

International Journal of Algebra, Vol. 10, 2016, no. 8, 381 - 391 HIKARI Ltd, www.m-hikari.com http://dx.doi.org/10.12988/ija.2016.6749

On a Q-Smarandache Fuzzy Commutative Ideal of a Q-Smarandache BH-algebra

Husein Hadi Abbass and Qasim Mohsin Luhaib

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Education for Girls, University of Kufa Najaf, Iraq

Copyright © 2016 Husein Hadi Abbass and Qasim Mohsin Luhaib. This article is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

In this paper, the notions of Q-Smarandache fuzzy commutative ideal and Q-Smarandache fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of a Q-Smarandache BH-Algebra are introduced, examples and related properties are investigated. Also, the relationships among these notions and other types of Q-Smarandache fuzzy ideal of a Q-Smarandache BH-Algebra are studied.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 06F35, 03G25, 08A72

Keywords: BCK-algebra, BCH-algebra, BH-algebra, Q-Smarandache BH-algebra, Q-Smarandache fuzzy ideal of Q-Smarandache BH-algebra

1 Introduction

The concept of BCK-algebra was introduced by Y. Imai and K. Iseki [18]. In 1995 the concept of n-fold commutative BCK-algebras has been introduced [7]. In 1998, Y.B. Jun, E.H. Roh and H.S. Kim introduced the notion of BH-algebra, which is a generalization of BCH/BCI/BCK-algebra [15]. In 2005, Y.B. Jun introduced the notion of a Smarandache BCI-algebra, Smarandache ideal of a Smarandache BCI-algebra [13]. In 2009, A.B. Saeid and A. Namdar, introduced the notion of a Q-Smarandache BCH-algebra and Q-Smarandache ideal of Q-Smarandache BCH-algebra [1]. In 2015, H.H. Abbass and H.K. Gatea introduced the notion Q-Smarandache Sub-Commutative ideal of a Q-Smarandache BH-Algebra [4]. In this paper we introduce the notion of Q-Smarandache fuzzy Commutative ideal and Q-Smarandache fuzzy Sub-Commutative ideal of a Q-Smarandache BH-Algebra. In this paper X denotes Q-Smarandache BH-Algebra.

2 Preliminary Notes

In this section, some basic concepts about a BH-algebra, a Q-Smarandache BH-algebra, a Q-Smarandach ideal in ordinary and fuzzy sences, Q-Smarandache sub-commutative ideal and Q-Smarandache commutative ideal of a Q-Smarandache BH-algebra are given.

Definition 2.1. [14]. A BCI-algebra is an algebra (X, *, 0) of type (2, 0), where X is a nonempty set, * is a binary operation and 0 is a constant, satisfying the following axioms: for all $x, y, z \in X$:

i.
$$((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$$
,

ii.
$$(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$$
,

iii. x * x = 0,

iv. x * y = 0 and y * x = 0 imply x = y.

Definition 2.2. [11]. BCK-algebra is a BCI-algebra satisfying the axiom: 0 * x = 0 for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.3. [15]. A BH-algebra is a nonempty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation * satisfying the following conditions:

```
i. x * x = 0, \forall x \in X.
```

ii. x * y = 0 and y * x = 0 imply $x = y, \forall x, y \in X$.

iii.
$$x * 0 = x, \forall x \in X$$

Definition 2.4. [2]. A BCK-algebra X is called commutative if $x * (x * y) = y * (y * x), \forall x, y \in X$. Lemma 2.5. [2]

In a BCI-algebra X the following conditions are equivalent:

i. $x * y = x * (y * (y * x)), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$

ii. X is a commutative BCK-algebra

Definition 2.6. [6]. A Q-Smarandache BH-algebra is defined to be a BH-algebra X in which there exists a proper subset Q of X such that

i. $0 \in Q$ and $|Q| \geq 2$.

ii. Q is a BCK-algebra under the operation of X.

Definition 2.7. [4] A Q-Smaradache BH-algebra is said to be a Q-Smaradache implicative BH- algebra if it satisfies the condition, (x*(x*y))*(y*x)=y*(y*x). $\forall x, y \in Q$

Definition 2.8. [4] A Q-Smarandache BH-algebra X is called a Q-Smarandache medial BH-algebra if $x * (x * y) = y, \forall x, y \in Q$

Definition 2.9. [6]. A nonempty subset I of X is called a Q-Smarandache ideal of X, denoted by a Q-S.I of X if it satisfies:

 $(J_1) \ 0 \in I.$

 $(J_2) \ \forall y \in I and \ x * y \in I \Longrightarrow x \in I, \forall x \in Q.$

Definition 2.10. [4]. A subset I of a BH-algebra X is called commutative ideal of X if it satisfies (J_1) and :

 (J_3) $(x * y) * z \in I$ and $z \in I \Rightarrow x * (y * (y * x)) \in I, \forall x, y, z \in X.$

Definition 2.11. [4]. A subset I of a Q-Smarandache BH-algebra X is called a Q-Smarandache commutative ideal of X if it satisfies (J_1) and :

 (J_4) $(x * y) * z \in I$ and $z \in I \Rightarrow x * (y * (y * x)) \in I, \forall x, y \in Q$ and $z \in X$.

Definition 2.12. [4].

A nonempt subset I of a Q-Smarandache BH -algebra X is called a Q-Smarandache sub-commutative ideal of X if it satisfies (J_1) and :

 $(J_6) (y*(y*(x*(x*y))))*z \in I \text{ and } z \in I \text{ imply } x*(x*y) \in I, \forall x, y \in Q, z \in X$

Definition 2.13. [12] A fuzzy subset A of a BH-algebra X is said to be a fuzzy ideal if and only if:

 $(I_1) \ A(0) \ge A(x), \ \forall x \in X.$

 $(I_2) A(x) \ge \min\{A(x * y), A(y)\}, \forall x, y \in X.$

Definition 2.14. [16] Let X be a BCK-algebra. A fuzzy set A in X is called a fuzzy commutative ideal of X if it satisfies (I_1) and

(I₃) $A((x * (y * (y * x))) \ge \min\{((x * y) * z), (z)\} \quad \forall x, y, z \in X.$ We generalize the concept of a Q-Smarandache fuzzy commutative ideal to the Q-Smarandache BH-algebra.

Definition 2.15. A fuzzy subset A of a BH-algebra X is called a fuzzy commutative ideal of X, denoted by a F.C.I if it satisfies (I_1) and

 $(I_4) A((x * (y * (y * x))) \ge \min\{((x * y) * z), (z)\} \quad \forall x, y, z \in X.$

Definition 2.16. [10]. Let A be a fuzzy set in $X, \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]$, the set. $A_{\alpha} = \{x \in X, A(x) \ge \alpha\}$ is called a level subset of A. Note that, A_{α} is a subset of X in the ordinary sense.

Definition 2.17. [6]. A fuzzy subset A of X is said to be a Q-Smarandache fuzzy ideal of X, denoted by a Q-S.F.I of X:

 $(F_1) \ A(0) \ge A(x), \ \forall x \in X.$

 $(F_2) A(x) \ge \min\{A(x * y), A(y)\}, \forall x \in Q, y \in X.$

3 Main results

In this section, we introduce the concepts of a Q-Smarandache fuzzy commutative ideal and Q-Smarandache fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of a Q-Smarandache BH-algebra, and also we study some properties of them.

Definition 3.1. A fuzzy subset A of a X is called a Q-Smarandache fuzzy commutative ideal of X, denoted by a Q-S.F.C.I if it satisfies (F_1) and,

 $(F_3) A(x * (y * (y * x))) \ge \min\{A((x * y) * z), A(z)\}, \text{ for all } x, y \in Q, z \in X.$

Example 3.2. Consider $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ with binary operation "*" defined by the following table:

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	3
1	1	0	2	3
2	2	2	0	3
3	3	3	3	0

where $Q = \{0, 1\}$ is a BCK-algebra. The fuzzy subset A defined by A(0) = A(1) = A(2) = 0.6 and A(3) = 0.3.

Proposition 3.3. Every Q-S.F.C.I of X is Q-S.F.I of X

Proof. Let A be Q-S.F.C.I of X, to prove that A is a Q-S.F.I. by Definition (3.1) the condition (F_1) is satisfied .Now, let $x \in Q$ and $y \in X$. we have x = x * (0 * (0 * x)) it follows that $A(x) = A(x * (0 * (0 * x))) \ge \min\{A(x * 0) * y), A(y)\}[by \ 0^*x=0 \text{ and } x * 0 = x]$ implies that $A(x) \ge \min\{A(x * y), A(y)\}$. Hence A is Q-S.F.I of X.

Remark 3.4. In the following example, we see that the converse of theorem 3.3 may not be true in general.

Example 3.5. Consider $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with binary operation "*" defined by the following table:

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	1	0
2	2	2	0	0	0
3	3	3	3	0	0
4	4	4	4	4	0

Where $Q=\{0,2,3\}$ is a BCK-algebra. The fuzzy subset A defined by A(0) = 0.7, A(1) = 0.5 and A(2) = A(3) = A(4) = 0.3 A is a Q-S.F.I of X, but A is not a Q-S.F.C.I since if if x=2, y=3, z=0, then

$$A(2*(3*(3*2))) = 0.3 \ngeq \min\{A((2*3)*0), A(0)\} = 0.7$$

Theorem 3.6. Let A be a Q-S.F.I of X. Then A is a Q-S.F.C.I of X if and only if the level subset A_{α} is a Q-S.C.I of X, $\forall \alpha \in [0, A(0)]$, such that $A(0) = \sup_{x \in X} A(x)$

Proof. Let A be a Q-S.F.C.I of X and $\alpha \in [0, A(0)]$. To prove A_{α} is a Q-S.C.I of X.It is clear that $A(0) \geq \alpha$. So $0 \in A_{\alpha}$. Hence A_{α} satisfies I_1 .Now, let $x, y \in Q, z \in X$ such that $(x * y) * z \in A_{\alpha}$ and $z \in A_{\alpha}$, it follows that $A((x * y) * z) \geq \alpha$ and $A(z) \geq \alpha$ thus min $\{A((x * y) * z), A(z)\} \geq \alpha$. But $A(x * (y * (y * x))) \geq \min\{A((x * y) * z), A(z)\}$ [Since A is a Q-S.F.C.I of X. By definition $3.1(F_3)$] so $A(x * (y * (y * x))) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow (x * (y * (y * x))) \in A_{\alpha}$ Therefore, A_{α} is a Q-S.C.I of X.

Conversely,

Let A_{α} be a Q-S.C.I. of X, and $\forall \alpha \in [0, A(0)]$. It is clear that $A(0) \geq A(x) \quad \forall x \in X$. Now, Let $x, y \in Q, z \in X \quad \alpha = \min\{A((x * y) * z), A(z)\}$. Then $A((x * y) * z) \geq \alpha$ and $A(z) \geq \alpha$, it follows that $((x * y) * z) \in A_{\alpha}$ and $z \in A_{\alpha}$, thus $(x * (y * (y * x))) \in A_{\alpha}[$ Since A_{α} is a Q-S.C.I of $X] \Rightarrow A(x * (y * (y * x))) \geq \alpha$, we get $A(x * (y * (y * x))) \geq \min\{A((x * y) * z), A(z)\}$. Therefore, A is a Q-S.F.C.I of X.

Proposition 3.7. Let A be a Q-S.F.I of X. Then A is a Q-S.F.C.I if and only if $\forall x, y \in Q$; $A(x * (y * (y * x)) \ge A(x * y) \quad (b_1)$

Proof. Let A be a Q-S.F.C.I.Then $A(x * (y * (y * x) \ge \min\{A((x * y) * 0), A(0)\})$. [By definition3.1(F₃)]. We obtain $A(x * (y * (y * x) \ge A(x * y))$ [Since x * 0 = x and $A(0) \ge A(x) \quad \forall x \in X$]. Hence the condition (b_1) is satisfied Conversely,

Let A be a Q-S.F.I and $x, y \in Q, z \in X$. Then $A(x*y) \ge \min\{A(x*y)*z), A(z)\}$ [A is a Q-S.F.I] $\Rightarrow A(x*(y*(y*x)) \ge \min\{A(x*y)*z), A(z)\}$ [By condition (b_1)]. Therefore, A is a Q-S.F.C.I of X.

Theorem 3.8. Let A be a Q-S.F.I of a commutative Q- Smarandache BHalgebra X such that Q is a commutative BCK-algebra. Then A is a Q-S.F.C.I of X.

Proof. Let A be a Q-S.F.I of X.To prove that A is Q-S.F.C.I. By Definition (2.17) the condition (F_1) is satisfied. Now, let $x, y \in Q$ and $z \in X$. Then $A(x * y) \ge \min\{A((x * y) * z), A(z)\}$ [From Definition 2.17 (F_2)] implies that $A(x * (y * (y * x))) \ge \min\{A((x * y) * z), A(z)\}$ [Since Q is commutative BCK-algebra, by Lemma 2.5(i)]. Hence A is a Q-S.F.C.I of X.

Definition 3.9. Let n be a positive integer. A nonempty subset I of X is called a Q-Smarandache n-fold commutative ideal of X. denoted by a Q-S .n-fold C.I of X if it satisfies (J_1) and :

 (J_5) $(x * y^n) * z \in I$ and $z \in I \Rightarrow x * (y^n * (y^n * x)) \in I, \forall x, y \in Q \text{ and } z \in X.$

Example 3.10. Consider $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with binary operation "*" defined by the following table:

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	1
2	2	2	0	2	0
3	3	1	3	0	3
4	4	4	2	4	0

where $Q = \{0,1\}$ is a BCK-algebra. Then $I = \{0,1,2\}$ is A is a Q-S.2-fold.C.I

Definition 3.11. Let n be a positive integer. A fuzzy subset A of a X is called a Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold commutative ideal of X, denoted by a Q-S.F .n-fold.C.I of X if it satisfies (F_1) and,

$$(F_4)$$
. $A(x * (y^n * (y^n * x)) \ge \min\{A(x * y^n) * z), A(z)\}, \text{ for all } x, y \in Q, z \in X$

386

med by the following table.						
	*	0	1	2	3	4
	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1	1	0	1	0	1
	2	2	2	0	2	0
	3	3	1	3	0	3
	4	4	4	2	4	0

Example 3.12. Consider $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with binary operation "*" defined by the following table:

where $Q = \{0,1\}$ is a BCK-algebra. The fuzzy subset A defined by . A(0) = A(1) = A(2) = 0.8 and A(3) = A(4) = 0.5 A is a Q-S.F.2-fold.C.I.

Proposition 3.13. Every Q-S.n-fold.F.C.I of X is Q-S.F.I of X

Proof. let A Q-S.F.C.I of X To prove that A is Q-S.F.I. by Definition (3.11) the condition (F_1) is satisfied .Now, let $x \in Q$ and $y \in X$. we have $x = (x * (0^n * (0^n * x)))$ it follows that $A(x) = A(x * (0^n * (0^n * x))) \ge \min\{A(x * 0^n) * y), A(y)\}[by \ 0 * x = 0 and \ x * 0 = x]$ implies that $A(x) \ge \min\{A(x * y), A(y)\}$. Hence A is Q-S.F.I of X.

Remark 3.14. In the following example, we see that the converse of Proposition 3.13 may not be true in general.

Example 3.15. Consider $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with binary operation "*" defined by the following table:

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	4
1	1	0	0	0	4
2	2	2	0	1	4
3	3	3	3	0	4
4	4	4	4	4	0

where $Q=\{0,1,2\}$ is a BCK- algebra. The fuzzy subset A defined by . A(0) = 0.8 and A(1) = A(2) = A(3) = A(4) = 0.5 Is Q-S.F.I of X, but it is not 1-fold Q-S.F.C.I of X. Since x=1, y=2, z=0

$$A(1 * (2 * (2 * 1) = 0.5 \not\ge \min\{A((1 * 2) * 0), A(0)\} = 0.8$$

Theorem 3.16. Let A be a Q-S.F.I of X. Then A is a Q-S.F.n-fold C.I if and only if $\forall n \in \mathcal{D}$ $A(n \in (n^n \in (n^n \in n))) \ge A(n \in n^n)$ (b)

$$\forall x, y \in Q, \quad A(x * (y^n * (y^n * x))) \ge A(x * y^n) \qquad (b_2)$$

Proof. Let A be a Q-S.F.n-fold C.I of X and $x, y \in Q$

$$A(x * (y^n * (y^n * x) \ge \min\{A((x * y^n) * 0), A(0)\}. [By definition 3.11 (F_4)] \implies A(x * (y^n * (y^n * x) \ge A(x * y^n)[Since x * 0 = x, A(0) \ge A(x). \forall x \in X)] \implies The condition (b_2) is satisfied.$$

Conversely, let A be a Q-S.F.I of X, $x, y \in Q$ and $x \in X$. Then

$$A(x * y^n) \ge \min\{A((x * y^n) * z), A(z)\}[Since A \text{ is a } Q\text{-}S.F.I \text{ of } X]$$

$$\implies A(x * (y^n * (y^n * x)) \ge \min A\{((x * y^n) * z), A(z)\} [By \text{ condition}(b_2)]$$

Therefore, A is a Q-S.F.n-fold .C.I of X

Definition 3.17. A fuzzy subset A of X is called a Q-Smarandache fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X, denoted by a Q-S.F.S.C.I of X if it satisfies (F_1) and,

$$(F_5) A(x*(x*y)) \ge \min\{A(y*(y*(x*(x*y)))*z), A(z)\} \forall x, y \in Q, z \in X.$$

Example 3.18. Consider $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ with binary operation "*" defined by the following table:

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	1
2	2	1	0	2
3	3	3	3	0

where $Q = \{0,1\}$ is a BCK-algebra. The fuzzy subset A defined by A(0) = A(1) = A(2) = 0.6 and A(3) = 0.3 A is Q-S.F.C.I of X.

Theorem 3.19. Let A be a Q-S.F.S.C.I of X. Then A is a Q-S.F.I of X.

Proof. Let A be a Q-S.F.S.C.I of X.It is clear that the condition (F_1) is satisfied .Now, let $x \in Q$ and $y \in X$, we have $A(x * (x * x)) \ge \min\{A(x * (x * (x * (x * x))) * y), A(y)\}, [By Definition 3.17(F_5)]$ it follows that $A(x * 0) \ge \min\{A(x * (x * (x * 0) * y), A(y)\}, [Since Q is a BCK-algebra <math>x * x = 0$] implies that $A(x) \ge \min\{A(x * y), A(y)\}$ [Since Q is a BCK-algebra x * 0 = x]. Hence A is a Q-S.F.I of X.

Remark 3.20. In the following example shows that the converse of theorem 3.19 may not be true in general.

Example 3.21. Consider $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ with binary operation "*" defined by the following table:

	0			
*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	1
2	2	2	0	1
3	3	3	3	0
	0 (•

Where $Q = \{0,1,2\}$ is a BCK-algebra. The fuzzy subset A defined by A(0) = A(3) = 0.9, and A(1) = A(2) = 0.5 A is a Q-S.F.I of X, but it is not a Q-S.F.S.C.I. Since, x=1, y=2, z=0

$$A(1*(1*2)) \not\geq \min\{A(2*(2*(1*(1*2)))*0), A(0)\}$$

Theorem 3.22. Let A be a Q-S.F.I of X. Then A is a Q-S.F.S.C.I of X if and only if it is $\forall x, y \in Q$, $A(x * (x * y)) \ge A(y * (x * (x * y)))$ (b₃)

Proof. Suppose A is a Q-S.F.S.C.I of X. Let $x, y \in Q$. Then $A(x * (x * y)) \ge \min\{A(y * (y * (x * (x * y)) * 0)), A(0)\}$ [By definition $3.17(F_5)$]it follows that $A(x * (x * y)) = \min\{A(y * (y * (x * (x * y)))), A(0)\}$ [Since X ; x * 0 = x]implies that $A(x * (x * y)) \ge A(y * (y * (x * (x * y))))$ [$A(0) \ge A(x) \forall x \in X$]. By definition $3.17(F_1)$]. Hence The condition (b_3) is satisfied. Conversely,

Let A be a Q-S.F.I of X and the condition (b_2) satisfied. To prove that A is Q-S.F.S.C.I. By Definition (2.17) the condition (F_2) is satisfied. Now, let $x, y \in Q$ and $z \in X$ we have $A(y * (y * (x * (x * y)))) \ge \min\{A(y * (y * (x * (x * y)))) * z), A(z)\}$ [Since A is a Q-S.F.I of X, by Definition 2.17 (F_2)] implies that $A(x * (x * y)) \ge \min\{A(y * (y * (x * (x * y))) * z), A(z)\}$ [By (b_3)]. Hence A is a Q-S.F. S.C.I of X.

References

- A. B. Saeid and A. Namdar, Smarandache BCH-algebras, World Applied Sciences Journal, 7 (2009), 77-83.
- [2] A. B. Saeid, Fantastic Ideal in BCI-algebra, World Applied Sciences Journal, 8 (2010), no. 5, 550-554.
- [3] E. M.kim and S. S. Ahn, On Fuzzy n-fold Strong Ideals of BH-algebras, J. Appl. Math. and Informatics, 30 (2012), no. 3_4, 665-676.

- [4] H. H. Abbass and H. K.Gatea, A Q-Smarandache Implicative Ideal of Q-Smarandache BH-algebra, First Edition, Scholar's Press, Germany, 2016.
- [5] H. H. Abbass and H. M. A. Saeed, The Fuzzy Closed BCH-algebra with Respect to an Element of a BH-algebra, *Journal of Kufa for Mathematics* and Computer, 1 (2011), no. 4, 5-13.
- [6] H. H. Abbass and S. J. Mohammed, On a Q-Samarandach Fuzzy Completely Closed ideal with Respect to an Element of a BH-algebra, *Journal* of Kerbala University, **11** (2013), no. 3, 147-157.
- [7] Hua Xie and Yisheng Huang, N-Fold Commutative Bck-Algebras, Scientiae Mathematicae, 1 (1998), no. 2, 195-202.
- [8] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, *BCK-algebras*, Kyung Moon SA, Seoul, 1994.
- [9] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform. and Control, 8 (1965), 338-353. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0019-9958(65)90241-x
- [10] M. Ganesh, Introduction to Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Logic, Fourth printing, PHI Learning Private Limited, 2009.
- [11] O. G. Xi, Fuzzy BCK-algebra, Math. Japonica, **36** (1991), no. 5, 935-942.
- [12] Q. Zhang, E. H. Roh and Y. B. Jun, On Fuzzy BH-algebras, J. Huanggang, Normal Univ., 21 (2001), no. 3, 14-19.
- [13] Y. B. Jun, Smarandache BCI-algebras, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online, (2005), 271-276.
- [14] Y. B. Jun, Smarandache BCC-algebras, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 2005 (2005), no. 18, 2855-2861. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/ijmms.2005.2855
- [15] Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H. S. Kim, On BH-algebras, *Scientiae Mathe*maticae, 1 (1998), no. 1, 347-354.
- [16] Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H. S. Kim, Fuzzy commutative ideals of BCKalgebras, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **64** (1994), 401-405. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(94)90163-5
- [17] Y. B. Jun, H. S. Kim and M. Kondo, On BH-relations in BH-algebras, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online, 9 (2003), 91-94.

- [18] Y. Imai and K. Iseki, On Axiom System of Propositional Calculi XIV, Proc. Japan Acad., 42 (1966), 19-22. http://dx.doi.org/10.3792/pja/1195522169
- [19] Y. L. Liu, S. Y. Liu, J. Meng, Fsi-Ideals and Fsc-Ideal of Bci-Algebras, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 41 (2004), no. 1, 167-179. http://dx.doi.org/10.4134/bkms.2004.41.1.167

Received: July 28, 2016; Published: September 15, 2016