



University of Groningen

Erratum: Surface chemistry in photodissociation regions (vol 591, A52, 2016)

Esplugues, G. B.; Cazaux, S.; Meijerink, R.; Spaans, M.; Caselli, P.

Published in: Astronomy & Astrophysics

DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/201528001e

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2017

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Esplugues, G. B., Cazaux, S., Meijerink, R., Spaans, M., & Caselli, P. (2017). Erratum: Surface chemistry in photodissociation regions (vol 591, A52, 2016). Astronomy & Astrophysics, 598, [C1]. DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/201528001e

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.



Surface chemistry in photodissociation regions (Corrigendum)

G. B. Esplugues¹, S. Cazaux¹, R. Meijerink², M. Spaans¹, and P. Caselli³

¹ Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, University of Groningen, PO Box 800, NL 9700 AV Groningen, The Netherlands e-mail: esplugues@astro.rug.nl

² Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, NL 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

³ Max Planck Institute for Extraterrestrial Physics, Giessenbachstrasse 1, 85748 Garching, Germany

A&A 591, A52 (2016), DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/201528001

Key words. astrochemistry - ISM: abundances - photon-dominated region (PDR) - errata, addenda

1. Introduction

In Table A.5 (page 17) of our original publication (Esplugues et al. 2016), the rate coefficient considered for the CO ice photodesorption was 2.2×10^{-15} s⁻¹, however we should have considered a coefficient of 3.67×10^{-10} s⁻¹ according to recent results (Fayolle et al. 2011; Muñoz-Caro et al. 2016). We also update here the values for the solid species H₂O and H₂CO considering a coefficient of 3.67×10^{-11} s⁻¹ for both of them (see Table 1) instead of 2.16×10^{-11} s⁻¹. In particular, the photoprocess reaction rate, R_{photo} (cm⁻³ s⁻¹), is calculated for these cases as

$$R_{\rm photo} = n_i f_{\rm ss} k_{\rm photo},\tag{1}$$

where n_i is the number density of the photodissociated species, f_{ss} is the self-shielding factor, and k_{photo} (s⁻¹) is the photoprocess rate coefficient as follows:

$$k_{\text{photo}} = \frac{\chi F_{\text{Draine}}}{4n_{\text{surf}} N_{\text{lay}}} Y_i$$

\$\approx 2.16 \times 10^{-8} \chi Y_i = 3.67 \times 10^{-8} G_0 Y_i = \alpha_i G_0, (2)

following Chaparro-Molano & Kamp (2012). In expression (2), χ is the UV field strength (Draine 1978)¹, and the photon flux produced by this field per unit area is $F_{\text{Draine}} = 1.921 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Woitke et al. 2009). Furthermore, $n_{\text{surf}} = 1.11 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ is the surface density of available absorption sites per unit grain area assuming 3 Å separation between sites, $N_{\text{lay}} = 2$ is the assumed number of ice layers that photons can penetrate for photodesorption (Andersson et al. 2006; Arasa et al. 2010; Muñoz-Caro et al. 2016), and Y_i is the photodesorption yield per photon (see Table 2).

These corrections lead to variations in some of the results included in the original publication. The variations are mainly produced at visual extinctions $A_V \gtrsim 4$ mag. In particular, significant differences are found for Model 1 ($n_{\rm H} = 10^4$ cm⁻³, $G_0 = 10^4$), while results for Model 2 ($n_{\rm H} = 10^6$ cm⁻³, $G_0 = 10^4$) and Model 3 ($n_{\rm H} = 10^6$ cm⁻³, $G_0 = 10^2$) are barely affected. We

Table 1. Photoreactions on dust grains.

Reactions ^a	α_i (s ⁻¹)
$J(CO) + Photon \rightarrow CO$	3.67×10^{-10}
$J(H_2CO) + Photon \rightarrow H_2CO$	3.67×10^{-11}
$J(H_2O) + Photon \rightarrow H_2O$	3.67×10^{-11}

Notes. ^(a) The expression J(i) means ice of the species *i*.

Table 2. Photoreaction yields.

Species	Yield	Reference
СО	1×10^{-2}	Fayolle et al. (2011) and references therein
H_2CO	1×10^{-3}	Guzmán et al. (2013)
H_2O^a	$f(x,T)\times 10^{-3}$	Öberg et al. (2009b)

Notes. ^(a) Here, $f(x, T) = (1.3 + 0.032 \times T)(1 - e^{-x/l(T)})$. At low dust temperatures (<15 K), the photodesorption yield is ~1 × 10⁻³ for ice thickness between 1 and 10 monolayers.

show the new figures for those cases affected by the new rate coefficients below. We also compare these new figures with those from the original paper. *In spite of these variations, all the conclusions obtained in Esplugues et al.* (2016) remain the same.

2. Cooling

The corrected version of the paper, Fig. 1 (left), shows that cooling by CO becomes dominant at $3 < A_V \le 5$ mag. In the original paper (right), the cooling is dominated by CO and [OI] $63 \mu m$ at $3 < A_V \le 4$ mag and by gas-grain collisions at $4 < A_V \le 5$ mag.

3. Chemical structure

3.1. Gas-phase species

The corrected version of the paper, Fig. 2 (top), shows high CO gas-phase abundances in comparison with those obtained in the

¹ Draine field $(\chi) \simeq 1.7 \times$ Habing field (G_0) .



Fig. 1. Cooling processes for Model 1 as calculated in the original paper (right) and after the rate coefficient correction (left).



Fig. 2. Gas-phase fractional abundances, $n(x)/n_{\rm H}$, for Model 1 as calculated in the original paper (*bottom*) and after the rate coefficient correction (*top*).

present insignificant differences (less than one order of magnitude) between both plots.

3.2. Dust-phase species

Figure 3 (left) shows that, taking the updated rate coefficients into account, one full monolayer of CO₂ and H₂O ice at $A_V \leq 5$ mag does not form for Model 1, unlike the original (right) paper (see also Fig. 4 with the exact number of monolayers formed in each case). We also find a significant difference in the abundances of solid H₂O₂. In particular, with the new photodesorption rate coefficients (left), the H₂O₂ abundances remain high at $4 < A_V \leq 5$ mag in comparison with the original publication (right).

3.3. Ice species formation rates

For chemical reactions forming water ice (Fig. 5), the main difference between the original paper (right) and the corrected version (left) is found in the rates of the reaction between solid H and solid H_2O_2 for Model 1 at large A_V . In spite of this difference, however, we still obtain that the main chemical reaction forming water ice at $A_V > 4$ mag for Model 1 is the reaction between solid H and solid OH.

Figure 6 shows the rates of the reactions forming CO₂ ice in the original paper (right) and in the corrected version (left). The main differences between both plots are found once the maximum number of CO₂ monolayers is reached (at ~3.5 mag and ~1.5 mag for Models 2 and 3, respectively). For these cases, the CO₂ ice formation is dominated by the reaction of solid CO with solid O and solid OH. For Model 1, in the corrected version, we obtain that CO₂ is mainly formed only through the reaction between solid CO and solid O at $A_V \leq 5$ mag. In the original version of the paper, however, we obtain that CO₂ ice is formed through solid OH and solid CO at 4.5 $\leq A_V \leq 5$ mag.

original paper (bottom) at $4 \leq A_V \leq 5$ mag. For this visual extinction range, the gas temperature is also lower in the corrected version due to the higher CO contribution to the cooling of the region. The abundances of the other species showed in Fig. 2

4. Desorption probabilities

Figure 7 shows abundances for several gas-phase species considering two distinct desorption probabilities (δ_{ice}). The main





Fig. 3. Dust-phase fractional abundances, $n(x)/n_{\rm H}$, for Model 1 as calculated in the original paper (*right*) and after the rate coefficient correction (*left*). The dash-dotted black line represents the number of possible attachable sites on grain surfaces per cm³. JX means solid X.



Fig. 4. Growth of ice layers on grains surfaces for H₂O and CO₂ in the original paper (*right*) and in the corrected version (*left*). JX means solid X.



Fig. 5. Rates for surface reactions forming H_2O ice in the original paper (*right*) and in the corrected version (*left*). JX means solid X.

differences between both plots are found for CO at large visual extinctions ($A_V > 4$ mag). In particular, while the CO abundances drop at $A_V \sim 4$ mag in the original paper (right), this drop occurs deeper in the cloud ($A_V \sim 6$ mag) in the corrected version (left). It leads to a difference of ~3 orders of magnitude

in the CO abundances between both δ_{ice} in the corrected version of the paper. For H₂CO and CH₃OH, we still obtain differences of up to two and three orders of magnitude, respectively, between both δ_{ice} in the corrected paper as in the original publication.



Fig. 6. Rates for surface reactions forming CO₂ ice in the original paper (*right*) and in the corrected version (*left*). JX means solid X.



Fig. 7. Gas-phase fractional abundances, $n(x)/n_{\rm H}$, for Model 1 ($G_0 = 10^4$ and $n_{\rm H} = 10^4$) considering different desorption probabilities ($\delta_{\rm ice}$). Original paper (*right*) and corrected version (*left*).



Fig. 8. Gas-phase fractional abundances, $n(x)/n_{\rm H}$, for H, O, CO, H₂O, and CO₂ from Model 1 ($G_0 = 10^4$ and $n_{\rm H} = 10^4$) with and without considering dust chemistry. Original paper (*right*) and corrected version (*left*).

5. Effect of dust in the chemical composition of PDRs

Figures 8 and 9 show a comparison of gas-phase abundances for several species with and without dust chemistry between

the original (right) and the corrected paper (left). The main differences are found for CO, CO₂, HCO⁺, and CH₃OH. In the corrected version of the paper, the abundances of these species are higher with dust chemistry than without dust chemistry at $4 < A_V < 6$ mag, unlike the original paper.





Fig. 9. Gas-phase fractional abundances, $n(x)/n_{\rm H}$, for HCO⁺, HCN, H₂CO, and CH₃OH from Model 1 ($G_0 = 10^4$ and $n_{\rm H} = 10^4$) with and without considering dust chemistry. Original paper (*right*) and corrected version (*left*).



Fig. 10. Gas-phase fractional abundances, $n(x)/n_{\rm H}$, for HCO⁺, HCN, H₂CO, and CH₃OH from Model 1 ($G_0 = 10^4$ and $n_{\rm H} = 10^4$) obtained with this PDR code and the version from Meijerink & Spaans (2005). Original paper (*right*) and corrected version (*left*).



Fig. 11. Gas-phase fractional abundances, $n(x)/n_{\rm H}$, for H, O, CO, H₂O, and O₂ from Model 1 ($G_0 = 10^4$ and $n_{\rm H} = 10^4$) obtained with this PDR code and the version from Meijerink & Spaans (2005). Original paper (*right*) and corrected version (*left*).

6. Comparison with the original Meijerink PDR code

Figures 10 and 11 show gas-phase abundances for several species obtained with this PDR code and with the version from Meijerink & Spaans (2005) for the original paper (right) and the corrected paper (left). In the corrected version, the abundances of HCO⁺, H₂CO, and CH₃OH (Fig. 10) are up to two orders of magnitude larger than in the original publication at $4 \leq A_V \leq 5$ mag. In Fig. 11, we find the main difference in the CO abundances between the original paper (right) and the corrected version (left) at $4 \leq A_V \leq 5$ mag. Other species shown in Fig. 11 present differences that are lower than one order of magnitude at $4 \leq A_V \leq 5$ mag. For lower visual extinctions, all the abundances remain unchanged.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Evelyne Roueff for pointing out the error of the rate coefficient for the CO photodesorption in our original publication.

References

- Andersson, S., Al-Halabi, A., Kroes, G. J., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2006, J. Chem. Phys., 124, 064715
- Arasa, C., Andersson, S., Cuppen, H. M., et al. 2010, J. Chem. Phys., 132, 184510
- Chaparro-Molano, G., & Kamp, I. 2012, A&A, 537, A138
- Draine, B. T. 1978, ApJS, 36, 595
- Esplugues, G. B., Cazaux, S., Meijerink, R., et al. 2016, A&A, 591, A52
- Fayolle, E. C., Bertin, M., Romanzin, C., et al. 2011, ApJ, 739, L36
- Guzmán, V., Goicoechea, J. R., Pety, J., et al. 2013, A&A, 560, A73
- Meijerink, R., & Spaans, M. 2005, A&A, 436, 397
- Muñoz-Caro, G. M., Chen, Y. J., Aparicio, S., et al. 2016, A&A, 589, A19
- Öberg, K., Linnartz, H., Visser, R., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2009b, ApJ, 693, 1209 Woitke, P., Kamp, I., & Thi W. F. 2009, A&A, 501, 383