



Review Article

International Journal of Diabetology & Vascular Disease Research(IJDVR) ISSN 2328-353X

On The Horizon: New Oral Therapies for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

Ukrainski M2, Gandrabura T4, Bischoff LN3, Ahmed I1*

^{1*} Associate Professor of Medicine, Thomas Jefferson University and Hospitals, 211-South, 9th Street, # 600, Philadelphia, PA 19107, USA.

²I nternal Medicine Resident, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Philadelphia PA, USA.

³ Assistant Professor of Medicine, Thomas Jefferson University and Hospitals, Philadelphia PA, USA.

⁴ Atlanticare Regional Medical Center, Atlantic City, NJ, USA.

Abstract

The first documented case of diabetes mellitus occurred earlier than 4000 BC. Since then, many of the brightest minds in medicine have dedicated their time and effort toward developing treatments that can reverse the course of this deadly disease. As our understanding of the pathogenesis of diabetes increases, so does the availability of treatment options. The fight against diabetes once only had metformin and sulfonylureas as the cornerstone of oral treatment, but now, multiple classes have been added to this armamentarium including thiazolidenediones (TZDs) and dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DDP IV) inhibitors. These therapies provide reasonable durable glycemic control but are unable to arrest the natural progression of diabetes or the eventual need for insulin. By utilizing our growing knowledge on the pathogenesis of diabetes, a number of new therapeutic agents are in development to overcome the shortcomings of current therapies. Promising options on the horizon include sodium-coupled glucose cotransport 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors, Ranolazine, Salicylates, second generation Peroxisome proliferator ac-tivator receptor agonists (PPARs), and 11-beta hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 inhibitors (11-beta HSD1 inhibitors). Various molecules, including some enzymes, are also in development particularly to address beta cell preservation and its sensitivity to glucose, while minimizing hypoglycemia. Most of these new classes of drugs consist of daily administration, simplifying the regimen for patients and likely increasing medication compliance.

This article reviews the new agents that are advancing through clinical trials, their mechanism of actions, glucose lowering effect and possible side effects and limitations

Keywords: Type 2 Diabetes; Sglt2 Inhibitors; Ranolazine; Salicylates; Second Generation Ppars; 11B-Hsd1 Inhibitors; Glucokinase Activators; Fructose 1; 6 Bisphosphatase Inhibitors

*Corresponding Author:

Intekhab Ahmed, M.D, FACP, FACE Associate Professor of Medicine, Thomas Jefferson University and Hospitals, 211-South, 9th Street, # 600, Phila-delphia, PA 19107, USA. Tel: 215-955-5752; Fax: 215-928-3160 E-mail: Intekhab.ahmed@jefferson.edu

Receiverd: Aprial 25, 2013 **Accepted:** May 15, 2013 **Published:** May 20, 2013

Citation: Ukrainski M, Gandrabura T, Bischoff LN, Ahmed I (2013) On The Horizon: New Oral Therapies for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Int J Diabetol Vasc Dis Res. 1(3), 15-18. doi: http://dx.doi. org/10.19070/2328-353X-130004

Copyright: Ahmed I[©] 2014. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus afflicts approximately 400 million people worldwide and, without any significant change in this trend, by 2030 more than 552 million people will be diabetic [1]. Our current treatment options are able to maintain reasonable glycemic control for a pe-riod of time; however, they are unable to stop the pro-gression of disease leading to deteriorating glycemic control over time and subsequent need for increasingly complicated treatment regimens.

International Journal of Diabetology & Vascular Disease Research, 2013 ©

The two core defects of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) are insulin resistance and pancreatic beta cell dysfunction or failure [2]. Insulin resistance appears to be the first and main defect resulting in an increased demand of insulin release from beta cells to normalize glucose levels. Overtime, the beta cells' ability to main-tain this level of hyperinsulinemia deteriorates result-ing in the hyperglycemia characteristic of T2DM. In-sulin resistance is attributed to ectopic lipid deposition in liver, skeletal muscle, and changes in adipose tissue resulting in inflamed tissue leading to the release of a multitude of inflammatory cytokines and decreased release of favorable cytokines and hormones [3,4]. By the time a person develops diabetes, 50-80% of insulin secretory function of beta cells is lost [4]. Additional factors influencing beta cell dysfunction include aging, genetic factors and biochemical abnormalities like li-potoxicity, glucotoxicity, inflammation, amyloid depo-sition, and reactive oxygen species [5].

Current therapies for T2DM are based on targeting these two core defects. Metformin and sufonylureas (SUs) are by far the most commonly prescribed medi-cations for T2DM management. Unfortunately, they are unable to arrest the natural course of decline in beta cell function, their effects are not long lasting [6], and they each carry their own side effect profile, with hypoglycemia of particular concern with SU use. Thiazolidinediones (TZD's) improve insulin sensitivity but are notorious for their side effects such as fluid retention, weight gain, fracture, and potential risk of bladder cancer [7,8]. Members of the incretin family include DPP-IV inhibitors and glucagon like peptide (GLP-1) analogs and each have their own limitations. The former have a limited effect on A1c reduction and a potentially increased risk of pancreatitis, while the latter are injectable and also carry the risk of pancrea-titis [9,10].

New therapies, while continuing to address the same two core defects, are being designed to also target various molecular pathways involved in the pathogenesis of T2DM, normalize hyperglycemia, and minimize the complications of T2DM.

Sodium-coupled glucose co-transporter 2 inhibi-tors (SGLT2 inhibitors)

The kidneys play a significant role in maintaining glu-cose homeostasis via the filtration and reabsorption of glucose. The reabsorption of glucose predominantly occurs on the brush border membrane of the convo-luted segment of the proximal tubule. Glucose enters the tubular cells by a sodium-dependent active carrier-mediated transport process and exits via the basolat-eral membrane by facilitated diffusion utilizing a so-dium-independent glucose transporter (GLUTs) [11]. The sodium-dependent glucose co-transporters are a family of glucose transporters found in the intestinal mucosa of the small intestine (SGLT1) and the proxi-mal tubule of the nephron (SGLT2, predominantly, and SGLT1) [12]. SGLT1 and SGLT2 are members of the SLC5A gene family (also known as the sodium substrate symporter gene family. Twelve of these have been identified in the human genome, and several of these (including SGLT1 and SGLT2) are associated with sodium glucose transport. These transporters use the electrochemical sodium gradient generated by the Na+/K+-ATPase is the driving force for the sym-porter activity. SGLT2 is the principal transporter and is responsible for 90% of glucose reabsorption in the kidney. SGLT2 is expressed in the S1 segment of the proximal tubule while SGLT1 is expressed in the S3 segment of proximal tubule and estimated to account for 10% of glucose reabsorption [11.12].

Sodium-coupled glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors are a class of agents initially derived from phlorizin, a natural component of apple tree bark, that blocks glu-cose reabsorption in the proximal tubule of the kidney [13].

T2DM patients have increased activity of SGLT2 re-sulting in increased glucose reabsorption [14] and, therefore, its inhibition is a logical site for intervention. Several oral SGLT2 inhibitors are in different phases of clinical trial. Canagliflozin, Dapaglifozin, Ipragli-fozin, Topoglifozin, and Empaglifozin are the most studied drugs in this class. The FDA recently approved Canagliflozin, with the requirement that five post-mar-keting studies be done including: a study to examine cardiovascular outcomes, a pharmacovigilance pro-gram to report the incidences of malignancies, severe pancreatitis, liver abnormalities, adverse events during pregnancy; a study to evaluate bone safety, and two pediatric studies [15]. Dapaglifozin is approved for use in European Union but its approval is delayed in USA due to concerns about an imbalance in breast and bladder cancer events with more cases developing in patients taking the drug [16]. The drop in hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) with most of these drugs is in the range of 0.7-0.96% with modest weight loss, which is dose dependent, and ranges from 1.35 kg with small dose and 2 kg with maximum tolerable doses [17]. Some side effects of these agents include hypoglycemia, urinary tract infections, genital mycotic infections, salt and volume depletion, and other electrolyte losses such as calcium and magnesium [16,17]. It has not been ap-proved for patients with significant renal impairment and those requiring hemodialysis.

If further data on safety and efficacy continues to be reassuring, SGLT2 inhibitors have a promising future in the management of diabetes.

Ranolazine

Ranolazine, an anti-anginal medication with proven cardiovascular safety profile, acts by inhibiting late sodium current in cardiac tissue [18]. Its mechanism of action for glucose lowering is unknown, but may include augmentation of glucose induced insulin re-lease and a beta cell protective effect [20]. A post-hoc analysis of a study using Ranolazine in T2DM patients revealed that the participants on Ranolazine had a lower HbA1c. The placebo adjusted drop in HbA1c after four months of treatment was 0.42-0.59%. An-other notable finding is that the patients on ranolazine did not have a significant difference in hypoglycemic episodes when compared to placebo, and also did not change the incidence of hypoglycemic episodes in pa-tients already on antihyperglycemic therapies, includ-ing sulfonylureas [19,20].

Salicylate derivatives

The use of salicylates for the treatment of diabetes was proposed over 100 years ago based on the obser-vation that diabetic patients taking salicylates showed improvement in their blood sugar [21]. Our under-standing of T2DM as a result of a chronic inflamma-tory state has reinvigorated the interest in salicylates as a therapeutic option for T2DM. The proposed mecha-nism of action is via inhibition of NF-kB, which in-creases the production of proinflammatory cytokines, promoting insulin resistance and down-regulating the insulin-sensitizing adiponectin [21,22]. Some studies have reported evidence that salicylates also directly inhibit adipocyte lipolysis resulting in decreased FFA levels and increased insulin sensitivity. Multiple small clinical trials have been done to evaluate the effect of salicylates on T2DM. Most of these are limited by small sample size and length of study. They also required very high doses of salicylates to achieve the effect, and these were associated with numerous side effects, particularly gastrointestinal bleeding, tinnitus, and hearing loss [23]. Salsalate, a nonacetylated salicy-late, is a promising new therapy for T2DM. Salsalate does not affect the COX enzymes, making it a much safer and tolerable option at higher doses than aspirin and other acetylated salicylates. One study examining the benefit of salsalate in T2DM revealed a significant dose-dependent drop in HbA1c, of up to 0.49% with 4g of salsalate. Potential concerns include mild in-creases in microalbumin to creatinine ratio and in LDL cholesterol [23-25].

Peroxisome proliferator activator receptor ago-nists (PPAR's)

The PPAR's are a group of nuclear receptors known as ligandinducible transcription factors, which play di-verse roles in regulating growth and metabolism. There are three major isotypes, PPAR- α , - γ and - δ . They form heterodimers with retinoid X receptors (RXR) to ei-ther stimulate or repress gene transcription. PPAR- α is mainly expressed in liver, heart, and kidney and plays a role in fatty acid oxidation and lipoprotein metabolism.PPAR- γ is expressed in adipose tissue, macrophages, and osteoblasts. It is involved in adipose tissue dif-ferentiation and triglyceride synthesis. PPAR- δ is ex-pressed in skeletal muscle, cardiac muscle, and adipose tissue, where it stimulates fat oxidation[26]. It also is expressed in liver and immune cells where it has a role in reducing hepatic glucose production and inflamma-tion, respectively. TZDs are an example of PPAR-γ agonists, and have had a major impact on reducing insulin resistance to date. Unfortunately, their use has dramatically de-creased due to their potential untoward side effects. The first TZD, Troglitazone, was associated with liver toxicity; Rosiglitazone showed increased cardiovascu-lar morbidity, and pioglitazone is linked with a poten-tially increased risk of bladder cancer and osteoporosis [8]. These side effects appear to be secondary to the non-selectivity of these molecules. Because of their impressive effectiveness in T2DM, there is an ongoing effort to develop second generation of PPAR agonists with more selective action and fewer side effects.

Balaglitazone, a newer partial PPAR-y agonist, has been suggested to be as efficacious in lowering blood glucose with less adverse systemic effects [24,25]. In the BALLET trial, Henriksen et al, compare the effects of balaglitazone to pioglitazone. After 26 weeks, 10mg of balaglitazone lowered HbA1c by 0.99%, compared to -1.11% for 20mg balaglitazone, and -1.22% for pioglitazone 45-mg. This study also showed that bala-glitazone was effective in reducing total insulin require-ments and increased insulin sensitivity. While there were no statistically significant differences in adverse effects between the two agents, the 10mg dose of bala-glitazone showed a trend towards less weight gain, flu-id retention, cardiovascular complications, and effects on bone density. Multiple phase III trials are underway in Europe and US to further examine balaglitazone.

Aleglitazar is a member of a new class of dual PPAR- γ and - β agonists, named glitazars, which is being inves-tigated in phase III trials. Aleglitazar has been reported to improve HbA1c, triglycerides, and HDL in a dose dependent manner [26].

Given these promising results, these agents have great potential for management of T2DM.

Therapies targeting metabolic enzymes

11\beta Hydoroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1

(11β -HSD1) inhibitors

The role of glucocorticoids in adipose tissue metabo-lism and distribution, lipid and glucose metabolism has long been known, with high levels of glucocorticoids promoting hyperglycemia and insulin resistance, hy-perlipidemia, and visceral obesity [27, 28]. 11 β - HSD is an enzyme that is involved in the interconversion of cortisol and cortisone and is present in two isoforms,11 β - HSD1 and 11 β - HSD2 . 11 β -HSD1 is predomi-nantly expressed in the liver and adipose tissue and its main function appears to be conversion of cortisone to cortisol [27]. 11 β -HSD2 is primarily expressed in the kidneys and colon and acts to inactivate cortisol by converting it to cortisone [27-31].

The incentive to develop 11 β -HSD1 inhibitors for the treatment of diabetes has come from our increased understanding of the role between glucocorticoid ex-cess and insulin resistance. The potential benefits of developing compounds that inhibit 11 β -HSD1 include weight loss, decreased serum insulin and glucose lev-els, decreased LDL and TG [27, 30, 31]. However, many compounds that have an inhibitory effect on 11 β -HSD1, also inhibit 11 β -HSD2. For example, carbenoxolone is a nonselective inhibitor of both 11 β -HSD1 and 11 β -HSD2 derived from licorice root. In type 2 diabetics, it was found to improve hepatic insulin sensitivity, but also led to sodium retention, hypertension, and hypokalemia due to its 11β-HSD2 inhibition [29,31]. 11β-HSD1 inhibitory effects have been demonstrated in previously known compounds including rosiglitazone, estrogens, which may help to explain the protective effect they demonstrate in pre-menopausal women, and fibrates [31]. Many new 11β-HSD1 selective compounds are in development, which include INCB13739, an antisense oligonucleo-tide. In one study, INCB13739 was added to metform-in, resulting in an average reduction in HbA1c of 0.6% and up to 1.1kg weight loss. Concerning limitations include interference with the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis leading to mineralocorticoid excess resulting in sodium retention, hypertension, viriliza-tion, and menstrual irregularities [30,31]. While the potential utility of these compounds is clear, phase III studies are needed to determine the safety and efficacy of these 11β-HSD1 inhibitors.

Glucokinase activators

Glucokinase (GK) or hexokinase IV, is an enzyme that catalyzes the addition of phosphate to glucose for fur-ther intracellular metabolism. It serves as a glucose sensor in beta cells and initiates the release of insulin from the beta cell. In the liver, this enzyme directs glu-cose toward glycogen synthesis and lipogenesis. In diabetics, activity of GK is preserved, although decreased due to a lesser number of functional beta cells [32]. Currently, several GK activators are undergoing stud-ies to evaluate their efficacy and safety. This class of molecules targets beta cell core defect in T2DM and liver production of glucose. In a phase I trial, piragilatin resulted in a decrease in fasting and post-prandi-al glucose in mild diabetics [32]. Concerns include a time-dependent effect on the central nervous system and reproductive system due to the expression of GK in these tissues.

Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase 1B (PTP1B) inhibitors

This enzyme acts as a negative regulator of insulin signaling by deactivating the insulin receptor. Current data shows its inhibition improves both insulin and leptin action in animals [33]. It may be an option for the treatment of diabetes, but due to its expression in multiple other tissues, other studies are needed to en-sure selectivity of these inhibitors to the desired tis-sues.

Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (FBP) inhibitors

FBP is a rate-limiting enzyme for gluconeogenesis and its inhibition can result in improved blood sugars. A small phase I trial showed improvement in fasting blood sugars in individuals with diabetes [34].

Conclusion

In the coming years, multiple new therapeutic options will be available to address hyperglycemia and its unde-sirable side effects. These new therapeutics show great promise for controlling and managing T2DM, but are by no means a cure. The corner stone of prevention of T2DM lies in healthy lifestyle. Dietary modification and adequate physical activity is the ideal intervention for keeping the threat of diabetes development and its complications at bay. We should continue to emphasize and allocate resources to the education and prevention of T2DM rather than solely depending on treating dia-betes after it has occurred

References

- Whiting D, Guariguata L, Weil C, Shaw J. IDF diabetes atlas: Global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2011 and 2030. Dia-betes Res Clin Pract. 2011;94(3):311-321.
- [2]. Gerich J. Contributions of insulin-resistance and insulin-secre-tory defects to the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Mayo Clin Proc. 2003;78(4):447-456.
- [3]. Pickup J. Inflammation and activated innate immunity in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2004;27(3):813-823.
- [4]. Matveyenko A, Butler P. Relationship between beta-cell mass and diabetes onset. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2008;10(4):23-31.
- [5]. LeRoith, D, MD, PhD, FACP. Beta-cell dysfunction and insulin resistance in type 2 diabetes: Role of metabolic and genetic abnormali-ties. Am J Med. 2002;113(Suppl 6A):3S - 11S.
- [6]. Nathan D, Buse J, Davidson M, Heine R, Holman R, Sherwin R, et al. Management of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes: A consen-sus algorithm for the initiation and adjustment of therapy: A consensus statement from the american diabetes association and the european as-sociation for the study of diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2006;28(8):1963.
- [7]. Yki-Järvinen H. Thiazolidenediones. N Engl J Med. 2004;351(11):1106.
- [8]. FDA drug safety communication: Update to ongoing safety re-view of actos (pioglitazone) and increased risk of bladder cancer. [Inter-net].: US Food and Drug Administration; 2011 [updated June 15, 2011. Available from: http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm259150. htm.
- [9]. Elashoff M, Matveyenko A, Gier B, Elashoff R, Butler P. Pancreatitis, pancreatic, and thyroid cancer with glucagon-like peptide-1-based therapies. Gastroenterology. 2011;141(1):150-156.
- [10]. Demuth H, McIntosh H, Pederson R. Type 2 diabetes--ther-apy with dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitors. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2005;1751(1):33-44.
- [11]. Kanai Y, Lee W, You G, Brown D, Hediger M. The human kidney low affinity Na+/glucose cotransporter SGLT2. delineation of the major renal reabsorptive mechanism for D-glucose. J Clin Invest. 1994;93(1):397-404.
- [12]. Wells R, Pajor A, Kanai Y, Turk E, Wright E, Hediger M. Cloning of a human kidney cDNA with similarity to the sodium-glucose cotransporter. Am J Physiol. 1992;263(3 Part 2):459-465.
- [13]. Ehrenkranz J, Lewis N, Kahn C, Roth J. Phlorizin: A review. Diabetes Metab Res Rev. 2005;21(1):31-38.
- [14]. List J, Woo V, Morales E, Tang W, Fiedorek F. Sodium-glucose cotransport inhibition with dapagliflozin in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2009;32(4):650-657.
- [15]. FDA approves invokana to treat type 2 diabetes [Internet].: FDA: US Food and Drug Administration; 2013 [updated March 29, 2013. Available from: http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/ PressAnnouncements/ ucm345848.htm.
- [16]. Clar C, Gill J, Court R, Waugh N. Systematic review of SGLT2 receptor inhibitors in dual or triple therapy in type 2 diabetes. BMJ Open. 2012;2(5):1-12.
- [17]. Shah N, Deeb W, Choksi R, Epstein B. Dapagliflozin: A novel sodium-glucose cotransporter type 2 inhibitor for the treatment of type diabetes mellitus. Pharmacotherapy. 2012;32(1):80-94.
- [18]. Chaitman B. Ranolazine for the treatment of chronic an-gina and potential use in other cardiovascular conditions. Circulation. 2006;113(20):2462-72.

- [19]. Chisholm J, Goldfine A, Dhalia A, Braunwald E, Morrow D, Karwatowska-Prokopczuk E, et al. Effect of ranolazine on A1c and glu-cose levels in hyperglycemic patients with non-ST elevation acute coro-nary syndrome. Diabetes Care. 2010;33(6):1163-1168.
- [20]. Ning Y, Zhen W, Fu Z, Jiang J, Liu D, Belardinelli L, et al. Ranolazine increases beta-cell survival and improves glucose homeostasis in lowdose streptozotocin-induced diabetes in mice. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2011;337(1):50-58.
- [21]. Rumore M, Kim K. Potential role of salicylates in type 2 dia-betes. Ann Pharmacother. 2010;44(7-8):1207-1221.
- [22]. Yuan M, Konstantopoulos N, Lee J, Hansen L, Li Z, Karin M, et al. Reversal of obesity- and diet-induced insulin resistance with salicy-lates or targeted disruption of Ikkbeta. Science. 2001;293(5535):1673-7.
- [23]. Goldfine A, Fonesca V, Jablonski K, Pyle L, Staten M, Shoe-Ison S, et al. The effects of salsalate on glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes: A randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. 2010 152;6(346-357).
- [24]. Agrawal R, Jain P, Dikshit S. Balaglitaone: A second generation peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) gamma. Mini Rev Med Chem. 2012;12(2):87-97.
- [25]. Henriksen K, Byrjalsen I, Qvist P, Beck-Nielsen H, Hansen G, Riis B, et al. Efficacy and safety of PPAR- gamma partial agonist balaglitazone compared with pioglitazone and placebo: A phase III, ran-domized, parallel-group study in patients with type 2 diabetes on stable insulin therapy. Diabetes Metab Res Rev. 2011 27;4(392-401).
- [26]. Rosenson R, Wright R, Farkouh M, Plutzky J. Modulating per-oxisome proliferator-activated receptors for therapeutic benefit? biology, clinical experience, and future prospects. Am Heart J. 2012;164(5):672-
- [27]. Joharapurkar A, Dhanesha N, Shah G, Kharul R, Jain M. 11-beta hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1: Potential therapeutic target for metabolic syndrome. Pharmacol Rep. 2012;64(5):1055-65.
- [28]. Walker B, Soderberg S, Lindahl B, Olsson T. Independent ef-fects of obesity and cortisol in predicting cardiovascular risk in men and women. J Intern Med. 2000;247(2):198-204.
- [29]. Stewart P, Wallace A, Atherden S, Shearing C, Edwards C. Mineralocorticoid activity of carbenoxolone: Contrasting effects of car-benoxolone and liquorice on 11-beta hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase ac-tivity in man. Clin Sci. 1990;78(1):49-54.
- [30]. Berthiaume M, Laplante M, Festuccia W, Gelinas Y, Poulin S, Lalonde J, et al. Depot-specific modulation of rat intraabdominal adipose tissue lipid metabolism by pharmacological inhibition of 11-beta hydrox-ysteroid dehydrogenase type 1. Endocrinology. 2007;148(5):2391-7.
- [31]. Anagnostis P, Katsiki N, Adamidou F, Athyros V, Karagiannis A, Kita M, et al. 11-beta hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 inhibitors: Novel agents for the treatment of metabolic syndrome and obesity-relat-ed disorders? Metabolism. 2013;62(1):21-33.
- [32]. Cheruvallath Z, Gwaltney S2, Sabat M, Tang M, Feng J, Wang H, et al. Design, synthesis and SAR of novel glucokinase activators. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2013;23(7):2166-71.
- [33]. Cho H. Protein tyrosine phosphatases 1B (PTP1B) and obe-sity. Vitam Horm. 2013;91:405-24.
- [34]. Heng S, Harris K, Kantrowitz E. Designing inhibitors against fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase: Exploring natural products for novel inhibi-tor scaffolds. Eur J Med Chem. 2010;45(4):1478-84.