



# University of Groningen

# Magnetically induced ferroelectricity in Bi2CuO4

Zhao, L.; Guo, Hao; Schmidt, W.; Nemkovski, K.; Mostovoy, Maxim; Komarek, A. C.

Published in: Physical Review. B: Condensed Matter and Materials Physics

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.96.054424

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2017

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Zhao, L., Guo, H., Schmidt, W., Nemkovski, K., Mostovoy, M., & Komarek, A. C. (2017). Magnetically induced ferroelectricity in Bi2CuO4. Physical Review. B: Condensed Matter and Materials Physics, 96(5), [054424]. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.96.054424

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

## Magnetically induced ferroelectricity in Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub>

L. Zhao,<sup>1</sup> H. Guo,<sup>1</sup> W. Schmidt,<sup>2,3</sup> K. Nemkovski,<sup>4</sup> M. Mostovoy,<sup>5</sup> and A. C. Komarek<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Max-Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids, Nöthnitzer Str. 40, D-01187 Dresden, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Institut Laue-Langevin (ILL), CS 20156, 71 avenue des Martyrs, F-38042 Grenoble, France

<sup>3</sup>Jülich Centre for Neutron Science JCNS, Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH,

Outstation at ILL, CS 20156, 71 avenue des Martyrs, F-38042 Grenoble, France

<sup>4</sup>Jülich Centre for Neutron Science JCNS at Heinz Maier-Leibnitz Zentrum (MLZ),

Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Lichtenbergstraße 1, 85748 Garching, Germany

<sup>5</sup>Zernike Institute for Advanced Materials, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, Groningen 9747 AG, The Netherlands

(Received 6 March 2017; revised manuscript received 6 July 2017; published 18 August 2017)

The tetragonal copper oxide  $Bi_2CuO_4$  has an unusual crystal structure with a three-dimensional network of well separated CuO<sub>4</sub> plaquettes. The spin structure of  $Bi_2CuO_4$  in the magnetically ordered state below  $T_N \sim 43$  K remains controversial. Here we present the results of detailed studies of specific heat, magnetic, and dielectric properties of  $Bi_2CuO_4$  single crystals grown by the floating zone technique, combined with the polarized neutron scattering and high-resolution x-ray measurements. Down to 3.5 K our polarized neutron scattering measurements reveal ordered magnetic Cu moments which are aligned within the *ab* plane. Below the onset of the long range antiferromagnetic ordering we observe an electric polarization induced by an applied magnetic field, which indicates inversion symmetry breaking by the ordered state of Cu spins. For the magnetic field applied perpendicular to the tetragonal axis, the spin-induced ferroelectricity is explained in terms of the linear magnetoelectric effect that occurs in a metastable magnetic state. A relatively small electric polarization induced by the field parallel to the tetragonal axis may indicate a more complex magnetic ordering in  $Bi_2CuO_4$ .

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.96.054424

## I. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of high-temperature superconducting (HTSC) cuprates triggered an extensive research on copperbased materials, especially, low-dimensional cuprates with novel physical properties [1]. Among these materials, Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> is of special interest: its "2-1-4" chemical formula is common to the prototypical HTSC  $R_2$ CuO<sub>4+ $\delta$ </sub> compounds (R = rare earth, etc.). However, the isovalent substitution of the rare earth  $R^{3+}$  ions by Bi<sup>3+</sup> ions of similar ionic size results in a different and unique crystal structure shown in Fig. 1. This difference might be caused by a strong covalent Bi-O bonding [2]. Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> has a tetragonal structure (space group P4/ncc), in which the Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions exhibit a square-planar coordination by four oxygen ions. While in other cuprates the CuO<sub>4</sub> units form infinite two-dimensional CuO<sub>2</sub> layers (e.g., in  $R_2$ CuO<sub>4+ $\delta$ </sub> [1]) or quasi-one-dimensional ribbons or ladders, as in LiCu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [3] or Sr<sub>3</sub>Ca<sub>11</sub>Cu<sub>24</sub>O<sub>41</sub> [4], the CuO<sub>4</sub> plaquettes in Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> are isolated from each other. As shown in Fig. 1, the  $CuO_4$  plaquettes are staggered along the c direction. Each plaquette is twisted with respect to the adjacent one with a twist/rotation angle of  $\sim 33^\circ$ , thus, forming chains in the *c* direction. These chains are separated by nonmagnetic  $Bi^{3+}$  ions. The Cu-Cu distance along the chains is of the order of 3 Å, which is larger than in copper metal. Nuclear quadrupole resonance (NQR) experiments [5] indicate that the Bi<sup>3+</sup> ions are involved in the superexchange between Cu ions, i.e., Cu<sup>2+</sup>-O<sup>2-</sup>-Bi<sup>3+</sup>-O<sup>2-</sup>-Cu<sup>2+</sup> paths yield long range antiferromagnetic (AFM) ordering below the Néel temperature  $T_{\rm N} \sim 43$  K.

First studies of the magnetic properties of Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> suggested a quasi-1D spin-1/2 chain model with possible spin dimerizaton [6]. However, neutron diffraction measurements [7-11] revealed a long range three-dimensional (3D) AFM order of C type: in the magnetic unit cell, which coincides with the chemical one, spins in chains are parallel, while spins in neighboring chains are antiparallel. However, the orientation of Cu spins was not unambiguously determined and two magnetic structures were proposed-one with spins parallel to the c axis [7,10] and one with spins within the *ab* plane [11]. Optical spectroscopy experiments including polarized far-infrared and Raman spectra on single crystals suggest the c axis orientation of copper spins [12–15]. Specific heat and thermal expansion studies of the critical behavior around  $T_{\rm N}$  are consistent with the 3D Ising universality class, thus also suggesting an easy c axis anisotropy [16]. However, other experimental studies, including the high field anisotropic antiferromagnetic resonance (AFMR) [17-19] and torque magnetometry measurements [20], support an easy plane anisotropy in Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub>. Theoretical band structure calculations provided conflicting predictions [21,22]. Despite many efforts, this issue remains controversial.

Spin excitations in  $Bi_2CuO_4$  have been investigated by inelastic neutron scattering [23,24]. The magnon dispersion spectrum can be fitted using the spin wave theory with up to four AFM exchange terms. In Ref. [24] both intrachain and interchain exchange interactions were found to be antiferromagnetic, which indicates magnetic frustration in  $Bi_2CuO_4$ .

Previous analysis of the photoelectron spectra shows that  $Bi_2CuO_4$  is a charge-transfer insulator with a large band gap (around 2 eV) [25]. The high resistivity prevents a transport study on  $Bi_2CuO_4$  at low temperature. Dc conductivity measurements are only feasible at temperatures far above  $T_N$  [26]. Hence dielectric or ac conductivity measurements seem

2469-9950/2017/96(5)/054424(11)

<sup>\*</sup>komarek@cpfs.mpg.de



FIG. 1. Crystal structure of  $Bi_2CuO_4$ ; green/blue/gray spheres denote Cu/O/Bi atoms.

to be better suited to study  $Bi_2CuO_4$ . The temperature and frequency dependent dielectric response may yield further information of microscopic physical properties of  $Bi_2CuO_4$ . However, so far, there exists only one report of the dielectric properties of  $Bi_2CuO_4$  in the temperature range from 100 K to 300 K which is well above  $T_N$  [27].

The discovery of giant magnetoelectric effects in multiferroic manganites with coupled magnetic and electric dipoles [28,29] attracted a lot of interest in multiferroic and magnetoelectric materials. The spontaneous electric polarization observed in these frustrated magnets is induced by complex spiral spin structures which break time reversal and inversion symmetries. These unusual magnetic states are highly susceptible to applied magnetic fields, allowing for the magnetic control of electric polarization and, in some cases, for the electric control of magnetization, which is of great importance both for fundamental physics and technological applications [30,31]. A similar control can be achieved in materials showing a linear magnetoelectric effect, such as Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, where an electric polarization is induced by an applied magnetic field and vice versa [32-34]. The linear magnetoelectric effect also requires simultaneous breaking of time-reversal and inversion symmetry, but is usually observed in magnets with k = 0magnetic states.

Copper oxides provide a rich playground for studies of magnetoelectric phenomena. The ferroelectricity induced by spin spirals was observed in the multiferroic Cu-chain compounds,  $LiCu_2O_2$  and  $LiCuVO_4$  [35–37], as well as in the threedimensional CuO with a high spin ordering temperature [38]. Magnetically induced ferroelectricity and the linear magnetic response were recently observed in the spiral and skyrmion phases of the chiral cubic magnet Cu<sub>2</sub>OSeO<sub>3</sub> [39,40].

Here, we systematically investigate anisotropic physical properties of floating-zone grown  $Bi_2CuO_4$  single crystals including magnetic susceptibility, magnetization, specific heat, polarized neutron, dielectric constant, and pyroelectric measurements. Although the k = 0 AFM spin structure in  $Bi_2CuO_4$  was established decades ago, it was not noticed before that it breaks inversion symmetry and thus can give rise to magnetoelectric phenomena. We report the observation of the field-induced ferroelectricity in  $Bi_2CuO_4$ , which we associate with the linear magnetoelectric effect in a metastable state.

### **II. EXPERIMENT**

The growth of Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> single crystals was carried out in an optical floating zone furnace equipped with four elliptical mirrors (Crystal Systems Corp.). The polycrystalline rods were prepared from appropriate mixtures of Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and CuO with high purities. The mixed starting materials were sintered in air at 725 °C for 72 h with several intermediate grindings. Finally, the obtained powder was pressed into a rod with  $\sim$ 7 mm in diameter and  $\sim 12$  cm in length under a hydrostatic pressure of  $\sim$ 60 MPa. Afterwards, the rod was sintered at 750 °C for 24 h. During the floating zone growth the crystal was grown in a pure oxygen atmosphere. Due to the low surface tension, the molten zone of the Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> is difficult to control. In literature [41] fast growth rates (10 mm/h) were reported in order to cope with the low surface tension of the Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> melt. With such a growth speed, the quality of our single crystals was not good. However, by lowering the growth speed to 3 mm/h and carefully controlling the molten zone we, finally, obtained well crystalized single crystals (as confirmed by Laue diffraction) with typical sizes of the order of 5 cm.

This might be the reason why in earlier studies a fast growth rate was reported. Such a high growth rate yields products that easily crack and the quality of these crystals is not good. Therefore, we lowered the low growth speed to 3 mm/h, while both feed and seed rods were counter-rotated at the rates of 20–40 rpm. Finally, we obtained boules with typically 5 cm length. The corresponding growth direction changed to [1 0 2] compared to the [0 0 1] direction in single crystals grown with faster growth rate. Our as-grown single crystals were oriented by Laue diffraction, and cut into different rectangular plates of 1 mm thickness and with edges parallel to the crystallographic principal axes.

The magnetic properties of our samples were studied using a SQUID magnetometer (MPMS-5XL, Quantum Design, Inc.). The measurements of specific heat were carried out using a standard thermal relaxation calorimetric method in a commercial Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS, Quantum Design Inc.).

Polarized neutron scattering experiments were performed at the IN12 triple-axis spectrometer at Institut Laue-Langevin and diffuse neutron scattering spectrometer DNS at the Heinz Maier-Leibnitz Zentrum. The measurements at IN12 have been carried out using CryoPad setup for spherical neutron polarimetry. The flipping ratio was 21. The measurements at DNS we have done with two different setups. In the standard setup for xyz-polarization analysis we have studied the scattering in spin-flip and non-spin-flip channels for three different neutron polarizations. In this case the magnetic field at the sample was limited to the instrument guide field (around 10 G). The flipping ratio was above 26. Additionally, we have measured the sample mounted between two permanent magnets providing the field the sample roughly around 2.5 kG. In this case due to the depolarization of the neutron beam by magnets, we performed no polarization analysis. The incoming wavelength for all measurements at DNS was  $\lambda = 4.2$  Å.

Temperature dependent powder x-ray diffraction measurements have been performed on a powder x-ray diffractomter (Bruker D8 Discover A25) which is equipped with a Johansson monochromator for Cu-K $\alpha$  radiation and which was optimized for low background and high resolution. The measurements of our (crushed) single crystals confirm the absence of impurity phases. The temperature dependence of the lattice constants was measured using a He cryostat (Oxford Phenix).

For dielectric measurements, the plate-shaped samples were further polished thinner to a thickness of 0.1–0.3 mm. We applied silver paint to both sides as electrodes to form a parallel-plate capacitor. The samples were glued on the cryogenic stage of the customized probe that was inserted into a cryostat. A high-precision capacitance bridge (AH2700a, Andeen-Hagerling Inc.) or a LCR meter (E4980AL Keysight Technologies) was used for the dielectric measurements. The main sources of errors such as residual impedance in the whole circuit have been carefully compensated. In our measurements, various excitation levels (from 100 meV to 10 V) were used and no apparent difference was found, thus confirming the intrinsic nature of our observations.

To verify the ferroelectric nature of the possible multiferroic transitions, the spontaneous electric polarization (*P*) has been measured via the integration of the corresponding pyroelectric current. First, we fully polarized the specimens with a static electric field of 1000 kV/m during the cooling process from temperatures above  $T_N$ , then removed the electric field and short circuited the sample at lowest temperature in order to remove any possible trapped charge carriers. The pyroelectric current was, then, measured during the warming process at different heating rates (2–4 K/min).

#### **III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

#### A. Magnetic properties

We measured the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility  $\chi$  of a Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> single crystal in different magnetic fields and field directions (from 0.1 T to 5 T and with H parallel to *c* or *a* axis). In the zero-field-cooling (ZFC) and the field-cooling (FC)  $\chi(T)$  curves no essential difference was observable.

As shown in Fig. 2(a),  $\chi(T)$  increases on cooling, reaches its maximum within a broad hump around ~50 K, drops abruptly around ~43.5 K, and decreases further until an upturn below ~20 K occurs. The broad hump in  $\chi(T)$  is indicative for short-range fluctuations. The drop around ~43.5 K indicates the emergence of long-range AFM order (i.e.,  $T_N$ ); see also  $d\chi/dT(T)$  in the inset of Fig. 2(b) which exhibits a sharp peak at  $T_N \sim 43.5$  K. A strong anisotropy of  $\chi(T)$  can even be observed at high temperature. We plotted the temperature dependent inverse susceptibility ( $\chi^{-1}$ ) in Fig. 2(b). A strong deviation from linear behavior can be observed especially for  $H \parallel a$  even at high temperatures above  $T_N$ . Apparently, a simple Curie-Weiss law is not applicable to Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub>. Note that also ESR experiments [17] report an anisotropic g factor for Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub>.

Whereas the susceptibility of Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> is field independent above  $T_N$  it exhibits an unusual field dependence below  $T_N$  (for H || a)—up to ~1 T  $\chi$  is increasing with increasing size of H. Moreover, we measured the field-dependent magnetization and susceptibility at different temperatures. As shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) the *M*-*H* curve is nonlinear below 0.5–1 T for H || a and for temperatures below  $T_N$ . This behavior might



FIG. 2. (a) Temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility,  $\chi$ , measured for various magnitudes and directions of the magnetic field. (b) The corresponding temperature dependence of  $1/\chi$ . The derivative  $(d\chi/dT)$  is shown in the inset of panel (b).

be indicative of a metamagnetic transition with a kind of spin reorientation. In contrast to that we observe only linear M-H relations and field-independent susceptibilities for  $H \perp a$ . Our results for Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> are consistent with older studies in literature [11,20].

#### **B.** Polarized neutron scattering

To resolve the long-standing controversy regarding the orientation of ordered Cu spins [7,10–20], we studied the magnetic structure of Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> by polarized neutron diffraction. Figure 4 shows the magnetic neutron scattering intensities in the  $\sigma_{zz}$  and  $\sigma_{yy}$  spin-flip channels. No intensity in the  $\sigma_{yy}$  channel is observed. Hence the magnetic moments in Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> have no projection on the *c* axis and are entirely aligned within the *ab* plane. Thus the *c*-axis alignment of the copper spins as suggested in Refs. [7,10] can be discarded and the alignment within the *ab* plane [11] is corroborated. We obtained the same result in a polarized neutron measurement at 3.5 K using the DNS spectrometer at the FRM II; see Fig. 5.

The magnetoelectrically induced ferroelectricity in  $Bi_2CuO_4$  discussed in Sec. III G prompted us to look for signs of an incommensurate spin ordering in this material. Many frustrated magnets showing an incommensurate spiral



FIG. 3. Field dependence of magnetization and magnetic susceptibility with external magnetic field applied along the c and a axes.



FIG. 4. Polarization dependence of the magnetic (100) reflection measured at the IN12 spectrometer. The green and blue data points denote the magnetic neutron scattering intensities in the  $\sigma_{zz}$  and  $\sigma_{yy}$ spin-flip channels with the *x* axis being parallel to the scattering vector and *z* axis being perpendicular to the scattering plane. The lower figure shows the sample temperature at each measuring point of that scan which is always well below  $T_N$ . The temperature dependence of the magnetic (100) reflection is shown in the inset.



FIG. 5. Intensity of the magnetic (100) reflection measured at the DNS spectrometer in zero field (upper plot) and in the magnetic fields H of about 2.5 kG.

ordering are ferroelectric and competing exchange interactions in  $Bi_2CuO_4$  can lead to an instability of a uniform antiferromagnetic state. In fields up to ~0.25 T we could not observe any incommensurability within the experimental resolution of our measurements at the DNS spectrometer (see Fig. 5). Further high-resolution experiments in higher magnetic fields would be desirable.

#### C. Lattice parameters

We have measured Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> by means of powder xray diffraction on a powder x-ray diffractometer (Cu-K $\alpha$ radiation) that we optimized for high resolution. Within these measurements we were not able to find any indications for the occurrence of peak splittings or superstructure reflections below 300 K. However, our high resolution measurements are able to resolve an anomalous increase of the *a*- and an anomalous decrease of the *c*-lattice constant on cooling through  $T_N$ , see Fig. 6. This is indicative for magneto elastic coupling as was also observed in other transition metal compounds [42,43]. Our observed anomalous increase of the lattice constants is in agreement with reported thermal expansion measurements [16].

#### D. Heat capacity

Further evidence for long-range antiferromagnetic order in  $Bi_2CuO_4$  is revealed from our specific heat  $(C_p)$  mea-



FIG. 6. Temperature dependence of the lattice parameters. The red dashed line indicates a fit to the data above  $T_{\rm N}$ . Below  $T_{\rm N}$  an anomalous increase (decrease) of the *a* (*c*) lattice constant is observable. This observation is in agreement with high-resolution thermal expansion measurements.



FIG. 7. Temperature dependence of the specific heat,  $C_p(T)$ , for Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> single crystal. The red line represents the background to be subtracted to estimate the change in entropy from the anomaly peak around  $T_N$ . The upper right inset focuses on  $C_p(T)$  in the region around the magnetic transition, and two sets of  $C_p$  data are shown, measured during warming (solid square) and cooling processes (open circles), respectively. The  $C_p(T)$  is also plotted on the log-log scale in the bottom right inset, with a  $T^3$  (Debye) fit (red line) to the low temperature part of  $C_p(T)$ .

surements. As shown in Fig. 7,  $C_p(T)$  shows a  $\lambda$ -shaped anomaly at  $T_N$ . We have measured the specific heat also on heating and on cooling around  $T_N$ ; see the upper left inset of Fig. 7. The absence of any hysteresis indicates a second order phase transition. This is also consistent with the absence of any structural transition at  $T_N$  observed in our powder x-ray diffraction measurements.

Both lattice vibrations (phonons) and magnetic excitations (magnons) contribute to the specific heat  $C_p$ . At low temperatures the phonon contribution of  $C_p$  obeys the Debye T<sup>3</sup> power law. As can be seen in the lower right inset in Fig. 7, the low temperature part of  $C_p(T)$  can be fitted quite well with a function proportional to T<sup>3</sup>, thus suggesting that also the magnetic contribution of  $C_p$  obeys a T<sup>3</sup> law. The  $T^3$  dependence—rather than  $T^{3/2}$  behavior—further confirms long-range AFM ordering in Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub>. However, it remains difficult to extract the pure magnetic part of  $C_p(T)$  accurately due to the absence of nonmagnetic isostructural materials in order to estimate the contribution of the lattice vibrations to the total specific heat. To get a rough estimate of the entropy removed by the magnetic ordering, which is remarkably indicated by the peak of  $C_p(T)$  around  $T_N$ , we use a polynomial fit to the high-temperature (>60 K) and low-temperature (<25 K) regimes for the background (the red solid line shown in main panel of Fig. 7). The entropy obtained from integrating the peak in  $C_p(T)/T$  (for 25 K < T < 60 K) amounts to 1.82  $J \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$  which is only 32% of the full magnetic entropy associated with  $R \cdot \ln(2S + 1) \approx 5.76 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$  as expected for an S = 1/2 spin system.

#### E. Anisotropic dielectric properties as zero field

In view of the tetragonal symmetry of  $Bi_2CuO_4$  we measured the dielectric constant for an electric field *E* applied



FIG. 8. Temperature dependence of dielectric contants: (a)  $\varepsilon_{ab}$   $(E \perp c \text{ axis})$  and (b)  $\varepsilon_c$   $(E \parallel c \text{ axis})$ . Also shown is the corresponding dielectric loss (tan $\delta$ ). All data has been measured in zero field (H=0). The vertical arrow in (b) marks  $T_N$  where the slight kink occurs.

either within the *ab* plane,  $\varepsilon_{ab}$ , or along the *c* axis,  $\varepsilon_c$ . Two thin plate samples that were cut from our floating-zone-grown single crystal were used to investigate the anisotropic dielectric properties.

Figure 8 shows the temperature-dependent  $\varepsilon_c$  and  $\varepsilon_{ab}$  measured in zero field for different frequencies. We observed an anisotropy in permittivity:  $\varepsilon_{ab} \sim 18$  is distinctly lower than  $\varepsilon_c \sim 30$ , which resembles the anisotropy in magnetic susceptibility discussed above.

Both  $\varepsilon_c$  and  $\varepsilon_{ab}$  slightly decrease with decreasing temperature and saturate at lowest temperature.  $\varepsilon_{ab}$  and  $\varepsilon_c$  also exhibit a strong frequency dependence characteristic of a Debye-type dipolar relaxationlike behavior. For analysis of the frequency dispersion, the corresponding dielectric loss (tan $\delta$ ) curves are also shown. At each measuring frequency the loss exhibits a peak corresponding to the kneelike change of  $\varepsilon$ . As frequency increases, the peak shifts to higher temperatures with a concomitant decrease of magnitude. The peak temperature and the frequency can be fitted with the Arrhenius law,  $f = f_0 \exp(-Q/kT_{\text{peak}})$ , where f is the characteristic frequency, k is the Boltzmann constant,  $T_{\text{peak}}$  is the peak temperature,  $f_0$ is a prefactor, and Q is the activation energy. Fitting the two sets of data from Figs. 8(a) and 8(b) yields nearly the same activation energy of ~22 meV.

Across the magnetic ordering temperature  $T_N$ , a weak "kink" appears in  $\varepsilon_c(T)$  at all frequencies. However, no corresponding anomalous behavior was found in the dielectric loss indicating the absence of ferroelectric transition in zero field. This conclusion is corroborated by our pyroelectric measurements discussed below. No anomaly is observed in  $\varepsilon_{ab}(T)$ .

#### F. In-plane dielectric response in an applied magnetic field

Next we studied the in-plane dielectric properties under applied magnetic fields. We present the data measured at 1 kHz because of the relatively large separation of the dispersion peak from  $T_N$  and a better signal-to-noise ratio at low frequencies.

We applied *H* parallel and perpendicular to the measuring electric field *E*. Up to 9 T no change can be observed in  $\varepsilon_{ab}$  and  $\tan \delta_{ab}$ , as shown in Fig. 9. The corresponding pyroelectric



FIG. 9. Temperature dependence of in-plane dielectric constants  $\varepsilon_{ab}$  and corresponding loss for (a)  $H \parallel E$  and (b)  $H \perp E$ . The corresponding dielectric loss (tan $\delta$ ) is also shown. The measurement frequency amounts to 1 kHz. (c) The magnetic field induced change of the dielectric constant (measured at 1 kHz) at different temperatures (4 K, 40 K, and 50 K).

measurements also show no electric polarization (not shown). We also investigated the in-plane magnetodielectric effect at temperatures below and above  $T_N$  for several values of the applied field H. As shown in Fig. 9(c) for  $H \perp E$  no magnetodielectric effect is observed. Similar results were found for  $H \parallel E$ .

### G. Out-of-plane dielectric properties in an applied field and magnetically induced ferroelectricity

In this section we describe the effect of magnetic field on the dielectric permitivity along the tetragonal *c* axis,  $\varepsilon_c$ . We show that under an applied magnetic field Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> becomes ferroelectric with the field-dependent electric polarization,  $P_c$ , parallel to the *c* axis.

#### 1. Out-of-plane dielectric response in H || c

We performed dielectric measurements for a variety of magnetic fields applied along the *c* direction. Figure 10 shows the detailed results for H = 9 T. The most remarkable observation is the sharp  $\lambda$ -shaped peak observed in both  $\varepsilon_c(T)$  and  $\tan \delta_c$  for all frequencies, which is indicative of a ferroelectric transition. The peak position is independent of frequency and coincides with  $T_N$  determined from magnetic susceptibility and specific heat measurements. Compared with the zero field data Fig. 8(b) shows that the frequency-dependent dielectric relaxation behavior is essentially unaffected by the external magnetic field.

The direct evidence for the ferroelectric nature of the transition at  $T_N$  is given by our pyroelectric current measurements shown in Fig. 10(c). A small but very sharp peak emerges as temperature approaches  $T_N$ . The pyroelectric current drops to zero above  $T_N$ . The corresponding *c*-axis electric polarization,  $P_c$ , obtained by integrating the pyroelectric current, appears below  $T_N$  and reaches its (rather small) saturation value of about 0.32  $\mu$ C/m<sup>2</sup>. The reversal of the poling electric field changes sign of  $P_c$ . This proves the emergence of ferroelectricity in Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub>under the applied magnetic field.

Figure 11 shows  $\varepsilon_c(T)$  measured at the frequency 1 kHz for different applied magnetic fields ranging from 0 to 9 T. The small kink around  $T_N$  observed in zero field remains unchanged for magnetic fields up tp  $\sim 0.5$  T. As the magnetic field is increased further, a dielectric peak appears [see, e.g., the blue curve measured at 1.5 T in the inset of Fig. 11(a)]. This dielectric peak develops gradually with increasing H and no saturation is observed up to our instrumental limit of 9 T. The transition temperature  $T_{\rm N}$  at which the peak is observed is almost unaffected by H. The same behavior is observed in the corresponding loss curves shown in Fig. 11(b). Hence the dielectric anomaly associated with the onset of ferroelectricity in Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> appears for applied magnetic fields ( $>\sim 0.5$  T) and becomes more pronounced as the magnetic field increases. The results for field and temperature dependence of  $P_c$  [Fig. 11(c)] clearly show that Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> becomes ferroelectric under an applied magnetic field  $H \| c$ .

We also studied the magnetodielectric coupling for  $H \parallel c$ , i.e., the dependence of the dielectric constant on an applied magnetic field. Figure 11(d) shows the relative change of the permittivity,  $\frac{\Delta \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} = \frac{\varepsilon_c(H) - \varepsilon_c(0)}{\varepsilon_c(0)}$ , for several temperatures below and above the transition temperature,  $T_{\rm N}$ . A prominent



FIG. 10. Temperature dependence of (a) the *c*-axis dielectric constant  $\varepsilon_c$  and (b) the tan loss for different frequencies measured in a field of H = 9 T along the *c* axis. (c) The pyroelectric currents (black and red dots) measured with both positive and negative poling electric fields of 1 MV/m applied. The corresponding polarization ( $P_c$ , green and blue solid lines) obtained by integrating the pyroelectric currents from above  $T_{\rm N}$ .

magnetodielectric effect is only observed for T close to  $T_N$ . At T = 43 K, the value of  $\frac{\Delta \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}$  grows with increasing field H (>1 T) reaching ~0.06% at 9 T.

#### 2. Out-of-plane dielectric response in H || a

We performed similar measurements for magnetic fields applied along the *a* direction  $(H \perp E)$ . The results are shown in Fig. 12. In contrast to the behavior observed for  $H \parallel c$ , the dielectric peak in  $\varepsilon_c(T)$  at  $T_N$  is discernible already at very low magnetic fields. At about ~0.5 T the peak reaches its maximum size and becomes smaller as *H* is increased further [see the inset in Fig. 12(a)]. Concomitantly with the peak in  $\varepsilon_c$ , a sharp peak in the dielectric loss, tan  $\delta_c$ , appears and disappears [see Fig. 12(b)].



FIG. 11. Temperature dependence of (a)  $\varepsilon_c$ , (b) tan loss, and (c) polarization measured in different fields along the *c* axis. (d) The magnetic field induced change of  $\varepsilon_c$  at different temperatures (from 4 K to 45 K). The measuring frequency amounts to 1 kHz.



FIG. 12. Temperature dependence of (a)  $\varepsilon_c$ , (b) tan loss, and (c) the polarization measured in different magnetic fields applied along the *a* direction. (d) The magnetic field induced change in  $\varepsilon_c$  at different temperatures (from 5 K to 45 K). The measuring frequency is 1 kHz.

Figure 12(c) shows the electric polarization  $P_c$  measured in H||a. A low field of just 0.2 T induces ferroelectricity in Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> with a spontaneous polarization of about 0.14  $\mu$ C/m<sup>2</sup> at 4 K. After  $P_c$  reaches its maximum value, it decreases upon further increase of the magnetic field and vanishes around 6 T.

Finally, we also studied the magnetodielectric effect for H || a. As for H || c, there is no discernible magnetodielectric effect at temperatures far from  $T_N$ . Only around the dielectric peak region we are able to observe a prominent magnetodielectric effect in low fields. At 43 K,  $\frac{\Delta \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}$  rises sharply with increasing field up to its maximum value at about ~0.5 T, and then decreases upon further increase of H.

#### **IV. DISCUSSION**

In zero field, previous neutron diffraction measurements on Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> reveal a k = 0 collinear spin structure with parallel spins in the chains running along the *c* and antiparallel spins in neighboring chains. However, the orientation of ordered magnetic moments is poorly understood and remains controversial. In other magnetic Cu<sup>2+</sup> (S = 1/2) compounds with the CuL<sub>4</sub> (L = 0, Cl, Br) square-planar coordination (e.g., in CuCl<sub>2</sub> · 2H<sub>2</sub>O, CuCl<sub>2</sub>, CuBr<sub>2</sub>, or LiCuVO<sub>4</sub>) an easyplane anisotropy is observed. Our polarized neutron data suggest that this is also the case for Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub>.

Our observation of a metamagnetic behavior in low in-plane fields (of about ~0.5 T at 2 K) is consistent with previous findings [11,20]. The nonlinearity of the M(H) curve likely originates from the field-dependent volume fraction of the four antiferromagnetic domains, in which spins are (anti)parallel to the *a* or *b* axis. We cannot exclude, however, a more complex spin-reorientation transition taking place at  $H_a \sim 0.5$  T.

Concomitant with the emergence of a long range magnetic ordering at  $T_N$ , we observe a transition into a ferroelectric state with the polarization along the *c* direction, which appears under an applied magnetic field. This transition is manifest in both the dielectric constant,  $\varepsilon_c(T, H)$ , and polarization,  $P_c(T, H)$ , measurements. The transition occurs for magnetic fields applied either in the *ab* plane or along the *c* direction. The electric polarization  $P_c$  as a function of the magnetic field along the *a* and *c* axes at 35 K (at which the polarization nearly saturates) is shown in Fig. 13. As we discuss below, the magnetoelectric response provides important additional information on magnetic ordering in Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub>.

Although the magnetic field dependence of the electric polarization is nonlinear, the most likely source of the field-induced ferroelectricity is the linear magnetoelectric effect [32–34]. We assume that the antiferromagnetic order parameter in Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> is  $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{M}_1 - \mathbf{M}_2 + \mathbf{M}_3 - \mathbf{M}_4$ , where  $\mathbf{M}_i$  (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) is the sublattice magnetization labeled by the four independent Cu sites occupying the 4*c* Wykoff positions in the crystallographic unit cell with the coordinates  $\mathbf{r}_1 = (1/4, 1/4, z)$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_2 = (3/4, 3/4, 1/2 - z)$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_3 = (1/4, 1/4, 1/2 + z)$ , and  $\mathbf{r}_4 = (3/4, 3/4, -z)$ . Spatial inversion,  $\mathbf{r} \rightarrow -\mathbf{r}$ , interchanges the sites 1 and 4 and the sites 2 and 3, so that under inversion  $\mathbf{L}$  changes sign. The inversion symmetry breaking by this antiferromagnetic ordering allows for a linear magnetoelectric effect. We note that the noncentrosymmetric antiferromagnetic order is incompatible with the interpretation



FIG. 13. Phase diagram of  $Bi_2CuO_4$  at 35 K which contains the information about the magnetic field dependence of the electric polarization  $P_c$  for two different field directions: (a) H || a and (b) H || c.

of the metamagnetic behavior in terms of a weak ferromagnetic moment [44].

The free energy density describing the linear magnetoelectric coupling contains four terms allowed by *P4/ncc* symmetry of the crystal lattice,

$$f_{\rm me} = -g_1(L_a H_a + L_b H_b)E_c - g_2(H_a E_a + H_b E_b)L_c - g_3(E_a L_a + E_b L_b)H_c - g_4 L_c H_c E_c,$$
(1)

where  $g_{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha = 1,2,3,4$ ) are the coupling constants. The *c* component of the electric polarization induced by an applied magnetic field is then

$$P_c = -\partial f_{\rm me}/\partial E_c = g_1(L_aH_a + L_bH_b) + g_4L_cH_c.$$
 (2)

Similar expressions can be obtained for  $P_a$  and  $P_b$ , but since no significant in-plane electric polarization was observed, the coupling constants  $g_2$  and  $g_3$  must be relatively small.

We first discuss the magnetoelectric behavior of Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> in  $H \parallel a$  [see Figs. 12(c) and 13(a)]. Our polarized neutron scattering data show that in zero field the antiferromagnetic vector **L** lies in the *ab* plane. It can be oriented either along the crystallographic axes (the ±[100] and ±[010] directions) or along the diagonals (the ±[110] and ±[110] directions). In the latter case, the magnetic field parallel to the *a* axis would result in a gradual rotation of **L** toward the [100] plane. Instead, we observe a metamagnetic transition at  $H_a \sim 0.5$  T (see Fig. 3), which indicates that in zero field Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> is divided into domains with  $L \parallel a$  and  $L \parallel b$ . The zero-field magnetic susceptibility is then the average of the longitudinal ( $L \parallel H$ ) and transverse ( $L \perp H$ ) magnetic susceptibilities. Above the metamagnetic transition the  $L_a$  domains disappear and the magnetic susceptibility becomes purely transversal, which explains why above ~0.5 T it increases approximately by factor of 2 (see Fig. 3). The peculiar dependence of  $P_c$ on  $H_a$  shown in Fig. 13(a) is consistent with this scenario. As follows from Eq. (2), the linear magnetoelectric coefficient  $\alpha_{ca} = \frac{\partial P_c}{\partial H_a} = g_1 L_a$ , which is why  $P_c$  grows linearly with the field below ~0.5 T, when the  $L_a$  domains are present, and decreases above ~0.5 T, when these domains disappear.

Below we discuss the spin-reorientation transition in more detail. Because of tetragonal symmetry of Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub>, the L||b state has a lower free energy than the L||a for an arbitrarily small  $H_a$ . However, in low applied magnetic fields the motion of domain walls separating the L||a and L||b domains can be hindered by pinning and the ferroelectric state with  $L_a \neq 0$  can survive as a metastable state. There is, however, an upper critical field,  $H_{cr}$ , above which the ferroelectric state becomes locally unstable and disappears. This can explain the nature of the metamagnetic transition observed at ~0.5 T.

This transition as well as the observed magnetoelectric response can be described using the phenomenological Landau theory. Assuming that both the vector order parameter and the applied magnetic field are confined to the *ab* plane, while the electric field is parallel to the *c* axis, we can write the free energy density of  $Bi_2CuO_4$  in the form

$$f \approx \frac{a}{2}L^{2} + \frac{b_{1}}{4}L^{4} + \frac{b_{2}}{2}L_{a}^{2}L_{b}^{2} + \frac{c_{1}}{2}L^{2}H^{2} + \frac{c_{2}}{2}(\mathbf{L}\cdot\mathbf{H})^{2} + c_{3}L_{a}L_{b}H_{a}H_{b} - \frac{\chi_{0}}{2}H^{2} - g_{1}(\mathbf{L}\cdot\mathbf{H})E_{c}, \qquad (3)$$

where  $L^2 = L_a^2 + L_b^2$ ,  $H^2 = H_a^2 + H_b^2$ ,  $(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{H}) = L_a H_a + L_b H_b$ ,  $\chi_0$  is the background magnetic susceptibility, and  $a = \alpha(T - T_N(0))$ ,  $T_N(0)$  being the transition temperature in zero magnetic field. The quartic anisotropy coefficient,  $b_2 > 0$ , favors **L** (anti)parallel to the *a* and *b* axes, while  $c_2 > 0$  favors  $\mathbf{L} \perp \mathbf{H}$ .

Minimizing the free energy Eq. (3) with respect to  $L_a$  for  $L_b = H_b = 0$ , we obtain the order parameter in the metastable state,

$$L_{a}^{2} = \frac{\alpha(T_{N}(H_{a}) - T)}{b_{1}},$$
(4)

where  $T_N(H_a) = T_N(0) - \frac{(c_1+c_2)}{\alpha}H_a^2$  is the transition temperature in the applied field. The (temperature-dependent) critical field,  $H_{cr}$ , above which this state is unstable, is given by

$$H_{\rm cr}^2 = \frac{\alpha (T_N(0) - T)b_2}{b_1 c_2 + b_2 (c_1 + c_2)}.$$
(5)

The electric polarization, given by Eq. (2), is approximately proportional to  $H_a$ , for  $H_a < H_{cr}$  (the magnetic field dependence of  $L_a$  [see Eq. (4)] is weak, since our experiment shows that the transition temperature is practically field independent). Finally, the dielectric susceptibility associated with the antiferromagnetic order is given by

$$\chi_c = \left. \frac{\partial P_c}{\partial E_c} \right|_{E_c=0} = \chi_L g_1^2 H_a^2, \tag{6}$$

where  $\chi_L$  is the antiferromagnetic susceptibility:  $\chi_L = \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial L_a^2}\right)^{-1} \propto |T - T_N(H_a)|^{-1}$  near the transition (see Ref. [45], where also the effect of spin fluctuations on the critical behavior of the dielectric susceptibility of a linear magnetoelectric

material is discussed). The dielectric susceptibility diverges because in the applied magnetic field a linear magnetoelectric material becomes ferroelectric, since for  $H_a \neq 0$  the antiferromagnetic order,  $L_a$ , is linearly coupled to the electric field,  $E_c$ . Equation (6) is consistent with our observations (see Sec. III G 2): the magnetic field dependence of  $\varepsilon_c$  is only strong close to  $T_N$ , where the divergence of  $\chi_c$  compensates for the weakness of the magnetoelectric response.

The explanation of the magnetoelectric response in H || c[see Figs. 11(c) and 13(b)] is less straightforward. According to Eq. (2), the linear magnetoelectric coefficient  $\alpha_{cc} = \frac{\partial P_c}{\partial H_c} =$  $g_4 L_c$  is only nonzero if  $L_c \neq 0$ . However,  $L_c$  is zero in zero field and H || c is unlikely to result in a transition that rotates **L** out of the *ab* plane. Also the linear dependence of  $M_c$  on  $H_c$  [Figs. 3(a) and 3(c)] shows the absence of any transition. One possible explanation is a small in-plane magnetic field component resulting from a small misalignment of the sample or demagnetizing fields. Note that  $\alpha_{cc}$  is ~15 times smaller than  $\alpha_{ca}$  for  $H_a < 0.5$  T.

Another possible explanation is that the actual symmetry of  $Bi_2CuO_4$  is lower than tetragonal. We did not, however, find any indication for a symmetry lowering, even though our high-resolution powder x-ray diffraction measurements allow us to resolve tiny thermal expansion effects (see Fig. 6). Ferroelectricity in  $Bi_2CuO_4$  can, in principle, be induced by a complex magnetic ordering, such as an incommensurate spin spiral with a small wave vector. The rotation of the spiral plane in an applied magnetic field, which transforms a nonferroelectric helical spiral into a ferroelectric cycloidal spiral, can mimic linear magnetoelectric response, as was observed in the  $CoCr_2Se_4$  spinel [46,47]. However, also in the spiral state scenario it is difficult to explain why the out-of-plane electric polarization is induced by both in-plane and out-of-plane magnetic fields.

#### **V. CONCLUSIONS**

A combination of several experimental techniques was applied to clarify the nature of magnetic ordering in floating zone grown Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> single crystals. Polarized neutron scattering measurements clearly show that ordered magnetic moments of Cu ions are confined to the *ab* plane, which resolves the long standing controversy. In addition, we observe a ferroelectric polarization parallel to the c axis induced by applied magnetic fields, which is consistent with inversion symmetry breaking by the C-type antiferromagnetic ordering. We argue that the electric polarization in the magnetic field parallel to the aaxis originates from the linear magnetoelectric effect in a metastable state, which disappears above a critical magnetic field. The relatively weak response observed in the magnetic field parallel to the c axis does not seem to be compatible with symmetry of Bi<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> and remains a puzzle. Further studies of the magnetic states of this material are necessary.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank L. H. Tjeng and O. Stockert for fruitful discussions and Ch. Becker, T. Mende, and S. Wirth for their support on the experimental construction of the dielectric measurement system.

- [1] J. G. Bednorz and K. A. Müller, Z. Phys. B 64, 189 (1986).
- [2] J. C. Boivin, D. Tomas, and S. Tridot, C. R. Acad. Sci. C 276, 1105 (1973).
- [3] T. Masuda, A. Zheludev, A. Bush, M. Markina, and A. Vasiliev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 177201 (2004); 94, 039706 (2005).
- [4] P. Abbamonte, G. Blumberg, A. Rusydi, A. Gozar, P. G. Evans, T. Siegrist, L. Venema, H. Eisaki, E. D. Isaacs, and G. A. Sawatzky, Nature (London) 431, 1078 (2004).
- [5] D. F. Khozeev, A. A. Gippius, E. N. Morozova, A. N. Vasil'ev, A. V. Zalessky, W. Hoffmann, K. Luders, G. Dhalenne, and A. Revcolevshi, Physica B (Amsterdam) 284-288, 1377 (2000).
- [6] K. Sreedhar, P. Ganguly, and S. Ramasesha, J. Phys. C 21, 1129 (1988).
- [7] J. L. Garcia-Mufioz, J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, F. Sapina, M. J. Sanchis, R. Ibanez, and D. Beltran-Porter, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2, 2205 (1990).
- [8] R. Troc, J. Janicki, I. Filatow, P. Fischer, and A. Murasik, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2, 6989 (1990).
- [9] E. W. Ong, G. H. Kwei, R. A. Robinson, B. L. Ramakrishna, and R. B. Von Dreele, Phys. Rev. B 42, 4255 (1990).
- [10] J. Konstantinovic, G. Stanisic, M. Ain, and G. Parette, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 3, 381 (1991).
- [11] K. Yamada, K. Takada, S. Hosoya, Y. Watanabe, Y. Endoh, N. Tomonaga, and T. Suzuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 60, 2406 (1991).
- [12] M. J. Konstantinovi, Z. V. Popovi, S. D. Devi, A. Revcolevschi, and G. Dhalenne, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 4, 7913 (1992).
- [13] Z. V. Popovi, G. Kliche, M. J. Konstantinovi, and A. Revcolevschi, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 4, 10085 (1992).
- [14] M. J. Konstantinovic and Z. V. Popovic, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 6, 10357 (1994).
- [15] M. J. Konstantinović, Z. Konstantinović, and Z. V. Popović, Phys. Rev. B 54, 68 (1996).
- [16] B. D. White, W. M. Pätzold, and J. J. Neumeier, Phys. Rev. B 82, 094439 (2010).
- [17] H. Ohta, K. Yoshida, T. Matsuya, T. Nanba, M. Motokawa, S. Hosoya, K. Yamada, and Y. Endoh, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 61, 2921 (1992).
- [18] A. I. Pankrats, G. A. Petrakovskii, and K. A. Sablina, Solid State Commun. 91, 121 (1994).
- [19] H. Ohta, Y. I. S. Kimura, S. Okubo, H. Nojiri, M. Motokawa, S. Hosoya, K. Yamada, and Y. Endoh, Physica B (Amsterdam) 246, 557 (1998).
- [20] M. Herak, M. Miljak, G. Dhalenne, and A. Revcolevschi, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 22, 026006 (2010).
- [21] O. Janson, R. O. Kuzian, S.-L. Drechsler, and H. Rosner, Phys. Rev. B 76, 115119 (2007).

- [22] S. Matsushima, K. Yamada, H. Nakamura, M. Arai, and K. Kobayashi, J. Ceram. Soc. Jpn. 116, 589 (2008).
- [23] G. A. Petrakovskii, K. A. Sablina, V. V. Valkov, B. V. Fedoseev, A. Furrer, P. Fischer, and B. Roessli, JETP Lett. 56, 144 (1992).
- [24] M. Aïn, G. Dhalenne, O. Guiselin, B. Hennion, and A. Revcolevschi, Phys. Rev. B 47, 8167 (1993).
- [25] A. Goldoni, U. del Pennino, F. Parmigiani, L. Sangaletti, and A. Revcolevschi, Phys. Rev. B 50, 10435 (1994).
- [26] A. Ghosh and S. Hazra, Solid State Commun. 106, 677 (1998).
- [27] K. Yoshii, T. Fukuda, H. Akahama, J. Kano, T. Kambe, and N. Ikeda, Physica C (Amsterdam) 471, 766 (2011).
- [28] T. Kimura, T. Goto, H. Shintani, K. Ishizaka, T. Arima, and Y. Tokura, Nature (London) 426, 55 (2003).
- [29] N. Hur, S. Park, P. A. Sharma, J. S. Ahn, S. Guha, and S.-W. Cheong, Nature (London) 429, 392 (2004).
- [30] S.-W. Cheong and M. Mostovoy, Nat. Mater. 6, 13 (2007).
- [31] R. Ramesh and N. A. Spaldin, Nat. Mater. 6, 21 (2007).
- [32] I. E. Dzyaloshinskii, Sov. Phys. JETP 10, 628 (1959).
- [33] D. N. Astrov, Sov. Phys. JETP 11, 708 (1960).
- [34] M. Fiebig, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 38, R123 (2005).
- [35] S. Park, Y. J. Choi, C. L. Zhang, and S.-W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 057601 (2007).
- [36] F. Schrettle, S. Krohns, P. Lunkenheimer, J. Hemberger, N. Büttgen, H. A. Krug von Nidda, A. V. Prokofiev, and A. Loidl, Phys. Rev. B 77, 144101 (2008).
- [37] A. Ruff et al., J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 26, 485901 (2014).
- [38] T. Kimura, Y. Sekio, H. Nakamura, T. Siegrist, and A. P. Ramirez, Nat. Mater. 7, 291 (2008).
- [39] S. Seki, X. Yu, S. Ishiwata, and Y. Tokura, Science 336, 198 (2012).
- [40] E. Ruff, P. Lunkenheimer, A. Loidl, H. Berger, and S. Krohns, Sci. Rep. 5, 15025 (2015).
- [41] G. Dhalenne, A. Revcolevshi, M. Ain, B. Hennion, G. Andre, and G. Parette, Cryst. Prop. Prep. 36-38, 11 (1991).
- [42] A. C. Komarek, T. Taetz, M. T. Fernández-Díaz, D. M. Trots, A. Moller, and M. Braden, Phys. Rev. B 79, 104425 (2009).
- [43] L. Zhao, M. T. Fernández-Díaz, L. H. Tjeng, and A. C. Komarek, Sci. Adv. 2, e1600353 (2016).
- [44] R. Szymczak, H. Szymczak, A. V. Zalessky, and A. A. Bush, Phys. Rev. B 50, 3404 (1994).
- [45] N. Mufti, G. R. Blake, M. Mostovoy, S. Riyadi, A. A. Nugroho, and T. T. M. Palstra, Phys. Rev. B 83, 104416 (2011).
- [46] K. Siratori, J. Akimitsu, E. Kita, and M. Nishi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 48, 1111 (1980).
- [47] H. Murakawa, Y. Onose, K. Ohgushi, S. Ishiwata, and Y. Tokura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 77, 043709 (2008).