

COMPARISON OF DUNE HABITS OF NORTH MEDITERRANEAN COASTS - TUSCANY (IT) WITH THOSE OF BLACK SEA COASTS - DOBROGEA (RO, BG):

SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES

Andrea Bertacchi (1), Marius Fagaras (2)

(1) Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment (DAFE), University of Pisa, Via del Borghetto, 80, 56124 Pisa, Italy;

(2) Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences, Alleea Universităii, Nr. 1 B, Ovidius University of Constanta, Romania



INTRODUCTION

The "habitats" directive 92/43/EEC of the European Union aims at creating a coherent network under the name of Natura 2000, to protect the unique natural European heritage. Coastal dune habitats, which cover relatively small areas and are characterized by a narrow width, host a high level of biodiversity and an extremely specialized flora/vegetation. In fact, despite the narrow width and shape of the dune systems, 17 habitat types in annex I of the 92/43/EEC directive describe the important environmental heterogeneity of coastal sand dune habitats in Europe (European Commission 2003). In this context, it seemed to be of great interest to investigate and compare the floristic and phytocoenotic features of dune habitats of two European coastal areas very different by the biogeographical point of view: the coast of Tuscany (Italy, Mediterranean Region, Italo-Thyrrenian province), and the coast of Dobrogea, a cross-border coastal strip between Romania and Bulgaria on the Black Sea (Eurosiberian Region, Escitian province).

AREAS OF STUDY AND METHODS

The mainland coast of Tuscany is included between 44°03' - 42°09' N and 9°45' - 11°45' E. The length is about 315 km of which about 200 of sandy coasts. Sands: in major part quartzitic (quartzitic-feldspatic near Arno river; quartzitic-calciclastic near Fine river); pH: 7-10; CaCO₃ 20-50%; salinity (NaCl): 0.01-0.3‰. Sea salinity: about 33‰. Dune system (shoreline - fixed dune) max 350 m width, 10 m high. The coast of Dobrogea includes all the Romanian coast and part of the north coast of Bulgaria (45°15'-43°21' N, 28°30'-29°42' E). The total length is about 290 km, of which about 270 of sandy coasts. Sands: in major part biogenic origin (shells); pH: 8; CaCO₃ 10-25%; salinity (NaCl): 0.1‰. Sea salinity: about 17‰. Dune system (shoreline - fixed dune) max 500 m width, 3 m high. From a bioclimatic point of view, according to the bioclimatic classification of Rivas-Martinez and Rivas-Saenz (2015) the two regions are both included in a Mediterranean macrobioclimate but quite different: Mediterranean pluviseasonal oceanic (Tuscany) and Mediterranean pluviseasonal continental steppic (Dobrogea) (Figs. 1, 2, 3 a,b).

Floristic and vegetational data on dune environments reported in literature (Arrigoni, 1990; Bertacchi et al., 2016; Vagge & Biondi, 1999 for Tuscany; Faragis, 2012; Tzenev et al., 2015 for Dobrogea), together new field surveys in summer 2017 are being compared. Habitats H2260, 2270, 9340 were not included in this report. The nomenclature is in accordance with Euro+Med PlantBase (<http://www.emplantbase.org/home.html>). Habitats and diagnostic species are in accordance with Biondi (et al., 2012), Acosta & Ercole (2015) for Tuscany and Fagaras (2012) for Dobrogea.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Foristic

The analysis of the floristic data shows that a limited and specific flora is always detectable in the strip from shoreline to fixed dune, along the Tuscany coast as along the Dobrogea coast, albeit obviously different (Tab. 1). From the list are excluded the aliens, all occasionally species and the species that can be found in other environments. The species reported (50 for Tuscany, 47 for Dobrogea) are strictly associated if not exclusive of the dune environments and humid dune slacks. From the comparison of the flora of the two investigated area, 16 species are in common, although three of these (*C. maritima*, *S. kali*, *E. farctus*) are different sub-species (Tab1). Apart from these species, a marked difference in chorological spectrum is evident (Fig. 4 a, b). In accordance with the directive 92/43/EEC of the European Union, 12 of these are considered diagnostic species for the detection of the same dune habitats for both the investigated areas, 2 species diagnostic for different habitats, 2 not diagnostic (Tab. 2, 3).




Fig. 1a Geographical localization of the two regions



Fig. 2 Bioclimatic detection of the two regions

Fig. 3 Satellite image of the two regions and geomorphology




Tab 1. Species closely associated with dune habitats of the two geographical areas investigated (° common species)

Tuscany	Dobrogea
<i>Achillea maritima</i> (L.) Ehrend. & Y. Guo M_A (E)	<i>Asperula setulosa</i> Boiss. L_BS
<i>Aelurus littoralis</i> (Gouan) Parlat. <i>Aelurus littoralis</i> M	<i>Aelurus littoralis</i> (Gouan) Parlat. M
<i>Ammophila arenaria</i> (L.) Link subsp. <i>arundinacea</i> H.Lindb. E_M	<i>Ammophila arenaria</i> (L.) Link subsp. <i>arundinacea</i> H.Lindb. E_M
<i>Anthemis maritima</i> L. W_M	<i>Agrostis gigantea</i> Roth subsp. <i>pontica</i> (Greville) Diharu E
<i>Asperula procumbens</i> L. E_M	<i>Alisma plantago-aquatica</i> L. W_M
<i>Artemisia latifolia</i> Wahlenb. P	<i>Alpinia hirsutum</i> Benth. M_C
<i>Calystegia soldanella</i> (L.) Rosei & Schult. C	<i>Argusia sibirica</i> (L.) Dondu L_RC
<i>Chamaesyce pulchra</i> (L.) Prokh E_M	<i>Artemisia tschernieffiana</i> Besser. E_S
<i>Centauria paniculata</i> L. subsp. <i>pedunculata</i> (L.) C. A. Mey. E (Tuscany)	<i>Astragalus varius</i> Gmel. C_EA
<i>Centaurium erythraea</i> L. S_H	<i>Astrodaucus littoralis</i> (Bieb.) Drude L_BC
<i>Cirthium maritimum</i> L. S_M	<i>Calceolaria maritima</i> Scop. subsp. <i>euxina</i> (Pobed.) Nyáry. * L_BD
<i>Crucianella maritima</i> L. S_M	<i>Centauraea arenastrum</i> ssp. <i>borysthenica</i> (Gruner) Destal Po_Pa
<i>Cutandia maritima</i> (L.) Benth. ex Barberry S_M	<i>Corispermum nitidum</i> Kit. Po_Pa
<i>Cyaneus capitatus</i> Vand. S_M	<i>Crithmum maritimum</i> L. L_E
<i>Echinophora spinosa</i> L. E_M	<i>Daucus gittulus</i> Sibth. et Sm. subsp. <i>zahariadi</i> Heywood B
<i>Elymus farctus</i> (Viv.) Runemark ex Medderis subsp. <i>farctus</i> E_M	<i>Dianthus barbatus</i> (L.) Kneppe G_D
<i>Elytrigia aethiaca</i> (Link) Kerguelen E_M	<i>Elymus farctus</i> (Viv.) Runemark ex Medderis subsp. <i>farctus</i> E_M
<i>Erianthus ravenneae</i> (Desf.) Thell. E_M	<i>Elymus farctus</i> (Viv.) Runemark ex Medderis subsp. <i>farctus</i> E_M
<i>Eryngium maritimum</i> L. M_A (E)	<i>Elytrigia distachya</i> L. E_C
<i>Euphorbia paralias</i> L. E_M	<i>Ephedra distachya</i> L. E_M
<i>Glaucom flavidum</i> L. E_M	<i>Euphorbia paralias</i> L. E_M
<i>Helichrysum stoechas</i> (L.) Moench S_M	<i>Festuca beckeri</i> (Hackel) Traub. subsp. <i>arenicola</i> (Prodan) Sou s _E R_B
<i>Jacobaea maritima</i> (L.) Pelsner & Meijden subsp. <i>maritima</i> S_M	<i>Genista scorpius</i> L. E_M
<i>Juniperus oxycedrus</i> L. subsp. <i>macrocarpa</i> (S. et S.) Ball E_M	<i>Glaucom flavidum</i> Crantz subsp. <i>flavum</i> E_M
<i>Juniperus phoenicea</i> L. subsp. <i>turbinata</i> (Guss.) Nyman E_M	<i>Gypsophila perfoliata</i> L. L_BC
<i>Laurus nobilis</i> L. E_M	<i>Lactuca sativa</i> (L.) C. A. Mey. C_EA
<i>Malcolmia ramosissima</i> (Desf.) Thell. W_M	<i>Leymus racemosus</i> (L.) Beauvois S_M
<i>Matthiola sinuata</i> (L.) R. Br. M_A (E)	<i>Leymus racemosus</i> ssp. <i>sabulosus</i> M
<i>Medicago littoralis</i> Lohse E_M	<i>Medicago folia</i> L. subsp. <i>tenderensis</i> (Opiz.) Vas
<i>Onosma variegata</i> L. S_M	<i>Medicago sativa</i> L. S_M
<i>Pancratium marinum</i> L. S_M	<i>Medicago sativa</i> L. S_M
<i>Phleum arenarium</i> L. M_A	<i>Polygonum maritimum</i> L. S_C
<i>Pycnoecmon ruticulosus</i> (Vahl) Hoffmanns. & Link S_M (W)	<i>Polygonum meyeniiwae</i> Chrtk. L_M
<i>Plantago arenaria</i> (Poir.) SE_F	<i>Salix cinerea</i> L. E_M
<i>Polygonum marinum</i> L. S_C	<i>Salsola komarovii</i> (L.) subsp. <i>komarovii</i> (L.) Soo * E_A
<i>Salsola kali</i> L. subsp. <i>rupestris</i> (P. T.) T_P	<i>Scrophularia holochoenus</i> (L.) Soják. E_M
<i>Scrophularia holochoenus</i> (L.) Soják. E_M	<i>Schonanus nigricans</i> L. S_C
<i>Schoenus nigricans</i> L. S_C	<i>Scolymus hispanicus</i> L. M_A
<i>Silene colorata</i> Poir. S_M	<i>Scutellaria galericulata</i> L. E_C
<i>Silene nicaeensis</i> All. S_M	<i>Silene vulgaris</i> (L.) Crantz E_B
<i>Solidago litorea</i> Sav! E (Tuscany)	<i>Silene exaltata</i> Friv. E_B
<i>Spartina versicolor</i> F.A. A	<i>Silene thymifolia</i> Sm. L_BS
<i>Sporobolus virginicus</i> Kunt. S_T	<i>Stachys maritima</i> Couani S_M
<i>Stachys maritima</i> Couani S_M	<i>Syrena montana</i> (Pall.) Klukov T_P_D_C
<i>Trachynia disticha</i> (L.) Link M_T	<i>Xanthium italicum</i> Morretti S_E
<i>Vulpia fasciculata</i> (Forsk.) Fritsch M_A (E)	
<i>Xanthium italicum</i> Morretti S_E	

Legend (according to Pignatti (1982) Flora d'Italia, Edagricole (BO) for Tuscany flora and to Sărbo et al. (2013) Vascular plants of Romania. Illustrated field determinant. Edit. Victor Bător, Băile Herculane & Negrean (2009). The Red Book of the vascular plants of Romania. Ed. Rom. Acad. Băile Herculane for Dobrogea flora)

M : Mediterranean
M_A (E) : Mediterranean-Atlantic (Euro-Mediterranean)
E_M : Euro-Mediterranean
S_M : Sub-Mediterranean
W_M : West Mediterranean
M_T : Mediterranean-Turric
P : Central Europe
P_E : Central Europe
E_S : Sud Europe
A : Atlantic
SE : SE European
E : Eurasian
S : Euro-Siberian
Po : Pontic
Po_D : Po-Pontic-Panonic-Balkanic
Po_P : Po-Pontic-Panonic



DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

From the comparison of the most common morphology of dune system and zonation of dune habitats in the two regions, the following similarities and differences appear (Fig. 5): 1- morphology of dune system is quite different, normally less high in all Dobrogean coast compared with Tuscany; 2- foredune habitats (H2110; H2120; H2120) are always present in both cases, although in Romanian part of Dobrogea H2120 is absent/missing overall for the absence of *A. arenaria* and the uncertain presence of *E. paralias* and *M. maritima*; 3- the zonation of habitats is always "canonical" for the foredune while, inwards, often, can be observed a mosaic of 3 habitats of herbaceous fixed dune in Tuscany, and, in Dobrogea, a mosaic of H2130 and H2160 and H2190; 4- humid herb grassland/humid dune slacks are geomorphologically the same in both dune systems and with two diagnostic species in common but are considered two totally different habitats (Tab.2,3).

In conclusion, based on these first observations, it is interesting to note that habitats of the foredune of regions with very different geographic and climatic contexts are largely characterized by the same diagnostic species or extremely close taxonomic species. As far as the strictly littoral environment is loss, the phytogeographic differences of the species on the vegetation landscape and relative habitats, become predominant.

Tab 3. Dune habitats (sensu directive 92/43/EEC) in the two geographical areas investigated (T: Tuscany; D: Dobrogea)

N°	Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC	Natura 2000 code
1	Annual vegetation of drift lines	1210 T, D
2	Embryonic shifting dunes	2110 T, D
3	Shifting dunes along the shoreline with <i>Ammophila arenaria</i> (white dunes)	2120 T, D
4	Fixed coastal dunes with herbaceous vegetation (grey dunes)	2130* D
5	Dunes with <i>Hippophaë rhamnoides</i>	2160 D
6	<i>Crucianellion maritimae</i> fixed beach dunes	2210 T
7	<i>Malcolmietalia</i> dune grasslands	2230 T
8	<i>Brachypodietalia</i> dune grasslands with annuals	2240 T
9	Coastal dunes with <i>Juniperus</i> sp. pl.	2250* T
10	Mediterranean tall humid herb grasslands of the <i>Molinio-Holoschoenion</i>	6420 T
11	Humid dune slacks	2190* D

