



University of Groningen

Prealignment B(E2)-anomaly in 124Xe

Hanewinkel, H; Gast, W; Kaup, U; Harter, H; Dewald, A; Gelberg, A; Reinhardt, R; von Bretano, P; Zemel, A; Alonso, CE

Published in: Physics Letters B

DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(83)90093-X

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 1983

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Hanewinkel, H., Gast, W., Kaup, U., Harter, H., Dewald, A., Gelberg, A., ... Arias, JM. (1983). Prealignment B(E2)-anomaly in 124Xe. Physics Letters B, 133(1-2), 9-12. https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(83)90093-X

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

PHYSICS LETTERS

PREALIGNMENT B(E2)-ANOMALY IN ¹²⁴ Xe

H. HANEWINKEL, W. GAST, U. KAUP, H. HARTER, A. DEWALD, A. GELBERG, R. REINHARDT, P. von BRENTANO Institut für Kernphysik, Universität zu Köln, West Germany

and

A. ZEMEL, C.E. ALONSO¹ and J.M. ARIAS¹ Kernfysisch Versneller Instituut, University of Groningen, The Netherlands

Received 1 July 1983 Revised manuscript received 28 September 1983

Lifetimes of 17 levels of 124 Xe were measured using the Recoil Distance Doppler Shift method. An anomalous E2-reduction was observed, starting at $I^{\pi} = 8^+$ and $I^{\pi} = 5^+$ in the groundstate and in the quasi-gamma band respectively. This anomaly is discussed in terms of the Interacting Boson Model.

The irregularities in the yrast cascades of even—even nuclei, known as backbending, are nowadays commonly understood as a band crossing phenomenon, dominated by the rotation-alignment (RAL) of a high-*j* broken pair [1]. According to the band crossing description, the E2 transition probability between yrast states must sharply drop at backbending, while the transitions below and above the crossing should have the full rotational strength [2]. However, the measurement of B(E2) values in ¹²⁶Ba [3] and ^{130–134}Ce [4] have displayed an anomaly, viz. the transition strength is substantially reduced even below the band crossing. This anomaly can not be explained by Nilsson model band crossing calculations [2], indicating that the backbending pattern and the B(E2) anomaly may have different origins.

A possible theoretical explanation was provided by Draayer et al. [5] who also coined the expression "prealignment B(E2) anomaly". The authors carried out a pseudo-SU(3) shell model calculation for ¹²⁶Ba. The anomaly is primarily related to the crossing of two SU(3) representations.

The aim of the present work has been to bring new

0.031-9163/83/\$ 03.00 © Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. (North-Holland Physics Publishing Division)

experimental information on the prealignment B(E2)anomaly by studying ¹²⁴Xe and to perform a detailed comparison of the results with Interaction Boson Model (IBM) calculations.

The nucleus ¹²⁴ Xe was produced in the following reactions: ¹²² Te (α , 2n) ¹²⁴ Xe ($E_{\alpha} = 24$, 25 MeV), ¹⁰⁸ Pd (¹⁹ F, p2n)¹²⁴ Xe (E^{19} F = 60–75 MeV) and ¹¹⁴ Cd (¹³ C, 3n) ¹²⁴ Xe (E^{13} C = 46–58 MeV). Gamma singles, $\gamma - \gamma$ coincidences, angular distributions, excitation functions and conversion electrons were measured at the FN Tandem Van de Graaff accelerator in Cologne in order to establish an extended level scheme. In the low spin part it agrees well with those given elsewhere [6,7]. A detailed discussion will be given in a forthcoming paper [8].

The lifetimes of 17 levels were measured by means of the Recoil Distance Doppler Shift (RDDS) technique, using the reaction ¹¹⁴Cd (¹³C, 3n) ¹²⁴Xe at $E^{13}C = 54.4$ MeV. The gamma-rays were detected by three large volume Ge (Li) detectors at 0°, 160° and 305° relative to the beam axis. The intensities of the shifted and unshifted peaks were extracted from the RDDS spectra by the procedure described in ref. [9].

The resulting B(E2) values in WU are given in fig.

¹ Present address: Department of Atomic and Nuclear Physics, University of Sevilla, Spain.

1. It should be mentioned that our result for the $B(E2, 2 \rightarrow 0)$ differs considerably from that given previously [10]. Moreover it does not fit so well into the trend of the systematic IBM calculations of ref. [11]. Hence we checked very carefully the validity of our results. From the independent analysis of the RDDS spectra taken at different angles deorientation effects due to the hyperfine field could be excluded. No essential influence from short lived sidefeeding components can be expected as from the detailed level scheme an upper limit of 3% can be set on the relative intensity of unobserved side feeding to the 2⁺ state.

For the discussion of the level structure the excited states were tentatively grouped into bands using the experimental B(E2) values (fig. 1). The groundstate band, whose transitions are characterized by rather large B(E2)'s can be followed up to the 8⁺ state. The 10⁺ state appears to be the head of a new band as indicated by the small B(E2) value of 24 WU of the $10^+ \rightarrow 8^+$ transition. It is supposed to be a $(\nu h_{11/2})^{-2}$ excitation: if we calculate the aligned angular momen-



Fig. 1. Assumed band structure of 124 Xe: numbers left of the arrows indicate B(E2) values in Weisskopf units (WU).

tum of the two $h_{11/2}$ quasiparticles by using the method given in ref. [12], we find the value $i = 7.1 \hbar$ which is typical for a situation where the $h_{11/2}$ subshell is partially filled; the extrapolated gsb was used as reference line. This suggests that we deal with an aligned two-neutron state. An additional support to this interpretation may be provided by the fact that in the $h_{11/2}$ neutron bands of ¹²¹ Xe and ¹²³ Xe [8] no backbending has been observed.

The pattern of excitation energies and B(E2) values (fig. 2) is very similar to that of the isotope ¹²⁶ Ba [3], and the prealignment B(E2) reduction is clearly evident. We tried to achieve a consistent description of both energies and transition rates in ¹²⁴ Xe and ¹²⁶ Ba by using the model described in ref. [13], which



Fig. 2. (a) Experimental E2 transition strengths compared with the predictions for the IBA2 + 2 qp model. For the two quasiparticles the configurations $(\nu h_{11/2})$ and $(\pi g_{7/2})$ are considered. The transition probabilities are normalized to the B (E2, 2⁺ $\rightarrow 0^+$) value. (b) Comparison of the resulting transition energies with experiment.

constitutes an extension of the IBM [14] and of previous more simple minded calculations [15]; a model similar to that of ref. [13] was given in ref. [16]. One core boson can be broken into two particles which are recoupled to form a pair with higher angular momentum. Thus in addition to the space of N bosons, the model space includes states in which the particle pair is coupled to N - 1 bosons of the core. This model was shown to account well for the salient features of backbending [13,7] as far as excitation energies are concerned, but it fails to reproduce the anomalous behaviour of the transition rates. Hence the calculations of ref. [13] have been extended by considering mixing with both two-neutron and two-proton bands. In one calculation a broken $(\pi g_{7/2})$ pair has been considered alongside of a $(\nu h_{11/2})$ pair.

The model hamiltonian is [13]

 $H = H^{\rm B} + H^{\rm F} + H^{\rm BF} ,$

where H^B is the energy of the boson core, H^F that of the two fermions. The interaction of the core with the fermions is given by

 $V^{\rm BF} = KQ_{\pi} \cdot Q_{\nu}$

where Q is a generalized quadrupole operator

$$\begin{split} Q_{\rho} &= Q_{\rho} \; (\text{boson}) + \alpha_{\rho} [a_{\rho}^{+} a_{\rho}^{+}]^{(2)} + \beta_{\rho} [[a_{\rho}^{+} a_{\rho}^{+}]^{(4)} d_{\rho}]^{(2)} \\ &- \beta_{\rho} a_{\rho}^{+} [[\widetilde{a}_{\rho} \widetilde{a}_{\rho}]^{(4)}]^{(2)} \quad (\rho = \pi, \nu) \; , \end{split}$$

with a_{jm}^+ being the nucleon creation operator $[a_{jm} = (-1)^{j-m}a_{j-m})$ and d^+ the d-boson creation operator; the quadrupole coupling parameter K has the same value as in $H_{\rm B}$.

The parameters of $H_{\rm B}$ for 124 Xe and 126 Xe were taken from ref. [11]; those of the 122 Te core were fitted to the low spin states of 122 Te. The parameters of $V^{\rm BF}$ were K = -0.1430, $\alpha_{\pi} = 1.06$, $\alpha_{\nu} = 1.76$, $\beta_{\pi} = \beta_{\nu} = 0.032$ (all values in MeV). The diagonal matrix elements of $H_{\rm F}$ were: E(4) = 1.716, E(6) = 2.553 for $(\pi g_{7/2})^2$ and E(4) = 1.804, E(6) = 2.223, E(8) = 3.144, E(10) = 3.694 for $(\nu h_{11/2})^{-2}$ (angular momentum of the state in paranthesis). This choice of parameters allowed to reproduce the 124 Xe levels, as well as the B(E2) values (fig. 2). The attempt to fit energies and B(E2)'s in 126 Ba with the same parameters was much less successful.

The squared amplitudes of the pure boson and of the two-particle components are given in table 1. It

Table 1	
Probabilities of components of yrast states in	¹²⁴ Xe (in %)

I	N bosons only	(N-1) bosons $\otimes (\pi g_{7/2})$	(N-1) bosons $\otimes (\nu h_{11/2})^{-2}$	
0	99.99	0.01	0	
2	99.98	0.02	0	
4	99.88	0.11	0.01	
6	99.07	0.91	0.02	
8	35.06	64.91	0.02	
10	1.38	17.11	81.51	
12	0.01	0	99.99	
14	0	0	100.00	

can be seen that the 8^+ and 10^+ states have a complicated structure. For both spins, pairs of nearly degenerate levels should exist, in disagreement with experiment.

The magnetic moments of the 8⁺ and 10⁺ states are expected to deviate strongly from the collective values: the 8⁺ state should have a large positive contribution from $(\pi g_{7/2})^2$, whereas a small negative value of $g(10^+)$ should follow from the contribution of $(\nu h_{11/2})^{-2}$. An experimental determination of these moments is therefore highly desirable. The discrepancies mentioned above show that this description is not completely satisfactory.

It is obvious that the model is oversimplified. Only one pair has been broken. However, it has been shown [17], that the scattering of several pairs from the core to the valence shell must be taken into account in a correct description of backbending.



Fig. 3. Experimental E2 transition strengths compared with theoretical values from the collective IBM calculation; states with I > 8 which are mixed have not been represented.

Volume 133B, number 1,2

A different approach has been considered [18], based on a microscopic calculation. While in IBM the boson cutoff is mainly due to the finite number of valence particles, the effect of the Pauli principle has been considered explicitly. The results of the calculation are equivalent to introducing an effective boson number around N = 5. The influence of this reduction of the effective boson number of the transition probabilities between collective states calculated by means of IBM can be seen in fig. 3.

The authors would like to thank F. Iachello for his interest and stimulating discussions and T. Otsuka for putting his two-particle program to our disposal. Two of the authors (C.E.A. and J.M.A.) acknowledge with thanks the discussions with M. Lozano, as well as the kind hospitality of the KVI. This research has been performed as part of the research program of FOM with financial support of ZWO. The work was also supported by the BMFT.

References

- [1] F.S. Stephens, Rev. Mod. Phys. 47 (1975) 43, and references therein.
- [2] M. Reinecke and H. Ruder, Z. Phys. A282 (1977) 407.
- [3] G. Seiler-Clark, D. Husar, R. Novotny, H. Gräf and D. Pelte, Phys. Lett. 80B (1979) 345.

- [4] D. Husar, S.J. Mills, H. Gräf, U. Neumann, D. Pelte and G. Seiler-Clark, Nucl. Phys. A292 (1977) 267.
- [5] J.P. Draayer, C.S. Han, K.J. Weeks and K.T. Hecht, Nucl. Phys. A365 (1981) 127.
- [6] Ch. Droste et al., Z. Phys. A284 (1978) 297;
 H. Kusakari, N. Yoshikawa, H. Kawakami, M. Ishihara,
 Y. Shida and M. Sasaki, Nucl. Phys. A242 (1975) 13;
 J. Hattula, H. Helppi and A. Luukko, Phys. Scripta 26 (1982) 205.
- [7] H. Kusakari, K. Kitao, K. Sato, M. Sugawara and H. Katsuragawa, to be published.
- [8] W. Gast et al., to be published;
 W. Gast, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Köln (1982), unpublished.
- [9] A. Dewald et al., Phys. Rev. C25 (1982) 226.
- [10] D.M. Gordon, L.S. Eytel, H. de Waard and D.E. Murnick, Phys. Rev. C12 (1975) 628.
- [11] G. Puddu, O. Scholten and T. Otsuka, Nucl. Phys. A348 (1980) 109.
- B. Banerjee, H.J. Mang and P. Ring, Nucl. Phys. A215 (1973) 366;
 R. Bengtsson and S. Frauendorf, Nucl. Phys. A327 (1979) 139.
- [13] N. Yoshida, A. Arima and T. Otsuka, Phys. Lett. 114B (1982) 86.
- [14] A. Arima and F. Iachello, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 31 (1981) 75, and references therein.
- [15] A. Gelberg and A. Zemel, Phys. Rev. C22 (1980) 937.
- [16] I. Morrison, A. Faessler and C. Lima, Nucl. Phys. A372 (1981) 13.
- [17] F. Grümmer, K.W. Schmid and A. Faessler, Nucl. Phys. A326 (1979) 1.
- [18] U. Kaup and G. Holzwarth, to be published.