



University of Groningen

Striatal Dopamine D-2/3 Receptor Availability in Treatment Resistant Depression

de Kwaasteniet, Bart P.; Pinto, Chedwa; Ruhe, Henricus; van Wingen, Guido A.; Booij, Jan; Denys, Damiaan

Published in: Plos one

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0113612

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2014

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): de Kwaasteniet, B. P., Pinto, C., Ruhe, E. H. G., van Wingen, G. A., Booij, J., & Denys, D. (2014). Striatal Dopamine D-2/3 Receptor Availability in Treatment Resistant Depression. Plos one, 9(11), [113612]. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0113612

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Striatal Dopamine D_{2/3} Receptor Availability in Treatment Resistant Depression



Bart P. de Kwaasteniet^{1,2}*⁹, Chedwa Pinto^{3,9}, Eric H. G. Ruhé^{1,2,6}, Guido A. van Wingen^{1,2}, Jan Booij^{2,4}, Damiaan Denys^{1,2,5}

1 Department of Psychiatry, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, 2 Brain Imaging Center, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, 3 Department of Psychiatry, MC groep, Lelystad, the Netherlands, 4 Department of Nuclear Medicine, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, 5 The Institute for Neuroscience, an institute of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, 6 University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Mood and Anxiety Disorders, Department of Psychiatry, Groningen, the Netherlands

Abstract

Several studies demonstrated improvement of depressive symptoms in treatment resistant depression (TRD) after administering dopamine agonists which suggest abnormal dopaminergic neurotransmission in TRD. However, the role of dopaminergic signaling through measurement of striatal dopamine $D_{2/3}$ receptor (D2/3R) binding has not been investigated in TRD subjects. We used [¹²³I]IBZM single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) to investigate striatal D2/3R binding in TRD. We included 6 severe TRD patients, 11 severe TRD patients on antipsychotics (TRD AP group) and 15 matched healthy controls. Results showed no significant difference (p = 0.75) in striatal D2/3R availability was found between TRD patients and healthy controls. In the TRD AP group D2/3R availability was significantly decreased (reflecting occupancy of D2/3Rs by antipsychotics) relative to TRD patients and healthy controls (p<0.001) but there were no differences in clinical symptoms between TRD AP and TRD patients. This preliminary study therefore does not provide evidence for large differences in D2/3 availability in severe TRD patients and suggests this TRD subgroup is not characterized by altered dopaminergic transmission. Atypical antipsychotics appear to have no clinical benefit in severe TRD patients who remain depressed, despite their strong occupancy of D2/3Rs.

Citation: de Kwaasteniet BP, Pinto C, Ruhé EHG, van Wingen GA, Booij J, et al. (2014) Striatal Dopamine D_{2/3} Receptor Availability in Treatment Resistant Depression. PLoS ONE 9(11): e113612. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113612

Editor: Huaibin Cai, National Institute of Health, United States of America

Received July 28, 2014; Accepted October 28, 2014; Published November 20, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 de Kwaasteniet et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability: The authors confirm that all data underlying the findings are fully available without restriction. All relevant data are within the paper.

Funding: Dr. H.G. Ruhé is supported by a NWO/ZonMW VENI-Grant #016.126.059. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: One of the authors (Chedwa Pinto) is employed at a commercial MC groep. The authors declare that this does not alter their adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

* Email: b.p.dekwaasteniet@amc.nl

9 These authors contributed equally to this work.

Introduction

About one third of patients with major depressive disorder (MDD) do not respond to two or more trials with different classes of antidepressants and are considered treatment resistant [1,2]. Treatment resistant depression (TRD) is associated with an overall worse prognosis and high medical costs [3]. At present, little is known about the pathophysiology of TRD, however several studies in TRD subjects demonstrated improvement of depressive symptoms after treatment with dopamine agonists [4–6]. These findings therefore suggest that abnormal dopaminergic neuro-transmission is implicated in the pathophysiology of TRD [7].

In addition, aberrant dopaminergic neurotransmission is also associated with dysfunctional reward/motivational systems and anhedonia; the absolute or relative inability to experience pleasure. Anhedonia is one of the two key symptoms required for the diagnosis of MDD [8]. In TRD, anhedonia is often more profound and long-lasting and associated with a deficiency of the reward/motivational systems in the brain. Reward and motivation are mediated by the mesolimbic system, which is one of the major brain dopaminergic tracts [7]. This mesolimbic tract arises from the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and projects to the ventral striatum (including the nucleus accumbens), hippocampus and amygdala.

Relatively few neuroimaging studies examined the dopaminergic system in MDD with either positron emission tomography (PET) or single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), and reported inconsistent findings [9,10]. Studies investigating dopamine D_{2/3} receptor (D2/3R) availability reported increased striatal D2/3R availability in MDD patients compared to controls [11,12], as well as increased striatal D2/3R availability in a subgroup of MDD patients with psychomotor retardation [13,14]. Increased D2/3R availability may reflect either an up-regulation of $D_{2/3}$ receptors, increased affinity of the receptor for the radioligand or a decreased synaptic dopamine concentration [7]. Therefore, the evidence of altered dopaminergic function in MDD is equivocal, also, as other studies demonstrated no differences between MDD and healthy controls [15,16]. An explanation for these inconsistent findings may be that these studies included MDD patients with heterogeneous clinical characteristics which might underlie different clinical subgroups. Interestingly, it has been suggested that TRD is characterized by a more profound dysfunction of mood regulating networks relative to non-treatment resistant depression [17,18], which suggests that TRD patients are at the worst end of a continuous depression spectrum. Furthermore, as TRD patients are often more severely anhedonic and psychomotorically retarded, and most of the time did not respond to serotonergic or noradrenergic drugs, abnormalities in TRD patients may be related to reduced dopaminergic signaling. To date, striatal D2/3R binding has not been investigated in TRD patients.

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate striatal D2/3R binding in severe TRD patients to test the hypothesis whether TRD patients are characterized by diminished dopaminergic transmission, reflected by increased D2/3R binding. We performed in vivo measurements of striatal D2/3 binding in 6 TRD patients compared to 15 healthy controls. We additionally investigated the effect of antipsychotics on striatal D2/3R availability in 11 TRD patients and whether these drugs were associated with improvement of symptomatology.

Methods

Subjects

We included 6 TRD patients, 11 TRD patients on antipsychotics (TRD AP group) and 15 healthy control subjects matched for age and gender. TRD patients were recruited at the department of Psychiatry of the Academic Medical Center (AMC) in Amsterdam and St. Elisabeth Hospital in Tilburg. The study was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of the AMC of the University of Amsterdam (METC AMC), and the Medical Ethical Committee of the St. Elizabeth Hospital (METC St. Elisabeth). All subjects provided written informed consent. Inclusion criteria for TRD and TRD AP subjects were: (i) age between 18 and 65 years; (ii) total Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D) \geq 18; (iii) primary diagnosis of MDD according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV) criteria and assessed by The Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID) [19]. To capture the most severely TRD patients, we included only patients with an illness duration of >2 years, who did not respond to (i) at least two adequate treatments of two different modern antidepressants (selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors, or noradrenergic and specific serotonergic antidepressants), and (ii) a tricyclic antidepressant, and (iii) an irreversible monoamine oxidase (MAO) inhibitor, and (iv) at least 6 sessions of bilateral electroconvulsive therapy (ECT). Exclusion criteria were: (i) Parkinson's disease, dementia or epilepsy; (ii) bipolar disorder; (iii) schizophrenia or a history of psychosis unrelated to MDD; (iv) alcohol or substance abuse during last 6 months; and (v) antisocial personality disorder. Healthy controls were screened by the structured clinical interview for DSM-IV disorders in order to confirm the absence of psychiatric or neurological illness [19]. None of the healthy participants reported a family history of psychiatric illness. We used the HAM-D [20] and Montgomery Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) [21] to quantify depression severity. The Maudsley Staging Method (MSM) was used to quantify the level of treatment resistance [22,23]. The MSM score includes various clinical parameters; duration of the current depressive episode, symptom severity, and level of functioning as measured by the Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF) score. For a complete list of these clinical variables we refer to Fekadu et al [22].

Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography protocol

SPECT scanning was performed using a 12-detector single-slice brain-dedicated scanner (Neurofocus, Inc., Medfield, MA, USA). Subjects underwent a measurement of the striatal D2/3R binding potential (BP_{ND}) using the selective D2/3R antagonist [¹²³I]iodobenzamide ([¹²³I]IBZM). We applied a bolus/constant infusion technique, which has been described in detail previously [24,25]. SPECT data were acquired for 60 minutes, starting 120 minutes after infusion of the radioligand. At the day of scanning subjects were not allowed to use alcohol, coffee and cigarettes since this has been associated with altered striatal dopamine release [26,27].

Image reconstruction and analysis

SPECT data were reconstructed in 3-D mode and attenuation correction of all images was performed as described earlier [28]. For quantification, a region of interest (ROI) analysis was performed. Fixed ROIs were positioned for the striatum and, as a reference, the occipital cortex [25]. Mean striatal and mean occipital binding were averaged from right and left ROIs. Then, BP_{ND} was calculated as the ratio of specific to non-specific binding ((total activity in striatum - activity in occipital cortex)/activity in the occipital cortex). All scans were analyzed by one investigator (CP) who was blind to the clinical data. To measure the inter-rater agreement, two authors (CP and BdK) independently analysed BP_{ND} in ten subjects. The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was 0.94 for left- and 0.95 for right striatum which indicates an excellent agreement between both raters.

Statistical analysis

Differences in age, HAM-D and MADRS scores were evaluated with a one way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and gender differences using a chi-square test. Comparison of striatal D2/3R availability between TRD, TRD AP and healthy control subjects was performed with an ANOVA as well. Using a Least Significant Difference (LSD) ANOVA post-hoc test, differences in D2/3R availability were investigated between TRD patients and healthy controls, between TRD AP patients and healthy controls and between TRD AP and TRD patients. Since D2/3R availability is influenced by age [29] and gender [30], we additionally included these variables as covariates in the group analyses using a one way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). A two tailed probability value of 0.05 was selected as significance level.

Results

Patient characteristics

TRD, TRD AP and control subjects were comparable for age and gender (Table 1). HAM-D and MADRS scores did not differ between TRD and TRD AP patients which indicates no difference in severity of depression between both groups. Mean MSM scores of TRD patients were 11.8 (\pm 1.0) and for TRD AP patients 11.8 (\pm 0.5) which indicates a high level of treatment resistance in both groups. An overview of medication use of each TRD and TRD AP patient is reported in Table 2.

SPECT imaging

There were no significant differences in mean striatal D2/3R availability between TRD patients and healthy controls (p = 0.75) suggesting that dopaminergic neurotransmission was not significantly altered in TRD patients (Table 1, Figure 1 and 2). The standardized effect size was 0.21. Furthermore, the mean D2/3R availability of the TRD AP group was significantly lower compared to both the TRD (p = 0.001) and healthy control group (p < 0.001). Since the antipsychotics used by the TRD AP patients

Table 1. Demographic and clinical measures of TRD, TRD AP and healthy control subjects.

Characteristic	TRD (n=6)	TRD AP (n = 11)	HCs (n = 15)	p-value
Age (years±SEM)	48.7±3.7	55.9±2.0	54.5±2.0	0.17 ¹
Gender (female/male)	3/3	7/4	10/5	0.63 ²
HAM-D (SEM)	20.2±1.3	22.2±1.5	n.a.	0.38
MADRS (SEM)	33.4±3.4	34.8±1.5	n.a.	0.66
Duration of current episode in months (SEM)	82±20.0	73±14.9	n.a.	0.73
Age of onset (SEM)	25.2±5.2	32.1±4.7	n.a.	0.36
MSM scores (SEM)	11.8±1.0	11.8±0.5	n.a.	0.98
Psychomotor retardation Item 8 HAM-D (SEM)	1±0.3	2±0.2	n.a.	0.02
Striatal D2/3R availability (BP _{ND}) (\pm SEM)	0.84±0.06	0.50±0.06	0.81±0.05	<0.001 ¹ TRD>HC: 0.75 TRD AP>HC: <0.001 TRD AP>TRD: 0.001

Abbreviations: TRD; Treatment resistant depression, TRD AP; Treatment resistant depression patients using antipsychotics, HCs; Healthy Controls, SEM; standard error of the mean MADRS; Montgomery Asberg Depression Rating Scale, HAM-D; Hamilton Depression Rating Scale, MSM; Maudsley Staging Method, BP_{ND}; Binding Potential non-displaceable (reflects striatal D2/3R availability)

¹One way ANOVA.

²Chi square test.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113612.t001

were all dopamine receptor antagonists, this demonstrates strong occupancy of striatal D2/3Rs (Table 1, Figure 2; occupancy of $50\% \pm 20\%$). Correction for age and gender did not significantly affect these results.

Discussion

This preliminary study is, to the best of our knowledge, the first to investigate striatal D2/3R availability in TRD. We included a unique group of severe TRD patients which were eligible for deep brain stimulation, with an illness duration of more than 2 years defined as non-response to at least four adequate treatments of different antidepressants and at least 6 sessions of bilateral ECT. We showed no significant differences in striatal D2/3R availability in TRD patients relative to healthy controls which suggests that dopaminergic neurotransmission is not significantly altered in TRD. Furthermore, the TRD AP subjects showed significantly decreased striatal D2/3R availability relative to both TRD and healthy control subjects, which reflects a significant occupancy of D2/3Rs (estimated to be approximately 50%) by these atypical antipsychotics. Interestingly, despite these large differences in receptor occupancy depressive symptoms were not improved in the TRD AP subjects.

Previously, it was suggested that particularly TRD is associated with dopaminergic dysfunction [7]. Since TRD is characterized by a more profound dysfunction of mood regulating networks [17,18], we expected them to show more severe dopaminergic dysfunction and as such an increased D2/3R availability compared to controls. Nevertheless, we observed no significant difference in striatal D2/3R availability in TRD patients compared to controls. We propose several explanations for this finding. First, other studies reported differences in D2/3R availability in psychomotor retarded patients [13,14]. In our sample we used item 8 (range 0 to 4) of the HAM-D scores to measure psychomotor retardation which showed these TRD patients suffered only moderately from psychomotor retardation. Unfortunately, our study lacks more sensitive tests to measure motor retardation such as a finger tapping task [14]. We therefore

Table 2. Psychopharmacological drugs used in TRD and TRD AP patients.

Cubicat		TDD metionste (m. C)
Subject	TRD AP patients (n = 11)	TRD patients (n=6)
1.	Olanzapine 5 mg Zoplicon 15 mg	None
2.	Lithiumcarbonate 600 mg Quetiapine 300 mg Lorazepam 3 mg	Tranylcypromine 10 mg Zopiclon 7.5 mg
3.	Quetiapine 500 mg Lorazepam 1 mg	None
4.	Tranylcypromine 90 mg Quetiapine 100 mg Aripiprazol 30 mg	Lithiumcarbonate 800 mg Zolpidem 20 mg
5.	Olanzapine 12.5 mg Flurazepam 15 mg Lorazepam 6 mg	None
6.	Quetiapine 600 mg Venlafaxine 75 mg	Mirtazapine 400 mg
7.	Dipiperon 80 mg Lorazepam 5.5 mg Zoplicon 7.5 mg	
8.	Quetiapine 700 mg Oxazepam 10 mg Zolpidem 20 mg	
9.	Tranylcypromine 20 mg Dipiperon 80 mg	
10.	Imipramine 25 mg Olanzapine 10 mg	
11.	Quetiapine 900 mg	

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113612.t002



Figure 1. Transversal images of D2/3R availability. Transversal [1231]IBZM SPECT slices at the level of the striatum showing D2/3 receptor availability in a TRD patient, a TRD patient on antipsychotics (TRD AP), and a healthy control subject. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113612.q001

cannot exclude the option that our patients were less psychomotorically retarded than in previous studies [13,14]. Second, in the present sample TRD patients were only included after a nonresponse to MAO-inhibitors. As MAO-inhibitors increase dopamine concentrations, it could be hypothesized that especially in a subgroup of patients with a good response to MAO-inhibitors a hypodopaminergic state might exist. This could explain why in the current sample of non-responders to MAO-inhibitors no differences in striatal D2/3R availability were found. However, this hypothesis has not been investigated yet. Third, the present sample might be too small to detect differences in striatal D2/3R availability between TRD and control subjects. Importantly, however, the standardized effect size was small (d = 0.21). This implies that at least 343 patients should be included to demonstrate a significant group difference (at a statistical power of 0.8). Therefore the chance that future larger studies will find increased D2/3R availability in this subgroup of TRD-patients appears to be low. Furthermore, our present findings are consistent with several MDD studies which also reported no differences in striatal D2/3R availability relative to healthy controls [15,16]. However these studies included different clinical groups with mostly treatment sensitive patients and a shorter duration of illness which therefore hampers direct comparisons.

As expected, the TRD AP subjects showed significantly decreased striatal D2/3R availability relative to TRD subjects (which reflects occupancy of D2/3Rs by the antipsychotics). The present D2/3R occupancy (approximately 50%) in the TRD AP group is comparable with that of atypical antipsychotics in schizophrenia patients [31,32]. Since we showed no significant differences in depressive symptoms between these groups at



Figure 2. Striatal D2/3R availability for TRD, TRD AP and healthy control subjects. Striatal D2/3 receptor (D2/3R) availability of TRD patients, TRD patients with antipsychotics (TRD AP) and healthy control subjects. The black dots represents the striatal D2/3R availability of each subject. The horizontal lines indicate the mean D2/3R availability of each group which is 0.84 for the TRD, 0.50 for the TRD AP and 0.81 for the healthy control subjects.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113612.g002

adequate occupancy levels, this suggests that either monotherapy or augmentation with atypical antipsychotics does not provide clinical benefits in this specific TRD group, suggesting that these antipsychotics could be tapered in these patients. Importantly, all antipsychotic drugs used by the TRD AP patients have appreciable 5-HT_{2A} receptor occupancy which has been shown to improve depressive symptoms [33]. The 5-HT_{2A} receptor occupancy in these patients therefore cannot explain the lack of clinical improvement in this group. An explanation for the nonresponse might be that these atypical antipsychotics are all dopamine receptor antagonists. Interestingly, several studies showed that adjunctive dopamine agonists like pramipexole are effective in TRD patients [6,34,35] which suggests that dopamine agonist augmentation therapy might also be effective in the present severe TRD patients. We speculate that direct stimulation of dopamine D2/3 receptors may be helpful to increase motivational processes in the brain [36].

Despite the frequent use of atypical antipsychotic drugs in psychotic depression [37,38], low-dose augmentation of these drugs in (non-psychotic) TRD patients has been proven to be effective [39,40]. However, in these augmentation studies TRD was mostly defined as a non-response to only two trials of antidepressants. The present TRD patients additionally did not respond to more classes of antidepressants such as tricyclic antidepressants and MAO-inhibitors which may further explain the non-response to atypical antipsychotics, which might have no clinical benefit in more severe TRD patients. However, a randomized controlled trial would be necessary to definitely conclude whether antipsychotic augmentation in severe TRD is clinically useful.

We acknowledge several limitations of the present study. First, several studies showed that the striatum contains not only D2/3 receptors but also dopamine D1 receptors which operate via different intracellular pathways [41]. The dopamine D1 receptor is part of a D1-like subfamily which also comprises the dopamine D5 receptor [41]. Striatal D1 receptors are part of the direct nigrostriatal output pathway whereas D2 receptors are more prevalent in the indirect pathway [42]. Despite these functional differences, an animal study demonstrated that concurrent activation of D1 and D2 receptors in the shell of the nucleus accumbens produces a cooperative effect on the regulation of motivation, i.e. dopamine mediated reward processes [43]. Since depression has been associated with a dysfunctional reward/ motivational system [44,45], these findings suggest that altered expression of D1 receptors might lead to disturbances in the motivational system in MDD patients. However, as far as we know no human study has investigated striatal D1 availability in MDD nor in TRD. The Positron Emission Tomography (PET) radioligand [¹¹C] SCH23390 binds to dopamine D1-like receptors [46], and to a lesser extent to D5 receptors. Since the expression of the D5 receptors in the striatum is lower, [¹¹C] SCH23390

References

- Greden JF (2001) The burden of disease for treatment-resistant depression. J Clin Psychiatry 62 Suppl 16:26–31.
- Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, Wisniewski SR, Nierenberg AA, Stewart JW, et al. (2006) Acute and longer-term outcomes in depressed outpatients requiring one or several treatment steps: a STAR*D report. *Am J Psychiatry* 163:1905–1917.
- Ustun TB, Kessler RC (2002) Global burden of depressive disorders: the issue of duration. Br J Psychiatry 181:181–183.
- Cusin C, Iovieno N, Iosifescu DV, Nierenberg AA, Fava M, et al. (2013) A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of pramipexole augmentation in treatment-resistant major depressive disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry* 74:e636– e641.
- Inoue T, Kitaichi Y, Masui T, Nakagawa S, Boku S, et al. (2010) Pramipexole for stage 2 treatment-resistant major depression: an open study. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 34:1446–1449.

binding will predominantly reflect D1 receptor availability. [¹¹C] SCH23390, but also other ligands like [¹¹C]NNC 756 [47] or [¹¹C]SKF 82957 [48] could therefore be used to investigate striatal dopamine D1 receptor availability in MDD and TRD patients.

Second, three out six TRD patients used psychotropic medication which might have influenced striatal D2/3R availability. One of these patients used a MAO-inhibitor which increases the synaptic dopamine concentration in the striatum [49]. Therefore, use of this drug could have reduced striatal D2/ 3R availability in this patient by increased competition with the radioligand. However, exclusion of this patient did not change results. In fact, large increases in dopamine concentrations are needed to reduce the [¹²³[]IBZM binding in vivo. Another TRD patient used mirtazapine which is a noradrenergic and specific serotonergic antidepressant (NaSSA). Although mirtazapine has no affinity for dopamine receptors it does increase dopamine release in the prefrontal and occipital cortex by activation of the 5- HT_{1A} receptor and blockade of the α 2-adrenergic receptors [50,51]. However, there is no evidence that mirtazapine increases striatal dopamine release which suggests striatal D2/3R binding is not altered by mirtazapine use. Third, with $[^{123}\Pi$ IBZM we are able to measure striatal D2/3Rs in vivo. However, consequently we cannot exclude differences in extra-striatal D2/3Rs in TRD, which cannot be quantified. Finally, we did not select TRDpatients based on symptomatology like psychomotor retardation and/or anhedonia which might represent a subgroup with decreased D2/3R availability.

In conclusion, the present study did not detect differences in striatal D2/3R receptor availability in severely treatment resistant MDD patients relative to healthy controls. This contradicts the hypothesis that TRD is characterized by altered dopaminergic transmission. Furthermore, the results showed that additional treatment with antipsychotics decreased striatal D2/3R receptor availability (due to occupancy of D2/3R by the antipsychotics) in TRD. Importantly, because depressive symptoms were not reduced in these TRD AP patients, this suggest that in patients who have been administered different antidepressant drugs and remain depressed, atypical antipsychotics do not have a clinical advantage.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge Elsmarieke van de Giessen and Evelien Zoons for providing healthy controls, and both patients and healthy controls for participating in SPECT-scanning. Provided healthy controls: EvdG, EZ.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: BDK CP JB ER. Performed the experiments: BDK CP. Analyzed the data: BDK CP. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: BDK CP JB. Wrote the paper: BDK CP JB ER GVW DD.

- Lattanzi L, Dell'Osso L, Cassano P, Pini S, Rucci P, et al. (2002) Pramipexole in treatment-resistant depression: a 16-week naturalistic study. *Bipolar Disord* 4:307–314.
- Dunlop BW, Nemeroff CB (2007) The role of dopamine in the pathophysiology of depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 64:327–337.
- American Psychiatric Association (1994) Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th ed: American Psychiatric Press.
- Dunlop BW, Nemeroff CB (2007) The role of dopamine in the pathophysiology of depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 64:327–337.
- Ruhe HG, Visser KD, Frokjaer VG, Haarman CM, Klein C, et al. (2014) Molecular imaging of depressive disorders *PET and SPECT in Psychiatry*, den Boer,J.A.; ed: Springer Verlag, pp 93–172.

- D'haenen HA, Bossuyt A (1994) Dopamine D2 receptors in depression measured with single photon emission computed tomography. *Biol Psychiatry* 35:128–132.
- Shah PJ, Ogilvie AD, Goodwin GM, Ebmeier KP (1997) Clinical and psychometric correlates of dopamine D2 binding in depression. *Psychol Med* 27:1247–1256.
- Ebert D, Feistel H, Loew T, Pirner A (1996) Dopamine and depression–striatal dopamine D2 receptor SPECT before and after antidepressant therapy. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 126:91–94.
- Meyer JH, McNeely HE, Sagrati S, Boovariwala A, Martin K, et al. (2006) Elevated putamen D(2) receptor binding potential in major depression with motor retardation: an [11C]raclopride positron emission tomography study. *Am J Psychiatry* 163:1594–1602.
- Parsey ÑV, Oquendo MA, Zea-Ponce Y, Rodenhiser J, Kegeles LS, et al. (2001) Dopamine D(2) receptor availability and amphetamine-induced dopamine release in unipolar depression. *Biol Psychiatry* 50:313–322.
- Yang YK, Yeh TL, Yao WJ, Lee IH, Chen PS, et al. (2008) Greater availability of dopamine transporters in patients with major depression-a dual-isotope SPECT study. *Psychiatry Res* 162:230–235.
- Konarski JZ, Kennedy SH, McIntyre RS, Rafi-Tari S, Soczynska JK, et al. (2007) Relationship between regional brain metabolism, illness severity and age in depressed subjects. *Psychiatry Res* 155:203–210.
- Paillere Martinot ML, Martinot JL, Ringuenet D, Galinowski A, Gallarda T, et al. (2011) Baseline brain metabolism in resistant depression and response to transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 36:2710–2719.
- First MB (2012) Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-TR Axis I Disorders, Research Version, Patient Edition: New York State Psychiatric Institute.
- 20. Hamilton M (1960) A rating scale for depression. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 23:56–62.
- Montgomery SA, Asberg M (1979) A new depression scale designed to be sensitive to change. Br J Psychiatry 134:382–389.
- Fekadu A, Wooderson S, Donaldson C, Markopoulou K, Masterson B, et al. (2009) A multidimensional tool to quantify treatment resistance in depression: the Maudsley staging method. J Clin Psychiatry 70:177–184.
- Ruhe HG, van RG, Spijker J, Peeters FP, Schene AH (2012) Staging methods for treatment resistant depression. A systematic review. J Affect Disord 137:35– 45.
- Booij J, Korn P, Linszen DH, van Royen EA, et al. (1997) Assessment of endogenous dopamine release by methylphenidate challenge using iodine-123 iodobenzamide single-photon emission tomography. *Eur J Nucl Med* 24:674– 677.
- Boot E, Booij J, Zinkstok JR, Linszen DH, Baas F, et al. (2010) Striatal D(2) receptor binding in 22q11 deletion syndrome: an [123I]IBZM SPECT study. J Psychopharmacol 24:1525–1531.
- Kaasinen V, Aalto S, Nagren K, Rinne JO (2004) Dopaminergic effects of caffeine in the human striatum and thalamus. *Neuroreport* 15:281–285.
- Nevo I, Hamon M (1995) Neurotransmitter and neuromodulatory mechanisms involved in alcohol abuse and alcoholism. *Neurochem Int* 26:305–336.
- Booij J, Tissingh G, Boer GJ, Speelman JD, Stoof JC, et al. (1997) [123] FP-CIT SPECT shows a pronounced decline of striatal dopamine transporter labelling in early and advanced Parkinson's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 62:133– 140.
- Rinne JO, Hietala J, Ruotsalainen U, Sako E, Laihinen A, et al. (1993) Decrease in human striatal dopamine D2 receptor density with age: a PET study with [11C]raclopride. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 13:310–314.
- Trainor BC (2011) Stress responses and the mesolimbic dopamine system: social contexts and sex differences. *Horm Behav* 60:457–469.
- Kapur S, Zipursky RB, Remington G (1999) Clinical and theoretical implications of 5-HT2 and D2 receptor occupancy of clozapine, risperidone, and olanzapine in schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 156:286–293.

- Tauscher J, Hussain T, Agid O, Verhoeff NP, Wilson AA, et al. (2004) Equivalent occupancy of dopamine D1 and D2 receptors with clozapine: differentiation from other atypical antipsychotics. *Am J Psychiatry* 161:1620– 1625.
- Celada P, Puig M, Amargos-Bosch M, Adell A, Artigas F (2004) The therapeutic role of 5-HT1A and 5-HT2A receptors in depression. J Psychiatry Neurosci 29:252–265.
- Hori H, Kunugi H (2012) The efficacy of pramipexole, a dopamine receptor agonist, as an adjunctive treatment in treatment-resistant depression: an openlabel trial. *Scientific World Journal* 2012:372474.
- Izumi T, Inoue T, Kitagawa N, Nishi N, Shimanaka S, et al. (2000) Open pergolide treatment of tricyclic and heterocyclic antidepressant-resistant depression. J Affect Disord 61:127–132.
- Leentjens AF, Koester J, Fruh B, Shephard DT, Barone P, et al. (2009) The effect of pramipexole on mood and motivational symptoms in Parkinson's disease: a meta-analysis of placebo-controlled studies. *Clin Ther* 31:89–98.
- Farahani A, Correll CU (2012) Are antipsychotics or antidepressants needed for psychotic depression? A systematic review and meta-analysis of trials comparing antidepressant or antipsychotic monotherapy with combination treatment. *J Clin Psychiatry* 73:486–496.
- Wijkstra J, Lijmer J, Burger H, Geddes J, Nolen WA (2013) Pharmacological treatment for psychotic depression. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 11:CD004044.
- Corya SA, Williamson D, Sanger TM, Briggs SD, Case M, et al. (2006) A randomized, double-blind comparison of olanzapine/fluoxetine combination, olanzapine, fluoxetine, and venlafaxine in treatment-resistant depression. *Depress Anxiety* 23:364–372.
- Nelson JC, Papakostas GI (2009) Atypical antipsychotic augmentation in major depressive disorder: a meta-analysis of placebo-controlled randomized trials. *Am J Psychiatry* 166:980–991.
- Vallone D, Picetti R, Borrelli E (2000) Structure and function of dopamine receptors. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 24:125–132.
- GerÎen CR, Engber TM, Mahan LC, Susel Z, Chase TN (1990) D1 and D2 dopamine receptor-regulated gene expression of striatonigral and striatopallidal neurons. *Science* 250:1429–1432.
- Ikemoto S, Glazier BS, Murphy JM, McBride WJ (1997) Role of dopamine D1 and D2 receptors in the nucleus accumbens in mediating reward. J Neurosci 17:8580–8587.
- Pizzagalli DA, Holmes AJ, Dillon DG, Goetz EL, Birk JL, et al. (2009) Reduced caudate and nucleus accumbens response to rewards in unmedicated individuals with major depressive disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 166:702–710.
- Smoski MJ, Felder J, Bizzell J, Green SR, Ernst M, et al. (2009) fMRI of alterations in reward selection, anticipation, and feedback in major depressive disorder. J Affect Disord 118:69–78.
- Plaven-Sigray P, Gustavsson P, Farde L, Borg J, Stenkrona P, et al. (2014) Dopamine D1 receptor availability is related to social behavior: A positron emission tomography study. *Neuroimage* 102P2:590–595.
- Abi-Dargham A, Simpson N, Kegeles L, Parsey R, Hwang DR, et al. (1999) PET studies of binding competition between endogenous dopamine and the D1 radiotracer [11C]NNC 756. Synapse 32:93–109.
- Palner M, McCormick P, Parkes J, Knudsen GM, Wilson AA, et al. (2010) Systemic catechol-O-methyl transferase inhibition enables the D1 agonist radiotracer R-[11C]SKF 82957. Nucl Med Biol 37:837–843.
- Yamada M, Yasuhara H (2004) Clinical pharmacology of MAO inhibitors: safety and future. *Neurotoxicology* 25:215–221.
- Devoto P, Flore G, Pira L, Longu G, Gessa GL (2004) Mirtazapine-induced corelease of dopamine and noradrenaline from noradrenergic neurons in the medial prefrontal and occipital cortex. *Eur J Pharmacol* 487:105–111.
- Nakayama K, Sakurai T, Katsu H (2004) Mirtazapine increases dopamine release in prefrontal cortex by 5-HT1A receptor activation. *Brain Res Bull* 63:237-241.