both α1 and γ2 subunits are expressed (Cope et al., 2004). Zolpidem was administered to awake, freely moving γ2I77 mice in behavioral tests. Zolpidem at 3 mg/kg did not produce sedation in the staircase chamber in γ2I77 mice, whereas control mice were clearly sedated and failed to climb any stairs. In a rotarod test, a cumulative dosing of 1-30 mg/kg zolpidem did not impair the performance of γ2I77 mice. The effects of flurazepam on the rotarod performance of γ2I77 mice were unaltered compared to wild-type mice (Cope et al., 2004). The effects of zolpidem were also strongly reduced in the hippocampus of γ2I77 mice, although slight residual effects were found to be present in that area (Cope et al., 2005). Ogris et al., (2004) performed an extensive study on the effects of a total of 24 substances from various classes of benzodiazepine binding site ligands on [³H]flunitrazepam binding in a membrane preparation of γ2I77 brains and confirmed that the γ2I77 mutation selectively affected only a few ligands acting through the benzodiazepine binding site. The greatest reductions in the ability to displace [³H]flunitrazepam binding were found with zolpidem, flumazenil, the triazolopyridazine Cl 218872 and the β-carboline DMCM (Ogris et al., 2004). The γ2I77 mouse line would be an interesting tool to further test in vivo the reductions in the effects of benzodiazepine ligands, as well as the residual effect of zolpidem observed by (Cope et al., 2004).
2005) and the positive modulating effect of DMCM observed \textit{in vitro} by (Stevenson et al., 1995).
3 AIMS OF THE STUDY

The purpose of these studies was to develop a method for the fast, reversible modulation of specific neuronal populations and to use that method to clarify the significance of selected neuron types to the overall function of the CNS. Pv-positive neurons and cerebellar Purkinje cells form integral parts of complex networks for the control of diverse behavioral modalities, the details of which are incompletely known. By modulating the activity of one cell type of the network in genetically altered mouse models, new information on the roles of the particular cell types to the network and behavior of the animal could be obtained. In addition, it was wished to use the background γ2I77 mouse line to explore the possible residual actions of GABA_\text{A} receptor benzodiazepine binding site ligands at other, low affinity binding sites uncovered by the point mutation in the γ2 subunit of the receptor. Both in vivo and ex vivo methods were used to achieve these specific aims:

1. To investigate whether the convulsant, GABA_\text{A} receptor inverse agonist DMCM and the subtype-selective hypnotic zolpidem have residual activity in γ2 subunit I77 point mutant mice mediated through non-benzodiazepine sites of action
2. To analyze the significance of the removal of the GABA_\text{A} receptor γ2 subunit from cerebellar Purkinje cells on mouse behavior
3. To modulate cerebellar Purkinje cells in a fast and reversible manner to reveal their significance on mouse motor coordination
4. To analyze the significance of the removal of the GABA_\text{A} receptor γ2 subunit from Pv-positive neurons on mouse behavior
5. To modulate Pv-positive neurons in a fast and reversible manner to reveal their significance on mouse behavior
4 MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.1 Experimental animals

All animal experiments were approved of by the Southern Finland Provincial Government and the Institutional Animal Use and Care committee of the University of Helsinki. All efforts were made to minimize the number and suffering of animals used. C57BL/6J (n=35), wild type γ2F77 (n=22), heterozygous γ2F77/γ2I77 (n=4), γ2I77 (n=196), Pγ2 (n=16), Pγ2-partial rescue (n=36), PC-Δγ2 (n=26) and PC-γ2-swap (n=22) mice of both sexes were used. In all behavioral experiments the individual who observed and recorded the behavior was blind to the genotype of the animals.

4.1.1 Generation of mouse lines

The genetically engineered mouse lines were generated by collaborators as described below in the original references: For the γ2I77 line, bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) mediated recombination was performed in mouse embryonic stem cells to replace the wild type F77 codon with the I77 codon (Cope et al., 2004). For the Pγ2 line, mice with loxP-site flanked GABA(A) receptor γ2I77 subunits were crossed with mice expressing Cre recombinase in Pv-positive cells; correct expression of transgene was ascertained with immunohistochemistry for parvalbumin and in situ hybridization probes for parvalbumin and the γ2 subunit (Wulff et al., 2009a). For the Pγ2-partial rescue line, two transgenes were co-integrated by mixing and co-injection of two BACs into pro-nuclei of mouse embryonic stem cells; the resulting mouse line was crossed with mice expressing the loxP-flanked γ2I77 subunits. Expression of the transgene was ascertained with in situ hybridization (IV). For the PC-Δγ2 line, mice with loxP-flanked GABA(A) receptor γ2I77 subunits were crossed with mice expressing Cre recombinase in cerebellar Purkinje cells (III). For the PC-γ2-swap line, a triple cross between loxP-flanked γ2I77 subunit mice, mice expressing Cre recombinase in Purkinje cells, and mice with green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged wild type F77 subunits expressed in Purkinje cells was performed. Expression of transgene was ascertained with in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry (III).
4.2 Culturing and transfection of HEK-293 cells

Human embryonic kidney (HEK-293) cells are a widely used, feasible method of expressing many types of proteins in the cellular surface membrane. They can be utilized e.g. in the electrophysiological analysis of ion channel activity and the functions of transport proteins, in addition to autoradiographic experiments.

HEK-293 cells were passaged and replated on plastic dishes, which were filled with medium and supplemented with sodium bicarbonate, glutamine, penicillin-streptomycin and fetal calf serum. Cultures were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere for 2-3 days. Transfection with recombinant rat GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors was carried out as described in detail in (Korpi and Lüddens, 1993). For the identification of transfected cells for electrophysiological recordings peGFP-N1 vector was added. HEK-293 cells were used for ligand binding autoradiography and electrophysiological experiments (II).

4.3 Ligand autoradiography and binding assays

GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor ligands labeled with a radioactive isotope can be used to visualize the amount and location of the receptor in the brain. The ionophore, convulsant binding site is recognized by several radioligands, e.g. the picrotoxin-sensitive [$^{35}$S] butylbicyclophosphorothionate ($[^{35}$S]TBPS), a cage convulsant which binds inside the Cl<sup>-</sup> channel pore. $[^{35}$S]TBPS binding can be modulated with GABAergic substances: compounds which enhance the effects of GABA decrease $[^{35}$S]TBPS binding whereas, conversely, compounds which decrease GABA effects enhance $[^{35}$S]TBPS binding. The assay provides a good way to evaluate the actions of various substances at the receptor level; in addition to bidirectional modulation there is also good regional resolution (Maksay and Simonyi, 1986; Makela et al., 1997).

Another radioligand useful in these studies is $[^{3}$H]Ro 15-4513, which labels the flumazenil-sensitive benzodiazepine binding site of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor. Its binding is dependent on the presence of the wild-type γ2 subunit and it can be displaced competitively with other ligands which bind to the same site. The autoradiographic method can be used for homogenized brain samples or frozen cryostat sections. Although cell structure is lost by freezing, the receptors as well as gross anatomy remain intact (Sieghart et al., 1987; Uusi-Oukari, 1992).
4.3.1 $[^{35}S]$TBPS autoradiography (I, II, IV)

Cryostat sections were preincubated in an ice-water bath in an EDTA-containing preincubation buffer solution, after which the sections were dipped in the incubation buffer solution. The final incubation took place with $[^{35}S]$TBPS in the incubation buffer at room temperature for 90 min. Nonspecific binding was determined with picrotoxinin. After the incubation, the sections were washed with wash buffer, dipped in distilled water and dried in air flow at room temperature. The sections were then exposed with $[^{14}C]$-plastic standards to imaging plates or to radiosensitive films (Makela et al., 1997).

4.3.2 $[^{3}H]$Ro 15-4513 autoradiography (II, IV)

Cryostat sections were preincubated in an ice-water bath in incubation buffer. The incubation took place with $[^{3}H]$Ro 15-4513 in the incubation buffer in the dark at 4°C for 60 min in plastic slide mailers. Nonspecific binding was determined with flumazenil. After incubation, the sections were washed with ice-cold incubation buffer, dipped in distilled water and dried under air flow at room temperature. The sections were then exposed with $[^{3}H]$-plastic standards to imaging plates or to radiosensitive films (Makela et al., 1997).

4.3.3 $[^{3}H]$EBOB binding assay (II)

Resuspended cell membranes were incubated in buffer solution. GABA was diluted in buffer and loreclezole in dimethylsulfoxide. The zolpidem and DMCM stock solutions were dissolved in ethanol. Non-specific binding was determined by picrotoxinin. After incubation at room temperature, the assay mixtures were rapidly diluted with buffer, filtered and washed. Filters were immersed in scintillation fluid and the radioactivity determined in a liquid scintillation counter using external standardization (Yagle et al., 2003).

4.3.4 Quantification from films (I, II, IV)

Representative images from autoradiography films were scanned using a scanner and suitable software. For quantification of binding densities, the scanned images were then analyzed. Binding densities for each brain area were referenced to the $[^{3}H]$- or $[^{14}C]$-standards,
converted to radioactivity levels estimated for gray matter areas (nCi/mg), and given as means ± SEM (Makela et al., 1997).

4.4  *In situ* hybridization (III, IV)

*In situ* hybridization is a protocol for visualizing radiolabeled proteins. It utilizes the construction of specific probes for known genomic sequences and the reaction of the probes with the target to produce a quantifiable signal, which is subsequently analyzed.

*In situ* hybridization with $^{35}$S-labeled oligonucleotide probes for $\gamma_2$ subunit exon 4, eGFP and Cre recombinase was performed as described (Wisden et al., 1992). Images were generated from 10 week exposures to X-ray film. To assess non-specific labeling of the sections, each labeled oligonucleotide was hybridized to brain sections with a 100-fold excess of unlabeled oligonucleotide (Duncan et al., 1995).

4.5  Immunohistochemistry (III, IV)

Immunohistochemistry is a method for detecting the presence of proteins of interest by the immunological reaction of antibodies to their targets. The antibodies are raised against their target proteins in a different animal species and incubated with tissue samples. Fluorescent and dye labels are used to visualize the signal. The results are qualitative rather than quantitative.

4.5.1  Enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) imaging and $\beta$-galactosidase staining (IV)

Free-floating sagittal sections were washed in buffer, permeabilized and blocked by incubation in normal goat serum at room temperature and subsequently incubated with a rabbit polyclonal anti-eGFP primary antibody. Incubated slices were washed, incubated with a Cy3-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG, and subsequently washed. Slices were rinsed briefly, mounted on slides, embedded in embedding medium, cover-slipped, and analyzed using an upright fluorescent microscope. For $\beta$-galactosidase staining, free-floating sections were incubated in 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-$\beta$-galactoside (Mellor et al., 1998).
4.5.2 Triple labeling (III)

For triple-immunofluorescence, free-floating sections were incubated in blocking solution. The sections were then incubated in primary antibodies: affinity purified rabbit antibody to the α1 subunit, guinea pig antiserum to eGFP and sheep antibody to GAD. On the following day, the sections were rinsed thoroughly and then incubated in donkey anti-rabbit Cy5, donkey anti-sheep Cy3, and donkey anti-guinea pig Alexa 488. Finally, the sections were rinsed and mounted. The method specificity was tested by incubating sections in one primary antibody with the full set of three secondary antibodies and images were acquired at all wavelengths (Zezula et al., 1991).

4.5.3 c-Fos expression (I, II)

Brain sections were immunostained as described earlier (Linden et al., 2004). After paraformaldehyde fixation and hydrogen peroxide treatment, sections were incubated in blocking buffer, after which they were incubated overnight at 4°C in incubation buffer containing goat polyclonal anti-c-Fos antibody and on the next day, after several washes, with a biotinylated rabbit anti-goat IgG. Visualization was performed using avidin-horseradish peroxidase and diaminobenzidine-nickel sulfate. Photomicrographs of sections were captured using a digital camera under a light microscope. An image-analysis software was used to measure mean optic density levels within the hippocampal dentate gyrus.

4.6 Electrophysiology

Electrophysiology deals with the electrical properties of neurons and other cells, and is a field of various methods aimed at analyzing the electrical activity and properties of cells of interest. The available techniques range from the investigation of single ion channels on the cell surface to the mapping of whole networks of brain regions. Activity can be studied in artificial environments like ion channels expressed in cultured cell lines, in tissue slices from the brains of animals or even in alive, behaving animals.
4.6.1 Recordings from HEK 293 cells (II)

Two days after transfection coverslips with HEK 293 cells were placed in a recording chamber mounted under a fluorescence microscope and perfused with a recording solution. Transfected cells were identified by their eGFP fluorescence. Membrane currents in these cells were studied in the whole-cell configuration of the patch-clamp technique (Rabe et al., 2007). Data analysis was performed using the appropriate programs. The amplitudes of peak currents were measured from recorded traces.

4.6.2 Recordings from brain slices (III)

Adult mice were anaesthetized and decapitated. The brains were removed and dissected in cold oxygenated slicing solution, after which parasagittal slices were cut from the cerebellar vermis. After incubation, individual slices were transferred to a submerged recording chamber. Neurons were directly visualized under infrared differential interference contrast optics. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were performed (III).

4.7 Behavioral studies

The animal models of altered neuronal function used in these studies are based on the genetic manipulation of receptor properties. To confirm the changes at the cellular and receptor level, \textit{ex vivo} methods like immunohistochemistry and autoradiography are most useful. However, the end point was at the whole animal level; the behavior of the mutant mouse reveals the degree of the success achieved with the model. To this end a wide array of behavioral paradigms were used, ranging from the SHIRPA screen which assesses gross phenotypical changes, to more sophisticated tests like prepulse inhibition of the acoustic startle reflex, which is aimed at quantifying a specific deficit in sensorimotor integration. By not limiting the approach to only a few modalities it was hoped to gain a comprehensive picture of the phenotypes of these mutant mouse lines, and ultimately of the effect of the mutations on the networks which control these behaviors.
4.7.1 SHIRPA screen (IV)

Basic behavioral and physiological characterization of phenotype was performed using a modified version (Vekovisheva et al., 2004) of the primary observational screen described in the SHIRPA protocol (http://empress.har.mrc.ac.uk/browser/?sop_id=10_002_0). In the screen, animals were visually observed both in a confined space and moving freely. Their motor coordination and sensory perception were assessed and they were handled to provoke mild reactions. Simple measurements like body weight and body temperature were taken. Any abnormalities were compared to control mice screened at the same time under similar conditions. The animals were naïve to handling at the time of SHIRPA testing.

4.7.2 Elevated plus-maze (I, IV)

To investigate the basal anxiety level of the mice, an elevated plus-maze test was performed. The test utilizes the conflict between the innate tendency of rodents to explore their surroundings and the natural aversion they exhibit towards open, brightly lit spaces. The total time spent in and the entries made into the brightly lit open arms and the arms enclosed by the walls of the cross-shaped apparatus provide a measure of the anxiety state of the animals. In a state of heightened anxiety there is a tendency to avoid the open arms and stay in the enclosed arms; vice versa in a state of decreased anxiety.

The test was performed after the primary SHIRPA screen, before any other tests. The apparatus was made of grey plastic and elevated from the floor level. It consisted of a central platform, from which two open arms and two enclosed arms extended (Lister, 1987). The mice were placed individually on the central platform facing an open arm and allowed free exploration of the maze with their behavior being recorded using an Ethovision video tracking system with a charge-coupled device video camera above the plus maze (Saarelainen et al., 2008).

4.7.3 Open field exploration (II)

The open field test can be used to assess the overall locomotor activity of rodents, as well as the effects of substances that affect the alertness level of animals. An alert animal placed in an open field for the first time will explore the arena. If the animal has been administered
stimulants, like amphetamine, it will move more compared to an unmedicated control animal, which can be detected automatically by a video camera and quantified by the attached software. In the case of sedative substances, locomotor activity is decreased. Deficits in motor coordination may also lead to a decreased level of locomotion (Carola et al., 2002).

Mice naïve to the open field apparatus were placed individually in the center of an open arena. The mice were allowed free exploration of the arena and their behavior was recorded via a camera. The position and movements of the center of the animal’s surface area were analyzed automatically with the Ethovision software (Linden et al., 2008). The number of rearing events was recorded manually from a monitor by an observer blind to genotype and pharmacological treatment. When pharmacological testing was conducted, a minimum wash-out period of three days was kept between treatments.

4.7.4 Startle reflex and prepulse inhibition (IV)

The acoustic startle reflex is a measure of an animal’s reactivity to sudden, unexpected noises. On perceiving a loud noise, mice blink and flinch; the amplitude of the flinch is measured. The startle paradigm is primarily used to evaluate hearing, but various other factors, e.g. the anxiety state of the animal, may also have a bearing on the result. Disturbances in the oligosynaptic startle reflex circuit of the brainstem may also reflect in this paradigm (Plappert and Pilz, 2002). When a slight acoustic prepulse stimulus precedes the actual stimulus, the amplitude of the startle response is decreased in healthy mice. This is taken as an indication of sensorimotor gating, the ability of the brain to screen irrelevant stimuli from perception. Deficits in sensorimotor integration are a hallmark symptom in schizophrenic human patients, and many animal models of schizophrenia reproduce these deficits (Geyer and Braff, 1987; Andreasen et al., 2006). The predictive validity of the prepulse inhibition test in disease models is seen in its responsiveness to antipsychotics and psychotomimetics (Duncan et al., 2006).

The acoustic startle response and prepulse inhibition were measured using an automated startle system (Brody et al., 2004; Heldt et al., 2004). In an illuminated and sound-attenuated chamber, a small cage was mounted above a piezoelectric sensor. Background noise and acoustic stimuli were delivered through speakers in the ceiling of the chambers. First, acoustic startle responses to stimuli of different intensities were determined. An acclimation
period was followed by seven blocks of trials containing seven stimuli of different intensities in a pseudorandom order.

After startle testing, prepulse inhibition was analyzed in trials, in which the startle stimulus was preceded by a prepulse stimulus. Antipsychotics and psychotomimetics were administered to investigate whether they could modulate prepulse inhibition. The percent prepulse inhibition was calculated from the formula: \( \% \text{PPI} = \left[\frac{\text{amplitude of startle pulse alone} - \text{amplitude of startle pulse when preceded by a prepulse}}{\text{amplitude of startle pulse alone}}\right] \times 100. \)

### 4.7.5 Morris water maze (IV)

Spatial reference memory, a hippocampal-dependent aspect of cognition, can be assessed in an environment where the animal learns to navigate to a given target by using external visual cues. Mice were trained for 4 days to find a platform submerged below the surface of a pool of water. Visual markers were placed on the walls of the experiment room to facilitate spatial learning. The escape latency, swimming velocity and total distance were recorded. One day after training, a probe trial was performed in which the platform was removed and the time the mice spent in the platform quadrant as well as the number of visits to the former platform position and the swimming velocity were recorded (modified from Florian and Roulet, 2004).

### 4.7.6 Fear conditioning (II)

A fear conditioning test utilizes the ability of a noxious stimulus, e.g. an electrical shock, to elicit a forceful memory trace. During the conditioning session, where two shocks are administered signaled by a cue sound, the animal acquires a freezing response when the cue is sounded, in anticipation of the shock. The acquisition of the memory trace can be bidirectionally modulated with substances affecting the different phases of memory formation (Sieve et al., 2001).

On the conditioning day, mice were injected i.p. with 20 mg/kg DMCM or vehicle. Fifteen min post injection the animals were placed individually in test chambers, where they were allowed free exploration, after which a cue tone was created from wall-mounted speakers, co-
terminating with a shock through the grid floor. The cue-shock pairing was repeated once. After a final exploration period, the mice were removed from the chambers and returned to home cages. On the testing day, the mice were placed in the test chambers and freezing to context was scored. After this, the context was altered and the mice were re-tested for freezing in the presence and absence of the cue tone. The amount of freezing from both conditioning and testing days was collected by an infrared video camera and automatically analyzed (Sieve et al., 2001).

4.7.7 Hot plate and tail flick (IV)

Pain can be divided to several different modalities, and accordingly different paradigms are used to test nociception. Two tests measuring thermal pain were utilized, the hot plate and the tail flick. Both tests are dependent on the ability of the mouse to react to a painful stimulus by physical movement. While the hot plate test measures a supraspinal reflex, the tail flick test is dependent on a spinal nociceptive reflex (Davidova et al., 2009; Kubo et al., 2009). The tail flick test was chosen to supplement the hot plate test also because of the motor deficits observed in Pv-Δγ2 and Pv-Δγ2-partial rescue mice.

During the hot plate test, the latency to react was scored visually when the mouse rapidly moved or licked its hindpaw or jumped. In the tail flick test, reaction latency was scored as the time before the tail withdrawal reflex. After DMCM administration, analgesic responses were measured (Linden et al., 2007).

4.7.8 Tremor measurement (IV)

Tremor can be either innate or induced by pharmacological substances (Milner et al., 1995; Handforth et al., 2010). Its two basic dimensions are frequency, which denotes the amount of oscillation in a given time period, and amplitude, which reveals the energy spent in tremor. Innate tremor is caused by a variety of factors, e.g. disturbances in the function of cerebellar circuits.

The tremor measurement apparatus was developed in-house and consisted of a piezoelectric weight sensor with three independent measurement stations. On the test, day baseline
measurements were performed, after which mice were injected with harmaline, and tremor was measured.

**4.7.9 Electroshock convulsions and zolpidem (II)**

Electroshock-induced convulsions are known to produce a robust expression of the immediate early gene c-Fos in various brain areas. c-Fos expression is a marker of neuronal activity, and as such, its expression can be modulated with substances that increase or decrease the actions of GABA. In order to study the inhibitory actions of the non-classical benzodiazepine zolpidem in hippocampal neurons, mice were given a short electroshock after saline or zolpidem administration. Electroshock-induced convulsions were produced by delivering current pulses via ear-clip electrodes from an electric unit. The animals received a single electroshock, after which they were decapitated. The brains were collected for analysis of c-Fos expression (Linden et al., 2004).

**4.7.10 Motor training (I, II, III, IV)**

Motor coordination is a complex function dependent on various brain areas, which affect different components of motor learning and performance (reviewed in Ito, 2000). One of the most important sites is thought to be the cerebellum, in which relatively well-defined networks govern the activity of output neurons in the deep cerebellar nuclei (reviewed in Uusisaari and De Schutter, 2011). Disturbances in cerebellar circuit function may affect the learning of motor tasks. Motor coordination can also be modulated with substances that act on the cerebellum. Mice were trained to stay on a rotating rod for three minutes and to walk along a thin wooden beam to their home cages (Korpi et al., 1999; Linden et al., 2008). After the animals had acquired the required motor skills, in order to study pharmacological sensitivities to GABAergic substances, they were injected with the test compounds and performance evaluated on the rotarod and the walking beam. Latency to fall from the rotarod and time to cross the beam were measured.

**4.7.11 Statistical testing**

Mouse lines and pharmacological or behavioral treatments were compared with repeated measures ANOVA, two-way ANOVA, one-way ANOVA, Newman-Keuls, Dunnett, Mann-
Whitney U, Wilcoxon signed rank, Fisher or Student’s t-test. The variance analyses were used to compare two or more treatment groups (genotype or pharmacological treatment, with single or multiple time points, drug doses or drug concentrations), and the post hoc test was chosen according to the type of data utilized. When only two groups were compared, the Student’s t-test or Wilcoxon signed rank test was used.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>study</th>
<th>mouse line</th>
<th>method</th>
<th>aim of method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>γ2177</td>
<td>c-Fos expression</td>
<td>effects of DMCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>convulsion test</td>
<td>effects of DMCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>locomotor activity</td>
<td>effects of DMCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rotarod</td>
<td>effects of DMCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>horizontal wire</td>
<td>effects of DMCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>elevated plus maze</td>
<td>effects of DMCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>staircase test</td>
<td>effects of DMCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>γ2177</td>
<td>[³H] Ro 15-4513 autoradiography</td>
<td>effects of DMCM and zol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[³⁵S] TBPS autoradiography</td>
<td>effects of DMCM and zol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[³H] EBOB autoradiography</td>
<td>effects of DMCM and zol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>electrophysiology in HEK-293 cells</td>
<td>effects of DMCM and zol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>open field</td>
<td>effects of zol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rotarod</td>
<td>effects of DMCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>walking beam</td>
<td>effects of zol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fear conditioning</td>
<td>effects of DMCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c-Fos expression</td>
<td>effects of zol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>PC- Δγ2,</td>
<td>in situ hybridization</td>
<td>expression of transgene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PC- γ2-swap</td>
<td>immunohistochemistry</td>
<td>expression of transgene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>whole-cell electrophysiology</td>
<td>activity of Purkinje cells,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rotarod</td>
<td>effects of zol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>walking beam</td>
<td>effects of zol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Pv-Δγ2,</td>
<td>in situ hybridization</td>
<td>expression of transgene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pv- Δγ2-pr</td>
<td>immunohistochemistry</td>
<td>expression of transgene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[³H] Ro 15-4513 autoradiography</td>
<td>composition of GABA_A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[³⁵S] TBPS autoradiography</td>
<td>receptors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SHIRPA screen</td>
<td>basic phenotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>elevated plus maze</td>
<td>anxiety level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>acoustic startle</td>
<td>auditory reactivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pre-pulse inhibition of startle</td>
<td>sensorimotor gating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Morris water maze</td>
<td>spatial navigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rotarod</td>
<td>motor coordination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>walking beam</td>
<td>motor coordination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>tremor</td>
<td>tremor activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>hot plate</td>
<td>nociception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>tail flick</td>
<td>nociception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>motor pharmacology</td>
<td>effects of DMCM and zol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** The main methods of the four studies in this thesis according to the transgenic mouse lines used.
5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Changes in pharmacological sensitivity caused by the γ2I77 mutation

As previously described, transgenic mice with the γ2I77 point mutation were phenotypically normal and showed no behavioral anomalies compared to wild-type (WT) littermate control mice (Cope et al., 2004). The GABA_4 receptor levels of γ2I77 mice were similar to WT mice, as indicated by unaltered basal binding levels in [35S]TBPS autoradiography (I, Fig. 1). Thus the I77 point mutation in the γ2 subunit does not appear to alter synaptic clustering or the formation of functional GABA_4 receptors. However, as predicted on the basis of earlier work done on recombinant receptors (Stevenson et al., 1995; Thomet et al., 1999), the sensitivity of γ2I77 receptors to the non-benzodiazepine structured substances zolpidem (an α1-selective agonist) and DMCM (an inverse agonist) was radically reduced.

5.1.1 Abolition of zolpidem and DMCM high-affinity effects

The γ2I77 point mutation affects the benzodiazepine binding site in the interface between the α and γ subunits and prevents the conventional effects of zolpidem and DMCM (Buhr et al., 1997). In γ2I77 mice, unlike in WT mice, zolpidem at 3-6 mg/kg doses produces no sedative effects (Cope et al., 2004). A high 30 mg/kg dose of zolpidem did not prevent electroshock-induced increase in the expression of the immediate early gene c-Fos, a marker of neuronal activity, in the hippocampus of γ2I77 mice (II, Fig. 4). The inactivity of zolpidem at the benzodiazepine binding site of the GABA_4 receptor of γ2I77 mice was also indicated in autoradiographic assays of γ2I77 mouse brains by the inability of zolpidem to displace [3H]Ro 15-4513, a benzodiazepine site ligand (II, Fig. 1), and the lack of positive modulating effects to the binding of [35S]TBPS, a convulsant site ligand suitable for the measurement of GABA_4 receptor levels (II, Fig. 2B). Due to the disruption of the benzodiazepine binding site, DMCM did not exert its characteristic inverse agonistic action in γ2I77 mice: it did not elicit convulsions even at very high (60 mg/kg) doses, nor did administration of DMCM cause increased expression of c-Fos in the hippocampus of γ2I77 mice (I, Fig. 4). DMCM up to 60 mg/kg did not increase locomotor activity in γ2I77 mice in a staircase chamber or in an open field test (I, Table 2), while in WT mice, even at very low doses, DMCM is an anxiogenic and increases locomotion (Stephens et al., 1987; Savic et al., 2006).
5.1.2 Residual low affinity effects of zolpidem and DMCM

The lack of effects mediated through the benzodiazepine binding site in γ2I77 mice allowed the manifestation of residual activity of zolpidem and DMCM. These residual effects are smaller in magnitude and do not appear \textit{in vivo} in WT animals as they are masked by the robust benzodiazepine site effects.

A high 40 mg/kg dose of zolpidem decreased locomotor activity in an open field test in γ2I77 mice (II, Fig. 5A), and a 60 mg/kg dose impaired motor coordination in a rotarod test (II, Fig. 5B). The impairment could not be reversed by flumazenil pretreatment, strongly implying that this residual effect was not being mediated through zolpidem binding to the benzodiazepine binding site. Zolpidem had no effect on the so-called loreclezole site, a low affinity positive modulator binding site dependent on the type of the β subunit and independent on the presence of a γ2 subunit (II, Fig. 3). Other possible sites for the residual zolpidem actions include neuronal Ca\(^{2+}\) channels (Taft and DeLorenzo, 1984; Takahashi et al., 1999). The residual activity was displayed also in \textit{ex vivo} conditions: a high 10 μM concentration of zolpidem slightly displaced \[^{3}\text{H}]\text{Ro 15-4513}\ benzodiazepine binding and modulated \[^{35}\text{S}]\text{TBPS}\ binding in a similar manner to GABAergic positive modulators (II, Fig. 1A,C; Fig. 2B).

DMCM also had residual effects in γ2I77 mice, mediated through a binding site previously discovered in recombinant receptors (Stevenson et al., 1995; Thomet et al., 1999), which it shares with the antiepileptic loreclezole (Wingrove et al., 1994). DMCM positively modulated the GABA\(_{A}\) receptor and decreased locomotor activity in the open field test (II, Fig. 6), likely via the induction of mild sedation. 20 mg/kg DMCM also produced an anxiolytic-like effect in γ2I77 mice (I, Table 2), as reflected by an increased amount of entries into and time spent in the open arms of an elevated plus maze. In the plus maze test, no decreased locomotion was observed, possibly due to inherent differences between the open field and elevated plus maze paradigms and also slight background strain effects between the different batches of γ2I77 mice used. DMCM modulated fear learning in γ2I77 mice, surprisingly enhancing the ability of a foot shock to produce a freezing response (II, Fig. 7). Positive modulators of the GABA\(_{A}\) receptor are typically amnestic (Makkar et al., 2010); the memory-enhancing effect of DMCM may have resulted from an altered emotional
state during the acquisition phase of the experiment, as mild anxiety (in contrast to panic) has been shown to promote learning (Sieve et al., 2001).

5.2 Lack of synaptic inhibition in PC-Δγ2 mice does not cause motor deficits

PC-Δγ2 mice were created by excising the γ2 subunit selectively from cerebellar Purkinje cells via Cre/loxP mediated recombination. The globally zolpidem and DMCM-insensitive γ2I77 mouse line, with the γ2 subunits additionally flanked by loxP recombination sites, was crossed with the L7Cre line, in which Cre recombinase is expressed selectively in cerebellar Purkinje cells from postnatal day 6, guided by the L7 promoter (Barski et al., 2000). The rationale for this was twofold: firstly it was wished to investigate the effect of a long-term lack of inhibition to the Purkinje cells from molecular layer interneurons, and secondly it was planned to contrast this static lack of inhibition produced with transgenic means to cell-type specific rapid, reversible modulation of inhibition. Purkinje cells are inhibited mainly by stellate and basket cells, with little to no inhibition from recurrent axon collaterals of Purkinje cells themselves in the adult mouse (Wulff et al., 2009b). The resulting mice (PC-Δγ2 mice) lacked the γ2 subunit in Purkinje cells and consequently had no synaptic inhibition in this neuronal type. As proof of this, a lack of mIPSCs in Purkinje cells was demonstrated by whole-cell recordings from PC-Δγ2 mice (III, Fig. 4A).

5.2.1 Phenotype of PC-Δγ2 mice

Despite the lack of inhibition to Purkinje cells, PC-Δγ2 mice displayed no phenotypical abnormalities and, perhaps surprisingly, little or no motor impairment. Their working memory, spatial memory, basal anxiety level, nociception, startle reflex and pre-pulse inhibition of startle were found to be normal compared to that of control mice. They learned to perform the rotarod (III, Figure 5A) and walking beam (Figure 7) tasks with only slight, initial impairment. Although PC-Δγ2 mice had no deficits in performing learned motor tasks, they have been found to have strong impairment in the consolidation of motor memories in paradigms measuring the optokinetic and vestibulo-ocular reflexes (Wulff et al., 2009b). The conclusion from experiments performed with PC-Δγ2 mice is that synaptic inhibition of Purkinje cells is indispensable for certain types of cerebellar learning, but not for others. The type of feedforward inhibition ablated from the Purkinje cells of PC-Δγ2 mice may play a
role in memory functions also in other brain areas, such as the amygdala and hippocampus (Wulff et al., 2009b).

Figure 7. Motor training of PC-Δγ2 mice on the walking beam. Mice were trained during 9 days to walk on a 1 m-long, 0.8 cm-diameter beam to home cage and the latency (s) to reach the cage was recorded. Daily averages ± SEM are depicted. n(γ2I77)=5, n(Δγ2)=5.

5.3 Cell-specific modulation of motor coordination in PC-γ2-swap mice

In order to achieve selective control over cerebellar Purkinje cells in the intact animal, wild-type γ2F77 subunits were restored to the cerebellum via triple crossing γ2I77lox mice, L7Cre mice and a mouse line in which eGFP-tagged γ2F77 subunits were expressed in Purkinje cells. The aim was to have uniquely zolpidem- and DMCM-sensitive cells in an otherwise insensitive background, enabling the targeted modulation of this cell type by systemic pharmacological exposure. The resulting PC-γ2-swap mice had GFP-immunoreactivity in their Purkinje cell somata and proximal dendrites, confirming the restoration of γ2F77 subunits to the GABA\textsubscript{A} receptors in Purkinje cells (III, Fig. 3). Whereas the PC-Δγ2 mice lacked mIPSCs in Purkinje cells, in PC-γ2-swap mice the mIPSCs had returned to levels comparable with γ2I77 control mice (III, Fig. 4A). Zolpidem increased the amplitude and decay of mIPSCs in PC-γ2-swap Purkinje cells, unlike in γ2I77 controls, implying that there had been restoration of fully functional γ2 subunits (III, Fig. 4B). In contrast to the unaltered basal motor behavior of PC-Δγ2 mice, where the lack of inhibition to Purkinje cells is static, when PC-γ2-swap mice were administered cumulative doses (3+3+3 mg/kg, rotarod) or a single 12 mg/kg dose (walking beam) of zolpidem, an impairment of motor performance was
observed (III, Fig. 5B,C). Already the first 3 mg/kg dose on the rotarod produced the maximal effect, with no increase in efficacy with the following doses, indicating that a ceiling effect was reached. The impairment was significant and clearly noticeable, but smaller in magnitude compared to WT mice, which are completely incapacitated by 3 mg/kg zolpidem in similar conditions (Cope et al., 2004).

The response of PC-γ2-swap mice to DMCM did not differ from γ2I77 controls (Figure 8). This was rather unexpected, since the restoration of the γ2F77 subunit did render the Purkinje cells sensitive to low doses of zolpidem. Possibly the proper functioning of Purkinje cells suffers more from rapid inhibition, causing a decreased inhibitory load to deep cerebellar nuclei (DCN), the main efferent target of Purkinje cells, than from an increase in inhibition to the DCN resulting from excitation of Purkinje cells by DMCM acting as an inverse agonist through the benzodiazepine binding site. The lack of clear motor impairment in PC-Δγ2 mice also indicates that excessive inhibition from Purkinje cells to DCN does not severely compromise the motor output of the cerebellum.

5.4 Lack of synaptic inhibition in Pv-Δγ2 mice causes wide-ranging behavioral alterations

A mouse line with a selective ablation of the γ2 subunit in parvalbumin-positive neurons (Pv-Δγ2 mice) was generated in a similar manner to the PC-Δγ2 line. γ2I77lox mice were crossed with mice in which Cre recombinase was targeted to Pv-cells through the use of the Pv-promoter (Fuchs et al., 2007). Consequently the γ2 subunit was excised via Cre/loxP mediated recombination from Pv-positive neurons (Wulff et al., 2009a).
Examination at the mRNA level revealed the absence of the γ2 signal in areas rich in Pv-positive cells (reticular nucleus of thalamus, inferior colliculus and cerebellum), whereas areas in which Pv-cells are in a minority (hippocampus, neocortex) no change in overall γ2 levels was detected (Wulff et al., 2009a). The gross anatomical CNS morphology of Pv-Δγ2 mice was unaltered. Pv-Δγ2 mice, like their Purkinje-cell targeted counterparts, lacked synaptic inhibition in the selected cell type, the Pv-positive neurons. Wulff et al., (2009a) investigated miniature IPSCs in hippocampal parvalbumin-positive interneurons of Pv-Δγ2 mice and found them to be absent, confirming the ablation of the γ2 subunit, which targets the GABA_A receptor to the synapse. They also investigated hippocampal oscillations, a network function essential to many types of information processing, conveyed by Pv-positive interneurons, and found them to be impaired. Although gamma oscillations were intact in Pv-Δγ2 mice, theta oscillations were disrupted as well as the phase coupling between theta and gamma oscillations (Wulff et al., 2009a).

5.4.1 Phenotype of Pv-Δγ2 mice

Basic phenotyping was first performed on the Pv-Δγ2 mice. The mice were noticeably smaller than γ2I77 control mice and did not gain weight over time as much as their controls. Their gait and posture were abnormally flat, although not sufficiently to impair basic locomotive behavior. Nevertheless they learned certain motor tasks, such as the rotarod and walking beam, incompletely and never reached the level of γ2I77 controls (IV, Fig. 7A,B). Further testing of the deficits observed in the behavioral screen (IV, Table 1) revealed that Pv-Δγ2 mice also had an increased startle reflex, decreased pre-pulse inhibition of startle (IV, Fig. 5), a decreased level of anxiety (IV, Fig. 4), decreased thermal nociceptive sensitivity (IV, Fig. 8), impaired spatial learning (IV, Fig. 6) and abnormal expression of tremor.

5.4.2 Increased pharmacological sensitivity of Pv-Δγ2 mice

The motor pharmacological responses of Pv-Δγ2 mice were altered as compared to γ2I77 mice. Their sensitivity to the motor impairment caused by cumulative administration of flurazepam was increased (Figure 9). The increased sensitivity to flurazepam could be caused by the interaction of a heightened inhibitory load to the principal cells in a variety of brain areas resulting from increased activity of Pv-interneurons lacking synaptic inhibition, and the
inhibitory effect of flurazepam on the GABA$_A$ receptors of the principal cells themselves. As expected, on the rotarod the sensitivity of Pv-$\Delta\gamma2$ mice to the inverse agonist DMCM was unaltered, but in tests measuring thermal nociception, 3 mg/kg DMCM produced an analgesic effect in Pv-$\Delta\gamma2$ mice (IV, Fig. 8B). Administration of 20 mg/kg zolpidem to Pv-$\Delta\gamma2$ mice resulted in motor impairment on the rotarod (IV, Fig. 7C). The reason for this unexpected sensitivity is unclear, but may be related to the residual sensitivity of the background $\gamma2I77$ line to zolpidem (II), possibly caused by zolpidem inhibiting neuronal Ca$^{2+}$ channels (Taft and DeLorenzo, 1984; Takahashi et al., 1999). The lack of impairment by residual effects of zolpidem in the control $\gamma2I77$ mice was likely due to the excessive facility of the 5→20 rpm rotarod task, which was the highest speed at which the Pv-$\Delta\gamma2$ mice could reliably perform. However, the residual motor effect was seen in $\gamma2I77$ mice with a more difficult rotarod task at high zolpidem doses (II, Fig. 5B).

**Figure 9.** Motor pharmacological sensitivity of Pv-$\Delta\gamma2$ mice to flurazepam. *, p<0.05, for the significance of the difference compared to saline or vehicle (one-way ANOVA and Dunnett’s post hoc test). #, ##, ### p<0.05, p<0.01, p<0.001, respectively, for the difference between mouse lines, two-way ANOVA and Newman-Keuls post hoc test.

5.4.3 Increased GABA-insensitive binding in Pv-$\Delta\gamma2$ mice

[$^{35}$S]TBPS autoradiography was used to investigate the amount and composition of GABA$_A$ receptors in Pv-$\Delta\gamma2$ mice. GABA reduces the binding of this convulsant site ligand to the receptor, and changes in the efficacy of GABA may indicate changes in receptor subunit composition. In Pv-$\Delta\gamma2$ mice, the effect of 2 $\mu$M GABA was increased in several midbrain areas, including the substantia nigra pars reticulata, periaqueductal gray area and raphe nucleus. Interestingly, largely in these same areas (though not completely overlapping), GABA-insensitive (GIS) binding was increased as compared to control $\gamma2I77$ mice (Figure 10; IV, Fig. 3A, Supplementary Table 1). In GIS binding, high 1 mM concentrations of GABA are not able to completely displace [$^{35}$S]TBPS from the receptor. This kind of binding
is attributed to binary GABA$_A$ receptors composed of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ subunits, and is a hallmark of this mainly extrasynaptic receptor subtype (Sinkkonen et al., 2004). On the basis of these ex vivo findings, it is plausible that in Pv-$\Delta\gamma 2$ mice, in the absence of synaptic inhibition, an upregulation of binary extrasynaptic $\alpha\beta$ receptors has taken place to compensate for the imbalance in excitation and inhibition in Pv-positive cells.

**Figure 10.** Basal $[^{35}\text{S}]$TBPS binding and the effect of 2 $\mu$M and 1 mM GABA in $\gamma 2177$, Pv-$\Delta\gamma 2$ and Pv-$\gamma 2$-pr mice, aged 3-6 months (bregma level -2.60 mm). Ctx, cortex; CPu, caudate putamen; Hi, hippocampus; Th, thalamus; IC, inferior colliculus; Gr, cerebellar granule cell layer; Mol, molecular layer.

5.5 Partially restored synaptic inhibition in Pv-$\Delta\gamma 2$-partial rescue mice

In an attempt to replicate the cell-type selective modulation of activity achieved in PC-swap mice (IV), Pv-$\Delta\gamma 2$-partial rescue mice were produced. To simplify the otherwise extensive breeding scheme, which would have included the production and crossing of three separate mouse lines, two transgenes were co-integrated to produce the Pv-$\Delta\gamma 2$-partial rescue mice.
The mixing and co-injection into pro-nuclei of two bacterial artificial chromosome transgenes will often result in their integration into the same genomic position. This strategy ultimately resulted in mice with an ablation of the $\gamma_2$ subunit in Pv-positive cells and a restoration of the $\gamma_2F77$ subunit at detectable levels to the reticular thalamic nucleus and cells in the cerebellar molecular layer. This unfortunately incomplete restoration nevertheless provided an opportunity to compare Pv-$\Delta\gamma_2$ and Pv-$\Delta\gamma_2$-partial rescue mice and to elucidate the effects on the various behavioral modalities of the restored synaptic inhibition in these restricted areas.

5.5.1 Restoration of the $\gamma_2F77$ subunit to reticular thalamic nucleus and molecular layer of cerebellum

In the $\gamma_2I77$ background line, the mutated benzodiazepine binding site of the GABA$_A$ receptor only weakly binds several ligands, including $[^3]H$Ro 15-4513, a partial inverse agonist used for the visualizing of the benzodiazepine binding site (Makela et al., 1997). In Pv-$\Delta\gamma_2$-partial rescue mice, the restoration of the $\gamma_2F77$ subunit could clearly be seen as the increased signal in the reticular nucleus of thalamus and the cerebellar molecular layer (IV, Fig. 2A). The binding levels in these areas were 55% and 16%, respectively, from those of wild-type homozygous $\gamma_2F77$ mice. The restoration of $\gamma_2F77$ subunit protein to Pv-cells in other brain areas cannot be excluded, since despite their importance to network functions, Pv-cells are a minor neuronal type in many areas (e.g. neocortex, hippocampus), and the autoradiographic and in situ hybridization methods used here are not suitable for the detection of such low-level signals.

5.5.2 Improvement of motor function and spatial learning

The clearest difference between Pv-$\Delta\gamma_2$ and Pv-$\Delta\gamma_2$-partial rescue mice was the reversal of motor deficits. While Pv-$\Delta\gamma_2$ had a noticeably abnormal gait (belly and tail held low to the ground, flattened body posture) the movements of Pv-$\Delta\gamma_2$-partial rescue mice appeared normal, although they were slightly hyperactive, as seen in their increased locomotion in several behavioral experiments. Pv-$\Delta\gamma_2$-partial rescue mice performed better on the rotarod and learned to stay on a rod accelerating from 5 to 30 rpm in contrast to the lower maximal speed of 5 to 20 rpm used with Pv-$\Delta\gamma_2$ mice. The cognitive abilities of Pv-$\Delta\gamma_2$-partial rescue mice were improved in the Morris water maze paradigm as compared to Pv-$\Delta\gamma_2$ mice; this
being indicated by their lower escape latencies during training, and the increased number of visits to the former platform position in the probe trial (IV, Fig. 6). However, some of the other phenotypic and behavioral abnormalities (lower body weight, tremor, increased startle reflex, decreased pre-pulse inhibition of startle, decreased anxiety level and decreased thermal nociception) apparent in Pν-Δγ2 mice were also present in Pν-Δγ2-partial rescue mice. The ablation of the γ2 subunit from Pν-cells produced so many and such wide-ranging alterations that it is unrealistic to attempt to pinpoint them to any single brain structure or area, and likewise the restoration of the γ2F77 subunit to only a few, restricted areas could not reverse the majority of the deficits produced by the lack of the γ2 subunit in Pν-cells.

5.5.3 Altered pharmacological responses of Pν-Δγ2-partial rescue mice

Surprisingly, Pν-Δγ2-partial rescue mice did not show an increased sensitivity to zolpidem compared to γ2I77 control mice, and still did not exhibit the increased sensitivity to zolpidem manifested in Pν-Δγ2 mice. Although this is likely to be a consequence of the normalization of synaptic inhibition in Pν-cells, the exact mechanism is unclear. It is possible that the impaired motor performance of Pν-Δγ2 mice together with the residual sensitivity of the background γ2I77 line produced an exceptional sensitivity to zolpidem in Pν-Δγ2 mice. The situation may have been reversed in Pν-Δγ2-partial rescue mice, in which the baseline motor performance was improved and so may have rendered them less prone to disturbance by the slight residual effects of zolpidem. The motor sensitivity of Pν-Δγ2-partial rescue mice to flurazepam was also normalized as compared to Pν-Δγ2 mice. Presumably the abnormally strong inhibitory drive from Pν-cells toward principal cells was reduced by the restored synaptic inhibition of Pν-cells to the level of γ2I77 control mice and thus the flurazepam administration did not cause a stronger impairment in motor function than that detected in the control mice (Figure 11). Finally, the administration of a single, low dose of DMCM to Pν-Δγ2-partial rescue mice resulted in motor impairment on the rotarod, an impairment which could be partially reversed by flumazenil pretreatment (III, Fig. 7C). This can be taken as another confirmation of the restoration of the γ2F77 subunit. When Pν-cells are disinhibited by the inverse agonist action of DMCM in GABA\textsubscript{A} receptors, their inhibitory load toward principal cells is increased, the situation resembling that of the baseline motor performance of Pν-Δγ2 mice, where static loss of synaptic inhibition in Pν-cells results in a significant impairment.
Table 3. The main findings of the four studies in this thesis according to the transgenic mouse lines used.
6 GENERAL DISCUSSION

Cell-type specific modulation of selected components of neural circuits has been a long-time goal in the quest toward understanding the meaning of different neuronal types to the whole of the CNS. By isolating a single part of a circuit and modulating its function independently of the other components, one can gather essential information on its role. The studies in this thesis have aimed at developing a rapid, reversible method of regulating the activity of specific cell types in vivo in awake, behaving animals, and subsequently attributing the significance of these cell types to various behavioral modalities through the use of pharmacological tools. A transgenic approach was used to engineer a cell-type selective sensitivity to both an inhibitory and an excitatory systemically administered compound in a brain which should be otherwise insensitive to these pharmacological agents. Purkinje cells were chosen as a target for the validation of the method due to ease of access of their reasonably well-defined cerebellar circuitry. After confirming the feasibility of the method, it was further used to investigate the importance of Pv-positive cells in mouse emotional, cognitive and motor behavior.

6.1 Earlier models of cell-type specific modulation

Previous work on selective modulation of neuronal activity has utilized a variety of different methods. One fundamental, widely used strategy of modulating neuronal function has been the genetic ablation of target proteins, e.g. neurotransmitter receptors, uptake proteins or synthesizing enzymes ( Günther et al., 1995, Stork et al., 2000, Salichon et al., 2001). However, as few such targets are restricted to one cell type or brain area only, additional techniques for the restriction of the desired effect to the cell type of interest are required. The next generation of genetic manipulations may be driven by cell-type specific promoters to affect only a subset of neurons. One limitation of this approach is the number of suitable promoters available; although promoters for cerebellar Purkinje cells (Barski et al., 2000), parvalbumin-positive cells (Fuchs et al., 2007), hippocampal CA3 neurons (Nakazawa et al., 2002) and olfactory neurons (Isles et al., 2001) have been described. Through the use of these promoters, a number of alterations in the properties of the cells in question can be achieved, from ectopic expression of protein (Wisden et al., 2002) to knock-out of subunits of inhibitory or excitatory receptors (Homanics et al., 1997; Lyon et al., 2011). Several ways of
excising and introducing proteins are known. In the present studies the Cre/loxP technique was used, where the Cre recombinase enzyme removes a target flanked by loxP recombination sites (Lakso et al., 1992, Meyer et al., 2002). The Cre recombinase was driven by cell-type specific promoters in the L7Cre mouse line (Purkinje cells (Barski et al., 2000)) and the PvCre mouse line (Pv-positive neurons (Fuchs et al., 2007)) which were then crossed with the background GABA<sub>A</sub>γ2I77 line to remove the γ2 subunit in the target cell types. In this way static knockout mice were generated, where the absence of synaptic inhibition begins at the time of L7- (after postnatal day 6 (Barski et al., 2000)) and Pv- (after postnatal day 7 (Fuchs et al., 2007)) promoter expression. Ablation of a protein is not the only way to remove its influence. In other studies, the tetracycline (Gossen and Bujard, 1992) and mifepristone-inducible (Pierson et al., 2000) techniques have been used to silence the activity of target genes by activation or inactivation of transcription. Area-specific silencing of activity can be achieved through stereotaxic injections of inhibitory substances (Jasnow and Huhman, 2001).

Cre recombinase and similar methods of excision of genetic material can also be guided to selected brain areas, instead of specific cell types, via injection of viral vectors to the brain. Important considerations when using viral vectors are the selectivity of the virus to neuronal cells, rate of infection, efficacy of transfection, expression level of the delivered transgene following transfection, and absence of viral spreading to neighboring areas. The viral delivery tactic could also be combined with cell-type specific promoters to achieve restriction of the transgene both spatially and to only a subset of neurons in the target area (Kravitz et al., 2010). Covering of large areas with viral delivery is challenging due to the limited area of diffusion of the vector. Targeting of cell types to a wide, but sparse distribution is not feasible with this method (Boyden et al., 2005; Gradinaru et al., 2010). It has been suggested that in animal species not amenable to standard transgenic techniques, viral delivery of transgenes to modulate activity in specific areas or cell types could prove very useful (Tan et al., 2006).

Other strategies of silencing the activity of cells range from the expression of tonically active, inward-rectifying potassium channels (Nadeau et al., 2000) to invermectin-activated Cl⁻ channels (Slimko et al., 2002) and membrane-tethered toxins, which inactivate target channels in the neurons to which they become attached to (Ibanez-Tallon et al., 2004). Such permanent modulations of cell excitability may render the neurons vulnerable to apoptosis (Nadeau et al., 2000) and thus may not be suitable for in vivo experiments. In the study of
(Coward et al., 1998) a system was developed where genetically engineered G-protein coupled receptors were activated selectively by synthetic ligands.

All of these approaches, particularly those involving deletions of essential proteins, that rely on genetic modifications during the embryonic stages, run the risk of unexpected compensatory changes, as was also the case in our studies of the Pv-Δγ2 and Pv-Δγ2-partial rescue mice. However, the background γ2I77 mutation used in our studies was phenotypically inert. In contrast to static genetic alterations, a rapid time scale and reversibility of modulation are key factors in the success of the cell-type specific modulation scheme, if the method is to stand the ultimate test of real-time regulation of neuronal activity at the whole animal level, in awake, behaving animals. In the work of Karpova et al., (2005) a fairly rapid induction of modulation (20-30 min) was achieved with molecules for inhibition of synaptic transmission (MIST), the transgenically introduced dimerization-caused inactivation of synaptic proteins, but termination of the effects still took over 24 hours. In addition, in this study no electrophysiological proof of actual synaptic events was obtained from transgenic animals, although the induction of motor impairment during training and performance of the rotarod task was observed (Karpova et al., 2005). Still more rapid timescales (several minutes) were achieved in another study with the introduction by viral vector of the *Drosophila*-derived allatostatin receptor, which couples to the mammalian inward rectifying K⁺ GIRK channel (Lechner et al., 2002) and subsequent application of allatostatin topically to brain surfaces (Tan et al., 2006). However, as the diffusion of allatostatin in the cerebral tissue was modest, areas distant from the cerebral surface and the ventricles would be unreachable with this method. Systemic administration would not be feasible, as allatostatin does not cross the blood-brain barrier (Tan et al., 2006). One advantage of the allatostatic-receptor system, as well as the MIST system described by Karpova et al., (2005) is its good specificity, since allatostatin and MIST do not affect cells without the introduced receptor or dimerization molecule, respectively.

An interesting new branch of research, optogenetics, is a potentially groundbreaking way to modulate target neurons with pinpoint accuracy. This relies on the expression of light-sensitive channelrhodopsin-2, a *Chlamydomonas* alga-derived cation channel activated by certain wavelengths of light (Boyden et al., 2005). When the protein is introduced either through viral delivery or with transgenic methods into neurons, which are subsequently illuminated, modulation of the activity is achieved within seconds (Boyden et al., 2005,
Gradinaru et al., 2010). Lin et al., (2011) used optogenetics to reversibly modulate hypothalamic areas to induce and abolish aggressive behavior, and Tye et al., (2011) provided proof for a centromedial amygdaloid circuit in the regulation of anxiety. The light-activated channelrhodopsin-2 is an elegant way of regulating the actions of neurons, with ultra-fast induction and termination of effect, but it does require both a reliable way, either genetic or a viral vector, to deliver the transgene needed for channel expression, which is as labor-intensive as in the older approaches, and also the invasive implantation of the fiberoptics to provide the light source. Nevertheless, optogenetics does hold great future promise in the field of cell-type specific modulation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Time scale</th>
<th>Reversibility</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Promoter-driven deletion of subunit</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>permanent from embryonic stage</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Nakazawa et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Korotkova et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptors activated solely by synthetic ligands (RASSL)</td>
<td>poor</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Coward et al., 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane-tethered toxins</td>
<td>poor</td>
<td>permanent</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Ibanez-Tallon et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overexpression of tonically active K⁺ channels</td>
<td>poor</td>
<td>permanent</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Nadeau et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI⁻ channels activated by ivermectin</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>several hours</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Slimko et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infusion of muscimol to central amygdala</td>
<td>poor</td>
<td>minutes to hours</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Jasnow &amp; Huhman, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promoter-driven toxic ablation of olfactory neurons</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>permanent</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Isles et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecules for inhibition of synaptic transmission (MIST)</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>I: 20-30 min T: &gt;24 h</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Karpova et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ectopic allatostatin-receptor expression</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>minutes</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Lechner et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tan et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optogenetics</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>seconds</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Lin et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tye et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective zolpidem- and DMCM modulation of Pv- and Purkinje cells</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>seconds</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>III, IV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Methods of cell type- or area specific modulation of neuronal activity.
6.2 The zolpidem method

The present approach relied on a strategy with no invasive steps necessary at any phase, by placing the appropriate genetic manipulations in place through crossbreeding of the suitable mouse lines. A similar strategy of cell-type specific knockout of a receptor subunit was recently used by Korotkova et al., (2010); they ablated the mandatory NR1-subunit of the glutamatergic NMDA receptor in Pv-cells, which resulted in cognitive deficits in the mice due to disturbances in hippocampal oscillatory function. For the relatively straightforward goal of simply knocking out the GABA\textsubscript{A} receptor \(\gamma_2\) subunit in selected cells, the genetic manipulations could have been performed with two transgenic mouse lines (the floxed line and the Cre carrier line), but to reach the ultimate target, rapid and reversible modulation of activity, a different background line was needed. From previous work on recombinant receptors, it was known that the \(\gamma_2\) subunit could be altered in such a way that the sensitivity to several benzodiazepine binding site substances, e.g. the sedative-hypnotic zolpidem and the inverse agonist convulsant DMCM would be abolished (Buhr et al., 1997; Wingrove et al., 1997). The \(\gamma_2\)I77 point mutation was introduced to a transgenic mouse line without affecting the sensitivity to other GABAergic substances or producing phenotypic changes (Cope et al., 2004). This mouse line was used for the ablation of the \(\gamma_2\) subunit in the target neurons i.e. the cerebellar Purkinje cells and parvalbumin-positive neurons. Static knockouts of the \(\gamma_2\) subunit, used for comparison with the rapid modulation model, were achieved, leading to loss of synaptic inhibition in Purkinje cells and Pv-cells. The final step in the cell-type specific modulation was to substitute the wild-type \(\gamma_2\)F77 subunit for the \(\gamma_2\)I77 subunit in the target cells. This was accomplished as planned in the PC-\(\gamma_2\)-swap mouse line, but only incompletely in the Pv-\(\Delta\gamma_2\)-partial rescue line. However, the overall aim of modulation of specific neurons through systemic administration of pharmacological substances was achieved in both PC-\(\gamma_2\)-swap and (most likely) in the Pv-\(\Delta\gamma_2\)-partial rescue mice.

The advantages of the present method, as established in the study of the PC-\(\gamma_2\)-swap mice, are 1) the accurate targeting of the modulation to cells expressing the L7 promoter, the Purkinje cells; the more specific the promoter, the better the precision. When the changes required for the selective sensitivity to pharmacological modulation are achieved through transgenic methods, one does not need to attempt invasive procedures such as stereotaxic injection of viral vectors to small, difficult-to-reach brain areas. 2) Systemic administration of the modulating substance. Again, neither invasive procedures, nor permanent implantation of
fiberoptics or intracerebral cannulas are needed, reducing the operative load on the animal and simplifying the performance of possible behavioral experiments. 3) Rapid time scale of induction and termination of effect. The kinetics of zolpidem in particular are fast, its effect appearing within minutes of intraperitoneal injection (II, III, IV) and reaching a maximum in 10 minutes, with a half-life of 20 minutes (Benavides et al., 1988). The effects of both zopidem and DMCM can furthermore be reversed with the pharmacological antagonist, flumazenil, enabling the interruption of the effect at a selected time point, if that were deemed necessary in the behavioral paradigm.

Despite the success with the PC-γ2-swap mice, the mixed results obtained with the Pv-Δγ2-partial rescue mice reveal the need for further refinements of this method. The crossbreeding of three mouse lines is labor-and time-intensive. The success of the breeding scheme cannot be easily evaluated at intermediate stages, but can only be ascertained at the end. Short cuts by co-integration of more than one construct may result in an unreliable pattern of expression of the target protein. A possible future direction for the present studies could be the viral delivery of the Cre recombinase driven by a cell-type specific promoter in the γ2I77 mouse line to attain selective modulation in discrete brain areas. Despite the inherent challenges in the use of viral vectors, this direction of research holds great interest in investigating area-specific functions. In particular, the widespread deficits observed in Pv-Δγ2 mice merit further research to pinpoint the alterations of behavior to disruption of the function of Pv-cells to discrete areas, such as the amygdala, the basal ganglia or the spinal cord. The original aim of bidirectional modulation of activity was not fully achieved in PC-γ2-swap or Pv-Δγ2-partial rescue mice, but could perhaps be fulfilled better in another neuronal type, where both inhibition and excitation of the neuron had a robust effect on the network.

6.3 Benefits of the γ2I77 as a background line

In addition to the γ2I77 line being a necessary background line and an essential intermediary toward cell-type specific modulation, it was possible to reveal residual effects of zolpidem and DMCM, main pharmacological tools in these studies, in γ2I77 mice. Since in the studies of cell-type specific modulation, high doses of zolpidem were used, it was important to first quantify their effects in the background line, in order to be sure of the correct interpretation of the results in the Purkinje- and Pv mouse lines. The effects of high-dose (20-40 mg/kg) DMCM in γ2I77 mice were positively modulating, in contrast to the strong inverse agonism
in wild-type mice. DMCM is known to affect a low-affinity binding site of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor which is common with the anticonvulsant loreclezole (Wafford et al., 1994, Stevenson et al., 1995), and it is most likely that this site was responsible for the mild agonistic effects observed (anxiolysis, sedation, modulation of fear learning, enhancement of GABA effects in [<sup>35</sup>S]TBPS tissue autoradiography). Zolpidem also manifested slight residual effects, but not through this same site. The site of these zolpidem residual properties remains to be further investigated, but may be neuronal Ca<sup>2+</sup> channels, where zolpidem was previously shown to have an effect (Taft and DeLorenzo, 1984; Takahashi et al., 1999). For the first time it was possible to demonstrate the residual sedative and motor-impairing effects of high-dose zolpidem <em>in vivo</em> in the γ2I77 mice, which are insensitive to the conventional benzodiazepine site effects of this substance. There might be some clinical significance to the residual effects of zolpidem, as Ca<sup>2+</sup> channel blockers are widely used for cardiovascular indications, and interactions with high doses zolpidem could conceivably arise. The biphasic effect of DMCM is shared by other benzodiazepine site ligands, such as diazepam (Walters et al., 2000), and it would be possible to explore the structural determinants of the dual affinity to the benzodiazepine site and the loreclezole site to avoid unintentional side effects as well as for exploiting the duality in future research or clinical settings. The γ2I77 mouse line has also proved to be useful in dissecting the molecular-level activity of ethanol on the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor; antagonism of ethanol effects by the inverse agonist Ro 15-4513 was absent in γ2I77 mice, implying that the antagonism may be mediated through the benzodiazepine binding site (Linden et al., 2011).

### 6.4 Static versus dynamic modulation of Purkinje cells

The results obtained from the study of the Purkinje cell transgenic mouse lines revealed a contrast between static and dynamic modulation of cerebellar motor performance. Little to no motor deficits were observed in PC-Δγ2 mice. Although the motor learning process and the performance of a complex motor task i.e. the rotarod, were intact in PC-Δγ2 mice, it is important to note that there was impairment of the consolidation of memories in another type of motor experiment investigating the optokinetic and vestibulo-ocular reflexes (Wulff et al., 2009b). The possibility of compensatory changes in the synaptic composition of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors in PC-Δγ2 mice, acting toward a re-establishment of the balance between inhibition and excitation, cannot be excluded. In that respect, it has been postulated that there may be decreased glutamatergic currents in the Purkinje cells of PC-Δγ2 mice (Wulff et al., 2009b).
Opposite to the lack of deficits in rotarod performance in PC-Δγ2 mice, in PC-γ2-swap mice cumulative administration of zolpidem resulted in motor impairment on the rotarod, presumably due to acute inhibition of Purkinje cell activity and the consequent disinhibition of deep cerebellar nuclei. Similar results have been presented by (Karpova et al., 2005), through the inhibition of synaptic transmission in Purkinje cells, albeit with slower kinetics of onset and termination. The administration of the inverse agonist DMCM, possibly disinhibiting the Purkinje cells, did not alter the motor behavior observed in the PC-γ2-swap mice. This resembles the situation in the PC-Δγ2 mice, where there is a static loss of synaptic inhibition and presumably consequent disinhibition of Purkinje cells. On the basis of this comparison between static and dynamic modulation of Purkinje cell activity in vivo, it appears that the output of the Purkinje cells to the deep cerebellar nuclei is more prone to interference with increased than decreased inhibition of Purkinje cell activity.

6.5 Lack of synaptic inhibition in Pv-cells causes wide-ranging behavioral alterations partially reversed by the restoration of the wild-type γ2F77 subunit

The major alterations in behavior and GABA_A receptor composition we observed in Pv-Δγ2 mice confirm the essential role of Pv-positive cells in a wide variety of brain areas and behavioral modalities. A lack of synaptic inhibition in Pv-cells of Pv-Δγ2 mice was established earlier by (Wulff et al., 2009a). The present experiments with [35S]TBPS binding point to a compensatorially increased amount of extrasynaptic receptors of the low-conductance binary αβ subtype, the hallmark of which is increased GABA-insensitive binding, observed particularly in midbrain areas (substantia nigra pars reticulata, periaqueductal gray area and raphe nucleus) of Pv-Δγ2 mice. Based on these behavioral findings (motor and cognitive impairment, increased startle reflex, decreased pre-pulse inhibition of startle, a decreased level of anxiety, decreased thermal nociception, and altered GABAergic pharmacological sensitivity), the putative increase in extrasynaptic transmission was not able to compensate for the lack of synaptic inhibition in Pv-Δγ2 mice. The loss of afferent inhibition to Pv-cells likely disinhibits their activity and consequently increases the inhibitory load toward principal cells. In the diverse areas where Pv-cells form an essential part of networks for cognitive processing, this altered level of inhibition is would be predicted to cause severe disturbances. Indeed, the coupling of gamma and theta oscillations is known to be disrupted in the hippocampus of Pv-Δγ2 mice (Wulff et al., 2009a), which can
be a major factor in the cognitive deficits observed in the water maze performance of Pv-Δγ2 mice. Interestingly, Korotkova et al., (2010) found that ablation of the glutamatergic NMDA subunit selectively from Pv-cells impaired some forms of memory, in addition to changes in oscillatory activity. Pv-Δγ2 mice also had a decreased level of theta oscillations (Wulff et al., 2009a), which may be connected to their decreased level of anxiety. In a mouse model with an ablation of the 5-HT1A receptor, both increased anxiety and increased theta oscillations were observed (Gordon et al., 2005). Benzodiazepines tend to decrease theta oscillations (Zhu and McNaughton, 1995). Both of these observations together with the present results of decreased anxiety and decreased theta oscillations in Pv-Δγ2 mice provide support for a role for hippocampal Pv-neurons in the regulation of anxiety. Apparently the network function of Pv-cells is delicately balanced and sensitive to disturbances in both the inhibitory and the excitatory directions.

A partial restoration of synaptic inhibition in Pv-Δγ2-partial rescue mice was achieved, reflected in the reversal of motor deficits on the rotarod and walking beam tasks, as well as the improved spatial learning in the water maze. The restoration of wild-type γ2F77 subunits was confirmed in the reticular nucleus of thalamus and the cerebellar molecular layer by [3H]Ro 15-4513 binding, a benzodiazepine binding site ligand suitable for the detection of the γ2 subunit. The restoration in other brain areas, e.g. neocortex and hippocampus, cannot be excluded, but the autoradiographic method is not suited for detecting the low, sparsely distributed signals emitted by Pv-cells, in regions where they are in a minority. Nonetheless, [35S]TBPS autoradiography experiments revealed widespread changes in GABA_A receptor composition in Pv-Δγ2-partial rescue brains fully comparable to those in Pv-Δγ2 brains, implying that a major part of the cellular-level deficits still remain. At the behavioral level this is manifested by the unaltered phenotype of Pv-Δγ2-partial rescue mice compared to Pv-Δγ2 mice, except for motor and cognitive behavior. This is due to the limited success of the present method to restore the γ2F77 subunit to Pv-cells only in the reticular thalamus and the cerebellum, whereas in other areas there is a loss of γ2 subunit and a consequent lack of synaptic inhibition, similar to that encountered in Pv-Δγ2 mice. To accurately dissect which behavioral alterations of Pv-Δγ2 and Pv-Δγ2-partial rescue mice were attributable to which brain areas where autoradiographic changes were detected was not within the scope of these studies. It would be interesting to target selected areas with the zolpidem method, possibly via viral delivery of Cre recombinase and insertion of the γ2F77 subunit, to achieve in-depth information on the area-specific functions of Pv-cells.
In summary, it can be stated that the present method of cell-type specific, rapid and reversible modulation of neuronal activity is a feasible way of dissecting the role of single neuron types (Pv-positive cells or cerebellar Purkinje cells) within a network. Provided that suitable promoters are available, the method could be utilized in investigating further GABA$_A$ receptor $\gamma_2$ subunit expressing cell types. The systemic administration and fast time course of zolpidem and DMCM allow for performance of a variety of different behavioral paradigms. However, when constructing the transgenic mouse lines, due care must be taken to ascertain the desired expression pattern of the $\gamma_2$F77 subunit in order to avoid incomplete expression and mosaicism of the receptor protein in the target cells.
7 CONCLUSIONS

1. The inverse agonist DMCM and the sedative-hypnotic zolpidem both have residual activity mediated through non-benzodiazepine binding sites at high doses in the GABA_A receptor, as revealed in the γ2 subunit I77 point mutant mice. The inability of the benzodiazepine antagonist flumazenil to reverse the effects of zolpidem supports the involvement of a non-benzodiazepine site of action. Both DMCM and zolpidem have effects pointing toward positive modulation at the GABA_A receptor. Both substances also act as positive modulators in the [35S]TBPS autoradiographic assay at high concentrations.

2. A lack of synaptic inhibition was confirmed in the Purkinje cells of PC-Δγ2 mice, but this evoked little or no impairment in motor learning and performance on the rotarod test. Either the lack of synaptic inhibition is being compensated for by changes in receptor subunit composition, or the output of the cerebellar cortex to deep cerebellar nuclei is not disrupted by the elevated level of inhibition from Purkinje cells.

3. Fast, reversible inhibition of Purkinje cells was achieved by systemic, cumulative administration of zolpidem in PC-γ2-swap mice. This caused a transient impairment in their motor performance on the rotarod and walking beam. It is postulated that compared to the static loss of inhibition in PC-Δγ2 mice, the output of the cerebellar cortex to deep cerebellar nuclei had been disrupted by the putative sudden decrease of inhibition from Purkinje cells caused by the administration of zolpidem.

4. The lack of synaptic inhibition in Pv-cells caused wide-ranging behavioral alterations in Pv-Δγ2 mice. The increased GABA-insensitive binding, particularly in midbrain areas, implies that changes in GABA_A receptor subunit composition had occurred, possibly an increased amount of extrasynaptic αβ subtype receptors. The observed behavioral alterations particularly in cognition and emotion support previous studies on the role of Pv-cells as being essential to network oscillations and coordination of principal cell firing e.g. in neocortex and hippocampus.

5. In Pv-Δγ2-partial rescue mice the wild-type γ2F77 subunit was restored to the reticular nucleus of thalamus and the cerebellar molecular layer, which alleviated the cognitive and
motor impairments detected in Pv-Δγ2 mice, highlighting the important role of these structures in cognition and motor control. Systemic administration of DMCM resulted in fast, reversible motor impairment in Pv-Δγ2-partial rescue mice, mediated through the benzodiazepine binding site.
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