Utility of telomere length measurements for age determination of humpback whales

Olsen, Morten T.; Robbins, Jooke; Bérubé, Martine; Rew, Mary Beth; Palsboll, Per

Published in:
NAMMCO Scientific Publications

DOI:
10.7557/3.3194

NAMMCO
SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS

Online Early Version

Utility of telomere length measurements for age determination of humpback whales

Morten Tange Olsen[1,*], Jooke Robbins[2], Martine Bérubé[1, 3], Mary Beth Rew[4] and Per J. Palsbøll[1, 3]

[1] *Evolutionary Genetics Group, Department of Genetics, Microbiology, and Toxicology, Stockholm University, S-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden*
[*]*Present address: Section for Evolutionary Genomics, Centre for GeoGenetics, Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen, Øster Voldgade 5–7, Copenhagen K 1350, Denmark*
[2] *Center for Coastal Studies, 5 Holway Avenue, Provincetown, MA 02657, USA*
[3]*Marine Evolution and Conservation, Centre for Ecological and Evolutionary Studies, University of Groningen, PO Box 11103, 97 CC Groningen, The Netherlands*
[4]*Cooperative Institute for Marine Resources Studies, Oregon State University, Hatfield Marine Science Center, Newport, OR 97365, USA*

Corresponding authors:

Morten Tange Olsen (mortentolsen@gmail.com) and Per J. Palsbøll (p.j.palsboll@rug.nl)

ABSTRACT

This study examines the applicability of telomere length measurements by quantitative PCR as a tool for minimally invasive age determination of free-ranging cetaceans. We analysed telomere length in skin samples from 28 North Atlantic humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*), ranging from 0 to 26 years of age. The results suggested a significant correlation between telomere length and age in humpback whales. However, telomere length was highly variable among individuals of similar age, suggesting that telomere length measured by quantitative PCR is an imprecise determinant of age in humpback whales. The observed variation in individual telomere length was found to be a function of both experimental and biological variability, with the latter perhaps reflecting patterns of inheritance, resource allocation trade-offs, and stochasticity of the marine environment.

INTRODUCTION

The most commonly applied approaches for age determination of cetaceans are based on counting of growth layer groups (GLGs) in hard structures such as dentine, bone, baleen plates and ear plugs (Chittleborough 1965; Laws 1952, and this volume), as well as eye-nucleus aspartic acid racemisation (Garde *et al.* 2007; George *et al.* 1999; Nielsen *et al.* 2013; Olsen & Sunde 2002). However, with the exception of teeth, which can be collected in live-capture release projects of small cetaceans, these approaches typically require that the animal is dead. For free-ranging cetaceans, photo-ID records can be used to track identified individuals from their year of birth (Hammond *et al.* 1990; Katona & Whitehead 1981), but require substantial efforts in time and

Olsen MT, Robbins J, Bérubé M, Rew MB and Palsbøll PJ (2014) Utility of telomere length measurements for age determination of humpback whales. *NAMMCO Scientific Publications*. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.7557/3.3194

funding to establish a long-term photo record. Recently, two studies based on tissue biopsies from humpback whales have reported significant age-associations with blubber lipid profiles (Herman *et al.* 2009) and levels of cytosine methylation (Polanowski *et al.* 2014). Although very promising, the age-estimates of both methods were associated with 95% confidence intervals of up to 8-10 years, suggesting that alternative methods that are more accurate may still be of interest.

Here we investigate the utility of telomere length measurements in cetacean skin tissue samples. Telomeres are short tandem repeats situated at the end of chromosomes, where they provide a protective cap and ensured normal cell function (Blackburn 1991; Blackburn & Gall 1978). The interest in age determination by telomeres stems from the observation that telomere length declines over the lifespan in several animal species (Dunshea *et al.* 2011; Haussmann *et al.* 2003; Nakagawa *et al.* 2004; Vleck *et al.* 2003), including mammals (Allsopp *et al.* 1992; Garde *et al.* 2010; Hastie *et al.* 1990; Izzo *et al.* 2011; Pauli *et al.* 2011), birds (Haussmann & Mauck 2008b; Juola *et al.* 2006; Salomons *et al.* 2009), and reptiles (Hatase *et al.* 2008; Xu *et al.* 2009). If similar correlations can be found in cetaceans, telomere length estimates could provide a basis for genetic age determination of free-ranging individuals. Our assessment focuses on the humpback whale, for which life-history data and substantial sample collections are available from extensive long-term studies (Clapham 1992; Clapham 1996; Katona & Whitehead 1981; Robbins 2007).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study material

Samples and validating age data were obtained from a multi-decade study of North Atlantic humpback whales that summer in the Gulf of Maine (Center for Coastal Studies, Provincetown MA). Humpback whales are individually identified based on their natural markings (Katona & Whitehead 1981) and skin samples can be collected from free-ranging whales by biopsy sampling techniques (Palsbøll *et al.* 1991). For this study, we analysed samples from 28 individuals that had been catalogued in their first year of life and therefore of known age when sampled. The samples were stored at -20°C in a 10% DMSO solution to minimize potential effects of DNA degradation on telomere length measurements. Genomic DNA was extracted using the QIAGEN™ DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (QIAGEN Inc.) and stored in TE buffer (10 mM Tris·Cl, 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 9.0) at -20°C. The DNA quality and concentration of each extraction was measured by gel-electrophoresis (Sambrook *et al.* 1989) and a Thermo Scientific NanoDrop 8000, respectively.

Telomere length by quantitative PCR

Approaches to telomere length measurement can be classified as either hybridization or PCR based (Nakagawa 2004). The quantitative PCR (qPCR) method for telomere length estimation builds on the principle that telomere repeats can be amplified by specific PCR primers and relative telomere length (RTL) determined by scaling the amount of telomere repeat to the amount of a simultaneously amplified single-copy reference gene (Cawthon 2002; Cawthon 2009). Here, telomere lengths were measured using a modification of Cawthon's (2009) multiplex qPCR method. Each qPCR reaction was conducted in a total volume of 25 µl consisting of 50% ABsolute™ QPCR SYBR© Green Mix Plus ROX vial (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), 800 nM of each primer, and 20 ng of template DNA. Telomere primers and reference gene primers (albumin) were as described by Cawthon (2009) with the exception that we used 500 nM and 1300 nM of each telomere and reference gene primer, respectively. Amplifications were performed in a QIAGEN Rotor-Gene Q qPCR cycler with the following conditions: 95°C for 15 min, 2 cycles of 94°C for 15 s and 49°C for 15 s, 40 cycles of 94°C for 15 s, 62°C for 10 s, 74°C for 15 s with signal acquisition, 84°C for 10 s, 88°C for 15 s with signal acquisition, and a dissociation curve ramping from 72°C to 95°C, rising by 0.5°C in steps of 30 s. Each qPCR batch (i.e. 96-well qPCR plate) contained three replicates of each sample of genomic DNA from humpback whales of known age, a total of three no template controls (NTCs), and serial dilution series of standard DNA, also in triplicate, used to construct standard curves. The applied method has been subject to extensive quality control and was found to be the best performing of several different qPCR assays for estimation of humpback whale telomere length (Olsen *et al.* 2012). Briefly, this assay has high and constant reaction amplification efficiencies (E: 98-104%), low inter-batch standard deviation (SD) of Cq values for the telomere (average SD = 0.116) and reference gene reactions (average SD = 0.196), and relatively low coefficient of variation (CV) of RTL estimates (average CV = 8.8%; range: 1.3-29.6%).

Data analysis

The raw data were baseline corrected in LinRegPCR version 12.16 (Ramakers *et al.* 2003; Ruijter *et al.* 2009; Tuomi *et al.* 2010) using the "strict" baseline correction option to adjust for background fluorescence noise, a common or window of linearity (W-o-L), and a fixed fluorescence threshold line for determination of Cq values. Manual adjustments of the baseline and/or W-o-L were made in a few cases where visual inspection suggested that these were wrongly set by the program. Relative telomere length was estimated as the ratio between the observed amount of telomere and reference gene averaged for triplicate reactions and calibrated by the standard curve to account for any variation between batches (Pfaffl 2001).

The correlation between RTL and age was determined using linear regression, statistical significance tested using ANOVA, and the uncertainty of the population mean determined by the 95% confidence intervals (CI) of the regression line. Moreover, to account for uncertainty in individual telomere length estimates we calculated the 95% prediction interval (PI) (Crawley 2013), which reflects the degree of accuracy that can be expected in age estimates based on observed telomere lengths and the relationship between telomere length and age presented here.

The applicability of telomeres for age determination largely depends on the experimental and biological variability associated with individual telomere length estimates. Experimental variability is defined as the variance in RTL estimates due to, for example, pipetting errors and slight variations in amplification efficiency. Biological variability is the variation in RTL estimates among individuals of similar age caused by individual life-history, inheritance and environment as discussed below. The contribution of experimental and biological variability to variability in RTL estimates was determined by estimating the coefficient of variation (CV) among triplicate RTL estimates (experimental variability), the CV of RTL estimates averaged across individuals (overall variability), and the difference between these two (biological variability). For this analysis, we grouped telomere length estimates obtained from individuals assigned to the following categories; <1-4 yrs (n=7), 5–9 yrs (n=7), 10–14 yrs (n=6), 15–19 yrs (n=4), and 20–26 years (n=4).

RESULTS

Relative telomere lengths were highly variable among humpback whales, but nevertheless showed a decline over time (R^2 = 24.4%; F = 8.41; P = 0.008) (Fig. 1). In the humpback whales studied here, the relationship between telomere length and age was described by:

$$RTL = -0.0394*(Age) + 2.0222 \pm \varepsilon \qquad \text{Equation 1}$$

where ε is the variation in RTL estimates for individuals of similar age as defined by upper and lower boundaries of the 95% prediction intervals (here ε = 1.1442). To determine the age of unknown individuals based on their RTL we rearrange Equation 1 to:

$$Age = ((RTL – (2.0222 \pm 1.1442)) / -0.0394 \qquad \text{Equation 2}$$

From this, it is clear that individual age estimates are associated with a large degree of uncertainty, amounting to approximately ±28 years for intermediate RTL values.



Fig. 1. The observed relationship between relative telomere length (RTL) and chronological age in 28 humpback whale samples. Solid line=trend line; inner stippled lines=boundaries of the 95% CI; outer stippled lines=boundaries of the 95% PI.



Fig. 2. Variability in average RTLs estimated each age-classes divided into experimental variability (average CV of individual RTL estimates; dark grey) and biological variability (the difference between overall variability in RTL and experimental variability; white). The stippled lines mark the range of RTL CVs reported in recent applications of the multiplex qPCR telomere assay (Aviv *et al.* 2011; Cawthon 2009; Kim *et al.* 2011).

The total variability in the average RTL determined for each age category ranged between a CV of 23% to 56% (Fig. 2). Of this, the experimental variability was relatively constant across age-classes ranging from 5% to 15%, whereas biological variability ranged from 15% and up to 50% with highest values for the youngest and oldest age-categories.

DISCUSSION

Interest in using telomeres as markers for chronological age in non-model species arose about a decade ago (Monaghan & Haussmann 2006; Nakagawa *et al.* 2004; Vleck *et al.* 2003). However, to date the vast majority of published telomere studies focus on birds and reptiles (Bize *et al.* 2009; Hall *et al.* 2004; Haussmann *et al.* 2003; Juola *et al.* 2006; Pauliny *et al.* 2006; Ujvari & Madsen 2009) and there is a striking paucity of studies on mammals other than humans (Garde *et al.* 2010; Izzo *et al.* 2011; Pauli *et al.* 2011).

Here, we applied the qPCR approach to telomere length estimation (Cawthon 2002; Cawthon 2009) to investigate the prospect of using telomere length as a proxy for chronological age in a cetacean species in which individuals have been studied for nearly four decades. We found that, for the described sampling scheme and experimental techniques, telomere lengths in humpback whales only weakly correlated with age and were highly variable among individual whales (Fig. 1). Dunshea and co-authors (2011) recently suggested that the large biological variation in telomere lengths among individuals of similar age implies that exact telomere-based age determination may be unachievable for most vertebrates. In our study, telomere length did decrease over time. However the observed levels of variability strongly indicate that telomere length is insufficiently precise to serve as an indicator of chronological age in humpback whales. Recently, two studies on humpback whales have reported significant age-associations with blubber lipid profiles (Herman *et al.* 2009) and levels of cytosine methylation (Polanowski *et al.* 2014). The 95% confidence intervals of these methods were less than 10 years, suggesting that they are more appropriate for age determination of humpback whales than the highly variable telomere length estimates. In the following we highlight some of the sampling, experimental and biological factors causing this variability.

Sampling issues

The observed individual variation and rate of telomere shortening implies low precision of age estimates. In particular, this may be an issue in cases like ours where the initial samples used to establish the relationship between telomere length and age does not cover the entire lifespan of the study species. For most large whale species, the availability of validated samples from known age individuals is limited by the effort and duration of photo-

identification studies. In the Gulf of Maine, humpback whales have been studied since the 1970s and our study included individuals with known ages up to 26 years. However, humpback whales may live for 48 years or perhaps up to 100 years (Chittleborough 1965; Gabriele *et al.* 2010), implying that skin samples with validated age data are currently available for only 25-50% of the potential lifespan of humpback whales. Human telomere studies indicate that telomere shortening is not linear, but occurs rapidly during maturity, more slowly over adulthood, and faster again late in life (Allsopp *et al.* 1992; Aubert & Lansdorp 2008; Coviello-McLaughlin & Prowse 1997; Hayflick 2003; Lee *et al.* 2002). Hence, the temporal coverage of available known-age samples may be too limited to adequately describe and apply the relationship between telomere length and age in humpback whales and other long-lived cetaceans.

Choice of method for telomere length estimation

Despite its conceptual simplicity, we found the qPCR method for telomere length estimation difficult to optimize and subject to considerable experimental variability. As a result of the methods' high sensitivity even slight variations in qPCR cycling temperatures and pipetting volumes translated into considerable variation in the resulting RTL estimates (Olsen *et al.* 2012). When the method was optimized and subjected to extensive quality control, experimental factors still accounted for average CVs ranging between 5 and 13% (Fig. 2). That said, similar levels of variability was reported by Cawthon (2009) and in recent telomere studies (Aviv *et al.* 2011; Barrett *et al.* 2013; Kim *et al.* 2011), indicating that although a CV>10% might be considered high, such levels of experimental uncertainty is intrinsic to most qPCR approaches to telomere length estimation. In comparison, Aviv and co-authors (2011) reported that telomere length estimates obtained by the telomere restriction fragment (TRF) method was associated with a CV<2%. The TRF method has been widely used for telomere measurement in birds, reptiles and smaller mammals (Haussmann & Mauck 2008a). It is based on hybridizing a radioactive labeled oligonucleotide probe to telomere restriction fragments obtained by digesting genomic DNA with *Hinf*1 or other short-sequence recognition site restriction enzymes. Telomere restriction fragments may differ among cells and chromosomes and consequently TRF assays produce an autoradiographic smear which may be analyzed with some element of subjectivity (Haussmann & Mauck 2008a; Nakagawa *et al.* 2004). Moreover, previous attempts to measure cetacean telomere length using TRF were unsuccessful (Dunshea *et al.* 2011). The most recently devised technique for telomere length measurement is the dot blot method (Kimura & Aviv 2011). In this method, relative telomere length is estimated as the ratio between the amount of telomere in a sample (measured by hybridization to a DIG labelled telomeric probe) and the amount of DNA (measured as the fluorescence produced by a SYBR Dx DNA Blot Stain). Although yet to be

tested under a wider range of settings, the reported simplicity and rapidity of the technique as well as its modest requirement on DNA quality and amount seems promising.

A limitation to both qPCR, TRT and dot blot approaches, is that they measure genome-wide telomere length, including interstitial telomere repeats if they exist (Dunshea *et al.* 2011). Interstitial telomeres are telomere-like TTAGGG sequences that randomly occur in the genome in the form of e.g. satellite DNA or transposable elements (Lin & Yan 2008). Such interstitial telomere sequences could cause variation in individual RTL estimates if these sequences are more frequent in some individual than others. A recent study in birds suggests that inter- and intra-individual differences in interstitial telomere signal may add noise to RTL estimates (Foote *et al.* 2013). Cetaceans are known to harbour telomere-like satellite DNA (Arnason *et al.* 1988; Arnason & Widegren 1984), but the degree of individual differences in the length of these satellites and their influence on the observed variation in individual telomere lengths is unknown.

In addition to the approaches described above, several other approaches allow for telomere length measurements (reviewed in Nussey *et al.* 2014), however we will not discuss these in detail as they typically require fresh blood samples, substantial effort to establish in the technique in the lab and are associated with moderate to high handling time per sample, and thus seem inappropriate for telomere measurements in free-ranging cetaceans.

Biological factors causing individual variation

The observation that the correlation between telomere length and age is not strong in humpback whales, even when experimental bias is minimized, reflects the importance of biological factors causing individual variability in telomere dynamics. Telomeres shorten during cell replication due to the directionality of DNA polymerase, and by oxidative damage brought about by the uncontrolled oxidation of DNA by reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Harley *et al.* 1990; Richter & Zglinicki 2007; Watson 1972). ROS's can be introduced by exogenous sources, but the majority are generated endogenously as an inevitable by-product of aerobic metabolism (Balaban *et al.* 2005; Beckman & Ames 1998). Telomeres may be synthesized de novo by the enzyme telomerase (Greider & Blackburn 1985; Masutomi *et al.* 2003) and oxidative damage reduced via neutralization of ROS by antioxidant enzymes (Ames *et al.* 1993; von Zglinicki 2002). Telomere shortening, protection and elongation occur at different degrees in different individuals and give rise to observed differences in telomere dynamics. A portion of the individual variation in telomere length is likely to be determined by inheritance. Heritability of telomere length can be substantial and differs

among species, study population or age and lifespan of parents (De Meyer *et al.* 2007; Njajou *et al.* 2007; Nordfjall *et al.* 2005; Slagboom *et al.* 1994; Unryn *et al.* 2005). Individuals are also subjected to different levels of oxidative stress by their environment, which can lead to stochastic variation in telomere lengths. Hall *et al*. (2004) found that the environmental conditions experienced early in life correlated with the loss of telomere repeats in two species of long-lived birds, and it is well known that contaminants can increase oxidative stress (Li *et al.* 2003; Risom *et al.* 2005).

Synthesizing telomeres and protecting them from oxidative damage may be costly and hence associated with trade-offs between allocating internal resources to maintaining telomeres versus growth and reproduction (Speakman *et al.* 2002; Williams 1966). Individuals may differ in their energetic requirements and how effectively they utilize available and stored energy resources, and individuals are subject to natural selection resulting in diverse life history strategies which emerges as differential telomere lengths and rates of shortening. Self-maintenance (i.e. avoiding loss of telomere repeats) to delay senescence and onset of age-related diseases in long-lived species is facilitated by constant expression of telomerase and/or a highly efficient antioxidant system (Harman 1956; Haussmann *et al.* 2007; Haussmann *et al.* 2003; Ogburn *et al.* 2001). In addition, cetaceans and other marine mammals often experience periodic inaccessibility to oxygen, which likely result in substantial variations in the oxygen levels of most tissues (Filho *et al.* 2002; Schreer & Kovacs 1997). When surfacing to breathe, oxygen restricted organs and tissues are subject to a dramatic oxygenation and high rates of ROS formation (Halliwell & Gutteridge 2007). Animal species that routinely are subjected to aerobic/anoxic transitions due to diving or extracellular-freezing, lack of environmental oxygen, and hibernation, may minimize oxidative damage by maintaining high antioxidant activity (Storey 1996). Indeed, high antioxidant activity has been observed in dwarf minke whales (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*), two species of dolphins (*Stenella clymene* and *Pontoporia blainvillei*), and the ringed seal (*Pusa hispida*) (Filho *et al.* 2002; Vazquez-Medina *et al.* 2006; Vázquez-Medina *et al.* 2007). The general operation of an efficient antioxidant system, as observed in other birds and mammals, as well as specific adaptations to the marine environment, could be responsible for the observed rate of telomere shortening observed in humpback whales.

In summary, although our study suggests a significant correlation between telomere length and age in humpback whales, the large variation in telomere length among individuals of similar age imply that telomere length measured by quantitative PCR is a poor determinant of age in humpback whales. Assuming that this individual variation to a large extent results from the stochasticity of biological processes, it may be beneficial to focus future

efforts on fine-tuning existing age-determination alternatives, such as blubber lipid profiles (Herman *et al.* 2009) and levels of cytosine methylation (Polanowski *et al.* 2014).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The project was funded by US Marine Mammal Commission grant GP0012184 to Per J. Palsbøll. Morten Tange Olsen was supported by a graduate stipend from Stockholm University, Sweden. Samples were collected under NOAA permit numbers 917, 633-1483, 633-1778, 755-1600 and under permission of the Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans. The authors wish to thank David Mattila, Phil Clapham and many other Center for Coastal Studies personnel and collaborators that have assisted in longitudinal studies of humpback whales in the Gulf of Maine.

REFERENCES

Allsopp RC, Vaziri H, Patterson C, Goldstein S, Younglai EV, Futcher AB, Greider CW, and Harley CB (1992) Telomere length predicts replicative capacity of human fibroblasts. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 89(21):10114–10118. URL: http://www.pnas.org/content/89/21/10114.full.pdf+html

Ames BN, Shigenaga MK, Hagen TM (1993) Oxidants, antioxidants, and the degenerative diseases of aging. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 90(17):7915–7922. URL: http://www.pnas.org/content/90/17/7915.full.pdf+html

Arnason U, Allderdice PW, Lien J, Widegren B (1988) Highly repetitive DNA in the Baleen whale genera *Balaenoptera* and *Megaptera*. *J. of Mol. Evol*. 27(3):217–221 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02100077

Arnason U, Widegren B (1984) Different rates of divergence in highly repetitive DNA of Cetaceans. *Hereditas* 101(2):171-177. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.1984.tb00913.x

Aubert G, Lansdorp PM (2008) Telomeres and aging. *Phys. Rev.* 88(2):557–579. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00026.2007

Aviv A, Hunt SC, Lin J, Cao X, Kimura M and Blackburn B (2011) Impartial comparative analysis of measurement of leukocyte telomere length/DNA content by Southern blots and qPCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39:e134. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr634

Balaban RS, Nemoto S, Finkel T (2005) Mitochondria, oxidants, and aging. *Cell*. 120(4):483–495. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.02.001

Barrett ELB, Burke TA, Hammers M, Komdeur J, Richardson DS (2013) Telomere length and dynamics predict mortality in a wild longitudinal study. *Mol. Ecol.* 22(1):249–259 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mec.12110

Beckman KB, Ames BN (1998) The free radical theory of aging matures. *Phys. Rev.* 78(2):547–581. URL: http://physrev.physiology.org/content/78/2/547.full-text.pdf+html

Bize P, Criscuolo Fo, Metcalfe NB, Nasir L, Monaghan P (2009) Telomere dynamics rather than age predict life expectancy in the wild. *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. B.* 276:1679-1683. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2008.1817

Blackburn EH (1991) Structure and function of telomeres. *Nature*. 350:569–573. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/350569a0

Blackburn EH, Gall JG (1978) Tandemly repeated sequence at termini of extrachromosomal ribosomal-RNA genes in tetrahymena. *J. Mol. Biol.* 120(1):33–53. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(78)90294-2

Cawthon RM (2002) Telomere measurement by quantitative PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30(10):e47. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/30.10.e47

Cawthon RM (2009) Telomere length measurement by a novel monochrome multiplex quantitative PCR method. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37(3):e21. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn1027

Chittleborough (1965) Dynamics of two populations of the humpback whale, *Megaptera novaeangliae* (Borowski). *Aust. J. Freshw. Res.* 16(1):33–128

Clapham PJ (1992) Age at attainment of sexual maturity in humpback whales, *Megaptera novaeangliae*. *Can. J. Zool.* 70(7):1470–1472. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/z92-202

Clapham PJ (1996) The social and reproductive biology of Humpback Whales: an ecological perspective. *Mamm. Rev.* 26(1):27–49. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2907.1996.tb00145.x

Coviello-McLaughlin GM, Prowse KR (1997) Telomere length regulation during postnatal development and ageing in *Mus spretus*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25(15):3051–3058. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.15.3051

Crawley MJ (2013) *The R book*, 2nd edn. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, United Kingdom.

De Meyer T, Rietzschel ER, De Buyzere ML, De Bacquer D, Van Criekinge W, De Backer GG, Gillebert TC, Van Oostveldt P, and Bekaert S (2007) Paternal age at birth is an important determinant of offspring telomere length. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 16(24):3097–3102. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddm271

Dunshea G, Duffield D, Gales N, Hindell M, Wells RS, and Jarman SN. (2011) Telomeres as age markers in vertebrate molecular ecology. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 11(2):225–235. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02976.x

Wilhelm Filho D, Sell F, Ribeiro L, Ghislandi M, Carrasquedo F, Fraga CG, Wallauer JP, Simões-Lopes PC, and Uhart MM (2002) Comparison between the antioxidant status of terrestrial and diving mammals.

Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A: Mol. Integr. Physiol. 133(3): 885–892. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1095-6433(02)00253-2

Foote CG, Vleck D, Vleck CM (2013) Extent and variability of interstitial telomeric sequences and their effects on estimates of telomere length. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 13(3):417-428. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12079

Gabriele CM, Lockyer C, Straley JM, Jurasz CM, Kato H (2010) Sighting history of a naturally marked humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) suggests ear plug growth layer groups are deposited annually. *Mar. Mamm- Sci.* 26(2):443-450. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-7692.2009.00341.x

Garde E, Frie AK, Dunshea G, Hansen SH, Kovacs KM, and Lydersen C (2010) Harp seal ageing techniques—teeth, aspartic acid racemization, and telomere sequence analysis. *J. Mamm.* 91(6):1365–1374. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1644/10-MAMM-A-080.1

Garde E, Heide-Jorgensen MP, Hansen SH, Nachman G, Forchhammer MC (2007) Age-specific growth and remarkable longevity in narwhals (*Monodon monoceros*) from West Greenland as estimated by aspartic acid racemization. *J. Mamm.* 88(1):49–58. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1644/06-MAMM-A-056R.1

George JC., Bada J, Zeh J, Scott L, Brown SE, O'Hara T, and Suydam R (1999) Age and growth estimates of bowhead whales (*Balaena mysticetus*) via aspartic acid racemization. *Can. J. Zool.* 77(4):571–580 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/z99-015

Greider CW, Blackburn EH (1985) Identification of a specific telomere terminal transferase-activity in tetrahymena extracts. *Cell* 43(2):405–413. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(85)90170-9

Hall ME, Nasir L, Daunt F, Gault EA, Croxall JP, Wanless S, and Monaghan P (2004) Telomere loss in relation to age and early environment in long-lived birds. *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. B.* 271(1548):1571–1576. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2004.2768

Halliwell B, Gutteridge JMC (2007) *Free radicals in biology and medicine*, 4th edn. Oxford University Press.

Hammond PS, Mizroch SA, Donovan GP (1990) Individual recognition of cetaceans: use of photo-identification and other techniques to estimate population parameters. Incorporating the proceedings of the Symposium and Workshop on Individual Recognition and the Estimation of Cetacean Population Parameters. Reports of the International Whaling Commission, Special Issue 12, 1–440.

Harley CB, Futcher AB, Greider CW (1990) Telomeres shorten during ageing of human fibroblasts. *Nature* 345:458–460 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/345458a0

Harman D (1956) Aging—a theory based on free-radical and radiation chemistry. *J. Geront.* 11:298–300

Hastie ND, Dempster M, Dunlop MG, Thompson AM, Green DK and Allshire RC (1990) Telomere reduction in human colorectal carcinoma and with ageing. *Nature* 346(6287):866–868. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/346866a0

Hatase H, Sudo R, Watanabe KK, Kasugai T, Saito T, Okamoto H, Uchida I, and Tsukamoto K (2008) Shorter telomere length with age in the loggerhead turtle: a new hope for live sea turtle age estimation. *Genes Genet. Syst.* 83(5):423–426. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1266/ggs.83.423

Haussmann MF, Mauck RA (2008a) New strategies for telomere-based age estimation. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 8(2):264–274. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01973.x

Haussmann MF, Mauck RA (2008b) Telomeres and longevity: Testing an evolutionary hypothesis. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 25(1):220-228. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msm244

Haussmann MF, Winkler DW, Huntington CE, Nisbet ICT, Vleck CM (2007) Telomerase activity is maintained throughout the lifespan of long-lived birds. *Exp. Geront.* 42(7):610–618. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2007.03.004

Haussmann MF, Winkler DW, O'Reilly KM, Huntington CE, Nisbet ICT, and Vleck CM (2003) Telomeres shorten more slowly in long-lived birds and mammals than in short-lived ones. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B.* 270(1522):1387–1392. doi: http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1098/rspb.2003

Hayflick L (2003) Living forever and dying in the attempt. *Exp. Geront.* 38(11–12):1231–1241. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2003.09.003

Herman DP, Ylitalo GM, Robbins J, Straley JM, Gabriele CM, Clapham PJ, Boyer RH, Tilbury KL, Pearce RW, and Krahn MM (2009) Age determination of humpback whales *Megaptera novaeangliae* through blubber fatty acid compositions of biopsy samples. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 392:277–293.

Izzo C, Hamer DJ, Bertozzi T, Donnellan SC, Gillanders BM (2011) Telomere length and age in pinnipeds: The endangered Australian sea lion as a case study. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 27(4):841–851. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-7692.2010.00450.x

Juola FA, Haussmann MF, Dearborn DC, Vleck CM (2006) Telomere shortening in a long-lived marine bird: Cross-sectional analysis and test of an aging tool. *Auk* 123(3):775–783. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1642/0004-8038(2006)123[775:TSIALM]2.0.CO;2

Katona SK, Whitehead HP (1981) Identifying humpback whales using their natural markings. *Polar Rec.* 20(128):439–444. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S003224740000365X

Kim S, Sandler DP, Carswell G, Weinberg CR, Taylor JA (2011) Reliability and short-term intra-individual variability of telomere length measurement using monochrome multiplexing quantitative PCR. *PLoS*

ONE. 6(9):e25774. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0025774

Kimura M, Aviv A (2011) Measurement of telomere DNA content by dot blot analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39(12):e84. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr235

Laws RM (1952) A new method for age determination of mammals. *Nature* 169:972-973. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/169972b0

Lee WW, Nam KH, Terao K, Yoshikawa Y (2002) Age-related telomere length dynamics in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of healthy cynomolgus monkeys measured by Flow FISH. *Immunol.* 105(4):458-465. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2567.2002.01386.x

Li N, Sioutas C, Cho A, Schmitz D, Misra C, Sempf J, Wang M, Oberley T, Froines J and Nel A (2003) Ultrafine particulate pollutants induce oxidative stress and mitochondrial damage. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 111(4):455–460. URL: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1241427/pdf/ehp0111-000455.pdf

Lin KW, Yan J (2008) Endings in the middle: Current knowledge of interstitial telomeric sequences. *Mutat. Res. Rev. Mutat. Res.* 658(1–2):95-110. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2007.08.006

Masutomi K, Yu EY, Khurts S, Ben-Porath I, Currier JL, Metz GB, Brooks MW, Kaneko S, Murakami S, DeCaprio JA, Weinberg RA, Stewart SA, Hahn WC (2003) Telomerase maintains telomere structure in normal human cells. *Cell*. 114(2):241–253. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(03)00550-6

Monaghan P, Haussmann MF (2006) Do telomere dynamics link lifestyle and lifespan? *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 21(1):47–53. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2005.11.007

Nakagawa S (2004) A farewell to Bonferroni: the problems of low statistical power and publication bias. *Behav. Ecol.* 15(6):1044–1045. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arh107

Nakagawa S, Gemmell NJ, Burke T (2004) Measuring vertebrate telomeres: applications and limitations. *Mol. Ecol.* 13(9):2523–2533. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2004.02291.x

Nielsen NH, Garde E, Heide-Jørgensen MP, Lockyer CH, Ditlevsen S, Ólafsdóttir D, and Hansen SH (2013) Application of a novel method for age estimation of a baleen whale and a porpoise. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 29(2):E1-E23. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-7692.2012.00588.x

Njajou OT, Cawthon RM, Damcott CM, Wu S-H, Ott S, Garant MJ, Blackburn EH, Mitchell BD, Shuldiner AR, and Hsueh W-C (2007) Telomere length is paternally inherited and is associated with parental lifespan. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 104(29):12135-12139. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0702703104

Nordfjäll K, Larefalk Å, Lindgren P, Holmberg D, Roos G (2005) Telomere length and heredity: Indications of paternal inheritance *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 102(45):16374-16378. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0501724102

Nussey DH, Baird D, Barrett E, Boner W, Fairlie J, Gemmell N, Hartmann N Horn T, Haussmann M, Olsson M, Turbill C, Verhulst S, Zahn S, and Monaghan P (2014) Measuring telomere length and telomere dynamics in evolutionary biology and ecology. *Meth. Ecol. Evol.* 5(4):299–310. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12161

Ogburn, CE, Carlberg K, Ottinger MA, Holmes DJ, Martin GM, and Austad SN (2001) Exceptional cellular resistance to oxidative damage in long-lived birds requires active gene expression. *J. Geront. Ser. A: Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 56(11):B468–B474. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/gerona/56.11.B468

Olsen E, Sunde J (2002) Age determination of minke whales (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*) using the aspartic acid racemization technique. *Sarsia* 87(1):1–8. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/003648202753631686

Olsen M, Berube M, Robbins J, Palsboll P (2012) Empirical evaluation of humpback whale telomere length estimates; quality control and factors causing variability in the singleplex and multiplex qPCR methods. *BMC Genet.* 13:77. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-13-77

Palsbøll PJ, Larsen F, Hansen EH (1991) Sampling of skin biopsies from free-ranging large cetaceans in West Greenland: Development of new biopsy tips and bolt designs. Report to the International Whaling Commission 13:71–79.

Pauli JN, Whiteman JP, Marcot BG, McClean TM, Ben-David M (2011) DNA-based approach to aging martens (*Martes americana* and M. caurina). *J. Mamm.* 92(3):500-510. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1644/10-MAMM-A-252.1

Pauliny A, Wagner RH, Augustin J, Szep T, Blomqvist D (2006) Age-independent telomere length predicts fitness in two bird species. *Mol. Ecol.* 15(6):1681–1687. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.02862.x

Pfaffl MW (2001) A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 29(9):e45. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/29.9.e45

Polanowski AM, Robbins J, Chandler D, Jarman SN (2014) Epigenetic estimation of age in humpback whales. *Mol. Ecol. Res.* 14(5):976–987. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12247

Ramakers C, Ruijter JM, Deprez RHL, Moorman AFM (2003) Assumption-free analysis of quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) data. *Neurosci. Lett.* 339(1):62–66. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3940(02)01423-4

Richter T, Zglinicki Tv (2007) A continuous correlation between oxidative stress and telomere shortening in fibroblasts. *Exp. Geront.* 42(11):1039–1042. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2007.08.005

Risom L, Møller P, Loft S (2005) Oxidative stress-induced DNA damage by particulate air pollution. *Mutat. Res. Fundam. Mol. Mech. Mutagen.* 592(1-2):119–137. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2005.06.012

Robbins J (2007) Structure and dynamics of the Gulf of Maine humpback whale population. PhD Thesis, University of St. Andrews.

Ruijter JM, Ramakers WM, Hoogaars H, Karlen Y, Bakker O, Van den Hoff MJB, and Moorman AFM (2009) Amplification efficiency: linking baseline and bias in the analysis of quantitative PCR data. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 37(6):e45–e45. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkp045

Salomons HM, GA Mulder, van de Zande L, Haussmann MF, Linskens MHK, and Verhulst S (2009) Telomere shortening and survival in free-living corvids. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 276(1670):3157–3165. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.0517

Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) *Molecular Cloning: a laboratory manual, 2 edn*. Cold Spring Harbour Laboratory Press, New York.

Schreer JF, Kovacs KM (1997) Allometry of diving capacity in air-breathing vertebrates. *Can. J. Zool.* 75(3):339–358. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/z97-044

Slagboom PE, Droog S, Boomsma DI (1994) Genetic determination of telomere size in humans - a twin study of 3 age-groups. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 55(5):876–882.

Speakman JR, Selman C, McLaren JS, Harper EJ (2002) Living fast, dying when? The link between aging and energetics. *J. Nutr.* 132(6):1583S–1597S.

Storey KB (1996) Oxidative stress: Animal adaptations in nature. *Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res.* 29, 1715–1733.

Tuomi JM, Voorbraak F, Jones DL, Ruijter JM (2010) Bias in the C(q) value observed with hydrolysis probe based quantitative PCR can be corrected with the estimated PCR efficiency value. *Methods* 50(4):313–322. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2010.02.003

Ujvari B, Madsen T (2009) Short telomeres in hatchling snakes: erythrocyte telomere dynamics and longevity in tropical pythons. *PLoS ONE* 4(10):e7493. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0007493

Unryn BM, Cook LS, Riabowol KT (2005) Paternal age is positively linked to telomere length of children. *Aging Cell* 4(2):97–101. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-9728.2005.00144.x

Vazquez-Medina JP, Zenteno-Savin T, Elsner R (2006) Antioxidant enzymes in ringed seal tissues: Potential protection against dive-associated ischemia/reperfusion. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. C: Pharmacol. Toxicol.*

Endocrinol. 142(3–4):198–204. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2005.09.004

Vázquez-Medina JP, Zenteno-Savín T, Elsner R (2007) Glutathione protection against dive-associated ischemia/reperfusion in ringed seal tissues. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 345(2):110–118. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2007.02.003

Vleck CM, Haussmann MF, Vleck D (2003) The natural history of telomeres: tools for aging animals and exploring the aging process. *Exp. Geront.* 38(7):791–795. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0531-5565(03)00110-4

von Zglinicki T (2002) Oxidative stress shortens telomeres. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 27(7):339–344. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0968-0004(02)02110-2

Watson JD (1972) Origin of concatemeric T7 DNA. *Nat. New Biol.* 239:197–201. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/newbio239197a0

Williams GC (1966) Natural selection, the costs of reproduction, and a refinement of Lack's principle. *Am. Nat.* 100(916):687–690. URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2459305

Xu M, Wu XB, Yan P, Zhu HT (2009) Telomere length shortens with age in Chinese alligators (*Alligator sinensis*). *J. Appl. Anim. Res.* 36(1):109–112. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09712119.2009.9707042