



University of Groningen

Mechanism of the heavy-ion charge exchange reaction 12C(12C, 12N)12B at 35 MeV/nucleon

Winfield, J.S.; Anantaraman, N.; Austin, Sam M.; Harwood, L.H.; van der Plicht, Johannes; Zeller, A.F.

Published in: Physical Review C

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.33.1333

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 1986

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Winfield, J. S., Anantaraman, N., Austin, S. M., Harwood, L. H., Plicht, J. V. D., & Zeller, A. F. (1986). Mechanism of the heavy-ion charge exchange reaction 12C(12C, 12N)12B at 35 MeV/nucleon. Physical Review C, 33(4). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.33.1333

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Erratum

Erratum: Mechanism of the heavy-ion charge-exchange reaction ¹²C(¹²C, ¹²N)¹²B at 35 MeV/nucleon [Phys. Rev. C 33, 1333 (1986)]

J. S. Winfield, N. Anantaraman, Sam M. Austin, L. H. Harwood, J. van der Plicht, H.-L. Wu, and A. F. Zeller

An error has been found in the charge-exchange form factor code [A. Etchegoyen *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A397, 343 (1983)] we used to calculate the one-step distorted-wave Born approximation predictions for the ${}^{12}C({}^{12}C,{}^{12}N){}^{12}B$ reaction. Revised values for $V_{\sigma\tau}$ in Table III of the paper are presented as follows for each ${}^{12}B$ state (indicated by spin and parity):

J_f	1+	2+	2-	4- (2-)
$V_{\sigma\tau}$ (MeV)	32	25	62	24

Only the cross section for the ¹²B 2⁺ (0.95 MeV) state is greatly affected; compared to the paper, the revised value is more consistent with the values deduced from the other states. Our main conclusions are unaffected since they were based on the ¹²B 1⁺ ground state, which shows only a relatively small change (10% in $V_{\sigma\tau}$).

We thank A. Etchegoyen for informing us of the error.