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PURPOSE. In glaucoma, elevated intraocular pressure causes a progressive loss of retinal ganglion cells and results in optic neuropathy. The authors propose a potential mechanism for cell death, whereby elevated intraocular pressure causes fluid to permeate axonal membranes, creating a passive intracellular fluid flow within the axons. It is hypothesized that this intracellular flow locally depletes the adenosine triphosphate (ATP) concentration, disrupting axonal transport and leading to cell death.

METHODS. A mathematical model was developed that takes into account the biomechanical principles underpinning the proposed hypothesis, and was solved to determine the implications of the mechanism.

RESULTS. The model suggests that the raised intraocular pressures present in glaucoma are adequate to produce significant intracellular fluid flow. In the periphery of the optic nerve head, this flow may be sufficient to disrupt the diffusion of ATP and hence interrupt active axonal transport.

CONCLUSIONS. The mathematical model demonstrates that it is physically plausible that a passive intracellular fluid flow could significantly contribute to the pathophysiology of the retinal ganglion cell axon in glaucoma. (Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2009;50:3750–3758) DOI:10.1167/iovs.08-2596

The glaucomas are the second commonest cause of blindness in Western countries and are characterized by raised intraocular pressure and progressive loss of retinal ganglion cells, giving rise to an optic neuropathy. The mechanism whereby a high intraocular pressure leads to the loss of retinal ganglion cells has proven enigmatic. Proposed theories have concentrated on whether cell loss is due to mechanical deformation or to an adverse effect on vascular perfusion; however, both these theories are problematic.

The deformation theory suggests that an increase in intraocular pressure induces mechanical stress in the load-bearing tissues of the optic nerve head (the lamina cribrosa, peripapillary sclera, and scleral canal), which causes tissue deformation. As the tissue deforms, it pinches the retinal ganglion cells inducing physiological stress that could lead to cell death. Experimental investigations have characterized the tissue architecture and finite-element methods have been used to understand the impact of an increase in intraocular pressure on the biomechanics of the optic nerve head; however, it is not well understood how tissue deformation and remodeling affect the function of the retinal ganglion cells and whether these processes can lead to cell death.

The second postulated mechanism is vascular, rather than mechanical. The intraocular circulation, in common with most tissues, shows autoregulation (tissue perfusion being independent of pressure). It is often stated that glaucoma is characterized by faulty autoregulation of blood flow in the optic nerve head, despite very little supporting evidence. Modest elevations of intraocular pressure in the region of 10 mm Hg are sufficient to lead to optic neuropathy, even though this elevation is well within the range in which autoregulation will operate. It is very hard to convincingly demonstrate altered autoregulation in patients with glaucoma. Although optic nerve head perfusion is reduced in patients with glaucoma, this effect may not be caused by faulty autoregulation and may simply reflect a lower oxygen demand due to axonal death. Patients with intraocular hypertension (but with no glaucomatous loss of ganglion cells) have no such reduction in perfusion.

The mechanical and vascular mechanisms of glaucoma are not mutually exclusive, nor are they the only possible causes of glaucoma. For example, it has been proposed that glaucomatous neuropathy may be initiated by mechanical or ischemic damage to the astrocytes of the optic nerve head. This injury is thought to lead to a weakening of the cribrosal beams as well as interfering with axon metabolism. Although recent evidence indicates that glaucomatous elevated intraocular pressure can lead to changes in astrocyte gene expression, further experimental work is needed to clarify the details of this promising theory. A further hypothesis concerning the etiology of glaucoma is the biomechanical paradigm, whereby the dynamic effects of the intraocular pressure and blood flow are related and the physiological susceptibility of the tissue determines its response to the given stress. This paradigm also allows for the possibility that part or all of the axonal injury is mediated by astrocyte damage.

Any convincing mechanism must be able to explain the interruption in active axonal transport (AAT) that occurs. Here, AAT refers to the process whereby vesicles are transported along microtubules by the dynein and kinesin motor molecules (for review, see Valley et al.) with kinesins driving orthograde transport (cell body to synapse) and dyneins retrograde transport (synapse to cell body; Fig. 1). The dynein and kinesin motor molecules gain energy by hydrolyzing ATP (adenosine triphosphate), which is produced by the mitochon-
In the monkey,26 and of 40 mm Hg in the pig.25 In these experiments, AAT was not reduced evenly along the axons of the optic nerve. In particular, the most severe reductions in AAT were observed at the lamina cribrosa. These initial findings reported interruption of AAT at moderate intraocular pressures, with complete blockage only for nonphysiological intraocular pressures within 25 mm Hg of the mean blood pressure (an intraocular pressure of approximately 75 mm Hg). Subsequently, similar reductions in both orthograde and retrograde AAT have been observed at lower elevated intraocular pressures of 25 mm Hg in the monkey,26 and of 40 mm Hg in the pig.25 In these experiments, AAT was not reduced evenly along the axons of the optic nerve. In particular, our mechanism is fundamentally driven by the existence of a pressure gradient across the lamina cribrosa. Moreover, it is reasonable to expect that impaired blood flow and/or damage to the astrocyte support system would make axons more sensitive to the effects of ATP depletion.

We hypothesize the following events: (1) The difference between the intraocular and cerebrospinal fluid pressures causes fluid to permeate the axons' membranes, creating a passive neuronal intracellular fluid flux (PNIFF) within the axoplasm. (2) If the PNIFF is strong enough, it disrupts the normal diffusive ATP transport, causing ATP to be restricted to a region downstream of each mitochondrion (like a wake) and resulting in a wash-out zone in which ATP is depleted. (3) The ATP depletion leads to an energy deficiency that disrupts AAT. The axons will then not be able to maintain communication between the cell body and the synapse, which could lead to cell death.

In this theoretical study, we developed a mathematical model to show that the PNIFF mechanism is physically plausible, and used our model to investigate the pattern and likely severity of cell damage that is predicted by our hypothesis.

**Methods**

We consider the geometry shown in Figure 1. In the optic nerve, we use a cylindrical coordinate system \((r, z)\), where \(z\) measures the distance along the axis of the optic nerve and \(r\) represents the radial position of an axon within the optic nerve, where \(0 \leq r < a\), and \(a\) is the radius of the optic nerve. In the eye, however, the axons are dispersed throughout the retina, and we let \(z\) measure the distance along the individual axons. We suppose that the lamina cribrosa has negligible thickness and define its position to be \(z = 0\), such that, for each axon, the region \(z < 0\) is in the eye while \(z > 0\) lies in the optic nerve. (For completeness, Appendix C shows that considering a finite-thickness lamina cribrosa does not significantly affect the results presented.) In the eye, each axon ends at a cell body at \(z = -M < 0\), and in the brain the axons end at the synaptic terminal at \(z = L > 0\); thus, all the axons are assumed to have the same length. We suppose that the axons are sufficiently rigid that, despite changes in external pressure, they have a constant, uniform radius, \(R\) (where \(R \ll a\)). There are approximately \(10^6\) axons,31,32 and so the individual axons are sufficiently small that we can consider them as a continuum within the optic nerve. This enables us to make the assumption that the system is axisymmetric around the optic nerve’s central axis \((r = 0)\).

Each axon is treated as a hollow, porous tube that carries a passive axial fluid flux, \(F(r, z)\) (the PNIFF), with a corresponding maximum axial velocity, \(U(r, z)\). We denote the dynamic viscosity of the axoplasm as \(\mu\) and the intracellular pressure in the eye region and optic nerve region as \(p_s(z, r)\) and \(p_e(r, z)\), respectively, where the intracellular pressure in the eye region is parameterized by the radial position at which the axon enters the optic nerve, \(r\) (i.e., \(r\) labels the axons). Although in the optic nerve the intracellular pressure is strictly defined only within each axon, we represent it by a smoothly varying continuous function of the radial coordinate, \(p_s(r, z)\), using a homogenization approximation.33 In the eye, \(z \leq 0\), the axons are widely spaced so that the pressure exterior to each can be assumed to be the constant intracranial pressure, \(p_c\). In contrast, the axons in the optic nerve are tightly bundled together and so the external pressure depends on that of the surrounding axons and may be nonuniform (Fig. 1). The optic nerve is surrounded by cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) at constant pressure.
$p_c$. The hydraulic conductivities of the axons’ membranes inside the optic nerve and inside the eye are denoted $\kappa_e$ and $\kappa_m$, respectively, each of which is taken to be constant.

**Parameter Values**

We now estimate the values of the parameters in the model. We assume that each axon’s total length is $L + M = 0.11$ m, of which $L = 0.1$ m is within the optic nerve (extraocular) and the remaining $M = 0.01$ m is in the eye (intraocular). The lamina cribrosa is typically 100 to 300 $\mu$m thick.\textsuperscript{6,11} As this length scale is much less than the axon’s length, we can consider the thickness of the lamina cribrosa to be negligible. In the optic nerve, the axons are bundled together resulting in an optic nerve radius $a = 1.6$ mm.\textsuperscript{31} Physiologically, axons have different radii; estimated radii are in the range 0.05 to 4.15 $\mu$m.\textsuperscript{11} It has been suggested that thicker axons are more susceptible to injury in glaucoma,\textsuperscript{34–36} and so we consider a radius at the upper end of the quoted range and let $R = 4.15$ $\mu$m. A key parameter in the model is the axoplasm viscosity; in the mammalian nerve, measurements suggest that this viscosity is 5 cP (or 0.005 Pa s),\textsuperscript{57} which is about five times greater than that of water.

A non-zero pressure drop across the axon’s membrane induces a transmural fluid flux. We characterize the membrane by its hydraulic conductivity, which is the flux induced per unit area per unit pressure drop across the membrane. As the axons are myelinated in the optic nerve but not in the eye, we use two distinct conductivities, $\kappa_e$ in the eye and $\kappa_m$ in the optic nerve, where $\kappa_e > \kappa_m$. Several studies have estimated $\kappa_e$: Sypropoulos\textsuperscript{38} gives $8 \times 10^{-8}$ cm$^3$ cm$^{-1}$ H$_2$O$^{-1}$, Vargas\textsuperscript{39} suggests $10.6 \times 10^{-8}$ cm$^3$ cm$^{-1}$ H$_2$O$^{-1}$, and Wallin\textsuperscript{40} estimates $0.236 \times 10^{-8}$ cm$^3$ cm$^{-1}$ H$_2$O$^{-1}$. We therefore chose a representative value, $\kappa_e = 10 \times 10^{-8}$ cm$^3$ cm$^{-1}$ H$_2$O$^{-1} = 10^{-11}$ m$^2$ Pa$^{-1}$. As far as we are aware, experimental estimates for $\kappa_m$ are not available. We assume that the myelinated sheath reduces the membrane conductivity to a tenth of its value in the nonmyelinated intraocular region.

In health, ATP is distributed throughout the axon primarily by diffusion, with the diffusion coefficient, $D = 3 \times 10^{-10}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$; however, the PNIF will advect ATP toward the synapse ($z = L$), which could result in localized ATP depletion. To assess whether the PNIF affects the ATP distribution, we analyze the relative strengths of ATP diffusion and advection, and so introduce a typical length scale, $l$, over which ATP diffuses. As ATP is produced by roughly evenly spaced mitochondria, it must diffuse between them to supply energy throughout the axon; therefore it is appropriate to take $l$ to be the distance between neighboring mitochondria. Published values for this length scale do not seem to be currently available, and we therefore estimate an approximate value of $l = 10$ $\mu$m.

Based on these considerations, our estimates of typical parameter values are summarized in Table 1. Later, we shall discuss how sensitive our results are to variations in the axoplasm viscosity, $\mu$, intraocular pressure, $p_c$, and axons’ radii, $R$.

**Governing Equations**

In each axon, Poiseuille’s Law relates the PNIF, $F(r, z)$, to the axial pressure gradient, giving

$$F(r, z) = -\frac{\pi R^4 \partial p}{8\mu \partial z},$$

which corresponds to a maximum axial fluid velocity

$$U(r, z) = \frac{2F}{\pi R^2} - \frac{R^2 \partial p}{4\mu \partial z},$$

where the pressure $p = p_c(r, z)$ or $p = p_z(z, r)$. Equating the rate of change of flux through each axon in the eye ($z < 0$) to the transmural flux that leaks through its walls gives

$$-\frac{d}{dz} \left( R^4 \pi \frac{dp}{\partial z} \right) + 2\pi R \kappa_e (p_e - p_z) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad z < 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The leakage is driven by the transmural pressure difference $p_z(z, r) - p_e$. Osmotic pressure gradients between the axoplasm and the intraocular space are neglected. We note that the dependence of $p_z$ on $r$ in $z < 0$ is only parametric, allowing us to use ordinary $z$–derivatives in equation 3.

The situation is somewhat more complicated in the optic nerve ($z > 0$). We assume that the axons are tightly packed so that fluid leaks directly from one axon into its neighbors rather than into the interstitial space (which we take to be of negligible volume). In this instance, the pressure in each axon is governed by

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \sqrt{3R^4} \frac{dp}{\partial z} \right) - 2\sqrt{3R^4} \kappa_e \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \right) = 0$$

for $0 < r < a$, $z > 0$. (4)

(see Appendix A for further explanation). In this case, the pressure difference between neighboring axons (represented by the radial pressure gradient $\partial p / \partial r$) drives transmural fluxes from axon to axon.

We assume that the optic nerve is axisymmetric and that the pressure is continuous at the edge of the optic nerve; therefore

$$\frac{\partial p_z}{\partial r} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad r = 0, \quad p_e = p_z \quad \text{on} \quad r = a,$$

for $z > 0$. Although we expect a small pressure drop across the membranes enclosing the optic nerve’s peripheral axons, preliminary investigations suggested that continuity of pressure at the edge of the optic nerve, equation 5b, is an appropriate simplification. We assume that no axoplasm enters or leaves through the ends of the axons, so that

$$F = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad z = L, -M.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Finally, continuity of pressure and flux across the lamina cribrosa provide conditions on $z = 0$ (for all $0 \leq r < a$).

**Table 1.** Physiological Estimates of the Dimensional Parameters Required by the Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>Axon radius</td>
<td>$4.15 \times 10^{-6}$ m</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>Optic-nerve radius</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^{-7}$ m</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>Axoplasm viscosity</td>
<td>$0.005$ Pa s</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\kappa_e$</td>
<td>Axon membrane conductivity in the eye</td>
<td>$10^{-11}$ ms$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$</td>
<td>38, 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\kappa_m$</td>
<td>Axon membrane conductivity in the optic nerve</td>
<td>$10^{-12}$ ms$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$</td>
<td>38, 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_c$</td>
<td>Intraocular pressure (30 mm Hg)</td>
<td>$4.0 \times 10^4$ Pa</td>
<td>38, 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_z$</td>
<td>CSF pressure (10 mm Hg)</td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^3$ Pa</td>
<td>38, 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>Axon length</td>
<td>$0.01$ m</td>
<td>38, 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z$</td>
<td>Length of axon in the eye</td>
<td>$0.10$ m</td>
<td>38, 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$l$</td>
<td>Diffusive length scale for ATP</td>
<td>$10^{-5}$ m</td>
<td>38, 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>Diffusion coefficient for ATP</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-10}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[ p_+ = p_-, \quad \frac{dp_-}{dz} = -\frac{dp_+}{dz} \text{ at } z = 0. \] (7)

In Appendix B, we nondimensionalize the governing equations 3 and 4 and boundary conditions (equations 5-7), and solve for the axoplasmic pressures, \( p_-(z, r) \) and \( p_+(r, z) \), using a series of Bessel functions.

**RESULTS**

Figure 2 shows the intracellular pressure and flux in the axons within the eye with an intraocular pressure of 30 mm Hg (calculated from our Bessel-function solution). In the axons that enter the optic nerve near its center, the intracellular pressure is approximately constant and equal to the intraocular pressure, resulting in no intracellular flux. However, in those that enter near the edge of the optic nerve, the intracellular pressure is lower and reduces toward the CSF pressure close to the optic nerve (\( z = 0 \)), which results in an intracellular flux through the axons. The corresponding pressure and flux within the region at the edge of the optic nerve are shown in Figure 3. In the central bulk of the optic nerve, the axoplasmic pressure equals the elevated intraocular pressure, \( p_e \), whereas at its periphery, the axoplasmic pressure rapidly reduces to the CSF pressure, \( p_c \). This gives rise to large radial pressure gradients at the periphery of the optic nerve, that in turn lead to a significant axial flux (the PNIFF) in the peripheral axons. The PNIFF depends on the difference between the intraocular and CSF pressures and not on the absolute values of these pressures. The model predicts that a larger pressure difference creates a larger pressure gradient and therefore a larger PNIFF.

In turn, we expect the PNIFF to influence the axoplasmic ATP distribution. In health, ATP is distributed throughout the axon primarily by diffusion; however, the PNIFF will advect ATP, which will result in some localized ATP depletion. The relative importance of advective to diffusive motion is characterized by the Péclet number, which has the formula \( Ul/D \).
where \( U(r, z) \) is the maximum axial velocity of the PNIFF suggested by the model (see Leal\(^4\)) for further details). The Péclet number depends on the parameters \( l \) and \( D \); we note that the calculated Péclet number is smaller if we use a smaller estimate of \( l \) or a larger estimate of \( D \). A large Péclet number indicates that advection dominates over diffusion, meaning that there will be significant ATP depletion. The transition from diffusion-dominated to advection-dominated is not strict as the Péclet number increases, but it occurs when the Péclet number is around 1. In Figure 4, we consider several physiological pressure differences (intraocular − CSF), and identify the regions of the optic nerve in which we expect ATP depletion to be most severe, leading to interruption of AAT and cell death. Thus, we predict that AAT is interrupted in a single layer of axons at the periphery of the optic nerve (although the continuum approximation may be inappropriate on such length scales, a discrete model would predict the same behavior and would be computationally intensive). Once the peripheral axons die, the PNIFF will affect the adjacent layer of axons, resulting in a slow progression of optic pathophysiology. With a larger pressure difference between the intraocular fluid and the CSF, we predict a larger region in which advection is likely to cause an ATP deficiency, and this in turn increases the number of cells affected.

As discussed earlier, parameter estimates vary between different sources. With a higher viscosity estimate, the model predicts a smaller pressure gradient and PNIFF; however, although the model is sensitive to the value of the viscosity, all available estimates\(^{23–49} \) still result in significant PNIFF close to the edge of the optic nerve. The PNIFF also depends on the axons’ radii, \( R \); considering a larger value of the radii, \( R \), results in a larger PNIFF, which suggests that glaucoma is more prevalent in thicker axons.

**Discussion**

There are two groups of existing theories for the mechanism of optic neuropathy in patients with glaucoma: the mechanical and the vascular. We propose a third theory based on a passive neuronal intracellular fluid flux (PNIFF) in the optic nerve axons. The mathematical model presented herein demonstrates the plausibility of the PNIFF mechanism and suggests that typical glaucomatous pressure differences between the intraocular fluid and CSF are sufficient to create significant PNIFF in the axons at the edge of the optic nerve close to the lamina cribrosa. The PNIFF advects ATP molecules, creating a region of ATP depletion, which we propose leads to a breakdown of AAT. Although the PNIFF may transport material that could compensate for the breakdown of orthograde AAT, retrograde transport would still be impaired. The locations of reduced AAT in the experiments reported in references\(^{24–29} \) (described in the introduction) are consistent with our findings.

As discussed in the introduction, there is excellent experimental evidence to support failure of AAT in the retinal ganglion cells in glaucoma. Failure of AAT has been implicated in a number of neurodegenerative conditions including Alzheimer’s and Huntington’s diseases (see Ref. 50 for review), and mutations in kinesin family members have been found in neuropathies such as Charcot-Marie-Tooth\(^5\) and hereditary spastic paraplegia.\(^6\) This evidence supports the hypothesis that interruption of AAT can lead to neuronal death. Orthograde axonal transport is essential because the mRNA required for protein synthesis occurs in the cell body; therefore either it or the translated proteins have to travel the length of the axon to maintain the integrity of the cell synapse.\(^7\) Retrograde transport is important for cell maintenance, for example, by transporting brain-derived neurotrophic factor.\(^54,55\) Thus, blocking either orthograde or retrograde transport is potentially fatal to retinal ganglion cells, although to date no such mechanism has been linked to optic neuropathies.\(^56\)

The model predicts that it is the location of the axons within the optic nerve at the level of the lamina cribrosa that determines their sensitivity to damage. In agreement with some experimental findings,\(^24–29 \) the model suggests that AAT will be interrupted in peripheral axons, which may explain why increased intraocular pressure appears to particularly affect the peripheral visual field and the Bjerrum area. Although the axons in the Bjerrum area run in the center of the optic nerve, they pass the lamina cribrosa in the optic nerve’s periphery, and in agreement with the model’s predictions, are thought to be particularly vulnerable to glaucomatous damage.\(^57\) The PNIFF mechanism also suggests that thicker axons are more susceptible to glaucomatous damage, which is also in agreement with some experimental findings.\(^34–36\) Spatial variations in the axons’ radii within the optic nerve\(^31,32\) would influence the pattern of optic pathophysiology (we could consider these spatial variations in an extended model by letting the axons’ radii depend on \( \theta \) and \( r \)).

An important feature of the model is that the key parameter is not the absolute value of the intraocular pressure, but rather the difference between the intraocular and CSF pressures. There are many similarities in the way intraocular fluid and CSF pressures are produced, and in health, the two pressures are strongly correlated (\( r = 0.96 \)).\(^60\) Clinically, there is no noninvasive way to measure CSF pressure, and so glaucoma is normally assessed on intraocular pressure measurements alone; however, there is a poor correlation between glaucomatous optic neuropathy progression and intraocular pressure,\(^39–62\) and a recent clinical study\(^63\) observed low CSF pressures in patients with glaucoma. This finding supports the model’s hypothesis that it is the pressure difference between the intraocular fluid and CSF (rather than the absolute value of the intraocular pressure) that leads to glaucomatous damage of retinal ganglion cell axons. Thus, although it has been suggested that activities that raise intraocular pressure, such as exercise and wearing a tight neck tie, may increase the likelihood of glaucoma,\(^64\) these activities raise the CSF pressure simultaneously, and therefore would not affect the phenomenon we describe.

In the model, we assume that ATP diffuses freely; however, there is evidence that this assumption may not be appropriate.\(^65,66\) A more accurate scenario may be that the cells’ energy demands are orchestrated by the creatine-kinase phosphotransfer system.\(^57,68\) In the myofibrils, ATP hydrolysis produces energy (for AAT) and ADP. To make more ATP, the ADP is rephosphorylated by creatine-phosphate (CP), which diffuses to the myofibrils from the mitochondria. This scenario suggests that AAT relies on CP diffusion, rather than ATP diffusion; however, the PNIFF would also affect the diffusion of CP in a similar way to ATP, and would therefore lead to a depletion of
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CP in the same region as we suggested for ATP (as their diffusion coefficients are similar). Therefore, the PNIFF mechanism would still lead to an energy deficiency that would interrupt AAT.

To determine whether our proposed mechanism of axonal cell death occurs in glaucoma, empiric experimental testing should be performed; however, such testing is very challenging because of the difficulties involved in measuring pressure gradients within tissues. Incompressible tissues like the optic nerve are especially difficult to study in this way because small leaks can have a large effect on the measured pressures. To date, the best attempt at measuring pressure within the optic nerve has been the work of Morgan et al.69, however, they were unable to completely eliminate the problems associated with blockage of the fine hollow needle to which the pressure transducer was attached or the problems arising from leakages induced by the insertion of the needle. Despite these difficulties, they were able to demonstrate three important features of a dog model of glaucoma. They showed that: (1) there is a pressure gradient centered on the lamina cribrosa (with the pressure reducing as they moved from the optic nerve head toward the synaptic terminal), (2) the pressure in the optic nerve sheath is the same as the CSF pressure, and (3) the pressure gradient is determined by both the CSF and intraocular pressures.

One interesting feature of the work of Morgan et al.69 is that they passed the needle obliquely through the optic nerve. Our model predicts that the pressure in the optic nerve will vary both longitudinally and radially; hence, it would be instructive to repeat these experiments but to alter the course of the needle to allow longitudinal and radial pressure changes to be measured independently. Of even greater interest would be to measure the intraneuronal and interstitial fluid flows; however, such measurements are even more challenging and have not been attempted to date.

In contrast to a glaucomatous raised intraocular pressure, an increase in CSF pressure can also occur clinically, giving rise to a condition called papilledema. Chronic papilledema (in conditions such as benign intracranial hypertension) leads to progressive death of retinal ganglion cells, with cells in the periphery of the optic nerve preferentially affected. Our model can readily be modified to account for the reversed pressure gradient presented in papilledema, and predicts a reversed pressure distribution with a steep increase in pressure at the periphery of the optic nerve rather than the steep decrease predicted in the glaucomatous case. The increasing pressure gradient could also produce a PNIFF and failure of orthograde AAT, suggesting that the PNIFF mechanism may potentially be relevant to papilledema.

This article suggests a potential mechanism to explain why raised intraocular pressure leads to optic neuropathy. It should be noted that the proposed PNIFF theory is compatible with both the mechanical and the vascular theories, and that these mechanisms are not mutually exclusive. The mathematical model presented makes clear predictions which, while experimentally challenging, are testable.
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The resulting problem (equations 15 and 16) is linear and can be solved by separation of variables.

Solution. Solving equation 15b in the eye region subject to the boundary condition in equation 16c, yields

\[ p(z, r) = 1 + A(r)(e^{z/0.09} + e^{-2z/0.09}) \quad \text{for} \quad z < 0, \quad (17) \]

where \( A(r) \) remains to be determined. With equation 17, the jump conditions (equation 16c) become

\[ \frac{\partial p_+}{\partial z} = \frac{A(r)}{l_m} \left( 1 - e^{-2z/0.09} \right) \quad \text{at} \quad z = 0, \quad (18) \]

which we combine to eliminate \( A(r) \), giving

\[ \frac{\partial p_+}{\partial z} = \frac{A(r)}{l_m} \left( 1 - e^{-2z/0.09} \right) \quad \text{at} \quad z = 0, \quad (18) \]

Intracellular Flow in Optic Nerve Axons

\[ p_z = p_+ + (p_+ - p_0) \beta_{ax}, \quad r = a\hat{r}, \quad z = L\hat{z}, \quad (13) \]

and identify three dimensionless parameters

\[ m = \frac{M}{l_0} = 0.10, \quad l_0 = \frac{R}{l_0} \approx 160, \quad (14) \]

(given to two significant figures), where \( m \) denotes the ratio between the length of the axon in the eye and in the brain; \( l_0 \) gives the ratio between a typical length scale over which the flux across the axons’ membranes influences the intracellular pressure in the optic nerve and the length of the axons in the optic nerve; and finally, \( l_0 \) denotes the ratio between a typical axial length scale over which the flux across the axons’ membranes influences the intracellular pressure in the eye and the length of the axons in the eye. We estimate the dimensionless parameters using the values quoted in Table 1. As \( l_0 > m \) and \( l_0 > 1 \), we expect the pressure to vary slowly along both sections of each individual axon.

We have omitted the circumflexes to simplify the notation. After nondimensionalization, the governing equations 3 and 4 become

\[ \frac{\partial p_+}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial p_+}{\partial r} \right) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad z > 0, \quad (15a) \]

\[ -m^2 R^2 \frac{\partial^2 p_+}{\partial z^2} + p_+ - 1 = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad z < 0, \quad (15b) \]

and the boundary conditions (equations 5-7), become

\[ \frac{\partial p_+}{\partial r} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad r = 0, \quad (16a) \]

\[ p_+ = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad r = 1, \quad (16b) \]

\[ \frac{dp_+}{dz} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad z = -m, \quad (16c) \]

\[ \frac{\partial p_+}{\partial z} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad z = 1, \quad (16d) \]

\[ \frac{dp_+}{dz} = \frac{\partial p_+}{\partial z} \quad \text{at} \quad z = 0. \quad (16e) \]

The resulting problem (equations 15 and 16) is linear and can be solved by separation of variables.

Solution. Solving equation 15b in the eye region subject to the boundary condition in equation 16c, yields

\[ p(z, r) = 1 + A(r)(e^{z/0.09} + e^{-2z/0.09}) \quad \text{for} \quad z < 0, \quad (17) \]

where \( A(r) \) remains to be determined. With equation 17, the jump conditions (equation 16c) become

\[ \frac{\partial p_+}{\partial z} = \frac{A(r)}{l_m} \left( 1 - e^{-2z/0.09} \right) \quad \text{at} \quad z = 0, \quad (18) \]

which we combine to eliminate \( A(r) \), giving

\[ \frac{\partial p_+}{\partial z} = \frac{A(r)}{l_m} \left( 1 - e^{-2z/0.09} \right) \quad \text{at} \quad z = 0, \quad (18) \]

\[ \frac{\partial p_+}{\partial z} = \frac{A(r)}{l_m} \left( 1 - e^{-2z/0.09} \right) \quad \text{at} \quad z = 0, \quad (18) \]

\[ \frac{\partial p_+}{\partial z} = \frac{A(r)}{l_m} \left( 1 - e^{-2z/0.09} \right) \quad \text{at} \quad z = 0, \quad (18) \]
Seeking a solution to equation 15a for \( p_r(z, r) \) as a product of a function of \( z \) and a function of \( r \) leads to the general solution

\[
p_r(z, r) = (D_l e^{\lambda_l z} + D_0 e^{-\lambda_0 z})[J_0(\lambda_l r) + Y_0(\lambda_0 r)],
\]  

where \( D_l, D_0, D_3, \) and \( D_4 \) are constants, and \( J_0 \) and \( Y_0 \) are Bessel functions of the first and second kinds, respectively. Applying equation 16a gives \( D_4 = 0 \), equation 16d gives \( D_2 = D_l e^{\lambda_l l} \), and equation 16b gives \( J_0(\lambda) = 0 \). This condition has countably many real roots, and we denote the \( j \)th positive real root by \( \lambda_j \) and let \( C_j = D_j D_3 \) for the corresponding constants; thus,

\[
p_r(z, r) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C_j e^{\lambda_j z} + e^{\lambda_j z} J_0(\lambda_j r). \tag{21}
\]

We obtain the constants, \( C_j \), by imposing the final boundary condition (equation 19) at \( z = 0 \), which gives

\[
C_j = (1 - e^{-2\lambda_j}) \left[ \int_0^1 r f_0(\lambda_j r) dr \right] \left[ \int_0^1 r f_0(\lambda_j r)^2 dr \right]^{-1} \times \left[ (1 + e^{2\lambda_j}) (1 - e^{-2\lambda_j}) - \frac{ml\lambda_j}{l_0} (1 + e^{-2\lambda_j}) (1 - e^{2\lambda_j/l_0}) \right]^{-1}. \tag{22}
\]

With equation 18, the function of integration, \( A(r) \), can be calculated via

\[
A(r) = [1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C_j (1 + e^2) f_0(\lambda_j r)] (1 + e^{-2\lambda_j})^{-1}, \tag{23}
\]

and hence \( p_(z, r) \) can be determined from equation 17.

**APPENDIX C**

**Modification of the Model to Account for the Finite Thickness of the Lamina Cribrosa**

In the model, we suppose that the lamina cribrosa has negligible thickness; however, in reality its thickness is small but finite. To investigate the effect of a finite-thickness lamina cribrosa, we now consider a variation of the model in which the lamina cribrosa occupies the region between \( z = 0 \) and \( z = \delta L \), where \( \delta \) is small. Within the lamina cribrosa, we assume that there is no flux through the walls of the axon, which means that in this region \( F(r, z) \) is independent of \( z \), and hence from equation 1, \( \partial p/\partial z \) is constant.

After nondimensionalization, the governing equations 15b and 16a-d are not changed, equation 15a now applies only in \( z > \delta \), and equation 16c is replaced by the new matching condition

\[
\frac{\partial p_c}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=\delta} = \frac{\partial p_c}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=0} = \frac{p_c|_{z=\delta} - p_c|_{z=0}}{\delta}.
\]  

On solving the new system of equations, equation 19 is replaced with

\[
\frac{\partial p_c}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=\delta} = \frac{p_c|_{z=0} - p_c|_{z=\delta}}{\delta}.
\]

At \( z = \delta \). The intracellular pressure in the eye region and optic nerve are still given by equations 17 and 21, respectively, but with coefficients

\[
C_j = (1 - e^{-2\lambda_j}) \left[ \int_0^1 r f_0(\lambda_j r) dr \right] \left[ \int_0^1 r f_0(\lambda_j r)^2 dr \right]^{-1} \times \left[ (1 + e^{2\lambda_j}) (1 - e^{-2\lambda_j}) - \frac{ml\lambda_j}{l_0} (1 + e^{-2\lambda_j}) (1 - e^{2\lambda_j/l_0}) \right]^{-1}.
\]

\[
A(r) = [1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C_j (1 + e^2) f_0(\lambda_j r)] (1 + e^{-2\lambda_j})^{-1}.
\]

A lamina cribrosa of thickness 200 \( \mu \)m corresponds to \( \delta \approx 0.002 \). Figure C1 shows that including the finite thickness of the lamina cribrosa has only a small effect on intracellular pressure, and in fact for \( \delta < 0.01 \), the extended model predicts intracellular pressures that are indistinguishable from those given by the original \( \delta = 0 \) model. Therefore, considering a finite-thickness lamina cribrosa will not affect the model’s conclusions, that a raised intraocular pressure can create a large enough PNIFF that ATP diffusion could be interrupted.