



University of Groningen

Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry of Small Molecules Held at Cryogenic Temperatures

Jonkman, Harry; Michl, J.

Published in: Default journal

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 1979

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Jonkman, H. T., & Michl, J. (1979). Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry of Small Molecules Held at Cryogenic Temperatures. Default journal.

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry of Small Molecules Held at Cryogenic Temperatures

H.T. Jonkman and J. Michl Department of Chemistry University of Utah Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

In recent years more emphasis has been placed on the analytical potential of Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry (SIMS) for the study of organic materials [1, 2, 3]. We wish to report on static SIMS experiments on a few simple molecules condensed on a copper substrate held at low temperatures. For the experiments we used an Extranuclear Inc., quadrupole massfilter equipped with a Bessel box type energy filter. The bandwidth of the ions accepted in the massfilter was set at 1 eV. Our ion gun is differential pumped and during the experiments a pressure in the 10^{-9} torr range could easily be maintained in the main chamber. Our primary ion beam current density was between 1 and 10 nA/cm² and the beam energy was varied between 500 and 5000 eV. As primary



Fig.1 SIMS spectrum of neat methane at 15°K using 1 keV Ne⁺ ions

ion we used He⁺, Ne⁺, Ar⁺, Kr⁺, and Xe⁺. The nature of our samples made it necessary to use an electron floodgun to compensate for charge buildup on the sample. The energy of the flooding electrons was kept below 7 eV. In all the experiments the temperature of the sample was held at 15°K. In Fig. 1 the positive SIMS spectrum of neat methane using a 1 keV Ne⁺ beam shows fragments up to C₁₁.

The spectrum looks very similar to the ones of propane and pentane reported before [2]. The type of fragments observed are independent of the type of primary ion used and its kinetic energy, although higher beam energies and/or heavier type ions give rise to a higher abundance of the larger fragments. Fig. 2 shows a SIMS spectrum of methane diluted in an argon matrix [1:500].



<u>Fig. 2</u> SIMS spectrum of methane diluted in an argon matrix [1:500] at 15° K using 1 keV He⁺ ions

In this spectrum there is no evidence of ion-molecule reaction or cluster formation and only the C₁ group is visible. It is important to observe that the fragment distribution in this case is different from that of the C₁ group in neat methane. Fig.3 shows the SIMS spectrum of N₂ with a 4 keV Ar⁺ beam Here we observe N⁺, N₂⁺, N₃⁺, and a large number of clusters with an odd and even number of nitrogen atoms up to the limit of the mass range of our spectrometer. These clusters can be considered as consisting of N⁺ or N₃⁺ ions for the odd ones and N₂⁺ ions for the even ones, solvated in clusters of neutral N₂ molecules. The existence of these clusters and their stability of at least several milliseconds, which is their estimated flight time through the mass spectrometer, suggests that they must be extremely cold since they can only be held together by weak induced dipole and Van Der Waals forces. This suggests a rapid cooling of the clusters upon expansion in the vacuum



Fig. 3 SIMS spectrum of neat N_2 at 15°K using 4 keV Ar⁺ ions

Figure 4 shows the SIMS spectra of N_2 obtained with a 4 keV He⁺ beam Here only N^+ , N_2^+ , N_3^+ , and N_4^- fragments are visible. This can be attributed to the fact that because of their low mass, most He⁺ ions are scattered and are less able to penetrate deep into the solid and consequently give less rise to ion molecule reactions and cluster formation. For N_2 we recorded the secondary ion energy distribution for the different fragments. The N⁺ and N_2^+ fragments have a much wider distribution [±20 eV] than the higher clusters [±7 eV]. It was observed that heavier fragments came off with smaller average kinetic energies than lighter ones. It is impossible to measure absolute energies because of the interaction between the emission current setting of the floodgun (slightly under or over charge compensation) and the center band-pass setting of the prefilter. This contradicts observations in [3]. The fact that the lower fragments came off with a wide energy distribution causes their underrepresentation in the recorded spectra because of the relatively small bandwidth admitted to the massfilter. In addition to the molecules mentioned before, we investigated a series of two and three atomic systems. These results will be reported elsewhere.

In general, we believe that the first step upon ion impact is ionization and dissociation by charge transfer and kinetic processes followed by ion molecule reactions and cluster formation in the solid. The clusters then expand in the vacuum accompanied by a rapid cooling, which gives these clusters their remarkable stability.



Acknowl edgement

This work was supported by National Science Foundation grant CHE 78-27094.

References

- 1. A. Benninghoven, CRC Crit. Rev. Solid State Sc., 291 (1976); Surface Sci., <u>35</u>, 427 (1973); H. Grade, et al., J. Am Chem Soc., <u>99</u> 7725 (1977).
- H.T. Jonkman, et al., Anal. Chem, <u>50</u> 2078 (1978); H.T. Jonkman and J. Michl, Chem Commun., 751 (1978).
 G.M LaCosta, et al., J. Am Chem Soc., <u>101</u> 2951 (1979).