



University of Groningen

Phase lags of quasi-periodic oscillations across source states in the low-mass X-ray binary 4U 1636-53

de Avellar, Marcio G. B.; Mendez, Raúl; Altamirano, Diego; Sanna, Andrea; Zhang, Guobao

Published in: Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society

DOI: 10.1093/mnras/stw1271

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2016

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): de Avellar, M. G. B., Méndez, M., Altamirano, D., Sanna, A., & Zhang, G. (2016). Phase lags of quasiperiodic oscillations across source states in the low-mass X-ray binary 4U 1636-53. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 461(1), 79-92. DOI: 10.1093/mnras/stw1271

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Phase lags of quasi-periodic oscillations across source states in the low-mass X-ray binary 4U 1636–53

Marcio G. B. de Avellar,¹* Mariano Méndez,² Diego Altamirano,³ Andrea Sanna⁴ and Guobao Zhang⁵

¹Instituto de Astronomia, Geofísica e de Ciências Atmosféricas, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão 1226, 05508-090 São Paulo, Brazil

²Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, University of Groningen, PO Box 800, 9700 AV Groningen, the Netherlands

³Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom

⁴Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Cagliari, SP Monserrato-Sestu km 0.7, I-09042 Monserrato, Italy

⁵New York University Abu Dhabi, PO Box 129188, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

Accepted 2016 May 25. Received 2016 May 25; in original form 2016 January 29

ABSTRACT

While there are many dynamical mechanisms and models that try to explain the origin and phenomenology of the quasi-periodic oscillations (QPOs) seen in the X-ray light curves of low-mass X-ray binaries, few of them address how the radiative processes occurring in these extreme environments give rise to the rich set of variability features actually observed in these light curves. A step towards this end comes from the study of the energy and frequency dependence of the phase lags of these QPOs. Here we used a methodology that allowed us to study, for the first time, the dependence of the phase lags of all QPOs in the range of 1–1300 Hz detected in the low-mass X-ray binary 4U 1636–53 upon energy and frequency as the source changes its states as it moves through the colour–colour diagram. Our results suggest that within the context of models of up-scattering Comptonization, the phase lags dependences upon frequency and energy can be used to extract size scales and physical conditions of the medium that produces the lags.

Key words: accretion, accretion disc – stars: neutron – X-rays: binaries – X-rays: individual: 4U 1636–53.

1 INTRODUCTION

Neutron star and black hole low-mass X-ray binaries (NS- and BH-LMXBs) show rapid (seconds to milliseconds) X-ray variability components in the power density spectra (PDS). In the PDS of NS-LMXBs we can usually identify a power-law component at very low frequencies, a low-frequency complex of quasi-periodic oscillations (QPOs) ranging from 0.01 to 100 Hz, and a group of two to four QPOs with frequencies \geq 100 Hz (see van der Klis 2006, for a review).

Most of the X-ray variability features identified as QPOs have frequencies that correlate with each other in a given source while other properties of these QPOs, like the fractional amplitude (rms) and the quality factor (Q), depend also on the spectral state of the source (see, for example, van Straaten et al. 2002; Altamirano et al. 2008). Hence, much of the work in the last 15 yr has focused in the characterization of the relation between the frequencies of different variability features or the dependence of the frequencies on other source properties (e.g. Wijnands et al. 1997a; van Straaten et al. 2002; Di Salvo, Méndez & van der Klis 2003; Méndez 2006; Altamirano et al. 2008). In particular frequency-frequency correlations of some

* E-mail: mgb.avellar@iag.usp.br

timing features across black hole, neutron-star or white-dwarf Xray binaries (e.g. Wijnands & van der Klis 1999; Psaltis, Belloni & van der Klis 1999; Mauche 2002; Warner & Woudt 2002) suggests that the origin of these features is independent of the nature of the central compact object, but they are produced in a physical component that is common to all these systems, e.g. the accretion disc or a corona.

Of particular interest are the correlations between the fastest variability features, the so-called kiloHertz quasi-periodic oscillations (kHz QPOs), and other typical high- and low-frequency features (e.g. van Straaten et al. 2002; van Straaten, van der Klis & Méndez 2003; Altamirano et al. 2008, and references therein). Specially, the possibility that the frequency of the kHz QPOs trace the inner radius of the accretion disc would allow us to study the physics of matter under extreme regimes (e.g. Miller, Lamb & Psaltis 1998; Stella & Vietri 1999).

Another aspect of the X-ray signal that has received renewed attention in the last few years is the energy- and frequency-dependent time (or phase) lags (e.g. van der Klis et al 1987; Wijers, van Paradijs & Lewin 1987; Mitsuda & Dotani 1989; Vaughan et al. 1997; Kaaret et al. 1999; Nowak et al. 1999; Lee, Misra & Taam 2001; de Avellar et al. 2013; Barret 2013; Kumar & Misra 2014; Altamirano & Méndez 2015), since they can encode properties of the medium that produces the variability and the radiative processes occurring there. Time/phase lags are Fourier-frequency-dependent measurements of the time (phase) delays between two concurrent and correlated signals, i.e. two light curves of the same source, in two different energy bands (see Nowak et al. 1999, for more details on the subject).

There are several papers describing observational results in a variety of systems over the years. The black hole system Cyg X-1, for example, displays hard lags ranging from 2 ms to several seconds with a $\sim \nu^{-0.7}$ frequency dependence that increases logarithmically with energy (Miyamoto et al. 1988; Nowak et al. 1999; Böck et al. 2011; Grinberg et al 2014).

Comparing BH- and NS-LMXBs, Ford et al. (1999) showed that in the frequency interval between 0.01 and 100 Hz in both systems the lags are positive and in the range 0.03 to 0.2 rad.

Méndez et al. (2013) studied four Galactic black hole systems reporting that the pair of high-frequency QPOs (HFQPOs) in GRS 1915+105 can be identified in broad terms to the pair of kHz QPOs in the neutron star systems 4U 1608–52 and 4U 1636–53. Both the lower kHz QPO in the neutron star systems and the lower HFQPO in GRS 1915+105 show soft lags that are inconsistent with the hard lags of the upper kHz QPO or the upper HFQPO, respectively. In the case of GRO J1655–40, the lags of the lower HFQPO are zero or slightly hard but significantly different from the hard lag of the upper HFQPO. At last, the similarity of the lag spectrum of the QPO detected at 180 Hz and after at 280 Hz in XTE 1550–564 lead them to conclude that they are the same QPO seen at different frequencies which, in turn, lead they to conclude that the lag spectrum could be used to identify the HFQPOs in these systems.

Vaughan et al. (1997), Kaaret et al. (1999), de Avellar et al. (2013) and Barret (2013) studied high frequency QPOs (\geq 500 Hz) in NS-LMXBs. They found soft lags for the lower kHz QPO with magnitudes weakly dependent upon frequency that increase with energy. de Avellar et al. (2013) measured the lags of the upper kHz QPO showing that they are hard and that their magnitudes are independent of frequency and energy while inconsistent with the lags of the lower kHz QPO.

The mechanisms proposed to explain these lags involve, in general, Compton up-/down-scattering of photons produced in the accretion disc (and in the case of neutron star systems, on the neutron-star surface or boundary layer) in a corona of hot electrons that surrounds the system (see, for example, Sunyaev & Titarchuk 1980; Payne 1980; Lee & Miller 1998; Lee et al. 2001; Falanga & Titarchuk 2007, for a discussion about the models).

Time lags are also found in the spectrum of gigantic systems like type 1 Seyfert galaxies and the active galaxy nuclei (Zoghbi et al. 2010; Alston et al. 2015, for example). In the case of active galactic nuclei, reflection of hard photons from the corona off the inner parts of the accretion disc (e.g. Zoghbi et al. 2010; Zoghbi, Uttley & Fabian 2011) have been invoked to explain the observed soft lags. However, most of the stellar binary systems display hard lags that cannot be explained by the reflection model. Actually, Cassatella et al. (2012) have ruled out simple reflection models in order to explain these hard lags and although reflection off the accretion disc still could contribute to the lags, certainly it is not the dominant mechanism.

Here we present an extensive study of the time lags of all QPOs with frequencies above 1 Hz detected in a large data set of *RXTE* observations of the NS-LMXB 4U 1636–53 as a function of the position of the source on the colour–colour diagram (CCD). In Section 2 we describe our observations and methodology; in Section 3 we present the results for the frequency dependence of the phase lags (Section 3.1) and for the energy dependence (Section 3.2). We discuss our results and conclude in Section 4.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

From all available data of 4U 1636–53 taken with the PCA (Jahoda et al. 2006) onboard the *RXTE* satellite (Bradt, Rothschild & Swank 1993) up to May 2010, we used only the 511 observations in which Sanna et al. (2012) detected kHz QPOs.

For each of these observations we calculated the complex Fourier transform in nine energy bands, dividing each observation in contiguous 16-s segments, and calculating the Fast Fourier Transform up to a Nyquist frequency of 2048 Hz.

Because the time span of the data set is about 15 yr and in that period the gain of the instrument changed significantly,¹ we adjusted our channel selections for different groups of observations, depending upon the epoch, in order to have approximately the same mean energy for each energy band at each epoch. We used in total seven narrow energy bands whose mean energies are 4.2, 6.0, 8.0, 10.2, 12.7, 16.3 and 18.9 keV. These narrow energy bands comprise all the photons between approximately 3–5 keV, 5–7 keV, 7–9 keV, 9–11 keV, 11–15 keV, 15–17 keV and 17–20 keV, respectively.² We also used two broad-bands whose mean energies are 7.1 and 16.0 keV, the two broad-bands comprising all photons with energies in the range 4–12 keV and all photons with energies between 12 and 20 keV, respectively. These are the same energy bands defined in de Avellar et al. (2013).

Prior to any calculation, we cleaned the X-ray light curves of all observations used in the present work from bursts, instrumental spikes and dropouts, since they would add power to the low frequency part of the PDS. We also subtracted the high-frequency average of the power spectra in the frequency range 1300–2000 Hz. Dead-time corrections were made to get the colours (see below) as explained in Zhang et al. (2013).

We calculated the phase lags of the QPOs following Vaughan et al. (1997) and Kaaret et al. (1999).

Under the assumption that the PDS properties correlate with the source position in the CCD, i.e. the PDS shows similar shapes and features depending on the position, (van Straaten et al. 2002, 2003; Altamirano et al. 2008), we relied on the spectral states of the source to track the variability features more accurately. For this we first calculated the colours of our observations using RXTE's Standard 2 data. We defined the 9.7-16.0 keV/6.0-9.7 keV count rate ratio as the hard colour (HC) and the 3.5-6.0 keV/2.0-3.5 keV count rate ratio as the soft colour (SC). To correct for gain changes and differences in the effective area between the proportional counter units (PCUs) we normalized our colours to those of the Crab nebula obtained from observations that were close in time to our own observations. Finally we averaged the normalized colours per PCU for the full observation using all available PCUs. The details of this procedure can be found in Kuulkers et al (1994) and Altamirano et al. (2008).

Then, to study the phase lags of all QPOs on the assumption that the properties of the PDS correlate with the source position in the CCD, we defined boxes in the CCD in the following way:

(i) the boxes should be small to avoid changes in the physical conditions of the source (which would imply changes in the shape of the PDSs, specially in the bottom part of the CCD where the source is more variable) but, at the same time, the number of observations

¹See the channel-to-energy conversion table for the PCA at http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/xte/e-c_table.html

² The exact edges of each band depend on the epoch.



Figure 1. In the upper panel we show the colour-colour diagram of 4U 1636–53 showing the 27 originally defined boxes. In the lower panel we show only the boxes that were further subdivided: each of these boxes displays groups of observations (highlighted by different colour-type points) with similar PDSs. Thus, for a given box, we grouped the points with same colour and type (red filled circles, blue filled squares, black filled triangles and green filled diamonds) creating the subdivisions. Each point corresponds to a single RXTE observation. We only include in this diagram the 511 observations with kHz QPOs (see text). For each box we fitted the features displayed in the average power density spectrum. See Fig. 4.

within each box should be large enough to have the best statistics possible to build the average spectra;

(ii) after a first definition of 27 boxes, we compared the shapes of the PDSs of each individual observation within each box both, visually and statistically.³ If we identified, within a box, groups of observations with similar PDSs, then we further subdivided the box by grouping these similar observations.

We ended up with 37 boxes comprising 2–34 observations, as depicted in Fig. 1.

We fitted the features ranging from 1 to 1300 Hz seen in the average power density spectrum of each box with Lorentzian functions, which depend on three parameters: the integral power, the central frequency (ν_0) and the full-width at half-maximum (FWHM). This procedure provided us with the properties of the QPOs that appear in each given box. In some cases, for example box 19, we used a non-QPO component to better fit the PDS at low frequencies ≤ 1 Hz (shown as a dashed line with no label in Fig. 4). This non-QPO component is a Lorentzian with centroid frequency fixed at zero. We parametrized the position on the CCD with the quantity S_a (Zhang et al. 2013; Méndez et al. 1999) which is derived from the parametrization S_Z (Wijnands et al. 1997b, and references therein). In this phenomenological approach we approximated the shape traced by the observations on the CCD with a spline where the quantity S_a along the spline is a measure of the position of the source. See Fig. 1. Here we fixed $S_a = 1$ at (SC,HC) = (1.31,1.12) and $S_a = 2$ at (SC,HC) = (0.988,0.657).

Note that not all OPOs are present, or significantly detected, in all boxes: the appearance of a given QPO depends on the position of the source in the CCD, as mentioned before. Then the question arises of how secure are the OPO identifications in the average spectrum of each box. To identify the lower and upper kiloHertz QPOs we used the well known relation of the (centroid) frequency of these two QPOs with the hard colour (see fig. 1 of Sanna et al. 2012 and fig. 3 of Belloni et al 2007). To identify the other QPOs more precisely we relied on the correlations of their frequency, rms and quality factor with the frequency of the upper kHz QPO calculated for our data and compared with Altamirano et al. (2008) (see Figs 2 and 3 here and figs 5, 10, 11 and 12 of Altamirano et al. 2008). In six cases where a kHz QPO in the range 800-900 Hz appeared alone we classified them as the lower kHz QPO since Q versus frequency and rms versus frequency did not obeyed the expected relation of the upper kHz OPO and the colour was compatible with the other cases where the lower kHz QPO identification was unambiguous. The criteria we used to assess whether a QPO is significantly detected is if the ratio between the integral power and its uncertainty is larger than 3, but allowing to be $2.5 < \sigma < 3$ if the OPO lies in all the correlations mentioned above. In total, we detected eight different QPOs that, following Altamirano et al. (2008), we called L_{b2} , L_b , $L_{\rm LF}, L_{\rm h}, L_{\rm hHz}, L_{\rm hHz-harm}, L_{\rm l}, L_{\rm u}.$

In Fig. 4 we show three boxes as examples of average spectra. The three boxes were chosen because they show many of the QPOs and these three boxes together sample all the eight different QPOs we detected through the data, including $L_{\rm LF}$ and $L_{\rm hHz-harm}$ that we did not study in this work. In Table 1 we show the QPOs detected in each box.

Phase lags are relative quantities, i.e. one calculates the lags of the photons of one energy band relatively to the photons of another energy band (the bands defined arbitrarily). We therefore defined *soft*, or *negative*, lags when the photons of the less energetic band lag behind the photons of the more energetic band. On the other hand, when the photons of the more energetic band lag behind the photons of the less energetic band we say that the lags are *hard*, or *positive*.

Here, we studied the frequency and energy dependence of the phase lags of the photons of all the detected QPOs in each box. For the energy dependence of the lags, we calculated the phase lags of the photons of each narrow energy band relatively to the 10.2 keV band.⁴ For the frequency dependence of the lags, we calculated the

³ By statistically we mean that we analysed the average of two probabilities of similarity, KS (Kolgomorov 1933) and MTT (Melo et al. 2009), and we say that two PDSs are similar if the average of the probabilities are greater than 65 per cent.

⁴ We chose the 10.2 keV band because in this band both the variability and the source intensity are high, which reduces the uncertainties of the of time-lag measurements (Vaughan & Nowak 1997).



Figure 2. Correlations between the characteristic frequencies ν_{max} of the L_{b2} , L_b , L_h , L_{hHz} , L_l QPOs and the characteristic frequency ν_u of L_u . Symbols other than the black and red points represent the atoll sources 4U 0614+09, 4U 1728-34 (van Straaten et al. 2002), 4U 1608-52 (van Straaten et al. 2003) and Aql X-1 (Reig, van Straaten & van der Klis 2004). Also included are the low-luminosity bursters 1E 1724-3045, GS 1826-24, and SLX 1735-26 (van Straaten, van der Klis & Wijnands 2005). Bigger filled black dots are 4U 1636-53 data from Altamirano et al. (2008). Smaller filled red dots are the 4U 1636-53 present data. Figure adapted from Altamirano et al. (2008).

phase lags of all photons in the broad-band above 12 keV relatively to all photons in the broad-band below 12 keV.

Finally, to calculate the lags of the QPOs we averaged the energyand frequency-dependent lags over a frequency interval of one FWHM around the centroid frequency of the QPO, except in the cases where two QPOs are broad enough to overlap each other. In these cases we averaged over a fraction of the FWHM ranging from one half to one fifth. The price paid was to admit larger error bars in the average lag due to less data points in the respective interval. Unless otherwise noted, all phase lags are given in units of 2π rad. In Fig. 5 we show an example of the lag spectra of the lower kHz QPO in a given moment to illustrate the interval over which we averaged the lags.

A final remark about the data set we used in this work should be made. We selected only the 511 observations in which Sanna et al. (2012) detected at least one kHz QPO and therefore we assume that because the position of the source in the CCD determines, at least to some extent, the shape of the PDS and the components that appear, the characteristics of the other components (i.e. L_{b2} , L_b , L_h , L_{hHz} , that correlate with the upper kHz QPO (Altamirano et al. 2008)) will not change in observations that do not show kHz QPOs.



Figure 3. The results $rms-\nu_u$ and $Q-\nu_u$ are in agreement with previous works (see Altamirano et al. 2008, for example). All data were obtained with the presented methodology. (a) RMS amplitude of the six QPOs of 4U 1636–53 against the frequency of the upper kiloHertz QPO. Red filled dots were calculated by setting the background counts to zero, while the blue filled squares were calculated by setting the background counts to a maximum average for the PCAs. (b) Quality factor of the six QPOs of 4U 1636–53 against the frequency of the upper kiloHertz QPO.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Frequency dependence of the phase lags

In Fig. 6 we plot the phase lags of six out of the eight QPOs detected in the PDS as a function of the frequency of the QPO for photons



Figure 4. Average power density spectra of three selected boxes in the CCD of 4U 1636–36 showing the fitting components. The labels are: L_{b2} for the QPO where the PDS shows a second break, L_b for the QPO where the PDS breaks, L_{LF} for the low frequency QPO, L_h for the 'hump' QPO, L_{hHz} for the hectoHertz QPO, $L_{hHz-harm}$ for the first harmonic of the hectoHertz QPO, L_l for the lower kiloHertz QPO and L_u for the upper kiloHertz QPO. These three boxes were chosen as examples because each displays many QPOs and together they sample all the eight different QPOs we detect through the data, including L_{LF} and $L_{hHz-harm}$ that we did not study here. Note that the dashed feature in box 19 is not a QPO: it is a Lorentzian with centroid frequency fixed at zero used to better fit the PDS at low frequencies ≤ 1 Hz. We also show in the panels the summed up models and the residuals of the fits.

with energies in the band 12–20 keV (mean energy $\simeq 16.0$ keV) relatively to photons with energies in the band 4–12 keV (mean energy $\simeq 7.1$ keV). We do not show results for L_{LF} and $L_{\text{hHz-harm}}$ since we detected L_{LF} in two occasions and $L_{\text{hHz-harm}}$ in only one occasion. Uncertainties are given at a 68 per cent confidence level.

Table 1. Detected QPOs of the NS-LMXB 4U 1636–53 through the colourcolour diagram. Although we detected eight different QPOs, $L_{\rm LF}$ and $L_{\rm hHz_harm}$ are not shown because they appeared and only two occasions and were not studied here.

Box			Detecte	ed QPOs		
Box 1-1		Lb			$L_{\rm l}$	Lu
Box 1-2		$L_{\rm b}$			$L_{\rm l}$	$L_{\rm u}$
Box 1-3					$L_{\rm l}$	
Box 2-1		$L_{\rm b}$		$L_{\rm hHz}$	$L_{\rm l}$	Lu
Box 2-2		$L_{\rm b}$			$L_{\rm l}$	Lu
Box 2-3					$L_{\rm l}$	Lu
Box 2-4				$L_{\rm hHz}$	$L_{\rm l}$	
Box 3		$L_{\rm b}$		$L_{\rm hHz}$	$L_{\rm l}$	Lu
Box 4-1	L_{b2}	$L_{\rm b}$		$L_{\rm hHz}$	$L_{\rm l}$	$L_{\rm u}$
Box 4-2			$L_{\rm h}$		$L_{\rm l}$	
Box 5	L_{b2}	$L_{\rm b}$		$L_{\rm hHz}$	L_{l}	Lu
Box 6	L_{b2}	$L_{\rm b}$		$L_{\rm hHz}$	$L_{\rm l}$	Lu
Box 7-1		$L_{\rm b}$		$L_{\rm hHz}$	L_{l}	Lu
Box 7-2		$L_{\rm b}$		$L_{\rm hHz}$	$L_{\rm l}$	Lu
Box 8	L_{b2}		$L_{\rm h}$		L_{l}	
Box 9	L_{b2}	$L_{\rm b}$			$L_{\rm l}$	$L_{\rm u}$
Box 10-1		$L_{\rm b}$		$L_{\rm hHz}$	L_{l}	$L_{\rm u}$
Box 10-2		$L_{\rm b}$		$L_{\rm hHz}$	L_{l}	Lu
Box 10-3		$L_{\rm b}$			L_{l}	$L_{\rm u}$
Box 11			$L_{\rm h}$		L_{l}	
Box 12		$L_{\rm b}$			$L_{\rm l}$	$L_{\rm u}$
Box 13		$L_{\rm b}$			L_{l}	$L_{\rm u}$
Box 14					$L_{\rm l}$	
Box 15					$L_{\rm l}$	Lu
Box 16		$L_{\rm b}$			$L_{\rm l}$	Lu
Box 17		$L_{\rm b}$			$L_{\rm l}$	Lu
Box 18		$L_{\rm b}$		$L_{\rm hHz}$	$L_{\rm l}$	Lu
Box 19		$L_{\rm b}$		$L_{\rm hHz}$	L_{l}	$L_{\rm u}$
Box 20	L_{b2}	$L_{\rm b}$		$L_{\rm hHz}$	$L_{\rm l}$	Lu
Box 21-1		$L_{\rm b}$				Lu
Box 21-2		$L_{\rm b}$		$L_{\rm hHz}$		Lu
Box 22	L_{b2}	$L_{\rm b}$		$L_{\rm hHz}$		Lu
Box 23			$L_{\rm h}$	$L_{\rm hHz}$		Lu
Box 24			$L_{\rm h}$	$L_{\rm hHz}$		$L_{\rm u}$
Box 25				$L_{\rm hHz}$		$L_{\rm u}$
Box 26		$L_{\rm b}$	$L_{\rm h}$	$L_{\rm hHz}$		$L_{\rm u}$
Box 27		$L_{\rm b}$	$L_{\rm h}$	$L_{\rm hHz}$		Lu



Figure 5. Phase lag as a function of Fourier frequency in 4U 1636–53, in the frequency range around a lower kiloHertz QPO whose centroid frequency is at \simeq 766 Hz in a given moment. Note that we averaged the phase lags over one FWHM interval which, in this case, is apparent between 760 and 775 Hz.



Figure 6. Phase lags, in unit of 2π , of the different QPO components of 4U 1636–53 as a function of the frequency of the corresponding QPO. The lags represent the delay of photons with energies in the 12–20 keV range (mean energy $\simeq 16 \text{ keV}$) relative to the photons with energies in the 4–12 keV range (mean energy $\simeq 7 \text{ keV}$). Left top panel: the QPO where the PDS shows a second break. Right top panel: the QPO at the break frequency. Left middle panel: the *hump* QPO. Right middle panel: the hecto-hertz (hHz) QPO. Left bottom panel: the lower kHz QPO. Right bottom panel: the upper kHz QPO. We did not include the lags for the L_{LF} (~ 0.01 at 10 Hz) and $L_{\text{hHz-harm}}$ (~ 0.1 at 240 Hz) QPOs since we have only two and one points, respectively. The blue lines are the best fit constant to the lags. The errors correspond to the 1 σ confidence level.

In Fig. 7 we plot the phase lags of the QPO specified in each of the panels as a function of the quantity S_a .

We rebinned the data points in each panel of each figure individually in the following way: in Fig. 6, we rebinned L_{b2} in intervals of 0.8 Hz, L_b in intervals of 4 Hz, L_h in intervals of 4 Hz, L_{hHz} in intervals of 10 Hz, L_l in intervals of 34 Hz and L_u in intervals of 35 Hz. This is approximately 10 per cent of the full range. In Fig. 7, we rebinned L_{b2} in intervals of 0.025 in S_a , L_b in intervals of 0.03 in S_a , L_h in intervals of 0.05 in S_a , L_{hHz} in intervals of 0.03 in S_a . These values were chosen to avoid rebinning too much to lose information about any possible trend in the data. Note that two points close in frequency may be not close in S_a . Thus, the number of points in each panel of Figs 6 and 7 may be different and small statistical differences are expected in some cases.

In Figs 6 and 7 we show the phase lags versus frequency and versus S_a and in Tables 2 and 3 we show our statistical analysis. The main points are:

(i) L_{b2} does not show any trend either with frequency or S_a . Although there is an outlier point at $\simeq 4.3$ Hz that suggests an increase of the phase lags from -0.012 at 0.63 Hz to 0.245 at



Figure 7. Phase lags, in unit of 2π , of the QPOs in Fig. 6 as a function of the parameter S_a which is representative of the position of the source in the CCD. The blue lines are the best fit constant to the lags.

4.3 Hz and then a decrease to -0.048 at 8.76 Hz, this outlier is within 3σ from the other points. The same is true in relation to S_a . The average phase lag is negative.

(ii) $L_{\rm b}$ does not show any trend either with frequency or $S_{\rm a}$. The phase lags show larger fluctuations above 30 Hz than below 30 Hz and in relation to $S_{\rm a}$ the phase lags vary more in the softest states. The average phase lag is positive.

(iii) $L_{\rm h}$ does not show any trend either with frequency or $S_{\rm a}$. The average phase lag is positive.

(iv) $L_{\rm hHz}$ shows phase lags consistent in being constant and positive with frequency and $S_{\rm a}$. Although it may appear that the phase lags are slightly positive for frequencies between 80 and 120 Hz and slightly negative for frequencies between 180 and 200 Hz (note the opposite behaviour with S_a : slightly negative up to $S_a = 1.8$ and positive above it), the F-tests probabilities in the two cases do not favour any trend.

(v) The phase lags of L_1 are on average soft -0.018 ± 0.001 . Although F-tests do not favour simple trends like linear or quadratic against the constant fit, this QPO seems to show a fluctuation pattern with both, frequency and S_a , that may be an indication of a more complex behaviour of the lags.

(vi) Finally, L_u shows hard average phase lags (0.007 \pm 0.002) and does not show any significant trend with frequency or S_a .

We found approximately the same patterns of the phase lags shown as a function of the frequency of the kHz QPOs as a function

	Const.		Linea	Linear		Quadratic	
	χ^2	DoF	χ^2	DoF	χ^2	DoF	
L _{b2}	23.203 92	4	14.473 23	3	9.293 48	2	
Lb	40.784 58	9	28.609 68	8	28.593 88	7	
L _h	3.534 57	3	3.191 82	2	0.567 889	1	
$L_{\rm hHz}$	11.574 57	7	5.341 212	6	5.037 30	5	
L	29.553 93	9	29.434 56	8	16.248 65	7	
Lu	18.684 30	15	16.397 64	14	16.354 65	13	

 Table 2. Statistics of Fig. 6, phase lags versus frequency.

	Const. versus linear		Const. vers	Const. versus quadratic		Linear versus quadratic	
	Fvalue	Prob	Fvalue	Prob	Fvalue	Prob	
L _{b2}	1.809 69	0.271 195	1.4968	0.400 513	1.114 71	0.401 765	
Lb	3.404 41	0.102 242	1.492 19	0.288 549	0.003 867 96	0.952 148	
L _h	0.214 768	0.688 599	2.612 03	0.400 833	4.6205	0.277 207	
LhHz	7.002 18	0.038 2241	3.244 43	0.124 949	0.301 662	0.606 47	
L_{l}	0.032 4435	0.861 537	2.865 99	0.123 227	5.680 56	0.048 639	
$L_{\rm u}$	1.952 31	0.184 091	0.925 897	0.420 793	0.034 1719	0.856 194	

Table 3. Statistics of Fig. 7, phase lags versus S_a .

		Frequency-deper	ndent fits of the ph	ase lags of 4U 1	636–53		
	C	Const.		inear	Qua	Quadratic	
	χ^2	DoF	χ^2	DoF	χ^2	DoF	
L _{b2}	25.550 25	5	14.349 36	4	14.318 16	3	
Lb	40.674 37	11	25.348 20	10	24.781 86	9	
$L_{\rm h}$	4.126 740	5	3.164 756	4	2.839 491	3	
$L_{\rm hHz}$	13.501 80	10	7.468 119	9	7.441 792	8	
L_{l}	13.454 64	8	13.159 79	7	8.741 52	6	
Lu	19.698 42	14	17.731 09	13	17.700	12	
	F	requency-depend	lent trends of the p	hase lags of 4U	1636–53		
	Const. ver	sus linear	Const. versu	s quadratic	Linear versus quadratic		
	Fvalue	Prob	Fvalue	Prob	Fvalue	Prob	
L _{b2}	3.122 34	0.151 968	1.1767	0.419 506	0.006 537 15	0.940 651	
Lb	6.046 26	0.033 7391	2.885 83	0.107 562	0.205 677	0.660 914	
$L_{\rm h}$	1.215 87	0.332 053	0.680 007	0.570 755	0.343 651	0.598 917	
$L_{\rm hHz}$	7.271 33	0.024 5323	3.257 28	0.092 2876	0.028 3018	0.870 577	
L_{l}	0.156 838	0.703 879	1.617 49	0.274 249	3.032 61	0.132 247	
Lu	1.4424	0.251 177	0.677 431	0.526 322	0.021 078	0.886 977	

of the S_a , as shown in the bottom panels of Fig. 7. The phase lags of L_1 decrease from $\simeq 0.004$ at $S_a \simeq 1.9$ to $\simeq -0.02$ at $S_a \simeq 2.12$ and then they remain constant or increase slightly. The phase lags of L_u , in turn, fluctuate around the average value as S_a increases. These results were already pointed out in de Avellar et al. (2013).

The region of the CCD in which $2.05 \le S_a \le 2.15$ marks the transition point to the 'softest states' (from $\sim 0.03L_{edd}$ to $\sim 0.3L_{edd}$ and from 1–100 Hz fractional rms ~ 10 per cent to ~ 1 per cent, for example, at constant HC, estimated from Linares 2009). We also detect both kHz QPOs in this region. See Linares (2009) for an extensive discussion on the relations between luminosity, spectra and variability. In this region, while the phase lags of L_u remains fairly constant and hard, the phase lags of L_1 reach the softest values, forming a valley.

The results for the kHz QPOs and for L_b and L_{hHz} obtained here are consistent with those obtained, respectively, by de Avellar et al. (2013) and by de Avellar et al. (2014), the latter using a smaller data set, for which we selected the data on the basis of the frequency of the kHz QPOs as in de Avellar et al. (2013). The selection we used in this work, based on the position of the source on the CCD, intrinsically produces more dispersion than the one used in the previous works.

In Table 4 we show constant fits of the phase lags as a function of S_a of all QPOs in order to compare them with each other. We see from Table 4 that the average phase lags are soft in the cases of L_{b2} and L_l . The phase lags of L_{b2} are significantly different from the phase lags of L_b , L_h and L_u , the phase lags of L_b are significantly different from the lags of L_h and L_l , the phase lags of L_h are significantly different from the lags of L_l , and the phase lags of L_l are significantly different from the lags of L_u . The phase lags of L_b are significantly different from the lags of L_u . The phase lags of L_b are significantly different from the lags of L_u . The phase lags of L_b , L_{hHz} and L_u are consistent with each other within 3σ .

In Table 4 we also give the average *time* lags as a function of S_a for each QPO, which we will use later. Except for the L_{b2} (-8.7 ms) and L_h (0.8 ms), we see from the Table that the values are comparable for all QPOs and only in the cases of L_{b2} and L_l we see soft average lags.

Table 4. Average phase lags and time lags as function of S_a (see Fig. 7) for the L_{b2} , L_b , L_h , L_{hHz} , L_l and L_u QPOs of the NS-LMXB 4U 1636–53 of all photons in the broad-band 12–20 keV relatively to all photons in the broad-band 4–12 keV.

	Average lags of 4U 1636–53							
QPO	Phase lag $(2\pi \text{ rad})$	χ^2	dof	Time lag (ms)				
L _{b2}	-0.010 ± 0.002	25.6	5	-8.7 ± 2.1				
Lb	0.005 ± 0.002	40.7	11	0.05 ± 0.09				
L _h	0.018 ± 0.002	4.1	5	0.8 ± 0.1				
LhHz	0.004 ± 0.004	13.5	10	0.008 ± 0.035				
$L_{\rm l}$	-0.017 ± 0.001	13.5	8	-0.020 ± 0.002				
Lu	0.008 ± 0.002	19.7	14	0.010 ± 0.002				

A point should be mentioned now. The phase lags and their errors (and the corresponding time lags) were calculated following the prescriptions in Vaughan et al. (1997) and Kaaret et al. (1999). In Table 4 we show the weighted average of the phase and time lags for each QPO and their respective error bars.

The *time* lags of L_{b2} are inconsistent with the time lags of all other QPOs by more than 3σ . The time lags of L_b are consistent within 3σ with the time lags of all other QPOs but L_h . The time lags of L_h , in turn, are inconsistent within 3σ with the time lags of L_{hHz} , L_l and L_u . At last, the time lags of L_l are different from the time lags of L_u by more than 3σ , a result previously known (de Avellar et al. 2013).

3.2 Energy dependence of the phase lags

The results in Section 3.1 (using only two broad energy bands) show that the phase lags do not depend strongly on the frequency of the QPO or S_a , except maybe for the lower kHz QPO (bottom left panel of Figs 6 and 7, respectively). Based on this, we averaged the phase lags over frequency to calculate the phase lags versus energy for each QPO under consideration in the following way: first we calculated the phase lags of each QPO in each box for the energy bands previously defined relative to 10.2 keV. This procedure produced the energy dependence of the phase lags of each QPO present in each of the 37 boxes. We then averaged these 'energy dependences': for the same energy band, we weight-averaged the phase lags of a given OPO of the box where it appears. Because the phase lags do not depend strongly on the frequency, the frequency drift of the QPOs over the CCD does not have a big influence in this averaging: the lags versus energy for each frequency of a given QPO are consistent within the errors (see Fig. 8). Note that, since the phase lags of L_1 are not independent of frequency, this procedure is not completely correct for this QPO.

The results of this procedure are shown in Fig. 9. In Table 5 we show our statistical analysis. The main points are:

(i) L_{b2} : F-tests tell us that a linear or quadratic function are not better than the constant fit. However, there is an abrupt decrease of the lags at 12.7 keV, more than 3σ below the constant fitted value (-0.017 ± 0.003) that seems well constrained.

(ii) L_b : there is no significant trend with energy for this QPO.

(iii) $L_{\rm h}$ shows one of the strongest energy dependence we have found in this study with an F-test probability for a constant versus a linear fit of 0.002. The rate of increase of the phase lags is $(0.0030 \pm 0.0007)/2\pi$ per keV.

(iv) $L_{\rm hHz}$: formally, the phase lags for this QPO show no trend with energy (the F-test for a linear against a constant fit is $\simeq 0.02$).



Figure 8. Phase lags versus energy for the $L_{\rm h}$ QPO of 4U 1636–53 as its frequency drifts along the CCD. Note that the lags are all consistent each other within the error bars since the phase lags do not depend upon the frequency. Therefore we could average the phase lags in order to get the plots shown in Fig. 9.

(v) The phase lags of L_1 show a marginally decreasing trend with energy with F-test value for a constant versus linear of 0.004. Formally this value is a bit larger than the 3σ value and is probably due to the larger error bars on the data here. This trend is already known from previous works.

(vi) Finally, the phase lags of the upper kHz QPO, L_u , are constant with energy with F-test for a constant against a linear of 0.11.

As with the frequency dependence, the results for the energy dependence of the phase lags of the kHz QPOs are consistent with the ones obtained in de Avellar et al. (2013) using a different way to select the data (see Fig. 3 in de Avellar et al. 2013).

It is interesting to note, based on the shapes of the lag versus E plots, that the trend with energy, being marginal or not, is an increasing trend for $L_{\rm b}$, $L_{\rm h}$, $L_{\rm hHz}$, and $L_{\rm u}$, but is decreasing for $L_{\rm l}$ and possibly for $L_{\rm b2}$. In the case of $L_{\rm b2}$ the question arises if the phase lags of $L_{\rm b2}$ may be linearly correlated with the phase lags of $L_{\rm l}$, although the F-test probability of 0.026 indicates the contrary. The same question arises about a possible anti-correlation between the phase lags of $L_{\rm h}$ and $L_{\rm l}$ (F-test probability 0.005).

We therefore compared the slopes of the lag versus *E* linear fits for each QPO, and found that we can separate the components into two groups having slopes consistent with each other: on the one hand L_{b2} and L_{l} , and on the other hand L_{b} , L_{h} , L_{hHz} , and L_{u} . (In the comparison we are performing now it is not important if we can or cannot distinguish the linear trend of the constant with the F-tests as discussed above.) The slopes are shown in Table 6.

In order to further investigate the slopes we used a totally different statistics. We calculated the Pearson Product Moment Correlation (PPMC) coefficient,⁵ r, for all 15 possible combinations of lag versus lag. This correlation coefficient is a statistic that calculates

⁵ This coefficient varies between -1 and 1.



Figure 9. Phase lags, in unit of 2π , of the different QPO components of 4U 1636–53 as a function of energy. The lags represent the delay of photons in the bands whose average energies are given by the values in the *x*-axis relative to the photons in the band whose average energy is 10.2 keV. The panels show the same QPOs as in Fig. 6.

the actual relationship between two variables. The values of r are show in the Table 7.

We have not found any correlation between the lags except in the case of the phase lags of $L_{\rm h}$ in relation to the phase lags of $L_{\rm hHz}$. Even so, we still can separate the lags in two groups as mentioned above.

4 DISCUSSION

We analysed, for the first time, the frequency and energy dependence of the phase lags of all the QPOs in 4U 1636–53 as a function of the spectral state of the source. For this we used the 511 observations of this source with the Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer in which Sanna et al. (2012) detected kHz QPOs. Except for the lower kHz QPO, the phase lags of all the other QPOs are independent of the frequency. Except for the lower kHz QPO and the hump QPO, the phase lags of all the other QPOs are independent of the energy.

4.1 Frequency dependence

We see from Fig. 7 that the phase lags are practically independent of S_a , except for L_1 (for which we see a complex behaviour) and may be for L_{hHz} . It has been suggested (Esin, McClintock & Narayan 1997; Meyer-Hofmeister, Liu & Meyer 2005; Done, Gierliński & Kubota 2007) that the accretion flow may have two components, \dot{M}_d and \dot{M}_c , the accretion rate of the disc and of the corona, respectively. In

Table 5.	Statistics	of Fig.	9, phase	lags	versus	energy.
----------	------------	---------	----------	------	--------	---------

	Const	Const.		r	Quadratic	
	χ^2	DoF	χ^2	DoF	χ^2	DoF
L _{b2}	23.349 75	5	8.947 80	4	4.126 26	3
Lb	13.267 55	5	5.875 32	4	4.689 39	3
L _h	22.339 95	5	1.704 112	4	1.697 379	3
$L_{\rm hHz}$	6.651 80	5	1.379 504	4	1.166 424	3
L	16.050 85	5	1.712 796	4	1.698 921	3
Lu	21.223 90	5	10.381 40	4	6.310 83	3

	Energy-dependent trends of the phase lags of 4U 1636-53						
	Const. versus linear		Const. ver	Const. versus quadratic		Linear versus quadratic	
	Fvalue	Prob	Fvalue	Prob	Fvalue	Prob	
L _{b2}	6.438 21	0.064 1604	6.988 23	0.074 2868	3.5055	0.157 894	
Lb	5.032 73	0.088 2909	2.743 92	0.210 129	0.758 705	0.447 833	
L _h	48.4378	0.002 240 03	18.2422	0.020 9433	0.011 9001	0.920 02	
$L_{\rm hHz}$	15.2875	0.017 3949	7.054 09	0.073 4304	0.548 034	0.512 795	
$L_{\rm l}$	33.4846	0.004 4319	12.6715	0.034 436	0.024 5008	0.885 558	
Lu	4.177 66	0.110 449	3.544 64	0.162 141	1.935 04	0.258 424	

Table 6. Slopes of the linear fits to the phaselags versus energy in Fig. 9.

QPO	Slope
L _{b2}	-0.0018 ± 0.0005
Lb	0.0014 ± 0.0005
L _h	0.0033 ± 0.0007
L _{hHz}	0.0024 ± 0.0011
L	-0.0015 ± 0.0004
Lu	0.0018 ± 0.0005

Table 7. Pearson Product Moment Correlation coefficient for the energy dependence phase lag versus phase lag for all combinations of QPOs. In order to show positive correlation, $r \ge 0.955$ since we do not take as significant anything less than 3σ , which is a probability of 0.003 (or 99.7 per cent) for a Gaussian distribution. Note that the only correlation we found was L_h/L_{hHz} with r = 0.965.

QPO	PPMC coefficient (r)
L_{b2}/L_{b}	-0.147
L_{b2}/L_{h}	-0.733
$L_{\rm b2}/L_{\rm hHz}$	-0.616
L_{b2}/L_{l}	0.870
L_{b2}/L_{u}	-0.566
$L_{\rm b}/L_{\rm h}$	0.573
$L_{\rm b}/L_{\rm hHz}$	0.611
$L_{\rm b}/L_{\rm l}$	-0.259
$L_{\rm b}/L_{\rm u}$	-0.290
$L_{\rm h}/L_{\rm hHz}$	0.965
$L_{\rm h}/L_{\rm l}$	-0.927
$L_{\rm h}/L_{\rm u}$	0.583
$L_{\rm hHz}/L_{\rm l}$	-0.836
$L_{\rm hHz}/L_{\rm u}$	0.566
$L_{\rm l}/L_{\rm u}$	-0.762

a scenario where hard lags are produced by a Comptonized medium and soft lags are produced by reflection off a cold disc (Falanga & Titarchuk 2007), a possible explanation in the case of the lower kHz QPO lags is that if $\dot{M}_{\rm d}$ increases while $\dot{M}_{\rm c}$ decreases in a transition from hard states to soft states,⁶ the disc (thermal) component would partially suppress the non-thermal (corona) component. This partial suppression of the Comptonized medium would have as consequence the dominance of the soft lags producer component. Maybe the inner flow configuration that sets all these transitions is also responsible for these fluctuations of the phase lags of L_1 to softest values. This, of course, would not prevent the hard lags of the upper which would 'keep its constancy' responding through compensations in the properties of the coronal component (see, e.g. expression 1).

In the context of models for the lags that involve reflection off the disc or Comptonization, light travel time arguments ($c\Delta t$, related to the time lags) are useful to give an upper limit to the size of the medium in which the time lags are produced. In Table 4 we give the average values of the time lags of each QPO. From those values we can roughly estimate the scale size, *a*, of the medium where the lags of each QPO are produced,

$$a \sim c\Delta t \frac{k_{\rm b} T_{\rm e}}{m_{\rm e} c^2} \frac{4\tau}{ln(E_2/E_1)}.$$
(1)

(See, e.g. Sunyaev & Titarchuk 1980; Vaughan et al. 1997). Here, τ is the optical depth, Δt are the time lags, $k_b T_e$ is the plasma temperature and $E_2 = 16.0$ keV and $E_1 = 7.1$ keV are the energies of the photons in the broad energy bands we chose. We assume $\tau = 5$ and $k_b T_e = 5$ keV as typical values for 4U 1636–53 (Fiocchi et al 2006; Ming, Méndez & Altamirano 2014; Ming et al. 2015). From the size scales calculated with these values we can get the electron density using $n_e = \tau/(a\sigma_T)$. See Table 8.

Adopting a Keplerian interpretation of the frequencies of the QPOs, we can infer the location where the QPOs themselves are produced. The higher the frequency, the closer to the compact object the QPOs originate. The values in Table 8 suggest that a multicomponent structure is needed for producing the time lags; at least two components, one for $L_{\rm b}$, $L_{\rm hHz}$, $L_{\rm l}$ and $L_{\rm u}$ with density $\sim 10^{19-20}$ cm⁻³ and another for $L_{\rm b2}$ and $L_{\rm h}$ with density $\sim 10^{17-18}$ cm⁻³. Note the scale sizes for the first set are quite small (0.5–3.5 km),

⁶ Note that this transition represents the source going from box 27 to box 20 and below, from where we begin to see the lower kHz QPO with its soft lags.

Table 8. Estimates of the scale size and density of the medium where L_{b2} , L_b , L_h , L_{hHz} , L_l and L_u QPOs of the NS-LMXB 4U 1636–53 are produced. Here, $c\Delta t$ gives the light travel time corresponding to the measured time lags (see Table 4), *a* is the size scale and n_e is the electronic density of the medium (see equation 1 and the text for the details of the calculations).

QPO	$c\Delta t$ (km)	<i>a</i> (km)	$n_{\rm e} \ (10^{20} \ {\rm cm}^{-3})$
L_{b2}	2610 ± 630	628.6 ± 151.7	0.0012 ± 0.0003
$L_{\rm b}$	15 ± 27	3.6 ± 6.5	0.21 ± 0.37
$L_{\rm h}$	240 ± 30	57.8 ± 7.2	0.013 ± 0.002
$L_{\rm hHz}$	2.4 ± 10.5	0.58 ± 2.53	1.3 ± 5.7
L_{l}	6.3 ± 0.6	1.52 ± 0.14	0.50 ± 0.05
Lu	3.0 ± 0.6	0.72 ± 0.14	1.04 ± 0.21

while for the latter are much bigger, 60 km and 630 km. It is interesting that the relations of fractional rms versus v_u and Q (the quality factor, v_0 /FWHM) versus v_u are similar for L_b , L_{hHz} and L_u on one hand and for L_{b2} , L_h and L_l on the other (see Figs 2 and 3 here and van Straaten et al. 2003; Altamirano et al. 2008, for examples of these relations for other atoll sources.). Again, this suggests that different mechanisms operate for these two sets of QPOs separately.

In recent years, models were developed to explain the ~30 s soft time lags for frequencies $\geq 5 \times 10^{-4}$ Hz seen in AGNs (Zoghbi et al. 2010, 2011) in which the lags are due to reflection of coronal photons off the accretion disc. Although this scenario could explain the soft lags seen in the lower kHz QPO of a number of NS-LMXBs, the model faces difficulties to address other properties of this particular QPO such as the rms increase with energy in these systems (which, by the way is also difficult to reconcile with Compton downscattering models; Nowak & Vaughan 1996; Vaughan et al. 1998; Kaaret et al. 1999, for example. See Berger et al. 1996; Méndez, van der Klis & Ford 2001; Gilfanov, Revnivtsev, & Molkov 2003 for discussions on these issues).

Following Kotov, Churazov & Gilfanov (2001), Zoghbi et al. (2010) suggested that while the hard lags seen for frequencies $\leq 5 \times 10^{-4}$ Hz in AGN are due to inward propagation of fluctuations in the disc, the soft lags seen above this frequency are due to reflection. The same reasoning could in principle be applied for the L_{b2} and L_{hHz} QPOs presented here, since their time lag–frequency spectrum show a loose resemblance to the lag–frequency spectrum in AGN.

De Marco et al. (2013a,b) studied the relation between black hole mass and soft X-ray time lags in AGNs and in ULX NGC 5408 X-1, suggesting that the relation holds all the way down to stellar-mass systems. In fact, in their Fig. 9 De Marco et al. (2013b) show a schematic plot of the scaling relation for AGNs and the intermediate-mass black hole NGC 5408 X-1 where they also included the BHB GX 339-4 and the two NS-LMXBs 4U 1608–52 and 4U 1636–53. The relation of soft lags with mass appears to hold for neutron stars systems when for the latter one accounts only for the soft lags of the lower kHz QPO. This scaling relation has been interpreted as a signature of reverberation of the accretion disc in response to changes in the continuum.

The reverberation scenario (Zoghbi et al. 2010, 2011), however, has difficulties to explain the lags of the other components whose lags are on average hard and do not scale with the mass.

Recently Méndez et al. (2013) measured the energy dependence of the phase lags of the high-frequency QPOs in four black hole candidates. For GRS 1915+105 the phase lag of the QPO at 35 Hz is soft and the phase lag of the QPO at 67 Hz is hard and inconsistent with the phase lags of the QPO at 35 Hz. For IGR J17091–3624, the only QPO detected is at 67 Hz and shows hard phase lags. For XTE J1550–564 the QPO at 180 Hz shows hard phase lags, and the QPO at 280 Hz shows lags consistent with zero or slightly positive. Finally, for GRO J1655–40 two QPOs were found, one at 300 Hz with hard lags and the other at 450 Hz with soft lags.

It is tempting to try and compare those phase lags measured by Méndez et al. (2013) with the ones reported here in the bottom panels of Fig. 6. It is possible that the same mechanism that produces the soft lags of the QPO at 35 Hz and the hard lags of the QPO at 67 Hz also applies to the lower and upper kHz QPOs of 4U 1636–36, since they also have opposites signs and are inconsistent with each other (see Méndez et al. 2013, for additional discussion about this); the hHz QPO of 4U 1636–36 could be somehow related to the QPO of the black hole candidates spanning the range 180–450 Hz.

On the other hand, recently Bult & van der Klis (2015) found a relation between the 401 Hz pulse frequency in the accreting millisecond pulsar SAX J1808.4-3658 and the upper kHz QPO detected in the range 300–700 Hz. They found that the amplitude of the pulse changes by a factor \sim 2 when the upper kHz QPO passes through 401 Hz. The findings of Bult & van der Klis (2015) suggest that the upper kHz QPO originates from azimuthal motion at the inner edge of the disc (be it inhomogeneities or a geometric structure in the disc, like a bending wave moving with the orbital frequency).

It is now reasonably well established that sustained accretion can spin-up the neutron star in LMXBs to millisecond periods (this is the classical Recycling Scenario; see Bhattacharya & van den Heuvel 1991, for a review). In this scenario, at least some of the LMXBs can be progenitors of radio millisecond pulsars. The discovery of the first millisecond X-ray pulsar, SAX J1808.4-3658 (Wijnands & van der Klis 1998), and of the first black widow, B1957+20 (Fruchter, Stinebring & Taylor 1988), filled some gaps in the evolutionary path, making this scenario more plausible.

Recently, Papitto et al. (2013) found what is understood as the swinging pulsar, considered the missing link between the X-ray accreting millisecond pulsars and the radio millisecond pulsars. The system in question, IGRJ18245–2452, switches from a X-ray to a radio pulsar due to intermittent accretion flow.

Both, SAX J1808.4-3658 and 4U 1636–53, are systems with neutron stars with millisecond spin period. However, while SAX J1808.4-3658 is an accreting millisecond X-ray pulsar, 4U 1636–53 does not exhibit X-ray pulsations, but X-ray bursts instead and by far most of LMXBs are not accreting X-ray pulsars. This is somewhat surprising since theory predicts that accreting neutron stars with magnetic field $B \sim 10^8$ G should be a X-ray pulsar because of the channelling of matter from the accretion flow through the magnetic field lines (Chakrabarty 2005).

On the other hand, van Straaten et al. (2005) studied a number of accreting millisecond X-ray pulsars and among them SAX J1808.4-3658. They found that the correlations between the timing features and colours of these pulsars are very similar to what is found in atoll sources, the subclass of NS-LMXBs to which 4U 1636–53 belongs to. Although SAX J1808.4-3658 shows a shifted correlation between the low-frequency components and v_u by a factor of 1.5, the mutual correlations between the low frequency components lie perfectly in what we observe in atoll sources.

Therefore, SAX J1808.4-3658 is appropriately classified as an atoll source and the absence of X-ray pulsations in 4U 1636–53 is not in contradiction with the picture described above and it is likely that the upper kHz QPO seen in this source reflects the same kind of azimuthal motion at the inner edge of the disc than SAX J1808.4-3658.

In the same line, Bachetti et al. (2010) and Romanova & Kulkarni (2009) can produce high frequency QPOs with 3D simulations of the accretion flow on to a magnetized neutron star, of particular interest for us in what they call magnetic boundary layer regime.

Taking these two results together, the time lags of the kHz QPOs pair could constrain (to some extent) these models. We suggest that one possible assumption is that the time lags of the upper kHz QPO encode the properties of the medium at the magnetospheric radius (where the upper kHz QPO would be produced, at 16–21 km in our estimations,⁷ and where the scale size for the lags is ~0.72 km), while the time lags of the lower kHz QPOs encode the properties of the medium at the boundary layer and nearby (where the lower kHz QPO would be produced, near the surface of the neutron star, where the scale size for the lags is ~1.52 km). (For the size scales see *a* in Table 8.) This idea would explain why the time lags of these two QPOs are inconsistent with each other and of opposite sign.

4.2 Energy dependence

While the frequency dependence of the phase lags relates to the geometry of the medium, the energy dependence relates to the physical conditions of the medium, like temperatures, densities and radiative processes.

In the case of L_{b2} we detect only a marginal trend of the phase lags with energy, with the phase lags becoming softer with increasing energy. L_b also shows a marginal trend, but in this case the lags become harder with increasing energy. L_h show one of the strongest trends with energy of all QPOs studied here. The phase lags are soft below 10 keV and hard above 10 keV). The case of L_{hHz} is similar to L_b and L_h : the phase lags begin soft at low energies and become hard at high energies. Regarding the lower and upper kHz QPOs we confirm the results of de Avellar et al. (2013): The phase lags of the lower kHz QPO are soft and decrease with energy whereas the lags of the upper kHz QPO are hard and do not depend upon energy.

The trends with energy show resemblance if we group together L_{b} , L_{h} , L_{hHz} and L_{u} on one hand and L_{b2} and L_{l} on the other. The lags of the first group become harder and those of the second group become softer as the energy increases. These trends may be a hint of a general mechanism behind the production of the lags of the QPOs of each group.

Lee et al. (2001) developed a model that can consistently explain the lag dependence upon energy seen in the lower kHz QPO of 4U 1608–52 with an up-scattering Comptonization model. In that model, the corona and disc temperatures oscillate coherently at the QPO frequency but the primary oscillations take place in the corona with the source of photons responding to the oscillations. Lee et al. (2001) constrained the size of the scattering plasma to 5 km for a fraction of $\eta \ge 0.5$ of up-scattered photons impinging back on to the source of soft photons using data of the lower kHz QPO at 830 Hz of the NS-LMXB 4U 1608–52, which shows time lags of a few µs (Vaughan et al. 1997; de Avellar et al. 2013; Barret 2013). The size scales we estimated here are compatible with this view.

Lee et al. (2001) could predict not only the behaviour of the time lags of the lower kHz QPO with energy, but also the fractional rms energy spectrum of this QPO. On the other hand, the fact that the oscillations cannot occur at two different frequencies simultaneously was used by de Avellar et al. (2013) to explain the hard lags of the upper kHz QPO.

Kumar & Misra (2014) developed a new model for the energy dependence of the time lags based on a thermal Comptonizing plasma oscillating at kHz frequencies. They tested their model against the measured time lags of the lower kHz QPO at ~850 Hz of the LMXB 4U 1608-52 by Barret (2013). Kumar & Misra (2014) obtained soft lags only when there is a variation in the heating rate of the corona and a significant fraction of the photons impinges back into the photon source. They constrained the size of the Comptonizing region to L = 1.0 km for $\eta = 0.4$. Also, the model predicts consistently the fractional rms for energies <20 keV. The hard lag-energy spectrum of the upper kHz QPO remains unexplained by their model. It is interesting to note that within the scope of this model, if one takes into account that we can group the lag-energy spectrum of L_1 and L_{b2} together (the only other soft lags we found), the same mechanism could apply. However, the lag-energy spectrum of the upper kHz QPO and of the others is beyond this model up to now.

The model in Kumar & Misra (2014) can also explain the increase of the fractional rms with energy satisfactorily, although cannot explain the flattening at energies above 10 keV seen in the data (see, for example, Kumar & Misra 2014; Altamirano et al. 2008, for 4U 1608–52 and 4U 1636–53, respectively).

More recently Peille, Barret & Uttley (2015) performed an extensive study of the spectral-timing properties of the lower and upper kHz QPOs in the source 4U 1728–34. They also performed the first spectral deconvolution of the covariance spectra (which is equivalent to the rms spectrum if the variations are strongly correlated across all energies) of both kHz QPOs.

They found that the QPO spectrum is compatible with the one of a Comptonized blackbody with temperature higher than the temperature of the continuum, which implies that a more compact inner region of the boundary layer is producing the QPO. This Comptonized blackbody seems to be indispensable, since a substitution of this component for a power law does not fit the data well. Another important findings are that the lag-energy spectrum of the lower kHz and the upper kHz QPO are systematically different in the same way we have found in de Avellar et al. (2013) and that they also depend weakly on frequency.

An interesting scenario then arises: if the lags of the upper kHz QPO are dominated by reverberation this would imply the origin of this QPO as simple variations in luminosity due to variation in the accretion rate on the boundary layer. These variations in the accretion rate would occur at the innermost regions of the accretion disc, an idea supported by the findings of Bult & van der Klis (2015). In this scenario, we see the upper kHz QPO signal as a response of the boundary layer to these variation. Evidence that broader and lower frequency noise components are also generated by the same kind of mechanism (Uttley 2004; Uttley et al 2011) would then be corroborated by our results based on the general shapes of the lag-energy spectrum, except for L_{b2} which is similar to the lower kHz QPO L_1 .

The more coherent signal of the lower kHz QPO may have its origin in a more compact region of the boundary layer itself due to internal oscillations in the heating rate of the boundary layer (as in Lee et al. 2001; Kumar & Misra 2014, for example). This is corroborated in part by the recent work of Cackett (2016). He found that the lag–energy spectrum of the lower kHz QPO of 4U 1608–52 cannot be due to only reverberation. His modelling of the lag–energy spectrum of the lower kHz QPO of that source showed that the lags would be expected to increase above ~8 keV, in contradiction

⁷ We assumed the neutron star mass in the range $M \sim 1.6-1.9 \text{ M}_{\odot}$, fixed the radius of the neutron star in 10 km, fixed the magnetic field at $B \sim 10^8 \text{ G}$ and assumed that the source accretes at a rate 0.03–0.06 L_{edd}.

with the observations. He argue that another physical mechanism is required to produce the observed lag.

Our results for the lag-energy spectrum of the QPOs seem to point also in that direction.

If extended to include all the other QPOs, these models provide an opportunity to study the dynamic and physical conditions of the Comptonizing corona in NS-LMXBs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

MGBA acknowledges the financial support from FAPESP 2011/23996-9 and from the FAPESP Thematic Project 2013/26258-4. MGBA is also grateful to the Kapteyn Astronomical Institute for the hospitality and to R. Misra, M. Linares, P. Bult and T. Strohmayer for the useful comments. DA acknowledges support from the Royal Society. The authors are grateful to the unknown referee for his/her meticulous review of this paper whose comments significantly increased the value of our work.

REFERENCES

- Alston W. N., Parker M. L., Markevičiūtė J., Fabian A. C., Middleton M., Lohfink A., Kara E., Pinto C., 2015, MNRAS, 449, 467
- Altamirano D., Méndez M., 2015, MNRAS, 449, 4027
- Altamirano D., van der Klis M., Méndez M., Jonker P., Klein-Wolt M., Lewin W. H. G., 2008, ApJ, 685, 436
- Bachetti M., Romanova M., Kulkarni A., Burderi L., di Salvo T., 2010, MNRAS, 403, 1193
- Barret D., 2013, ApJ, 770, 9
- Belloni T., Homan J., Motta S., Ratti E., Méndez M., 2007, MNRAS, 379, 247
- Berger M. et al., 1996, ApJ, 469, L13
- Bhattacharya D., van den Heuvel E. P. J., 1991, Phys. Rep., 203, 1
- Böck M. et al., 2011, A&A, 533 A8
- Bradt H. V., Rothschild R. E., Swank J. H., 1993, A&AS, 97, 355
- Bult P., van der Klis M., 2015, ApJ, 798(2), L29
- Cackett E. M., 2016, ApJ, preprint (arXiv:1601.07849v1)
- Cassatella P., Uttley P., Wilms J., Poutanen J., 2012, MNRAS, 422, 2407
- Chakrabarty D., 2005, in Rasio F. A., Stairs I. H., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 328, Binary Radio Pulsars. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 279
- de Avellar M. G. B., Méndez M., Sanna A., Horvath J. E., 2013, MNRAS, 433, 3453
- de Avellar M. G. B., Méndez M., Altamirano D., Sanna A., Zhang G., 2014, in Ness J. U., ed., The X-ray Universe, preprint (arXiv:e-prints)
- De Marco B., Ponti G., Cappi M., Dadina M., Uttley P., Cackett E. M., Fabian A. C., Miniutti G., 2013a, MNRAS, 431, 2441
- De Marco B., Ponti G., Miniutti G., Belloni T., Cappi M., Dadina M., Muoz-Darias T., 2013b, MNRAS, 436, 3782
- Di Salvo T., Méndez M., van der Klis M., 2003, A&A, 406, 177
- Done C., Gierliński M., Kubota A., 2007, A&AR, 15, 1
- Esin A. A., McClintock J. E., Narayan R., 1997, ApJ, 489, 865
- Falanga M., Titarchuk L., 2007, ApJ, 661, 1084
- Fiocchi M., Bazzano A., Ubertini P., Jean P., 2006, ApJ, 651, 416
- Ford E. C., van der Klis M., Méndez M., van Paradijs J., Kaaret P., 1999, ApJ, 512, L31
- Fruchter A. S., Stinebring D. R., Taylor J. H., 1988, Nature, 333, 237
- Gilfanov M., Revnivtsev M., Molkov S., 2003, A&A, 410, 217
- Grinberg V. et al., 2014, A&A, 565, A1
- Jahoda K., Markwardt C. B., Radeva Y., Rots A. H., Stark M. J., Swank J. H., Strohmayers T. E., Zhang W., 2006, ApJS, 163, 401
- Kaaret P., Piraino S., Ford E., Santangelo A., 1999, ApJ, 514, L31
- Kolmogorov A., 1933, Giornale dell' Instituto Italiano degli Attuari, 4, p. 83

- Kotov O., Churazov E., Gilfanov M., 2001, MNRAS, 327, 799
- Kumar N., Misra R., 2014, MNRAS, 445, 2818
- Kuulkers E., van der Klis M., Oosterbroek T., Asai K., Dotani T., van Paradijs J., Lewin W. H. G., 1994, A&A, 289, 795
- Lee H. C., Miller G. S., 1998, MNRAS, 299, 479
- Lee H. C., Misra R., Taam R. E., 2001, ApJ, 549, L229
- Linares M., 2009, PhD Thesis
- Lyu M., Méndez M., Altamirano D., 2014, MNRAS, 445, 3659
- Lyu M., Méndez M., Zhang G., Keek L., 2015, MNRAS, 454, 541
- Mauche C. W., 2002, ApJ, 580, 423
- Melo I., Tomášik B., Torrieri G., Vogel S., Bleicher M., Koróny S., Gintner M., 2009, Phys. Rev. C, 80, 024904
- Méndez M., 2006, MNRAS, 371, 1925
- Méndez M., van der Klis M., Ford E. C., Wijnands R., van Paradijs J., 1999, ApJ, 511, L49
- Méndez M., van der Klis M., Ford E. C., 2001, ApJ, 561, 1016
- Méndez M., Altamirano D., Belloni T., Sanna A., 2013, MNRAS, 435, 2132
- Meyer-Hofmeister E., Liu B. F., Meyer F., 2005, A&A, 432, 181
- Miller M. C., Lamb F. K., Psaltis D., 1998, ApJ, 508, 791
- Mitsuda K., Dotani T., 1989, PASJ, 41, 557
- Miyamoto S., Kitamoto S., Mitsuda K., Dotani T., 1988, Nature, 336, 450
- Nowak M. A., Vaughan B. A., 1996, MNRAS, 280, 227
- Nowak M. A., Vaughan B. A., Wilms J., Dove J. B., Begelman M. C., 1999, ApJ, 510, 874
- Papitto A. et al., 2013, Nature, 501, 517
- Payne D. G., 1980, ApJ, 237, 951
- Peille P., Barret D., Uttley P. 2015, ApJ 811, 109
- Psaltis D., Belloni T., van der Klis M., 1999, ApJ, 520, 262
- Reig P., van Straaten S., van der Klis M., 2004, ApJ 602, 918
- Romanova M., Kulkarni A., 2009, MNRAS, 398, 1105
- Sanna A., Méndez M., Belloni T., Altamirano D., 2012, MNRAS, 424, 2936
- Stella L., Vietri M., 1999, Phys. Rev. Lett., 82, 17
- Sunyaev R. A., Titarchuk L. G., 1980, A&A, 86, 121
- Uttley P., 2004, MNRAS, 347, L61
- Uttley P., Wilkinson T., Cassatella P., Wilms J., Pottschmidt K., Hanke M., Böck M., 2011, MNRAS, 414, L60
- van der Klis M., 2006, in Lewin W. van der Klis M., eds, Cambridge Astrophysics Ser. Vol. 39, Compact stellar X-ray sources, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge p. 39
- van der Klis M., Hasinger G., Stella L., Langmeier A., van Paradijs J., Lewin W. H. G., 1987, ApJ, 319, L13
- van Straaten S., van der Klis M., di Salvo T., Belloni T., 2002, ApJ, 568, 912
- van Straaten S., van der Klis M., Méndez M., 2003, ApJ, 596, 1155
- van Straaten S., van der Klis M., Wijnands R., 2005, ApJ, 619, 455
- Vaughan B. A., Nowak M. A., 1997, ApJ, 474, L43
- Vaughan B. A. et al., 1997, ApJ, 483, L115
- Vaughan B. A. et al., 1998, ApJ, 509, L145
- Warner B., Woudt P. A., 2002, MNRAS, 335, 84
- Wijers R. A. M. J., van Paradijs J., Lewin W. H. G., 1987, MNRAS, 228, 17
- Wijnands R., van der Klis M., 1998, Nature, 394, 344
- Wijnands R. A. D., van der Klis M., 1999, ApJ, 514, 939
- Wijnands R. A. D., van der Klis M., Kuulkers E., Asai K., Hasinger G., 1997a, A&A, 323, 399
- Wijnands R. A. D., van der Klis M., van Paradijs J., Lewin W. H. G., Lamb F. K., Vaughan B., Kuulkers E., 1997b, ApJ, 479, L141
- Zhang G., Méndez M., Belloni T. M., Homan J., 2013, MNRAS, 436, 2276
- Zoghbi A., Fabian A. C., Uttley P., Miniutti G., Gallo L. C., Reynolds C. S., Miller J. M., Ponti G., 2010, MNRAS, 401, 2419
- Zoghbi A., Uttley P., Fabian A. C., 2011, MNRAS, 412, 59

This paper has been typeset from a TFX/LATFX file prepared by the author.