



University of Groningen

Acclimation to different thermal conditions in a northerly wintering shorebird is driven by body mass-related changes in organ size

Vezina, Francois; Jalvingh, Kirsten M.; Dekinga, Anne; Piersma, Theun

Published in: Journal of Experimental Biology

DOI:

10.1242/jeb.02338

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2006

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

Vezina, F., Jalvingh, K. M., Dekinga, A., & Piersma, T. (2006). Acclimation to different thermal conditions in a northerly wintering shorebird is driven by body mass-related changes in organ size. Journal of Experimental Biology, 209(16), 3141-3154. DOI: 10.1242/jeb.02338

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policyIf you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Download date: 10-02-2018

3952 Corrigendum

Acclimation to different thermal conditions in a northerly wintering shorebird is driven by body mass-related changes in organ size

F. Vézina, K. M. Jalvingh, A. Dekinga and T. Piersma

10.1242/jeb.02512

There was an error published in J. Exp. Biol. 209, 3141-3154.

In paragraph 4 of the *Respirometry* section of the **Materials and methods**, the authors stated:

Therefore, energy consumption was estimated using a constant equivalent of 20 kJ l⁻¹ O₂ and then converted to watts using 1 W=0.2777 kJ (Gessaman and Nagy, 1988; Piersma et al., 1995; Piersma et al., 1996; Piersma et al., 2004; Weber and Piersma, 1996).

The sentence should have read:

Therefore, energy consumption was estimated using a constant equivalent of 20 kJ l⁻¹ O₂ and then converted to watts using 1 W=1 J s⁻¹ (Gessaman and Nagy, 1988; Piersma et al., 1995; Piersma et al., 1996; Piersma et al., 2004; Weber and Piersma, 1996).

The authors apologise for this error but assure readers that the values on energy use presented in the article have been properly calculated using 1 W=1 J s^{-1} .