



University of Groningen

Hepatic Farnesoid X-Receptor Isoforms a 2 and a 4 Differentially Modulate Bile Salt and Lipoprotein Metabolism in Mice

Boesjes, Marije; Bloks, Vincent; Hageman, Jurre; Bos, Trijnie; van Dijk, Theo H.; Havinga, Hendericus; Wolters, Hendrik; Jonker, Johan; Kuipers, Folkert; Groen, Albert Published in:

PLoS ONE

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0115028

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2014

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Boesjes, M., Bloks, V. W., Hageman, J., Bos, T., van Dijk, T. H., Havinga, R., ... Groen, A. K. (2014). Hepatic Farnesoid X-Receptor Isoforms α2 and α4 Differentially Modulate Bile Salt and Lipoprotein Metabolism in Mice. PLoS ONE, 9(12), [e115028]. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0115028

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Hepatic Farnesoid X-Receptor Isoforms $\alpha 2$ and $\alpha 4$ Differentially Modulate Bile Salt and Lipoprotein Metabolism in Mice

Marije Boesjes¹*, Vincent W. Bloks¹, Jurre Hageman¹, Trijnie Bos¹, Theo H. van Dijk¹, Rick Havinga¹, Henk Wolters¹, Johan W. Jonker¹, Folkert Kuipers^{1,2}, Albert K. Groen^{1,2}

1. Department of Pediatrics, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, **2.** Department of Laboratory Medicine, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

*m.boesjes@umcg.nl

Abstract

The nuclear receptor FXR acts as an intracellular bile salt sensor that regulates synthesis and transport of bile salts within their enterohepatic circulation. In addition, FXR is involved in control of a variety of crucial metabolic pathways. Four FXR splice variants are known, *i.e.* FXR α 1-4. Although these isoforms show differences in spatial and temporal expression patterns as well as in transcriptional activity, the physiological relevance hereof has remained elusive. We have evaluated specific roles of hepatic FXR α 2 and FXR α 4 by stably expressing these isoforms using liver-specific self-complementary adeno-associated viral vectors in total body FXR knock-out mice. The hepatic gene expression profile of the FXR knock-out mice was largely normalized by both isoforms. Yet, differential effects were also apparent; FXRa2 was more effective in reducing elevated HDL levels and transrepressed hepatic expression of Cyp8b1, the regulator of cholate synthesis. The latter coincided with a switch in hydrophobicity of the bile salt pool. Furthermore, FXRa2-transduction caused an increased neutral sterol excretion compared to FXRa4 without affecting intestinal cholesterol absorption. Our data show, for the first time, that hepatic FXRa2 and FXRa4 differentially modulate bile salt and lipoprotein metabolism in mice.

Introduction

Bile salts are synthesized from cholesterol by well-characterized biosynthetic pathways (see [1] for review). The neutral pathway starts with 7α -hydroxylation



GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Boesjes M, Bloks VW, Hageman J, Bos T, van Dijk TH, et al. (2014) Hepatic Farnesoid X-Receptor Isoforms $\alpha 2$ and $\alpha 4$ Differentially Modulate Bile Salt and Lipoprotein Metabolism in Mice. PLoS ONE 9(12): e115028. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115028

Editor: Antonio Moschetta, IRCCS Istituto Oncologico Giovanni Paolo II, Italy

Received: August 12, 2014

Accepted: November 17, 2014

Published: December 15, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Boesjes et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability: The authors confirm that all data underlying the findings are fully available without restriction. All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files. Microarray data can be found at: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/</u> acc.cgi?token=epitksekdhkdrix&acc=GSE51805.

Funding: Supported within the framework of Top Institute Pharma, The Netherlands, project T2-110. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

of cholesterol by cholesterol 7α -hydroxylase (Cyp7a1) and the acidic pathway is initiated by sterol 27-hydroxylase (Cyp27a1). Newly synthesized primary bile salts are cholate (CA) and chenodeoxycholate (CDCA) in humans, whereas in rodents CDCA is rapidly converted into the more hydrophilic muricholates (MCA). Sterol 12α -hydroxylase (Cyp8b1) is required for the biosynthesis of CA and determines the ratio CA to CDCA. The chemical diversity of bile salts is further expanded by conjugation to either taurine or glycine and by the actions of intestinal bacteria *via* deconjugation, oxidation of hydroxyl groups and dehydroxylation, creating a bile salt pool with specific physiochemical properties. In addition to their wellestablished functions in generation of bile formation by the liver and absorption of dietary fats and fat-soluble vitamins from the intestine, bile salts are now recognized to act as 'integrators of metabolism' [1,2].

Bile salts contribute to control of a broad range of metabolic pathways, including their own synthesis in liver and transport within the enterohepatic circulation, via activation of the Farnesoid X Receptor (FXRa/NR1H4, referred to as FXR) [3,4]. FXR, a member of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily, has four splice variants in humans and rodents [5, 6]. These isoforms arise from a single gene through alternative splicing of exon 5 and the use of distinct promoters that initiate transcription from either exon 1 or exon 3. The different promoters of the FXR gene initiate expression of either FXR α 1 and FXR α 2 or of FXRa3 and FXRa4 transcripts. FXR isoforms show differences in spatial and temporal expression as well as in transcriptional activity [6]. FXR α 1 and FXR α 3 transcripts contain four additional amino acids in the hinge domain, adjacent to the DNA-binding domain, which make these two isoforms less transcriptionally active compared to FXR α 2 and FXR α 4. In mice all four isoforms are abundantly expressed in liver, while FXR α 3 and α 4 are abundantly expressed in ileum, moderately in kidney and at low levels in stomach, duodenum, and jejunum. Furthermore, FXR α 1 and α 2 are moderately expressed in ileum and adrenal gland [6].

In the liver, bile salt-activated FXR suppresses, amongst others, Cyp7a1 expression, in a Short Heterodimer Partner(SHP/NR0B2)- and Liver Receptor Homolog-1 (LRH-1/NR5A2)-dependent manner [7,8]. Also Cyp8b1 and Cyp27a1 are regulated by FXR, in a SHP- and Hepatocyte Nuclear Factor-4 α (HNF4A)-dependent manner [9,10]. In the past decade, FXR has emerged as an important regulator of lipid as well as glucose homeostasis and to have anti-inflammatory properties [1]. Hence, FXR is considered a promising target for treatment of a number of metabolic and liver diseases. Treatment with synthetic FXR agonists showed improved insulin sensitivity in diabetic mouse models [11, 12] and prevented formation of atherosclerotic plaques in atherogenic-prone mice [13]. Furthermore, administration of the semi-synthetic obeticholic acid (OCA) increased insulin sensitivity and reduced markers of liver inflammation and fibrosis in patients with type 2 diabetes and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease [14].

In light of the increasing evidence for the therapeutic potential of FXR agonists in the regulation of lipid metabolism, we addressed the specific roles of $FXR\alpha 2$

PLOS ONE

and FXR α 4, the transcriptionally most active isoforms of FXR [5,6], on bile salt and lipid metabolism *in vivo* by means of a liver-specific FXR α 2 or FXR α 4 expressing mouse model. Our data revealed some distinct functions of FXR α 2 and FXR α 4 in control of plasma cholesterol levels; only hepatic FXR α 2 expression could rescue the elevated plasma HDL levels of FXR KO mice. Moreover, FXR α 2 and FXR α 4 were found to differentially regulate Cyp8b1 expression *in vivo*, which coincided with compositional changes in the respective bile salt pools. Our data show, for the first time, that hepatic FXR α 2 and FXR α 4 differentially modulate bile salt and lipoprotein metabolism in mice.

Materials and Methods

Construction and production of self-complementary AAV vectors The coding sequences of murine FXR α 1, FXR α 3, HNF4 α , SHP and RXR α were amplified using specific primer pairs harboring a Kozak consensus ATG initiation codon and a *BamH1* restriction side at the 5' end and a *Not1* restriction side at the 3' end (S1 Table) [15]. As a template source, cDNA was synthesized from hepatic RNA from C57BL/6J wild-type mice. The products were cloned into pcDNA5/ FRT/TO (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Bleiswijk, The Netherlands). The presence of the correct gene was sequence-verified. Subsequently, the MYTG insertion was deleted from FXR α 1 and α 3 using inverse PCR primers, to generate FXR α 2 and FXR α 4. Self-complementary AAV (scAAV) serotype 8 vectors with the liver specific LP1 promoter driving murine FXR α 2 or murine FXR α 4 expression were generated by replacing the factor IX of scAAV2-LP1-hFIXco [16, 17] using *Pme1, EcoR1* and *Hpa1*. Additionally, AAV8- pseudotyped vectors were made using the packaging plasmid pAAV8-2. Production, purification and titration of all vectors were performed as described [18, 19].

Cell culture, transfections and reporter assays

CV1 cells (a kind gift from Ronald M. Evans, Salk Institute, San Diego, USA) were maintained in DMEM (Gibco, Breda, The Netherlands) supplemented with 10% FCS (Sigma Aldrich Chemie BV, Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands), 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Gibco, Breda, The Netherlands). Cultures were maintained at 37 °C and 5% CO2 in a humidified incubator. Cells were transiently transfected using FuGENE 6 transfection reagent (Promega, Leiden, The Netherlands) according to manufacturer's protocol. Cell lysis and luciferase assays were performed using a dual luciferase reporter assay system (Promega, Leiden, The Netherlands) according to manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, the human PGL4-SHP (CHR1_M0312_R1, Switchgear Genomics, Menlo Park, CA, USA) and Cyp8b1 [20] (a kind gift from John Y. Chiang, Northeastern Ohio Medical University, Rootstown, USA) promoter reporters were cotransfected together with the pcDNA5/FRT/TO murine FXR isoforms and the heterodimer

RXR alpha/NR2B1 for 48 hrs. Cells were treated with 50 μM CDCA 24 prior to cell lysis when stated.

Animal experiments

FXR knock-out mice [21] backcrossed on a C57BL/6J background and C57BL/6J wild-type mice were housed individually in a temperature- and light-controlled facility with 12 hours light-dark cycling and received food and water *ad libitum*. Experiments were approved by the Ethical Committee for Animal Experiments of the University of Groningen. Age-matched male FXR knock-out received 1×10^{11} AAV vector genomes or PBS injected into the retro-orbital sinus. PBS-injection, rather than AAV-injected was used as control since GFP from our AAV-GFP induced several responses, which was already know from previous studies [22, 23]. When indicated, mice received chow (RMH-B, Hope Farms, Woerden, The Netherlands) supplemented with 0.5% (w/w) cholate (Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA, USA) in the final week before termination.

Experimental procedures

Four weeks after the scAAV injections, cholesterol fluxes were measured as described previously [24]. Briefly, at day 0 mice received an intravenous dose of 0.3 mg (0.73 µmol) cholesterol-D7 (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc, Andover, MA, USA) dissolved in Intralipid (20%, Fresenius Kabi, Den Bosch, The Netherlands) and an oral dose of 0.6 mg (1.535 µmol) cholesterol-D5 (Medical Isotopes, Inc, Pelham, NH, USA) dissolved in MCT oil (Pharmacy UMCG, Groningen, The Netherlands). Blood spots were collected from the tail daily for 10 days. At the end of the experiment, mice were anesthetized and after puncturing the gallbladder and disposal of its contents, hepatic bile was collected for 20 minutes from the common bile duct *via* the gallbladder [25]. Tissues were excised and feces was collected from individual mice for 72 hours prior to termination.

Analytical procedures

Hepatic lipids were extracted according to Bligh & Dyer [26]. Plasma and liver triglycerides, total cholesterol and free cholesterol contents were determined using commercially available kits (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany and DiaSys Diagnostic Systems, Holzheim, Germany). Plasma levels of alanine aminotransferase (ALAT) and aspartate aminotransferase (ASAT) were determined using commercially available kits (Spinreact, Santa Coloma, Spain). Biliary phospholipid content was determined according to Böttcher *et al.* [27]. Cholesterol in bile was measured according to Gamble *et al.* [28]. Pooled plasma samples were subjected to fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC) gel filtration using a Superose 6 column (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK). Biliary and fecal bile salt composition were quantified using capillary gas chromatography (Hewlett-Packerd gas chromatograph; HP 6890) equipped with a FID and a CP Sil 19

capillary column; length 25 m, internal diameter 250 µm and a film thickness of 0.2 µm (Chrompack BV, Middelburg, The Netherlands). Bile salts were methylated with a mixture of methanol and acetyl chloride and trimethylsilylated with piridyne, N, O-Bis(trimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide and trimethylchlorosilane. The murine bile salt species include cholate (CA), deoxycholate (DCA), chenodeoxycholate (CDCA), α -muricholate (α -MCA), β -muricholate (β -MCA), ω -muricholate (ω -MCA), hvodeoxycholate (HDCA), lithocholate (LCA) and ursodeoxycholate (UDCA). We consider cholate and deoxycholate as CA-derived bile salts and the others as CDCA-derived bile salts. Fecal cholesterol and its derivatives (also known as neutral sterols) were trimethylsilylated with pyridine, N, O-Bis (trimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide and trimethylchlorosilane and measured on the GC equipped with the same column. Cholesterol enrichment was determined by capillary gas chromatography on a Agilent gas chromatograph (7890A; Amstelveen, The Netherlands) equipped with a 30 m \times 0.25 mm column, with a film thickness of 0.25 µm (ZB-5; Bester, Amstelveen, The Netherlands) connected to a Agilent mass spectrometer (5975C). Cholesterol was derivatized with N, O-Bis (trimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide containing 5–10% trimethylchlorosilane. Isotope ratios were determined in the selected ion monitoring mode on m/z 458 (M0) to 465 (M7).

RNA isolation and measurement of mRNA levels by quantitative real-time PCR

Tissue samples for isolation of RNA were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at minus 80 °C. Samples were homogenized and total RNA using TRI-Reagent (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). RNA concentration was determined using the Nanodrop spectrophotometer (NanoDrop 2000c, Thermo Scientific Inc., Walham, MA, USA). cDNA was obtained from total RNA using the RT procedure using Moloney-Murine Leukemia Virus (M-MLV) reverse transcriptase (RT) (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Bleiswijk, The Netherlands) with random primers. Gene expression was measured with a 7900HT FAST system using FAST PCR mix, Taqman probes and MicroAmp FAST optical density 96-well plates (Applied Biosystems Europe, Nieuwekerk ad IJssel, The Netherlands). PCR results of liver and intestine were normalized to *36b4* mRNA levels. Primer and probe sequences can be found at (http://www.RTprimerDB.org).

Explorative Illumina microarray analysis

For explorative microarray analysis, total hepatic RNA was prepared from separate groups of 0.5% cholate-fed FXR α 2- or FXR α 4-transduced FXR knockout mice (n=6 per group) using TRI-reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). RNA quality and concentration was assessed with an Biorad Experion Bioanalyzer. Starting with 200 ng of RNA, with an RNA Quality Indicator of at least 8. RNA was amplified and labeled using the Illumina TotalPrep RNA Amplification Kit (Applied Biosystems, Nieuwekerk ad IJssel, The Netherlands). The WG-6 v2 expression arrays, containing 45281 transcripts (Illumina, San Diego, USA), were processed according to the manufactures protocol and slides were scanned immediately. Quality control, normalization (quantile), batch correction (Combat), prefiltering (fold change 1.1) and statistics (IBMT) were performed in MADMAX [29]. A list of significant changed annotated genes between FXR α 2 (n=6) and FXR α 4 (n=6), including False Discovery Rates (FDR)-corrected p-values (10%) was generated. All microarray data reported are described in accordance with MIAME guidelines and are available in the GEO database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE51805). Identification of overrepresented functional categories among responsive genes and their grouping into functionally related clusters was performed using the DAVID Functional Annotation Clustering tool [30].

Western blotting for FXR protein expression

Livers were homogenized (15% w/w) in buffer containing 50 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 300 mM sucrose, 10 mM EDTA, 10 mM DTT and Complete (Roche Diagnostics, Almere, The Netherlands). Protein was determined using BCA protein assay (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL, USA). Total liver homogenates (25 µg protein) for detection of FXR were electrophoresed through 7% polyacrylamide gels and blotted on Hybond ECL membranes (Amersham, Little Chalfont, UK). Membranes were blocked in phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4) containing 0.1% Tween 20 and 4% skim milk powder. Membranes were incubated with antihuman FXR mouse antibody (clone no. A9033A, Perseus Proteomics Inc., Tokyo, Japan) or anti-actin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). After washing, immunocomplexes were detected using horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG2a (Southern Biotech, Uithoorn, The Netherlands) or Horseradish peroxidase conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Delaware, CA, USA) and SuperSignal West Dura substrate (ThermoScientific, Rockford, IL, USA).

Statistics

Data are shown as Tukey's Box-and-Whiskers plots or average \pm standard deviations. Statistical analysis was assessed using Kruskal-Wallis H test followed by Conover post-hoc comparison or students t-test using Brightstat [<u>31</u>].

Results

Characterization of the mouse model

At first we established the diurnal rhythmicity and spatial expression of the four murine FXR isoforms. Bile salt synthesis shows a strong circadian rhythm $[\underline{1}, \underline{32}]$, but mRNA levels of hepatic FXR isoforms did not show any diurnal variation (S1A Figure). Most prominent differences in expression between the isoforms were observed in skeletal muscle, intestine and kidney (S1B Figure), confirming

previous reports [5, 6], while hepatic expression of FXR isoforms was comparable. To directly compare the physiological roles of hepatic FXR α 2 and FXR α 4, we generated self-complementary adeno-associated virus particles (scAAV) expressing the coding regions of murine FXR α 2 or FXR α 4 behind the liver-specific fatty acid-binding protein 1 (LP1) promoter. Hepatic FXR mRNA expression (Fig. 1A) and protein levels (Fig. 1B) were similarly induced by scAAV particle injection. Compared to PBS-injected controls, plasma ASAT and ALAT levels were unchanged in particle-injected mice, implying that stable scAAV transduction did not negatively impact on liver cell integrity (Fig. 1C/D).

FXR isoforms differentially modulate lipid metabolism

The potency of hepatic FXR α 2 and FXR α 4 to normalize the characteristic plasma lipid profile of FXR knock-out mice [21, 33] was evaluated first. Both isoforms reduced the elevated total plasma cholesterol levels (<u>table 1</u>) seen in PBS-injected FXR KO, FXR α 2 being more effective than FXR α 4 in this respect, but neither FXR α 2 nor FXR α 4 completely normalized cholesterol levels to those seen in wild-type mice. FPLC-profiling revealed reduced cholesterol levels in the VLDL-, LDL-and particularly HDL-sized fractions of FXR α 2- and FXR α 4-expressing mice compared to PBS-injected controls and wild-type mice (Fig. 1E), with FXR α 2 showing the most pronounced reduction of HDL-cholesterol. Both FXR α 2 and FXR α 4 caused a lowering of plasma triglyceride (TG) levels, yet, wild-type levels were not reached in either case.

FXR isoforms differentially modulate bile salt composition

Next, we tested whether the physiochemical properties of the bile salt pool were differentially affected by FXR α 2 and FXR α 4. The total amount of biliary and fecal bile salt excretion was, surprisingly, not different between groups (Fig. 2A and C). Yet, the bile salt profile in FXR α 2-expressing mice showed a shift towards CDCA-derived muricholates relative to CA-derived bile salts that were more prominent in FXR α 4-expressing mice (Fig. 2B and D). Specifically, biliary CA and fecal DCA were reduced in the first, while the relative and absolute abundances of α -MCA, CDCA and HDCA were increased (S2 Table). These data imply a shift in bile salt synthesis towards the production of relatively hydrophilic muricholates in FXR α 2-expressing mice. Based on similar biliary and fecal bile salt excretion rates, the latter reflecting hepatic bile salt synthesis under steady sate, it can be assumed that the sizes of circulating bile salt pools remained unaffected upon expression of either FXR α 2 or FXR α 4.

Non-biliary cholesterol excretion is enhanced in $\mathsf{FXR}\alpha\mathsf{2}\text{-}$ transduced mice

Since muricholates are more hydrophilic than CA is, we tested whether the differences in the hydrophobicity of the bile salt pool between FXR α 2- and FXR α 4-expressing mice affect intestinal cholesterol absorption. Fecal neutral





Fig. 1. Characterization of the PBS-injected and the liver-specific scAAV-FXRα2 or scAAV-FXRα4 transduced FXR knock-out mice. Hepatic FXR gene expression (A) and protein (B) levels in total PBS-injected FXR knock-out mice *versus* stably transduced scAAV-FXRα2 or scAAV-FXRα4 FXR knock-out mice.

Dotted line in (A) represents WT FXR expression levels. Plasma ASAT (C) and ALAT (D) levels were not altered in the AAV-treated mice compared to PBS-injected controls. (E) Cholesterol distribution in FPLC-separated lipoprotein fractions. Values are presented in box-and-whisker plots or averages (n=4–6 animals per group). *p<0.05 vs. PBS.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115028.g001

sterol output was increased in FXR α 2 mice compared to PBS controls and FXR α 4 mice (Fig. 3). This increase was not due to diminished fractional cholesterol absorption or increased biliary cholesterol secretion, since these were similar between groups. Hence, the differences in fecal sterols must come directly from the intestine, *i.e.*, reflect the transintestinal cholesterol excretion pathway (TICE) [34, 35]. Hepatic FXR α 2 expression increased this pathway by 100% on average. Thus, FXR α 2-expressing mice showed induction in fecal neutral sterol output and TICE without altering intestinal cholesterol absorption efficiency.

FXR isoforms differently regulate primary bile salt biosynthesis genes

To gain insight in the modes of action by which hepatic FXR α 2 and FXR α 4 act on metabolic processes, an explorative microarray was performed on the livers of 0.5% cholate-fed FXR KO mice transduced with scAAV-FXR α 2 or scAAV-FXR α 4. Cholate-feeding was employed to obtain supraphysiological activation of the FXR

Table 1. Animal characteristics under chow-fed conditions.

	FXR ^{+/+}		FXR ^{-/-}	
Morphometric parameters	WT	PBS	FXRα2	FXR4
Body weight (g)	28.0 (26.0–28.9)	27.2 (25.0–30.5)	27.1 (24.0–28.7)	26.5 (25.4–27.6)
Liver weight (% BW)	4.9 (4.5–5.5)	5.5 (4.9–6.0)	5.1 (4.3–5.5)	5.0 (4.8–5.3)
Plasma				
triglycerides (mmol/l)	0.6 (0.5–1.0)	1.4 (1.0–2.6)*	1.1 (0.9–1.2)*#	0.8 (0.7–1.6)*#
cholesterol (mmol/l)	2.6 (1.9–3.1)	7.6 (7.2–7.9)*	3.7 (3.4–3.9)*#	5.8 (5.4–6.5)* ^{#\$}
Liver				
triglycerides (µmol/g)	10.3 (6.6–12.6)	13.6 (7.6–15.9)	7.4 (6.5–9.0)*#	8.7 (7.6–12.2)
cholesterol (µmol/g)	7.1 (6.5–8.1)	5.1 (4.7–5.4)*	6.1 (5.7–6.9)*#	6.3 (5.9–7.9)*#
Biliary output				
bile flow (ml/day/100 g)	14.8 (12.9–19.5)	14.6 (10.7–16.6)*	19.2 (17.2–24.0)*#	14.6 (12.4–17.8)* ^{#\$}
bile salts (µmol/day/100 g)	435.1 (262.4–518.3)	482.6 (291.8–778.4)	309.3 (258.9– 612.9)	405.7 (338.1–475.4)
cholesterol (µmol/day/100 g)	8.5 (6.4–13.2)	8.1 (5.7–8.8)	10.8 (8.4–11.7)	9.1 (7.0–11.8)
phospholipids (µmol/day/100 g)	76.6 (69.3–91.6)	79.9 (72.9–91.1)	100.6 (82.6– 122.6)* [#]	98.3 (84.3–148.3)*#

Animal characteristics of chow-fed FXR knock-out mice injected with PBS or stably transduced with hepatic-specific scAAV-FXR2 or scAAV-FXR4 were compared to chow-fed wild-type (WT) mice. Values are presented as median (range) (n=5-6 animals per group).

*p<0.05 *vs.* WT,

\$p<0.05 between isoforms.</p>

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115028.t001

[#]p<0.05 vs PBS,



Fig. 2. Physiochemical properties of the bile salt pool. Bile was canulated for 20 minutes and feces was collected 72 hr prior to termination of chow-fed PBS-injected and the liver-specific scAAV-FXRα2 or scAAV-FXRα4 transduced FXR knock-out mice. Total biliary (A) and fecal (C) bile salt composition are similar between 3 groups. The biliary (B) and fecal (D) bile salt origins show differences between the 3 groups. (α-MCA; α-muricholate, β-MCA; β-muricholate, ω-MCA; ω-muricholate, CDCA; chenodeoxycholate, HDCA; hyodeoxycholate, DCA; deoxycholate, CA; cholate. We consider cholate and deoxycholate as CA-derived bile salts and the others as CDCA-derived bile salts. Values are presented as average ± standard deviations (n=6 animals per group). *p<0.05 vs. PBS; #P<0.05 between FXR isoforms.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115028.g002

isoforms. A total of 720 probes representing 556 coding genes were identified to represent differentially expressed genes in liver (microarray data can be obtained from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE51805; listed top-



Fig. 3. Cholesterol balance. PBS-injected and the liver-specific scAAV-FXR α 2 or scAAV-FXR α 4 transduced FXR knock-out mice were subjected to cholesterol kinetics using isotope labeled tracers to calculate the contribution of dietary, biliary and intestinal cholesterol to fecal sterols. Values are presented in box-and-whisker plots (n=6 animals per group). *p<0.05 vs. PBS; #P<0.05 between FXR isoforms.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115028.g003

15 genes; S3 Table). Broad scale KEGG pathway analysis [30] revealed, as expected, that the primary bile salt biosynthetic pathway pops up within the top 5 of most relevant pathways. Compared to expression levels seen in the livers of FXRa4-transduced mice, FXRa2-transduced mice showed lower expression levels of Cyp7a1 (19% reduction) and Cyp8b1 (17% reduction), indicating that $FXR\alpha 2$ transrepressed both Cyp7a1 and Cyp8b1 more strongly than FXRa4. Since Cyp8b1 activity is the major determinant of CA biosynthesis in the neutral branch of bile salt synthesis, the data support the notion that hepatic FXR α 2 particularly inhibits the CA production. On the other hand, FXRa4-transduced mice showed lower expression of Cyp7b1 (60% reduction). Since Cyp7b1 is involved in CDCA biosynthesis via the so called acidic or alternative branch of bile salt synthesis, these data indeed indicate that hepatic FXRq4 particularly inhibits CDCA/MCA production, as expected on the basis of analysis of biliary and fecal bile salt composition (S2 Table). Also Cyp17a1, a C21 steroidogenic enzyme, was differentially regulated by the FXR isoforms (62% reduction in FXRa2 versus FXRa4). Some of the differentially expressed genes as well as FXR itself and its targets Shp and Abcb11/Bsep were confirmed using quantitative PCR together with some intestinal FXR targets, in which the differences were even more pronounced (table 2). Consistent with the shift towards CDCA-derived muricholates relative to CA-derived bile salts in FXRα2-expressing mice, hepatic Cyp8b1 mRNA expression was reduced compared to $FXR\alpha4$ -expressing mice (reduction of 33%) and PBS-injected FXR KO (reduction of 60%). CYP7B1, the enzyme of the alternative bile salt biosynthetic pathway, was only down-regulated in the liver of FXR α 4-expressing mice on 0.5% cholic acid-feeding. The expression of Abcb11/ Bsep also showed differential regulation by the two FXR isoforms on mRNA level. FXRa2-expressing mice showed higher Abcb11/Bsep mRNA expression compared to FXR α 4 in both conditions. Bile flow in these animals was increased compared to FXR α 4-expressing and control mice (table 1), yet, secretion of endogenous bile salts was unaffected implicating stimulation of bile salt-independent bile flow. Surprisingly, the intestinal FXR target genes *Fgf15* and *Fabp6/Ibabp* were affected in the FXR α 2- and FXR4 α -transduced mice on both diets (table 2), indicating a cross-talk between liver and intestine.

FXR isoforms differentially induce SHP in vitro

In attempt to explain the differential effects of FXR α 2 and FXR α 4 on *Cyp8b1* expression, we have screened the promoter region of the *Cyp8b1* gene for FXREs using Mathinspector [<u>36</u>]. This screening revealed an IR1 FXRE in the promoter region of murine *Cyp8b1* starting at 99 base pairs from the transcription start site. Also in the human CYP8B1 promoter region an IR1 FXRE was found, at >2500 base pairs from the transcription start site. Unlike expected, we were unable to show direct FXR-mediated regulation of *Cyp8b1* expression in promoter studies, since FXR α 2 could not transrepress the HNF-4 α -activated Cyp8b1 reporter (<u>Fig. 4A</u>). However, we did find that FXR isoforms differentially induce *Shp* (<u>Fig. 4B</u>). *Shp* is a well-established FXR target gene whose product represses



	chow-fed			0.5% cholic acid-fed		
Liver	PBS	FXRα2	FXRα4	PBS	FXRα2	FXRα4
Nr1h4/Fxr**	$1.0\!\pm\!0.2$	$36.1 \pm 7.1^{*}$	$50.0 \pm 14.9^{\star}$	1.0 ± 0.5	31.9±7.7*	$29.8 \pm 10.8^{\star}$
Nr0b2/Shp	$1.0\!\pm\!0.3$	1.8 ± 0.8	1.4 ± 1.0	1.0 ± 0.7	$5.0 \pm 0.8^{*}$	4.1±1.0*
Abcb11/Bsep	$1.0\!\pm\!0.2$	$2.9 \pm 0.2^{*\#}$	$2.1 \pm 0.5^{*}$	1.0 ± 0.3	$6.7 \pm 0.9^{*\#}$	$3.8\!\pm\!0.8^{\star}$
Cyp7a1	$1.0\!\pm\!0.4$	0.7 ± 0.3	$0.6\!\pm\!0.3$	1.0 ± 0.7	0.02 ± 0.02	$0.2\!\pm\!0.1$
Cyp7b1	$1.0\!\pm\!0.4$	$0.9\!\pm\!0.2$	$1.1\!\pm\!0.2$	1.0 ± 0.4	$0.9\!\pm\!0.2$	$0.3 \pm 0.0^{*\#}$
Cyp8b1	1.0 ± 0.2	$0.4 \pm 0.1^{*\#}$	$0.6 \pm 0.3^{*}$	1.0 ± 0.4	$0.01 \pm 0.01^{*\#}$	$0.03 \pm 0.01^{*}$
Intestine	PBS	FXRα2	FXRa4	PBS	FXRα2	FXRa4
Nr1h4/Fxr**	$1.0\!\pm\!0.2$	0.7 ± 0.1	$0.8\!\pm\!0.1$	1.0 ± 1.0	23.5 ± 7.7	20.9 ± 17.5
Fgf15	$1.0\!\pm\!0.7$	$0.4 \pm 0.3^{*}$	$0.4 \pm 0.3^{*}$	1.0 ± 0.9	$2.9 \pm 1.1^{\star}$	1.5 ± 1.0
Fabp6/Ibabp	$1.0\!\pm\!0.3$	$3.0 \pm 0.9^{*}$	$2.3 \pm 0.9^{*}$	1.0 ± 0.9	$2.4 \pm 1.0^{\star}$	$1.2 \pm 0.5^{*}$

Table 2. Hepatic and intestinal expression profile of genes involved in bile salt metabolism.

Hepatic and intestinal gene expression determined by quantitative real-time PCR of genes involved in synthesis, transport and signaling of bile salts in chow- and 0.5% cholic acid-fed PBS-injected and stably transduced scAAV-FXR α 2 or scAAV-FXR α 4 FXR knock-out mice. Gene expression levels were normalized to 36b4 and corrected to expression levels of PBS-injected FXR knock-outs. Values are presented as average \pm standard deviations (n=5–6 animals per group). *p<0.05 vs. PBS; #p<0.05 between FXR isoforms. ** note that our QPCR primers pairs always picked up FXR signal, even in FXR knock-outs. Expression levels were normalized to expression levels found in PBS-injected FXR knock-out mice.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115028.t002

nuclear hormone receptor-mediated transactivation. Hence, this finding might indicate FXR-Shp-mediated Cyp8b1 transrepression, possibly explaining the changes in bile salt composition observed in the *in vivo* situation. FXR isoforms showed differences in their transcriptional activity (FXR α 2> α 4> α 1> α 3)



Fig. 4. FXR-mediated transreppression of Cyp8b1 and transcriptional activity of the FXR isoforms *in vitro.* Luciferase assays where performed in CV1 cells using an luciferase reporter containing tandem copies of the FXRE from the Cyp8b1 (A) and SHP (B) genes. Activity is shown in fold induction, with the transfection efficiencies being normalized using a dual Renilla-Firefly luciferase assay. Activity is compared to empty vector control. Using the SHP receptor, cells were treated 24 hr post transfection either with 50 μ M CDCA or vehicle. Data are presented as average \pm standard deviations (n≥2). Significance Cyp8B1 reporter: *p<0.05 vs. control; #p<0.05 vs. HNF4 α 10 ng; \$p<0.05 vs. HNF4 α 100 ng; &p<0.05 vs. HNF4 α and SHP; \wedge p<0.05 vs. thNF4 α and FXR α 2. Significance SHP reporter: *p<0.05 vs. control; #p<0.05 vs. FXR α 1; \$p<0.05 vs. FXR α 2; &p<0.05 vs. FXR α 3.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115028.g004

independent of ligand activation, which was already shown in previous studies for *Abcb11/Bsep* but not for *Shp* [5, 6]. Compared to other isoforms FXR α 2 showed an up to 50% increase in transcriptional activity upon activation using CDCA as ligand. However, the differences in hepatic *Shp* expression *in vivo* between chowfed FXR α 2- and FXR α 4-expressing mice failed to reach statistical significance and therefore the physiological relevance, if any, of isoform-specific Shp regulation awaits further studies.

Discussion

The most important finding of this study is it the demonstration, for the first time, of physiologically relevant differences in *in vivo* activity between the two transcriptionally most active FXR isoforms, *i.e.*, FXR α 2 and FXR α 4. Our data demonstrate that FXR α 2 and FXR α 4 differentially affect transcriptional control of bile salt and lipoprotein metabolism in mice.

One of the phenotypic hallmarks of FXR knock-out mice concerns the plasma lipid profile with high levels of HDL-cholesterol and concomitantly elevated VLDL-cholesterol and triglyceride levels [11]. In our model, a first biological readout was the (partial) normalization of this profile. Both FXR isoforms reduced HDL- and VLDL-cholesterol levels. However, total plasma cholesterol levels in FXR α 2-expressing mice were markedly reduced compared to FXR KO values, while FXRa4 was less effective. In both situations, cholesterol levels found in wildtype mice were not reached, yet, the results underscore the role of hepatic FXR in control of plasma HDL levels. The existence of relationships between bile salt and lipid metabolism has been recognized for many years. The production of triglyceride-rich VLDL-particles, as well as their clearance from the circulation have been proposed to be influenced by FXR activity through modulation of hepatic *apoc2/apoc3* expression [37, 38] as well as VLDLR expression in cells [39]. On the other hand, bile salt-activated FXR lowers HDL-cholesterol, which has been attributed to suppression of Apoal expression [40] and, very recently, to suppression of CETP expression which is, however, not expressed in wild-type mice [41]. The explorative microarray data of 0.5% cholic acid-fed FXR α 2- and FXRα4-expressing mice revealed small but significant differences in hepatic *Apoc2* (20% upregulated in FXR α 2) and Vldlr (30% upregulated in FXR α 4) expression (S3 Table). Although relatively small, these differences indicate differential regulation of VLDL production as well as clearance from the circulation. Recently, the triglyceride-lowering capacity of FXR was linked to synthesis of 12ahydroxylated bile salts *via* Foxo1, a key regulator of glucose metabolism [42]. Murine Foxo1 ablation caused elevated liver and plasma triglyceride levels, two signature lipid abnormalities of diabetes and the metabolic syndrome. These changes were associated with a relative deficiency of 12α-hydroxylated bile salts and in expression of Cyp8b1. In diabetes, the impaired insulin response led to dysfunctional Foxo1, which drives bile salt synthesis towards 12α -hydroxylated bile salts, leading to an abnormally hydrophilic bile salt pool affecting cholesterol

and triglyceride metabolism. These data coincide with those observed in our model: FXR α 2-expressing mice showed a stronger transrepression of *Cyp8b1* compared to FXR α 4, thereby generating less 12 α -hydroxylated bile salts and their plasma triglyceride levels tended to be higher than in FXR α 4-expressing mice (<u>table 1</u>). Furthermore, FPLC data revealed slightly lower HDL-cholesterol in FXR α 2-expressing mice compared to those expressing FXR α 4. Thus, FXR α 2 was found to target primarily the neutral branch of the bile salt biosynthesis pathway by transrepressing *Cyp7a1* and *Cyp8b1*, thereby inhibiting CA production. FXR α 4, on the other hand, was found to particularly suppress the acidic branch of the bile salt biosynthesis pathway *Cyp7b1*, targeting primarily CDCA and MCA synthesis.

The changes in expression levels were consistent with compositional changes in the bile salt species in bile as well as in feces. The changes in bile salt composition alter the physiochemical properties of the bile salt pool. FXR α 2 mice generate a more hydrophilic bile salt pool compared to FXR α 4. In the cholate-fed situation, *i.e.*, a state of FXR activation, also *Cyp17a1* was found to be differentially expressed by FXR α 2 and FXR α 4. FXR α 2-expressing mice transrepressed *Cyp17a1* 62%more compared to FXR α 4. Recently, increased production of 17-hydroxy steroid metabolites, the product of *Cyp17a1*, have been associated with juvenile onset cholestasis [43]. We did not observe large differences in bile flow or the grade of liver damage between the FXR isoforms that might indicate onset of cholestasis.

In the bile salt pool of Cyp7a1- as well as Cyp8b1-knock-out mice, in which CA was largely replaced by MCA, fractional cholesterol absorption from the intestine was decreased [44] while the intestinal cholesterol synthesis was induced [45] as a rescue mechanism. Since we observed similar alterations in bile salt pool composition in the FXR α 2 mice, we analyzed cholesterol kinetics [24] to determine whole body cholesterol balance. Our data show increased fecal neutral sterol output in FXRa2-expressing mice, without concomitant reduction of fractional cholesterol absorption. Since there was no difference in biliary cholesterol secretion between FXR α 2 and α 4 -expressing mice, increased TICE accounted for the difference in neutral sterol excretion. The question arises how FXRa2 induces this effect. Our data show a differential effect of the FXR isoforms on plasma lipoprotein and bile salt pool distribution. Regulatory effects of plasma lipoproteins on the rate of TICE have not been described and the (lipoprotein) donor of TICE has still not been identified [46, 47]. The influence on the hydrophobicity of the bile salt pool may be more important. In vitro studies have shown that the presence of bile salts in the intestinal lumen, particular when combined with phospholipids, strongly stimulate this pathway but a clear influence of bile salt hydrophobicity was not demonstrated. A clear association between bile salt hydrophobicity and cholesterol absorption has been observed [48]. However, apparently in the present study the changes in the hydrophobicity of the bile salt pool were too subtle to affect cholesterol absorption. Hence it is not inhibition of cholesterol absorption that induces the increase in TICE but another as yet unidentified factor must be involved. We do speculate, however, that the change in the hydrophobicity of the bile salt pool drives the effect on TICE.

FXR regulates bile salt hydrophobicity via modulation of Cyp7a1 and Cyp8b1 expression through a SHP/LRH1- and HNF-4 α -dependent pathway. To explain our results we speculated that FXR would directly transrepress Cyp8b1 without interference of SHP. Promoter region analysis using Mathinspector [36] revealed a FXRE (aggcaggaacct) in the promoter region of both murine and human CYP8B1. Reporter studies, however, did not confirm direct FXR-mediated regulation of Cyp8b1 expression using our murine FXR isoforms. Although hepatic gene expression of SHP did not significantly differ between the FXR isoform-transduced mice in vivo, our in vitro studies did show differential induction of SHP by both FXR isoforms. SHP is an orphan nuclear receptor that is regulated by many other nuclear receptors and transcription factors involved in metabolism and cancer [49] and also has been shown to be involved in control of *Cyp8b1* expression [50]. SHP can repress transcription factor-mediated transactivation by inhibiting DNA binding, competing for binding of coactivators or recruitment of corepressors. Hepatic SHP mRNA expression shows circadian rhythmicity, regulated by the clock gene $Nr1d1(Rev-erb\alpha)$ [51]. Because of the interaction with many other transcription factors and the circadian rhythmicity, the *in vivo* regulation of SHP is complex. Our laboratory very recently demonstrated that human FXR regulates SHP expression through direct binding to an LRH-1 binding site, independent of the known IR-1 and LRH-1 sites [52], which underscores the fact that the exact mechanisms by which SHP is regulated in vivo remains enigmatic. The differences in transcriptional activation of SHP, i.e., FXRa2 being more effective than FXRa4, may contribute to the differences observed in hepatic Cyp8b1 expression, resulting in the concomitant differences in the bile salt pools between FXR α 2- and FXR α 4-expressing mice. Yet, more studies are required to substantiate this suggestion.

The intriguing question remains why FXR isoforms exist and what their specific physiological roles in different tissues actually are. FXRa2 and FXRa4 differ within their N-terminal parts, *i.e.*, a 37 amino acid extension of FXR α 4 compared to FXR α 2. This difference can cause conformational changes in the FXR protein and, thereby, influence the transcriptional activity and/or transactivation/ repression capacity on target genes. A dozen transcriptional cofactors have been described to influence FXR transactivation [53], but actions specific for the specific FXR isoforms have not been described. The relative abundance of hepatic FXR α 3,4 was increased in children suffering from progressive familial cholestasis type I [54]. Also in cholangiocarcinomas [55] and colorectal adenocarcinoma [56] the relative abundance of hepatic and intestinal FXR α 3,4 was increased. Apparently, disease states can be associated with a switch in FXR isoform expression in the liver and intestine which may influence outcome of disease progression. Recently, Vaquero et al. [57] reported that activation of human FXR depends on the pattern of FXR isoform expression and bile salt composition. They showed that cell-specific pattern of FXR isoforms determine the overall tissue sensitivity to FXR agonists and may be involved in the differential response of FXR target genes to FXR activation. The present study shows that the FXR isoforms influence physiology differentially and although murine and human bile

salt and lipoprotein metabolism differ from each other the differential influence of the isoforms on the bile salt synthesis pathways will probably be similar.

In conclusion, we show for the first time physiological evidence for differential roles of FXR α 2 and FXR α 4 in control of bile salt and lipoprotein metabolism in mice. This might, in light of the increasing evidence for therapeutic potential of FXR agonists, be of importance for design of treatment strategies for metabolic diseases.

Supporting Information

S1 Figure. Spatial and tissue-specific expression profiles of FXR isoforms in mice. Relative expression was measured in livers from mice sacrificed at different time point during the day (A), in different tissues at 13:00 h (B). Gene expression levels were normalized to 36B4. Data are presented as average \pm standard deviation (n=6–7 animals per group). *p<0.05 between FXR α 1/2 and FXR α 3/4. Wat; white adipose tissue, bat; brown adipose tissue, liv; liver, skm; skeletal muscle, kid; kidney, int; small intestine.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115028.s001 (DOCX)

S1 Table. Primer list. Overview of the conventional primers used for cloning the different murine nuclear receptors. The FXR α 1, FXR α 3, RXR α , SHP and HNF4 α primers were used to clone the FXR α 1, FXR α 3, RXR α , SHP and HNF4 α genes. The FXR α 2/4 primers were designed as nested primers to delete the four amino insertion in the hinge region of FXR α 1 and FXR α 3 to generate FXR α 2 and FXR α 4, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115028.s002 (DOCX)

S2 Table. Biliary and fecal bile salt profiles. The specific bile salts in bile and feces were determined by gas chromatography and are presented as μ mol/day/ 100 g body weight as average \pm standard deviation (n=5–6). *p<0.05 vs. PBS-injected FXR KO; #p<0.05 between FXR isoforms. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115028.s003 (DOCX)

S3 Table. Differentially expressed genes of explorative Illumina microarray of livers of 0.5% cholic acid-fed stably transduced scAAV- FXRa2- and scAAV-FXRa4-FXR knock-out mice. Livers of 0.5% cholic acid-fed stably transduced scAAV- FXRa2- and scAAV-FXRa4-FXR knock-out mice were used for explorative microarray analysis. Listed top-15 genes and interesting targets, which showed statistically significant differences with a False Discovery Rate (FDR) <10%. "Fold change" represents the fold difference in expression between livers of stably transduced scAAV-FXRa2- and scAAV-FXRa4 FXR knock-out mice (both n=6). The genes represented in bold are involved in bile salts and lipid metabolism.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115028.s004 (DOCX)

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: MB JH JWJ FK AKG. Performed the experiments: MB RH. Analyzed the data: MB VWB TB THvD HW. Contributed to the writing of the manuscript: MB VWB FK AKG.

References

- 1. Lefebvre P, Cariou B, Lien F, Kuipers F, Staels B (2009) Role of bile acids and bile acid receptors in metabolic regulation. Physiol Rev 89: 147–191.
- de Aguiar Vallim TQ, Tarling EJ, Edwards PA (2013) Pleiotropic roles of bile acids in metabolism. Cell Metab 17: 657–669.
- Wang H, Chen J, Hollister K, Sowers LC, Forman BM (1999) Endogenous bile acids are ligands for the nuclear receptor FXR/BAR. Mol Cell 3: 543–553.
- 4. Parks DJ, Blanchard SG, Bledsoe RK, Chandra G, Consler TG, et al. (1999) Bile acids: Natural ligands for an orphan nuclear receptor. Science 284: 1365–1368.
- Huber RM, Murphy K, Miao B, Link JR, Cunningham MR, et al. (2002) Generation of multiple farnesoid-X-receptor isoforms through the use of alternative promoters. Gene 290: 35–43.
- Zhang Y, Kast-Woelbern HR, Edwards PA (2003) Natural structural variants of the nuclear receptor farnesoid X receptor affect transcriptional activation. J Biol Chem 278: 104–110.
- Goodwin B, Jones SA, Price RR, Watson MA, McKee DD, et al. (2000) A regulatory cascade of the nuclear receptors FXR, SHP-1, and LRH-1 represses bile acid biosynthesis. Mol Cell 6: 517–526.
- Lu TT, Makishima M, Repa JJ, Schoonjans K, Kerr TA, et al. (2000) Molecular basis for feedback regulation of bile acid synthesis by nuclear receptors. Mol Cell 6: 507–515.
- Zhang M, Chiang JY (2001) Transcriptional regulation of the human sterol 12alpha-hydroxylase gene (CYP8B1): Roles of heaptocyte nuclear factor 4alpha in mediating bile acid repression. J Biol Chem 276: 41690–41699.
- Chen W, Chiang JY (2003) Regulation of human sterol 27-hydroxylase gene (CYP27A1) by bile acids and hepatocyte nuclear factor 4alpha (HNF4alpha). Gene 313: 71–82.
- **11.** Cariou B, van HK, Duran-Sandoval D, van Dijk TH, Grefhorst A, et al. (2006) The farnesoid X receptor modulates adiposity and peripheral insulin sensitivity in mice. J Biol Chem 281: 11039–11049.
- Zhang Y, Lee FY, Barrera G, Lee H, Vales C, et al. (2006) Activation of the nuclear receptor FXR improves hyperglycemia and hyperlipidemia in diabetic mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103: 1006– 1011.
- 13. Hambruch E, Miyazaki-Anzai S, Hahn U, Matysik S, Boettcher A, et al. (2012) Synthetic farnesoid X receptor agonists induce high-density lipoprotein-mediated transhepatic cholesterol efflux in mice and monkeys and prevent atherosclerosis in cholesteryl ester transfer protein transgenic low-density lipoprotein receptor (-/-) mice. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 343: 556–567.
- Mudaliar S, Henry RR, Sanyal AJ, Morrow L, Marschall HU, et al. (2013) Efficacy and safety of the farnesoid X receptor agonist obeticholic acid in patients with type 2 diabetes and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Gastroenterology 145: 574–82. e1.
- **15.** Hageman J, Kampinga HH (2009) Computational analysis of the human HSPH/HSPA/DNAJ family and cloning of a human HSPH/HSPA/DNAJ expression library. Cell Stress Chaperones 14: 1–21.
- Montenegro-Miranda PS, ten BL, Kunne C, de Waart DR, Bosma PJ (2011) Mycophenolate mofetil impairs transduction of single-stranded adeno-associated viral vectors. Hum Gene Ther 22: 605–612.
- Nathwani AC, Gray JT, Ng CY, Zhou J, Spence Y, et al. (2006) Self-complementary adeno-associated virus vectors containing a novel liver-specific human factor IX expression cassette enable highly efficient transduction of murine and nonhuman primate liver. Blood 107: 2653–2661.
- Seppen J, Bakker C, de JB, Kunne C, van den OK, et al. (2006) Adeno-associated virus vector serotypes mediate sustained correction of bilirubin UDP glucuronosyltransferase deficiency in rats. Mol Ther 13: 1085–1092.

- **19.** Hermens WT, ter BO, Dijkhuizen PA, Sonnemans MA, Grimm D, et al. (1999) Purification of recombinant adeno-associated virus by iodixanol gradient ultracentrifugation allows rapid and reproducible preparation of vector stocks for gene transfer in the nervous system. Hum Gene Ther 10: 1885–1891.
- Zhang M, Chiang JY (2001) Transcriptional regulation of the human sterol 12alpha-hydroxylase gene (CYP8B1): Roles of heaptocyte nuclear factor 4alpha in mediating bile acid repression. J Biol Chem 276: 41690–41699.
- Kok T, Hulzebos CV, Wolters H, Havinga R, Agellon LB, et al. (2003) Enterohepatic circulation of bile salts in farnesoid X receptor-deficient mice: Efficient intestinal bile salt absorption in the absence of ileal bile acid-binding protein. J Biol Chem 278: 41930–41937.
- Stripecke R, Carmen Villacres M, Skelton D, Satake N, Halene S, et al. (1999) Immune response to green fluorescent protein: Implications for gene therapy. Gene Ther 6: 1305–1312.
- Rosenzweig M, Connole M, Glickman R, Yue SP, Noren B, et al. (2001) Induction of cytotoxic T lymphocyte and antibody responses to enhanced green fluorescent protein following transplantation of transduced CD34(+) hematopoietic cells. Blood 97: 1951–1959.
- 24. van der Veen JN, van Dijk TH, Vrins CL, van Meer H, Havinga R, et al. (2009) Activation of the liver X receptor stimulates trans-intestinal excretion of plasma cholesterol. J Biol Chem 284: 19211–19219.
- Kuipers F, van Ree JM, Hofker MH, Wolters H, In't Veld G, et al. (1996) Altered lipid metabolism in apolipoprotein E-deficient mice does not affect cholesterol balance across the liver. Hepatology 24: 241– 247.
- Bligh EG, Dyer WJ (1959) A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. Can J Biochem Physiol 37: 911–917.
- Böttcher CFJ, van Gent CM, Pries C (2011) A rapid and sensitive sub-micro phosphorus determination. Anal Chim Acta 1961: 203–204.
- Gamble W, Vaughan M, Kruth HS, Avigan J (1978) Procedure for determination of free and total cholesterol in micro- or nanogram amounts suitable for studies with cultured cells. J Lipid Res 19: 1068– 1070.
- Lin K, Kools H, de Groot PJ, Gavai AK, Basnet RK, et al. (2011) MADMAX management and analysis database for multiple ~omics experiments. J Integr Bioinform 8: 160-jib-2011-160.
- **30.** Dennis G, Jr., Sherman BT, Hosack DA, Yang J, Gao W, et al. (2003) DAVID: Database for annotation, visualization, and integrated discovery. Genome Biol 4: 3.
- Stricker D (2008) BrightStat.com: Free statistics online. Comput Methods Programs Biomed 92: 135– 143.
- **32.** Russell DW (2003) The enzymes, regulation, and genetics of bile acid synthesis. Annu Rev Biochem 72: 137–174.
- **33.** Stroeve JH, Brufau G, Stellaard F, Gonzalez FJ, Staels B, et al. (2010) Intestinal FXR-mediated FGF15 production contributes to diurnal control of hepatic bile acid synthesis in mice. Lab Invest.
- van der Velde AE, Vrins CL, van den OK, Kunne C, Oude Elferink RP, et al. (2007) Direct intestinal cholesterol secretion contributes significantly to total fecal neutral sterol excretion in mice. Gastroenterology 133: 967–975.
- van der Velde AE, Vrins CL, van den OK, Seemann I, Oude Elferink RP, et al. (2008) Regulation of direct transintestinal cholesterol excretion in mice. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 295: G203– G208.
- Quandt K, Frech K, Karas H, Wingender E, Werner T (1995) MatInd and MatInspector: New fast and versatile tools for detection of consensus matches in nucleotide sequence data. Nucleic Acids Res 23: 4878–4884.
- Kast HR, Nguyen CM, Sinal CJ, Jones SA, Laffitte BA, et al. (2001) Farnesoid X-activated receptor induces apolipoprotein C-II transcription: A molecular mechanism linking plasma triglyceride levels to bile acids. Mol Endocrinol 15: 1720–1728.
- Claudel T, Inoue Y, Barbier O, Duran-Sandoval D, Kosykh V, et al. (2003) Farnesoid X receptor agonists suppress hepatic apolipoprotein CIII expression. Gastroenterology 125: 544–555.

- Sirvent A, Claudel T, Martin G, Brozek J, Kosykh V, et al. (2004) The farnesoid X receptor induces very low density lipoprotein receptor gene expression. FEBS Lett 566: 173–177.
- Claudel T, Sturm E, Duez H, Torra IP, Sirvent A, et al. (2002) Bile acid-activated nuclear receptor FXR suppresses apolipoprotein A-I transcription via a negative FXR response element. J Clin Invest 109: 961–971.
- 41. Gautier T, de Haan W, Grober J, Ye D, Bahr MJ, et al. (2013) Farnesoid X receptor activation increases cholesteryl ester transfer protein expression in humans and transgenic mice. J Lipid Res.
- Haeusler RA, Pratt-Hyatt M, Welch CL, Klaassen CD, Accili D (2012) Impaired generation of 12hydroxylated bile acids links hepatic insulin signaling with dyslipidemia. Cell Metab 15: 65–74.
- **43.** Anakk S, Watanabe M, Ochsner SA, McKenna NJ, Finegold MJ, et al. (2010) Combined deletion of fxr and shp in mice induces Cyp17a1 and results in juvenile onset cholestasis. J Clin Invest.
- **44.** Erickson SK, Lear SR, Deane S, Dubrac S, Huling SL, et al. (2003) Hypercholesterolemia and changes in lipid and bile acid metabolism in male and female cyp7A1-deficient mice. J Lipid Res 44: 1001–1009.
- 45. Li-Hawkins J, Gafvels M, Olin M, Lund EG, Andersson U, et al. (2002) Cholic acid mediates negative feedback regulation of bile acid synthesis in mice. J Clin Invest 110: 1191–1200.
- Vrins CL (2010) From blood to gut: Direct secretion of cholesterol via transintestinal cholesterol efflux. World J Gastroenterol 16: 5953–5957.
- Le May C, Berger JM, Lespine A, Pillot B, Prieur X, et al. (2013) Transintestinal cholesterol excretion is an active metabolic process modulated by PCSK9 and statin involving ABCB1. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 33: 1484–1493.
- Woollett LA, Wang Y, Buckley DD, Yao L, Chin S, et al. (2006) Micellar solubilisation of cholesterol is essential for absorption in humans. Gut 55: 197–204.
- **49.** Zhang Y, Hagedorn CH, Wang L (2011) Role of nuclear receptor SHP in metabolism and cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta 1812: 893–908.
- del Castillo-Olivares A, Gil G (2001) Suppression of sterol 12alpha-hydroxylase transcription by the short heterodimer partner: Insights into the repression mechanism. Nucleic Acids Res 29: 4035–4042.
- Zhang YK, Guo GL, Klaassen CD (2011) Diurnal variations of mouse plasma and hepatic bile acid concentrations as well as expression of biosynthetic enzymes and transporters. PLoS One 6: e16683.
- 52. Hoeke MO, Heegsma J, Hoekstra M, Moshage H, Faber KN (2014) Human FXR regulates SHP expression through direct binding to an LRH-1 binding site, independent of an IR-1 and LRH-1. PLoS One 9: e88011.
- Kemper JK (2011) Regulation of FXR transcriptional activity in health and disease: Emerging roles of FXR cofactors and post-translational modifications. Biochim Biophys Acta 1812: 842–850.
- Alvarez L, Jara P, Sanchez-Sabate E, Hierro L, Larrauri J, et al. (2004) Reduced hepatic expression of farnesoid X receptor in hereditary cholestasis associated to mutation in ATP8B1. Hum Mol Genet 13: 2451–2460.
- 55. Martinez-Becerra P, Vaquero J, Romero MR, Lozano E, Anadon C, et al. (2012) No correlation between the expression of FXR and genes involved in multidrug resistance phenotype of primary liver tumors. Mol Pharm 9: 1693–1704.
- 56. Martinez-Becerra P, Monte I, Romero MR, Serrano MA, Vaquero J, et al. (2012) Up-regulation of FXR isoforms is not required for stimulation of the expression of genes involved in the lack of response of colon cancer to chemotherapy. Pharmacol Res 66: 419–427.
- 57. Vaquero J, Monte MJ, Dominguez M, Muntane J, Marin JJ (2013) Differential activation of the human farnesoid X receptor depends on the pattern of expressed isoforms and the bile acid pool composition. Biochem Pharmacol 86: 926–939.