



### The SAURON project - III. Integral-field absorption-line kinematics of 48 elliptical and lenticular galaxies

Emsellem, E.; Cappellari, M.; Peletier, R. F.; McDermid, R. M.; Bacon, R.; Bureau, M.; Copin, Y.; Davies, R. L.; Krajnovic, D.; Kuntschner, H.

Published in: Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society

DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.07948.x

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2004

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Emsellem, E., Cappellari, M., Peletier, R. F., McDermid, R. M., Bacon, R., Bureau, M., ... de Zeeuw, P. T. (2004). The SAURON project - III. Integral-field absorption-line kinematics of 48 elliptical and lenticular galaxies. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 352(3), 721-743. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.07948.x

#### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

# The SAURON project – III. Integral-field absorption-line kinematics of 48 elliptical and lenticular galaxies

Eric Emsellem,<sup>1\*</sup> Michele Cappellari,<sup>2</sup> Reynier F. Peletier,<sup>1,3,4</sup> Richard M. McDermid,<sup>2,5</sup> R. Bacon,<sup>1</sup> M. Bureau,<sup>7</sup>† Y. Copin,<sup>1,6</sup> Roger L. Davies,<sup>5,8</sup> Davor Krajnović,<sup>2</sup> Harald Kuntschner,<sup>9</sup> Bryan W. Miller<sup>10</sup> and P. Tim de Zeeuw<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre de Recherche Astronomique de Lyon, 9 Avenue Charles André, 69561 Saint Genis Laval, France

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD

<sup>6</sup>Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, 69622 Villeurbanne, France

<sup>7</sup>Columbia Astrophysics Laboratory, 550 West 120th Street, 1027 Pupin Hall, MC 5247, New York, NY 10027, USA

<sup>9</sup>Space Telescope European Coordinating Facility, European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str 2, 85748 Garching, Germany

<sup>10</sup>Gemini Observatory, Casilla 603, La Serena, Chile

Accepted 2004 April 23. Received 2004 April 20; in original form 2003 November 6

#### ABSTRACT

We present the stellar kinematics of 48 representative elliptical and lenticular galaxies obtained with our custom-built integral-field spectrograph SAURON operating on the William Herschel Telescope. The data were homogeneously processed through a dedicated reduction and analysis pipeline. All resulting SAURON data cubes were spatially binned to a constant minimum signal-to-noise ratio. We have measured the stellar kinematics with an optimized (penalized pixel-fitting) routine which fits the spectra in pixel space, via the use of optimal templates, and prevents the presence of emission lines to affect the measurements. We have thus generated maps of the mean stellar velocity V, the velocity dispersion  $\sigma$ , and the Gauss–Hermite moments  $h_3$  and  $h_4$  of the line-of-sight velocity distributions. The maps extend to approximately one effective radius. Many objects display kinematic twists, kinematically decoupled components, central stellar discs, and other peculiarities, the nature of which will be discussed in future papers of this series.

**Key words:** galaxies: bulges – galaxies: elliptical and lenticular, cD – galaxies: evolution – galaxies: formation – galaxies: kinematics and dynamics – galaxies: structure.

#### **1 INTRODUCTION**

We are carrying out a study of the structure of 72 representative nearby early-type galaxies and spiral bulges based on measurements of the two-dimensional (2D) kinematics and line-strengths of stars and gas with SAURON, a custom-built panoramic integral-field spectrograph for the William Herschel Telescope (WHT), La Palma. SAURON is based on the TIGER microlens concept (Bacon et al. 1995; see also Bacon et al. 2000), and is described in detail in Paper I (Bacon et al. 2001b). The objectives of the SAURON survey are summarized in Paper II (de Zeeuw et al. 2002), which also contains the definition and global properties of the sample. Here we present maps of the stellar kinematics for the 48 elliptical (E) and lenticular (S0) galaxies in the survey. The morphology and kinematics of the

\*E-mail: emsellem@obs.univ-lyon1.fr †Hubble Fellow. ionized gas, the line-strength distributions, similar measurements for the spiral galaxies in the sample, and higher spatial resolution integral-field observations obtained with OASIS on the Canada– France–Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) will be presented separately. The data and maps presented in this paper will be made available via the SAURON Web page http://www.strw.leidenuniv.nl/sauron/.

In Section 2 we summarize our observational campaign, as well as the principles of the data reduction and the measurement of the line-of-sight velocity distributions. We present the kinematic maps in Section 3, and our conclusions in Section 4.

#### **2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION**

#### 2.1 Observing runs

We were allocated a total of 56 nights on the WHT, split into eight runs over four years, to observe the complete SAURON

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Sterrewacht Leiden, Niels Bohrweg 2, 2333 CA Leiden, the Netherlands

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, Postbus 800, 9700 AV Groningen, the Netherlands

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Physics Department, University of Durham, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Denys Wilkinson Building, University of Oxford, Keble Road, Oxford

Table 1. The SAURON observing runs.

Run	Dates	Clear		
1	1999 February 14–20	3.5/7		
2	1999 October 08-14	4/8		
3	2000 March 27-April 03	3.5/8		
4	2000 September 01-04	3/3		
5	2001 March 14-25	11.5/12		
6	2002 January 14-17	3/4		
7	2002 April 10-19	5/10		
8	2003 April 24–27	2/4		

representative sample of 72 objects. This substantial allocation was divided almost equally between the observing times of the United Kingdom and the Netherlands. Table 1 summarizes the dates and provides weather statistics. A total of 35.5 nights were clear. Run 1 followed soon after the commissioning period (1999 February 1-5, see Paper I). SAURON is a visitor instrument, so each run was preceded by one or two days of configuring and calibrating the instrument, with help from the Isaac Newton Group staff. The first three runs were plagued by poor weather, and technical problems further hindered progress in Run 4. The installation of ULTRADAS (observing control software at the WHT) in the spring of 2001 improved the efficiency, which, combined with the exceptional weather statistics of Run 5, allowed us to make more rapid progress. A new volume-phase holographic grating (VPH) was installed for Run 8, resulting in a further increase in the efficiency of the spectrograph to a remarkable 20 per cent end-to-end (atmosphere, telescope, detector).

The SAURON sample of 48 E and S0 galaxies is representative of nearby bright early-type galaxies ( $cz \leq 3000$  km s<sup>-1</sup>;  $M_B \leq$ -18 mag). As described in Paper II, it contains 24 galaxies in each of the E and S0 subclasses, equally divided between 'cluster' and 'field' objects (the former defined as belonging to the Virgo cluster, the Coma I cloud, and the Leo I group, and the latter being galaxies outside these clusters), uniformly covering the plane of ellipticity  $\epsilon$ versus absolute blue magnitude  $M_B$ . Tables A1 and A2 in Paper II provide basic information on the objects.

We used the low resolution mode of SAURON, giving a field of view of 33 × 41 arcsec<sup>2</sup>, fully sampled by 1431 square lenses  $0.94 \times 0.94$  arcsec<sup>2</sup> in size, each of which produces a spectrum. Another 146 lenses sample a small region about 1.9 arcmin from the field centre for simultaneous observation of the sky background. The wavelength range 4800–5380 Å is covered at 4.2 Å spectral resolution [full width at half maximum (FWHM),  $\sigma_{inst} = 108$  km s<sup>-1</sup>] with a sampling of 1.1 Å per pixel. This range includes a number of important stellar absorption lines (e.g. H $\beta$ , Mg *b*, Fe) and potential emission lines (e.g. H $\beta$ , [O III], [N I]).

Each galaxy field was typically exposed for  $4 \times 1800$  s, each dithered by a small non-integer number of lenses to avoid systematic errors due to, e.g. bad CCD regions. In about one-third of the cases, we constructed mosaics of two or three pointings to cover the galaxy out to about one effective radius  $R_e$ , or, for the largest objects, out to  $0.5R_e$ . The footprints of these pointings are shown overlaid on *R*-band Digital Sky Survey images in Fig. 1. For each of the 48 galaxies, Table 2 lists the run(s) in which it was observed, and the exposure times for the individual pointings. Note that Run 8 was used to complete the sample of early-type spirals, the data of which will be presented in a future paper of this series. Arc-lamp exposures were taken before and after each 1800 s exposure in order to track flexures and provide a wavelength calibration. We also obtained

data for a number of velocity, line-strength and flux standard stars throughout each night, for calibration purposes.

#### 2.2 Data reduction

We reduced the SAURON observations with the dedicated XSAURON software developed at Centre de Recherche Astronomique de Lyon (CRAL) and described in Paper I. The steps include bias and dark subtraction, extraction of the spectra using a fitted mask model, wavelength calibration, low-frequency flat-fielding, cosmic-ray removal, homogenization of the spectral resolution over the field, sky subtraction, and flux calibration. The wavelength calibration is accurate to 0.1 Å (6 km s<sup>-1</sup>).

#### 2.3 Merging and binning

We merged multiple exposures and mosaiced different fields by truncating the wavelength domain to a common range, registering the exposures using reconstructed images, and combining the spectra (as well as the noise spectra) with optimal weights and (re)normalization. During this process, we resampled the data cubes to a common spatial scale of  $0.8 \times 0.8$  arcsec<sup>2</sup>, reorienting them to have the north up and east left, and correcting for the effect of atmospheric refraction (as in Emsellem et al. 1996).


Because a minimum signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) is required to measure stellar kinematics reliably, we spatially binned the data cubes using an adaptive scheme developed by Cappellari & Copin (2003). In this approach, the spectra are coadded by starting from the highest S/N lenslet, and accreting additional lenslets closest to the current bin centroid. A new bin is started each time the target S/N is reached. The resulting bin centroids are then used as starting points for a centroidal Voronoi tessellation (see, e.g. Du, Faber & Gunzburger 1999), ensuring compact non-overlapping bins and a uniform S/N in faint regions. We imposed a minimum S/N of 60 per spectral resolution element for the kinematical measurements presented here.

#### 2.4 Determination of the point spread function

We determined the point spread function (PSF) of each merged exposure by comparing the reconstructed SAURON intensity distribution with Hubble Space Telescope (HST)/WFPC2 images. The SAURON PSF is well-described by the sum of two circular Gaussians whose parameters are determined by minimizing the difference between the WPFC2 image (convolved by these Gaussians) and the SAURON reconstructed image (Bacon et al. 2001a). The result is valid in the central region where the overlap between individual exposures is maximum. The derived seeing values are listed in Table 3. WFPC2/F555W images were favoured as the F555W filter corresponds more closely to the SAURON spectral domain. WFPC/F814W images were, however, used for about a third of the galaxies presented here, for which the F555W filter was not available. HST imaging does not exist for two objects in the E/S0 sample, namely NGC 2695 and NGC 4262, and for another two, NGC 4387 and NGC 7332, only WFPC1 data are available. For these galaxies, we favoured the estimates of the PSF width provided by the seeing monitor at WHT. In most cases, SAURON undersamples the seeing.

#### 2.5 Stellar kinematics

Most of the galaxies in this sample are contaminated by significant emission lines of, e.g. H $\beta$ , the [O III] $\lambda\lambda$ 4959,5007 or [N I] $\lambda\lambda$ 5198,5200 doublets. This causes problems for techniques



**Figure 1.** *R*-band Digital Sky Survey images of all 48 E and S0 galaxies in the SAURON representative sample. The size of each image is  $4 \times 4 \operatorname{arcmin}^2$ , and the orientation is such that north is up and east is left. The bar located at the bottom-left corner of each image indicates the size of 1  $R_e$  (from RC3: de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991) for that object where available. NGC 2699, NGC 4477 and NGC 5845 have  $R_e$  of 9 arcsec (Blakeslee et al. 2001), 26 arcsec and 3 arcsec (Alonso et al. 2003), respectively. Overlaid on each image is the approximate footprint of the SAURON pointings obtained for that object.

Table 2. Details on the exposures of the E/S0 SAURON representative sample. Characteristics for each target are provided in Paper II (de Zeeuw et al. 2002).

NGC (1)	Run (2)	# (3)	$T_{exp}$ (4)	NGC (1)	Run (2)	# (3)	$T_{exp}$ (4)	NGC (1)	Run (2)	# (3)	$T_{exp}$ (4)	NGC (1)	Run (2)	# (3)	$T_{exp}$ (4)
474	2	1	$4 \times 1800$	3379	1	1	3 × 1800	4473	5	1	$4 \times 1800$	5813	3	1	$2 \times 1800$
524	4	1	$2 \times 1800$		1	2	$3 \times 1800$		5	2	$4 \times 1800$		3	2	$4 \times 1800$
	4	2	$4 \times 1800$		1	3	$2 \times 1800$	4477	5	1	$4 \times 1800$		3	3	$4 \times 1800$
	4	3	$4 \times 1800$	3384	3	1	$4 \times 1800$		5	2	$4 \times 1800$	5831	5	1	$1 \times 1800$
821	2	1	$3 \times 1800$	3414	6	1	$4 \times 1800$	4486	5	1	$4 \times 1800$		5	1	$1 \times 1200$
	2	2	$3 \times 1800$	3489	5	1	$4 \times 1800$		5	2	$4 \times 1800$		5	2	$1 \times 1200$
1023	2	1	$4 \times 1800$	3608	1	1	$4 \times 1800$		5	3	$3 \times 1800$		5	2	$2 \times 1800$
	2	2	$4 \times 1800$	4150	3	1	$4 \times 1800$	4526	5	1	$4 \times 1800$		5	3	$1 \times 1500$
2549	1	1	$4 \times 1800$	4262	6	1	$4 \times 1800$		5	2	$4 \times 1800$	5838	5	1	$4 \times 1800$
2685	5	1	$4 \times 1800$	4270	6	1	$4 \times 1800$	4546	7	1	$4 \times 1800$	5845	5	1	$4 \times 1800$
	5	2	$4 \times 1800$	4278	1	1	$4 \times 1800$	4550	1	1	$4 \times 1800$	5846	5	1	$4 \times 1800$
2695	6	1	$4 \times 1800$		1	2	$4 \times 1800$	4552	1	1	$3 \times 1800$		5	2	$4 \times 1800$
2699	5	1	$4 \times 1800$	4374	5	1	$4 \times 1800$	4564	3	1	$4 \times 1800$		5	3	$4 \times 1800$
2768	5	1	$4 \times 1800$		5	2	$4 \times 1800$	4570	6	1	$5 \times 1800$	5982	5	1	$4 \times 1800$
	5	2	$4 \times 1800$		5	3	$4 \times 1800$	4621	5	1	$4 \times 1800$		5	2	$4 \times 1800$
2974	5	1	$4 \times 1800$	4382	5	1	$4 \times 1800$		5	2	$4 \times 1800$	7332	2	1	$4 \times 1800$
	5	2	$4 \times 1800$		5	2	$4 \times 1800$	4660	5	1	$4 \times 1800$	7457	2	1	$3 \times 1800$
3032	5	1	$3 \times 2100$	4387	5	1	$4 \times 1800$	5198	5	1	$4 \times 1800$		2	1	$1 \times 1600$
3156	6	1	$4 \times 1800$	4458	5	1	$4 \times 1800$	5308	5	1	$4 \times 1800$		2	1	$1 \times 1030$
3377	1	1	$4 \times 1800$	4459	5	1	$4 \times 1800$						2	2	$4 \times 1800$
					5	2	$4 \times 1800$								

Notes: (1) NGC number. (2) Run number (see Table 1). (3) Pointing number. (4) Exposure time, in sec.

working in Fourier space, e.g. the Fourier Correlation Quotient (FCQ, Bender 1990) or the Cross Correlation Fitting (CCF, Statler 1995) techniques, as they do not permit direct masking of specific spectral regions. Such methods can obviously make use of continuous spectral regions not or weakly affected by emission lines. However, considering the short spectral domain provided by SAURON, and the significant fraction of galaxies found to exhibit the emission lines which punctuate this region, these techniques are not well suited to these data. For this reason we opted for the use of a direct pixel-fitting (PXF) routine to extract the kinematics, allowing emission-line regions to be easily masked (e.g. van der Marel 1994; Cappellari & Emsellem 2004, and references therein). We emphasize that all results obtained with this new implementation are fully consistent with the early results presented in Paper II.

#### 2.5.1 Penalized pixel fitting with optimal templates

We derive the line-of-sight velocity distribution (hereafter LOSVD) parametrized by a Gauss–Hermite function (Gerhard 1993; van der Marel & Franx 1993) for each spectrum using the PXF routine: the algorithm finds the best fit to a galaxy spectrum (rebinned in  $\ln \lambda$ ) by convolving a template stellar spectrum with the corresponding LOSVD. This provides the mean velocity *V* and the velocity dispersion  $\sigma$ , as well as the higher-order Gauss–Hermite moments  $h_3$  and  $h_4$ , which quantify the asymmetric and symmetric departures of the LOSVD from a pure Gaussian (related to the skewness and kurtosis, respectively). Although this appears as a conceptually simple procedure, there are two critical issues (not specific to SAURON) to consider carefully to obtain robust and reliable kinematic measurements.

**Optimal templates:** Although robust against the presence of emission lines, PXF is sensitive to template mismatch, in contrast to CCF and FCQ which minimize the coupling between different

absorption features by restricting the analysis to the main peak of the cross-correlation between the template and the galaxy spectra. The use of PXF thus requires templates which match closely the galaxy spectrum under scrutiny. The derivation of optimal stellar templates must be conducted for every individual spectrum (as galaxies may, for example, exhibit significant metallicity or age gradients within the SAURON field of view). This is achieved via the use of an extensive stellar library spanning a large range of metallicities and ages. We primarily included 19 spectra from the library of singlemetallicity stellar population models of Vazdekis (1999), and added five spectra from the Jones stellar library (from which Vazdekis' models are built; Jones 1997) to provide spectra with strong Mg *b* indices. This also requires the inclusion of additive Legendre polynomials to adapt the continuum shape of the templates.

**Penalized pixel fitting:** When measuring the Gauss–Hermite moments of the LOSVD up to  $h_4$ , undersampling becomes important when the observed dispersion is less than about two pixels (equivalent to around 120 km s<sup>-1</sup> for SAURON). Below this dispersion a very high S/N is required to measure the Gauss–Hermite parameters accurately. At our minimum  $S/N \approx 60$ , the data are therefore unable to constrain significantly all the  $(V, \sigma, h_3, h_4)$  parameters simultaneously in the undersampled regime, and the scatter in the measurements increases dramatically. In this situation one usually wants to reduce the parametric form of the LOSVD to a simple Gaussian. We therefore used the penalized pixel-fitting (hereafter pPXF) method developed by Cappellari & Emsellem (2004) to perform this biasing of the solution towards a Gaussian in a statistically motivated and automatic way, as a function of both the S/N of the spectra, and the observed  $\sigma$ .

We implemented both the template optimization and the penalization directly into our PXF routine. This works for each individual galaxy spectrum as follows. The direct pixel fitting is a nonlinear least-squares problem, for the parameters  $(V, \sigma, h_3, h_4)$  of the

Table 3. Characteristics of the E/S0 SAURON galaxies.

NGC	Туре	V <sub>syst</sub>	V <sub>bary</sub>	Source	Seeing	$\theta_s$
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
474	S0 <sup>0</sup> (s)	2319	0.9	F814W	1.9	0
524	S0 <sup>+</sup> (rs)	2353	-30.0	F555W	1.4	0
821	E6?	1722	7.6	F555W	1.7	30
1023	SB0 <sup>-</sup> (rs)	614	14.6	F555W	1.4	270
2549	S0 <sup>0</sup> (r)sp	1069	-12.5	F702W	1.7	0
2685	(R)SB0 <sup>+</sup> pec	883	-20.8	F555W	1.3	322
2695	$SAB0^{0}(s)$	1831	10.9	WHT	2.0	9
2699	E:	1864	-17.3	F702W	1.3	270
2768	E6:	1359	-18.9	F555W	1.4	5
2974	E4	1886	-14.3	F555W	1.4	326
3032	$SAB0^{0}(r)$	1559	-20.6	F606W	1.5	270
3156	S0:	1541	18.8	F814W	1.6	61
3377	E5-6	690	5.0	F555W	2.1	0
3379	E1	916	4.8	F555W	1.8	270
3384	SB0 <sup>-</sup> (s):	737	-17.6	F555W	1.8	270
3414	S0 pec	1472	16.9	F814W	1.4	193
3489	SAB0 <sup>+</sup> (rs)	702	-8.5	F814W	1.1	84
3608	E2	1228	5.6	F555W	1.5	0
4150	$S0^{0}(r)?$	219	-8.9	F814W	2.1	270
4262	$SB0^{-}(s)$	1371	25.7	WHT	2.6	30
4270	SD (5)	2333	27.3	F606W	2.0	124
4278	E1-2	631	9.7	F555W	1.9	0
4374	El 2	1023	2.8	F814W	2.2	45
4382	S0 <sup>+</sup> (s)pec	742	-1.8	F555W	2.2	309
4387	E	568	3.4	WHT	1.3	320
4458	E0-1	683	2.3	F555W	1.6	60
4459	$S0^{+}(r)$	1200	-1.8	F814W	1.5	34
4473	E5	2249	0.2	F555W	1.9	24
4477	SB0(s):?	1350	-2.1	F606W	2.1	39
4486	$E0-1^+pec$	1274	2.5	F555W	1.0	70
4526	$SAB0^{0}(s)$	626	0.8	F555W	2.8	37
4546	$SB0^{-}(s)$ :	1051	-8.7	F606W	1.8	274
4550	$SB0^{0}$ :sp	413	-28.1	F555W	2.1	0
4552	E0-1	351	16.2	F555W	1.9	0
4564	E	1149	-2.6	F702W	2.1	270
4570	S0 sp	1780	28.1	F555W	1.7	353
4621	E5	456	3.5	F555W	1.6	89
4660	E	1089	3.4	F555W	1.6	294
5198	E1-2:	2531	-1.1	F702W	1.5	304
5308	$S0^{-}$ sp	1985	-1.1 -5.0	F555W	2.4	74
5813	E1-2	1985	-5.0	F555W	1.7	270
5831	E1-2 E3	1947	21.1	F555W F702W	1.7	325
5838	E5 S0 <sup>-</sup>	1340	21.1	F702W F814W	1.6	525 57
5845	50 E:	1340 1474	20.7	F555W	1.0	344
5845 5846	E: E0-1	1474	20.3 19.6	F555W	1.3	284
5846 5982	E0-1 E3	2935	19.6	F555W F555W	1.4	284 34
7332	S0 pec sp $S0 = (r_0)^2$	1206	-13.4	WHT	1.1	0
7457	S0 <sup>-</sup> (rs)?	845	-9.0	F555W	1.3	320

Notes: (1) NGC number. (2) Hubble type (RC3: de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991) (3) Estimate of the heliocentric systemic velocity in km s<sup>-1</sup> (see Section 3). (4) Mean barycentric correction applied to the merged data cubes (in km s<sup>-1</sup>). (5) Source for the seeing determination (see text) (6) Seeing, full width at half maximum in arcsec. (7) Position angle, in degrees, of the vertical (upward) axis in the maps shown in Figs 4(a)–(1).


LOSVD, which is solved using a sequential quadratic programming method including bounds (NAG routine E04UNF). At each iteration we find the best-fitting linear combination of the stellar templates (with positive weights) convolved with the corresponding LOSVD. This includes the additive polynomials and provides the optimal template for that specific set of kinematic parameters. The residual vector  $\mathbf{r}$  between the observed spectrum and the convolved optimal spectrum is then derived and the penalization term is added to obtain a 'perturbed' vector of residuals  $\mathbf{r}'$ , which is fed into the non-linear optimization routine.

The perturbed vector has the form  $\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} + \lambda \mathcal{D}\sigma(\mathbf{r})$ , where  $\lambda$  is an adjustable parameter of order unity,  $\mathcal{D}^2 = h_3^2 + h_4^2$  is the integrated squared deviation of the LOSVD from its best-fitting Gaussian (van der Marel & Franx 1993), and  $\sigma(\mathbf{r})$  is a robust estimate of the standard deviation of the residuals. The qualitative interpretation of the perturbation can be illustrated by noting that for  $\lambda = 1$ , an amplitude of 0.1 for  $h_3$  or  $h_4$  (10 per cent deviation from a Gaussian) requires an improvement of at least 0.5 per cent of the unperturbed  $\sigma(\mathbf{r})$  to be accepted by the optimization routine as a decrease of the perturbed residuals  $\mathbf{r}'$ . Setting  $\lambda = 0$  provides a non-penalized PXF routine. After extensive testing we adopted a value of  $\lambda = 0.7$ , which makes sure the bias is always small compared to the measurement errors, when  $\sigma \gtrsim 120$  km s<sup>-1</sup> and  $S/N \gtrsim 60$ . See Cappellari & Emsellem (2004) for details on this pPXF technique.

We estimated errors by a Monte Carlo method in which the kinematic parameters are derived from many realizations of the input spectrum obtained by adding Poissonian noise to a model galaxy spectrum. Fig. 2 summarizes the results from such simulations. The significant damping of  $h_3$  and  $h_4$  towards zero is visible when  $\sigma \leq$ 120 km s<sup>-1</sup>, but as noted above, this damping effect decreases with increasing *S/N* and becomes almost negligible for *S/N*  $\geq$  100, as we have in the centre of most of our galaxies. For a *S/N* of 60, the 1 $\sigma$  errors on *V*,  $\sigma$ ,  $h_3$  and  $h_4$  are 4 km s<sup>-1</sup>, 5 km s<sup>-1</sup>, 0.03 and 0.03, respectively, for  $\sigma = 120$  km s<sup>-1</sup>, and 8 km s<sup>-1</sup>, 7 km s<sup>-1</sup>, 0.02 and 0.02, respectively, for  $\sigma = 300$  km s<sup>-1</sup>. At higher *S/N*, the errors scale roughly inversely proportional to *S/N*. These estimates are only lower limits since they do not account for the effect of template and continuum mismatch (Appendix B3). Error maps will be made available with the public data release.

#### 2.5.2 The robustness of the kinematic measurements

In order to assess the robustness of the method described above, we conducted an extensive series of tests. We first carefully inspected the fits of the observed spectra obtained for a number of galaxies



**Figure 2.** Simulated errors on the measured kinematic parameters using Monte Carlo realizations. These plots illustrate the case of an input S/N of 60,  $h_3 = 0.1$ ,  $h_4 = -0.1$ , and for velocity dispersions between 50 and 360 km s<sup>-1</sup>. The solid line in the top panels show the difference between the measured (via pPXF) and the input values for the mean velocity V (left) and the velocity dispersion  $\sigma$  (right). In the bottom panels, we show the recovered  $h_3$  (left) and  $h_4$  (right) values. The dotted lines illustrate the  $1\sigma$  error on these measurements at constant input velocity dispersion.

and these were always found to be excellent (see Appendix B2 for some illustrations). We checked that the kinematic measurements were not affected by the details of the input library.

Most galaxies required a Legendre polynomial of degree 6 for the pixel fitting. For a few galaxies we had to impose a higher degree (10) to fit the spectral shape properly. We verified that this did not artificially bias the kinematic measurements, even the more sensitive higher-order Gauss–Hermite moments  $h_3$  and  $h_4$ . We also found that applying either multiplicative or additive polynomials led to perfectly consistent results.

All tests were performed with two completely independent implementations of the pPXF method and both gave indistinguishable results. The stellar kinematics of all 48 galaxies was also extracted using FCQ in combination with the gas-cleaning procedure described in Paper II. For galaxies not severely affected by gas emission the two methods produced fully consistent results (see Section B1).


#### 2.5.3 Comparison with published kinematics

We have already shown in Paper II that the SAURON stellar and gas kinematics are consistent with published data. To have a more global view of the sample, we performed a comparison between the central stellar velocity dispersion  $\sigma_0$  from the SAURON data and from published values. We made use of three main sources which include a significant overlap with the SAURON target list, namely the Seven Samurai (Davies et al. 1987), the weighted averaged values compiled by Faber et al. (1997) and the compilation of data gathered from papers by Prugniel and Simien and available via the Hypercat<sup>1</sup> on-line catalog (Prugniel & Simien 1996). Our measurements were derived by averaging (luminosity-weighted) dispersion values within a circular aperture with a radius of  $R_e/10$ , but imposing a minimum aperture of  $2.4 \times 2.4 \operatorname{arcsec}^2 (3 \times 3 \operatorname{pixel}^2)$ . A linear regression applied to the combined data set provides a slope of 0.98  $\pm$  0.03 and an average residual of  $-1 \pm 17$  km s<sup>-1</sup>. The average residuals for each individual data set are all consistent with zero and with the scatter expected from the quadratically summed error bars (see Fig. 3): 3.0  $\pm$  18 km s<sup>-1</sup> for the Seven Samurai,  $-2.1 \pm$ 16 km s<sup>-1</sup> for Faber et al. (1997) and  $-5.6 \pm 15$  km s<sup>-1</sup> for the data of Prugniel & Simien (1996). There is no measurable trend for subsamples defined either for  $\sigma < 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  or  $\sigma > 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . This comparison demonstrates that our (velocity dispersion) measurements do not seem to suffer from any detectable systematics.

#### **3 OBSERVED KINEMATICS**

Figs 4(a)–(l) display maps of the absorption-line kinematics of the 48 objects, all plotted on the same angular scale. Not counting multiple exposures, and taking into account overlap of mosaiced exposures, these maps correspond to a total of about 130 000 galaxy spectra (about 32 000 after adaptive binning). The maps are displayed according to increasing NGC number. In each case we show the total intensity reconstructed from the SAURON spectra, the mean stellar velocity V, the velocity dispersion  $\sigma$ , as well as the Gauss–Hermite moments  $h_3$  and  $h_4$ , as derived from the pPXF routine. Mean velocities are with respect to estimated heliocentric systemic velocities, the values of which are provided (corrected for the barycentric motion) in Table 3.

The maps display a wealth of structures. Most galaxies in this E/S0 sample show a significant amount of rotation. Some galaxies



**Figure 3.** Comparison between aperture ( $R_e/10$ ) measurements of the central dispersion of the SAURON E/S0 galaxies and values taken from the literature. *Bottom:* SAURON central dispersions versus values from Davies et al. (1987) (circles), Faber et al. (1997) (triangles) and Prugniel & Simien (1996) (squares). *Top:* difference between the values from SAURON and the literature. The errors from both data sets have been summed quadratically.


exhibit isovelocity contours steeply rising towards the photometric major axis and also tend to show elongated or dumbbell shaped  $\sigma$ fields, for example NGC 821, NGC 2549, NGC 3377, NGC 3384, NGC 4526, NGC 4660, NGC 5308 and NGC 5845. Other objects have strongly misaligned photometric and kinematic axes, indicative of a non-axisymmetric structure (e.g. a bar). This includes NGC 474, NGC 2699, NGC 3384, NGC 4262 and NGC 4477. Some objects display an increase in  $\sigma$  along the photometric major axis associated with a flattening or turnover of the mean velocity gradient along the same axis, for example NGC 4550 and NGC 4473. Kinematically decoupled components (KDCs), showing either a twist in the nuclear kinematic axis or a central velocity field clearly distinct from the rest of the galaxy, are evident in a number of maps, for example NGC 3414, NGC 3608, NGC 4458, NGC 5198, NGC 5813, NGC 5831, NGC 5982, and are detected at smaller (apparent) scales in NGC 4150, NGC 4382, NGC 4621, NGC 7332 and NGC 7457. Some of these were known previously, others are new. Appendix A contains a brief description of these structures, including references to previous long-slit work on these objects. The kinematics presented here will be analysed and discussed in detail in subsequent papers of this series.

#### **4 CONCLUDING REMARKS**

The maps presented in this paper are the result of the first survey of the stellar kinematics of nearby early-type galaxies with an integralfield spectrograph. This unique data set demonstrates that early-type galaxies display significant and varied structure in their kinematic properties, reinforcing the preliminary evidence shown in Paper II, and the hints provided by earlier long-slit spectroscopy. The present SAURON maps demonstrate that 2D coverage is a prerequisite to properly understand the dynamics of nearby galaxies.

The maps shown here constitute only part of the information contained in the SAURON spectra. The morphology and kinematics of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> http://www-obs.univ-lyon1.fr/hypercat/



**Figure 4.** (a)–(1) Maps of the stellar kinematics of the 48 E and S0 galaxies in the SAURON representative sample. The SAURON spectra have been spatially binned to a minimum S/N of 60 by means of the Voronoi 2D-binning algorithm of Cappellari & Copin (2003). All maps are plotted to the same spatial scale. The arrow and its associated dash at the top of each column mark the north and east directions, respectively; the corresponding position angle of the vertical (upward) axis is provided in Table 3. From top to bottom: (i) reconstructed total intensity, (ii) stellar mean velocity V, (iii) stellar velocity dispersion  $\sigma$ , (iv) and (v) Gauss–Hermite moments  $h_3$  and  $h_4$ . The cuts levels are indicated in a box on the right-hand side of each map.




Figure 4 – continued




Figure 4 – continued




Figure 4 – continued




Figure 4 – continued




Figure 4 – continued




Figure 4 – continued




Figure 4 – continued





Figure 4 – continued




NGC 4660 🏷 NGC 5198 🏷

NGC 5308

Figure 4 – continued

NGC 4621 →



 $Figure \; 4-continued$ 

-20 -10

10 20

0

20

10

0

-10

-20

-30

20

10

0

-10

-20

-30

20

10

0

-10

-20

-30

20

10

0

-10

-20

-30

20

10

0

-10

-20

-30




Figure 4 – continued

the emission-line gas, as well as maps of the various line-strengths derived from the same observations will be presented in future papers.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Kambiz Fathi, Jesus Falcón-Barroso, Marc Sarzi and Glenn van de Ven for their help and support at different stages of this work. It is a pleasure to thank the Isaac Newton Group staff, in particular Rene Rutten, Tom Gregory and Chris Benn, for enthusiastic and competent support on La Palma. The SAURON project is made possible through grants 614.13.003 and 781.74.203 from AS-TRON/NWO and financial contributions from the Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers, the Université Claude Bernard Lyon I, the Universities of Durham and Leiden, the British Council, PPARC grant 'Extragalactic Astronomy & Cosmology at Durham 1998-2002', and the Netherlands Research School for Astronomy NOVA. This project made use of the LEDA data base: http://leda.univlyon1.fr/. MB acknowledges support from NASA through Hubble Fellowship grant HST-HF-01136.01 awarded by the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., for NASA, under contract NAS 5-26555. MC acknowledges support from a VENI grant awarded by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research. The Digitized Sky Surveys were produced at the Space Telescope Science Institute under US Government grant NAG W-2166. The images of these surveys are based on photographic data obtained using the Oschin Schmidt Telescope on Palomar Mountain and the UK Schmidt Telescope.

#### REFERENCES

- Afanasiev V. L., Sil'chenko O. K., 2002, AJ, 124, 706
- Alonso M. V., Bernardi M., da Costa L. N., Wegner G., Willmer C. N. A., Pellegrini P. S., Maia M. A. G., 2003, AJ, 125, 2307
- Bacon R. et al., 1995, A&AS, 113, 347
- Bacon R., Emsellem E., Copin Y., Monnet G., 2000, in van Breugel W., Bland-Hawthorn J., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 195, Imaging the Universe in Three Dimensions. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 173
- Bacon R., Emsellem E., Combes F., Copin Y., Monnet G., Martin P., 2001a, A&A, 371, 409
- Bacon R. et al., 2001b, MNRAS, 326, 23 (Paper I)
- Bender R., 1990, A&A, 229, 441
- Bender R., Saglia R. P., Gerhard O. E., 1994, MNRAS, 269, 785
- Bertola F., Cinzano P., Corsini E. M., Rix H.-W., Zeilinger W. W., 1995, ApJ, 448, L13
- Bettoni D., Galletta G., 1997, A&AS, 124, 61
- Blakeslee J. P., Lucey J. R., Barris B. J., Hudson M. J., Tonry J. L., 2001, MNRAS, 327, 1004
- Bower G. A. et al., 2001, ApJ, 550, 75
- Burbidge E. M., Burbidge G. R., 1959, ApJ, 130, 20
- Busarello G., Capaccioli M., D'Onofrio M., Longo G., Richter G., Zaggia S., 1996, A&A, 314, 32
- Caon N., Macchetto D., Pastoriza M., 2000, ApJS, 127, 39
- Cappellari M., Copin Y., 2003, MNRAS, 342, 345
- Cappellari M., Emsellem E., 2004, PASP, 116, 138
- Carollo C. M., Danziger I. J., Buson L., 1993, MNRAS, 265, 553
- Cinzano P., van der Marel R. P., 1994, MNRAS, 270, 325
- Copin Y., Cretton N., Emsellem E., 2004, A&A, 415, 889
- Davies R. L., 1981, MNRAS, 194, 879
- Davies R. L., Birkinshaw M., 1988, ApJS, 68, 409
- Davies R. L., Efstathiou G., Fall S. M., Illingworth G., Schechter P. L., 1983, ApJ, 266, 41
- Davies R. L., Burstein D., Dressler A., Faber S. M., Lynden-Bell D., Terlevich R. J., Wegner G., 1987, ApJS, 64, 581

- Debattista V. P., Corsini E. M., Aguerri J. A. L., 2002, MNRAS, 332, 65
- de Vaucouleurs G., de Vaucouleurs A., Corwin H. G., Buta R. J., Paturel G., Fouque P., 1991, Vol. 1–3, XII, Third Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies. Springer-Verlag, Berlin
- de Zeeuw P. T. et al., 2002, MNRAS, 329, 513 (Paper II)
- Du Q., Faber V., Gunzburger M., 1999, SIAM Rev., 41, 637
- Efstathiou G., Ellis R. S., Carter D., 1980, MNRAS, 193, 931
- Efstathiou G., Ellis R. S., Carter D., 1982, MNRAS, 201, 975
- Emsellem E., Bacon R., Monnet G., Poulain P., 1996, A&A, 312, 777 Faber S. M. et al., 1997, AJ, 114, 1771
- Falcón-Barroso J., Balcells M., Peletier R. F., Vazdekis A., 2003, A&A, 405,
- 455 Falcón-Barroso J. et al., 2004, MNRAS, 350, 35
- Fisher D., 1997, AJ, 113, 950
- Fisher D., Illingworth G., Franx M., 1994, AJ, 107, 160
- Fried J. W., Illingworth G. D., 1994, AJ, 107, 992
- Gebhardt K. et al., 2000, AJ, 119, 1157
- Gerhard O. E., 1993, MNRAS, 265, 213
- Halliday C., Davies R. L., Kuntschner H., Birkinshaw M., Bender R., Saglia R. P., Baggley G., 2001, MNRAS, 326, 473
- Hau G. K. T., Balcells M., Carter D., 1996, in Bender R., Davies R. L., eds, IAU Symp. 171, New Light on Galaxy Evolution. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 388
- Hau G. K. T., Carter D., Balcells M., 1999, MNRAS, 306, 437
- Jarvis B. J., Dubath P., Martinet L., Bacon R., 1988, A&AS, 74, 513
- Jedrzejewski R., Schechter P. L., 1988, ApJ, 330, L87
- Jedrzejewski R., Schechter P. L., 1989, AJ, 98, 147
- Jones L. A., 1997, PhD thesis, Univ. North Carolina, Chapel Hill
- Joseph C. L. et al., 2001, ApJ, 550, 668
- Kormendy J., 1984, ApJ, 287, 577
- McDermid R. et al., 2004, Astron, Nachr., 325, 100
- Michard R., 1999, A&AS, 137, 245
- Neistein E., Maoz D., Rix H.-W., Tonry J. L., 1999, AJ, 117, 2666
- Peletier R. F., Christodoulou D. M., 1993, AJ, 105, 1378
- Peletier R. F., Davies R. L., Illingworth G. D., Davis L. E., Cawson M., 1990, AJ, 100, 1091
- Pellegrini S., Held E. V., Ciotti L., 1997, MNRAS, 288, 1
- Peterson C. J., 1978, ApJ, 222, 84
- Pinkney J. et al., 2003, ApJ, 596, 903
- Prugniel P., Simien F., 1996, A&A, 309, 749
- Rix H.-W., White S. D. M., 1992, MNRAS, 254, 389
- Rix H.-W., Franx M., Fisher D., Illingworth G., 1992, ApJ, 400, L5
- Rix H.-W., Carollo C. M., Freeman K., 1999, ApJ, 513, L25
- Sargent W. L. W., Young P. J., Lynds C. R., Boksenberg A., Shortridge K., Hartwick F. D. A., 1978, ApJ, 221, 731
- Schechter P. L., Gunn J. E., 1979, ApJ, 229, 472
- Scorza C., Bender R., 1995, A&A, 293, 20
- Seifert W., Scorza C., 1996, A&A, 310, 75
- Sil'chenko O. K., 1999, AJ, 117, 2725
- Sil'chenko O. K., 2000, AJ, 120, 741
- Sil'chenko O. K., Afanasiev V. L., Chavushyan V. H., Valdes J. R., 2002, ApJ, 577, 668
- Sil'chenko O. K., Moiseev A. V., Afanasiev V. L., Chavushyan V. H., Valdes J. R., 2003, ApJ, 591, 185
- Simien F., Prugniel P., 1997a, A&AS, 126, 15
- Simien F., Prugniel P., 1997b, A&AS, 126, 519
- Simien F., Prugniel P., 2000, A&AS, 145, 263
- Simien F., Prugniel P., 2002, A&A, 384, 371
- Statler T., 1995, AJ, 109, 1371
- Statler T. S., Smecker-Hane T., 1999, AJ, 117, 839
- Turnbull A. J., Bridges T. J., Carter D., 1999, MNRAS, 307, 967
- van den Bosch F. C., Emsellem E., 1998, MNRAS, 298, 267
- van den Bosch F. C., Jaffe W., van der Marel R. P., 1998, MNRAS, 293, 343
- van der Marel R. P., 1994, MNRAS, 270, 271
- van der Marel R. P., Franx M., 1993, ApJ, 407, 525
- Vazdekis A., 1999, ApJ, 513, 224
- Wagner S. J., Bender R., Moellenhoff C., 1988, A&A, 195, L5
- Wernli F., Emsellem E., Copin Y., 2002, A&A, 396, 73

#### APPENDIX A: DESCRIPTION FOR INDIVIDUAL GALAXIES

Here we briefly comment on the structures observed in the SAURON maps of the E/S0 sample presented in this paper. A thorough and quantitative assessment of these structures will be carried out in subsequent papers. Independently derived velocity and velocity dispersion maps for NGC 3379, NGC 3384, NGC 4550 and NGC 7457 were presented and discussed in Afanasiev & Sil'chenko (2002), Sil'chenko et al. (2002) and Sil'chenko et al. (2003).

**NGC 474:** This galaxy (Arp 227), famous for its shell structures (e.g. Turnbull, Bridges & Carter 1999), is a clear case of a non-axisymmetric object, with a strong misalignment between the kinematic and photometric major axes (Hau, Balcells & Carter 1996).

**NGC 524:** This galaxy has surprisingly high velocities (amplitude larger than 140 km s<sup>-1</sup>) considering its low ellipticity  $\epsilon < 0.05$  (Sil'chenko 2000; Simien & Prugniel 2000), as well as large  $h_3$  values up to large radii, anticorrelated with V.

**NGC 821:** A close to edge-on galaxy with one of the most prominent disc-like kinematics in the E/S0 SAURON sample revealed by its velocity field (see also Bender, Saglia & Gerhard 1994; Scorza & Bender 1995; Pinkney et al. 2003).

**NGC 1023:** This is an example of an SB0 galaxy showing  $h_3$  anticorrelated with V inside ~10 arcsec, and correlated outside (Bower et al. 2001). There is a strong twist of the zero-velocity curve near the centre. Stellar kinematics has been previously published by Simien & Prugniel (1997b), Neistein et al. (1999), Sil'chenko (1999), including multiple long-slit data parallel to the major axis (Debattista, Corsini & Aguerri 2002). The  $h_3$  and  $h_4$  maps show significantly negative values on the western side of the galaxy which are not observed by Debattista et al. (2002). The cause for this discrepancy is not known, so these features should be confirmed.

**NGC 2549:** The central high-dispersion region is elongated along the photometric minor axis, due to the contribution of a rapidly rotating component (see also Seifert & Scorza 1996; Simien & Prugniel 1997b; Neistein et al. 1999), exemplified by the isovelocities pinched together along the photometric major axis, and the correspondingly large  $h_3$  values.

**NGC 2685:** The kinematics of this famous object (the Helix galaxy; Burbidge & Burbidge 1959; Peletier & Christodoulou 1993) are strongly perturbed by extinction due to polar dust lanes on its north-east side. The velocity dispersion in the centre displays a double-peak structure (Simien & Prugniel 1997b; Hau, Carter & Balcells 1999).

**NGC 2695:** This galaxy shows a high amplitude in  $h_3$  in the central 7 arcsec, anticorrelated with the mean velocity which has pinched isocontours. The long-slit data of Simien & Prugniel (1997a) contains a hint of this central kinematical structure.

**NGC 2699:** The kinematical major axis in the central region is misaligned from the photometric major axis. The mean velocity map shows a rapidly rotating component with a local maximum at about 5 arcsec from the centre: within this region,  $h_3$  departs significantly from zero and is anticorrelated with V. There is a weak hint of such a decoupled structure in the data of Simien & Prugniel (2000).

**NGC 2768:** This galaxy shows a rather cylindrical velocity field, with a shallow gradient across the rotation axis (Fried & Illingworth 1994). There is a pronounced dip in the central dispersion field, also clearly present in the data of Simien & Prugniel (1997b). Significant dust extinction is present north of the centre (Michard 1999).

**NGC 2974:** A very rapidly rotating galaxy which has an  $h_3$  field with one of the strongest amplitudes. The  $h_4$  map exhibits a central dip, as found by, e.g. Cinzano & van der Marel (1994), but stays positive, in contrast to the data of Bender et al. (1994).

NGC 3032: This dusty galaxy shows mild rotation and low dispersion values.

**NGC 3156:** Another dusty galaxy with rather low dispersion everywhere ( $\sigma < 75 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ), consistent with other authors (Bender et al. 1994; Rix, Carollo & Freeman 1999).

**NGC 3377:** The zero-velocity curve is slightly misaligned with the photometric minor axis of the galaxy (Paper I; Copin, Cretton & Emsellem 2004), giving the modest minor-axis rotation observed in the long-slit study of Halliday et al. (2001), and the dispersion map shows a clear elongation along the photometric minor axis of the galaxy.

**NGC 3379:** This roundish galaxy has  $h_3$  values being anticorrelated with the mean velocity, and has fairly constant positive  $h_4$  values: this is consistent with other published data (e.g. Statler & Smecker-Hane 1999; Gebhardt et al. 2000; Halliday et al. 2001).

**NGC 3384:** The dispersion map exhibits a dumbbell-like shape, coincident with a rapidly rotating component in the central 5 arcsec (Busarello et al. 1996; Fisher 1997), with a strong anticorrelation signature in  $h_3$ . The velocities are nearly cylindrical in the outer part of this galaxy classified as SB0 (Paper II). Our  $h_4$  map shows a slight dip at the centre, consistent with the long-slit profile of Fisher (1997). Our  $h_4$  map is consistent with  $h_4$  being positive everywhere, in contrast to the measurements of Pinkney et al. (2003).

**NGC 3414:** A central counter-rotating component is visible in the velocity map of this peculiar galaxy (Arp 162), with the  $h_3$  field showing a corresponding sign reversal just outside this kinematically decoupled structure. The decoupled nature of this central component has not been previously reported in the literature, although long-slit data exist (Bertola et al. 1995).

**NGC 3489:** The photometry is perturbed by dust extinction. The effect is not evident in the kinematic maps although it may explain the local increase in the dispersion map at about 15 arcsec west from the centre (Caon, Macchetto & Pastoriza 2000). Inside 4 arcsec, the opening angle of the isovelocities decreases, indicating a fast rotating structure.  $h_3$  decreases inwards, with a turnover at a radius of about 4 arcsec, inside of which it shows a small increase.

**NGC 3608:** The velocity field displays the presence of the counter-rotating core (Jedrzejewski & Schechter 1988; Halliday et al. 2001) in the central 8 arcsec. As in the case of NGC 3384, we measure positive  $h_4$  values everywhere, in disagreement with the data published by Pinkney et al. (2003).

**NGC 4150:** The dispersion map exhibits a double-peaked structure along the major axis, which corresponds to a region where the velocity gradient flattens out. A closer look at the central 5 arcsec reveals the presence of a counter-rotating structure.

**NGC 4262:** This strongly barred galaxy has a remarkably regular velocity field, the long-axis of the bar being clearly misaligned with the kinematic minor axis.  $h_3$  changes sign twice along the major axis.

**NGC 4270:** At large radii, the velocity field is close to cylindrical. Inside 10 arcsec the opening angle of the isovelocity contours decreases, with a corresponding increase in the  $h_3$  amplitude. The velocity curve in the central  $\sim$ 5 arcsec is flat (Simien & Prugniel 1997a).

**NGC 4278:** This galaxy shows fairly regular kinematics, with the mean velocity decreasing at radii larger than 10 arcsec along the major axis (Schechter & Gunn 1979; Davies & Birkinshaw 1988). The central dispersion peak is elongated along the major axis. The

 $h_4$  map reveals relatively high positive values everywhere except inside 6 arcsec, where it drops significantly, consistent with the long-slit data published by van der Marel & Franx (1993), but not with Bender et al. (1994).

**NGC 4374:** The velocity map is consistent with  $V \approx 0$  everywhere in the SAURON field (Davies 1981), except for a very weak large-scale gradient and a rotation pattern in the central 3 arcsec. With NGC 4278 and NGC 4486, this is among the galaxies in the SAURON E/S0 sample with the highest relative contribution from emission lines.

**NGC 4382:** The velocity field is nearly cylindrical in the outer part. It exhibits a strong twist inwards, with the signature of a decoupled component in the central 2 arcsec, where there is also a sign reversal in  $h_3$  (Bender et al. 1994). A clear counter-rotation is visible from higher-resolution OASIS maps (McDermid et al. 2004). The dispersion shows a remarkably strong depression in the central 8–10 arcsec, clearly seen in Fisher (1997). There is a ring of positive  $h_4$  values at about 8 arcsec, hinted in the long-slit data of Bender et al. (1994).

**NGC 4387:** This rather boxy galaxy (Peletier et al. 1990) has a central dispersion drop, already noticed by Halliday et al. (2001).

**NGC 4458:** The KDC is clearly visible in the SAURON velocity map of this galaxy (see Halliday et al. 2001), and the velocity outside 5 arcsec is consistent with being equal to zero.

**NGC 4459:** The small opening angle of the isovelocities in the central 10 arcsec corresponds to a region where  $h_3$  is anticorrelated with *V*, and has a rather high amplitude (see Peterson 1978).

**NGC 4473:** The velocity dispersion field exhibits a complex morphology, with a region of high dispersion along the major axis which widens at larger radii, and a central drop inside 3 arcsec, not observed in Bender et al. (1994). The widening in the dispersion map is particularly significant outside 10 arcsec where the mean velocity is observed to decrease outwards. This also corresponds to a region of lower  $h_4$ .

**NGC 4477:** The SAURON field of view zooms in on the bar of this galaxy where the kinematic and photometric major axis are misaligned and the velocity field shows a significant twist of its zero-velocity curve within the central 4 arcsec, and nearly cylindrical rotation outside. Jarvis et al. (1988) noticed the existence of considerable minor-axis rotation as well as a flat velocity dispersion profile with a central dip which is not visible in our data.

**NGC 4486:** This well-known giant elliptical galaxy has velocities consistent with zero everywhere in the SAURON field of view, confirming the absence of rotation, and high central velocity dispersion (Sargent et al. 1978; Davies & Birkinshaw 1988; Bender et al. 1994; van der Marel 1994).

**NGC 4526:** This S0 object has a prominent dust disc which is not only visible in the reconstructed SAURON image, but also influences the observed kinematics. The dumbbell shape in the dispersion map is due to a fast rotating stellar component, well visible in the velocity map. The data of Pellegrini, Held & Ciotti (1997) also shows the drop in velocity between 10 and 20 arcsec, which corresponds to this component.  $h_3$  reverses sign at about 20 arcsec along the major axis.

**NGC 4546:** The velocity field of this barred galaxy displays a regular rotation pattern (Bettoni & Galletta 1997), anticorrelated with  $h_3$ .

**NGC 4550:** This object has two counter-rotating stellar discs of similar mass (Rix et al. 1992) which, at the spectral resolution of SAURON, produce a large region with a near zero mean velocity V and a dispersion increasing outwards along the major axis. Note the extraordinary decoupling revealed by SAURON, the outer disc

being counter-rotating with respect to the main body of the galaxy further away from the equatorial plane, also noticed by Afanasiev & Sil'chenko (2002).  $h_4$  is significantly lower outside 5 arcsec along the major axis.

**NGC 4552:** We detect weak rotation in this galaxy and a corresponding weak correlated  $h_3$  field (Bender et al. 1994). The zero velocity curve is significantly twisted.

**NGC 4564:** This flattened galaxy shows the signature of a disclike component in the pinched *V* map, anticorrelated with  $h_3$  (Bender et al. 1994; Halliday et al. 2001). The large  $h_3$  amplitude along the major axis outside ~5 arcsec is, however, not observed by Pinkney et al. (2003) who also measured significantly negative  $h_4$ .

**NGC 4570:** The inner part of the velocity field and  $h_3$  map suggest the presence of a fast rotating disc-like structure. This component was also seen in long-slit observations (van den Bosch, Jaffe & van der Marel 1998) and interpreted as due to bar-driven evolution (van den Bosch & Emsellem 1998).

**NGC 4621:** This object is another case showing indication for a disc-like component with strong anticorrelation between V and  $h_3$  (Bender 1990; Bender et al. 1994). A counter-rotating component is also detected inside 2 arcsec, previously discovered with adaptive optics assisted integral-field spectroscopy (Wernli, Emsellem & Copin 2002).

**NGC 4660:** The velocity map shows the superposition of two rapidly rotating components: an inner one inside 5 arcsec and a thicker structure outside 7 arcsec. This galaxy was used by Bender et al. (1994) as a prototype for discy ellipticals, due to its pointed isophotal shape and the strong  $h_3$  anticorrelated with V.

**NGC 5198:** The velocity field reveals a central KDC, rotating nearly perpendicularly with respect to the outer body, both being misaligned with respect to the photometric axes of the galaxy. A 'suspect' central velocity profile was noticed by Jedrzejewski & Schechter (1989).

**NGC 5308:** This is a typical case of a close to edge-on disc galaxy showing a rapidly rotating component (Seifert & Scorza 1996) and a double sign reversal in  $h_3$ . The central dispersion structure is box-shaped.

**NGC 5813:** A galaxy with a well-known KDC (Efstathiou, Ellis & Carter 1980, 1982; Kormendy 1984; Bender et al. 1994) evident in the SAURON velocity map (see Paper II). The galaxy has negligible rotation at larger radii, and shows weak evidence for minor-axis rotation. The dispersion field also shows an unusual ring-like depression at about 5 arcsec from the centre.

**NGC 5831:** The SAURON maps needed significant rebinning to reach the required S/N, but the velocity field reveals the well-known KDC (Davies et al. 1983; Peletier et al. 1990).

**NGC 5838:** This boxy galaxy is a strong rotator, with a double sign reversal in  $h_3$ . Minor-axis spectra of Falcón-Barroso et al. (2003) show a small amount of rotation, very probably due to the extinction produced by a dust lane most prominent on the south-west side within 3 arcsec of the centre.

**NGC 5845:** The velocity field of this galaxy shows two distinct peaks on each side, at about 2 arcsec and 8 arcsec from the centre. These features are barely detected in the long-slit data of Simien & Prugniel (2002). The central kinematically decoupled structure corresponds to a lower dispersion and a peak in  $h_3$ .

**NGC 5846:** This is an example of a giant elliptical with a very low (but present) rotation (Carollo, Danziger & Buson 1993), and a high central dispersion. The SAURON field of view includes a foreground star and a companion (NGC 5846A) north and south of its nucleus, respectively.  $h_4$  is high everywhere, confirming the trend observed by Bender et al. (1994).

**NGC 5982:** The SAURON data confirm the presence of a KDC first detected by Wagner, Bender & Moellenhoff (1988). The SAURON maps reveal that the central rotation axis seems to be nearly perpendicular to the rotation of the main body, the central  $h_3$  structure following this orientation.


**NGC 7332:** Another strongly boxy galaxy with a KDC (counterrotating) in the central 3 arcsec (see Falcón-Barroso et al. 2004), and a high central dispersion region elongated along the major axis (see also data by Fisher, Illingworth & Franx 1994; Seifert & Scorza 1996; Simien & Prugniel 1997b; Sil'chenko 1999).

**NGC 7457:** The velocity map exhibits a nearly cylindrical rotation, as well as a central counter-rotating structure (see also data by Simien & Prugniel 1997b).

## APPENDIX B: TESTS OF THE KINEMATICS EXTRACTION

#### B1 Comparison of pPXF with FCQ

In Fig. B1 we show a comparison between the stellar kinematics recovered via FCQ and our pPXF technique (see Section 2.5.1). We illustrate this with the case of NGC 4473 which is free of (detected) emission lines (see Fig. B2). In Fig. B1 we present the major (left panels) and minor-axis (right panels) profiles for the first four extracted parameters, namely V,  $\sigma$ ,  $h_3$  and  $h_4$ . The agreement between the FCQ (crosses with error bars) and pPXF (solid lines) methods is excellent except for a slight discrepancy observed in the  $h_4$  profiles. FCQ tends to provide  $h_4$  values systematically lower than pPXF. Although this offset depends significantly on the continuum subtraction procedure (e.g. degree of polynomial) and the spectral domain (including the region around H $\beta$  or not) used in FCQ, we could not fully reconcile the FCQ and pPXF  $h_4$  measurements. This difference in the  $h_4$  measurements may be related to the negative bias in the determination of  $h_4$  via FCQ, when the LOSVD is not



**Figure B1.** Comparison between the stellar kinematics of NGC 4473 extracted via the FCQ (crosses) and the pPXF (solid lines) methods. The major and minor-axis profiles are respectively shown in the left and right panels, with, from top to bottom V,  $\sigma$ ,  $h_3$  and  $h_4$ . The long-slit major-axis measurements of Bender et al. (1994) are shown (filled dots) for comparison.

well sampled, noticed by Joseph et al. (2001) (see their fig. 13) and in Pinkney et al. (2003).

#### **B2** Examples of resulting fits

Here we present a few illustrative examples of spectral fits obtained using the pPXF and optimal fitting procedure described in Section 2.5. Fig. B2 displays the results obtained for five galaxies in the SAURON E/S0 sample, probing a range in absolute luminosity, absorption-line depths and degree of contamination by emission lines:

(i) NGC 4150 which has rather deep H $\beta$  absorption lines and some contribution from emission lines,


(ii) NGC 4278 which shows very significant emission-line contamination,

(iii) NGC 4473, an intermediate luminosity elliptical with no detected emission lines,

(iv) NGC 5982 as the brightest galaxy in the SAURON E/S0 sample, and

 $\left(v\right)$  NGC 7457 for a case of a low-dispersion galaxy with detected emission.

In each case, we present a central spectrum as well as a spectrum at a radius of about 10 arcsec (chosen to lie at  $45^{\circ}$  from the



**Figure B2.** Resulting spectral fits obtained with the pPXF routine for a few galaxies in the SAURON E/S0 sample. Each panel corresponds to a different galaxy (see name label at the left), with the upper spectrum taken at the centre and the lower one at a radius of about 10 arcsec. The SAURON spectra are drawn as thick grey lines, and the corresponding fit obtained from the pPXF routine drawn as thin solid lines. All spectra have been shifted to rest wavelengths, as well as normalized and vertically offset for better legibility. The expected locations of a few emission lines are indicated at the top of the figure.


photometric major axis). As mentioned in Section 2.5, all fits are excellent, with local discrepancies between the fitted and observed spectra emphasizing the presence of emission lines (H $\beta$ , [O III], [N I]). The use of an individual optimal template spectrum for each SAURON spectrum is crucial as line depths can vary significantly within the SAURON field of view. These plots demonstrate the flexibility of our procedure.

#### **B3** Template mismatch

The effect of template mismatch is difficult to quantify, as galaxy spectra are a complex (luminosity-weighted) mix of different stellar populations with different velocity distributions. We can, however, perform some simple tests by examining the change in the measured stellar kinematics as we perturb the spectral shape of either the stellar template or the galaxy spectrum.

We performed this in a way similar to the procedure described by Rix & White (1992). We first chose an optimal template representative of the ones obtained for the galaxies in the E/S0 SAURON sample. We added a random Gaussian perturbation to that template *before* convolving it with a LOSVD parametrized as a Gauss– Hermite series to simulate a 'galaxy' spectrum. In contrast to what is done in Rix & White (1992), we preferred not to add any additional Poissonian noise, to isolate the sole effect of template mismatch. We then retrieved the kinematic parameters with our pPXF routine (see Section 2.5.1) to finally compare the extracted and input values. This is repeated for many different Monte Carlo realizations of the perturbation.

In Fig. B3, we show such a comparison for an input velocity of  $V_{in} = 20$  km s<sup>-1</sup>, a dispersion of  $\sigma_{in} = 200$  km s<sup>-1</sup>,  $h_{3,in} = 0.1$  and  $h_{4,in} = -0.1$ . The plots show the retrieved kinematics versus the rms of the residual mismatch between the simulated 'galaxy' spectrum and the best-fitting model. The maximum uncertainty in the retrieved kinematic parameters increases almost linearly with the scatter in the fit. In the case of the SAURON data presented in this paper,



**Figure B3.** Effect of template mismatch on retrieved stellar kinematics. A random perturbation was added to a template spectrum *before* convolving it with a LOSVD parametrized as a fourth-order Gauss–Hermite series. The input LOSVD parameters were  $V_{\rm in} = 20 \,\rm km \, s^{-1}$ ,  $\sigma_{\rm in} = 200 \,\rm km \, s^{-1}$ ,  $h_{3,\rm in} = 0.1$  and  $h_{4,\rm in} = -0.1$ . The measured kinematics (crosses) for 1000 different Monte Carlo realizations of the perturbation are shown as a function of the corresponding rms (in percentage) of the residual mismatch between the input spectrum and the best-fitting model (see text). The thick grey line indicates the true input parameters of the LOSVD.

we measured (on the highest *S*/*N* spectra where template mismatch dominates over Poissonian noise), a typical residual mismatch in the fit of about 0.4 per cent rms, with a maximum value of 0.55 per cent. The above test then indicates an upper limit to any systematic error on  $h_3$  and  $h_4$  of  $\leq 0.03$ , although in practice our actual error is likely to be significantly lower than this maximum.

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.