

University of Groningen

## Visuospatial Perception

Yildirim, Funda

**IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.**

*Document Version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*

2016

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Yildirim, F. (2016). Visuospatial Perception: from Behavior to Brain [Groningen]: Rijksuniversiteit Groningen

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

**Take-down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

*Printing of this thesis has been generously supported by:*



University of Groningen



University Medical Center Groningen



Research School of Behavioural and  
Cognitive Neurosciences

Professor Mulder Stichting

Visuospatial Perception: from Behavior to Brain

ISBN: 978-90-367-8914-1

Publisher: University of Groningen

Cover Painting: Ezgi Yüksel '*Büyük Umutlar*'



rijksuniversiteit  
groningen

# Visuospatial Perception

**From Behavior to Brain**

**Proefschrift**

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor aan de  
Rijksuniversiteit Groningen  
op gezag van de  
rector magnificus prof. dr. E. Sterken  
en volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties.

De openbare verdediging zal plaatsvinden op

woensdag 29 juni 2016 om 09.00 uur

door

**Funda Yıldırım**

geboren op 21 december 1987  
te Altindag, Turkije

**Promotores**

Prof. dr. F.W. Cornelissen

Prof. dr. J.M.M. Hooymans

**BeoordelingscommissieCommittee**

Prof. dr. D. Baskent

Prof. dr. M.M. Lorist

Prof. dr. S.O. Dumoulin

*For the spatial location of my inspiration,  
which lies in the heart of Anatolia.*



# Table of Contents

<b>1. GENERAL INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.1. OUTLINE</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.2. BACKGROUND</b>	<b>7</b>
1.2.1. VISUAL PATHWAYS	7
1.2.2. VISUAL CORTEX	8
1.2.3. SPATIAL INFORMATION PROCESSING	8
1.2.4. CROWDING, GROUPING AND CONTOUR INTEGRATION	10
<b>1.3. METHODS</b>	<b>12</b>
1.3.1. MANUAL RESPONSES	12
1.3.2. EYE TRACKING	12
1.3.3. FUNCTIONAL MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING (fMRI)	13
1.3.4. fMRI SIGNAL ANALYSIS USING TRAVELING WAVE ANALYSES	13
1.3.5. RETINOTOPIC MAPPING USING POPULATION RECEPTIVE FIELD MODELING	14
<b>1.4. REFERENCES</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>2. SACCADES FOLLOW PERCEPTION WHEN JUDGING LOCATION</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>2.1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>2.2. RESULTS</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>2.3. DISCUSSION</b>	<b>23</b>
2.3.1. LIMITATIONS	24
2.3.2. FINAL CONCLUSION	25
<b>2.4. METHODS.</b>	<b>25</b>
2.4.1. SUBJECTS	25
2.4.2. APPARATUS	25
2.4.3. STIMULI	26
2.4.4. PROCEDURE	26
2.4.5. DATA ANALYSIS	27
2.4.6. RELATIVE LOCALIZATION PERFORMANCE	27
2.4.7. ABSOLUTE LOCALIZATION PERFORMANCE	27
2.4.8. STATISTICS	27
<b>2.5. REFERENCES</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>3. EYES ON CROWDING: CROWDING IS PRESERVED WHEN RESPONDING BY EYE</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>3.1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>3.2. METHODS</b>	<b>34</b>
3.2.1. OVERVIEW	34
3.2.2. OBSERVERS	34
3.2.3. MATERIALS	34
3.2.4. STIMULI AND PROCEDURE	34
3.2.5. INDIVIDUAL CONTRAST AND TILT THRESHOLD DETERMINATION	35
3.2.6. EYE MOVEMENT ANALYSIS	36
3.2.7. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	36
<b>3.3. RESULTS</b>	<b>36</b>
3.4. DISCUSSION	39
<b>3.5. REFERENCES</b>	<b>41</b>

<b>4. EYES ON CROWDING: CROWDING SIMILARLY AFFECTS IDENTITY AND POSITION ACCURACY</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>4.1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>45</b>
4.1.1. MEASURING PERCEIVED TARGET IDENTITY AND LOCATION USING EYE MOVEMENTS	46
<b>4.2. METHODS</b>	<b>47</b>
4.2.1. OVERVIEW	47
4.2.2. OBSERVERS	47
4.2.3. MATERIALS	47
4.2.4. STIMULI AND PROCEDURE	47
4.2.5. EYE MOVEMENT ANALYSIS	48
4.2.6. ANALYSIS OF LOCALIZATION ERRORS	49
<b>4.3. RESULTS</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>4.4. DISCUSSION</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>4.5. REFERENCES</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>5. A COMPARISON OF ORIENTATION- AND LUMINANCE-CONTRAST BASED RETINOTOPY</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>5.1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>5.2. METHODS</b>	<b>59</b>
5.2.1. PARTICIPANTS	59
5.2.2. STIMULUS PRESENTATION	59
5.2.3. STIMULUS DESIGN	59
5.2.3.1. LUMINANCE-CONTRAST DEFINED RETINOTOPY (LCR)	59
5.2.3.2. ORIENTATION CONTRAST DEFINED RETINOTOPY (OCR)	59
5.2.4. MRI SCANNING	61
5.2.5. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE	61
5.2.6. PREPROCESSING	61
5.2.7. POPULATION RECEPTIVE FIELD (PRF) MODELLING	61
5.2.8. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	62
<b>5.3. RESULTS</b>	<b>62</b>
5.3.1. COMPARISON OF BOLD SIGNALS EVOKED BY LCR AND OCR STIMULI.	62
5.3.2. COMPARISON OF LCR AND OCR DERIVED RETINOTOPIC MAPS	62
LCR PRF OCR PRF	63
5.3.3. COMPARISON OF VOXEL-WISE PRF ECCENTRICITIES FOR LCR AND OCR	64
5.3.4. COMPARISON OF VOXEL-WISE PRF SIZES FOR LCR AND OCR	66
5.3.5. COMPARISON OF EXPLAINED VARIANCE FOR LCR AND OCR-BASED ANALYSES	67
<b>5.4. DISCUSSION</b>	<b>70</b>
5.4.1. LOWER SIGNAL AMPLITUDE AND EXPLAINED VARIANCE FOR OCR COMPARED TO LCR	70
5.4.2. QUALITATIVELY SIMILAR RETINOTOPIC MAPS, BUT SMALLER PRFS FOR OCR COMPARED TO LCR	70
5.4.3. DIFFERENCES IN LATERAL INHIBITION BETWEEN LCR AND OCR EXPLAINS LOWER BOLD AMPLITUDE AND SMALL PRF SIZES	71
5.4.4. OCR MORE ACCURATELY ESTIMATES PRF ECCENTRICITY	71
5.4.5. COMPARISON OF EDGE- AND FIELD-BASED PRF ANALYSES PROVIDES NO EVIDENCE FOR OBJECT-SPECIFIC SIGNAL PROCESSING	72
5.4.6. LIMITATIONS	73
5.4.7. FUTURE STUDIES	73
5.4.8. CONCLUSION	74
<b>5.5. REFERENCES</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>6. ON THE CONTRIBUTION OF CONTOUR MECHANISMS IN EARLY VISUAL CORTEX TO SHAPE PERCEPTION: AN EXPLORATORY STUDY</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>6.1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>6.2. METHODS</b>	<b>81</b>



<b>6.2.1.</b>	<b>PARTICIPANTS</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>6.2.2.</b>	<b>STIMULUS PRESENTATION</b>	<b>81</b>
6.2.3.	SHAPE STIMULUS	81
6.2.4.	VISUAL FIELD MAPPING	81
6.2.5.	MRI SCANNING	83
6.2.6.	EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE	83
6.2.7.	FMRI PREPROCESSING	83
6.2.8.	FMRI DATA ANALYSIS	84
6.2.9.	ANALYSIS OF SHAPE-INDUCED ACTIVATIONS	84
6.2.10.	SECTOR ROI-BASED ANALYSIS	84
6.2.11.	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	85
<b>6.3.</b>	<b>RESULTS</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>6.4.</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>88</b>
6.4.1.	PREVIOUS CONTOUR INTEGRATION AND ILLUSORY CONTOUR STUDIES	88
6.4.2.	SHAPE VERSUS CONTOUR INFORMATION PROCESSING	89
6.4.3.	LIMITATIONS	89
6.4.4.	CONCLUSION	90
<b>6.5.</b>	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>7.</b>	<b>GENERAL DISCUSSION</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>7.1.</b>	<b>SUMMARY OF THE FINDINGS</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>7.2.</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>95</b>
7.2.1.	USING EYE MOVEMENTS TO INDICATE PERCEPTION	95
7.2.2.	ON THE CONTEXT DEPENDENCY OF SPATIAL LOCATION INFORMATION	95
7.2.3.	ON THE METHODS OF CHOICE AND ON THE INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS	96
<b>7.3.</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>7.4.</b>	<b>FUTURE RESEARCH &amp; APPLICATIONS</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>7.5.</b>	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>100</b>
	<b>SUMMARY</b>	<b>103</b>
	<b>SAMENVATTING</b>	<b>105</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENT</b>	<b>107</b>

