

University of Groningen

Novel zwitterionic diallylzirconium complexes: Synthesis, structure, polymerization activity, and deactivation pathways

Pindado, G.J.; ThorntonPett, M.; Bouwkamp, M.W.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B.; Bochmann, M.

Published in:
Angewandte Chemie-International Edition in English

DOI:
[10.1002/anie.199723581](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.199723581)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
1997

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Pindado, G. J., ThorntonPett, M., Bouwkamp, M. W., Meetsma, A., Hessen, B., & Bochmann, M. (1997). Novel zwitterionic diallylzirconium complexes: Synthesis, structure, polymerization activity, and deactivation pathways. *Angewandte Chemie-International Edition in English*, 36(21), 2358-2361. DOI: 10.1002/anie.199723581

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Without interstate mixing the conjugate does not function as a phototropic assembly, and absorbed photons are converted into heat within about 50 ps. Interstate mixing extends the overall timescale for charge recombination to about 40 μ s, but the phototropic process itself continues for milliseconds. This is because diffusional charge recombination occurs between separated π radical cations, and is followed by slower reinsertion of the dication into the receptor (see Figure 1). In contrast to the case with MV \cdot 2PF₆, where the acceptor is nonfluorescent and separation of the RIP is minimal, formation of free DAP \cdot 2PF₆ as a transitory species can be followed by fluorescence spectroscopy using two-color (or delayed pulse) excitation. Background fluorescence, being set by the amount of free DAP \cdot 2PF₆, can be suppressed with excess CE10, while the rate of reinsertion of DAP \cdot 2PF₆ into the cavity is solvent-dependent. Similar behavior is found for ADIQ \cdot 2PF₆, but the level of background fluorescence is too high for practical application. With complex **1**, however, laser-induced fluorescence selectively tracks the evolution and disappearance of free diazapyrenium dications.

Experimental Section

DAP \cdot 2PF₆ [11] and CE10 [17] were prepared by literature methods. ADIQ \cdot 2PF₆ was available from earlier work [11]. All compounds gave satisfactory analytical data that were consistent with their assigned structures. Fast kinetic measurements were made with nanosecond and sub-picosecond laser flash photolysis equipment, built for this purpose, similar to that described previously [11]. The excitation wavelength was 440 nm. Decay kinetics were obtained by collecting transient differential absorption spectral profiles at about 50 delay times. Binding constants were determined by fluorescence spectroscopy with about 50 different concentrations of crown ether being added to a solution of fluorophore in acetonitrile.

X-ray structure analysis of **1**: C₄₈H₅₄F₁₂N₃O₁₀P₂, $M_r = 1122.88$, yellow prisms, 0.15 \times 0.20 \times 0.45 mm, measured at 293(2) K; triclinic, $P1$, $a = 11.284(2)$, $b = 11.211(2)$, $c = 12.043(2)$ Å, $\alpha = 99.95(3)$, $\beta = 106.28(3)$, $\gamma = 107.15(3)^\circ$, $V = 1342(4)$ Å³, $\rho_{\text{calcd}} = 1.389$ mgm⁻³, Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer, MoK α radiation ($I = 0.71069$ Å), $m = 0.179$ mm⁻¹, $w2\theta$ scan, $2\theta \leq 60^\circ$; of 7524 reflections measured, 6509 were independent; χ^2 -scan absorption correction, $T_{\text{min}} = 1.00$. The structure was solved with direct methods (SHELXS-86), and refined on F^2 (SHELXL-93; non-hydrogen atoms, except disordered F atoms, were refined anisotropically, and H atoms at calculated positions in riding mode). $R_1 = 0.0959$ for 6494 reflections ($F_0 \geq 4\sigma(F)$), $wR_2 = 0.2949$ for all data, GOF = 1.0086, 330 parameters. $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 1.042$ eÅ⁻³ in the vicinity of a severely disordered solvent molecule. Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structures reported in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication no CCDC-100 343. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to The Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (fax int. code +(1223) 336-033; e-mail: deposit@chemcrs.cam.ac.uk).

Received: May 28, 1997 [Z 10407 IE]

German version: *Angew. Chem.* **1997**, *109*, 2451-2454

Keywords: charge transfer · crown compounds · fluorescence spectroscopy · Photochemistry · polycycles

- [1] a) A. C. Benniston, A. Harriman, *Angew. Chem.* **1993**, *105*, 1553-1555; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1993**, *32*, 1459-1461; b) A. C. Benniston, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **1996**, *25*, 427-435.
- [2] M. Seiler, H. Dürr, *Liebigs Ann.* **1995**, 407-409.
- [3] R. Ballardini, V. Balzani, M. T. Gandolfi, L. Prodi, M. Venturi, D. Philp, H. G. Ricketts, J. F. Stoddart, *Angew. Chem.* **1993**, *105*, 1362-1364; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1993**, *32*, 1301-1304.
- [4] A. C. Benniston, A. Harriman, V. M. Lynch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 5279-5291.
- [5] a) A. C. Benniston, A. Harriman, D. Philp, J. F. Stoddart, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 5298-5299; b) A. C. Benniston, A. Harriman, *ibid.* **1994**, *116*, 11531-11537.
- [6] J. N. Murrell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1969**, *81*, 5037-5043.
- [7] M. Bixon, J. Jortner, J. W. Verhoeven, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 7349-7355.
- [8] a) I. R. Gould, J. E. Moser, D. Ege, S. Farid, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 1991-1993; b) A. M. Brun, A. Harriman, S. M. Hubig, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1992**, *96*, 254-257.
- [9] A. C. Benniston, V. Grosshenny, A. Harriman, R. Ziessel, *Angew. Chem.* **1994**, *106*, 1956-1957; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1994**, *33*, 1884-1885.
- [10] A. C. Benniston, A. Harriman in *NATO ARW Physical Supramolecular Chemistry* (Eds.: A. E. Kaifer, L. Echegoyen), in press.

- [11] A. M. Brun, A. Harriman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 8153-8159.
- [12] P. R. Ashton, S. J. Langford, N. Spencer, J. F. Stoddart, A. J. P. White, D. J. Williams, *Chem. Commun.* **1996**, 1387-1388.
- [13] B. L. Allwood, N. Spencer, H. Shahriari-Zavareh, J. F. Stoddart, D. J. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1987**, 1064-1066.
- [14] S. Khazaeil, A. I. Popov, J. L. Dye, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1983**, *87*, 1832-1833.
- [15] Complexation of higher order causes a slight curvature of the plateau region at high mole fractions of CE10. Such behavior is not seen in the mass-spectral studies. Formation of a 1:1 complex for ADIQ \cdot 2PF₆ in [D₆]acetone causes upfield shifts of -0.15, -0.13, and -0.022 ppm for the α , **b**, **d** protons, respectively. Formation of a 1:2 complex causes further upfield shifts of -0.083 (α), -0.070 (**b**), and -0.012 ppm (**d**). Both complexes are observed by FAB mass spectrometry in acetonitrile.
- [16] These energy gaps, which are crudely estimated from absorption maxima of the lowest-energy π - π^* transition localized on the acceptor and the CT transition are 1.6, 0.15, and -0.3 eV for MV \cdot 2PF₆, DAP \cdot 2PF₆, and ADIQ \cdot 2PF₆, respectively. For the latter, the CT band lies at higher energy than the lowest-energy π - π^* transition.
- [17] P. L. Anelli, P. R. Ashton, R. Ballardini, V. Balzani, M. Delgado, M. T. Gandolfi, T. T. Goodnow, A. E. Kaifer, D. Philp, M. Pietraszkiewicz, L. Prodi, M. V. Reddington, A. M. Z. Slawin, N. Spencer, J. F. Stoddart, C. Vicent, D. J. Williams, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 193-218.

Novel Zwitterionic Diallylzirconium Complexes: Synthesis, Structure, Polymerization Activity, and Deactivation Pathways**

Gerardo Jiménez Pindado, Mark Thornton-Pett, Marco Bouwkamp, Auke Meetsma, Bart Hessen,* and Manfred Bochmann*

Group 4 metallocene complexes are becoming increasingly important as "single-site" catalysts for the polymerization of α -olefins,^[1] and the number of industrial processes based on Cp complexes is growing rapidly.^[2] The catalytically active species are electron-deficient complexes of the type [Cp₂MR]⁺ (M = Ti, Zr, Hf), which are usually generated in situ by reacting a neutral metal alkyl complex with cation-generating activators such as methylaluminoxane (MAO) or, in aluminum-free systems, M⁺[B(C₆F₅)₄] (M = HNMe₂Ph, CPh₃), to give ion pairs [Cp₂MR]⁺X⁻ [X = Me-MAO, B(C₆F₅)₄].^[1] Zwitterionic complexes such as [Cp₂Zr(*m*-C₆H₃Et)B(C₆H₄Et)₃], [Cp₂ZrMe(*m*-Me)B(C₆F₅)₃], [Cp^{*}TiMe₂(*m*-Me)B(C₆F₅)₃] (Cp^{*} = tetramethylcyclopentadienyl), [Zr(CH₂Ph)₃(*h*-Ph)BPh₃], and [Zr-(CH₂Ph)₃(*h*⁶-PhCH₂)B(C₆F₅)₃] have proved to be useful catalyst precursors;^[3-7] here the active species is generated by dissociation into ion pairs. More recently Erker et al. prepared zwitterionic allylic complexes [Cp₂M(*h*³-C₃H₄CH₂)B(C₆F₅)₃] by treating zirconocene and hafnocene butadiene complexes with B(C₆F₅)₃. These compounds are less electron-deficient than the alkyl complexes mentioned above and achieve an electron count of 18 through a weak Zr-F coordination.^[8]


Structural and catalytic studies have so far mainly concentrated on the chemistry of highly reactive 14-electron alkyl complexes [Cp₂MMe]⁺ (M = Ti, Zr, Hf). The isoelectronic cationic

[*] Prof. Dr. M. Bochmann, Dr. G. Jiménez Pindado, Dr. M. Thornton-Pett
School of Chemistry, University of Leeds
Leeds LS2 9JT (UK)
Fax: Int. code +(113) 233 6401
e-mail M.Bochmann@chem.leeds.ac.uk
Dr. B. Hessen, M. Bouwkamp, Dr. A. Meetsma
Department of Chemistry, University of Groningen
Nijenborgh 4, NL-9747 AG Groningen (The Netherlands)
e-mail hessen@chem.rug.nl

[**] This work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council. G. J. P. thanks the Science and Education Ministry of Spain for a research fellowship.

diallyl complexes of the type $[\text{Cp}^*\text{M}(\text{h}^3\text{-allyl})_2]^+$ should exhibit a similarly promising reactivity. We describe here the synthesis, catalytic activity, and structural characterization of the first zwitterionic diallylzirconium complexes. The ability of these species to undergo unusually facile C-H activation illustrates the remarkable difference in reactivity between mono-Cp $[\text{Cp}^*\text{M}(\text{allyl})_2]^+$ ions and the more familiar metallocene analogues $[\text{Cp}_2\text{MR}]^+$ (R = alkyl, allyl).

Treatment of a solution of $[\text{Cp}^*\text{Zr}(\text{h}^3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_5)\{\text{h}^4\text{-CH}_2\text{C}(\text{Me})\text{-C}(\text{Me})\text{CH}_2\}]$ (**1a**) $[\text{Cp}^* = 1,3\text{-}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{C}_5\text{H}_3]$ in toluene with $\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3$ at -78°C leads to a color change from red to orange. Monitoring this reaction by NMR spectroscopy in CD_2Cl_2 between -70 and 20°C reveals the formation of a single chiral complex; seven ^1H NMR resonances for allylic ligands (five from the $\text{h}^3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_5$ ligand, two from the $\text{h}^4\text{-dienyl}$ unit) appear together with two broadened doublets for the $-\text{CH}_2\text{-B}$ moiety at $\delta = -0.33$ and -1.78 (Table 1). These data are consistent with an attack by $\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3$ exclusively on one of the terminal carbon atoms of the diene ligand to give the zwitterionic diallyl complex **2a** [Eq. (a)]. The unusually high-field shifted ^1H NMR reso-



- 1a**, $R_n = 1,3\text{-}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2$; $Y = \text{H}$, $Z = \text{Me}$
1b, $R_n = 1,3\text{-}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2$; $Y = \text{Me}$, $Z = \text{H}$
1c, $R_n = \text{Me}_3$; $Y = \text{Me}$, $Z = \text{H}$

nances for the $\text{CH}_2\text{-B}$ moiety suggest possible C-H...Zr bonding.

The ^{11}B NMR singlet of **2a** at $\delta = -12.0$ confirms the formation of a triarylboration. The ^{19}F NMR spectrum shows six resonances for the *ortho*-F atoms of three inequivalent C_6F_5 groups, whose rotation about the B-C₆ and B-CH₂ bonds is evidently highly hindered. All six *o*-F signals have chemical shifts between $\delta = -129$ and -135 and remain unchanged on cooling to -85°C ; a high-field shift of one of the *o*-F signals, which might indicate a metal-fluorine coordination,^[9] is not observed.

Compound **2a** is isolated as air-sensitive orange crystals (83 % yield) that are thermally stable at room temperature. Cooling solutions of **2a** in toluene to -16°C afforded orange-yellow crystals of **2a**-toluene suitable for X-ray diffraction.^[10] The sterically demanding ligands of the zwitterionic molecule (Figure 1) prevent any close intra- or intermolecular M...F interactions. The Zr-C distances in the $[\text{Cp}^*\text{Zr}(\text{allyl})_2]^+$ core correspond closely to the values of the neutral allyl precursor. The cationic 14-electron fragment is stabilized by two agostic interactions with the B-CH₂ group [Zr...H 2.29(5) and 2.30(5) Å].^[11]

Compound **2a** crystallizes with one molecule of toluene, which in the crystal is associated with one of pentafluorophenyl rings; the distances between the plane of the six-membered ring of the toluene molecule and the best least-squares plane through the C_6F_5 group are relatively short (3.17-3.45 Å). This solvent binding is reminiscent of the well known 1:1 stacking phases formed between benzene and hexafluorobenzene.^[12]

Mixtures of **1a** activated with one equivalent $\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3$ in toluene catalyze the polymerization of ethene under mild conditions (Table 2). While the M_w values are within the expected range, the broadening of the polydispersities with rising poly-

Table 1. Selected spectroscopic data.

2a: ^1H NMR (300MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , -40°C ; C atom numbering as in Figure 1): $\delta = -1.78, -0.33$ (br s, 1 H each; H_2CB), 0.19, 0.36 (s, 9 H each; SiMe), 1.41, 2.52 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1 H each; CCH_3), 1.68, 1.76 (s, 3 H each, diene-Me); 1.96 (m, 2 H), 2.66 (br. d, 1 H), 3.19 (d, $J = 15.3$ Hz 1 H; 14 or 16- CH_2), 5.96 (m, 1 H, 15-CH), 6.51, 6.96 (m, 1 H, 4,5- C_3H_3), 6.44 (m, 1 H, 2- C_3H_3); ^{13}C NMR (75.4 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , -40°C): $\delta = -0.55, -0.23$ (q, $J = 119.1, 119.6$ Hz; SiMe), 16.93, 23.77 (q, $J = 129.5, 127.8$ Hz, diene-Me), 31.09 (vbr. t, CH_2B), 59.64 (t, $J = 150.1$ Hz, CCH_2), 67.12, 71.03 (t, $J = 155.9, 158.6$ Hz; CH_2 of C_3H_3), 112.91 (m, 8-C), 117.53 (d, $J = 170.5$ Hz; 2- C_3H_3), 124.0, 131.45 (d, $J = 169.8, 172.0$ Hz; 4,5- C_3H_3), 125.28, 129.26 (m, 1,3- C_3H_3), 138.06 (m, 7-C), 140.77 (d, $J = 153.2$ Hz, 11-CH); ^{11}B NMR (96.2 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , -40°C): $\delta = -11.99$; ^{19}F NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , -50°C): $\delta = -129.6, -132.2, -130.0, -132.6, -134.0, -134.7$ (d, $^3J(\text{F},\text{F}) = 19.6$ Hz, 1 F each; *o*-F), $-159.9, -160.1, -160.6$ (t, $^3J(\text{F},\text{F}) = 19.7$ Hz, 1 F each; *p*-F); $-164.2, -165.1, -165.6, -167.0$ (m, 2 F, 1 F, 2 F, 1 F; *m*-F)

2b: ^1H NMR ($[\text{D}_8]$ toluene, 300 MHz, -30°C): $\delta = -1.68$ (s, br, 1 H; BCH_2), -1.58 (d, $J = 14.4$ Hz, 1 H; BCH_2), $-0.22, -0.09$ (s, 9 H each; SiMe), 0.92 (d, $J = 5.6$ Hz, 3 H; Me), 2.21, 1.98 (m, 1 H; diene- CH_2), 1.65, 2.00 (dd, $J = 13.4, 3$ Hz, 1 H; CH_2 of C_4H_7), 1.71 (m, 1 H; CHMe of C_4H_7), 4.58 (dd, $J = 14.0, 9.9$ Hz, 1 H; diene-CH), 5.01 (m, 1 H; CH of C_4H_7), 5.17 (m, 1 H; diene-CH), 5.87, 5.93, 6.63 (m, each 1 H, C_3H_3); ^{13}C NMR ($[\text{D}_8]$ toluene, -50°C): $\delta = -0.91, -0.71$ (SiMe), 18.49 (Me), 28.0 (br., BCH_2), 57.58 (diene- CH_2), 61.18 (CH_2 of C_4H_7), 83.45 (diene- CHMe), 106.45 (diene-CH), 118.56, 124.43, 125.61 (2,4,5- C_3H_3), 126.35 (br., 1,3- C_3H_3), 133.09 (diene-CH), 137.37 (CH of C_4H_7), 137.23 ($J(\text{C},\text{F}) = 242.25$ Hz, *m*-C, C_6F_5), 139.42, ($J(\text{C},\text{F}) = 235.0$ Hz, *p*-C, C_6F_5), 148.23 ($J(\text{C},\text{F}) = 238.47$ Hz, *o*-C, C_6F_5); ^{11}B (^1H) NMR ($[\text{D}_8]$ toluene, -60°C): $\delta = -12.58$; ^{19}F NMR ($[\text{D}_8]$ toluene, -60°C): $\delta = -131.2, -132.9, -135.1$ (br. s, 1 F, 4 F, and 1 F; *o*-F); -158.9 (vbr. s, 3 F; *p*-F); -164.3 (br. s, 6 F; *m*-F)

3a: ^1H NMR (300 MHz, -20°C): $\delta = -1.87$ (br. s, 1 H; BCH_2), 0.12, 0.23 (s, 9 H each; SiMe); 1.29 (d, $J = 5.4$ Hz; 1 H, $-\text{CH}_2$ of diene), 1.49 (br. s, 1 H, BCH_2), 1.67 (s, 9 H; CMe_3), 1.80, 2.05 (s, 3 H each; diene-Me), 2.06 (d, $J = 16.0$ Hz 1 H; CH_2 of C_3H_3), 2.18 (m, 1 H, $J = 16.0$ Hz; CH_2 of C_3H_3), 2.28 (d, $J = 5.4$ Hz, 1 H; $-\text{CH}_2$ of diene), 3.66, 3.97 (m, 1 H each; CH_2 of C_3H_3), 5.00, 5.01, 5.33 (m, 1 H each; C_3H_3), 5.15 (m, 1 H; CH of C_3H_3); ^{13}C NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , -20°C): $\delta = -0.27, -0.03$ (q, $J = 119.3$ Hz; SiMe), 21.83, 24.93 (q, $J = 125.0, 126.3$ Hz; diene-Me), 26.72 (br. s, BCH_2), 29.64 (q, $J = 129.5$ Hz; $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 47.1 (t, $J = 151.0$ Hz; diene- CH_2), 60.09 (s, CMe_3), 61.05, 68.25 (t, $J = 158.8, 161.0$ Hz; CH_2 of C_3H_3), 109.1 (d, $J = 170.0$ Hz; 2- C_3H_3), 117.71 (d, $J = 172.8$ Hz; 4,5- C_3H_3), 118.03, 118.81 (s, $-\text{CMe}$), 120.20 (m, 1,3- C_3H_3), 120.89 (t, $J = 150.1$ Hz; CH of C_3H_3), 124.6 (*ipso*-C, BC_6F_5), 136.54 ($J(\text{C},\text{F}) = 255.8$ Hz; *m*-C, C_6F_5), 138.24 ($J(\text{C},\text{F}) = 249.7$ Hz; *p*-C, C_6F_5), 147.97 ($J(\text{C},\text{F}) = 247.5$ Hz; *o*-C, C_6F_5), 159.06 (s, CN); ^{11}B (^1H) NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , -20°C): $\delta = -14.02$; ^{19}F NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 10°C): $\delta = -131.5$ (t, br, 6 F; *o*-F), -163.1 (t, $^3J(\text{F},\text{F}) = 19.8$ Hz, 3 F; *p*-F); -167.1 (br, 6 F; *m*-F)

4b: ^1H NMR ($[\text{D}_8]$ toluene, 300 MHz, 25°C): $\delta = -0.14, 0.05$ (s, each 9 H; SiMe), 0.59, 2.19 (t, br, $J = 8.9$ Hz, each 1 H; CH_2), 2.21 (d, $J = 12.6$ Hz, 1 H; BCH), 5.99 (t, br, 1 H, $\text{CH}=\text{CB}$), 6.23 (q, $J = 9.2$ Hz, 1 H; $\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}$), 6.48 (t, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1 H; 2- C_3H_3), 6.65, 7.59 (br. s, 1 H each; 4,5- C_3H_3); ^{13}C NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , -20°C): $\delta = -0.95, -0.73$ (q, $J = 119.5$ Hz; SiMe), 67.62 (t, $J = 150.0$ Hz; CCH_2), 93.64 (d, $J = 136.56$ Hz; BCH), 120.54, 129.53 (d, $J = 170.55, 160.74$ Hz; 4,5- C_3H_3), 124.65 (d, $J = 167.53$ Hz; $\text{CH}=\text{CB}$), 127.22 (d, $J = 169.04$ Hz; 2- C_3H_3), 135.64 (d, $J = 166.02$ Hz; $\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{CH}$), 127.40, 134.56 (m; 1,3- C_3H_3); ^{11}B (^1H) NMR ($[\text{D}_8]$ toluene, 15°C): $\delta = 43$ (br. s); ^{19}F NMR ($[\text{D}_8]$ toluene, -40°C): *o*-F: $\delta = -114.1$ (br. s, 2 F), -130.1 (d, $J(\text{F},\text{F}) = 19.7$ Hz, 2 F), -130.6 (br. s, 1 F), -169.9 (br. s, 1 F), *p*-F: $\delta = -149.3$ (br. s, 1 F), -150.7 (t, 1 F, $J(\text{F},\text{F}) = 21.1$ Hz), -153.6 (t, 1 F; $J(\text{F},\text{F}) = 19.7$ Hz); *m*-F: $\delta = -156.2, -156.5$ (br. s, 1 F each, overlaps with the *m*-F signal of **4b**), -161.2 (m, 4 F)

4b: ^1H NMR ($[\text{D}_8]$ toluene, 300 MHz, 25°C): $\delta = -0.15, 0.03$ (s, 9 H, SiMe), 1.44 (m, 1 H), 3.42 (dd, $J = 7.6, 6.6$ Hz, 1 H; CH_2), 5.10 (m, 1 H; $\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{CH}$), 5.34 (d, $J = 12.0$ Hz; BCH), 5.82 (m, 1 H; $\text{CH}=\text{CB}$), 5.97, 7.01 (m, 1 H each; 4,5- C_3H_3), 7.71 (t, $J = 1.9$ Hz, 1 H, 2- C_3H_3); ^{13}C NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , -20°C): $\delta = -1.39, -0.47$ (q, $J = 119.6$ Hz; SiMe), 82.31 (t, $J = 152.82$ Hz; CH_2), 97.17 (d, $J = 136.2$ Hz, BCH), 123.96, 134.05 (m, 1,3- C_3H_3), 125.68 ($\text{CH}=\text{CB}$), 125.9, 128.13 (4,5- C_3H_3); 129.68 (d, $J = 165.0$ Hz; $\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{CH}$), 135.11 (d, $J = 172.06$ Hz; 2- C_3H_3); ^{11}B (^1H) NMR ($[\text{D}_8]$ toluene, 15°C): $\delta = 43$ (br. s); ^{19}F NMR ($[\text{D}_8]$ toluene, -40°C): *o*-F: $\delta = -118.7$ (d, $J(\text{F},\text{F}) = 25.4$ Hz, 2 F), -129.4 (br. s, 1 F), -131.4 (d, $J(\text{F},\text{F}) = 16.9$ Hz, 2 F), -184.1 (br. s, 1 F); *p*-F: $\delta = -151.6, -155.3$ (t, 1 F each, $J(\text{F},\text{F}) = 19.7$ Hz), -152.2 (t, 1 F, $J(\text{F},\text{F}) = 21.1$ Hz); *m*-F: $\delta = -156$ (1 F, overlaps with the *m*-F signal of **4b**), -157.1 (br. s, 1 F), $-160.5, -162.2$ (m, 2 F each)

4c: ^1H NMR ($[\text{D}_8]$ toluene, 500 MHz, -30°C): $\delta = 1.53$ (s, 15 H; Cp^*), 2.38 (m, 1 H; CHH), 2.63 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 1 H; CH H), 4.68 (br. m, 1 H; $\text{CH}=\text{CB}$), 5.04 (m, 1 H; $\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}$), 5.85 (d, $J = 12.7$ Hz, 1 H; BCH); ^{13}C (^1H) NMR ($[\text{D}_8]$ toluene, 125 MHz, -30°C): $\delta = 10.96$ ($\text{Cp}^*\text{-Me}$), 77.81 (CH_2), 95.94 (BCH), 124.08 (Cp^* ring), 125.95 ($\text{CH}=\text{CB}$), 126.91 ($\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{CH}$); ^{19}F NMR ($\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Br}$, 282 MHz, -30°C): *o*-F: $\delta = -120.3$ (1 F), -130.5 (br., 1 F), -130.55 (d, $J(\text{F},\text{F}) = 15$ Hz, 2 F), -136.5 (br., 1 F), -180.0 (br., 1 F); *p*-F: $\delta = -153.5$ (t, $J(\text{F},\text{F}) = 21$ Hz, 1 F), -154.2 (t, $J(\text{F},\text{F}) = 21$ Hz, 1 F), -156.0 (t, $J(\text{F},\text{F}) = 20$ Hz, 1 F); *m*-F: $\delta = -158.4$ (br, 1 F), -159.2 (m, 1 F), -160.2 (br, 1 F), -161.9 (m, 1 F), -163.7 (m, 2 F).




Figure 1. Structure of **2a** (ORTEX [22] diagram). Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 40% probability level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: Zr(1)-C(6) 2.331(5), Zr(1)-C(7) 2.525(4), Zr(1)-C(8) 2.450(4), Zr(1)-C(9) 2.442(4), Zr(1)-C(14) 2.443(5), Zr(1)-C(15) 2.492(6), Zr(1)-C(16) 2.438(6), Zr(1)-C(1) 2.521(4), Zr(1)-C(2) 2.500(4), Zr(1)-C(3) 2.527(4), Zr(1)-C(4) 2.509(5), Zr(1)-C(5) 2.484(4), Zr(1)-H(9a) 2.30(5), Zr(1)-H(9b) 2.29(5), C(6)-C(7) 1.425(7), C(7)-C(8) 1.388(7), C(8)-C(9) 1.508(6), C(9)-B(1) 1.714(6), C(14)-C(15) 1.393(8), C(15)-C(16) 1.395(8); C(7)-C(6)-Zr(1) 80.6(3), C(8)-C(9)-B(1) 116.9(4), C(8)-C(9)-Zr(1) 72.3(2), B(1)-C(9)-Zr(1) 169.6(3).

Table 2. Ethene polymerizations with **1a**/B(C₆F₅)₃ [a]


Temperature [°C]	Time [min]	Polymer yield [g]	Productivity [b] × 10 ⁻³	M _w	M _w /M _n
0	3.5	0.144	98.7	201	3.5
20	5	0.132	63.3	181	4.7
44	10	0.180	43.2	107	5.2
60	10	0.190	45.6	77.3	7.6

[a] Conditions: **1a** (25 μmol), B(C₆F₅)₃ (25 μmol), toluene (20 mL), ethene 1 bar. [b] In 10³ g PE (mol Zr)⁻¹ h⁻¹

merization temperature to values significantly higher than those typically obtained for metallocenes may suggest the loss of active site uniformity. Such behavior may offer advantages where the desired polymer properties or processing parameters favor broader polydispersities.^[13, 14]

Treatment of **2a** with excess *tert*-butylisocyanide at 0 °C leads to the immediate formation of the adduct **3a** as yellow microcrystals. Rather unexpectedly, warming the reaction mixture did not lead to an isocyanide insertion product but to the quantitative regeneration of **1a**, together with *t*BuNC·B(C₆F₅)₃ ($\tilde{\nu}_{\text{C=N}} = 2300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), which, to our knowledge, is the first example of a fully reversible formation of a [RB(C₆F₅)₃] borate unit. By contrast, di-Cp complexes such as [Cp₂Zr{C₃H₄CH₂-B(C₆F₅)₃}] readily give the expected isocyanide insertion products.^[8b]

The reaction of B(C₆F₅)₃ with the butadiene complexes **1b** and **1c** gives the corresponding zwitterionic complexes **2b** and **2c**, respectively. The spectroscopic data of these compounds are very similar to those of **2a**. Both catalyze the polymerization of ethene but are thermally less stable than the sterically more hindered **2a** and decompose by an unexpected C-H activation pathway, in which 2-butene is eliminated and a C₆F₅ group migrates from boron to zirconium to give **4** [Eq. (b)]. In the case



of **2b**, this reaction sequence is very facile even at -60 °C.^[15] The transformation of the four-coordinate borate in **2b** into a three-coordinate boryl in **4b** is reflected in the change in the ¹¹B NMR signal from about $d = -12$ to $d = +43$. Compound **4b** is accompanied by a second, fluxional isomer **4b'**. The C₅Me₅ complex **2c** is more stable and rearranges to **4c** with $t_{1/2} \approx 20$ min in C₆D₆ and 2-3 min in C₆D₅Br at room temperature.

The X-ray structure analysis of **4c**·0.5 Et₂O (Figure 2)^[16] shows a trigonal-planar B(C₆F₅)₂ unit; one *o*-F atom is coordinated to the metal center ($d(^{19}\text{F}) = -179.8$). The ZrC₄ core has the familiar metallacyclopentene envelope conformation.





Figure 2. Structure of **4c**. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: Zr(1)-C(11) 2.314(3), Zr(1)-C(12) 2.451(3), Zr(1)-C(13) 2.458(3), Zr(1)-C(14) 2.481(2), Zr(1)-C(27) 2.315(2), Zr(1)-F(1) 2.4292(15), C(11)-C(12) 1.414(4), C(12)-C(13) 1.375(4), C(13)-C(14) 1.454(3), B(1)-C(14) 1.488(3), B(1)-C(20) 1.582(4), B(1)-C(21) 1.590(3), C(15)-F(1) 1.380(3), C(19)-F(5) 1.351(3); F(1)-Zr(1)-C(27) 100.47(7), B(1)-C(14)-C(13) 126.3(2), C(11)-C(12)-C(13) 121.8(2), C(12)-C(13)-C(14) 1.205(2).

Surprisingly, the diene unit is oriented approximately orthogonal to the Cp ring and does not fall into either of the usual "prone" and "supine" categories.^[17] The distribution of the bond lengths in the ZrC₄B unit, in particular the relatively short B-C(H) bond (1.485 Å), suggests that the zwitterionic resonance structure **B** may dominate over formulation **A**.^[18]



The results establish cationic 14-electron mono-Cp complexes as a new class of polymerization catalysts; their reactivity patterns, however, differ markedly from the behavior of the better-known di-Cp systems.

Experimental Section

All synthetic procedures were carried out under dry nitrogen using Schlenk techniques. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker 300 and 500 MHz instruments. Compounds **1a–1c** were synthesized according to literature procedures [19].

2a: B(C₆F₅)₃ (0.89 g, 1.73 mmol) in toluene (20 mL) was added to a solution of **1a** (0.73 g, 1.72 mmol) in toluene (30 mL) at -78 °C. The mixture was stirred at this temperature for 30 min and then allowed to warm to room temperature. The color of the mixture changed instantaneously from red to dark orange. Concentration of the solution to 20 mL followed by cooling to -16 °C afforded orange crystals of **2a**-toluene (1.29 g, 1.38 mmol, 80%). Elemental analysis (%): Calcd for C₃₈H₃₆BF₁₅Si₂Zr·C₇H₈: C 52.6, H 4.3; found: C 51.8, H 4.5.

3a: tBuNC (0.21 mL, 1.86 mmol) was added to a solution of **2a** (0.96 g, 0.93 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) at 0 °C. The resulting yellow mixture was concentrated and cooled to -16 °C to give yellow crystals of **3a** (0.79 g, 0.77 mmol, 83 %). Elemental analysis (%): Calcd for C₄₃H₄₈BF₁₅NSi₂Zr: C 50.4, H 4.5, N 1.4; found: C 50.6, H 4.7, N 1.3. IR(Nujol): $\bar{\nu} = 2179 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (C≡N).

2b: This compound is thermally sensitive and was therefore generated in solution and characterized spectroscopically. B(C₆F₅)₃ (52 mg, 0.1 mmol) in [D₈]toluene (0.2 mL) was added to a solution of **1b** (4.2 mg, 0.1 mmol) in [D₈]toluene (0.3 mL) at -60 °C. The orange solution contained **2b**, together with some **4b** and **4b'**.

4b: The compound was generated in situ from **1b** and B(C₆F₅)₃ (1 equiv) in [D₈]toluene at 0 °C; it slowly decomposed in solution at room temperature.

4c: A solution of B(C₆F₅)₃ (0.228 g, 0.44 mmol) in benzene (5 mL) was added to a solution of **1c** (0.148 g, 0.44 mmol) in benzene (5 mL) at room temperature. The resulting orange solution was stirred at ambient temperature for 40 min during which the solution turned red. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was extracted with diethyl ether. Concentration and cooling of the solution to -70 °C yielded **4c**·0.5 Et₂O as a red crystalline solid (0.141 g, 0.20 mmol, 46%). Elemental analysis (%): Calcd for C₃₂H₂₀BF₁₅Zr·0.5(C₂H₅)₂O: C 48.8, H 3.0, Zr 10.9; found: C 48.5, H 3.0, Zr 10.8.

Received: April 14, 1997 [Z10339IE]
German version: *Angew. Chem.* **1997**, *109*, 2457–2460

Keywords: allyl complexes · C-H activation · diene complexes · homogeneous catalysis · zirconium

- [1] M. Bochmann, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **1996**, 255; H. H. Brintzinger, D. Fischer, R. Mülhaupt, B. Rieger, R. Waymouth, *Angew. Chem.* **1995**, *107*, 1255; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1995**, *34*, 1143; M. Aulbach, F. Küber, *Chem. Unserer Zeit* **1994**, *28*, 197.
- [2] A. M. Thayer, *Chem. Eng. News*, **1995**, Sept. 11, 15; *Chem. Br.* **1995**, 936; H. G. Hauthal, *Nachr. Chem. Tech. Lab.* **1995**, *43*, 822.
- [3] G. G. Hlatky, H. W. Turner, R. R. Eckman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 2728.
- [4] X. Yang, C. L. Stern, T. J. Marks, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 3623; *ibid.* **1994**, *116*, 10015; M. Bochmann, S. J. Lancaster, M. B. Hursthouse, K. M. A. Malik, *Organometallics* **1994**, *13*, 2235.
- [5] D. J. Gillis, M. J. Tudoret, C. Baird, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 2543.
- [6] M. Bochmann, G. Karger, A. J. Jaggar, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem Commun.* **1990**, 1038.
- [7] C. Pellecchia, A. Grassi, A. Immirzi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 1160; C. Pellecchia, A. Grassi, A. Zambelli, *J. Mol. Catal.* **1993**, *82*, 57.
- [8] a) B. Temme, G. Erker, J. Karl, H. Luftmann, R. Fröhlich, S. Kotila, *Angew. Chem.* **1995**, *107*, 1867; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1995**, *34*, 1755; b) B. Temme, J. Karl, G. Erker, *Chem. Eur. J.* **1996**, *2*, 919. For further examples for fluoroarylborate coordination see: X. Yang, C. L. Stern, T. J. Marks, *Organometallics* **1991**, *10*, 840; A. D. Horton, A. G. Orpen, *ibid.* **1991**, *10*, 3910; A. R. Siedle, R. A. Newmark, W. M. Lamanna, J. C. Huffman, *ibid.* **1993**, *12*, 1491; L. Lia, X. Yang, C. L. Stern, T. J. Marks, *ibid.* **1997**, *16*, 842.
- [9] The coordinated *ortho*-F in [Cp₂Zr{C₃H₄CH₂B(C₆F₅)₃}] experiences an upfield shift of about 80 ppm to $\delta = -213.2$ [8a].
- [10] X-ray structure analysis of **2a**-toluene: An orange prism with dimensions 0.65 × 0.42 × 0.25 mm was grown from toluene and coated in perfluoropolyether RS3000 oil (Riedel De-Haën). C₃₈H₃₆BF₁₅Si₂Zr·C₇H₈, $M_r = 1028.01$ (includes toluene molecule), triclinic, space group *P1*, $a = 10.4955(12)$, $b = 13.538(2)$, $c = 16.650(2)$ Å, $\alpha = 77.695(11)$, $\beta = 83.542(10)$, $\gamma = 78.945(14)^\circ$, $Z = 2$, $V = 2262.2(5)$ Å³, $r_{\text{calcd}} = 1.509 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$, $m = 3.344 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, $F(000) = 1044$. Data collection was carried out at 160 K on a Stoe STAD14 four-circle diffractometer operating in the w - q scan mode using graphite-monochromated CuK α radiation ($I = 1.54184$ Å). 7130 unique reflections were measured in the range $2.72 \leq \theta \leq 64.54^\circ$ ($-12 \leq h \leq 12$, $-15 \leq k \leq 15$, $0 \leq l \leq 18$). The structure was solved by heavy atom methods using SHELXS-
- 86 [20] and refined by full-matrix least-squares (against all the unique F^2 data) using SHELXL-93 [21]. Non-hydrogen atoms (including those of the toluene solvate molecule) were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters: hydrogen atoms were constrained to idealized positions by using a riding model with free rotation for methyl groups and fixed isotropic displacement parameters. Final $wR_2 = \{\sum[w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)]^2 / \sum(F_o^2)^2\}^{-1} = 0.1542$, conventional $R = 0.0530$ for F values of 6699 reflections with $F_o^2 > 2s(F_o^2)$. Weighting scheme $w = [s^2(F_o^2) + (0.0584 P)^2 + 12.2995 P]^{-1}$, where $P = (F_o^2 + 2 F_c^2)/3$, goodness of fit = 1.069 for all F^2 values and 618 parameters. Maximum and minimum residual electron density 1.13 and -1.15 eÅ⁻³, respectively [16 b].
- [11] Similar agostic interactions of two of the three methyl hydrogen atoms are found in [(1,2-C₃H₃Me₂)₂ZrMe(m-Me)B(C₆F₅)₃] [Zr ··· H = 2.25(3) and 2.30(3) Å], while [Cp₂ZrMe(m-Me)B(C₆F₅)₃] shows rather weaker agostic interactions [Zr ··· H 2.44(3) and 2.47(3) Å] [4].
- [12] C. R. Patrick, G. S. Prosser, *Nature* **1966**, *187*, 1021; J. H. Williams, J. K. Cockcroft, A. N. Fitch, *Angew. Chem.* **1992**, *104*, 1666; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1992**, *31*, 1655; J. H. Williams, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1993**, *26*, 593.
- [13] The "constraint geometry" complexes [(C₅Me₃SiMe₂NtBu)Ti(C₄H₈R₂)] in the presence of B(C₆F₅)₃ are highly active for the copolymerization of ethene with 1-octene: D. D. Devore, F. J. Timmers, D. L. Hasha, R. K. Rosen, T. J. Marks, P. A. Deck, C. L. Stern, *Organometallics* **1995**, *14*, 3132. The living ethene oligomerization with activator-free [(C₅Me₃)ZrR(2,3-Me₂C₄H₄)] has recently been reported: B. Hessen and H. van der Heijden, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 11670.
- [14] By contrast, propene polymerizations with [Cp*TiMe₃/B(C₆F₅)₃] give polymers with very narrow polydispersities and living characteristics: J. Sassmannshausen, M. Bochmann, J. Rösch, D. Lilje, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, in press.
- [15] In contrast to the facile transfer of a C₆F₅ substituent from B(C₆F₅)₃ to a Zr atom, B(C₆F₅)₃ is inert towards electrophiles such as BBr₃ or Br₂. C₆F₅ transfer has been observed before at higher temperature and prolonged reaction times: R. Gomez, M. L. H. Green, J. L. Haggitt, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **1996**, 939.
- [16] a) X-ray structure analysis of **4c**·0.5Et₂O: an orange-red parallelepiped with dimensions 0.15 × 0.17 × 0.50 mm was grown from diethyl ether. Data were collected at 130 K. C₃₂H₂₀BF₁₅Zr·0.5(C₂H₅)₂O, $M_r = 828.58$, monoclinic *P2₁/n*, $a = 12.903(1)$, $b = 18.430(1)$, $c = 13.389(1)$ Å, $\beta = 96.08(1)^\circ$, $V = 3166.0(4)$ Å³, $Z = 4$, $r_{\text{calcd}} = 1.738 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$, $m = 4.64 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, 5128 reflections with $F_o \geq 4.0s(F_o)$, 566 refined parameters. Data collection was carried out on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4F² diffractometer using graphite-monochromated MoK α radiation ($I = 0.71073$ Å; $\Delta w = 0.90 + 0.34 \tan \theta$). 6264 Unique reflections were measured in the range $2.2 \leq 2\theta \leq 56.0^\circ$ ($-15 \leq h \leq 8$, $-1 \leq k \leq 20$, $0 \leq l \leq 17$). The structure was solved by Patterson methods and extension of the model was accomplished by direct methods applied to difference structure factors using the program DIRDIF [23]. The structure was refined by full-matrix least-squares (SHELXL93 [21]). Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The diethyl ether solvent molecule was highly disordered over an inversion center. The programs PLATON [24] (calculation of geometric data) and PLUTO [25] (structure diagram) were used. Final $wR_2 = \{\sum[w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)]^2 / \sum(F_o^2)^2\}^{-1} = 0.0783$, conventional $R = 0.0318$ for F values of 5128 reflections with $F_o^2 > 2s(F_o^2)$. Weighting scheme $w = [s^2(F_o^2) + (0.0429 P)^2 + 1.6097 P]^{-1}$, where $P = (F_o^2 + 2 F_c^2)/3$, goodness of fit = 1.066 for all F^2 values and 566 parameters. Maximum and minimum residual electron density 0.54 and -0.48 eÅ⁻³, respectively. b) Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structures reported in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication no. CCDC-100329. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to The Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (fax: Int. code +(1223) 336-0333; e-mail deposit@chemcrs.cam.ac.uk).
- [17] H. Yamamoto, H. Yasuda, K. Tatsumi, K. Lee, A. Nakamura, J. Chen, Y. Kai, N. Kasai, *Organometallics* **1989**, *8*, 105.
- [18] For a discussion of B-C bond lengths see: G. Herberich, A. Fischer, *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 58, and references therein.
- [19] J. B. Blenkins, H. J. de Liefde Meijer, J. H. Teuben, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1981**, *218*, 383; G. Erker, K. Berg, R. Benn, G. Schroth, *Chem. Ber.* **1985**, *118*, 1383; J. Blenkins, B. Hessen, F. van Bolhuis, A. J. Wagner, J. H. Teuben, *Organometallics*, **1987**, *6*, 459.
- [20] G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A*, **1990**, *46*, 467.
- [21] G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-93, Program for refinement of crystal structures, Universität Göttingen, **1993**.
- [22] P. McArdle, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **1995**, *28*, 65.
- [23] P. T. Beurskens, G. Beurskens, W. P. Bosman, R. de Gelder, S. Gracia-Granda, R. O. Gould, R. Israel, J. M. M. Smits, the DIRDIF-96 program system, University of Nijmegen, **1996**.
- [24] A. L. Spek, PLATON, program for automated analysis of molecular geometry, University of Utrecht, **1996**.
- [25] A. Meetsma, PLUTO, program for the display and analysis of crystal and molecular structures, University of Groningen, **1996**.