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#### Abstract

An extensive range of oxygen and sulphur substituted benzodiazepine analogues has been synthesised via Baeyer-Villiger and Schmidt reactions of specially prepared flavanone and $N$-acetyl-4-quinolone precursors. Alternative, cyclisation routes have also been used to prepare some of these compounds. Ring-opening reactions of 1,5 -benzodioxepinones have been investigated and a detailed kinetic-mechanistic study of the Baeyer-Villiger reaction of flavanones has been carried out using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy to explain the observed regiochemistry of oxygen insertion. The electron-impact mass spectrometric fragmentation patterns of series of 4-aryl-1,5-benzoxathiepinones, 3-aryl-4, 1-benzoxathiepinones and 3-aryl-4,1-benzoxathiepines have been studied using a combination of low-resolution, highresolution and metastable-peak analyses. The ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR spectroscopic properties of various oxygenated analogues have also been studied.

The binding affinities of selected benzodiazepine analogues for rat brain benzodiazepine receptors have been evaluated using a radioreceptor assay technique; at certain concentrations, some of test compounds exhibited remarkable potentiation of diazepam binding, others the ability to displace diazepam from benzodiazepine receptors. A conformational analysis of the 7-membered ring systems has been undertaken, using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic, computer modelling and x-ray crystallographic techniques, and certain conformational preferences have been identified.


To Vhusani and Ndivhuho.

This one is for both of you.

A number of seven-membered ring compounds containing one or more hetero atoms have assumed importance due to their important pharmacological properties. For example, the benzodiazepines, librium ${ }^{\star}$ and valium ${ }^{\star}$ have been widely used as minor tranquilizers (see section 1.3, p. 27). The synthesis, reactivity and biological activity of such compounds have been extensively researched. The following literature review will cover selected systems such as benzodioxepines, benzoxazepines, benzoxathiepines and, very briefly, benzodiazepines.

Many seven-membered ring compounds are prepared by the union of two bifunctional reagents through reactions such as alkylation or acylation of amino, hydroxy or thiol groups. Ring enlargement of the six-membered rings through N or O insertion also provides access to these systems. Benzo- and dibenzo- derivatives prepared as analogues of compounds with valuable pharmacological properties, are the most widely studied classes.

### 1.1 DIOXEPINES AND THEIR BENZO-DERIVATIVES

Dioxepines are seven-membered ring compounds containing two oxygen atoms. Apart from the 1,3 -systems, which have attracted most attention, this group of compounds has not been studied extensively. Dioxepines may be divided into four basic classes, i.e. the 1,2-1;

1,3-2;1,4-3 dioxepines and their benzo analogues and the 1,5-benzodioxepines 4 .


1

2

3

4

### 1.1.1 1,3-Dioxepines

The 4,7-dihydro-1,3-dioxepines (e.g. compound 7; Scheme 1) may be prepared by the reaction of cis-2-butene-1,4-diols 5 with aldehydes, ${ }^{1}$ ketones, ${ }^{1,2}$ acetals, ${ }^{3}$ trialkyl orthoformates, acetylenes and vinyl ethers. ${ }^{4}$ For the reactions with aldehydes and ketones a catalyst such as p-toluenesulfonic acid or concentrated sulfuric acid is necessary, and the resulting water may be removed azeotropically. Aldehydes give good yields of 2-substituted 1,3-dioxepines 7, but the reaction with ketones is less satisfactory and the 2,2-disubstituted derivatives 10 are best obtained by a double exchange reaction between the diol with an acetal and a ketone ${ }^{4,5}$ (Scheme 2).

The reaction of cis-2-butene-1,4-diol 5 with acetylenes is a poor method for preparing 1,3dioxepines because of the very low yields obtained, the main product being 2,5 -divinyl-1,4dioxane and a ketone. ${ }^{4}$ The dioxane is formed by the condensation of two diol molecules and the water formed from this reaction then reacts with acetylene to give ketones. Low temperatures are necessary in order to get high yields from the reaction of cis-2-butene with trialkyl orthoformates ${ }^{4} 11$ (Scheme 3) since high temperatures produce decomposition products. Similar procedures can be used to prepare 2,4-benzodioxepines 14 from 1,2benzenedimethanol 13 .


13
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2,4-Benzodioxepines have been reported ${ }^{6}$ to provide a novel means of protecting carbonyl compounds 8 as illustrated in Scheme 4; the protecting group can easily be cleaved under
non-acidic conditions by catalytic hydrogenolysis (Scheme 4). Direct condensation of 1,2benzenedimethanol with carbonyl compounds also affords compounds 16, but in very low yields ( $15-20 \%$ ); the yields are improved by first converting 1,2-benzenedimethanol into an orthoformate 15 using trimethyl orthoformate. Recently, Patney ${ }^{7}$ reported that benzodioxepines 16 can be prepared in excellent yields ( $83-98 \%$ ) by direct condensation of 1,2-benzenedimethanol with aldehydes or ketones under heterogeneous conditions by employing a sulfonated charcoal catalyst.

Like other unsaturated hydrocarbons, the double bond in 1,3-dioxepines undergoes halogen addition and hydrogenation. Brannock and Lappin ${ }^{1}$ prepared 5,6-dibromo-4,7-dihydro-1,3dioxepine 18 in good yield by addition of bromine to 4,7-dihydro-1,3-dioxepine (Scheme 5) in carbon tetrachloride at sub-zero temperatures. The dichloro analogue 19 was similarly obtained by the addition of chlorine to the double bond. Hydrogenation of 4,7-dihydro-1,3dioxepine 17 using Raney nickel gave 4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-1,3-dioxepane 20 in excellent yield (Scheme 6). ${ }^{1}$ This is a general reaction for 1,3-dioxepines. Compound 17 also undergoes a Diels-Alder reaction ${ }^{8,9}$ with hexachlorocyclopentadiene to give a product which, if chlorinated further, is a very active insecticide for caterpillars, brown tail moths, gypsy moths and ants. Many substituted 1,3-dioxepines copolymerize with dienes such as butadiene to form latexes and vinyl rubber products with desirable tensile, lubricant and elastic properties. ${ }^{4}$ 4,7-Dihydro-1,3-dioxepines are fairly stable to heat under alkaline conditions. Treatment with acids, however, results in the formation of 2,5-dihydrofuran and a carbonyl compound. ${ }^{4}$


SCHEME 1


SCHEME 2


SCHEME 3


SCHEME 4
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## SCHEME 5



SCHEME 6

### 1.1.2 1,4-Dioxepines

1,4-Dioxepanes of type 21 have been prepared by the treatment of cyclic acetals of ethane-1,2-diol with vinyl ethers in the presence of boron trifluoride, while 1,4-dioxepan-5-one 22 has been prepared by the reaction of bromoform and silver nitrate with aqueous dioxane. ${ }^{10}$


21


22

A number of 1,4-benzodioxepines, 1,4-benzodioxepinones and -diones have been reported. ${ }^{4}$ The 1,4-benzodioxepine 24 can be prepared by reacting 2 -hydroxymethylphenol 23 with 1,2dibromoethane under basic conditions (Scheme 7). Dawkins and Mulholland ${ }^{11}$ showed that treatment of the methyl ester of 2-acetyl-6-chloro-3,5-dimethoxyphenoxyacetic acid $\mathbf{2 5}$ with 3M-hydrochloric acid gave the 4-benzodioxepin-3-one 26, and treatment of this compound with diazomethane gave 9-chloro-2,3-dihydro-5,6,8-trimethoxy-5-methyl-5H-1,4-benzodioxepin-3-one 27 (Scheme 8). The reaction of the sodium salt of salicylic acid or its substituted analogues with 2-chloroethanol gave compound $\mathbf{2 8}^{10,12}$ while 2,3 -dihydro-8,9-dimethoxy-1,4-benzodioxepin-3,5-dione 29 , a cyclic anhydride, was prepared ${ }^{13}$ by heating 2-carboxy-5,6-dimethoxyphenoxyacetic acid in acetic anhydride. The dilactone $\mathbf{3 0}$, prepared ${ }^{14}$ by treating chloroacetylsalicylic acid sequentially with sodium iodide and trimethylamine, reacts with water or primary and secondary amines to form salicyloylglycolic acid 31 and $N$-acylpyrrolidine, (e.g. compound 32; Scheme 9) respectively. ${ }^{4}$



SCHEME 8
27

Both compounds 31 and 32 exhibit keratolytic and antiviral activity. Some 1,4benzodioxepines, (e.g. compound 33) show antiinflammatory activity while others (e.g. compound 34) produce local anaesthesia. ${ }^{15}$ 3-Aminomethyl-5-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1,4benzodioxepines 36, prepared by cyclisation of the precursors 35, are useful as sedatives, antiepileptics or antidepressants. ${ }^{16}$
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## SCHEME 9


$33 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$
$34 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{N}\left[\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{2}$



### 1.1.3 1,5-Benzodioxepines

There are two major routes to the parent 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,5-benzodioxepine $\mathbf{4 0}$, viz., (i) the base catalysed cyclisation of 1-bromo-3-(2-hydroxyphenoxy)propane $\mathbf{3 7}{ }^{17}$ and (ii) the condensation of 1,3-dibromopropane 39 with catechol (1,2-dihydroxybenzene) $\mathbf{3 8}$ in the presence of sodium methoxide ${ }^{4}$ (Scheme 10). 3,4-Dihydro-1,5-benzodioxepines with substituents on the aromatic or aliphatic rings can be prepared from substituted catechols or substituted 1,3-dihalopropanes. ${ }^{4}$ 3-Methyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,5-benzodioxepine $\mathbf{4 3}$ is obtained from the reaction of catechol and 1,3-dichloro-2-methylenepropane $\mathbf{4 1}$ followed by catalytic hydrogenation (Scheme 11). Leonard and $\mathrm{Koo}^{18}$ prepared a number of secondary amine derivatives from the reaction of 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,5-benzodioxepine-2-carbonyl chloride 44 with primary amines and subsequent reduction of the resultant carboxamide derivatives with lithium aluminium hydride (Scheme 12). A range of pharmacologically active methylamine, isopropylamine, butylamine, hydroxyalkylamine, piperazine and piperidine derivatives 46 were prepared by this route. ${ }^{18}$ Amides of type 45 are also useful as tranquilizers and sedatives.

3,4-Dihydro-2H-1,5-benzodioxepin-3-one 49, useful as odorant for foods and perfumes, ${ }^{19,20}$ is a key intermediate in the synthesis of 3-substituted 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,5-benzoxazepine derivatives which possess pharmacological properties. This intermediate can be prepared via the reaction of 1,2-dihydroxybenzene with chloroacetonitrile ${ }^{10}$ or via a Dieckman condensation of $o$-phenylenedioxydiacetate. ${ }^{20}$ Rooney et al. ${ }^{21}$ also prepared compound 49 by the Thorpe cyclisation of 1,2-di(cyanomethoxy)benzene 47 to the enamino nitrile 48 which was then hydrolysed to the required product (Scheme 13).


SCHEME 10



SCHEME 12


SCHEME 13

They then prepared a number of 2- and 3 -substituted 3,4 -dihydro- $2 \mathrm{H}-1,5$-benzodioxepines (Schemes 14 and 15). Some of these compounds display $ß$-adrenergic stimulant activity, especially the secondary amines $\mathbf{5 3}$ in which R is an alkyl or arylalkyl group; primary or tertiary amines, on the other hand, are generally inactive. Compounds of the form $\mathbf{5 9}$ have found use as analgesics, antiarrhythmics and sedatives, ${ }^{22}$ while compounds of type 60 exhibited bronchodilator ${ }^{23}$ activity.

The first preparation of 3,4-dihydro-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one 63 was reported by Eiden and Schmiz in 1979. ${ }^{24}$ They oxidised chromanone 61 with hydrogen peroxide/perchloric acid to give 2-(o-hydroxyphenoxy)propionic acid $\mathbf{6 2}$ which was then cyclised with acetic anhydride to the required product (Scheme 16). Ten years later, Reddy et al. ${ }^{25}$ reported a facile onestep synthesis of compound $\mathbf{6 3}$ and other derivatives in yields of ca. $60 \%$ by the BaeyerVilliger oxidation of chromanones using $m$-chloroperbenzoic acid (MCPBA) (Scheme 17). They also synthesised compound 63 in low yield ( $20 \%$ ) by condensing catechol with $B$ chloropropionyl chloride. 3,4-Dihydro-4-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-ones $\mathbf{6 5}$ were first synthesised very recently, ${ }^{26}$ in our laboratory, via Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of substituted flavanones using MCPBA (Scheme 18). It is interesting to note that the regioselective migration of the aryl substituent (ring A) is opposite to that observed for Schmidt
of flavanones ${ }^{27}$ (see section 2.4, p. 108).


SCHEME 14


SCHEME 15
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The benzodioxepinones 65 have also been shown to easily undergo solvolytic transesterification to afford the corresponding methyl esters (see section 2.2, p. 98 for further
discussion), and the fragmentation patterns in the mass spectra of these compounds have also been studied. ${ }^{28}$


SCHEME 16


SCHEME 17


SCHEME 18

( $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, etc.)

Compounds of type 66, which may be prepared from p-quinone and selected enamines, ${ }^{29}$ have served as useful precursors for pharmacologically active $2 H-1,5$-benzodioxepines, the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated imine moiety being susceptible to conjugate addition by various nucleophiles. Ziegler et al. ${ }^{30}$ prepared the dilactone 68 by the reaction of catechol with bis(2,4dichlorophenyl)benzylmalonate 67 (Scheme 19).

$+$

$290-300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
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SCHEME 19

The same method was used ${ }^{31}$ to prepare the 3 -substituted 1,5 -benzodioxepin-2,4-diones 69 in moderate yields by condensation of the corresponding malonyl dichloride with catechol. These compounds, especially the 3,3-diallyl derivatives, possess central depressant activity,
and their mass spectral fragmentation pathways have been explored. ${ }^{32}$


69

### 1.1.4 Dibenzodioxepines

There are two known types of dibenzodioxepines, and these are illustrated by 11 H dibenzo[ $b, e][1,4]$ dioxepine 70 and dibenzo $[d, f][1,3]$ dioxepine 71.


70


A number of dibenzodioxepine derivatives occur in nature as lactones, usually in lichens and moulds. For example, extraction of the lichen Lecanora gangaleoides yielded gangaleoidin 72, ${ }^{33}$ while nidulin 73 was obtained from the mould Aspergillus nidulans. ${ }^{29}$ In addition to naturally occuring examples, several dibenzodioxepines have been synthesised and the review by Pawloski ${ }^{4}$ provides a comprehensive survey of the work done before 1972.

With the aim of studying their photochemical behaviour, Kulkani et al. ${ }^{34}$ prepared the 11 H dibenzo $[b, e][1,4]$ dioxepin-11-ones (depsidones) 78-80 by cyclisation of 2-(2-hydroxyphenoxy)benzoic acid derivatives 77 with acetic anhydride (Scheme 20). An additional mode
of cyclisation was observed when polyphosphate ester (PPE) was used, the same acids 77 yielding fourteen membered dilactones 81 along with the depsidones. Noyce and Weldon ${ }^{36}$ also prepared depsidones by the same general method, but using $\beta$-naphthalenesulfonic acid, thionyl chloride or pyridine as cyclisation agents.

As is the case for benzodioxepinones, ring opening is a typical reaction of dibenzodioxepinones such as compound 78. Acid or base catalysed transesterification have been shown ${ }^{35,36}$ to take place (Scheme 21). Photo-induced $\alpha$-cleavage (breaking of the bond between the ester carbonyl and the oxygen) for the phenyl benzoate system, in solvents like benzene and cyclohexane, leads to "photo-Fries rearrangement" or to solvolysis products when solvents like methanol are used ${ }^{36}$ (Scheme 22).


72


73



SCHEME 20



SCHEME 21


SCHEME 22

### 1.2 BENZOXATHIEPINES

Despite the probable pharmacological activity of 1,5-benzoxathiepine derivatives, their synthesis has not been extensively studied. In fact, relatively few reports on these compounds have appeared in the literature. ${ }^{37-42}$ 3,4-Dihydro- $2 \mathrm{H}-1,5$-benzoxathiepine $\mathbf{8 5}$ was first synthesised ${ }^{43}$ from a mixture of 2-hydroxythiophenol and 1,3-dibromopropane irradiated with UV light. Kuyazev et al. ${ }^{44}$ modified this procedure by simply boiling the mixture of the two substrates in a solution of sodium glycolate in ethylene glycol. They went a step further by also preparing the sulphone $\mathbf{8 6}$ and its nitro-substituted derivative $\mathbf{8 7}$ (Scheme 23).


SCHEME 23

Cabiddu et al. ${ }^{38}$ were the first to introduce a functional group into the 3-position of the 1,5 benzoxathiepine ring by reacting 2-hydroxythiophenols with epichlorohydrins in aqueous alkaline solution (Scheme 24). These results are in contrast to those obtained ${ }^{44}$ in the reactions of catechols with epichlorohydrins, in which both 1,4-benzodioxanes and 1,5benzodioxepines are produced, with the former predominating. It can be concluded that the larger sulfur atom preferentially attacks the exocyclic carbon of the epoxidic moiety, followed by phenoxide attack at the "outer" ring carbon to give compound $\mathbf{9 0}$ rather than compound 91. 1,5-Benzoxathiepines with functional groups at the 2 -, 3 -, and 4 -positions were prepared ${ }^{45}$ via Dieckman cyclisation of the oxygen -, sulfur - diacetic acid esters 92 which, in turn, were synthesised from 2-hydroxythiophenols (Schemes 25 and 26). Compound 101 was obtained by Thorpe-Ziegler reaction of dinitrile 98 followed by hydrolysis of the resulting 3 -amino- 2 H -1,5-benzoxathiepin-4-carbonitrile 99 . This ketonitrile (compound 101) was also prepared by the reaction of methyl 2-cyanomethylthio-4methoxyphenoxyacetate 100 (Scheme 27).




SCHEME 25 Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{BrCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$, (ii) $\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{DMF}$, (iii) $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$, (iv) NaBH 4 .

Diltiazem 102 has been reported ${ }^{41}$ to be a serotonin $S_{2}$-receptor-blocking agent and, hence, Sugihara et al. ${ }^{40}$ synthesised a number of 3,4-dihydro- $2 \mathrm{H}-1,5$-benzoxathiepin-3-ols with an aminoalkyl group at the 2-, 3- or 4-position with the aim of finding a novel $\mathrm{S}_{2}$-receptorblocker. The piperazinyl derivative $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ proved to be the most potent and the most selective $\mathrm{S}_{2}$-receptor-blocker, and the synthesis of this compound is outlined in Scheme 28. Structureàctivity relationships as well as configurational and conformational aspects of compound $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ and related systems have been studied. ${ }^{41}$ Compound 112 , which lacks the 3 -hydroxy and 4ester groups, is less active than compound 106, and was prepared as shown in Scheme 29. The last step of the sequence involves hydrolysis of the acetal group of compound 111 followed by reductive amination. Being the most suitable substrate from which to synthesise 1,5-benzoxathiepine derivatives, 2-hydroxythiophenol has also been used together with carbon suboxide to prepare ${ }^{32,36,42} 3 H$-1,5-benzoxathiepine-2,4-dione 113 (Scheme 30). This compound has been reported ${ }^{40}$ to be antimicrobially active against blastomycetes and Grampositive microorganisms. The electron impact mass spectrometry of these compounds has also been studied. ${ }^{32}$ Very little work has been done on 1,4-benzoxathiepine derivatives and, to our knowledge, the report by Ishibashi et al. ${ }^{39}$ is the only one to have appeared in the literature to date. Benzo-fused benzoxathiepine derivatives such as compound 114 have also been synthesised. ${ }^{34,36}$



SCHEME 26



SCHEME 27


SCHEME 28


SCHEME 29


SCHEME 30
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### 1.3 BENZODIAZEPINES

Benzodiazepines are the most commonly prescribed drugs in the world today. They possess a broad range of biological activities and are widely used as antianxiety agents, ${ }^{48}$ daytime sedatives, ${ }^{48,49}$ tranquilizers, ${ }^{48}$ anticonvulsants, hypnotics, muscle relaxants, ${ }^{49}$ spasmolytics, ${ }^{50}$ analgesics ${ }^{51,52}$ and sleep inducers. ${ }^{48}$ 1,4-Benzodiazepine derivatives also interact with various biological receptors, which are unrelated to the diazepine receptor responsible for the tranquilizing and antianxiety effects of Valium ${ }^{8}$ (7-chloro-1,3-dihydro-1-methyl-5-phenyl-2 H -1,4-benzodiazepin-2-one 115), the best known benzodiazepine. Valium ${ }^{\circledR}$ was first synthesised and characterised in the late 1950's.


115

Two unexpected transformations led to the discovery and exploitation of the benzodiazepines. Firstly, in the thirties, Sternbach found that the oximes 116 underwent dehydration to quinazoline-3-oxides (Scheme 31). ${ }^{53}$ Secondly, reaction of 6-chloro-2-chloromethyl-4-phenylquinazoline-3-oxide $\mathbf{1 1 8}$ with methylamine gave the ring-expanded product $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ rather than the expected substitution product 119 (Scheme 32). ${ }^{54}$


## SCHEME 31



SCHEME 32

Compound 120 (chlordiazepoxide) was found to have hypnotic, sedative, and antistrychnine effects and was marketed as Librium ${ }^{*}$ in 1960. ${ }^{53,55,56}$ Since this first synthesis of a biologically active benzodiazepine, a large number of 7 -membered heterocyclic analogues have been synthesised and tested for a variety of biological effects. ${ }^{54-56}$ There are several established methods for the synthesis of benzodiazepine derivatives. New methods for preparing known and new benzodiazepines continue to appear in scientific papers, and much effort has been expended in modifying pharmacological activity by altering or relocating the heteroatoms.

### 1.3.1 1,4-Benzodiazepines

1,4-Benzodiazepines have received intensive study because of their importance in psychotherapy, and many synthetic routes to these compounds have been described. These include cyclisation of a variety of substrates such as amino esters (Scheme 33), cyano esters and many more. ${ }^{57-60}$ Reactions between 1,5-bisnitrogen nucleophiles and 1,2-dihalides and $\alpha$-halogeno esters have also been reported. ${ }^{61,62}$


SCHEME 33 Reagents:(i) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$, (ii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{Pd})$, (iii)Pyridine, heat.

1,4-Benzodiazepines are generally prepared, however, by ring-enlargement methods. As indicated above, chlordiazepoxide $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ was obtained ${ }^{62}$ by ring-enlargement of quinazoline-3oxide 118, which was prepared in turn by the sequence of reactions shown in Scheme 34. It should be noted that increasing the size of the substituent at C-2 and replacing the phenyl group at C-5 by other substituents decreases potency relative to chlordiazepoxide $\mathbf{1 2 0}$. 1,4Benżodiazepines can also be synthesised through ring-expansion via Schmidt ${ }^{63}$ and Beckmann $^{64}$ rearrangements of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-4-ones and their oximes respectively. The Schmidt reaction often has advantages over cyclisation methods for preparing benzodiazepine analogues. The reaction conditions are milder and yields are often higher. In some cases, both the 1,4 - and 1,5 -isomers can be isolated in a single reaction (Scheme 35). Another ring-expansion method involves preparing ${ }^{10}$ 1,4-benzodiazepin-2-ones 133 in high yield by oxidation of 2-aminomethylindoles 132 (Scheme 36). This route has been used for the commercial production of several CNS-active compounds.


SCHEME 34


SCHEME 35


SCHEME 36

Recently, ${ }^{65}$ Bunin and Ellman developed a general and expedient method for the synthesis of 1,4-benzodiazepine derivatives on a solid support (Scheme 37) using three separate components: 2-aminobenzophenones, amino acids and alkylating agents. The products, the fully derivatised 1,4 -benzodiazepines 137 , can be easily cleaved from the solid support. A range of benzodiazepines with different side chains has been synthesised in high yields using this novel approach.

Many other substituted 1,4-benzodiazepine derivatives, e.g. compounds 138 and $\mathbf{1 3 9}$, are obtained ${ }^{66-68,70-73}$ by reasoned modification of the known benzodiazepines. Compound 139 is a selective, orally effective antagonist for peripheral receptors. ${ }^{73}$ Methods for effecting transformations of the carbonyl group, N -alkylation and $S$-alkylation in the heterocyclic ring have been reported. ${ }^{68,73}$ Thiation of compound 140 has been achieved ${ }^{69}$ using phosphorous pentasulfide in pyridine to give the thiolactam 141, which was then alkylated with dimethyl sulfate to afford compound 142. Recently, Pinto and Fryer ${ }^{75}$ reported a novel method for $N$-methylation of the lactam nitrogen in compound 140 using an $N, N$-dimethylformamide dimethyl acetal mixture, which acts as both reagent and solvent for the reaction (Scheme 38). This method does not require a base and hence has advantages over traditional methods ${ }^{76}$ in which the benzodiazepinone anion is treated with an alkyl halide. It has been shown ${ }^{76}$ that treatment of compound $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ with excess base may result in ring contraction and rearrangement of the seven-membered ring.


SCHEME 37 FMOC = fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl.



SCHEME 38

### 1.3.2 1,5-Benzodiazepines

1,5-Benzodiazepines are also important due to their biological activity. It has been reported that 7 -substituted benzodiazepines 144 are psychosedative and tranquilizing agents, ${ }^{77}$ while compounds of the form 145 are anticonvulsive and sedative drugs. ${ }^{78}$ 2-[2,3-Dihydro-4-(3-iodo-4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxo-1 H -1,5-benzodiazepin-1-yl]acetic acid on the other hand is useful for treatment of diabetic complications.


144


145

$$
(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cl}, \text { or } \mathrm{NQ})
$$

In contrast to the synthesis of 1,4-benzodiazepines, there are relatively few methods for the preparation of 1,5 -benzodiazepine derivatives. These are largely limited to condensations of 1,2 -benzenediamine with various substrates, e.g. $\beta$-keto esters, ${ }^{79,81} \alpha, \beta$-unsaturated acids, ${ }^{81}$ 1-aryl-3,3-dimercapto-2-propen-1-ones, ${ }^{82-85}$ conjugated imidate salts, ${ }^{86}$ 1-aryl-3,3-bis(methylthio)-2-propen-1-ones, ${ }^{87}$ dimethyl allene-1,3-dicarboxylates, ${ }^{88}$ dioxinones and isoxazolones. ${ }^{89}$ Thus, 4 -aryl-1H-1,5-benzodiazepin-2(3H)-ones 149 have been synthesised by the condensation of isoxazolones 147 and 1,2-diamines 146 under acidic conditions (Scheme 39), while $3 H-1,5$-benzodiazepines 151 were obtained ${ }^{89}$ from the reaction of benzoyl
substituted ketone dithioacetals 150 with various 1,2-diamines (Scheme 40). Bonsignore et al. ${ }^{32}$ reported the preparation of benzo derivatives of seven-membered heterocyclics including 3 H -1,5-benzodiazepine-2,4-dione $\mathbf{1 5 3}$, by reacting 1,2-benzenediamine with carbon suboxide (propadiene-1,3-dione) 152 in diethyl ether (Scheme 41). These compounds (153) show antimicrobial activity against some Gram-positive microorganisms and blastomycetes. ${ }^{32}$

Other 1,5-benzodiazepine derivatives, e.g. compounds 156, possess CNS-depressant activity. Their synthesis involves treatment of substituted diphenylamine 154 with malonyl chloride, followed by reduction with Raney nickel to give the intermediate 155 (Scheme 42); base catalysed cyclisation and alkylation then affords the 1,5-benzodiazepin-2,4-diones $\mathbf{1 5 6} .{ }^{90}$


SCHEME 39


SCHEME 40


SCHEME 41


SCHEME 42

### 1.4. PREVIOUS WORK RELATED TO THE PRESENT STUDY

Previous work related to the present study has involved Schmidt rearrangement ${ }^{27}$ and BaeyerVilliger oxidation ${ }^{28}$ of flavanone precursors (Scheme 43). The regioselectivity of heteroatom insertion in the two reactions was found to be opposite, as shown by the formation of compounds 65 and $157(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{O})$. In a parallel study, ${ }^{91}$ attention has been concentrated on the use of the Schmidt reaction to access a variety of benzodiazepine analogues (e.g. compounds 157-159). The NMR spectroscopic properties as well as the mass fragmentation patterns of these compounds have also been studied.


SCHEME 43. Reagents: (i) MCPBA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (ii) $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SiN}_{3}, \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$.

$X=O, S, N$
$Y=0, S$

### 1.5. AIMS OF THE PRESENT INVESTIGATION

This research has been concerned with the extensive development of lines of investigation initiated in an MSc program. ${ }^{92}$ More specifically, the aims of the present study have included the following:-
(i) The synthesis of a range of benzodioxepine derivatives as benzodiazepine analogues in which the substituents and ring heteroatoms are varied.
(ii) Detailed mass spectrometric and NMR ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and $\left.{ }^{17} \mathrm{O}\right)$ spectroscopic studies of the benzodioxepine derivatives.
(iii) An investigation of the kinetics and mechanism of the Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of flavanone precursors, using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy to elucidate the observed regioselectivity.
(iv) An evaluation of the ability of the synthetic benzodiazepine analogues to compete with diazepam for specific binding to benzodiazepine receptors, using a radioreceptor assay technique.
(v) The conformational analysis of the benzodiazepine analogues using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy, X-ray crystallography and computer modelling techniques.

## DISCUSSION

### 2.1 SYNTHESIS OF BENZODIAZEPINE ANALOGUES

The required benzodiazepine derivatives were prepared using various synthetic strategies (see Figure 1). These include ring expansion methods [viz., Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of specially prepared flavanones (for benzodioxepines) and Schmidt rearrangement of N -acetylated quinolones (for benzodiazepines)] and cyclisation methods (for benzoxathiepines). In the discussion which follows attention will initially focus on the preparation of the various precursors and their elaboration to benzodiazepine analogues.


Figure 1: General approaches followed for the preparation of benzodiazepine analogues

### 2.1.1 PREPARATION OF PRECURSORS

### 2.1.1.1 Flavanones

Flavanones, which are important intermediates for the synthesis of flavanoids and biflavanoids, are generally prepared by acid- or base-catalysed cyctisation of chalcones, which are typically obtained by base-catalysed condensation of 2-hydroxyacetophenones with benzaldehydes.

## (a) 4-Substituted-2-hydroxyacetophenones

The 4-halogeno-2-hydroxyacetophenones 167-169 were readily prepared in high yields as outlined in Scheme 44. The halogenophenols $\mathbf{1 6 0 - 1 6 2}$ were acetylated with acetic anhydride to give the phenyl acetates $\mathbf{1 6 3 - 1 6 5}$, which were subjected to Fries rearrangement using anhydrous aluminium chloride as a catalyst as described by Bryan et al. ${ }^{93}$ The required 2-hydroxyacetophenones were formed by heating the phenyl acetates at high temperature (ca. $\left.175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{94,95}$ and were isolated by steam distillation of the reaction mixture. A different method ${ }^{96,97}$ was followed to prepare 2-hydroxy-4-methoxyacetophenone 170 . In this case, the 4-hydroxyl group in 2,4-dihydroxyacetophenone 166 was methylated with dimethyl sulphate-potassium carbonate in acetone.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectoscopy can be used readily to distinguish phenyl acetates from hydroxyacetophenones. The acetate methyl signal resonates at $c a .2 .25 \mathrm{ppm}$ while the corresponding methyl signal in acetophenones appears upfield at ca. 2.61 ppm . Even more noticeable is the absence of an OH signal in the spectra of the phenyl acetates.

166
(iii)


(ii)


| R |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Br | 160 | 163 | 167 |
| Cl | 161 | 164 | 168 |
| F | 162 | 165 | 169 |
| OMe | - | - | 170 |

SCHEME 44. Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{NaOH}-\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}, 175-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, acetone, heat, 6 h .

## (b) 2-Hydroxychalcones

2-Hydroxychalcones are versatile intermediates in the synthesis of naturally occurring oxygen heterocycles such as flavanols, ${ }^{98,99}$ flavanones, ${ }^{100-102}$ and aurones. ${ }^{103,104}$ The best synthetic routes to these intermediates involve either Claisen-Schmidt condensation of 2-hydroxyacetophenones with aryl aldehydes ${ }^{94,105,106}$ or the rearrangement of phenyl cinnamates. ${ }^{107}$ However, the latter procedure fails to offer much as far as yields are concerned ( $20-50 \%$ ). Several condensing agents such as aqueous alkali, ${ }^{94,108-110}$ sodium methoxide, ${ }^{111}$ piperidine, ${ }^{109}$
mineral acids ${ }^{109}$ and solid sodium hydroxide ${ }^{106}$ have been used in the condensation of 2-hydroxyacetophenones with aldehydes. Work has been reported on the kinetics and mechanism ${ }^{112-115}$ of the condensation of benzaldehydes with acetophenone as well as on the effect of substituents. ${ }^{116}$


SCHEME 45. Reagents: (i) EtOH, base, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

In the present study, all of the required 2-hydroxychalcones $\mathbf{1 7 7 - 1 8 5}$ were prepared by condensing a variety of 2-hydroxyacetophenones 167-171 with aromatic aldehydes 172-176 in ethanol using either aqueous sodium hydroxide (for compound $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ ) or aqueous potassium hydroxide (for compounds $177-179,181-185$ ) as condensing agents. While the reactions were typically performed at $\mathrm{ca} 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, preparation of compound 182 was effected at room temperature (ca $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

All of the chalcones were precipitated from the reaction mixtures (by dilution with water, followed by acidification of the reaction mixture) and were then recrystallised from ethanol. Unlike their precursors, the chalcones are bright yellow solids; they are also readily identified by their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra.

## (c) Cyclisation of chalcones to flavanones

The most common way of preparing flavanones is via cyclisation of the corresponding chalcones. Both acids and bases have been employed as cyclisation catalysts. Bases which have been used include butylamine, ${ }^{117}$ potassium carbonate, ${ }^{118}$ pyridine, ${ }^{119}$ and dilute sodium hydroxide; ${ }^{120}$ while acid catalysts include acetic acid containing a small amount of mineral acid, ${ }^{121}$ hydrogen fluoride, ${ }^{122}$ and orthophosphoric acid, ${ }^{123,124}$ which is the most common reagent used for effecting cyclisation. The base-catalysed cyclisation, however, is complicated by the fact that flavanones are readily isomerised to chalcones by traces of base, hence it is difficult to obtain them in high yield by this method. The kinetics and mechanism of this cyclisation have been investigated previously. ${ }^{125-129}$

Flavanones 186-193, variously substituted in either aromatic ring, were prepared in moderate yields by acid-catalysed cyclisation of the corresponding chalcones (Scheme 46). The chalcones were heated under reflux in ethanol with orthophosphoric acid for four days, after which the reaction mixtures were concentrated and the required flavanones allowed to precipitate. The crude flavanones, which were yellow because of chalcone impurities, were . recrystallised repeatedly from ethanol to obtain the pure colourless flavanones. This repeated recrystallisation accounts for the lower yields obtained.


|  | $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 177 | Br | H | 186 |
| 178 | Cl | H | 187 |
| 179 | F | H | 188 |
| 180 | OMe | H | 189 |
| 181 | H | H | 64 |
| 182 | H | Br | 190 |
| 183 | H | Cl | 191 |
| 185 | H | F | 192 |

SCHEME 46. Reagents: (i) EtOH, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO} 4$, heat.


Figure 2: $\quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of (a) 4'-fluoro-2-hydroxychalcone and (b) 4'-fluoroflavanone

Flavanones are easily distinguished from their isomeric precursors, the chalcones. Flavanones are colourless while chalcones are bright yellow; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra for flavanones show peaks at $c a .3 .0 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $c a .5 .6 \mathrm{ppm}$ for the 3 -methylene and 2 -methine protons respectively. These signals are absent in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of chalcones which exhibit vinyl hydrogen signals between 6.9 and 8.5 ppm in addition to a hydróxyl signal further downfield (see figure 2).

### 2.1.1.2 4-Quinolones

2-Aryl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolones are structurally similar to flavanones, the only difference being the presence of an amino group at position 1 instead of an oxygen atom. These 2-aryl-substituted quinolones are difficult to synthesise by the usual procedure, ${ }^{130-132}$ which involves cyclisation of acrylates obtained from the reaction of arylamines with $\beta$-keto esters. In the present study, the required 4 -quinolones were prepared by the cyclisation of 2-aminochalcones which were obtained, in turn, by the aldol condensation of 4 -substituted benzaldehydes with 2-aminoacetophenone.

## (a) 2-Aminochalcones

2-Aminoacetophenone 194 was condensed with each of the 4 -substituted benzaldehydes 172 176 and 195 in ethanolic solution containing solid sodium hydroxide (Scheme 47). Like the 2-hydroxychalcones, 2-aminochalcones are easily distinguishable from their precursors by their bright yellow colour. NMR spectroscopy, of course, permits unambiguous confirmation of chalcone formation.


194


SCHEME 47. Reagents: (i) NaOH , EtOH, r.t., 24 h
(b) Cyclisation of Aminochalcones to Quinolones

2-Aminochalcones undergo acid- or base-catalysed cyclisation ${ }^{133,134}$ to $1,2,3,4$-tetrahydro-4quinolones. The 4-quinolones 202-207 were obtained by acid-catalysed cyclisation of the 2aminochalcones 196-201, using orthophosphoric acid in acetic acid (Scheme 48). In contrast to the cyclisation of 2-hydroxychalcones to flavanones, which required several days, only 2-3 hours were needed for complete reaction. In addition, the quinolones, unlike flavanones, are stable in acidic or basic medium and opening of the heterocyclic ring is not observed under these conditions. All of the quinolones prepared were solids and were precipitated, in each case, by pouring the cooled reaction mixture into an ice-water mixture. The crude product
was filtered off and purified by recrystallisation from ethanol; in a parallel study ${ }^{91}$ of the same compounds, purification was achieved by flash chromatography. The spectroscopic ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and IR) data obtained for the 4 -quinolones were found to be consistent with the reported data. ${ }^{91}$


| $\mathbf{R}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| H | 202 |
| Br | 203 |
| Cl | 204 |
| F | 205 |
| OMe | 206 |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 207 |

SCHEME 48. Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{AcOH}$, heat, 2-3h

### 2.1.1.3 Aryl Epoxides

Epoxides exhibit interesting biological properties in their own right and epoxide metabolites of arenes and olefins have been reported ${ }^{135-137}$ to be cytotoxic, carcinogenic, and mutagenic. There are several methods ${ }^{138-141}$ for preparing epoxides, the conditions depending on whether the desired product is acid-sensitive or not. The epoxidation of acid-sensitive olefins or olefins yielding acid-sensitive epoxides is typically effected by a peroxy acid [usually $m$ chloroperbenzoic acid (MCPBA)] in the presence of a buffer such as solid sodium carbonate, sodium bicarbonate, or disodium hydrogen phosphate. ${ }^{142}$

Since the aryl epoxides 211-213 (Scheme 49) required in this project are very sensitive to acids, and therefore unstable under the normal epoxidizing conditions, ${ }^{141}$ a two-phase procedure, ${ }^{138}$ which involves the use of MCPBA in dichloromethane in the presence of a buffer, was used to prepare these epoxides from the 4 -substituted styrenes 208-210. The crude products were separated from their starting materials by flash chromatography, eluting with a mixture of ethyl acetate and hexane. 4-Methoxystyrene oxide 214, however, could not be prepared by this procedure. Epoxidation of 4-methoxystyrene gave an oily product, which was found to be 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (anisaldehyde) 176. This compound was identified by means of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and IR spectroscopy, its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum matching that of an authentic sample. The formation of anisaldehyde may be attributed to oxidative cleavage of the electron-rich alkene and, it should be noted that Hanzlik and Hilbert ${ }^{139}$ obtained acetophenones instead of epoxides from the epoxidation of $\alpha$-substituted styrenes. Compound 214 and other epoxides have been prepared by the reaction of the
corresponding benzaldehydes with Corey's dimethylsulfonium methylide reagent. ${ }^{1 / 3}$


SCHEME 49. Reagents: (i) MCPBA, $\mathrm{CL}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-phosphate buffer, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$

Although the three epoxides 211-213 were oils, like their precursors, they were easily identified by means of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The epoxides, of course, show three sets of double doublets between 2 and 4 ppm , corresponding to the epoxide ring protons instead of the vinyl protons of their styrene precursors which appear between 5 and 6 ppm .

### 2.1.1.4 2-Mercaptobenzenemethanol

2-Mercaptobenzenemethanol 216 was prepared according to the procedure of Arnoldi and Carughi. ${ }^{144}$ This involved the reduction of 2-thiobenzoic acid 215 with lithium aluminium hydride in tetrahydrofuran (Scheme 50) to give an oily product which crystallised on standing
to a low melting solid $\left(c a .33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Although the reported yield using this procedure is $90 \%$, we were able to obtain only $65 \%$ after performing the experiment twice. The crude alcohol 216 was sufficiently pure to be used without further purification.


SCHEME 50, Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, THF, r.t., 24h

### 2.1.1.5 1-Thioflavanone

Thiollavanone 220, one of the simplest sulphur-containing flavanoids, was first prepared by Arndt ${ }^{145}$ by cyclisation of 3-thiophenyl-3-phenylpropionic acid 219 (Scheme 51). Several reagents may be used for effecting the ring closure of compound $\mathbf{2 1 9}$, and these include polyphosphoric acid, methanesulphonic acid, ${ }^{146}$ phosphoryl chloride, ${ }^{145}$ phosphorus pentoxide and concentrated sulphuric acid. ${ }^{147}$ In the present study, cyclisation was achieved using phosphoryl chloride, and the reaction was complete within twenty minutes. Compound 219 was prepared, in turn, by condensation of thiophenol 217 with cinnamic acid 218 using $45 \%$ hydrogen bromide in acetic acid.

1-Thioflavanone $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ was distinguished from its precursor 219 by the absence of a hydroxyl signal in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (figure 3 b ) and by the presence of three double doublets at ca. 3.3 and 4.7 ppm , due to the methylene and methine protons respectively; the corresponding protons in compound 219 appear as a multiplet and triplet at ca. $\delta 3$ and 4. 6 ppm respectively (figure 3a).


SCHEME 51. Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{HBr}-\mathrm{AcOH}$, heat; (ii) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$, heat, 20 min .
$(a)$

(b)

$\jmath$



Figure 3: $\quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of (a) 3-phenyl-3-thiophenylpropanoic acid and (b) 1-thioflavanone

### 2.1.2 BAEYER-VILLIGER OXIDATION OF FLAVANONES: SYNTHESIS OF BENZODIOXEPINONES

The Baeyer-Villiger oxidation is one of the most reliable reactions to convert ketones into esters or lactones. It is a classic transformation in synthetic organic chemistry, with varied and extensive applications. ${ }^{148,149}$ This type of oxidation was first reported by Baeyer and Villiger in $1899^{150}$ and scientific papers on the reaction still continue to appear in the literature. ${ }^{151-164}$ A number of reagents, including hydrogen peroxide ${ }^{165-167}$ and organic peracids such as MCPBA, ${ }^{168}$ have been used to effect the oxidation. However, the above-mentioned reagents are shock-sensitive and potentially explosive and, hence, further research to find safer and simpler oxidants continues. Several peroxy reagents having reasonable thermal stabilities, such as magnesium monoperoxyphthalate (MMPP) ${ }^{169}$ and bis(trimethylsilyl)peroxide ${ }^{170}$ have been used effectively in the Baeyer-Villiger oxidation. Oxidation of ketones by the combined use of molecular oxygen and aldehydes with $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ as a catalyst ${ }^{171}$ and by other reagents ${ }^{156,157,160,162}$ has also been reported. The regioselectivity of oxygen_ insertion depends on the migrating group and can be predicted by assuming that the carbon atom best able to support a positive charge migrates most readily. While the classic rearrangement involves migration of a carbon atom, the first example of a Baeyer-Villiger rearrangement involving a migrating phosphoryl moiety has been reported very recently. ${ }^{161}$

The required 1,5-benzodioxepin-2-ones $\mathbf{6 5}$ and 221-228 were prepared by Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of the corresponding flavanones 64 and 186-193 following the reported procedure. ${ }^{26}$ The crude products, which were all solids, were purified by flash chromatography, eluting
with an ethyl acetate-hexane mixture. The ring-expanded products were shown by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy to be the corresponding 1,5-benzodioxepin-2-ones rather than the 1,4-benzodioxepin-5-ones, and were readily distinguished from their precursor flavanones by analysis of the methylene and adjacent methine protons. In flavanones, the methylene and methine protons are observed at ca. $\delta 3.0$ and 5.5 ppm respectively, whereas in benzodioxepinones the corresponding proton signals are shifted slightly downfield to $\delta 3.1$ and 5.7 ppm respectively. Moreover, in the products, the methine signal appears as a triplet while in the flavanones, a double doublet is observed (figure 4). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the 1,5 -benzodioxepin-2-one derivatives have been discussed in more detail elsewhere. ${ }^{26.92}$ Substituents on either of the aromatic rings of the title compounds do not seem to have a significant effect on the chemical shift of the methylene and methine protons (see table 1).

The regioselective migration of the aryl group (ring A) is contrary to that observed for Schmidt rearrangement of the same flavanones. ${ }^{27,91}$ These results prompted us to study the kinetics of both the Baeyer-Villiger and Schmidt reactions of flavanones in order to explain the contrasting regioselectivity. (The kinetics of Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of flavanones will be discussed in section 2.6, page 127).

Alternative routes leading to 1,5 -benzodioxepin-2-ones or 1,4-benzodioxepin-5-ones were also explored. Cyclisation of 2-hydroxyphenyl cinnamate 229, using acid catalysts such as acetic acid or trifluoroacetic acid, proved to be difficult. Compound 229 was readily prepared from catechol and cinnamic acid, following a reported ${ }^{172}$ procedure (Scheme 53).


SCHEME 52. Reagents: (i) MCPBA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, reflux.


Figure 4: $\quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of (a) 7-fluoroflavanone and (b) 4-fluorophenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one

Table 1. $\quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of the 3 - and $4-\mathrm{H}$ protons of 1,5 -benzodioxepin-2-one derivatives.


| Compd. | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{3 - H}$ | $\mathbf{4 - H}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 5}$ | H | H | 3.10 | 5.72 |
| $\mathbf{2 2 1}$ | Br | H | 3.13 | 5.72 |
| $\mathbf{2 2 2}$ | Cl | H | 3.12 | 5.71 |
| $\mathbf{2 2 3}$ | F | H | 3.10 | 5.71 |
| $\mathbf{2 2 4}$ | OMe | H | 3.13 | 5.69 |
| $\mathbf{2 2 5}$ | H | Br | 3.10 | 5.65 |
| $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ | H | Cl | 3.10 | 5.67 |
| $\mathbf{2 2 7}$ | H | F | 3.10 | 5.69 |
| $\mathbf{2 2 8}$ | H | OMe | 3.09 | 5.68 |

However, attempted hydrobromination of this ester 229, using hydrogen bromide in acetic acid, failed due to acid-catalysed cleavage of compound $\mathbf{2 2 9}$ back to its precursors, catechol and cinnamic acid. Another approach involved the attempted reaction of catechol with the hydrobrominated cinnamic acid 231, but this was also unsuccessful (Scheme 54).


SCHEME 53. Reagents: (i) Py, $\mathrm{SOC}_{2}$; (ii) $\mathrm{HBr}-\mathrm{AcOH}$.

In an attempt to synthesize 1,4-benzodioxepin-5-one 234, a mixture of 2-hydroxybenzoic acid 232 and styrene oxide 233 was boiled under reflux using a Dean-Stark trap (Scheme 55). After work-up, TLC of the crude material showed a complex mixture of compounds, which could not be separated. Furthermore, the required product could not be detected in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude mixture. Use of methyl salicylate similarly afforded a complex mixture of products.

Several attempts were made to introduce a double bond between C-3 and C-4 of the 1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one derivatives. The first obvious approach was to attempt Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of flavone $\mathbf{2 3 5}$, the conjugated derivative of flavanone. After the usual work-up, only the starting material was recovered as confirmed by TLC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy
instead of the expected product(s) 236 or $\mathbf{2 3 7}$. However, it proved possible to obtain the conjugated system 239 in very low yield ( $c a .4 \%$ ), together with the epoxide 240 as the major compound, by oxidation of chromone 238. This substrate, of course, lacks a phenyl substituent at position 2 (Scheme 56) and this general approach was not pursued further. A bromination-dehydrobromination approach was then examined (Scheme 57).

1,5-Benzodioxepin-2-ones, however, are susceptible to nucleophilic ring-opening and a deprotonation-bromination sequence afforded the cinnamate ester 229. (Ring-opening reactions of these compounds will be discussed in more detail in section 2.2, p. 98). The use of oxidative dehydrogenation agents such as palladium or $\mathrm{DDQ}^{173,174}$ also failed to produce the required $\Delta^{3,4}$ unsaturation.


SCHEME 54. Reagents: (i) TsOH, benzene, heat or 1eq. NaH , THF, then TsOH , heat.


SCHEME 55. Reagents: (i) TsOH, benzene, reflux.
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SCHEME 56. Reagents: (i) MCPBA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, heat, 4 d .
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SCHEME 57. Reagents: (i) LDA, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NBS or $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$

### 2.1.3 PREPARATION OF BENZOXATHIEPINE DERIVATIVES

A detailed review of the relatively unexplored chemistry of the benzoxathiepines was given in section 1.2. In the following section the synthesis and NMR spectrometric studies of three series of benzoxathiepine derivatives will be discussed. Their mass spectrómetric properties will be discussed in section 2.4, p. 108.

## (a) Preparation of 1,5-Benzoxathiepin-2-ones

1,5-Benzoxathiepin-2-ones $\mathbf{2 5 0 - 2 5 4}$ were prepared by reacting 2-hydroxythiophenol 84 with the corresponding cinnamic acids 218 and 242-245 (Scheme 58). Several reaction conditions were employed. The two substrates (compound 84 and the cinnamic acid) were heated at temperatures above $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford the intermediate carboxylic acid (e.g. compound 219) via conjugate addition. However, this proved to be unsuitable as a general method because of the very high melting points ( $c a .300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for some of the cinnamic acids. A $48 \%$ solution of hydrobromic acid in acetic acid was then used to facilitate the reaction. The $\mathrm{HBr}-\mathrm{AcOH}$ not only serves as solvent but the HBr also reacts with the cinnamic acid to yield the corresponding 3-bromo-3-phenylpropanoic acid (Scheme 59). Because of the good leaving character of bromine, the chiral carbon of the propionic acid is then very susceptible to attack by the nucleophilic sulphur of the 2-hydroxythiophenol to give the intermediate propionic acids 219 and 246-249. These acids were not isolated but the reaction mixtures, after workup, were heated under reflux in toluene with a catalytic amount of $p$-toluenesulphonic acid ( $p-\mathrm{TsOH}$ ) for 12-15 hours.



For $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OMe}$

SCHEME 58. Reagents: (i) $45 \% \mathrm{HBr}$ in AcOH and (ii) TsOH , toluene.


SCHEME 59
$\infty$

Final work-up, followed by flash chromatography, gave the required 1,5-benzoxathiepin-2ones $\mathbf{2 5 0} \mathbf{- 2 5 4}$ in low yields ( $4-13 \%$ ). (No reaction occurred when benzene was used as a solvent instead of toluene). Heating the above mixtures using a Dean-Stark trap, without first isolating the bromo-acid intermediates, did not significantly improve the yields of compounds 250-254. The yield of 3,4-dihydro-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2one $\mathbf{2 5 4}$, however, was improved (from $9 \%$ to $24 \%$ ) by heating a mixture of 2-hydroxythiophenol with cinnamic acid under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ in the absence of $\mathrm{HBr}-\mathrm{AcOH}$, followed by heating the resulting reaction mixture in toluene with $p$ - TsOH . The reaction of 2-hydroxythiophenol with 4-methoxycinnamic acid in the presence of $\mathrm{HBr}-\mathrm{AcOH}$ afforded two products. Thus in addition to the expected product (compound 254), 1-(2-hydroxyphenylthio)-1-(4methoxyphenyl)ethane 255 was also isolated. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (figure 5) of this compound shows a doublet at $\delta 1.61 \mathrm{ppm}$ due to the methyl protons, a singlet at $\delta 3.79 \mathrm{ppm}$ for the methoxy protons and a quartet at $\delta 4.09 \mathrm{ppm}$ for the methine proton. An attempt to prepare 3,4-dihydro-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one 257 by Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of thiochromanone, using MCPBA, gave the sulphone 258 and the sulphoxide 259 instead (Scheme 60). Further treatment of compound $\mathbf{2 5 9}$ with MCPBA failed to afford the ringexpanded product 260.

The benzoxathiepinones $\mathbf{2 5 0} \mathbf{- 2 5 4}$ can be easily distinguished from their propanoic acid precursors (compounds 219 and 246-249). In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the 1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one 254, for example, the signal for the methine proton $(4-\mathrm{H})$ appears as a triplet at $\delta 4.74 \mathrm{ppm}$ (Figure 6b), while that of the methine proton ( $3-\mathrm{H}$ ) in compound 249 also appears as a triplet, but is shifted upfield to $\delta 4.33 \mathrm{ppm}$ (Figure 6a).


Figure 5: $\quad 400 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 255


SCHEME 60. Reagents: (i) MCPBA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.


Figure 6(a): Partial $400 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 3-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-2-(4methoxy)propionic acid 249 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Figure $6(b):$ Partial $400 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 3,4 -dihydro- 4 -(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one 254 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

In most of the 1,5-benzoxathiepinones examined, the diastereotopic methylene protons appear as a pair of double doublets at $c a . \delta 3.0 \mathrm{ppm}$. Because these methylene protons are magnetically non-equivalent, they couple with each other and, in turn, with the adjacent 4 -methine proton resonating at $c a . \delta 4.7 \mathrm{ppm}$ as a double doublet. Replacing the sulphur atom at position 5 with oxygen changes both the chemical shifts and the splitting patterns of the $3-\mathrm{H}$ and $4-\mathrm{H}$ nuclei. In the benzodioxepinone derivatives, the two double doublets due to the 3-methylene protons are further apart and appear at $c a . \delta 3.10 \mathrm{ppm}$, while the methine signal appears as a coalesced double doublet between $\delta 5.5$ and 6.0 ppm (compare figure 7a and 7 b ).

None of the substituents at the para position of the 4-phenyl group in 1,5 -benzoxathiepinones appears to have any significant effect on the chemical shifts of the methine and methylene protons (see Table 2). The aromatic region also shows the same chemical shift and similar splitting patterns for all the compounds examined. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of these compounds, however, exhibit some differences in the chemical shifts of the aromatic carbons. Table 3 shows that the $4^{\prime}$-substituents, $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{F}$ and OM have a significant effect on the chemical shifts of the 4 -aryl ring carbons $\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}$, the assignment of which was facilitated by comparison with the C-F coupling constants in compound 253 . In addition, the chemical shift for C-4 in compound 254 appears at $\delta 55.2 \mathrm{ppm}$, while those of the other compounds in the series appear upfield at $c a$. $\delta 50 \mathrm{ppm}$. To our knowledge, all the synthesised 1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one derivatives are new compounds.


Figure 7(a): $400 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 3,4-dihydro-4-(4-fluorophenyl)-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one 227 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$
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Figure 7 (b): Partial $400 \mathrm{MHz}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 3,4 -dihydro-4-(4-fluorophenyl)-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one 253

Table 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ) data for 1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one derivatives in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


| Compd | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{3 - H}$ | $\mathbf{4 - H}$ | $\mathbf{6}-\mathbf{H}$ | $\mathbf{8 - H}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | H | 2.96 | 4.70 | $7.54(\mathrm{dd})$ | $7.43(\mathrm{ddd})$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 7}$ | Br | 2.98 | 4.72 | $7.57(\mathrm{dd})$ | $7.49(\mathrm{ddd})$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 2}$ | Cl | 3.00 | 4.74 | $7.57(\mathrm{dd})$ | $7.49(\mathrm{ddd})$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 3}$ | F | 3.00 | 4.75 | $7.58(\mathrm{dd})$ | $7.49(\mathrm{ddd})$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 4}$ | OMe | 3.00 | 4.74 | $7.58(\mathrm{dd})$ | $7.47(\mathrm{ddd})$ |

Table 3. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shift ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ) data for 1,5-benzoxathiepinone derivatives in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ( $\delta$ 77.0ppm).


|  | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 4}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{H}$ | $\mathbf{B r}$ | $\mathbf{C l}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{O M e}$ |
| $\mathbf{C - 2}$ | 167.5 | 167.1 | 167.2 | 167.3 | 167.5 |
| $\mathbf{C - 3}$ | 40.0 | 39.8 | 39.8 | 40.1 | 40.1 |
| $\mathbf{C - 4}$ | 50.3 | 49.6 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 55.2 |
| $\mathbf{C - 6}$ | 136.4 | 136.4 | 136.3 | 136.3 | 136.3 |
| $\mathbf{C - 7}$ | 128.2 | 126.7 | 126.7 | 126.6 | 126.5 |
| $\mathbf{C - 8}$ | 131.4 | 131.6 | 131.5 | 131.5 | 131.2 |
| $\mathbf{C - 9}$ | 120.3 | 120.4 | 120.4 | 120.4 | 120.2 |
| $\mathbf{C - 5 a}$ | 121.6 | 122.1 | 121.3 | 121.4 | 121.7 |
| $\mathbf{C - 9 a}$ | 154.1 | 154.1 | 154.0 | 154.1 | 154.0 |
| $\mathbf{C - 1}$ | 141.8 | 140.6 | 140.1 | $137.5^{\text {b }}$ | 133.8 |
| $\mathbf{C - 2} / \mathbf{6}^{\prime}$ | 126.3 | 132.1 | 129.1 | $128.1^{\text {c }}$ | 127.5 |
| $\mathbf{C - 3} / \mathbf{5}^{\prime}$ | 128.9 | 128.1 | 127.7 | $115.8^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 114.2 |
| $\mathbf{C - 4}$ | 126.6 | 121.3 | 134.0 | $162.4^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 159.3 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift value at $\delta 49.8 \mathrm{ppm},{ }^{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}} 3.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}} 8.1 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{\mathrm{d} 2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}} 22.1 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}$ 247.5 Hz .
(b) Preparation of 4,1-Benzoxathiepin-5-ones

4,1-Benzoxathiepin-5-ones 261-263 were prepared as shown in Scheme 61. Mixtures of the specially prepared arylepoxides (211, 212, and 233), thiosalicylic acid 215 and a catalytic amount of $p$-toluenesulphonic acid (TsOH) in benzene were refluxed for 12 hours. Work-up of the reactions involved dissolving the residues of the reaction mixtures in ethyl acetate, followed by washing the resultant solutions with aqueous sodium bicarbonate $\left(\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\right)$ to remove unreacted thiosalicylic acid and TsOH. The 3-aryl-2,3-dihydro-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5ones 261-263 were obtained in very low yields ( $8-26 \%$ ) together with the 2-aryl-2,3-dihydro-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-ones 264-266, which were also isolated in very low yields (2-7\%). It was difficult to purify these compounds and hence, some of them (264-266) were characterised using only ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the 3 -aryl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-ones 261-263 follow the same pattern as those of the benzodioxepinones and the 1,5-benzoxathiepinones which were discussed earlier. As expected, the diastereotopic 2-methylene protons resonate at $c a$. $\delta$ 3.30 ppm as a pair of double doublets, while the 3-methine proton resonates further downfield at $c a . \delta 5.70 \mathrm{ppm}$. The latter signal is an overlapping double doublet; the two coupling constants are equal and, as a result, a triplet is observed. Selected ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shift data for the compounds in this series are listed in Table 4. Not surprisingly, changing the position of the phenyl substituent from C-3 to C-2 has a significant effect on the chemical shifts of the $2-\mathrm{H}$ and $3-\mathrm{H}$ nuclei. In compounds 264-266, the methine proton resonates at $c a . \delta 6.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ while the $3-\mathrm{H}$ proton signals appear at $c a . \delta 4.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ (Table 5).


SCHEME 61. Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{TsOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{6}$, reflux.

Table 4. Selected ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shift data ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ) for 2,3-dihydro-3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-ones 261-263, with the splitting patterns indicated in parentheses.


| Compd. | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{2 - H}(\mathbf{2} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{d d})$ | $\mathbf{3 - H}(\mathbf{t})^{\mathbf{a}}$ | $\mathbf{6 - H}(\mathrm{dd})$ | 8-H(ddd) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 6 1}$ | Br | 3.27 | 5.66 | 8.08 | 7.40 |
| $\mathbf{2 6 2}$ | Cl | 3.32 | 5.70 | 8.12 | 7.43 |
| $\mathbf{2 6 3}$ | H | 3.37 | 5.74 | 8.14 | 7.42 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Overlapping dd

Table 5. $\quad{ }^{1} H$ NMR chemical shift data for the $\mathbf{C}$-ring of the 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-ones 264-266.


| Compd. | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{2 - H}$ | $\mathbf{3 - H}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 6 4}$ | Br | 6.03 | 3.97 |
| $\mathbf{2 6 5}$ | Cl | 5.97 | 3.89 |
| $\mathbf{2 6 6}$ | H | 6.10 | 3.98 |

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shifts of the methine and methylene carbons in the two series of compounds (compounds 261-263 and 264-266) are also affected by the position of the phenyl substituent (Tables 6 and 7). In both series the methine carbon signals appear downfield (due to the adjacent phenyl substituent) compared to the methylene signals. The chemical shifts of the methine carbon in compounds 261-263 are even further downfield compared to those of compounds 264-266 because, in addition to the influence of the adjacent phenyl substituent, the methine carbon is further deshielded by the more electronegative oxygen atom.

Table 6. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shift data ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ) for the 2,3-dihydro-3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-ones 261-263.


|  | 261 | 262 | 263 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R | Br | Cl | H |
| C-2 | 40.0 | 39.7 | 40.6 |
| C-3 | 82.9 | 82.8 | 83.3 |
| C-5 | 163.7 | 163.6 | 163.8 |
| C-6 | 127.7 | 127.5 | 127.6 |
| C-7 | 133.6 | 133.5 | 133.5 |
| C-8 | 126.7 | 126.5 | 126.5 |
| C-9 | 132.6 | 132.4 | 132.4 |
| C-5a* | 121.6 | 123.9 | 127.4 |
| C-9a* | 124.1 | 133.3 | 134.5 |
| C-1' | 133.5 | 137.8 | 138.1 |
| C-2'/C-6 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 131.8 | 130.8 | 129.5 |
| C-3'/C-5' | 131.3 | 128.7 | 128.6 |
| C-4' | 137.9 | 132.9 | 124.1 |

* These assignments could interchange.

Table 7. ${ }^{13}$ CNMR shift data for the 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-ones 265 and 266.


|  | 265 | 266 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R | Cl | H |
| C-2 | 77.1 | 77.9 |
| C-3 | 65.7 | 66.0 |
| C-5 | 165.8 | 166.0 |
| C-6 | 131.1 | 131.0 |
| C-7 | 132.8 | 132.7 |
| C-8 | 124.8 | 128.5 |
| C-9 | 131.8 | 131.9 |
| C-5a* | 125.7 | 125.9 |
| C-9a* | 135.4 | 136.8 |
| C-1' | 138.3 | 138.2 |
| C-2'/C-6' | 128.9 | 128.7 |
| C-3'/C-5' | 128.1 | 126.7 |
| C-4' | 134.4 | 124.7 |

* These assignments could interchange.


## (c) Preparation of 4,1-Benzoxathiepines

The 4,1-benzoxathiepines $\mathbf{2 6 7 - 2 7 0}$ were prepared as shown in Scheme 62.
2-(Hydroxymethyl)thiophenol 216 (prepared by reduction of thiosalicylic acid; see section 2.1.1.4) and the corresponding epoxystyrenes (211-213 and 233) were heated under reflux, using a Dean Stark apparatus, for 72 hours. The reaction mixtures were purified by flash chromatography to give the required products in reasonable yields (43-53\%; the conditions were not optimised). In contrast to the reaction of thiosalicylic acid with epoxystyrene, which gave two regioisomeric products [see section 2.1.3(b)], the reaction of 2(hydroxymethyl)thiophenol with epoxystyrenes yielded only one product in each case. Sulphur, being more nucleophilic than oxygen, attacks the methylene carbon of the epoxystyrene to give an alcohol intermediate which undergoes cyclisation, with the loss of water, to the required benzoxathiepine. Attack at the methine carbon by sulphur does not appear to take place since no trace of other regioisomers was observed.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts and coupling patterns for the 2-methylene and 3-methylene protons are similar to those observed for the 3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-ones 261-263, discussed in the preceding section (see Tables 4 and 8). Thus, the 2-methylene protons resonate as a well-resolved pair of double doublets at $c a . \delta 3.1$ and 3.4 ppm ; while the 3methine proton signal appears as a triplet at $c a . \delta 5.4 \mathrm{ppm}$. The 5 -methylene protons, being diastereotopic, couple with each other to afford a distorted double doublet at ca. $\delta 4.9 \mathrm{ppm}$. These $5-\mathrm{H}$ nuclei can be viewed as an AB system, in which the distortion of the doublets depends on the frequency separation $(\Delta \nu)$ of the signals. Geminal coupling constants $\left(J_{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$
of 15 Hz were observed. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shift assignments for these compounds are given in Table 9. Assignment was effected with the help of DEPT and HETCOR experiments and calculations from correlation tables for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts. Table 6 [section 2.1.3(b)] and Table 9 show that substituting the carbonyl group at position 5 by a methylene group has a significant effect on some of the chemical shifts, particularly $\mathrm{C}-7$ and $\mathrm{C}-9$; the $\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}$ signal - for the bromo derivative also shows a marked shift.
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(i)

216

| R |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Br | 211 | 267 |
| Cl | 212 | 268 |
| F | 213 | 269 |
| H | 233 | 270 |

SCHEME 62. Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}$; (ii) $\mathrm{TsOH}, \mathrm{C} 6 \mathrm{H} 6$, reflux.

Table 8. Selected ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shift data ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ) for the 3-phenyl-4,1benzoxathiepines 267-270.


| Compd. | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{2 - H}$ <br> (2xdd) | $\mathbf{3 - H}$ <br> (dd) | $\mathbf{5 - H}$ <br> (dd) | $\mathbf{7 - H}$ <br> (ddd) | $\mathbf{8 - H}$ <br> (dd) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 6 7}$ | Br | 3.16 | 5.33 | 4.88 | 7.06 | 6.96 |
| $\mathbf{2 6 8}$ | Cl | 3.18 | 5.33 | 4.89 | 7.06 | 6.96 |
| $\mathbf{2 6 9}$ | F | 3.17 | 5.31 | 4.89 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{2 7 0}$ | H | 3.27 | 5.41 | 4.93 | 7.09 | 6.98 |

Table 9. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shift data ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ) for the 3-phenyl-4,1benzoxathiepines 267, 268 and 270.


|  | 267 | 268 | 270 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R | Br | Cl | H |
| C-2 | 41.4 | 41.5 | 42.3 |
| C-3 | 81.7 | 81.9 | 82.3 |
| C-5 | 69.7 | 69.8 | 69.9 |
| C-5a | 135.1 | 134.6 | 136.2 |
| C-6 | 127.2 | 127.3 | 127.3 |
| C-7 | 124.5 | 124.6 | 124.4 |
| C-8 | 125.6 | 127.2 | 125.6 |
| C-9 | 127.1 | 125.6 | 127.1 |
| C-9a* | 129.4 | 129.5 | 129.6 |
| C-1' | 131.5 | 132.7 | 131.9 |
| C-2'/C-6' | 131.3 | 130.8 | 129.4 |
| C-3'/C-5' | 131.1 | 128.4 | 128.3 |
| C-4' | 120.8 | 131.6 | 126.9 |



Figure 8: $\quad 400 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepine 270 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

### 2.1.4 PREPARATION OF BENZODIAZEPINE DERIVATIVES

A short review of the well explored chemistry of the benzodiazepines was given in the introduction (section 1.3). The following section will cover the synthesis of a range of such compounds via the Schmidt reaction of 4-quinolone precursors.

## (a) Preparation of N -acetyl-4-quinolones

The $N$-acetyl-4-quinolones 271-274 were obtained in low yields (5-39\%) by the reaction of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-phenyl-4-quinolones 202-205 with acetic anhydride according to the reported procedure ${ }^{133}$ (Scheme 63). In addition to the $N$-acetyl-4-quinolones, 4 -acetoxy- $N$ -acetyl-1,2-dihydro-2-phenylquinolines 275-278 were isolated as the major products ( $36-63 \%$ yields). In contrast to these results, Donnelly and Farrell ${ }^{133}$ reported the $N$-acetyl-4-quinolone 271 as the major product with the 4 -acetoxy- N -acetyl-1,2-dihydro-2-phenylquinoline 275 being the major product only in the presence of sodium acetate.


SCHEME 63. Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux.

The 4-quinolone derivatives 271-274 can easily be distinguished from the 4-acetoxyquinolines 275-278 by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. In compounds 271-274, a singlet due to the $N$-acetyl group appears at ca. $\delta 2.4 \mathrm{ppm}$ while in compounds $\mathbf{2 7 5 - 2 7 8}$ two singlets due to the acetyl and acetoxy groups are observed at $\delta 2.27$ and 2.35 ppm respectively. The quinolones also exhibit two double doublets at $c a . \delta 3.30 \mathrm{ppm}$ due to the diastereotopic protons at position 3 as illustrated for N -acetyl-2-(4-bromophenyl)-4-quinolone $\mathbf{2 7 2}$ in figure 9 . This signal is, of course, absent in the spectra of the 4 -acetoxyquinolines; the signal for the vinyl proton of these quinolines appears as a doublet at $c a . \delta 6.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ (figure 10 ).

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra for both the N -acetylquinolones and their 4 -acetoxyquinoline derivatives (figures 9 and 10 ) show line broadening of the $2-\mathrm{H}$ and $8-\mathrm{H}$ signals. This is undoubtedly due to internal rotation of the $N$-acetyl group. Hindered rotation in amides is well known and often results in the splitting of associated NMR signals. The broad signals observed in figures 9 and 10 presumably represent a post-coalescence condition. Future research will involve a dynamic NMR (DNMR) analysis of these systems to establish substituent effects on coalescence temperature and the free energy of activation for internal rotation. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data for the $N$-acetyl-4-quinolones are given in Table 10. The assignment of the chemical shifts was based on data obtained from COSY, DEPT and HETCOR experiments as well as CF coupling constants in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of the $4^{\prime}$-fluoro derivative 274 .

Table 10. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shift ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ) data for the $N$-acetyl-4-quinolone derivatives in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


|  | $\mathbf{2 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}$ | H | Br | Cl | F |
| $\mathbf{\mathbf { C H } _ { \mathbf { 3 } }}$ | 23.3 | 23.3 | 23.3 | 23.2 |
| $\mathbf{N C O}$ | 192.9 | 192.7 | 192.8 | 192.8 |
| $\mathbf{C - 2}$ | 54.7 | 54.1 | 54.1 | 54.0 |
| $\mathbf{C - 3}$ | 42.6 | 42.4 | 42.5 | 42.5 |
| $\mathbf{C - 4}$ | 170.1 | 170.1 | 170.1 | 170.0 |
| $\mathbf{C - 4 a}$ | 126.1 | 121.7 | 126.0 | 125.8 |
| $\mathbf{C - 5}$ | 127.5 | 127.4 | 127.4 | 127.2 |
| $\mathbf{C - 6}$ | 125.5 | 125.7 | 125.7 | 125.5 |
| $\mathbf{C - 7}$ | 134.4 | 134.5 | 134.5 | 134.4 |
| $\mathbf{C - 8}$ | 125.1 | 125.0 | 125.0 | 124.9 |
| $\mathbf{C - 8 a}$ | 141.8 | 141.6 | 141.6 | 141.5 |
| $\mathbf{C - 1} \mathbf{1}^{\prime}$ | 137.9 | 137.1 | 136.6 | $133.7^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| $\mathbf{C - 2} / \mathbf{C - 6} \mathbf{6}^{\prime}$ | 128.6 | 131.7 | 128.8 | $128.4^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| $\mathbf{C - 3} / \mathbf{C - 5}$ | 126.8 | 128.6 | 128.2 | $115.4^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| $\mathbf{C - 4}$ | 127.3 | 125.9 | 133.6 | $161.8^{\mathrm{d}}$ |

${ }^{a}{ }^{*} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}} 3.0 \mathrm{~Hz} . \quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}} 9.1 \mathrm{~Hz} . \quad{ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{CF}} 21.1 \mathrm{~Hz} . \quad{ }^{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}} 246.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$
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Figure 9: $\quad 400 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for N -acetyl-2-(4-bromophenyl)-4-quinolone 272 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$



Figure 10: $\quad 400 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for 4-acetoxy- N -acetyl-2-(4-bromophenyl)-quinoline 276 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$
(b) Preparation of 1,4- and 1,5-benzodiazepinones

The Schmidt reaction of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone, using sodium azide and sulphuric acid, has been reported to afford the 1,4 - and 1,5 -benzodiazepine derivatives. ${ }^{175}$ However, in our laboratory, it has been found that the azidotrimethylsilane-mediated Schmidt reaction of 2-aryl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolones affords the 1,4-benzodiazepinones and their tetrazolo derivatives, with no trace of the 1,5 -benzodiazepinone derivatives. ${ }^{91}$ In contrast to this observation, we have found that Schmidt reaction of $N$-acetyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4quinolones 271-273, using azidotrimethylsilane (TMS- $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ ) in trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), affords both the 1,4-and 1,5-benzodiazepinone derivatives (Scheme 64), with the 1,5-isomer being the major product in each case. The products were isolated by flash chromatography and characterised by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and mass spectroscopy. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the 1,4- and 1,5-benzodiazepinones 279-281 and 282-284 show distinct differences from those of the 4-quinolone precursors 271-274. For example, the 1,4 -isomer 283 is distinguished from its precursor, compound 272, by the appearance of an amide proton signal at $c a . \delta 7.6 \mathrm{ppm}$ and an upfield shift of the $2-\mathrm{H}$ signal to $c a . \delta 6 \mathrm{ppm}$, (figure 11).

The 1,4- and 1,5-isomers are distinguished from each other by the different chemical shifts of the $3-\mathrm{H}$ protons. In the 1,5 -isomer, the $3-\mathrm{H}$ protons resonate upfield at $c a . \delta 2.75 \mathrm{ppm}$ (figure 12), while the inductive effect of the 4-nitrogen atom causes the 3-methylene proton signals in the 1,4 -isomer to shift downfield to $c a . \delta 3.40 \mathrm{ppm}$ (figure 11). In addition, the 3-H signal in the 1,4 -isomers is split further due to coupling to the adjacent amide hydrogen. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shift data for the 1,4-benzodiazepinone derivatives 272, 283 and 284 are summarised in Table 12.


SCHEME 64. Reagents: (i) TMS-N3, TFA, r.t.

Table 11. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and splitting patterns for the $N$-acetyl-2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-1,4-benzodiazepin-5-one derivatives in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


|  | $\mathbf{2 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{H}$ | Br | $\mathbf{C l}$ |
| $\mathbf{\mathbf { C H } _ { \mathbf { 3 } }}$ | $1.73(\mathrm{~s})$ | $1.80(\mathrm{~s})$ | $1.80(\mathrm{~s})$ |
| $\mathbf{2 - H}$ | $6.34(\mathrm{dd})$ | $5.95(\mathrm{dd})$ | $5.97(\mathrm{dd})$ |
| $\mathbf{3 - H}$ | $2.79(\mathrm{~m})$ | $3.41(\mathrm{~m})$ | $3.41(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| $\mathbf{6 - H}$ | $7.26(\mathrm{~m})$ | $7.56(\mathrm{~m})$ | $7.56(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| $\mathbf{7 - H}$ | $7.26(\mathrm{~m})$ | $7.86(\mathrm{~m})$ | $7.87(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| $\mathbf{8 - H}$ | $7.43(\mathrm{ddd})$ | $7.56(\mathrm{~m})$ | $7.56(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| $\mathbf{9 - H}$ | $7.08(\mathrm{~d})$ | $7.06(\mathrm{~m})$ | $7.05(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| $\mathbf{N H}$ | $8.96(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$ | $7.64(\mathrm{t})$ | $7.16(\mathrm{t})$ |
| $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{H} / \mathbf{6}^{\prime} \mathbf{- H}$ | 7.28 | $7.42(\mathrm{~d})$ | $7.27(\mathrm{~d})$ |
| $\mathbf{3}^{\prime} \mathbf{- H} / \mathbf{5}^{\prime} \mathbf{- H}$ | 7.28 | $7.11(\mathrm{~d})$ | $7.17(\mathrm{~d})$ |

Table 12. $\quad{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shift ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ) data for the $N$-acetyl-2,3-dihydro-1,4-benzodiazepin-5-one derivatives 282-284 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


|  | 282 | 283 | 284 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}$ | H | Br | Cl |
| NCO | 170.8 | 170.9 | 170.9 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 22.8 | 23.0 | 23.1 |
| C-2 | 61.1 | 62.3 | 62.2 |
| C-3 | 39.0 | 44.4 | 44.5 |
| C-5 | 173.2 | 171.2 | 170.9 |
| C-5a | 123.3 | 122.2 | 133.1 |
| C-6 | 128.0 | 129.3 | 129.3 |
| C-7 | 129.7 | 130.2 | 130.2 |
| C-8 | 132.8 | 132.4 | 132.4 |
| C-9 | 131.4 | 130.3 | 130.3 |
| C-9a | 139.7 | 137.1 | 137.1 |
| C-1 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 136.2 | 137.0 | 136.5 |
| C-2'/C-6' | 128.6 | 131.8 | 128.9 |
| C-3'/C-5' | 126.7 | 129.0 | 128.9 |
| C-4' | 126.6 | 133.0 | 134.1 |
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Figure 11: $\quad 400 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for N -acetyl-2,3-dihydro-2-(4-bromophenyl)-1,4-benzodiazepin-5-one 283 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Figure 12: $\quad 400 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for N -acetyl-2,3-dihydro-2-(4-bromophenyl)-1,5-benzodiazepin-4-one 280 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

### 2.2 RING-OPENING REACTIONS OF 4-ARYL-3,4-DIHYDRO-1,5-BENZODIOXEPIN-2-ONES

We have previously noted ${ }^{26}$ nucleophilic cleavage of the seven-membered ring of 4-aryl-3,4-dihydro-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-ones. Depending on the conditions, these benzodioxepinones may undergo fission of the heterocyclic ring via two independent modes (Scheme 65). Phenoxy ethers 285 and 286 were obtained ${ }^{26}$ by solvolytic transesterification, which involves fission of the $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ bond of the lactone group (mode I). It was also observed that the lithium enolate of benzodioxepinones $\mathbf{6 5}$, generated with lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) at ca. $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, undergoes rapid $\beta$-elimination to afford the cinnamate ester 229 in a sequence involving fission of the $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ bond. [This kind of ring cleavage (mode II) is discussed later in this section]. The ease with which the seven-membered ring opens, suggested the potential of such benzodioxepinones as effective acylating agents in biological systems. In order to explore further the susceptibility of these compounds to $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ fission, a series of benzodioxepinones were reacted with one equivalent of butylamine (as a model for biogenetic nucleophiles). The corresponding ring-opened, carboxamide products (287-289) were, in fact, obtained in reasonably good yields (55-70\%) under relatively mild conditions.

One of the aims of this research has been to increase conjugation in the 7 -membered ring to afford compounds which resemble the clinically useful benzodiazepines more closely. It was therefore necessary to introduce a double bond between C3 and C4 in the benzodioxepinones. One obvious way to do this, it seemed, would be to effect hydroxyalkylation at position 3 , to be followed by dehydration and migration of the exocyclic double bond in the initial
condensation product 291 (Scheme 66). The potential for $\beta$-elimination in the enolate systems 65a was recognised but it was hoped that rapid attack by a suitable electrophile would lead to the desired intermediates 290 . Moreover, an analogous pathway for the piperidine-catalysed transformation of flavanones to their 3-benzyl derivatives has been reported recently. ${ }^{176}$ In the event, however, the benzodioxepine enolate, generated by addition of LDA to the substrates either in the presence or absence of non-enolizable aldehydes, underwent ring-opening to catechol monocinnamate 229 , which reacted further with the added aldehydes ( RCHO ) to produce the cinnamate esters 293-296. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the esters 293-296 indicated the absence of the aliphatic $\mathrm{ABX}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)$ system and the presence of a methylene singlet (figure 13) - observations which initially led us to assume the formation of the conjugated derivatives 292. This conclusion, however, was discarded after careful examination of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, MS, and elemental analysis. The formation of the cinnamate esters 293-296 was also confirmed by spectroscopic comparison with independently synthesised benzyl cinnamate 293 .

Isolation of the cinnamate esters (293-296) under these conditions requires in situ reduction of the added aldehyde RCHO in each case and suggests involvement of a Cannizzaro-type disproportionation. The Cannizzaro aldehyde disproportionation may be mediated by nucleophilic bases like sodamide, ${ }^{177}$ but participation of the "non-nucleophilic" base, LDA, in this reaction is surprising and, to our knowledge, unprecedented. A possible mechanistic sequence which would account for the formation of the observed products is outlined in Scheme 67. In order to confirm this possibility, benzaldehyde was treated with LDA; the isolation of $N, N$-diisopropylbenzamide and benzyl benzoate, although in low yields, clearly
supports:
(i) the implication of LDA in a Cannizzaro-type transformation, and
(ii) the participation of the intermediate benzyl alkoxide with benzaldehyde in a Tishchenko transformation to afford benzyl benzoate. ${ }^{178}$


Figure 13: $\quad 400 \mathrm{MHiz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 2,2-dimethylpropyl cinnamate 296 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$
SCHEME 65. Reagents: (i) MeOH ; (ii) $\mathrm{LDA}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{ca}$. $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| H | 287 |
| Cl | 288 |
| Br | 289 |

[^0]


SCHEME 67

### 2.3 PREPARATION OF SULPHOXIDES AND SULPHONES

While recognising the susceptibility of sulphides to oxidation to sulphoxides and sulphones, it was hoped that treatment of thiochromanone and thioflavanone precursors under BaeyerVilliger conditions might afford some ring-expanded products. In the event, no BaeyerVilliger products could be isolated. The various sulphoxides and sulphones which were obtained (Scheme 68), together with others which were specially prepared (Scheme 69) were, however, used as model compounds for an ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR study (see section 2.5). All the sulphoxides and sulphones were obtained by the reaction of the corresponding sulphides with MCPBA in dichloromethane (Schemes 68 and 69). Compound 259 was also prepared by reacting the sulphide $\mathbf{2 5 6}$ with $30 \%$ hydrogen peroxide in glacial acetic acid. ${ }^{179}$

A combination of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR, IR and mass spectrometry were used to differentiate the sulphoxides and sulphones from their precursors and from each other. The 2- and 3methylene nuclei of compounds $\mathbf{2 5 6}, 258$ and 259 exhibit distinct differences in their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals (Table 13). The increased multiplicities of both the 2-and 3-methylene signals in compound 258 presumably reflects the diastereotopicity of the protons in each pair, arising from the inherent chirality of the unsymmetrical sulphoxide moiety. Of course, the 2- and 3-methylene protons in compounds 256 and 259 could have been expected to exhibit magnetic non-equivalence being, in principle, $\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{XX}^{\prime}$ systems; in the 400 MHz spectra, however, $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}$ patterns (i.e. 2 xt ) are observed. In the IR spectrum of the sulphoxide 258 , the SO group absorbs at $c a .1055 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ while the $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ group of the sulphone 259 absorbs at $c a$. $1155 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (both in the expected ranges). Perhaps the most obvious method to distinguish
between compounds $\mathbf{2 5 8}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 9}$ is mass spectrometry, the sulphoxide affording a molecular ion peak at $m / z 180.023$ and the sulphone a corresponding peak at $m / z$ 196.018. ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR spectroscopy provides another convenient way to differentiate the two compounds (the ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR spectra will be discussed in detail in section 2.5). Similar analyses permitted unambiguous characterisation of the other sulphoxides and sulphones prepared (Scheme 69). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shift data for some of these compounds are detailed in Tables 13 and 14.
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SCHEME 68. Reagents: (i) MCPBA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, reflux.


SCHEME 69. Reagents: (i) MCPBA (1eq.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (ii) MCPBA (2eq.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

Table 13. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and splitting patterns for compounds 256, 258 and 259 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


| Compd | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{2 - H}$ | $\mathbf{3 - H}$ | $\mathbf{5 - H}(\mathrm{d})$ | $\mathbf{6 - H}(\mathrm{t})$ | $\mathbf{7 - H}(\mathbf{t})$ | $\mathbf{8 - H}(\mathrm{d})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 5 6}$ | S | $3.16(\mathrm{t})$ | $2.89(\mathrm{t})$ | 8.03 | 7.09 | 7.29 | 7.19 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 8}$ | SO | $3.42(\mathrm{~m})$ | $2.85(\mathrm{~m})$ | 8.10 | 7.61 | 7.72 | 7.82 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 9}$ | $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | $3.68(\mathrm{t})$ | $3.39(\mathrm{t})$ | 8.09 | 7.72 | 7.80 | 7.98 |

Table 14. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and splitting patterns for compounds 266, 299 and 300 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


|  | $\mathbf{2 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{S O}$ | $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 - H}$ | $3.29,3.46$ <br> $(2 \times \mathrm{dd})$ | $3.49(\mathrm{~m})$ | 3.50 <br> $(2 \times \mathrm{dd})$ |
| $\mathbf{3 - H}(\mathrm{dd})$ | 5.74 | 5.22 | 5.30 |
| $\mathbf{6 - H}$ | $7.24-7.36(\mathrm{~m})$ | $8.24(\mathrm{dd})$ | $8.08(\mathrm{dd})$ |
| $\mathbf{7 - H}$ | $7.42(\mathrm{ddd})$ | $7.76(\mathrm{~m})$ | $7.64(\mathrm{ddd})$ |
| $\mathbf{8 - H}$ | $7.24-7.36(\mathrm{~m})^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $7.76(\mathrm{~m})$ | $7.82(\mathrm{ddd})$ |
| $\mathbf{9 - H}$ | $8.14(\mathrm{dd})$ | $7.76(\mathrm{~m})$ | $7.89(\mathrm{dd})$ |
| $\mathbf{A r H}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbf{m})$ | $7.24-7.36$ | $7.26-7.34$ | $7.27-7.40$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Overlaps 2-phenyl proton signals. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ 2-Phenyl substituent.

### 2.4 MASS SPECTROMETRIC STUDIES OF BENZODIAZEPINE ANALOGUES

In previous work in our laboratory, the mass spectrometric properties of flavanone-derived 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-1,4-benzoxazepin-5(4H)-ones ${ }^{180}$ and their tetrazolo[1,5- $d$ ] analogues, ${ }^{181}$ 3,4-dihydro-4-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-ones, ${ }^{28}$ and 2-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1,4-benzodiazepin-5-ones and their tetrazolo[1,5- $d$ ] derivatives ${ }^{182}$ have been studied. In this project, the mass fragmentations of related series of:- (i) 4-phenyl-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-ones, (ii) 3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-ones and (iii) 3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepines were investigated.

The fragmentation patterns were explored by high resolution and metastable peak analysis of significant peaks in the mass spectra of the parent systems, together with comparative analysis of the low-resolution spectra of the other substituted analogues in each series.

### 2.4.1 4-Phenyl-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-ones

The three major pathways proposed for the mass fragmentation of 4-phenyl-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-ones are shown in Scheme 70. In path 1, the radical cation b, which is an isomer of the molecular ion $\mathbf{a}$, is obtained through opening of the seven-membered ring. The involvement of intermediates analogous to ion $\mathbf{b}$ has also been reported for the electronimpact fragmentation of flavanones, ${ }^{616}$ benzoxazepinones ${ }^{613}$ and their tetrazolo derivatives. ${ }^{614}$ $\alpha$-Fission of the ester group in $\mathbf{b}$ leads to the resonance stabilized conjugated acylium ion $\mathbf{c}$, which accounts for the base peak in the mass spectra of all the compounds (250-254) in the
series (see Table 15). The even-electron species $\mathbf{d}$ is then obtained from decarbonylation of the cation c. Metastable peak analysis provides independent confirmation of fragmentations $\mathbf{a} / \mathbf{b}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 256) \rightarrow \mathbf{c}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 131) \rightarrow \mathbf{d}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 103)$.

The remaining pathways (2 and 3) involve two distinct intra-annular rearrangements. In the first, $O(1)$ migrates to $C(4)$ with accompanying elimination of ketene (figure 14a) to produce the thioacetal radical cation e. Subsequent loss of $\mathrm{H} \cdot$ or a phenyl radical affords the resonance stabilized cations $\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{g}$ respectively. Path 3 on the other hand involves migration of $S(5)$ to $C(2)$ and elimination of thiocatechol carbonate (figure $14 b$ ) to afford the styryl radical cation $\mathbf{h}$. These intra-annular rearrangements parallel those proposed in our earlier study of the mass spectra of 1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one analogues, ${ }^{555}$ and in fact, there is a close correspondence between the overall fragmentations exhibited by both series of compounds.

(a)

(b)

From the mass contributions of the $4^{\prime}$-substituents (Table 15), it can be deduced that:- iontypes $\mathbf{g}$ are ring A fragments; ion-types $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}$, and $\mathbf{h}$ are ring B fragments; while ion-types $\mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{f}$ involve both rings A and B .


SCHEME 70: MS fragmentation patterns for 4-phenyl-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one 250. The high-resolution masses ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) determined for individual ions are followed, in parentheses, by calculated formula masses. Metastable peaks are indicated by means of an asterisk.

Table 15. Mass fragmentation data for selected peaks in the electron-impact mass spectra of 4-phenyl-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-ones. Nominal masses ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) are followed in parentheses by \% relative abundance.


|  |  | Ion fragment types |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{C o m p d}$ | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{a} / \mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathbf{g}$ | $\mathbf{h}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | H | 256 | 131 | 103 | 214 | 213 | 137 | 104 |  |
|  |  | $(10.1)$ | $(100.0)$ | $(46.2)$ | $(2.4)$ | $(9.6)$ | $(9.1)$ | $(20.1)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 5 1}$ | Br | 334 | 209 | 181 | 292 | 291 | 137 | 182 |  |
|  |  | $(6.2)$ | $(100.0)$ | $(8.7)$ | $(0.8)$ | $(3.0)$ | $(6.8)$ | $(9.9)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 5 2}$ | Cl | 290 | 165 | 137 | 248 | 247 | 137 | 138 |  |
|  |  | $(7.7)$ | $(100.0)$ | $(22.9)$ | $(1.1)$ | $(4.3)$ | $(22.9)$ | $(12.5)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 5 3}$ | F | 274 | 149 | 121 | 232 | 231 | 137 | 122 |  |
|  |  | $(12.5)$ | $(100.0)$ | $(38.8)$ | $(2.2)$ | $(10.9)$ | $(8.3)$ | $(24.6)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 5 4}$ | OMe | 286 | 161 | 133 | 244 | 243 | 137 | 134 |  |
|  |  | $(11.2)$ | $(100.0)$ | $(19.4)$ | $(1.4)$ | $(5.0)$ | $(3.6)$ | $(24.0)$ |  |

### 2.4.2 3-Phenyl-4,1-Benzoxathiepin-5-ones

Two major pathways are observed in the mass fragmentation patterns of 3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-ones (Scheme 71). In path 1, loss of carbon monoxide from the molecular ion $\mathbf{a}$ affords the radical cation $\mathbf{b}$. This fragmentation is also supported by metastable peak at $m / z$ 203.06. Path 2 leads via elimination and ring contraction to an even electron species c ( $m / z 165$ ) which is responsible for the base peak in the mass spectra of all three compounds in the series examined (Table 16). Elimination of CO from the base peak fragment affords the cation $\mathbf{d}$, while further loss of $\mathrm{H} \cdot$ results in the radical cation $\mathbf{e}$. Loss of CO again generates yet another radical cation $\mathbf{f}$. These fragmentations are independently supported by metastable peak analysis, i.e. a $(m / z 256) \rightarrow \mathbf{c}(m / z 165) \rightarrow \mathbf{d}(m / z 137)$ and $\mathbf{e}(m / z 136) \rightarrow$ f $(m / z 108)$.

Table 16. Mass fragmentation data for selected peaks in the electron-impact mass spectra of 3-phenyl-4, 1-benzoxathiepin-5-ones. Nominal masses ( $\boldsymbol{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) are followed in parentheses by \% relative abundance.


|  |  | Ion fragment types |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compd | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{f}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 6 1}$ | Br | 334 | 306 | 165 | 137 | 136 | 108 |  |  |
|  |  | $(5.5)$ | $(0.1)$ | $(100.0)$ | $(63.7)$ | $(77.8)$ | $(23.2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 6 2}$ | Cl | 290 | 263 | 165 | 137 | 136 | 108 |  |  |
|  |  | $(11.3)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(100.0)$ | $(62.7)$ | $(73.9)$ | $(27.4)$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 6 3}$ | H | $\mathbf{2 5 6}$ | 228 | 165 | 137 | 136 | 108 |  |  |
|  |  | $(22.5)$ | $(1.4)$ | $(100.0)$ | $(74.2)$ | $(100.0)$ | $(38.4)$ |  |  |


b m/z 228.0619
C14H12SO (228.0609)
PATH $1 \prod^{\text {A }} \begin{aligned} & *(m / z 203.06) \\ & -\mathrm{CO}\end{aligned}$

$\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ (256.0558)
$\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{SO}_{2}(165.0010)$

SCHEME 71: MS fragmentation patterns for 3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one 263. The high-resolution masses ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) determined for individual ions are followed, in parentheses, by calculated formula masses. Metastable peaks are indicated by means of an asterisk.


### 2.4.3 3-Phenyl-4,1-Benzoxathiepines

The mass spectra of 3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepines exhibit two major fragmentation pathways (Scheme 72). Path 1 involves loss of $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{SO}$ from the molecular ion a to afford the styryl radical cation $\mathbf{b}$, followed by elimination of $\mathrm{H} \cdot$ which results in the even electron species $\mathbf{c}$. In path 2 , loss of $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7} \cdot$ affords another even electron species $\mathbf{d}$, this fragmentation being supported by a metastable peak at $m / z 94.22$. This cation is responsible for the base peak in all four compounds examined (Table 17), and its formation and subsequent fission parallel the patterns observed in the mass spectra of the 3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-ones discussed above. Subsequent fission of the base peak fragment through loss of CO , or $\mathrm{H} \cdot$ and CO , affords the cation $\mathbf{e}$ and the radical cation $\mathbf{f}$ respectively. Further loss of $\mathrm{H} \cdot$ from the latter fragment then accounts for the cation $\mathbf{g}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~S}^{+} ; m / z 121\right)$. Both of the foregoing fragmentations are supported by metastable peak analysis, i.e. $\mathbf{d}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 151) \rightarrow \mathbf{e}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 123)$ and $\mathbf{d}(m / z 151) \rightarrow \mathbf{f}(m / z 122)$.


SCHEME 72: MS fragmentation patterns for 3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one133. The high-resolution masses $(m / z)$ determined for individual ions are followed, in parentheses, by calculated formula masses. Metastable peaks are indicated by means of an asterisk.

Table 17. Mass fragmentation data for selected peaks in the electron-impact mass spectra of 3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepines. Nominal masses ( $m / z$ ) are followed in parentheses by \% relative abundance.


|  |  | Ion fragment types |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compd | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathbf{g}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 6 7}$ | Br | 320 | 104 | 103 | 151 | 123 | 122 | 121 |  |
|  |  | $(5.1)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.7)$ | $(100.0)$ | $(51.1)$ | $(82.4)$ | $(75.7)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 6 8}$ | Cl | 276 | 104 | 103 | 151 | 123 | 122 | -121 |  |
|  |  | $(8.4)$ | $(0.4)$ | $(1.5)$ | $(100.0)$ | $(50.0)$ | $(73.4)$ | $(66.7)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 6 9}$ | F | 260 | 104 | 103 | 151 | 123 | 122 | 121 |  |
|  |  | $(14.6)$ | $(1.7)$ | $(0.8)$ | $(100.0)$ | $(72.7)$ | $(100.0)$ | $(97.8)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 7 0}$ | H | 242 | 104 | 103 | 151 | 123 | 122 | 121 |  |
|  |  | $(18.5)$ | $(10.4)$ | $(4.9)$ | $(100.0)$ | $(60.1)$ | $(96.4)$ | $(92.9)$ |  |

## $2.5{ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR STUDIES

In spite of various practical difficulties, ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR spectroscopy is rapidly becoming a useful and potentially powerful tool for structure and conformation elucidation as well as a probe for assessing electronic distribution in oxygen-containing organic molecules. The ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ nucleus exhibits low natural abundance ( $0.037 \%$ ) and low NMR sensitivity $\left(2.91 \times 10^{-2}\right.$ times that for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ at constant field), as well as having a quadrupole moment. ${ }^{183,184}$ However, the difficulties associated with broad lines and low signal to noise ratios have been greatly reduced by use of high field Fourier Transform (FT) NMR spectrometers. Since the eighties, scientific papers on ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR spectroscopy have appeared in the literature, ${ }^{185-218}$ and ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR spectra of compounds such as coumarins, ${ }^{219}$ sulphinylimines and isocyanates, ${ }^{220}$ chromanones, chromones, flavanones and flavones ${ }^{221}$ and their thio derivatives, ${ }^{222}$ furan-2,3-diones, ${ }^{207,217}$ benzoic and cinnamic acids, ${ }^{216}$ oximes, ${ }^{202}$ acetophenones and aldehydes, ${ }^{201,203,210}$ cyclohexanones, ${ }^{206}$ esters, ${ }^{192}$ sulphones and sulphoxides, ${ }^{185,208,223}$ quinones ${ }^{188}$ and many others have been studied. The reviews by Boykin and Baumstark, ${ }^{218}$ and by Kintzinger ${ }^{199}$. provide comprehensive discussions on this subject.

Various trends have emerged in the chemical shift data that have thus far been collected. ${ }^{185,208,216,219,220,221,224} \quad{ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR chemical shifts appear to be more sensitive to structural variation than those of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ nuclei, ${ }^{225}$ the downfield shift of the carbonyl oxygen signal, with increasing ring size, being particularly marked in compounds 303305. ${ }^{218,223}$
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In esters of general formula $\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{COOR},{ }^{192}$ formates, ${ }^{226}$ alcohols and ethers, ${ }^{227,228}$ the ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ chemical shift of the alkyl oxygen is shifted downfield ( $\Delta \delta=56 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as the alkyl substituent (R) changes from methyl to tert-butyl. There is also a shielding effect of approximately 50 ppm per additional fused benzene ring for quinones (compounds 306-308) and related carbocyclic ketones, which could be explained by a combination of the effects of increasing conjugation with the carbonyl group and gamma-interactions with the peri hydrogens. ${ }^{188}$
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In 1981 Kobayashi et al. ${ }^{229}$ reported, for the first time, the diastereotopic nature of the two oxygen atoms of a sulphone moiety in chiral molecules, and they attributed this to the tetrahedral geometry of the sulphur atom. This phenomenon was confirmed by Duddeck and Levai ${ }^{222}$ who obtained well-separated signals for the two diastereotopic sulphone oxygens in compound 298.
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${ }^{17}$ O NMR spectroscopy shows immense potential as a spectroscopic technique for examining a wide variety of structural problems. Some of the applications of this technique include assessment of hydrogen bonding interactions, ${ }^{186,190,191,197,200,201,210,212}$ characterizing the electronic state (including the electrophilicity) of carbonyl compounds, ${ }^{189,193,230}$ determination of equilibrium constants ${ }^{205}$ and characterization of ozonides. ${ }^{198}$ Because of the large chemical shift range and the sensitivity of the carbonyl oxygen's chemical shift to hydrogen bonding, ${ }^{17}$ O NMR spectroscopy appears to be a promising technique for studying anthracycline-DNA interactions. ${ }^{188}$ Although it is apparent that ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR spectroscopy has received considerable attention, this area of spectroscopy is still developing and further research is more than justified.

In the present investigation, ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR studies were prompted by the availability of an extensive range of oxygenated compounds whose ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR spectral properties have not yet been examined. These compounds were subjected to ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR analysis to establish structural and substituent effects on the ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ chemical shifts. Spectroscopic analysis required concentrated samples ( $200-300 \mathrm{mg}$ in $1.5 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) and lengthy acquisition times ( $\geq 18$ hours). The ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR data obtained for benzodioxepinones, benzoxathiepinones, benzoxathiepines and various sulphone derivatives are discussed below.

### 2.5.1 1,5-Benzodioxepinones

The ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR spectra for the 1,5 -benzodioxepinones ( $\mathbf{6 5}, 222$ and $\mathbf{2 2 5}$-228) were recorded as described in the experimental section, and the resulting data are summarised in Table 18. The extremely wide spectral window and the typically broad peaks observed are illustrated in figure 15. Quantitative measurements of band-widths at half-height were problematic owing to experimental difficulties such as baseline instability (due to acoustic ringing) and the different relaxation times of the different oxygen nuclei.

From the data listed in Table 18, it can be concluded that the $R^{1}$ and $R^{2}$ substituents have relatively little effect on the chemical shifts for either of the oxygen atoms. As discussed earlier, ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR shifts are sensitive to ring size. Duddeck et al. ${ }^{221}$ reported chemical shifts of 93 and 95 ppm for the ether oxygens in the flavanones 64 and 193 respectively, while we have found that the signals of the corresponding oxygens in the ring-expanded derivatives $\mathbf{6 5}$, 222 and $\mathbf{2 2 5 - 2 2 8}$ are shifted upfield to 79 and 63 ppm respectively. The spectrum of the parent benzodioxepinone 65 exhibits a distinct, broad signal ( $560-1240 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) for each oxygen atom and substitution at either position 7 or $4^{\prime}$ leads to even more pronounced broadening of the bands (see Table 18). This broadening could be due to some loss of isotropic tumbling and reduced mobility of the molecules. ${ }^{199,219}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 65 ; \mathrm{R}^{1}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H} \\
& 193 ; \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OMe}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 18. ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR chemical shifts followed, in parentheses, by estimated bandwidths ( Hz ) at half-height for compounds 65,222 and 225-228 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


| Compd. | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | Chemical Shifts/ppm |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{O}^{5}$ | $\mathrm{O}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{6 5}$ | H | H | $79(1240)$ | $212(600)$ | $397(560)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 2 2}$ | Cl | H | $64(2209)$ | $213(885)$ | $396(966)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 2 5}$ | H | Br | $74(1333)$ | $214(997)$ | $392(670)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ | H | Cl | 60 | $211(640)$ | $394(925)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 2 7}$ | H | F | $74(1363)$ | $212(793)$ | $396(722)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 2 8}$ | H | OMe | $63(2330)$ | $212(770)$ | $388(935)$ |  |



Figure 15: ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR spectrum of 4-(4-fluorophenyl)-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one 227 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ N

### 2.5.2 Benzoxathiepines and their sulphoxide and sulphone derivatives

The ${ }^{17}$ O NMR data obtained for the 1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-ones (250-253), 4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-ones (261-263 and 299-300) and 4,1-benzoxathiepines (267, 269-270 and 301-302) are summarised in Tables 19-21 respectively. From Table 19 it is clear that varying the $4^{\prime}$-substituent $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{F})$ does not have any real effect on the chemical shift of either of the oxygen atoms. Comparison of Tables 18 and 19 reveals that the chemical shift of the ester oxygen is shifted downfield ( $\Delta \delta=c a$. 10ppm) when the ether oxygen of compounds 65, 222 and $\mathbf{2 2 5 - 2 2 8}$ (Table 18) is replaced by sulphur (Table 19); the corresponding downfield shifts of the carbonyl oxygen are, however, less marked.

The following general observations can be made from an analysis of the data in Tables 20 and 21.
(i) In the benzoxathiepinones (Table 20), the O-4 nucleus experiences shielding ( $\Delta \delta=$ $10 \mathrm{ppm})$ on introduction of the $4^{\prime}$-substituents ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cl}$ ).
(ii) In the benzoxathiepine series (Table 21), the $0-4$ nucleus is shielded $(\Delta \delta=6 \mathrm{ppm})$ on introduction of bromine at position $4^{\prime}$ but deshielded $(\Delta \delta=3 \mathrm{ppm})$ in the case of the 4 '-fluoro analogue.
(iii) On one hand, there is a pronounced shielding $(\Delta \delta=c a .34 \mathrm{ppm})$ of the ester oxygen (O-4; Table 20) but, on the other hand, deshielding ( $\Delta \delta=c a .123 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of the ether oxygen (Table 21) when sulphur is replaced by a sulphoxide or sulphone group.
(iv) The observed chemical shifts for $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ (10ppm; Table 20) and SO (146ppm; Table 21) are contrary to reported ${ }^{208}$ chemical shifts.

Table 19. ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR chemical shifts followed, in parentheses, by estimated bandwidths ( Hz ) at half-height for compounds $\mathbf{2 5 0} \mathbf{- 2 5 3}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


| Compd. | $\mathbf{R}$ | Chemical Shift/ppm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | H | $220(1068)$ | $388(1180)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 1}$ | Br | $222(895)$ | $386(865)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 2}$ | Cl | $221(1271)$ | $387(1414)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 3}$ | F | $224(1007)$ | $391(1180)$ |

Table 20. ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR chemical shifts followed, in parentheses, by estimated bandwidths ( Hz ) at half-height for compounds 261-263 and 299-300 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


| Compd. | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | Chemical Shift/ppm |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{O}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | SO | $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 6 1}$ | Br | S | $183^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $367^{\mathrm{a}}$ | - | - |
| $\mathbf{2 6 2}$ | Cl | S | $183(1587)$ | $361(1658)$ | - | - |
| $\mathbf{2 6 3}$ | H | S | $193(1322)$ | $363(1556)$ | - | - |
| $\mathbf{2 9 9}$ | H | SO | $159(1404)$ | $363(1149)$ | $3(905)$ | - |
| $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ | H | $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | $158(1546)$ | $385(1070)$ | - | $10^{\mathrm{a}}$ |

[^1]Table 21. ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR chemical shifts followed, in parentheses, by estimated bandwidths (Hz) at half-height for compounds 267, 269-270 and 301-302 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


| Compd. | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | Chemical Shift/ppm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | O | SO | $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 6 7}$ | Br |  | $43(1057)$ | - | - |
| $\mathbf{2 6 9}$ | F | S | $34(1770)$ | - | - |
| $\mathbf{2 7 0}$ | H | S | $37(1831)$ | - | - |
| $\mathbf{3 0 1}$ | H | SO | $159^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ | $146^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ | - |
| $\mathbf{3 0 2}$ | H | $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | $160^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ | - | $147^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ |

[^2]
### 2.6 KINETIC-MECHANISTIC STUDY OF THE BAEYER-VILLIGER REACTION ON FLAVANONES

The Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of ketones is a useful and well-established reaction and a brief review was given in section 2.1 .2 (page 58). Kinetic and mechanistic studies of the reaction have been reported by several authors; ${ }^{231-241}$ and it was found that the reaction follows a second order rate law.

In principle, the Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of flavanones can give either the 1,4 or 1,5 benzodioxepinone regioisomers, if not both. In our present study, flavanones were oxidised by MCPBA in dichloromethane to afford, in each case, only one product, characterised as the 1,5 -benzodioxepinone. We could therefore conclude that the insertion of oxygen is completely regiospecific and requires migration of the aryl group rather than the primary alkyl group. Nitrogen insertion via Schmidt reaction (using TMS-N ${ }_{3}$ in TFA) of the same substrates, however, proceeds with the opposite regioselectivity ${ }^{91}$ (Scheme 73). To explain these observations, cognate mechanistic studies of both Schmidt ${ }^{91}$ and Baeyer-Villiger reactions of flavanones have been undertaken, and in this study, particular emphasis has been placed on the latter. To our knowledge, no previous kinetic studies of the Baeyer-Villiger reaction have been undertaken using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy - the method used in our investigation; earlier workers have followed the reactions by iodometric titration of residual peroxy acid.

The rate of transformation of selected flavanones to their corresponding benzodioxepinones was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy over 12-14 hours, during which time the formation of the product was found to exceed $50 \%$. The disappearance of the $2-\mathrm{H}$ signal of the flavanone and the appearance of the corresponding 2-H signal of the benzodioxepinone were readily followed (figure 16). Figure 17a shows the concentration of benzodioxepinone formed as a function of time. Plots of such kinetic data, using the equation:-

$$
\frac{1}{(a-b)} \ln \frac{b(a-x)}{a(b-x)}=k t
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=\text { initial conc. of flavanone } \\
& b=\text { initial conc. of MCPBA } \\
& a-x=\text { conc. of flavanone at time } t \\
& b-x=\text { conc. of MCPBA at time } t
\end{aligned}
$$

gave excellent linear correlations (figure 17b), indicating second order kinetics overall (first order in perbenzoic acid and flavanone). The second order rate constants ( $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{obs}}$ ) are detailed in Table 22, while the proposed mechanism of the reaction is shown in Scheme 74. If step 2 of the proposed mechanism (Scheme 74) is assumed to be rate-determining, the reaction rate may be expressed in terms of the following equation:-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rate }=k_{\mathrm{obs}} \text { [flavanone][MCPBA] } \\
& \text { where } k_{\mathrm{obs}}=\mathrm{K}_{1} k_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$



(ii)

-

SCHEME 73. Reagents: (i) MCPBA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (ii) TMS- $\mathrm{N}_{3}, \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$


SCHEME 74

Table 22. Kinetic data for Baeyer-Villiger reactions of flavanones ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (64, 186, 189-191 and 193) with MCPBA ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\mathbf{3 0 3 K}$.


| ENTRY | SUBSTRATE |  |  | $\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{obs}^{\mathrm{c}} / \mathbf{l} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{1}}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Compd. | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |
| 1 | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | H | H | 0.0047 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | H | H | $0.0053^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| 3 | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | H | H | $0.0039^{\mathrm{e}}$ |
| 4 | $\mathbf{1 8 6}$ | Br | H | 0.0034 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 9}$ | OMe | H | 0.0055 |
| 6 | $\mathbf{1 9 0}$ | H | Br | 0.0042 |
| 7 | $\mathbf{1 9 1}$ | H | Cl | 0.0043 |
| 8 | $\mathbf{1 9 3}$ | H | OMe | 0.0040 |

${ }^{\text {a }} 0.340 \mathrm{~mol} .1^{-1}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} 0.500 \mathrm{~mol} .1^{-1}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Mean of duplicate results;
estimated error $\pm 0.001373 .{ }^{d}$ [flavanone] $=0.163 \mathrm{~mol} . \mathrm{l}^{-1}$.
${ }^{e}[\mathrm{MCPBA}]=0.212 \mathrm{~mol} . \mathrm{l}^{-1}$.


Figure 16: Partial ${ }^{1}$ HMR spectra showing the disappearance of the $2-\mathrm{H}$ signal of the flavanone and the appearance of the corresponding $2-\mathrm{H}$ signal of the benzodioxepinone


Figure 17: (a) The concentration of benzodioxepinone formed as a function of time, (b) Second-order linear plot of $\frac{1}{(a-b)} \ln \frac{b(a-x)}{a(b-x)}$ versus time for the formation of benzodioxepinone

The preference for aryl migration is attributed to:
(i) greater nucleophilicity of the aryl group as compared to the alkyl group; and
(ii) transition state complex (TSC) stabilisation by incipient delocalisation between the migrating aryl group and the migration terminus $\left(\mathrm{O}^{2}\right)$.

In the analogous Schmidt reactions, the migration origin is $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ (not $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ ) hybridised and delocalisation towards this centre inhibits aryl migration, thus accounting for the opposite regioselectivity in heteroatom insertion.

Changing the concentration of either the flavanone or MCPBA should not, in principle, have any effect on the value of $\mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }}$. However, when the flavanone or MCPBA concentrations were halved, the value of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{obs}}$, in each case, was found to increase or decrease respectively (see entries 1-3 of Table 22). This discrepancy may be explained in terms of influence of the concentration of "free" MCPBA on the polarity of the medium and hence on the initial equilibrium.

Thus,
(i) if the flavanone concentration is reduced, the overall polarity increases, and hence the equilibrium concentration of the protonated intermediate $I$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }}$ also increase; and
(ii) reducing the MCPBA concentration should lower the overall polarity, thus resulting in a lower $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{obs}}$ value.

### 2.6.1 The effect of substitution on the reaction rates

The rate of the reaction is affected by both para substituents $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{2}$, the nature of the effect depending on whether the substituent is electron-donating or -withdrawing. Electrondonating $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ substituents (e.g. OMe) will increase the nucleophilicity of the migrating aryl group via lone pair delocalisation (figure 18), whereas electron-withdrawing substituents (e.g. Br ), will reduce the reaction rate because they decrease the nucleophilicity of the aryl group. The reactivity sequence for $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ substituents therefore is $\mathrm{OMe}>\mathrm{H}>\mathrm{Br} \approx \mathrm{Cl}$. The $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ substituents, being remote from the reaction centre, have little influence on the reaction rate (Table 22, entries 6-8).


Figure 18

### 2.7 DETERMINATION OF THE BINDING AFFINITIES OF BENZODIAZEPINE ANALOGUES FOR THE BENZODIAZEPINE RECEPTOR

The observation that many cells respond in a highly selective way to minute concentrations of a particular chemical or drug, led to the hypothesis of cell receptors or specific sites on cells which are the sites of drug action. ${ }^{242}$ This observation, coupled with the discovery that certain membrane receptors may be blocked by compounds which stimulate or inhibit a biological event, led to intensive research on the nature of the receptors. The synthesis of structurally related analogues of drugs led to research on the detailed structure-activity relationship between drugs and their receptors.

A group of such drugs that has received much attention is the benzodiazepines. The use of radioactively labelled compounds of high specific activity in studies of brain receptor binding has rapidly advanced the knowledge of biochemical mechanisms of action of benzodiazepines. ${ }^{243-247}$ These compounds exert their therapeutic effects by interacting with a high-affinity binding site (receptor) in the brain. The neuropharmacological properties of benzodiazepines in mammals have been attributed to their ability to facilitate $\gamma$ (gamma)aminobutyric acid (GABA)-mediated neurotransmission by increasing the frequency of chloride ion channel openings in response to a given GABA stimulus. ${ }^{248,249}$

Radioligand binding methodology facilitates the direct measurement of the ligand-receptor interaction in the absence of cellular influences and functionality-coupled biological responses. Furthermore, the binding of ligand molecules to a receptor population is a second
order reaction which can be quantified by applying kinetic analyses similar to those originally devised for the study of enzyme catalysed reactions. Thus, the affinity of the ligand for the receptor and the total number of binding sites present can be readily assessed. The binding of the radioligand to receptor and non-receptor sites can be distinguished through the examination of the saturability and pharmacological specificity of the radioligand binding sites. If binding to these sites in vitro is rapid, reversible, stereospecific, and saturable, it can be concluded that the radioligand has specifically labelled the recognition site of the receptor. ${ }^{242,248-251}$

The ability of the radioreceptor binding assay to quantitate directly the affinity of a substance for the receptor provides a powerful screening method for drug selection as well as an ideal tool for examining structure activity relationships. It has generally been found that a drug's in vitro affinity, as determined by radioreceptor assay, 'predicts the potency of the drug in biological systems, thus providing a primary screening method to assay new compounds as rapidly as chemists can synthesise them. The assay usually cannot distinguish between agonists and antagonists for the receptor in question, and it will not predict the indirect actions of drugs on receptor systems. ${ }^{124}$

A radioreceptor binding assay was used in this study to test a range of synthetic benzodiazepine analogues for their ability to compete with ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-diazepam for specific binding to benzodiazepine receptors. In this technique, receptor-ligand complexes are formed by the incubation of neuronal membranes rich in the benzodiazepine receptors under study, together with ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-diazepam. The ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-diazepam used for this study had a specific activity of 83.0
$\mathrm{C} \mathrm{i} / \mathrm{mmol}$. The interaction of the radioactive ligand ( $\mathrm{D}^{*}$ ) with the receptor $(\mathrm{R})$, which results in a receptor-ligand complex ( $D^{*}$ ), follows the law of mass action.

$$
\begin{gathered}
D^{*}+R \stackrel{k_{1}}{\stackrel{k_{2}}{\sim}} D^{*} R \\
\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}=K_{D^{*}}=\frac{\left[D^{*}\right][R]}{\left[D^{*} R\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}^{*}}=$ equilibrium dissociation constant of $\mathrm{D}^{*}$ for R .

Once the D*R complex is formed, the unbound radioactivity is removed by filtration to allow determination of the bound radioactivity. ${ }^{250}$ Rapid washing of the filters with buffer of known pH ( pH 7.4 for this study) removes radioactivity that is not associated with the receptors (free radioactivity). In addition to binding selectively to sites pharmacologically consistent with the presence of a receptor, binding can also occur to sites that are not related to the receptor under study, due to the association of the radioligand with protein sequestration sites. The ligand can also bind to the filters used for the isolation of tissue, or to the test tube in which the reaction is performed. This non-specific binding can be determined by a parallel assay using tubes with an excess of "cold" (unlabelled) ligand, specific for a given receptor. (The excess "cold" drug reduces the specific radioactivity of the radioactive drug to $1 \%$ of the original drug, and increases the total drug concentration 100 -fold). Measurement of binding of the radioactive and non-radioactive ligand provides data on total ligand binding and non-specific binding respectively; the difference is the specific binding of the ligand to the receptors. ${ }^{244,250}$ The specific sites can only bind a limited
amount of drug since they become saturated at concentrations equivalent to $10 x K_{D}$ where $K_{D}$, the affinity constant, is the concentration of drug giving $50 \%$ binding. The ligand diazepam, is expected to have a high binding affinity because of its high pharmacological activity at low dosages, ${ }^{244}$ and hence the receptors are expected to be readily saturated by ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-diazepam.

In one set of assays the membranes were incubated with increasing concentrations of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ diazepam ( $0.5-150 \mathrm{nM}$ ) alone, and in another set, a constant concentration of non-radioactive diazepam was added as described in the experimental section. This addition of nonradioactive diazepam displaced $60-70 \%$ of the total ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-diazepam from the receptors, confirming that the binding sites were saturable. A saturation curve (figure 19), obtained by plotting specific ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-diazepam bound (fmol/mg protein) against the concentration of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ diazepam, illustrates the high affinity of diazepam for the receptors. The affinity constant, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}$ for ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-diazepam was found to be $61 \pm 5 \mathrm{nM}$ and the total number of specific binding sites, $B_{\max }$, to be $476 \pm 4 \mathrm{fmol} / \mathrm{mg}$ protein. These values were subsequently used in the competition studies described below.


| ${ }^{3}$ H-Diazepam conc. (nM) | Specific ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-Diazepam bound (fmol/mg <br> protein) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 150.0 | 411.0 |
| 100.0 | 350.0 |
| 75.0 | 263.0 |
| 50.0 | 92.0 |
| 25.0 | 6.4 |
| 2.5 | 5.5 |
| 1.0 | 5.2 |
| 0.5 | 3.7 |

Figure 19: Saturation curve for ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-diazepam in rat brain membrane

### 2.7.1 Binding Competition and Structure Activity Relationship (SAR) Studies

The prepared benzodiazepine analogues were tested for their ability to displace bound ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ diazepam from rat brain membranes at concentrations ranging from $10^{-11}$ to $10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$. In principle, at low concentrations of a test drug little or no competition is expected, while at high concentrations, binding, if there is any, is indicated by the decreasing levels of radioactive drug. The test drug is said to bind specifically if it displaces $50 \%$ or more of the radioactive drug. Plots of percentage total binding against concentration of the competing drugs (figures 20-23) were obtained.

At concentrations of $10^{-11} \mathrm{M}$, compound 228 causes a $100 \%$ increase in diazepam binding (figure 20). It thus appears that this agent stimulates or enhances binding of diazepam to its receptor, possibly by acting on another receptor. As the concentration of this compound is increased, there is a steady reduction in this enhanced binding and at $10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ the diazepam binding is almost back to $100 \%$ of total binding. This can be interpreted as meaning that compound 228 does not interfere in any way with the benzodiazepine receptor for its ligand diazepam. Compound $\mathbf{6 5}$ has the same effect except that it enhances binding by up to $c a$. $50 \%$. The effects of the other compounds ( $\mathbf{2 2 2}, \mathbf{2 2 5}$ and $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ ) in the series fluctuate around $120 \%$ and these compounds are not considered to enhance binding significantly.

The benzoxathiepinones $\mathbf{2 5 0} \mathbf{- 2 5 4}$, can be grouped together according to their effects (figure 21). They slightly enhance the binding of diazepam to the benzodiazepine receptor to the extent of $120-160 \%$. It is interesting to note that increasing concentrations of these
compounds does not cause a reduction in diazepam binding as was the case with the benzodioxepinones (figure 20). The p-chloro analogue 252, however, behaves differently from the other compounds in the series. Even at concentrations of $10^{-11} \mathrm{M}$, compound 252 reduces specific diazepam binding to $c a .30 \%$ and this steadily decreases as the concentration is increased. It can therefore be concluded that this compound reduces specific binding of diazepam to its receptor and, hence, itself binds specifically to the benzodiazepine receptor. These studies cannot determine whether this compound is an antagonist or agonist of the receptor site as this has to be shown using behavioural studies.

Figure 22 shows that all of the 4,1-benzoxathiepinones examined are able to interfere with the binding of diazepam to benzodiazepine receptors at concentrations as low as $10^{-11} \mathrm{M}$. It is evident from the graphs that, as the concentrations of these compounds are increased, there is less and less interference with the receptor, and at $c a .10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$, specific diazepam binding is almost restored to its normal level. This is a rather unusual phenomenon because, at low concentrations, these compounds interfere directly with the benzodiazepine receptor but, as the concentration is increased, they appear to act on another receptor which, in fact, enhances diazepam binding, thus reversing the inhibitory effect on the receptor.

Figure 23 shows the benzoxathiepine 268 to be capable of inhibiting specific binding of diazepam to its receptor, maintaining diazepam binding at $c a .20 \%$ or less over the concentration range examined. The observed reduction in specific diazepam binding implies that this compound (268) binds specifically to the benzodiazepine receptor. This compound, like compound 252, has a p-chloro substituent and has potential benzodiazepine activity. As
is the case with $\mathbf{2 5 2}$, it cannot be determined whether it is an agonist or antagonist. The activity of compound 267 is very similar to that exhibited by the 4,1-benzoxathiepinone series (compounds 261-263). The two remaining compounds in the series (269 and 270) enhance specific diazepam binding at low concentrations. However, as the concentration is increased, there is a sharp reduction in specific binding of the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-diazepam for its benzodiazepine receptor. These two compounds therefore only act on the benzodiazepine receptor at concentrations above ca. $10^{-9}$ and $10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$ respectively. Compound 270, however, is more potent than compound 269.

It is apparent that some of the compounds examined exhibit significant binding to benzodiazepine receptors, while others appear to potentiate diazepam binding. These observations certainly warrant further investigation.



| $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | Compd. | Key |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | H | 65 | $\mathbf{~}$ |
| Cl | H | 222 | x |
| H | Br | 225 | + |
| H | Cl | 226 | $*$ |
| H | OMe | 228 | $\square$ |

Figure 20. Competing curves for the 4 -aryl-1,5-benzodioxepinones.



| $\mathbf{R}$ | Compd. | Key |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 250 | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| Br | 251 | + |
| Cl | 252 | $\square$ |
| F | 253 | $*$ |
| OMC | 254 | x |

Figure 21. Competing curves for the 4-aryl-1,5-benzoxathiepinones.



| $\mathbf{R}$ | Compd. | Key |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Br | 261 | $*$ |
| Cl | 262 | + |
| H | 263 | R |

Figure 22. Competing curves for the 3-aryl-4,1-benzoxathiepinones.



| $\mathbf{R}$ | Compd. | Key |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Br | 267 | $*$ |
| Cl | 268 | + |
| F | 269 | $\square$ |
| H | 270 | $\mathbf{T}$ |

Figure 23. Competing curves for the 3-aryl-4,1-benzoxathiepines.

### 2.8 CONFORMATIONAL ANALYSIS OF BENZODIAZEPINE ANALOGUES

It was mentioned in section 2.7 that substituents have a profound effect on the biological activity of benzodiazepines. In addition to this, the sterochemistry of these drugs have also been found to influence their binding to benzodiazepine receptors. In the benzoxathiepine 106, for example, it has been found that the hydroxy and $N$-phenylpiperazinylpropyl groups must be cis to each other and the ester group must be in a quasi-axial position for enhanced biological activity; ${ }^{40}$ in diltiazem-type systems 309 , a cis arrangement of the substituents is desirable for activity. ${ }^{252-254}$

The conformations of seven-membered compounds have been investigated theoretically and experimentally from the viewpoint of interconversion and pseudo-rotation. ${ }^{255-257}$ In an attempt to link conformational effects with binding affinity, we have explored the conformational preferences of compounds prepared in the course of this research. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy, X-ray crystallography and computer modelling techniques were used to elucidate the preferred conformations.



309 ; $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CL}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{S}$

### 2.8.1 ${ }^{1}$ H NMR Spectroscopy and Computer modelling

All the compounds considered in this section possess a chiral centre either at $\mathrm{C}-3$ or $\mathrm{C}-4$, depending on the location of the phenyl substituent. Consequently, the methylene protons, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ (see figures 24 and 25) are diastereotopic and therefore chemically non-equivalent. They couple with each other, and in turn, with the adjacent methine proton $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$. Thus, the methylene protons ( $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ) may be expected to resonate as a pair of double doublets and the methine proton $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ as a double doublet further downfield, with $J_{\mathrm{gem}}>J_{\mathrm{vic}}$ and $J_{\mathrm{ax}} \neq J_{\mathrm{bx}}$. In some cases, however, the overlap of signals leads to a reduction in the observed multiplicity.

The splitting patterns and vicinal coupling constants were used to determine the preferred conformations of the benzodiazepine analogues in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution. The assignment of each set of aliphatic proton signals to their respective nuclei in all compounds was achieved with the aid of coupling constant data (figures 24 and 25) and multi-pulse NMR techniques, such as DEPT, HETCOR and COSY (see figures 26 and 27 for illustrative spectra) on representative compounds. The aliphatic protons exhibit similar splitting patterns for both the 3- and 4-phenyl substituted systems. However, the low electronegativity of sulphur at position 5 (in the benzoxathiepines) shifts the 4 -methine signal upfield (to $c a . \delta 4.72 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) compared to the corresponding signal (at $c a . \delta 5.63 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) in the benzodioxepinones (figure 24). The diastereotopic 3-methylene protons in the 4-phenyl substituted compounds (figure 24) resonate upfield (at $c a . \delta 3.00 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) compared to the corresponding 2-methylene protons (ca. $\delta 3.3 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) in the 3-phenyl substituted compounds (figure 25).
(b)



Figure 24: Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the (a) 4-(4-bromophenyl)-1,5benzodioxepinone; (b) 4-(4-bromophenyl)-1,5-benzoxathiepinone in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ illustrating the splitting patterns of the methine and methylene protons.


Figure 25: Partial ${ }^{1}$ N NMR spectra of the (a) 3-phenyl-4, 1-benzoxathiepine and (b) 3-[henyl-4, 1-benzoxathiepinone in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ illustrating the splitting patterns of the methine and methylene protons.


Figure 26(a): 400 MHz COSY spectrum of 4-phenyl-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Figure $26(\mathrm{~b}): \quad 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ HETCOR spectrum of 4-phenyl-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Figure 27(a): 400 MHz COSY spectrum of 4-(4-bromophenyl(-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Figure $27(\mathrm{~b}): \quad 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ HETCOR spectrum of 4-(4-bromophenyl)-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

### 2.8.1.1 1,5-Benzodioxepinones

The comparable magnitudes of the vicinal coupling constants ( $J_{\mathrm{bx}} 5.9-6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{ax}} 6.3-7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$; Table 23) of the benzodioxepinones suggest that the methine proton $\left(4-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ is gauche to both methylene protons $\left(3-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ and $\left.3-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$. This condition is satisfied by the preferred energy minimised conformation obtained from computer modelling of the parent compound (structure II; figure 28). Of course, the possibility of a dynamic equilibrium, in which the less stable "axial" conformer I makes some contribution, cannot be excluded; the observed vicinal coupling constants could then be viewed as weighted averages of the values for each of the contributing conformers.

While solid state and solution conformations may differ, being stabilised by different factors, it is interesting to note that the X-ray crystal structure of 3,4-dihydro-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one 228 (figure 29) is very similar to the computer modelled conformation II (figure 28). In the solid state conformation (figure 29) it is apparent that:(i) the methine hydrogen is, in fact, gauche to each of the methylene protons; and
(ii) the 4-phenyl group is "equatorially" disposed.

On the basis of the available evidence, we propose that the solid state conformation is largely maintained in solution in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

Table 23. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts followed, in parentheses, by coupling constants $(J / H z)$ of the methylene and methine protons of 1,5 -benzodioxepine analogues.


| Compd. | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}} \\ \operatorname{dd}\left(J_{\mathrm{ax}} ; J_{\mathrm{gem}}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}} \\ \operatorname{dd}\left(J_{\mathrm{bb}} ; J_{\mathrm{gem}}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}} \\ \operatorname{dd}\left(J_{\mathrm{bx}} ; J_{\mathrm{ax}}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | H | H | $\begin{gathered} 3.10 \\ (7.3 ; 13.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.15 \\ (5.8 ; 13.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.72 \\ (6.0 ; 7.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| 221 | Br | H | $\begin{gathered} 3.11 \\ (7.3 ; 13.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.16 \\ (5.8 ; 13.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.72 \\ (5.9 ; 7.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| 222 | Cl | H | $\begin{gathered} 3.09 \\ (7.5 ; 13.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.15 \\ (5.7 ; 13.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.71 \\ (6.6 ; 6.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| 225 | H | Br | $\begin{gathered} 2.98 \\ (6.7 ; 13.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.15 \\ (5.9 ; 13.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.64 \\ (6.3 ; 6.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| 226 | H | Cl | $\begin{gathered} 3.02 \\ (6.9 ; 13.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.17 \\ (5.8 ; 13.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.67 \\ (6.3 ; 6.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| 227 | H | F | $\begin{gathered} 3.03 \\ (6.9 ; 13.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.16 \\ (5.8 ; 13.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.68 \\ (6.4 ; 6.4) \end{gathered}$ |



Figure 28: Conformational equilibria of 4-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepinone


Figure 29: X-ray crystal structure for 3,4-dihydro-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one 228 , showing the crystallographic numbering.

### 2.8.1.2 1,5-Benzoxathiepinones

The relatively large values of the vicinal cóupling constants ( $J_{\mathrm{bx}} \mathrm{ca} .9 .5 \mathrm{~Hz}$; Table 24) in the 1,5-benzoxathiepinone analogues suggest a dihedral angle of ca. $180^{\circ}$, i.e. an antiperiplanar arrangement of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$. The other methylene hydrogen ( $3-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) exhibits a vicinal coupling constant of $c a .7 .0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ which indicates an orientation gauche to the methine hydrogen $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$. This data is consistent with the preferred conformation IV (figure 30), obtained by computer modelling and in which the 4 -phenyl substituent is in an equatorial position. In addition, the X-ray crystal structure of 4-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one 252 (figure 31 ) closely resembles the "equatorial" computer modelled conformation IV of the parent compound.


III
IV

Figure 30: Conformational equilibria of 4-phenyl-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one 250.

Table 24. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts followed, in parentheses, by coupling constants $(J / H z)$ of 1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one analogues in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


| Compd. | $\mathbf{R}$ | $3-\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ <br> $\mathbf{d d}\left(J_{\mathrm{ax}} ; J_{\mathrm{gem}}\right)$ | $3-\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ <br> $\operatorname{dd}\left(\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{bx}} ; J_{\mathrm{gem}}\right)$ | $\mathbf{4 - \mathrm { H } _ { \mathbf { x } }}$ <br> $\mathbf{d d}\left(J_{\mathrm{ax}} ; J_{\mathrm{bx}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | H | 2.99 <br> $(7.2 ; 12.4)$ | 2.96 <br> $(9.7 ; 12.4)$ | 4.70 <br> $(7.2 ; 9.5)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 1}$ | Br | 3.01 <br> $(7.1 ; 12.5)$ | 2.97 <br> $(9.5 ; 12.5)$ | 4.72 <br> $(7.1 ; 9.4)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 2}$ | Cl | 3.02 <br> $(7.1 ; 12.5)$ | 2.98 <br> $(9.4 ; 12.5)$ | 4.74 <br> $(7.1 ; 9.4)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 3}$ | F | 3.00 <br> $(7.0 ; 12.5)$ | 2.98 <br> $(9.5 ; 12.5)$ | 4.75 <br> $(7.0 ; 9.4)$ |



Figure 31: X-ray crystal structure for 3,4-dihydro-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one 252 , showing crystallographic numbering.

### 2.8.1.3 4,1-Benzoxathiepinones

The similarity of all the vicinal coupling constants ( $J_{\mathrm{ax}} \approx J_{\mathrm{bx}} 6.1-6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$; Table 25) suggest a conformation for the 3-phenyl substituted 4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-ones, in which the methine hydrogen $\left(3-H_{x}\right)$ is gauche to each of the methylene protons $\left(2-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ and $\left.2-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$. Computer modelling affords, as the preferred arrangement (figure 32), conformation VI in which the 3-phenyl group is equatorial and the vicinal hydrogens are, in fact, all gauche to each other. In the 2-phenyl substituted analogues, however, the vicinal coupling constants differ significantly (i.e. $J_{\mathrm{ax}} 4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}<J_{\mathrm{bx}} c a .7 .4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), suggesting substantially different torsion angles between the 2-methine hydrogen $\left(\mathrm{H}_{x}\right)$ and each of the 3-methylene hydrogens $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$. Predominance of a conformation in which $H_{x}$ is approximately anti to $H_{b}$ but gauche to $H_{a}$ would seem to satisfy these observations. In fact, both energy minimised computer generated conformations (VII and VIII; figure 33) exhibit such stereochemistry, in the more stable conformer VIII, however, the 2-phenyl substituent occupies a quasi-equatorial position.

Table 25. $\quad{ }^{1} H$ NMR chemical shifts followed, in parentheses, by coupling constants ( $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{Hz}$ ) of 3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one analogues in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


| Compd. | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}} \\ \operatorname{dd}\left(J_{\mathrm{ax}} ; J_{\mathrm{gem}}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}} \\ \operatorname{dd}\left(J_{\mathrm{bx}} ; J_{\mathrm{gem}}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}} \\ \operatorname{dd}\left(J_{\mathrm{bx}} ; J_{\mathrm{ax}}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 261 | Br | $\begin{gathered} 3.20 \\ (6.4 ; 14.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.33 \\ (6.1 ; 14.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.66 \\ (6.2 ; 6.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| 262 | Cl | $\begin{gathered} 3.25 \\ (6.4 ; 14.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.39 \\ (6.1 ; 14.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.70 \\ (6.3 ; 6.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| 263 | H | $\begin{gathered} 3.29 \\ (6.8 ; 14.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.46 \\ (6.0 ; 14.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.74 \\ (6.0 ; 6.8) \end{gathered}$ |

Table 26. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts followed, in parentheses, by coupling constants ( $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{Hz}$ ) of 2-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one analogues in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


| Compd. | $\mathbf{R}$ | $2-\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{x}}$ <br> $\mathbf{d d}\left(J_{\mathrm{ax}} ; J_{\mathrm{bs}}\right)$ | $\mathbf{3 - \mathbf { H } _ { \mathrm { a } }}$ <br> $\mathbf{d d}\left(J_{\mathrm{ax}} J_{\mathrm{gem}}\right)$ | $\mathbf{3 - \mathbf { H } _ { \mathrm { b } }}$ <br> $\mathbf{d d}\left(J_{\mathrm{bx}} ; J_{\mathrm{gem}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 264 | Br | 6.03 <br> $(4.0 ; 7.3)$ | 3.92 <br> $(4.0 ; 12.2)$ | 4.02 <br> $(7.3 ; 12.2)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 6 5}$ | Cl | 5.97 <br> $(4.0 ; 7.3)$ | 3.84 <br> $(4.0 ; 12.2)$ | 3.94 <br> $(7.4 ; 12.2)$ |
| 266 | H | 6.10 <br> $(4.0 ; 7.6)$ | 3.93 <br> $(4.0 ; 12.2)$ | 4.04 <br> $(7.6 ; 12.2)$ |



Figure 32: Conformational equilibria of 3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one 263.


Figure 33: Conformational equilibria of 2-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one 266.

### 2.8.1.4 4,1-Benzoxathiepines

As was the case for the 2-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-ones, the vicinal coupling constants differ ( $J_{\mathrm{ax}} 5.4-5.8$ and $J_{\mathrm{bx}} 6.9-7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$; Table 27), suggesting that the methine hydrogen ( $3-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}}$ ) is gauche to one methylene proton $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ and anti to the other $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$. The differences between $J_{\mathrm{ax}}$ and $J_{\mathrm{bx}}$, however, are smaller than in the case of the 2-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepinones discussed earlier. From the computer modelled structures (figure 34) it is apparent that in the more stable "equatorial" conformer $X$, the 3-methine hydrogen $\left(H_{x}\right)$ is, in fact, anti to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and gauche to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$; in the less stable conformer IX, however, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is gauche to both $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $H_{b}$. Some contribution by the latter conformer IX to the equilibrium population could account for the observed diminution in the difference between the vicinal coupling constants.

From the results of the conformational studies, it appears that the compounds considered favour a puckered arrangement of the seven-membered ring with an "equatorial" disposition of the phenyl substituent. Structural variations clearly influence the magnitude of the vicinal couplings between the adjacent methylene and methine hydrogens but, in most cases, gaucheanti rather than gauche-gauche arrangements to these atoms appear to be favoured.

It should be noted that although the "preferred" computer modelled structures do not necessarily represent global minima (confirmation would require a detailed molecular mechanics investigation beyond the scope of the present study), they are chemically reasonable and, most importantly, consistent with the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and X-ray crystallographic data.

Table 27. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts followed, in parentheses, by coupling constants $(J / \mathrm{Hz})$ of 3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepine analogues in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


| Compd. | $\mathbf{R}$ | $2-\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ <br> $\mathrm{dd}\left(J_{\mathrm{ax}} ; J_{\mathrm{gcm}}\right)$ | $2-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ <br> $\mathrm{dd}\left(J_{\mathrm{bx}} ; J_{\mathrm{gcm}}\right)$ | $\mathbf{3 - \mathrm { H } _ { \mathrm { x } }}$ <br> $\operatorname{dd}\left(J_{\mathrm{ax}} ; J_{\mathrm{bx}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 267 | Br | 3.06 <br> $(5.4 ; 14.2)$ | 3.27 <br> $(7.1 ; 14.2)$ | 5.33 <br> $(5.5 ; 7.0)$ |
| 268 | Cl | 3.08 <br> $(5.5 ; 14.2)$ | 3.28 <br> $(7.0 ; 14.2)$ | 5.33 <br> $(5.5 ; 7.0)$ |
| 269 | F | 3.06 <br> $(5.6 ; 14.2)$ | 3.27 <br> $(6.9 ; 14.2)$ | 5.31 <br> $(5.7 ; 7.0)$ |
| 270 | H | 3.15 <br> $(5.8 ; 14.1)$ | 3.39 <br> $(7.0 ; 14.1)$ | 5.41 <br> $(5.8 ; 7.0)$ |



Figure 34: Conformational equilibria of 3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepine 270.

### 2.9 CONCLUSION

During the course of this research several series of benzodiazepine analogues have been prepared through ring expansion and cyclisation methods. Many of these compounds were synthesised for the first time and, together with the other compounds, were subjected to spectroscopic, conformational and receptor-binding analysis. A kinetic-mechanistic study of the Baeyer-Villiger reaction of flavanones has also been successfully undertaken to elucidate the regioselectivity of oxygen insertion vis-a-vis nitrogen insertion in parallel Schmidt reactions. ${ }^{91}$ These studies have provided useful insights into the chemistry of various benzodiazepine analogues and precursors, and have already led to several publications. ${ }^{26,28,182}$

Future research related to the present study is expected to involve the following:
(i) Expansion of the heterocyclic ring of the benzo-fused flavanones, thioflavanones and quinolones followed by DNA-intercalation studies of the resulting products.
(ii) Regioselectivity studies of nitrogen-insertion in the Schmidt reaction of N -acetyl-4quinolones.
(iii) DNMR studies of $N$-acetyl-4-quinolones to explore substituent effects on the internal rotation of the $N$-acetyl group.
(iv) Developing methods for increasing unsaturation in the 7 -membered ring to obtain conjugated benzodiazepine analogues for further receptor-binding studies.
3.

## EXPERIMENTAL

### 3.1 GENERAL

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer R12a ( 60 MHz ) or Bruker AMX 400 (400MHz) instruments. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AMX $400(100 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectrometer with proton decoupling. Chemical shifts are quoted on the $\delta$ scale and are referenced using solvent peaks $\left[\delta_{\mathrm{H}}=7.25 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right.$ and $\left.\delta_{\mathrm{C}}=77.0 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)\right]$. Coupling constants $(J)$ are given in hertz $(\mathrm{Hz})$. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 180 spectrophotometer using KBr discs unless otherwise stated. Low resolution mass spectra were recorded on a Hewlett Packard 5988A instrument; high resolution mass spectra were obtained using a Kratos M580RF double focusing magnetic sector instrument by the Cape Technikon Mass Spectrometry Unit. Combustion analyses were performed at the University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg. Melting points were determined on a Köfler hot-stage apparatus and are uncorrected.

All solvents and commercially available reagents were purified, when necessary, by standard techniques. ${ }^{258}$ Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on MERCK Kieselgel 60F254 precoated plates. Flash chromatography ${ }^{259}$ was carried out using MERCK Kieselgel 60 (230-400 mesh).

All ${ }^{17}$ O NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AMX 400 spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm broad-band probe operated at 54.26 MHz and 303 K , using saturated solutions (ca. 200300 mg in $1.5 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ). Acquisition time for all spectra was ca. 18 hours. All chemical shifts are relative to $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\delta=0 \mathrm{ppm})$ as external standard. The spectra were recorded without sample spinning.

### 3.2 PREPARATION PROCEDURES

2,3-Dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (64). ${ }^{94}$ -
A stirred mixture of 1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (181) ( $20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.089 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and orthophosphoric acid (d. $1.69,86 \mathrm{ml}$ ) in EtOH ( 500 ml ) was boiled under reflux for 3 days. The resulting solution was concentrated and the precipitated product filtered and recrystallised from EtOH to give 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (64) (9g, $45 \%$ ), m.p. $72-73^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., $\left.{ }^{260} 75-76^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1690(\mathrm{C}=0) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 3.0$ and $16.8,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 13.2$ and $16.9,3-\mathrm{H}), 5.50(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 3.0$ and $13.2,2-H), 7.04-7.08(2 H, m, A r H), 7.37-7.55(6 H, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.90(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, J 1.8, ArH). .

3,4-Dihydro-4-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one (65). ${ }^{26}$ A mixture of 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (64) (3g, 13.4 mmol ) and meta-chloroperbenzoic acid (MCPBA) (50-60\%; 5.19g, 15 mmol ) in dry dichloromethane ( 50 ml ) was boiled under reflux for 24 hours. After evaporating the solvent, the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 50 ml ), washed sequentially with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and water, and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. Evaporation of the solvent resulted in a brown residue which was purified by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (1:4)] to afford 3,4-dihydro-4-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-2one ( 65 ) $(2 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%)$, m.p. $85-86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., $\left.{ }^{26} 85-86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \nu_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1754(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.07-3.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 5.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 7.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.8,8-$ H), 7.12-7.19 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 9-\mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}$ and $6-\mathrm{H}$ ) and $7.39(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{PhH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
38.5 (C-3), 83.4 (C-4), 120.4 (C-6), 124.2 (C-8), 125.7 (C-9), 126.1 (C-2' and C-6'), 126.5 (C-7), 128.8 (C-3' and C-5'), 129.0 (C-4'), 138.6 (C-9a), 145.2 (C-1'), 145.6 (C5a) and 167.4 (C-4).

## 3-Bromophenyl acetate (163). ${ }^{93}$ -

Ācetic anhydride ( $19.1 \mathrm{ml}, 0.213 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 3bromophenol ( $23.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.135 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaOH}(8.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.213 \mathrm{~mol})$ in water (ca. 163 ml ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 hour at the same temperature and then extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined extracts were washed with aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{ml})$ and water ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{ml}$ ), and then dried (anhyd. $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ). The solvent was evaporated to afford an oil which was distilled to give 3-bromophenyl acetate (163) ( $26 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ), b.p. $124-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 13-15 \mathrm{mmHg}$ (lit., ${ }^{261} 149^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 40 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ); $\nu_{\max }$ (thin film) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ $1775(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(60 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ and $6.9-7.45(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$.

## 3-Chlorophenyl acetate (164). ${ }^{93}$ -

The experimental procedure employed for the synthesis of 3-bromophenyl acetate (163) was followed, using acetic anhydride $(25.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.249 \mathrm{~mol})$, 3 -chlorophenol ( $20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.156 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{NaOH}(10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.249 \mathrm{~mol})$ in water $(170 \mathrm{ml})$. Work-up afforded 3-chlorophenyl acetate (164) $(19.7 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%)$, b.p. $103-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 13-15 \mathrm{mmHg}$ (lit.,$^{93} 105-107^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 13 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ); $\nu_{\max }$ (thin film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1775(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(60 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ and 6.9-7.4 $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$.

3-Fluorophenyl acetate (165). ${ }^{93}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the synthesis of 3-bromophenyl acetate (163)
was followed, using acetic anhydride ( $30.8 \mathrm{ml}, 0.329 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 3 -fluorophenol ( $26 \mathrm{~g}, 0.232 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaOH}(13.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.327 \mathrm{~mol})$ in water ( 220 ml ). Work-up afforded 3-fluorophenyl acetate (165) ( $32.3 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ), b.p. $79-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 13-15 \mathrm{mmHg}$ (lit., ${ }^{262} 77-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 13 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ); $\nu_{\max }$ (thin film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1770(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(60 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.3\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ and 6.9-7.5 $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$.

## 4-Bromo-2-hydroxyacetophenone (167). ${ }^{94}$ -

A mixture of 3-bromophenyl acetate (163) (10g, 0.046 mol ) and anhydrous aluminium chloride ( $22.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.150 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was heated at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. $2 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{HCl}(100 \mathrm{ml})$ was added to the cooled reaction mixture, which was then steam distilled. The distillate was extracted with chloroform ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and the combined extracts were re-extracted with $0.5 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{KOH}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{ml})$. The alkaline extracts were washed with chloroform ( $2 \times 40 \mathrm{ml}$ ), acidified and extracted with chloroform ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The organic layer was dried (anhyd. $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ) and the solvent was evaporated to afford 4-bromo-2-hydroxyacetophenone (167) $(6.7 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%)$, m.p. $41-42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., $\left.{ }^{94} 42-43^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$; $\nu_{\max }($ thin film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ca. $3600-2500-(\mathrm{OH})$ and $1640(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(60 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.58\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.8$ and $8.5,3-$ H), $7.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 1.8,5-\mathrm{H}), 7.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.6,6-\mathrm{H})$ and $12.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$.

## 4-Chloro-2-hydroxyacetophenone (168). ${ }^{94}$ -

The experimental procedure employed for the synthesis of 4-bromo-2-
hydroxyacetophenone (167) was followed, using 3-chlorophenyl acetate (164) (15g, 0.088 mol ) and $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(27.9 \mathrm{~g}, 0.209 \mathrm{~mol})$. Work-up afforded 4-chloro-2hydroxyacetophenone (7) (10.1g, $67 \%$ ), b.p. $119-121^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{ca} .13 \mathrm{mmHg}$ (lit., ${ }^{94} 121-$
$\left.124^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 15 \mathrm{mmHg}\right) ; \nu_{\max }($ thin film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} c a .3400-2700(\mathrm{OH})$ and $1640(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$;
$\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(60 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.60\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.75-7.0(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3-\mathrm{H}$ and $5-\mathrm{H}), 7.5-7.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $6-\mathrm{H})$ and $12.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$.

4-Fluoro-2-hydroxyacetophenone (169). ${ }^{262}$ -
The experimental procedure used for the synthesis of 4-bromo-2-hydroxyacetophenone (167) was followed, using 3-fluorophenyl acetate (165) (15g, 0.103 mol ) and $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ ( 30 g , 0.226 mol ). Work-up afforded an oil which crystallised to give 4-fluoro-2hydroxyacetophenone (169) ( $13.2 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ), m.p. $22-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{262} 24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $\nu_{\max }$ (thin film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \mathrm{ca} .3500-2500(\mathrm{OH})$ and $1640(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.55\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $6.54-6.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3-\mathrm{H}$ and $5-\mathrm{H}), 7.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 6.5$ and $8.7,6-\mathrm{H})$ and $12.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, OH ).

## 2-Hydroxy-4-methoxyacetophenone (170). ${ }^{96}$ -

A mixture of 2,4-dihydroxyacetophenone ( $29.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.193 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), dry acetone ( 300 ml ) and dimethyl sulphate $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)(18 \mathrm{ml}, 0.198 \mathrm{~mol})$ was refluxed over potassium carbonate ( 30 g ) for 6 hours. After cooling, the solvent was evaporated off and excess $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ destroyed by addition of a $25 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$-ice mixture to the residue. The resulting mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $4 \times 50 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The ethereal solution was dried (anhyd. $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ) and the solvent was evaporated to give crude 2-hydroxy-4-methoxyacetophenone (170) (22.4g, $70 \%$ ), m.p. $46-48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., $\left.{ }^{96} 48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(60 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.55\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $3.85\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.35-6.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3-\mathrm{H}$ and $5-\mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 9,6-\mathrm{H})$ and $12.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$.

1-(4-Bromo-2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (177). ${ }^{94}$ -
A cooled mixture of $60 \% \mathrm{KOH}(90 \mathrm{ml})$ was added to a cooled solution of 4-bromo-2hydroxyacetophenone (167) ( $8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.036 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and benzaldehyde $(6.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.062 \mathrm{~mol})$ in EtOH ( 100 ml ). The resulting mixture was then kept at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for four days with occasional shaking. The mixture was then diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{ml})$ and acidified with dil. HCl . The pecipitated product was collected at the pump and recrystallised from EtOH to give 1-(4-bromo-2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (177) (9.1g, $83 \%$ ), m.p. $110-112^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., $\left.{ }^{94} 115-116^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1650(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.7$ and $8.5, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 1.9, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.42-7.44(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.5$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.63-7.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.6, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.4$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH})$ and $12.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$.

1-(4-Chloro-2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (178). ${ }^{94}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 1-(4-bromo-2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (177) was followed, using 4-chloro-2 . . hydroxyacetophenone (168) ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.029 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), benzaldehyde ( $4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.038 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $60 \% \mathrm{KOH}$ ( 55 ml ) and EtOH ( 60 ml ). Work-up afforded 1-(4-chloro-2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (178) ( $4.3 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \%$ ), m.p. $119-121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (lit., ${ }^{94} 124-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $\nu_{\max }$ $(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1650(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(60 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 6.90-7.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.4-8.0(8 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $13.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$.

1-(4-Fluoro-2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (179). ${ }^{94}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 1-(4-bromo-2-
hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (177) was followed, using 4-fluoro-2-
hydroxyacetophenone (169) (9g, 0.058 mol ), benzaldehyde ( $7.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.068 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $60 \% \mathrm{KOH}$ ( 100 ml ) and EtOH ( 100 ml ). Work-up afforded 1-(4-fluoro-2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (179) (9.5g, $68 \%$ ), m.p. $102-104^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ from EtOH) ; $\nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1650$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 6.62-6.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.42-7.45(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.55$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.4, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.63-7.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.89-7.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ and $\mathrm{ArH})$ and $13.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$.

## 1-(2-Hydroxy-4-methoxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (180). ${ }^{263}$ -

Benzaldehyde ( $29.5 \mathrm{ml}, 0.290 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and a $50 \%$ solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(44 \mathrm{ml})$ were added to a solution of 2-hydroxy-4-methoxyacetophenone (170) (22g, 0.132mol) in EtOH (200ml). The resulting mixture was shaken and allowed to stand for 24 hours at room temperature and was then acidified with $2 M-\mathrm{HCl}$ and extracted with ether $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(3 \times 60 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined extracts were washed with $5 \%$ aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$, dried (anhyd. $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ) and the solvent was evaporated to afford a crude product which was recrystallised from EtOH to give 1-(2-hydroxy-4-methoxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (180) (22.6g, $67 \%)$, m.p. $101-102^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., $\left.{ }^{264} 105-106^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1630(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ and 1570 $(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.84\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.47-6.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.40-7.43$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.4, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.62-7.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ 7.7, ArH$), 7.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.5, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH})$ and $13.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$.

1-(2-Hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (181). ${ }^{94}$ -
A cooled solution of potassium hydroxide ( KOH ) $(26.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.468 \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(150 \mathrm{ml})$ was
added to a cooled solution of 2-hydroxyacetophenone ( $26.5 \mathrm{ml}, 0.220 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and benzaldehyde ( $44.5 \mathrm{ml}, 0.439 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in ethanol $(300 \mathrm{ml})$. The resulting mixture was then kept at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for four days with occasional shaking. The mixture was then diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{ml})$ and acidified with dil. HCl . The precipitated product was collected at the pump and recrystallised from EtOH to give 1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (181) $(25.7 \mathrm{~g}, 52 \%)$, m.p. $85-86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., $\left.{ }^{264} 87-88^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1645(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ and $1595(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 6.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.3, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.42-$ $7.51(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.64-7.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.89-7.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $12.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, OH ).

3-(4-Bromophenyl)-1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-2-propen-1-one (182). ${ }^{94}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (181) was followed, using 4-bromobenzaldehyde ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.027 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 2-hydroxyacetophenone ( $4.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.035 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $60 \%$ aq. $\mathrm{KOH}(43 \mathrm{ml})$ and $\mathrm{EtOH}(47 \mathrm{ml})$.

Work-up afforded 3-(4-bromophenyl)-1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-2-propen-1-one (182)-(4.2g, $51 \%$ ), m.p. $148-149^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (lit., ${ }^{92,265} 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 6.91-6.95$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.70-7.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.44-7.57(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.5$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.9, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.5, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ 1.4 and $8.1, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $12.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$.

3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-2-propen-1-one (183). ${ }^{94}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the synthesis of 1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (181) was followed, using 2 -hydroxyacetophenone ( $30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.220 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 4-
chlorobenzaldehyde ( $25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.176 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $60 \% \mathrm{KOH}(200 \mathrm{ml})$ and EtOH ( 220 ml ). Work-up afforded 3-(4-chlorophenyl)-1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-2-propen-1-one (183) (37.9g, 83\%), m.p. $145-147^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (lit., $\left.{ }^{92,265} 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1650(\mathrm{C}=0)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 6.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.4, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.4$, $\mathrm{ArH}), 7.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.53-7.59(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ and ArH$), 7.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.4$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.0, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $12.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$.

3-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-2-propen-1-one (184). ${ }^{94}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (181) was followed, using 2 -hydroxyacetophenone ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.037 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( $4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.032 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $60 \%$ aq. KOH ( 55 ml ) and EtOH ( 60 ml ). Work-up afforded 3-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-2-propen-1-one (184) (4.51g, 58\%), m.p. $109-111^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (lit., ${ }^{118} 118-119^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $\nu_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1650(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 6.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.3, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{ArH})$, 7.46-7.50 (1H, m, ArH), $7.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.5, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.61-7.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 7.85$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.6, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 1.2, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $12.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$.

## 1-(2-Hydroxyphenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-propen-1-one (185). ${ }^{94}$ -

The experimental procedure employed for the synthesis of 1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (181) was followed, using 2-hydroxyacetophenone ( $20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.147 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 4methoxybenzaldehyde ( $40 \mathrm{~g}, 0.294 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{KOH}\left(24.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.44 \mathrm{~mol}\right.$ ) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(80 \mathrm{ml})$ and EtOH ( 400 ml ). Work-up afforded 1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-propen-1-one (185) $(24 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%)$ m.p. $101-103^{\circ} \mathrm{C}($ from EtOH$) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$,
$\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.89-6.93(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.8, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.44-7.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $7.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.4, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.7, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.4$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.3$ and $8.0, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $12.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$.

## 7-Bromo-2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (186). ${ }^{94}$ -

The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (64) was followed, using 1-(4-bromo-2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (177) (7g, 0.023 mol ) and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(28 \mathrm{ml})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(300 \mathrm{ml})$. Work-up afforded 7-bromo-2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (186) (3.5g, 50\%), m.p. $74-76^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (lit. ${ }^{94} 79-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $\nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1685(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $2.90(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 3.0$ and $16.9,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 13.0$ and $16.9,3-\mathrm{H}), 5.40(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 3.0$ and $13.0,2-\mathrm{H}), 7.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.8$ and $8.4, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 1.76, \mathrm{ArH})$, 7.36-7.47 (5H, m, ArH) and $7.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.4, \mathrm{ArH})$.

7-Chloro-2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (187). ${ }^{94}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the synthesis of 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (64) was followed, using 1-(4-chloro-2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propan-1-one ( $3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.012 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(13 \mathrm{ml})$ and $\mathrm{EtOH}(150 \mathrm{ml})$. Work-up afforded 7-chloro-2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (187) (1.5g, $50 \%$ ), m.p. $53-54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH ) (lit., $\left.{ }^{94} 54-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \nu_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1690(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.90$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 3.0$ and $17.0,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 13.0$ and $16.9,3-\mathrm{H}), 5.49(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J 3.0 and $13.0,2-\mathrm{H}), 7.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.9$ and $8.4, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 1.9, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.36-7.47$
$(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.4, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 44.3(\mathrm{C}-2), 79.9(\mathrm{C}-3)$, $118.3,119.5,122.4,126.1,128.3,128.8,128.9,138.2,142.0$ and $161.8(\mathrm{ArC})$ and 190.8 (C-4).

7-Fluoro-2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (188). ${ }^{94}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the synthesis of 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (64) was followed, using 1-(4-fluoro-2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (179) ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.041 \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(45 \mathrm{ml})$ and $\mathrm{EtOH}(300 \mathrm{ml})$. Work-up afforded 7-fluoro-2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (188) (5.6g, $65 \%$ ), m.p. $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH); $\nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1698(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ 3.0 and $16.9,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.07(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 13.1$ and $16.9,3-\mathrm{H}), 5.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 3.0$ and 13.1 , $2-\mathrm{H}), 6.72-6.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.35-7.48(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 6.6$ and 8.7, ArH$) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 44.2(\mathrm{C}-3), 80.1(\mathrm{C}-2), 104.9,110.0,117.9,126.1$, $128.8,128.9,129.5,138.4,163.1$ and $167.5(\mathrm{ArC})$ and 190.3 (C-4).

## 7-Methoxy-2, 3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (189). ${ }^{94}$ -

The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (64) was followed, using 1-(2-hydroxy-4-methoxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (180) (5.3g, 0.021 mol$), \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(13.3 \mathrm{ml})$ and EtOH ( 200 ml ). Work-up afforded 7-methoxy-2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (189) (4g, $75 \%$ ), m.p. $80-81^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (lit., $\left.{ }^{266} 91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1665(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $2.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 3.0$ and $16.9,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 13.2$ and $16.9,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 2.9$ and $13.2,2-\mathrm{H}), 6.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 2.4, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$
2.4 and $8.8, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.35-7.48(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.8, \mathrm{ArH})$;
$\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 44.3(\mathrm{C}-3), 55.6\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 79.9(\mathrm{C}-2), 100.9,110.2,114.8,126.1$, $128.7,128.8,138.8,163.5$ and $166.2(\mathrm{ArC})$ and 190.5 (C-4).

2-(4-Bromophenyl)-2,3-dihydro-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (190). ${ }^{94}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (64) was followed, using 3-(4-bromophenyl)-1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-2-propen-1-one (182) (3g, 0.010 mol$), \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(12 \mathrm{ml})$ and $\mathrm{EtOH}(250 \mathrm{ml})$. Work-up afforded 2-(4-bromophenyl)-2,3-dihydro-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (190) (1.2g, 40\%), m.p. 117$118^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (lit., $\left.{ }^{265} 117^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.87(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 3.1$ and 16.8 , $3-\mathrm{H}), 3.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 13.0$ and $16.8,3-\mathrm{H}), 5.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 3.0$ and $13.0,2-\mathrm{H}), 7.03-$ $7.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.3, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.48-7.57(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.92(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 1.5$ and $7.8, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 44.4(\mathrm{C}-3), 78.7(\mathrm{C}-2), 118.0,120.8$, $121.7,122.6,127.0,127.7,131.9,136.2,137.8$ and $161.2(\mathrm{ArC})$ and 192.1 (C-4).

2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2,3-dihydro-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (191). ${ }^{94}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (64) was followed, using 3-(4-chlorophenyl)-1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-2-propen-1-one (182) ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.039 \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(43 \mathrm{ml})$ and $\mathrm{EtOH}(400 \mathrm{ml})$. Work-up afforded 2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2,3-dihydro-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (191) (3.2g, $32 \%$ ), m.p. $87-88^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (lit., ${ }^{267} 87^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $\nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1700(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $2.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 3.0$ and $16.8,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 13.1$ and $16.8,3-\mathrm{H}), 5.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J 3.0$ and $13.1,2-\mathrm{H}), 7.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.38-7.43(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.48-7.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$,
$\mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.4$ and $7.7, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 44.2(\mathrm{C}-3), 78.7(\mathrm{C}-$ 2), $118.0,120.8,121.7,127.0,127.4,128.9,134.4,136.1,137.2$ and 161.2 (ArC) and 191.2 (C-4).

2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-2,3-dihydro-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (192). ${ }^{94}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4 H -1-benzopyran-4-one (64) was followed, using 3-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-2-propen-1-one (184) ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.041 \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(43 \mathrm{ml})$ and $\mathrm{EtOH}(400 \mathrm{ml})$. Work-up afforded 2-(4-fluorophenyl)-2,3-dihydro-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (192) (3.4g, $34 \%$ ), m.p. $70-71^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (lit., $\left.{ }^{18} 59-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1698(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.87(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 3.0$ and $16.8,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.05(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 13.2$ and 16.8, $3-\mathrm{H}), 5.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 2.9$ and $13.2,2-\mathrm{H}), 7.03-7.14(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.43-7.52(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.6$ and $7.8, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 44.6(\mathrm{C}-3), 78.9(\mathrm{C}-$ 2), $115.7,118.0,120.9,127.1,128.0,134.6,136.2,161.4$ and $162.8(\mathrm{ArC})$ and 191.6 (C-4).

2,3-Dihydro-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (193). ${ }^{94}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the synthesis of 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (64) was followed, using 1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-propen-1-one $(185)(10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.039 \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(44 \mathrm{ml})$ and $\mathrm{EtOH}(500 \mathrm{ml})$. Work-up afforded 2,3-dihydro-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (54) (5.2g, $52 \%$ ), m.p. $80-82^{\circ} \mathrm{C}($ from EtOH$) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 2.8$ and $16.8,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.09$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 13.3$ and $16.8,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.82\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 2.8$ and $13.3,2-\mathrm{H})$
and 6.94-7.94 $(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 44.4(\mathrm{C}-3), 55.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 79.3(\mathrm{C}-2)$, $114.1,118.1,120.9,121.4,127.0,127.7,130.7,136.0,159.9$ and $161.6(\mathrm{ArC})$ and 192.1 (C-4).

1-(2-Aminophenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (196)..$^{106,133}$ -
2-Aminoacetophenone ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.037 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to a solution of benzaldehyde $(3.9 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.037 mol ) in EtOH ( 30 ml ) containing NaOH ( 3 pellets) and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature (ca. $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for 24 hours. The precipitated product was filtered and recrystallised from EtOH to afford 1-(2-aminophenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1one (196) $(4.8 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%)$, m.p. $70-72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit.,,$\left.^{133} 71-72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$; $\nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3450-3300\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ and $1645(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 6.34\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.61-6.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH})$ and $7.25-7.97(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 115.8,117.2,119.0,123.1$, $128.2,128.8,130.0,130.9,134.2,135.2,142.8,150.9(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ and ArC$)$ and 191.6 (C-1).

1-(2-Aminophenyl)-3-(4-bromophenyl)-2-propen-1-one (197). ${ }^{133,134,268}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 1-(2-aminophenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (196) was followed, using 2 -aminoacetophenone ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.037 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 4bromobenzaldehyde ( $6.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.037 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{EtOH}(30 \mathrm{ml})$ and NaOH ( 3 pellets). Work-up afforded 1-(2-aminophenyl)-3-(4-bromophenyl)-2-propen-1-one (197) (9.7g, $87 \%$ ), m.p. $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ from EtOH) ; $\nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3460-3310\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ and $1650(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$;
$\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 6.33\left(2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br s, $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.61-6.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH})$ and $7.25-7.87$
$(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 115.8,117.3,119.1,123.2,128.2,128.9,130.1$,
$131.0,134.3,135.3,142.9$ and $150.9(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ and ArC$)$ and $191.7(\mathrm{C}-1)$.

1-(2-Aminophenyl)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-propen-1-one (198). ${ }^{133,134,268}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the synthesis of 1-(2-aminophenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (196) was followed, using 2-aminoacetophenone ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.037 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 4čhlorobenzaldehyde ( $5.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.037 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{EtOH}(30 \mathrm{ml})$ and NaOH ( 3 pellets). Work-up afforded 1-(2-aminophenyl)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-propen-1-one (93) (6.91g, $74 \%$ ), m.p. $81-82^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (lit., ${ }^{91} 82-84^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $\nu_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3480-3300\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ and 1640 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 6.32\left(2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br s, $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.67-7.84(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ and $\mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 115.9,117.3,118.9,123.6,129.1,129.3,130.9,133.8$, 134.4, 135.9, 141.4 and $151.1(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ and ArC$)$ and $191.3(\mathrm{C}-1)$.

1-(2-Aminophenyl)-3-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-propen-1-one (199). ${ }^{133,134,268}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the synthesis of 1-(2-aminophenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (196) was followed, using 2 -aminoacetophenone ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.037 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 4 fluorobenzaldehyde ( $4.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.037 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{EtOH}(30 \mathrm{ml})$ and NaOH ( 3 pellets). Work-up afforded 1-(2-aminophenyl)-3-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-propen-1-one (199) (4.6g, $52 \%$ ), m.p. $119-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (lit., ${ }^{91} 119-121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $\nu_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3500-3300\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ and 1645 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 6.31\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.67-7.85(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ and $\mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 115.9,116.0,117.3,119.0,122.9,130.0,130.9,131.5$, 134.3, 141.6, 151.0, $163.8(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ and ArC$)$ and $191.4(\mathrm{C}-1)$.

1-(2-Aminophenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-propen-1-one (200). ${ }^{106,133}-$

The experimental procedure employed for the synthesis of 1-(2-aminophenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (196) was followed, using 2-aminoacetophenone ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.037 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 4methoxybenzaldehyde ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.037 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{EtOH}(30 \mathrm{ml})$ and NaOH ( 3 pellets). Work-up afforded 1-(2-aminophenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-propen-1-one (200) (6g, $64 \%$ ), m.p. $89-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (lit., ${ }^{269} 91-92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $\nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3450-3335^{-}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ and 1645 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.80\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.34\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ and $6.66-7.85$ $(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ and ArH$) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 55.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 114.2,115.6,117.1$, $119.1,120.7,127.8,129.8,130.7,133.9,142.6,150.8$ and $161.2(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ and ArC$)$ and 191.6 (C-1).

## 1-(2-Aminophenyl)-3-(4-nitrophenyl)-2-propen-1-one (201)..$^{133,134,268}$ -

The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 1-(2-aminophenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (196) was followed, using 2-aminoacetophenone ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.037 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 4nitrobenzaldehyde $(5.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.037 \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{EtOH}(30 \mathrm{ml})$ and NaOH ( 3 pellets). Work-up gave 1-(2-aminophenyl)-3-(4-nitrophenyl)-2-propen-1-one (92) (9.0g, $91 \%$ ), m.p. $139-141^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (lit., $\left.{ }^{91} 140-142^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$; $\nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3460-3350\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ and $1650(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 6.39\left(2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br s, $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ and $6.69-8.26(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ and ArH$)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 115.9,117.4,118.5,124.1,127.0,128.7,130.9,134.8,139.6$, 141.5, 148.3 and $151.3(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ and ArC$)$ and $190.6(\mathrm{C}-1)$.

2-Phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (202). ${ }^{133}$ -
A stirred mixture of 1-(2-aminophenyl)-3-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (196) (3g, 0.013 mol ), orthophosphoric acid ( 40 ml ) and glacial acetic acid ( 40 ml ) was boiled under reflux for 3
hours. The cooled mixture was poured into iced water and the resulting precipitate was filtered, and then recrystallised from ethanol to afford 2-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4quinolone (98) (1.5g, $52 \%$ ), m.p. $149^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{133} 149-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $\nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3345(\mathrm{NH})$ and $1660(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.76(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 3.8$ and $16.2,3-\mathrm{H}), 2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J 13.6$ and $16.2,3-\mathrm{H}), 4.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.74(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J 3.8$ and $13.6,2-\mathrm{H}), 6.71$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.2, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.31-7.46(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.9$, $\mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 46.4(\mathrm{C}-3), 58.5(\mathrm{C}-2), 115.9,118.4,119.1,126.6,127.6$, $128.5,129.0,135.4,141.0$ and 151.5 (ArC) and 193.2 (C-4).

2-(4-Bromophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (203). ${ }^{133,134}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 2-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (202) was followed, using 1-(2-aminophenyl)-3-(4-bromophenyl)-2-propen-1one $(90)(8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.026 \mathrm{~mol})$, orthophosphoric acid $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ and glacial acetic acid $(50 \mathrm{ml})$. Work-up afforded 2-(4-bromophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (94) (2.8g, 35\%), m.p. $169-171^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (lit., ${ }^{134} 171^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $\nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3310(\mathrm{NH})$ and 1645 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 4.3$ and $16.2,3-\mathrm{H}), 2.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 13.0$ and $16.2,3-\mathrm{H}), 4.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 4.3$ and $13.0,2-\mathrm{H}), 6.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ 8.2, ArH$), 6.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.31-7.52(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.4$ and 7.9 , $\mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 46.3(\mathrm{C}-3), 57.9(\mathrm{C}-2), 116.0,118.7,119.0,122.2,127.6$, $128.3,132.1,135.5,140.1$ and 151.3 (ArC) and 192.8 (C-4).

2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (204). ${ }^{133}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the synthesis of 2-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-
quinolone (202) was followed, using 1-(2-aminophenyl)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-propen-1one (198) $(6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.024 \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(40 \mathrm{ml})$ and acetic acid ( 40 ml ). Work-up afforded 2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (97) (2.5g, $42 \%$ ), m.p. $147^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (lit., $\left.{ }^{265} 146^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3320(\mathrm{NH})$ and $1650(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.73$ $(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J 1.6$ and $4.3,3-\mathrm{H}), 4.22(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 13.1$ and $16.2,3-\mathrm{H}), 4.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, $\mathrm{N} H), 4.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 4.2$ and $13.1,2-\mathrm{H}), 6.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.2, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $7.32-7.86(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 46.4(\mathrm{C}-3), 57.9(\mathrm{C}-2), 115.9,118.7$, $119.1,127.6,128.0,129.2,134.2,135.5,139.5$ and 151.3 ( ArC ) and 192.8 (C-4).

## 2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (205). ${ }^{133}$ -

The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 2-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (202) was followed, using 1-(2-aminophenyl)-3-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-propen-1one (199) (4.5g, 0.019 mol$), \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(40 \mathrm{ml})$ and acetic acid ( 40 ml ). Work-up afforded 2-(4-fluorophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (205) (2.3g, 50\%), m.p. $117-119^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (lit., ${ }^{265} 116-118^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $\nu_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3320(\mathrm{NH})$ and $1660(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 4.0$ and $16.4,3-\mathrm{H}), 2.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 13.4$ and 16.2 , $3-\mathrm{H}), 4.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 4.0$ and $13.4,2-\mathrm{H}), 6.70-6.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\operatorname{ArH}), 7.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.31-7.43(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.4$ and 7.9 , $\mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 46.4$ (C-3), $57.8(\mathrm{C}-2), 115.8,115.9,118.5,119.0,127.5$, $128.3,135.5,136.8$ and 151.5 ( ArC ) and 193.1 (C-4).

2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1, 2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (206). ${ }^{133}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 2-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-

4-quinolone (202) was followed, using 1-(2-aminophenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-propen-1-one (200) $(5.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.022 \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(25 \mathrm{ml})$ and acetic acid ( 45 ml ). Work-up afforded 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (206) (3.2g, 57\%), m.p. $113-114^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (lit. $\left.{ }^{265} 112-114^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$; $\nu_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3300(\mathrm{NH})$ and $1645(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$;
$\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.71(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 3.6$ and $16.1,3-\mathrm{H}), 2.84(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $\boldsymbol{J} 14.0$ and 16.1 , $3-\mathrm{H}), 3.81\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 3.6$ and $14.0,2-\mathrm{H})$ and 6.61-7.86 (8H, m, ArH); $\delta_{\mathrm{c}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 46.5(\mathrm{C}-3), 55.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 57.9(\mathrm{C}-2)$, $114.3,115.9,118.3,119.0,127.6,127.8,133.1,135.3,151.6$ and $159.6(\mathrm{ArC})$ and 193.5 (C-4).

2-(4-Nitrophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (207)..$^{133,134}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 2-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (202) was followed, using 1-(2-aminophenyl)-3-(4-nitrophenyl)-2-propen-1one (92) $(10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.037 \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(50 \mathrm{ml})$ and acetic acid $(50 \mathrm{ml})$. Work-up afforded 2-(4-nitrophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (207) (4.36g, $44 \%$ ), m.p. $193-195^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\mathrm{EtOH})\left(\right.$ lit.,$\left.{ }^{134} 194^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3370(\mathrm{NH})$ and $1675(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $2.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 4.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7.0$ and $10.1,2-\mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.86$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.36-7.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.63-7.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.5$ and 8.0, ArH ) and $8.24-8.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 46.1(\mathrm{C}-3), 57.9(\mathrm{C}-2)$, $116.1,119.2,119.3,124.3,127.5,127.7,135.7,148.0,148.3$ and $150.9(\mathrm{ArC})$ and 191.8 (C-4).

4-Bromoepoxystyrene (211). ${ }^{138}$ -

MCPBA ( $50 \% ; 4.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.027 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added in small portions to a stirred solution of 4 bromostyrene ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.027 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-phosphate buffer (the buffer was prepared by adding aqueous $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$ to $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ until the pH was 8.0$)(400 \mathrm{ml} ; 1: 1)$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 5 hours at room temperature, more MCPBA (3g) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight after which it was filtered and the organic layer separated, washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and water and dried (anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ). The solvent was evaporated to give a residue which was purified by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (2:8)] to afford 4-bromoepoxystyrene (211) (3.89g, 72\%); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.74\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 2.5\right.$ and $\left.5.4, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 4.12$ and 5.4 , $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 2.6$ and $3.8, \mathrm{CH}), 7.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.4,2-\mathrm{H}$ and $6-\mathrm{H})$ and 7.46 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.4,3-\mathrm{H}$ and $5-\mathrm{H}$ ).

## 4-Chloroepoxystyrene (212). ${ }^{138}$ -

The experimental procedure employed for the synthesis of 4-bromoepoxystyrene (211) was followed, using 4-chlorostyrene ( $6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.043 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and MCPBA ( $50 \% ; 14.9 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.043 mol ). Work-up afforded a crude product which was purified by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (2:8)] to give 4-chloroepoxystyrene (212) $(4.3 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.72\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 2.5\right.$ and $\left.5.5, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 4.6$ and $\left.5.4, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.79(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 2.6$ and $4.0, \mathrm{CH}), 7.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.5,2-\mathrm{H}$ and $6-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.4,3-\mathrm{H}$ and $5-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 51.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 51.6(\mathrm{CH}), 126.7$, $128.5,133.8$ and 136.1 ( ArC ).

4-Fluoroepoxystyrene (213). ${ }^{138}$ -

The experimental procedure employed for the synthesis of 4-bromoepoxystyrene (211) was followed, using 4 -fluorostyrene $(4.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.037 \mathrm{~mol})$ and MCPBA $(50 \% ; 6.4 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.037 mol ). Work-up afforded a crude product which was purified by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (2:8)] to give 4-fluoroepoxystyrene (213) $(2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 49 \%) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.75-2.77\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.12-3.14\left(\mathrm{FH}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.84$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 2-\mathrm{H}$ and $6-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 5.8$ and $8.2,3-\mathrm{H}$ and $5-\mathrm{H})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 51.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 51.7(\mathrm{CH}), 115.4\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CF}} 22.1, \mathrm{C}-3\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}-5\right), 127.1\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{CF}}\right.$ 9.1, C-2 and C-6), $133.3\left({ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{CF}} 3.0, \mathrm{C}-1\right)$ and $162.6\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}} 246.5, \mathrm{C}-4\right)$.

## Attempted preparation of 4-methoxyepoxystyrene (214). ${ }^{138}$ -

The experimental procedure employed for the synthesis of 4-bromoepoxystyrene (211) was followed, using 4-methoxystyrene ( $4.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.034 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and MCPBA $(50 \% ; 11.6 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.034 mol ). Work-up followed by flash chromatography gave two fractions:
(i) starting material, and
(ii) 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (identified by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and IR).

## 2-Mercaptobenzenemethanol (216)..$^{144}$ -

Thiosalicylic acid $(4.36 \mathrm{~g}, 0.028 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry THF $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ was added dropwise to a slurry of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.052 \mathrm{~mol})$ in THF $(80 \mathrm{ml})$ under nitrogen and the resulting mixture was stirred for 24 hours. EtOAc ( 10 ml ) and then $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(40 \mathrm{ml})$ were added dropwise and the mixture was filtered, separated, and the aqueous layer extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried (anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ) and the solvent was evaporated to give an oil which later crystallised to afford 2-
mercaptobenzenemethanol (216) (2.61g, $65 \%$ ), m.p. $32-33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{144} 31-32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ );
$\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SH}), 4.71\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.15-$ $7.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.30-7.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 64.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 126.2$, $128.3,128.6,130.1,131.3$ and 138.7 (ArC).

2̀,3-Dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-benzothiopyran-4-one (220). ${ }^{145,148,270}-$
A mixture of 3-phenyl-3-thiophenylpropionic acid $(13.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.050 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(60.0 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.390 mol ) was boiled under reflux for 20 minutes. The cooled reaction mixture was added slowly to an ice-cold water bath ( 150 ml ) and the oily layer was separated. The oily layer was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 50 ml ) and was washed sequentially with $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$ and water ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and then dried (anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the product was purified by flash chromatography (elution with benzene) to afford 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4 H -benzothiopyran-4-one (220) ( $6.1 \mathrm{lg}, 50.4 \%$ ), m.p. $55-57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$-hexane) (lit., ${ }^{145} 55-56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $\nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1673$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 3.1$ and $16.4,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 13.0$ and $16.4,3-\mathrm{H}), 4.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 3.1$ and $13.0,2-\mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.43(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and 8.15 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.0$ and $8.0, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 45.5(\mathrm{C}-3), 46.7(\mathrm{C}-2), 125.2,127.2$, $127.4,128.3,128.4,128.9,129.2,133.4,138.4$ and 142.1 ( ArC ) and 194.3 (C-4).

7-Bromo-3,4-dihydro-4-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one (221). ${ }^{26}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-4-one ( $\mathbf{6 5}$ ) was followed, using 7-bromo-2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (186) ( $0.4 \mathrm{~g}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), MCPBA ( $50 \% ; 0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
( 20 ml ). Work-up and flash chromatography afforded 8-bromo-2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-4-one (221) $(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \%) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.09-3.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3-\mathrm{H})$, $5.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 5.9$ and $7.1,4-\mathrm{H}), 7.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.6,8-\mathrm{H}), 7.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 2.3,6-\mathrm{H})$, $7.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 2.3$ and $8.6,9-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.35-7.42(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{PhH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 38.4$ (C-3), 83.6 (C-4), 118.4 (C-7), 121.7 (C-6), 126.0 (C-2' and C-6'), '127.3 (C-8), 128.7 (C-9), 128.9 (C-3' and C-5'), 129.2 (C-4'), 138.1 (C-9a), 144.7 (C-1'), 145.9 (C-5a) and 166.7 (C-2).

## 7-Chloro-3,4-dihydro-4-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one (222). ${ }^{26}$ -

The experimental procedure employed for the synthesis of 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-4-one (65) was followed, using 7-chloro-2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (187) ( $0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), MCPBA ( $50 \% ; 1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 50 ml ). Work-up and flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (3:7)] afforded 7-chloro-3,4-dihydro-4-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one (222) ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.07-3.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 5.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 7.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J .1 .9,8-$ $\mathrm{H})$, 7.09-7.16 $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 9-\mathrm{H}$ and $6-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.35-7.42(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{PhH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 38.2 (C-3), 83.5 (C-4), 121.2 (C-6), 124.3 (C-8), 125.5 (C-9), 125.9 (C-2 ${ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}$ ), 128.8 ( $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$ ), 129.1 ( $\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}$ ), 131.0 (C-7), 138.0 (C-9a), 144.1 (C-1'), 145.6 (C5a) and 166.6 (C-2).

7-Fluoro-3,4-dihydro-4-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one (223). ${ }^{26}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-4-one (65) was followed, using 7-fluoro-2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-1-
benzopyran-4-one (188) ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), MCPBA ( $50 \% ; 0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 2.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 50 ml ). Work-up and flash chromatography afforded 8 -fluoro-2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-4-one (223) $(0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 3.0$ and $16.9,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 13.1$ and $16.9,3-\mathrm{H}), 5.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 3.0$ and $13.1,4-\mathrm{H})$, 6.71-6.77 $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 9-\mathrm{H}$ and $8-\mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.47(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{PhH})$ and $7.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 6.6$ and 8.6, 6-H).

4-(4-Bromophenyl)-3,4-dihydro-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one (225). ${ }^{26}$ -
The procedure employed for the preparation of 3,4-dihydro-4-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one (65) was followed, using 2-(4-bromophenyl)-2,3-dihydro-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (190) ( $0.61 \mathrm{~g}, 1.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and MCPBA ( $50 \% ; 0.72 \mathrm{~g}, 2.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (20ml). The mixture was refluxed for 72 hours. Work-up gave a crude product which was purified by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (1:3)] to afford 4-(4-bromophenyl)-3,4-dihydro-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one (225) ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ ), m.p. $115-117^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., $\left.{ }^{26} 115-117^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.99(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 6.7$ and $13.4,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.16 \cdot(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 5.9$ and $13.4,3-\mathrm{H}), 5.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 7.02-7.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $9-\mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{H}$ and $6-\mathrm{H}), 7.26\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.4,2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right)$ and 7.51 $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.4,3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 38.4(\mathrm{C}-3), 82.7(\mathrm{C}-4), 120.4(\mathrm{C}-6)$, $123.0\left(\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}\right), 124.0(\mathrm{C}-8), 125.9$ (C-7), 126.6 (C-9), 127.8 (C-2' and C-6'), 131.9 (C-3' and $\left.\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}\right), 137.5$ (C-9a), 145.0 (C-1'), 145.6 (C-5a) and $167.0(\mathrm{C}-4)$.

4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3,4-dihydro-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one (226). ${ }^{26}$ -

The experimental procedure employed for the synthesis of 3,4-dihydro-4-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one (65) was followed, using 2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2,3-dihydro-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (191) ( $0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), MCPBA ( $50 \% ; 0.33 \mathrm{~g}, 0.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 50 ml ). Work-up and flash chromatography afforded 2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1,5-benzodioxepin-4-one (226) $(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%)$, m.p. $113-115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{26} 113$ $\left.114^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1745(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 6.9$ and 13.3 , $3-\mathrm{H}), 3.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 5.8$ and $13.4,3-\mathrm{H}), 5.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 7.02-7.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8-\mathrm{H})$, 7.13-7.16 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 9-\mathrm{H}$ and $6-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.31-7.38\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$, $3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\left.6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 38.4(\mathrm{C}-3), 82.7(\mathrm{C}-4), 120.5(\mathrm{C}-6), 124.0(\mathrm{C}-$ 8), 125.9 (C-9), 126.6 (C-7), 127.5 ( $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}$ ), 129.0 ( $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$ ), 134.9 (C$\left.4^{\prime}\right), 137.0$ (C-1'), 145.0 (C-9a), 145.6 (C-5a) and 167.1 (C-2).

## 3,4-Dihydro-4-(4-fluorophenyl)-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one (227). ${ }^{26}$ -

The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 3,4-dihydro-4-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one (65) was followed, using 2,3-dihydro-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-4 H -1-benzopyran-4-one (192) (3g, 12.3 mmol ), MCPBA ( $50 \% ; 4.65 \mathrm{~g}, 13.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 50 ml ). Work-up afforded 2,3-dihydro-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-1,5-benzodioxepin-4-one (227) ( $1.77 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ), m.p. $125-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{26} 125-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $\nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1750(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7.0$ and $13.3,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 5.8$ and 13.3, 3H), $5.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 7.01-7.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.11\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.13-$ $7.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8-\mathrm{H}$ and $6-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.35-7.39\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $6^{\prime}-$ $\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 38.5(\mathrm{C}-3), 82.8(\mathrm{C}-4), 115.7\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CF}} 22.1, \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}\right.$ and $\mathrm{C}-5$ '), 120.4 (C-6), 124.1 (C-9), $125.8(\mathrm{C}-8), 126.6(\mathrm{C}-7), 128.0\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{CF}} 9.1, \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\right.$ and $\mathrm{C}-6$ '), 134.4
$\left({ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{CF}} 3.0, \mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}\right), 145.3\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}} 59.4, \mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}\right), 161.7(\mathrm{C}-9 \mathrm{a}), 164.2(\mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{a})$ and $167.2(\mathrm{C}-2)$.

3,4-Dihydro-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one (228). ${ }^{26}$ -
The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-4-one (65) was followed, using 2,3-dihydro-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (193) ( $0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), MCPBA ( $50 \% ; 2.06 \mathrm{~g}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 20 ml ). The mixture was refluxed for 72 hours. Work-up and flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (1:3)] afforded 2,3-dihydro-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,5-benzodioxepin-4-one (228) $(0.36 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \%)$, m.p. $120-121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., $\left.{ }^{26} 121-122^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \nu_{\max }$ $(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1755(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.08-3.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 3.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 6.90\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.8,3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.97-6.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8-\mathrm{H})$, 7.11-7.14 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 9-\mathrm{H}$ and $6-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.28\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.8,2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 38.4(\mathrm{C}-3), 55.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 83.2(\mathrm{C}-4), 114.1\left(\mathrm{C}-3{ }^{\prime}\right.$ and $\mathrm{C}-$ $\left.5^{\prime}\right), 120.3$ (C-6), 124.4 (C-8), 125.6 (C-9), 126.4 (C-7), 127.6 (C-2' and $\mathrm{C}^{\prime} 6^{\prime}$ ), 130.6 (C-1'), 145.0 (C-9a), 145.7 (C-5a), 160.1 (C-4') and 167.6 (C-2).

3-Bromo-3-phenylpropionic acid (231). -
A mixture of cinnamic acid $(20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.14 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{HBr}(45 \%$ in AcOH$)(138 \mathrm{ml}, 0.78 \mathrm{~mol})$ was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours. The resulting mixture was quenched with ice-water and the resulting crystals were filtered and then recrystallised from ethanol to afford 3-bromo-3-phenylpropionic acid (231) (18.9g, $60 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.27(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J 6.1 and $16.7,2-\mathrm{H}), 3.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 8.9$ and $16.7,2-\mathrm{H}), 5.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 6.1$ and $8.9,3-\mathrm{H}), 7.28-$ $7.44(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $9.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 44.5(\mathrm{C}-2), 47.0(\mathrm{C}-3)$,
$127.2,128.8,128.9$ and $140.5(\mathrm{ArC})$ and 175.6 (C-1).
$2 \mathrm{H}-1,5$-Benzodioxepin-2-one (239). ${ }^{26}$ -
A mixture of chromone ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.014 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and MCPBA ( $50 \% ; 9.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.027 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 50 ml ) was boiled under reflux for 48 hours. After cooling, the solvent was evaporated and the residue was dissolved in EtOAc ( 50 ml ). The resulting solution was washed with $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{ml}$ ), dried (anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ) and the solvent was then evaporated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (4:6)] to afford three fractions:
(i) starting material,
(ii) $2 \mathrm{H}-1,5$-Benzodioxepin-2-one (239) $\left(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.4 \%\right.$ ), m.p. $150-152^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}$ 162.032. $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $M, 162.032$ ); $\nu_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1605(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 6.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.6$, $9-\mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 8.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 4-\mathrm{H})$ and $8.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.5$ and $8.1,6-\mathrm{H})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 118.5(\mathrm{C}-3), 121.9(\mathrm{C}-6), 124.6(\mathrm{C}-8), 125.6(\mathrm{C}-9), 133.5(\mathrm{C}-7)$, 138.5 (C-4), 141.8 (C-9a), 156.3 (C-5a) and $173.4(\mathrm{C}-2) ; m / z 162\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100 \%\right)$. and
(iii) 2,3-epoxy-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (240) ( $0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \%$ ), m.p. $98-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{171} 65$ $\left.66^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 2.4,2-\mathrm{H}), 3.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 2.4,3-\mathrm{H}), 7.05$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 0.6$ and $8.4,8-\mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 7.55(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J 1.8,7.3,9.0$ and $15.7,7-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.8$ and $7.9,5-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 55.3(\mathrm{C}-3)$, 77.2 (C-2), 118.0, 119.8, 123.3, 127.1, 136.2 and $155.4(\mathrm{ArC})$ and 188.1 (C-4), and

3,4-Dihydro-4-phenyl-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one (250). -

## METHOD 1

A mixture of 2-hydroxythiophenol ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), cinnamic acid $(2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{HBr}(45 \%$ in AcOH$)(10 \mathrm{ml})$ was heated under reflux until most of the starting material had reacted (checked by NMR). Ethyl acetate was added to the reaction mixture and the resulting solution was washed with aqueous NaOH . The aqueous layer was acidified (dilute HCl ), extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{ml}$ ), dried (anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ) and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo to give a residue which, together with a catalytic amount of $p$-toluenesulphonic acid in toluene ( 150 ml ) was refluxed under Dean-Stark apparatus for 12 hours. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in EtOAc, washed with aqueous NaOH and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAchexane (3:7) to afford two fractions:
(i) starting material, and
(ii) 3,4-dihydro-4-phenyl-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one (250) (0.35g, $9 \%$ ), m.p. $94-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\nu_{\max }$ $(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1770(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.93-3.02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 4.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ 7.2 and $9.5,4-\mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.29(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.41-7.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ 1.7 and $8.1,6-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 40.0(\mathrm{C}-3), 50.3(\mathrm{C}-4), 120.3(\mathrm{C}-9), 121.6(\mathrm{C}-$ $1^{\prime}$ ), 126.3 ( $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}$ ), 126.6 ( $\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}$ ), 128.2 (C-7), 128.9 ( $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$ ), 131.4 (C8), 136.4 (C-6), 141.8 (C-5a), 154.1 (C-9a) and $167.5(\mathrm{C}-2) ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 256\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 10.1 \%\right)$ and 131 ( $100 \%$ ).

## METHOD 2

A mixture of 2-hydroxythiophenol ( $3 \mathrm{~g}, 23.81 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and cinnamic acid $(3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 23.81 \mathrm{mmol})$ was heated at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ until most of the acid had reacted (checked by NMR). $p$-Toluenesulphonic acid (catalytic amount) and toluene were then added and the resulting mixture was boiled under reflux in the Dean-Stark apparatus for 10 hours. The solvent was evaporated and the residue taken up in EtOAc. The resulting solution was washed with aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}\left(3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml}\right.$ ), dried (anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ) and the solvent was evaporated. The residue obtained was purified by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (2:8)] to give 3,4-dihydro-4-phenyl-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one (250)(1.5g, $24 \%)$.

Attempted preparation of 3,4-dihydro-4-phenyl-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one (250). ${ }^{145,270}$ A stirred mixture of cinnamic acid $(10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.067 \mathrm{~mol})$ and thiophenol $(8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.073 \mathrm{~mol})$ in HBr -acetic acid $(45 \%, 10 \mathrm{~g})$ was boiled under reflux for 9 hours. The cooled reaction mixture was treated with water $(300 \mathrm{ml})$ and was then steam-distilled to remove theunreacted thiophenol. The hot aqueous layer was decanted and the oily residue was washed twice with hot water. The residue was dissolved in chloroform ( 60 ml ) and dried (anhyd. $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ). The solvent was evaporated to afford 3-phenyl-3-thiophenylpropionic $\operatorname{acid}(12.1 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%)$, m.p. $85-87^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from hexane); $\nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3000(\mathrm{OH})$ and 1705 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 8.1$ and $13.9,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7.6$ and $13.9,3-\mathrm{H}), 4.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.60(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $11.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 40.6(\mathrm{C}-2), 48.6(\mathrm{C}-3), 127.6,127.9,128.4,128.8,133.4,133.5$ and 140.2 ( ArC ) and 177.0 (C-1).

4-(4-Bromophenyl)-3,4-dihydro-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one (251).
The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 3,4-dihydro-4-phenyl-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one (250) was followed, using 2-hydroxythiophenol ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 4bromocinnamic acid $(3.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{HBr}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. Work-up and purification by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (3:7)] afforded two fractions:
(i) starting material, and
(ii) 4-(4-bromophenyl)-3,4-dihydro-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one (251) (0.22g, $4 \%$ ), m.p. 56-
$58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+} 351.983$. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{BrS}: M, 351.977$ ); $\nu_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1760$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.92-3.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 4.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7.1$ and $10.4,4-$ H), $7.12\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.4,3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.25-7.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{H}$ and $9-\mathrm{H}), 7.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $7.9,2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\left.6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.47-7.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.7$ and $8.2,6-\mathrm{H})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 39.8(\mathrm{C}-3), 49.6(\mathrm{C}-4), 120.4(\mathrm{C}-9), 121.3\left(\mathrm{C}-4{ }^{\prime}\right), 122.1\left(\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}\right)$, 126.7 ( $\mathrm{C}-7$ ), 128.1 ( $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$ ), 131.6 (C-8), 132.1 ( $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}$ ), 136.4 (C-6), 140.6 (C-5a), 154.1 (C-9a) and $167.1(\mathrm{C}-2) ; m / z 334\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 6.1 \%\right)$ and $209(100 \%)$.

4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3,4-dihydro-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one (252). -
The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 3,4-dihydro-4-phenyl-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one (250) was followed, using 2-hydroxythiophenol ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{HBr}(10 \mathrm{ml})$ and 4 -chlorocinnamic acid $(2.9 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{~mol})$. Work-up followed by flash chromatography afforded two fractions:
(i) starting material, and
(ii) 4-(4-chlorophenyl)-3,4-dihydro-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one (252) ( $0.61 \mathrm{~g}, 13 \%$ ), m.p. $60-62^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}$290.016. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: M, 290.017$ ); $\nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ $1760(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.95-3.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 4.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7.1$ and 9.3 , $4-\mathrm{H}), 7.18\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.4,3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.25-7.30\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.9-\mathrm{H}\right)$, 7.47-7.51 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.56-7.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 39.8(\mathrm{C}-3)$, 49.5 (C-4), 120.4 (C-9), 121.3 (C-5a), 126.7 (C-7), 127.7 (C-3' and $\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$ ), 129.1 (C-2' and C-6'), 131.5 (C-8), 134.0 (C-4'), 136.3 (C-6), 140.1 (C-1'), 154.0 (C-9a) and 167.2 (C-2); $m / z 290\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 7.7 \%\right)$ and $165(100 \%)$.

4-(4-Fluorophenyl)-3,4-dihydro-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one (253).
The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 3,4-dihydro-4-phenyl-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one (250) was followed, using 2-hydroxythiophenol ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 4fluorocinnamic acid $(2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{HBr}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. Work-up followed by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (3:7)] afforded two fractions:
(i) starting material, and
(ii) 4-(4-fluorophenyl)-3,4-dihydro-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one (253) (0.44g, $10 \%$ ), m.p. 77$80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}$270.046. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{FO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: M, 270.046$ ); $\nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1760$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.95-3.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 4.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7.0$ and $9.3,4-\mathrm{H})$, $7.00\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.21-7.23\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.24-7.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{H}$ and $9-\mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.6$ and $7.6,6-\mathrm{H})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 40.1(\mathrm{C}-3), 49.5(\mathrm{C}-4), 115.8\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CF}} 22.1, \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}-5{ }^{\prime}\right), 120.4(\mathrm{C}-$ 9), 121.4 (C-5a), 126.6 (C-7), 128.1 ( ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{CP}} 8.1, \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-6$ ) , 131.5 (C-8), 136.3 (C-6),
$137.5\left({ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{CF}} 3.0, \mathrm{C}-1{ }^{\prime}\right), 154.1(\mathrm{C}-9 \mathrm{a}), 162.4\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}} 247.5, \mathrm{C}-4{ }^{\prime}\right)$ and $167.3(\mathrm{C}-2) ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 274$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 12.5 \%\right)$ and $149(100 \%)$.

4-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3,4-dihydro-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one (254).
The experimental procedure employed for the synthesis of 3,4-dihydro-4-phenyl-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one (250) was followed, using 2 -hydroxythiophenol ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{HBr}(10 \mathrm{ml})$ and 4-methoxycinnamic acid ( $2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{~mol}$ ). Work-up followed by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (3:7)] afforded three fractions:
(i) starting material,
(ii) 4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3,4-dihydro-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one (254) ( $0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 4.4 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 12.7,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.78\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 4-\mathrm{H})$, $6.84\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.8,3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.16\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.7,2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.23-7.27(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{H}$ and $9-\mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.7$ and $6.6,6-\mathrm{H})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 40.1(\mathrm{C}-3), 49.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 55.2(\mathrm{C}-4), 114.2\left(\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}\right) ; 120.2$ (C-9), 121.7 (C-5a), 126.5 (C-7), 127.5 (C-2' and C-6'), 131.2 (C-8), 133.8 (C-1'), 136.3 (C-6), $154.0(\mathrm{C}-9 \mathrm{a}), 159.3\left(\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}\right)$ and $167.5(\mathrm{C}-2) ; m / z 286\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 11.1 \%\right)$ and 161 (100\%).
(iii) 1-(2-hydroxyphenylthio)-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethane (255) (0.24g, $5.2 \%$ ) (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}$ 260.086. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: M, 260.087$ ); $\nu_{\max }\left(\right.$ thin film) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3500-3300(\mathrm{OH})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.61\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.0, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.79\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH})$, $6.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.78-6.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.9, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$
8.6, ArH$)$ and $7.22-7.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 48.7\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $55.2(\mathrm{CH}), 113.8,114.5,118.0,120.3,128.1,131.4,134.4,136.9,157.4$ and 158.9 $(\mathrm{ArC}) ; m / z 260\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1.4 \%\right)$ and $135(100 \%)$.

Attempted preparation of 3,4-dihydro-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one (257) : oxidation of 2,3-dihydro-4H-benzothiopyran-4-one (256).

MCPBA $(50 \% ;, 6.9 \mathrm{~g}, 0.020 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added slowly ${ }^{1}$ to a solution of 2,3-dihydro- 4 H -benzothiopyran-4-one (256) (3g, 0.018 mol ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{ml})$. [Thin layer chromatography (TLC) showed the reaction to be complete after addition of MCPBA]. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was taken up in EtOAc ( 50 ml ). The resulting solution was washed with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{ml})$, dried (anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ) and the solvent was evaporated. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (1:1)] to afford two fractions:
(i) 2,3-dihydro- 4 H -benzothiopyran-4-one 1-oxide (258) (2.28g, $70 \%$ ) (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}$ 180.023. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: M, 180.025$ ); $\nu_{\text {max }}($ thin film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1690(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ and -1055 $(\mathrm{SO}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.80-2.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.46(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2-\mathrm{H}$ and $3-\mathrm{H})$, $7.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 7.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.7,8-\mathrm{H})$ and $8.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.7,5-$ $\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 30.2(\mathrm{C}-3), 46.6(\mathrm{C}-2), 128.4,128.8,129.1,132.0,134.5$ and $145.4(\mathrm{ArC})$ and $191.9(\mathrm{C}-4) ; m / z 180\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 9.5 \%\right)$ and $152(100 \%)$; and
${ }^{1}$ MCPBA must be added slowly, otherwise a violent exothermic reaction occurs with the release of unpleasant fumes.
(ii) 2,3-dihydro-4H-benzothiopyran-4-one-1,1-dioxide (259) ( $0.81 \mathrm{~g}, 23 \%$ ), m.p. 131$132^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from dilute AcOH ) (lit., ${ }^{179} 131-133^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}$196.018. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ : $M, 196.019) ; \nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1675(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ and $1155\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.39$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 3.68(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 7.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 7.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $7.8,8-\mathrm{H})$ and $8.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.8,5-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 36.7(\mathrm{C}-3), 49.2(\mathrm{C}-2)$, 123.6, 128.7, 130.2, 133.3, 134.9 and $141.4(\mathrm{ArC})$ and $190.1(\mathrm{C}-4) ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 196\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, $16.2 \%$ ) and 104 ( $100 \%$ ).

Note: When 1 equivalent of MCPBA was used, only 2,3-dihydro-4 $H$-benzothiopyran-4-one-1-oxide was obtained.

Attempted oxidation of 2,3-dihydro-4H-benzothiopyran-4-one 1,1-dioxide (259) with MCPBA in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ resulted in recovery of the starting material.

2,3-Dihydro-3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one (263) and 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one (266). -

A mixture of thiosalicylic acid $(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 6.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, epoxystyrene $(0.77 \mathrm{~g}, 6.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $p$ toluenesulphonic acid $(0.03 \mathrm{~g})$ in benzene ( 50 ml ) was boiled in a Dean-Stark apparatus for 12 hours. After cooling, the solvent was evaporated and the residue was dissolved in EtOAc ( 50 ml ). The resulting solution was washed with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$, dried (anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ) and the solvent evaporated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (3:7)] to afford two fractions:
(i) 2,3-dihydro-3-phenyl-4, 1-benzoxathiepin-5-one (263) (0.43g, $26 \%$ ), m.p. $38-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \nu_{\max }$
(thin film) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1720(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 6.8$ and $14.3,2-\mathrm{H})$, $3.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 6.0$ and $14.3,2-\mathrm{H}), 5.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 6.0$ and $6.8,3-\mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.36(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ and $\left.8-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.42(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 1.5,7.6$ and $15.2,7-$ H) and $8.14(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 1.1$ and $7.8,9-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 40.6(\mathrm{C}-2), 83.3(\mathrm{C}-3)$, 124.1 ( $\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}$ ), 126.5 (C-8), 127.4 (C-5a or C-9a), 127.6 (C-6), 128.6 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$ ), 129.5 (C-2' and C-6'), 132.4 (C-9), 133.5 (C-7), 134.5 (C-5a or C-9a), 138.1 (C-1') and $163.7(\mathrm{C}-5) ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 256\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 21 \%\right)$ and $136(100 \%)$; and
(ii) 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one (266) (0.12g, $7.2 \%$ );
$\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 4.0$ and $12.2,3-\mathrm{H}), 4.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7.6$ and $12.2,3-$ H), $6.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 4.0$ and $7.6,2-\mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.45(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $8.12-8.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 66.0(\mathrm{C}-3), 77.9$ (C-2), 124.7 (C-4'), 125.9 (C-5a or C-9a), 126.7 (C-3' and C-5'), 128.5 (C-8), 128.7 (C-2' and C-6'), 131.0 (C-6), 131.9 (C-9), 132.7 (C-7), 136.8 (C-5a or C-9a), 138.2 (C-1') and 166.0 (C-5).

2,3-Dihydro-3-(4-bromophenyl)-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one (261) and 2,3-dihydro-2-(4-bromophenyl)-4, 1-benzoxathiepin-5-one (264). -

The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 2,3-dihydro-3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one (263) and 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one (266) was followed, using thiosalicylic acid ( $0.58 \mathrm{~g}, 3.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-bromoepoxystyrene ( 211 ) ( 0.7 g , 3.77 mmol ) and a catalytic amount of $p$-toluene sulphonic acid $(0.01 \mathrm{~g})$. Work-up followed by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (4:6)] afforded two fractions:
(i) 2,3-dihydro-3-(4-bromophenyl)-4, 1-benzoxathiepin-5-one (261) (0.16g, 13\%), m.p. 50$52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}, 333.967$. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: M, 333.966$ ); $\nu_{\max }\left(\right.$ thin film) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ $1721(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 6.4$ and $14.4,2-\mathrm{H}), 3.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ 6.1 and $14.4,2-\mathrm{H}), 5.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 7.12\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.3,2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.20-7.25$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ and $8-\mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.41\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.7-\mathrm{H}\right)$ and $8.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.8$, $9-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 40.0(\mathrm{C}-2), 82.9(\mathrm{C}-3), 121.6(\mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{a}), 124.1(\mathrm{C}-9 \mathrm{a}), 126.7(\mathrm{C}-$ 8), 127.6 (C-6), 131.3 ( $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$ ), 131.8 ( $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}$ ), 132.6 (C-9), 133.5 (C$\left.1^{\prime}\right), 133.6(\mathrm{C}-7), 137.9\left(\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}\right)$ and $163.6(\mathrm{C}-5) ; m / z 336\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 6 \%\right)$ and $165(100 \%)$; and
(ii) 2,3-dihydro-2-(4-bromophenyl)-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one (264) (0.02g, $2 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 4.0$ and $12.2,3-\mathrm{H}), 4.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7.3$ and $12.2,3-$ H), $6.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 4.0$ and $7.3,2-\mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.34\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$, $6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\left.6-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.48-7.52\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.7-\mathrm{H}\right)$ and $8.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.3$ and 7.4 , 9-H).

2,3-Dihydro-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one (262) and 2,3-dihydro-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-4, 1-benzoxathiepin-5-one (265).

The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 2,3-dihydro-3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one (263) and 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one (266) was followed, using thiosalicylic acid ( $3.4 \mathrm{~g}, 22.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-chloroepoxystyrene ( 3 g , $22.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $p$-toluenesulphonic acid $(0.05 \mathrm{~g})$. Work-up and purification by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (3:7)] afforded two fractions:
(i) 2,3-dihydro-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one (262) (0.5g, 8\%), m.p. 30-
$32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}$290.018. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: M, 290.017$ ); $\nu_{\max }$ (thin film) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ $1720(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 6.4$ and $14.4,2-\mathrm{H}), 3.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ 6.1 and $14.4,2-\mathrm{H}), 5.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.24\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.6-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.26-$ $7.30\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.8-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.43(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 1.5,7.6$ and $15.2,7-\mathrm{H})$ and 8.12 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.1$ and $7.8,9-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 39.7(\mathrm{C}-2), 82.8(\mathrm{C}-3), 126.5(\mathrm{C}-8)$, 127.5 (C-6), 128.6 (C-3' and C-5'), 130.8 (C-2' and C-6'), 132.4 (C-9), 132.9 (C-4'), 133.3 (C-9a), 133.5 (C-7), 137.8 (C-1') and $163.6(\mathrm{C}-5) ; m / z 290\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 9 \%\right)$ and 165 ( $100 \%$ ); and
(ii) 2,3-dihydro-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-4, 1-benzoxathiepin-5-one (265) (0.1g, 2\%);
$\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 4.0$ and $12.2,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7.4$ and $12.2,3-$ H), $5.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 4.0$ and $7.3,2-\mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.12(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ and $8-\mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.35(5 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\left.7-\mathrm{H}\right)$ and $8.02(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 1.2$ and $7.4,9-\mathrm{H})$;
$\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 65.7(\mathrm{C}-3), 77.1(\mathrm{C}-2), 124.8(\mathrm{C}-8), 125.7(\mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{a}), 128.1\left(\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}\right.$ and $\mathrm{C}^{\prime} 5^{\prime}$ ), 128.9 ( $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}$ ), 131.1 (C-6), 131.8 (C-9), 132.8 (C-7), 134.4 (C-4'); 135.4 (C-9a), 138.3 (C-1') and 165.8 (C-5).

## 2,3-Dihydro-3-(4-bromophenyl)-4,1-benzoxathiepine (267). -

A mixture of 2-mercaptobenzenemethanol (216) ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 7.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-bromoepoxystyrene (211) ( $1.42 \mathrm{~g}, 7.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $p$-toluenesulphonic acid $(0.03 \mathrm{~g})$ in benzene $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ was heated under reflux in a Dean Stark apparatus for 72 hours. After cooling, the solvent was evaporated and the oily residue was purified by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (4:6)] to afford 2,3-dihydro-3-(4-bromophenyl)-4,1-benzoxathiepine (267)
(1.05g, $47 \%$ ), m.p. $42-44^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}$319.988. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ BrOS: $M, 319.987$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 5.4$ and $14.2,2-\mathrm{H}), 3.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7.1$ and $14.2,2-$ H), $4.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 5.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 5.5$ and $7.1,3-\mathrm{H}), 6.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 0.6$ and 7.6 , $8-\mathrm{H}), 7.07(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 1.8,7.6$ and $14.4,7-\mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ and $9-\mathrm{H}), 7.19$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.4,3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right)$ and $7.47\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.4,2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 41.4(\mathrm{C}-2), 69.7(\mathrm{C}-5), 81.7(\mathrm{C}-3), 120.8\left(\mathrm{C}-4{ }^{\prime}\right), 124.5(\mathrm{C}-7), 125.6$ (C-8), 127.1 and 127.2 (C-6 and C-9), 129.4 (C-9a), 131.1 (C-3' and C-5'), 131.3 (C-2' and $\left.\mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}\right), 131.5\left(\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}\right)$ and $135.1(\mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{a}) ; m / z 320\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 5 \%\right)$ and $151(100 \%)$.

## 2,3-Dihydro-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-4,1-benzoxathiepine (268).

The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 2,3-dihydro-3-(4-bromophenyl)-4, 1-benzoxathiepine (267) was followed, using 2-mercaptobenzenemethanol (216) ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 7.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-chloroepoxystyrene (212) ( $1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 7.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $p$-toluenesulphonic acid $(0.03 \mathrm{~g})$. Work-up followed by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAchexane (4:6)] afforded 2,3-dihydro-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-4, 1-benzoxathiepine (268) (0.83g, $43 \%) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.08(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 5.5$ and $14.2,2-\mathrm{H}), 3.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7.0$ and $14.2,2-\mathrm{H}), 4.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 5.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 5.5$ and $7.0,3-\mathrm{H}), 6.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 0.6$ and 7.6, 9-H), $7.06(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 1.8,7.6$ and $14.4,7-\mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ and $8-$ H), $7.24\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.5,2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right)$ and $7.32\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.5,3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 41.5(\mathrm{C}-2), 69.8(\mathrm{C}-5), 81.9(\mathrm{C}-3), 124.6(\mathrm{C}-7), 125.6(\mathrm{C}-9), 127.2$ and 127.3 ( $\mathrm{C}-6$ and $\mathrm{C}-8$ ), 128.4 ( $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$ ), 129.5 (C-9a), 130.8 ( $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}$ ), $131.6\left(\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}\right), 132.7\left(\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}\right)$ and $134.6(\mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{a}) ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 276\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 8.4 \%\right)$ and $151(100 \%)$.

## 2,3-Dihydro-3-(4-fluorophenyl)-4, 1-benzoxathiepine (269). -

The experimental procedure employed for the synthesis of 2,3-dihydro-3-(4-bromophenyl)-4,1-benzoxathiepine (267) was followed, using 2-mercaptobenzenemethanol (216) $(1 \mathrm{~g}, 7.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4-fluoroepoxystyrene ( $\mathbf{2 1 3})(1 \mathrm{~g}, 7.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $p$-toluenesulphonic acid ( 0.03 g ). Work-up and flash chromatography [EtOAc-hexane (3:7) as eluant] afforded 2,3-dihydro-3-(4-fluorophenyl)-4,1-benzoxathiepine (269) (0.96g, 53\%); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.06(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 5.6$ and $14.2,2-\mathrm{H}), 3.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 6.9$ and $14.2,2-$ H), $4.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 5.31(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 5.7$ and $7.0,3-\mathrm{H}), 6.96-7.10(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and 7.24-7.28 (2H, m, ArH).

2,3-Dihydro-3-phenyl-4, 1-benzoxathiepine (270). -
A mixture of 2-mercaptobenzenemethanol (216) (1g, 7.00 mmol ), epoxystyrene ( 0.86 g , $7.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $p$-toluenesulphonic acid $(0.03 \mathrm{~g})$ in benzene $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ was heated under reflux in a Dean-Stark apparatus for 72 hours. After cooling, the solvent was evaporated and the oily residue was purified by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (3:7)] to afford 2,3-dihydro-3-phenyl-4, 1-benzoxathiepine (270) ( $0.81 \mathrm{~g}, 48 \%$ );
$\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 5.8$ and $14.1,2-\mathrm{H}), 3.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7.0$ and $14.1,2-$ H), $4.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 5.41(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 5.8$ and $7.0,3-\mathrm{H}), 6.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 0.6$ and 7.7 , $8-\mathrm{H}), 7.08(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 2.0,7.6$ and $14.0,7-\mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ and $9-\mathrm{H}), 7.32-$ $7.43(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 42.3(\mathrm{C}-2), 69.9(\mathrm{C}-5), 82.3(\mathrm{C}-3), 124.4(\mathrm{C}-7)$, 125.6 (C-8), 126.9 (C-4'), 127.1 (C-9), 127.3 (C-6), 128.3 (C-3' and C-5'), $129.4\left(\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}\right), 129.6(\mathrm{C}-9 \mathrm{a}), 131.9\left(\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}\right)$ and $136.2(\mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{a}) ; m / z 242\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 18.5 \%\right)$ and 151 (100\%).

1-Acetyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (271) and 4-Acetoxy-1-acetyl-1,2-dihydro-2-phenylquinoline (275). ${ }^{133}$ -

1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (202) ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 8.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was refluxed in $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 20 ml ) for 3 hours. After cooling, the reaction mixture was poured into iced water ( 100 ml ). The resulting solution was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml}$ ), dried (anhyd. $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ) and the solvent evaporated. The residue obtained was purified by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (4:6)] to afford two fractions:
(i) 1-acetyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (271) $(0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 9 \%)$, m.p. $167-168^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (lit. $\left.{ }^{133} 166-167^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.42\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J 5.8$ and $17.9,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.8$ and $18.0,3-\mathrm{H}), 6.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 7.12-$ $7.23(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.43-7.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.6$ and $7.9,5-\mathrm{H})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 23.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 42.6(\mathrm{C}-3), 54.7(\mathrm{C}-2), 125.09(\mathrm{C}-8), 125.5(\mathrm{C}-6)$, 126.1 (C-4a), 126.8 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime} 3^{\prime}$ andC-5'), 127.3 (C-4'), 127.6 (C-5), 128.6 (C-2' and C-6'), 134.4 (C-7), $137.9\left(\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}\right), 141.8(\mathrm{C}-8 \mathrm{a})$ and $170.1(\mathrm{C}-4) ; m / z 265\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 49 \%\right)$ and 146 (100\%); and
(ii) 4-acetoxy-1-acetyl-1,2-dihydro-2-phenylquinoline (275) (1.0g, $36 \%$ ), m.p. $119-121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (lit., $\left.{ }^{133} 120-121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.27\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 2.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 6.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.6,3-\mathrm{H}), 6.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, $2-\mathrm{H}), 7.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, ArH$), 7.13-$ $7.24(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.33(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.6, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 20.8\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$, $22.8\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 53.0(\mathrm{C}-2), 117.5(\mathrm{C}-3), 121.7,124.9,125.4,127.6,127.8,128.4$, 135.4, 138.2 and $143.7(\mathrm{ArC})$ and 168.7 and $170.1\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 307$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 15 \%\right)$ and $146(100 \%)$.

1-Acetyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-(4-bromophenyl)-4-quinolone (272) and 4-Acetoxy-1-acetyl-1,2-dihydro-2-(4-bromophenyl)quinoline (276). ${ }^{133}$ -

The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 1-acetyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (271) and 4-acetoxy-1-acetyl-1,2-dihydro-2-phenylquinoline (275) was followed, using 2-(4-bromophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (203) (4.0g, 13.25 mmol ) and $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{ml})$. Work-up followed by flash chromatography [EtOAchexane (4:6)] afforded two fractions:
(i) 1-acetyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-(4-bromophenyl)-4-quinolone (272) (0.21g, 5\%), m.p. $161-162^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH ) (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}$343.023. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2}: M, 343.021$ ); $\nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1655(\mathrm{NC}=\mathrm{O})$ and $1695(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.41(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 5.6$ and $18.1,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 2.1$ and $18.0,3-\mathrm{H}), 6.43$ ( 1 H, br s, $2-\mathrm{H}$ ), $7.03\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.1,3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.15-7.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ and $8-\mathrm{H})$, $7.30\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.3,2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.44-7.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.5$ and $7.8,5-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 23.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 42.4(\mathrm{C}-3), 54.1(\mathrm{C}-2), 121.7,125.0,125.7$, $125.9,127.4,128.5,131.7,134.5,137.1$ and $141.6(\mathrm{ArC}), 170.1$ (C-4) and 192.7 ( NCO ); and
(ii) 4-acetoxy-1-acetyl-1,2-dihydro-2-(4-bromophenyl)quinoline (276) (2.9g, 63\%), m.p. $104-106^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}$385.033. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Br}$ : 385.031); $\nu_{\max }$ $(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1760(\mathrm{OC}=\mathrm{O})$ and $1655(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.26\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$, $2.35\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 6.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.5,3-\mathrm{H}), 6.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 6.99(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s, $8-\mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.20(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.1, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.32(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.5, \mathrm{ArH})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 20.8\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 22.7\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 52.0($ br s, C-2), 116.7 (br s, C-8),
$121.8,121.9,124.5,124.8,125.5,128.5,129.5,131.5,135.2,137.2$ and $144.0(\mathrm{C}-3$ and ArC$), 168.6\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$ and $170.1\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right) ; m / z 385\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 9.9 \%\right)$ and $146(100 \%)$.

1-Acetyl-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (273) and 4-Acetoxy-1-acetyl-1,2-dihydro-2-(4-chlorophenyl)quinoline (277). ${ }^{133}$ -

The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 1-acetyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (271) and 4-acetoxy-1-acetyl-1,2-dihydro-2-phenylquinoline (275) was followed, using 2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (204) $(0.54 \mathrm{~g}$, $2.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10ml). Work-up followed by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (4:6)] afforded two fractions:
(i) 1-acetyl-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (273) ( $0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 39 \%$ ), m.p. $168-170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH ) (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}$299.073. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2}: M, 299.071$ ); $\nu_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1655(\mathrm{NC}=\mathrm{O})$ and $1695(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.41\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $3.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 5.6$ and $18.0,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 2.0$ and $18.0,3-\mathrm{H}), 6.46(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s, $2-\mathrm{H}), 7.10\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.7,3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.15-7.20\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.8-\mathrm{H}\right)$, 7.44-7.49 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.6$ and $7.8,5-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 23.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 42.5(\mathrm{C}-3), 54.1$ (br s, C-2), $125.0(\mathrm{C}-8), 125.7(\mathrm{C}-6), 126.0(\mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{a}), 127.4$ (C5), 128.2 ( $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$ ), 128.8 ( $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}$ ), 133.6 ( $\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}$ ), 134.5 (C-7), 136.6 (C$\left.1^{\prime}\right), 141.6(\mathrm{C}-8 \mathrm{a}), 170.1(\mathrm{C}-4)$ and $192.8(\mathrm{NC}=\mathrm{O}) ; m / z 299\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 42.8 \%\right)$ and 146 ( $100 \%$ ); and
(ii) 4-acetoxy-1-acetyl-1,2-dihydro-2-(4-chlorophenyl)quinoline (277) (0.35g, 45\%), m.p. $84-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}, 341.083$. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}: M, 341.082$ ); $\nu_{\max }$
$(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1760(\mathrm{OC}=\mathrm{O})$ and $1655(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.26\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$, $2.35\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 6.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.5,3-\mathrm{H}), 6.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 6.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $8-\mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.30(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 20.8\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 22.7\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right)$, 52.0 (br s, C-2), 116.5 (br s, C-8), 121.8, 124.5, 124.8, 125.6, 128.5, 128.6, 129.1, 133.7, $135.1,136.7$ and $144.0(\mathrm{C}-3, \mathrm{C}-4$ and ArC$), 168.7\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$ and 170.2 $\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right) ; m / z 341\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 18.1 \%\right)$ and $146(100 \%)$.

1-Acetyl-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (274) and 4-Acetoxy-1-acetyl-1,2-dihydro-2-(4-fluorophenyl)quinoline (278). ${ }^{133}$ -

The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 1-acetyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (271) and 4-acetoxy-1-acetyl-1,2-dihydro-2-phenylquinoline (275) was followed, using 2-(4-fluorophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (205) (1.3g,
$5.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{ml})$. Work-up and flash chromatography afforded two fractions:
(i) 1-acetyl-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (274) (0.1g, 6.6\%), m.p. $128-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}$283.102. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{FO}_{2} \mathrm{~N}: M, 283.101$ ); $\nu_{\max }$ $(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1695(\mathrm{NC}=\mathrm{O})$ and $1665(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.39\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.21$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 5.6$ and $18.0,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 2.1$ and $18.0,3-\mathrm{H}), 6.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, $2-\mathrm{H})$, $6.83\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.8-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.08-7.16\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.6-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.41-7.45$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.6$ and $7.8,5-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 23.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 42.5 (C-3), $54.0(\mathrm{C}-2), 115.4\left(^{2} J_{\text {CF }} 21.1, \mathrm{C}-3\right.$ '), 124.9 (C-8), 125.5 (C-6), 125.8 (C-4a), 127.2 (C-5), $128.4\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{CF}} 9.1, \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\right), 133.7\left({ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{CF}} 3.0, \mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}\right), 134.4(\mathrm{C}-7), 141.5$ (C-8a), $161.8\left({ }^{1} J_{\text {CF }} 246.5, \mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}\right), 170.0(\mathrm{C}-4)$ and $192.8(\mathrm{NC}=\mathrm{O}) ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 283\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 44.3 \%\right)$ and 146 ( $100 \%$ ); and
(ii) 4-acetoxy-1-acetyl-1,2-dihydro-2-(4-fluorophenyl)quinoline (278) (0.97g, $55 \%$ ), m.p. $72-74^{\circ} \mathrm{C}($ from EtOH$) ; \nu_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1760(\mathrm{OC}=\mathrm{O})$ and $1655(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.26\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 2.35\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 6.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.6$, $3-\mathrm{H}), 6.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 6.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.23(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.33(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 5.5$ and $8.7, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 20.8\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 22.7$ $\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 51.9(\mathrm{C}-2), 115.3\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CF}} 21.1, \mathrm{ArC}\right), 117.2(\mathrm{C}-3), 121.8,124.6,124.8,125.5$, $128.5,129.6\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{CF}} 8.1\right), 133.9\left({ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{CF}} 3.0\right), 135.2,143.9$ and $162.4\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}} 246.5\right)(\mathrm{ArC})$, $168.7(\mathrm{OC}=\mathrm{O})$ and $170.1(\mathrm{NC}=\mathrm{O}) ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 325\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 19 \%\right)$ and $240(100 \%)$.

1-Acetyl-2,3-dihydro-2-(4-bromophenyl)-1,4-benzodiazepin-5-one (283) and 1-Acetyl-2,3-dihydro-2-(4-bromophenyl)-1,5-benzodiazepin-4-one (280). ${ }^{133}$ -

A stirred solution of 1-acetyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-(4-bromophenyl)-4-quinolone (272) $(0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 2.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ in trifluoroacetic acid $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ was treated dropwise with TMS- $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ $(0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. After stirring the mixture for 3 days, the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (3:2)] to afford three fractions:
(i) starting material,
(ii) 1-acetyl-2,3-dihydro-2-(4-bromophenyl)-1,4-benzodiazepin-5-one (283) (0.03g, $2.1 \%$ ) (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}$358.033. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}: M, 358.032$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.79$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.31-3.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 5.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 5.5$ and $12.5,2-\mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.11\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.4,3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ 8.4, $2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\left.6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.52-7.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ and $8-\mathrm{H}), 7.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{NH})$ and $7.84-7.87$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 23.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 44.4(\mathrm{C}-3), 62.3(\mathrm{C}-2), 122.2(\mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{a})$,
129.0 ( $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$ ), 129.3 (C-6), 130.2 (C-7), 130.3 (C-9), 131.8 (C-2' and $\mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}$ ), 132.4 (C-8), $133.0\left(\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}\right), 137.0\left(\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}\right), 137.1$ (C-9a), 170.9 and 171.2 (C-5 and $\mathrm{NC}=0$ ); and
(iii) 1-acetyl-2,3-dihydro-2-(4-bromophenyl)-1,5-benzodiazepin-4-one (280) (0.05g, 7\%), m.p. $240-242^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH ) (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+} 358.034$. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}: M$, $358.032) ; \nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1690\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$ and $1650(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.74$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 4.9$ and $13.1,3-\mathrm{H}), 2.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 6.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 4.9$ and 13.8, 2-H), $7.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.0$ and $7.7, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.12-7.28(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.42-7.46$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $8.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 22.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 39.0(\mathrm{C}-3)$, 60.4 (C-2), 122.0, 123.1, 126.6, 128.5, 129.7, 131.4, 131.8, 132.8, 136.3 and 139.0 $(\mathrm{ArC}), 170.2$ and $172.2(\mathrm{C}-4$ and $\mathrm{NC}=\mathrm{O}) ; m / z 358\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 50 \%\right)$ and $119(100 \%)$.

1-Acetyl-2,3-dihydro-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,4-benzodiazepin-5-one (284) and 1-Acetyl-2,3-dihydro-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,5-benzodiazepin-4-one (281). ${ }^{133}$ -

The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of 1-acetyl-2,3-dihydro-2-(4-bromophenyl)-1,4-benzodiazepin-5-one (283) and 1-acetyl-2,3-dihydro-2-(4-bromophenyl)-1,5-benzodiazepin-4-one (280) was followed, using 1-acetyl-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone (273) $(0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, trifluoroacetic acid ( 10 ml ) and TMS- $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ $(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$. Work-up followed by flash chromatography afforded three fractions: (i) starting material
(ii) 1-acetyl-2,3-dihydro-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,4-benzodiazepin-5-one (284) (0.01g, 4\%); m.p. $83-84^{\circ} \mathrm{C}($ from EtOH$) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.80\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.34-3.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$,
$3-\mathrm{H}), 5.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 5.6$ and $12.2,2-\mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}$ and NH$), 7.27\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.5,2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.52-7.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ and $8-$ H) and 7.84-7.88(1H, m, 7-H); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 44.5(\mathrm{C}-3), 62.2(\mathrm{C}-2)$, 128.7 (C-3' and C-5'), 128.9 (C-2' and C-6'), 129.3 (C-6), 130.2 (C-7), 130.3 (C-9), 132.4 (C-8), 133.1 (C-5a), 134.1 (C-4'), 136.5 (C-1'), 137.1 (C-9a), 170.9* and 170.9* (C-5 and $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ); and
(iii) 1-acetyl-2,3-dihydro-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,5-benzodiazepin-4-one (281) (0.03g, $12 \%$ ), m.p. $132-134^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}$314.083. Calc. $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}: M, 314.082$ ); $\nu_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1690\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$ and $1655(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.74\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $2.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 4.9$ and $13.1,3-\mathrm{H}), 2.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 6.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 4.9$ and $13.8,2-$ H), $7.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.9, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.19-7.29(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.44(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 1.3,7.7$ and 15.4, ArH$)$ and $8.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 22.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 39.0(\mathrm{C}-3), 60.3$ (C-2), 123.1, 126.6, 128.2, 128.8, 129.7, 131.5, 132.8, 133.9, 136.3 and $138.5(\mathrm{ArC})$, 170.2 and $172.1\left(\mathrm{C}-4\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$.

N-Butyl-3-(2-hydroxyphenoxy)-3-phenylpropanamide (287).
A mixture of 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-4-one (65) ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and butylamine ( $0.2 \mathrm{ml}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. The resulting crude product was purified by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (2:3)] to give N -butyl-3-(2-hydroxyphenoxy)-3-phenylpropanamide (287) $(0.36 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%)$, m.p. $106-107^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+} 313.167$. Calc. for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: M, 313.168\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 0.90\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 1.26-1.35 (2H, m, $\left.3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 1.43-1.50\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 2.8$ and $15.9,2-\mathrm{H})$,
$2.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 10.0$ and $15.9,2-\mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.36\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 1^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 2.8$ and $10.0,3-\mathrm{H}), 5.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.43-6.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.86-6.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$, 7.30-7.36 $(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $8.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 13.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.0(\mathrm{C}-$ $\left.3^{\prime}\right), 31.5$ (C-2'), 39.6 (C-1'), 43.7 (C-2), 80.2 (C-3), 116.8, 119.0, 121.5, 124.7, 126.9, $128.4,128.6,140.0,144.5$ and $149.8(\mathrm{ArC})$ and $170.9(\mathrm{C}-1) ; m / z 57(100 \%)$ and 313 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1.3 \%\right)$.

N-Butyl-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-(2-hydroxyphenoxy)propanamide (288). -
The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of N -butyl-3-(2-hydroxyphenoxy)-3-phenylpropanamide (287) was followed, using 2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1,5-benzodioxepin-4-one (226) ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and butylamine $(0.2 \mathrm{ml}$,
$\sim 1.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Work-up and flash chromatography gave N -butyl-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-(2hydroxyphenoxy)propanamide (288) (0.41g, $65 \%$ ), m.p. $84-86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+} 347.128$. Calc. for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}: M, 347.129\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 0.89\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.23-1.34$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 1.42-1.49\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 2.7$ and $15.9,2-\mathrm{H}), 2.90(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 2.6$ and $15.9,2-\mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.33\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 1^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 2.6$ and $10.1,3-\mathrm{H})$, $5.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.1$ and $8.0, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.50-6.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.87-$ $6.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.24-7.31(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $9.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $13.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.9\left(\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}\right), 31.4\left(\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\right), 39.6\left(\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}\right), 43.4(\mathrm{C}-2), 79.4(\mathrm{C}-3), 116.9,119.2$, $121.3,124.8,128.2,128.8,134.2,138.5,144.3$ and $149.6(\mathrm{ArC})$ and $170.8(\mathrm{C}-1) ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 57 ( $100 \%$ ) and $347\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1.1 \%\right)$.

The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of N -butyl-3-(2-hydroxyphenoxy)-3-phenylpropanamide (287) was followed, using 2-(4-bromophenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1,5-benzodioxepin-4-one (225) ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and butylamine $(0.2 \mathrm{ml}$, $1.57 \mathrm{mmol})$. Work-up and flash chromatography afforded 3-(4-bromophenyl)-N-butyl-3-(2-hydroxyphenoxy)propanamide (289) (0.43g, $70 \%$ ), m.p. $101-103^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}$ 391.079. Calc. for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}: M, 391.078\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 0.89\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.29\left(2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, sext, $\left.3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 1.46\left(2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, quint, $\left.2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 2.6$ and $15.9,2-\mathrm{H}), 2.89$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 10.0$ and $15.9,2-\mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.37\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 1^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 2.5$ and 10.0 , $3-\mathrm{H}), 5.81(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s, NH), $6.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.1$ and $7.9, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.50-6.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$, 6.87-6.94 (2H, m, ArH), $7.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.2, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.2, \mathrm{ArH})$ and 8.91 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 13.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.0\left(\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}\right), 31.4\left(\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\right), 39.7\left(\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}\right)$, 43.4 (C-2), 79.5 (C-3), 116.9, 119.2, 121.4, 122.4, 124.9, 128.6, 131.8, 139.1, 144.3 and 149.7 ( ArC ) and $170.7(\mathrm{C}-1) ; m / z 57(100 \%)$ and $393\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1.2 \%\right)$.

## Attempted alkylation of 4-aryl-3,4-dihydro-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-ones

Benzyl cinnamate (293). -
A solution of lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) [prepared by reacting diisopropylamine ( $1.1 \mathrm{ml}, 7.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with BuLi ( $1.5 M$ solution in hexane; $4.1 \mathrm{ml}, 6.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 10 ml ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $c a .-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ] was added dropwise to a mixture of 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-4-one (65) ( $0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 3.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzaldehyde $(0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 10 ml ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $c a .-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hours at $c a$.
$-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, left to warm to room temperature overnight, and then quenched by pouring into ice $-\mathrm{aq} . \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{ml})$. The resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and the combined extracts were dried (anhyd. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ). The solvent was evaporated and the residue was chromatographed [preparative TLC on silica; elution with EtOAc-hexane (3:7)] to give benzyl cinnamate (293)(0.35g, 44\%); $\nu_{\text {max }}($ thin film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1713(\mathrm{C}=0)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{II}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 5.12\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 16, \mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.18-7.30(8 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.37-7.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 16, \mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CH})$;
$\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 66.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 117.9(\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 128.1,128.2,128.3,128.6,128.9$, 130.3, 134.4 and $136.1(\mathrm{ArC}), 145.2(\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CH})$ and $166.6(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 131(100 \%)$ and $238\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 21 \%\right)$.

## 4-Chlorobenzyl cinnamate (294).-

The experimental procedure employed for the synthesis of benzyl cinnamate (293) was followed, using 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-4-one (65) ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 8.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4chlorobenzaldehyde $(1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 12.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ and LDA $\left[\operatorname{Pr}_{2}{ }_{2} \mathrm{NH}(2.6 \mathrm{ml}, 17.80 \mathrm{mmol})\right.$ with ${ }^{\circ}$ $\operatorname{BuLi}(10.4 \mathrm{ml}, 16.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 15 ml )]. Work-up and flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (1:4)] afforded 4-Chlorobenzyl cinnamate (294) (1g, 43\%), m.p. $49-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 70.8 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.1$. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClO}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 70.5 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.8 \%$ ); $\nu_{\max }$ $(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1700(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{11}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 5.11\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 16$, $\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.25-7.32(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.41-7.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 16$, $\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 65.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 117.6(\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 128.1,128.8,128.9$, 129.6, 130.4, 134.2, 134.3 and $134.6(\mathrm{ArC}), 145.4(\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CH})$ and $166.6(\mathrm{C}=0) ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $125(100 \%), 272\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 10 \%\right)$.

4-Nitrobenzyl cinnamate (295).-
The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of benzyl cinnamate (293) was followed, using 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-4-one ( 65 ) ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4nitrobenzaldehyde ( $0.63 \mathrm{~g}, 4.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and LDA $\left[\mathrm{Pr}_{2}{ }_{2} \mathrm{NH}(0.65 \mathrm{ml}, 4.70 \mathrm{mmol})\right.$ with BuLi ( $2.60 \mathrm{ml}, 4.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 10 ml )]. Work-up and flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (1:4)] afforded 4-Nitrobenzyl cinnamate (295) (0.21g, 36\%), m.p. 103-104 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}$283.083. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4}: M, 283.085$ ); $\nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ $1706(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{HI}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 5.34\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 16, \mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CH})$, 7.38-7.41 (3H, m, ArH), 7.51-7.58 (4H, m, ArH), $7.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 16, \mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CH})$ and 8.22-8.25 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 64.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 117.1(\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 123.8$, $128.2,128.4,129.0,130.7,134.1$ and $143.4(\mathrm{ArC}), 146.1(\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 147.8(\mathrm{ArC})$ and $166.4(\mathrm{C}=0) ; m / z 131(100 \%)$ and $283\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 15 \%\right)$.

## 2,2-Dimethylpropyl cinnamate (296). -

The experimental procedure employed for the preparation of benzyl cinnamate (293) was followed, using 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepin-4-one (65) (1g, 4.16 mmol ), $\mathrm{Bu}{ }^{i} \mathrm{CHO}(0.72 \mathrm{~g}, 8.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{LDA}\left[\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{NH}(1.3 \mathrm{ml}, 9.4 \mathrm{mmol})\right.$ with $\mathrm{BuLi}(5.2 \mathrm{ml}$, 8.33 mmol ) in dry THF ( 15 ml ). Work-up and flash chromatography afforded 2,2dimethylpropyl cinnamate (296) ( $0.9 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ) (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}$218.131. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : $M, 218.131) ; \nu_{\max }($ thin film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1706 \mathrm{~cm}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 0.99(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{xCH}_{3}\right), 3.88\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 16, \mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.24-7.29(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$, 7.39-7.43 (2H, m, ArH) and $7.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \ldots 16, \mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 26.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 31.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 73.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 118.3(\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 128.0,128.8,130.1$ and 134.5
$(\mathrm{ArC}), 144.5(\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CH})$ and $167.0(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; m / z 131(100 \%)$ and $218\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 8 \%\right)$.

## Reaction of benzaldehyde with LDA. -

Benzaldehyde ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of LDA [generated from $\operatorname{BuLi}(1.5 \mathbf{M} ; 5.5 \mathrm{ml}, 9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and diisopropylamine ( $1.4 \mathrm{ml}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ )] in dry THF ( 20 ml ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $c a .-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 hour the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight, and then quenched with ice-water, acidified (dilute HCl ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The organic layer was dried (anhydr. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ) and the solvent was evaporated to give a residue which was purified by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (3:7)] to afford, in low yield, two products:
(i) $N, N$-diisopropylbenzamide ; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.24\left(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{xCH}_{3}\right), 3.59(2 \mathrm{H}$, br s, 2 xCH$), 7.18-7.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.25-7.29(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $20.6\left(4 \mathrm{xCH}_{3}\right), 48.0(2 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{xCH}), 125.5(\mathrm{C}-3$ and C-5), 128.3 (C-2 and C-6), 128.5 $(\mathrm{C}-4), 138.9(\mathrm{C}-1)$ and $171.0(\mathrm{C}=0)$, and
(ii) benzyl benzoate ; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 5.37\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.31-7.46(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$, 7.53-7.58 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and 8.06-8.09 $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 66.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $128.1,128.2,128.4,128.6,129.7,130.2,133.0$ and $136.1(\mathrm{ArC})$ and $166.4(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$.

Oxidation of 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4H-benzothiopyran-4-one (220) with MCPBA. A mixture of 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4 H -benzothiopyran-4-one (220) ( $0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and MCPBA $(50 \% ; 1 \mathrm{~g}, \sim 2.91 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{ml})$ was boiled under reflux for 1 hour. The solvent was evaporated and the resulting residue was taken up in EtOAc ( 50 ml ), washed with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{ml})$ and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent
was evaporated and the residue was purified by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (1:1)] to afford two fractions:
(i) 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4 H -benzothiopyran-4-one 1,1-dioxide (298) ( $0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 35 \%$ ); m.p. $153-154^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (lit., $\left.{ }^{272} 155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 3.3$ and $17.8,3-\mathrm{H})$, $3.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 12.7$ and $17.7,3-\mathrm{H}), 4.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 3.3$ and $12.8,2-\mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.48(5 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{PhH}), 7.74(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 1.4,7.8$ and $15.3,6-\mathrm{H}), 7.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J 1.4,9.0$ and 15.2, $7-\mathrm{H}), 8.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.1$ and $7.8,8-\mathrm{H})$ and $8.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.4$ and $7.7,5-\mathrm{H})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 43.0(\mathrm{C}-3), 63.9(\mathrm{C}-2), 124.4,128.0,128.7,129.1,129.8,129.9$, $130.5,133.3,135.0$ and $141.4(\mathrm{ArC})$ and $190.8(\mathrm{C}-4) ; m / z 272\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 4.0 \%\right)$ and 104 (100\%); and
(ii) 2,3-dihydro-2-phenyl-4 H -benzothiopyran-4-one 1-oxide (297) ( $0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 18 \%$ ), m.p 147 $148^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{272} 148-151^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

## 2,3-Dihydro-3-phenyl-4, 1-benzoxathiepin-5-one-1-oxide (299) and 2,3-dihydro-3-phenyl-

 4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one-1,1-dioxide (300). -A mixture of 2,3-dihydro-3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one (263) ( $0.66 \mathrm{~g}, 2.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and MCPBA $(50 \% ; 0.89 \mathrm{~g}, 2.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ) was refluxed for 24 hours. The solvent was evaporated and the residue dissolved in EtOAc. The resulting solution was washed with aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$ and then dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Evaporation of the solvent gave a residue which was purified by flash chromatography [elution with EtOAc-hexane (1:1)] to give three fractions:
(i) starting material,
(ii) 2,3-dihydro-3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one 1-oxide (299) (0.11g, $15.7 \%$ ), m.p. $90-91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 64.50 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.46 . \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ requires: $\mathrm{C}, 66.14 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.44 \%$ ); $\nu_{\max }$ $(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1740(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.43-3.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 5.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ 7.0 and $8.1,3-\mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.34(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.73-7.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}$ and $9-\mathrm{H})$ and 8.23-8.25 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 35.9(\mathrm{C}-2), 90.8(\mathrm{C}-3), 122.6\left(\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}\right), 127.6$ ( $\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}$ ), 128.9 ( $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$ ), 129.8 ( $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}$ ), 130.1 (C-9), 132.3 (C-6), 132.9 (C-5a), 134.0 (C-7), 134.7 (C-8), 139.1 (C-9a) and 161.2 (C-5); and
(iii) 2,3-dihydro-3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one 1,1-dioxide (300) $(0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 28.2 \%)$, m.p. $65-67^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 61.50 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.14 . \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ requires: $\mathrm{C}, 62.47 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.19 \%$ ); $\nu_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1675(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.27-7.40(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.64(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 1.3,7.6$ and $15.2,7-\mathrm{H}), 7.82(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 1.2,7.5$ and $15.2,8-\mathrm{H}), 7.89(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 1.1$ and $7.8,9-\mathrm{H})$ and $8.08(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 1.2$ and $7.8,6-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 35.7(\mathrm{C}-$ 2), $94.6(\mathrm{C}-3), 121.3\left(\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}\right), 125.4(\mathrm{C}-9), 127.6\left(\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}\right), 128.8\left(\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}\right), 130.1$ (C-2' and $\mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}$ ), 131.5 (C-7), 132.1 (C-6), 133.6 (C-5a), 135.2 (C-8), 145.2 (C-9a) and 160.8 (C-5).

2,3-Dihydro-3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepine 1, 1-dioxide (302). -
The same procedure employed for the synthesis of 2,3-dihydro-3-phenyl-1-sulfone-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one (300) was followed, using 2,3-dihydro-3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepine (270) $(1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}), \operatorname{MCPBA}(50 \% ; 4.2 \mathrm{~g}, 8.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dichloromethane $(30 \mathrm{ml})$. Work-up afforded two fractions:
(i) starting material, and
(ii) 2,3-dihydro-3-phenyl-4, 1-benzoxathiepine 1,1-dioxide (302) (0.77g, 70\%), m.p. 81$82^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 65.06 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.93 . \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ requires: $\mathrm{C}, 65.65 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.15 \%$ ) (Found $\mathbf{M}^{+}$ 274.064. Calc. for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{SO}_{3}: M, 274.066\right)$; $\nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1290\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 10.0$ and $14.6,2-\mathrm{H}), 3.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 2.5$ and 14.6, $2-\mathrm{H}), 4.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 2.6$ and $9.8,3-\mathrm{H}), 4.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 16.0$ and $67.9,5-\mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.11$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.35(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.46-7.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{H}$ and $8-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.94-7.97$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 9-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 31.7(\mathrm{C}-2), 69.8(\mathrm{C}-5), 93.1(\mathrm{C}-3), 123.9(\mathrm{C}-9)$, 124.5 ( $\mathrm{C}-6$ ), 127.3 ( $\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}$ ), 128.6 (C-8), 128.7 ( $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$ ), 129.6 ( $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}$ ), 132.4 (C-7), 134.8 (C-1'), 135.1 (C-9a) and 137.5 (C-5a).

### 3.3 KINETIC STUDY OF THE BAEYER-VILLIGER REACTION OF FLAVANONES

## GENERAL PROCEDURE

The kinetic measurements were carried out as follows. The flavanone ( 0.17 mmol ) and MCPBA ( 0.25 mmol ) were accurately weighed into an NMR tube and $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.5 \mathrm{ml})$ was added with a syringe in the case of compound $\mathbf{6 5}$, substrate and MCPBA concentrations ([A] and [B] respectively) were varied to confirm the reaction order\}. The tube was then immediately sealed with a septum. The mixture was shaken (time, $t=t_{0}$ ) and the first 400 $\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture was obtained as quickly as possible (at 303 $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{~K})$. Subsequent spectra were acquired at 30 minute intervals over a period of $c a .15$ hours, using an automatic programme. The total acquisition time for each 32 scan spectrum was 2 m 6 s . The concentration changes were determined from the integrals for the methine proton signals of the flavanone substrate and the lactone product. All runs were carried out in duplicate and the spectra were calibrated relative to the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ signal at $\delta 5.31 \mathrm{ppm}$. The experimental data and the corresponding second-order plots for the various kinetic runs are summarised below, and typically gave straight line plots using the second-order equation:-

$$
\frac{1}{(a-b)} \ln \frac{b(a-x)}{a(b-x)}=k t
$$

where
$\mathrm{a}=$ initial concentration of flavanone
$\mathrm{b}=$ initial concentration of MCPBA
$\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{x}=$ concentration of flavanone at time t
$b-x=$ concentration of MCPBA at time $t$

## Kinetic data for reaction of compound 65

RUN 1
ACG9KIN5

| TTMF | \%FORMATION | [A] | [B] | $\begin{gathered} (1 / a-b) * \ln [b(a-x) / a(b-x)] \\ (\mathrm{Jit} / / \mathrm{mol}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 0.99 | 0.331 | 0.212 | 0.047 |
| 45 | 2.67 | 0.325 | 0.206 | 0.129 |
| 75 | 4.61 | 0.319 | 0.200 | 0.228 |
| 105 | 7.27 | 0.310 | 0.191 | 0.373 |
| 135 | 9.28 | 0.303 | 0.184 | 0.490 |
| 165 | 11.35 | 0.296 | 0.177 | 0.618 |
| 195 | 13.33 | 0.289 | 0.170 | 0.748 |
| 225 | 15.22 | 0.283 | 0.164 | 0.879 |
| 255 | 17.08 | 0.277 | 0.158 | 1.017 |
| 285 | 18.79 | 0.271 | 0.152 | 1.152 |
| 315 | 20.57 | 0.265 | 0.146 | 1.300 |
| 345 | 22.10 | 0.260 | 0.141 | 1.435 |
| 375 | 22.98 | 0.257 | 0.138 | 1.517 |
| 405 | 25.22 | 0.250 | 0.131 | 1.736 |
| 435 | 26.56 | 0.245 | 0.126 | 1.877 |
| 465 | 27.92 | 0.241 | 0.122 | 2.028 |
| 495 | 29.18 | 0.237 | 0.118 | 2.175 |
| 525 | 30.36 | 0.233 | 0.114 | 2.321 |
| 555 | 31.54 | 0.229 | 0.110 | 2.474 |
| 585 | 32.41 | 0.226 | 0.107 | 2.592 |
| 615 | 33.58 | 0.222 | 0.103 | 2.759 |
| 645 | 34.56 | 0.219 | 0.100 | 2.905 |
| 675 | 35.56 | 0.215 | 0.096 | 3.063 |
| 705 | 36.38 | 0.212 | 0.093 | 3.198 |
| 735 | 37.16 | 0.210 | 0.091 | 3.332 |
| 765 | 38.02 | 0.207 | 0.088 | 3.486 |
| 795 | 38.71 | 0.205 | 0.086 | 3.615 |
| 825 | 39.58 | 0.202 | 0.083 | 3.784 |
| 855 | 40.09 | 0.200 | 0.081 | 3.888 |
| 885 | 40.79 | 0.198 | 0.079 | 4.035 |




RUN 2


## Kinetic data for reaction of compound 65



RUN 2


## Kinetic data for reaction of compound 65

RUN :

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & \text { (minutes) } \end{aligned}$ | \%FORMATION | $\|A\|$ | [B] | $\begin{gathered} (1 / a-b)^{*} \ln [b(a-x) / a(b-x)] \\ (\text { lit } / \mathrm{mol}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 1.90 | 0.327 | 0.425 | 0.045 |
| 44 | 5.83 | 0.314 | 0.412 | 0.143 |
| 74 | 9.58 | 0.301 | 0.399 | 0.243 |
| 104 | 13.15 | 0.289 | 0.387 | 0.345 |
| 134 | 16.64 | 0.278 | 0.376 | 0.453 |
| 164 | 19.97 | 0.266 | 0.364 | 0.563 |
| 194 | 23.03 | 0.256 | 0.354 | 0.672 |
| 224 | 26.01 | 0.246 | 0.344 | 0.785 |
| 254 | 28.77 | 0.237 | 0.335 | 0.897 |
| 284 | 31.42 | 0.228 | 0.326 | 1.011 |
| 344 | 36.29 | 0.212 | 0.310 | 1.243 |
| 374 | 38.43 | 0.205 | 0.303 | 1.354 |
| 404 | 40.61 | 0.198 | 0.296 | 1.475 |
| 434 | 42.49 | 0.192 | 0.290 | 1.585 |
| 464 | 44.39 | 0.185 | 0.283 | 1.702 |
| 494 | 46.06 | 0.180 | 0.278 | 1.811 |
| 524 | 47.70 | 0.174 | 0.272 | 1.923 |
| 554 | 49.18 | 0.169 | 0.267 | 2.029 |
| 584 | 50.58 | 0.165 | 0.263 | 2.135 |
| 614 | 51.79 | 0.161 | 0.259 | 2.23 |
| 644 | 52.97 | 0.157 | 0.255 | 2.327 |
| 674 | 53.95 | 0.153 | 0.251 | 2.41 |
| 704 | 54.94 | 0.150 | 0.248 | 2.497 |
| 734 | 55.91 | 0.147 | 0.245 | 2.585 |



RUN 2

| TIME <br> (Ininutes) | ZFORMATION | $[\mathrm{A}]$ | $[\mathrm{B}]$ | (1/a-b)* $\ln [\mathrm{b}) \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{x}) / \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{x})]$ <br> (Iit./mol) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 2.25 | 0.326 | 0.424 | 0.053 |
| 46 | 6.02 | 0.313 | 0.411 | 0.148 |
| 106 | 13.88 | 0.287 | 0.385 | 0.367 |
| 136 | 17.41 | 0.275 | 0.373 | 0.478 |
| 166 | 20.74 | 0.264 | 0.362 | 0.590 |
| 196 | 24.06 | 0.253 | 0.351 | 0.710 |
| 226 | 27.09 | 0.243 | 0.341 | 0.828 |
| 256 | 30.12 | 0.233 | 0.331 | 0.954 |
| 286 | 32.54 | 0.225 | 0.323 | 1.062 |
| 316 | 35.34 | 0.215 | 0.313 | 1.195 |
| 346 | $1 / .83$ | 0.207 | 0.305 | 1.322 |
| 376 | 40.14 | 0.199 | 0.297 | 1.448 |
| 406 | 42.25 | 0.192 | 0.290 | 1.570 |
| 436 | 44.06 | 0.186 | 0.284 | 1.681 |
| 466 | 46.05 | 0.180 | 0.278 | 1.810 |
| 496 | 48.01 | 0.173 | 0.271 | 1.945 |
| 526 | 49.93 | 0.167 | 0.265 | 2.085 |
| 556 | 51.39 | 0.162 | 0.260 | 2.198 |
| 586 | 53.03 | 0.156 | 0.254 | 2.332 |
| 616 | 54.49 | 0.152 | 0.250 | 2.457 |
| 646 | 55.81 | 0.147 | 0.245 | 2.576 |
| 676 | 57.02 | 0.143 | 0.241 | 2.690 |
| 706 | 58.59 | 0.138 | 0.236 | 2.846 |
| 736 | 59.84 | 0.134 | 0.232 | 2.977 |
| 766 | 60.91 | 0.130 | 0.228 | 3.095 |
| 796 | 62.00 | 0.127 | 0.225 | 3.220 |
| 826 | 62.64 | 0.124 | 0.222 | 3.296 |
| 856 | 63.53 | 0.121 | 0.219 | 3.405 |
| 886 | 64.48 | 0.118 | 0.216 | 3.526 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

ACG9KIN2


| Regression Dutput: |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Constant | -0.07837 |
| Std Fir of Y Fst | 0.025231 |
| R Squared | 0.999455 |
| No. of Observations |  |
| Degrees of Freedom | 29 |
|  |  |
| X Coefficient(s) | 0.004098 |
| Std Ert of Coef. | 0.000018 |

Kinetic data for reaction of compound 221

RUN


RUN 2

| $\begin{gathered} \text { TIME } \\ \text { (minutes) } \end{gathered}$ | \%FORMATION | [A] | [B] | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b})^{*} \ln \mid \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{x}) / \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{]} \\ (\mathrm{lit} . / \mathrm{mol}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 2.67 | 0.326 | 0.422 | 0.063 |
| 41 | 9.42 | 0.303 | 0.399 | 0.239 |
| 71 | 16.10 | 0.281 | 0.377 | 0.436 |
| 101 | 21.75 | 0.262 | 0.358 | 0.626 |
| 131 | 26.34 | 0.247 | 0.343 | 0.798 |
| 161 | 31.41 | 0.230 | 0.328 | 1.012 |
| 191 | 35.05 | 0.218 | 0.314 | 1.182 |
| 221 | 38.44 | 0.206 | 0.302 | 1.357 |
| 251 | 41.23 | 0.197 | 0.293 | 1.512 |
| 281 | 43.67 | 0.189 | 0.285 | 1.659 |
| 311 | 45.87 | 0.181 | 0.277 | 1.801 |
| 341 | 47.75 | 0.175 | 0.271 | 1.930 |
| 371 | 49.48 | 0.169 | 0.265 | 2.056 |
| 401 | 52.11 | 0.160 | 0.256 | 2.261 |
| 431 | 53.79 | 0.155 | 0.251 | 2.401 |
| 461 | 54.06 | 0.154 | 0.250 | 2.425 |
| 491 | 55.23 | 0.150 | 0.246 | 2.529 |
| 521 | 55.80 | 0.148 | 0.244 | 2.581 |
| 551 | 56.31 | 0.146 | 0.242 | 2.629 |
| 581 | 57.17 | 0.143 | 0.239 | 2.711 |
| 611 | 57.8 .4 | 0.141 | 0.237 | 2.778 |
| 641 | 57.82 | 0.141 | 0.237 | 2.776 |
| 671 | 58.80 | 0.138 | 0.234 | 2.875 |
| 701 | 58.62 | 0.139 | 0.235 | 2.857 |
| 731 | 59.6 | 0.135 | 0.231 | 2.960 |

ACG22KIN2


## Kinetic data for reaction of compound 224

RUN:


RUN 2

| TIME (minutes) | \% FORMATION | [A] | [3] | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}) * \ln [\mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{x}) / \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{x})] \\ (\mathrm{lit} . / \mathrm{mol}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1!$ | 2.67 | 0.326 | 0.422 | 0.063 |
| 41 | 9. 42 | 0.303 | 0.399 | 0.239 |
| 71 | 16.10 | 0.281 | 0.377 | 0.436 |
| 101 | 21.75 | 0.262 | 0.358 | 0.626 |
| 131 | 26.34 | 0.247 | 0.343 | 0.798 |
| 161 | 31.41 | 0.230 | 0.326 | 1.012 |
| 191 | 35.05 | 0.218 | 0.314 | 1.182 |
| 221 | 38.44 | 0.206 | 0.302 | 1.357 |
| 251 | 41.23 | 0.197 | 0.293 | 1.512 |
| 281 | 43.67 | 0.189 | 0.285 | 1.659 |
| 311 | 45.87 | 0.181 | 0.277 | 1.801 |
| 341 | 47.75 | 0.175 | 0.271 | 1.930 |
| 371 | 49.48 | 0.169 | 0.265 | 2.056 |
| 401 | 52.11 | 0.160 | 0.256 | 2.261 |
| 43! | 53.79 | 0.155 | 0.251 | 2.401 |
| 461 | 54.00 | 0.154 | 0.250 | 2.425 |
| 491 | 55.23 | 0.150 | 0.246 | 2.529 |
| 521 | 55.80 | 0.148 | 0.244 | 2.581 |
| 551 | 56.31 | 0.146 | 0.242 | 2.629 |
| 58: | 57.17 | 0.143 | 0.239 | 2.711 |
| 611 | 57.84 | 0.141 | 0.237 | 2.778 |
| 64. | . 57.82 | 0.141 | 0.237 | 2.776 |
| 67. | 58.80 | 0.138 | 0.234 | 2.875 |
| 701 | 98.62 | 0.139 | 0.235 | 2.857 |
| 731 | 59.60 | 0.135 | 0.231 | 2.960 |



## Kinetic data for reaction of compound 225

RUN 1


RUN 2
ACG10KIN2

| TIME: (minutes) | \% FORAATION | \|A| | [ 8 ] | $\begin{gathered} (1 / a-b)^{*} \ln [b(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{x}) / \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{x}) \mid \\ \text { (lit./mol) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 I | 1.43 | 0.329 | 0.425 | 0.034 |
| 41 | 5.92 | 0.314 | 0.410 | 0.145 |
| 71 | 9.52 | 0.302 | 0.398 | 0.242 |
| 101 | 12.83 | 0.291 | 0.387 | 0.337 |
| 131 | 16.48 | 0.279 | 0.375 | 0.449 |
| 161 | 21.0 | 0.264 | 0.360 | 0.601 |
| 191 | 23.19 | 0.257 | 0.353 | 0.679 |
| 221 | 26.45 | 0.246 | 0.342 | 0.804 |
| 251 | 29.52 | 0.235 | 0.331 | 0.931 |
| 281 | 33.01 | 0.224 | 0.320 | 1.087 |
| 311 | 36.09 | 0.213 | 0.309 | 1.237 |
| 341 | 37.44 | 0.209 | 0.305 | 1.306 |
| 371 | 39.76 | 0.201 | 0.297 | 1.432 |
| 401 | 41.93 | 0.194 | 0.290 | 1.557 |
| 431 | 44.07 | 0.187 | 0.283 | 1.688 |
| 461 | 46.05 | 0.180 | 0.276 | 1.817 |
| 491 | 47.92 | 0.174 | 0.270 | 1.946 |
| 521 | 49.84 | 0.168 | 0.264 | 2.087 |
| 551 | 51.48 | 0.162 | 0.258 | 2.214 |
| 581 | 53.02 | 0.157 | 0.253 | 2.341 |
| 61 i | 54.57 | 0.152 | 0.248 | 2.475 |
| 641 | 55.71 | 0.148 | 0.244 | 2.578 |
| 671 | 57.16 | 0.143 | 0.239 | 2.716 |
| $70:$ | 58.44 | 0.139 | 0.235 | 2.844 |
| 731 | 59.56 | 0.135 | 0.231 | 2.961 |
| $76:$ | (w). 53 | 0.132 | 0.228 | 3.067 |
| 791 | 61.53 | 0.128 | 0.224 | 3.181 |
| 821 | 6.43 | 0.119 | 0.215 | 3.538 |
| 851 | 63.49 | 0.122 | 0.218 | 3.417 |
| 881 | 65.28 | 0.119 | 0.215 | 3.518 |

Kinetic data for reaction of compound 226

RUN 1
ACG14KIN1

| T7ME <br> (minutes) | \%FORMATION | [A] | [ 81 | $\begin{gathered} (1 / a-b) * \ln [b(a-x) / a(b-x)] \\ (\operatorname{lin} / m o l .) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 1.72 | 0.328 | 0.424 | 0.040 |
| 41 | 6.41 | 0.313 | 0.409 | 0.158 |
| 71 | 10.67 | 0.298 | 0.394 | 0.274 |
| 101 | 14.57 | 0.285 | 0.381 | 0.389 |
| 131 | 18.33 | 0.273 | 0.369 | 0.509 |
| 161 | 21.89 | 0.261 | 0.357 | 0.632 |
| 191 | 25.25 | 0.250 | 0.346 | 0.757 |
| 221 | 28.45 | 0.239 | 0.335 | 0.885 |
| - 251 | 31.70 | 0.228 | 0.324 | 1.027 |
| 281 | 34.47 | 0.219 | 0.315 | 1.156 |
| 311 | 37.16 | 0.210 | 0.306 | 1.291 |
| 341 | 39.69 | 0.201 | 0.297 | 1.428 |
| 371 | 42.01 | 0.194 | 0.290 | 1.561 |
| 401 | 44.31 | 0.186 | 0.282 | 1.703 |
| 431 | 46.42 | 0.179 | 0.275 | 1.841 |
| 461 | 48.37 | 0.172 | 0.268 | 1.978 |
| 491 | 50.20 | 0.166 | 0.262 | 2.114 |
| 521 | 51.93 | 0.161 | 0.257 | 2.250 |
| 551 | 53.62 | 0.155 | 0.251 | 2.391 |
| 581 | 55.18 | 0.150 | 0.246 | 2.52 .9 |
| 611 | 56.58 | 0.145 | 0.241 | 2.660 |
| 641 | 58.06 | 0.140 | 0.236 | 2.805 |
| 671 | 59.35 | 0.136 | 0.232 | 2.938 |
| 701 | 60.49 | 0.132 | 0.228 | 3.062 |
| 731 | 61.71 | 0.128 | 0.224 | 3.201 |
| 761 | 62.77 | 0.124 | 0.220 | 3.327 |
| 791 | 63.81 | 0.121 | 0.217 | 3.457 |
| 821 | 64.30 | 0.119 | 0.215 | 3.520 |
| 851 | 65.11 | 0.117 | 0.213 | 3.627 |
| 881 | 65.93 | 0.114 | 0.210 | 3.740 |




RUN 2


Kinetic data for reaction of compound 228

RUN :


| TIME (minutes) | \%FORMATION | [ A ] | 181 | $\begin{gathered} (1 / \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}) * \operatorname{lng} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{x}) / \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{x})] \\ \text { (lit./nol) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 1.28 | 0.329 | 0.426 | 0.030 |
| 42 | 4.97 | 0.316 | 0.413 | 0.121 |
| 72 | 9.71 | 0.301 | 0.398 | 0.247 |
| 102 | 13.74 | 0.287 | 0.384 | 0.364 |
| 132 | 17.85 | 0.274 | 0.371 | 0.493 |
| 162 | 21.61 | 0.261 | 0.358 | 0.622 |
| 192 | 24.23 | 0.252 | 0.349 | 0.718 |
| 222 | 27.49 | 0.241 | 0.338 | 0.846 |
| "252 | 30.52 | 0.231 | 0.328 | 0.974 |
| 282 | 33.48 | 0.222 | 0.319 | 1.109 |
| 312 | 36.01 | 0.213 | 0.310 | 1.232 |
| 342 | 37.48 | 0.208 | 0.305 | 1.308 |
| 372 | 40.30 | 0.199 | 0.296 | 1.461 |
| 402 | 42.50 | 0.191 | 0.288 | 1.590 |
| 432 | 43.66 | 0.188 | 0.285 | 1.661 |
| 462 | 45.33 | 0.182 | 0.279 | 1.768 |
| 492 | 47.16 | 0.176 | 0.273 | 1.891 |
| 522 | 49.27 | 0.169 | 0.266 | 2.042 |
| 552 | 50.62 | 0.164 | 0.261 | 2.145 |
| 582 | \$2,19 | 0.159 | 0.256 | 2.269 |
| 612 | 53.72 | 0.154 | 0.251 | 2.398 |
| 642 | 54.43 | 0.152 | 0.249 | 2.460 |
| 672 | 55.48 | 0.148 | 0.245 | 2.554 |
| 702 | 56.59 | 0.145 | 0.242 | 2.658 |
| 732 | 57.74 | 0.141 | 0.238 | 2.770 |
| 762 | 58.65 | 0.138 | 0.235 | 2.862 |
| 792 | 59.54 | 0.135 | 0.232 | 2.955 |
| 822 | 60.52 | 0.131 | 0.228 | 3.062 |
| 852 | 61.37 | 0.129 | 0.226 | 3.158 |
| 882 | 61.07 | 0.130 | 0.227 | 3.123 |


| Regression Output: |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Constant | 0.013481 |
| Std Err of Y Est | 0.03939 |
| R Squared | 0.998399 |
| No. of Observations | 29 |
| Degrees of Freetom | 27 |
|  |  |
| X Coefficient(s) | 0.003782 |
| Std Err of Cocf. | 0.000029 |

RUN 2


### 3.4 COMPETITION EXPERIMENTS

Materials and methods for radioreceptor binding assay. ${ }^{250}$
Fresh forebrains of Wister rats (supplied by the Biochemistry Department, Rhodes University) were homogenised gently in a 0.05 M Tris- HCl buffer ( pH 7.4 ) using a glass mortar and teflon pestle, followed by centrifugation at 20000 rpm for 1 hour at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant containing cytosolic protein was decanted and the pellet was rehomogenised in Tris- HCl buffer. The protein concentration of the brain homogenates was determined by the Folin-Lowry method ${ }^{250}$ as follows. A 1 ml aliquot of protein sample was made up to 1.2 ml with Tris- HCl buffer. To this was added 6 ml of an alkaline solution prepared by mixing $1 \%$ $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{ml}), 2 \%$ sodium tartrate $(1 \mathrm{ml})$ and $2 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in $0.1 \mathbf{N ~ N a O H}(98 \mathrm{ml})$. The mixture in the tube was left to stand at room temperature for 10 minutes. Folin reagent ( 0.3 ml ) was added into the tube which was then vortexed gently and left to stand for 30 minutes at room temperature, after which the absorbance was measured at 500 nm . For the standard curve, protein standards containing $0-300 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of bovine serum albumin were assayed as above. A typical standard curve thus obtained is represented in figure 30. The final suspension was found to contain $2.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ protein. This was then diluted to a concentration of $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ protein for subsequent assay.

Binding assays were carried out in duplicate in a total volume of $250 \mu$ l. In one set of tubes, various concentrations ( $0.5-150 \mathrm{nM}$ ) of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-diazepam ${ }^{1}$ were incubated with the membranes (Table 28A). In another set of tubes, non-radioactive diazepam (100 fold excess) was added

[^3]to the incubation medium containing the membranes and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-diazepam (Table 28B) to determine non-specific binding. This was done to establish a saturation curve from which the $K_{D}$ was determined. For competition studies, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-diazepam at the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}$ concentration was incubated with various concentrations ranging from $10^{-11}$ to $10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ of the test drug in every set of runs. Tubes were included for the duplicate determination of total binding $\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right.$ diazepam alone) and non-specific binding ( ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ - and non-radioactive diazepam) (Table 29). In all cases the incubation mixtures were incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. Following incubation, ice-cold Tris- HCl buffer ( $\mathrm{pH} 7.4 ; 30 \mathrm{ml}$ ) was rapidly added to each tube. The contents of each tube was rapidly filtered through Whatman GF/C glass fibre filters under negative pressure. The test tubes were rinsed with cold Tris-buffer ( 3.0 ml ), and the washings filtered. The filters were then washed with additional buffer (3ml) to remove any remaining unbound (free) ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-diazepam. The filters were shaken mechanically for 5 minutes in scintillation vials containing Scintillator $299^{\mathrm{TM}}(3 \mathrm{ml})$. Bound ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-diazepam was estimated by conventional scintillation counting using a Beckman LS2800 instrument. The experimental data and the corresponding competition curves for various compounds obtained from these experiments are dealt with hereunder.


| Protein Conc. $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Absorbance at 500 nm |
| :---: | :---: |
| Blank | 0 |
| 50 | 0.084 |
| 100 | 0.140 |
| 200 | 0.275 |
| 300 | 0.417 |

Figure 30. A typical protein standard curve.

Table 28. Composition of standards to assay the binding of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-diazepam ( ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-DZP) on rat brain membranes.

A: Total binding ( $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}}$ )

| $\begin{gathered} { }^{3} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{DZP} \\ (\mathbf{N M}) \end{gathered}$ | Protein ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) <br> ( $\mu \mathrm{l}$ ) | Tris- HCl Buffer ( $\mu \mathrm{I}$ ) | $\underset{(\mu \mathrm{l})}{{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{DZP}}$ | $\underset{(\mu \mathrm{l})}{50 \% \mathrm{EtOH}}$ | Vehicle <br> ( $\mu \mathrm{l}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | 200.00 | 30.00 | 0.04 | 9.96 | 10.00 |
| 1.00 | 200.00 | 30.00 | 0.07 | 9.93 | 10.00 |
| 2.50 | 200.00 | 30.00 | 0.17 | 9.83 | 10.00 |
| 5.00 | 200.00 | 30.00 | 0.33 | 9.67 | 10.00 |
| 10.00 | 200.00 | 30.00 | 0.66 | 9.34 | 10.00 |
| 35.00 | 200.00 | 30.00 | 1.65 | 8.35 | 10.00 |
| 50.00 | 200.00 | 30.00 | 3.30 | 6.70 | 10.00 |
| 75.00 | 200.00 | 30.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 |
| 100.00 | 200.00 | 30.00 | 6.60 | 3.40 | 10.00 |
| 150.00 | 200.00 | 30.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 |

B: Non-Specific binding ( $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{NS}}$ )

| $\begin{gathered} { }^{3} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{DZP} \\ (\mathbf{n M}) \end{gathered}$ | Protein ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) <br> ( $\mu \mathrm{l}$ ) | Tris-HCl <br> Buffer <br> ( $\mu \mathrm{l}$ ) | $\underset{(\mu \mathrm{l})}{{ }^{3} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{DZP}}$ | $\underset{\substack{(\mu \mathrm{l})}}{50 \% \text { EtOH }}$ | Vehicle ( $\mu$ l) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | 200.00 | 30.00 | 0.03 | 9.97 | 10.00 |
| 1.00 | 200.00 | 30.00 | 0.07 | 9.93 | 10.00 |
| 2.50 | 200.00 | 30.00 | 0.16 | 9.84 | 10.00 |
| 5.00 | 200.00 | 30.00 | 0.37 | 9.67 | 10.00 |
| 10.00 | 200.00 | 30.00 | 0.66 | 9.34 | 10.00 |
| 35.00 | 200.00 | 30.00 | 1.65 | 8.35 | 10.00 |
| 50.00 | 200.00 | 30.00 | 3.30 | 6.70 | 10.00 |
| 75.00 | 200.00 | 30.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 |
| 100.00 | 200.00 | 30.00 | 3.40 | 3.40 | 10.00 |
| 150.00 | 200.00 | 30.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |

Table 29. Sample sets for competition studies using ${ }^{3} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{DZP}$ at $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}$ concentration, non-radioactive DZP and test drug at various concentrations $\left(10^{-11}-10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\right)$ in a total volume of $\mathbf{2 5 0} \mu \mathrm{l}$.

|  | Protein <br> $(\mathbf{1 m g} / \mathbf{m l})$ <br> $(\boldsymbol{\mu})$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ <br> $\mathbf{E t O H}$ <br> $(\boldsymbol{\mu})$ | Tris- <br> $\mathbf{H C l}$ <br> $(\boldsymbol{\mu})$ | ${ }^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{H - D Z P}$ <br> $(\boldsymbol{\mu l})$ | DZP | Test Drug <br> $(\boldsymbol{\mu l})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{T}}$ | 200.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 10.00 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{B}_{\text {NS }}$ | 200.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | - |
| $\mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1} \mathbf{M}}$ | 200.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 10.00 | - | 10.00 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{M}$ | 200.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 10.00 | - | 10.00 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}^{-9} \mathbf{M}$ | 200.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 10.00 | - | 10.00 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}^{-8} \mathbf{M}$ | 200.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 10.00 | - | 10.00 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{7}} \mathbf{M}$ | 200.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 10.00 | - | 10.00 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}^{-6} \mathbf{M}$ | 200.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 10.00 | - | 10.00 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}^{-5} \mathbf{M}$ | 200.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 10.00 | - | 10.00 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}^{-4} \mathbf{M}$ | 200.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 10.00 | - | 10.00 |



Figure 20. Competition curves for the 4-phenyl-1,5-benzodioxepinone derivatives.

|  | \% TOTAL BINDING |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conc. <br> $(\mathbf{M})$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ <br> $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 2}$ <br> $*$ | $\mathbf{2 2 5}$ <br> + | $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ <br> x | $\mathbf{2 2 8}$ <br> $\square$ |  |
| $10^{-11}$ | 140 | 141.1 | 102.8 | 131.9 | 207.4 |  |
| $10^{-10}$ | 141.1 | 124.0 | 114.2 | 120.5 | 177.5 |  |
| $10^{-9}$ | 149.4 | 113.8 | 126.8 | 114.3 | 155.1 |  |
| $10^{-8}$ | 151.2 | 106.6 | 131.1 | 115.8 | 141.6 |  |
| $10^{-7}$ | 146.4 | 106.2 | 127.2 | 117.2 | 136.8 |  |
| $10^{-6}$ | 135.1 | 109.8 | 115.0 | 119.5 | 131.2 |  |
| $10^{-5}$ | 119.7 | 117.5 | 109.4 | 121.8 | 121.1 |  |
| $10^{-4}$ | 104.2 | 123.6 | 103.8 | 106.5 | 106.5 |  |



Figure 21. Competition curves for the 4-phenyl-1,5-benzoxathiepinone derivatives 250-254.

|  | \% TOTAL BINDING |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conc. <br> $(\mathbf{M})$ | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 1}$ <br> + | $\mathbf{2 5 2}$ <br> $\square$ | $\mathbf{2 5 3}$ <br> $*$ | $\mathbf{2 5 4}$ <br> $\mathbf{x}$ |  |
| $10^{-11}$ | 115.3 | 118.5 | 31.6 | 146.9 | 113.4 |  |
| $10^{-10}$ | 110.1 | 121.9 | 18.1 | 159.5 | 112.8 |  |
| $10^{-9}$ | 108.9 | 120.4 | 13.0 | 160.9 | 113.2 |  |
| $10^{-8}$ | 108.5 | 122.9 | 16.2 | 150.9 | 127.7 |  |
| $10^{-7}$ | 112.3 | 134.5 | 12.0 | 135.0 | 152.0 |  |
| $10^{-6}$ | 116.5 | 131.1 | 25.0 | 122.7 | 155.6 |  |
| $10^{-5}$ | 132.2 | 124.4 | 5.4 | 115.7 | 135.7 |  |
| $10^{-4}$ | 100.0 | 114.1 | 13.4 | 115.1 | 111.3 |  |



Figure 22. Competition curves for the 3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepinone derivatives 261-263.

|  | \% TOTAL BINDING |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conc. <br> $(\mathbf{M})$ | $\mathbf{2 6 1}$ <br> $*$ | $\mathbf{2 6 2}$ <br> + | $\mathbf{2 6 3}$ <br> $\boldsymbol{r}$ |
| $10^{-11}$ | 1.1 | 8.6 | 0.2 |
| $10^{-10}$ | 25.6 | 10.7 | 5.5 |
| $10^{-9}$ | 35.0 | 21.5 | 26.9 |
| $10^{-8}$ | 25.3 | 30.1 | 33.1 |
| $10^{-7}$ | 40.3 | 30.3 | 32.2 |
| $10^{-6}$ | 52.3 | 43.6 | 36.8 |
| $10^{-5}$ | 48.0 | 72.1 | 59.8 |
| $10^{-4}$ | 68.3 | 96.4 | 75.2 |



Figure 23. Competition curves for the 3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepine derivatives 267270.

|  | \% TOTAL BINDING |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conc. <br> $(\mathbf{M})$ | $\mathbf{2 6 7}$ <br> $*$ | $\mathbf{2 6 8}$ <br> + | $\mathbf{2 6 9}$ <br> $\square$ | $\mathbf{2 7 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{\square}$ |
| $10^{-11}$ | 2.7 | 28.5 | 114.1 | 102.5 |
| $10^{-10}$ | 18.1 | 38.1 | 135.4 | 134.3 |
| $10^{-9}$ | 47.1 | 40.8 | 152.4 | 164.2 |
| $10^{-8}$ | 38.2 | 24.8 | 146.4 | 71.5 |
| $10^{-7}$ | 65.4 | 13.0 | 116.1 | 32.3 |
| $10^{-6}$ | 98.3 | 9.3 | 41.4 | 16.7 |
| $10^{-5}$ | 87.3 | 15.4 | 21.4 | 10.3 |
| $10^{-4}$ | 107.6 | 23.2 | 15.1 | 0.5 |

### 3.5 COMPUTER MODELLING

HYPERCHEM ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$, the molecular modelling package produced by Autodesk Inc., was used for computer modelling. Representative compounds from each series were constructed with the 2- or 3-phenyl substituent in either an axial or equatorial orientation. Energy minimisations were carried out using the Polak-Ribiere method (Tables 30-32). Although the energy minimised conformations obtained do not necessarily correspond to global minima, they do represent chemically reasonable arrangements which are consistent with other experimental data.

Table 30. Minimum energies ( $\mathbf{k c a l} . \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ), total root-mean square gradient and the energy difference ( $\Delta \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{kcal} . \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) for "axial" and "equatorial" conformations of 4-phenyl-1,5-benzodiazepine analogues.


| Compd. | $\mathbf{X}$ | Conformation $^{\mathbf{a}}$ | Lowest <br> Energies | Gradient | $\Delta \mathbf{E}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 5}$ | O | Axial <br> Equatorial | 8.689 <br> 8.059 | 0.097043 | 0.630 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | S | Axial <br> Equatorial | 11.537 | 0.094043 |  |

[^4]Table 31: Minimum energies (kcal. $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ), total root-mean square gradient and energy difference ( $\Delta \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{kcal} . \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) for "axial" and "equatorial" conformations of 4,1-benzoxathiepinone analogues


| Compd. | $\mathbf{R}$ | Conformation $^{\text {a }}$ | Lowest <br> Energies | Gradient | $\Delta \mathbf{E}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 266 | $2-\mathrm{ph}$ | Axial | 24.532 | 0.090731 | 4.594 |
| 263 | Equatorial | 19.938 | 0.090108 |  |  |
|  | 3-ph | Axial | 23.317 | 0.095800 | 0.556 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Refers to orientation of the 4-phenyl substituent.

Table 32. Minimum energies (kcal. $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ), total root-mean square gradient and the energy difference ( $\Delta \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{kcal} . \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) for "axial" and "equatorial" conformations of 3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepine.


| Compd. | Conformation $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Lowest <br> Energies | Gradient | $\Delta \mathbf{E}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 270 | Axial | 6.583 | 0.088617 | 0.449 |
|  | Equatorial | 6.134 | 0.092421 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Refers to orientation of the 3-phenyl substituent.

### 3.6 X-RAY ANALYSIS

X-ray diffraction data were collected at the University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg. The structures were solved for the author by direct methods using SHELXS-86, ${ }^{274}$ and refined using SHELX-76. ${ }^{274}$ Crystal and collection data are summarised below, while the fractional coordinates, anisotropic temperature factors, bond lengths, mean plane data and torsion angles are tabulated in Appendix 1.

Table 33. Crystal Data for 3,4-dihydro-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one 228. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Molar Mass | 270.2826 |
| Space group | P2 $2_{1}$, no. 4 (non-standard setting) |
| $\mathbf{a}(\AA)$ | 5.3700 (0.0018) |
| b(A) | 9.1922 (0.0011) |
| $\mathbf{c}(\AA)$ | 13.8950 (0.0028) |
| $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | 101.164 (0.013) |
| B | 90.0 |
| $\gamma$ | 90.0 |
| $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ | 672.91 (28) |
| F(000) | 284.00 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.57 |
| Number of reflections $\left(2<\theta<30^{\circ}\right)$ | 4045 |
| Observed reflections $[\mathrm{I}>\sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | 3147 |
| $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}_{\text {w }}$ | 0.0812 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\text {parameters }}$ | 187 |

${ }^{a}$ Estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

Table 34. Crystal Data for 3,4-dihydro-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one 252 .

| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Molar Mass | 290.7689 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | C2/c |
| $\mathbf{a}(\mathrm{A})$ | 21.6639 |
| b $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { A }\end{array}\right.$ | 4.6937 |
| $\mathbf{c}(\mathbb{A})$ | 26.6021 |
| $\alpha$ | 90 |
| B | 103.0921 |
| $\gamma$ | 90 |
| $\mathbf{V}\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 2634 |
| Z | 8 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{g} . \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.463 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 1200 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 3.85 |
| Number of reflections $\left(2<\theta<30^{\circ}\right)$ | 3045 |
| Observed reflections $[\mathrm{I}>\sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | 1824 |
| R, $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | 0.0402, 0.0425 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\text {parameters }}$ | 216 |
| Weighting Scheme | $\mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}(\mathrm{~F})+0.0006 \mathrm{~F}^{2}\right]$ |

4. 
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## APPENDIX 1: X-RAY CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC DATA

Table 35. Fractional coordinates ( $x 10^{4}$ ) for 3,4-dihydro-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one 228 with e.s.d.'s in parentheses.

| ATOM | $\mathbf{X} / \mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{Y} / \mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{Z} / \mathbf{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 6413 | $-2138(3)$ | $2237(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $8420(9)$ | $1239(3)$ | $4055(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | $4275(9)$ | $3342(3)$ | $272(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $9236(9)$ | $-1119(3)$ | $3932(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $4504(10)$ | $-1068(4)$ | $2612(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $4922(10)$ | $-425(4)$ | $3695(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $7605(10)$ | $1(4)$ | $3896(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$ | $8476(10)$ | $-2610(4)$ | $3670(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $9364(11)$ | $-3567(5)$ | $4240(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $8803(12)$ | $-5062(5)$ | $3968(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $7360(12)$ | $-5567(5)$ | $3151(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $6499(12)$ | $-4590(4)$ | $2586(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})$ | $7082(11)$ | $-3100(4)$ | $2843(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $4548(10)$ | $88(4)$ | $1970(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $6347(10)$ | $177(4)$ | $1266(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $6306(10)$ | $1177(4)$ | $675(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | $4468(10)$ | $2244(4)$ | $803(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | $2638(11)$ | $2214(5)$ | $1495(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $2662(10)$ | $1166(4)$ | $2077(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $6084(13)$ | $3406(6)$ | $-461(4)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 |  |

Table 36: Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ), with e.s.d.'s in parentheses for 3,4-dihydro-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one 228.

| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 115.9 (3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | 118.3 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 120.6 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 111.0 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 106.5 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 113.4 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 111.2 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 117.1 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 126.0 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 116.9 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 121.3 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 121.8 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$ | 118.5 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 120.3 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 120.2 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 119.8 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 120.5 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 120.2 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$ | 119.2 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 122.7 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 119.2 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 118.1 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 121.3 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 119.8 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | 124.5 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | 116.1 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | 119.4 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | 121.0 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 120.4 (4) |

Table 37. Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) with e.s.d.'s in parentheses for 3,4-dihydro-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,5-benzodioxepin-2-one 228.

| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.446(5)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.381(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $1.199(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.364(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.481(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $1.360(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $1.409(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.080 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.523(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.514(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.505(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$ | $1.379(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$ | $1.372(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.386(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.379(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.382(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 a)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.383(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.378(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.404(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.392(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.378(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.379(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.373(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.418(6)$ |

Table 38. Fractional coordinates ( $\mathbf{x} 10^{4}$ ) and isotropic thermal factors $\left(\AA^{2}, \mathbf{x 1 0}\right)$ for 3,4-dihydro-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one 252.

| ATOM | X/a | Y/b | Z/c | $\mathbf{U}_{\text {ea }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cl | 3193 | -172. (2) | 2714 | 58 |
| S | 5692 | -9884 (2) | 3654 | 48 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 5811 (1) | -10375 (6) | 5111 (1) | 80 (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 6501 (1) | -8487 (5) | 4731 (1) | 52 |
| C(1) | 3743 (1) | -2534 (6) | 3066 (1) | 42 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 4274 (1) | -3231 (7) | 2892 (1) | 44 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 4706 (1) | -5141 (7) | 3169 (1) | 43 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 4619 (1) | -6333 (6) | 3622 (1) | 37 (1) |
| C(5) | 4076 (1) | -5583 (7) | 3786 (1) | 50 (1) |
| C(6) | 3640 (2) | -3702 (8) | 3513 (1) | 51 (1) |
| C(7) | 5086 (1) | -8347 (6) | 3955 (1) | 39 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 5401 (1) | -6910 (7) | 4466 (1) | 44 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 5898 (1) | -8740 (8) | 4793 (1) | 52 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 6641 (1) | -6948 (6) | 4321 (1) | 44 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 7152 (1) | -5144 (7) | 4448 (1) | 56 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 7358 (2) | -3774 (9) | 4063 (2) | 71 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 7058 (2) | -4200 (9) | 3558 (2) | 72 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 6537 (2) | -5997 (8) | 3434 (1) | 57 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 6317 (1) | -7415 (6) | 3817 (1) | 42 (1) |
| $\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 4319 (13) | -2415 (63) | 2574 (11) | 56 (9)* |
| $\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 5053 (14) | -5652 (65) | 3043 (12) | 58 (9)* |
| H(3) | 3998 (12) | -6364 (61) | 4077 (11) | 43 (8)* |
| H(4) | 3295 (14) | -3284 (63) | 3632 (10) | 52 (8)* |
| $\mathrm{H}(5)$ | 4857 (12) | -10043 (60) | 4034 (10) | 44 (8)* |
| H (6) | 5075 (14) | -6454 (65) | 4629 (11) | $53(8)^{*}$. |
| H(7) | 5585 (13) | -5107 (64) | 4397 (10) | 48 (8)* |
| H(8) | 7367 (15) | -4908 (71) | 4810 (13) | 69 (10)* |
| H(9) | 7723 (18) | -2624 (79) | 4135 (14) | 91 (13)* |
| $\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 7179 (16) | -3275 (84) | 3310 (13) | 80 (12)* |
| $\mathrm{H}(11)$ | 6333 (12) | -6391 (66) | 3115 (11) | 46 (8)* |

[^5]Table 39. Interatomic angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 3,4-dihydro-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one 252.

| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 102.7 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 121.6 (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 119.6 (2) | $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 119.6 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 120.7 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 119.4 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 118 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 123 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 121.3 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 119 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 120 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 117.7 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 123.9 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 118.4 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 121.8 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | 120 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | 118 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 119.0 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 122 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 119 (2) |
| S - C(7)-C(4) | 116.1 (2) | $S-C(7)-C(8)$ | 110.0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 110.3 (2) | S - C(7)-H(5) | 103 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(5)$ | 109 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(5)$ | 108 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 112.3 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(6)$ | 106 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(6)$ | 113 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(7)$ | 110 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(7)$ | 110 (2) | $\mathrm{H}(6)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(7)$ | 106 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 117.0 (3) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 125.1 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 117.8 (3) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 115.6 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 121.6 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 122.5 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 119.2 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 119 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 121 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 120.3 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{H}(9)$ | 121 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{H}(9)$ | 118 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 120.0 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 121 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 119 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 120.9 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | 124 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | 115 (2) |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 122.3 (2) | S - C(15) - C(14) | 120.5 (2) |
| C(10) - $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 117.0 (3) |  |  |

Table 40. Interatomic distances ( $\AA$ ) for 3,4-dihydro-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one 252.

| $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.738(3)$ | $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.832(3)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.761(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.189(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.356(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.397(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.373(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.375(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.382(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | $0.95(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.380(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | $0.92(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.389(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.516(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.374(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | $0.91(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | $0.90(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.533(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(5)$ | $0.99(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.493(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(6)$ | $0.93(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(7)$ | $0.97(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.374(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.384(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.367(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | $0.98(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.369(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{H}(9)$ | $0.94(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.388(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(10)$ | $0.88(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.387(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | $0.88(3)$ |

Table 41. Anisotropic temperature factors $\left(\AA^{2}, \quad x 10^{3}\right)$ for 3,4-dihydro-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,5-benzoxathiepin-2-one 252.

| ATOM | $\mathbf{U}(11)$ | $\mathbf{U}(22)$ | $\mathrm{U}(33)$ | $\mathrm{U}(23)$ | $\mathrm{U}(13)$ | $\mathbf{U}(12)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cl | $57(1)$ | $56(1)$ | $57(1)$ | $9(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $13(1)$ |
| S | $49(1)$ | $40(1)$ | $53(1)$ | $-12(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $70(2)$ | $105(2)$ | $63(1)$ | $43(1)$ | $10(1)$ | $-13(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $45(1)$ | $58(1)$ | $49(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $44(1)$ | $35(1)$ | $44(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $47(2)$ | $45(2)$ | $39(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $9(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $40(1)$ | $45(2)$ | $44(1)$ | $-5(1)$ | $12(1)$ | $0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $38(1)$ | $33(1)$ | $39(1)$ | $-4(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $-3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $51(2)$ | $54(2)$ | $48(2)$ | $13(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $43(2)$ | $56(2)$ | $56(2)$ | $6(2)$ | $19(1)$ | $8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $38(1)$ | $36(2)$ | $43(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $9(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $43(2)$ | $49(2)$ | $41(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $11(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $52(2)$ | $62(2)$ | $40(1)$ | $5(2)$ | $5(1)$ | $-9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $39(1)$ | $40(2)$ | $51(2)$ | $9(1)$ | $10(1)$ | $4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $42(2)$ | $52(2)$ | $71(2)$ | $5(2)$ | $6(1)$ | $-1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $47(2)$ | $68(3)$ | $101(3)$ | $17(2)$ | $24(2)$ | $-3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $68(2)$ | $71(3)$ | $92(3)$ | $28(2)$ | $48(2)$ | $15(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $58(2)$ | $67(2)$ | $52(2)$ | $7(2)$ | $24(2)$ | $22(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $41(1)$ | $38(2)$ | $50(2)$ | $1(1)$ | $13(1)$ | $9(1)$ |

## APPENDIX II: ${ }^{1} H$ NMR SPECTRA NOT INCLUDED IN TEXT



Spectrum 2: N-Butyl-3-(2-hydroxyphen oxy)-3-phenylpropanamide 287


Spectrum 3: 2-(4-Bromophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-4-quinolone 203


Spectrum 4: 2,3-Dihydro-3-phenyl-4,1-benzoxathiepine 1,1-dioxide

Spectrum 5: 2,3-Dihydro-2-phenyl-1-sulfone-4H-benzothiopyran-4-one 298


Spectrum 6: 2,3-Dihydro-3-phenyl-1-sulfone-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one 300

Spectrum 7: 2,3-Dihydro-3-phenyl-1-sulfoxide-4,1-benzoxathiepin-5-one 299
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    | R |  |
    | :--- | :---: |
    | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 293 |
    | $p-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 294 |
    | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 295 |
    | $\mathrm{But}^{2}$ | 296 |

    (ii)
    
    
    

    SCHEME 66. Reagents: (i) LDA, THF, ca. $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;
    (ii) RCHO ;
    (iii) RCHO (present during generation of lithium enolate)
    (iv) RCLLOLi (generated in situ).

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Band-widths cannot be estimated properly owing to noise distortion of the signal.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Band-widths could not be estimated due to noise distortion of the signal.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Values may be interchanged.

[^3]:    ${ }^{13} \mathrm{H}$-Diazepam ( N -methyl- ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ); specific activity $83.0 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol}$ was obtained from New England Nuclear Research Products.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Refers to orientation of the 4-phenyl substituent.

[^5]:    * isotropic temperature factor

    $$
    \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}=(1 / 3) \Sigma_{\mathrm{i}} \Sigma_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}^{*} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j}}^{*}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)
    $$

