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## SHORT COMMUNICATION

# Immuno-flow cytometric identification and enumeration of the ichthyotoxic dinoflagellate Gyrodinium aureolum Hulburt in artificially mixed algal populations 
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#### Abstract

Flow cytometric identification and enumeration of Gyrodinium aureolum Hulburt (Dinophyceae) were performed in artificially mixed algal populations using direct immunofluorescence. Calibration of the flow cytometer, performed with a mixed algal population spiked with immunofluorescently labelled G.aureolum cells, showed that selection of target cells after analysis on green and orange fluorescence can be done with a recovery of $91.8 \%$ [coefficient of variation (CV) $=0.09$ ]. Other selection methods were less good, with $67.4 \%(C V=0.16)$ and $58.4 \%(C V=0.43)$ recovery based on green and red fluorescence or green fluorescence and perpendicular light scattering. For mixed algal populations spiked with unlabelled G.aureolum cells, the quantification of target cells was quite good (recovery of $76.7 \%$; CV $=0.20$ ). The percentage of total cell loss was high ( $58.0-92.0 \%$ ), but this was caused mostly by loss of species smaller in size than G.aureolum. Estimates of the relative contribution of G.aureolum in labelled samples were therefore often far too high, but detection and quantification were not affected. The methodological underestimation ( $23.3 \%$ ) was partly caused by gating on green and orange fluorescence (inaccuracy $8.2 \%$ ).


Monitoring is an important tool in phytoplankton survey programmes for the study of succession, species diversity and abundance, and phytoplankton dynamics. In order to increase the capacity and speed of sample analysis, and to obtain objective data, the Dutch Government started a research programme in 1990 to investigate the applicability of flow cytometry to complement traditional microscopic observations. Hofstraat et al. (1994) showed that results of flow cytometric and microscopic phytoplankton monitoring over a whole year were comparable. They also showed that flow cytometry supplies quantitative data on chlorophyll fluorescence in single cells that can be related to bulk parameters such as biomass. The fluorescence properties of phototrophic species even allowed the distinction of major phytoplankton classes based on pigment composition.

Part of the Dutch flow cytometry programme covers the identification of (potentially) toxic and nuisance species at low cell densities ( $10^{3} \mathrm{I}^{-1}$ ). To achieve this, flow cytometry has been linked to immunofluorescent probing of cell surface antigens of target organisms (Vrieling et al., 1993a,b, 1994, 1995). Immunofluorescence provides a sensitive and powerful method. It takes advantage of the
endless variety of mammalian antibody molecules for the specific detection of antigens characteristic of various toxic phytopklankton species (Anderson, 1995; Vrieling and Anderson, 1996) and allows the distinct identification of toxic target species which are either morphologically closely related to non-toxic forms (Bates et al., 1993; Vrieling et al., 1994) or difficult to classify due to cell size (Anderson et al., 1993). The combination of immunofluorescence and flow cytometry is a promising approach that may enable rapid identification of toxic species before a bloom really has started. Therewith, a so-called 'early warning system' becomes feasible. The detection of toxic species at low cell densities in the field may contribute to the investigation of ecophysiological conditions which initiate or regulate a harmful bloom at stages before biotoxins exert their negative effects.

It was previously demonstrated that labelled naked dinoflagellates can successfully be distinguished from unlabelled cells (Vrieling et al., 1993a,b). For the ichthyotoxic dinoflagellates Gyrodinium (cf.) aureolum Hulburt and morphologically closely related Gymnodinium species, distinction of labelled and unlabelled cells was readily achieved by flow cytometry (Vrieling et al., 1994). Immunofluorescence experiments using flow cytometry have usually been performed on unialgal laboratory cultures (e.g. Vrieling et al., 1993a, 1994; Buma et al., 1995; Orellana and Perry, 1995), in which probe fluorescence has been quantified for individual cells as an indicator to identify antigens. Field samples taken in the Dutch part of the North Sea, however, normally contain at least 10-20 different species, each with their own seasonal and temporal pattern of abundance (Reid et al., 1990). Cell numbers in this area vary over the year from $10^{4}$ to $10^{8} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$, even reaching $>10^{9} 1^{-1}$ during the annual Phaeocystis spring blooms (Cadée and Hegeman, 1986). We observed in a preliminary application study, in which we used immuno-flow cytometry for the identification of G.aureolum in Lugol-fixed field samples, that data acquisition triggered on perpendicular light scattering (PLS) was insufficient (Vrieling et al., 1995). The results revealed that (i) a tremendous cell loss occurred (mainly caused by processing samples in the indirect immunoassay) and (ii) flow cytometric resolution was poor due to the excessive presence of small particles such as detritus and ultraphytoplankton. Nevertheless, G.aureolum bloom formation and decline were determined successfully at two stations in the central North Sea (Vrieling et al., 1995).

In order to improve the applicability of monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) developed against cell surface antigens of G.aureolum (Vrieling et al., 1994), and to determine the accuracy and resolution of immuno-flow cytometric identification of this species, a direct immunofluorescence (DIF) assay was developed and adapted logistically to minimize wash steps. For MAb $\alpha-\mathrm{GA}_{8}$ (Vrieling et al., 1994), 180 mg of immunoglobulins (type $\mathrm{IgG}_{1}$ ) were affinity purified from 5.01 MAb containing hybridoma supernatant. Purified $\mathrm{IgG}_{1}(50 \mathrm{mg})$ was conjugated with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) $\left(120 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{mg}^{-1} \mathrm{IgG}_{1}\right)$ according to standard MCA Development B.V. procedures (Groningen, The Netherlands), adapted from Goding (1976). The highest fluorescence intensity at a sufficient binding capacity of $\alpha-\mathrm{GA}_{8} /$ FITC was obtained at an FITC/protein ratio of 6.4 (E.G.Vrieling, unpublished results). FITC-conjugated $\alpha-\mathrm{GA}_{8}$ MAbs were $0.20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filter sterilized, stabilized by adding $0.2 \%$ (w/v) bovine serum albumin, and protected from
bacterial digestion by $0.1 \% ~(w / v)$ sodium azide before storage at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The MAbs were used to label Gaureolum in unialgal cultures and artificially mixed algal populations, which were fixed with paraformaldehyde. Immunofluorescence for the calibration experiment, in which G.aureolum cells were labelled prior to addition to mixed populations, was adapted from Vrieling et al. (1994).

Artificially mixed algal populations were created by combining volumes of $5.0-30.0 \mathrm{ml}$ (depending on the density of the culture and species) of exponentially and early stationary growing phytoplankton cultures (species are listed in Table I). One series of these mixed populations was spiked with labelled G.aureolum cells at different well-defined concentrations; to mixed populations ( 8.0 ml ) an increasing amount of FITC-labelled G.aureolum cells was added. Another series was spiked with an increasing amount of unlabelled G.aureolum cells as follows. Ten samples (volume ranged from 80.0 to 100.0 ml ) were mixed well, but gently,

Table I. Species used to assemble mixed algal populations, their reactivity with monoclonal antibody $\alpha-\mathrm{GA}_{8} /$ FTTC and cell dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ). The strains designated by CCMP were obtained from the Provasoli-Guillard Culture Collection, West Boothbay Harbor (MA), USA. All strains were grown as unialgal batch cultures ( $100-500 \mathrm{ml}$; depending on species) in $\mathrm{F} / 2$ enriched seawater (Guillard, 1975) at a temperature of $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a daily $14 / 10 \mathrm{~h}$ light/dark regime (light intensity $\sim 100 \mu \mathrm{E} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ )

| Species | Strain | IF reactivity | Cell dimensions* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Length | Width |
| Bacillariophyceae |  |  |  |  |
| Cyclotella spp. | CCRUG | - | 15.0-20.0 |  |
| Pseudonitzschua pungens forma pungens | W420Ppp2 | - | 74.0-142.0 | 3.0-5.0 |
| Thalassiosira pseudonana | CCMP1335 | - | 3.5-9.0 |  |
| T.weissflogii | CCMP1049 | - | 5.0-32.0 |  |
| Chlorophyceae Dunaliella tertiolecta | CCMP1320 | - | 20.0-30.0 |  |
| Cryptophyceae Rodomonas salina | CCNIOZ | - | 8.0-16.0 | 4.0-8.0 |
| Dinophyceae |  |  |  |  |
| Alexandrium ostenfeldii | K-0342 | - | 25.0-38.0 | 27.0-45.0 |
| A.tamarense | PCC173 | - | 26.0-38.0 | 27.0-44.0 |
| Gymnodinium simplex | CCMP418 | - | $7.0-9.0$ |  |
| Gyrodinium aureolum | Ts175Gal | ++ | 16.0-37.0 |  |
| Prorocentrum micans | T135MC1 | - | 35.0-70.0 | 20.0-50.0 |
| P.minimum | W040MN1 | - | 15.0-23.0 |  |
| Scripsiella trochoidea | W30SCl | - | 16.0-30.0 | 20.0-23.0 |
| Prasinophyceae |  |  |  |  |
| Pyramimonas disomata | E250Pd1 | - | 6.0-12.0 | 4.0-5.0 |
| Tetraselmis suecica | CCNIOZ | - | $9.0-11.0$ | $7.0-8.0$ |
| Prymnesiophyceae Chrysochromulina polylepis | CCMP286 | - | 6.0-12.0 | 5.0-9.0 |
| Emiliania huxleyi | L | - | 4.0-6.0 |  |
| Isachrysis galbana | CCMP1323 | - | $4.0-6.0$ |  |
| Phaeocystis spp. (North Sea) | K | - | 3.0-8.0 |  |
| Raphidophyceae Chatonella subsalsa | ZINGONE | - | 25.0-35.0 |  |

[^0]

Fig. 1. Calibration of the Optical Plankton Analyzer using artificially mixed phytoplankton populations spiked with $\alpha-\mathrm{GA}_{8} /$ FTTC-labelled G.aureolum cells. (A) Calculated (expected) densities versus flow cytometrically determined densities of Gaureolum cells $\mathrm{ml}^{-1}$. (-) Theoretical expectation; ( $\Delta--$ - ) FBG/FBO-; ( $\triangle--\Delta$ ) FBG/FBR-; ( $-\cdots$ ), FBG/PLS-backgatıng. (B) Percentage recovery of G.aureolum versus the initial cell concentration of G.aureolum of each population after FBG/FBObackgating. (----) Mean percentage of recovery of $91.8 \%(C V=0.09)$ as determined from the data set.
before a 10.0 ml subsample was transferred into a sterile test tube to determine the 'actual' cell density by flow cytometry prior to the DIF assay. The remainder of each sample (ranging between 70.0 and 90.0 ml ) was sedimented overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (in the dark) and concentrated to $\sim 10.0 \mathrm{ml}$. Concentrated samples were centrifuged for $10 \mathrm{~min}\left(6000 \mathrm{r} . \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}\right.$.) at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, blocked for 5 min by resuspending cells in 5.0 ml sodium phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing $0.5 \%$ (w/v) bovine serum albumin, and subsequently incubated (1 h) at room temperature with $\alpha$ $\mathrm{GA}_{8} /$ FITC (1:50 dilution). After 1 h , the samples were placed at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to sediment cells overnight, after which they were centrifuged quickly ( $2 \mathrm{~min}, 10000$ r.p.m., $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and resuspended in a well-defined volume of PBS containing $0.01 \%$ (w/v) sodium azide before they were analysed by flow cytometry. Positive labelling of G.aureolum cells and negative controls (omitting $\alpha-\mathrm{GA}_{8} /$ FITC) was examined by epifluoresence microscopy.

Flow cytometric identification of immunochemically labelled cells was performed on the Optical Plankton Analyzer (OPA) (Dubelaar et al., 1989; Peeters et al., 1989). After alignment of the OPA with chlorophyll beads (Flow Cytometry Standards Corp., USA), the instrument was initially adjusted to the configuration described by Vrieling et al. (1993a). Long-term reproducibility and accuracy of the OPA have been described by Hofstraat et al. (1994). From flow cytometric cell counts of the FITC-labelled and unlabelled G.aureolum stocks and the volumes added to the mixed algal populations, the concentration of Gaureolum cells in each 'spiked' population could be calculated. This expected concentration was compared with the flow cytometrically determined cell numbers of G.aureolum.

In the calibration experiment, three selection methods were analysed. It became clear that estimates of cell densities of G.aureolum in the populations gating on green FITC (FBG) and orange (FBO) fluorescence correlated significantly with the expected, calculated, cell densities; a mean recovery of $91.8 \%$ [coefficient of variation (CV) $=0.09$ ] was found (Figure 1). Both gating on green and red (FBR) fluorescence and green fluorescence and PLS were less accurate, with recovery percentages of $67.4 \%(C V=0.16)$ and $58.4 \%(C V=0.43)$, respectively (Figure 1A).
The cell density of populations of the second series (spiked with unlabelled G.aureolum cells) was determined flow cytometrically both before and after performance of the DIF assay. The results obtained showed a considerable cell loss ( $58.0 \%$ up to $92.0 \%$ ), apparently depending on the relative contribution of G.aureolum in the populations (Figure 2). With relatively high numbers of Gaureolum ( $>10^{5}$ cells $\mathrm{l}^{-1}$ ), cell loss was less severe and in populations with lower quantities ( $<10^{5}$ cells $\mathrm{l}^{-1}$ ) cell loss was constant. The high cell loss was investigated by analysing the time of flight (TOF) values (related to cell size) of the particles in the data set for all spiked samples. From epifluorescence microscopy, it became clear that clogging of cells in the samples did not occur; apparently, all cells were analysed flow cytometrically as individual particles. It appeared that cells smaller than G.aureolum and were lost mainly (not shown).
As can be seen in Figure 3, G.aureolum cells (upper clusters) in labelled samples were sufficiently separated from the other cells compared with the unlabelled samples (Figure 3B). Even when the target organisms were present at $<0.073 \%$ of


Fig. 2. Relative cell loss observed for artificially mixed phytoplankton populations of the spiking experiment after performance of the DIF assay to label G.aureolum cells with $\alpha$-GA /FITC. For populations with no or low concentrations of G.aureolum cells $\mathrm{ml}^{-1}$, the total cell loss is rather constant. For populations with higher concentrations of G.aureolum cells $\mathrm{mt}^{-1}$, the total cell loss was influenced by the spike of G.aureolum.


## RED FLUORESCENCE (FBR)

Fig. 3. Flow cytometric analysis of artificially mixed phytoplankton populations spiked with Gaureolum cells prior to immunochemical labelling with $\alpha-$ GA $_{\theta} /$ FITC. Bivariate plots of FBG (FITC) versus FBR (chlorophyll autofluorescence) of populations containing 320.10 (A ),5.30 (C) and 1.6 (D) G.aureolum cells $\mathrm{ml}^{-1}$, with relative contributions of G.aureolum cells of $4.6,0.73$ and $0.023 \%$, respectively. (B) Bivariate plot of the same population as in (A), but prior to performance of the DIF assay.
the total population density, they still could be identified properly (upper clusters in Figure 3C and D). From the cell densities of the spiked populations before the DIF assay, it was clear that $4.54-7.25 \times 10^{6}$ cells $\mathrm{l}^{-1}$ were present; the expected relative contribution of G.aureolum in these samples ranged from 0.0 to $4.6 \%$ which varied drastically with the determined relative contribution (Table II). Enumeration of G.aureolum cells in the immunochemically labelled spiked populations by gating on FBG and FBO revealed that the recovery of the target organism was sufficient (Figure 4A) with a mean recovery of $76.7 \% ~(C V=0.20)$, as derived from the individual percentages of each population (Figure 4B).

From both the calibration and the spiking experiments, it is obvious that $<10^{3}$ G.aureolum cells $\mathrm{I}^{-1}$ can be enumerated readily using immuno-flow cytometric identification. In this set of experiments, we have estimated the accuracy, resolution and recovery of the immuno-flow cytometric identification and enumeration of the ichthyotoxic dinoflagellate G.aureolum in artificially mixed algal populations. We have shown earlier that in Lugol-fixed field samples G.aureolum could only be identified when it was present at relatively high cell numbers after


Fig. 4. Recovery of G.aureolum cells from artificially mixed phytoplankton populations spiked with G.aureolum cells prior to performance of the DIF assay using $\alpha$-GA $8 /$ FITC. (A) Calculated (expected) versus flow cytometrically determined G.aureolum cell concentrations (both as cells $\mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ ) were compared. (-) Theoretical expectation; ( - --- $\bullet$ ) OPA determined concentrations of duplicate measurements. (B) Percentage of recovery of G.aureolum versus initial cell concentration of G.aureolum of each population after FBG/FBO-backgating. ( --- ) Mean percentage of recovery of $76.7 \%$ (CV = 0.20) as determined from the data set.
indirect immunofluorescence (Vrieling et al., 1995). In that experiment, the flow cytometer was triggered on PLS, because chlorophyll autofluorescence was absent due to Lugol fixation. Consequently, all particles (including detritus, sand grains, etc.) were evaluated, resulting in a very low target to non-target ratio. Even when $3.0 \times 10^{4}$ particles were analysed, no labelled G.aureolum cells could be identified flow cytometrically, although they were present at numbers of up to $10^{4}$ cells $1^{-1}$ according to microscopic counts (Vrieling et al., 1995). Based on the calibration experiment (Figure 1), we selected gating on FBG and FBO for identifying G.aureolum in spiked phytoplankton populations, because this was clearly most accurate (percentage recovery of $91.8 ; \mathrm{CV}=0.09$ ). We have already demonstrated earlier that orange (FBO) fluorescence increased after labelling cells with FITC (Vrieling et al., 1993a). Here and in preliminary trials (E.G.Vrieling et al., unpublished results) we observed this phenomenon again for labelled G.aureolum cells only, but not for labelled populations in which no target cells were present. As discussed previously (Vrieling et al., 1993a), the increase in FBO values of FITC-labelled cells could partly be explained by the used optical filtering of the OPA, selecting green and orange fluorescence. In addition, the increase in FBO values can also be the result of the higher sensitivity of the photomultipliers towards red light and differences in relative transmission of the optical filters; the LP-520 (sensu Vrieling et al., 1993) transmits only $50 \%$ or less of light of the emission peak of FITC which appears just before 520 nm when excited with blue light of 488 nm .

As shown in Table II, the expected and determined relative contribution of

Table II. Expected and determined relative abundance of Gaureolum for artificially mixed phytoplankton populations spiked with labelled (calibration experıment) and unlabelled (spiking experiment) G.aureolum cells

| Population | Labelled spike |  | Unlabelled spike ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expected } \\ & \left(10^{-3} \%\right) \end{aligned}$ | Determined $\left(10^{-3} \%\right)$ | Expected (\%) | Determined (\%) |
| 1 | 111.11 | 102.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 90.91 | 88.52 | 4.60 | 8.31 |
| 3 | 47.62 | 44.28 | 1.50 | 4.73 |
| 4 | 30.30 | 27.38 | 0.73 | 2.04 |
| 5 | 12.34 | 10.13 | 0.22 | 1.27 |
| 6 | 6.21 | 5.75 | 0.07 | 0.39 |
| 7 | 3.73 | 3.50 | 0.05 | 0.29 |
| 8 | 1.24 | 1.09 | 0.04 | 0.39 |
| 9 | 0.63 | 0.69 | 0.03 | 0.24 |
| 10 | 0.12 | 0.10 | - | - |

- The relative abundance of G.aureolum in populations spiked with unlabelled cells was determined before and after immunochemical labelling with $\alpha-\mathrm{GA}_{8} /$ FITC.
G.aureolum to the whole phytoplankton population matched almost perfectly for calibration samples, suggesting that in time changes in the relative contribution of G.aureolum can be followed. These results are in line with work of Amann et al. (1990), in which in situ rRNA-probed Desulfovibrio gigas was discriminated from unprobed Escherichia coli. When spiked populations were processed, however, we observed drastic changes in the relative contribution of G.aureolum (Table II), most probably as a consequence of the variation of cell sizes in phytoplankton populations and sensitivity to processing samples. In contrast to microbial populations and particles in body fluids (Ryan et al.,1988), a phytoplankton population is very heterogeneous with respect to cell size. For microbial communities, Wallner et al. (1995) showed that the relative contributions, estimated by both flow cytometry and microscopy, for rRNA-probed bacterial genera in waste water samples were comparable. The authors revealed that $70-80 \%$ of the actual microbial community could be identified flow cytometrically and that the relative abundance of four groups ( $\alpha, \beta$ and $\delta$ class of Proteobacteria and the genus Acinetobacter) could be determined. In medical science, the clinical classification of some diseases is based on changes in the relative abundance or ratios of certain white blood cells (e.g. van Putten et al., 1993) or abnormalities in chromosomes (Carter et al., 1992). In both fields, however, the actual cell density (corrected for cell processing) of a solely group, species or cell type has often not been determined.

Identification and enumeration of G.aureolum cells in the second series were severely affected by cell loss during processing of cells, although the recovery of G.aureolum cells was quite good at $76.7 \%(C V=0.20)$. As discussed, cell loss was mainly observed for the cells exhibiting low TOF values. The fact that a relatively high percentage of cells equal to or larger in size than G.aureolum has been lost during cell processing cannot be explained completely. We suggest that cells of some fragile species, e.g. Chattonella subsalsa, even when fixed, are disrupted by mixing and washing samples. The total cell loss observed here, however, should be
investigated in more detail to reveal the amount of size-dependent cell loss. With respect to the actual cell density, we show that G.aureolum, even as a non-dominant species in all spiked populations, can be estimated accurately, although an underestimation (here $23.3 \%$ ) must be taken into account. Part of the error can be explained by gating on FBG and FBO, which introduces an underestimation of $8.80 \%$ as derived from the calibration experiment (see Figure 1; percentage recovery of 91.2).

Currently, most applications of flow cytometry to the processing of environmental samples make use of various morphological and physiological characteristics of the cell (e.g. cell size, pigment composition, DNA content, etc.). These criteria are often not sufficient for identification at the level of the genus or the species, unless a certain species really dominates the phytoplankton crop, or can be distinguished easily because of obvious characteristics. Hofstraat et al. (1994) suggest that flow cytometric and microscopic approaches should be combined during phytoplankton monitoring. Here, we provide a method for the analysis of single species, in casu the ichthyotoxic dinoflagellate G.aureolum, within the total phytoplankton population. This immuno-flow cytometric identification and enumeration of G.aureolum will be combined with traditional microscopic phytoplankton monitoring in forthcoming surveys to get answers to questions related to the ecophysiology of this important species and its behaviour during bloom formation, grazing pressure and competition with other species.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ Most cell dimensions were taken from Fukuyo et al. (1990) and Thomsen (1992).

