



University of Groningen

Status of cellular immunity lacks prognostic significance in vulvar squamous carcinoma

de Jong, R.A.; Toppen, N. L.; ten Hoor, K. A.; Boezen, H. Marike; Kema, Ido; Hollema, Harry; Nijman, Hans

Published in: Gynecologic Oncology

DOI: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2011.12.416

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2012

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): de Jong, R. A., Toppen, N. L., ten Hoor, K. A., Boezen, H. M., Kema, I. P., Hollema, H., & Nijman, H. W. (2012). Status of cellular immunity lacks prognostic significance in vulvar squamous carcinoma. Ġynećologic Oncology, 125(1), 186-193. DOI: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2011.12.416

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect







journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ygyno

Status of cellular immunity lacks prognostic significance in vulvar squamous carcinoma

R.A. de Jong ^a, N.L. Toppen ^a, K.A. ten Hoor ^a, H.M. Boezen ^b, I.P. Kema ^c, H. Hollema ^d, H.W. Nijman ^{a,*}

^a Department of Gynecologic Oncology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, P.O. Box 30.001, 9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands

^b Department of Epidemiology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, P.O. Box 30.001, 9700 RB, Groningen, The Netherlands

^c Department of Laboratory Medicine, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, P.O. Box 30.001, 9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands

^d Department of Pathology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, P.O. Box 30.001, 9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 10 October 2011 Accepted 1 December 2011 Available online 8 December 2011

Keywords: Vulvar carcinoma T-lymphocytes HLA class I Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase

ABSTRACT

Objective. It is generally recognized that the immune system has an important role in regulating cancer development. Evidence indicating a prognostic role of the immune system in vulvar carcinoma is scarce. This study investigated the presence and prognostic significance of several aspects of the immune system in vulvar squamous carcinoma.

Methods. The number of intratumoral CD8⁺ and Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes, next to HLA class I (HLA-A, HLA-B/C and β_2 -m) and indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) expression was determined by immunohistochemistry in a consecutively selected cohort of 286 vulvar squamous carcinoma patients, all treated in the University Medical Center Groningen, the Netherlands. Associations between immunohistochemistry expression and the influence on survival were determined.

Results. The number of tumor-infiltrating CD8⁺ T-lymphocytes was significantly lower in tumors with loss of HLA-A (p=0.004), HLA-B/C (p=0.024) or β_2 -m (p=0.025) expression compared with tumors with expression of HLA class I. No association was found between the number of intratumoral CD8⁺ T-lymphocytes and Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes, HLA class I and IDO expression and survival of vulvar squamous carcinoma patients.

Conclusion. Our results indicate that the immune system does not seem to have a major influence on prognosis of patients with vulvar squamous carcinoma.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Vulvar carcinoma is a rare disease accounting for only 5% of all gynecological malignancies [1]. Mainly elderly women are affected with a peak incidence in the eight decade [2]. Squamous cell carcinoma is the most common histological subtype and occurs in 90% of all vulvar carcinomas [3,4]. Stage, tumor size, depth of invasion, vascular space invasion and especially lymph node metastases are important prognostic factors [3]. The 5-year survival is generally good for FIGO stage I (79%) but declines with more advanced stage of disease: stage II (59%), stage III (43%) and stage IV (13%) [2]. Surgery is the cornerstone of vulvar carcinoma treatment and consists of a wide local excision with uni- or bilateral inguinofemoral lymphadenectomy via separate incisions. An important improvement in vulvar cancer treatment was the introduction of sentinel node dissection in selected patients with early stage disease [5]. Adjuvant radiotherapy is indicated in patients with lymph node metastases [6], and chemoradiation is a possibility for (locally) advanced vulvar carcinoma [7].

0090-8258/\$ - see front matter © 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2011.12.416 Despite developments during the last years, improvement of treatment and prognosis of vulvar carcinoma patients is still needed. In this respect, immunotherapy might be an interesting new treatment option where it is generally recognized that the immune system has an important role in regulating cancer development [8].

Cytotoxic T-lymphocytes (CTL) are important effector cells in the adaptive immune system, able to recognize cancer cells as "non-self" and subsequently kill them. Recognition of "non-self" by CTLs can only take place when antigenic peptides (which are derived from digested proteins and broken down in the proteasome of the cell and transported to the cell surface) are presented by the human leukocyte antigen (HLA) class I present at the cell surface of every nucleated cell. The importance of CTL as part of anti-tumor response was emphasized by studies in several cancers demonstrating an association between a high number of tumor-infiltrating CD8⁺ T-lymphocytes (CTL) and increased survival [9–14].

However, cancer cells can develop several strategies to escape eradication by the immune system [15]. Downregulation of the HLA class I molecule is one such powerful mechanism, preventing immune recognition and lysis by CTLs. Another mechanism is the induction of regulatory T-lymphocytes (Tregs) which are known for their immunosuppressive function. Increasing evidence shows that Tregs play a major

^{*} Corresponding author. Fax: +31 503611806. E-mail address: h.w.nijman@umcg.nl (H.W. Nijman).

role in modulating host response to tumors; by suppressing effector T-lymphocyte proliferation (i.e. CTL) an adequate tumor-specific immune response is prohibited. Increased numbers of intratumoral Tregs was associated with impaired prognosis in several malignancies [16-20]. Furthermore, the intracellular enzyme indoleamine 2,3dioxygenase (IDO) is currently considered an important immune escape mechanisms in cancer. IDO catalyses the first and rate-limiting steps in the breakdown of the essential amino acid tryptophan along the kynurenine pathway where several downstream metabolites are formed [21]. IDO expression can occur in several cell types (e.g. dendritic cells or cancer cells) after induction by IFN- γ or lipopolysaccharide. IDO exerts its immunosuppressive function by suppressing effector T-lymphocytes and natural killer (NK) cells. Our group recently showed that IDO activity is significantly increased in serum of patients with endometrial, ovarian and vulvar cancer compared to healthy controls [22].

In vulvar carcinoma, evidence for the (prognostic) role of the immune system in vulvar carcinoma is scarce [23,24] and research is warranted on this subject. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the prognostic role of several aspects of the immune system in vulvar carcinoma patients. Therefore, the presence and prognostic influence of two important subsets of T-lymphocytes (CD8⁺ and Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes), next to HLA class I downregulation and IDO expression were determined in a large and consecutively selected cohort of patients with vulvar carcinoma.

Materials and methods

Patients

Tissue samples of all patients with gynecological malignancies treated at the Department of Gynecological Oncology of the University Medical Center Groningen (UMCG) are prospectively collected and stored in the tissue storage system of the Department of Pathology of the UMCG, the Netherlands. Clinicopathological characteristics and follow-up data of all these patients are prospectively collected during standard treatment and follow-up and stored in a computerized registration database. For the present study, tissue material and data of clinicopathological characteristics of patients with vulvar carcinoma were used as reported previously [25]. Patients had been consecutively selected when treated for squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva in the UMCG between 1984 and 2001. Patients were excluded when treated with a histological subtype other than squamous cell carcinoma or if they had been treated with preoperative radiotherapy. Staging was performed according to the surgicopathological FIGO classifications applicable at that time [26] and the AJCC TNM classification [27]. Follow-up data were updated until July 2010.

Institutional review board approval

All relevant data were retrieved from our computerized database into a separate, anonymous, password protected database. Patient identity was protected by study specific, unique patient codes, which were only known to two dedicated data managers, who also have responsibility for the larger database. In case of uncertainties with respect to clinicopathological and follow-up data, the larger databases could only be checked through the data managers, thereby ascertaining the protection of patients' identity. Due to these procedures, according to Dutch law no further patient or institutional review board approval was needed.

Immunohistochemistry

For staining, 4-µm sections were cut from previously constructed tissue microarrays of formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumors [25] and applied to 3-amino-propyl-triethoxy-silane coated glass slides (Sigma-Aldrich, Diesenhofen, Germany). For immunostaining, tissue microarray slides were first dewaxed and rehydrated. Methods and

antibodies for immunostaining of CD8⁺ T-lymphocytes, Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes, HLA-B/C and B₂m were used as previously reported [22,28]. For HLA-A and IDO, antigen retrieval was performed by microwave treatment; 15 min in citrate (pH 6.0) (HLA-A), and EDTA buffer (pH 8.0) (IDO). Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 0.3% H₂O₂ for 30 min after which the slides were incubated with the primary antibodies for 60 min at room temperature (dilutions 1:100); HCA2 recognizing the HLA class I heavy chain HLA-A (kindly provided by Prof., Dr. J.J. Neefjes, The Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, The Netherlands), and a mouse monoclonal antibody recognizing IDO (anti-indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase, clone 10.1; Millipore (Chemicon)) were used. Sections were incubated with RAM^{po} (rabbit anti-mouse peroxidase-labeled) and GAR^{po} (goat antirabbit peroxidase-labeled) (DAKO, Heverlee, Belgium, 1:100). Antigenantibody reactions were visualized with 3,3-diaminobenzidine and sections were counterstained with haematoxylin.

Evaluation of immunohistochemistry staining

Immunohistochemistry stained slides were scored independently by two investigators (RJ and NT) when at least 2 cores per case were present, each containing at least 20% tumor tissue. The number of positively stained intratumoral CD8⁺ and Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes was counted and the average number was calculated per 0.283 mm² of tumor (i.e. one whole core consisting of 100% tumor tissue) (Figs. 1A and B) [9,10].

IDO expression was assessed according to a semi-quantitative scale which is based on the extent and intensity of the staining [29]. Intensity of the cytoplasmic staining was scored as 0 for absent, 1 for weak, 2 for positive or 3 for strong positive expression. The percentage was scored as 0 for 0–5%; 1 for \geq 5–30%; 2 for \geq 30–70% and 3 for \geq 70–100%. The sum of scores was used to identify four categories of expression: IDO⁻ (sum: 0–1), IDO¹⁺ (sum: 2–3), IDO²⁺ (sum: 4–5) and IDO³⁺ (sum: 6) (Figs. 1C and 1D). Mean result of the cores was considered as definitive IDO expression.

A semiquantitative scoring system was also used for HC-10, HC-A2 and β_2 -m expression. Intensity of the membrane staining was scored as 0 for absent; 1 for weak; 2 for positive or 3 for strong positive expression. The percentage was scored as 0 for 0%; 1 for \geq 1–5%; 2 for \geq 5–25%; 3 for \geq 25–50%; 4 for \geq 50–75% and 5 for \geq 75–100%. The sum of both scores was used to identify three categories of expression: normal expression (6.5–8), partial loss (2.5–6.5) and total loss (0–2.5) (Figs. 1E–M). Mean result of the cores was considered as definitive HC-10, HC-A2 and β_2 -m expression.

Statistical analysis

All continuous variables were tested for normality. In case of skewed distributions, the median and interquartile ranges (IQR, 25th to 75th percentile) were presented. Staining of HLA class I was dichotomized; partial loss and normal expression were taken together and compared to total loss of expression. Associations between clinicopathological characteristics (see Table 1) and the number of CD8⁺ T-lymphocytes, Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes (dichotomized according to their median), IDO, HLA-A, HLA-B/C and β_2 -m expression was estimated using univariate logistic regression analyses. Clinicopathological parameters were used as independent and T-lymphocytes, IDO expression and HLA class I components were used as dependent variables. Odds Ratios (ORs) and 95%-confidence intervals (95%-CI) were estimated. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard analysis were used to assess influence of clinicopathological characteristics, numbers of CD8⁺ T-lymphocytes and Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes and expression levels of IDO, HLA-A, HLA-B/C and β_2 -m on survival. Disease-free survival (DFS) was defined as date of diagnosis until recurrent disease, metastasis, death due to vulvar cancer or last date of follow-up. Disease specific survival (DSS) was defined as date of diagnosis until death due to vulvar



Fig. 1. Immunohistochemistry staining of intratumoral CD8⁺ T-lymphocytes (A) and Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes (B), IDO expression ; negative (C), positive (D) and HLA class I heavy chain HCA2 (E–G), HC10 (H–J) and β_2 -m (K–M) (loss of expression: E,H,K; partial loss: F, I, L; normal expression: G, J, M).

Table 1

Clinicopathological characteristics of 286 patients with vulvar carcinoma.

	Patients (n = 286) (%) ^a
Age (years) Median (IQR)	73 (63–81)
Differentiation grade Good Moderate Poor Missing	112 (39.3) 129 (45.3) 44 (15.4) 1
<i>T-status</i> T1 (maximum diameter ≤2 cm) T2 (maximum diameter >2 cm) <i>Missing</i>	74 (25.9) 212 (74.1) 0
Infiltration depth ≤5 mm >5 mm Missing	116 (41.3) 165 (58.7) 5
Vascular invasion Negative Positive Missing	220 (82.7) 46 (17.3) 20
Tumor localisation Unifocal Multifocal Missing	241 (84.3) 45 (15.7) 0
Inguinofemoral metastasis No metastasis Metastasis present Missing	157 (59.9) 105 (40.1) 24
Radiotherapy No Yes Missing	192 (67.1) 94 (32.9) 0
Recurrence of disease No recurrence Recurrence Locoregional Distant Missing	173 (64.1) 97 (35.9) 82 (84.5) 15 (15.5) 17

^a Percentages exclude missing cases.

carcinoma or the date of last follow-up. Clinicopathological characteristics and immunohistochemistry expression were used as independent and recurrent disease (DFS) or death of disease (DSS) were used as dependent variables. Hazard Ratios (HRs) and 95%-Cls were calculated. Variables with a p value <0.05 in univariate analysis were simultaneously entered into the multivariate model. All tests were performed twosided and p values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Analyses were performed using SPSS software version 16.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, USA).

Results

Patients

In total, 286 patients with vulvar carcinoma were included in this study of whom clinicopathological characteristics are depicted in Table 1. Median age at time of diagnosis was 73 years (IQR: 63–81 years). The majority of the patients were diagnosed with a T2 tumor (74.1%). The tumor was localized unilateral in 84.3% and multifocality was observed in 15.7%. One or more inguinofemoral metastasis were present in 40.1% of the patients whereas 59.9% did not show inguinofemoral metastasis.

Associations between clinicopathological characteristics and immunological factors

CD8⁺ and Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes

Intratumoral CD8⁺ and Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes were present in 96.3% (median 36; IQR 14–93) and 95.1% (median 17; IQR 7–42). The median CD8⁺/Foxp3⁺ ratio was 1.73 (IQR 0.97–3.86). Tumors with infiltration depth of > 5 mm were associated with a high number of CD8⁺ T-lymphocytes and a high CD8⁺/Foxp3⁺ ratio (Table 2). A T2 tumor more frequently had a high CD8⁺/Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes ratio. No associations were found between clinicopathological characteristics and the number of Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes.

HLA class I downregulation

Downregulation of HLA-A, HLA-B/C or β_2 -m was observed in 37.9%, 11.1% and 2.2%, respectively. Age and positive vascular invasion were associated with HLA-A downregulation and poorly differentiated tumors were associated with HLA-B/C downregulation (Table 3). A low number of CD8⁺ T-lymphocytes was associated with downregulation of HLA-A, HLA-B/C and β_2 -m. Furthermore, a high number of Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes was associated with downregulation of HLA-A, HLA-B/C and β_2 -m.

IDO expression

IDO expression was present in 50.4% of the cases. As shown by means of univariate logistic regression analysis (Table 3), no associations were found between clinicopathological characteristics, HLA class I downregulation, the number of T-lymphocytes and IDO expression.

Survival

Median time of follow-up was 73 months (IQR: 0–290 months). In 98 patients (32.6%), recurrence of disease was diagnosed with a median time until recurrence of 23 months (IQR: 7.0–65.0 months). In total, 76 patients (25.5%) died as a result of vulvar carcinoma during our followup.

In univariate analyses on DFS, only the presence of inguinofemoral metastasis was significantly related to a shorter DFS (Table 4).

In univariate analyses on DSS, inguinofemoral metastasis, T2 tumor, a high tumor grade, positive vascular invasion and infiltration depth >5 mm were predictors for a worse DSS, in order of importance (Table 5). In multivariate analysis, inguinofemoral metastasis, T2 tumor, a high tumor grade and positive vascular invasion were independent predictors for a shorter DSS.

The number of CD8⁺ T-lymphocytes and Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes, HLA class I downregulation, IDO expression were not related to DSS.

Discussion

In this large and well-documented cohort of 286 patients with vulvar carcinoma, we evaluated the prognostic significance of several aspects of the immune system. We showed that the number of CD8⁺ T-lymphocytes was significantly related to HLA class I downregulation which reflects the natural mechanism of this interaction. However, the number of intratumoral CD8⁺ T-lymphocytes or Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes, HLA class I downregulation and IDO expression were not associated with survival. Our results indicate that the immune system does not seem to have a major influence on prognosis of patients with vulvar carcinoma.

The prognostic influence of tumor-infiltrating T-lymphocytes and more specifically, CD8⁺ and Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes has been studied in several cancer types. In this cohort of 286 vulvar carcinoma patients, intra-tumoral CD8⁺ and Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes were present in 96.3% and 95.1% of the cases. In agreement with results of a recently published study in vulvar carcinoma [23], we did not find an association between the number of T-lymphocytes and survival of vulvar

Table 2

Association between CD8⁺ T-lymphocytes, Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes, CD8⁺/Foxp3⁺ ratio and clinicopathological factors in 286 vulvar carcinoma patients.

	CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes		Low CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes		
	<median< th=""><th>≥Median</th><th>OR (95% CI)</th><th>p value</th></median<>	≥Median	OR (95% CI)	p value	
Age			1.00 (0.98-1.02)	0.831	
Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated	16/104 (15.4%)	14/114 (12.2%)	1.30 (0.60-2.81)	0.507	
T-status: T2 (max. diameter >2 cm)	75/105 (71.4%)	84/114 (73.7%)	7%) 0.89 (0.49-1.62)		
Infiltration depth > 5 mm	53/102 (52.0%)	74/113 (65.5%)	4/113 (65.5%) 0.57 (0.33-0.99)		
Vascular invasion positive	17/102 (16.7%)	18/103 (17.5%)	3/103 (17.5%) 0.94 (0.46-1.96)		
Multifocality	14/105 (13.3%)	24/114 (21.1%)	0.58 (0.28-1.19)	0.135	
Inguinofemoral metastasis	ofemoral metastasis 35/94 (37.2%) 44/106 (41.5%) Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes		0.84 (0.47-1.48)	0.537	
			High Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes		
	<median< th=""><th>≥Median</th><th>OR (95% CI)</th><th>p value</th></median<>	≥Median	OR (95% CI)	p value	
Age			0.99 (0.97-1.01)	0.440	
Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated	13/99 (13.1%)	20/123 (16.3%)	1.29 (0.60-2.73)	0.515	
T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm)	78/100 (78.0%)	84/123 (68.3%)	0.61 (0.33-1.11)	0.107	
Infiltration depth > 5 mm	58/96 (60.4%)	72/123 (58.5%)	0.93 (0.54-1.59)	0.779	
Vascular invasion positive	12/89 (13.5%)	24/119 (20.2%)	24/119 (20.2%) 1.62 (0.76–3.45)		
Multifocality	14/100 (14.0%)	25/123 (20.3%)	1.57 (0.77-3.21)	0.219	
Inguinofemoral metastasis	femoral metastasis 33/89 (37.1%) 49/114 (43.0%) CD8+/Foxp3+ ratio		1.28 (0.73–2.26)	0.395	
			Low CD8 ⁺ /Foxp3 ⁺ ratio		
	<median< th=""><th>≥Median</th><th>OR (95% CI)</th><th>p value</th></median<>	≥Median	OR (95% CI)	p value	
Age			1.00 (0.98-1.02)	0.715	
Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated	16/106 (13.8%)	14/107 (13.1%)	1.18 (0.55-2.56)	0.673	
T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm)	70/107 (65.4%)	86/107 (80.4%)	0.46 (0.25-0.86)	0.015	
Infiltration depth > 5 mm	53/105 (50.5%)	73/105 (69.5%)	0.45 (0.25-0.79)	0.005	
Vascular invasion positive	22/105 (21.0%)	13/95 (13.7%)	1.67 (0.79-3.54)	0.180	
Multifocality	20/107 (18.7%)	17/107 (15.9%)	1.22 (0.60-2.48)	0.588	
Inguinofemoral metastasis	37/95 (38.9%)	41/100 (41.0%)	0.92 (0.52-1.63)	0.770	

Bold values signify p<0.05.

carcinoma patients. This result is in contrast with studies previously performed in endometrial [9,11], ovarian [10,30] and cervical carcinoma [31].

In this study, vulvar carcinomas with infiltration depth of >5 mm were associated with a high number of CD8⁺ T-lymphocytes and a high CD8⁺/Foxp3⁺ ratio. Furthermore, a T2 tumor more frequently had a high CD8⁺/Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes ratio. These results are in contrast to results found in other cancer types where high numbers of CD8⁺ and low numbers of Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes were associated with favourable outcome [9–12,32]. The fact that larger tumors are associated with infiltration with more T-lymphocytes suggests that infiltration did not result in effective eradication of the tumor. A possible cause might be the simultaneous downregulation of HLA class I, which prevents recognition and lysis of the cancer cells by CD8⁺ Tlymphocytes. This hypothesis is supported by results of univariate logistic regression analyses; the number of tumor-infiltrating CD8⁺ Tlymphocytes was significantly lower in tumors with downregulation of HLA class I (either loss of HLA-A, HLA-B/C or β_2 -m expression) compared with tumors with expression of HLA class I.

Younger patients with vulvar carcinoma more frequently showed downregulation of HLA-A. Furthermore, positive vascular invasion was associated with HLA-A downregulation, and poorly differentiated tumors were associated with HLA-B/C downregulation. These results suggest an association between poor prognostic factors and downregulation of HLA class I. However, HLA class I downregulation did not have a significant influence on survival of patients with vulvar carcinoma. These results are in contrast to studies in endometrial [28], ovarian [33,34] and cervical cancer [31,35] where an association between downregulation of HLA class I and poor prognosis was observed.

IDO is currently considered an important immune escape mechanism in cancer. We have recently shown that IDO activity is significantly increased in serum of patients with vulvar cancer [22], suggesting that IDO also plays a role in vulvar carcinoma. IDO expression has been associated with decreased prognosis in several cancer types In contrast to results of a recent study in 76 vulvar carcinoma patients [24], we did not find an association between IDO expression and clinicopathological characteristics or survival. The intracellular enzyme IDO functions as an immune escape mechanism by means of suppressing T-lymphocytes and NK cells and therefore we expected an inverse relation between the number of CD8⁺ Tlymphocytes and IDO expression. However, we did not observe such a relation. These results suggest that the immune system has a different function in vulvar carcinoma compared to other gynecological malignancies such as endometrial, ovarian and cervical cancer. Possibly, the fact that vulvar carcinoma is localized on the skin might cause these differences. One other explanation might be the fact that patients diagnosed with vulvar carcinoma are generally older compared to patients diagnosed with endometrial, ovarian or cervical cancer. Aging is associated with a change in immune activation, especially of the T-cell/macrophage system. Due to an IFN- γ dependent increase of IDO activity amongst elderly, infections, autoimmune diseases and malignancies occur more often [36].

The presence of inguinofemoral metastasis was the only factor which significantly related to a shorter DFS. Furthermore, the presence of inguinofemoral metastasis was an independent predictor for a worse DSS, next to a T2 tumor, poorly/undifferentiated differentiated tumor and positive vascular invasion. These results are in agreement with previous studies determining the prognostic role of several surgicopathological characteristics in patients with vulvar carcinoma [3].

This study evaluated the presence and prognostic influence of important players in cellular immunity and to our knowledge, we are the first to evaluate HLA class I downregulation in vulvar carcinoma. We were able to evaluate immunohistochemistry expression on tissue material of a unique large number (n = 286) of vulvar carcinoma patients, all treated with primary surgery in a single institution (UMCG, Groningen, the Netherlands). In order to evaluate this large series of specimens in a high-throughput manner, we used a

Table 3

Association between clinicopathological characteristics and HLA class I downregulation and IDO expression in 286 vulvar cancer patients.

	HLA-A		HLA-A downregulation	
	Loss of expression (n=86)	Expression $(n = 141)$	OR (95% CI)	p value
Age			0.98 (0.96-1.00)	0.027
Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated	15/86 (17.4%)	19/140 (13.6%)	1.35 (0.64–2.81)	0.430
T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm)	65/86 (75.6%)	101/141 (71.6%)	1.23 (0.66-2.26)	0.515
Infiltration depth > 5 mm	35/85 (41.2%)	78/138 (56.5%)	1.27 (0.73–2.22)	0.391
Vascular invasion positive	20/82(24.4%)	17/130 (13.1%)	2 14 (105 - 439)	0.037
Multifocality	11/96 (12.9%)	20/141(21.2%)	2.14(1.05-4.05)	0.110
	11/00 (12.0%)	50/141 (21.5%)	0.54 (0.20-1.15)	0.110
Inguinoremoral metastasis	35/78 (44.9%)	48/131 (36.6%)	1.41 (0.80–2.49)	0.240
IDO expression	45/84 (53.6%)	66/133 (49.6%)	1.17 (0.68–2.02)	0.571
CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes			1.00 (0.99–1.00)	0.080
CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes <median< td=""><td>52/85 (61.2%)</td><td>53/129 (41.1%)</td><td>2.26 (1.29-3.96)</td><td>0.004</td></median<>	52/85 (61.2%)	53/129 (41.1%)	2.26 (1.29-3.96)	0.004
Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes			0.98 (0.97-0.99)	0.002
$Foxp3^+$ T-lymphocytes \geq median	33/84 (39.3%)	85/133 (63.9%)	2.74 (1.56-4.81)	<0.001
CD8 ⁺ /Foxp3 ⁺ ratio			1.01 (0.99–1.02)	0.373
	HLA-B/C		HLA-B/C downregulation	
	Loss of expression $(n = 25)$	Expression $(n = 201)$	OR (95% CI)	n value
A	2033 Of expression (II = 23)	Expression (II = 201)		p value
	0/27 (22.2%)	22/200 (11 5%)	1.01 (0.98-1.05)	0.490
Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated	9/27 (33.3%)	23/200 (11.5%)	4.33 (1.72–10.92)	0.002
T-status: T2 (max. diameter >2 cm)	20/27 (74.1%)	148/201 (73.6%)	0.92 (0.36-2.33)	0.862
Infiltration depth > 5 mm	20/27 (74.1%)	114/197 (57.9%)	1.87 (0.75-4.69)	0.180
Vascular invasion positive	7/26 (26.9%)	30/187 (16.0%)	2.16 (0.82-5.65)	0.118
Multifocality	4/27 (14.8%)	37/201 (18.4%)	0.84 (0.27-2.61)	0.768
Inquinofemoral metastasis	11/23 (47.8%)	71/184 (38.6%)	1 33 (0 55 - 3 23)	0.534
	15/25 (60.0%)	96/194 (49.5%)	1.00(0.00000000000000000000000000000000	0.554
CD8 [±] T lumphantas	13/23 (00.0%)	50/154 (45.5%)	1.91 (0.78-4.72)	0.159
CD8 1-lymphocytes			0.99 (0.99–1.00)	0.159
CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes <median< td=""><td>17/25 (68.0%)</td><td>86/189 (45.5%)</td><td>2.91 (1.13-7.34)</td><td>0.024</td></median<>	17/25 (68.0%)	86/189 (45.5%)	2.91 (1.13-7.34)	0.024
Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes			0.94 (0.91–0.98)	0.002
Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes≥median	4/25 (16.0%)	115/193 (59.6%)	0.14 (0.05-0.41)	<0.001
CD8 ⁺ /Foxp3 ⁺ ratio			1.00 (0.99–1.02)	0.613
	0 m		Boom downregulation	
	100-111			
		European (m. 201)		
	Loss of expression $(n=5)$	Expression (n=221)	OR (95% CI)	p value ^a
Age	Loss of expression $(n=5)$	Expression (n=221)	OR (95% CI)	p value ^a 0.663
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated	Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%)	Expression (n=221) 34/220 (15.5%)	OR (95% CI)	p value ^a 0.663 0.340
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm)	Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%)	Expression (n=221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%)	OR (95% CI)	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.330
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm	Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%)	Expression (n=221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%)	DR (95% CI) n.a. n.a. n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.330 0.957
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm Vascular invasion positive	Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%)	Expression (n = 221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%)	DR (95% CI) n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.330 0.957 0.864
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter >2 cm) Infiltration depth >5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality	p_2=11 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 1/5 (20.0%)	Expression (n=221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%)	OR (95% CI) n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.330 0.957 0.864 0.913
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter >2 cm) Infiltration depth >5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis	D2-111 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%)	Expression (n=221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%)	DR (95% CI) n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.330 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression	Loss of expression $(n = 5)$ 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%)	Expression (n = 221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%)	DR (95% CI) n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.330 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T_lumphocytes	D2-111 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%)	Expression (n = 221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%)	DR (95% CI) n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.330 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 0.330
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD9 ⁺ T-lymphocytes	Loss of expression $(n = 5)$ 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%)	Expression (n=221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 08/208 (47.1%)	OR (95% CI) n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a.
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter >2 cm) Infiltration depth >5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes <median Expr2 + Talvarabasetes</median 	D2-111 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%)	Expression (n=221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%)	DR (95% Cl) n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes - median Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes	D2-111 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%) 2/5 (00%)	Expression (n = 221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%)	DR (95% CI) n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.312
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes > Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes > median CD8 ⁺ (Foxn3 ⁺ ratio	p2-11 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%) 0/5 (0%)	Expression (n = 221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%) 118/212 (55.7%)	DR (95% CI) n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.019 0.650
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter >2 cm) Infiltration depth >5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes median CD8 ⁺ /Foxp3 ⁺ ratio	D2-111 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%) 0/5 (0%)	Expression (n = 221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%) 118/212 (55.7%)	DR (95% Cl) n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.330 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.019 0.650
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes < median Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes ≥ median CD8 ⁺ /Foxp3 ⁺ ratio	D2-111 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%) 0/5 (0%) IDO	Expression (n=221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%) 118/212 (55.7%)	DR (95% CI) n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.019 0.650
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter >2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes < median Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes ≥ median CD8 ⁺ /Foxp3 ⁺ ratio	D2-111 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%) 0/5 (0%) IDO Negative	Expression (n=221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%) 118/212 (55.7%) Positive	D2 In covin egulation OR (95% Cl) n.a. OR (95% Cl)	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.019 0.650
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter >2 cm) Infiltration depth >5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes ≤ median Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes ≥ median CD8 ⁺ /Foxp3 ⁺ ratio	D2-111 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%) 0/5 (0%) IDO Negative	Expression (n=221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%) 118/212 (55.7%) Positive	D2 In covin egulation OR (95% CI) n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.019 0.650 p value 0.341
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter >2 cm) Infiltration depth >5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes Median Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes ≥ median CD8 ⁺ /Foxp3 ⁺ ratio	D2-111 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%) 0/5 (0%) IDO Negative 12/116 (10.3%)	Expression (n = 221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%) 118/212 (55.7%) Positive 20/120 (16.7%)	D2 In covin egulation OR (95% Cl) n.a. OR (95% Cl) 1.01 (0.99–1.03) 1.65 (0.76–3.58)	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.019 0.650 p value 0.341 0.206
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes Median CD8 ⁺ /Foxp3 ⁺ ratio	b2-111 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%) 0/5 (0%) IDO Negative 12/116 (10.3%) 87/117 (74.4%)	Expression (n = 221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%) 118/212 (55.7%) Positive 20/120 (16.7%) 87/120 (72.5%)	D2 In covin egulation OR (95% Cl) n.a. n.b. n.b.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.019 0.650 p value 0.341 0.206 0.717
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes > median Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes ≥ median CD8 ⁺ /Foxp3 ⁺ ratio	p2-111 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%) 0/5 (0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 0/5 (0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 0/5 (0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 0/5 (0%) IDO Negative 12/116 (10.3%) 87/117 (74.4%) 69/113 (61 1%)	Expression (n = 221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%) 118/212 (55.7%) Positive 20/120 (16.7%) 87/120 (72.5%) 70/118 (59.3%)	D2 In covin eguation OR (95% CI) n.a. n.b. n.b. <	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.019 0.650 p value 0.341 0.206 0.717 0.707
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter >2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes < median Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes ≥ median CD8 ⁺ /Foxp3 ⁺ ratio Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter >2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm Vascular invasion positive	p2-111 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%) 0/5 (0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 0/5 (0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 0/5 (0%) 1/5 (100%) 0/5 (0%) IDO Negative 12/116 (10.3%) 87/117 (74.4%) 69/113 (61.1%) 16/109 (14.7%)	Expression (n = 221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%) 118/212 (55.7%) Positive 20/120 (16.7%) 87/120 (72.5%) 70/118 (59.3%) 23(109 (211%))	DQ Interventegnation OR (95% CI) n.a. n.a. n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.019 0.650 p value 0.341 0.206 0.717 0.707 0.325
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter >2 cm) Infiltration depth >5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes median Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes ≥ median CD8 ⁺ /Foxp3 ⁺ ratio Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter >2 cm) Infiltration depth >5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality	p2-111 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%) 0/5 (0%) IDO Negative 12/116 (10.3%) 87/117 (74.4%) 69/113 (61.1%) 16/109 (14.7%) 10/117 (16.2%)	Expression (n = 221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%) 118/212 (55.7%) Positive 20/120 (16.7%) 87/120 (72.5%) 70/118 (59.3%) 23/109 (21.1%) 20(10 (16.7%)	D2 m covin egnation OR (95% Cl) n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.019 0.650 p value 0.341 0.206 0.717 0.707 0.325 0.652
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter >2 cm) Infiltration depth >5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes > median CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes > median CD8 ⁺ /Foxp3 ⁺ ratio	D2-111 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%) 0/5 (0%) IDO Negative 12/116 (10.3%) 87/117 (74.4%) 69/113 (61.1%) 16/109 (14.7%) 19/117 (16.2%)	Expression (n = 221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%) 118/212 (55.7%) Positive 20/120 (16.7%) 87/120 (72.5%) 70/118 (59.3%) 23/109 (21.1%) 20/120 (16.7%)	D2 m covin egnation OR (95% Cl) n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.330 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.019 0.650 p value 0.341 0.206 0.717 0.707 0.325 0.953 0.553
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes > median Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes ≥ median CD8 ⁺ /Foxp3 ⁺ ratio	p2-111 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%) 0/5 (0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%) 0/5 (0%) IDO Negative 12/116 (10.3%) 87/117 (74.4%) 69/113 (61.1%) 16/109 (14.7%) 19/117 (16.2%) 39/103 (37.9%)	Expression (n = 221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%) 118/212 (55.7%) Positive 20/120 (16.7%) 87/120 (72.5%) 70/118 (59.3%) 23/109 (21.1%) 20/120 (16.7%) 46/110 (41.8%)	DQ In covin egnation OR (95% CI) n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.330 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.019 0.650 p value 0.341 0.206 0.717 0.707 0.325 0.953 0.507
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes < median Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes ≥ median CD8 ⁺ /Foxp3 ⁺ ratio Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis HLA-A downregulation	p2-111 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%) 0/5 (0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 0/5 (0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 0/5 (0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 0/5 (0%) IDO Negative 12/116 (10.3%) 87/117 (74.4%) 69/113 (61.1%) 16/109 (14.7%) 19/117 (16.2%) 39/103 (37.9%) 42/110 (38.2%)	Expression (n = 221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%) 118/212 (55.7%) Positive 20/120 (16.7%) 87/120 (72.5%) 70/118 (59.3%) 23/109 (21.1%) 20/120 (16.7%) 46/110 (41.8%) 45/115 (39.1%)	DQ In covin egnation OR (95% CI) n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.019 0.650 p value 0.341 0.206 0.717 0.707 0.325 0.953 0.507 0.571
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter >2 cm) Infiltration depth >5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes > median Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes ≥ median CD8 ⁺ /Foxp3 ⁺ ratio 	$\begin{array}{c} p_{2}-m\\ \hline \\ \hline$	Expression (n = 221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%) 118/212 (55.7%) Positive 20/120 (16.7%) 87/120 (72.5%) 70/118 (59.3%) 23/109 (21.1%) 20/120 (16.7%) 46/110 (41.8%) 45/115 (39.1%) 15/116 (12.9%)	D2 m covin egnation OR (95% Cl) n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.019 0.650 p value 0.341 0.206 0.717 0.707 0.325 0.953 0.507 0.571 0.159
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter >2 cm) Infiltration depth >5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes <median Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes > median CD8⁺/Foxp3⁺ ratio Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter >2 cm) Infiltration depth >5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis HLA-A downregulation B₂-m downregulation</median 	byin Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%) 0/5 (0%) IDO Negative 12/116 (10.3%) 87/117 (74.4%) 69/113 (61.1%) 16/109 (14.7%) 19/117 (16.2%) 39/103 (37.9%) 42/110 (38.2%) 10/110 (9.1%) 2/109 (1.8%)	Expression (n = 221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%) 118/212 (55.7%) Positive 20/120 (16.7%) 87/120 (72.5%) 70/118 (59.3%) 23/109 (21.1%) 20/120 (16.7%) 46/110 (41.8%) 45/115 (39.1%) 15/116 (12.9%) 2/116 (1.7%)	DQ in covin egnation OR (95% Cl) n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.330 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.019 0.650 p value 0.341 0.206 0.717 0.707 0.325 0.953 0.507 0.571 0.159 0.948
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter >2 cm) Infiltration depth >5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis ID0 expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes < median Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes \geq median CD8 ⁺ /Foxp3 ⁺ ratio Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter >2 cm) Infiltration depth >5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis HLA-A downregulation HLA-B/C downregulation β_2 -m downregulation CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes	p2-III Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%) 0/5 (0%) IDO Negative 12/116 (10.3%) 87/117 (74.4%) 69/113 (61.1%) 16/109 (14.7%) 19/117 (16.2%) 39/103 (37.9%) 42/110 (38.2%) 10/110 (9.1%) 2/109 (1.8%)	Expression (n = 221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%) 118/212 (55.7%) Positive 20/120 (16.7%) 87/120 (72.5%) 70/118 (59.3%) 23/109 (21.1%) 20/120 (16.7%) 46/110 (41.8%) 45/115 (39.1%) 15/116 (12.9%) 2/116 (1.7%)	DQ In covin egnation OR (95% CI) n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.330 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.019 0.650 p value 0.341 0.206 0.717 0.707 0.325 0.953 0.507 0.571 0.159 0.948 0.936
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes < median Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes ≥ median CD8 ⁺ /Foxp3 ⁺ ratio Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis HLA-A downregulation HLA-B/C downregulation β ₂ -m downregulation CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes	b2-111 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%) 0/5 (0%) IDO Negative 12/116 (10.3%) 87/117 (74.4%) 69/113 (61.1%) 16/109 (14.7%) 19/117 (16.2%) 39/103 (37.9%) 42/110 (38.2%) 10/110 (9.1%) 2/109 (1.8%)	Expression (n = 221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%) 118/212 (55.7%) Positive 20/120 (16.7%) 87/120 (72.5%) 70/118 (59.3%) 23/109 (21.1%) 20/120 (16.7%) 46/110 (41.8%) 45/115 (39.1%) 15/116 (12.9%) 2/116 (1.7%)	DQ In covin egnation OR (95% CI) n.a.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.019 0.650 p value 0.341 0.206 0.717 0.707 0.325 0.953 0.507 0.571 0.159 0.948 0.936 0.746
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes or median Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes ≥ median CD8 ⁺ /Foxp3 ⁺ ratio Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis HLA-A downregulation HLA-B/C downregulation β ₂ -m downregulation CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes < median Expa3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes < median CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes < CB ⁺ < CB	D2-111 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%) 0/5 (0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 0/5 (0%) IDO Negative 12/116 (10.3%) 87/117 (74.4%) 69/113 (61.1%) 16/109 (14.7%) 19/117 (16.2%) 39/103 (37.9%) 42/110 (38.2%) 10/110 (9.1%) 2/109 (1.8%) 54/110 (49.1%)	Expression (n = 221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%) 118/212 (55.7%) Positive 20/120 (16.7%) 87/120 (72.5%) 70/118 (59.3%) 23/109 (21.1%) 20/120 (16.7%) 46/110 (41.8%) 45/115 (39.1%) 15/116 (12.9%) 2/116 (1.7%) 56/116 (48.3%)	DQ m (05% Cl) n.a. n.a.<	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.019 0.650 p value 0.341 0.206 0.717 0.707 0.325 0.953 0.507 0.571 0.159 0.948 0.936 0.756
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis IDO expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes > median Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes ≥ median CD8 ⁺ /Foxp3 ⁺ ratio 	b2-111 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%) 0/5 (0%) IDO Negative 12/116 (10.3%) 87/117 (74.4%) 69/113 (61.1%) 16/109 (14.7%) 19/117 (16.2%) 39/103 (37.9%) 42/110 (38.2%) 10/110 (9.1%) 2/109 (1.8%) 54/110 (49.1%) 52/100 (52.2%)	Expression (n = 221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%) 118/212 (55.7%) Positive 20/120 (16.7%) 87/120 (72.5%) 70/118 (59.3%) 23/109 (21.1%) 20/120 (16.7%) 46/110 (41.8%) 45/115 (39.1%) 15/116 (12.9%) 2/116 (1.7%) 56/116 (48.3%) 65/116 (48.3%)	D2 m covin cgmation OR (95% Cl) n.a. n.b. n.b.	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.330 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.019 0.650 p value 0.341 0.206 0.717 0.707 0.325 0.953 0.507 0.571 0.159 0.948 0.936 0.796 0.758 0.555
Age Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated T-status: T2 (max. diameter >2 cm) Infiltration depth > 5 mm Vascular invasion positive Multifocality Inguinofemoral metastasis ID0 expression CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes < median	b2-111 Loss of expression (n = 5) 0/5 (0%) 5/5 (100%) 3/5 (60%) 1/5 (20.0%) 1/5 (20.0%) 3/5 (60.0%) 2/4 (40.0%) 5/5 (100%) 0/5 (0%) IDO Negative 12/116 (10.3%) 87/117 (74.4%) 69/113 (61.1%) 16/109 (14.7%) 19/117 (16.2%) 39/103 (37.9%) 42/110 (38.2%) 10/110 (9.1%) 2/109 (1.8%) 54/110 (49.1%) 58/109 (53.2%)	Expression (n = 221) 34/220 (15.5%) 162/221 (73.3%) 127/216 (58.8%) 35/205 (17.1%) 40/221 (18.1%) 81/203 (39.9%) 110/213 (51.6%) 98/208 (47.1%) 118/212 (55.7%) Positive 20/120 (16.7%) 87/120 (72.5%) 70/118 (59.3%) 23/109 (21.1%) 20/120 (16.7%) 46/110 (41.8%) 45/115 (39.1%) 15/116 (12.9%) 2/116 (1.7%) 56/116 (48.3%) 65/116 (56.0%)	DQ Interventegnation OR (95% CI) Interventegnation n.a. n.a. n.b. n.b. <td>p value^a 0.663 0.340 0.330 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.019 0.650 p value 0.341 0.206 0.717 0.707 0.325 0.953 0.507 0.571 0.159 0.948 0.936 0.796 0.758 0.565 0.250</td>	p value ^a 0.663 0.340 0.330 0.957 0.864 0.913 0.366 0.330 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.025 n.a. 0.019 0.650 p value 0.341 0.206 0.717 0.707 0.325 0.953 0.507 0.571 0.159 0.948 0.936 0.796 0.758 0.565 0.250

FIGO = International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics.

n.a. = not applicable.

^a Statistical analysis by Pearson's Chi-square.

previously constructed tissue microarray [25]. This method is widely used in cancer research and was recently validated for vulvar carcinoma [37]. Our results showed that none of these immunological parameters have a significant influence on survival of vulvar carcinoma patients, in contrast to results of studies in several other cancer types. However, as there is a relationship between effect and study size, we

192

Table 4

COX regression analysis on disease free survival.

	Univa	riate analysis	Multivariate		
	HR	95% CI	p value	analysis	
Age	1.01	1.00-1.03	0.126	а	
Tumor grade 3/undifferentiated	1.42	0.83-2.43	0.203	a	
T-status: T2 (max. diameter > 2 cm)	1.38	0.87-2.19	0.171	а	
Infiltration depth > 5 mm	1.30	0.86-1.95	0.217	а	
Vascular invasion positive	1.60	0.94-2.72	0.082	а	
Multifocality	1.48	0.90-2.42	0.119	а	
Inguinofemoral metastasis	2.23	1.48-3.36	<0.001	a	
IDO expression	1.24	0.79-1.93	0.348	а	
HLA-A downregulation	0.95	0.59-1.51	0.813	а	
HLA-B/C downregulation	0.76	0.33-1.76	0.526	а	
β_2 -m downregulation	0.55	0.08-3.94	0.550	а	
CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes	1.00	0.99-1.00	0.067	а	
Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes	1.00	0.99-1.01	0.899	a	
CD8 ⁺ / Foxp3 ⁺ ratio	1.00	0.98-1.01	0.438	a	

Bold values signify p<0.05.

^a Not included in multivariate analysis.

Table 5

COX regression analysis on disease specific survival.

	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis		
	HR	95% CI	p value	HR	95% CI	p value
Age	1.01	1.00-1.03	0.235	a		
Tumor grade	2.79	1.63-4.76	<0.001	1.97	1.10-3.55	0.023
3/undifferentiated						
T-status: T2 (max.	3.89	1.78-8.54	0.001	3.12	1.19-8.17	0.020
diameter > 2 cm)						
Infiltration depth>5 mm	2.17	1.27-3.70	0.004	0.97	0.52-1.81	0.924
Vascular invasion positive	2.74	1.58-4.77	<0.001	1.94	1.07-3.50	0.028
Multifocality	1.10	0.59-2.05	0.772	а		
Inguinofemoral metastasis	6.02	3.38-10.72	<0.001	5.30	2.81-10.01	<0.001
IDO expression	1.30	0.73-2.32	0.366	а		
P16 positive	0.91	0.49-1.69	0.763	a		
HLA-A downregulation	0.85	0.47-1.55	0.600	а		
HLA-B/C downregulation	0.98	0.39-2.48	0.970	a		
β_2 -m downregulation	0.92	0.13-6.68	0.935	a		
CD8 ⁺ T-lymphocytes	1.00	1.00-1.00	0.726	a		
Foxp3 ⁺ T-lymphocytes	1.00	1.00-1.01	0.301	a		
CD8 ⁺ / Foxp3 ⁺ ratio	1.00	0.99-1.01	0.985	а		

Bold values signify p<0.05.

^a Not included in multivariate analysis.

believe that our (negative) results in a large cohort of patients is of major importance [13].

In summary, the current study investigated the presence and prognostic impact of several immunological factors in vulvar carcinoma patients. The number of tumor-infiltrating CD8⁺ and Foxp3⁺ T-lymphocytes, HLA class I downregulation and IDO expression were not related to survival in our population. The presence of inguinofemoral metastasis was one of the "classical" prognostic characteristics associated with poor survival and is of major importance in determining prognosis and adequate treatment strategies in patients with vulvar carcinoma. Although our results suggest that important players in the adaptive immune system do not seem to have an influence on survival, these results need to be confirmed (or rejected) by future research. Attempts have been made to identify tumor associated antigens as possible targets in vulvar carcinoma [38]. Hopefully, this will lead to more research concerning targeted therapy in an approach to improve prognosis of vulvar carcinoma patients.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Jemal A, Siegel R, Xu J, Ward E. Cancer statistics, 2010. CA Cancer J Clin 2010;60: 277–300.
- [2] Beller U, Quinn MA, Benedet JL, Creasman WT, Ngan HY, Maisonneuve P, et al. Carcinoma of the vulva. FIGO 26th Annual Report on the Results of Treatment in Gynecological Cancer. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2006;95(Suppl 1):S7–27.
- [3] Stehman FB, Look KY. Carcinoma of the vulva. Obstet Gynecol 2006;107:719–33.
 [4] Ueda Y, Enomoto T, Kimura T, Yoshino K, Fujita M, Kimura T. Two distinct path-
- ways to development of squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva. J Skin Cancer 2011;2011:951250.
- [5] Van der Zee AG, Oonk MH, De Hullu JA, Ansink AC, Vergote I, Verheijen RH, et al. Sentinel node dissection is safe in the treatment of early-stage vulvar cancer. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:884–9.
- [6] Homesley HD, Bundy BN, Sedlis A, Adcock L. Radiation therapy versus pelvic node resection for carcinoma of the vulva with positive groin nodes. Obstet Gynecol 1986;68:733–40.
- [7] de Hullu JA, van der Zee AG. Surgery and radiotherapy in vulvar cancer. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2006;60:38–58.
- [8] Dunn GP, Old LJ, Schreiber RD. The three Es of cancer immunoediting. Annu Rev Immunol 2004;22:329–60.
- [9] de Jong RA, Leffers N, Boezen HM, ten Hoor KA, van der Zee AG, Hollema H, et al. Presence of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes is an independent prognostic factor in type I and II endometrial cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2009;114:105–10.
- [10] Leffers N, Gooden MJ, de Jong RA, Hoogeboom BN, ten Hoor KA, Hollema H, et al. Prognostic significance of tumor-infiltrating T-lymphocytes in primary and metastatic lesions of advanced stage ovarian cancer. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2009;58:449–59.
- [11] Kondratiev S, Sabo E, Yakirevich E, Lavie O, Resnick MB. Intratumoral CD8+ T lymphocytes as a prognostic factor of survival in endometrial carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 2004;10:4450–6.
- [12] Naito Y, Saito K, Shiiba K, Ohuchi A, Saigenji K, Nagura H, et al. CD8+ T cells infiltrated within cancer cell nests as a prognostic factor in human colorectal cancer. Cancer Res 1998;58:3491–4.
- [13] Gooden MJM, de Bock GH, Leffers N, Daemen T, Nijman HW. The prognostic influence of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in cancer: a systematic review with metaanalysis. Br J Cancer 2011;105:93–103.
- [14] Schumacher K, Haensch W, Roefzaad C, Schlag PM. Prognostic significance of activated CD8(+) T cell infiltrations within esophageal carcinomas. Cancer Res 2001;61:3932–6.
- [15] Dunn GP, Bruce AT, Ikeda H, Old LJ, Schreiber RD. Cancer immunoediting: from immunosurveillance to tumor escape. Nat Immunol 2002;3:991–8.
- [16] Curiel TJ, Coukos G, Zou L, Alvarez X, Cheng P, Mottram P, et al. Specific recruitment of regulatory T cells in ovarian carcinoma fosters immune privilege and predicts reduced survival. Nat Med 2004;10:942–9.
- [17] Kono K, Kawaida H, Takahashi A, Sugai H, Mimura K, Miyagawa N, et al. CD4(+) CD25high regulatory T cells increase with tumor stage in patients with gastric and esophageal cancers. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2006;55:1064–71.
- [18] Schaefer C, Kim GG, Albers A, Hoermann K, Myers EN, Whiteside TL Characteristics of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells in the peripheral circulation of patients with head and neck cancer. Br J Cancer 2005;92:913–20.
- [19] Woo EY, Chu CS, Goletz TJ, Schlienger K, Yeh H, Coukos G, et al. Regulatory CD4(+)CD25(+) T cells in tumors from patients with early-stage non-small cell lung cancer and late-stage ovarian cancer. Cancer Res 2001;61:4766–72.
- [20] Wolf D, Wolf AM, Rumpold H, Fiegl H, Zeimet AG, Muller-Holzner E, et al. The expression of the regulatory T cell-specific forkhead box transcription factor FoxP3 is associated with poor prognosis in ovarian cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2005;11: 8326–31.
- [21] de Jong WH, Smit R, Bakker SJ, de Vries EG, Kema IP. Plasma tryptophan, kynurenine and 3-hydroxykynurenine measurement using automated on-line solidphase extraction HPLC-tandem mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci 2009;877:603–9.
- [22] de Jong RA, Nijman HW, Boezen HM, Volmer M, ten Hoor KA, Krijnen J, et al. Serum tryptophan and kynurenine concentrations as parameters for indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase activity in patients with endometrial, ovarian and vulvar cancer. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2011;21:1320–7.
- [23] Sznurkowski JJ, Zawrocki A, Emerich J, Biernat W. Prognostic significance of CD4+ and CD8+ T cell infiltration within cancer cell nests in vulvar squamous cell carcinoma. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2011;21:717–21.
- [24] Sznurkowski JJ, Zawrocki A, Emerich J, Sznurkowska K, Biernat W. Expression of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase predicts shorter survival in patients with vulvar squamous cell carcinoma (vSCC) not influencing on the recruitment of FOXP3expressing regulatory T cells in cancer nests. Gynecol Oncol 2011;122:307–12.
- [25] Oonk MH, de Bock GH, van der Veen DJ, Ten Hoor KA, de Hullu JA, Hollema H, et al. EGFR expression is associated with groin node metastases in vulvar cancer, but does not improve their prediction. Gynecol Oncol 2007;104:109–13.
- [26] Shepherd JH. Cervical and vulva cancer: changes in FIGO definitions of staging. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1996;103:405–6.
- [27] American Joint Committee on Cancer: AJCC Cancer Staging Manual. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven; 1997. p. 181.
- [28] Bijen CB, Bantema-Joppe EJ, de Jong RA, Leffers N, Mourits MJ, Eggink HF, et al. The prognostic role of classical and nonclassical MHC class I expression in endometrial cancer. Int J Cancer 2010;126:1417–27.
- [29] Ino K, Yoshida N, Kajiyama H, Shibata K, Yamamoto E, Kidokoro K, et al. Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase is a novel prognostic indicator for endometrial cancer. Br J Cancer 2006;95:1555–61.

- [30] Sato E, Olson SH, Ahn J, Bundy B, Nishikawa H, Qian F, et al. Intraepithelial CD8+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and a high CD8+/regulatory T cell ratio are associated with favorable prognosis in ovarian cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005; 102: 18538–43.
- [31] Jordanova ES, Gorter A, Ayachi O, Prins F, Durrant LG, Kenter GG, et al. Human leukocyte antigen class I, MHC class I chain-related molecule A, and CD8+/regulatory T-cell ratio: which variable determines survival of cervical cancer patients? Clin Cancer Res 2008;14:2028–35.
- [32] Galon J, Costes A, Sanchez-Cabo F, Kirilovsky A, Mlecnik B, Lagorce-Pages C, et al. Type, density, and location of immune cells within human colorectal tumors predict clinical outcome. Science 2006;313:1960–4.
- [33] Rolland P, Deen S, Scott I, Durrant L, Spendlove I. Human leukocyte antigen class I antigen expression is an independent prognostic factor in ovarian cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2007;13:3591–6.
- [34] Vitale M, Pelusi G, Taroni B, Gobbi G, Micheloni C, Rezzani R, et al. HLA class I antigen down-regulation in primary ovary carcinoma lesions: association with disease stage. Clin Cancer Res 2005;11:67–72.
- [35] Mehta AM, Jordanova ES, Kenter GG, Ferrone S, Fleuren GJ. Association of antigen processing machinery and HLA class I defects with clinicopathological outcome in cervical carcinoma. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2008;57:197–206.
- [36] Frick B, Schroecksnadel K, Neurauter G, Leblhuber F, Fuchs D. Increasing production of homocysteine and neopterin and degradation of tryptophan with older age. Clin Biochem 2004;3:684–7.
- [37] Fons G, van der Velden J, Burger M, ten Kate F. Validation of tissue microarray technology in vulvar cancer. Int J Gynecol Pathol 2009;28:76–82.
- [38] Bellati F, Visconti V, Napoletano C, Antonilli M, Frati L, Panici PB, et al. Immunology of gynecologic neoplasms: analysis of the prognostic significance of the immune status. Curr Cancer Drug Targets 2009;9:541–65.