1. Introduction

Yarn dyed wastewater is classified as a hazardous waste due to its high content of non-biodegradable organic dye molecules. Those molecules, mostly azo dyes, are harmful to living organisms owing to their toxicity, carcinogenicity, and mutagenicity [1]. As wastewater discharge from a yarn dyeing industry located in Surabaya does not meet the regulatory limits, therefore the wastewater has to be treated prior to disposal. The conventional biological method cannot effectively treat this type of wastewater due to a great extent of non-biodegradable organic compounds which is very difficult to be digested by the microbes [2].

Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), which involve the generation of hydroxyl radicals (HO\(^\cdot\)) as the oxidation agent, have the potential to decompose these non-biodegradable organic substances and enhance the biodegradability of textile wastewater. Recently, numerous literatures have reported textile wastewater treatments by using AOPs such as ozonation [3], photo-Fenton process [4], and Fenton process [5]. Among these AOPs, Fenton process is considered attractive since it offers many advantages, such as high performance, simplicity, and non-toxicity [6]. However, Fenton process is less effective for wastewater at high Total Suspended Solid (TSS) condition. Therefore, a hybrid electrocoagulation-Fenton system is proposed in this research. Electrocoagulation process is first conducted to reduce TSS level, which is then followed by Fenton process to reduce Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) level [7]. In Fenton process, hydrogen peroxide was catalyzed by ferrous ions to generate hydroxyl radicals (HO\(^\cdot\)) according to Eq. (1) [8].

\[
H_2O_2 + Fe^{2+} \rightleftharpoons Fe^{3+} + OH^- + HO^\cdot
\]  

(1)

Hydroxyl radical (HO\(^\cdot\)) is responsible to attack organic dye molecules (RH) in textile wastewater producing radical organic compound (R\(^\cdot\)) as indicated in Eq. (2) [8].

\[
RH + HO^\cdot \rightarrow R^\cdot + H_2O
\]

(2)

The radical organic compound (R\(^\cdot\)) and hydroxyl radical (HO\(^\cdot\))...
can further degrade organic dye molecule (RH) into carbon dioxide and water. In Fenton oxidation cycle, ferrous ions can be regenerated from ferric ions reduction by the presence of hydrogen peroxide according to Eq. (3) and (4) [8]:

\[ \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}^+ + \text{HO}_2^- \]  

(3)

\[ \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{HO}_2^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}^+ \]  

(4)

Hybrid electrocoagulation-Fenton in a batch system has been demonstrated to be a reliable process for textile wastewater treatments [7]. For large scale application, a batch system is not recommended because of its high labor cost. Therefore, hybrid electrocoagulation-Fenton in a continuous mode is more attractive from both technical and economical viewpoints. The aims of this research are to determine COD removal efficiency and rate constants in the continuous Fenton process at various Fe(II) feeding modes, molar ratios of Fe(II)/H2O2, initial pH of wastewater, and flow rate. The results are compared to those in a batch system which was previously studied [7]. A previous work which has been carried in a batch system showed the rate constant of 0.0053 min\(^{-1}\) [7]. Other studies using Fenton process to treat coking, bleaching, and olive mill wastewater were carried out in a batch system and has demonstrated good COD removal efficiency [8-10]. The operational cost of hybrid electrocoagulation-Fenton method in continuous system was also estimated in this study.

### 2. Experimental Section

Wastewater was collected from a yarn dyed industry which was located in Surabaya, Indonesia. The experimental set up is shown in Fig. 1. The electrocoagulation process was carried out in a 120×120×100 mm\(^3\) flexi glass reactor with Al/Al rectangular plate (8 cm by 8 cm with 2 mm of thickness) as anode and cathode [11]. Post electrocoagulation, the Fenton process was carried out in a 1 L glass Continuous Stirred Tank Reactor (CSTR) equipped with a stirrer. The characteristic of wastewater can be seen in Table 1. A known volume of 2M of hydrochloric acid solution was added to the wastewater to adjust the initial pH. Fe(II) was fed into the CSTR under two different feeding modes. The first feeding mode (A) was carried out by dissolving ferrous sulphate heptahydrate in the reactor which contained 1 L of wastewater while the other feeding mode (B) was carried out by dissolving ferrous sulphate heptahydrate in the electrocoagulation effluent vessel and also in the reactor which already contained the wastewater. The Fenton process was carried out with the mixing speed of 350 rpm at room temperature (25°C). Three peristaltic pumps were used in the CSTR systems to maintain the continuous flow. Wastewater-iron solution and 30% hydrogen peroxide were separately fed into the reactor. The flow rates of wastewater-iron solution were varied while the flow rates of hydrogen peroxide were calculated based on optimum hydrogen peroxide dosage [9], which were 8 mL/h, 15 mL/h and 22 mL/h. The parameters used for the study were molar ratio of Fe(II)/H2O2 (1:4.5 and 1:10), initial pH of wastewater (3.0 and 5.0), and wastewater flow rate (30 mL/min; 60 mL/min and 90 mL/min). Samples were taken periodically up to 120 min of experiment.

COD was measured using a closed reflux and colorimetric method. TSS was analyzed using the dried method. All assays were carried out based on APHA method [12]. Color measurement was recorded from 200 to 800 nm wavelengths using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer and the absorbance values at 629 nm (as optical density) was evaluated before and after treatment [13].

### 3. Results and Discussion

The characteristics of wastewater prior and after the electrocoagulation process are presented in Table 1, which clearly shows the effectiveness of electrocoagulation process to reduce the TSS, COD and color. The removal efficiencies are calculated to be 77.3%, 62.4%, and 97.8% for TSS, COD and color, respectively. The effect of Fenton process on COD removal is described in the subsequent section.

#### 3.1. Effect of Fe(II) Feeding Mode

The effect of Fe(II) feeding modes is depicted in Fig. 2 which shows that feeding mode B gives higher COD removal efficiencies. The experiment condition was set at 1:4.5 molar ratio of Fe(II) to H2O2, initial pH of 3.0 and wastewater flow rate of 30 mL/min.

The presence of Fe(II) both inside the reactor and in feed tank could react directly with hydrogen peroxide, which was fed continuously into the CSTR, thus producing more hydroxyl radicals. The presence of Fe(II) in feeding tank which was continuously fed into the reactor would also maintain the Fenton process as hydrogen peroxide was also continuously fed to the reactor. By contrast, Fe(II) catalyst which is only fed to the reactor at initial

### Table 1. The Characteristic of Wastewater before and after Electrocoagulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Before electrocoagulation</th>
<th>After electrocoagulation</th>
<th>Removal efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COD</td>
<td>829 ppm</td>
<td>312 ppm</td>
<td>62.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS</td>
<td>11,660 ppm</td>
<td>2,650 ppm</td>
<td>77.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color (Optical Density)</td>
<td>1.335</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>97.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>10.59</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Fig. 1. Experimental set-up.
Fig. 2. COD removal efficiencies at various Fe(II) feeding mode vs. reaction time.

**Table 2.** Pseudo-first Order Rate Constants at Different Fe(II) Feeding Modes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feeding mode</th>
<th>k' (min⁻¹)</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.00749</td>
<td>0.9167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.01991</td>
<td>0.9263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The concentration of COD is plotted against time to elucidate the kinetic of the organic degradation. Previous study show the degradation kinetic obeys pseudo-first order [14]. By assuming the hydroxyl radical is excess, the equation of reaction rate as followed.

\[ -r = kC_{\text{org}} \cdot C = k' \cdot C \]  

where \( C \) is the concentration of organic substances express as COD, \( C_{\text{org}} \) is the concentration of hydroxyl radicals, \( k \) is the second order rate constant, and \( k' \) is the pseudo-first order rate constant. The start-up CSTR model for pseudo-first order kinetics can be expressed by Eq. (6) [14].

\[ \frac{C_0 - C}{C_0} = \frac{r}{1 + \frac{k'}{k} \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{k' + \frac{1}{\tau}}{k}\right)\right)} \]  

where \( r \) is space time (reactor volume divided by wastewater flow rate).

The pseudo first-order rate constants are determined based on the data of COD removal efficiency versus time using Matlab and found to be 0.00749 min⁻¹ and 0.01991 min⁻¹ for feeding mode A and B, respectively, as is presented in Table 2. It is clear that the removal efficiency is higher under feeding mode B due to higher hydroxyl radicals generation than feeding mode A.

### 3.2. Effect of Fe(II)/H₂O₂ Molar Ratio in the Feed Stream

The ratio of ferrous ions to hydrogen peroxide is very crucial for Fenton process. To investigate the effect of Fe(II)/H₂O₂ molar ratio in feeding stream, two different Fe(II)/H₂O₂ molar ratios were tested. The hydrogen peroxide dosage was held constant (four times initial COD concentration) while Fe(II)/H₂O₂ molar ratio changed from 1:4.5 to 1:10. The initial pH and flow rate of wastewater were kept at 3.0 and 30 mL/min, respectively. Fig. 3 shows that the effect of Fe(II)/H₂O₂ molar ratio on COD removal. Higher removal COD efficiency is observed at 1:10 molar ratio. This is because more Fe(II) is present at 1:4.5 molar ratio than 1:10, leading to more hydroxyl radical scavenging reaction as indicated in Eq. (7) [15].

\[ \text{OH}^* + \text{Fe}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{OH}^- \]  

The scavenging reaction results in reduced hydroxyl radical concentration, thus decreasing its ability for the COD removal. The lower hydroxyl radical concentration at molar ratio of 1:4.5 was also confirmed by CSTR model, similar to that on Fe(II) in feeding mode A.

The pseudo-first order rate constants at Fe(II)/H₂O₂ molar ratio of 1:4.5 and 1:10 are calculated to be 0.01991 min⁻¹ and 0.07046 min⁻¹, respectively as shown in Table 3. The rate constants for both ratio of Fe(II)/H₂O₂ are different since less hydroxyl radical were produced for the molar ratio of Fe(II)/H₂O₂ of 1:4.5.

The COD removal efficiency in a continuous system at molar ratio of 1:10 is higher than the batch system which used molar ratio of 1:15 (80% vs 70%) [10]. However, the COD removal efficiency in a batch system which used molar ratio of 1:10 was 83.7% [10], it proved that the best molar ratio of Fe(II)/H₂O₂ was 1:10 both in batch and continuous systems.

### 3.3. Effect of Wastewater Initial pH

Fenton process was a highly pH dependent process because pH affected the hydroxyl radical generation in the Fenton reaction. Fig. 4 shows the effect of wastewater initial pH on COD removal.
The best operation pH is noted at pH 3.0 which gives higher COD removal than using pH 5.0. At higher pH (pH > 3.0), the solubility of Fe(III) ions decreased and eventually precipitated as Fe(OH)$_3$ [16]. This precipitation reduces the capacity of the catalyst and thus lowering hydroxyl radical generation. Moreover, the hydroxyl radical generation, as shown in Eq. (8) below, is a reversible reaction that is very sensitive to pH value.

$$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{Fe}^{2+} \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{OH}^- + \text{HO}^*$$ (8)

Based on Le Chatelier’s principle, an increase in pH or hydroxide ions concentration may shift the equilibrium to reactants side and reduce products generation. Also, lower pH could remove carbonate and bicarbonate ions which are likely scavenge hydroxyl radicals in Fenton process [15].

The explanation above implies that more hydroxyl radicals are produced at pH 3.0. This statement is also supported the kinetic model where the pseudo-first order rate constants at pH 3 and 5 are calculated to be 0.07046 min$^{-1}$ and 0.03219 min$^{-1}$, respectively. Table 4 shows that rate constants at pH 3.0 is about twice higher than at pH 5.0, since more hydroxyl radicals were produced at initial pH 3.0.

In this study, the pH in the reactor was not controlled because the pH of the wastewater in the feeding tank has been adjusted to the desired pH value. The final pH in the reactor after 120 min of experiment was almost similar to the initial pH. Though Fenton process is effective at pH 2.0-4.0, the study at initial pH of 5.0 was carried out to observe whether the COD level after treatment could achieve the regulatory limit. Another consideration is an economic aspect of the wastewater pH adjustment back to normal prior to discharge.

The COD removal efficiency in a continuous system at initial pH of 3.0 and molar ratio of 1:10 was 80%, while the COD removal efficiency in a batch system at the similar conditions was 88.3% [7]. Although the COD removal efficiency in a batch system is better than in a continuous system, the rate constant in a batch system (0.0053 min$^{-1}$) is much lower than in a continuous system (0.07046 min$^{-1}$). This is because the hydroxyl radical generation in a continuous system is more effective than in a batch system. Fenton process is more effective in a low concentrations of both organic matter and Fenton’s reagent [14]. The concentrations in a batch reactor are relatively high at the initial phase when the wastewater and Fenton’s reagent are fed into the reactor, while the concentrations in a continuous reactor are maintained low since the wastewater and Fenton’s reagent are fed continuously into the reactor. The low concentrations in a continuous reactor may prevent the hydroxyl radical scavenging reactions, thus allowing more hydroxyl radicals are produced which caused higher rate constant in a continuous system.

### 3.4. Effect of Wastewater Flow Rate

Fig. 5 shows the effect of flow rate on COD removal. COD removal efficiency is found to decrease with increasing wastewater flow rates. This is due to lower the space time at higher wastewater flow rates in the CSTR. COD removal efficiency also increases rapidly as the reaction time increased but the rate of change of COD removal reaches plateau after steady state condition is reached.

The pseudo-first order rate constants at 30, 60 and 90 mL/min are calculated to be 0.07046 min$^{-1}$, 0.06442 min$^{-1}$, 0.06958 min$^{-1}$, respectively, as shown in Table 5.

The COD removal efficiency in previous study is higher than in this study (89.2% in previous study vs 80% in this study) [14]. However, it is worth to note that the space time used in this study is smaller (33.33 min) than the space time used in the previous study (60 min) [14]. Therefore, to meet the same...
COD removal efficiency, the result indicates that the reactor used for this study is smaller than the size of reactor used in previous study.

3.5. Cost Analysis

Operational cost is an important factor to be considered when one would like to design hybrid electrocoagulation-Fenton in a continuous system. The cost for electrocoagulation process to treat similar textile wastewater has been estimated to be IDR 81.0 per L wastewater [11]. The cost for Fenton process included the costs of chemicals and electricity. In this work, the cost for Fenton process is estimated based on two hours operation time at optimum conditions.

The chemicals used in Fenton process are hydrochloric acid to adjust initial pH of wastewater, ferrous sulphate heptahydrate as the source of ferrous ions, hydrogen peroxide as the source of hydroxyl radicals, and sodium hydroxide to neutralize the effluent. The amount of each chemicals used in the Fenton process and the cost are reported in Table 6.

The total chemicals cost in Fenton process is estimated to be IDR 575.5 for two hours operation time. The electrical components of this Fenton process consist of three pumps and one stirrer. The electricity cost is calculated based on the electricity tariff in Indonesia which is IDR 1,472 per kWh. The electricity consumed in a continuous Fenton process and the cost are reported in Table 7.

The total electricity cost required for the Fenton process is IDR 874.4 for two hours operation time. The total cost of Fenton process including chemicals and electricity costs is about IDR 1,449.9 for two hours operation time. The volume used in this study is approximately 3.6 L, hence the Fenton process operational cost is estimated to be IDR 403.0 per L wastewater. Therefore, total operational cost for yarn dyed wastewater treatment using hybrid electrocoagulation-Fenton method in continuous system is estimated to be IDR 484 per L wastewater.

In the Fenton process, the chemical cost of the continuous system (IDR 160 per L) is cheaper than the batch system (IDR 256 per L) [17], thus continuous system is more economically attractive than that in a batch system.

### Table 6. Chemical Costs Used in the Fenton Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Cost/unit (IDR/unit)</th>
<th>Cost (IDR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCl 37%</td>
<td>1.194 mL</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeSO4·7H2O</td>
<td>3.906 g</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O2 30%</td>
<td>14.218 mL</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>554.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>1.728 g</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>575.5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7. Electricity Cost of Fenton Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Power (W)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Energy (kWh)</th>
<th>Cost (IDR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pump</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>662.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stirrer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>874.4</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusions

The results in this study indicates that hybrid electrocoagulation-Fenton method in a continuous mode can be as a reliable process to treat yarn dyed wastewater for large scale application. The optimum conditions of Fenton process in a CSTR can be reached when Fe(II) catalysts are fed both into both the feed tank and the reactor at the initial condition. The best parameters used in this study are: Fe(II)/H2O2 molar ratio of 1:10 in the feed stream, initial wastewater pH of 3.0, and wastewater flow rate of 30 mL/min. The COD removal efficiency in Fenton process can reach 80% under the optimum condition. Moreover, COD removal using Fenton process can also be fitted to the CSTR model for pseudo-first order kinetic with the rate constant (k) of 0.07046 min⁻¹ at the optimum condition with R² = 0.8946.

The estimated operational cost for the yarn dyed wastewater treatment using hybrid electrocoagulation-Fenton in the continuous system is IDR 484 per L wastewater. The chemical cost used in continuous system was IDR 160/L which is cheaper than in a batch system (IDR 256/L).
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