

University of Groningen



Predictors of cost-effectiveness of selected COPD treatments in primary care

van Boven, Job; Roman-Rodriguez, Miguel; Kocks, J.W.H.; Soriano, Joan B.; Postma, Maarten; van der Molen, Thys

Published in: npj Primary Care Respiratory Medicine

DOI: 10.1038/npjpcrm.2015.51

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2015

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

van Boven, J. F. M., Roman-Rodriguez, M., Kocks, J. W. H., Soriano, J. B., Postma, M. J., & van der Molen, T. (2015). Predictors of cost-effectiveness of selected COPD treatments in primary care: UNLOCK study protocol. npj Primary Care Respiratory Medicine, 25, [15051]. DOI: 10.1038/npjpcrm.2015.51

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

PROTOCOL OPEN Predictors of cost-effectiveness of selected COPD treatments in primary care: UNLOCK study protocol

Job FM van Boven¹, Miguel Román-Rodríguez², Janwillem WH Kocks³, Joan B Soriano⁴, Maarten J Postma^{1,5} and Thys van der Molen³

npj Primary Care Respiratory Medicine (2015) 25, 15051; doi:10.1038/npjpcrm.2015.51; published online 6 August 2015

BACKGROUND

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) puts a high burden on patients and governmental health-care budgets.^{1,2} General practitioners (GPs) have a pivotal role in the treatment of COPD patients in primary care. However, the strategies of treatment may differ considerably between individual GPs, resulting in large intraindividual differences in health-care utilisation and quality of life of their patients.^{3,4} Recently, the Spanish AUDIPOC study showed great variability in hospital treatment patterns and patients' outcomes.⁵ Moreover, the European COPD audit indicated marked differences in resources available across different hospitals in Europe.⁶ In Spain though, it is estimated that at least 61% of COPD patients are only treated in primary care,⁷ with an average of 6.6 visits per year. The estimated prevalence of COPD in the Balearic Islands is 12.8%.⁸ Regarding health-care costs for respiratory patients, several cost drivers, mostly related to patient characteristics, have been identified in previous studies including associated comorbidities (e.g., heart disease), forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁), the physical component of quality of life, 6min walking distance, increased dyspnoea, number of medical visits and hospitalisations.^{9–11} Although one study identified an effect of the individual physician on health-care costs,¹² treatment strategies were never incorporated as a predicting variable for costs or outcomes. Besides inter-physician differences in treatments, country-specific regulations and difference in the extent of adherence to clinical guidelines may affect the cost-effectiveness of treating COPD patients in primary care settings.¹³ It was shown that adherence to COPD treatment guidelines is suboptimal.¹⁴ Moreover, non-adherence to guidelines was associated with higher total health-care costs.¹⁵ In particular, in times of increasing health-care costs and scarcer resources, there is a need to identify the cost-effectiveness of different treatment strategies for COPD patients across various primary care settings. The UNLOCK project of the International Primary Care Respiratory Group (IPCRG) offers a promising possibility.¹⁶

AIMS

The primary aim of this study is to assess what makes one COPD treatment strategy more cost-effective than others, by taking into account factors related to patients, the physician, and specific follow up and treatment approaches. A secondary objective is to assess whether real-world cost-effectiveness of treatments is comparable between Spain and other countries that have comparable data sets available.

METHODS

Study design

This is a cost-effectiveness analysis that is performed with a real-world database on respiratory patients.

Setting

This study comprises two phases, with the first phase including all primary care centres in the Balearic Islands, Spain. In a second phase of the study, primary care centres from other parts of the world will be included.

Data source

All the data will be extracted from the MAJOrca Real-world Investigation in COPD and Asthma database (MAJORICA). The MAJORICA database contains combined data from the primary care system (e-SIAP), the hospital claims system (FIC), and the pharmacy database (RELE) in the Balearics, Spain. Together, these databases cover all health-care utilisation of the permanent inhabitants of the Balearics (±1.1 million subjects). In the Balearics, there are about 400 different GPs, and most of the COPD patients are treated by one of these GPs. The MAJORICA database contains data from all patients aged \ge 18 years with a primary care diagnosis of asthma and/or COPD in 2012, regardless of health insurance. All demographics, clinical data, diagnostic tests, as well as resource use, pharmacy dispense data, work absence and patient-reported outcomes from almost 70,000 respiratory patients are available for the period 2011-2014. A specification of the database is provided in Table 1. The database characteristics were reported according to the checklists of the IPCRG¹⁶ and the Respiratory Effectiveness Group (http://www.effectivenessevaluation.org). The unique island setting of the Balearics allows us to provide an almost complete picture of the real-world health-care use of COPD patients.

Inclusion criteria

All patients (\geq 18 years) with a clinical diagnosis of COPD (ICD-9 codes: 491, 492, 496 and/or primary codes R79, R95) in 2012, available in the MAJORICA database, were included. In addition, patients needed to be a permanent resident of the Balearic Islands and to be alive in 2014.

Health-care resource utilisation

Health-care resource use in 2013 and 2014 will be calculated for all the COPD patients identified in 2012. Health-care resource use that will be included in the study refers to the following: GP visits, primary care nurses visits, emergency department (ED) visits, specialist visits, specialist nurse visits, hospitalisations, medication and diagnostic tests (that is, spirometry, CT-scans, X-rays, bronchoscopy). To estimate indirect costs, data on work absence will be extracted. These data will be extracted from the e-SIAP system, as work absence in Spain is registered by GPs.

¹Unit of PharmacoEpidemiology and PharmacoEconomics (PE2), Department of Pharmacy, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands; ²Institututo de Investigación Sanitaria de Palma (IdISPa), Palma de Mallorca, Spain; ³Department of General Practice, University Medical Centre Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands; ⁴Instituto de Investigación Hospital Universitario de la Princesa (IISP), Universidad Autónonoma de Madrid, Cátedra UAM-Linde, Madrid, Spain and ⁵Institute of Science in Healthy Aging and HealthcaRE (SHARE), University Medical Centre Groningen, University of Groningen, The Netherlands. Correspondence: M Román-Rodríguez (miguelroman@ibsalut.caib.es)

Received 27 March 2015; revised 25 May 2015; accepted 10 June 2015



2

Variable	Specificatior
True of detail and	,
Type of database Electronic Medical Record	Yes
Claims	Yes
Country/countries of data origin	Balearics,
Number of patients	Spain 68,578
Patients with asthma diagnosis (ICD-9: 493)	45,800
Patients with COPD diagnosis (ICD-9: 491, 492, 496)	27,871
Patients with asthma and COPD diagnosis (ICD-9:	5,093
493 and (491. 492, 496))	2011 2014
Data collection (period) Unique identifier/anonymisation	2011–2014 Yes
Ethical approval	Yes
Coding system diseases	
ICD-9, ICD-10, read	ICD-9
Patient demographics	
Gender	Yes
Age	Yes
BMI	Yes
Physician demographics	
Gender	Yes
Age	Yes
Setting (urban/rural)	Yes
Drugs	
Coding	ATC-7
Prescribed, dispensed, both	Dispensed All R03
Drugs available Dose/dosing	Yes
Device	No
OTC medications	No
Inhaler technique	No
Vaccinations	
Influenza, Pneumococcal	Yes
Outcomes	
Exacerbations	
Steroids	Yes
Antibiotics SABA	Yes Yes
Exacerbations (ICD-9 code)	Yes
Health resource utilisation	
Primary care consultations	Yes
Secondary care consultations	Yes
Consultations coded by disease Consultations coded by routine/emergency	Yes Yes
Hospitalisations	Yes
Hospitalisations coded by disease	Yes
Hospitalisation duration	Yes
Emergency room	Yes
ICU	Yes
ICU coded by disease ICU duration	Yes Yes
Rehabilitation	No
Physiotherapy	No
Patient-reported	
mMRC	Yes
Asthma (ACQ, ACT) COPD (CCQ, CAT)	ACT score CAT score
Side effects	
Pneumonias	Yes
Work absence	
All cause	Yes
Respiratory specific	Yes

Cost-effectiveness of COPD treatment

JFM van Boven et al

Variable	Specification
Covariates	
Comorbidities	
Diabetes	Yes
Cardiovascular diseases	
Hypertension	Yes
Cardiac insufficiency	
Atrial fibrillation	
Cor pulmonale	
Allergic rhinitis	Yes
Cerebrovascular disease	Yes
Osteoporosis	Yes
Sleep apnoea	Yes
Nasal polyps	No
Depression/anxiety	Yes
Reflux (GERD)	Yes
Chronic kidney disease	Yes
Lung Cancer	Yes
AIDS/HIV	Yes
Cognitive dysfunction	No
Risk score	
Cardiovascular risk score	Yes
Lifestyle	
Smoking status	Yes
Smoking years	Yes
Socioeconomic status	
Post code	No
Education level	No
Employment status	Yes
Salary range	No
Spirometry	
FEV ₁ /FVC, FEV ₁ %pred, reversibility	Yes
Laboratory tests	
Full blood count, FeNO, IgE and so on	No
Imaging	
CRX	Performed Y/
HRCT	Performed Y/

Abbreviations: ACT, asthma control test; ATC, anatomical therapeutic chemical; BMI, body mass index; CAT, COPD Assessment Test; CRX, chest X-ray; FEV, forced expiratory volume; FVC, forced vital capacity; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; HRCT, high-resolution computed tomography; ICD, International Classification of Diseases; ICU, intensive care unit; mMRC, modified Medical Research Council; N, no; OTC, over the counter; SABA, short-acting beta agonists; Y, yes.

Calculation of health-care costs and indirect costs

Total costs will be calculated by multiplying each unit of resource use and lost workdays with standard cost-per-unit prices, which are obtained from the Health Care Administration Office of the Balearics.¹⁷

Predictors for cost-effectiveness

Predictors for cost-effective treatment will be assessed, including variables related to patient, physician or treatment. Predictors related to patients may include age, gender, body mass index, smoking status, exacerbations (physician diagnosis and/or prescription of prednisone), COPD severity by spirometry, short-acting β_2 -agonist use, health-related quality of life and comorbidity. Examples of predictors related to the physician are age, gender and setting, number of patients per practice and number of COPD patients per practice. Predictors related to treatment may include prescription of medication and adherence based on refill of medication, influenza vaccination in the past year, requests for diagnostic tests, referrals to hospital or specialists and the use of patient-reported outcomes (PROs).

Comparisons

Specifications of the comparisons that could potentially be made, depending on the exact data available, are listed in Table 2.

Data analysis

The total patients' sample will be split into two groups, depending on the treatment variables that will be compared (Table 2). For example, to assess the impact of using PROs, all patients who were treated by a GP who uses PROs will be selected as the treatment group. An equal group of control patients, not treated by a GP who uses PROs, will be selected using a matching procedure. The matching procedure (based on propensity scores) will use patient characteristics (age, gender, smoking status) and disease severity (FEV₁, exacerbations, quality of life, comorbidities).

For both groups, the average total costs per patient (as well as minimum, maximum and standard deviation) will be calculated on the basis of the direct health-care costs, as listed above (hospitalisations, medication, ED visits), and indirect costs. The cost difference between the two groups will result in a ΔC variable to obtain an estimate of the incremental costs. The differences in effect size (ΔE) will be expressed as the difference in health effects between the two groups that are compared. The health effects depend on what variables will be consistently available in the database. Exacerbations avoided will be used, as well as changes in COPD-specific changes in the quality of life, as defined by the COPD Assessment Test (CAT) or modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) questionnaire.

Subsequently, the incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) can be calculated as follows: $(Costs_{group1} - Costs_{group2}) - (Effects_{group1} - Effects_{group2}) = \Delta C/\Delta E$, which provides the incremental costs per exacerbation avoided or incremental costs per CAT point gained. The ICER will be calculated using both the health-care payer's and the societal perspective. The societal perspective includes work productivity costs. Sensitivity analyses will be performed using the minimal and maximal costs (scenario analyses), as well as a bootstrap procedure (as patient-level data will be available). Bootstrapping relies on random sampling with replacement, and it will allow estimating accuracy (such as 95% confidence intervals) to sample estimates.

External validity using UNLOCK

Once the predictors have been identified, we will invite members of the UNLOCK project in other countries (e.g., The Netherlands, Sweden and

Predictors related to patien	t	
Age	< 75 years	75 years or more
Gender	Male	Female
BMI	< 25	25 or more
Smoking status	Current smoker	Former smoker or non-smoker
Exacerbations	< 2	2 or more
Hospitalisations	0	1 or more
Severity by FEV ₁	< 50%	50% or more
Use of SABA	< 2 dispenses per year	2 or more
Comorbidity	< 2	2 or more
Cardiovascular	No	Yes
HRQoL	CAT < 10	10 or more
GP visits	< 2	2 or more
Medication adherence	< 80%	80% or more
Predictors related to GP		
Age	< 35	35 years or older
Gender	Male	Female
Region	Urban	Rural
Use of PROs	Yes	No
Requests for lab/tests	Yes	No
Predictors related to specifi	c treatment	
Influenza vaccination	Yes	No
LABA	Yes	No
LAMA	Yes	No
LABA-ICS	Yes	No

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CAI, COPD Assessment Test; GP, general practitioner; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; ICS, inhaled corticosteroid; LABA, long-acting β_2 -agonist; LAMA, long-acting muscarinic antagonist; PROs, patient-reported outcomes; SABA, short-acting β_2 -agonist.

others) to participate to test the external validity and inter-country variation of these predictors.

To assure consistency of the analytic process and consequent results, data will be compared with other data sets from different IPCRG countries, including the same variables and applying the same methods.

Ethical approval

Ethical approval was granted by the local primary care research committee.

DISCUSSION

Current clinical treatment guidelines are mainly based on evidence from large clinical trials with a selective study population, which does not seem to reflect the majority of patients treated in realworld primary care.^{18,19} Therefore, there is an urgent need to assess the validity of treatment recommendations when applied in realworld treatment. Results from this study are expected to provide useful insights in the cost-effectiveness of the broad range of strategies and factors related to the primary care treatment of COPD. The use of a real-world database that covers the complete Balearic population is considered a major strength, as a representative population is assessed in which the risk of pre-selection bias is limited. A second strength is that results will be compared with other international settings, thereby increasing generalisability. Here, the UNLOCK project of IPCRG offers a useful possibility.¹⁶ However, given the retrospective observational design, some limitations should be acknowledged. First, by the use of realworld data, missing data are common. In particular, registration of data regarding the use of spirometry, smoking status and patientreported outcomes is expected to be limited. Pulmonary rehabilitation and physiotherapy data are not included in the effectiveness analysis because of the difficulty in collecting such data and because of the limited availability of these services. In addition, miscoding or incomplete and invalid data collection may have occurred because of the real-word setting. Another limitation lies in the observational design, which usually increases the risk for bias. Although the database itself covers the complete population, the individual analyses are prone to selection bias. To minimise this risk of bias, a matching procedure will be used, but unobserved bias may still occur. Despite these limitations, the need for more realworld evidence and comparative effectiveness research is increasing, thereby strengthening the overall relevance of this study.²⁰

COMPETING INTERESTS

JWHK and JBS are Associate Editors of *npj Primary Care Respiratory Medicine*, but were not involved in the editorial review of, nor the decision to publish, this article. None of the other authors declare any conflict of interest.

FUNDING

This study is funded by an UNLOCK study grant of the International Primary Care Respiratory Group (IPCRG) and co-funded by an unrestricted educational grant from GlaxoSmithKline (GSK).

REFERENCES

- 1 World Health Organization. *Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease*. Available at http://www.who.int/respiratory/copd/en/. Accessed 22 February 2015.
- 2 van Boven JF, Vegter S, van der Molen T, Postma MJ. COPD in the working age population: the economic impact on both patients and government. *COPD* 2013; 10: 629–639.
- 3 Lababidi H, Abu-Shaheen AK, Bou Mehdi IA, Al-Tannir MA. Asthma care practicing among general practitioners in Lebanon: a cross-sectional study. J Asthma 2014; 51: 51–57.
- 4 de Miguel-Diez J, Carrasco-Garrido P, Rejas-Gutierrez J, Martin-Centeno A, Gobartt-Vazquez E, Hernandez-Barrera V *et al.* Inappropriate overuse of inhaled corticosteroids for COPD patients: impact on health costs and health status. *Lung* 2011; **189**: 199–206.

- 4
- 5 Pozo-Rodriguez F, Lopez-Campos JL, Alvarez-Martinez CJ, Castro-Acosta A, Aguero R, Hueto J *et al.* Clinical audit of COPD patients requiring hospital admissions in Spain: AUDIPOC study. *PLoS One* 2012; **7**: e42156.
- 6 Lopez-Campos JL, Hartl S, Pozo-Rodriguez F, Roberts CM. European COPD Audit team. Variability of hospital resources for acute care of COPD patients: the European COPD Audit. *Eur Respir J* 2014; 43: 754–762.
- 7 Izquierdo-Alonso JL, de Miguel-Diez J. Economic impact of pulmonary drugs on direct costs of stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. COPD 2004; 1: 215–223.
- 8 Soriano JB, Yanez A, Renom F, de la Pena M, Gomez A, Duro R *et al.* Set-up and pilot of a population cohort for the study of the natural history of COPD and OSA: the PULSAIB study. *Prim Care Respir J* 2010; **19**: 140–147.
- 9 de Miguel Diez J, Carrasco Garrido P, Garcia Carballo M, Gil de Miguel A, Rejas Gutierrez J, Bellon Cano JM *et al.* Determinants and predictors of the cost of COPD in primary care: a Spanish perspective. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2008; **3**: 701–712.
- 10 Mapel DW, McMillan GP, Frost FJ, Hurley JS, Picchi MA, Lydick E et al. Predicting the costs of managing patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respir Med* 2005; 99: 1325–1333.
- 11 Garcia-Polo C, Alcazar-Navarrete B, Ruiz-Iturriaga LA, Herrejon A, Ros-Lucas JA, Garcia-Sidro P et al. Factors associated with high healthcare resource utilisation among COPD patients. *Respir Med* 2012; **106**: 1734–1742.
- 12 Verdaguer Munujos A, Peiro S, Librero J. Variations in the use of hospital resources in treating patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Arch Bronconeumol* 2003; **39**: 442–448.
- 13 Sharif R, Cuevas CR, Wang Y, Arora M, Sharma G. Guideline adherence in management of stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respir Med* 2013; 107: 1046–1052.

- 14 Perez X, Wisnivesky JP, Lurslurchachai L, Kleinman LC, Kronish IM. Barriers to adherence to COPD guidelines among primary care providers. *Respir Med* 2012; 106: 374–381.
- 15 Miravitlles M, Sicras A, Crespo C, Cuesta M, Brosa M, Galera J et al. Costs of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in relation to compliance with guidelines: a study in the primary care setting. *Ther Adv Respir Dis* 2013; **7**: 139–150.
- 16 Chavannes N, Stallberg B, Lisspers K, Roman M, Moran A, Langhammer A et al. UNLOCK: Uncovering and Noting Long-term Outcomes in COPD to enhance knowledge. Prim Care Respir J 2010; 19: 408.
- 17 Official Bulletin of the Balearic Islands. Available at http://boib.caib.es/pdf/2012040/ mp63.pdf. Accessed 20 February 2015.
- 18 Herland K, Akselsen JP, Skjonsberg OH, Bjermer L. How representative are clinical study patients with asthma or COPD for a larger "real life" population of patients with obstructive lung disease? *Respir Med* 2005; **99**: 11–19.
- 19 Kruis AL, Stallberg B, Jones RC, Tsiligianni IG, Lisspers K, van der Molen T *et al.* Primary care COPD patients compared with large pharmaceutically-sponsored COPD studies: an UNLOCK validation study. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e90145.
- 20 Conway PH, Clancy C. Comparative-effectiveness research—implications of the Federal Coordinating Council's report. *N Engl J Med* 2009; **361**: 328–330.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/