# On a special decomposition of regular semigroups 

F. MIGLIORINI and J. SZÉP

In [1] a general disjoint decomposition of semigroups was given, which can be applied for the case of regular semigroups. The aim of the present paper is to obtain a characteristic decomposition of regular semigroups based on the decomposition studied in [1]. We shall investigate the components of this decomposition and the interrelations between them. By making use of [2] we study the cases of regular semigroups with or without a left or right identity element.

Notation. For two sets $A, B$ we write $A \subset B$ if $A$ is a proper subset of $B$. By a magnifying element we mean a left magnifying element.

1. Let $S$ be a semigroup without nonzero annihilator. This is not a proper restriction because every semigroup can be reduced to this case. Then $S$ has the following disjoint decomposition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\bigcup_{i=0}^{5} S_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{0}=\{a \in S \mid a S \subset S \text { and } \exists x \in S ; x \neq 0 \text { and } a x=0\}, \\
& S_{1}=\{a \in S \mid a S=S \text { and } \exists y \in S, y \neq 0 \text { and } a y=0\}, \\
& S_{2}=\left\{a \in S \backslash\left(S_{0} \cup S_{1}\right) \mid a S \subset S \text { and } \exists x_{1}, x_{2} \in S, x_{1} \neq x_{2} \text { and } a x_{1}=a x_{2}\right\}, \\
& S_{3}=\left\{a \in S \backslash\left(S_{0} \cup S_{1}\right) \mid a S=S \text { and } \exists y_{1}, y_{2} \in S, y_{1} \neq y_{2} \text { and } a y_{1}=a y_{2}\right\}, \\
& S_{4}=\left\{a \in S \backslash\left(S_{0} \cup S_{1} \cup S_{2} \cup S_{3}\right) \mid a S \subset S\right\}, \\
& S_{5}=\left\{a \in S \backslash\left(S_{0} \cup S_{1} \cup S_{2} \cup S_{3}\right) \mid a S=S\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that the components $S_{i}(i=0,1, \ldots, 5)$ are semigroups, $S_{i} \cap S_{j}=\emptyset(i \neq j)$ and the following relations hold:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
S_{5} S_{i} \subseteq S_{i}, & S_{i} S_{5} \subseteq S_{i} \quad(0 \leqq i \leqq 5) \\
S_{4} S_{3} \subseteq S_{2}, & S_{4}^{\prime} S_{2} \subseteq S_{2}, \quad S_{4} S_{1} \sqsubseteq S_{0}, \quad S_{4} S_{0} \subseteq S_{0}  \tag{2}\\
S_{2} S_{3} \subseteq S_{2}, & S_{0} S_{1} \subseteq S_{0} &
\end{array}
$$

It is obvious that there exists an analogous decomposition

$$
S=\bigcup_{i=0}^{5} T_{i}
$$

where $T_{i}(0 \leqq i \leqq 5)$ is the dual of $S_{i}$.
Remark. The above decomposition is in fact "group oriented". That is, we select consecutively the elements of $S$ having a property that is very far from that of an element of a group. So we consecutively select the annihilators, the (left) zero divisors, the elements for which the products are not left cancellative, and what remains is a right group.

Our theorems concern the decomposition (1), but analogous results can be formulated for the decomposition ( $1^{\prime}$ ).

Theorem 1.1. $S_{5}$ is a right group.
Proof. It is easy to see that $S_{5}$ is right simple and left cancellative, whence the assertion follows.

Set $S_{0} \cup S_{2}=\bar{S}_{2}$ and $S_{1} \cup S_{3}=\bar{S}_{3}$.
Theorem 1.2. $\bar{S}_{2}$ is a subsemigroup of $S$.
Proof. If $s_{0} \in S_{0}$ and $s_{2} \in S_{2}$, then $s_{0} s_{2} \in \bar{S}_{2}$. There are elements $x, y \in S, x \neq y$ such that $s_{2} x=s_{2} y$. We have $s_{0} s_{2} \ddagger \bar{S}_{2}$ and $s_{0} s_{2} \notin S_{5}$ because $s_{0} s_{2} S=s_{0}\left(s_{2} S\right) \subset S$. If $s_{0} s_{2} \neq 0$, then $\left(s_{0} s_{2}\right) x=\left(s_{0} s_{2}\right) y \quad(x \neq y)$, whence $s_{0} s_{2} \in S_{2} \subseteq \bar{S}_{2}$. Similarly, $s_{2} s_{0} \in \bar{S}_{2}$. If $s_{0} \neq 0$ then $s_{2} s_{0} \neq 0$ because $s_{2} \in S_{2}$. Since $s_{0} \in S_{0}$, there is an element $z \neq 0$ such that $s_{0} z=0$, hence $\left(s_{2} s_{0}\right) z=0$. Therefore $s_{2} s_{0} \in S_{0}$. Q.E.D.

Theorem 1.3. $\bar{S}_{3}$ contains all the magnifying elements of $S$ and only them.
Proof. Let $a \in S_{1} \cup S_{3}$. If $a \in S$ and $a S=S$, and if furthermore, there is an $y \neq 0$ such that $a y=0$, then $S^{\prime}=S \backslash\{0\} \subset S$ and $a S^{\prime}=S$, whence $a$ is a magnifying element. If $a \in S_{3}, a S=S$ and if, furthermore, there exist $x, y \in S(x \neq y)$ such that $a x=a y$, then $a(S-\{x\})=S$ and $a$ is a magnifying element.

Conversely, if $a \in S$ is a magnifying element, then $a \notin S_{0} \cup S_{2} \cup S_{4}$ and $a M=S$ ( $M \subset S$ ). Thus there exist $m \in M$ and $s \in S \backslash M$ such that $a m=a s$. Hence it follows that $a \in S_{1} \cup S_{3}$. Q.E.D.

Remark. Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0} S_{2} \subseteq S_{0} \cup S_{2}, \quad S_{2} S_{0} \subseteq S_{0} \cup S_{2}, \quad S_{1} S_{3} \subseteq S_{1} \cup S_{3}, \quad S_{3} S_{1} \subseteq S_{1} \cup S_{3} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows we assume that $S$ is a regular semigroup, i.e. for every $a \in S$ there is an $x \in S$ such that $a=a x a$ and $x=x a x$ ( $x$ is an inverse of $a$ ). The elements
$a x, x a$ are idempotent and $a S \supseteqq a x S \supseteqq a x a S=a S$ implies $a x S=a S$, and similarly, $x a S=x S$. The regular semigroup $S$ can contain a zero element hence the components $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ can exist in the decomposition (1).

Theorem 1.4. The inverses of the elements of $\bar{S}_{3}$ are in $S_{4}$ and the inverses of the elements of $S_{4}$ are in $\bar{S}_{3}$.

Proof. Let $a \in \bar{S}_{3}$ and let $x \in S$ be an inverse of $a$, that is, let $a x a=a$ and $x a x=x$. First we show that $x S \subset S$. Suppose that $x S=S$, then there is a subset $S^{\prime} \subset S$ such that $a S^{\prime}=S$ because $a$ is a magnifying element. Hence it follows that $x a S^{\prime}=x S=S$. But we have ( $\left.x a\right) S=x S=S$ and $x a$ is idempotent, that is, $x a$ is a left identity of $S$. Therefore, ( $x a$ ) $S^{\prime}=S^{\prime} \neq S$, which is a contradiction. Thus $x S \subset S$, whence $x$ is contained in $S_{0}, S_{2}$ or $S_{4}$. If $x \in S_{2}$, then $x s_{1}=x S_{2}$ $\left(s_{1} \neq s_{2}\right)$ and $(a x) s_{1}=(a x) s_{2}$. Since $(a x) S=a S=S$ and $a x$ is idempotent we obtain that $a x$ is a left identity of $S$, i.e. $(a x) s_{1}=(a x) s_{2}$ implies $s_{1}=s_{2}$, which is a contradiction. It can be proved similarly that $x \notin S_{0}$. It remains the case $x \in S_{4}$.

Conversely, let $b \in S_{4}$, that is, $b S=S^{\prime} \subset S$. Let $y$ be an inverse of $b$ in $S$. Hence $b y S=b S=S^{\prime}$. Suppose that $y S \subset S$. Let $y S=S^{\prime \prime}(\neq S)$. Hence $b S^{\prime \prime}=b y S=b S$. Thus there are elements $s \notin S^{\prime \prime}$, and $s^{\prime \prime} \in S^{\prime \prime}$ such that $b s^{\prime \prime}=b s$. But every element $a$ of $S$ for which $a x_{1}=a x_{2}\left(x_{1} \neq x_{2}\right)$, is contained in $S_{0} \cup S_{1}$ or $S_{2} \cup S_{3}$, which contradicts the fact that $b \in S_{4}$. Thus necessarily $y S=S$, that is, $y \notin S_{0} \cup S_{2} \cup S_{4}$. If $y \in S_{5}$, then $(y b) S=y S=y(b S)=y S^{\prime}=S\left(S^{\prime} \neq S\right)$, i.e. $y \in S_{1} \cup S_{3}$, which is a contradiction. It remains the only case $y \in S_{1} \cup S_{3}=\bar{S}_{3}$. Q.E.D.

It is easy to see that the set of inverses of the elements of $\bar{S}_{3}$ is equal to $S_{4}$ and the set of inverses of the elements of $S_{4}$ is equal to $\bar{S}_{3}$.

Corollary 1.5. If a regular semigroup $S$ does not contain a magnifying element $\left(\bar{S}_{3}=\emptyset\right)$, then $S_{4}=\emptyset$ and conversely, $S_{4}=\emptyset$ implies $\bar{S}_{3}=\emptyset$.

Corollary 1.6. If a regular semigroup $S$ does not contain a left identity, then $\bar{S}_{3}=\emptyset$; and hence $S_{4}=\emptyset$.

For if $a \in \bar{S}_{3}$ and $x \in S_{4}$ is an inverse of $a$, then $a x$ is a left identity of $S$.
Theorem 1.7. $\bar{S}_{2}$ is a regular semigroup and the inverses of an element of $\bar{S}_{2}$ are contained in $\bar{S}_{2}$.

Proof. Let $a \in \bar{S}_{2}$ and $x$ an inverse of $a$ in $S$. Since $a \in S_{0} \cup S_{2}$, we have $a S \subset S$. Assume that $x S=S$. Then $(x a) S=x(a S)=x S=S$, whence $x$ is a magnifying element, i.e., $x \in \bar{S}_{3}$. But every inverse of an element of $\bar{S}_{3}$ is (by Theorem 1.4) in $S_{4}$, thus $a \in S_{4}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $x S \subset S$. But $x \notin S_{4}$ because $a \in \bar{S}_{2}$. We conclude that. $x \in S_{0} \cup S_{2}=\bar{S}_{2}$. Q.E.D.

The above results yield:

Theorem 1.8. A semigroup $S$ is regular if and only if it has a decomposition (1) where
a) $\bar{S}_{2}=S_{0} \cup S_{2}$ is regular;
b) the inverses of the elements of $\bar{S}_{3}=S_{1} \cup S_{3}$ are contained in $S_{4}$ and conversely;
c) $S_{5}$ is a right group.

Proof. Necessity follows from Theorems 1.1, 1.4, 1.7. Sufficiency follows from the fact that a right group is regular.
2. In this section we shall deepen our kowledge concerning the decomposition (1) of a regular semigroup $S$ as well as the components $\bar{S}_{2}, \bar{S}_{3}$ and $S_{4}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $S$ be a regular semigroup without. (left) magnifying elements. Using the notations $\bar{S}_{2}=\bar{S}_{2}^{1}, S_{5}=S_{5}^{1}$ we obtain the following decompositions:
$S=\bar{S}_{2}^{1} \cup S_{5}^{1}$ and if $\bar{S}_{2}^{1}$ has no magnifying element,
$\bar{S}_{2}^{1}=\bar{S}_{2}^{2} \cup S_{5}^{2}$ and if $\bar{S}_{2}^{1}$ has no magnifying element,
$\bar{S}_{2}^{k}=\bar{S}_{2}^{k+1} \cup S_{5}^{k+1}$,
where every $\bar{S}_{2}^{k}$ is a regular semigroup, every $S_{5}^{k}$ is a right group and the following inclusions hold:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
S_{5}^{k} S_{5}^{j} \subseteq S_{5}^{k}, & S_{5}^{j} S_{5}^{k}=S_{5}^{k} \quad \text { for } & k \geqq j, \\
S_{5}^{k} \bar{S}_{2}^{j}=\bar{S}_{2}^{j}, & \bar{S}_{2}^{j} S_{5}^{k} \subseteq \bar{S}_{2}^{j} \quad \text { for } & k \leqq j . \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

Proof. It is enough to give a proof for the cases:

$$
S_{5}^{1} S_{5}^{k}, \quad S_{5}^{k} S_{5}^{1}, \quad S_{5}^{1} \bar{S}_{2}^{j}, \quad \bar{S}_{2}^{j} S_{5}^{1}
$$

because the proof for the semigroups $\bar{S}_{2}^{i}$ is similar.
The proof is by induction on $k$ and $j$. It is trivial that

$$
S_{5}^{1} S_{5}^{1}=S_{5}^{1}, \quad s_{5}^{1} \bar{S}_{2}^{1}=\bar{S}_{2}^{1}, \quad s_{5}^{2} \bar{S}_{2}^{1}=\bar{S}_{2}^{1} \quad\left(s_{5}^{k} \in S_{5}^{k}\right)
$$

Hence, $s_{5}^{1} s_{5}^{2} \bar{S}_{2}^{1}=\bar{S}_{2}^{1}$, i.e., $s_{5}^{1} s_{5}^{2} \in S_{5}^{2}$ for all $s_{5}^{1} \in S_{5}^{1}$ and $s_{5}^{2} \in S_{5}^{2}$. Since $s_{5}^{1} \bar{S}_{2}^{1}=\bar{S}_{2}^{1}$ and, furthermore, $s_{5}^{1} S_{5}^{2} \subseteq S_{5}^{2}$ and $s_{5}^{1}\left(s_{2}^{2} \bar{S}_{2}^{1}\right) \subset \bar{S}_{2}^{1}$, that is, $s_{5}^{1} s_{2}^{2} \in \bar{S}_{2}^{2}$, we conclude that $s_{5}^{1} S_{5}^{2}=S_{5}^{2}$ and $s_{5}^{1} \bar{S}_{2}^{2}=\bar{S}_{2}^{2}$, whence $S_{5}^{1} S_{5}^{2}=S_{5}^{2}, \quad S_{5}^{1} \bar{S}_{2}^{2}=\bar{S}_{2}^{2}$. Thus we have $S_{5}^{1} S_{5}^{1}=S_{5}^{1}, \quad S_{5}^{1} \bar{S}_{2}^{1}=\bar{S}_{2}^{1}, \quad S_{5}^{1} S_{5}^{2}=S_{5}^{2}, \quad S_{5}^{1} \bar{S}_{2}^{2}=\bar{S}_{2}^{2}, \quad S_{5}^{2} S_{5}^{1} \subseteq S_{5}^{2} \quad$ because $\quad s_{5}^{2} s_{5}^{1} S_{5}^{2}=$ $=s_{5}^{2} S_{5}^{2}=S_{5}^{2}$, and thus $s_{5}^{2} s_{5}^{1} \in S_{5}^{2}$. The first step of the proof is complete.

Now suppose that the following conditions hold:

$$
S_{5}^{1} S_{5}^{k}=S_{5}^{k}, \quad S_{5}^{k} S_{5}^{1} \subseteq S_{5}^{k}, \quad S_{5}^{1} \bar{S}_{2}^{j}=\bar{S}_{2}^{j}, \quad \widetilde{S}_{2}^{j} S_{5}^{1} \subseteq \bar{S}_{2}^{j}
$$

By definition, we have $s_{5}^{k+1} \bar{S}_{2}^{k}=\bar{S}_{2}^{k}$. Hence, $\left(s_{5}^{1} S_{5}^{k+1}\right) \bar{S}_{2}^{k}=\dot{s}_{5}^{1} \bar{S}_{2}^{k}=\bar{S}_{2}^{k}$, whence $S_{5}^{1} S_{5}^{k+1} \in S_{5}^{k+1}$. Thus we obtain $S_{5}^{k+1}=\left(s_{5}^{1} s_{5}^{k+1}\right) S_{5}^{k+1}=s_{5}^{1} S_{5}^{k+1}$, whence $S_{5}^{1} S_{5}^{k+1}=S_{5}^{k+1}$.

We have $\left(s_{5}^{k+1} s_{5}^{1}\right) S_{5}^{k+1}=S_{5}^{k+1}$ and, furthermore, $s_{5}^{k+1} s_{5}^{1} \in \bar{S}_{2}^{k}$; thus $\dot{S}_{5}^{k+1} s_{5}^{1} \in S_{5}^{k+1}$ implies $S_{5}^{k+1} S_{5}^{1} \subseteq S_{5}^{k+1}$. We also have $\left(s_{5}^{1} s_{2}^{j+1}\right) \bar{S}_{2}^{j} \subset s_{5}^{1} \bar{S}_{2}^{j}=\bar{S}_{2}^{j}$, whence $s_{5}^{1} s_{2}^{j+1} \in$
$\in \bar{S}_{2}^{j+1}$, and $s_{5}^{1} \bar{S}_{2}^{j+1}=\bar{S}_{2}^{j+1}$ implies $S_{5}^{1} \bar{S}_{2}^{j+1}=\bar{S}_{2}^{j+1}$. Finally, we have $s_{2}^{j+1} s_{5}^{1} \in \bar{S}_{2}^{j}$ and $s_{2}^{j+1} s_{5}^{1} \bar{S}_{2}^{j}=s_{2}^{j+1} S_{2}^{J} \subset S_{2}^{J}$, whence it follows that $s_{2}^{j+1} s_{5}^{1} \in \bar{S}_{2}^{j+1}$ and $\bar{S}_{2}^{j+1} S_{5}^{1} \sqsubseteq$ $\subseteq \bar{S}_{2}^{j+1}$. Q.E.D.

Corollary 2.2. If $S$ and $\bar{S}_{2}^{k}(k \geqq 1)$ are regular semigroups without magnifying elements, then $S$ has one of the following four types of decompositions:
a) $S=\left(\left(\left((\ldots) \cup S_{5}^{4}\right) \cup S_{5}^{3}\right) \cup S_{5}^{2}\right) \cup S_{5}^{1}$, with an infinite number of components;
b) $S=\bar{S}_{2} \cup\left(\left(\left((\ldots) \cup S_{5}^{4}\right) \cup S_{5}^{3}\right) \cup S_{5}^{2}\right) \cup S_{5}^{1}$, where $\bar{S}_{2}$ is a semigroup of type $\bar{S}_{2}$ and there are infinitely many components;
c) $S=\left(\left(\left(S_{5}^{n} \cup \ldots\right) \cup S_{5}^{3}\right) \cup S_{5}^{2}\right) \cup S_{5}^{1}$, where the number of components equals $n$;
d) $S=\left(\left(\left(\left(\bar{S}_{2}^{m} \cup S_{5}^{m}\right) \cup \ldots\right) \cup S_{5}^{3}\right) \cup S_{5}^{2}\right) \cup S_{5}^{1}$, where the number of components is $m+1$.

We shall treat some properties of the semigroups $\bar{S}_{3}$ and $S_{4}$.
Theorem 2.3. Let $a, b \in \bar{S}_{3}$, and let $x$ be an inverse of $a$, and $y$ an inverse of $b$ $\left(x, y \in S_{4}\right)$. Then $x y$ is an inverse of ba.

Proof. Since $a x$ and $b y$ are left identities of $S$, we have $b a x y b a=b(a x y) b a=$ $=b y b a=b a$, and $x y b a x y=x y b(a x y)=x y b y=x y$. Q.E.D.

Theorem 2.4. If $a, b \in S_{4}$ and if $x$ is an inverse of $a$ and $y$ is an inverse of $b$, then $y x$ and $a b$ are inverses of each other.

Proof. By Theorem 2.3, $(y b y)(x a x)$ is an inverse of $a b$. Then we get $a b=a b(y b y)(x a x) a b=a(b y b) y x(a x a) b=a b y x a b, y x a b y x=y b y x=y x$, since $x a, y b$ are left identities of $S$. Q.E.D.

By Theorem 1.4, $\bar{S}_{3} \cup S_{4}$ is a regular subset of $S$, but it fails to be a subsemigroup, because, e.g., $S_{4} S_{3} \subseteq S_{2}$ (cf. (2)). Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{1}=\left\{x \in S_{4} \mid x \text { is an inverse of some } a \in S_{1}\right\}, \\
& X_{3}=\left\{y \in S_{4} \mid y \text { is an inverse of some } b \in S_{3}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $S_{4}=X_{1} \cup X_{3}$.
Corollary 2.5. $X_{1}$ and $X_{3}$ are subsemigroups of $S_{4}$. In general, if $A \subseteq \bar{S}_{3}$ is a subsemigroup, then the inverses of the elements of $A$ form a subsemigroup in $S_{4}$.

Proof. This is an easy consequence of Theorem 2.3.
Corollary 2.6. $\bar{S}_{3}$ and $S_{4}$ have no idempotent elements.
Proof. Every element of $\bar{S}_{3}$ is magnifying, thus $a \neq a^{2}\left(a \in \bar{S}_{3}\right)$. Assume that $e \in S_{4}$ is idempotent. Since $e$ is an inverse of $e, e \in \bar{S}_{3}$ (by Theorem 1.4), which is a contradiction.

Theorem 2.7. Every element of. $\bar{S}_{3}$ and $S_{4}$ generates an infinite cyclic semigroup.

Proof. In the opposite case, $\bar{S}_{3}$ or $S_{4}$ contains an idempotent element which contradicts Corollary 2.6.

Theorem 2.8. 1) $\bar{S}_{3}$ has no (proper) right magnifying element. 2) $S_{4}$ has no left magnifying element. 3) If $1 \in S$ (i.e. $S$ is a monoid), then $S_{0} \cup S_{2} \cup S_{5}$ has no left or right magnifying element. 4) $S_{5}$ has no left magnifying element.

Proof. 1) is a consequence of [4], Chap. III. $5.6(\beta)$. Since in the product $s_{4} S$ ( $s_{4} \in S_{4}$ ) the representation of each element is unique, thus the same holds for $s_{4} S_{4}$, and 2) is true. 3) follows from [4], Chap. III. 5.6 ( $\gamma$ ), because the union $S_{0} \cup S_{2} \cup S_{5}$ does not contain left or right magnifying element of $S$. Finally, $S_{5}$ is a right group, and hence has no left magnifying element, cf. [4], Chap. III. 5.3 ( $\gamma$ ).
3. In this section the results of [2] will be applied to the decomposition (1) of regular semigroups. For a regular semigroup $S$ we shall investigate the following cases based on Theorem 4 in [2]:

1) $S$ has neither a left nor a right identity element;
2) $S$ has an identity element;
3) $S$ has either a single left or a single right identity element.

In the case 3) we may assume that $S$ has only a left identity element. In the opposite case we have to study the decomposition ( $1^{\prime}$ ) instead of (1). As it is well known, an idempotent element $e$ is $\mathscr{D}$-primitive if it is minimal among the idempotents $D_{e}$, where $D_{e}$ is the $\mathscr{D}$-class of $e(\mathscr{D}$ is one of Green's relations).

In the case 1) $S$ has no left magnifying element (cf. Corollary 1.6), that is, $S_{1} \cup S_{3}=\emptyset$ and $S_{4}=\emptyset$, furthermore, $S_{5}=\emptyset$, because in the opposite case $S$ would have a left identity element. Hence $S=S_{0} \cup S_{2}=\bar{S}_{2}$.

In the case 2) suppose that $1 \in S$ is the identity element. If 1 is $\mathscr{D}$-primitive then we have $S_{1} \cup S_{3}=\emptyset, S_{4}=\emptyset$, while $S_{5} \neq \emptyset$ (e.g. $1 \in S_{5}$ ). In this subcase we obtain that $S=S_{0} \cup S_{2} \cup S_{5}$. If 1 is not $\mathscr{D}$-primitive, then there are magnifying elements, that is, $S_{1} \cup S_{3} \neq \emptyset, S_{4} \neq \emptyset, S_{5}$ is equal to the subsemigroup of all invertable elements and thus it is nonempty. Since $S_{4} S_{3} \subseteq S_{2}$ and $S_{4} S_{1} \subseteq S_{0}$, at least one of the subsemigroups $S_{0}, S_{2}$ is nonempty. Hence we obtain $S=\bar{S}_{2} \cup$ $\cup \bar{S}_{3} \cup S_{4} \cup S_{5}$, where all the components are nonvoid.

In the case 3) suppose that $e$ is the only left identity element of $S$. If $e$ is $\mathscr{D}$-primitive, then $S_{1} \cup S_{3}=\emptyset, S_{4}=\emptyset$, while $S_{5} \neq \emptyset$ (for example, $e \in S_{5}$ ). Therefore $S=S_{0} \cup S_{2} \cup S_{5}$. If $e$ fails to be $\mathscr{D}$-primitive, then there are magnifying elements, that is, $S_{1} \cup S_{3} \neq \emptyset, S_{4} \neq \emptyset, S_{5} \neq \emptyset$ and, similarly to the second subcase of 2), we have $S_{0} \cup S_{2} \neq 0$. Hence $S=\bar{S}_{2} \cup \bar{S}_{3} \cup S_{4} \cup S_{5}$, where all the components are nonempty.

Summing up:

Theorem 3.1. Let $S$ be a regular semigroup. Then:

1) If $S$ has no left identity element then $S_{1} \cup S_{3}=\emptyset, S_{4}=\emptyset, S_{5}=\emptyset$.
2) If $S$ has an identity element and
a) if 1 is $\mathscr{D}$-primitive then $S_{1} \cup S_{3}=\emptyset, S_{4}=\emptyset, S_{5} \neq \emptyset$,
b) if 1 is not $\mathscr{D}$-primitive then $S_{1} \cup S_{3} \neq \emptyset, S_{4} \neq \emptyset, S_{5} \neq \emptyset, S_{0} \cup S_{2} \neq \emptyset$.
3) If $e$ is the unique left identity of $S$ and
a) if $e$ is $\mathscr{D}$-primitive then $S_{1} \cup S_{3}=\emptyset, S_{4}=\emptyset, S_{5} \neq \emptyset$,
b) if $e$ is not $\mathscr{D}$-primitive then $S_{1} \cup S_{3} \neq \emptyset, S_{4} \neq \emptyset, S_{5} \neq \emptyset, S_{0} \cup S_{2} \neq \emptyset$.
4. Finally, we make some remarks concerning the decomposition (1). For $x \in S_{4}, a \in \bar{S}_{3}$ let $B_{x}=\{b \in S \mid b$ is an inverse of $x\}, C_{a}=\{y \in S \mid y$ is an inverse of $a\}$. If $x \in S_{4}$ and $b \in B_{x}\left(b \in \bar{S}_{3}\right)$, then $b x$ is a left identity of $S$. Analogonsly, $a y\left(a \in \bar{S}_{3}, y \in C_{a}\right)$ is also a left identity of $S$.

Theorem 4.1. If $x \in S_{4}$ then $B_{x}$ fails to be a subsemigroup. If $a \in \bar{S}_{3}$, then $C_{a}$ fails to be a subsemigroup.

Proof. Suppose that $B_{x}$ is a semigroup and $a, b \in B_{x}$. Then $a x a=a, b x b=b$ and $b a \in B_{x}$. Hence $b a x b a=b a$. Since $a x$ is a left identity element, hence $b(b a)=b a$. On the other hand, $b a \in \bar{S}_{3}$, thus $b a S=S$, whence $b s=s$ for all $s \in S$, which is a contradiction ( $b$ is a left magnifying element!).

Let $x, y \in C_{a}$. If $C_{a}$ is a semigroup, then $a(x y) a=(a x) y a=y a$. But $y a \neq a$, because $y a$ is idempotent, while the element $a \in \bar{S}_{3}$ is not. Thus $x y \notin C_{a}$. Q.E.D.

Let $M \subset S$ be a subset of $S$ such that $a M=S$. Then the set $M$ is left increasable by $a$. Such a set $M$ is not uniquely determined by $a$.

Theorem 4.2. If $a \in \bar{S}_{3}$ then $a\left(S_{0} \cup S_{2} \cup S_{4}\right)=S$.
Proof. Let $a \in \bar{S}_{3}$ and $x \in S_{4}$ an inverse of $a$. Then we have $a x S=a S=S$ and $x S \subset S$. On the other hand, $x S \subseteq S_{4} S$, furthermore, by making use of the relations (2) we get

$$
S_{4} S=S_{4}\left(S_{0} \cup S_{1} \cup S_{2} \cup S_{3} \cup S_{4} \cup S_{5}\right) \subseteq S_{0} \cup S_{2} \cup S_{4} .
$$

Hence $\cdot x S \subseteq S_{0} \cup S_{2} \cup S_{4}$ and thus $a\left(S_{0} \cup S_{2} \cup S_{4}\right)=S$. Q.E.D.
Theorem 4.2 implies for every $a \in \bar{S}_{3}$ the existence of an element $y_{a} \in S_{0} \cup S_{2} \cup S_{4}$ such that $a y_{a}=a$.

Theorem 4.3. a) If $a \in S_{3}$, then $y_{a} \nsubseteq S_{0}$. b) The elements $a \in \bar{S}_{3}$ for which $y_{a} \in S_{4}$ $\left(a y_{a}=a\right)$, have a two-sided identity element in $S$.

Proof. a) If $y_{a} \in S_{0}$, then there is an $x \neq 0$ such that $y_{a} x=0$. Thus $a x=$ $=\left(a y_{a}\right) x=a\left(y_{a} x\right)=a 0=0$, whence $a \in S_{0} \cup S_{1}$, which is a contradiction.
b) If $y_{a} \in S_{4}$, then there exists $b \in \bar{S}_{3}$, such that $b y_{a} b=b$ and $y_{a} b y_{a}=y_{a}$. Then $a y_{a} b=a b, a y_{a} b y_{a}=a b y_{a}$, that is, $a y_{a}=a b y_{a}$, whence it follows that $a=a\left(b y_{a}\right)$. On the other hand, $b y_{a}$ is a left identity element of $S$, whence $b y_{a} a=a=a b y_{a}$. Q.E.D.
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